## Stock Annex for Haddock VIIb-k

Stock specific documentation of standard assessment procedures used by ICES.

| Stock | Haddock VIIb,c,e-k |
| :--- | :--- |
| Working Group | WGCSE |
| Date | last revision 11/05/13 |
| Revised by | Hans Gerritsen |

## A. General

## A.1. Stock definition

For assessment purposes, the stock is defined as VIIb-k excluding VIId and including rectangles 33E2 and 33E3. Landings from VIId are insignificant and this division is not included in the assessment area. Irish landings from rectangles 33E2 and 33E3 are added to the stock assessment area. Landings from these rectangles were removed from the VIIa stock area following benchmark of had-7a at WKROUND 2013. WKROUND found that landings from these rectangles had increased substantially in recent years and that geographically this fishery is contiguous with the fishery in VHg and quite separate from the main haddock fishery in VIIa. These landings have been added to VIIg since 2003 and the landings numbers-at-age have been adjusted. Before 2007 landings from these rectangles were $<1 \%$ of the total landings in VIIbc,e-k, between 2007 and 2013 they contributed around $3 \%$ of the total landings.
The TAC for haddock is set for VIIb-k, VIII, IX and X. However, official international landings from outside the assessmebt area (VIId, VIII, IX and X) have been less than $2 \%$ of all landings in the TAC area in most years since 1973.
Adult haddock appear to be continuously distributed from the north of Biscay along Irish coasts and the west of Scotland into the North Sea. It is not clear from their distribution if the VIIb,c,e-k stock is distinct from the surrounding areas. Irish Otter trawlpue in the northernmost rectangles of VIIb is relatively high and similar lpue continues into VIa, suggesting that the haddock in the north of VIIb might belong to the same stock as those in VIa (Gerritsen, 2009). The pattern of lpue in the Irish Sea appears to be relatively distinct from VIIb,c,e-k with relatively high otter and beam trawl lpue in VIIg, low lpue in VIIa-South and high lpue in VIIa north (Gerritsen, 2009). Results from the French EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 survey suggest that relatively low densities of haddock continue from VIIh into VIIIa. Irish Groundfish Survey (IGFS-WIBTS-Q4) data indicates two distinct nursery areas with high catches of 0-group haddock: one area off the southwest coast of Ireland (VIIb south and VIIj north) and one area off the southeast coast (VIIg north). Catches of older haddock in VIIb are generally low and it is not clear whether the young fish from VIIb move north to VIa or south to VIIj stock (Gerritsen and Stokes, 2006).

## A.2. Fishery

Haddock in Divisions VIIb, c,e-k are taken as a component of catches in mixed trawl fisheries. France usually takes about $50-80 \%$ of the landings. French landings are made mainly by gadoid trawlers, which prior to 1980 were mainly fishing for hake in the Celtic Sea. Ireland has historically taken about $25-40 \%$ of the landings. Fleets from Belgium, Norway, the Netherlands, Spain, and the UK take the remainder of the landings. Landings reported between 1984 and 1995 varied between 2600 t and 4900 t , then increased sharply to 10300 t in 1997. Since then the landings have varied between 5000 t and 10000 t .

The vast majority of the landings are taken by otter trawls, most of the remainder of the landings are taken by seines and beam trawls.

## A.3. Ecosystem aspects

Haddock are widely distributed throughout the stock area across a range of habitats. They have a varied diet but do not appear to be cannibalistic (Needle et al., 2003)

The mixed trawl fisheries impacts on benthic communities through bottom contact. Other ecosystem impacts result from discarding of non-target, undersize, over-quota or low-value fish.

Recruitment of haddock is highly variable. For North Sea haddock, no link could be found between temperature and recruitment (Cook and Heath, 2005). But parental condition has been linked to recruitment success in northwest Atlantic haddock (e.g. Friedland et al., 2003; Marshall and Frank, 1999).
B. Data

## B.1. Commercial catch

Sampling and data raising
Data on landings-at-age and mean weight-at-age-are available for fleets landing into Ireland since 1993, and from France and the UK since 2002. Irish age compositions from VIIg were used to estimate the age compositions of the international landings. Note that Irish landings contributed around $30 \%$ of the international landings so there is considerable uncertainty about the age composition of the landings before 2002

Data for 1993-2012
The UK landings numbers-at-age are supplied for the combined VIIe-k area and the landings data from each division are used to scale the catch numbers to each division. French VIIfgh landings numbers are combined with Irish VIIg data to estimate VIIfgh landings numbers. Since 2009, the French landings numbers-at-age are supplied for the whole stock area (VIIb,c,e-k). The table below shows the data available and the procedures used to derive quarterly length compositions, age compositions and mean weights-at-age.


Since WGCSE 2014 the data are supplied according to a datacall and therefore available at a different level of aggregation. The allocation of unsampled data has been done as follows:

|  | UnSAMpLED CATCHES |  |  |  |  | AGE COMPOSITION ALLocated From: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nat | CCat | Métier | Area | Quarter | Nat | CCat | Metier | Area | Quarter |
| BEL | Lan | All | All | All | All | Lan | TBB | All | All |
| BEL | Dis | Est. from overall discard rate | All | Dis | All | All | All |  |  |
| ESP | Lan | All | All | All | All | Lan | All | All | All |
| IRL | Lan | MIS_MIS | All | All | IRL | Lan | All | All | All |
| FRA | Lan | SSC | All | All | IRL | SSC | All | All | All |
| UK(EW) | Lan | GNS | All | All | UK(EW) | Lan | All | All | All |
| UK(EW) | Lan | OTB | All | All | UK(EW) | Lan | ALL | All | All |
| UK(EW) | Lan | Other | All | All | UK(EW) | Lan | All | All | All |
| UK(EW) | Dis | All | All | All | All |  |  |  |  |
| UK(NI) | Lan | SSC | All | All | IRL | LAN | SSC | All | All |
| UK(NI) | Lan | OTB | All | All | UK(EW) | OTB | All | All | All |
| UK(Sc) | Lan | OTB | All | All | UK(EW) | Lan | All | All | All |
| UK(Sc) | Lan | Other | All | All | UK(EW) | Lan | All | All | All |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weights-at-age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Discard weights were estimated from a fixed length-weight relationship ( $a=11.809$; $\mathrm{b}=3.069$ ). This was applied to the discard length distributions-at-age. For the landings weights, length-weight relationships were estimated for each year and quarter from the individual weights of the fish that were aged. Landings and discard weights are combined to estimate catch weights. The values are weighted by the numbers-atage.

Quarter-1 catch weights were used as stock weights. If no data were available, quar-ter-2 weights were used. Previous to the WGSSDS 2004, a three year running average was applied to the stock weights-at-age In 2004, the working group estimation of stock weights was done using a quadratic function fitted through cohorts to the firstquarter catch weight data. In 2005 the stock weights were modelled using a von Bertalanfy growth equation. The raw stock weight data show significant year-effects and although these might be due to changes in sampling or ageing errors, it is also possible that weights-at-age are subject to interannual variation in condition. As the modelled stock weight did not fit the data very well and because it is not clear whether stock weights-at-age are more influenced by cohort- or year-effects, it was decided in 2007 to revert to using a three year running average to smooth the data, and constraining the weights in older ages to at least those of the preceding age in the cohort.

## B.2. Biological

Natural mortality estimates were derived from mean catch weights-at-age using the approach proposed by Lorenzen (1996). Parameter values were obtained from Table 1 in the Lorenzen paper (ocean ecosystems: $\alpha=3.69 ; \beta=-3.05$ ).

| Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | AGe $8+$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.99 | 0.72 | 0.60 | 0.50 | 0.43 | 0.40 | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.34 |

Maturity was assumed to be knife-edged at-age 2. Recent Irish Survey data are generally in agreement with this maturity ogive, although males occasionally mature atage one.
$F$ and $M$ before spawning were set to 0 for all ages in all years.

## B.3. Surveys and commercial tuning fleets

## Description

The surveys described below are co-ordinated by the IBTSWG (International Bottom Trawl Survey Working Group).

The French 7fghj EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4 annual groundfish has been carried out since 1997 on the RV Thalassa. Age data are available from 2001 onwards. ALK data from Irish surveys were applied to the EVHOE data for the years 1997-2000 to estimate numbers-at-age for these years. The sampling design is a stratified random allocation. The number of hauls per stratum is optimised by a Neyman allocation taking into account the most important commercial species in the area (hake, monkfish and megrim). The fishing gear used is a GOV with an average vertical opening of 4 m and a horizontal opening of 20 m .
The Irish Groundfish Survey (IGFS-WIBTS-Q4) has been carried out since 2003 and covers VIaS, VIIbgj. This survey is carried out on RV Celtic Explorer. The IGFS has a random stratified design and uses a GOV (with rock-hopper in VIa) with a 20 mm codend liner.

The two surveys were combined to provide a single index that covers nearly the full stock area. Gerritsen (2012a) describes the justification and for combining the surveys. The two indices are directly combined, weighted by the surface area covered by each survey ( $37000 \mathrm{~nm}^{2}$ for the IGFS and $30000 \mathrm{~nm}^{2}$ for the EVHOE). The combined survey starts in 2003. The EVHOE data before 2003 are not used.
A French commercial OTB DEF tuning fleet is available but this fleet takes the majority of the landings and is therefore not included as tuning fleet.

An Irish commercial OTB fleet is available from 1995 onwards. This fleet is based on the landings and effort from ICES Rectangles 32D9, 31D9, 31E0, 31E1, 31E2, 32E1 and 32E2. These rectangles were selected in order to avoid changes in lpue due to shifts in targeting behaviour. The selected rectangles do not include any major Nephrops or hake, monkfish or megrim fishing grounds or areas with seasonal closures.

Consistency
The survey shows good internal consistency for ages 0 to 4 . The Irish tuning shows good consistency from the age of 2 to 7 . However discards are not included in this index and it is not known if discarding patterns have been consistent over time, therefore ages 2 and 3 were not included.

## B.4. Commercial cpue

Effort and lpue data are available from the Irish otter trawl fleets operating in Divisions VIIb, VIIj and VIIg since 1995, French demeral trawlers in VIIfgh since 2004 and effort data are available for the UK beam trawl fleet in VIIe-k and all other trawl gears in VIIe-k since 1983. The effort in the French gadoid fleet has decreased in recent years and is now at a similar level to the Irish and UK fleets. Effort in the Irish OTB VIIg fleet has increased in recent years, while the Irish OTB effort in VIIb and VIIj appears to have levelled off in recent years. The lpue of the French gadoid fleet is still much higher than that of the other fleets. The Irish and UK fleets have seen a minor increasing trend in lpue in recent years.

## B.5. Other relevant data

## Discard data

Discard data are available from the Irish fleet since 1995. Data were raised using effort (hours fished) as auxiliary variable and stratified by ICES Division. The number of trips in some years is quite low, leading to concerns about the precision of the data.

French discard data are available since 2004. These data were also raised using effort (hours fished) as auxiliary variable. Data before 2008 are considered unreliable. Therefore French discards were estimated from the mean discard rate at-age for the period 1993-2007. It was assumed that $90 \%$ of one year olds, $50 \%$ of two year olds and $10 \%$ of three year olds were discarded. These proportions were applied to the French catch numbers-at-age to estimate historic discards. For the period 1993-2001, no French age composition data were available, therefore Irish age composition data were raised to French landings and the discard numbers were estimated from these.

French and Irish discard data were combined and a further raising factor was applied to account for discards from other countries. This raising factor was estimated from the total landings of all countries as a proportion of the combined French and Irish landings. This raising factor did not exceed 1.15 in any year.

No French age data are available for the discards. Irish age data are available but there are some concerns about the reliability of these data. For this reason, a quarterly length split is applied to the smallest length classes (where the cohorts are quite distinct). For larger fish, quarterly ALKs from the French and Irish landings are used.

Length-splits applied to the discard data. For lengths where landings ALKs were available, these were used.

| Country | AR |  | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ireland |  | 1 | $\leq 10$ | 11-18 | 19-27 | $\geq 28$ |
|  |  | 2 | $\leq 11$ | 12-21 | 22-29 | $\geq 30$ |
|  |  | 3 | $\leq 14$ | 15-23 | 24-33 | $\geq 34$ |
|  |  | 4 | $\leq 17$ | 18-25 | 26-34 | $\geq 35$ |
|  |  | 1 | $\leq 15$ | 16-23 | 24-34 | $\geq 35$ |
|  |  | 2 | $\leq 17$ | 18-26 | $\geq 27$ |  |
|  |  | 3 | $\leq 20$ | 21-29 | $\geq 30$ |  |
|  |  | 4 | $\leq 21$ | 22-30 | $\geq 31$ |  |
| ranc | VIIbk | 1 | $\leq 18$ | 19-23 | 24-32 | $\geq 33$ |
|  |  | 2 | $\leq 17$ | 18-26 | 27-34 | $\geq 35$ |
|  |  | 3 | $\leq 20$ | 21-29 | $\geq 30$ |  |
|  |  | 4 | $\leq 21$ | 22-29 | $\geq 30$ |  |

## C. Historical stock development

Model used:
ASAP; (XSA is also used for quality control purposes; if the two models disagree the differences will need to be explained.)

Software used:
ASAP V2.0 NOAA Fisheries toolbox (http://nft.nefsc.noaa.gov)

## VPA95 (http://www.ices.dk/datacentre/software.asp)

FLR with R version 2.8 .1 with packages FLCore 2.2, FLAssess 2.0.1, FLXSA 2.0 and FLEDA 2.0 (http://cran.r-project.org; http://flr-project.org)

ASAP is proposed as the main assessment model. However, due to the short timeseries and noisy catch data, it is uncertain whether the separable assumption holds. Therefore it is proposed to also use XSA to monitor if the two models continue to provide similar trends and absolute estimates of SSB and F.

## C.1. Input data types and characteristics

A plusgroup of $8+$ was used. Age group 0 was included in the assessment data to allow inclusion of 0 -group indices. However, catch numbers and selectivity-at-age 0 were set to zero in all years because catches at this age were very low or zero
Discard estimates are included in the catch numbers and weights, therefore catch is explicitly defined here as landings + discards.


## C.2. Model Options

ASAP
Note that ASAP does not accommodate inclusion of data for age 0 . Therefore the ages in ASAP are offset by 1 year. All age settings above refer to the real age, not the age group used by ASAP.

| Option | Setting |
| :--- | :--- |
| Include discards separately | No |
| Use likelihood constant | Yes |
| Mean F (Fbar) age range | $3-5$ |
| Number of selectivity blocks | 1 |


| Fleet selectivity | at 0 for age 0 ; freely estimated for age 1 and 2 , fixed at 1 for ages |
| :---: | :---: |
| Discards | Included in catch (not specified separately from landings) |
| Index units | 2 (numbers) |
| Index month | FR_IR_IBTS: 11; IR_GAD: 7 (7 = July 1st, the middle of the year) |
| Index selectivity linked to fleet | -1 (not linked; the commercial index does not include discards) |
| Index age range | FR_IR_IBTS: 0-5; IR_GAD: 3-7 |
| Index Selectivity - FR_IR_IBTS | Fixed at 1 for all ages |
| Index Selectivity - IR_GAD | Freely estimated at age 3, fixed at 1 for all other ages |
| Index CV \& ESS - FR_IR_IBTS | CV 0.3 all years, estimated sample size 40 for all years |
| Index CV \& ESS - IR_GAD | CV 0.2 all years, estimated sample size 40 for all years |
| Phase for F-Mult in 1st year | 1 |
| Phase for F-Mult deviations | 2 |
| Phase for recruitment deviations | 3 |
| Phase for N in 1st Year | 1 |
| Phase for catchability in 1st Year | 3 |
| Phase for catchability deviations | -5 (Assume constant catchability in indice |
| Phase for unexploited stock size | $1$ |
| Phase for steepness | -5 (Do not fit stock-recruitment curve) |
| Catch total CV | 0.3 for 1993-200\%; 0.2 for 2008-present (reliable discard data available) |
| Input effective sample size | 25 for 1993-2001; 50 for 2002-present (only Irish age comp before 2002) |
| Lambda for recruit deviations 0 (freely estimated) |  |
| Lambda for total catch |  |
| Lambda for total discards NA (discards included in catch) |  |
| Lambda for F-Mult in 1st year 0 (freely estimated) |  |
| Lambda for F-Mult deviations 0 (freely estimated) |  |
| Lambda forindex 1 for both indices in the model |  |
| Lambda for index catchability | 0 for all indices (freely estimated) |
| Lambda for catchability devs | NA (phase is negative) |
| Lambda N in 1st year deviations | 0 (freely estimated) |
| Lambda devs initial steepness | NA (phase is negative) |
| ambda devs unexpl stock size | 0 (freely estimated) |

Discards were not included separately because this resulted in undesirable residual patterns. Only one selectivity block was used due to the short time-series, as the timeseries gets longer it may be appropriate to allow a separate block for the time period where observed discard data are available. Fleet selectivity was forced to be flat topped to reduce the number of parameters to be estimated. The F-pattern from XSA indicated flat-topped selectivity.

XSA

| Option | Setting |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ages catch dep stock size | None |
| Q plateau | 4 |
| Taper | No |


| F shrinkage SE | 1.5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| F shrinkage year range | 5 |
| F shrinkage age range | 3 |
| Fleet SE threshold | 0.3 |
| Prior weights | No |

There is no evidence to suggest that catchability is dependent on stock size; the linear regression fits the data well. The effect of releasing the $q$-plateau was investigated and catchability appeared to level off at-age 4 . There is no evidence to suggest that the tuning fleets have changed over time, therefore no tapered time weighting was applied. In recent years there has not been a clear retrospective pattern, therefore a relatively high F shrinkage SE was used with a short year and age range. The fleets are relatively well behaved so an SE threshold of 0.3 was applied.
Tuning data:

## D. Short-term projection

Model used: Multifleet Deterministic Projection.Landings and discards are modelled as separate fleets.

Software used: MFDP1a (http://www.ices.dk/datacentre/software.asp)

| Option | Setting |
| :--- | :--- |
| Initial stock size | Long-term GM (omitting last two years) |
|  | Stock numbers-at-age 1 and older from model |
| Natural mortality | Lorenzen M, as in model |
| Maturity | Knife-edged at-age 2 |
| F and M before spawning | 0 for all ages in all years |
| Stock / catch weights-at-age | Average last 3 years |
| Exploitation pattern | Average last 3 years |
| Intermediate year <br> assumptions | F in the last year - check retrospective pattern forevidence of bias |
| Stock-recruit model | None, long-term GM recruitment (omitting last two years) |
| Fbar range | 5-5* |
| Rescale to last year | No |

* The Fbar age range used in the assessment model outputs is 3-5 this F refers to the catch (including discards). Ages 3-5 are fully selected in the catch (but not landings). MFYPR output supplies YPR based on landings F. In order to compare (landings) F reference points with the (catch) $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}$ it was decided to calculate Fbar only for age 5 because at this age the catch and landings are both fully selected and because a flat-topped selection pattern was applied in ASAP the result will be correct. So, in this context Fmax refers to the catch $F$ where the landings per recruit are maximised.
E. Medium-term projections

None.
F. Yield and biomass per recruit

No stock-recruit relationship exists for this stock; recruitment is characterised by sporadic extreme recruitment events.

Software used: NOAA fisheries toolbox YPR V3.0.

| Option | Setting |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stock / catch weights-at-age Average last 3 years <br> Selectivity Average last 3 years <br> Natural mortality Lorenzen M, as in model <br> Maturity Knife-edged at-age 2 |  |

## G. Biological reference points

An MSY evaluation was carried out following the approach outlined by WKMSYREF2 and WKMSYREF3. This evaluation is fully described in a working document to WGCSE 2015 (Gerritsen and Lordan, 2015). The working document established the following reference points:

Blim: 6700 t
$B_{\text {PA: }} 10000 t\left(B_{\text {lim }}+\right.$ assessment error)
FMSY: 0.40 ( $95 \%$ msy range: $0.26-0.60$ )
Flim: 1.41

FPA: 0.89 (Flim - assessment error)

## H. Other issues

None.
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