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## 7 Sole in divisions 8.a-b (northern and central Bay of Biscay)

Solea solea - sol.27.8ab

Type of assessment in 2021
Update. Age-structured XSA model. Category 1 stock (ICES, 2021).
Data revisions in 2021
Compared to last year's assessment, there is only very limited change in the ORAGHO (B1706) survey cpue.

### 7.1 General

### 7.1.1 Ecosystem aspects

See Stock Annex.

### 7.1.2 Fishery description

See Stock Annex.

### 7.1.3 Summary of ICES advice for 2021 and management applicable to 2020

ICES advice for 2021
ICES advises that when the EU multiannual plan (MAP; European Parliament and Council Regulation; EU, 2019) for Western waters and adjacent waters is applied, catches in 2021 that correspond to the F ranges in the MAP are between 2036 t and 4814 t . According to the MAP, catches higher than those corresponding to $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}(3483 \mathrm{t})$ can only be taken under the conditions specified in the MAP, whereas the entire range is considered precautionary when applying the ICES advice rule.

Management applicable to 2020 and 2021
The sole landings in the Bay of Biscay are subject to a TAC regulation. The TAC was set at 3666 t and 3483 t for 2020 and 2021, respectively.

The minimum landing size is 24 cm and the minimum mesh size is 70 mm for trawls and 100 mm for fixed nets when directed at sole. Since 2002, the hake recovery plan has increased the minimum mesh size for trawls to 100 mm in a large part of the Bay of Biscay (EU, 2002). However, since 2006, trawlers using a square mesh panel were allowed to use 70 mm mesh size in this area.

Since the end of 2006, the French vessels must have a European Fishing Authorization when their sole annual landing is above 2 t or be allowed to have more than 100 kg onboard. The Belgian vessel owners get a monthly non-transferable individual quota for sole and the amount is related to the capacity of the vessel.

A regulation establishing a multiannual plan (MAP) for Western waters and adjacent waters was adopted in March 2019 (EU, 2019). One of the objectives is to maintain or restore populations of harvested species at levels that can produce the maximum sustainable yield (MSY) in the context of mixed fisheries. The target fishing mortality $(\mathrm{F})$ corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values that are consistent with achieving MSY (FMSY). The FMSY upper limit is set that the probability of the stock falling below $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ is no more than $5 \%$. ICES considers that the Fmsy range for this stock used in the MAP is precautionary.

In addition to this MAP, the industry implemented a mesh size restriction of $>=80 \mathrm{~mm}$ for the bottom-trawls for the periods from 1 January to 31 May and from 1 October to 31 December. A seasonal closure was also applied during the spawning period, 1 January to 31 March, for the directed fishery for common sole. This closure consists of three periods of seven consecutive days for a total of 21 days of closure.

Since 2015, the French sole fishery in the Bay of Biscay (ICES divisions 8.a and 8.b) has been subjected to additional management measures aimed at reducing $F$ and improving the recruitment level of the stock. Since 2016, these measures have concerned at least a 15-day fishing activity suspension during the first quarter for netters and a reinforcement of the trawl selectivity for at least 8 months of the year (including the first quarter).

### 7.1.4 Data

### 7.1.4.1 Commercial catches and discards

The working group (WG) estimates of landings and catches are shown in Table 7.1. Over 90\% of the total landings are caught by France while Belgium catches amount to less than $10 \%$. There are some incidental landings by other countries such as Spain (less than $1 \%$ of the total landings).

The official landings are lower than the WG landings estimates before 2008 but became higher from 2009. This discrepancy in estimates before 2009 and 2009-2010 was due to a new method that has been implemented to calculate the French official landings. This important discrepancy in 2009-2010 values was likely caused by some assumptions in the algorithm implemented to calculate French official landings for these 2 years, which was again modified in 2011. Consequently, the official and the WG landing estimates are closely similar since 2011. This latest WG method for evaluating landings is considered appropriate in providing the best available estimates of the landing series.

In 2002, landings increased to 5486 t due to very favourable weather conditions for the fixed nets fishery (frequent strong swell periods in the first quarter).

The 2020 landings ( 3221 t ) is 12\% below the landings constraint set at 3666 t for 2020 .
Discards estimates were provided for the French offshore trawler fleet from 1984 to 2003 using the RESSGASC programme. The monitoring halted in 2004 and the discards are no longer used in the assessment. However, these surveys showed that discards from offshore trawlers are low at age 2 and above.

These low discard rates were confirmed by observations at sea in recent years. These observations have also shown that discards of beam trawlers and gillnetters are generally low but that the inshore trawler fleets may have occasionally high discards of sole. Unfortunately, these are difficult to estimate because the effort data of inshore trawlers are not precise enough to allow estimation by relevant areas.

The analysis of discards with data from OBSMER (SIH Harmonie, 2003) shows that the overall discard rate for sole in the Bay of Biscay is less than 5\% (2.4\% average discard ratio over 20152020).

### 7.1.4.2 Biological sampling

The quarterly French samplings for length composition are by gear (trawl or fixed net) and by boat length (below or over 12 m long). The split of the French landings by métier and length class is described in the Stock Annex. The observed split between fleets is presented in Table 7.2.

French and Belgian data were extracted from InterCatch for 2020.
Although age reading from otoliths now uses the same method in France and Belgium (see Stock Annex), the discrepancy between French and Belgian mean weight-at-age observed during the preceding WGs are still present. Work was carried out at the beginning of 2012 by the ICES Planning Group on Commercial Catches, Discards and Biological Sampling (PGCCDBS) to compare the age reading methods (ICES, 2012). The conclusion was the absence of bias between readers from the two countries using otoliths prepared with the same staining technique. All readers produced the same age estimates (i.e. no bias) of otoliths with or without staining. However, a likely effect of the weight-at-age determination process may also be presumed (weight-length relationship used in France and direct estimates in Belgium) and should be investigated. International age compositions are estimated using the same procedure as in previous years, as described in the Stock Annex. International mean weights-at-age of the catch are French-Belgian quarterly weighted mean weights. The catch and landings numbers-at-age are shown in Table 7.3 and Figure 7.1, respectively, and the mean catch weight-at-age in Table 7.4. The COVID-19 restrictions had a negligible impact on the biological sampling because most of the French catches occurred outside the period of the 2020 French spring lockdown.

### 7.1.5 Abundance indices from surveys

Since 2007, a beam trawl survey (ORHAGO, B1706) is carried out by Ifremer (France) to provide a sole abundance index in the Bay of Biscay. This survey is coordinated by the ICES WGBEAM. During the 2013 WGBEAM meeting, several cpue series were compared (ICES, 2013a). The index found to be the most appropriate was the one based on all the reference stations and carried out during the daytime. This was used to provide the abundance index for sole in divisions 8.a and 8.b. The 2013 WGHMM assessment was carried out according to the 2013 revised Stock Annex, which adds the ORHAGO (B1706) survey to the tuning files. This was a consequence of the IBP during the WGHMM 2013 which considered that the addition of the survey tuning fleet appears to be useful to the assessment (ICES, 2013b). In 2015, the survey vessel was changed. However, the gear configuration and method remained the same as in the previous years and the conclusion of the WGBEAM 2016 was: "This change has had no consequence on the gear configuration" (ICES, 2016c). On this basis, the WG agreed to retain the ORHAGO (B1706) abundance index for the assessment. Figure 7.2 shows the tuning fleets' time-series and their internal consistency. The ORHAGO survey (B1706) was not affected by the COVID-19 restrictions.

### 7.1.6 Commercial catch-effort data

The French La Rochelle and Les Sables trawler series of commercial fishing effort data and LPUE indices were completely revised in 2005. A selection of fishing days (or trips before 1999) was implemented with a double threshold (sole landings $>10 \%$ and Nephrops landings $<=10 \%$ ) for a group of vessels. The process is described in the Stock Annex.

The risk that the sole $10 \%$ threshold may lead to an underestimation of the decrease in stock abundance was pointed out by RG in 2010 (M. Lissardy, Ifremer, pers. comm.). This general point is acknowledged by this WG. However, in this particular case and by using the knowledge of the fishery, this threshold was set to avoid the effect of changing target species which may also affect the LPUE trend. Indeed, the choice of target species may affect effort repartition between
the stock's major habitats and peripheral areas where sole abundance is lower. According to fishers, a minimum of $10 \%$ in catch for sole was implemented when carrying out mixed-species trawling on sole grounds in order to ensure that sole LPUEs are not driven by a fishing strategy evolution (i.e. the targeting of cephalopods more particularly).

The La Rochelle LPUE series (FR-ROCHELLE) shows a decreasing trend from 1990 to 2001 followed by the absence of any clear trend, only some up and down variations (Figure 7.2). The Les Sables d'Olonne LPUE series (FR-SABLES) also shows a declining trend up to 2003. Thereafter, a short increase in 2004-2005 was observed followed by a flat trend from 2005 onwards.

Two new tuning series were added to the assessment according to the WKFLAT 2011 (ICES, 2011): the Bay of Biscay offshore trawler fleet ( $14-18 \mathrm{~m}$ ) in the second quarter (FR-BB-OFF-Q2) and the Bay of Biscay inshore trawler fleet ( $10-12 \mathrm{~m}$ ) in the fourth quarter (FR-BB-IN-Q4) for 2000 to the last year. A selection of fishing days was made by a double threshold (sole landings $>6 \%$ and Nephrops landings $<=10 \%$ ). The process is described in the Stock Annex.

Unfortunately, the fishing effort for the FR-BB-OFF-Q2 is no longer available since 2013. This is due to the use of electronic logbooks, for which the fishing effort is not a required value. Since 2013, these data are not well exported in the official database, and the majority of the fishing effort value is equal to 1 . Therefore, the commercial LPUE could not be calculated for this fleet.

However, LPUE for the FR-BB-IN-Q4 fleet is still available from paper logbooks which are still used by this fleet. The computation of the FR-BB-IN-Q4 was not affected by the COVID-19 restrictions, because in the fourth quarter of 2020, the inshore trawler was not affected by COVID19 restrictions.

For the ORHAGO (B1706) survey, the trend of the cpue shows an increase since 2008 despite some annual fluctuations which stabilized from 2013 onwards.

ORHAGO (B1706) shows a slight decrease in numbers-at-age 2 (Figure 7.2) in the last 5 years but the index is about the average of the whole time-series. It is worth noting that an important decrease of the ORHAGO (B1706) and FR-BB-IN-Q4 tuning fleet indices were observed in 2019 for age 2. Both also showed a decrease of the age 3 indices for the same year and in 2020, with a slight decrease for the FR-BB-IN-Q4 and a significant decrease for the ORHAGO (B1706) survey. A subsequent decrease in ages 2 and 3 indices was observed in 2020 where indices for both ages are lower than the 2019 values for the ORHAGO (B1706) survey and the FR-BB-IN-Q4 fleet. In general, these two fleets ORHAGO (B1706) and FR-BB-IN-Q4, are consistent among ages and allow for cohorts tracking.

### 7.2 Assessment

### 7.2.1 Input data

See Stock Annex.

### 7.2.2 Model

The model used in 2021 to assess the sole in the Bay of Biscay is the R FLXSA package (Kell, 2020) in $R$ ( $R$ Core Team, 2020). The age range in the assessment is $2-8+$, similar to last year's assessment. The year range used is 1984-2020.

## Result of XSA runs

The final XSA model used the same settings as in last year's assessment run. Figure 7.1 shows the landings-at-age distribution and, similar to last year's landings which consist mainly of ages 3 and 4-year-old individuals.

|  |  | 2020 XSA |  |  | 2021 XSA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch data range |  |  | 84-19 |  | 84-20 |
| Catch age range |  |  | 2-8+ |  | 2-8+ |
| Fleets | FR - SABLES | 91-09 | 2-7 | 91-09 | 2-7 |
|  | FR - ROCHELLE | 91-09 | 2-7 | 91-09 | 2-7 |
|  | FR-BB-IN-Q4 | 00-19 | 3-7 | 00-20 | 3-7 |
|  | FR-BB-OFF-Q2 | 00-12 | 2-6 | 00-12 | 2-6 |
|  | FR-ORHAGO | 07-19 | 2-8 | 07-20 | 2-8 |
| Taper |  |  | No |  | No |
| Ages catch dep. stock size |  |  | No |  | No |
| Q plateau |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| F shrinkage se |  |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |
| Year range |  |  | 5 |  | 5 |
| Age range |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Fleet se threshold |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |
| F bar range |  |  | 3-6 |  | 3-6 |

The log-catchability residuals are shown in Figure 7.3 and retrospective results in Figure 7.4. The retrospective pattern shows a good estimation of F, SSB for 2018 data. Table 7.5 gives the results of Mohn's rho calculation from the most recent assessments and five retrospective assessments with terminal years (2016-2020). Mohn's rho value is -0.00042 for recruitment, 0.13000 for SSB and 0.04900 for F .

Because of the lack of FR-BB-OFF-Q2 abundance indices in the tuning data, the estimated survivors at age 2 are only based on the ORHAGO (B1706) survey. Recruits at age 2 were not well estimated for 2019.

Fs and stock numbers-at-age are given in Table 7.6 and Table 7.7, respectively. The results are summarized in Table 7.8. Trends in yield, F, SSB and recruitment are plotted in Figure 7.5. F in 2020 is estimated by XSA (Shepherd, 1999) at 0.38 . F was 0.36 in 2019 and 0.34 in 2018.

### 7.2.2.1 Estimating year-class abundance

In this year's assessment, the retrospective analyses show that the recruitment was well estimated by the XSA model. The recruitment assumed for projections is computed as the geometric mean of the estimated recruitment over the period 2016-2020, which is equal to 12688 thousand recruits.

### 7.2.2.2 Historic trends in biomass, fishing mortality, and recruitment

A full summary of the XSA time-series results are given in Table 7.8 and illustrated in Figure 7.6. Since 1984, F gradually increased, peaked in 2002 and decreased substantially in the following two years. It increased since 2005 then was stable at around $\mathrm{F}=0.4$. In 2017, the value was below Fmsy but increased since 2018 to be above Fmsy. The SSB trend in earlier years increased from 12300 t in 1984 to 16300 t in 1993. Afterwards, it showed a continuous decline to 9600 t in 2003. After an increase in SSB was observed between 2004 and 2006, then the values remained close to 11000 t from 2007 to 2009. Although above the MSY Bbrigger ( 10600 t ) from 2004, SSB has been decreasing since 2012. SSB values for 2014 and 2015 were below the $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$, then was above since 2016 and for the last year (2020) estimated SSB is below MSY B trigger and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ (both equal to 10600 t ). The recruitment values were decreasing since 1993. Between 2004 and 2008, the series was stable at around 17 or 18 million then increased in 2009 to the highest value since 1992. After a short increase, the recruitment declined again since 2015, with the lowest values of 9101 and7986 observed in 2019 and 2020, respectively.

### 7.2.3 Catch options and prognosis

The exploitation pattern is the mean throughout 2018-2020 scaled at the last year. As the take up of TAC is less than $80 \%$, a F-status quo for the intermediate year is used and set at 0.38 . The recruits at age 2 from 2020 to 2021 are assumed equal to the geometric mean of 2016-2020 (GM16${ }^{20}$ ). Stock numbers-at-age 3 and above are the XSA survivor estimates. Weights-at-age in the landings are the 2018-2020 means using the old fresh/gutted transformation coefficient of French landings (1.11). The fresh/gutted transformation coefficient of French landings was not computed in 2021. The predicted spawning biomass is consequently still comparable to the biomass reference point.

### 7.2.3.1 Short-term predictions

Input values for the catch forecast are given in Table 7.10. For the intermediate year (2021), the F-status quo was used to perform the short-term predictions ( $\mathrm{F}_{2021}=0.38$ ).

In 2020, the WGBIE was concerned by the decrease in recruitment over the past two decades. The time-series used to compute the recruitment as a geometric mean was shortened to account for the low recruitment observed in the past 10 years using the mean of 2004 to 2017. In 2021, the retrospective analysis indicates that recruitment is well estimated in recent years, but still decreasing. In order to account for the lower recruitment in recent years, the geometric mean of the recruitment was again shortened and computed over the period 2016-2020, giving the value of 12688 thousand recruits. The shorter period to compute the GM of the recruitment is more precautionary than the longer period used in previous stock assessments.

Assuming recruitment at GM16-20, the SSB is predicted to decrease to 8934 t in 2022, and will decrease compared with 2021 at $F=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}} \times \mathrm{SSB}_{2022} / \mathrm{MSYB}_{\text {trigger, }}$, to reach 9372 t in 2023 and will remain under $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and MSYB ${ }_{\text {trigger }}$ (Table 7.10 and Table 7.11).

### 7.2.4 Biological reference points

ICES (2016a) and WKMSYRef4 for MSY approach reference points (ICES, 2016b) are given below as a technical basis with the values adopted for the precautionary approach reference points:

The F pattern is known, with low uncertainty, because of the limited discards and the satisfactory sampling level of the catches.

|  | Type | Value | Technical basis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSY | MSY $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$ | 10600 t | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ |
| Approach | FMSY | 0.33 | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ without $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Bl}_{\text {lim }}$ | 7600 t | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}} / \exp (\sigma \times 1.645)$ |
| Precautionary | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 10600 t | The third lowest value |
| Approach | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {lim }}$ | Undefined |  |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 0.88 | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}=\mathrm{FP} .05$ ( $5 \%$ risk to $\mathrm{B}_{\text {lim }}$ with $\left.\mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}\right)$ |

### 7.2.5 Comments on the assessment

## Sampling

The sampling level for this stock is considered to be satisfactory. The ORHAGO (B1706) survey provides information on several year-classes from age 2. At other ages, it is particularly useful to have a tuning fleet in the tuning file because the recent use of electronic logbooks has caused some obvious misreporting of effort which limits the available commercial tuning data in 2012 and 2013 coupled with the lack of FR-BB-OFF-Q2 abundance indices since 2013. Stopping the use of fleets of La Rochelle and Les Sables tuning series led to a paucity of information at age 2 in 2013, which were only provided by the Offshore Q2 tuning fleet (when data were available). That is no longer the case with the incorporation of the ORHAGO (B1706) survey in the assessment. The same age reading method is now adopted by France and Belgium. However, a discrepancy still exists between French and Belgian weights-at-age which requires further investigation.

## Discarding

Available data on discards have shown that discards may be important at age 1 for some trawlers. Discards at age 2 were assumed to be low in the past due to the high commercial value of the sole catches. Recently, there are some reports of highgrading practices due to the landing limits adopted by some producers' organizations. Overall, discards remain low in recent years and are used to produce catch advice. Discards could be included in the assessment during the next benchmark.

## Consistency

Since the 2013 assessment, the ORHAGO (B1706) survey has been included in the tuning fleets. This survey is the only tuning fleet that provides a recruitment index series for the more recent period. A GM is only used for recruitment predictions (2020-2023). It is worth noting that the variability of the recruitment series has increased in the period 2001 to 2019. The retrospective pattern in F shows that $\mathrm{F}_{2016}$ is well estimated (Figure 7.5). The definition of reference groups of vessels and the use of thresholds on species percentage to build the French series of commercial fishing effort data and LPUE indices are considered to provide LPUE representative of changes in stock abundance by limiting the effect of long-term change in fishing power (technological creep) and change in fishing practices in the sole fishery.

## Misreporting

Misreporting is likely to be limited for this stock but it may have occurred for fish of the smallest market size category for some years. There are some reports of highgrading practices due to the landing limits adopted by some producers' organizations.

## Industry input

The traditional meeting with representatives of the fishing industry was organized in France prior to the WG to present the data used during the WGBIE 2021 to assess the state of stock in the Bay of Biscay (ICES, 2021).

Since 2015, the French sole fishery in the Bay of Biscay (ICES divisions 8.a and 8.b) has been subjected to additional management measures aimed at reducing F and improving the stock's recruitment level. Since 2016, these measures include a fishing closure of at least 15 days during the first quarter for netters and a reinforcement of the selectivity for at least 8 months of the year (including the first quarter) for trawlers.

In addition to the European measures of the management plan of the Bay of Biscay sole stock (EU, 2006) and the harvest control rules defined in the framework of the South West Waters Advisory Council, France has set up from 2015 a national management regime towards the French sole fishery in the Bay of Biscay. In 2019, this management regime provides for:

- A 15-day fishing activity suspension per period of 5 consecutive days during the first quarter of the year, for netters holding a European fishing authorization for sole in the Bay of Biscay. From 2016 to 2018, these vessels were subjected to a 21 -day fishing activity suspension per period of 7 consecutive days during the first quarter;
- The obligation to use a mesh size greater than or equal to 80 mm (the regulatory mesh size being 70 mm ) from 1 January to 31 May and for at least 3 consecutive months from 1 June to 31 December, for bottom-trawlers holding a European fishing authorization for sole in the Bay of Biscay. The actual effectiveness of these management measures is not fully assessed;
- Suspension of netters from fishing during the months with the highest yields should significantly reduce landings. A study made by Ifremer (Ifremer, 2015) quantified that closing the fishery 5 days per month during the first quarter corresponds to a reduction of $16 \%$ of the annual landings of the netters compared to identical conditions of activity elsewhere;
- The increase in the mesh size of the bottom-trawls should also limit catches of sole that have not reached maturity ( 26 cm ). A study made by AGLIA (AGLIA 2009) showed that size compositions of trawl catches differed between 70 and 80 mm mesh sizes and catches of sole less than 28 cm are considerably reduced.


## Management considerations

The assessment indicates that SSB has decreased continuously to 9600 t in 2003, reached a peak in 1993 (16 308 t ), then decreased to 14446 t in 2011. After another decrease from 2012 to 2015, SSB increased from 2016 to 2017 followed by a decreasing trend since 2018 to 10355 t in 2020. The SSB in 2019 was above $B_{p a}$ and MSYB ${ }_{\text {trigger }}\left(10600 t\right.$ ), but is now under $B_{p a}$ and MSYB ${ }_{\text {trigger, }}$ assuming a 12688 recruitment value for 2021. A slight decrease of SBB is predicted by the shortterm forecast in 2023 (9372 t), a value still under $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and MSYB trigger (Table 7.11). In 2006, a management plan (EU, 2006) was agreed for the Bay of Biscay sole but a long-term target for F was not set. This plan was not evaluated by ICES.
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### 7.2.7 Tables and figures

Table 7.1. Bay of Biscay sole in divisions 8.a and 8.b. International landings and catches used by WGBIE (in tonnes).

| Year | Belgium | France | Spain | Total | ICES landings | discards | ICES catches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 0 | 2376 | 62 | 2443 | 2619 | - | - |
| 1980 | 33 | 2549 | 107 | 2689 | 2986 | - | - |
| 1981 | 4 | 2581 | 96 | 2694 | 2936 | - | - |
| 1982 | 19 | 1618 | 57 | 1746 | 3813 | - | - |
| 1983 | 9 | 2590 | 38 | 2669 | 3628 | - | - |
| 1984 | 0 | 2968 | 40 | 3183 | 4038 | 99 | 4137 |
| 1985 | 25 | 3424 | 308 | 3925 | 4251 | 64 | 4315 |
| 1986 | 52 | 4228 | 75 | 4567 | 4805 | 27 | 4832 |
| 1987 | 124 | 4009 | 101 | 4379 | 5086 | 198 | 5284 |
| 1988 | 135 | 4308 | 0 | 4443 | 5382 | 254 | 5636 |
| 1989 | 311 | 5471 | 0 | 5782 | 5845 | 356 | 6201 |
| 1990 | 301 | 5231 | 0 | 5532 | 5916 | 303 | 6219 |
| 1991 | 389 | 4315 | 3 | 4707 | 5569 | 198 | 5767 |
| 1992 | 440 | 5928 | 0 | 6359 | 6550 | 123 | 6673 |
| 1993 | 400 | 6096 | 13 | 6496 | 6420 | 104 | 6524 |
| 1994 | 466 | 6627 | 2 | 7095 | 7229 | 184 | 7413 |
| 1995 | 546 | 5326 | 0 | 5872 | 6205 | 130 | 6335 |
| 1996 | 460 | 3842 | 0 | 4302 | 5854 | 142 | 5996 |
| 1997 | 435 | 4526 | 0 | 4961 | 6259 | 118 | 6377 |
| 1998 | 469 | 3821 | 0 | 4334 | 6027 | 127 | 6154 |
| 1999 | 504 | 3280 | 0 | 3784 | 5249 | 110 | 5359 |
| 2000 | 451 | 5293 | 5 | 5749 | 5760 | 51 | 5811 |
| 2001 | 361 | 4350 | 0 | 4912 | 4836 | 39 | 4875 |
| 2002 | 303 | 3680 | 2 | 3985 | 5486 | 22 | 5508 |
| 2003 | 296 | 3805 | 4 | 4105 | 4108 | 21 | 4129 |
| 2004 | 324 | 3739 | 9 | 4072 | 4002 | - | - |
| 2005 | 358 | 4003 | 10 | 4371 | 4539 | - | - |


| Year | Belgium | France | Spain | Total | ICES landings | discards | ICES catches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 393 | 4030 | 9 | 4432 | 4793 | - | - |
| 2007 | 401 | 3707 | 9 | 4117 | 4363 | - | - |
| 2008 | 305 | 3018 | 11 | 3336 | 4299 | - | - |
| 2009 | 364 | 4391 | 0 | 4755 | 3650 | - | - |
| 2010 | 451 | 4248 | 0 | 4699 | 3966 | - | - |
| 2011 | 386 | 4259 | 0 | 4645 | 4632 | - | - |
| 2012 | 385 | 3819 | 0 | 4204 | 4321 | - | - |
| 2013 | 312 | 4181 | 0 | 4492 | 4235 | - | - |
| 2014 | 307 | 3793 | 10 | 4110 | 3928 | - | - |
| 2015 | 302 | 3465 | 8 | 3775 | 3644 | 62 | 3706 |
| 2016 | 288 | 3054 | 4 | 3346 | 3232 | 134 | 3366 |
| 2017 | 274 | 2953 | 8 | 3236 | 3249 | 55 | 3304 |
| 2018 | 295 | 3165 | 8 | 3468 | 3308 | 79 | 3332 |
| 2019 | 322 | 3032 | 24 | 3351 | 3376 | 88 | 3464 |
| 2020 | 299 | 2091 | 21 | 3221 | 3219 | 74 | 3293 |

Table 7.2. Bay of Biscay sole in divisions 8.a and 8.b. Total landings by different fleets (in tonnes).

| Year | Offshore trawlers | Inshore trawlers | Offshore gillnetters | Inshore gillnetters | Belgian Beam trawlers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 1874 | 667 | 1927 | 1356 | 435 |
| 1998 | 1826 | 605 | 1674 | 1414 | 463 |
| 1999 | 1261 | 289 | 2094 | 1105 | 499 |
| 2000 | 1197 | 474 | 2510 | 1114 | 459 |
| 2001 | 994 | 411 | 1947 | 913 | 368 |
| 2002 | 968 | 373 | 2760 | 1054 | 311 |
| 2003 | 992 | 329 | 1736 | 749 | 296 |
| 2004 | 898 | 369 | 1710 | 686 | 319 |
| 2005 | 923 | 326 | 2053 | 788 | 365 |
| 2006 | 923 | 373 | 2117 | 896 | 393 |
| 2007 | 920 | 392 | 1768 | 870 | 401 |
| 2008 | 813 | 238 | 2085 | 856 | 305 |
| 2009 | 745 | 235 | 1615 | 692 | 363 |
| 2010 | 792 | 323 | 1733 | 667 | 451 |
| 2011 | 807 | 327 | 2197 | 915 | 386 |
| 2012 | 744 | 365 | 1938 | 889 | 385 |
| 2013 | 744 | 313 | 2052 | 814 | 312 |
| 2014 | 716 | 345 | 1811 | 748 | 307 |
| 2015 | 537 | 263 | 1786 | 748 | 302 |
| 2016 | 471 | 259 | 1522 | 687 | 288 |
| 2017 | 514 | 245 | 1545 | 663 | 274 |
| 2018 | 470 | 230 | 1667 | 725 | 295 |
| 2019 | 457 | 227 | 1589 | 759 | 322 |
| 2020 | 437 | 226 | 1520 | 723 | 299 |

Table 7.3. Bay of Biscay sole in divisions 8.a and 8.b, catch number-at-age.

| Year | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 5901 | 3164 | 2786 | 2034 | 1164 | 880 | 1181 |
| 1985 | 8493 | 4606 | 2479 | 1962 | 906 | 708 | 729 |
| 1986 | 5901 | 3164 | 2786 | 2034 | 1164 | 880 | 1181 |
| 1987 | 8493 | 4606 | 2479 | 1962 | 906 | 708 | 729 |
| 1988 | 6126 | 4208 | 2673 | 2301 | 1512 | 1044 | 1235 |
| 1989 | 3794 | 5634 | 3578 | 2005 | 1482 | 690 | 714 |
| 1990 | 4962 | 5928 | 4191 | 2293 | 1388 | 874 | 766 |
| 1991 | 4918 | 6551 | 3802 | 3147 | 2046 | 967 | 499 |
| 1992 | 7122 | 6312 | 4423 | 2833 | 972 | 1018 | 870 |
| 1993 | 4562 | 6302 | 4512 | 2083 | 1113 | 1063 | 981 |
| 1994 | 4640 | 7279 | 4920 | 2991 | 2236 | 1124 | 951 |
| 1995 | 1897 | 7816 | 6879 | 3661 | 1625 | 566 | 708 |
| 1996 | 2603 | 5502 | 8803 | 5040 | 1968 | 970 | 696 |
| 1997 | 3249 | 5663 | 6356 | 3644 | 1795 | 843 | 986 |
| 1998 | 3027 | 5180 | 5409 | 2343 | 1697 | 1366 | 1319 |
| 1999 | 3801 | 9079 | 5380 | 3063 | 1578 | 692 | 877 |
| 2000 | 4096 | 5550 | 6351 | 2306 | 1237 | 785 | 1188 |
| 2001 | 2851 | 5113 | 4870 | 2764 | 1314 | 902 | 977 |
| 2002 | 5677 | 7015 | 5143 | 2542 | 955 | 421 | 444 |
| 2003 | 3180 | 6528 | 4948 | 1776 | 899 | 513 | 486 |
| 2004 | 5198 | 4777 | 4932 | 3095 | 1269 | 615 | 432 |
| 2005 | 4274 | 6309 | 2236 | 1220 | 729 | 377 | 250 |
| 2006 | 3411 | 5415 | 3291 | 917 | 661 | 272 | 333 |
| 2007 | 3976 | 3464 | 3738 | 2309 | 991 | 461 | 508 |
| 2008 | 3535 | 4436 | 2747 | 2012 | 1030 | 530 | 1537 |
| 2009 | 3885 | 5181 | 2615 | 1419 | 1262 | 686 | 946 |
| 2010 | 3173 | 4794 | 2886 | 1353 | 938 | 892 | 1193 |
| 2011 | 2860 | 3986 | 2233 | 1501 | 946 | 541 | 960 |
| 2012 | 2084 | 7707 | 3758 | 1272 | 484 | 269 | 284 |


| Year | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2013 | 1516 | 5222 | 8347 | 1019 | 570 | 275 | 516 |
| 2014 | 1302 | 4680 | 4264 | 3787 | 1008 | 225 | 517 |
| 2015 | 2312 | 2939 | 3777 | 3205 | 1450 | 286 | 635 |
| 2016 | 3767 | 3198 | 1769 | 2426 | 1810 | 791 | 522 |
| 2017 | 2531 | 3365 | 1742 | 2057 | 1305 | 939 | 636 |
| 2018 | 1144 | 3368 | 2682 | 1193 | 762 | 759 | 867 |
| 2019 | 1492 | 3608 | 2199 | 1023 | 606 | 587 | 949 |
| 2020 | 1736 | 3497 | 2448 | 1823 | 885 | 484 | 933 |

Table 7.4. Bay of Biscay sole in divisions 8.a and 8.b, catch weight-at-age (in kg).

| Year | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.228 | 0.288 | 0.352 | 0.394 | 0.614 |
| 1985 | 0.109 | 0.179 | 0.26 | 0.322 | 0.402 | 0.471 | 0.719 |
| 1986 | 0.104 | 0.176 | 0.25 | 0.334 | 0.417 | 0.508 | 0.67 |
| 1987 | 0.144 | 0.206 | 0.292 | 0.385 | 0.479 | 0.509 | 0.699 |
| 1988 | 0.135 | 0.192 | 0.274 | 0.36 | 0.499 | 0.507 | 0.609 |
| 1989 | 0.137 | 0.189 | 0.259 | 0.356 | 0.439 | 0.546 | 0.803 |
| 1990 | 0.132 | 0.18 | 0.242 | 0.349 | 0.438 | 0.603 | 0.857 |
| 1991 | 0.146 | 0.196 | 0.265 | 0.331 | 0.445 | 0.545 | 0.728 |
| 1992 | 0.146 | 0.196 | 0.262 | 0.341 | 0.404 | 0.49 | 0.715 |
| 1993 | 0.145 | 0.197 | 0.267 | 0.341 | 0.439 | 0.569 | 0.678 |
| 1994 | 0.147 | 0.195 | 0.251 | 0.325 | 0.422 | 0.57 | 0.775 |
| 1995 | 0.16 | 0.206 | 0.253 | 0.309 | 0.404 | 0.485 | 0.66 |
| 1996 | 0.159 | 0.204 | 0.268 | 0.319 | 0.399 | 0.453 | 0.625 |
| 1997 | 0.143 | 0.194 | 0.257 | 0.321 | 0.408 | 0.504 | 0.681 |
| 1998 | 0.162 | 0.214 | 0.259 | 0.338 | 0.414 | 0.506 | 0.706 |
| 1999 | 0.177 | 0.219 | 0.246 | 0.305 | 0.404 | 0.533 | 0.582 |
| 2000 | 0.172 | 0.208 | 0.278 | 0.345 | 0.455 | 0.577 | 0.76 |
| 2001 | 0.154 | 0.222 | 0.268 | 0.344 | 0.432 | 0.524 | 0.625 |
| 2002 | 0.173 | 0.211 | 0.266 | 0.324 | 0.472 | 0.599 | 0.689 |
| 2003 | 0.181 | 0.227 | 0.309 | 0.363 | 0.49 | 0.661 | 0.646 |
| 2004 | 0.192 | 0.229 | 0.293 | 0.395 | 0.498 | 0.65 | 0.818 |
| 2005 | 0.192 | 0.229 | 0.303 | 0.373 | 0.437 | 0.475 | 0.666 |
| 2006 | 0.198 | 0.245 | 0.286 | 0.352 | 0.426 | 0.461 | 0.54 |
| 2007 | 0.176 | 0.226 | 0.299 | 0.327 | 0.389 | 0.42 | 0.512 |
| 2008 | 0.174 | 0.229 | 0.287 | 0.352 | 0.392 | 0.401 | 0.519 |
| 2009 | 0.173 | 0.218 | 0.279 | 0.322 | 0.367 | 0.454 | 0.61 |
| 2010 | 0.179 | 0.206 | 0.273 | 0.338 | 0.415 | 0.478 | 0.769 |
| 2011 | 0.194 | 0.224 | 0.254 | 0.344 | 0.434 | 0.491 | 0.609 |
| 2012 | 0.182 | 0.225 | 0.258 | 0.308 | 0.37 | 0.415 | 0.586 |


| Year | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2013 | 0.21 | 0.242 | 0.274 | 0.306 | 0.371 | 0.522 | 0.525 |
| 2014 | 0.179 | 0.243 | 0.283 | 0.299 | 0.351 | 0.397 | 0.581 |
| 2015 | 0.198 | 0.226 | 0.318 | 0.314 | 0.389 | 0.367 | 0.52 |
| 2016 | 0.188 | 0.238 | 0.286 | 0.352 | 0.372 | 0.382 | 0.526 |
| 2017 | 0.219 | 0.239 | 0.301 | 0.376 | 0.434 | 0.427 | 0.523 |
| 2018 | 0.191 | 0.251 | 0.285 | 0.357 | 0.407 | 0.382 | 0.444 |
| 2019 | 0.2 | 0.248 | 0.288 | 0.334 | 0.332 | 0.372 | 0.424 |
| 2020 | 0.205 | 0.245 | 0.296 | 0.314 | 0.353 | 0.376 | 0.456 |

Table 7.5. Mohn's rho for R, SSB and R.

| Variable | Mohn's rho |
| :--- | :--- |
| SSB | -0.00042 |
| Mean F | 0.04900 |
| Recruits | 0.13000 |

Table 7.6. Fishing mortality-at-age.

|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 0.297 | 0.243 | 0.336 | 0.348 | 0.320 | 0.336 | 0.336 |
| 1985 | 0.360 | 0.354 | 0.272 | 0.372 | 0.229 | 0.292 | 0.292 |
| 1986 | 0.258 | 0.271 | 0.318 | 0.388 | 0.485 | 0.398 | 0.398 |
| 1987 | 0.175 | 0.356 | 0.347 | 0.372 | 0.411 | 0.378 | 0.378 |
| 1988 | 0.217 | 0.400 | 0.433 | 0.347 | 0.423 | 0.402 | 0.402 |
| 1989 | 0.203 | 0.437 | 0.429 | 0.598 | 0.526 | 0.519 | 0.519 |
| 1990 | 0.266 | 0.385 | 0.526 | 0.581 | 0.327 | 0.480 | 0.480 |
| 1991 | 0.144 | 0.354 | 0.464 | 0.446 | 0.419 | 0.631 | 0.631 |
| 1992 | 0.149 | 0.320 | 0.456 | 0.566 | 1.101 | 0.868 | 0.868 |
| 1993 | 0.0804 | 0.354 | 0.501 | 0.644 | 0.611 | 0.824 | 0.824 |
| 1994 | 0.110 | 0.328 | 0.754 | 0.747 | 0.771 | 0.812 | 0.812 |
| 1995 | 0.157 | 0.330 | 0.684 | 0.723 | 0.575 | 0.800 | 0.800 |
| 1996 | 0.115 | 0.355 | 0.531 | 0.510 | 0.790 | 1.059 | 1.059 |
| 1997 | 0.185 | 0.516 | 0.673 | 0.577 | 0.685 | 0.782 | 0.782 |
| 1998 | 0.212 | 0.396 | 0.738 | 0.606 | 0.429 | 0.778 | 0.778 |
| 1999 | 0.131 | 0.393 | 0.638 | 0.746 | 0.744 | 0.565 | 0.565 |
| 2000 | 0.273 | 0.480 | 0.767 | 0.723 | 0.550 | 0.496 | 0.496 |
| 2001 | 0.220 | 0.509 | 0.654 | 0.579 | 0.535 | 0.571 | 0.571 |
| 2002 | 0.249 | 0.526 | 0.809 | 1.018 | 0.968 | 0.765 | 0.765 |
| 2003 | 0.204 | 0.478 | 0.443 | 0.416 | 0.616 | 0.767 | 0.767 |
| 2004 | 0.237 | 0.381 | 0.436 | 0.292 | 0.370 | 0.433 | 0.433 |
| 2005 | 0.263 | 0.356 | 0.438 | 0.551 | 0.518 | 0.423 | 0.423 |
| 2006 | 0.228 | 0.464 | 0.471 | 0.395 | 0.450 | 0.513 | 0.513 |
| 2007 | 0.265 | 0.535 | 0.485 | 0.420 | 0.410 | 0.542 | 0.542 |
| 2008 | 0.201 | 0.535 | 0.572 | 0.442 | 0.480 | 0.503 | 0.503 |
| 2009 | 0.093 | 0.369 | 0.452 | 0.586 | 0.562 | 0.500 | 0.500 |
| 2010 | 0.094 | 0.344 | 0.625 | 0.447 | 0.334 | 0.270 | 0.270 |
| 2011 | 0.081 | 0.317 | 0.677 | 0.302 | 0.327 | 0.286 | 0.286 |
| 2012 | 0.104 | 0.338 | 0.411 | 0.664 | 0.486 | 0.185 | 0.185 |


|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 0.193 | 0.32 | 0.444 | 0.548 | 0.509 | 0.218 | 0.218 |
| 2014 | 0.260 | 0.394 | 0.289 | 0.506 | 0.607 | 0.511 | 0.511 |
| 2015 | 0.161 | 0.347 | 0.344 | 0.562 | 0.496 | 0.652 | 0.652 |
| 2016 | 0.074 | 0.297 | 0.454 | 0.372 | 0.370 | 0.533 | 0.533 |
| 2017 | 0.098 | 0.309 | 0.287 | 0.278 | 0.292 | 0.479 | 0.479 |
| 2018 | 0.122 | 0.311 | 0.317 | 0.364 | 0.365 | 0.355 | 0.355 |
| 2019 | 0.135 | 0.347 | 0.391 | 0.326 | 0.362 | 0.381 | 0.381 |
| 2020 | 0.22 | 0.38 | 0.51 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.24 |

Table 7.7. Bay of Biscay sole in divisions 8.a and 8.b, stock number-at-age (start of year). Numbers*10** -3.

|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 24146 | 15402 | 10263 | 7273 | 4471 | 3246 | 4342 |
| 1985 | 29505 | 16235 | 10927 | 6636 | 4646 | 2939 | 3017 |
| 1986 | 28293 | 18619 | 10309 | 7529 | 4139 | 3342 | 3939 |
| 1987 | 24882 | 19774 | 12844 | 6785 | 4624 | 2307 | 2378 |
| 1988 | 26717 | 18905 | 12533 | 8218 | 4232 | 2774 | 2422 |
| 1989 | 28114 | 19455 | 11467 | 7353 | 5255 | 2509 | 1289 |
| 1990 | 32062 | 20761 | 11372 | 6759 | 3660 | 2809 | 2390 |
| 1991 | 35677 | 22236 | 12781 | 6083 | 3421 | 2387 | 2191 |
| 1992 | 35306 | 27942 | 14126 | 7273 | 3522 | 2037 | 1710 |
| 1993 | 24865 | 27532 | 18359 | 8101 | 3736 | 1060 | 1317 |
| 1994 | 26171 | 20694 | 17477 | 10069 | 3848 | 1834 | 1307 |
| 1995 | 23542 | 21204 | 13491 | 7441 | 4316 | 1610 | 1870 |
| 1996 | 29349 | 18211 | 13800 | 6161 | 3266 | 2198 | 2103 |
| 1997 | 23707 | 23676 | 11551 | 7341 | 3346 | 1341 | 1688 |
| 1998 | 22581 | 17836 | 12787 | 5334 | 3729 | 1527 | 2295 |
| 1999 | 24379 | 16536 | 10859 | 5529 | 2633 | 2197 | 2368 |
| 2000 | 24968 | 19347 | 10098 | 5193 | 2374 | 1132 | 1189 |
| 2001 | 16909 | 17192 | 10833 | 4245 | 2281 | 1239 | 1168 |
| 2002 | 24755 | 12275 | 9346 | 5095 | 2152 | 1209 | 843 |


|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 | 24329 | 17455 | 6563 | 3765 | 1666 | 740 | 487 |
| 2004 | 17007 | 17949 | 9792 | 3812 | 2246 | 814 | 993 |
| 2005 | 18064 | 12144 | 11090 | 5730 | 2577 | 1404 | 1541 |
| 2006 | 18247 | 12563 | 7693 | 6479 | 2988 | 1389 | 4009 |
| 2007 | 17533 | 13148 | 7148 | 4348 | 3948 | 1724 | 2366 |
| 2008 | 18346 | 12169 | 6969 | 3980 | 2585 | 2372 | 3158 |
| 2009 | 33751 | 13582 | 6451 | 3560 | 2314 | 1446 | 2555 |
| 2010 | 24517 | 27818 | 8498 | 3713 | 1794 | 1194 | 1258 |
| 2011 | 20557 | 20202 | 17840 | 4114 | 2149 | 1162 | 2175 |
| 2012 | 13840 | 17158 | 13312 | 8202 | 2753 | 1403 | 3217 |
| 2013 | 13833 | 11285 | 11074 | 7989 | 3820 | 1533 | 3395 |
| 2014 | 17300 | 10317 | 7415 | 6427 | 4180 | 2077 | 1364 |
| 2015 | 17880 | 12070 | 6293 | 5027 | 3508 | 2061 | 1388 |
| 2016 | 16967 | 13771 | 7721 | 4038 | 2592 | 1933 | 2197 |
| 2017 | 16758 | 14264 | 9256 | 4435 | 2518 | 1620 | 2608 |
| 2018 | 15900 | 13744 | 9474 | 6284 | 3039 | 1702 | 3270 |
| 2019 | 9109 | 12735 | 9110 | 6245 | 3952 | 1908 | 2714 |
| 2020 | 7986 | 7204 | 8143 | 5577 | 4077 | 2489 | 4789 |

Table 7.8. Summary of sole in the Bay of Biscay.

| Year | Recruits (in thousands) | SSB (in t) | Landings (in t) | Mean F (age 3-6) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 24146 | 12313 | 4038 | 0.31 |
| 1985 | 29505 | 13355 | 4251 | 0.31 |
| 1986 | 28293 | 14462 | 4805 | 0.37 |
| 1987 | 24882 | 15451 | 5086 | 0.37 |
| 1988 | 26717 | 15321 | 5842 | 0.4 |
| 1989 | 28114 | 14764 | 5916 | 0.5 |
| 1990 | 32062 | 14715 | 5569 | 0.45 |
| 1991 | 35306 | 15909 | 6550 | 0.42 |
| 1992 |  |  |  | 0.61 |


| Year | Recruits (in thousands) | SSB (in t) | Landings (in t) | Mean F (age 3-6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1993 | 24865 | 16308 | 6420 | 0.53 |
| 1994 | 26171 | 15773 | 7229 | 0.65 |
| 1995 | 23542 | 14169 | 6205 | 0.58 |
| 1996 | 29349 | 13743 | 5854 | 0.55 |
| 1997 | 23707 | 13256 | 6259 | 0.61 |
| 1998 | 22581 | 13176 | 6027 | 0.54 |
| 1999 | 24379 | 12277 | 5249 | 0.63 |
| 2000 | 24968 | 11794 | 5760 | 0.63 |
| 2001 | 16909 | 10536 | 4836 | 0.57 |
| 2002 | 24755 | 9781 | 5486 | 0.83 |
| 2003 | 24329 | 9600 | 4108 | 0.49 |
| 2004 | 17007 | 11096 | 4002 | 0.37 |
| 2005 | 18064 | 11455 | 4539 | 0.47 |
| 2006 | 18247 | 12038 | 4793 | 0.44 |
| 2007 | 17533 | 11031 | 4363 | 0.46 |
| 2008 | 18346 | 10922 | 4299 | 0.51 |
| 2009 | 33751 | 10734 | 3650 | 0.49 |
| 2010 | 24517 | 12633 | 3966 | 0.44 |
| 2011 | 20557 | 14446 | 4632 | 0.41 |
| 2012 | 13840 | 14107 | 4321 | 0.47 |
| 2013 | 13833 | 13245 | 4235 | 0.46 |
| 2014 | 17300 | 10645 | 3928 | 0.45 |
| 2015 | 17880 | 10308 | 3644 | 0.44 |
| 2016 | 16967 | 10757 | 3232 | 0.37 |
| 2017 | 16758 | 13034 | 3244 | 0.29 |
| 2018 | 15900 | 12360 | 3517 | 0.34 |
| 2019 | 9109 | 11564 | 3400 | 0.36 |
| 2020 | 7986 | 10355 | 3219 | 0.38 |

## Table 7.9: XSA tuning diagnostics

## Fleet $=$ FR-SABLES

Catchability residuals:

|  | 991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |  | 981 | 1999 | 2000 | 1 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | -0.23 | -0.14 | -0.38 | -0.41 | -0.09 | -0.21 | -0.13 | $3-0$ | 04-0. | -0.18 | 0.19 | -0.17 | 0.22 | -0. |
| 3 | 0.10 | -0.19 | 0.15 | -0.11 | -0.18 | -0.03 | 0.20 | 0-0. | 02-0. | -0.43 | 0.39 | 0.06 | 0.25 |  |
| 4 | 0.12 | -0.28 | -0.10 | 0.3 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | $44-0$ | -0.24 | 0.12 | -0.06 | 0.12 | -0. |
| 5 | 0.07 | -0.17 | -0.12 | 0.22 | -0.02 | -0.13 | -0.25 | 50. | 150. | 0.27 | -0.10 | -0.29 | 0.33 | -0. |
| 6 | -0.20 | 0.16 | -0.40 | 0.02 | -0.25 | 0.23 | -0.03 | 3-0. |  | 0.43 | -0.03 | -0.24 | 0.34 | 0. |
| 7 | -0.06 | -0.15 | -0.26 | 0.19 | 0.07 | 0.49 | 0.00 |  | 110 | 0.54 | 0.11 | -0.19 | 0.06 | 0. |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 017 |
| 2 | 0.30 | 0.49 | 0.82 | 0.26 | 0.15 | -0.31 | NA | NA | NA | A | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 3 | -0.30 | -0.18 | 0.00 | -0.02 | 0.16 | 0.14 | NA | NA | NA | A | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 4 | -0.19 | -0.16 | -0.47 | 0.07 | 0.36 | 0.06 | NA | NA | NA | A NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 5 | -0.51 | 0.24 | -0.74 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.57 | NA | NA | NA | A NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 6 | -0.36 | 0.14 | -0.53 | 0.27 | 0.34 | 0.46 | NA | NA | NA | A | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | -0.12 | 0.05 | -0.17 | 0.69 | 0.37 | 0.34 | NA | NA | NA | A | NA | NA | NA | NA |

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with
independant of year class strength and constant w.r.t time:

|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean log | q | -15.0660 | -14.5115 | -14.4653 | -14.6451 | -14.6388 | -14.6388 |
| S.E. log q | 0.3169 | 0.2008 | 0.2415 | 0.3276 | 0.3067 | 0.2896 |  |

Regression Statistics:

|  | Model used? | slope | Intercept | RSquare | Num Pts | Reg s.e | Mean Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | "No" | "5.74" | "38.76" | "0.03" | "19" | "1.46" | "-15.07" |
| 3 | "No" | "1.04" | "14.68" | "0.63" | "19" | "0.21" | "-14.51" |
| 4 | "No" | "0.87" | "13.81" | "0.7" | "19" | "0.21" | "-14.47" |
| 5 | "No" | "1.23" | "16.03" | "0.35" | "19" | "0.41" | "-14.65" |
| 6 | "No" | "1.45" | "17.64" | "0.27" | "19" | "0.44" | "-14.64" |
| 7 | "No" | "0.73" | "12.57" | "0.8" | "19" | "0.18" | "-14.53" |

## Fleet $=$ FR-ROCHELLE

## Catchability residuals:

|  | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | -0.09 | -0.18 | -0.46 | -0.40 | -0.04 | 0.32 | -0.06 | 0.19 | -0.03 | 0.19 | -0.24 | 0.70 | 0.16 |
| 3 | 0.19 | -0.05 | -0.02 | -0.22 | -0.12 | 0.05 | 0.11 | -0.11 | -0.50 | -0.28 | -0.09 | 0.18 | 0.23 |
| 4 | 0.44 | 0.12 | -0.22 | 0.29 | 0.30 | -0.15 | -0.08 | 0.47 | -0.26 | -0.12 | 0.13 | -0.33 | -0.08 |
| 5 | 0.45 | 0.16 | -0.09 | 0.18 | 0.21 | -0.36 | -0.36 | 0.00 | 0.18 | -0.18 | -0.07 | -0.07 | -0.08 |
| 6 | 0.11 | 0.33 | -0.26 | 0.11 | -0.36 | -0.12 | -0.02 | -0.54 | 0.52 | -0.29 | 0.08 | -0.02 | 0.10 |
| 7 | 0.01 | 0.07 | -0.02 | 0.00 | -0.05 | -0.08 | -0.09 | 0.03 | 0.23 | -0.19 | 0.15 | -0.10 | -0.24 |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 201020 | 1120 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 7 |
| 2 | 0.37 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.21 | -0.83 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 3 | -0.09 | -0.38 | -0.24 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.15 | NA | NA | NA NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 4 | -0.23 | -0.21 | -0.29 | -0.17 | 0.38 | 0.02 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 5 | -0.49 | 0.32 | -0.29 | -0.27 | 0.29 | 0.46 | NA | NA | A NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 6 | -0.22 | 0.39 | -0.04 | -0.24 | 0.15 | 0.32 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 7 | -0.02 | 0.18 | -0.02 | -0.17 | 0.24 | 0.20 | NA | NA | NA NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with
independant of year class strength and constant w.r.t time:

|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean log q -15.0003 | -14.5528 | -14.7682 | -15.1189 | -15.1756 | -15.1756 |  |

$\begin{array}{lrrrrrrr}\text { Mean } \log q & -15.0003 & -14.5528 & -14.7682 & -15.1189 & -15.1756 & -15.1756 \\ \text { S.E. } \log q & 0.3381 & 0.2867 & 0.2634 & 0.2836 & 0.2781 & 0.1418\end{array}$

Regression Statistics:

|  | Model | slope | Intercept | RSquare | Num Pts | Reg s.e | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | "No" | "1.98" | "19.84" | "0.13" | "19" | "0.64" | "-15" |
| 3 | "No" | "1.27" | "15.84" | "0.36" | "19" | "0.37" | "-14.55" |
| 4 | "No" | "0.84" | "13.91" | "0.68" | "19" | "0.22" | "-14.77" |


| 5 | "No" | $" 0.96 " ~ " 14.86 "$ | $" 0.53 "$ | $" 19 "$ | $" 0.28 "$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 "No" | $" 1.62 " ~ " 19.65 "$ | $" 0.28 "$ | $" 19 "$ | $" 0.43 "$ | $"-15.18 "$ |
| 7 "No" | $" 0.84 " ~ " 13.89 " ~$ | $" 0.91 "$ | $" 19 "$ | $" 0.11 "$ | $"-15.17 "$ |

Fleet $=$ FR-BB-IN-Q4
Catchability residuals:

|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 0.40 | -0.25 | 0.40 | 0.82 | 0.38 | -0.14 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.27 | -0.02 | -0.11 | -0.37 | 0.26 |
| 4 | 0.46 | -0.45 | -0.63 | 0.19 | 0.40 | 0.17 | -0.44 | 0.27 | 0.62 | -0.30 | 0.44 | -0.06 | 0.55 |
| 5 | 0.08 | -0.35 | -0.13 | -0.73 | 0.50 | 0.23 | -0.51 | 0.24 | 0.21 | -0.01 | 0.16 | -0.06 | 0.81 |
| 6 | -0.46 | -0.02 | 0.58 | -0.34 | 0.83 | -0.02 | 0.04 | 0.04 | -0.01 | 0.13 | -0.47 | -0.18 | 0.03 |
| 7 | -0.19 | -0.10 | 0.55 | 0.28 | 0.23 | -0.14 | 0.46 | -0.52 | -0.20 | -0.32 | -0.86 | -0.42 | 0.02 |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | -0.33 | 0.09 | -0.20 | -0.10 | 0.09 | -0.85 | -0.55 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0.13 | -0.48 | -0.27 | -0.32 | -0.21 | -0.40 | 0.03 | 0.31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | -0.15 | -0.24 | 0.15 | 0.10 | -0.55 | -0.02 | 0.05 | 0.22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0.33 | -0.12 | -0.12 | 0.00 | -0.03 | 0.02 | -0.13 | -0.13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | -0.01 | -0.66 | 0.16 | -0.38 | 0.15 | -0.05 | 0.27 | -0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with
independant of year class strength and constant w.r.t time:

|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean $\log q$ | -14.5909 | -14.9661 | -15.1649 | -15.0662 | -15.0662 |
| S.E. $\log q$ | 0.3694 | 0.3873 | 0.3532 | 0.3000 | 0.3714 |

Regression Statistics:


Fleet $=\mathrm{FR}-\mathrm{BB}-\mathrm{OFF}-\mathrm{Q} 2$

Catchability residuals:

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.42 | 0.46 | 0.89 | 0.94 | 0.44 | 0.39 | -0.25 | 0.56 | 0.93 | -1.68 | -1.43 | -1.96 | 0.28 |
| -0.44 | -0.14 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.19 | -0.18 | -0.18 | 0.79 | 0.41 | -0.10 | 0.00 | -0.71 | -0.01 |
| 0.35 | 0.23 | 0.13 | -0.02 | -0.07 | -0.02 | -0.65 | -0.37 | 0.05 | -0.19 | 0.29 | 0.44 | -0.18 |
| 0.72 | 0.45 | 0.79 | -0.20 | -0.93 | 0.26 | -0.56 | -0.98 | 0.02 | -0.10 | 0.36 | -0.33 | 0.52 |
| 0.70 | 1.13 | 1.35 | 0.39 | -0.52 | -0.76 | 0.32 | -0.01 | -0.77 | -0.35 | -1.31 | 0.18 | -0.34 |
| 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with independant of year class strength and constant w.r.t time:

|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean log q | -15.9002 | -14.4985 | -14.7267 | -15.3321 | -15.8530 |
| S.E. $\log \mathrm{q}$ | 1.0181 | 0.3753 | 0.3036 | 0.5853 | 0.7784 |

Regression Statistics:

| Model used? slope | Intercept RSquare Num Pts Reg s.e Mean Q |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 "No" | $"-1.33 "$ | $" 1.95 "$ | $" 0.04 "$ | $" 13 "$ | $" 1.3 "$ |
| 3 "No" | $" 2.29 "$ | $" 20.7 "$ | $" 0.09 "$ | $" 13 "$ | $" 0.82 "$ |
| 4 "No" | $" 0.67 "$ | $" 12.86 "$ | $" 0.74 "$ | $" 13 "$ | $" 0.18 "$ |
| $4 "-14.73 "$ |  |  |  |  |  |


| 5 | "No" | $" 0.58 "$ | $" 12.43 "$ | $" 0.38 "$ | $" 13 "$ | $" 0.34 "$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | "No" | $" 1.07 "$ | $" 16.4 "$ | $" 0.06 "$ | $" 13 "$ | $" 0.87 "$ |

Fleet $=$ FR-ORHAGO
Catchability residuals:

|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 0.06 | -0.29 | 0.34 | -0.24 | -0.01 | -0.43 | -0.41 | 0.42 | 0.13 | 0.08 | -0.01 | 0.15 | 0.24 |
| 3 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.04 | -0.40 | 0.08 | -0.22 | -0.06 | -0.17 | 0.36 | 0.19 | -0.15 | -0.10 |
| 4 | 0.13 | 0.01 | -0.18 | -0.23 | -0.52 | 0.15 | 0.48 | -0.06 | -0.04 | -0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.23 |
| 5 | 0.40 | -0.80 | -0.46 | -1.26 | -1.30 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.51 | 0.53 | 0.64 | 0.18 | 0.51 | 0.24 |
| 6 | 0.29 | -0.61 | -0.67 | -3.51 | -0.92 | 0.19 | 0.96 | 1.12 | 0.96 | 0.59 | 0.97 | 0.54 | 0.22 |
| 7 | -1.20 | -0.34 | -2.04 | -0.96 | -0.12 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.82 | 0.90 | 0.47 | 0.99 | 1.02 | 0.48 |
| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | -0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | -0.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0.04 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | -0.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | -0.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with
independant of year class strength and constant w.r.t time:

|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean log q | -9.0337 | -9.4154 | -9.7797 | -10.1951 | -10.4993 | -10.4993 |  |
| S.E. log q | 0.2624 | 0.2133 | 0.2297 | 0.6726 | 1.2043 | 0.9113 |  |

Regression Statistics:


Table 7.10. Short-term forecasts input parameters.

| Variable | Value | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fage 3-6 (2021) (2022) | 0.38 | Average selection pattern from 2018 to 2020, scaled to the F of 2020. |
| SSB (2034 | Assessment forecast; in tonnes. |  |
| $R_{\text {age 2 (2021-2022) }}$ | 12688 | Geometric mean (2016-2020); in thousands. |
| Landings (2021) | 3219 | Total catch in 2020 without discards; in tonnes. |
| Discards (2021) | 77 | Computed using the average discard ratio (2.4\%) over 2015-2020 but not used in the <br> assessment; in tonnes. |

Table 7.11. Management options table. Annual catch scenarios (all weights are in tonnes).

| Basis | Total catch* (2022) | Wanted catch** (2022) | Unwanted catch** (2022) | $\begin{aligned} & F_{\text {wanted }} \\ & (2022) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SSB } \\ (2023) \end{array}$ | \% SSB <br> change ${ }^{\wedge}$ | \% TAC change ^^ | \% Advice change^ ^^ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ICES advice basis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EU MAP \# : F = $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }} \times$ SSB $_{\text {2022 }} / \mathrm{MSY} \mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$ | 2233 | 2180 | 53 | 0.28 | 9372 | +5\% | -36\% | -36\% |
| $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{MAP} \mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ lower $\times$ SSB $_{2022} / \mathrm{MSY}_{\text {trigger }}$ | 1265 | 1235 | 30 | 0.15 | 10359 | +16\% | -38\% | -38\% |
| $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{MAP} \mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ upper $\times$ SSB $_{2022} / \mathrm{MSY}_{\text {trigger }}$ | 3097 | 3023 | 74 | 0.41 | 8493 | -5\% | -36\% | -36\% |


| Other scenarios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSY approach $=\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | 2578 | 2516 | 62 | 0.33 | 9022 | $+1 \%$ | $-26 \%$ | $-26 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{~F}=0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11647 | $+30 \%$ | $-100 \%$ | $-100 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 5522 | 5390 | 132 | 0.88 | 6046 | $-32 \%$ | $+59 \%$ | $+59 \%$ |


| Flim (not applicable) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{SSB}_{2023}=\mathrm{Bl}_{\text {lim }}$ | 3979 | 3884 | 95 | 0.56 | 7600 | -15\% | +14\% | +14\% |
| $S S B_{2023}=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}=\mathrm{MSY} \mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$ | 1028 | 1004 | 24 | 0.12 | 10600 | +18\% | -70\% | -70\% |
| $\mathrm{SSB}_{2023}=\mathrm{SSB}_{2022}$ | 2663 | 2600 | 63 | 0.34 | 8934 | 0\% | -24\% | -24\% |
| $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}_{2021}$ | 2907 | 2837 | 70 | 0.38 | 8687 | -3\% | -17\% | -17\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected landings **= } \\ & \mathrm{TAC}_{2021} \end{aligned}$ | 3569 | 3483 | 86 | 0.49 | 8016 | -10\% | +2\% | +2\% |
| Total catch equal to TAC $_{2021}$ | 3483 | 3399 | 84 | 0.47 | 8098 | -9\% | 0\% | 0\% |

* Total catch is calculated based on projected landings and the assumed projected discard ratio (2.4\%).
** "Projected landings" and "projected discards" are used to describe fish that would be landed and discarded based on the average discard rate estimate of 2015-2019 (2.4\%).
\# The EU multiannual plan (MAP; EU, 2019).
${ }^{\wedge}$ SSB 2023 relative to SSB2022.
$\wedge \wedge$ Total catch in 2022 relative to TAC in 2021 ( 3483 t).
$\wedge^{\wedge \wedge \wedge}$ Advice values for 2022 relative to the corresponding 2021 values (MAP advice of 3483, 2036, and $4814 t$, respectively; other values are relative to $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ms}}$ ).


Figure 7.1.Bay of Biscay sole in divisions 8.a and 8.b, landings-at-age distributions.


Figure 7.2.Time-series of standardized indices per age-classes. Colours represent tuning fleets.


Figure 7.3.Bay of Biscay sole in divisions 8.a and 8.b, XSA assessment residuals (No Taper, mean q, s.e. shrink = 2.5, s.e. $\min =2$ ).


Figure 7.4. Bay of Biscay sole in divisions 8.a and 8.b. Retrospective patterns (No taper, $q$ indep. stock size all ages, $q$ indep. of age $>=6$, shr. $=1.5$ ).


Figure 7.5. Bay of Biscay sole in divisions 8.a and 8.b. Trends for landings, F, recruitment, SSB and total catch data.

