## Stock Annex: Sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) in divisions 4.b-c, 7.a, and 7.d-h (central and southern North Sea, Irish Sea, English Channel, Bristol Channel, and Celtic Sea)

Stock-specific documentation of standard assessment procedures used by the International Council for Exploration of the Sea (ICES).


European sea bass inhabits the coasts, estuaries and lagoons of the Mediterranean Sea and Northeastern Atlantic Ocean. Mediterranean and Atlantic fish differ in morphology, life history and genetics to such extent that they may be considered almost subspecies. Atlantic fish occur in the North Sea (area $4-$ up to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ), English Channel (7.de), Irish Sea (7.a), Celtic Sea (7.bf-j), Bay of Biscay (8.a-c), off Portugal and Atlantic Spain (9.a), and off the coast of Morocco ( $30^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ). Stock structure of sea bass in the Northeast Atlantic was reviewed by WGNEW (ICES, 2012) and IBP-NEW (ICES, 2012), based on evidence from genetics studies, tagging studies, distribution of commercial catches, stock trends between areas, and information contained in ICES SGBASS reports (ICES 2001; 2002; 2004a; 2004b). Four discrete stocks were identified as: 1. Northern - ICES 4b\&c,7a,d-h(Bass-47); 2. Biscay - ICES 8a\&b (Bass-8ab); North Spain \& Portugal - ICES 8c\&9a (Bass-8c9a), and West Coast Scotland and Ireland - ICES 6.a, 7.b, 7.j (Bass-WOSI) (Figure A.1.1)
Working documents summarising studies on sea bass stock identity and movements were supplied to WKBASS (ICES, 2017b). Genetics studies show no evidence of biologically discrete stocks with in ICES division 4.b and c and 7.a, d-h. Two large tagging programmes are underway that will provide significant information on the movements of sea bass and could indicate the levels of mixing between stocks. Behavioural and genetic studies of sea bass are also underway with the aim of investigating the distribution of sea bass within Irish waters and the potential existence of an Irish subpopulation (ICES 2017b). Sea bass movement patterns between ICES stock units are plausible, as evidenced from some individuals tagged with DSTs and fidelity to both spawning and feeding grounds may provide evidence of fine population structure that identified using genetics. However, it is not possible to quantify the proportion of fish migrating between the stocks currently due to the small numbers of fish tracks analysed. As further DSTs are analysed, it may be possible to quantify exchange between stocks, so the next benchmark should consider how exchange between stocks should be incorporated into the assessment process (ICES, 2017b).

There was no evidence of a change in stock delineation provided for WKBASS (ICES, 2018), so stock identity was assumed to be the same as previous descriptions (ICES, 2012) with the following Atlantic stocks:

- Assessment area 1. Sea bass in ICES areas 4.b-c, 7.d-e, 7.h, 6.a, and 6.f-g (lack of clear genetic evidence; concentration of Area 4 sea bass fisheries in the southern North Sea; seasonal movements of sea bass across ICES divisions). Relatively data-rich area with data on fishery landings and length/age composition; discards estimates and lengths; growth and maturity parameters; juvenile surveys, fishery lpue trends. [Bass-47].
- Assessment area 2. Sea bass in Biscay (ICES subareas 8.a-b). Available data are fishery landings, with length compositions from 2000; discards from 2009; some fishery lpue.
- Assessment area 3. Sea bass in 8.c and 9.a (landings, effort).
- Assessment area 4. Sea bass in Irish coastal waters (6.a, 7.b, 7.j). Available data: Recreational fishery catch rates; no commercial fishery operating.



## A.2. Fishery

## General description

The commercial sea bass fisheries in ICES subareas 4 and 7 have two distinct components: an offshore fishery on prespawning and spawning sea bass during November to April, predominantly by pelagic trawlers from France and the UK, and small-scale fisheries catching mature fish returning to coastal areas following spawning and in some cases immature sea bass. In 2016, a total of 1295 tonnes was landed, 772 tonnes less than 2015 due to the EC regulations imposed. More detailed descriptions of national fisheries can be found elsewhere (Armstrong and Drogou, 2014; ICES, 2017a), but a brief summary is provided below.

The inshore fisheries include many small ( 10 m and under) vessels using a variety of fishing methods (e.g. trawl, handline, longline, nets, rod and line). The fishery may either target sea bass or take them as a bycatch with other species. Historical landings
data for the small-scale fisheries are often poorly recorded, but the bulk of the catch can be taken by relatively few vessels. For example in the UK in 2010, sea bass landings were reported by 1,480 vessels (including 1207 of 10 m and under), with $10 \%$ responsible for over $70 \%$ of the total landings of 719 t (Walmsley and Armstrong, 2012). For France, sea bass landings were reported by 2226 vessels in 2009, including 976 of 10 m and under. Three main métiers were responsible for over $83 \%$ of the total landings. Pelagic trawlers ( $32 \%$ of total landings, for 58 vessels and 276 seamen) and liners+handliners ( $22 \%$ of total landings for 416 vessels and 634 seamen) are very economically dependent on sea bass (Drogou et al., 2011). French bottom trawlers often do not target sea bass, but this gear does represent $30 \%$ of the total landings ( 832 vessels and 2769 seamen) (Drogou et al., 2011). Despite the apparent decline in sea bass biomass indicated by the ICES assessment of the stock (ICES, 2016), landings of UK inshore under 10 m vessels deploying fixed or drifting gillnets have been rising since 2000 with a peak in 2014.

Targeted netting for sea bass and bycatch takes place all around the coast of England and Wales. This occurs both in inshore waters and in some areas such as the Eastern Chanel where netting extends into deeper water to intercept migrating adult sea bass in autumn and early winter. It is not known how the reduction in pelagic pair trawl fishing in 2014 affected inshore fleets in subsequent months, but no effect was visible in the landings by the French artisanal fleets.

The bulk of landings was historically taken by French bottom trawlers and midwater (pelagic) pairtrawlers. The midwater pair trawl fleet targeted adult sea bass on or near spawning grounds in the Channel and Celtic Seain late winter and spring. Since the mid-2000s, this fleet had shifted more of its activities from the Bay of Biscay to the Channel, increasing fishing effort on adult sea bass in this area. In 2013, the fleet of around 40 French pairtrawlers and a small number of UK midwater trawlers accounted for $37 \%$ of the total international landings. However, landings by this métier reduced from 1630 t in 2013 to only 243 t ( $9 \%$ of the total) in 2014 mainly due to poor weather conditions. In 2015, restrictive management measures and the ban of pelagic trawlers led to changes in trends in landings with the expected decrease observed. This reduction has led to French pairtrawlers switching from sea bass to hake.

Almost 50\% of the French landings in 2016 were made by bottom trawlers either targeting or bycatching sea bass. A large decrease in French landings of around $50 \%$ was observed between 2015 and 2016 (1110 t in 2015; 547 t in 2016). Some French vessels using Danish seines appeared in the offshore fisheries in 2009, but catches are low falling to 18 t in 2016. Seining has also become more prevalent in the UK fleet in recent years although it is a small contributor to total landings. Landings by Belgium and the Netherlands have only appeared in catch statistics since 2000 as fisheries in the North Sea became established following the spatial expansion of the stock.

Sea bass are a popular target for recreational fishing in Europe, particularly for angling in the UK, Ireland and France, and increasingly in parts of southern Norway, the Netherlands and Belgium. Relatively little historical data are available on recreational fisheries although several European countries are now carrying out surveys to meet the requirements of the EU Data Collection Framework and for other purposes (ICES, 2009; 2010; 2011, 2012c; Herfault et al., 2010; Rocklin et al., 2014; Van der Hammen and De Graaf, 2012; 2015; Armstrong et al., 2013).

The market value of sea bass varies greatly with higher values for certain métiers. For example, the mean price of sea bass sold in France in 2013 by liners was $€ 17$ per kg
compared with $€ 7$ per kg for pelagic trawl (SACROIS Ifremer-DPMA), reflecting differences in volume and fish condition. The CHARM 3 Atlas of the Channel Fisheries reports that sea bass production in value represented $€ 31937$ in 2008. It is the third most valuable species caught in the Channel (source: Agrimer) behind sole and monkfish (tuna is not included in statistics).

## Fishery management regulations

Sea bass are not subject to EU TACs and quotas, and until recently had limited management measures in place for its conservation. The Minimum Conservation Reference Size (MCRS) of sea bass in the Northeast Atlantic was introduced in 1983 at 32 cm , increasing to 36 cm in 1990 and 42 cm in 2015 (EEC Regulations 171/83, 4056/89 and EU Regulation 2015/523 of 25 March 2015). Other, more general measures included: commercial vessels catching sea bass within cod recovery zones being subject to days-atsea limits according to gear, mesh, and species composition; and there is effectively a banned range for enmeshing nets of 70-89 mm stretched mesh in Regions 1 and 2 of Community waters ${ }^{1}$. In addition, an area closure around Ireland is in place for commercial fishing (http://ec.europa.eu/fisheries/cfp/fishing_rules/sea-bass/index_en.htm).

Recent scientific analyses have reinforced previous concerns about the state-of-thestock and advised a reduction in fishing of $80 \%$. In 2015, the European Council adopted emergency measures to help sea bass recover. In addition to the MCRS increase to 42 cm , emergency measures implemented in July 2015 placed: (i) a ban on targeting the fish stock by pair-trawling during the spawning season up until the end of April; (ii) a monthly catch limit ( 1.5 t for pelagic trawlers; 1.8 t for bottom trawlers; 1 t for driftnets; 1.3 t for liners; 3 t for purse-seiners); and (ii) a daily bag limit of three sea bass for recreational fishing (EU Regulation 2015/523 of 25 March 2015).

Measures introduced in 2015 and updated in 2016 through consultation with Member States and stakeholders, include reduction or prohibition of landings depending on gears and months (Table A.2.1). For recreational anglers, a catch and release fishery for the first half of the year and in the second half a bag limit of one sea bass per day.

[^0]Region 2: All waters situated north of latitude $48^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, but excluding the waters in Region 1 and ICES divisions 3.b, 3.c and 3.d.

Table A.2.1. Commercial fishery regulations on allowable catches by gear and month in 2016.

| 2016 measures | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | June | Jul | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bottom trawlers | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} x \\ (1 \% \text { by catch }) \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ (1 \% \text { by catch }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ (1 \% \text { by catch }) \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} x \\ (1 \% \text { by catch }) \end{array}\right\|$ | (1\% by catch) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ \text { (1\% by catch) } \end{gathered}$ | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t |
| Seiners | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ (1 \% \text { by catch }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \mathrm{X} \\ \text { (1\% by catch) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{X} \\ (1 \% \text { by catch }) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline X \\ (1 \% \text { by catch }) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (1\% by catch) | X <br> (1\% by catch) | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t |
| Pelagic trawlers | X | X | X | X | X | X | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t |
| Drift Gillnets | X | X | X | X | X | X | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t | 1 t |
| Hooks | 1.3 t | X | X | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t |
| Lines | 1.3 t | X | X | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t |
| Set Gillnets | 1.3 t | X | X | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | t | 1.3 t |  | 1.3 t | 1.3 t | 1.3 t |

- Closure of 37 sea bass nursery areas in England and Wales to specific fishing methods;
- Minimum gillnet mesh size of 100 mm in South Wales;
- Control measures that effectively ban commercial fishing in Irish waters;
- Licensing of commercial gears targeting sea bass in France;
- Voluntary closed season (February to mid-March) for longline and handline sea bass fisheries in Brittany (may be superseded by new EU measures);
- Restrictions on sale of catch and gear for recreational fishers that vary between countries


## Management applicable to 2017

Scientific advice in 2017 for sea bass in the Celtic Sea, Channel, Irish Sea and southern North Sea (ICES divisions 4.b, 4.c and 7.a, 7.d-7.h) highlighted the perilous state and continued decline of the stock. The conservation measures to prohibit fishing for sea bass are therefore mantained in ICES divisions 7.a, 7.b, 7.c, 7.g, 7.j and 7.k, with the exception of the waters within 12 nautical miles of the baseline under the sovereignty of the United Kingdom. Spawning aggregations of sea bass were protected with commercial catches restricted further in 2017. On the basis of social and economic impacts limited fisheries using hooks and lines were permitted, while providing for a closure to protect spawning aggregations. Additionally, incidental and unavoidable bycatches of sea bass using demersal trawls and seines were limited to $3 \%$ of the weight of the total catch of marine organisms with a maximum of 400 kilograms per month. Fixed gillnets bycatch was limited to 250 kilograms per month. Catches of recreational fishermen from the Northern stock and, for precautionary reasons, from the stock in the Bay of Biscay were restricted by a daily bag limit (Council Regulation (EU) 2017/127).

## A.3. Ecosystem aspects

Temperature appears to be a major driver for sea bass production and distribution (Pawson, 1992). Reynolds et al. (2003) observed a positive relationship between annual seawater temperature during the development phases of eggs and larvae of sea bass and the timing and (possibly) abundance of post-larval recruitment to nursery areas. Beraud et al. (2018) modelled the supply of young of the year in the pelagic phase using
individual based models and found higher supply in warm than cold years due to changes in ocean currents driven by wind and effective spawning area. In addition, early growth is related to summer temperature and survival of 0-groups through the first winter is affected by body size (and fat reserves) and water temperature (Lancaster, 1991; Pawson, 1992). Prolonged periods of temperatures below $5-6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ may lead to high levels of mortality in 0-groups in estuaries during cold winters. As a result, any stock recruitment relationships may be obscured by temperature effects (Pawson et al., 2007). Sea bass rectuiment has been shown to be correlated with UK inshore coastal temperatures from January to March (Figure A.3.1, ICES, 2014).


Figure A.3.1. (a) Mean January to March coastal sea temperatures at five sites along the south coast of England, compared with the time-series of sea bass recruitment estimates from the update WGCSE assessment, including pre-1985 values from estimated recruit deviations back to 1975. (b)(d): correlation between sea bass recruitment and (b) mean temperature for the five sites in the year of spawning; (c) mean temperature in the year of spawning and the following spring; and (d) the same as (c) but restricting the series to 1988 onwards (From ICES, WGCSE 2014).

## B. Data

## B.1. Commercial catch

## B.1.1 Landings data

Landings series for use in the assessment are given in Table B.1.1.1 for the six fleets for which selectivity is modelled: fleet 1- UK bottom trawls and nets; fleet 2- UK lines; fleet 3- UK midwater pair trawls; fleet 4- French combined fleets; fleet 5 - other countries plus UK gears not included in fleet $1-3$, with selectivity based on fleet 4 ; and fleet 6recreational fisheries (2012 is the reference year). The landings figures are from census data (EU logbooks and/or sales slips) from several sources:

1) Official statistics recorded in the ICES official landings database since around the mid-1970s (data from 1985 are used in the assessment) plus preliminary data for 2016.
2 ) French landings for 2000-2016 from a separate analysis by Ifremer of logbook, auction data and VMS data (SACROIS database, now treated as official statistics from 2010); with earlier official data adjusted as described below.

3 ) Landings for Belgian vessels supplied directly by the national fisheries laboratory.
4 ) Landings for Netherlands recorded in ICES database "InterCatch".
5 ) UK landings by gear type recorded in official UK landings databases.

Table B.1.1.1. Bass-47: Landings for the country/fleet components included separately in the assessment model.

| Year | Fleet 1: UK | Fleet 2: UK | Fleet 3: | Fleet 4: | Fleet 5: | Fleet 6: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trawls, nets | Lines | UK | France | Other | RecFish |
|  |  |  | pelagic | combined | countries |  |
|  |  |  | trawlers | gears | and gears |  |


| 1985 | 70 | 30 | 1 | 870 | 23 | 2148 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 84 | 33 | 2 | 1180 | 19 | 1933 |
| 1987 | 96 | 18 | 0 | 1840 | 25 | 1753 |
| 1988 | 129 | 30 | 8 | 1028 | 44 | 1616 |
| 1989 | 141 | 29 | 7 | 917 |  | 1490 |
| 1990 | 128 | 18 | 22 | 849 | 47 | 1342 |
| 1991 | 152 | 60 | 14 | 971 | 29 | 1224 |
| 1992 | 105 | 23 | 8 | 1001 |  | 1222 |
| 1993 | 146 | 62 | 1 | 979 | 68 | 1383 |
| 1994 | 354 | 154 | 0 | 786 | 76 | 1640 |
| 1995 | 424 | 169 | $4$ | 1057 | 181 | 1848 |
| 1996 | 308 | 128 |  | 2395 | 104 | 1890 |
| 1997 | 335 | 119 |  | 1984 | 111 | 1819 |
| 1998 | 241 | 121 |  | 1773 | 170 | 1766 |
| 1999 | 274 | 148 |  | 1843 | 185 | 1765 |
| 2000 | 236 | 53 | 52 | 1805 | 261 | 1816 |
| 2001 | 263 |  | 97 | 1883 | 199 | 1898 |
| 2002 | 361 |  | 110 | 1825 | 251 | 1980 |
| 2003 |  | 65 | 127 | 2471 | 443 | 2035 |
| 2004 |  | 72 | 131 | 2604 | 544 | 2048 |
| 2005 | 353 | 59 | 68 | 3161 | 789 | 2014 |
| 2006 | 9 | 119 | 11 | 3259 | 629 | 1955 |
|  |  | 166 | 37 | 2771 | 677 | 1922 |
| 2008 | 514 | 163 | 17 | 2750 | 663 | 1902 |
|  | 486 | 147 | 9 | 2649 | 598 | 1859 |
| 2010 | 452 | 183 | 42 | 3236 | 649 | 1751 |
| 2011 | 462 | 143 | 98 | 2526 | 629 | 1604 |
| 2012 | 564 | 185 | 49 | 2610 | 579 | 1440 |
| 2013 | 530 | 191 | 39 | 2871 | 506 | 1227 |
| 2014 | 751 | 236 | 1 | 1303 | 391 | 1020 |
| 2015 | 440 | 199 | 0 | 1110 | 317 | 703 |
| 2016* | 305 | 210 | 2 | 547 | 231 | 212 |

[^1]
## Quality of official landings data

The official landings data for sea bass available to WKBASS 2018 are subject to several uncertainties that can affect the accuracy of assessments:

- Incomplete reporting of landings in the 1970s and early 1980s when the fisheries were developing (the assessment uses only data from 1985 onwards).
- Reporting of official French data by port rather than fishing ground before 2000. (The best landings estimates are from auctions for this period. During WGCSE, no fishing grounds could be identified for these landings).
- Poor reporting accuracy for small vessels that do not supply EU logbooks.


## French landings

From 2000 onwards, Ifremer has provided revised French landings from a separate analysis of logbook and auction data which allocates landings correctly by fishing ground. To generate a consistent series of French landings from 1985 onwards for the area 4 and 7 assessment, IBP-New 2012 adjusted pre-2000 official landings from the ICES database by the average of the Ifremer correction factors by area from 2000-2010:

- 4.b-c and 7.d: 1.04; 7.e and 7.h: 1.6; 7.a and 7.f-g: 0.62.

The accuracy of UK landings statistics improved since the introduction of the Registration of Buyers and Sellers scheme in 2005/2006, particularly for recording of marketed landings of small vessels that do not have to supply EU logbooks. However, the official reported landings of sea bass in the UK are known to underestimate the true total landings, particularly for small-scale inshore fisheries where there has been no requirement to submit EC logbooks. Prior to the introduction of Buyers and Sellers requiring sales documentation, local fishery inspectors estimated landings of the under- 10 m fleet using whatever information they had available from auctions, and frequently entered aggregated estimates for multiple vessels into the fishery landings database. Unfortunately the Buyers and Sellers regulations do not cover all landings. The EU Control Regulation allows landings of less than 30 kg to be disposed of for personal consumption without providing sales slips or other documents. This is to reduce the administrative burden for a skipper disposing of small quantities for personal use. However, for small-scale fisheries where there are very large numbers of small vessels often catching small quantities, the cumulative catch of unrecorded small landings can be relatively high. This is likely to be an issue over the full time-series.

## UK landings

The UK (England) has previously carried out independent surveys to estimate historical landings data for sea bass, particularly for smaller vessels not supplying EU logbooks. A voluntary logbook scheme was carried out in conjunction with a biennial census of vessels catching sea bass. The census covers different segments of coast in different years (Pickett, 1990). The scheme was stratified by area, gear, and vessel characteristics. Selected vessels from the strata kept logbooks for periods ranging from one to 25 years, and comprised what could be described as a "reference" fleet as opposed to a randomised selection of vessels each year. The scheme was terminated in 2007 and 2008, and reinstated for a further two years (2009 and 2010) before being terminated again. The scheme has now been suspended permanently. The landings tables in some earlier ACOM advice included "unallocated" landings which were the difference between the voluntary logbook estimates and the official UK statistics in each ICES area.

A review of the Cefas logbook scheme in 2012 (Armstrong and Walmsley, 2012a) showed that the previous estimates included recreational charter boats. After removal of these landings, the Cefas logbook estimates for nets and lines still showed substantial differences with official estimates (Figure B.1.1.1). For fixed/driftnets, the landings including the Cefas logbook estimates for under 10 m vessels results in a landings series that is on average around three times higher than the official statistics. For lines, the ratio fluctuates around 3.0 for a large part of the series but was larger from 20002005. Insufficient logbooks were available for trawls to allow estimation of fleet-wide landings.

The Armstrong and Walmsley (2012a) review concluded that the survey is sensibly spread over a range of vessel types and gears, but is over-stratified and has insufficient (and declining) coverage of the many survey strata while using ad hoc, judgment-based vessel selection schemes rather than randomized selection. Despite the potential biases, the survey results for commercial vessels confirm that the historical official reported landings of sea bass are likely to be underestimates. Neither data source for UK 10 m and under vessels is considered accurate over the historical time-series but WGNEW (ICES, 2008) and IBPBASS (ICES, 2014) found that the stock trends from a statistical assessment model were relatively insensitive to the choice of these two catch histories. The main effect of including the additional landings is to scale biomass estimates up without altering the trend. Total fishing mortality estimates are not affected, as the age profiles of the catches remains more-or-less the same, but the proportion of total F attributable to recreational fisheries in the final stock assessment is reduced slightly by the additional commercial landings. Given the small contribution of UK reported landings of under- 10 m fleets to total international landings of sea bass, the uncertainties concerning the level of bias in the Cefas logbook estimates, and the lack of such estimates after 2011, the official statistics have been retained in the current assessment. Of more concern would be any change in reporting accuracy over time, as this would lead to biased assessment trends using reported landings figures.


Figure B.1.1.1. Top: estimates of sea bass landings for under-10 m UK netters and liners based on the Cefas logbook and port survey scheme. Bottom: ratio of landings of these gears including the Cefas logbook estimates for $<10 \mathrm{~m}$ commercial vessels, and the total official reported landings of all UK vessels using these gears.

## Dutch landings

Landings and effort data from the commercial fleet are available from the EU logbooks; market category composition of landings is available from the auction data (sale slips); and size and age data are available through market sampling. The fisheries were described in detail in van der Hammen et al. (2013).

EU logbook data
Official EU logbook data of the entire Dutch fleet are maintained by the NVWA (formerly known as the General Inspection Service, AID). IMARES has access to these logbooks and stores the data in a database (VISSTAT). EU logbook data contain information on:

- Landings (kg): by vessel, trip, ICES statistical rectangle and species.
- Effort (days absent from port): by vessel, trip and ICES statistical rectangle.
- Vessel information: length, engine power and gear used.

Logbook data are available from the entire Dutch fishing fleet and from foreign vessels landing their catches in the Netherlands.

## Auction data: landings by market category

Auction data cover both the total Dutch fishing fleet and foreign vessels landing their catches on Dutch auctions. These data are also stored in VISSTAT and contain information on landings by market category (kg): by vessel, trip (landing date), and species.

Further information on availability and quality of landings data by country is provided by IBPBASS 2014.

## B.1.2. Discards estimates

## UK data

## Survey design and analysis

Estimation of sea bass discards is problematic because the observer scheme covers all vessel trips in a stratum without reference to target species, and overall it samples less than $1 \%$ of all fishing trips. As sea bass are absent or at very small numbers in a large fraction of fishing trips throughout the year, particularly in winter, the amount of sample data on sea bass is very low, so the estimates are likely to have poor precision and variable biases related to inclusion of under 10 m vessels. Vessels under 10 m , that are responsible for a large fraction of sea bass catches, were excluded until recent years on health and safety and other logistical grounds, and although under 10 m yessels have been included since 2007, the fleet of vessels under 7 m remain excluded.

Raising of UK discards data to the fleet level is currently performed using a ratio estimator of reported landings in a stratum to the computed retained weight of sea bass in sampled trips. This assumes that the retention curve for sampled vessels is representative of all vessels in the stratum.

## Data coverage and quality

UK discards data are available for métiers associated with trawls and fixed/driftnets only. Discards from commercial line boats are expected to be relatively low and have high survival, so this fleet sector is excluded from the scheme for sea bass. As sampling is targeted at all species, annual coverage of the sea bass fisheries is relatively limited.

Numerically, the largest numbers of sea bass discarded from UK fisheries are from the bottom-trawl fleet, with much smaller numbers from nets and even less from beam trawls. Only eleven midwater pair trawl trips were observed up to 2013, and discarding of sea bass was negligible as the fishery targets mainly adults. No sea bass discards were observed in the eight longline trips observed. The raised length frequencies of discarded and retained sea bass, aggregated overall years, are available along with the retention ogives. Discards estimates for 2016 suggest increased discarding following the increase in MCRS from 36 cm to 42 cm part way through 2015 (ICES, 2017).

Sampling levels for UK and French discard sampling, and discards estimates, are given in the WGCSE report and updated annually.

## French data

## Survey design and analysis

The French sampling schemes utilise vessel-list sampling frames and random selection of vessels within strata defined by area and fleet sector. From the activity calendars of French vessels for the previous year, vessels are grouped by the métiers practised. Thus, a vessel may belong to multiple groups if practicing several métiers in the period. If the métier has to be sampled as priority one, the vessel to be boarded is chosen randomly within this group of vessels. The observer then chooses to go on board for a trip. During the trip, the fishing operations corresponding to métier number one are sampled. Optionally, if the vessel practises several métiers during the trip, fishing operation of the métier number two will also be sampled if the métier is included in the
annual sampling plan. If the métier is not part of the plan, at least one fishing operation of this métier must be sampled during the the trip. (complete document on sampling protocol in written in French :http://sih.ifremer.fr/content/download/5587/40495/file/Manuel OBSMER V2 2 2012.pdf)

## Data coverage and quality

Discards data are only available for French fleets since 2009. Results for 2012 are in the annual French reports (http://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00167/27787/25978.pdf). Discards estimates for France are from vessel selections that, for some areas and gears, include relatively limited numbers of observed trips where sea bass are caught and discarded. Precision is therefore very low at current sampling rates. In France the low sampling rate observed can be explained by the low discarding rate.

## Spain

No sea bass discards were observed or reported for any métier in the 2003-2013 period. Number of sampled hauls per métier and area were presented to IBP-NEW 2012 (ICES, 2012a).

## Discards data from other European countries

Discards data for Dutch beam trawlers were presented toIBP-NEW 2012 (ICES, 2012a), as annual mean numbers discarded per hour in 2004-2010. No commercial fisheries for sea bass exist in Ireland.

## B.1.3. Recreational catches

Recreational marine fishery'surveys covering different parts of the sea bass stock in the North Sea, Channel, Celtic Sea and Irish Sea have been developed in France, Netherlands, England and Belgium (ICES, 2012c). Survey design and methods of recreational catch estimation are described in WKBASS 2018 (ICES, 2017b; 2018).

## Data from France

A survey of recreational fishers, focusing mainly on sea bass, was conducted between 2009 and 2011. Estimates of sea bass recreational catches were obtained from a panel of 121 recreational fishermen recruited during a random digit dialling screening survey of 15000 households in the targeted districts. The estimated recreational catch of sea bass in the Bay of Biscay and in the Channel was 3170 t of which 2350 t was kept and 830 treleased. The estimates for subareas 4 and 7 were 940 t kept and 332 t released.

The precision of the combined Biscay and Channel estimate was relatively low (CV=26\%; note that the figure of $51 \%$ given in IBPNEW 2012 was incorrect). This gives an average and $95 \%$ confidence intervals of 3170 t [1554 t; 4786 t ] for the whole subareas 4, 7 and 8 . Increasing the panel from 121 to 210 fishermen would be expected to improve precision to $20 \%$ and increasing this panel to 500 would improve precision to 13\%.

The main gears used, in order of total catch, were fishing rod with artificial lure, fishing rod with bait, handline, longline, net and spear fishing. Approximately $80 \%$ of the recreational catch was taken by sea angling (rod and line or handline).

A new survey was conducted from July 2011 to December 2012, based on a similar methodology to the previous study (not only on sea bass this time, but also on other marine species including crustaceans and cephalopods). A random digit dialling
screening survey of 16130 households led to the recruitment of a panel of 183 fishermen to keep logbooks. In parallel, 151 fishermen were recruited on site by the Promopeche association, and 30 more via the sea bass fishermen panel set up in 2009. This resulted in 364 panel members keeping logbooks describing their catches (species, weight, size, etc.) The focus of the survey on sea bass shows that in Atlantic (Bay of Biscay and Channel), the estimated recreational catch of sea bass in 2012 was 3922 t of which 3146 t was kept and 776 t released. At this time results have to be considered as provisional, (results split between Bay and Biscay and Channel are not available yet with relative standard error).

## UK (E\&W)

The survey programme Sea Angling 2012, based on a statistically sound survey design started in 2012 to estimate fishing effort, catches (kept and released) and fish sizes for shore based and boat angling in England. The survey does not cover other forms of recreational fishing. Results are available in Armstrong et al, 2013.
The surveys adopted, where possible, statistically sound, probability based survey designs, building on knowledge gained through participation in the ICES Working Group on Recreational Fishery Surveys (ICES, WGRFS 2012c; 2013b). Two survey approaches were adopted: first a stratified random survey of charter boats from a list frame covering ports in England, and second an on-site stratified random survey of shore anglers and private boat anglers to estimate mean catch per day, combined with annual effort estimates derived from questions added to a monthly Office of National Statistics household survey covering Great Britain.

A list of almost 400 charter boats was compiled for the charter boat survey, and 166 skippers agreed to participate. Each month over a twelve month period in 2012 and 2013, 34 randomly selected skippers completed a diary documenting their activities, catches and sizes of fish. A diary was completed whether or not any fishing took place. Data from 5300 anglers were collected. Total annual catches were estimated by raising the monthly catehes per vessel from the diaries to all vessel-month combinations in the frame, and raising this to all vessels including refusals. The estimated total annual catch of sea bass for the entire coast of England was 44 t (RSE 31\%) of which 31 t was kept. The release rate by number was $37 \%$. The charter boat survey has potential bias due to the large non-response rate, if non-respondents have different catch rates to respondents.

The Office of National Statistics (ONS) household survey covered 12000 households during 2012, and from this it was estimated that $2.2 \%$ of adults over 16 years old went sea angling at least once in the previous year. The surveys estimated there are 884000 sea anglers in England. Estimation of fishing effort by shore and private boat anglers proved very difficult due to the overall small number of households with sea anglers in the survey. A range of methods was explored to estimate annual and seasonal effort using the ONS data alone, and combining it with observations from on-site and online surveys. It has not been possible yet to agree on a best estimate of effort, and for that reason the estimates of total catch (cpue $\times$ effort) for shore and private boat angling are given as a range of plausible values.

The survey of anglers fishing from the shore and private boats to estimate cpue was carried out throughout 2012 using on-site interviews. A stratified random design was adopted to select shore sites and boat landing sites on a weekly basis from site lists stratified into low-activity and high-activity sites. The shore survey used roving-creel
methods (collecting data from partial angling trips), and the private boat survey a roving access-point survey (data from completed trips). Visits were made to 1475 shore sites and 425 private boat sites, and 2440 anglers were interviewed. The mean daily catch rate of kept and released fish of each species was estimated based on the survey design, and sizes of caught fish were recorded. Cpue for shore angling was estimated using catches for the observed trip duration and estimates of expected total trip duration for that day. A length-of-stay bias correction was applied based on expected total trip duration. The catch-per-day estimates were combined with estimates of total annual fishing effort (days fished) obtained from the ONS survey to estimate total annual catches. Release rates, by number, were $82 \%$ for shore angling and $57 \%$ for private boats. Non-response rates were very low ( $<10 \%$ ) in this survey. The range of point estimates for shore-caught sea bass was 98-143 t (total) and 38-56 t (kept), and for private and rented boats was 194-546 $t$ (total) and $142-367 \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{kept})$. The relative standard errors for the individual shore and private boat estimates were relatively high at $40-50 \%$.

Combining the catch estimates for charter boats, private boats and shore angling, the point estimates of annual kept weights of sea bass ranged from 230-440 $t$ (Table 2.4.1c), compared with total UK commercial landings of almost 900 tin 2012. The combined estimates of sea bass catches had precision (relative standard error) estimates of $26 \%$ $38 \%$ for the different effort estimation methods. The relatively large standard errors combined with the range of plausible methods of estimating effort for shore and private boats.

A new UK survey in 2016 used a different survey approach to the surveys in 2012 and is still being evaluated to determine if the 2012 and 2016 survey estimates are compatible given the very different results obtained.

## Netherlands

Sea bass are taken by recreational sea anglers in the Netherlands. A recent survey investigated the amount of sea bass caught by recreational fishers (van der Hammen and de Graaf, 2012; 2015; ICES, 2012c) from March 2010 to February 2011 and from March 2013 to February 2013. Estimates of recreational sea bass were obtained from a panel of 1043 recreational fishermen (in the first survey) recruited during a telephone survey of 109293 people. Revised estimates were provided to WGCSE 2013 (ICES, 2013a). The catch weights are estimated with a limited amount of length-frequency data, and are therefore less reliable than the estimates in numbers (and may also be adjusted if more data are available). For the same reason, there are no 'returned' estimates by weight (yet).

The estimated total recreational catch of sea bass was 366000 fish (RSE 30\%), of which 234000 were retained, equivalent to 138 t . These results are mainly applicable to Subarea 4.

## Belgium

A recreational fishing survey was conducted in 2013 in Belgium by the Belgian Fisheries Institute, using a questionnaire approach, in order to meet DCF requirements. The estimated retained catch of sea bass was 60 t .

## Hooking mortality rates

The US National Marine Fisheries Service has in the past used an average hooking mortality of $9 \%$ for striped bass, estimated by Diodati and Richards (1996). Striped bass are very similar to European sea bass in terms of morphology, habitats and angling
methods. A literature review of hooking mortality for a range of species compiled by the Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries included a total of 40 different experiments by 16 different authors where striped bass hooking mortality was estimated over two or more days (Gary A. Nelson, Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries, pers. comm.) The mean hooking mortality rate was 0.19 (standard deviation 0.19 ). Direct experiments are needed on European sea bass to estimate hooking mortality for conditions and angling methods typical of European fisheries.

## Total recreational catch

Total catches are given in the WGCSE reports and updated when new estimates become available. They are also documented in the annual reports of the ICES Working Group on recreational fisheries (WGRFS).
WKBASS2 2018 considered and reworked the available estimates of removals for 2012 from IBP-BASS2 (ICES, 2016a) and WGCSE (2016b), as the sam of retained fish and released fish with PRM of $5 \%$ applied (Lewin et al., 2018), with Belgium estimates removed. The assumption of constant recreational F from 2015 onwards was not longer appropriated due to the introduction of management restrictions which have likely reduced recreational F. A Working Document presented by Hyder et al., 2018 (ICES, 2018) calculate the reduction in retained catch that would be expected in 2015, 2016, 2017 in response to management measures.

## B.2. Biological sampling

## B. 2.1 Length and age compositions of landed and discarded fish in commercial fisheries

Length and age compositions of sea bass landings were available to WKBASS 2018 from sampling in the UK and France.

## UK <br> Sampling methods and analysis

The UK (England and Wales) sampling programme for length compositions of sea bass covers sampling at sea and onshore. The sampling design for at-sea sampling is described above. The onshore sampling programme uses an area list frame comprising port days, currently stratified by quarter, ICES Division and an index of "port size". "ports are sampled more intensively than "small ports". Separate list frames of ports are established for pelagic trawlers, beam trawlers and demersal trawl, nets, and lines. Sampling targets are set to achieve a specified number of port visits by stratum, taking account the need for fleet based as well as stock based data specified by the EU Data Collection Framework, although other diagnostics are monitored such as numbers of fish measures and otoliths/scales collected by species. This scheme has been in development and operation since around 2010, when Cefas took over the sampling from the Marine and Fisheries Agency. Prior to that, the sampling targets were mainly set as numbers of fish of each species to measure or age by quarter, district, and gear groupings, with minimum numbers of sampling trips also specified to spread the sampling out.

Length compositions are first vessel-raised using ratios of landed live weight to predicted live weight of the length frequency calculated from a length-weight relationship:

$$
\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{~kg})=0.00001296(\mathrm{~L}+0.5)^{2.969}
$$

Raised LFDs are then summed over vessels within a sampling stratum and raised to give total raised fleet LFDs per stratum, which are then combined. This procedure ensures sums-of-products ratios of 1.0, but will lead to some bias in numbers-at-length due to discrepancies between true fish weights and calculated fish weights from the length-weight relationship.

## Data coverage and quality

Age compositions for UK commercial fishery landings of sea bass are derived from biennial (January-June and July-December) age-length keys (ALK) constructed for four areas: 4.b-c; 7.de; 7.ah; 7.f-g. These are applied to fleet-raised landings length frequencies for each of four gear groups (bottom trawls; midwater trawls; fixed/driftnets and lines) in each area. Further details are given in the ICES IBP-NEW 2012 and WGCSE 2013 reports and in the stock annex along with tables giving numbers of trips sampled for length and age and numbers of fish measured and aged.

A recommendation of WGCSE 2013 was to expand the UK age frequencies to the full recorded age range and to re-evaluate the plus group definition (previously at $12+$ ). Sea bass have been recorded to almost 30 years of age, and it was thought that having more true ages in the Stock Synthesis input data could allow better estimates of early recruit deviations. The necessary extractions were done for IBPBass, and the data were examined in detail by Armstrong and Readdy (IBP-BASS 2014 Working Document_01). Bubble plots and catch curves showed that coherent information on year classes was present well beyond the last true age (11) previously adopted. The exploratory SS3 runs show that the different choices of plus group (12+; 16+; 18+; 20+) have relatively little impact on the results, other than a slightly better estimation of early recruit deviations. Expanding the age compositions helps the fit of domed selection curves for fleets where this is appropriate, but risks an increasing number of zero catch entries for older ages as recent weak year classes feed into future catches and become depleted. A plus-gp of $16+$ was recommended for further model development and agreed by IBP-BASS 2014. Sampling of midwater trawls prior to 1996, and in 1997, was considered too poor to develop age compositions. All datasets show a very strong 1989 year class and very weak 1985-1987 year classes.


The French sampling programme for length compositions of sea bass covers sampling at-sea and onshore. Since 2009, both sampling types are first based on métiers composition and their relative importance per fishing harbours and month. Both are also designed to sample the whole catch following a concurrent sampling of species, potentially leading to low sea bass sample size. In order to complement this effort, specific sampling for sea bass at the market is added at times and harbours when higher landings are occurring, especially from métiers targeting sea bass. The sampling frame is based on the main harbours, gear types (or grouping of métiers) and month and is available to all samplers on a dedicated website. Real-time follow-up of the plan, refusal rates and their reasons, time taken to sample, all this information is also available from the website, together with sampling protocol (in French: http://sih.ifremer.fr/content/download/5587/40495/file/Manuel OBSMER V2 2 2012.pdf).

Before 2009, only market specific sampling was in place, and the sampling plan was designed and followed by the stock coordinator. The French sampling programme for age compositions of sea bass is based on age-length keys with fixed allocation. For the
7.e and 7.h area, quarterly French landings at auctions are sampled in order to collect five scales (from 2000 to 2008) or three scales (from 2009) by length class (cm). The information is available from 2010 for area 8ab, but not for other areas. All length samples are stored in a central database (Harmonie) and regular extracts are available in the COST format. Raising the data to the population is done using COST tools and a special forum for discussing the outcomes of the analysis is held each March to prepare the datasets for the assessment working groups.

## Data coverage and quality

Length compositions of French sea bass commercial landings are constructed from 2014 for the area including 4.b-c, 7.de, 7.ah, and 7.f-g, for all métiers. The input data for French fleets in Stock Synthesis are the fleet aggregated length compositions in 2 cm classes (20-21.9, 22-23.9, etc.) for each year from 2000 onwards.

The statistical design of fishery sampling schemes has undergone change in recent years in the UK and France, following recommendations from ICES workshops on sampling survey design, with a move towards more representative sampling across trips within fleet segments. This can result in sampling more trips that have small catches of sea bass, and is one reason for the increase in numbers of sampled trips with sea bass since 2009 in France which does not imply an increase of the proportion in numbers of fish measured per trip.

## Other countries

Fishery landings length or age compositions from other countries catching sea bass were not available to IBP-BASS 2014. The Netherlands did collect age samples of sea bass every year from 2005 to 2008. From 2010 onwards, age samples are collected only once every three year. In the IMARES market sampling, data on length, age, sex, and weight are collected for several commercially important species. For sea bass this is done on an irregular basis and data are only available for some years, with market sampling done since 2005. The age sampling frequency is now triennial (2010, 2013, etc.) Every three years four samples of 15 fish ( 60 fish in total) are aged, and every year the lengths of 24 samples of 15 fish ( 360 fish in total) are taken. Otoliths and scales that are retrieved from the fish are sent to Cefas for age reading. Length samples are collected every year. All samples are collected in the auctions in the south of the Netherlands, where most sea bass are landed. The quality of the data is good enough to be used in assessments, but both the length and age data need processing before use.

## Effective sample sizes for length and age compositions

The effective sample size for annual estimates of length or age composition are between the number of trips sampled and the number of fish measured or aged, due to cluster sampling effects. Effective sample sizes have not been computed for UK and French sampling data for sea bass. In the meantime, effective sample sizes for all the fleets with the exception of the "Others" fleet are set to the number of trips sampled for length in each of the years. The effective sample sizes for the age frequency distributions for the combined UK trawls and nets and lines are set to the number of trips sampled for age. For the UK midwater trawl and the French combined-fleet the effective sample size for each year is set to 50 and 100 respectively.

## Accuracy and validation of age estimates

## Age-reading consistency

Consistency in age reading of sea bass between four operators in Cefas and Ifremer was examined during a limited exchange of otolith and scale images between laboratories in 2011, organised by the ICES Planning Group on Commercial Catches, Discards and Biological Sampling (Mahé et al., 2012). A total of 155 fish of $17-74 \mathrm{~cm}$ was sampled on board French research vessels during two international surveys. The precision of ageing was similar for scales and otoliths. The coefficient of variation of age readings for individual fish was around $12 \%$ implying a standard deviation of $+/-1$ year for a nine year-old fish, with relatively few fish having identical readings by all four operators. However it was noted by the operators that photographic images were more difficult to evaluate than original age material, which was likely to have a negative effect on the consistency of ageing. These results provided no indication of the validity of ages, only the consistency between operators, and cannot indicate data quality in earlier years when different operators provided the age data

## Age validation

IBP-BASS 2014 were not aware of specific studies to validate absolute ages of sea bass derived from otolith or scale readings. Strong and weak yearclasses can be followed clearly to over 20 years of age in UK data, although it is not known to what extent the elevated numbers of sampled fish in immediately adjacent year classes is a true reflection of year-class strength or a consequence of age errors discussed in the previous section. Year-class tracking is less clear in the younger ages 3-5 although this will be affected by gear selectivity and changes in fish behaviour.

Sea bass show relatively broad length-at-age distributions, and it has been noted in French data (Laurec et at., 2012 WD to IBP-NEW 2012) that the length-at-age distributions can have unusual patterns including some multiple modes that could indicate age errors. This will result in some smoothing of age data across neighbouring year classes. In the UK data, unusual patterns in length-at-age distributions for some younger ages appear related more to effects of minimum landing size on data from the fishery.

## Inclusion of age error parameters in Stock Synthesis model

CVs for ageing error by age class can be included in Stock Synthesis. Based on the ICES sea bass scale exchange in 2002, the CVs of $\sim 12 \%$ can be specified as increasing values per age elass to give a standard error of $\sim 1$ year per age class. These are used in the SS3 observation submodel to derive expected values for observed data on age distributions.

## B.2.2. Growth parameters

Pickett and Pawson (1994) provide plots of growth curves for female and male sea bass based on samples collected in the 1980s in areas 4 and 7 . The samples used by Pickett and Pawson (1994) for growth and maturity analysis were obtained from a range of fishery and other sources.

A re-analysis of UK historical age-length data including more recent samples was conducted in 2012, using data for the full UK sampling series from 1985 to 2010 (Armstrong and Walmsley, 2012b). The data are derived from sampling of UK fishery catches around England and Wales as well as from trawls surveys of young sea bass in the

Solent and Thames estuary. More than 90000 sea bass have been aged since 1985. The inshore surveys are mainly young sea bass up to 3-5 years of age, whereas the fishery samples include fish up to 28 years of age.

All ageing is done from scales, excluding scales considered to be re-grown. On surveys, scales are collected in a length-stratified manner from individual hauls with a view to building age-length keys. A similar approach has historically been adopted for catch sampling. This may lead to non-random sampling of individual age groups when the catch numbers are well in excess of numbers sampled from an individual catch. It will also lead to some overestimation of the standard deviation of lengths-at-age.

All ages for fitting growth curves are referred to a nominal January 1 birthdate, according to month of capture. Parameters of the von Bertalanffy growth curve were fitted in Excel Solver using non-linear minimisation of $\sum$ (obs-exp) ${ }^{\wedge} 2$ for lengths-at-age of individual fish, by area and for all data combined.

Von Bertalanffy model parameters were as follows:

| Area | 4.b-c | 7.d |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Linf}(\mathrm{cm})$ | 82.98 | 87.22 | 92.27 | 7.e | 7.a, 7.f-g |
| $K$ | 0.1104 | 0.09298 | 0.07697 | All areas |  |
| $t_{0}$ (years) | -0.608 | -0.592 | -1.693 | -1.066 | 84.55 |

Standard deviation of length-at-age distributions increases linearly with age according to:

$$
\text { SD (age) = 0.1166*age + } 3.5609
$$

The sampled sea bass show some sexual dimorphism of growth from about seven years of age onwards. It is currently not possible to implement a sex-disaggregated Stock Synthesis assessment, therefore a combined-sex growth curve is adopted. Mean length-at-age has not shown any trend over time, and length-at-age is also very similar in strong and weak year classes (Armstrong and Walmsley, 2012b). Hence data have been combined over the full series to estimate growth parameters.
B.2.3. Maturity

Spawning grounds and season
Ripe adult sea bass have been caught by pelagic trawling in the south of Division 8.a and in the north of Division 8.6 in the Bay of Biscay during January-March (Morizur, unpublished data), and planktonic egg surveys (Thompson and Harrop, 1987; Jennings and Pawson, 1992) have shown that sea bass spawn offshore in the English Channel and eastern Celtic Sea from February to May. Spawning started in the Midwestern Channel when the temperature range associated with sea bass egg distributions was $8.5-11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and appeared to spread east through the Channel as the surface water temperature exceeded $9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Seasonal patterns of occurrence of advanced maturity stages in UK samples also indicate spawning mainly January to May in ICES areas 4 and 7 (Armstrong and Walmsley, 2012c). Spawning and ripe sea bass are also found in the southern North Sea (information from commercial fisheries and angler reports in Netherlands supplied to IBP-NEW 2012 by F. Quirijns).

## Previous estimates of maturity at length/age, and data available for re-analysis

SGBASS 2004 reported that around Britain and Ireland, male sea bass mature at a length of 31-35 cm, aged 4-7 years, and females at 40-45 cm, aged 5-8 years, (Kennedy and Fitzmaurice, 1972; Pawson and Pickett, 1996), and data from the southern part of the Bay of Biscay (Lam Hoai, 1970; Stequert, 1972) indicate that male matures at a length of 35 cm (age 4) and females at 42 cm (age 6). Data provided by Masski (1998) from samples taken from 7.e bottom trawlers ( 41 females) indicate that $40 \%$ and $82 \%$ of females were mature at-age 6 and 7 respectively, with a very small percentage mature at-age 5.

Collection of maturity data is difficult as few adult sea bass are caught in surveys that are typically landed whole and are extremely expensive to purchase. Samples collected by the UK (Cefas) during 1982-2003 and 2009 in ICES areas 4 and 7 were re-analysed for IBP-NEW 2012 (Armstrong and Walmsley, 2012c). Samples have come from all around the coast of England and Wales, though few fish were from the Irish Sea (7.a).

## Defining a maturity marker for sea bass

Sea bass are multiple batch spawners, as indicated by size distributions of oocytes (eggs) in ovaries (Mayer et al., 1990). This means that the ovary will start to mature oocytes through to vitellogenic stages during the months immediately prior to the spawning season. Historical maturity staging of sea bass by the UK has used the maturity key given in Pawson and Pickett (1996; Table B.2.3.1). In their analyses, they treated stage 2 as mature, and stage 3 as immature. Their reasoning was that stage 3 ovaries (early maturing) were found in smaller sea bass than later stages (4+) indicating that many of these fish may not proceed to spawning. Sea bass migrate offshore to spawning grounds, and it is likely that early maturing fish could be over-represented, and advanced maturing fish underrepresented in inshore catches sampled during the period of spawning migrations. An addítional spent stage (VIII) has been occasionally recorded.

The identification of a suitable marker to identify maturity has to take into account the probability of finding a fish at any maturity stage in different months, the duration of a stage, and the availability/catchability of fish at that stage of maturity. When the majority of mature sea bass have entered the batch spawning cycle in spring, all stages represented in batch spawning (III to VII) will be evident and should be distinct from immature fish. Therefore, providing that fish in all stages are equally catchable, the best markers for maturity are the maturity stages representing different stages in the batch spawning cycle, sampled at a time when spawning is taking place (or immediately before). This is the conclusion of recent ICES workshops on maturity staging of gadoids and flatfish, which recommends sampling within a month or so of the beginning and end of the spawning season. Experience with other roundfish and flatfish stocks is that it can be very difficult to distinguish between virgin females and fish that have spawned previously, when sampled in the non-spawning period. The UK data were therefore re-analysed using samples from December to April, treating all fish of maturity stages 3 to 7 as mature.

## Re-estimation of maturity ogives from UK data

Maturity was modelled using a binomial error structure and logit link function, fitted in R to individual observations. The logistic model describing proportion mature by 1 cm length class $L$ was formulated as:
$\operatorname{Pmat}(L)=1 /\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{-(a+b L)}\right)$
defined by the parameters slope $b$ and length intercept $a$. These parameters were estimated separately for females and males.This can also be expressed as:

$$
\operatorname{Pmat}(L)=1 /\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{b}(L+\mathrm{c})}\right) \text { where } \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}
$$

Stock Synthesis uses the second formulation, and the parameters required are the slope ( $b=0.3335$ : entered as a negative value) and the length inflection, which is the estimated length at $50 \%$ maturity ( $\mathrm{L}_{50}=40.65 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

The 2009 data came from a large sample of sea bass taken in spring from a few trips specifically to revisit sea bass maturity, but this sample dominates the time-series of sampling which is spread over very many more trips and months than in 2009 and therefore has better coverage. Maturity ogives were fitted including and excluding 2009 data. The inclusion of 2009 data, which were for a relatively restricted length range of fish around 40 cm , has the effect of improving the fit of the model near the top of the ascending limb of the maturity ogive for females (Figure B.2.3.1). However the very high weighting for these lengths compared to the data for lengths $<35 \mathrm{~cm}$ results in the model fitting very poorly to the smaller length classes. Excluding the 2009 data allow the length classes $<35 \mathrm{~cm}$ to carry more weight, and the ogive appears to fit the data for $30-40 \mathrm{~cm}$ sea bass more closely, although the fit for lengths $>40 \mathrm{~cm}$ is poorer. Addition of the 2009 data effectively shifts the $L_{50}$ from around 41 cm to 35 cm . In contrast, inclusion or exclusion of the 2009 data has less effect on the model fit for males (Figure B.2.3.1). On balance, it was considered undesirable for a few large hauls in a recent year to have excessive leverage in the model fit, and the model excluding 2009 was considered preferable as a long-term maturity ogive for use in assessments.

Table B.2.3.1. Macroscopic characteristics of the maturity stages of the gonads of sea bass. (Pawson and Pickett, 1996)

| Maturity stage |  | Ovary | Testis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Immature | Small thread-like ovary, reddishpink | Small, colourless, thread-like; testis not practical to differentiate macroscopically <TL 20 cm |
| II | Recovering spent | Ovaries one-third length of ventral cavity, opaque, pink with thickened walls and may have atretic eggs | Testis one-third length of ventral cavity, often bloodshot with parts dark grey |
| III | Developing (early) | Ovaries up to one-half length of ventral cavity, orange-red, slight granular appearance, thin, translucent walls | Testes thickness 10-20\% of length, dirty white, tinged grey or pink |
| IV | Developing (late) | Ovaries greater than one-third length of ventral cavity, orange-red; eggs clearly visible, but none hyaline | Testes flat-oval in cross section and thickness $>20 \%$ of length, half to twothirds of yentral cavity. White colour and milt expressed from vent if pressure applied to abdomen |
| V | Gravid (ripe) | Swollen ovaries two-thirds length of ventral cavity, pale yelloworange; opaque eggs clearly visible with some hyaline | Testes bright white and more rounded-oval in cross section. Only light pressure required to cause milt to flow from vent |
| VI | Running | Ovaries very swollen; both opaque and larger hyaline eggs clearly visible beneath thin almost transparent ovary wall, and expressed freely with light pressure | Testes becoming grey-white and less turgid. Milt extruded spontaneously |
| VII | Spent | Ovary flaccid but not empty, deep red; very thick ovary wall, dense yellow atretic eggs may be visible | Testes flattened and grey, flushed with red or pink, larger than those at stage II or III |



Figure B.2.3.1. Logistic maturity ogives (with $95 \%$ confidence intervals) fitted to individual maturity records for sea bass during December-April. Top plot: excluding 2009 data (top); bottom plot: including 2009 data. Points are proportion mature in the raw data. Dotted line is the number of observations per length class.

The parameters of the model $\operatorname{Pmat}(L)=1 /\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{c})}\right)$ are given below:

|  | Females | Males |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Intercept (a) | -13.556 | -16.851 |
| Slope (b) | 0.33349 | 0.4861 |
| $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$ | -40.649 | -34.6652 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{25}$ | 37.35 | 32.41 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{50}$ | 40.65 | 34.67 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{75}$ | 43.95 | 36.93 |

The logistic model for females and males is:

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\operatorname{Pmat}(\mathrm{L})=1 /(1+\mathrm{e}-0.33349(\mathrm{~L}-40.649)) & \text { (females) } \\
\operatorname{Pmat}(\mathrm{L})=1 /(1+\mathrm{e}-0.4861(\mathrm{~L}-34.6652)) & \text { (males) }
\end{array}
$$

The maturation range for females occurs at ages 4-7, and at ages 3-6 for males, as shown by the proportion mature at-age in the same samples used for estimation of length-based maturity ogives (Table B.2.3.2).

Table B.2.3.2. Raw proportion mature at-age in 1982-2003 UK samples from all areas.

|  | Females | Males |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| age 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| age 3 | 0.00 | 0.27 |
| age 4 | 0.17 | 0.54 |
| age 5 | 0.21 | 0.61 |
| age 6 | 0.55 | 0.91 |
| age 7 | 0.95 | 0.98 |
| age 8 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| age 9 | 0.95 | 0.98 |
| age 10+ | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Data on sea bass maturity have also been collected in the Netherlands since 2005. Methods and data are described by Quirijns and Bierman (2012). For male fish, too few specimens were measured to estimate maturity. Maturity-at-age and length are plotted in Figure B.2.3.2. Note that only few fish were measured in the lowest age and length groups. At age 4, $50 \%$ of the females are mature. This is substantially lower than the age at $50 \%$ maturity in the Cefas 1982-2003 samples (Table B.2.3.2), and closer to the ogive from Cefas data including the large 2009 sample (Figure B.2.3.1), for which L50 was around $35 \mathrm{~cm}(\sim 4$ years old). This may confirm that sea bass could now be maturing earlier than in the 1980s-2000s, at least for the North Sea. The plot showing ma-turity-at-length for Netherlands samples is not based on enough measurements to show a reliable maturity ogive.



Figure B2-2. Proportion of mature at-age and length (length in m) for female sea bass sampled in the southern North Sea by the Netherlands during 2005 (thick line). The thin line shows the number of fish measured on which the proportion of maturity is based.

## B.2.4. Larval dispersal, nursery grounds and recruitment

Sea bass larvae resulting from offshore spawning move steadily inshore towards the coast as they grow and, when they reach a specific developmental stage at around 1115 mm in length (at 30-50 days old), it is thought that they respond to an environmental cue and actively swim into estuarine nursery habitats (Jennings and Pawson, 1992). From June onwards, 0 -group sea bass in excess of 15 mm long are found almost exclusively in creeks, estuaries, backwaters, and shallow bays all along the southeast, south, and west coasts of England and Wales, where they remain through their first and second years, after which they migrate to overwintering areas in deeper water, returning to the larger estuaries in summer. Several studies indicate the existence of similar sea bass nursery areas in bays and estuaries on the French coasts of the Channel and Bay of Biscay and southern Ireland. During winter, juvenile sea bass move into deeper channels or into open water, and return in spring to the larger estuaries and shallow bays on the open coast, where they remain for the next 2-3 years.

On the south and west coasts of the UK, juvenile sea bass emigrate from these nursery areas at around 36 cm TL (age 3-6 years, depending on growth rate), often dispersing well outside the 'home' range, and not necessarily recruiting to their specific parent spawning stock (Pawson et al., 1987; Pickett and Pawson, 2004). It appears that there is substantial mixing of sea bass at this stage throughout large parts of the populations' distribution range. When they reach four or five years of age their movements become more wide-ranging and they eventually adopt the adult feeding/spawning migration patterns (Pickett and Pawson, 1994).

Sea temperature has a strong influence on sea bass dynamics, affecting larval dispersal, spatial distributions, and also the growth and survival of young sea bass in nursery areas during the first years of life (Pawson, 1992; ICES, WGCSE 2014; Beraud et al., 2018).

## B.2.5. Natural mortality M

There are no direct estimates of natural mortality available for Northeast Atlantic sea bass. Predation up to around age 4 will be in and near estuaries and bays. As with other fish species it is expected that natural mortality will be relatively high at the youngest ages, particularly given the slow growth rate in sea bass. A variety of methods are given in the literature relating natural mortality rate $M$ to life-history parameters such as von Bertalanffy growth parameters k and Linf (asymptotic length), length or age at $50 \%$ maturity and apparent longevity particularly in an unexploited or very lightly exploited population. The probability of encountering very old sea bass is partly a function of the interaction of year-class strength and sampling rates, as well as mortality, however the occurrence of sea bass to almost 30 years of age suggests low rates of mortality. The observed maximum age of 28 years in sea bass samples in the UK was recorded in the early 1980s, following a period of relatively low fishery landings. Age compositions of recreational fishery caught seabass in southern Ireland, presented by stakeholders at IBP-NEW 2012, also show ages up to 26 years (Figure B.2.5.1). This stock has been subject to a commercial fishery ban for many years.


Figure B.2.5.1. Age composition of sea bass from samples collected from recreational catches in southern Ireland (data courtesy Ed Fahy, IBP-NEW 2012 meeting).

Inferences on sea bass natural mortality based on some life-history models in the literature are given in IBP-NEW 2012 benchmark assessment section. The inferred values of M , with the exception of the Beverton method, are in the range 0.15 - 0.22 (Armstrong, 2012). A variety of methods are given in the literature relating natural mortality rate M to life-history parameters such as von Bertalanffy growth parameters $k$ and Linf (asymptotic length), length or age at $50 \%$ maturity and apparent longevity particularly in an unexploited or very lightly exploited population. The method of Gislason et al. (2010) generates age-varying $M$ values. These methods were applied to the following sea bass life-history parameters by Armstrong (2012):

| LIFE-HISTORY PARAMETERS |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| VBGF K (combined sex) | 0.09699 |
| VBGF Linf (combined sex) | 84.55 |
| VBGF to (combined sex) | -0.73 |
| Age at 50\% maturity females (L50 converted to age) | 6 |
| Age at 50\% maturity males (L50 converted to age) | 4 |
|  |  |
| Max age (combined sex) | 28 |
| Length at 50\% mat females | 40.65 |
| Length at 50\% mat males | 34.67 |

The probability of encountering very old sea bass is partly a function of the interaction of year-class strength and sampling rates, as well as mortality, however the occurrence of sea bass to almost 30 years of age suggests low rates of mortality. The observed maximum age of 28 years in sea bass samples in the UK was recorded in the early 1980s, following a period of relatively low fishery landings although recreational fishing was occurring throughout this period. Age compositions of recreational fishery caught sea bass in southern Ireland, presented by stakeholders at IBP-NEW 2012, show ages up to 26 years. This stock has been subject to a commercial fishery ban for many years.

Inferences on natural mortality rates are given below:

Table B2.5.1. Inferences on natural mortality rate from a range of life-history based methods.


| Life history parameters |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| VBGF K (combined sex) | 0.097 |
| VBGF Linf (combined sex) | 84.55 |
| VBGF to (combined sex | -0.73 |
| Age at 50\% maturity females (L50\% converted to age) | 6 |
| Age at 50\% maturity males (L50\% converted to age) | 4 |
|  |  |
| Maxage (combined sex) | 28 |
| Length at 50\% mat females | 40.65 |
| Length at 50\% mat males | 34.67 |

Table B2.5.2. Inferences on natural mortality rate by age class using the Gislason et al. (2010) and Lorenzen (2006) methods. Values are given unscaled, and scaled to a mean $M$ of 0.24 at ages 10-20 (based on Then et al. (2015) for maximum age of 27 years) and mean M of 0.15 at ages 10-20 (from Hoenig, 1983 using maximum age of 27-28 years).

|  | Gislason method M |  |  |  | Lorenzen method M |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| age <br> class | L | Not scaled | Scaled <br> to 0.24 <br> at ages 10-20 | Scaled to 0.15 at age 5-20 | W (kg) | Not scaled | Scaled <br> to 0.24 <br> at <br> ages 10-20 | Scaled to 0.15 at age 5- $20$ |
| 1 | 13.1 | 1.599 | 3.145 | 1.966 | 0.023 | 1.210 | 0.995 | 0.622 |
| 2 | 19.7 | 0.827 | 1.627 | 1.017 | 0.096 | 0.807 | 0.663 | 0.415 |
| 3 | 25.7 | 0.539 | 1.060 | 0.662 | 0.209 | 0.644 | 0.530 | 0.331 |
| 4 | 31.1 | 0.395 | 0.778 | 0.486 | 0.369 | 0.547 | 0.450 | 0.281 |
| 5 | 36.1 | 0.312 | 0.613 | 0.383 | 0.570 | 0.482 | 0.397 | 0.248 |
| 6 | 40.5 | 0.258 | 0.508 | 0.317 | 0.807 | 0.436 | 0.359 | 0.224 |
| 7 | 44.6 | 0.221 | 0.435 | 0.272 | 1.073 | 0.402 | 0.331 | 0.207 |
| 8 | 48.3 | 0.195 | 0.383 | 0.239 | 1.359 | 0.376 | 0.309 | 0.193 |
| 9 | 51.6 | 0.175 | 0.344 | 0.215 | 1.659 | 0.355 | 0.292 | 0.182 |
| 10 | 54.7 | 0.159 | 0.314 | 0.196 | 1.968 | 0.338 | 0.278 | 0.174 |
| 11 | 57.5 | 0.147 | 0.290 | 0.181 | 2.279 | 0.324 | 0.266 | 0.166 |
| 12 | 60.0 | 0.138 | 0.270 | 0.169 | 2.588 | 0.312 | 0.257 | 0.160 |
| 13 | 62.2 | 0.130 | 0.255 | 0.159 | 2.893 | 0.302 | 0.249 | 0.155 |
| 14 | 64.3 | 0.123 | 0.242 | 0.151 | 3.190 | 0.294 | 0.242 | 0.151 |
| 15 | 66.2 | 0.117 | 0.231 | 0.144 | 3.476 | 0.287 | 0.236 | 0.147 |
| 16 | 67.9 | 0.113 | 0.222 | 0.138 | 3.751 | 0.280 | 0.231 | 0.144 |
| 17 | 69.4 | 0.109 | 0.214 | 0.134 | 4.013 | 0.275 | 0.226 | 0.141 |
| 18 | 70.8 | 0.105 | 0.207 | 0.129 | 4.262 | 0.270 | 0.222 | 0.139 |
| 19 | 72.1 | 0.102 | 0.201 | 0.126 | 4.498 | 0.266 | 0.219 | 0.137 |
| 20 | 73.2 | 0.100 | 0.196 | 0.122 | 4.719 | 0.262 | 0.216 | 0.135 |
|  | $74.3$ |  | 0.192 | 0.120 | 4.926 | 0.259 | 0.213 | 0.133 |
| 22 | $75.2$ | $0.095$ | 0.188 | 0.117 | 5.119 | 0.256 | 0.211 | 0.132 |
| $23$ | 76.1 | 0.094 | 0.184 | 0.115 | 5.299 | 0.254 | 0.209 | 0.130 |
|  | 76.9 | 0.092 | 0.181 | 0.113 | 5.464 | 0.252 | 0.207 | 0.129 |
| $25$ | 77.6 | 0.091 | 0.179 | 0.112 | 5.616 | 0.250 | 0.205 | 0.128 |
| 26 | 78.2 | 0.090 | 0.176 | 0.110 | 5.755 | 0.248 | 0.204 | 0.127 |
| 27 | 78.8 | 0.089 | 0.174 | 0.109 | 5.882 | 0.246 | 0.203 | 0.127 |
| 28 | 79.3 | 0.088 | 0.172 | 0.108 | 5.996 | 0.245 | 0.201 | 0.126 |
| mean <br> over <br> ages <br> 10-20 |  | 0.122 | 0.240 | 0.150 | 3.422 | 0.292 | 0.240 | 0.150 |

IBPNEW 2012 (ICES, 2012) applied a range of life-history based methods to make inferences of appropriate values for natural mortality (M). The historical value of 0.15 reflected the results of the Hoenig (1983) method based only on a maximum observed age of 28 years from a large dataset of age readings collected by Cefas (UK) from the 1980s onwards. A maximum observed age of 26 years was recorded in a dataset of 1145
age readings from fish provided by southern Ireland anglers (ICES, 2012). WKBASS 2018 has updated the life-history analyses using information from additional and more recent studies, and has considered the use of an age-dependent method combined with methods based on maximum observed age.

The inferred values of $M$ (Table B2.5.1-2), with the exception of the Beverton method, are in the range $0.12-0.29$. The average of the Gislason estimates unscaled for ages $10-$ 20 is 0.12 , and the estimates fall below 0.15 by age 16 . The Lorenzen $M$ estimates given in Table B2.5.2 out to 28 years of age generates larger M values, but when re-scaled to $\mathrm{M}=0.15$ or 0.24 at ages $10-20$ the resultant M at-age is more closely matched. The values of M from the Then et al. (2015) estimators are given in Table B2.5.1 compared with the estimators used previously for the sea bass assessment. Values of $M$ for tmax values of $26-28$ range from $0.23-0.25$. The Then et al. (2015) method based on VBGF parameters is 0.173. These are larger than given by the Hoenig (1983) tmax method for teleosts, and in the range given by Alverson and Carney (1975), Pauly (1980), Ralston (1987). The more recent published study by Then et al. (2015) lookeed at the link between M and maximum observed age. In this paper, they analysed data from 226 studies (including Hoenig, 1983) to evaluate the robustness of life-history based M inferences. After exploring the different options for M, WKBASS 2017 agreed the the M value from Then et al. $t_{\text {max }}$ method $(M=0.24)$ was more appropriate and is close to the value given by the assessment model if allowed to freely estimate the parameter. WKBASS2 2018 has adopted this value.

## B.3. Surveys

## B.3.1. UK Solent and Thames prerecruit surveys

The UK has conducted prerecruit trawl surveys in the Solent and the Thames Estuary since 1981 and 1997 respectively. These surveys all ended in 2009 although the Solent survey was repeated as a one-off survey in autumn 2011 to help provide recruitment indices for the sea bass benchmark assessment. The location of the surveys and the tow positions are shown in Figure B.3.1.1. Both surveys use a high headline sea bass trawl, although in the Thames it is deployed as a twin rig and in the Solent as a single rig.



Figure B.3.1.1. Location and tow positions for UK(England) Solent and Thames sea bass surveys.

The Solent survey has previously been presented to WGNEW 2012 as a combined index across ages in each year class. The index was derived by first rescaling the annual mean catch rate per age class to the mean for that age in the survey series, then taking the average of the rescaled values for ages 2-4 in each year class from surveys in MayJuly and September (i.e. up to six values represented in the annual combined index). The Thames survey data were worked up in the same way, although using a different age range for the combined index (ages 0-3). WGNEW 2012 provided the survey data in the more conventional tuning-file format, giving the standardised catch rates (arithmetic mean numbers per 10 minute tow) by year and age, separately for the two surveys (data in assessment report). These surveys have now been discontinued and will not be updated by future working groups unless new resources are allocated.
The spring and autumn Solent survey index series are updated to include the autumn 2013 survey and to amend an error in the autumn survey indices in 2000 . The surveys do not show major year-effects, but as noted in previous assessments the autumn (September) survey shows a general increase in recruitment during the 1990s up to the mid2000s, with very low indices for the 2008 onwards year classes, whilst the spring survey shows poor recruitment from around 2002 onwards. Previous Stock Synthesis runs show that the autumn survey is much better fitted than the spring survey. The spring survey is likely to be more strongly affected by weather and by temperature effects on distribution.

The Thames survey series indicates an increase in recruitment from the mid-1990s to early 2000s followed by some poor year classes, possibly a strong 2007 year class, then weak year classes in 2008 and 2009. A problem with the use of the Thames survey is that it may reflect recruitment from spawning that became established in the southern North Sea as the stock expanded in the 1990s under warmer sea conditions, and may therefore not reflect recruitment trends that influence the larger stock components in the Channel and Celtic Sea.

A justification of using the Solent survey as an index of recruitment over the full range of the stock was the results of a statistical, UK-only fleet-based separable model developed by Pawson et al. (2007) and updated by WGNEW 2012 (Kupschus et al., 2008). The Pawson et al. (2007) model was fitted only using UK age compositions for trawls, midwater trawls, nets and lines, separately for ICES divisions 4.b-c, and 7.d, and 7.e, 7.h and 7.a, 7.f, 7.g, and was intended mainly to estimate fleet selection patterns. Although it excluded any tuning data, the recruitment series for each sea area closely resembled the Solent survey indices and to an extent the shorter Thames series, and was able to provide coherent selection patterns by fleet.

The full Solent survey series was subject to a change in gear design in 1993. Some comparative trawling was carried out to develop age-varying calibration factors, but these are poorly documented. Pending an evaluation of this, the first benchmark Stock Synthesis runs included a sensitivity run with the series split into two periods around the gear change. Some additional issues with calibration factors applied to the spring survey were detected during the benchmark, and this is considered later in the sections on model development.

A precision estimate was calculated for the Solent and Thames surveys based on the between-tow variations in catch rate of all the age classes used in the index. For the Solent spring, Solent autumn and Thames surveys, the relative standard errors were $0.42,0.25$ and 0.43 respectively.

IBP-BASS 2014 reviewed the performance of the Solent and Thames surveys and decided to exclude the Solent spring and the Thames survey for the following reasons:

- The Solent spring survey (Table B.3.1.1) has performed poorly in the assessment, indicating trends in recruitment not in accord with other data. This may be related to temperature or other environmental effects on distribution of small sea bass in spring. Unusual calibration factors noted in some years in the Solent spring data files require investigation.
- The Thames survey (Table B.3.1.2) may reflect recruitment from spawning that became established in the southern North Sea as the stock expanded in the 1990s umder warmer sea conditions, and may therefore not reflect recruitment trends that influence the larger stock components in the Channel

[^2]Table B.3.1.1. Time-series of relative abundance indices for sea bass age groups 2,3 and 4 from the UK Solent spring and autumn trawl surveys. A change in trawl design took place in 1993.

|  | May-July |  |  | September |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | age 2 | age 3 | age 4 | age 2 | age 3 | age 4 |
| 1981 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.25 | No survey |  |  |
| 1982 | 0.51 | 2.17 | 0.16 | 3.25 | 10.10 | 0.38 |
| 1983 | No survey |  |  | 9.87 | 0.91 | 1.88 |
| 1984 | 0.95 | 2.66 | 0.43 | 1.38 | 0.65 | 0.09 |
| 1985 | 0.00 | 10.33 | 2.56 | No survey |  |  |
| 1986 | No survey |  |  | 0.27 | 4.26 | 1.31 |
| 1987 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 3.18 | 0.05 | 0.28 | 2.27 |
| 1988 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.47 | No survey |  |  |
| 1989 | No survey |  |  | 6.68 - 0.37 |  |  |
| 1990 | 2.84 | 2.48 | 0.00 | 2.81 1.15 <br> 3.08 0.21 |  | 0.02 |
| 1991 | 5.78 | 0.62 | 0.09 |  |  | 0.03 |
| 1992 | 0.11 | 7.04 | 0.35 | $0.95 \quad 18.59$ |  | 0.16 |
| 1993 | 0.05 | 7.33 | 14.02 | 6.65 3.59 |  | 4.39 |
| 1994 | 0.04 | 1.63 | 1.14 | 3.33 | 1.84 | 0.29 |
| 1995 | 0.05 | 1.57 | 0.97 | 4.83 | 4.69 | 0.72 |
| 1996 | 1.43 | 4.09 | 3.36 | 5.52 | 0.43 | 0.11 |
| 1997 | 0.27 | 1.94 | 0.1 | 33.62 | 4.52 | 0.06 |
| 1998 | 0.00 | 6.75 | 5.79 | 1.22 | 5.50 | 0.61 |
| 1999 | 0.61 | 0.95 | 12.30 | 19.37 | 0.67 | 0.87 |
| 2000 | 0.49 | 37.03 | 1.06 | 6.07 | 11.35 | 0.03 |
| 2001 | 71 | 6.33 | 3.43 | 34.42 | 3.92 | 1.57 |
| 2002 | 0.63 | 1.62 | 0.29 | 7.42 | 3.87 | 0.40 |
| 2003 |  | 0.32 | 0.38 | 8.37 | 4.60 | $0.59$ |
| 2004 | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.16 | No survey |  |  |
| 2005 | 0.05 | 0.42 | 0.35 | 13.12 | 7.98 | 0.84 |
| 2006 |  | 2.47 | 1.03 | 9.51 | 9.21 | 1.02 |
| 2007 | No survey |  |  | 3.42 | 1.78 | 0.30 |
| 2008 |  |  |  | $18.52$ | 6.66 | 0.34 |
| 2009 | 0.72 | 1.03 | 0.13 | $13.25$ | 6.25 | $0.33$ |
| 2010 | No survey |  |  | No survey |  |  |
| 2011 | No survey |  |  | 2.25 | 1.39 | 0.42 |
| 2012 | No survey |  |  | No survey |  |  |
| 2013 | No survey |  |  | 1.34 | 0.08 | $0.10$ |
| 2014 | No survey |  |  | $0.74$ | $0.64$ | $0.02$ |
| 2015 | No survey |  |  | $6.95$ | $0.44$ | $0.05$ |
| 2016 | No survey |  |  | 3.75 | 2.17 | 0.11 |

Table B.3.1.2. Time-series of relative abundance indices for sea bass age groups $0-3$ from the UK Thames trawl survey.

| Year | age 0 | age 1 | age 2 | age 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 7.737 | 0 | 0.048 | 0.41 |
| 1998 | No survey |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 19.54 | 6.033 | 0.764 | 0 |
| 2000 | 4.015 | 14.74 | 0.832 | 0.089 |
| 2001 | 121.5 | 11.47 | 5.108 | 0.171 |
| 2002 | 469 | 20.71 | 2.716 | 1.093 |
| 2003 | 225.6 | 35.76 | 4.429 | 0.159 |
| 2004 | 238.92 | 44.99 | 7.32 | 1.03 |
| 2005 | 37.04 | 14.49 | 6.86 | $0.75$ |
| 2006 | 245.54 | 11.26 | 3.46 | 0.94 |
| 2007 | No survey |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 107.55 | 50.69 | 1.86 | 0.2 |
| 2009 | 95.43 | 7.79 |  | 0.91 |
| B.3.2. Other 0-gp \& 1-gp surveys |  |  |  |  |

The UK has undertaken a seine net survey in the Tamar Estuary, since 1985. Additional data are available from the Camel estuary and power stations in the Thames and Severn Estuary. These surveys are used as supporting information and not included in the assessment. Abundance indices for these surveys are given in Table B.3.2.1. The Tamar survey abundance indices need to be updated to include more recent surveys. Seine net surveys in the UK estuaries Fal and Helford also have data on 0-gp and 1-gp sea bass.

Table B.3.2.1. Abundance indices for 0-gp and 1-gp sea bass. († discontinued).

|  | Estuary seine surveys |  |  | Power station screen |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tamar (0-group) | Tamar (1-group) | Camel | Severn | Thames |
|  | 7.e | 7.e | 7.f | $7 . f$ | 4.c |
| 1972 |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| 1973 |  |  |  | 4 |  |
| 1974 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 1975 |  |  |  | 15 | 78 |
| 1976 |  |  |  | 127 | 100 |
| 1977 |  |  |  | - | 6 |
| 1978 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 1979 |  |  |  | - | 5 |
| 1980 |  |  |  |  | 37 |
| 1981 |  |  | $2$ | 216 | 21 |
| 1982 |  |  | 123 | $83$ | 56 |
| 1983 |  |  | $30$ | $226$ | 83 |
| 1984 |  |  | 134 | 8 | 62 |
| 1985 | 0.663 | 0.385 | 22 | 11 | 76 |
| 1986 | 0.005 | 0.014 | $1$ | 3 | 14 |
| 1987 | 0.032 | $0.062$ | 31 | 96 | 116 |
| 1988 | 1.484 | $1.284$ | 48 | 98 | 54 |
| 1989 | 2.348 | 2.389 | 112 | 446 | 610 |
| 1990 | 1.038 | 1.516 | 89 | 25 | 433 |
| 1991 | $0.076$ | $0.058$ | 50 | 300 | 64 |
| 1992 | $2.216$ | 2.431 | 25 | 280 | 104 |
| 1993 | $1.013$ | 0.913 | 22 | 202 | 131 |
| 1994 | $1.126$ | 0.346 | 134 | - | 26 |
| 1995 | $2.356$ | 1.294 | - | - | 27 |
| $1996$ | $0.102$ | $0.047$ | 119 | $242$ | $\dagger$ |
| $1997$ | 1.119 | 1.299 | 102 | $\dagger$ |  |
| $1998$ | 2.082 | 3.170 | 264 |  |  |
| $1999$ | 1.215 | 0.937 | 56 |  |  |
| 2000 | 0.340 | 1.185 | 133 |  |  |
| 2001 | 0.351 | 0.129 | † |  |  |
| 2002 | 2.098 | 3.179 |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 0.965 | 1.067 |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 1.453 | 0.261 |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 0.522 | 0.169 |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 0.186 | 0.203 |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 0.475 | 1.308 |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 1.275 | 1.229 |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 0.460 |  |  |  |  |

## B.3.3. Evhoe survey: France

Sea bass are caught in small numbers in the French Evhoe trawl survey, which extends to the shelf edge in subareas 7 and 8 but also extends into coastal areas of the Bay of Biscay and the Celtic Sea where sea bass may be caught (cf the station map, Figure B.3.3.1). Less than $10 \%$ of the stations have sea bass catches in most years. A mean of 0.5 sea bass per trawl has been recorded from 1987. Abundance indices are calculated as stratified means.


Figure B.3.3.1. Station positions for French Evhoe bottom-trawl survey (not used in assessment).

## B.3.4. Channel Ground Fish Survey (CGFS): France

Raw data on sea bass from the French scientific trawl survey "Channel Ground Fish Survey - CGFS" were not available for the previous benchmark in 2012 (IBP-NEW 2012). Details of the suryey are given in Coppin et al. (2002), which includes a full description of the GOV trawl used in October each year at the 82 stations in ICES Division 7.d shown in Figure B.3.4.1. The majority of sea bass are caught in the coastal waters of England and France (Figure B.3.4.1). The abundance indices from all the stations give similar trends as from a subset of stations in the main coastal areas, and trial runs with SS3 gave similar trends. Therefore, for further SS3 development, the indices calculated from all the area are used.

The abundance indices are calculated applying a stratified random swept-area based estimator. Strata correspond to ICES statistical rectangles. Swept-area is calculated using wingspread. As this is a stratified swept-area based indicator, uncertainty is based on between haul variance within a strata and summation of variances across strata. Full methodology is presented in the WD_01, available in Annex 2 of the IBPBASS 2014 report. The trends in both the index and in the proportion of stations with sea bass show some similarities to the trend in total biomass estimates from the WGCSE 2013 update assessment using Stock Synthesis, which lent a priori support to the use of the index in the assessment. The swept-area indices of abundance, the percentage of stations with sea bass, and the variance of the estimates are included in the WGCSE 2014 report and will be updated annually. The length composition of the survey index is calculated and is also input to Stock Synthesis.

The precision of the swept-area indices appears unrealistically high in some years (e.g. 0.025 in 1991), which may indicate that the index trends are driven largely by the incidence of positive catches. Modelling of the data using delta lognormal models may provide more realistic precision. During trial Stock Synthesis runs, the use of the CVs resulted in an inability to fit the selection curve for the survey due to individual years being given far too much weight. Relaxing the CVs to 0.30 for all years except the first three years (set to 0.6 given the very low incidence of positive stations) allowed the model to fit the length compositions more closely over the series. The annual indices are therefore input to Stock Synthesis with a CV of 0.30 for all years. The effective sample sizes for the annual survey length composition data are set at the number of stations with sea bass length data. The length compositions for the first three years (1988-1990) are excluded from the assessment due to very small sample sizes although the aggregate indices are retained.


Figure B.3.4.1. Left: stations fished during the Channel Groundfish Survey carried out annually by France. Right: distribution of total catches of sea bass over the survey series.


IBP-NEW2012 evaluated a range of commercial fishery lpue series for French and UK fleets operating in areas 4 and 7. The UK analysis of official catch statistics involved filtering individual trip data to include only trips in ICES rectangles where sea bass catches have been recorded historically. UK vessels of 10 m and under, for which historical landings data are very uncertain, were found to have a wide range of lpue trends depending on gear and area fished, often showing a very steep increase since the mid2000s (Armstrong and Maxwell, 2012). This may be partly a consequence of more accurate reporting caused by the Registration of Buyers and Sellers regulations after its introduction in 2005, but may also represent a bias caused by increased targeting of sea bass by vessels with insufficient quotas for other stocks or trying to develop track record.

With some exceptions (e.g. trawlers in $7 . \mathrm{d}$ ), $\mathrm{UK}>10 \mathrm{~m}$ vessels tended to show different lpue trends to 10 m and under vessels. Relative trends of sea bass lpue for $70-99 \mathrm{~mm}$ mesh UK otter trawls (1985-2011) and French otter trawlers (2000-2010) operating in 4.b-c, and 7.d, and 7.e, 7.h and 7.a, 7.f-g showed a general trend of increase in the 1980s and 1990s, followed by a levelling off and a decline after 2009 (Figure 10.1.2.7, from

WGCSE 2013). The trends for $>10 \mathrm{~m}$ UK and French trawlers in 4 and 7.d and in 7.e closely matched the trend in total-stock biomass estimates from the final WGCSE 2013 Stock Synthesis assessment whereas the UK trawlers in 7.a and 7.f-g had a much lower lpue in the early part of the time-series. These results indicated a potential for development of fishery lpue series for inclusion in development of SS3 for sea bass, using more sophisticated trip filtering and using more statistical approaches such as deltalognormal modelling with GLMs to develop standardised series.

## B.4.1. UK sea bass logbook scheme

The UK sea bass logbook scheme is described in Section B.1.1. Although the survey has severe limitations for estimation of total sea bass landings for UK vessels, individual logbooks provide time-series of varying duration on catch rates of individual vessels using specific gears. The logbooks with sufficient data cover eight gear types within trawls, nets and lines, covering mainly 10 m and under vessels, excluding recreational vessels. The total numbers of logbooks have declined from 50-60 in earlier years to below 20 in recent years. No logbooks were issued in 2008

(Region 1: North Sea 4.b-c, 2: eastern Channel 7.d; 3: western Channel 7.e, 7.h; 4: Celtic Sea (7.f-g); Irish Sea (7.a). The trend in number of records per year shows roughly the same pattern across gears:

An exploratory GAM method was developed (Armstrong and Maxwell, 2012) to extract a common temporal trend in lpue from the individual series for ICES areas 4.b-c, and 7.d, and 7.e, 7.h and 7.a, 7.f-g (referred in the models as areas 1 and 2,3 and 4 and 5). This is analogous to combining series of tree ring counts from timbers of various ages to give a single series describing climate changes. The general method involves estimating logbook factors and year factors (and interactions) to minimise residual model error. Following initial model development and evaluation, a negative binomial error distribution with log link was selected. This can accommodate zero values and allows for the variance to increase with the mean. Working with a log link implies that the estimated trend with year is multiplicative not additive.

Fitted trends and confidence intervals suggest an increasing lpue trend in regions 1 and 2 (North Sea and 7.d), and 3 (7.e, 7.h) (Figure B.4.1.1). A relatively flat trend and possible recent decline is indicated in regions 4 and 5 (7.a, 7.f-g) although the recent
trend is highly imprecise. Residual checks indicate the model assumptions are reasonable. Model diagnostics and sensitivity to smoothing and other parameters are given in Armstrong and Maxwell (2012).


Figure B.4.1.1. Cefas sea bass logbook lpue: Selected model for combined regions, plots showing year effects from a fitted model with separate mean value for each book number-gear combination and negative binomial error distribution, dashed lines are a $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence interval.

## B.4.2. UK fleet Ipue based on official catch dataseries

Armstrong and Maxwell (2012) review trends in UK commercial fishery lpue for sea bass in the North Sea (4), eastern Channel (7.d), western Channel (7.e) and Irish/Celtic Seas (7.a, 7.f-g) from 1985-2011, and evaluate the possibility of using the time-series as relative abundance estimates for tuning stock assessment models.

Gears which catch sea bass are targeted at a variety of species, and the fishing effort is distributed across many areas where sea bass have zero or very low probability of capture. A number of approaches are possible to subset fishing trips to include only those that have a probability of catching the species for which lpue is to be estimated. One approach (Stephens and MacCall, 2004) is to cluster fishing trips according to species that occur in association, and use only the cluster with the species on interest for estimating lpue. This method has not yet been applied to UK data. An alternative method to subset trips was applied. This involved (a) selecting gear types that account for $\sim 95 \%$ of the total sea bass landings in each area since 2005; (b) for the selected gears and areas, identify ICES rectangles accounting for $\sim 95 \%$ of the total sea bass landings since 1985 . Annual lpue was then estimated for each area and gear, separately for vessels of 10 m and under (LOA) and $>10 \mathrm{~m}$ vessels. The LOA split is important because reporting of landings and effort of 10 m and under vessels has been very uncertain historically, particularly prior to the introduction of Buyers and Sellers regulations in 2005. Lpue of 10 m and under vessels may be very inaccurate prior to 1995.

It was not possible to evaluate the effect of any increase in targeting of sea bass by individual vessels using the selected gear types in the selected rectangles, or effects of technology creep. Increased targeting is likely to have happened for vessels with increasingly limited quotas for other species such as cod and which have switched to
non-TAC species such as sea bass. For some gears, such as beam trawls, sea bass are not targeted and are purely a bycatch. Too many lpue series have been examined to reproduce in the Stock Annex, but can be viewed in Armstrong and Maxwell, 2012.

## B.4.3. French Ipue dataseries

Lpue of French trawlers in 4.b, 4.c, 7.d, 7.e and $7 . h$ is available from 2000 with estimated landings by ICES divisions. A recent study has developed indices as $\mathrm{kg} /$ per day based on data from auction's sales. This study was carried out on French bottom trawlers (less than 18 m ), having a fishing strategy with the least distant random sampling; this fleet is considered as a fleet that does not target sea bass. Large bias can be caused where: 1 . an auction sale corresponds to several days of fishing, 2. technological advances are not taken into account, and 3. changes in fisher strategies are excluded. Nevertheless, for information, those from the Channel and North Sea have been compared to the UK Otter trawls lpue, and similarities shown on Figure B.4.3.1 are observed.


Figure B.4.3.1. UK fleet lpue based on official catch dataseries, compared to the French lpue sets based on auction hall sales.

In 2015 for WGCSE, a study was conducted and presented in a Working Document (ICES, 2015a). Daily catch rates per vessel, grouped within months and ICES statisitcal rectangles, were analysed using a multiplicative two factors model. The two factors were the fishing vessel effect and the stratum effect. A stratum corresponds to an ICES statistical recangle, a month and a year.

First conclusions provide a basic hypothesis about stock structures and spawning migrations, and directly related to this discussion apparent abundance index have been produced covering various option/areas. The preliminary results of the study are considered promising by the group. Even if it's still underdevelopment and should be benchmarked to use it directly in the assessment, some comparison of the Index from two various option with the SSB is presented in Figure B.4.3.2. This shows similar trends in stock perception conforting results of the assessment (but question on the degree of the trends).



Figure B.4.3.2. Bass-47: Trends in commercial lpue index for French fleets overlaid on the assessment estimates of spawning-stock biomass (+/- 2 standard errors). Top: index based on data from all twelve months; bottom: index excluding fishing trips during spring-spawning season.

A new time-series of relative abundance indices since 2001 was developed by statistical modelling of French fishery landings per unit of effort (lpue) and provided to WKBASS data WK 2017. The data were fitted closely by the assessment model. During the subsequent WKBASS benchmark assessment meeting in 2017, a new index series was provided for each of the stocks, excluding a large number of vessels with predominantly zero landings of sea bass. The index combines trends from otter trawls, nets and lines, but excludes midwater trawls which target spawning aggregations and have not fished on the stock since 2014.

WKBASS2 2018 has included in the assessment the French fishery lpue series 20012016 with modelling of zero trip landings, Figure B.4.3.3. Several alternative series were also considered (2001-2016 including modelling of zero catches and alternative series using positive catches only; 2010-2016 including modelling of zero catches).


Figure B.4.3.3. Bass-47: Final accepted commercial lpue index for French fleets (+/-2 standard errors).

## C. Assessment: data and method

## C.1. Software used and model options chosen

Model used: Stock Synthesis 3 (SS3) (Methot, 2000)
Software used: Stock synthesis v3.24u (Methot, 2011)
WKBASS2 2018 revised the assessment using Stock Synthesis 3 (SS3) framework (Methot, 2000) and the software used was Stock synthesis 3.24u (Methot, 2011). The assessment requires a modelling framework capable of handling a mixture of age and length data for fisheries and surveys (fleet-based landings; landings age or length compositions, age-based survey indices for young sea bass) and biological information on growth rates and maturity. Landings-at-age were available for four UK fleets from 1985 onwards, whereas French fleets had length composition data that were available only since the 2000s. The Stock Synthesis assessment model was chosen, primarily for its highly flexible statistical model framework allowing the building of simple to complex models using a mix of data compositions available. The model is written in ADMB (www.admb-project.org), is forward simulating and available at the NOAA toolbox: http://nft.nefsc.noaa.gov/SS3.html.

A mixed age-length model was fitted by WGCSE 2013 as the base case, with a lengthonly model for comparison. Some adjustments were made by WGCSE 2013 to the model: i) UK fishery compositions for 2012 were input to the age-length model as length compositions because age compositions were not available; ii) the UK midwater trawl series was reduced to 1996 onwards and was input as length compositions because unusual length-based selection curve parameters were obtained when inputting the data as age compositions; iii) recruit deviations were estimated back to 1965.

IBP-BASS 2014 addressed the following recommendations of WGCSE 2013 for developing the assessment during the inter-benchmark meeting. Work completed is indicated in parentheses:

- Source and review information on historical catches and develop plausible scenarios including over the 20+ year burn-in period for the assessment [some investigations were pursued in France but yielded no clear information on pre-1985 landings];
- Review the derivation and quality of historical fishery length/age composition data [not done beyond the information on sampling intensity and coverage already available];
- Expand UK fishery age compositions to all true ages [done, see below];
- Rationalise the fleet definitions, and reduce to the minimum sufficient to provide robust SS3 stock trends [done, see below];
- Source and evaluate candidate lpue or effort series for tuning abundance or fishing mortality on older ages [fishery-dependent abundance indices were not considered other than some information presented in Section 2 on lpue of fleets in the Netherlands];
- Collate and evaluate other survey data on sea bass abundance that could be incorporated in the model [French Channel Groundfish Survey was evaluated and incorporated in the assessment];
- Determine the most robust approach to incorporating mean length-at-age and length-at-age distributions in SS3 [Not done];
- Investigate potential biases in using combined sex growth parameters [Not done];
- Further explore the sensitivity of the assessment to decisions on model structure and inputs [See model development and sensitivity analyses carried out below];
- Consider if simpler assessment approaches are warranted [IBPbass focused exclusively on Stock Synthesis to try to make best use of all available data].

IBP-BASS2 2016 reviewed the quality and use of a new age composition dataset for French fishery landings, updated some input data, and made a number of improvements in the SS3 model configuration use. The base case was the SS3 model configuration used by WGCSE 2015. The base case including agreed adjustments was used to explore the performance of a series of runs. IBP-BASS2 2016 concluded that model with sample sizes adjusted using the Francis method (an iterative reweighting process of compositional data using the input sample sizes and the effective sample sizes based on model fit) could be taken as the agreed approach for use in future update assessments for sea bass.

The model considered by IBP-BASS2 2016 as suitable included theses key updates:

- Fixing all growth parameters of the von Bertalanffy growth equation.
- Inclusion of French age data.
- Inclusion of UK length data.
- Combining the UK Autumn Solent sea bass survey into one index.
- Separation of the UK trawls/nets and lines into two fleets and inclusion of the recreational catch at a separate fleet.
- Revision of input effective sample sizes using the Francis method.
- Using an emphasis factor (lamda) of 0.5 each for length and age composition data.

Full details of the reasons for these changes, and diagnostics of model fits with these changes included, can be viewed in the IBP-BASS2 2016 report. Information is given on some of the key decisions of IBP-BASS2 2016 concerning the final structure of the assessment model.

The cessation of French pelagic trawl fishery on spawning aggregations due to bad weather in 2014 and management controls since 2015 were explicitly modelled WKBASS 2017 by allowing for a change in French fishery selectivity in those years. Within WKBASS 2018, selectivity and retention blocks were included from 2015 onwards for UK and French fleets and some correlated selectivity parameters fixed.

## Plus group

UK fishery age composition data show year-class structure extending well beyond the eleven years, previously used in the assessment of this stock. IBP-BASS 2014 carried out runs with plus groups set at $12+, 16+, 18+$ and 204 . The $16+$ setting appeared sufficient to improve estimation of early recruit deviations prior to 1985, without causing problems of zero catch estimates appearing in the data file at old ages. All further assessments were conducted with $16+$ group.

## Selectivity patterns for UK commercial fleets

The WGCSE 2013 assessment treated all commercial fleets from the UK and France as having asymptotic length-based selectivity. This reduced the number of parameters per fleet to only two, an important consideration when four UK fleets and one French fleet were being modelled. Having collapsed the UK trawls, nets and lines to a single fleet, IBP-BASS 2014 explored the use of a double normal selectivity pattern, which appeared to be appropriate to trawls and nets from the results of the Pawson et al. (2007) and Kupschûs et at. (2008) separable model applied to separate UK fleets.

The form of the selectivity pattern was investigated initially using a simple cohort analysis applied to the aggregated catch-at-age for the four UK fleets since 2013. The terminal F for this aggregate "pseudo cohort" was adjusted until the pattern of partial F's for the UK midwater trawl and lines fleets were as close as possible to asymptotic, as these fleets target a wide range of adult and juvenile sea bass in inshore and offshore waters. The pattern of partial F's for the trawls and nets fleets were then revealed as being strongly domed, confirming previous results in the Pawson et al. (2007) separable model. Input initial parameters and bounds for a double-normal selectivity function (a selectivity form recommended in the Stock Synthesis manual) were derived for UK trawls, nets and lines using the spreadsheet developed for Stock Synthesis. The fitted selectivity for this fleet and for the midwater trawl fleet in the update Stock Synthesis run closely match the selectivity patterns given by the empirical approach using cohort analysis.

The WGCSE 2014 update assessment uses the same selectivity models and input selectivity parameters as agreed by IBP-BASS 2014, fitting the parameters using soft bounds rather than priors with hard bounds. This resulted in fitting ten selectivity parameters for commercial fleets (six for UK trawls, nets and lines, and two each for midwater trawls and combined French fleets. The "other fleet" was assumed to have the same selectivity as the French fleet).

In WKBASS 2018, selectivity and retention blocks were included in the assessment from 2015 onwards for UK and French fleets and some correlated selectivity parameters were fixed.

## Natural mortality and stock-recruit steepness

The value (or vector) of natural mortality used in an analytical assessment is a key parameter determining the estimated productivity, abundance and MSY or other reference points (RPs). The assumed shape of the stock-recruit curve acts with the assumed M to constrain any possible biological reference points (Mangel et al., 2013). A key parameter defining a stock-recruit curve is steepness, defined as the ratio of recruitment from an unfished population to recruitment when the spawning-stock biomass is at $20 \%$ of the unfished level.

IBP-BASS 2014 explored the performance of the Stock Synthesis model for a range of different values for natural mortality and stock-recruit steepness, using a similar model formulation to the WGCSE 2014 update, but excluding the recreational fishing mortality vector. The total of negative log likelihood was compiled for the range of combinations, along with the SSB depletion in 2013 from the virgin SSB, and the relative standard error of the SSB in that year.

Total negative log likelihood tended to be lowest at steepness values approaching unity, with the greatest tendency at low values of M , and likelihood also decreased with increasing M. Despite the lower value of negative log likelihood, the relative standard errors of the SSB estimates for 2012 (from the inverse Hessian) increased with increasing M but were almost unaffected by steepness (RSE values at steepness 0.999 were 0.158 at $\mathrm{M}=0.15 ; 0.164$ at $\mathrm{M}=0.20 ; 0.175$ at $\mathrm{M}=0.25$ and 0.199 at $\mathrm{M}=0.30$ ). The unusual value at steepness $0.8, \mathbb{M}=0.3$ was a result of the selectivity curve for the combined UK trawls, nets and lines flipping from a domed to an asymptotic pattern. Otherwise, the values show smooth relationships with input M and steepness.

The depletion of SSB in 2013 compared with the virgin SSB was progressively lower as M was increased, but was far less sensitive to steepness.

Recruitment of sea bass has varied widely in response to environmental factors including conditions in the estuarine and other inshore nursery habitats. There is almost no information to indicate declining recruitment at lower SSB and to discern the true value of steepness. A wide range of values appears plausible, though the model fit slightly favours the (biologically implausible) steepness value of unity.

IBP-BASS 2014 decided to retain a fixed steepness value of 0.999 given the relative insensitivity of the assessment to this parameter. This means that F or biomass at MSY cannot be estimated, and that proxy FMSY reference points have to be specified.

IBP-BASS2 2016 explored the sensitivity of the model to a steepness value of 0.7 . The results showed very little sensitivity to this value with just a minor revision downward for SSB and upward for $\mathrm{F}_{5-11}$.

The tendency for likelihoods to improve with increasing $M$, despite the inferences that a relatively low $M$ is consistent with sea bass life-history traits and maximum age approaching 30 years, however suggested that additional mortality associated with the recreational fishery could perhaps be accommodated within the model. Incorporating information on recreational fishery catches.

WKBASS assessment WK 2018 documented and reviewed all the available recreational catch estimates for sea bass in areas 4.bc, 7.d.e-h (Hyder et al., 2018; Table 4.2.6.2.1).

Removals estimates were reworked for the 2012 reference year as the sum of retained fish and released fish with PRM of $5 \%$ applied (Table 4.2.6.2.1). A length composition for recreational removals for the 2012 reference year was compiled for this year as described in detail in the Hyder et al. (2018), and included in the Stock Synthesis data file (Figure 4.2.6.2.1).

Table 4.2.6.2.1. Recreational removals (tonnes) by country for 2012. PRM indicates fish that die after release, applying post-release mortality of $5 \%$ as used in the WKBASS assessment WK 2018.


Figure 4.2.6.2.1. Length frequency of recreational fishery removals for the 2012 reference year, derived from surveys in France, Netherlands and England. PRM are total released catch with postrelease mortality of $5 \%$ applied. Right hand plot is the total removals used in the Stock Synthesis model to estimate selectivity.

The implementation of management measures should lead to a reduction in fishing mortality as more and larger fish are released. This means that it is not appropriate to assume constant recreational fishing mortality, so it was necessary to include an estimate of recreational catch or change in fishing mortality after 2015. However, coverage of surveys was patchy for all countries after 2015, with only provisional estimates available for the UK and the Netherlands. As a result, two potential methods are available for estimating catches or changes in fishing mortality:

1 ) Imputation: impute annual catches (kept and released) for England and France in 2016 by assuming the catches have changed over time to the same relative extent as Netherlands catch estimates between surveys in 2010-2011 or 2012-2013 and the survey in 2016-2017.

2 ) Reconstruction of change in recreational fishing mortality relative to the 2012 reference year: use the data from recreational surveys carried out by France, England, and Netherlands in 2009-2013 to calculate the reductions in retained catch in the observed trips if bag limits and increased MCRS had been implemented at the time of the surveys (Armstrong et al., 2014). The reductions in catch can be used to infer changes in recreational fishing mortality induced by changes in management, assuming full compliance and taking post-release mortality into account.

There are issues with both these methods. The use of imputation has a large uncertainty because: i) there are no time-series data to validate the assumption that national catches
change to the same extent between years; ii) the surveys have sampling errors; and iii) the 2016-2017 Netherlands survey data are still provisional. The second method is also very uncertain due to sampling error and limitations in the survey data, assumptions concerning compliance, and dependence of results on the size of year classes present in the stock at the time of the surveys. However, the second method was considered more appropriate as it is based on observed data. As a result, the imputation approach was rejected, and estimation of the expected change in recreational F from in 2015 onwards due to change in MCRS, bag limits and closed seasons was carried out as described in Hyder et al. (2018).

These reductions were used, along with post-release mortality of 5\%, to calculate reductions in recreational F that may have occurred in 2015, 2016 and 2017 in response to the management measures, assuming full compliance (Table 4.2.6.2.2). The differences in recreational catches used by WKBASS 2017 and 2018 are large. There are a number of factors that influenced this including: the methodology used (reconstruction rather than imputation) and lower levels of post-release mortality ( $5 \%$ rather than $15 \%$ ). In addition, the method for inclusion of recreational catches in the assessment was different (Frec multiplier instead of simple tonnage) and the sensitivity of the model to recreational catches was assessed. The combination of these factors led to a lower recreational catch and a more appropriate approach for inclusion. This led to more robust assessment and a reduction of the Frec in the model due to the implementation of management measures.

Table 4.2.6.2.2. Values of expected recreational $F$ reductions associated with management measures applied to Bss.27.4bc7ad-h since 2015.

|  | Management scenario |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year of <br> management <br> measures |  | Bag limit | Closed <br> season | Recreational F relative to 2015 |

- Temporal unit: annual based data (landings, survey indices, age frequency and length frequency).
- Spatial structure: One area.
- Sex: Both sexes combined.


## Fleet definition

Six fleets defined:
1 ) UK bottom trawls, nets;
2 ) UK lines;
3 ) UK midwater pair trawls;
4 ) French fleets (combined);

5 ) Other (other countries and other UK fleets combined);
6 ) Recreational fisheries.

## Landed catches

Annual landings in tonnes from 1985 to final year for the five fleets from ICES subdivisions $4 . \mathrm{b}$ and $\mathrm{c}, 7 . \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{h}$. French data were as provided by Ifremer and the recreational catch was provide for 2012 with the time-series from 1985 to present iteratively reconstructed conditioned on the 2012 estimated value. The benchmark agreed that the iterative process of estimating the missing catch would only be carried out during a benchmark or if the recreational removals deviated from the assumption of constant F over the time-series.

## Discarded catches

Annual discards in tonnes were available for two fleets; UK trawls and nets and the French fleets for the period 2009 to present. Sampling of the fleets is variable across fleets and years and in some years the sampling is too low for raising discards to total catch and these are excluded from the model. Uncertainty is also included and set to 0.75 for the UK fleet and calculated uncertainty for the French fleet were available, defaulted to 0.75 if missing.

## Abundance indices

Channel Groundfish Survey in 7.d in autumn (France), 1988 to 2014: total swept-area abundance index and associated length composition data. Number of stations with sea bass is used as input effective sample size. Input CV for survey $=0.30$ all years. First three years of composition data are excluded.
Cefas Solent Autumn sea bass survey (7.d), years 1986 to 2009, 2011, 2013 to 2015, for ages $2-4$. Selection was fitted as a function of length using a double normal model, with minimum and maximum ages specified as 2 and 4 in the age selection function.

Fishery landings age composition data: UK fleets
Age bins: 0 to 15 with a plus group for ages 16 and over. Age compositions for UK fleets are expressed as fleet-raised numbers-at-age, although they are treated as relative compositions in SS3. Year range for UK trawls/nets/lines: 1985 to present; UK midwater pair trawl: 1996 to 2015 (no samples for 1997, 2013-2014, 2016); French all fleets were input from 2000 to present.

## Length composition data

The length bin was set from 4 to 100 cm by 2 cm intervals. Length compositions for fleets are expressed as fleet-raised number-at-length. Year range for UK trawls/nets:

1985 to present; UK lines: 1985 to present; UK midwater pair trawl: 1985 to 2012 (no samples for 1997, 2013-2016); French all fleets combined were input from 2000 to present. Model assumptions and parameters. The following Table C.1.1 summarises key model assumptions and parameters. See WKBASS 2017 report for detailed description of the individual datasets and basis for any recommendations for using the data in the assessment. Changes from data or parameters used in the previous WKBASS assessment in 2017 are described in the WKBASS2 2018 report.Other parameter values and input data characteristics are defined in the SS3 control file BassIVVII.ctl, the forecast file Forecast.SS and the data file BassIVVII.dat.

Table C.1.1. Key model assumptions and parameters as used for WKBASS2 2018.

| Characteristic | Settings |
| :---: | :---: |
| Starting year | 1985 |
| Ending year | 2016 |
| Equilibrium catch for starting year | 0.82* landings in 1985 by fleet. |
| Equilibrium recreational catch for starting year | Constant F assumption |
| Number of areas | 1 |
| Number of seasons | 1 |
| Number of fishing fleets | 6 |
| Number of surveys | two surveys: CGFS; Solent autumn survey |
| Individual growth | von Bertalanffy, parameters fixed, combined sex |
| Number of active parameters | $86 ?$ |
| Population characteristics | $\square-$ |
| Maximum age | 30 |
| Genders | $1$ |
| Population length bins | $4-100,2 \mathrm{~cm}$ bins |
| Ages for summary total biomass | 0-30 |
| Data characteristics | - |
| Data length bins (for length structured fleets) $6 ?-94,2 \mathrm{~cm}$ bins |  |
| Data age bins (for age structured fleets) | $0-16+$ |
| Minimum age for growth model |  |
| Maximum age for growth model 30 |  |
| Maturity | Logistic 2-parameter - females; $\mathrm{L}_{50}=40.65 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Fishery characteristics |  |
| Fishery timing | -1 (whole year) |
| Fishing mortality method | Hybrid |
| Maximum F | 2.9 |
| Fleet 1: UK Trawl/nets/lines selectivity | Double normal, length-based |
| Fleet 2: UK Line selectivity | Asymptotic, length-based |
| Fleet 3: UKMidwater trawl selectivity | Asymptotic, length-based |
| Fleet 4: Combined French fleet selectivity | Asymptotic, length-based |
| Fleet 5: Other fleets/gears selectivity | Asymptotic: mirrors French fleet |
| Fleet 6: Recreational fishery | Estimated in SS3 from survey length composition |
| Recreational fishing mortality vector ( $\mathrm{F}(5-11$ ) | Estimates of recreational F from 2015 onwards and PRM 5\% included |
| Survey characteristics |  |
| Solent autumn survey timing (yr) | 0.83 |
| CGFS survey timing (yr) | 0.70 |
| Catchabilities (all surveys) | Analytical solution |
| Survey selectivities: Solent autumn: | Double normal, length-based constrained by Min-Max age selectivity, age-based |
| Survey selectivities: CGFS | Double normal, length based |
| Fixed biological characteristics |  |


| Characteristic | Settings |
| :---: | :---: |
| Natural mortality | 0.24 |
| Beverton-Holt steepness | 0.999 |
| Recruitment variability ( $\sigma$ R) | 0.9 |
| Weight-length coefficient | 0.00001296 |
| Weight-length exponent | 2.969 |
| Maturity inflection (L50) | 40.649 cm |
| Maturity slope | -0.33349 |
| Length-at-age Amin | 19.6 cm at $\mathrm{Amin}=2^{1}$ |
| Length-at-Amax | 80.26 cm |
| von Bertalanffy k | 0.09699 |
| von Bertalanffy Linf | 84.55 cm |
| von Bertalanffy t0 | -0.730 yr |
| Std. Deviation length-at-age (cm) | SD $=0.1166$ * age + 3,5609 |
| Age error matrix | CV 12\% at-age |
| Other model settings |  |
| First year for main recruitment deviations for burn-in period | $1969$ |
| Last year for recruit deviations | 2011 |
| Last year no bias adjustment | 1971 |
| First year full bias adjustment | 882.5 |
| Last year full bias adjustment | 2011 |
| First year recent year no bias adjustment | 2013 |
| Maximum bias adjustment | 0.92 |

${ }^{1}$ as recommended by R. Methot after scrutinizing earlier SS3 runs during IBP-NEW 2012, and used by IBP-NEW 2012 and WGCSE 2017.


Figure C.1.1. Summary of inputs and year ranges for Stock Synthesis assessment (as at 2015).

## C.2. Assessment procedure

The model is run with the executable file SS3.exe in the same folder as the following files:

| BASSIVVII.CTL | SS3 CONFIGURATION FILE |
| :--- | :--- |
| BassIVVII.dat | SS3 data inputs |
| Starter.SS | SS3 startup file |
| Forecast.SS | SS3 forecast file |

Results are ouput in the same folder (key results file is "results.sso"). Plots can be generated using r4ss after calling library(r4ss), using the following code (adjusted with correct path name):

```
age <- SS_output(dir= 'C:/ICES/WGCSE/Bass47/SS3 update assessment')
SS_plots(replist=age,pdf=F,png=T,dir='C:/ICES/WGCSE/Bass47/SS3update assess-
ment')#,uncertainty=F)
```

Retrospective analysis is done with the output files from the base run in the same folder as the file retro.bat. For five retrospectives, six Starter files are included. The base file Starter.SS includes the following code nine lines from the bottom:
-5 \# retrospective year relative to end year (e.g. -4)

The five retrospective Starter files use the name convention Starter-5; Starter-4; Starter3; Starter-2; Starter-1, amending the command -5 \# retrospective year relative to end year (e.g. -4) to reflect the year peel stated in the file name. A piece of code "RetroPlots_R4SS" is available to plot the retrospectives although an Excel file is currently used to read the results from each of the Report.sso files imported into worksheets.

The recreational catch time-series was arrived at iteratively to generate a time-series of constant F with a total recreational fishery catch (landings plus dead releases) equal to $1440 t$ for 2012.

Future runs may need to revise this to generate the same recreational catch.
When the end year for the Stock Synthesis run is specified as the last year with fishery data, the Report.sso file contains estimates, of biomass and numbers only to the start of the final year with data, and Zs only to the year before the final one. A work-around to get biomass and numbers for survivors at the end of the last year with data, and Zs for the final year with data, the end year can be specified as the year after the last with data. F values, as used by ICES, are not generated automatically by Stock Synthesis but can be computed from the Zs after subtracting M.

## D. Forecast

Due to the additional complexity of adding a fixed recreational fishing mortality vector for removals (harvest), and the time required to configure Stock Synthesis to mirror the ICES procedures for short-term forecasts, IBP-BASS 2014 decided not to try to develop a forecast procedure within Stock Synthesis for use by WGCSE. This unfortunately loses the ability to provide MCMC confidence intervals around the assessment and forecasted variables, and the forecasts are entirely deterministic. Management options involving biological reference points (BRPs) adopt BRPs conditional on the assumptions in the assessment regarding M , selectivity, maturity, weights-at-age, etc. The procedures for deriving inputs for the short-term forecast are described below.

## D.1. Estimating year-class abundance

Stock Synthesis does not estimate recruit deviations for years with no survey data for that year class, thus the model should be set up to estimate recruit deviations only until the last year with survey data. For example, including the Solent survey indices for ages 2-4 in 2014 means that the last year class tuned by a survey index is 2012 (two year olds in 2014). Hence, the model imputes a value from the stock-recruit curve at virgin biomass for year classes 2014 and after. SS3 will put a value from the fitted stock-
recruit curve for later year classes. WGCSE overwrites these later year classes using the long-term (1985 onwards) geometric mean, or a short-term GM if there is a persistent reduction in recent recruitment. The numbers-at-age for the starting year of the forecast are also over-written for these year classes by reducing the GM recruitment by the appropriate number of years of M (as there is very limited catch for the first few years of age). The format for reporting the recruitment values for the short-term forecast are summarised in Table D.1.1., and an example of a short-term forecast input file is given in Table D.1.2.

WGCSE 2013 reviewed some information on environmental influences on sea bass recruitment which supported a recent reduction in recruitment from 2008-2012. Survival of 0-gp and 1-gp sea bass in nursery areas in estuaries and saltmarshes is thought to be enhanced by warmer conditions promoting survival through the first two winters, and increasing the growth rates (Pawson, 1992). WGCSE 2014 presented an argument for choosing a particular recruitment value for the 2012 year class for inclusion in forecasts, based on a consideration of past recruitment in relation to temperature. Although the evidence is weak, it is not a critical assumption for short-term forecasts as these year classes have very little impact. However, the data and arguments in WGCSE 2014 should be consulted for an explanation of the logic used

Table D.1.1. Recruitment estimates included in a short-term forecast for sea bass, from WKBASS 2018.

| Year class | SS3 (age 0) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 19335 thousand |
| 2014 | 16345 thousand |
| 2015 | 16785 thousand |
| 2016 | 16785 thousand |
| 2017 |  |

Example input for the short-term catch predictions is in Table D 1.2. The derivation of the inputs is described in Table D.1.3.

Table D.1.2. Example inputs for sea bass short-term forecast, from WKBASS 2018. Inputs for shortterm forecast. $F(4-15)$ is mean for years 2014-2016 scaled to 2016. Numbers-at-ages $0-2$ in 2017 are adjusted by replacing Stock Synthesis average values in 2015-2017 (years with no recruit deviations estimated) with the short-term (2011-2014) GM in 2015 and the long-term GM in 2016 and 2017, with adjustments for natural mortality. Rules are below table.


Table D.1.3. Derivation of short-term forecast inputs (based on example from IBPBass 2014).

| Input data | Derivation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Starting numbers-at-age 0-16+ in first year <br> (intermediate year) | SS3 output. (N age zero overwritten where <br> necessary by long-term GM, short-term GM or <br> other predicition, reduced by M=0.24 for the <br> required number of years (if no commercial <br> catches) or multiply N at-age in starting year <br> from the assessment by ratio of the replaced <br> recruit value with the SS3 estimate. |
| Recruitment 2017 onwards | Long-term GM, short-term GM or other <br> predictor. |
| Mean wt-at-age in stock | SS3 output |
| Proportion mature (female) | SS3 output |
| Commercial fishery (H-cons) mean F-at-age | Average last three years. SS3 output Zs minus <br> M=0.24 and recreational Fat-age scaled to Final <br> year F bar if there is a trend. |
| Commercial fishery (H-cons) mean weight-at- | SS3 output figures on mean weight in UK, <br> age <br> French and other fleets, weighted by SS3 model <br> estimates of landings numbers-at-age for the |
| fleets. |  |

Table D.1.4. Example of detailed short-term forecast (WKBASS 2018).


## E. Biological reference points

## E.1. Background

The Stock synthesis model used fixes stock-recruit steepness at 0.999 as there were insufficient observations at low SSB to suggest the possible steepness of the relationship. WKBASS 2018 re-evaluated the reference points using and 11 analyses were conducted with EQSIM using R (© 2016 The R Foundation for Statistical Computing). SS3 model output was converted to a FLStock object in order to run EQSIM. All model and data selection setting are presented in Table E.1.1.

| DATA AND PARAMETERS | SETTING | COMMENTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SSB-recruitment data | Full dataseries (year classes <br> 1985-2016) |  |
| Exclusion of extreme values <br> (option extreme.trim) | No |  |
| Trimming of R values | Yes | $-3,+3$ Standard deviations |
| Mean weights and proportion <br> mature; natural mortality | $2007-2016$ |  |
| Exploitation pattern | $2015-2016$ | Set ICES default value |
| Assessment error in the <br> advisory year. CV of F | 0.212 | Set ICES default value |
| Autocorrelation in assessment <br> error in the advisory year | 0.423 |  |

The stock-recruitment plot show little dependence of R on SSB (Figure E.2.1.1). Using the stock-recruitment relationship classification proposed by ICES (2017), sea bass can be categorised as Type 5. This is a stock with no clear relationship between stock and recruitment (i.e. no apparent stock-recruitment signal).


Figure E2.1.1. Stock-recruitment relationship for the sea bass in divisions 4.b-c, 7.a, and 7.d-h.

For a type 5 stock-recruitment, Blim is estimated to be equal to Bloss. This implies a Blim of 9618 tonnes, given that the model uncertainty is less than the default and not all uncertainty is accounted for, $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ is therefore $\mathrm{B}_{\lim } \times 1.4$ which is 13465 tonnes.

## E.2.2. Stock-recruitment relationship

FMSY is estimated from the base run using the peak of the median landings equilibrium yield curve. The $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ range is estimated to be F values representing $95 \%$ of the peak of the median yield curve.

## E.3.1. EQSIM analysis

## E.3.1.1. Segmented regression method, full SR time-series, without MSY Brriger

Flim and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ were estimated using the EQSIM simulation with $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$ Set to 0 (i.e. no $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$ used), $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{cv}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{phi}}=0$ (i.e. no assessment/advice error set for this first run), and the segmented regression as the only stock-recruitment method. Flim is estimated as the fishing mortality that, at equilibrium from a long-term stochastic projection, leads to a $50 \%$ probability of having SSB above $\mathrm{Blim}_{\mathrm{lim}}$. Flim is estimated to be 0.295 , and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ is estimated to be 0.211 based on the following equation $\left[\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}=\mathrm{F}_{\text {lim }} \times 1.4^{-1}\right]$ as the uncertainty estimated from the model is less than the default value, so is not fully accounted.

Initially, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {mSY }}$ is calculated as the fishing mortality that maximises median long-term yield in stochastic simulations under constant F exploitation (i.e. without MSY Btrigger). Using the same simulation method with the inclusion of assessment/advice error, default values: $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{cv}}=0.212, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{phi}}=0.423$ from WKMSYREF4 (ICES, 2016). $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}=0.214$ and is thus above $F_{p a}=0.211$ (Figures E.3.1.1.1 and 2), so $F_{M S Y}$ is reduced to $F_{p a}$.


Figure E.3.1.1.1. EQSIM summary plot without $B_{\text {trigger. }}$ Panels a to c : historic values (dots) median (solid black) and $\mathbf{9 0} \%$ intervals (dotted black) recruitment, SSB and landings for exploitation at fixed values of F. Panel calso shows mean landings (red solid line). Panel d shows the probability of $S S B<B_{\lim }$ (red), $S S B<B_{p a}$ (green) and the cumulative distribution of $F_{m s y}$ based on yield as landings (brown).


Figure E.3.1.1.2. EQSIM median landings yield curve with estimated reference points without $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$ (Left). Blue lines: Fmsy estimate (solid) and range at $95 \%$ of maximum yield (dotted). Green lines: F ( $5 \%$ ) estimate (solid) and range at $95 \%$ of yield implied by F ( $5 \%$ ) (Dotted). Eqsim median SSB curve with estimated reference points without Btrigger (Right). Blue dots: lower and upper SSB corresponding to lower and upper Fmsy.

## E.3.1.2. Segmented regression method, full SR time-series, without MSY Btrigger

ICES defines MSY Btrigger as the 5th percentile of the distribution of SSB when fishing at
 is set to $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$. For the final run, assessment/advice error were included using the default values and MSY $B_{\text {trigger }}$ was set to $13,465 \mathrm{t}$. EQSIM output $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p} .05}$ (fishing mortality that gives $5 \%$ probability of $\operatorname{SSB}$ below $B_{\lim }$ ) is 0.203 . As Fmsy estimated in the first run is above $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p} .05}$, then $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ is further reduced to $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p} .05,} 0.203$ (0.141-0.203).

## E.3.1.3. Proposed reference points

The proposed reference points (Table E.3.1.3.1) are displayed on the diagnostic plots of the final assessment (Figure E.3.1.3.1).

Table E.3.1.3.1. Summary table of proposed reference points derived using EQSIM.

| STOCK | Seabass divisions 4.b-c, 7.a, and 7.d-h |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PA Reference points | Value | Rational |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\text {lim }}$ | 9618 t | Lowest observed SSB (Type 5 S-R relationship) |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 13465 t | Bim $\times 1.4$ |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {lim }}$ | 0.295 | In equilibrium gives a $50 \%$ probability of $\mathrm{SSB}>\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 0.211 | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}=\mathrm{F}_{\text {lim }} / 1.4$ |

MSY Reference point

| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | 0.203 | Reduce from 0.214 as $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}>\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PA}}>\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P} .05}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ lower | 0.141 | Changed from 0.159 as $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}>\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P} .05}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ upper | 0.203 | Reduced from 0.263 as $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}>\mathrm{F}_{\text {P. } 05}$ |  |
| MSY $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$ | 13465 |  |  |



Figure E.3.1.3.1. Diagnostic plots of the final sea bass in divisions 4.b-c, 7.a, and 7.d-h assessment with proposed reference points ( $\mathrm{Blim}_{\mathrm{lim},} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$, MSY $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}, \mathrm{F}_{\text {lim, }}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ms}}$ ): SSB and Fbar (computed from age 4-15) time-series.

## F. Other issues

## F.1. Historical overview of previous assessment methods

Previous assessments of sea bass in the 4 and 7 area are summarised below.
2007: Pawson et al. 2007. ADMB separable model on UK data; updated 2008 at WGNEW (Kupschus et al., 2008).

2012: IBP-NEW.. Development of age and length based Stock Synthesis assessment.
2013: WGCSE. Update assessment using IBP-NEW SS model. Recommended inter-benchmark to improve model.

2014: IBP-BASS. Added new CGFS surveys series; removed poorly performing surveys; improved fleet structure and selectivity model; incorporated recreational fishery information; developed forecast and BRPs.

2014, 2015: WGCSE. Update assessment using IBP-BASS model.
2016: IBP-BASS2. SS3 model with adjustments and update assessment.
2016: WGCSE. Update assessment using IBP-BASS2 model
2017: WKBASS1. SS3 model with adjustments to account for changes in selectivity of French fleet and update assessment.

2017: WGCSE. Update assessment using IBP-BASS2 model
2018: WKBASS2. Update assessment and estimation of BRPs with EQsim software.
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## Appendix 1

Content of Stock Synthesis Control File (BassIVVII.ctl) used at WKBass 2018. Rows preceded by \# are skipped, and are greyed out.

0.5 \#_fracfemale \#? Note sex ratio in bass increases with length.

0 \#_natM_type:_0=1Parm; 1=N_breakpoints;_2=Lorenzen;_3=agespecific;_4=agespec_withseasinterpolate

| \#0.150 | 0.150 | 0.150 | 0.152 | 0.163 | 0.209 | 0.247 | 0.256 | 0.257 | 0.257 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0.257 | 0.257 | 0.257 | 0.257 | 0.257 | 0.257 | 0.257 | 0.257 | 0.257 |
|  | 0.257 | 0.257 | 0.257 | 0.257 | 0.257 | 0.257 | 0.257 | 0.257 | 0.257 |
|  | 0.257 | 0.257 | 0.257 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\# $\qquad$

1 \# GrowthModel: 1=vonBert with L1\&L2; 2=Richards with L1\&L2; 3=not implemented; 4=not implemented \#note - maguire et al 2008 pg 1270, Downloaded from icesjms.oxfordjournals.org at ICES on October 17, 2011

2 \#_Growth_Age_for_L1
28 \#_Growth_Age_for_L2 (999 to use as Linf)
0 \#_SD_add_to_LAA (set to 0.1 for SS2 V1.x compatibility)
3 \#_CV_Growth_Pattern: $0 \mathrm{CV}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{LAA}) ; 1 \mathrm{CV}=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{A}) ; 2 \mathrm{SD}=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{LAA}) ; 3 \mathrm{SD}=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{A})$
1 \#_maturity_option: 1=length logistic; 2=age logistic; 3=read age-maturity matrix by growth_pattern; 4=read age-fecundity; $5=$ read fec and wt from wtatage.ss
\#_placeholder for empirical age-maturity by growth pattern
\# ---------------------------
4 \#_First_Mature_Age
1 \#_fecundity option:(1)eggs=Wt*(a+b*Wt);(2)eggs=a*L^b;(3)eggs=a*Wt^b
0 \#_hermaphroditism option: $0=$ none; $1=$ age-specific fxn
1 \#_parameter_offset_approach (1=none, 2= M, G, CV_G as offset from female-GP1, 3=like SS2 V1.x)

1 \#_env/block/dev_adjust_method (1=standard; 2=logistic transform keeps in base parm
bounds; $3=$ standard $w /$ no bound check)
\#_growth_parms
\#_LO HI INIT PRIOR PR_type SD PHASE en
Block_Fxn
\#_growth_parms
$0.010 .50 .240 .24-10.1-30000000$ \# NatM_p_1_GP_1 \#Has a Vestor of Mortality to include the Rec fishing com
$-13019.6719 .67-10.5-50000000$
$6010080.2680 .26-115-40000000$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \# L_at_Amin_GP_1 } \\
& \text { \# L_at_Amax_GP_1 }
\end{aligned}
$$

0.01 0.2 0.09699 0.09699-10,05-3 0000000 \# VonBert_K_GP_1

242.969 2.969-1 0.05-30000000 \# Wtlen_2
\# proportion mature at length
$305040.64940 .649-15-30000000$
$-51-0.33349-0.33349-10.03764-30000000$
\# fecundity option 1, parm values from dissertation (units of millions of eggs per kg)
-3 311-10.8-30000000 \# Eg/gm_inter
-3 300-1 0.8-30000000
\# recruitment apportionment
0000-10-30000000
0000-10-30000000
\# RecrDist_GP_1
\# Mat50\%
\# Mat_slope
\# Eg/gm_slope_wt
\# RecrDist_Area_1

```
0000-10-40000000
# RecrDist_Seas_1
# cohort growth deviation (fix value at 1 with negative phase; needed for blocks or annual devs)
0000-10-40000000 # CohortGrowDev
#
#_Cond 0 #custom_MG-env_setup (0/1)
#_Cond -2 200-1 99-2 #_placeholder when no MG-environ parameters
#
#_Cond 0 #custom_MG-block_setup (0/1)
#_Cond -2 200-1 99-2
    #_placeholder when no MG-block parameters
#_Cond No MG parm trends
#
#_seasonal_effects_on_biology_parms
0000000000
    #_femwtlen1,femwtlen2,mat1,mat2,fee1,fec2,L1,K
#_Cond -2 2 00-1 99-2
#-6 #_MGparm_Dev_Phase
#
#_Spawner-Recruitment
#_SR_function
#_LO HII INIT PRIOR P
116105-111 # SR_R0
0.20.999 0.9990.999-1 0.2-1 # SR_steep
0.120.909-10.2-5> # SR_sigmaR
-5500-11-3 # SR_envlink
-5 50-0.7-1 2-2 % # SR_R1_offset
0
                            #_SR_env_target_0=none;1=devs;_2=R0;_3=steepness
1 #do_recdev: 0=none; 1=devvector; 2=simple deviations
1955 # first year of main recr_devs; early devs can preceed this era
2014 # last year of main recr_devs; Final data yr-2.
# #_recdev phase
# # (0/1) to read 13 advanced options
#_forecast_recruitment phase (incl. late recr) (0 value resets to maxphase+1)
\#_lambda for prior_fore_recr occurring before endyr+1
```



5 \# N iterations for tuning F in hybrid method (recommend 3 to 7)

```
#
#_initial_F_parms
#_LO HI INIT PRIOR PR tspe SD PHASE
020.03 0.03-1 0.5 1# InitF_OTB_Nets
020.03-03-10.51 # InitF_Lines
020.03 0.03-1 0.51 # InitF_Midwater
02 0.030.03-10.5 1 # InitF_French
02 0.03 0.03-1 0.5 1 # InitF_Other
020.03 0.03-1 0.5 1 # InitF_RecFish
#
# Catchability Specification (Q_setup)
# A=do power: 0=skip, survey is prop. to abundance, 1= add par for non-linearity
# B=env. link: 0=skip, 1= add par for env. effect on Q
# C=extra SD: 0=skip, 1= add par. for additive constant to input SE (in ln space)
# D=type: <0=mirror lower abs(#) fleet, 0=no par Q is median unbiased, 1=no par Q is mean un-
biased, 2=estimate par for }\operatorname{ln}(Q
# 3=ln(Q) + set of devs about }\operatorname{ln}(Q)\mathrm{ for all years. 4=ln}(Q)+ set of devs about Q for in
dexyr-1
0000 # FISHERY1
```

```
0000 # FISHERY2
0000 # FISHERY3
0000 # FISHERY4
0000 # FISHERY5
0000 # Fishery6
0010 # SURVEY AutBass
0010 # Survey CGFS1
0010 # FR_LPUE
#_Cond 0 #_If q has random component, then 0=read one parm for each fleet with random q;
1=read a parm for each year of index
```

\#_Q_parms(if_any)
\# LO HI INIT PRIOR PR_type SD PHASE

| 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | -1 | 99 | 3 | \# Q_extraSD_AutBass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | -1 | 99 | 3 | \# Q_extraSD_CGFS1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | -1 | 99 | 3 | \# Q_extraSD_LPUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

1000 \# 3 UKMidwater
24100 \# 4 French
15004 \# 5 Other
24000 \# 6 RecFish
24000 \# 7 AutBass
24000 \#8CGFSI
15004 \# 9 FR_LPUE
\#_age_selex_types
\#_Patterl_Male Special

10000 \# 1 UKTrawl_Nets
10000 \# 2 UKLines
10000 \# 3 UKMidwater
10000 \# 4 French
15004 \# 5 Other
10000 \# 6 RecFish
11000 \# 7 AutBass
10000 \# 8 CGFS1
15004 \# 9FR_LPUE

## \#_LO HI INIT PRIOR PR_type SD PHASE env-var use_dev dev_minyr dev_maxyr dev_stddev Block Block_Fxn

\#UK Trawl_Nets
$20934545-10.0520000012$ \# SizeSel_2P_1_OTB \# PEAK
-15 4.0-15 -15 -1 0.05-30000012 \# SizeSel_2P_2_OTB \# TOP -1 9.0 3.3 3.3-1 0.0530000012 \# SizeSel_2P_3_OTB \# ASC-WIDTH -1 9.0 4.4 4.4-1 0.0530000000 \# SizeSel_2P_4_OTB \# DSC-WIDTH -999 9.0-999 -999-1 0.05-2 0000000 \# SizeSel_2P_5_OTB \# INIT -999 9.0-999-999-1 0.05-2 0000000 \# SizeSel_2P_6_OTB \# FINAL
\#UK Trawl_Nets_retention
$20503636-10.0540000012$ \# retention_1p_OTB \# Inflection $0.6110 .010 .81 \quad 0.81-10.0540000012$ \# retention_2P_OTB\# Slope 0111-1 0.05-30000000 \# retention_2P_OTB 0000 -1 0.05-30000000 \# retention_2P_OTB
\# Asymptotic retention
\# Male offset To inflection
\#UK Lines
$20913930-10.0520000012$ \# SizeSel_5P_1_Lines $0.013025-10.0530000012$ \# SizeSel_5P_2_Lines
\#UK midwater
$20913930-10.0520000000$ \# SizeSel_5P_1_MWT
$0.013025-10.0530000000$ \# SizeSel_5P_2_MWT
\#French
20915757-1 0.0520000012 \# SizeSel_1P_1_French \# PEAK -15 4.0-15 -15 -10.05-30000 012 \# SizeSel_1P_1_French \# TOP -1.09.066-10.0530000012 \# SizeSel_1P_1_French \# ASC-WIDTH -1.0 9.0 9.0 9.0-10.05-3 0000000 \# SizeSel_1P_1_French \# DSC-WIDTH -999 9.0-999 -999-1 0.05-2 0000000 \# SizeSel_1P_1_French \# INIT -999 9.09-999-1 0.05-2 0000012 \# SizeSel_1P_1_French \# FINAL \# Freanch retention
$30503636-10.0540000012$ \# retention_1p_French \# Inflection 0.61 10.01 0.81 0.81-1 0.0540000012 \# retention_2P_French \# Slope
0111-1 0.05-30000000 \# retention_2P_French \# Asymptotic retention
0000-1 0.05-30000000 \# retention_2P_French \# Male offset To inflection
\#Autbass
19933232-10.0520000000 \# SizeSel_2P_1_AutBass \# PEAK -15 4.0-15-6.0-1 0.05-30000000 \# SizeSel_1_AutBass \# TOP -1.0 9.0 3.3 3.3-1 0.053000000 \# SizeSel_2P_3_AutBass \# ASC-WIDTH -1.0 9.0 4.4 4.4-1 0.053000000 \# SizeSel_2P_4_AutBass \# DSC-WIDTH -999 9.0-999-999-1 0.05-2 0000000 \# SizeSel_2P_5_RecFish \# INIT -999 9.0-999-999-1 0.05-2 0000000 \# SizeSel_2P_6_RecFish \# FINAL

## \#CGFS1

209332 32-1 0.0520000000 \# SizeSel_2P_1_CGFS1 \# PEAK
-15 4.0-15-15-1 0.05-30000000 \# SizeSel_2P_2_CGFS1 \# TOP -1.0 9.0 3.3 3.3-1 0.0530000000 \# SizeSel_2P_3_CGFS1 \# ASC-WIDTH
-1.0 9.0 4.4 4.4-10.0530000000 \# SizeSel_2P_4_CGFS1 \# DSC-WIDTH
-999 9.0-999-999-1 0.05-2 0000000 \# SizeSel_2P_5_CGFS1 \# INIT
-999 9.0-999-999-1 0.05-2 0000000 \# SizeSel_2P_6_CGFSI \# FINAL
2222-199-30000000
4444-199-30000000
\#_Cond 0 \#_custom_sel-env_setup (0/1)
\#_Cond -2 200-1 99-2 \#_placeholder wher
1 \#_custom_sel-blk_setup (0/1)
\#\# Lo Hi Init
\#\#UK trawl selx

2093 45 45-1 0.05 2 \# UK_Trawl_Net
-15 4.0 - 15-15-1 0.05 3 \# UK_Trawl_Net \# Top
-1 9.03 .3 3.3-1 0.053 \# UK_Trawl_Net
20503636 -1 0.054 \# UK_Trawl_Net \# retention inflection

$$
\text { \# AgeSel_10P_1_Autumn } 2 \text { min age }
$$

\# AgeSel_10P_2_Autumn 4 max age
0.61 10.01 0.81 0.81-1 0.054 \# UK_Trawl_Net \# Slope
2091 3930-1 0.052 \# UK_lines \#
0.0130 25-1 0.053 \# UK_lines \#
20915757-10.052 \# French \# PEAK
-15 4.0 -15 -15-1 0.053 \# UK_Trawl_Net \# Top
-1.0 9.0 6 6-1 0.05 3 \# French \# ASC=WIDTH
-999 9.0 -999-999-1 0.05-2 \# French \# Final
30503636 -1 0.05 4 \# French \# retention inflection
0.61 10.01 0.81 0.81-1 0.05 4 \# French \# Slope
\#_Cond No selex parm trends
\#_Cond -4 \# placeholder for selparm_Dev_Phase
1 \#_env/block/dev_adjust_method (1=standard; 2=logistic trans to keep in base parm bounds; $3=$ standard $\mathrm{w} /$ no bound check)

```
# Tag loss and Tag reporting parameters go next
0 # TG_custom: 0=no read; 1=read if tags exist
#_Cond -661120.01-40000000 #_placeholder if no parameters
#
# #_Variance_adjustments_to_input_values
#_fleet/svy:123456789
0000000000 #_add_to_survey_CV
000000000 #_add_to_discard_stddev
000000000 #_add_to_bodywt_CV
0.118629640.1678124660.1602250050.11531102 1110.342898372 1 #_mult_by_lencomp_N
0.2100737260.1512549110.6491909110.258469753110.97945308511 #_mult_by_agecomp_N
111111111 #_mult_by_size-at-age_N
#
3 #_maxlambdaphase
# #_sd_offset
# # number of changes to make to default Lambdas (default value is 1.0)
# Like_comp codes: 1=surv; 2=disc; 3=mnv: 4=length; 5=age; 6=SizeFreq; 7=sizeage; 8=catch;
# 9=init_equ_catch; 10=recrdev; 11=parm_prior, 12=parm_dev; 13=CrashPen; 14=Morphcomp;
15=Tag-comp; 16=Tag-negbin
#like_comp fleet/survey phase value sizefreq_method
4110.51 #_RDM reduce emphasis on age and length comp by 50%
4210.51
4310.51
4410.51
```

4410.51

```
```

0 \# (0/1) read specs for more stddev reporting
\# 11-15151-15 \# selex type, len/age, year, N selex bins, Growth pattern, N growth ages,
NatAge_area(-1 for all), NatAge_yr, N Natages
\# 515253543 \# vector with selex std bin picks (-1 in first bin to self-generate)
\# 12142640 \# vector with growth std bin picks (-1 in first bin to self-generate)
\# 12142640 \# vector with NatAge std bin picks (-1 in first bin to self-generate)
999

```

\section*{Appendix 2}

Content of Stock Synthesis Data file (BassIVVII.dat) used at WKBASS 2018. The file was originally built from one used for other species, and some comments remain that are for those assessments. Rows preceded by \# are skipped, and are greyed out.
```


# C Sea bass IV VII input data file

# benchmark WKBass 2018

# ------------------------

# -------------------------

1985 \# _styr
2016 \# _endyr

# _nseasons lr number of quarters in a year

12 \# _nmonths per season

# _spawn_seas

# _ Nfleet

3 \# _Nsurveys

# _N_areas

\#

```

```

\# FLEET/SURVEY NAMES, TIMING, ETC.
\#

```

```

\# Fishery \& survey names separated by "\%"
\#

``` \(\qquad\)
```

UKOTB_Nets\%Lines\%UKMWT\%French\%Other\%RecFish\%AutBass\%CGFS1\%FR_LPUE

```

```

init_eq_catch and for Fmethod 2 and 3
1 \#_Ngenders
30 \#_Nages
57.5 24.40 .51713 .718 .72125 \# _init_equil_catch_for_each_fishery (1985 land-
ings * 0.82 see IBPNEW2012)
32 \# _N_lines_of_catch_to_read

```
\# Retained catch in biomass (metric tonnes (mt))
\#
\# includes RETAINED ONLY
\#

\#UKOTB_Nets Lines UKMWT French Other RecFish Year Season
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 70 & 30 & 1 & 870 & 23 & 2148 & 1985 & 1 \\
\hline 84 & 33 & 2 & 1180 & 19 & 1933 & 1986 & 1 \\
\hline 96 & 18 & 0 & 1840 & 25 & 1753 & 1987 & 1 \\
\hline 129 & 30 & 8 & 1028 & 44 & 1616 & 1988 & 1 \\
\hline 141 & 29 & 7 & 917 & 67 & 1490 & 1989 & 1 \\
\hline 128 & 18 & 22 & 849 & 47 & 1342 & 1990 & 1 \\
\hline 152 & 60 & 14 & 971 & 29 & 1224 & 1991 & 1 \\
\hline 105 & 23 & 8 & 1001 & 49 & 1222 & 1992 & 1 \\
\hline 146 & 62 & 1 & 979 & 68 & 1383 & 1993 & 1 \\
\hline 354 & 154 & 0 & 786 & 76 & 1640 & 1994 & 1 \\
\hline 424 & 169 & 4 & 1057 & 181 & 1848 & 1995 & \\
\hline 308 & 128 & 87 & 2395 & 104 & 1890 & 1996 & \\
\hline 335 & 119 & 71 & 1984 & 111 & 1819 & 1997 & \\
\hline 241 & 121 & 85 & 1773 & 170 & 1766 & 998 & \\
\hline 274 & 148 & 220 & 1843 & 185 & 1765 & 1999 & 1 \\
\hline 236 & 53 & 52 & 1805 & 261 & 816 & 00 & \\
\hline 263 & 58 & 97 & 1883 & 199 & & \[
2001
\] & 1 \\
\hline 361 & 75 & 110 & 1825 & 251 & & 2002 & 1 \\
\hline 353 & 65 & 127 & 2471 & 3 & 2035 & 2003 & 1 \\
\hline 380 & 72 & 131 & & & 2048 & 2004 & 1 \\
\hline 353 & 59 & & & & 2014 & 2005 & 1 \\
\hline 359 & 119 & & 259 & 629 & 1955 & 2006 & 1 \\
\hline 413 & & & 2771 & 677 & 1922 & 2007 & 1 \\
\hline 51 & & & 2750 & 663 & 1902 & 2008 & 1 \\
\hline & 7 & & 2649 & 598 & 1859 & 2009 & 1 \\
\hline & & & 3236 & 649 & 1751 & 2010 & 1 \\
\hline & & 98 & 2526 & 629 & 1604 & 2011 & 1 \\
\hline 564 & 185 & 49 & 2610 & 579 & 1440 & 2012 & 1 \\
\hline 530 & 191 & 39 & 2871 & 506 & 1227 & 2013 & 1 \\
\hline 751 & 236 & 1 & 1303 & 391 & 1020 & 2014 & 1 \\
\hline 440 & 199 & 0 & 1110 & 317 & 703 & 2015 & 1 \\
\hline 305 & 210 & 2 & 547 & 231 & 212 & 2016 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\# -----------------------------------------------------
70 \#_N_cpue_and_surveyabundance_observations
\#_Units: 0=numbers; 1=biomass; 2=F
\#_Errtype: -1=normal; 0=lognormal; >0=T
\#_Fleet Units Errtype
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & \(\#\) & UK OTB_Nets \\
2 & 1 & 0 & \(\#\) & UK Lines \\
3 & 1 & 0 & \(\#\) & UK MWT \\
4 & 1 & 0 & \(\#\) & French fleets \\
5 & 1 & 0 & \(\#\) & Other \\
6 & 1 & 0 & \(\#\) & RecFish \\
7 & 0 & 0 & \(\#\) & AutBass \\
8 & 0 & 0 & \(\#\) & CGFS1 \\
9 & 1 & 0 & \(\#\) & FR_LPUE
\end{tabular}
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
\# yr qtr indexNumber(5-6) indexResult indexSE
\#\# AutBass (numbers for ages 2-4):
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
1986 & 1 & 7 & 5.84 & 0.433295234
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
1987 & 1 & 7 & 2.6 & 0.433295234
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
1989 & 1 & 7 & 7.05 & 0.433295234
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
1990 & 1 & 7 & 3.98 & 0.433295234
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
1991 & 1 & 7 & 3.32 & 0.433295234
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll}
1992 & 1 & 7 & 19.7 & 0.433295234
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
1993 & 1 & 7 & 14.63 & 0.433295234 \\
1994 & 1 & 7 & 5.46 & 0.433295234
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll}
1995 & 1 & 7 & 10.24 & 0.433295234 \\
1996 & 1 & 7 & 6.06 & 0.433295234
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
1997 & 1 & 7 & 38.2 & 0.433295234 \\
1998 & 1 & 7 & 7.34 & 0.433295234 \\
1999 & 1 & 7 & 20.91 & 0.433295234 \\
2000 & 1 & 7 & 17.46 & 0.433295234 \\
2001 & 1 & 7 & 39.91 & 0.433295234 \\
2002 & 1 & 7 & 11.7 & 0.433295234 \\
2003 & 1 & 7 & 13.55 & 0.433295234 \\
2005 & 1 & 7 & 21.93 & 0.433295234 \\
2006 & 1 & 7 & 19.73 & 0.433295234 \\
2007 & 1 & 7 & 5.5 & 0.433295234 \\
2008 & 1 & 7 & 25.52 & 0.433295234 \\
2009 & 1 & 7 & 19.83 & 0.433295234 \\
2011 & 1 & 7 & 4.06 & 0.433295234 \\
2013 & 1 & 7 & 1.52 & 0.433295234
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
2014 & 1 & 7 & 1.4 & 0.433295234 \\
2015 & 1 & 7 & 7.44 & 0.433295234 \\
2016 & 1 & 7 & 6.03 & 0.433295234
\end{tabular}
\#\# CGFS1:
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
1988 & 1 & 8 & 245776 & 0.6 \\
1989 & 1 & 8 & 77716 & 0.6 \\
1990 & 1 & 8 & 1129914 & 0.6 \\
1991 & 1 & 8 & 4250635 & 0.3 \\
1992 & 1 & 8 & 2617984 & 0.3 \\
1993 & 1 & 8 & 2299918 & 0.3
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{llll}1994 & 1 & 8 & 1097829\end{array}\)
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
1995 & 1 & 8 & 1021740 & 0.3
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
1996 & 1 & 8 & 1224238 & 0.3
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
1997 & 1 & 8 & 1817599 & 0.3
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{llll}1998 & 1 & 8 & 2531044\end{array}\)
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
1999 & 1 & 8 & 1642270 & 0.3
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
2000 & 1 & 8 & 2570996 & 0.3
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
2001 & 1 & 8 & 3150674 & 0.3
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
2002 & 1 & 8 & 3872427
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
2003 & 1 & 8 & 87390570.3 \\
2004 & 1 & 8 & 3598440 & 0.3
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
2005 & 1 & 8 & 3005317 & 0.3 \\
2006 & 1 & 8 & 5517999 & 0.3 \\
2007 & 1 & 8 & 3661314 & 0.3 \\
2008 & 1 & 8 & 6468841 & 0.3 \\
2009 & 1 & 8 & 2564696 & 0.3 \\
2010 & 1 & 8 & 1804537 & 0.3 \\
2011 & 1 & 8 & 1513745 & 0.3 \\
2012 & 1 & 8 & 2034554 & 0.3 \\
2013 & 1 & 8 & 995987 & 0.3 \\
2014 & 1 & 8 & 669931 & 0.3
\end{tabular}
\#\# FRLPUE:
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
2001 & 1 & 9 & 1.17 & 0.0578 \\
2002 & 1 & 9 & 1.194 & 0.047 \\
2003 & 1 & 9 & 1.181 & 0.0536 \\
2004 & 1 & 9 & 1.158 & 0.0407 \\
2005 & 1 & 9 & 1.153 & 0.0434
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
2006 & 1 & 9 & 1.158 & 0.05 \\
2007 & 1 & 9 & 1.254 & 0.0513 \\
2008 & 1 & 9 & 1.303 & 0.0414 \\
2009 & 1 & 9 & 1 & 0 \\
2010 & 1 & 9 & 0.919 & 0.048 \\
2011 & 1 & 9 & 0.787 & 0.055 \\
2012 & 1 & 9 & 0.813 & 0.062 \\
2013 & 1 & 9 & 0.739 & 0.052 \\
2014 & 1 & 9 & 0.642 & 0.047 \\
2015 & 1 & 9 & 0.595 & 0.05 \\
2016 & 1 & 9 & 0.52 & 0.044
\end{tabular}

\# DISCARDED CATCH
\#
2 \# _N_fleets_with_discard
\begin{tabular}{lll}
1 & 1 & -2 \\
4 & 1 & -2
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{lllll}
2017 & 1 & 1 & 0.202 & 0.75 \\
2009 & 1 & 4 & 65.2 & 0.619 \\
2010 & 1 & 4 & 97.9 & 0.638 \\
2012 & 1 & 4 & 127.6 & 0.185 \\
2013 & 1 & 4 & 48.4 & 1.136 \\
2014 & 1 & 4 & 17.7 & 0.835 \\
2015 & 1 & 4 & 32.5 & 0.79 \\
2016 & 1 & 4 & 152.7 & 0.75
\end{tabular}
\# ---------------------------
\# MEAN BODY WEIGHT
\# ---------------------------
0 \# _N_meanbodywt_obs
\(30 \quad\) \#_DF_for_meanbodywt_T-distribution_like
\(\qquad\)
\# LENGTH COMPOSITION SET-UP

\# population length bins (not necessarily same as data bins, below)
2 \# length bin method: 1=use databins; 2=generate from binwidth,min,max below; 3=read vector

2 \# number of population length bins to be read
4
94


0
\#_combine males into females at or below this bin number
\#
\# LENGTH COMPOSITION DATA
\#
*------------------------
45 \#_N_LengthBins
\# vector of length N_LengthBins with lower edges of each bin
6 \begin{tabular}{lllllllllll}
8 & 10 & 12 & 14 & 16 & 18 & 20 & 22 & 24 & 26 \\
& 28 & 30 & 32 & 34 & 36 & 38 & 40 & 42 & 44 & 46 \\
& 48 & 50 & 52 & 54 & 56 & 58 & 60 & 62 & 64 & 66 \\
68 & 70 & 72 & 74 & 76 & 78 & 80 & 82 & 84 & 86 \\
& 88 & 90 & 92 & 94 & & & & & &
\end{tabular}

153 \#_N_Length_obs
\#Yr Season Flt/Svy Gender Part Nsamp datavector(female-male)


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 7106 & 5447 & 3998 & 2393 & 2191 & 1579 & 1080 & 1665 & 625 & 59 \\
\hline & 87 & 159 & 40 & 49 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2003} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 285 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 34 & 46 & 1424 & 8235 \\
\hline & 57062 & 77986 & 70341 & 43681 & 29493 & 20640 & 18412 & 15623 & 11819 & 7903 \\
\hline & 6477 & 3672 & 2989 & 1869 & 2803 & 1021 & 723 & 294 & 1397 & 197 \\
\hline & 193 & 348 & 0 & 0 & 118 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2004} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 186 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 30 & 477 & 7112 \\
\hline & 56436 & 77855 & 88743 & 75236 & 57578 & 43440 & 17089 & 10998 & 7706 & 2454 \\
\hline & 1193 & 1019 & 705 & 1193 & 1093 & 797 & 277 & 382 & 310 & 149 \\
\hline & 88 & 54 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 48 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2005} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 70 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 480 & 9736 \\
\hline & 118997 & 87391 & 54041 & 51857 & 41817 & 31495 & 23782 & 9021 & 5197 & 4800 \\
\hline & 2206 & 2090 & 1049 & 1075 & 717 & 324 & 221 & 221 & 113 & 36 \\
\hline & 46 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2006} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 67 & & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 3306 & \\
\hline & 22629 & 108391 & 100915 & 86929 & 45290 & 32101 & 20844 & 15954 & 10699 & 7506 \\
\hline & 3681 & 2838 & 1708 & 1912 & 511 & 761 & 2580 & 308 & 132 & 0 \\
\hline & 154 & 22 & 0 & 22 & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2007} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 470 & 3489 \\
\hline & 54082 & 70396 & 64601 & 54445 & 58842 & 37746 & 18707 & 11681 & 15625 & 7831 \\
\hline & 4841 & 8448 & 2259 & 3735 & 4278 & 438 & 455 & 117 & 1226 & 316 \\
\hline & 159 & 117 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{11}{*}{2008} & 1 & & & & 98 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 401 & \\
\hline & 13790 & 175049 & 148847 & 104728 & 76223 & 44614 & 33457 & 14676 & 13238 & \\
\hline & 11255 & 8194 & 5767 & 2706 & 1499 & 1369 & 1236 & 777 & 862 & 222 \\
\hline & 113 & 0 & & 586 & 11 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & 1 & 0 & 2 & 113 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 146 & 2887 \\
\hline & 104904 & 107730 & 93431 & 55081 & 50155 & 25947 & 30223 & 16007 & 15940 & \\
\hline & 12696 & 7388 & 6801 & 2355 & 683 & 4323 & 91 & 486 & 955 & 1257 \\
\hline & 337 & 1781 & 108 & 108 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2010} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 98 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 40 & 3168 \\
\hline & 80969 & 65663 & 100554 & 56013 & 63911 & 49857 & 14519 & 12059 & 8624 & 6881 \\
\hline & 7079 & 4950 & 4215 & 3011 & 2984 & 2604 & 1229 & 1072 & 1059 & 335 \\
\hline & 302 & 410 & 358 & 254 & 127 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2011} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 103 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 82 & 3514 \\
\hline & 42567 & 46238 & 51629 & 63594 & 50320 & 59307 & 31597 & 27273 & 18416 & \\
\hline & 13379 & 6216 & 7016 & 3569 & 5620 & 2394 & 1025 & 2151 & 1077 & 718 \\
\hline & 1327 & 289 & 86 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2008} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 236 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 588 & 4964 & 4442 & 7503 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 24 \\
\hline & 0 & 207 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2009} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 169 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline & 12159 & 12159 & 133744 & 206695 & 148028 & 36655 & 26406 & 2813 & 7222 & \\
\hline & 29651 & 2534 & 29 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2010} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 146 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 8736 & 0 & 10295 & 23448 & 23056 & 29348 & 47848 & 9498 \\
\hline & 262 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2011} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 156 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 229 & 2580 & 5681 & 43621 & 2832 \\
\hline & 71 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2012} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 410 & 553 & 1275 & 2410 & 18434 & 25801 & \\
\hline & 22077 & 173 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{10}{*}{2013} & 1 & & & 1 & 192 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & 1655 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 332 & 2753 & 7035 & 2196 \\
\hline & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 231 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2163 & 1082 & 2106 & 1461 \\
\hline & & 0 & 2827 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 802 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2015} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 183 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 9742 & 653 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2016} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 69 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 909 & 699 & 440 & 3125 & 5997 & \\
\hline & 10216 & 15490 & 21190 & 9250 & 1954 & 2269 & 300 & 232 & 87 & 25 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2017} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8.71 & 43.54 & 39.18 & \\
\hline & 39.18 & 52.24 & 95.77 & 95.77 & 8.71 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{0} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1985} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 19 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2822 & 5368 & 5711 & 5594 & 1624 \\
\hline & 2170 & 3688 & 1284 & 1590 & 1002 & 2424 & 1123 & 1485 & 1669 & 1171 \\
\hline & 457 & 143 & 443 & 207 & 139 & 325 & 7 & 14 & 0 & 125 \\
\hline & 257 & 375 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1986} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 31 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 32 & 59 & 461 & 1123 & 2607 & 2174 \\
\hline & 3118 & 1579 & 1540 & 963 & 1083 & 1435 & 1868 & 2033 & 1350 & 1721 \\
\hline & 863 & 741 & 621 & 539 & 681 & 351 & 392 & 254 & 177 & 177 \\
\hline & 59 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1987} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 69 & 0 & & & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 26 & & 245 & 658 & 757 \\
\hline & 1024 & 924 & 1630 & 497 & 1079 & 214 & & 358 & 806 & 1122 \\
\hline & 717 & 526 & 431 & 172 & 98 & 77 & & 562 & 44 & 240 \\
\hline & 46 & 183 & 17 & 28 & 39 & 7 & & 0 & 0 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1988} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 53 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{0} & 24 & 838 & 4597 & 4578 \\
\hline & 3030 & 1744 & 2166 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2108} & 1295 & 1215 & 747 & 740 & 481 \\
\hline & 841 & 630 & 651 & 884 & & 429 & 308 & 311 & 156 & 52 \\
\hline & 59 & 61 & 24 & 169 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1989} & 1 & 2 & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2} & 26 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0} \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & 0 & 0 & 276 & 630 & \\
\hline & 21750 & 21856 & & & 259 & 183 & 24 & 75 & 49 & 75 \\
\hline & 235 & & & 231 & 429 & 169 & 328 & 210 & 50 & 185 \\
\hline & 25 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{10}{*}{1990} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & & 2 & 22 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 119 \\
\hline & & & 533 & 1063 & 1380 & 1324 & 1085 & 967 & 760 & 769 \\
\hline & & 494 & 627 & 345 & 277 & 266 & 190 & 196 & 0 & 196 \\
\hline & & & 0 & 74 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & 2 & 0 & 2 & 53 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 10 & 10 & 1811 \\
\hline & 3158 & 2495 & 860 & 591 & 628 & 1053 & 1530 & 1536 & 1440 & 1344 \\
\hline & 1062 & 1067 & 1756 & 1191 & 1411 & 2280 & 1280 & 1312 & 1273 & 1286 \\
\hline & 565 & 556 & 109 & 256 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1992} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 111 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 44 & 88 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 616 \\
\hline & 3464 & 2977 & 2124 & 1332 & 974 & 719 & 557 & 446 & 654 & 523 \\
\hline & 499 & 697 & 343 & 286 & 264 & 525 & 228 & 222 & 231 & 176 \\
\hline & 172 & 149 & 59 & 13 & 44 & 21 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1993} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 123 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 7 & 7 & 4 & 11 & 331 & 1975 & 1314 & 2175 \\
\hline & 5307 & 5933 & 4077 & 3082 & 2857 & 2235 & 1507 & 1104 & 1531 & 1073 \\
\hline & 1688 & 1991 & 2262 & 999 & 1125 & 1423 & 887 & 547 & 662 & 727 \\
\hline & 606 & 176 & 128 & 20 & 0 & 5 & 24 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2004} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 69 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 151 & 114 \\
\hline & 3383 & 4778 & 7305 & 7164 & 6298 & 5551 & 4972 & 4272 & 3418 & 3519 \\
\hline & 1990 & 1623 & 1259 & 1182 & 957 & 1195 & 511 & 161 & 429 & 124 \\
\hline & 3 & 136 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2005} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 25 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 30 \\
\hline & 1459 & 5096 & 5733 & 5562 & 5847 & 2889 & 2587 & 1756 & 2391 & 2107 \\
\hline & 2111 & 1980 & 2538 & 812 & 1071 & 42 & 400 & 849 & 261 & 163 \\
\hline & 142 & 0 & 240 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2006} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 67 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 74 & 0 \\
\hline & 3969 & 12293 & 12638 & 14461 & 14923 & 10989 & 8786 & 9345 & 5063 & 4132 \\
\hline & 4038 & 4524 & 2755 & 1619 & 2088 & 621 & 794 & 551 & 244 & 169 \\
\hline & 560 & 344 & 54 & 100 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2007} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 31 & 0 & & & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & 1136 \\
\hline & 5131 & 14565 & 15142 & 14050 & 12606 & 10768 & 22 & 8705 & 5167 & 6074 \\
\hline & 4873 & 3812 & 4817 & 4253 & 2142 & 3384 & & 1252 & 0 & 747 \\
\hline & 487 & 0 & 0 & 24 & 24 & 4 & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2008} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 30 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 313 \\
\hline & 4013 & 11689 & 16359 & 18034 & 18687 & 12444 & 10441 & 7197 & 13773 & 7484 \\
\hline & 3684 & 3598 & 3289 & 2458 & & 303 & 506 & 318 & 615 & 591 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 200 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2009} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 19 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 74 \\
\hline & 8613 & 14610 & 2252 & 18429 & 15522 & 11032 & 9124 & 7768 & 5868 & 4579 \\
\hline & 4902 & 2018 & 3718 & 27 & 1475 & 1029 & 814 & 667 & 147 & 520 \\
\hline & 299 & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{10}{*}{2010} & 1 & & & 2 & 41 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 2315 & 6065 & 30120 & 30121 & 40653 & 24649 & 10232 & 6746 & 3421 & 2913 \\
\hline & & & 1675 & 507 & 760 & 539 & 302 & 598 & 331 & 222 \\
\hline & & & 56 & 28 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & 2 & 0 & 2 & 56 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12 & 180 \\
\hline & & 7968 & 10975 & 13369 & 10926 & 11254 & 10787 & 9886 & 6722 & 6716 \\
\hline & 4301 & 3064 & 2450 & 2410 & 2342 & 1525 & 625 & 501 & 297 & 251 \\
\hline & 228 & 145 & 102 & 69 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2012} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 100 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 520 \\
\hline & 4350 & 11740 & 12483 & 14279 & 12748 & 12865 & 7284 & 13932 & 9586 & 9411 \\
\hline & 10724 & 5622 & 4735 & 2099 & 2450 & 2478 & 1173 & 566 & 675 & 137 \\
\hline & 394 & 14 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2013} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 42 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 569 \\
\hline & 6040 & 14247 & 17604 & 17459 & 11704 & 10487 & 7481 & 10703 & 9458 & 9034 \\
\hline & 6570 & 6686 & 5108 & 5206 & 1152 & 1560 & 1394 & 1065 & 555 & 742 \\
\hline & 507 & 292 & 244 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2014} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 73 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 242 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 4676 & 10891 & 13762 & 25710 & 20762 & 19882 & 13868 & 10537 & 10014 & 9735 \\
\hline & 8784 & 8504 & 6874 & 5473 & 3441 & 2491 & 1627 & 627 & 279 & 566 \\
\hline & 190 & 12 & 161 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2015} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 79 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 56 \\
\hline & 673 & 2159 & 6646 & 13933 & 16753 & 16672 & 16306 & 12497 & 10026 & 7007 \\
\hline & 7775 & 5112 & 4352 & 4358 & 4486 & 2297 & 1991 & 1524 & 740 & 501 \\
\hline & 523 & 15 & 104 & 15 & 78 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2016} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 110 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 212 & 1973 & 9062 & 15595 & 13444 & 13398 & 12345 & 12837 & \\
\hline & 11745 & 11516 & 7131 & 6141 & 4862 & 3526 & 2914 & 1649 & 2003 & 623 \\
\hline & 1115 & 183 & 183 & 72 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1985} & 1 & -3 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & & 0 \\
\hline & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 264 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1987} & 1 & -3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
\hline & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1988} & 1 & -3 & 0 & 2 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 76 & 228 & 301 & 882 & 1103 & 594 & 515 & 443 & 367 & 149 \\
\hline & 145 & 76 & & & 76 & 228 & 152 & 76 & 76 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{10}{*}{1989} & 1 & & & 2 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & & & 8 & 20 & 51 & 40 & 16 & 60 & 198 \\
\hline & 235 & & 306 & 421 & 532 & 207 & 140 & 85 & 69 & 0 \\
\hline & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 435 & 2111 & 2590 & 1683 & 720 & 820 \\
\hline & & 0 & 0 & 56 & 224 & 56 & 0 & 279 & 112 & 56 \\
\hline & & 0 & 56 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1992} & 1 & -3 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 59 & 20 & 277 & 138 & 296 & 692 & 415 \\
\hline & 1502 & 573 & 217 & 158 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1995} & 1 & -3 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 1629 & 1303 & 1303 & 1629 & 326 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1996} & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 67 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 60 & 4942 & 11074 & 20191 & 14350 & 12533 & 10412 & 5550 & 3274 & 417 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2008} & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 68 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 33 \\
\hline & 135 & 542 & 993 & 1095 & 1112 & 1005 & 1319 & 1199 & 1169 & 1206 \\
\hline & 722 & 709 & 446 & 362 & 229 & 120 & 75 & 17 & 10 & 7 \\
\hline & 9 & 2 & 2 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2009} & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 139 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 81 & 239 & 690 & 1020 & 1615 & 1163 & 827 & 967 & 510 & 227 \\
\hline & 99 & 183 & 83 & 96 & 39 & 41 & 21 & 20 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline & 10 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2010} & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 43 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 633 \\
\hline & 2147 & 3259 & 5121 & 5036 & 3218 & 3657 & 2543 & 962 & 1850 & 1217 \\
\hline & 755 & 1023 & 384 & 180 & 178 & 197 & 100 & & 17 & 33 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 17 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2011} & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 100 & 0 & & & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & 0 \\
\hline & 293 & 1045 & 4271 & 4583 & 11310 & 8588 & 9653 & 9609 & 7336 & 3924 \\
\hline & 3130 & 2508 & 2382 & 1785 & 925 & 934 & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2012} & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 141 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 200 \\
\hline & 3001 & 2588 & 4530 & 5537 & 5034 & 7019 & 4509 & 4023 & 4008 & 1503 \\
\hline & 1589 & 587 & 644 & 71 & & 43 & 71 & 100 & 43 & 43 \\
\hline & 29 & 57 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2000} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 62 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9931 \\
\hline & 34932 & 85866 & 126730 & 102836 & 80478 & 93344 & 80934 & 55399 & 52948 & \\
\hline & 42094 & 26460 & 27357 & 23 & 14295 & 18044 & 10773 & 9903 & 5709 & 5721 \\
\hline & 2345 & 2595 & 2102 & 888 & 1021 & 548 & 123 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & + & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{12}{*}{2001} & 1 & & & 2 & 101 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline & 17962 & & 68920 & 76594 & 98008 & 109595 & 106857 & 77694 & 57055 & \\
\hline & & 36737 & 35839 & 22762 & 25834 & 18773 & 13532 & 11068 & 9120 & \\
\hline & 11771 & 5733 & 5345 & 2782 & 1691 & 583 & 296 & 204 & 0 & 61 \\
\hline & - & & 0 & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & 4 & 0 & 2 & 80 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1015 & 0 & \\
\hline & 12469 & 38249 & 46427 & 62503 & 82461 & 91064 & 86723 & 62163 & 55905 & \\
\hline & 46180 & 35998 & 26001 & 19019 & 14210 & 11129 & 16771 & 11011 & 5447 & 4795 \\
\hline & 4559 & 1825 & 1260 & 357 & 155 & 109 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2003} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 129 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3455 & 13054 & 58717 & \\
\hline & 105655 & 125326 & 180475 & 119495 & 145456 & 104545 & 130023 & 115806 & 91915 & \\
\hline & 93878 & 48742 & 60839 & 31614 & 33688 & 30691 & 18823 & 13230 & 7960 & 5374 \\
\hline & 5617 & 3275 & 1356 & 297 & 783 & 112 & 148 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{2004} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 122 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 13057 & \\
\hline & 78811 & 127801 & 124051 & 227214 & 282390 & 243107 & 188494 & 126685 & 72581 & \\
\hline & 82331 & 50633 & 60284 & 31334 & 19126 & 23996 & 14799 & 10650 & 8569 & 4880 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 2974 & 2675 & 2567 & 548 & 425 & 149 & 295 & 0 & 149 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2005} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 82 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9903 & \\
\hline & 29872 & 97890 & 128022 & 231750 & 266905 & 344681 & 270532 & 239265 & 169478 & \\
\hline & 115269 & 62106 & 67741 & 61132 & 43591 & 35774 & 25788 & 12456 & 13360 & 8908 \\
\hline & 8053 & 9811 & 5020 & 2378 & 1365 & 107 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2006} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 121 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 15689 & 32459 & \\
\hline & 179130 & 285704 & 217657 & 178250 & 196868 & 289998 & 285451 & 263272 & 200874 & \\
\hline & 119836 & 99509 & 99674 & 54522 & 45908 & 23763 & 20607 & 14969 & 13976 & 9653 \\
\hline & 4521 & 3424 & 2883 & 731 & 201 & 261 & 30 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2007} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 186 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & 4715 \\
\hline & 39335 & 102714 & 146272 & 145122 & 164011 & 130859 & 100043 & 99210 & 75929 & \\
\hline & 74405 & 55147 & 46087 & 28056 & 23057 & 18091 & 8715 & 8793 & 4835 & 2707 \\
\hline & 1962 & 1010 & 399 & 158 & 37 & 59 & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2008} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 194 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & 8250 & \\
\hline & 28986 & 229758 & 263071 & 266408 & 237160 & 270810 & 228996 & 142650 & 112385 & \\
\hline & 74336 & 66260 & 48853 & 39689 & 29840 & 28335 & 14420 & 12694 & 9039 & 6821 \\
\hline & 4714 & 1623 & 1257 & 534 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2009} & 1 & 4 & & 2 & 385 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & 0 & 292 & 473 & 2239 & \\
\hline & 10714 & 124925 & 211881 & 2255 & 193030 & 222613 & 238849 & 155222 & 159658 & \\
\hline & 114530 & 84649 & 96257 & 51578 & 36547 & 57472 & 24016 & 21415 & 27466 & \\
\hline & 20198 & 12083 & 7551 & 979 & 1765 & 264 & 1004 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{12}{*}{2010} & & & & 2 & 198 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & 717 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9811 & \\
\hline & & 169311 & 177571 & 182105 & 283064 & 251956 & 230227 & 188149 & 186310 & \\
\hline & 109212 & 120550 & 71590 & 62211 & 31544 & 19076 & 62005 & 26388 & 9340 & 8541 \\
\hline & 29128 & 1884 & 2114 & 182 & 5525 & 6097 & 863 & 0 & 1207 & 0 \\
\hline & & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & 4 & 0 & 2 & 290 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1976 & \\
\hline & 13885 & 57121 & 87842 & 128838 & 187586 & 201447 & 199487 & 194697 & 145447 & \\
\hline & 124239 & 92526 & 72471 & 46869 & 31690 & 19998 & 17624 & 14720 & 7906 & 6114 \\
\hline & 2082 & 1163 & 1096 & 476 & 148 & 104 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2012} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 297 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1219 & 0 & 1583 & 6518 \\
\hline & 85760 & 172510 & 140273 & 147895 & 162333 & 180752 & 158490 & 130759 & 107214 & \\
\hline & 90638 & 78934 & 54869 & 35387 & 33085 & 17714 & 15170 & 9374 & 8114 & 4147 \\
\hline & 2313 & 1540 & 1134 & 282 & 451 & 29 & 27 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2013} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 192 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 146 & 0 & 1504 \\
\hline & 29667 & 88507 & 149070 & 146130 & 123170 & 140677 & 127136 & 116842 & 99156 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 103818 & 89197 & 59004 & 65851 & 64579 & 53482 & 37744 & 23884 & 32512 & \\
\hline & 14996 & 9001 & 2640 & 2073 & 176 & 1566 & 0 & 1115 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2014} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 202 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3076 & 3620 \\
\hline & 33532 & 68262 & 74871 & 82684 & 51365 & 61292 & 39844 & 38109 & 29929 & \\
\hline & 39911 & 32298 & 30016 & 21467 & 16797 & 16261 & 8387 & 5579 & 8995 & 3027 \\
\hline & 642 & 773 & 0 & 198 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2015} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 310 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 291 & 346 & 2678 & 5102 \\
\hline & 44175 & 75546 & 93273 & 115713 & 122460 & 95208 & 59668 & 51436 & 37860 & \\
\hline & 21406 & 20681 & 13591 & 11946 & 11776 & 9356 & 6653 & 2485 & 1163 & 660 \\
\hline & 628 & 431 & 9 & 16 & 278 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2016} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 231 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 71 & 1481 & 1440 \\
\hline & 2814 & 4340 & 7417 & 24816 & 20422 & 22427 & 20653 & 15619 & 10415 & \\
\hline & 16034 & 9753 & 12328 & 7678 & 7506 & 4348 & 2634 & 4465 & 1353 & 956 \\
\hline & 219 & 0 & 127 & 0 & 0 & - & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2009} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 1 & 65 & & 23785 & 77757 & 646 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 14082 & 1536 & 109077 & 136481 & \\
\hline & 273125 & 9052 & 437 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{11}{*}{2010} & 1 & 4 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & 560 & 6036 & 11986 & 7850 & 65917 & \\
\hline & 101564 & & & & 5882 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & & & 1 & 128 & 8406 & 89382 & 89194 & 24097 & \\
\hline & & & 934 & 0 & 0 & 934 & 0 & 0 & 60149 & \\
\hline & 136319 & 128793 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4397 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2013} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 1 & 48 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 3378 & 14450 & 12648 & 21204 & 3753 & 15573 & 20179 & \\
\hline & 20024 & 38846 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2014} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 1 & 18 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 1329 & 0 & 0 & 358 & 715 & 1073 & 1430 & 12200 & \\
\hline & 18532 & 6008 & 0 & 0 & 1347 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2015} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 1 & 33 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 8743 & 22986 & 24960 & 28627 & 44991 & 33640 & 16077 & 8650 & 23675 & 4655 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 18996 & 18996 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 18996 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{1995} & 1 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 17 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 65628 & 182886 & 191483 & 118285 & 68606 & \\
\hline & 94174 & 58735 & 62595 & 72147 & 8650 & 15487 & 10698 & 0 & 20034 & \\
\hline & 15487 & 0 & 0 & 17299 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 19546 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{1996} & 1 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 26 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 10096 & 71187 & 198585 & 162449 & 209883 & 102756 & \\
\hline & 137006 & 50619 & 60715 & 0 & 46710 & 0 & 25805 & 38406 & 67089 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 21710 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 21222 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{1997} & 1 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 31 & 0 & , & & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 52420 & 172277 & 157267 & 364320 & 311146 & \\
\hline & 265276 & 102658 & 136668 & 71024 & 62096 & 42836 & 3198 & 27070 & 0 & \\
\hline & 36775 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & \\
\hline & 12568 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & - & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{1998} & 1 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 38 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 1025 & 42156 & 199875 & 248028 & \\
\hline & 445903 & 469290 & 406992 & 271169 & 77307 & 56211 & 109682 & 49306 & 13620 & \\
\hline & 13620 & 0 & 25037 & 27240 & 13620 & 13620 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline & 11417 & 0 & 26697 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1999} & 1 & 8 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & 1843 & 15128 & 83225 & 167646 & 146928 & 90595 & \\
\hline & 177558 & 153662 & 195992 & 214407 & 105858 & 79810 & 47256 & 78075 & 7863 & \\
\hline & 17639 & 0 & 059 & 0 & 9059 & 0 & 15018 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & 9059 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2000} & 1 & & & 0 & 36 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & & 18644 & 65257 & 62040 & 125296 & 417455 & 440978 & 309620 & \\
\hline & 338993 & 204879 & 181687 & 95559 & 108703 & 70962 & 23940 & 21506 & 14766 & 0 \\
\hline & 24695 & 0 & 14306 & 0 & 9307 & 13096 & 0 & 9307 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2001} & 1 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 59740 & 413187 & 767358 & 518235 & 300493 & \\
\hline & 248669 & 158653 & 247952 & 66992 & 125042 & 43191 & 37193 & 10236 & 24597 & 0 \\
\hline & 14837 & 24648 & 13083 & 52462 & 0 & 0 & 12053 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline & 12053 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2002} & 1 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 44 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 10739 & 67655 & 197986 & 381355 & 832988 & \\
\hline & 623508 & 439729 & 380196 & 270697 & 189153 & 102893 & 112164 & 50660 & 44892 & \\
\hline & 16171 & 27189 & 21612 & 15932 & 12167 & 0 & 27484 & 12167 & 25511 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 9579 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2003} & 1 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 41 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 22663 & 13218 & 161174 & 795498 & 720874 & 802826 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1375758 & 1218315 & 1251975 & 623889 & 464021 & 274147 & 207776 & 203176 & 72670 & \\
\hline & 136756 & 185043 & 89875 & 52508 & 26254 & 27514 & 0 & 13127 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2004} & 1 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 44 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2692 & 0 & 122879 & 403673 & 714884 & \\
\hline & 730822 & 416792 & 287359 & 324966 & 129278 & 137035 & 115126 & 31042 & 39375 & \\
\hline & 29156 & 18125 & 9347 & 0 & 61811 & 2692 & 2692 & 9347 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9347 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2005} & 1 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 40 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 15565 & 0 & 210994 & 418270 & 315562 & 267295 & \\
\hline & 354515 & 215506 & 225666 & 181642 & 106404 & 138927 & 146271 & 109108 & 98370 & \\
\hline & 34142 & 9487 & 52772 & 51553 & 21543 & 10751 & 10751 & & & \\
\hline & 10223 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2006} & 1 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 36 & 0 & & & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9814 & 197122 & 866753 & 893641 & 788190 & \\
\hline & 1120577 & 531053 & 311464 & 137430 & 190156 & 96298 & & 84223 & 86273 & \\
\hline & 31873 & 22996 & 25308 & 19628 & 9814 & 3032 & & 814 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 11099 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2007} & 1 & 8 & 0 & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 47391 & 407308 & 386452 & 394375 & \\
\hline & 609358 & 479157 & 314000 & 219897 & 169994 & 170481 & 111148 & 80056 & 57131 & \\
\hline & 123245 & 25737 & 18311 & 0 & 1929 & 0 & 10662 & 5331 & 19351 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{11}{*}{2008} & 1 & 8 & & & 40 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & & 10729 & 52876 & 128066 & 266412 & 229414 & 731914 & \\
\hline & 1661470 & 931172 & 880632 & 758996 & 224765 & 208707 & 112521 & 79753 & 13259 & \\
\hline & 72807 & 14967 & 8587 & 19628 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 42166 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & & 0 & 0 & 26 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 21413 & 77225 & 253598 & 386804 & \\
\hline & 279160 & 234313 & 217371 & 238780 & 238652 & 168707 & 110777 & 82775 & 99010 & \\
\hline & 56555 & 38480 & 0 & 21413 & 12158 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12249 & 0 \\
\hline & & 15256 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2010} & 1 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 30 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 20661 & 79020 & 106240 & 232673 & \\
\hline & 118288 & 117886 & 316567 & 207944 & 97593 & 110810 & 191255 & 62479 & 14580 & \\
\hline & 62090 & 0 & 22711 & 0 & 14580 & 0 & 14580 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 14580 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2011} & 1 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 27 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 13881 & 0 & 45451 & 95055 & \\
\hline & 204438 & 227355 & 208472 & 118040 & 120428 & 68027 & 88143 & 90627 & 60054 & \\
\hline & 47411 & 9869 & 0 & 33403 & 36907 & 46184 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\#Yr Seas Flt/Svy Gender Part Ageerr Lbin_lo Lbin_hi Nsamp datavector(female-male)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1985} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 131 & & 0 \\
\hline & 65 & 11844 & 30828 & 6121 & 9692 & 1240 & 3914 & 9713 & 2454 & 2581 \\
\hline & 1320 & 343 & 841 & 286 & 892 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1986} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 46 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 15673 & 20303 & 18759 & 3453 & 7662 & 704 & 3197 & 10503 & 1833 \\
\hline & 1403 & 2889 & 1222 & 1688 & 3595 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1987} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 113 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 439 & 30263 & 58458 & 13753 & 2095 & 2437 & 656 & 726 & 5731 \\
\hline & 2565 & 1889 & 761 & 817 & 2796 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1988} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 35 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 1930 & 20862 & 54472 & 41710 & 12803 & 1721 & 2315 & 780 & 451 \\
\hline & 5503 & 2024 & 1312 & 801 & 2589 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1989} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & & 0 \\
\hline & 33394 & 5411 & 1223 & 7659 & 43911 & 26891 & 9002 & 3076 & 29 & 1878 \\
\hline & 2896 & 8914 & 1499 & 1286 & 3436 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1990} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & & 13 & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 3035 & 2503 & 3770 & 16047 & 31459 & 10 & 5042 & 2186 & 1463 \\
\hline & 846 & 1100 & 4837 & 353 & 2703 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1991} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & & & 287 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 1533 & 6933 & 36938 & 2381 & 1283 & 6576 & 18064 & 16248 & 7033 & 589 \\
\hline & 2617 & 2321 & 480 & 6659 & 3674 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1992} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & & & -1 & 202 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 15982 & 55550 & 33557 & 1183 & & 1956 & 4750 & 4762 & 1230 \\
\hline & 451 & 433 & 139 & 497 & 3202 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1993} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & & -1 & -1 & 218 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 657 & 81429 & 65981 & 21858 & 1351 & 627 & 1796 & 4803 & 3920 \\
\hline & 1500 & 710 & 735 & 475 & 2347 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1994} & 1 & & & & 1 & -1 & -1 & 282 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 2 & 328 & 30970 & 369416 & 41472 & 16079 & 1130 & 294 & 2282 & 5842 \\
\hline & 4387 & 1596 & & 646 & 3717 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{1995} & & & & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 115 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & & 37064 & 81529 & 334815 & 17932 & 6931 & 702 & 415 & 1046 \\
\hline & & & 1846 & 2699 & 2680 & & & & & \\
\hline & & & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 163 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 191 & 11473 & 43831 & 31632 & 64618 & 173733 & 8235 & 3622 & 216 & 315 \\
\hline & & 1881 & 1688 & 534 & 1784 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1997} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 137 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 2490 & 8501 & 64000 & 45238 & 39229 & 145407 & 8105 & 4456 & 632 \\
\hline & 640 & 294 & 2689 & 1712 & 2235 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1998} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 165 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 1103 & 44997 & 49461 & 69489 & 25366 & 15136 & 41057 & 2671 & 860 \\
\hline & 96 & 96 & 385 & 623 & 811 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1999} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 218 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 241 & 82 & 80414 & 146338 & 43841 & 28582 & 9612 & 6192 & 18072 & 1112 \\
\hline & 729 & 40 & 270 & 97 & 830 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2000} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 395 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 9528 & 2584 & 151515 & 72747 & 11772 & 11046 & 4992 & 4636 & 8323 \\
\hline & 818 & 184 & 14 & 55 & 643 & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2001} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 286 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 614 & 11085 & 92408 & 29064 & 105169 & 25329 & 7388 & 8742 & 5811 & 8136 \\
\hline & 7522 & 804 & 768 & 69 & 759 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2002} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 36 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 338 & 11495 & 43605 & 240476 & 16779 & 67647 & 16021 & 7450 & 8022 & 2682 \\
\hline & 3842 & 10166 & 645 & 193 & 568 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2003} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 154 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 5698 & 75254 & 70415 & 154267 & 8719 & 38901 & 14072 & 4789 & 3196 \\
\hline & 2260 & 1599 & 3937 & 937 & 756 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2004} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 94 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 4406 & 38270 & 214112 & 76652 & 95133 & 2733 & 12227 & 4039 & 1583 \\
\hline & 994 & 802 & 263 & 1029 & 221 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2005} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 18910 & 135210 & 89202 & 124422 & 33796 & 30175 & & 7357 & 1390 \\
\hline & 1123 & 363 & 173 & 650 & 842 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2006} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & & & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 20497 & 141335 & 144890 & 54069 & 56281 & 73 & & 2207 & 3475 \\
\hline & 2277 & 859 & 210 & 188 & 1433 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2007} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 955 & 33606 & 169272 & 96625 & 44423 & 34061 & 12877 & 14366 & \\
\hline & 11530 & 4527 & 1621 & 11 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2008} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & & & & 89 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 9338 & 110875 & 296983 & 139 & 47617 & 19838 & 17332 & 8660 & 6128 \\
\hline & 852 & 793 & 988 & 317 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2009} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & & -1 & -1 & 162 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 2659 & 73056 & 169969 & 172602 & 64997 & 19002 & 14443 & 9064 & 8631 \\
\hline & 3610 & 2235 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2010} & 1 & & & & 1 & -1 & -1 & 73 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 19 & 7100 & 155258 & 118179 & 78410 & 28938 & 11821 & 6979 & 6043 \\
\hline & 2645 & & & 534 & 1663 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2011} & 1 & & & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 78 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & & 28630 & 124625 & 92582 & 71094 & 54338 & 31775 & 10438 & \\
\hline & & & 2933 & 2203 & 675 & 1692 & & & & \\
\hline & & & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 101 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & 1620 & 14135 & 166965 & 219883 & 61319 & 39609 & 31669 & 15268 & 9427 \\
\hline & 2 & 3864 & 2546 & 538 & 930 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2013} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 11 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 45016 & 60547 & 182858 & 117821 & 33448 & 30222 & 22727 & \\
\hline & 17473 & 11825 & 2908 & 2687 & 2429 & 2133 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2014} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 104 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 6622 & 31923 & 107001 & 58412 & 114826 & 78809 & 38859 & 27037 & \\
\hline & 30548 & 19853 & 5152 & 1776 & 1857 & 1487 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2015} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 81 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 50 & 3716 & 20172 & 45807 & 36830 & 63272 & 35025 & 17302 & \\
\hline & 12685 & 10431 & 2917 & 7265 & 7308 & 966 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2016} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 94 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 1591 & 7863 & 13991 & 31088 & 24925 & 40386 & 24807 & \\
\hline & 10618 & 8218 & 4788 & 1960 & 2098 & 1528 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1985} & 1 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 5 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 9225 & 11491 & 3441 & 5902 & 891 & 1113 & 5133 & 1176 & 694 \\
\hline & 913 & 46 & 122 & 134 & 936 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1986} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 53 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 577 & 8939 & 3343 & 933 & 2354 & 358 & 758 & 5428 & 960 \\
\hline & 871 & 953 & 573 & 645 & 1307 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1987} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 60 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 108 & 1052 & 3719 & 2132 & 581 & 477 & 432 & 523 & 1578 \\
\hline & 845 & 211 & 167 & 179 & 1187 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1988} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 92 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 33 & 1751 & 13389 & 5067 & 2398 & 551 & 1014 & 209 & 456 \\
\hline & 1863 & 895 & 715 & 523 & 977 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1989} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & & & 0 \\
\hline & 22 & 0 & 538 & 8171 & 36046 & 1842 & 371 & & 208 & 58 \\
\hline & 215 & 1040 & 115 & 87 & 334 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1990} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & & 249 & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 305 & 82 & 185 & 1284 & 3456 & 2407 & 897 & 357 & 369 \\
\hline & 193 & 242 & 1261 & 81 & 828 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1991} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 131 & 8420 & 471 & 177 & 792 & 4927 & 4024 & 1842 & 89 \\
\hline & 1229 & 1685 & 367 & 4831 & 2887 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1992} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & & & -1 & 357 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 1195 & 5473 & 5267 & 294 & 269 & 518 & 1193 & 1633 & 563 \\
\hline & 130 & 195 & 169 & 143 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1993} & 1 & 2 & & 2 & & -1 & -1 & 418 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 16 & 526 & 11652 & 11776 & 7569 & 590 & 289 & 931 & 3941 & 3344 \\
\hline & 1367 & 663 & 703 & , & 3789 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1994} & 1 & & & & 1 & -1 & -1 & 287 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & 4059 & 119784 & 18540 & 9393 & 943 & 173 & 1754 & 5414 \\
\hline & 5570 & & & 274 & 2790 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{1995} & & & & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 213 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & 6943 & 21979 & 97509 & 7380 & 5313 & 480 & 699 & 831 \\
\hline & & & 1936 & 840 & 4733 & & & & & \\
\hline & & & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 166 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 210 & 8804 & 12487 & 15338 & 57127 & 4566 & 4979 & 127 & 510 \\
\hline & & 2521 & 1573 & 1300 & 2346 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1997} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 157 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 59 & 454 & 3102 & 15613 & 11415 & 8287 & 50819 & 2853 & 1635 & 557 \\
\hline & 354 & 243 & 2195 & 1065 & 1570 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1998} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 145 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 3676 & 8366 & 10920 & 22630 & 10485 & 6452 & 28231 & 2949 & 1091 \\
\hline & 138 & 196 & 793 & 1381 & 1254 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1999} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 184 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 479 & 255 & 25158 & 37306 & 13589 & 13697 & 5288 & 5001 & 20522 & 1669 \\
\hline & 2038 & 247 & 777 & 315 & 3314 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2000} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 237 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 421 & 294 & 19380 & 12402 & 2696 & 3285 & 1476 & 1248 & 4697 \\
\hline & 330 & 258 & 16 & 88 & 559 & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2001} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 411 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 54 & 471 & 7385 & 1392 & 17864 & 7702 & 2027 & 3239 & 1685 & 1761 \\
\hline & 3774 & 440 & 301 & 27 & 420 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2002} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 495 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 30 & 729 & 2609 & 14173 & 2686 & 17358 & 7757 & 2621 & 5179 & 1463 \\
\hline & 1766 & 3687 & 322 & 101 & 180 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2003} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 236 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 80 & 7166 & 7917 & 25014 & 2167 & 10164 & 3262 & 1473 & 982 \\
\hline & 796 & 681 & 1704 & 186 & 166 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2004} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 152 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 279 & 1697 & 13884 & 8601 & 17310 & 2398 & 6365 & 3626 & 1181 \\
\hline & 1189 & 1172 & 406 & 2243 & 143 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2005} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 621 & 2669 & 5059 & 14699 & 5529 & & & 56 & 1845 \\
\hline & 236 & 1307 & 33 & 189 & 606 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2006} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & & & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 44 & 16121 & 35990 & 13714 & 22306 & & 27 & 1644 & 3135 \\
\hline & 1258 & 305 & 358 & 1016 & 734 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2007} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 22 & 6611 & 31578 & 28396 & 14511 & 17834 & 8499 & 10951 & 5163 \\
\hline & 3121 & 5119 & 85 & 344 & 485 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2008} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & & & -1 & 96 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 199 & 5010 & 27319 & 42071 & 21561 & 12265 & 12566 & 5458 & 4960 \\
\hline & 1372 & 1032 & 3431 & 198 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2009} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & & -1 & -1 & 38 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 315 & 8415 & 19843 & 33661 & 25695 & 12017 & 9320 & 5021 & 5371 \\
\hline & 4748 & 811 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2010} & 1 & & & & 1 & -1 & -1 & 51 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 14 & 7029 & 45515 & 54766 & 39716 & 15835 & 5147 & 2395 & 2910 \\
\hline & 706 & 522 & & 81 & 277 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2011} & & & & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 34 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & , & & 5209 & 11538 & 24667 & 19293 & 16668 & 13032 & 4947 & 6066 \\
\hline & & & 2187 & 522 & 657 & & & & & \\
\hline & & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 50 & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 91 & 1695 & 18362 & 28593 & 23507 & 22946 & 17909 & 10199 & 7725 \\
\hline & 4 & 2672 & 2158 & 596 & 820 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2013} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 91 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 1187 & 6979 & 35135 & 32251 & 18057 & 14762 & 10333 & \\
\hline & 10543 & 6106 & 3730 & 2886 & 1957 & 1938 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2014} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 41 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 980 & 4985 & 26081 & 20743 & 39548 & 28357 & 15323 & 12440 & \\
\hline & 12413 & 8018 & 4889 & 1976 & 1673 & 1322 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2015} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 64 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 6 & 1834 & 5941 & 23369 & 22221 & 31442 & 19014 & 10344 & 8210 \\
\hline & 7036 & 2504 & 3136 & 744 & 798 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2016} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 69 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 742 & 7020 & 11858 & 20142 & 15479 & 25838 & 13362 & 7406 \\
\hline & 5904 & 4674 & 2548 & 3894 & 2567 & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1996} & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 67 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 289 & 796 & 3892 & 71666 & 5583 & 1648 & 21 & 334 \\
\hline & 154 & 622 & 485 & 199 & 559 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1998} & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 50 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 245 & 5979 & 11845 & 8553 & 8135 & 25138 & 2517 & 345 \\
\hline & 93 & 53 & 119 & 893 & 569 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1999} & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 50 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 2983 & 18409 & 15106 & 27147 & 13818 & 18060 & 43097 & 4389 \\
\hline & 1686 & 324 & 387 & 308 & 2689 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2000} & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 50 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 15 & 60 & 2476 & 7587 & 3270 & 4497 & 1459 & 2830 & 7077 \\
\hline & 634 & 174 & 39 & 96 & 420 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2001} & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 179 & 899 & 19777 & 20290 & 7042 & & 312 & 2845 \\
\hline & 9666 & 857 & 636 & 123 & 261 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2002} & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & & & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 3 & 37 & 2380 & 1578 & 24087 & 9693 & 6297 & 5978 & 450 \\
\hline & 5664 & 9215 & 0 & 0 & 530 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2003} & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 2689 & 10619 & 39257 & 7971 & 40551 & 10293 & 3162 & 3254 \\
\hline & 618 & 169 & 4043 & 77 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2004} & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & & & -1 & 50 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 7 & 1254 & 12502 & 14372 & 48109 & 3199 & 20694 & 8010 & 353 \\
\hline & 1797 & 1141 & 91 & 968 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2005} & 1 & 3 & & 2 & & -1 & -1 & 50 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 114 & 2103 & 15321 & 14397 & 17408 & 1907 & 5182 & 0 \\
\hline & 1831 & 99 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2006} & 1 & & & & 1 & -1 & -1 & 50 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 22 & 567 & 608 & 4076 & 1423 & 3085 & 254 & 176 \\
\hline & & 0 & & & 53 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2007} & & & & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 50 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & & 385 & 2517 & 7038 & 5387 & 6833 & 2795 & 1900 & 631 \\
\hline & & & 37 & 19 & 121 & & & & & \\
\hline & & & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 50 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & 45 & 445 & 1540 & 3279 & 1787 & 1412 & 1557 & 755 & 960 \\
\hline & & 183 & 490 & 0 & 40 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2009} & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 50 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 90 & 635 & 2175 & 2596 & 843 & 784 & 168 & 298 \\
\hline & 173 & 11 & 169 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2010} & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 50 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 9 & 36 & 1741 & 5546 & 8261 & 6678 & 4755 & 403 & 3786 \\
\hline & 152 & 294 & 313 & 551 & 50 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2011} & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 50 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 255 & 4397 & 10231 & 13640 & 15909 & 13642 & 4424 & 4233 \\
\hline & 2773 & 1688 & 1003 & 264 & 423 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2012} & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 50 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 391 & 4461 & 10776 & 10016 & 8757 & 5789 & 2741 & 1134 \\
\hline & 290 & 433 & 143 & 127 & 226 & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2015} & 1 & -3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 50 & 0 & \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 7 & 23 & 85 & 103 & 137 & 30 & 6 & 3 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2000} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 100 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 9440 & 222655 & 273687 & 139562 & 79413 & 47258 & 43924 & \\
\hline & 49293 & 20207 & 10767 & 4925 & 4927 & 10901 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2001} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 100 & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 2651 & 55640 & 47734 & 298773 & 211740 & 90962 & 44742 & 21074 & \\
\hline & 39908 & 36007 & 17787 & 4394 & 6838 & 8034 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2002} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 100 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 8114 & 73892 & 125531 & 90294 & 236147 & 86108 & 31151 & 23025 & \\
\hline & 17823 & 14760 & 15912 & 9752 & 3743 & 1553 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2003} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & & & 0 \\
\hline & 2611 & 10800 & 364427 & 241694 & 318445 & 96562 & 254050 & 14829 & 5788 & \\
\hline & 26223 & 19879 & 14232 & 18088 & 6600 & 4028 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2004} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & & 10 & & 0 \\
\hline & 3 & 4 & 80483 & 627951 & 438799 & 297961 & & 1316 & 77533 & \\
\hline & 25416 & 14848 & 14254 & 13528 & 7628 & 5270 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2005} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 1 & & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 24195 & 77794 & 253455 & 735235 & 352182 & 443765 & 39104 & 161572 & \\
\hline & 69617 & 26314 & 17996 & 19238 & 17974 & 22718 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2006} & 1 & 4 & 0 & & & & & 100 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 3138 & 74600 & 131099 & 564668 & 361515 & 841651 & 146484 & 253945 & 13655 & \\
\hline & 132370 & 84910 & 22068 & 6648 & 69 & 16069 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2007} & 1 & 4 & & 2 & & -1 & -1 & 100 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 5307 & 73224 & 135809 & 460583 & 124606 & 139879 & 79978 & 69214 & \\
\hline & 33191 & 65868 & 68599 & 11131 & 9034 & 5486 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2008} & 1 & &  & \[
2
\] & 1 & -1 & -1 & 100 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 1208 & 991 & 175402 & 545960 & 401231 & 456312 & 143871 & 147881 & 40719 & \\
\hline & 57341 & 17882 & 35092 & 12669 & 5518 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2009} & & & & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 100 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 315 & 335 & 119979 & 282754 & 473020 & 238022 & 408951 & 100487 & 200417 & \\
\hline & & & 32657 & 55506 & 33537 & 23529 & & & & \\
\hline & & & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 100 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 717 & 1962 & 39409 & 221063 & 515711 & 411737 & 437222 & 200328 & 172430 & \\
\hline & 109342 & 75421 & 46461 & 21880 & 4806 & 16480 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2011} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 100 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 6087 & 172404 & 252236 & 312186 & 303804 & 314164 & 125800 & \\
\hline & 89188 & 34465 & 28352 & 12942 & 5585 & 337 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2012} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 100 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 406 & 14357 & 65157 & 262593 & 346334 & 308183 & 264012 & 214803 & \\
\hline & 83939 & 50701 & 24784 & 8470 & 3191 & 1583 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2013} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 100 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 60 & 569 & 52216 & 96064 & 609903 & 377156 & 367869 & 481247 & \\
\hline & 245982 & 158757 & 43008 & 21825 & 14812 & 11520 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2014} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 100 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 603 & 6846 & 11735 & 123435 & 149938 & 133129 & 143241 & 39242 & \\
\hline & 39476 & 12679 & 7347 & 3067 & 198 & 0 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2015} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 100 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 47 & 1394 & 20917 & 116939 & 139446 & 125305 & 191220 & 88543 & 67528 & \\
\hline & 24658 & 17551 & 5046 & 5387 & 431 & 428 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2016} & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 100 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 24 & 565 & 3419 & 23364 & 25335 & 22790 & 29076 & 38383 & 26822 & \\
\hline & 18455 & 4964 & 3114 & 1866 & 381 & 429 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1986} & 1 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 15 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0.27 & 4.26 & 1.31 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1987} & 1 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 17 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0.05 & 0.28 & 2.27 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1989} & 1 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & , & & 0 \\
\hline & 6.68 & 0.37 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & - & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1990} & 1 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & & & & 0 \\
\hline & 2.81 & 1.15 & 0.02 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1991} & 1 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 1 & & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 3.08 & 0.21 & 0.03 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1992} & 1 & 7 & 0 & 0 & & & -1 & 35 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0.95 & 18.59 & 0.16 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1993} & 1 & 7 & 0 & 0 & & -1 & -1 & 35 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 6.65 & 3.59 & 4.39 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1994} & 1 & & & & 1 & -1 & -1 & 35 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 3.33 & 1.84 & 29 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{1995} & & & & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 36 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & & 0.72 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\
\hline & & & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 34 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 5.52 & 0.43 & 0.11 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1997} & 1 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 35 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 33.62 & 4.52 & 0.06 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1998} & 1 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 36 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 1.22 & 5.5 & 0.61 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1999} & 1 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 33 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 19.37 & 0.67 & 0.87 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2000} & 1 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 36 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 6.07 & 11.35 & 0.03 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



\section*{Appendix 3}

Content of Stock Synthesis Starter file (Starter.SS) used at WKBASS 2018.
\#V3.24u
\#C Bass initial assessment
BassIVVII.dat
BassIVVII.ctl
0 \# \(0=\) use init values in control file; \(1=\) use ss3.par
1 \# run display detail ( \(0,1,2\) )
1 \# detailed age-structured reports in REPORT.SSO \((0,1)\)
0 \# write detailed checkup.sso file \((0,1)\)
4 \# write parm values to ParmTrace.sso ( \(0=\) no, \(1=\) good,active; \(2=\) good,all; \(3=\) every_iter,all_parms; 4=every,active)
1 \# write to cumreport.sso ( \(0=\) =no, \(1=\) like\&time-series; \(2=\) add survey fits)
1 \# Include prior_like for non-estimated parameters \((0,1)\)
1 \# Use Soft Boundaries to aid convergence ( 0,1 ) (recommended)
2 \# Number of datafiles to produce: 1st is input, 2nd is estimates, 3rd and higher are bootstrap
8 \# Turn off estimation for parameters entering after this phase
10 \# MCeval burn interval
8 \# MCeval thin interval
0 \# jitter initial parm value by this fraction
-1 \# min yr for sdreportoutputs (-1 for styr)
-2 \# max yr for sdreport outputs ( -1 for endyr; -2 for endyr+Nforecastyrs
0 \# N individual STD years
\#vector of year values
.0001 \# final convergence criteria (e.g. 1.0e-04)
0 \# retrospective year relative to end year (e.g. -4)
0 \# min age for calc of summary biomass
1 \# Depletion basis: denom is: 0=skip; 1=rel X*B0; 2=rel X*Bmsy; 3=rel X*B_styr
1.0 \# Fraction (X) for Depletion denominator (e.g. 0.4)

2 \# SPR_report_basis: \(0=\) skip; \(1=(1-S P R) /\left(1-S P R \_t g t\right) ; 2=(1-S P R) /\left(1-S P R \_M S Y\right) ; 3=(1-S P R) /(1-\)
SPR_Btarget); 4=rawSPR
4 \# F_report_units: 0=skip; 1=exploitation(Bio); 2=exploitation(Num); 3=sum(Frates); 4=true F for range of ages

415 \#COND 1015 \#_min and max age over which average F will be calculated with F_reporting \(=4\)

0 \# F_report_basis: 0=raw; 1=F/Fspr; 2=F/Fmsy ; 3=F/Fbtgt
999 \# check value for end of file

\section*{Appendix 4}

Content of Stock Synthesis Forecast file (Forecast.SS) used at WKBASS 2018. This is not used for creating forecasts yet, but has to be available.
\#V3.24U
\# for all year entries except rebuilder; enter either: actual year, -999 for styr, 0 for endyr, neg number for rel. endyr

1 \# Benchmarks: \(0=\) skip; \(1=\) calc F_spr,F_btgt,F_msy
2 \# MSY: 1 = set to F(SPR); 2=calc F(MSY); 3=set to F(Btgt); 4=set to F(endyr)
0.35 \# SPR target (e.g. 0.40)
0.4 \# Biomass target (e.g. 0.40)
\#_Bmark_years: beg_bio, end_bio, beg_selex, end_selex, beg_relF, end \(\begin{aligned} & \text { elF (enter actial year, or }\end{aligned}\) values of 0 or -integer to be rel. endyr)

\section*{000000}
\# 201520152015201520152015 \# after processing
1 \#Bmark_relF_Basis: 1 = use year range; 2 = set relF same as forecast below

1 \# Forecast: \(0=\) none; \(1=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{SPR}) ; 2=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{MSY}) 3=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{Btgt}) ; 4=\) Ave F (uses first-last relF yrs); \(5=\) input annual F scalar

1 \# N forecast years
1 \# F scalar (only used for Do_Forecast==5)
\#_Fcast_years: beg_selex, end_selex, beg_rell, end_relF (enter actual year, or values of 0 or integer to be rel. endyr
-40-40
\# 201120152011 2015 \# after processing
1 \# Control rule method ( \(1=\) catch \(=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{SSB})\) west coast; \(2=\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{SSB})\) )
0.4 \# Control rule Biomass level for constant F (as frac of Bzero, e.g. 0.40 ); (Must be > the no F level below)
Q.1 \# Control rute Biomass level for no F (as frac of Bzero, e.g. 0.10)
0.75 \# Control rule target as fraction of Flimit (e.g. 0.75)

3 \#_N forecast loops ( \(1=\) OFL only; \(2=\mathrm{ABC} ; 3=\) get F from forecast ABC catch with allocations applied)

3 \#_First forecast loop with stochastic recruitment
0 \#_Forecast loop control \#3 (reserved for future bells\&whistles)
0 \#_Forecast loop control \#4 (reserved for future bells\&whistles)
0 \#_Forecast loop control \#5 (reserved for future bells\&whistles)
2016 \#FirstYear for caps and allocations (should be after years with fixed inputs)
0 \# stddev of \(\log\) (realized catch/target catch) in forecast (set value>0.0 to cause active impl_error)
0 \# Do West Coast gfish rebuilder output (0/1)
-1 \# Rebuilder: first year catch could have been set to zero (Ydecl)(-1 to set to 1999)
-1 \# Rebuilder: year for current age structure (Yinit) ( -1 to set to endyear+1)

1 \# fleet relative F: 1=use first-last alloc year; \(2=\) read seas(row) \(\times\) fleet(col) below
\# Note that fleet allocation is used directly as average F if Do_Forecast=4
2 \# basis for fcast catch tuning and for fcast catch caps and allocation ( \(2=\) deadbio; \(3=\) retainbio; 5=deadnum; 6=retainnum)
\# Conditional input if relative F choice \(=2\)
\# Fleet relative F: rows are seasons, columns are fleets
\#_Fleet: UKOTB_Nets Lines UKMWT French Other RecFish
\# 0.2092880 .04132690 .006550290 .4094760 .09549930 .23786
\# max totalcatch by fleet (-1 to have no max) must enter value for each fleet
\(-1-1-1-1-1-1\)
\# max totalcatch by area (-1 to have no max); must enter value for each
\# fleet assignment to allocation group (enter group ID\# for each fleet, 0 for not included in an alloc group)

000000
\#_Conditional on \(>1\) allocation group
\# allocation fraction for each of: 0 allocation group
\# no allocation groups
0 \# Number of forecast catch levels to input (else calc catch from forecast F)
-1 \# code means to read fleet/time specific basis (2=dead catch; 3=retained catch; 99=F)
```


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Region 1: All waters which lie to the north and west of a line running from a point at latitude $48^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, longitude $18^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$; thence due north to latitude $60^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$; thence due east to longitude $5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$; thence due north to latitude $60^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$; thence due east to longitude $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$; thence due north to latitude $64^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$; thence due east to the coast of Norway.

[^1]:    * Preliminary.

[^2]:    The removal of these surveys has negligible impact on the estimated stock trends.

