

1 Introduction

1.1 Terms of Reference

2021/2/FRSG13 The Working Group Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF), chaired by Jurgen Batsleer (Netherlands) and Pascal Lorange (France), will meet in Lisbon from 14–23 June 2022 to:

- a) Address generic ToRs for Regional and Species Working Groups.
- b) Update the description of elasmobranch fisheries for deep-water, pelagic and demersal species in the ICES area and compile landings, effort and discard statistics by ICES Subarea and Division, and catch data by NEAFC Regulatory Area. Describe and prepare a first Advice draft of any emerging elasmobranch fishery with the available data on catch/landings, fishing effort and discard statistics at the finest spatial resolution possible in the NEAFC RA and ICES area(s);
- c) Evaluate the stock status for the provision of biennial advice due in 2022 for: (i) spurdog in the NE Atlantic; and (ii) skates in the Celtic Seas and Bay of Biscay and Iberian Coast ecoregions. Conduct exploratory analyses and collate relevant data in preparation for the evaluation of other stocks (skate stocks in the North Sea ecoregion, the Azores and MAR; catsharks (Scyliorhinidae) in the Greater North Sea, Celtic Seas and Bay of Biscay and Iberian Coast ecoregions; smooth-hounds in the Northeast Atlantic and tope in the Northeast Atlantic) in preparation for more detailed biennial assessment in 2023;
- d) Collate landings and discard data from countries and fleets according to the ICES data call to follow recommendations from WKSHARK5 to: (i) address the following issues: data quality and onboard coverage; raising factors; discard retention patterns between fleets and countries; discard survival; (ii) advise on how to include discard information in the advisory process; and (iii) develop a coherent data-base for landings/discard information used in the assessments.
- e) Follow the outcomes of WSKATE and to make the best use of survey indices in the assessments where appropriate.
- f) Further develop MSY proxy reference points relevant for elasmobranchs and explore/apply in MSY Proxies analyses for selected stocks;
- g) Further develop the ToR for the proposed joint ICCAT-ICES meeting in 2022 to (i) assess porbeagle shark and (ii) collate available biological and fishery data on thresher sharks in the Atlantic;
- h) Work intersessionally to draft/update stock annexes and then develop a procedure and schedule for subsequent reviews.

The assessments will be carried out on the basis of the stock annex in National Laboratories, prior to the meeting. The assessments must be available for audit on the first day of the meeting.

Material and data relevant for the meeting must be available to the group no later than 14 days prior to the starting date.

WGEF will report by 12 August 2022 for the attention of ACOM.

Only experts appointed by national Delegates or appointed in consultation with the national delegates of the expert's country can attend this Expert Group

1.2 Background and history

The Study Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (SGEF), having been first established in 1989 (ICES, 1989), was re-established in 1995 and had meetings or met by correspondence in subsequent years (ICES, 1995–2001). Assessments for elasmobranch species had been hampered by a lack of data. The 1999 meeting was held concurrently with an EC-funded Concerted Action Project meeting (FAIR CT98-4156) allowing greater participation from various European institutes (ICES, 1999).

Exploratory assessments were carried out for the first time at the 2002 SGEF meeting (ICES, 2002), covering eight of the nine case-study species considered by the EC-funded DELASS project (CT99-055). The success of this meeting was due largely to the DELASS project, a three-year collaborative effort involving 15 fisheries research institutes and two subcontractors (Heessen, 2003). Though much progress was made on methods, there was still much work to be done, with the paucity of species-specific landings data a major data issue.

In 2002, SGEF recommended the group be continued as a working group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF). The medium-term remit of this group being to extend the methods and assessments for elasmobranchs prepared by the EC-funded DELASS project; to review and define data requirements (fishery, survey and biological parameters) for stock identification, analytical models and to carry out such assessments as are required by ICES customers. Since 2003 WGEF meets annually to continue the work on stock assessment and to support the advisory process. Further details on the history and achievements of WGEF can be found in an earlier report (ICES, 2021).

In 2020 and 2021, WGEF met online due to COVID-19 restrictions. For the 2020 working group, data submission and processing had been altered to reduce issues in terms of data call interpretation as well as the delivery of non-uniform data sets. The WGEF 2020 data were submitted to InterCatch for the first time, extracted and processed using R-code available in TAF. Next landings data are collated to the landings spreadsheet containing the historical landings data. This process was repeated in 2021 using the 2020 landings data. Furthermore, issues in terms of harmonisation of fleet names, stock codes and species codes of historic landings data was performed. Also, an important step towards the use of discard data in the advice was taken. Available discard data on the accessions folder and those submitted to InterCatch for the years 2019 and 2020 were combined into a discard table. Next steps should include an automated process of cleaning up the data, having a quality assessment and control of the submitted discard data.

WGEF in 2022 was a full assessment meeting and stock updates were carried out for 31 stocks of which five were benchmarked early 2022. The 2022 elasmobranch benchmark (WKELASMO) was held to evaluate the data and methods to assess four elasmobranch stocks: Porbeagle in the Northeast Atlantic (por.27.nea), thornback ray in the Bay of Biscay (rjc.27.8), undulate ray in the Channel (rju.27.7de), and cuckoo ray in western waters (rjn.27.678abd) (ICES, 2022). For porbeagle it was agreed WGEF would host a joint meeting with ICCAT scientist. This meeting raised concerns about applying a generic HCR on a long-lived species such as porbeagle and questioned the timeline of advice provision within ICES and similarly within ICCAT. More information on the discussion is found in section 6.11 of this report. Additionally, WKELASMO decided that the rjc.27.8 stock is to be split into two stocks: 8.abd (Bay of Biscay) and 8.c (Cantabrian Sea), resulting in one additional stock for WGEF to assess. All stocks (excluding rjc.27.8c) have an accepted SPiCT or a Bayesian state-space biomass production model including CKMR (rjc.27.8abd) leading the stocks to category 2. Furthermore, WGEF applied new empirical methods for stock assessment and catch advice developed by WKLIFE X (ICES, 2020d). These methods were applied to 12 ICES category 3 stocks to provide advice within the ICES MSY framework (see 1.4).

1.3 Planning of the work of the group

Given the large number of stocks that WGEF addresses, WGEF and the ICES Secretariat have developed the following timeframe for advice.

In 2019, the following species and stocks with quadrennial advice were addressed (Table 1.1). These stocks will be addressed again in 2023:

- Common skate in the greater North Sea ecoregion
- Starry ray in the greater North Sea ecoregion
- Leafscale gulper shark in the Northeast Atlantic;
- Kitefin shark in the Northeast Atlantic;
- Portuguese dogfish in the Northeast Atlantic;
- Angel shark in the Northeast Atlantic;
- Porbeagle in the Northeast Atlantic;
- Basking shark in the Northeast Atlantic;
- Thresher sharks in the Northeast Atlantic;
- White skate in the Northeast Atlantic.

In 2021, the following species and stocks were assessed and advice drafted (Table 1.2). These stocks will be addressed again in 2023:

- Skates and rays (Rajidae) in the Greater North Sea, (including Skagerrak, Kattegat and eastern Channel) (eight ICES assessment units including 'other rays and skates');
- Skates and rays (Rajidae) in the Azores and Mid-Atlantic Ridge (mainly *R. clavata*);
- Smooth-hounds in the Northeast Atlantic;
- Tope in the Northeast Atlantic;
- Catshark stocks in the Northeast Atlantic (seven ICES stock assessment units);

In 2022, the following species and stocks were addressed for advice (Table 1.3). These stocks will be addressed again in 2024:

- Spurdog in the Northeast Atlantic;
- Skates and rays (Rajidae) in the Celtic Seas (ICES subareas 6 and 7 except Division 7.d);¹
- Skates and rays (Rajidae) in the Bay of Biscay and Iberian Coast (ICES Subarea 8 and Division 9.a).

¹ Note: Skate stocks that straddle divisions 7.d and 7.e are included within the Celtic Sea section and advice. Skate species that straddle Division 4.c and Division 7.d are included within the North Sea section and advice.

Table 1.1. Elasmobranch stocks with quadrennial assessments and advice carried out in 2019.

ICES stock code	Stock name	Ecoregion	Advice updated	Advice
rjb.27.3a4	Common skate (<i>Dipturus batis</i> -complex) in Subarea 4 and Division 3.a (North Sea and Skagerrak)	North Sea	2019	Quadrennial
rjr.27.23a4	Starry ray (<i>Amblyraja radiata</i>) in Subareas 2, 3.a and 4 (Norwegian Sea, Skagerrak, Kattegat and North Sea)	North Sea	2019	Quadrennial
agn.27.nea	Angel shark (<i>Squatina squatina</i>) in the Northeast Atlantic	Widely distributed and migratory stocks	2019	Quadrennial
bsk.27.nea	Basking shark (<i>Cetorhinus maximus</i>) in the North-east Atlantic	Widely distributed and migratory stocks	2019	Quadrennial
cyo.27.nea	Portuguese dogfish (<i>Centroscymnus coelolepis</i>) in the Northeast Atlantic	Widely distributed and migratory stocks	2019	Quadrennial
guq.27.nea	Leafscale gulper shark (<i>Centrophorus squamosus</i>) in the Northeast Atlantic	Widely distributed and migratory stocks	2019	Quadrennial
rja.27.nea	White skate (<i>Rostroraja alba</i>) in the Northeast Atlantic	Widely distributed	2019	Quadrennial
sck.27.nea	Kitefin shark (<i>Dalatias licha</i>) in the Northeast Atlantic	Widely distributed and migratory stocks	2019	Quadrennial
thr.27.nea	Thresher sharks (<i>Alopias</i> spp.) in Subareas 10, 12, Divisions 7.c-k, 8.d-e, and Subdivisions 5.b.1, 9.b.1, 14.b.1 (Northeast Atlantic)	Widely distributed	2019	Quadrennial

Table 1.2. Elasmobranch stocks with biennial assessments and advice carried out in 2021.

ICES stock code	Stock name	Ecoregion	Advice updated	Advice
gag.27.nea	Tope (<i>Galeorhinus galeus</i>) in the Northeast Atlantic	Widely distributed and migratory stocks	2021	Biennial
raj.27.3a47d	Other skates and rays in the North Sea ecoregion (Subarea 4, and Divisions 3.a and 7.d)	North Sea	2021	Biennial
raj.27.1012	Rays and skates (mainly thornback ray) in the Azores and Mid-Atlantic Ridge	Widely distributed and migratory stocks	2021	Biennial
rjc.27.3a47d	Thornback ray (<i>Raja clavata</i>) in Subarea 4, and Divisions 3.a and 7.d (North Sea, Skagerrak, Kattegat and eastern English Channel)	North Sea	2021	Biennial
rjh.27.4a6	Blonde ray (<i>Raja brachyura</i>) in Division 4a and Subarea 6 (Northern North Sea and west of Scotland)	North Sea	2021	Biennial
rjh.27.4c7d	Blonde ray (<i>Raja brachyura</i>) in Divisions 4c and 7.d (Southern North Sea and eastern English Channel)	North Sea	2021	Biennial
rjm.27.3a47d	Spotted ray (<i>Raja montagui</i>) in Subarea 4, and Divisions 3.a and 7.d (North Sea, Skagerrak, Kattegat, and Eastern English Channel)	North Sea	2021	Biennial
rjn.27.3a4	Cuckoo ray (<i>Leucoraja naevus</i>) in Subarea 4 and Division 3.a (North Sea and Skagerrak and Kattegat)	North Sea	2021	Biennial
sdv.27.nea	Starry smooth-hound (<i>Mustelus</i> spp.) in the North-east Atlantic	Widely distributed and migratory stocks	2021	Biennial
sho.27.67	Black-mouth dogfish (<i>Galeus melastomus</i>) in Subareas 6 and 7 (Celtic Sea and West of Scotland)	Celtic Seas	2021	Biennial

ICES stock code	Stock name	Ecoregion	Advice updated	Advice
sho.27.89a	Black-mouth dogfish (<i>Galeus melastomus</i>) in in Sub-area 8 and Division 9.a (Bay of Biscay and Atlantic Iberian waters)	Bay of Biscay and Iberian seas	2021	Biennial
syc.27.3a47d	Lesser-spotted dogfish (<i>Scyliorhinus canicula</i>) in Sub-area 4, and Divisions 3.a and 7.d (North Sea, Skagerrak, Kattegat, and Eastern English Channel)	North Sea	2021	Biennial
syc.27.67a-ce-j	Lesser-spotted dogfish (<i>Scyliorhinus canicula</i>) in Sub-area 6 and Divisions 7.a–c. e–j (Celtic Seas and west of Scotland)	Celtic Seas	2021	Biennial
syc.27.8abd	Lesser-spotted dogfish (<i>Scyliorhinus canicula</i>) in Divisions 8.a,b,d (Bay of Biscay)	Bay of Biscay and Iberian seas	2021	Biennial
syc.27.8c9a	Lesser-spotted dogfish (<i>Scyliorhinus canicula</i>) in Divisions 8.c and 9.a (Atlantic Iberian waters)	Bay of Biscay and Iberian seas	2021	Biennial
syt.27.67	Greater-spotted dogfish (<i>Scyliorhinus stellaris</i>) in Subareas 6 and 7 (Celtic Sea and West of Scotland)	Celtic Seas	2021	Biennial

Table 1.3. Elasmobranch stocks for which assessments and advice was provided in 2022.

ICES stock code	Stock name	Ecoregion	Advice updated	Advice
dgs.27.nea	Spurdog (<i>Squalus acanthias</i>) in the Northeast Atlantic	Widely distributed	2022	Biennial
por.27.nea	Porbeagle (<i>Lamna nasus</i>) in the Northeast Atlantic	Widely distributed and migratory stocks	2022	Biennial
raj.27.67a-ce-h	Other rays and skates (Rajiformes) in Subarea 6 and divisions 7.a–c and 7.e–k (Rockall, West of Scotland, Celtic Sea and western English Channel)	Celtic Seas	2022	Biennial
raj.27.89a	Other skates and rays in Subarea 8 and Division 9.a (Bay of Biscay and Atlantic Iberian waters)	Bay of Biscay and Iberian coast	2022	Biennial
rjb.27.67a-ce-k	Common skate complex (flapper skate (<i>Dipturus batis</i>) and blue skate (<i>Dipturus intermedius</i>)) in Subarea 6 and divisions 7.a–c and 7.e–k (Celtic Seas and western English Channel)	Celtic Seas	2022	Biennial
rjb.27.89a	Common skate complex (Blue skate [<i>Dipturus batis</i>] and flapper skate [<i>Dipturus intermedius</i>]) in Subarea 8 and Division 9.a (Bay of Biscay and Atlantic Iberian waters)	Bay of Biscay and Iberian coast	2022	Biennial
rjc.27.6	Thornback ray (<i>Raja clavata</i>) west of Scotland (Subarea 6)	Celtic Seas	2022	Biennial
rjc.27.7afg	Thornback ray (<i>Raja clavata</i>) in Divisions 7a and 7.f-g (Irish and Celtic Sea)	Celtic Seas	2022	Biennial
rjc.27.7e	Thornback ray (<i>Raja clavata</i>) in Division 7.e (Western English Channel)	Celtic Seas	2022	Biennial
rjc.27.8abd	Thornback ray (<i>Raja clavata</i>) in divisions 8a-b and 8.d (Bay of Biscay)	Bay of Biscay and Iberian coast	2022	Biennial
rjc.27.8c	Thornback ray (<i>Raja clavata</i>) in Division 8.c (Cantabrian Sea)	Bay of Biscay and Iberian coast	2022	Biennial
rjc.27.9a	Thornback ray (<i>Raja clavata</i>) in Division 9.a (west of Galicia, Portugal, and Gulf of Cadiz)	Bay of Biscay and Iberian coast	2022	Biennial

ICES stock code	Stock name	Ecoregion	Advice updated	Advice
rje.27.7de	Small-eyed ray (<i>Raja microocellata</i>) in the English Channel (divisions 7.d-e)	Celtic Seas	2022	Biennial
rje.27.7fg	Small-eyed ray (<i>Raja microocellata</i>) in divisions 7.f-g (Bristol Channel)	Celtic Seas	2022	Biennial
rjf.27.67	Shagreen ray (<i>Leucoraja fullonica</i>) in subareas 6–7 (West of Scotland, southern Celtic Seas, English Channel)	Celtic Seas	2022	Biennial
rjh.27.7afg	Blonde ray (<i>Raja brachyura</i>) in divisions 7.a and 7.f–g (Irish Sea, Bristol Channel, Celtic Sea North)	Celtic Seas	2022	Biennial
rjh.27.7e	Blonde ray (<i>Raja brachyura</i>) in Division 7.e (western English Channel)	Celtic Seas	2022	Biennial
rjh.27.9a	Blonde ray (<i>Raja brachyura</i>) in Division 9.a (Atlantic Iberian waters)	Bay of Biscay and Iberian coast	2022	Biennial
rji.27.67	Sandy ray (<i>Leucoraja circularis</i>) in subareas 6–7 (West of Scotland, southern Celtic Seas, English Channel)	Celtic Seas	2022	Biennial
rjm.27.67bj	Spotted ray (<i>Raja montagui</i>) in Subarea 6 and divisions 7.b.j (west of Scotland and Ireland)	Celtic Seas	2022	Biennial
rjm.27.7ae-h	Spotted ray (<i>Raja montagui</i>) in divisions 7.a and 7.e-h (southern Celtic Seas and western English Channel)	Celtic Seas	2022	Biennial
rjm.27.8	Spotted ray (<i>Raja montagui</i>) in Subarea 8 (Bay of Biscay)	Bay of Biscay and Iberian coast	2022	Biennial
rjm.27.9a	Spotted ray (<i>Raja montagui</i>) in Division 9.a (Atlantic Iberian waters)	Bay of Biscay and Iberian coast	2022	Biennial
rjn.27.678abd	Cuckoo ray (<i>Leucoraja naevus</i>) in subareas 6 and 7, and in divisions 8.a–b and 8.d (West of Scotland, southern Celtic Seas, and western English Channel, Bay of Biscay)	Celtic Seas/Biscay	2022	Biennial
rjn.27.8c	Cuckoo ray (<i>Leucoraja naevus</i>) in Division 8.c (Cantabrian Sea)	Bay of Biscay and Iberian coast	2022	Biennial
rjn.27.9a	Cuckoo ray (<i>Leucoraja naevus</i>) in Division 9.a (Atlantic Iberian waters)	Bay of Biscay and Iberian coast	2022	Biennial
rju.27.7bj	Undulate ray (<i>Raja undulata</i>) in divisions 7.b and 7.j (west and southwest of Ireland)	Celtic Seas	2022	Biennial
rju.27.7de	Undulate ray (<i>Raja undulata</i>) in Divisions 7.d-e (English Channel)	Celtic Seas	2022	Biennial
rju.27.8ab	Undulate ray (<i>Raja undulata</i>) in Divisions 8.a-b (northern and central Bay of Biscay)	Bay of Biscay and Iberian coast	2022	Biennial
rju.27.8c	Undulate ray (<i>Raja undulata</i>) in Divisions 8.c (Cantabrian Sea)	Bay of Biscay and Iberian coast	2022	Biennial
rju.27.9a	Undulate ray (<i>Raja undulata</i>) in Division 9.a (Atlantic Iberian waters)	Bay of Biscay and Iberian coast	2022	Biennial

1.4 ICES approach MSY

Most elasmobranch species are slow growing, with low population productivity. Some species (e.g. basking shark) are on several lists of ‘threatened’ or ‘endangered’ species. They may also be listed under international trade agreements such as the Convention on the International Trade on Endangered Species (CITES), which may place limitations on fishing for or trade in these species. Because of this, F_{MSY} is not believed to be an appropriate or achievable target in all cases, particularly in the short term. However, the ICES F_{MSY} methodology has evolved in recent years and ICES advice is provided under the Maximum Sustainable Yield framework (MSY).

Maximum sustainable yield is a broad conceptual objective aimed at achieving the highest possible yield over the long term (an infinitely long period of time). It is non-specific with respect to: (a) the biological unit to which it is applied; (b) the models used to provide scientific advice; and (c) the management methods used to achieve MSY.

The MSY concept can be applied to an entire ecosystem, an entire fish community, or a single fish stock. The choice of the biological unit to which the MSY concept is applied influences both the sustainable yield that can be achieved and the associated management options. Implementation of the MSY concept by ICES will first be applied to individual fish stocks. Further information on the background to MSY and how it is applied to fish stocks by ICES can be found in the General Context to ICES Advice.

Since 2017, WGEF has explored several data-poor assessment methods to selected ray stocks. These methods produced promising results, but will require some adjustment to account for elasmobranch life history and fisheries dynamics. In 2018 and 2019, progress was made with applying MSY proxies to elasmobranch stocks. Following the recommendations made in 2018, WGEF further explored the application of proxy MSY reference points to elasmobranch fishes. Full information on this analysis is available in Miethe (2019, WGEF WD, see Annex 6). In 2020, an exploratory analysis of two different production models applied to North Sea and English Channel Rajidae stocks was presented. The analysis highlighted the importance of improving the availability of catch data and as such touches on the issue of having reliable discard estimates.

In 2020, the Workshop on the Development of Quantitative Assessment Methodologies based on Life-history traits, exploitation characteristics, and other relevant parameters for data-limited stocks (WKLIFE X; ICES 2020) developed methods for stock assessment and catch advice for stocks in ICES Categories 3 and 4, focusing on the provision of sound advice rules that are within the ICES MSY framework. WKLIFE emphasized the need to have assessment methods which accounted for the uncertainty and being more effective and precautionary compared to the two over five rule used to provide advice on elasmobranch stocks. Additional work on advice rules for stocks in Category 3 based on life-history traits (k), tested through simulation and management strategy evaluation (MSE), showed that the addition of specific multipliers based on the stock’s life-history characteristics decreases the risk of the control rule’s performance. These new advice rules for category 3 stocks are implemented from 2022 onwards.

1.5 Community plan of action for sharks

An Action Plan for the Conservation and Management of Sharks (EU, 2009) was adopted by the European Commission in 2009. Further details on this plan and its relevance to WGEF can be found in an earlier report (ICES, 2009).

The utility of the Prohibited species list on TAC and quotas regulations

The list of prohibited species on the TACs and quotas regulations (e.g. EC, 2021) is an appropriate measure for trying to protect the marine fish of highest conservation importance, particularly

those species that are also listed on CITES and various other conservation conventions. Additionally, there should be sufficient concern over the population status and/or impacts of exploitation that warrants such a long-term conservation strategy over the whole management area.

There are some species that would fall into this category. For example, white shark and basking shark are both listed on CITES and some European nations have given legal protection to these species. Angel shark has also been given legal protection in UK.

It should also be recognized that some species that are considered depleted in parts of their range may remain locally abundant in some areas, and such species might be able to support low levels of exploitation. From a fisheries management viewpoint, advice for a zero or near-zero TAC, or for no target fisheries, is very different from a requirement for 'prohibited species' status, especially as a period of conservative management may benefit the species and facilitate a return to commercial exploitation in the short term.

Additionally, there is a rationale that a list of prohibited species should not be changing regularly, as this could lead to confusion for both the fishing and enforcement communities. The STECF meeting on management of skates and rays has recommended issuing guidelines for the inclusion and removal of species on the prohibited species list (STECF, 2017).

In 2009 and 2010, undulate ray, *Raja undulata* was moved on to the prohibited species list. This had not been advised by ICES. Following a request from commercial fishers, the European Commission asked ICES to give advice on this listing. ICES reiterated that undulate ray would be better managed under local management measures and that there was no justification for placing undulate ray on the prohibited species list. The healthy status of one of the undulate ray stocks (rju.27.7de) assessed in 2022 and the corresponding advice for a rather high catch level confirms this view. There have been subsequent changes in the listing of this species. It was removed from the Prohibited Species List for Subarea 7 in 2014 (albeit as a species that cannot be retained or landed). In 2015, undulate ray was only maintained in the prohibited species list in subareas 6 and 10. Small TACs were established for stocks in the English Channel and Bay of Biscay in 2015 and for the stock in the Iberian ecoregion in 2016. During the 2018 meeting, the advice for 2016–2017 was recalculated following a request from France (ICES, 2018).

In 2019, the list of prohibited species in the TACs and quota regulations was amended. An extensive list of prohibited species, including white shark, basking shark and hammerhead sharks have been taken up in the regulation on the conservation of fisheries resources and the protection of marine ecosystems through technical measures (EU regulation 2019/1241).

1.6 Sentinel fisheries

ICES advice for several elasmobranch stocks suggests that their fisheries should, for example “consist of an initial low (level) scientific fishery”. In discussions of such fisheries (e.g. rju.27.9a), WGEF would suggest that a ‘sentinel fishery’ is a science-based data collection fishery conducted by commercial fishing vessel(s) to gather information on a specific fishery over time using a commercial gear but with standardized survey protocols. Sentinel fisheries would:

- Operate with a standardized gear, defined survey area, and standardized index of effort;
- Aim to provide standardized information on those stocks that may not be optimally sampled by existing fishery-independent surveys;
- Include a limited number of vessels;
- Be subject to trip limits and other technical measures from the outset, in order to regulate fishing effort/mortality in the fishery;
- Carry scientific observers on a regular basis (e.g. for training purposes) and be collaborative programmes with scientific institutes;

- Assist in biological sampling programmes (including self-sampling and tagging schemes);
- Sampling designs, effort levels and catch retention policy should be agreed between stakeholders, national scientists and the relevant ICES assessment expert group.

1.7 Mixed fisheries regulations

Apart from TAC regulations, several ICES divisions have fish stocks subject to recovery plans, including the cod recovery plan, hake recovery plan, etc.

As several elasmobranch stocks, particularly skates and rays, are caught in mixed fisheries within these areas catches of elasmobranchs may be limited by restrictive effort limitations because of these plans. In general, these are not referred to within the text, but must be taken into consideration when looking at landings trends from within these areas.

1.8 Current ICES expert groups of relevance to the WGEF

1.8.1 Working Group on the Assessment of Demersal Stocks in the North Sea and Skagerrak (WGNSSK)

Several elasmobranchs are taken in North Sea demersal fisheries, including spurdog (Section 2), tope (Section 10), various skates (Section 15) and starry smooth-hound (Section 21).

WGNSSK should note that the Greater Thames Estuary is the main part of the North Sea distribution of thornback ray *Raja clavata* and may also be an important nursery ground for some small shark species, such as tope and starry smooth-hound. Thornback ray is an important species in ICES Division 4.c, and is taken as bycatch in fisheries targeting sole (e.g. trawl and gillnet), cod (e.g. trawl, gillnet and longline), as well as in targeted fisheries.

The Wash may also be an area of ecological importance for some elasmobranchs, including thornback ray and tope.

1.8.2 Working Group for the Celtic Seas Ecoregion (WGCSE)

Several elasmobranchs are taken in the waters covered by WGCSE, including spurdog (Section 2), tope (Section 10), various skates and rays (Section 18) and starry smooth-hound (Section 21).

WGCSE should note that common skate *Dipturus batis*-complex, which has declined in many inshore areas of northern Europe, may be locally abundant in parts of ICES Division 6.a and the deeper waters of the Celtic Sea (Division 7.h-j). Thornback ray is abundant in parts of the Irish Sea, especially Solway Firth, Liverpool Bay and Cardigan Bay. The Llyn Peninsula is an important ground for greater-spotted dogfish *Scyliorhinus stellaris*. WGCSE should also note that the Bristol Channel is of high local importance for small-eyed ray *Raja microocellata*, as well as being an important nursery ground for some small sharks (e.g. starry smooth-hound and tope) and various skates.

Angel shark (Section 22) was formerly abundant in parts of Cardigan Bay, the Bristol Channel and Start Bay, and is now observed very rarely. Similarly, white skate (Section 23) was historically present in this ecoregion, and may be near-extirpated from most parts of the ecoregion.

1.8.3 Working Group on the Biology and Assessment of Deep-sea Fisheries Resources (WGDEEP)

In 2008, WGEF met in parallel with WGDEEP in order to assess and provide advice on deep-water sharks (see sections 3–5). In February 2010, WGDEEP held a benchmark assessment of deep-water stocks (WKDEEP; ICES, 2010). Two WGEF members attended in order to carry out an assessment of the deep-water shark species *Centrophorus squamosus* and *Centroscymnus coelolepis*. Considerable progress was made in robust construction of a plausible catch and effort history for both species. A novel approach to assessing such species as deep-water sharks was presented at the meeting using a subset of the data on Portuguese dogfish and was agreed by WKDEEP to be a highly promising approach, pending the acceptable reconstruction of the aforementioned catch and effort data. Further development and possible future application of the method is to be encouraged. Several members of WGEF also attend WGDEEP, so facilitating the exchange of knowledge between the two expert groups.

1.8.4 International Bottom-trawl Survey Working Group (IBTSWG) and Working Group on Beam Trawl Surveys (WGBEAM)

IBTSWG continue to provide maps of the distribution of a variety of demersal elasmobranchs from the IBTS surveys in the North Sea and western areas. WGEF consider that these plots provide useful information and hope that IBTSWG will continue to provide these plots as routine outputs in the future. WGBEAM carries out some analysis of catch rates and distribution of certain skate species from beam trawl surveys in the North Sea and Celtic Seas ecoregions. Such analyses are very useful for WGEF.

There are some inaccuracies in the identifications of some skates in various trawl surveys, as well as some recent taxonomic revisions. Hence, more collaborative studies and exchange between WGEF and WGBEAM to address such issues is encouraged.

1.8.5 Workshop on the Inclusion of Discard Survival in Stock Assessments (WKSURVIVE)

The first workshop met in February 2021. Important objectives of this Workshop for WGEF is to explore the incorporation of discard survival estimates in stock assessments as well as to review the various approaches taken to integrate discard estimates in current assessments in the context of applying discard survival estimates.

One of the recurring issues in WGEF is the uncertainty in discard data as a result of the high number of discrepancies between years and inconsistent or missing data. Despite having had two dedicated workshops on the use of discard data in stock assessments (WKSHARK 3 (ICES, 2017) and WKSHARK5 (ICES, 2020a)), it is still not possible to move forward on this issue. In addition, given the expected high survival of elasmobranchs, catch data (i.e. landings and estimated discards) will not equal dead removals. Hence the importance to understand the survival rate of discarded elasmobranchs in order to obtain separate estimates for dead and surviving discards.

WKSURVIVE pointed out that discard and discard survival are a major concern in many elasmobranch stocks and their inclusion should be evaluated in all assessments of skate and ray stocks and suggested to develop data-limited assessment frameworks that can accommodate the explicit inclusion of discards and discard survival.

1.9 Other meetings of relevance to WGEF

1.9.1 ICCAT

WGEF have conducted joint-meetings and assessments with ICCAT in 2008 (Madrid) and 2009 (ICES headquarters). These meetings were useful in pooling information on highly migratory pelagic shark species, including porbeagle, blue shark and shortfin mako. It is intended that these collaborations continue to usefully assess and update knowledge of pelagic shark species. ICCAT shark specialist subgroup also recommends maintaining links and sharing data with WGEF.

In 2012, a representative of WGEF attended the ICCAT Ecological Risk Assessment and shortfin mako stock assessment in Faro, Portugal. Data from this meeting were used in the WGEF account of shortfin mako (Section 9). In 2015, representatives of WGEF participated at the ICCAT blue shark stock assessment that was held in Lisbon, Portugal.

In 2016, representatives of ICCAT and WGEF attended the ICES Workshop to compile and refine catch and landings of elasmobranchs (WKSHARKS; ICES, 2016).

The ICCAT Shark Species Group held an intercessional meeting at Madeira in April 2016 (ICCAT, 2016). The ICCAT Shark Species Group intends to update stock assessments of Atlantic stocks of shortfin mako in 2017. ICCAT (2016) also suggested that updated porbeagle assessments should be undertaken in 2019.

WGEF 2022 hosted a joint ICCAT-ICES meeting on porbeagle. The meeting focused on the Northeast Atlantic stock, and discussed the benchmark and new advice outcome, as well as the process and timeline of advice provision within ICES and similarly within ICCAT. The timelines to provide final advice, and management programmes of both organisations differ. In addition, ICCAT scientists question the approach of applying a generic Harvest Control Rule (HCR) to assess elasmobranch stocks as the rule has not been tested on long-lived species. As a result this has led to inconsistent perceptions of the stock status and any associated catch advice. Consistency between the advice from each organisation is important and future alignment of process and outcomes. Further information on the joint meeting on porbeagle is found in section 6 of this report.

WGEF considers that further collaborative meetings with the ICCAT Shark Species Group should continue. There are ongoing studies analysing the genetics, tagging of several shark species and modelling approaches. Both organisations should invest time and effort to collaborate in sharing these data and knowledge in order to improve our understanding of stock structure and status of several shark species. Such collaboration may be facilitated by an MoU between ICES and ICCAT.

1.9.2 General Fisheries Commission for the Mediterranean (GFCM)

WGEF consider that ICES and the GFCM would benefit from improved interaction due to the overlap in the distribution of certain stocks, and also in comparing stock assessment methods for data-limited stocks. Further information on collaboration between ICES and GFCM can be found in an earlier report (ICES, 2021).

1.10 Relevant biodiversity and conservation issues

ICES work on elasmobranch fish is becoming increasingly important as a source of information to various multilateral environmental agreements concerning the conservation status of some species. Table 1.4 lists species occurring in the ICES area that are considered within these fora. An increasing number of elasmobranchs are 'prohibited' species in European fisheries regulations (CEC, 2019 and 2021), and these are summarised in Table 1.5.

Additionally, whilst not forming the basis of a legal instrument, the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) conduct Red List assessments of many species, including elasmobranchs, which has been undertaken at North-East Atlantic (Gibson *et al.*, 2008), Mediterranean (Cavanagh and Gibson, 2007; Abdul Malak *et al.*, 2011) and European scales (Nieto *et al.*, 2015). IUCN listings are summarised in the relevant species sections and are not discussed further in this section of the report.

1.10.1 OSPAR Convention

The OSPAR Convention (www.ospar.org) guides international cooperation on the protection of the marine environment of the Northeast Atlantic. It has 15 Contracting Parties and the European Commission represents the European Union. The OSPAR list of Threatened and/or Declining Species and Habitats, developed under the OSPAR Strategy on the Protection and Conservation of the Ecosystems and Biological Diversity of the Maritime Area, provides guidance on future conservation priorities and research needs for marine biodiversity at risk in the region. To date, eleven elasmobranch species are listed (Table 1.4), either across the entire OSPAR region or in areas where they were perceived as declining. Background Documents summarizing the status of these species are available (OSPAR Commission, 2010).

In 2020, ICES was requested to review and update OSPAR status assessments for stocks of listed shark, skates and rays in support of the OSPAR Quality Status Report 2023 (QSR2023) (WKSTATUS, ICES, 2020b).

1.10.2 Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species (CMS)

CMS recognizes the need for countries to cooperate in the conservation of animals that migrate across national boundaries, if an effective response to threats operating throughout a species' range is to be made. The Convention actively promotes concerted action by the range states of species listed on its Appendices. The CMS Scientific Council has determined that 35 shark and ray species, globally, meet the criteria for listing in the CMS Appendices (Convention on Migratory Species, 2007). Table 1.4 lists Northeast Atlantic elasmobranch species that are currently included in the Appendices.

CMS Parties should strive towards strict protection of endangered species on Appendix I, conserving or restoring their habitat, mitigating obstacles to migration and controlling other factors that might endanger them. The range states of Appendix II species (migratory species with an unfavourable conservation status that need or would significantly benefit from international co-operation) are encouraged to conclude global or regional agreements for their conservation and management.

CMS now has a Sharks MOU, comprising an Advisory Committee (AC) and Intercessional Working Group (IWG).

1.10.3 Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES)

CITES was established in recognition that international cooperation is essential to the protection of certain species from overexploitation through international trade. It creates an international legal framework for the prevention of trade in endangered species of wild fauna and flora, and for the effective regulation of international trade in other species which may become threatened in the absence of such regulation.

Species threatened with extinction can be listed on Appendix I, which basically bans commercial, international trade in their products. Appendix II includes *“species not necessarily threatened with extinction, but in which trade must be controlled in order to avoid utilization incompatible with their survival”*. Trade in such species is monitored closely and allowed if exporting countries can provide evidence that such trade is not detrimental to wild populations of the species.

Resolution Conf. 12.6 encourages parties to identify endangered shark species that require consideration for inclusion in the Appendices if their management and conservation status does not improve. Decision 13.42 encourages parties to improve data collection and reporting of catches, landings and trade in sharks (at species level where possible), to build capacity to manage their shark fisheries, and to take action on several species-specific recommendations from the Animals Committee (CITES, 2009).

1.10.4 Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Bern convention)

The Bern Convention is a regional convention that provides a binding, international legal instrument that aims to conserve wild flora, fauna and natural habitats. Appendix II (or III) lists strictly protected (or protected) species of fauna (sometimes identified for the Mediterranean Sea only). Contracting Parties should *“take appropriate and necessary legislative and administrative measures to ensure the special protection of the wild fauna species specified in Appendix II”* and *“protection of the wild fauna species specified in Appendix II”*.

Table 1.4. Elasmobranch species listed by Multilateral Environmental Agreements. Source; OSPAR (<http://www.ospar.org/>), CITES (<https://cites.org/>), CMS (<http://www.cms.int/>) and Bern Convention (http://www.coe.int/t/dg4/cultureheritage/nature/bern/default_en.asp).

Family	Species	Multinational Environmental Agreement			
		OSPAR	CMS	CITES	Bern
Squalidae	Spurdog <i>Squalus acanthias</i>	✓	App II (northern hemisphere pop- ulations)		
Centrophoridae	Gulper shark <i>Centrophorus granulosus</i>	✓			
	Leafscale gulper shark <i>Centrophorus squamosus</i>	✓			
Somniosidae	Portuguese dogfish <i>Centroscymnus coelolepis</i>	✓			
Squatinae	Angel shark <i>Squatina squatina</i>	✓			App III (Med)
Rhincodontidae	Whale shark <i>Rhincodon typus</i>		App II	App II	
Alopiidae	Pelagic thresher <i>Alopias pelagicus</i>		App II	App II	
	Bigeye Thresher <i>Alopias superciliosus</i>		App II	App II	
	Common Thresher <i>Alopias vulpinus</i>		App II	App II	
Cetorhinidae	Basking shark <i>Cetorhinus maximus</i>	✓	App I and II	App II	App II (Med)
Lamnidae	White shark <i>Carcharodon carcharias</i>		App I and II	App II	App II (Med)
	Shortfin mako shark <i>Isurus oxyrinchus</i>		App II		App III (Med)
	Longfin mako shark <i>Isurus paucus</i>		App II		
	Porbeagle shark <i>Lamna nasus</i>	✓	App II	App II	App III (Med)
Carcharhinidae	Silky shark <i>Carcharhinus falciformis</i>		App II	App II	
	Oceanic white-tip <i>Carcharhinus longimanus</i>			App II	
	Blue shark <i>Prionace glauca</i>				App III (Med)
Sphyrnidae	Scalloped hammerhead <i>Sphyrna lewini</i>		App II	App II	
	Great hammerhead <i>Sphyrna mokarran</i>		App II	App II	
	Smooth hammerhead <i>Sphyrna zygaena</i>			App II	

Table 1.4. (continued). Elasmobranch species listed by Multilateral Environmental Agreements.

Family	Species	Multinational Environmental Agreement			
		OSPAR	CMS	CITES	Bern
Pristidae	Sawfish <i>Pristidae</i>		App I and II	App I	
Rajidae	Common skate (<i>Dipturus batis</i>) complex	✓			
	Thornback ray <i>Raja clavata</i>	✓ North Sea			
	Spotted ray <i>Raja montagui</i>	✓ North Sea			
	White skate <i>Rostroraja alba</i>	✓			App III (Med)
Mobulidae	Reef manta ray <i>Manta alfredi</i>		App I and II		
	Giant manta ray <i>Manta birostris</i>		App I and II		
	Manta rays <i>Manta</i> spp.			App II	
	Longhorned mobula <i>Mobula eregoodootenkee</i>		App I and II	App II	
	Lesser devil ray <i>Mobula hypostoma</i>		App I and II	App II	
	Spinetail mobula <i>Mobula japanica</i>		App I and II	App II	
	Shortfin devil ray <i>Mobula kuhlii</i>		App I and II	App II	
	Giant devil ray <i>Mobula mobular</i>		App I and II	App II	App II (Med)
	Munk's (or pygmy) devil ray <i>Mobula munkiana</i>		App I and II	Ap II	
	Lesser Guinean devil ray <i>Mobula rochebrunei</i>		App I and II	App II	
	Chilean (or sicklefin) devil ray <i>Mobula tarapacana</i>		App I and II	App II	
	Smoothtail mobula <i>Mobula thurstoni</i>		App I and II	App II	

Table 1.5. Elasmobranch taxa listed as Prohibited Species on EU fisheries regulations. It is prohibited for EU vessels “... to fish for, to retain on board, to tranship or to land ...” these species in certain areas within EU waters (Article 13) or, for certain species listed in Article 22, within the ICCAT Convention area. Adapted from CEC (2019; 2021).

Family	Species	Area
Centrophoridae	Leafscale gulper shark <i>Centrophorus squamosus</i>	EU waters of Division 2.a and subarea 4; EU and international waters of subareas 1 and 14
	Birdbeak dogfish <i>Deania calcea</i>	EU waters of Division 2.a and subarea 4; EU and international waters of subareas 1 and 14
Etmopteridae	Smooth lantern shark <i>Etmopterus pusillus</i>	EU waters of Division 2.a and subarea 4; EU and international waters of subareas 1, 5–8, 12 and 14
	Great lantern shark <i>Etmopterus princeps</i>	EU waters of Division 2.a and subarea 4; EU and international waters of subareas 1 and 14
Somniosidae	Portuguese dogfish <i>Centroscymnus coelolepis</i>	EU waters of Division 2.a and subarea 4; EU and international waters of subareas 1 and 14
Dalatiidae	Kitefin shark <i>Dalatias licha</i>	EU waters of Division 2.a and subarea 4; EU and international waters of subareas 1 and 14
Squatinidae	Angel shark <i>Squatina squatina</i>	EU waters
Alopiidae	Bigeye thresher shark <i>Alopias superciliosus</i>	ICCAT convention area
Cetorhinidae	Basking shark <i>Cetorhinus maximus</i>	All waters
Lamnidae	White shark <i>Carcharodon carcharias</i>	All waters
	Porbeagle shark <i>Lamna nasus</i>	All waters
Triakidae	Tope <i>Galeorhinus galeus</i>	When taken by longline in EU waters of Division 2.a and subarea 4, and EU and international waters of subareas 1, 5–8, 12 and 14.
Carcharhinidae	Silky shark <i>Carcharhinus falciformis</i>	ICCAT convention area
	Oceanic whitetip shark <i>Carcharhinus longimanus</i>	ICCAT convention area
	Hammerheads (Sphyrnidae), except for <i>Sphyrna tiburo</i>)	ICCAT convention area
Pristidae	Narrow sawfish <i>Anoxypristis cuspidata</i>	All waters
	Dwarf sawfish <i>Pristis clavata</i>	All waters
	Smalltooth sawfish <i>Pristis pectinata</i>	All waters
	Large-tooth sawfish <i>Pristis pristis</i>	All waters
	Green sawfish <i>Pristis zijsron</i>	All waters
Rhinobatidae	All members of family	EU waters of subareas 1–12

Table 1.5. (continued). Elasmobranch taxa listed as Prohibited Species on EU fisheries regulations.

Family	Species	Area
Rajidae	Starry ray <i>Amblyraja radiata</i>	EU waters of Divisions 2.a, 3.a, 7.d and subarea 4
	Common skate (<i>Dipturus batis</i>) complex (<i>Dipturus cf. flossada</i> and <i>Dipturus cf. intermedia</i>)	EU waters of Division 2.a and sub-areas 3–4, 6–10.
	Norwegian skate <i>Dipturus nidarosiensis</i>	EU waters of subarea 6 and Divisions 7.a–c and 7e–h and 7.k
	Thornback ray <i>Raja clavata</i>	EU waters of Division 3.a
	Undulate ray <i>Raja undulata</i>	EU waters of subareas 6 and 10
	White skate <i>Rostroraja alba</i>	EU waters of subareas 6–10
Mobulidae	Reef manta ray <i>Manta alfredi</i>	All waters
	Giant manta ray <i>Manta birostris</i>	All waters
	Longhorned mobula <i>Mobula eregoodootenkee</i>	All waters
	Lesser (or Atlantic) devil ray <i>Mobula hypostoma</i>	All waters
	Spinetail mobula <i>Mobula japanica</i>	All waters
	Shortfin devil ray <i>Mobula kuhlii</i>	All waters
	Giant devil ray <i>Mobula mobular</i>	All waters
	Munk's (or pygmy) devil ray <i>Mobula munkiana</i>	All waters
	Lesser Guinean devil ray <i>Mobula rochebrunei</i>	All waters
	Chilean (or sicklefin) devil ray <i>Mobula tarapacana</i>	All waters
	Smoothtail mobula <i>Mobula thurstoni</i>	All waters

1.11 Data availability

1.11.1 General considerations

WGEF members agree that future meetings of WGEF should continue to meet in June, as opposed to meeting earlier in the year, as (a) more refined landings data are available; (b) meeting outside the main spring assessment period should provide national laboratories with more time to prepare for WGEF, (c) it will minimize potential clashes with other assessment groups (which could result in WGEF losing the expertise of stock assessment scientists) and (d) given that there are not major year-to-year changes in elasmobranch populations (cf. many teleost stocks), the advice provided would be valid for the following year.

The group agreed that survey data should be provided as disaggregated raw data, and not as compiled indices or data. The group agreed that those survey abundance estimates that are not currently in the DATRAS database are also provided as raw data by individual countries. It is recommended to have the data and code to calculate the survey indices to be made available on TAF.

WGEF recommends that Member States provide detailed explanations of how national data for species and length compositions are raised to total catch, especially when there may be various product weights reported (e.g. gutted or dressed carcasses and livers and/or fins).

1.11.2 ICES Data Call

Landings data for years 2005 and later come from Data Calls (see above). WGEF uses some landings data extracted from ICES catch statistics, for time-series going back in time further than 2005. These data were mostly collated before 2005 although this task was hampered by the use by many countries of “nei” (not elsewhere identified) categories. Although strongly improving over time, for all years, the Working Group’s best estimates are still considered inaccurate for a number of reasons:

- i. Quota species may be reported as elasmobranchs to avoid exceeding quota, which would lead to over-reporting;
- ii. Fishers may not take care when completing landings data records, for a variety of reasons;
- iii. Administrations may not consider that it is important to collect accurate data for these species;
- iv. Some species could be underreported to avoid highlighting that bycatch is a significant problem in some fisheries;
- v. Some small inshore vessels may target (or have a bycatch of) certain species and the landings of such inshore vessels may not always be included in official statistics.

A Workshop to compile and refine catch and landings of elasmobranchs (WKSHARK2) was held in January 2016 (ICES, 2016), and following this, the 2016 Data Call requested a standardised approach to data submission, including for a longer period. Since 2016 data were submitted to the accessions folder using a common InterCatch format. This still resulted in considerable issues with data collation, formatting and QA that had to be addressed in the early stages of the meetings.

During the 2019 meeting, continuing issues with how the Data Call is interpreted, the non-uniformity of the dataset and as well as the many issues with species coding and stock allocations were discussed at length. A dedicated group met with the ICES Data Centre prior to the 2020 Data Call to explore options to facilitate the process of rendering a by the group accepted

landings table before the start of WGEF. The group developed a more automated process using InterCatch and an R-coding procedure available in the Transparent Assessment Framework (TAF). The procedure to obtain the landings data is described in the 2020 WGEF report (ICES, 2020c). The issue list, stock allocation file and R-code is available on github: https://github.com/ices-taf/WGEF_catches.

Since 2020, the data call requested nations to upload landings and discard data into InterCatch. The use of InterCatch facilitates data processing, improve transparency and allow members to conduct initial assessments prior to the meeting, removing a serious time-constraint.

1.11.3 Discards data

The EU requires Member States to collect discard data on elasmobranchs. This discarding may include both regulatory discarding, when quota is limited, as well as the discarding of smaller and less marketable individuals. Whilst WGEF want to make progress from 'landings' to 'catch'-based advice, data from discard observer programmes has, to date, mostly been used in exploratory and descriptive analyses and, in a few cases only, for advice purpose.

EU countries have implemented national on-board observer programs to estimate discards of abundant commercially important species (e.g. hake, *Nephrops*, cod, sole, and plaice). The adopted sampling designs have been defined considering the métiers, seasons and areas relevant for those species. As a consequence, national sampling programmes might not be optimal for estimating precise and unbiased discards for elasmobranchs.

In 2017 and 2019, workshops were held to address the issues surrounding the use of discards in the elasmobranch assessments (ICES, 2017; 2020a). WKSHARK3 reviewed i) the suitability of national sampling programs to estimate elasmobranch discards (including rare species), ii) the discard information available and iii) the procedures/methods to calculate population level estimates of discards removals for different countries (ICES, 2017). WKSHARK5 investigated i) the raising method for elasmobranch fishes, ii) the data quality and onboard coverage, and iii) proposed method on how to include the data in the advisory process (ICES, 2020a).

In 2021, discard data over the period 2009 to 2020 were collected and merged into a single spreadsheet in Excel. This year, the 2021 discard data were added, making discard data from 2009 to 2021 available and easily accessible. It was noted that for many stocks the discard data were incomplete for many of the years. In addition, raising to national catch levels is uncertain and procedures are not standardized. Particularly problematic are the cases of species which are not landed, i.e. being either not commercial or being subject to conservation measures (e.g. zero TAC). For some stocks (rju.27.7de, rju.27.8ab, rjn.27.8c, rjn.27.9a and syc.27.8abd) discard data are deemed reliable and have been included in the advice.

Overall, the main issues concerning the estimation of elasmobranch total discards are presented in the 2021 WGEF report (ICES, 2021). These issues are still valid for current discard data used in the 2022 assessments.

1.11.4 Discard survival

Owing to the apparent high survival of elasmobranchs after capture it is important to obtain separate estimates for dead and surviving discards. As a proportion of the discards would be alive, catch data (landings and estimated discards) do not equate with "dead removals" in terms of population dynamics. Understanding the survival rates of discarded individuals is therefore fundamental for informing potential exemptions from the EU landings obligation.

To date there have been only limited scientific studies on the discard survival of skates in European fisheries, and data on the immediate, short-term survival and longer-term discard survival of these species are lacking for most fisheries. A summary of those studies was compiled in WKSHARK3 (ICES, 2017). To inform discussions on the future EU landing obligation and to improve the quantification of dead discards, WGEF recommend the need to implement scientific studies to better assess and quantify the discard survival of the main commercial skates caught by the trawl fleets, especially otter trawlers operating in the Bay of Biscay and Iberian waters, beam trawl and flyshoot fleets operating in northern Europe and for gill- and trammel net fisheries used by the inshore polyvalent fleet.

1.11.5 Length data

In 2022, there was a recommendation to change the way ICES provides advice for data limited stocks (DLS) using WKLIFE X methods (ICES, 2020d). A data call was put out, requesting supporting information on life history parameters and length compositions for landings and discards as far back in time as possible. The data have been submitted to InterCatch. Before WGEF convenes, the data coordinator request ICES to extract all length data submitted as requested by the WKLIFE X Data Call. In addition, missing data were looked up in the WGEF accessions folders. All data were collated to produce a large overview table containing length data on landings and discards by country, year, species, fishing area, and fleet. Data were checked and assigned to an ICES stock code using an automated process derived from the way the WGEF landings table is constructed. The R-script and table (Excel and csv) are available on the WGEF SharePoint.

1.11.6 Stock structure

This report presents the status and advice of various demersal, pelagic and deep-water elasmobranchs by individual stock component. The identification of stock structure has been based upon the best available knowledge to date (see the stock-specific sections for more details). However, it has to be emphasized that overall, the scientific basis underlying the identity of many of these stocks is currently weak. In most cases, stock identification is based on the distribution and relative abundance of the species, current knowledge of movements and migrations, reproductive mode, and consistency with management units.

WGEF considers that the stock definitions proposed in the report are limited for many species, and in some circumstances advice may refer to 'management units'.

WGEF recommends that increased research effort be devoted to clarifying the stock structure of the different demersal and deep-water elasmobranchs being investigated by ICES.

1.11.7 Taxonomic problems

Incorrect species identifications or coding errors affect many relevant data sets, including commercial data and even some scientific survey data. WGEF consistently attempt to correct and report these errors when they are found. The FAO produced an updated guide to the chondrichthyan fish of the North Atlantic (Ebert and Stehmann, 2013).

1.12 Methods and software

Many elasmobranchs are data-limited, and the paucity of data can extend to:

- Landings data, which are often incomplete or aggregated;

- Life-history data, as most species are poorly known with respect to age, growth and reproduction;
- Commercial and scientific datasets that are compromised by inaccurate species identification (with some morphologically similar species having very different life-history parameters);
- Lack of fishery-independent surveys for some species (e.g. pelagic species) and the low and variable catch rates of demersal species in existing bottom-trawl surveys.

Hence, the work undertaken by WGEF often precludes the formal stock assessment process that is used for many commercial teleost stocks. The analysis of survey, biological and catch data are used in most cases to evaluate the status of elasmobranch species/stocks. This limitation may be eased by new data-poor assessment approaches, which have the potential to allow some ray stocks to be moved from assessment category 3 to category 2. In 2022, four stocks went through a benchmarked and now use a Surplus Production model such as SPiCT and a State-Space Bayesian Model to provide advice. In addition, this year ACOM recommended the use of the WKLife X Empirical approaches to assess category 3 stocks within the MSY framework (ICES, 2020d).

WGEF considers that there is scope in the future to move some of the category 3 skate and ray stocks into category 2 or 1. In 2023, three additional stocks (rjc.27.3a47d, rjm.27.3a47d and rjh.27.4c7) will go through a benchmark and several more stocks will take part in WKMSYSPiCT.

1.13 InterCatch

In 2022, InterCatch was used to submit landings and discard data. InterCatch is solely used as a database to store official landings and discard data. Landings figures are supplied by individual members, after data formatting undertaken by WGEF (e.g. allocation to stock, quality assurance, reallocation of misidentified species). These corrected data are considered to be more accurate than official statistics as regional laboratories can better provide information on local fisheries and interpretation of nominal records of various species (including errors in species coding).

In 2022, landings and discard data, including length data, were requested in the InterCatch SI format and were requested to be submitted to InterCatch. However, not all nations have followed up on the data call and submitted the data to data.call@ices.dk. As such, part of the landings data were retrieved from the Accessions folder.

1.14 References

- Abdul Malak, D., Livingstone, S.R., Pollard, D., Polidoro, B.A., Cuttelod, A., Bariche, M., Bilecenoglu, M., Carpenter, K.E., Collette, B.B., Francour, P., Goren, M., Hichem Kara, M., Massutí, E., Papaconstantinou, C. and Tunesi, L. 2011. *Overview of the Conservation Status of the Marine Fishes of the Mediterranean Sea*. Gland, Switzerland and Malaga, Spain: IUCN. vii + 61pp.
- Cavanagh, R.D. and Gibson, C. 2007. *Overview of the Conservation Status of Cartilaginous Fishes (Chondrichthyans) in the Mediterranean Sea*. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Malaga, Spain. vi + 42 pp.
- CEC. 2019 Council Regulation (EU) 2019/1241 of 20 June 2019 on the conservation of fisheries resources and the protection of marine ecosystems through technical measures, amending Council Regulations (EC) No 1967/2006, (EC) No 1224/2009 and Regulations (EU) No 1380/2013, (EU) 2016/1139, (EU) 2018/973, (EU) 2019/472 and (EU) 2019/1022 of the European Parliament and of the Council, and repealing Council Regulations (EC) No 894/97, (EC) No 850/98, (EC) No 2549/2000, (EC) No 254/2002, (EC) No 812/2004 and (EC) No 2187/2005. Official Journal of the European Union L198/105; 97 pp.

- CEC. 2021 Council Regulation (EU) 2021/92 of 28 January 2021 fixing for 2021 fishing opportunities for certain fish stocks and groups of fish stocks, applicable in Union waters and, for Union fishing vessels, in certain non-Union waters. Official Journal of the European Union L 31; 162 pp.
- CITES. 2009. Conservation and management of sharks and stingrays. AC24 WG5 Doc. 1. <http://www.cites.org/common/com/AC/24/wg/E-AC24-WG05.pdf>.
- Convention on Migratory Species. 2007. Report of the Fourteenth Meeting of the Scientific Council of the Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals. http://www.cms.int/bodies/ScC/Reports/Eng/ScC_report_14.pdf.
- Ebert, D.A. and Stehmann, M.F.W. 2013. Sharks, batoids, and chimaeras of the North Atlantic. FAO Species Catalogue for Fishery Purposes. No. 7. Rome, FAO. 523 pp.
- EU. 2009. Communication from the Commission to the European parliament and the council on a European Community action plan for the conservation and management of sharks. COM (2009) 40.
- Gibson, C., Valenti, S.V., Fordham, S.V. and Fowler, S.L. 2008. *The Conservation of Northeast Atlantic Chondrichthyans: Report of the IUCN Shark Specialist Group Northeast Atlantic Red List Workshop*. viii + 76 pp.
- Heessen, H.J.L. (Ed.) 2003. Development of elasmobranch assessments DELASS. Final report of DG Fish Study Contract 99/055, 605 pp.
- ICCAT. 2016. Report of the 2016 intersessional meeting of the shark species group. Madeira, Portugal, April 25-29, 2016, 27 pp.
- ICES. 1989. Report of the Study Group on Elasmobranch Fisheries, 26–28 April 1989, Dublin, Ireland. ICES Document CM 1989/G: 54, 37 pp.
- ICES. 1999. Report of the Study Group on Elasmobranch Fishes, 23–27 March 1999, Santander, Spain. ICES Document CM 1999/G: 11, 18 pp.
- ICES. 2002. Report of the Study Group on Elasmobranch Fishes, 6–10 May 2002, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES Document CM 2002/G: 08, 119 pp.
- ICES. 2009. Report of the Joint Meeting between ICES Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF) and ICCAT Shark Subgroup, 22–29 June 2009, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES CM 2009/ACOM:16. 424 pp.
- ICES. 2010. Report of the Benchmark Workshop on Deep-water Species (WKDEEP). 17–24 February, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES CM 2010/ACOM:38, 247 pp.
- ICES. 2016. Report of the Workshop to compile and refine catch and landings of elasmobranchs (WKSHARK2), 19–22 January 2016, Lisbon, Portugal. ICES CM 2016/ACOM:40, 69 pp.
- ICES. 2017. Report of the Workshop to compile and refine catch and landings of elasmobranchs (WKSHARK3), 20-24 February 2017, Nantes, France . ICES CM 2017/ACOM:38. 119 pp.
- ICES, 2018. French request for updated advice on Undulate ray (*Raja undulata*) in divisions 7.d–e and 8.a–b. 13 July 2018. In Report of the ICES Advisory Committee, 2018. ICES Advice 2018, sr.2018.13.
- ICES. 2020a. Workshop on incorporating discards into the assessments and advice of elasmobranch stocks (WKSHARK5, outputs from 2019 meeting). ICES Scientific Reports. 2:87. 94 pp. <http://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.7494>
- ICES. 2020b. Workshop to review and update OSPAR status assessments for stocks of listed shark, skates and rays in support of OSPAR (WKSTATUS). ICES Scientific Reports. 2:71. 152 pp. <http://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.7468>
- ICES. 2020c. Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF). ICES Scientific Reports. 2:77. 789 pp. <http://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.7470>
- ICES 2020d. Tenth Workshop on the Development of Quantitative Assessment Methodologies based on LIFE-history traits, exploitation characteristics, and other relevant parameters for data-limited stocks (WKLIFE X). ICES Scientific Reports. 2:98. 72 pp. <http://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.5985>

ICES, 2021. Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF). ICES Scientific Reports. 3:59. 822 pp.
<https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.8199>

ICES, 2022 Benchmark Workshop for selected elasmobranch stocks (WKELASMO). ICES Scientific Reports.

Nieto, A., Ralph, G.M., Comeros-Raynal, M.T., Kemp, J., García Criado, M., Allen, D.J., Dulvy, N.K., Walls, R.H.L., Russell, B., Pollard, D., García, S., Craig, M., Collette, B.B., Pollom, R., Bischoito, M., Labbish Chao, N., Abella, A., Afonso, P., Álvarez, H., Carpenter, K.E., Clò, S., Cook, R., Costa, M.J., Delgado, J., Dureuil, M., Ellis, J.R., Farrell, E.D., Fernandes, P., Florin, A-B., Fordham, S., Fowler, S., Gil de Sola, L., Gil Herrera, J., Goodpaster, A., Harvey, M., Heessen, H., Herler, J., Jung, A., Karmovskaya, E., Keskin, C., Knudsen, S.W., Kobylansky, S., Kovačić, M., Lawson, J.M., Lorange, P., McCully Phillips, S., Munroe, T., Nedreaas, K., Nielsen, J., Papaconstantinou, C., Polidoro, B., Pollock, C.M., Rijnsdorp, A.D., Sayer, C., Scott, J., Serena, F., Smith-Vaniz, W.F., Soldo, A., Stump, E. and Williams, J.T. 2015. *European Red List of marine fishes*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, iv + 81 pp.

OSPAR Commission. 2010. *Report of the OSPAR workshop on defining actions and measures for the OSPAR list of threatened and/or declining species and habitats*. OSPAR Commission, 131 pp.

STECF (Scientific, Technical and Economic Committee for Fisheries) 2017. Long-term management of skates and rays (STECF-17-16). Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg. 85 pp.