Annex 8: Working papers presented at the WGBIFS-2017 meeting

Note: Authors are fully responsible for quality of the prepared text and all kind of presented data.

List of working papers:

- 1. Allocation of BITS hauls from TD;
- 2. Calibration between new and old the r/v Havfisken.

DTU Aqua National Institute of Aquatic Resources

WGBIFS 2017 working document

Allocation of BITS hauls from TD by Henrik Degel (DTU Aqua)

Introduction

Every year during the Baltic International Survey Working Group in March (WGBIFS) a number countries commit themselves to carry out a number of trawl hauls in the Kattegat and Baltic Sea twice a year. The hauls constitute the internationally coordinated "Baltic International Trawl Survey" (BITS). The survey is designed as a stratified random survey, which distribute the hauls stratified on Sub-division and depth strata. The allocation of hails positions to each country is a several-step procedure (fig. 1) and this document describes in details how hauls are distributed between strata and randomly drawn from a closed list of haul tracks in the Trawl Database. The software used for selecting the hauls has recently been updated using R as the programming language. Previously, the selection was a mixture of several types of software including EXCEL spreadsheets and was not documented except as program codes and a brief description in the WGBIFS report.



Figure 1. Main steps in allocating BITS haul tracks.

The number of hauls, which each country commits themselves to carry out during the following autumn and the next year spring, is announced during the WGBIFS meeting in March. The total number hauls vary a little but is around 300 in the spring and 270 in the autumn. The total spatial area for the survey falls into two separate sea areas: Sea area 21-24 covering Subdivisions 21, 22, 23 and 24 and sea area 25-28, which covers Sub-divisions 25, 26, 27 and 28.



Description of the Trawl Database

The Trawl Database (TD) consists of a closed list of tracks suitable for demersal trawling. The database covers the Sub-divisions from 22 in the western Baltic Sea to sub-division 28 in the central Baltic Sea. The tracks are sourced from commercial fishing boats as well as from research vessels track libraries. Each haul track is given by start position, end position and a number of waypoints in between. To each position is given the depth and auxiliary information such as origin, recommended gear version to be used, distance of the track and EEZ. Each haul track is identified by a TD Haul number consisting of a combination of the sub-division in which it is situated and a consecutive number. The database is continuously updated based on feed-back from the users concerning change in track statuses due to e.g. new gas and power cables and updated or canceled if serious gear damage is experienced. Errors are of cause also corrected and new haul tracks can be included in the database as well. At present (March 2017) the database holds information about 795 tracks. The format is a plain CSV file, which can be read in e.g. EXCEL.

Allocating the total available hauls to Sub-division and depth interval (Part 1 in the R-program)

The total numbers of hauls by sea area (SD 21-24 and SD 25-28) are distributed between sub-divisions based on a weighting which consists of a combination of the area (km²) of the sub-divisions and the running mean of the previous 5 years BITS abundance index by Sub-division of cod of age 1+ in 1st quarter. The weighting between area and Cpue is 60% and 40% respectively. The number of hauls in a given Sub-division is given by:

$$N_{SD} = \frac{N_{Total} \times \left[(0.6 \times A_{SD}) + 0.4 \times Cpue_{RM(SDy_{py-6,py-1})} \right]}{\sum_{SD=s}^{t} \left[(0.6 \times A_{SD}) + 0.4 \times Cpue_{RM(SDy_{py-6,py-1})} \right]}$$

where N is the number of hauls, NTotal is the total number of hauls for which the countries has committed itself, SD is the Sub-division, s and t are the start and end of the Sub-division assemble in question. A is the area (km2), Cpue is the Catch per unit effort, RM is the running mean, and the py is the present year. The present year is the year for the autumn survey for which the allocation is made. For the spring survey the present year is the year before for which the allocation is made.

The area of each subdivision can be downloaded from the DATRAS homepage. The abundance index of age 1+ cod in the 1st quarter survey can be downloaded on the same homepage (see annex 1 for details in calculation of the CPUE input values). The Subarea codes in DATRAS output is not the same as used in the haul allocation

program. The key between DATRAS and the haul allocation program is given below.

Depth	Layer	DATRAS subarea
interval		code
0-10	1	8
10-40	2	9
40-60	3	10
60-80	4	11
80-100	5	12
< 100	6	13

Depth	Layer	DATRAS
interval		subarea code
0-20	1	8
20-40	2	9
40-60	3	10
60-80	4	11
80-100	5	12
< 100	6	13

Remark that the shallow water intervals are different for the two sea areas.

DATRAS subarea (=depth interval) code key for SD 22-24 DATRAS subarea (=depth interval) code key for SD 25-32

Several countries have area restrictions on the distribution of the hauls, which prevent the hauls to be distributed following strict standardized principles. These restrictions can be incorporated in the program and integrated in the outcome. The allocation by depth interval (Layer) follows the same principles as for the allocation to Sub-division and is given by the equation below.

$$N_{SD,DL} = \frac{N_{SD,Total} \times \left[(0.6 \times A_{SD,DL}) + 0.4 \times Cpue_{RM(SD,DLy_{py-6,py-1})} \right]}{\sum_{SD,DL=n}^{m} \left[(0.6 \times A_{SD,DL}) + 0.4 \times Cpue_{RM(SD,DLy_{py-6,py-1})} \right]}$$

where DL is Depth Layer and n and m are the smallest and largest depth layer interval.

If the allocated number of hauls in total does not exactly match the total number of the planed hauls, the numbers can be fine-tuned individually in each stratum to match the total planned hauls.

The allocation of hauls is done by separate runs for each quarter by indicating the quarter in the program.

Random drawing of haul tracks in the Trawl Database

(Part 2 in the R-program)

The next step in the haul allocation process is to decide on which tracks in the Trawl Database (TD) should be realized for the given survey. First, every depth interval area is divided into a number of 10' N x 20' E area subunits. If a given subunit covers more than one depth interval, the unit is then used in both subunits. The selection is a two-step process: First are subunits randomly selected among the subunits, which have one or more TD hauls included. This is done in order to assure that the selected hauls are dispersed throughout the whole stratum. Secondly, one haul in each of the selected subunits are selected. Both selections are done without replacements. For each stratum (Sub-division, depth interval), the number of selected hauls might exceed the number of track available in the TD. Depending on the circumstances, one of three types of haul selection strategies is used:

Type I haul selection strategy is used when the number of hauls selected in a given stratum does not exceeds the number of sub-units for that stratum with one or more tracks included. A number of sub-units, which equal to the selected number of selected hauls, are randomly selected. The selection is made without replacement. For those sub-units where more than one track are identified, one of those tracks is selected randomly.

Type II haul selection strategy is used when the number of hauls selected in a given stratum does exceeds the number of sub-units for that stratum with one or more tracks included, but the number of hauls selected does not exceeds the number of tracks available. In this case, one track is selected in each sub-unit and the rest of the hauls are randomly selected among the remaining tracks available in the given stratum.

Type III haul selection strategy is used when type II does not provide sufficient available tracks to fulfil the number of hauls selected. In this case, the type II selection strategy is used for as many hauls as possible (equals the number of tracks available) and the remaining hauls are selected by use of so called "Strata adjustments". Strata adjustments are manually reallocation of hauls in the TB from strata where there are tracks available, which have not yet been selected in the haul allocation procedure. The choice of which strata shall be used for the strata adjustment is a subjective



decision based on an idea about the degrees of similarity between the original stratum and the substituted stratum.

Figure 2. Decision diagram leading to selection of tracks from the Trawl Database (TD). See text for description of Type I, II and III selection strategy.

Distribution of the selected hauls between the countries involved (Part 3 in the R-program)

When the total agreed tracks are selected in the database, the next step is to distribute these between the countries involved in the BITS according to the numbers of hauls committed by each country.

The distribution is based on logistic considerations about distances between hauls, the necessity for permissions to enter foreign national EEZs and some countries demand of only to operate in own national EEZ. The program considers these issues but do not take into account the numbers of hauls committed by each country. Based on the initial allocation between countries done by the program, the distribution has to be fine-tuned according to the numbers of hauls committed by each country. This is done manually.....

Finally, the program maps the hauls by country and print the list of allocated hauls in various formats specially designed to be used by the cruise leader, the research vessel skipper, the cruise report writer etc.

The possibility to requesting extra hauls during the survey

(Part 4 in the R-program)

This part of the program is a new feature and it has to be discussed and agreed during the WGBIFS meeting in March 2017 before it can be launched.

Many countries include a certain margin when planning the number of days needed for carrying out the number of hauls they have committed themselves for. This is because the experience tells that the weather not always allows fishing in the whole period. This means, that if the weather is fine during the whole cruise and nothing else happens which prevent fishing, one or two days might be in excess at the end of the cruise. In order to fully utilize the ship time allocated to the cruise, a 4th part of the r-program has been developed, which select additionally hauls without violating the random condition of the survey design.

The conditions, which must be obeyed if not to violate the random condition of the survey design is:

- The original hauls allocated must be fished before any extra hauls are fished
- The extra hauls must be requested for a certain Sub-division and Depth interval.
- All the requested extra hauls have to be carried out.
- The fishing of the extra hauls has to follow the instructions in the BITS manual

The extra hauls must be requested by mailing the TD administrator <u>hd@aqua.dtu.dk</u> and XXXXXXXX as backup.

The extra hauls will be drawn in the TD among the remaining hauls not already used for the initial standard haul allocation and **only hauls within the EEZ of the requesting country will be considered**.

Time wheel for the administration of the BITS haul allocation procedure The delivery from the Trawl Database is linked to various external events. Therefore, it is necessary to keep some deadlines for input to the TD. The most significant is the Baltic Fish Assessment Working Group in April and the fixed BITS survey in March (1st quarter) and October/November (4th quarter). These events determine the time schedule for the other activities in connection with the database. Previous to a survey the hauls must be assigned to each country and this allocation should be based on the most resent possible update of the database. Therefore, the feedback from previous survey must be submitted in due time before the survey. Because some countries require up to 6 month to handle the access of foreign vessel into the EZZ and because the exact positions have to be stated in the application, the haul allocation has to be done already soon after the WGBITS meeting in order to be ready for the 4th quarter survey. The haul allocation is normally made at the same time for the following 1st quarter survey because there is not sufficient time between 4th quarter survey and 1st quarter survey to include the TD feedback from the 4th quarter survey in the following years 1st quarter survey. The time schedule wheel for the TD including the haul allocation procedure is given in figure 3.



Figure 3. The time schedule wheel for the TD.

Annex 1

Detailed description of calculating of CPUE input values From the DATRAS homepage:

(<u>https://datras.ices.dk/Data_products/Download/Download_Data_public.aspx</u>) are the following data tables downloaded:

CPUE per age per area (present year-6 to present year-1, 1st quarter, all subdivisions)

CPUE per age per subarea (present year-6 to present year-1, 1st quarter, all subdivisions)

The CPUE(age1+) is calculated by summing all ages >0 (i.e. Age1 to Age10) for each age and subdivision or for each age, subdivision and depth stratum (=subarea in DATRAS). Finally, the mean of the five years are calculated for all sub-divisions or depth strata by sub-division. The results are saved as csv-files in the formats of the examples below.

Example of the "CpueDepthDist.csv" and "CpueSDDist.csv" input files

SD	DepthInterval	Layer	Cpue
22	10 - 39	2	158.1
22	40 - 59	3	0
22	60 - 79	4	0
22	80 - 99	5	0
22	100 - 119	6	0
24	10 - 39	2	93
24	40 - 59	3	297.4
24	60 - 79	4	1619.5
24	80 - 99	5	0
24	100 - 119	6	0
25	20 - 39	2	252.1
25	40 - 59	3	2144.7
25	60 - 79	4	2134.2
25	80 - 99	5	1083.7
25	100 - 119	6	0
26	20 - 39	2	102.8
26	40 - 59	3	699.3
26	60 - 79	4	1106.1
26	80 - 99	5	846.8
26	100 - 119	6	87
27	10 - 39	2	0
27	40 - 59	3	6.1
27	60 - 79	4	164.8
27	80 - 99	5	101.2
27	100 - 119	6	0
28	10 - 39	2	3.3
28	40 - 59	3	37.6
28	60 - 79	4	82.8
28	80 - 99	5	28.4
28	100 - 119	6	0

WGBIFS REPORT 2017

SD	AreaSection	Cpue
22	2224	158.1
23	2224	1469.9
24	2224	562.2
25	2528	1424.4
26	2528	608.9
27	2528	90
28	2528	46.7

Headings: "SD"= Sub-division,

"DepthInterval" = Depth interval for the corresponding "Layer","Cpue" = catch per unit effort, "AreaSection" = Sub-division assemble (Kattegat and Western Baltic Sea="2224" and Eastern Baltic Sea= "2528". BITS depth layer SD 21-24

1 2	Annex 2									
3	The R program									
1	The complete program consists of 3 parts:									
т 5	Part 1 Compilation of the number of hauls in each Sub-division and denth layer									
5	Part 2 Drawing of the identified number of hould in the Trawil detabase									
0	Dawt 2 Allocation of the drawn tracks to the individual countries									
/	The mean is attended to be self as a bining with a tended are a featured and without both in									
8	The program is attempted to be self-explaining with extended use of comments and guidance both in									
9	The fog window and in the code.									
10	The following input files have to be prepared before the program can be executed:									
11	AreaDepthLayerDist.csv (Areas of depth layers, no update needed)									
12	AreaSDDist.csv (areas of sub-divisions, no update needed)									
13	CpueDepthDist.csv (CPUE by Sub-division and depth strata of the most resent 5 years)									
14	CpueSDDist.csv (CPUE by depth strata of the most resent 5 years)									
15	PlannedStations.csv (Number of hauls by country as agreed during WGBIFS)									
16	The input and output directories stated has to be changed according to the file structure on the executing PC.									
17	executing PC.									
18										
19	K source codes									
20 21	rall 1 *********									
21 22	**************************************									
22 73	#Part 1 of Haul allocation program for BITS (ctratified random sampling)									
23 24	#1 art 1 of flaur anocation program for birts (stratified fandom sampling)									
2 4 25	#Calculates the number of hauls to be allocated to each depth layer in each Sub-division									
25 26										
-0 27	# 1. Update the assigned number of hauls by country by guarter (file:PlannedStations) from the									
28	WGBIFS report									
29	# 2. Update the running mean of CPUE of 1+ cod (5 resent years) by 1) Subdivision									
30	# 2) Sub-division, Depth									
31	laver									
32	# 3. Select the Quarter in line 33									
33	# 4. Assure that the rounding adjustments (line 128-131) are all "0"									
34	# 4. Compare total number of planned stations (file:PlannedStations) with the file: NHaulPlanned and									
35	adjust the numbers in lines 128-131 if needed									
36	# 4. Rerun line 128 and out									
37	# 4. RUN the PROGRAM "Allocation of BITS stations Part 2" and "Allocation of BITS stations Part 3"									
38										
39	#output: CSV-file stating the number of hauls to be allocated to each depth layer in each Sub-division									
40	**********									
41	#######################################									
42	install.packages("plyr")									
43	library(plyr)									
44	#READ INPUT FILES									
45	#Area per SD (Fixed, WGBFAS-report):									
46	AreaSDDist<-read.table("H:/Active nonsystem/ICES WG/WGBIFS/Surveys/Allocating of BITS									
47	stations/AreaSDDist.csv",header=TRUE,sep=";")									
48	#Area per SD (Fixed, WGBFAS-report):									
49	AreaDepthLayerDist<-read.table("H:/Active nonsystem/ICES WG/WGBIFS/Surveys/Allocating of									
50	BITS stations/AreaDepthLayerDist.csv",header=TRUE,sep=";")									
51	#Runnin mean of 5 previous yers CPUE(cod) per SD (DATRAS):									
52	CpueSDDist<-read.table("H:/Active nonsystem/ICES WG/WGBIFS/Surveys/Allocating of BITS									
53	stations/CpueSDDist.csv",header=TRUE,sep=";")									
51	#Runnin mean of 5 provious were ('RLE(cod) nor donth strate ($DATBAS$).									

54 #Runnin mean of 5 previous yers CPUE(cod) per depth strata (DATRAS):

```
CpueDepthDist<-read.table("H:/Active nonsystem/ICES WG/WGBIFS/Surveys/Allocating of BITS
 55
      stations/CpueDepthDist.csv", header=TRUE, sep=";")
 56
57
      #Number of planed stations (WGBFAS-report):
      PlannedStations<-read.table("H:/Active nonsystem/ICES WG/WGBIFS/Surveys/Allocating of BITS
58
 59
      stations/PlannedStations.csv", header=TRUE, sep=";")
 60
      ***********
61
      # QUARTER IS SELECTED:
62
63
       Ouarter<-1
64
      65
      #
           SPECIAL RULES AGREED BY THE WGBIFS
66
67
      # Denmark takes always 5 hauls in SD 23:
        FixedNHaulsDK23<-5
68
69
        FixedCPUEDK23<-0
70
71
      # Sweden takes always 10 hauls in SD 27:
72
        FixedNHaulsSWE27<-10
        FixedCPUESWE27<-0
73
74
75
      # Germany always takes 45 hauls in SD 24. All other planned hauls in AreaSection 2124 minus hauls
76
      of Havfisken in SD 21 are allocated to SD 22
        FixedNhaulsGER24<-45
77
78
79
      # Number of fixed stations taken in Kattegat (sd21) by Denmark:
80
        FixedNHaulsDK21<-0
81
      **********
82
83
      #Abowe adjustments are implemented:
      PlannedStations<-PlannedStations[PlannedStations$Quarter==Quarter,]
84
85
      CpueSDDist$CpueAdj <- CpueSDDist$Cpue
      CpueSDDist[CpueSDDist$SD==23,"CpueAdj"] <- FixedCPUEDK23
86
      CpueSDDist[CpueSDDist$SD==27,"CpueAdj"] <- FixedCPUESWE27
87
88
      PlannedStations[PlannedStations$Vessel="Havfisken","PlannedNumberOfHauls"] <-
      PlannedStations[PlannedStations$Vessel=="Havfisken","PlannedNumberOfHauls"]-
89
90
      FixedNHaulsDK21
91
92
93
      require(stats)
94
95
      #The number of hauls by Sub-division (including abowe adjustments) is calculated based on the
96
      relative distributions calculated
97
      #based on a weighted input of area (0.6) and CPUE (0.4)
98
      #Area per AreaSection is calculated:
99
      temp<-(tapply(AreaSDDist$Area,AreaSDDist$AreaSection, FUN=sum))
100
      temp = data.frame( AreaSection = names(temp), SumArea=temp)
101
      distSDArea<- merge(AreaSDDist, temp, all.x=TRUE)
102
      #Relative area distribution per SD is calculated:
103
      distSDArea$RelArea<-distSDArea$Area/distSDArea$SumArea*100
104
105
      #CPUE per AreaSection is calculated:
      temp<-(tapply(CpueSDDist$CpueAdj,CpueSDDist$AreaSection, FUN=sum))
106
      temp = data.frame( AreaSection = names(temp), SumCpue=temp)
107
```

108	distSDCpue<- merge(CpueSDDist, temp, all.x=TRUE)
109	
110	#Relative CPUE distribution per SD is calculated:
111	distSDCpue\$RelCpue<-distSDCpue\$CpueAdj/distSDCpue\$SumCpue*100
112	SDHaulDist<-merge(distSDArea,distSDCpue)
113	
114	temp <- ddply(PlannedStations, c("Quarter","AreaSection"),summarize,
115	SumPlannedStations=sum(PlannedNumberOfHauls))
116	
117	SDStationAlocation<- merge(SDHaulDist, temp, all.x=TRUE)
118	#Fixed number of stations in Kattegat is deducted:
119	
120	
121	
122	SDStationAlocation\$NHaulsRel<-
123	((0.6*SDStationAlocation\$RelArea)+(0.4*SDStationAlocation\$RelCpue))/100
124	
125	${\tt SDStationAlocation} \$ SumPlanned {\tt StationsAdj} <-{\tt SDStationAlocation} \$ SumPlanned {\tt Stations} \\$
126	SDStationAlocation[SDStationAlocation\$AreaSection=2224,"SumPlannedStationsAdj"] <-
127	SDStationAlocation[SDStationAlocation\$AreaSection=2224,"SumPlannedStations"]-
128	FixedNHaulsDK23
129	SDStationAlocation[SDStationAlocation\$AreaSection==2528,"SumPlannedStationsAdj"] <-
130	SDStationAlocation[SDStationAlocation\$AreaSection=2528,"SumPlannedStations"]-
131	FixedNHaulsSWE27
132	
133	SDStationAlocation\$NHaulsPrim<-
134	SDStationAlocation\$NHaulsRel*SDStationAlocation\$SumPlannedStationsAdi
135	SDStationAlocation\$NHaulsSD<-SDStationAlocation\$NHaulsPrim
136	
137	#The number per SD is adjusted with the SPECIAL RULES AGREED BY THE WGBIFS:
138	SDStationAlocation[SDStationAlocation\$SD==24,"NHaulsSD"] <-
139	PlannedStations[(PlannedStations\$Country=="Poland") &
140	(PlannedStations\$AreaSection==2224),"PlannedNumberOfHauls"]+FixedNhaulsGER24
141	SDStationAlocation[SDStationAlocation\$SD==23,"NHaulsSD"] <- FixedNHaulsDK23
142	SDStationAlocation[SDStationAlocation\$SD==22,"NHaulsSD"] <-
143	SDStationAlocation[SDStationAlocation\$SD==22."SumPlannedStations"] -
144	SDStationAlocation[SDStationAlocation\$SD==23,"NHaulsSD"] -
145	SDStation Alocation[SDStation Alocation\$SD==24,"NHaul\$SD"]
146	SDStation Alocation[SDStation Alocation\$SD==27,"NHaulsSD"] <- Fixed NHaulsSWE27
147	
148	SDStationAlocation\$NHaulsSD<-round(SDStationAlocation\$NHaulsSD.0) #(This file can be used for
149	check of haul distribution by SD)
150	SDStatAlocation<-SDStationAlocation Lc("SD", "AreaSection", "Ouarter", "NHaulsSD")]
151	
152	#The number of hauls by Sub-division and depth stratum is calculated based on the number of hauls
152	by SD calculated above
154	temp<-(tapply(AreaDenthLaverDist\$Area AreaDenthLaverDist\$SD_FUN=sum))
155	temp = data frame(SD = names(temp), Sum Area=temp)
156	distDenth<- merge(AreaDenthLaverDist_temp_all x=TRUF)
157	distDenth\$RelDenth<_distDenth\$Area/distDenth\$Sum Area
158	
159	temn<-(tannly(CnueDenthDist\$CnueCnueDenthDist\$SD_FUN=sum))
160	temp = data.frame(SD = names(temp). SumCnue=temp)
	······································

- 161 distCpue<- merge(CpueDepthDist, temp, all.x=TRUE)
- 162 distCpue\$RelCpue<-distCpue\$Cpue/distCpue\$SumCpue
- 163 DepthStationAlocation<-merge(distDepth,distCpue)
- 164
- 165 DepthStationAlocation\$NHaulsDepthRel<-
- 166 ((0.6*DepthStationAlocation\$RelDepth)+(0.4*DepthStationAlocation\$RelCpue)) #(This file can be used
- 167 for check of haul distribution by depth strata)
- DepthStatAlocation<-DepthStationAlocation [,c("SD", "DepthInterval", "Layer", "NHaulsDepthRel")]
- 170 NHaulPlanned<-merge(SDStatAlocation, DepthStatAlocation)
- 171 NHaulPlanned\$NHauls<-round(NHaulPlanned\$NHaulsDepthRel*NHaulPlanned\$NHaulsSD,0)
- 172 NHaulPlanned<-NHaulPlanned [,c("AreaSection","SD", "Quarter","DepthInterval", "Layer",
- 173 "NHauls")]
- 175 #Final adjustment due to rounding errors.
- 176 # Initialy no adjustments should be made (all set to "0")
- 178 NHaulPlanned[NHaulPlanned\$SD==25 & NHaulPlanned\$Layer==2,"NHauls"] <-
- 179 NHaulPlanned[NHaulPlanned\$SD==25 & NHaulPlanned\$Layer==2,"NHauls"]-0
- 180 NHaulPlanned[NHaulPlanned\$SD==26 & NHaulPlanned\$Layer==4,"NHauls"] <-
- 181 NHaulPlanned[NHaulPlanned\$SD==26 & NHaulPlanned\$Layer==4,"NHauls"]-0
- 182
- 183 #Add as many strata as needed
- 185
- 186 write.table(NHaulPlanned, "H:/Active nonsystem/ICES WG/WGBIFS/Surveys/Allocating of BITS
 187 stations/NHaulPlanned.csv", sep=";")
- 188

196

205

- 189 Part 2
- 192 #Part 2 of Haul allocation program for BITS (stratified random sampling)
- 193194 #The program selects the hauls to be allocated to each depth layer in each Sub-division from the Trawl195 Database (TD)
- 197 # Part 1 of Haul allocation program for BITS has to be run previous to this
- 198199 # 1. RUN FIRST STEP TO LINE 194
- 200 # 2. ADJUST THE STRATA FOR THE ADDITIONAL SELECTION BY USE OF "STRATA
- 201 ADJUSTMENTS" (step 2) BASED ON COMMENTS IN LOG
- 202 # AND BY INSPECTION OF "AdditionalSelect" and "MSamplePopulation"
- 203 # 3. RUN SECOND STEP FROM LINE 196 AND OUT.
- 204 # 4. RUN the PROGRAM "Allocation of BITS stations Part 3"
- ²⁰⁶ #output: CSV-file listing the haul numbers in TD to be distributed among participating countries

- 209 NHaulPlanned <- read.table("H:/Active nonsystem/ICES WG/WGBIFS/Surveys/Allocating of BITS
- 210 stations/NHaulPlanned.csv", sep=";")
- 211 NHaulPlanned <- NHaulPlanned [NHaulPlanned\$NHauls>0,]
- 212 #TD data from all Sub-Division is read

- 213 TD<-read.table("H:/Active nonsystem/ICES WG/WGBIFS/Surveys/Allocating of BITS
- 214 stations/TD_2016_V1 HD version.csv",header=TRUE,sep=";")
- 216 TD <-TD [,c("NrHaul", "RectangleAlpha", "ICES.SD", "Latitude1_deg", "Latitude1_dec_min",
- 217 "Longitude1_deg", "Longitude1_dec_min", "Layer", "EEZ")]
- #Each haul is allocated to a Rec9 (=sub split of each ICES Statistical Rectangle into 9 sub-rectangles):
- 219 TD\$latGr<- floor(floor(TD\$Latitude1_dec_min)/10)+1
- 220 TD\$latGr1<- ifelse(TD\$latGr>3, TD\$latGr -3, TD\$latGr)
- 221 TD\$lonGr<- (floor(TD\$Longitude1_dec_min)/20)+1)
- 222 TD\$Rec9<- ifelse(TD\$latGr1>1, TD\$lonGr +3, TD\$lonGr)
- 223 TD\$Rec9<- ifelse(TD\$latGr1>2, TD\$Rec9 +3, TD\$Rec9)
- 224 TD\$Rec9<-paste(TD\$RectangleAlpha, TD\$Rec9)
- 225 TD <-TD [,c("NrHaul", "RectangleAlpha", "ICES.SD", "Latitude1_deg", "Latitude1_dec_min",
- 226 "Longitude1_deg", "Longitude1_dec_min", "Layer", "EEZ", "Rec9")]
- 227

215

- 228 #...and the list for random selection of SubRec9 is made:
- 229 Rec9List<-unique(TD [,c("RectangleAlpha","Rec9", "ICES.SD", "Layer")])
- 230
- 231 Allocated1<- NULL
- 232 Allocated2<- NULL
- 233 Allocated3<- NULL
- 234 census<-NULL
- 235 census1<-NULL
- 236 Type2add<-NULL
- 237 Type3add<-NULL
- 238 Selectedhauls1<-NULL
- 239 ManualSelec1<-NULL
- 240 ManualSelec2<-NULL
- 241 AdditionalSelect1<-NULL
- 242 AdditionalSelect2<-NULL
- 243 AddHaulsToBeSelected<-NULL
- 244
- 245 subNHaulPlannedSD<-unique(NHaulPlanned ["SD"])
- 246
- 247
- 248 for (i in subNHaulPlannedSD\$SD) #SubDiv
- 249 {
- 250 subNHaulPlanned<-NHaulPlanned[NHaulPlanned\$SD==i,]
- 251 Allocated2<- NULL
- 252 for (j in subNHaulPlanned\$Layer) #DepthInterval
- 253
- 254 { 255
- 256 SubRec9TotalList <- Rec9List[Rec9List\$ICES.SD==i & Rec9List\$Layer==j,]</p>
 257
- 258 if (nrow(SubRec9TotalList)==0)
- 259 {
 260 SubNHaulspl<-NHaulPlanned[NHaulPlanned\$SD==i & NHaulPlanned\$Layer==j,]
- 261262 p<-SubNHaulspl\$NHauls
- 263 264 tekst1<-paste("...

Information:No hauls availble in TD for SD ",i," and depth layer",j,"even though",p,"hauls were 265 planned in this stratum 266 267") 268 cat(tekst1) 269 tekst2<-paste("... 270 271 GUIDENCE:",p,"hauls have to be selected by use of strata adjustments for SD ",i," and depth 272 layer",j,"(",p,",0 hauls) 273") 274 cat(tekst2) 275 276 ManualSelec1\$SD<-i ManualSelec1\$Layer<-j 277 278 ManualSelec1\$N<-p 279 remain1 <- data.frame(ManualSelec1) AdditionalSelect1<-rbind(AdditionalSelect1, remain1) 280 281 282 }else{ SubRec9TotalList <- SubRec9TotalList[,c("RectangleAlpha","Rec9", "ICES.SD", "Layer")] 283 SubRec9TotalList\$ID <- 1:nrow(SubRec9TotalList) 284 285 SubAntHauls<-NHaulPlanned[NHaulPlanned\$SD==i & NHaulPlanned\$Layer==j,] #Number of hauls to be drawn in SD, Layer 286 287 SubRec9TotalList\$Count<-1 temp1<-(tapply(SubRec9TotalList\$Count,SubRec9TotalList\$Count,FUN=sum)) 288 availRec9 = data.frame(TDcheck = names(temp1), SumRec9=temp1) 289 290 291 if (SubAntHauls\$NHauls> availRec9\$SumRec9) { 292 m<-SubAntHauls\$NHauls 293 n<-availRec9\$SumRec9 294 295 tekst3<-paste("... Information: (-> Type II or Type III) The number of different Sub-rectangles selected for sampling in 296 Sub-Div",i,"depth layer",j," 297 298 is larger than the number of Sub-rectangles available in the same stratum in TD. (",m,"hauls,",n,"subrec.) 299 300") 301 cat(tekst3) 302 303 censusHauls<-TD[TD\$ICES.SD==i & TD\$Layer==j,] 304 censusHauls\$Count<-1 censusHauls\$ID <- 1:nrow(censusHauls) 305 temp2<-(tapply(censusHauls\$Count,censusHauls\$Count, FUN=sum)) 306 availHauls = data.frame(TDcheck1 = names(temp2), SumHauls=temp2) 307 308 if (SubAntHauls\$NHauls> availHauls\$SumHauls) { 309 310 #Type III. inkluder alle tilgængelige træk (i,j) i selected hauls (resten trækkes manuelt fra andre 311 strata) a<-availHauls\$SumHauls 312 Nmissing2<-m-a 313 314 tekst4<-paste("... 315 GUIDENCE: (Type III)", Nmissing2, "hauls have to be selected by use of strata adjustments from another stratum because of insuficient number 316 of hauls in the TD in Sub-Div",i,"depth layer",j,". (",m,",",a,"hauls.) 317

")
cat(tekst4)
censusHauls\$Type<-3
Type3ad<-censusHauls[,c("NrHaul","Type")]
Type3add<-rbind(Type3add, Type3ad)
Type3ad<-NULL
ManualSelec2\$SD<-i
ManualSelec2\$Laver<-i
ManualSelec2\$N<-Nmissing2
remain? <- data frame(ManualSelec?)
AdditionalSelect2<-rbind(AdditionalSelect2, remain2)
)
} else{
#Type II. træk det planlagte antal træk fra tilgængelige træk i stratum (i.i.k)
h<-availHauls\$SumHauls
Nmissing3<-m
ID<-sample(1:prow(consusHaule) SubAntHaule\$NIHaule replace=FAISE)
1D < sumple(1.110w(census11auis), submittinuus, replace 171EsE)
SubSelectedIDRec9 <- data.frame(ID) #Selected hauls in SD. Laver
Type?ad<- merge(censusHauls_SubSelectedIDRec9_by="ID"_all selected=FALSE)
Type2adsType<-2
Type2ad<-Type2ad[c("NrHau]" "Type")]
Type2ad<-rhind(Type2ad, Type2ad)
TypeZadu (TypeZadu, TypeZad)
Typezau
tekst5<-naste("
Information: (Type II)" Nmissing3 "hauls has been selected for sampling in Sub-Div" i."depth
laver" i "
where the number of hauls planned is bigger than the number of Rec9 available
but the number of hauls available in TD is sufficient ("m"" h "hauls)
")
cat(tekst5)
}
1
}else {
#Type L træk det planlagte antal Rec9 fra tilgængelige Rec9 i stratum (i.i)
ID<-sample(1:prow(SubRec9TotalList) SubAntHauls\$NHauls replace=FALSE)
SubSelected IDRec $9 \leq data$ frame(ID) #Selected bauls in SD I aver
SubSelecRecPade marga(SubRec9TatalList SubSelectedIDRec9 by="ID" all selected=EALSE)
SubSelecRec9ad [®] County 1
tomp< topply/SubSolosPosQod@Count_SubSolosPosQod@PosQ_EUNI=sum)
Cub Number Des 0 = date from e(Des 0 = nem es(terrer)) Cum Heulesterrer)
SubNumberRec9 = data.frame(Rec9 = names(temp), Sumhauis=temp)
for (K in SubNumberRec9\$Rec9) #Sub-Rectangle
{
#Type I. træk det planlagte antal træk fra tilgængelige træk i stratum (i,j,k)
Type1HauIAvail<-TD[TD\$ICES.SD==i & TD\$Layer==j & TD\$Rec9==k,]
Type1HaulAvail\$ID <- 1:nrow(Type1HaulAvail)
Type1HaulAvail<-Type1HaulAvail[,c("NrHaul", "ID")]
Type1HaulAvail <- data.trame(Type1HaulAvail)
Type1SubNumberHauls<- SubNumberRec9[SubNumberRec9\$Rec9==k,]
ID<-sample(1:nrow(Type1HaulAvail), Type1SubNumberHauls\$SumHauls, replace=FALSE)

371 372	Type1add <- data.frame(ID) Type1add<- merge(Type1add, Type1HaulAvail, by="ID", all.selected=FALSE) Type1add#Type 5.1
3/3	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$
3/4	Allocated I<-roind (Allocated I, Type I add)
3/5	
3/6	Allocated2<-rbind(Allocated2, Allocated1)
3//	Allocated I<-NULL
3/8	} \\
3/9	$\}$
380 291	Allocated3<-rdind(Allocated3, Allocated2)
282	
202	}
202	Allocated2< Allocated2[c("NrHaul" "Type")]
204	Selected haule1< rhind(Allocated2 Tune2edd Tune2edd)
386	Selected hauls1<-10110(Anocated 5,1) pesadu, 1) pesadu
387	Soloctodhauls1 (Soloctodhauls1) Soloctodhauls1 (Soloctodhauls1)
388	Selected hauls(~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
380	NHaulSelected<-nrow(Selectedhauls)
300	NHaulPlannedTotal<-sum(NHaulPlanned\$NHauls)
301	Missing-NHaulPlannedTotal-NHaulSelected
392	tekst6<-naste("
393	Information: In all areas a total of "Missing "hauls have to be selected by use of strata adjustments
394	")
395	cat(tekst6)
396	write.table(Selectedhauls, "H:/Active nonsystem/ICES WG/WGBIFS/Surveys/Allocating of BITS
397	stations/list of allocated hauls.csv", sep=";")
398	······································
399	# SECOND STEP: Selection the remaining planned hauls by use of strata adjustments
400	
401	NrHaul<-(TD [,c("NrHaul")])
402	TDIndex<-data.frame(NrHaul)
403	NrHaul<-(Selectedhauls [,c("NrHaul")])
404	IndexSelected <- data.frame(NrHaul)
405	IndexSelected\$Marker<-1
406	MSamplePop<-merge(TDIndex,IndexSelected, by= "NrHaul", all=TRUE)
407	MSamplePop\$Marker[is.na(MSamplePop\$Marker)] <- 0
408	MSamplePop<-MSamplePop[MSamplePop\$Marker==0,]
409	MSamplePopulation<-merge(TD,MSamplePop, by= "NrHaul", all.selected=FALSE)
410	
411	#options(error = utils::recover)
412	
413	AdditionalSelect<-rbind(AdditionalSelect1, AdditionalSelect2)
414	ModAdditionalSelect<-AdditionalSelect
415	ModAdditionalSelect\$cond<-paste(ModAdditionalSelect\$SD, ModAdditionalSelect\$Layer, sep="")
416	
417	# implementation of strata adjustment of not succesful haul allocations
418	# Based on inspection of "AdditionalSelect" and "MSamplePopulation" the substituting Layer is
419	defined below
420	# ModAdditionalSelect\$cond defined as concatenating of "SD" and "Layer"
421	
422	ModAdditionalSelect\$Layer[ModAdditionalSelect\$cond==244] <- 3
423	ModAdditionalSelect\$Layer[ModAdditionalSelect\$cond==252] <- 3

```
424
      ModAdditionalSelect$Layer[ModAdditionalSelect$cond==275] <- 6
425
      ModAdditionalSelect$Layer[ModAdditionalSelect$cond==282] <- 3
426
      ModAdditionalSelect$cond<-paste(ModAdditionalSelect$SD, ModAdditionalSelect$Layer, sep="")
427
428
429
      for (1 in ModAdditionalSelect$cond) #SubDivLayer
430
      {
431
       AddHaulsToBeSelectedadd<-NULL
432
       i < -substr(1,1,2)
433
       j < -substr(1,3,3)
434
435
       SubMSamplePopulation<-MSamplePopulation[MSamplePopulation$ICES.SD==i &
436
       MSamplePopulation$Layer==j,]
437
       Nrhaul1<- SubMSamplePopulation[,c("NrHaul")]
438
       SubMSamplePopulation <- data.frame(Nrhaul1)
       SubMSamplePopulation$ID <- 1:nrow(SubMSamplePopulation)
439
       SubAdditionalSelect<-ModAdditionalSelect[ModAdditionalSelect$SD==i &
440
441
      ModAdditionalSelect$Layer==j,]
       ID<-sample(1:nrow(SubMSamplePopulation), SubAdditionalSelect$N, replace=FALSE)
442
443
       AddHaulsToBeSelectedadd <- data.frame(ID)
444
       AddHaulsToBeSelectedadd<-merge(AddHaulsToBeSelectedadd, SubMSamplePopulation, by= "ID",
445
      all.selected=FALSE)
       AddHaulsToBeSelectedadd$NrHaul<-AddHaulsToBeSelectedadd$Nrhaul1
446
447
       AddHaulsToBeSelectedadd<-merge(AddHaulsToBeSelectedadd, MSamplePopulation, by=
448
      "NrHaul", all.selected=FALSE)
449
       AddHaulsToBeSelected<-rbind(AddHaulsToBeSelected, AddHaulsToBeSelectedadd)
450
      }
      check<-AddHaulsToBeSelected
451
452
      check$count<-1
      z<-(tapply(check$count,check$Marker, FUN=sum))
453
454
455
      tekst7<-paste("...
      Check: In all areas a total of",z,"hauls have been selected by use of strata adjustments out
456
457
      of", Missing, "requested.
458
              .....")
459
460
      HaulsToBeFished1<-Selectedhauls[,c("NrHaul", "RectangleAlpha", "ICES.SD", "Latitude1_deg",
461
      "Latitude1_dec_min", "Longitude1_deg", "Longitude1_dec_min", "Layer", "EEZ")]
462
463
      HaulsToBeFished2<-AddHaulsToBeSelected[,c("NrHaul", "RectangleAlpha", "ICES.SD",
      "Latitude1_deg", "Latitude1_dec_min", "Longitude1_deg", "Longitude1_dec_min", "Layer", "EEZ")]
464
      TotalHaulsToBeFished<-rbind(HaulsToBeFished1, HaulsToBeFished2)
465
466
467
      write.table(TotalHaulsToBeFished, "H:/Active nonsystem/ICES WG/WGBIFS/Surveys/Allocating of
468
469
      BITS stations/list of totalallocated hauls.csv", sep=";")
470
      cat(tekst7)
471
472
      TD$Latitude1_deg_dec<-(TD$Latitude1_dec_min/60*100/100)+TD$Latitude1_deg
      TD$Longitude1_deg_dec<-(TD$Longitude1_dec_min/60*100/100)+TD$Longitude1_deg
473
474
475
      write.table(TD, "H:/Active nonsystem/ICES WG/WGBIFS/Surveys/Allocating of BITS stations/TD for
      plotting.csv", sep=";")
476
```

Part 3

The final output file is called "list of totalallocated hauls processed.csv" and lists the selected tracks in the Trawl database to be carried out by the participants of the BITS. The file can be imported to EXCEL and the tracks can subsequently be distributed between the countries according to the commitments list (PlannedStations.csv). #Processes the output from Part2

#Part 3 of Haul allocation program for BITS (stratified random sampling)

#The program allocate a priori the hauls selected by "Haul allocation program for BITS Part 2".

#Haul allocation program for BITS Part 1 and Haul allocation program for BITS Part 2 have to be run previous to this.

1. RUN FIRST STEP TO LINE 46.

2. MANUALLY SHIFT AROUND AND ADJUST THE DISTRIBUTION BETWEEN COUNTRIES SO IT FITS THE NATIONAL PLANNED NUMBER OF HAULS

BY INSPECTION OF "PlannedStations" and "NHaulPlanned".

3. RUN SECOND STEP FROM LINE 52 AND OUT.

#STEP 1

TotalHaulsToBeFished <- read.table("H:/Active nonsystem/ICES WG/WGBIFS/Surveys/Allocating of BITS stations/list of totalallocated hauls.csv", sep=";") TotalHaulsToBeFished\$Latitude1_deg_dec<- $(Total Hauls To BeFished \$Latitude 1_dec_min/60*100/100) + Total Hauls To BeFished \$Latitude 1_dec_min/60*100 + Total Hauls To BeFished \$Latitude 1_dec_min/60*100 + Total Hauls To BeFished \$Latitude 1_dec_min/60*100 + Total Hauls To BeFished \$Latitude 1_dec_min/60*100/100) + Total Hauls To BeFished \$Latitude 1_dec_min/60*100/100 + Total Hauls To BeFished \$Latitude 1_dec_min/60*100/100 + Total Hauls To BeFished \$Latitude 1_dec_min/60*100 + Total Hauls Total Haul$ tude1_deg TotalHaulsToBeFished\$Longitude1_deg_dec<-(TotalHaulsToBeFished\$Longitude1_dec_min/60*100/100)+TotalHaulsToBeFished\$L ongitude1_deg TotalHaulsToBeFished[TotalHaulsToBeFished\$ICES.SD<25,"codstock"]<-"21-24" TotalHaulsToBeFished[TotalHaulsToBeFished\$ICES.SD>24,"codstock"]<-"25-32" TotalHaulsToBeFished\$country<-"notassigned" TotalHaulsToBeFished[TotalHaulsToBeFished\$codstock=="21-24" & TotalHaulsToBeFished\$EEZ=="DEN","country"]<-"DEN (SD 21-24)" TotalHaulsToBeFished[TotalHaulsToBeFished\$ICES.SD==27 & TotalHaulsToBeFished\$codstock=="25-32","country"]<-"SWE (SD 25-32)" TotalHaulsToBeFished[TotalHaulsToBeFished\$ICES.SD==24,"country"]<-"GFR (SD 21-24)" TotalHaulsToBeFished[TotalHaulsToBeFished\$EEZ=="grey","country"]<-"DEN (SD 25-32)" TotalHaulsToBeFished[TotalHaulsToBeFished\$codstock=="21-24" & TotalHaulsToBeFished\$EEZ=="POL","country"]<-"POL (SD 21-24)"

TotalHaulsToBeFished[TotalHaulsToBeFished\$codstock=="25-32" & TotalHaulsToBeFished\$EEZ=="POL","country"]<-"POL (SD 25-32)" TotalHaulsToBeFished[TotalHaulsToBeFished\$EEZ=="LTU","country"]<-"LTU (SD 25-32)"

TotalHaulsToBeFished[TotalHaulsToBeFished\$EEZ=="RUS","country"]<-"RUS (SD 25-32)"

TotalHaulsToBeFished[TotalHaulsToBeFished\$EEZ=="EST" | TotalHaulsToBeFished\$EEZ=="LAT","country"]<-"LAT (SD 25-32)"

TotalHaulsToBeFished[TotalHaulsToBeFished\$EEZ=="DEN" & TotalHaulsToBeFished\$ICES.SD>24,"country"]<-"DEN (SD 25-32)" TotalHaulsToBeFished[TotalHaulsToBeFished\$EEZ=="GFR" & TotalHaulsToBeFished\$codstock=="21-24","country"]<-"GFR (SD 21-24)" TotalHaulsToBeFished[TotalHaulsToBeFished\$EEZ=="SWE" & TotalHaulsToBeFished\$codstock=="25-32","country"]<-"SWE (SD 25-32)" TotalHaulsToBeFished[TotalHaulsToBeFished\$RectangleAlpha=="37G0"|TotalHauls ToBeFished\$RectangleAlpha=="37G1"|TotalHaulsToBeFished\$RectangleAlpha=="37G2","country"]<-"GFR (SD 21-24)"

TotalHaulsToBeFished[TotalHaulsToBeFished\$RectangleAlpha=="39G5"|TotalHauls ToBeFished\$RectangleAlpha=="40G6"|TotalHaulsToBeFished\$RectangleAlpha=="40G7"| G7"|

TotalHaulsToBeFished\$RectangleAlpha=="41G8" | TotalHaulsToBeFished\$RectangleA lpha=="41G7", "country"]<-"DEN (SD 25-32)"

TotalHaulsToBeFished[TotalHaulsToBeFished\$RectangleAlpha=="43G8"|TotalHauls ToBeFished\$RectangleAlpha=="43G9"|TotalHaulsToBeFished\$RectangleAlpha=="44 G9"|

TotalHaulsToBeFished\$RectangleAlpha=="45G7" | TotalHaulsToBeFished\$RectangleA lpha=="42G8" |

TotalHaulsToBeFished\$RectangleAlpha=="45G9" | TotalHaulsToBeFished\$RectangleA lpha=="45G8","country"]<-"SWE (SD 25-32)"

```
TotalHaulsToBeFished[TotalHaulsToBeFished$RectangleAlpha=="40G5" &
TotalHaulsToBeFished$EEZ=="DEN","country"]<-"DEN (SD 25-32)"
write.table(TotalHaulsToBeFished, "H:/Active nonsystem/ICES
WG/WGBIFS/Surveys/Allocating of BITS stations/list of totalallocated hauls
processed.csv", sep=";")
#library(dismo)
#library(raster)
#library(marmap)
library(rgdal)
library("RODBC")
#library(maptools)
#library(rasterVis)
#library(grid)
library(mapplots)
library(shapefiles)
setwd("H:/Active nonsystem/ICES WG/WGBIFS/Surveys/Allocating of BITS stations")
```

```
coast <-
read.shapefile('Y:/Dynamisk/GEOdata/BasicLavers/CoastLines/Europe/europe')
latlon <- CRS("+proj=longlat +datum=WGS84")
test2 <- TotalHaulsToBeFished
test2<-test2[,c("country")]
test2<-unique(test2)
for (countr in test2)
CountryTotalHaulsToBeFished<-
TotalHaulsToBeFished[TotalHaulsToBeFished$country==countr,]
test <- CountryTotalHaulsToBeFished
 test1<-test[,c("country")]
 test1<-unique(test1)
 coordinates(test) <- c("Longitude1_deg_dec", "Latitude1_deg_dec")
 proj4string(test) <- latlon
 #Set the limit of the plot to +/- 10% of the extent of the points
xfactor <- (bbox(test)[1,2]-bbox(test)[1,1])/20
yfactor <- (bbox(test)[2,2]-bbox(test)[2,1])/20
xlim <- c(bbox(test)[1,1]-xfactor, bbox(test)[1,2]+xfactor)</pre>
vlim <- c(bbox(test)[2,1]-yfactor, bbox(test)[2,2]+yfactor)</pre>
 ########
#col <- terrain.colors(12)</pre>
## Draw the maps
```

basemap(xlim=xlim, ylim=ylim, main = "Haul position map",test1) #, bg="white")
draw.shape(coast, col="cornsilk", border="transparent", xlim=xlim, ylim=ylim)
draw.rect()

points(CountryTotalHaulsToBeFished\$Longitude1_deg_dec,CountryTotalHaulsToBe Fished\$Latitude1_deg_dec, pch=20, cex=1.0, col="black")

CountryTotalHaulsToBeFished\$NrHaulalpha<-

as.character(CountryTotalHaulsToBeFished\$NrHaul)

text(CountryTotalHaulsToBeFished\$Longitude1_deg_dec,CountryTotalHaulsToBeFished\$Latitude1_deg_dec,CountryTotalHaulsToBeFished\$NrHaulalpha,cex=0.5,adj=0, pos=2,col="black")

```
}
```

Part 4

This part is used when participants in the BITS are requesting extra hauls to the hauls already allocated by the normal standard haul allocation procedure.

#Part 5 of Haul allocation program for BITS (stratified random sampling)

#The program select extra hauls for given Sub-dicision and depth interval.

#Haul allocation program for BITS Part 1 and Haul allocation program for BITS Part 2 have to be run previous to this.

1. Chose the Sub-division, depth interval and EZZ to allocate more hauls.

2. Chose the number of extra hauls to be selected.

```
# 3. Run the rest of the program.
# Depth interval
                 Laver #
# 0-10/20
           1
               #
# 10/20-40
              2
                 #
# 40-60
                   #
               3
# 60-80
               4
                   #
# 80-100
              5
                  #
# <100
          6
             #
Code #
# Country
            POL #
# Poland
# Denmark (incl. grey) DEN #
# Germany
              GFR #
# Sweden
             SWE #
# Latvia
            LAT #
# Lithuania
             LTU #
# Estonia
            EST #
# Finland
            FIN #
# Rusia
            RUS #
```

TD<-read.table("C:/Arbejdsting der skal tilbage på H-drev/BITS 2017 spring/Allocating of BITS stations/TD 2016 V1 HD version.csv",header=TRUE,sep=";") #TotalHaulsToBeFished <- read.table("H:/Active nonsystem/ICES WG/WGBIFS/Surveys/Allocating of BITS stations/list of totalallocated hauls.csv", sep=";") TotalHaulsToBeFished <- read.table("C:/Arbejdsting der skal tilbage på H-drev/BITS 2017 spring/2017/2017 spring/Planned hauls for Q1 2017.csv", header=TRUE,sep=";") TotalHaulsToBeFished <-TotalHaulsToBeFished [,c("NrHaul", "SD")] TotalHaulsToBeFished\$id<-1 haulRemaining<- merge(TotalHaulsToBeFished,TD, all=TRUE) haulRemaining\$id[is.na(haulRemaining\$id)] <- 2 haulRemaining<-haulRemaining[haulRemaining\$id==2,] haulRemaining\$EEZ1<-haulRemaining\$EEZ haulRemaining\$EEZ1[haulRemaining\$EEZ=="grey"]<-"DEN" haulRemaining<-haulRemaining[haulRemaining\$ICES.SD==Sub_div & haulRemaining\$Layer==DepthInterval & haulRemaining\$EEZ1==NationalZone,] haulRemaining\$ID <- 1:nrow(haulRemaining) haulRemaining1<-haulRemaining[,c("NrHaul", "ID")] haulRemaining1 <- data.frame(haulRemaining) ID<-sample(1:nrow(haulRemaining1), NHaulstoDraw, replace=FALSE) addtionalHauls <- data.frame(ID) extrahauls<- merge(addtionalHauls, haulRemaining, by="ID", all.selected=FALSE) extrahauls <-extrahauls [,c("NrHaul", "ICES.SD", "RectangleAlpha", "Layer", "Latitude1_deg", "Latitude1_dec_min", "Longitude1_deg", "Longitude1_dec_min", "Mean_Depth", "Distance", "EEZ", "Source")] #write.table(extrahauls, "H:/Active nonsystem/ICES WG/WGBIFS/Surveys/Extra hauls.csv", sep=";") write.table(extrahauls, "C:/Arbejdsting der skal tilbage på H-drev/BITS 2017 spring/Allocating of BITS stations/Extra hauls.csv", sep=";") extrahauls\$Latitude1_deg_dec<-(extrahauls\$Latitude1_dec_min/60*100/100)+extrahauls\$Latitude1_deg extrahauls\$Longitude1_deg_dec<-(extrahauls\$Longitude1_dec_min/60*100/100)+extrahauls\$Longitude1_deg coast <- read.shapefile('C:/Arbejdsting der skal tilbage på H-drev/BITS 2017 spring/Allocating of BITS stations/Shapefiles/europe') latlon <- CRS("+proj=longlat +datum=WGS84") coordinates(extrahauls) <- c("Longitude1_deg_dec", "Latitude1_deg_dec") proj4string(extrahauls) <- latlon #Set the limit of the plot to +/- 10% of the extent of the points

basemap(xlim=xlim, ylim=ylim, main = "Haul position map", "Extra hauls") #, bg="white")

draw.shape(coast, col="cornsilk", border="transparent", xlim=xlim, ylim=ylim)
draw.rect()

points(extrahauls\$Longitude1_deg_dec,extrahauls\$Latitude1_deg_dec, pch=20, cex=1.0, col="black")

extrahauls\$NrHaulalpha - as.character(extrahauls\$NrHaul)

text(extrahauls\$Longitude1_deg_dec,extrahauls\$Latitude1_deg_dec,extrahauls\$NrH aulalpha,cex=0.5,adj=0,pos=2,col="black")

Results of calibration between the Danish old R/S Havfisken and new R/S Havfisken II

by Henrik Degel, DTU Aqua

Introduction

The Danish research vessel "Havfisken" (code in DATRAS: HAF) has for more than 50 years been used for research. It was built in wood in 1962 as a side trawler weighting 20 BRT and is outdated. Among many other tasks, the vessel has carried out the BITS survey in Kattegat and western Baltic. Therefore, it has been replaced by the newly built "Havfisken II" (code in DATRAS: 26HF). It was decided to establish a calibration exercise between the old Havfisken and the new Havfisken II in order to be able to continue already established BITS survey time series

Trawling procedure and material

The exercise was carried out in the period from 13-19/3 – 2016 in the area of Skagerrak and the north-western part of Kattegat. The method used was parallel hauling between the two vessels. Figure 1 shows the sets of parallel hauls.



Figure 1. Map showing the parallel hauls with indication of pair haul number (red lines indicate tracks of the new Havfisken. Black lines indicate tracks of the old Havfisken.

The criteria for selecting this area were that it could be expected to exhibit reasonable abundance of cod and flatfish and that each species could be expected to exist in length span comparable to the length span observed during BITS and finally that trawling could be carried out on depth comparable to the depth observed during the BITS. In addition the selected area was in a convenient distance from the home port of the vessels, Strandby, on the east coast of Jutland.

The trawl used was the small standard BITS trawl (TV3 #520) with standard ground gear. Because the old Havfisken is a side trawler, it has not been possible to use the normal standard trawl doors. Instead a set of "Munkebo" trawl doors have been used for the whole time series and during this calibration exercise. The new Havfisken uses "Thyborøn" trawl doors (1.78 m² (63 inch), Weight 205 kg), which is the BITS standard trawl doors for TV3 #520.

The trawling procedure follows the standard BITS trawling procedure, which dictates 30 minutes of haul duration, trawling speed of 3 knots and trawling only during daytime. Parallel trawling was used where the two vessels conduct to parallel tracks simultaneous few hundred meters from each other. The engine power of the old Havfisken is not always sufficient to keep up this speed if the current is strong and against the trawling direction. This has the consequence that the trawling distance in these cases differs between the two vessels.

30 haul pairs were conducted, but only 28 hauls can be included in the analysis because two hauls of the old Havfisken were invalid (Haul pair 9 and haul pair 28) due to significant catches of peat, which completely blocked the cod end. The trawl and haul parameters of the 30 haul pairs are shown in table 1 together with the catches of the most important flatfish species and cod.

			Fishi	Mea	OtterBoa	Wire	Distanc	(Catch of n	of most important species				
Haul pair	Vali dity	Vess el	ng durat ion	n dept h	rd Distance	Lengt h	e (Bottom)	Da b	Turbo t	Plaic e	Flound er	Cod		
1	2		Minu tes	Mete rs	Meters	Meters	Nautic miles	Kg	Kg	Kg	Kg	Kg		
1	V	New	30	22	65.3	136	1.5	37. 7	0.72	49	0.7	3.37		
1	V	Old	30				1.45	33. 6	0.7	37.1	1.0	0.2		
2	V	New	30	78	74.3	250	1.5	0.8 9	0.0	6.88	0.0	69.2		
	V	Old	30				1.25	1.7	0.0	5.4	0.3	21.1		
2	V	New	30	77	69	225	1.5	0.0	0.0	19.4	0.0	118.5		
3	V	Old	30	80.3		232	1.15	0.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	27		
4	V	New	30	54		188	1.5	6.0 7	1.53	31.3	1.2	94.4		
4	V	Old	30	53.2		186	1.2	10. 9	0.0	54.5	0.9	32.8		
F	V	New	30	52	69.3		1.5	33. 8	0.0	24.9	0.0	222		
5	V	Old	30	51.1		186	1.5	10. 4	0.0	16	0.0	41.6		
6	V	New	30	42	73.3	168	1.5	4.2 5	0.36	16.8	1.1	28.1		
	V	Old	30	44.5	43.7	186	1.5	6.6	0.0	9.3	0.6	5.9		
7	V	New	30	51	72.6	188	1.5	0.1 2	0.0	27.6	2.0	133		
	V	Old	30	52.9	39	186	1.5	3.6	0.0	16	0.6	43.4		
7	V	New	30	50	71.6	188	1.5	8.4 2	0.0	20.4	0.0	19.45		
0	V	Old	30	53.6	36	232	1.5	12. 4	0.0	10.6	0.0	144.5		
0	V	New	30	75.8	78	232	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
9	Ι	Old	30	52		232	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
10	V	New	30	55	70.4	182	1.5	10. 2	0.49	36.5	0.9	2.51		
	V	Old	30	27		186	1.45	9.2	0.0	24.2	0.4	0.1		
11	V	New	30	32	66.6	136	1.5	75. 7	0.0	164	2.4	112		
11	V	Old	30	50		232	1.5	73. 3	0.0	379	0.7	3.1		
10	V	New	30	54.8	72.8	182	1.5	2.8	0.0	31.6	0.5	83		
12	V	Old	30	71		277	1.5	7.5	0.0	21.4	0.3	40.8		
12	V	New	30	44.9	74.5	182	1.5	2.7 9	0.0	14.6	2.1	40.4		
15	V	Old	30	44		232	1.5	2.1 2	0.0	7.4	1.0	36.9		
14	V	New	30	69	75.3	235	1.5	4.9 7	0.0	16.4	1.4	130.6		
14	V	Old	30	53		277	1.5	13. 5	0.0	11.5	0.2	74.4		

15	V	New	30	50.8	73.1	182	1.5	3.2 4	0.0	72.3	1.0	8.929 6
15	V	Old	30	25		186	1.4	20. 4	0.0	43.1	0.4	1.6
16	v	New	30	23	68	136	1.5	48. 8	2.2	50.9	1.2	105.9
	V	Old	30	57		232	1.5	0.0	0.0	27.6	0.0	40.8
45	V	New	30	53	72.3	200	1.5	1.6 8	0.0	42.8	0.0	136.5
17	V	Old	30	74		277	1.5	10. 1	0.0	29.6	0.0	71.7
18	V	New	30	75	76	240	1.5	1.4 9	0.0	39.5	0.3	260.0 1
	V	Old	30	78		277	1.5	1.7	0.0	22.7	0.2	93.1
10	V	New	30	80	75.5	250	1.5	4.7 9	0.0	52.6	0.4	16.24
19	V	Old	30	67		277	1.45	1.9 5	0.0	24.8	1.0	2
	V	New	30	56	74.3	235	1.5	43. 5	1.7	67.4	0.7	63.3
20	V	Old	30	58.5	28	277	1.4	22. 4	0.0	47.8	0.7	22.6
21	V	New	30	56	73.6	216	1.5	5.1 8	1.53	15.2	0.7	42.1
	V	Old	30	52.5	31	236	1.5	7.5	0.0	10.2	0.3	11.9
	V	New	30	77	73.3	240	1.5	5.3 5	0.0	61.5	0.7	32.2
22	V	Old	30	75	23.5	277	1.5	15. 4	0.0	51	0.0	7.3
	V	New	30	93	74.6	312	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9
23	V	Old	30	95	25	315	1.35	0.1	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.2
24	V	New	30	98	77	312	1.5	0.4 3	0.0	0.41	0.0	7.2
	V	Old	30	100.5	34	315	1.45	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
25	v	New	30	62	71	215	1.5	26. 5	0.0	76.4	0.4	47.7
25	V	Old	30	59.9	37	236	1.35	10. 7	0.7	71.7	0.0	16.2
26	V	New	30	36	64.6	150	1.5	33. 6	1.63	146	0.6	14.3
26	V	Old	30	31.2	37	136	1.5	46. 1	0.4	195	1.5	2.3
	V	New	30	46	72.7	200	1.5	45	1.31	98.2	2.1	4.1
27	V	Old	30	48.4	35	186	1.5	14. 5	0.5	59.7	0.0	6.5
26	V	New	30	60	69.7	215	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0
20	Ι	Old	30	56.9		186	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
29	V	New	15	17	62.5	136	0.75	23. 9	0.0	74.6	0.0	8.5
	V	Old	15	17.6	37	136	0.75	19	0.0	42.8	0.0	4.8
20	V	New	30	17	63.3	136	1.5	39. 4	0.0	86.3	1.8	18.5
50	v	Old	30	17.8	43	136	1.4	27. 3	0.0	43	0.4	13.5

Analyzing method

The comparison of the species specific catches of the new Havfisken versus the old Havfisken were done based on a statistical method for inter-calibration of surveys, i.e. determining the relative selectivity of two gear types or two vessels described in the draft paper "Intercalibration of survey trawl gear using paired hauls" prepared by Thygesen et al. 2015. The method relies on data from paired trawl hauls performed with the two gear types. The relative selectivity for each gear is modelled by use of the length distribution of the catches of the actual species and includes the swept area and three sets of random size dependent variables expressing:

- The local background size distribution (The true length distribution at the bottom at the spot for the haul. This will not be estimated but is only linking the relative selectivity of the two gears);
- 2) The haul specific fluctuations in the length distribution in the catch (This express the fact that the catch in one haul is different than the catch in another haul due to local variations of the abundance of the fish);
- 3) The relative selectivity of the two gears by length group (This is the component we are looking for in order to identify the any significant differences, which prevent us from directly comparing the catches of one gear with the catches of another gear and to convert the result from one gear to the other.

The catches are assumed to be Poisson distributed. Poisson distributions is used when it is assumed that the observations are independent (the catch in one haul is independent of the catch in another haul).

Trawling results

The total number of the potential relevant species in relation to index calculation in Kattegat and the Baltic Sea are given in table 2.

	Species										
Vessel	Long rough dab	Dab	Turbot	Plaice	Lemon sole	Wich flounder	Flounder	Brill	Sole	Cod	
New											
Havfisken	7119	7597	17	8195	2608	7	68	19	11	1561	
Old											
Havfisken	4053	5656	4	8831	1444	9	37	14	32	796	
Total	11172	13253	21	17027	4052	16	105	33	43	2357	

Table 2. Total number of flatfish species and cod caught by the two vessels.

The amount of the catches by haul are given in table 1 for the most index-relevant and frequently caught flatfish (dab, turbot, plaice, flounder) and cod. The catch of other species are too sparse to allow the establishment of conversion factors.

The length distributions of the four index-relevant and sufficient frequently caught species are shown in figure 2.



Figure 2. Compare of length distribution for the two vessels for the four most frequently caught species.

Results of calibration factors modelling

Figure 3 shows the relative selectivity for the new Havfisken compared with the old Havfisken for each of the most frequent caught species. The circles indicate the individual observations (hauls) and the solid lines indicate the estimated conversion factor. The grey area indicates the 95% significant intervals. The conversion factor (y-axis) expresses the factor which the catches of the new Havfisken must be multiplied with in order to be comparable to the time series of the old Havfisken. The exact calibration factors by length group are given in table 3.



Figure 3. Graphs showing the estimated calibration factors (solid line) for dab plaice, flounder and cod by cm length group for converting CPUE values from new Havfisken to old Havfisken time series. Grey area indicates significant intervals for the estimate. Open circles indicate observations. The conversion factor expresses the conversion from the new Havfisken to the old Havfisken

Discussion

Because the vessels use different rigging due to different trawl doors, the distance between the doors is more than double as large for the new Havfisken that for the old Havfisken (mean distance for new and old Havfisken is 71.5 meters and 34.6 meters respectively). Looking at the compare of length distribution (figure 1) there seems to be a difference in the selection of all length groups between the flatfish and the cod. The difference in the number in all length groups of cod is significant larger (largest for the new Havfisken) than is the case for the flatfish species. This might be explained by the difference in response to herding effect by the doors where cod is likely to respond by aggregating close to the median line of the trawl and then after a while to fall back into the belly of the trawl while flatfish is less likely to seek towards the median of the trawl and will be able to escape under the bridles. As a consequence the catch of cod represents individuals from the water mass defined by the opening of the net and the distance between the doors while the catch of flatfish represent the water mass defined by the opening and the distance between the wings. The difference in trawling distance might influence on the catch as well. In 12 cases out of the 30 hauls the old Havfisken was not capable of keeping up the 3 knots and consequently has a shorter trawl distance than the new Havfisken. The difference was in average for the 12 hauls 0.14 knots and 0.06 knots including all hauls. The difference in hauling procedure of the trawls might influence the catch of round fish as large individuals might escape when the wire are coiled up and the net is floating in the surface before it is pulled onboard the side trawler. The hauling process onboard the aft trawler is a more continuous movement, which does not allow the fish to escape.

The number of long rough dab and lemon sole (table 2) is probably sufficient to calculate if the catches of these two species are significantly different and would justify if conversion factors should be established for these two species as well.

Conclusions

The estimated conversion factors for cod and flounder are at a level, which means that they are significantly different from 1 for all size groups found and it is recommended to converting BITS CPUE estimates obtained with the new Havfisken to the BITS CPUE estimates obtained with the old Havfisken. This means that BITS surveys results acquired with the new Havfisken can be included in the existing time series for cod and flounder if the species specific conversion factors are applied.

The conversion factors estimated for plaice and dab are not significant different from 1, which indicates that no conversion factors should be applied and that the estimated obtained by the new Havfisken can be directly compared with the estimate obtained with the old Havfisken. It should be noted that the conversion factor estimates particularly for dab are very uncertain and not very well estimated. This has to do with high variability and **not** due to small catches of dab during the exercise. The conversion factors which should be used are given in table 3.

It is suggested that the corrected indices are introduces already this for this year assessment for cod and flounder by converting the new Havfisken input to old Havfisken standard followed by a conversion of the complete time series for the old Havfisken to new Havfisken standard. As the change is relatively large for especially cod but also for flounders, it is recommended that the new time series is implemented in connection with a future benchmark process.

References

Thygesen et al. Intercalibration of survey trawl gear using paired hauls. Draft prepared by Uffe Høgsbro Thygesen, Kasper Kristensen, Teunis Jansen, Jan E. Beyer. Additional authors to be included. DTU-Aqua, Danish Technical University. Compiled September 1, 2015.

Cod			
Length gr. Cm	Conversion factor		
<13	0.54		
14	0.53		
15	0.52		
16	0.53		
17	0.53		
18	0.53		
19	0.54		
20	0.55		
21	0.55		
22	0.54		
23	0.55		
20	0.56		
25	0.58		
25	0.50		
20	0.59		
27	0.00		
28	0.60		
29	0.61		
30	0.61		
31	0.61		
32	0.60		
33	0.60		
34	0.59		
35	0.58		
36	0.57		
37	0.57		
38	0.57		
39	0.56		
40	0.55		
41	0.53		
42	0.51		
43	0.50		
44	0.49		
45	0.48		
46	0.48		
47	0.46		
48	0.45		
49	0.44		
50	0.43		
51	0.43		
52	0.43		
53	0.43		
54	0.42		
55	0.42		
56	0.41		
57	0.40		
58	0.10		
59	0.40		
60	0.37		
61	0.39		
61	0.30		
62	0.37		
63	0.37		
64	0.36		
65	0.36		
66	0.35		
67	0.36		
68	0.36		
69	0.36		

Table 3. The estimated conversion factors by 1 cm length group for cod and flounder.

70	0.36	Flo	Flounder	
71	0.36	Length gr. (Cm)	Conversion factor	
72	0.35	<=20	0.77	
73	0.35	21	0.76	
74	0.35	22	0.73	
75	0.34	23	0.69	
76	0.34	24	0.64	
77	0.34	25	0.59	
78	0.33	26	0.53	
79	0.33	27	0.50	
80	0.33	28	0.48	
81	0.33	29	0.45	
82	0.33	30	0.45	
83	0.33	31	0.46	
84	0.33	32	0.47	
85	0.33	33	0.49	
86	0.33	34	0.52	
87	0.33	35	0.55	
88	0.33	36	0.56	
89	0.33	37	0.56	
90	0.33	38	0.56	
91	0.34	39	0.57	
92	0.34	>=40	0.57	
>93	0.34			