

20 Skates and Rays in the Azores and Mid-Atlantic Ridge

20.1 Ecoregion and stock boundaries

The Mid-Atlantic Ridge (MAR; ICES subareas 10.a, b, 12.a1, c, and 14. b1) is an extensive and diverse area, which includes several types of ecosystems, including abyssal plains, seamounts, active underwater volcanoes, chemosynthetic ecosystems and islands coastal areas.

The main species of elasmobranch observed in this ecoregion are deep-water sharks (e.g., *Centrophorus* spp., *Centroscymnus* spp., *Deania* spp., *Etmopterus* spp., *Hexanchus griseus*, *Galeus murinus*, *Somniosus microcephalus*, *Pseudotriakis microdon*, *Scymnodon obscurus*, *Centroscyllium fabricii*; *Dalatias licha*, see sections 3-5 for more information). These species are mostly distributed deeper than 600 m. As a consequence of their low commercial value and EU restrictive management measures, many of these species are discarded (ICES, 2005; WD Pinho and Canha, 2011). Blue shark *Prionace glauca*, thornback ray *Raja clavata* and tope *Galeorhinus galeus* are the most important commercial elasmobranchs species in the Azores area (see sections 8 and 10 for blue shark and tope respectively).

The present section focuses on the skates taken in Azorean waters. Of these, the most abundant in Subarea 10 is thornback ray *Raja clavata*. Other species observed include the 'common skate complex' (species to be confirmed), *Dipturus intermedius*, *Leucoraja fullonica*, *Rajella bathyphila*, *Raja brachyura* and *Rostroraja alba* (WD Pinho, 2005, 2014b). Other species of batoids, such as Bigelow's ray *Rajella bigelowi* are also observed in this ecoregion (Santos *et al.*, 2020a). All these species are generally discarded if caught in the Azorean commercial fisheries (WD Pinho and Canha, 2011). Some of the scarcer skates observed on MAR include *Bathyraja pallida* and *Bathyraja richardsoni* (ICES, 2005).

Stock boundaries are not known for most of the skate species in this area, neither are the potential movements of species that also occur on the continental shelf of mainland Europe. Genetic studies support the existence of a self-contained *R. clavata* population in the Azores, i.e., a stock unit (Chevolot *et al.*, 2006; Ball *et al.*, 2016), indicating that mixing is limited. Further investigations are necessary to determine potential migrations or interactions of skate populations within this ecoregion and neighbouring areas.

20.2 The fishery

20.2.1 History the fishery

Two broad types of fisheries occur in the Azores and MAR areas. Oceanic fisheries (large mid-water and bottom trawlers and longliners) operate in the central region and northern parts of the MAR. Longline and handline fisheries operate inside the Azorean EEZ, where trawling is prohibited. The latter fishery also targets stocks that may extend south of the ICES area, which southern limit is 36°N.

Fisheries from these areas were described in earlier WGEF reports (ICES, 2005). Landings from the Azorean fleets have been reported to ICES. Landings from the MAR are small and variable, or even absent, and few vessels find the MAR fisheries profitable at present.

Skates are caught in the Azores EEZ by a multispecies demersal fishery, using handlines and bottom longlines, and by the black scabbardfish fishery using drifting bottom longlines (Santos *et al.*, 2020a). The most commercially important skate caught and landed from these fisheries is *R. clavata* (Santos *et al.*, 2020a).

20.2.2 The fishery in 2021

There are no target fisheries for skates in the Azores, landings are from bycatch. An expansion of the Azorean bottom longline fishery to the more offshore seamounts has been observed in the last decade as a result of intensive fishing of important commercial demersal and deep-water stocks and also as a result of the introduction of spatial management measures (Santos *et al.*, 2019).

Skate landings, particularly of *R. clavata*, increased in the Azores since 2009 until 2016. The highest landings were reported in 2014 and 2015, the long-term average is 179 t, and the lowest landing values from the full time series was recorded in 2019. Landings increased again in 2020 and 2021 but remained lower than in 2011–2016 (tables 20.1–20.2; Figure 20.1). Prices in 2020 and 2021 were similar to previous years. The price of the thornback ray on local market does not seem to vary with quantity landed, suggesting that the domestic consumption can absorb all landings, at levels observed in recent years, with limited export. Although the fishery for this resource has these characteristics, the species is considered one of the twenty-two priority stocks in Azores (Santos *et al.*, 2020b).

Out of Azorean waters, there are no fisheries targeting skates on the MAR (ICES subareas 10, 12 and 14) with sporadic landings in recent years (Table 20.1 and 20.2).

20.2.3 ICES advice applicable

For the Rajidae stock in subareas 10 and 12, ICES provides biennial advice. *ICES advises that when the precautionary approach is applied, landings should be no more than 90 tonnes in each of the years 2022 and 2023. ICES cannot quantify the corresponding catches.*

20.2.4 Management applicable

There is no EU TAC for skates and rays in the Azores and Mid-Atlantic Ridge. The only EU management measure susceptible to impact fisheries is the list of prohibited species. Amongst prohibited rays and skates only *Dipturus intermedius* may occur in the ecoregion, but is not confirmed, so that the EU management might be considered as having no effect on fisheries.

20.2.4.1 Mid-Atlantic Ridge

NEAFC has adopted management measures for the MAR areas under its regulatory area (https://www.neafc.org/managing_fisheries/measures/current). These include effort limitations, area and gear restrictions.

20.2.4.2 Azores EEZ

In 1998, the Azorean government implemented local management actions in order to reduce effort on shallow areas around the islands, including a licence threshold based on the requirement of the minimum value of sales and the creation of a box of three miles around the islands, with fishing restrictions by gear (only handlines are permitted) and vessel type. During 2009, additional measures were implemented, including area restrictions (temporary closure of the Condor Bank) and gear restrictions by vessel type (licence and gear configuration) (Santos *et al.*, 2020a). These technical measures have been updated thereafter (<http://www.azores.gov.pt/gra/srmct-pescas/menus/principal/Legislação/>).

In 2014, Portugal introduced a new regulation banning the use of bottom trawling and bottom gillnetting on the high seas in the area covered by Portugal's extended continental shelf under the UN Law of the Sea (Portaria n.º 114/2014, 28th May). The new regulation expands the EU regulation adopted in 2005 to ban bottom trawling in the Azores and Madeiran waters and has the key objective of protecting deep-sea ecosystems (such as cold-water corals and seamounts) from the impact of bottom trawling and gillnetting.

Under the EU Common Fisheries Policy, a box of 100 miles was created around the Azorean EEZ where only the Azorean fleets are allowed to line fish for deep-sea species (Regulation EC 1954/2003).

20.3 Catch data

20.3.1 Landings

The landings reported by each country and subarea are given in Tables 20.1–20.2. Historical total landings of skates reported for subareas 10, 12 and 14 are presented in Figure 20.1. Landings data from this ecoregion are also collated by NEAFC, and further studies to ensure that these data are consistent with ICES estimates are required.

20.3.2 Discards

Discards of skates collected as part of the European Commission Data Collection Framework (DCF; EU, 2008) have no new information available.

Nevertheless, information on discards from observers in the Azorean longline fishery was reported to the WGDEEP, from 2004 to 2010, (WD Pinho and Canha, 2011). The results showed that *Raja clavata* and 'common skate complex' were among the frequently caught and discarded elasmobranch species. However, it is important that the discard data collected by DCF in the Azores is available to update this information ten years after the last report.

In the past 20 years, management has induced changes in fleet behaviour, expanding the fishing areas to more offshore seamounts and deeper strata, which may have impacted the levels of skates' bycatch in Azorean fisheries. Fisheries occurring outside the ICES area to the south of the Azores EEZ may exploit the same stocks considered here.

20.3.3 Quality of catch data

Species-specific landings data are not currently available for skates landed in this ecoregion, however, more than 90% of the Azorean landings are estimated to be *R. clavata*.

20.3.4 Discard survival

Information on the discard survival of skates in these fisheries is not currently available.

20.3.5 Species composition

In the Azores, there is no systematic fishery/landing sampling programme for these species because they have low priority on the port sampling programme. Landings of skates and rays from Azorean fisheries are reported under generic categories. Accurate data on the composition of skates landed are not currently available.

20.4 Commercial catch composition

20.4.1 Length composition of landings

Length samples of *R. clavata* have been collected since 1990, however few individuals were sampled until 2004 (Figure 20.2; WD Pinho and Pereira, 2017). There are no data available collected as part of the European Commission Data Collection Framework (DCF; EU, 2008) for 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021.

20.4.2 Length composition of discards

No new information available.

20.4.3 Sex ratio of landings

No data available.

20.4.4 Quality of data

Only limited data are available. Improved data collation and quality checks (including for species identification) are required.

20.5 Commercial catch and effort data

No new information.

Relative indices of abundance for the thornback ray species were recently estimated for the period 1990–2017 (CPUE) and 1985–2017 (LPUE) using a Generalized Linear Modelling and several errors distributions were examined (Santos *et al.*, 2021a). Detailed information about all the standardization protocols is available in Santos *et al.* (2021a).

20.6 Fishery-independent surveys

An overview of the elasmobranch species occurring in Azorean waters and ICES Subarea 10, their fisheries and available information on species distributions by depth were described by Pinho (2005; 2014a, b WD), Pinho and Silva (2017 WD) and Santos *et al.* (2020a).

Since 1995, the Department of Oceanography and Fisheries (DOP) has carried out an annual spring demersal bottom longline survey (ARQDAÇO(P)-L65)63 around the Azores. In the years

1998, 2006, 2009, 2014, 2015 and 2020 no survey was conducted (Pinho *et al.*, 2020). The survey followed a stratified random sampling design in which each sampling area was divided into depth strata with 50 m intervals down to 1200 m depth. Each bottom longline set was deployed perpendicular to the isobaths. Catches per unit of effort were weighted by the corresponding area size to estimate the relative abundance indices (relative population number—RPN; ind. 10^{-3} hooks; Pinho *et al.*, 2020). Due to the COVID-19 disruption, the bottom longline survey was not conducted in 2020. In 2021 the survey only covered 50% of the survey area. Detailed information about the statistical procedures to estimate the abundance indices from the survey areas coverage in 2021 are provided in Medeiros-Leal *et al.* (2022 WD). The annual values were computed using sampling statistical areas I–II because the areas III and IV was not sampled in 2021, however the abundance trend derived from Areas I–II are similar to the trends from Areas I–IV (Figure 20.2 and 20.3). The mean length estimated from Areas I–II and I–IV is presented in Figure 20.4.

Raja clavata is the only skates' species commonly caught in this survey (Pinho *et al.*, 2020). Only *Dipturus intermedius* and *Leucoraja fullonica* were caught in more than three longline set during 1996–2018 and their abundance was 20 to 100 times less than that of *R. clavata*. The survey provides an abundance index (Figure 20.3), mean length (Figure 20.4) and length–frequency distribution (Figure 20.5) for thornback ray.

The absence of records of the smallest individuals in this survey can be attributed to the gear selectivity (Figure 20.5). Catches of other skates are insufficient to be informative of stocks trends.

Information on elasmobranchs recorded on the MAR is available from the literature (Hareide and Garnes, 2001) and was summarized in ICES (2005).

20.7 Life-history information

Recently the main life-history parameters of *R. clavata* in the Azores has been estimated by Santos *et al.* (2021b) and showed in table 20.4. However, the biological knowledge about this species in this ecoregion is poor and the available information presents some uncertainties.

20.8 Exploratory assessment methods

SPiCT was tried using all available information from ARQDAÇO survey (abundance indices in number and weight) from 1996 to 2019, landings for the period 1985–2021, fishery standardized CPUE (1990–2017) and LPUE for the period 1985–2017 (Figures 20.6 and 20.8). Several runs were explored with the different indices analysing different periods of years to check uncertainties. Two scenarios to explore the results derived by the SPiCT production model were performed: 1) using the r priors based on the value available on FishBase ($r = 0.18$) and; 2) using the r priors and the $n/2$ fixed. Both scenarios presented convergence of the model and fulfilled the assumptions of the SPiCT model. The variability of the confidence intervals was small and reinforce the robustness of the data. However, the retrospective analysis was different between the two scenarios and the scenario 1 presented more realistic results.

The basic plots of the results of the scenarios 1 and 2 using landings (1985–2017), ARQDAÇO survey (1996–2019), standardized CPUE (1990–2017) and LPUE (1985–2017) are presented in Figures 20.6–20.9. The model results for these runs suggest that the stock is overfished (scenario 1). On the other hand, when the scenario 2 was explored, the results suggest that the stock was overexploited part of the time-series, but has been recovering in the last years and now is exploited below MSY levels (healthy stock status). However, there is contrast in the two scenarios and uncertainties associated with the data need to be investigated.

20.9 Quality of assessments

Analyses of survey trends may be informative for *R. clavata* but do not allow the status of other skates to be evaluated.

20.10 Reference points

No reference points have been proposed for any of these species.

20.11 Conservation consideration

No new information.

20.12 Management considerations

The ecoregion is considered to be a sensitive area. The exploratory analysis demonstrated a sustainable exploitation for these species, but the fishing gear selectivity should be adjusted (increase the size of the hooks).

20.13 References

- Ball, R.E., Serra-Pereira, B., Ellis, J., Genner, M.J., Iglésias, S., Johnson, A.F., Jones, C.S., Leslie, R., Lewis, J., Mariani, S. and Menezes, G. 2016. Resolving taxonomic uncertainty in vulnerable elasmobranchs: are the Madeira skate (*Raja maderensis*) and the thornback ray (*Raja clavata*) distinct species? *Conservation Genetics* 2016: 1-12.
- Chevolot, M., Hoarau, G., Rijnsdorp, A. D., Stam, W. T., and Olsen, J. L. 2006. Phylogeography and population structure of thornback rays (*Raja clavata* L., Rajidae). *Molecular Ecology*, 15: 3693–3705.
- Hareide, N. R. and Garnes, G. 2001. The distribution and catch rates of deep water fish along the Mid-Atlantic Ridge from 43 to 61 N. *Fisheries Research*, 519: 297–310.
- ICES. 2005. Report of the Study Group on Elasmobranch Fishes. ICES CM 2006/ACFM:03; 224 pp.
- ICES. 2006. Report of the Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF). 14–21 June 2006, ICES Headquarters. ICES CM 2006/ACFM:31; 291 pp.
- ICES. 2020. Working Group on the Biology and Assessment of Deep-sea Fisheries Resources (WGDEEP). ICES Scientific Reports. 2:38. 928pp. <http://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.6015>
- Medeiros-Leal, W.M; Santos, R.V.S; Pinho, M.R. 2022. Updating Survey data from the Azores for deep-water species. Working Document 09 (WD09). ICES Working Group on Biology and Assessment of Deep-sea Fisheries Resources (WGDEEP), 28 April to 04 May 2022.
- Pinho, M. R. 2005. Elasmobranchs of the Azores. Working Document to the ICES Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes, 2005.
- Pinho, M. R. 2014a. Elasmobranchs from the Azorean fisheries (ICES Area X). Working Document to the ICES Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes.
- Pinho, M. R. 2014b. Resuming elasmobranchs survey data from the Azores (ICES X). Working Document to the ICES Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes.
- Pinho, M. R. and Canha, A. 2011. Elasmobranch discards from the Azores longline fishery (ICES Subdivision Xa2). Working Document to the ICES WGDEEP.
- Pinho, M. R. and Pereira, J. G. 2017. Elasmobranchs fisheries of the Azores (ICES area 27.10). Working Document to ICES Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF), Lisbon, 2017; WD10-17, 12pp.

- Pinho, M. R. and Silva, H.M. 2017. Resuming elasmobranchs survey data from the Azores (ICES 27.10a). Working Document to ICES Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF), Lisbon, 2017; WD111-17, 18pp.
- Pinho, M., Medeiros-Leal, W., Sigler, M., Santos, R., Novoa-Pabon, A., Menezes, G., & Silva, H. (2020). Azorean demersal longline survey abundance estimates: Procedures and Variability. *Regional Studies in Marine Science*, 39, 101443. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2020.101443>
- Santos, R. V. S., Silva, W. M., Novoa-Pabon, A. M., Silva, H. M., Pinho, M. R. 2019. Long-term changes in the diversity, abundance and size composition of deep-sea demersal teleosts from the Azores assessed through surveys and commercial landings. *Aquatic Living Resources*, 32, 25. doi: 10.1051/alr/2019022
- Santos, R., Novoa-Pabon, A., Silva, H., Pinho, M. 2020a. Elasmobranch species richness, fisheries, abundance and size composition in the Azores archipelago (NE Atlantic), *Marine Biology Research*, 16:2, 103-116. doi: 10.1080/17451000.2020.1718713
- Santos, Régis, W. Medeiros-Leal and M. Pinho 2020b. Stock assessment prioritization in the Azores: procedures, current challenges and recommendations. *Arquipelago. Life and Marine Sciences* 37: 45 - 64.
- Santos R, Crespo O, Medeiros-Leal W, Novoa-Pabon A, Pinho M. Error Distribution Model to Standardize LPUE, CPUE and Survey-Derived Catch Rates of Target and Non-Target Species. *Modelling*. 2021a; 3(1):1-13. <https://doi.org/10.3390/modelling3010001>
- Santos, R.; Medeiros-Leal, W.; Novoa-Pabon, A.; Crespo, O.; Pinho, M. 2021b. Biological Knowledge of Thornback Ray (*Raja clavata*) from the Azores: Improving Scientific Information for the Effectiveness of Species-Specific Management Measures. *Biology*, 10, 676. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biology10070676>

Table 20.1. Skates and Rays in the Azores and Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Reported landings (t) from ICES subareas 10 and 12 for the period 1988–2004.

Year	Subarea 10				Subarea 12	Subarea 14
	Portugal (Azores)	France	Spain	Total	UK	UK
1988	48			48		
1989	29			29		
1990	35			35		
1991	52			52		
1992	43			43		
1993	32			32		
1994	55	1		56		
1995	62			62		
1996	71			71		
1997	99			99		
1998	117			117		
1999	103			109		
2000	83		24	107		
2001	68	2	29	99	1	+
2002	70			70	1	+
2003	89			89	6	
2004	72			72	1	

Year	Subarea 10			Subarea 12		Subarea 14			Total
	Portugal (Azores)	Spain	France	Spain	France	France	Norway	Germany	
2005	47		0.06	0	0.632			0	48
2006	62		0	0	0.029		6.6	0.2	69
2007	71		0	0	0.0135			0.1	71
2008	72		0.063	0	0.0031		0.7	0	73
2009	60		0.16	1.513	0.757		2.5	0	65
2010	68		0.066	5.106	0.275			0	74
2011	91		0.156	1.764	0.358			0	93
2012	103		0.002	0.671	0.26			0	104
2013	115		0.081	0.485	0			0	116
2014	187		0.03	2.481	0.189			0	190
2015	171		0	0	0.055	0.02	0	0	171
2016	127		0	0	0				127
2017	64		0	0	0			0	64
2018	62		0	0	0	0	0	0	61
2019	42		0	0	0	0	3	0	45
2020	60	0	0	0	0.18	0	1.73	0	62
2021	89	0	0	0	0	0	0.21	0	89

Table 20.3. Skates and Rays in the Azores and Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Assessment summary. Relative abundance index (catch per unit effort weighted by the size of the strata) of thornback ray (*Raja clavata*) from the Azores (ICES Subarea 10.a2) from the Portuguese bottom longline survey (ARQDAÇO(P)-Q1).

Year	Abundance index	Lower	Upper
1995	6	5	5
1996	4	2	3
1997	4	2	2
1998	NA	NA	NA
1999	4	2	2
2000	3	2	3
2001	4	2	2
2002	17	7	8
2003	26	15	11
2004	13	4	5
2005	22	13	9
2006	NA	NA	NA
2007	18	8	9
2008	8	4	4
2009	NA	NA	NA
2010	4	1	1
2011	5	2	3
2012	5	2	2
2013	2	1	1
2014	NA	NA	NA
2015	NA	NA	NA
2016	3	1	1
2017	7	4	5
2018	3	1	2
2019	10	6	4
2020	NA	NA	NA
2021	7	4	3

NA = not available.

Table 20.4. Life-history parameters estimated for *Raja clavata* in the Azores (ICES Area 10.a.2).

Parameters	Value	Definition	Obs
L _{oo} (cm)	92.16	Asymptotic average maximum length	Santos <i>et al.</i> (2021b)
k (year ⁻¹)	0.104	Growth coefficient of the von Bertalanffy growth model	Santos <i>et al.</i> (2021b)
L _{mat} (LT, cm)	77.9	Length at first maturity	Santos <i>et al.</i> (2021b)
M	0.16	Natural mortality	Santos <i>et al.</i> (2021b)
M/k	1.55	Ratio of natural mortality and the von Bertalanffy growth coefficient	Santos <i>et al.</i> (2021b)




Figure 20.1. Skates and Rays in the Azores and Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Historical landings of skates and rays from Azores (ICES Division 10.a2) and MAR (ICES subareas 10, 12 and 14).




Figure 20.2. Annual abundance in number (Relative Population Number) of Thornback ray *Raja clavata* from surveys for the period 1995–2021 (ICES Area 10.a.2).




Figure 20.3. Annual abundance in number (Relative Population Number) by statistical areas of Thornback ray *Raja clavata* from surveys for the period 1995–2021, by sampling statistical areas – Areas I-II and Areas I-IV (ICES Area 10.a.2).




Figure 20.4. Skates and Rays in the Azores and Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Mean length of *Raja clavata* caught in the Azorean demersal spring bottom longline survey for the period 1995–2021, by statistical areas.




Figure 20.5. Skates and Rays in the Azores and Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Length-frequency of *Raja clavata* caught in the Azorean demersal spring bottom longline survey for the period 1995–2021.




Figure 20.6. Basic results of SPICT model for the Thornback ray *Raja clavata* from the Azores using the r prior – Scenario 1 (ICES Area 10.a.2).





Figure 20.7. Residual results from SPIC model applied to the Thornback ray *Raja clavata* from the Azores using the *r* prior – Scenario 1 (ICES Area 10.a.2).






Figure 20.9. Residual results from SPICT model applied to the Thornback ray *Raja clavata* from the Azores using the *r* prior and *n2* fixed – Scenario 2 (ICES Area 10.a.2).