

## Stock Annex: Turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) in subdivisions 22–32 (Baltic Sea)

---

Stock specific documentation of standard assessment procedures used by ICES.

<b>Stock:</b>	Turbot ( <i>Scophthalmus maximus</i> ) in the Baltic Sea
<b>Working Group:</b>	Baltic Fisheries Assessment Working Group (WGBFAS)
<b>Last updated:</b>	October 2019
<b>Revised by:</b>	Sven Stötera (WGBFAS)
<b>Main modifications:</b>	Updates in text; Information about historical surveys was removed; Standardized effort calculation method added.

---

### A. General

#### A.1. Stock definition

Lack of available information for turbot did not allow identifying stock structure for this species (ICES, 2010). The turbot is a coastal species commonly occurring from Skagerrak up to the Sea of Åland (Florin, 2005). Turbot spawns in shallow waters (10–40 m, 10–15 m in central Baltic) and the metamorphosing postlarvae migrate close to shore to shallow water (down to one meter depth) (Plikšs and Aleksejevs, 1998; Ojaveer *et al.*, 2003; Florin, 2005). Turbot fishery is mainly carried out in the westerly parts of the Baltic Sea, SD 22–26, and mean annual landings amounts to less than 500 tonnes during the 2000s (ICES, 2010).

For turbot genetic data show no structure within the Baltic Sea (Nielsen *et al.*, 2004; Florin and Höglund, 2007), although Nielsen *et al.* (2004) discovered a difference between Baltic Sea and Kattegat with an hybrid zone in SD 22. However, phenotypic parameters (morphometry of spermatozoa) suggests that at least two local turbot population in the southern Baltic Sea exist, one in SDs 24–25 and the second in SD 26 (Gosz *et al.*, 2010). Spawning site fidelity of this species confirms there is high possibility of creating local (at least phenotypic based) stocks of turbot. Three different tagging studies from three different parts of the Baltic Sea all show that turbot have high spawning area fidelity and that 95% of the fishes move less than 30 km from tagging site and few individuals show a displacements of more than 100 km (Johansen, 1916; Aneer and Westin, 1990; Florin and Franzen, 2010). The study from Bornholm area (Johansen, 1916) is very small, however, and no information is available from the eastern part of the Baltic Sea, hence it is possible that turbot stocks in these areas behave in a different way.

To be able to elucidate the stock structure of turbot in the Baltic there is a need for tagging studies also from the southern and eastern parts. The investigations on spermatozoa morphometry should be checked for environmental influence and preferably sampling would be done also in the northern part of the Baltic Sea. Studies on salinity requirements for reproduction as well as phenotypic data including growth rate from different parts of the Baltic could be of great value. In addition there is still a lack of knowledge on larval exchange between different parts of the Baltic.

Additional information has been available based on the international coordinated Baltic International Trawl Survey (BITS) since 2001 where standard gear is applied and common survey design is used. Spatial distributions of turbot during BITS suggest that the turbot stock SD 22–32 is probably related with turbot in SD 21 (Figure 1, spatial distribution of turbot during BITS in quarter 4 2001).

Biological and fishery data of turbot for the Baltic Sea were available from different national fishery parameters like catch volume, discard volume, discard length distribution, discard age distribution, length distribution - commercial catches, length distribution - survey, catch at age in numbers, weight at age in the catch, fishing effort, commercial catch per unit of effort are available by quarter and subdivision for different periods (ICES, 2010).




Figure 1. Spatial distribution of turbot during BITS in quarter 4 2001. CPUE of turbot is given in catch in number per hour in units of TVL with Conversion Factor between TVS and TVL=1.4.

## A.2. Fishery

Catches are mainly taken as bycatch, and this stock is currently not regulated by a TAC. Fishing effort of active and passive gears are slightly fluctuating with a slight decreasing trend.

Landings of less than 250 tonnes were reported before 1986 with decreasing proportion of landings in SD 24 (from ~90% to ~30%) (Figure 2). Landings increased in the following years to more than 1000 tonnes between 1993 and 1997 (1998, 807 t). Since 1999, the landings have been lower than 600 t with relative stable values around 250–300 t from 2006 onwards with increasing importance of the area SD 25–32. Denmark and Germany are the main fishing countries in the Western Baltic. Poland, Russia, and Sweden are the main fishing countries in the Eastern Baltic. Historically, landings have regularly exceeding the advised catches by ICES.




Figure 2. Landings of turbot (tonnes) by ICES subdivisions from 1970–2014

Estimates of discards are available from all countries from 2012 onwards. Data shows a high variability of the relation between landings. Due to the low sampling coverage of the discarded catch fraction, the estimates are considered too imprecise to be used for advice.

## B. Data

### B.1. Commercial catch

Only landings by countries and ICES subdivisions are available (i.e. no discard data available). Therefore the commercial catch data was not used for stock assessment.

### B.2. Biological

Parameters such as catch volume, discard volume, discard length, and age distribution, length distribution and fishing effort are available from the commercial fishery. Data on catch-at-age in numbers, weight-at-age in the catch, commercial catch per unit of effort are available by quarter and subdivision from the Baltic International Trawl Survey (BITS) since 2001.

### B.3. Surveys (BITS in quarter 1 and 4)

National bottom trawl surveys were conducted in the Baltic Sea between 1978 and 2000 in quarter 1 and quarter 4. However, large parts of ICES SD 22 were not be covered by the surveys. BITS was established in 2001 and is coordinated by WGBIFS. The design of the survey uses random selected station taken from a Tow Database. Small and larger versions of standard gear (TVS and TVL), which are adapted to the different sizes of research vessels were used and conversion factors were estimated based in inter-calibration experiments to transfer the catch per unit effort data of TVS into units of TVL. The mesh size in the cod end of the standard gears is 10 cm suggesting that the catchability of turbot larger than 11 cm is not influenced by the cod end mesh size if it is assumed that the selectivity characteristics of turbot and flounder are comparable (Oeberst, 2007). Turbot was observed from SD 22 to SD 28. Highest cpue values are

usually registered between 10 m and 60 m in depth. Determination of age is realized for all BITS sampled specimen.

### C. Assessment: data and method

Turbot in the Baltic Sea is evaluated as a data limited stock for which survey-based assessments indicate trends (ICES DLS approach, ICES 2012). Advice is given for a 3-year period. However, an annual update on the stock status and the proxy reference points is given in the respective annual WGBFAS report.

*Model used:* Data Limited Stock Category 3.2. Stock trend model based on scientific surveys

*Model Options and input data types and characteristics:*

Turbot is assessed as a data limited stock (DLS) by a stock size indicator.

The stock size indicator is a combined abundance index of turbot equal to or larger than 20 cm, from the Baltic International Trawl Survey (BITS–Q1 and Q4) in subdivisions 22–28. The individual indices are weighted averages per depth stratum area and the two indices are combined using the geometric mean. A conversion factor of 1.4 was used to transfer the cpue values of the small standard gear (TVS) into units of the large standard gear (TVL).

No reference points are defined for this stock.

### D. References

- Aneer G., and Westin, L. 1990. Migration of turbot (*Psetta maxima* L.) in the northern Baltic proper. *Fisheries Research*, 9: 307–315.
- Florin, A.-B. 2005. Flatfishes in the Baltic sea - a review of biology and fishery with a focus on Swedish conditions. *Finno*, 2005: 1-56.
- Florin, A.-B., and Höglund, J. 2007. Absence of population structure of turbot (*Psetta maxima*) in the Baltic Sea. *Mol Ecol*, 16: 115–126.
- Florin, A.-B., and Franzén, F. 2010. Spawning site fidelity in Baltic Sea turbot (*Psetta maxima*). *Fish Res*, 102: 207–213.
- Gosz, E., Mirny, Z., Horbowy, J., and Ziętara, M.S. 2010. Morphometry of turbot spermatozoa in relation to the location and time of capture during the spawning season. *J Appl Ichthyol*, 26: 784–788.
- ICES. 2010. Report of the ICES/HELCOM Workshop on Flatfish in the Baltic Sea (WKFLABA). ICES CM 2012/ACOM:68. 90 pp.
- Johansen, A.C. 1916. Marking experiments with sole (*Solea vulgaris* Quensel) and turbot (*Rhombus maximus* L.) in the Kattegat and Baltic waters. *Meddelelser fra Kommissionen for Havundersøgelser, Serie: Fiskeri ; Bind V, Nr. 3*: 1–18.
- Nielsen, E.E., Nielsen, P.H., Meldrup, D., and Hansen, M.M. 2004. Genetic population structure of turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus* L.) supports the presence of multiple hybrid zones for ma-rine fishes in the transition zone between the Baltic Sea and the North Sea. *Mol Ecol*, 13: 585–595.
- Ojaveer, E., Pihu, E., and Saat, T. (eds). 2003. *Fishes of Estonia*. Estonian Academy Publishers, Tallinn.
- Plikšs, M., and Aleksejevs, E. 1998. *Zivis. Ser. Latvijas daba*. Gandrs. Riga, 304. (in Latvian).