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## 1. REPORT OF THE WORKING GROUP ON FISH STOCKS AT THE FAROES, 1974

### 1.1 PARTİCIPANTS

The Working Group met at Charlottenlund Slot ll-l5 February 1974 with the following participants:

| Mr N Daan | Netherlands |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mr K Hoydal (Chairman) | Faroe Islands |
| Mr B W Jones | U.K. (England) |
| Mr R Jones | U.K. (Scotland) |
| Dr H H Reinsch | F.R.G. |
| Mr O Smedstad | Norway |

Mr D de G Griffith, ICES Statistician, also took part in the meeting.

### 1.2 TERMS OF REFERENCE

At the 6lst Statutory Meeting of ICES a resolution (C.Res.1973/2:7) was passed recommending the establishment of a Working Group on Fish Stocks at the Faroes, to undertake a study of the state of the demersal fish stocks in the Faroes region. The species mainly referred to in this report are cod, haddock, saithe, blue ling, redfish, lemon sole, halibut and plaice.
1.3. ADMINISTRATIVE MEASURES AFFECTING THE FISHERY

A three-mile limit was in operation until 1959 with an adjustment due to a change in the base lines established by agreement with effect from 1 July 1955. From 27 April 1959 non-Faroese vessels were excluded from a six-mile zone, and during certain seasons of the year also from three areas between six and twelve miles which were reserved for line fishing only. From l March 1964 non-Faroese vessels' rights to fish in any part of the six-to-twelve-mile zone were withdrawn, and a new twelve-mile limit was redrawn from base lines running from headland to headland.
This effectively has meant a ban on trawl fishing inside the twelvemile limit, with the exception that in 1971 and 1973 a licensed trawl fishery by Faroese boats under 60 GRT has been allowed in the summer period.
Through the "Arrangement Relating to Fisheries in Waters Surrounding the Faroes", certain areas are to be closed seasonally to trawl fishing. At present little can be said about how this will affect the fishing pattern and the fishing mortality in the stock.
In the early sixties, the mimimum trawl mesh size (for single braided manila) was increased to 80 mm . This was increased to 100 mm with effect from 1 January 1967, and further to 110 mm with effect from I January 1970. With effect from 1 January 1974 the mesh size was increased to 130 mm .
1.4 STATE OF STOCKS IN THE FAROE AREA
1.4.1 COD
1.4.1.1 Introduction

There are two separate stocks of cod at Faroe, the main one on Faroe Plateau and a much smaller stock on Faroe Bank. All evidence indicate that the two stocks are self-contained with no mixing between the stocks or with stocks outside the Faroe area.

The Plateau stock is by far the more important and contributes the greater part of the catches from the Faroe area (Table 7.l.2). For this reas on the assessments have been concentrated on the Plateau stock. Data for the Bank stock are less reliable and small errors in the division of catches between the two stocks result in bigg errors for the Bank stock but negligible errors for the Plateau stock.

## 1.4.l.2 Trends in catch, effort and catch per unit effort

Since 1950 total landings from the ICES statistical Division Vb (Table 7.l.a) have fluctuated between 23000 tons and 39000 tons, with an average of 30000 tons. In earlier years, landings of up to 45000 tons were recorded.

Fishing effort (Table 7.1.3) tended to increase in the post-war period, with a maximum in the years 1960-61. This increase in fishing effort was accompanied by a decline in catch rates which reached a minimum level in 1962. Catch rates subsequently improved as the amount of fishing reduced.

### 1.4.1.3 Estimates of mortality rates (Plateau stock)

Fishing mortality coefficients were estimated from Virtual Population
Analysis (VPA) and estimates of coefficients of total mortality were available from age composition data per unit fishing effort from English landings.

Data for the VPA were based on age compositions of landings by English, Scottish and Faroese vessels. The Faroese data were not available for Plateau and Bank separately, and it was assumed that $80 \%$ of Faroese landings came from the Plateau. Numbers of fish landed in each age group for England, Scotland and Faroe were summed and then raised to the landings for all countries combined (Table 4.1.1).

Analyses were made using values for the coefficient of natural mortality (M) of 0.2 and 0.3 . Estimates of fishing mortality coefficients from the analyses are given in Tables 4.1.2 and 4.1.3, where the assumed values of $F$ in the oldest age group of each year class are also indicated. The trend in average for age groups 5 to 8 is what would be expected from the trend in fishing effort over the same period. Maximum values of $F$ were obtained in 1960 and 1961 when fishing effort reached its highest level. Subsequently $F$ values decreased with a smaller increase again in recent years.
The relationship between fishing mortality and fishing effort has been examined in more detail in Figure l. The fishing mortality coefficients (for $M=0.2$ ) have been estimated for each country separately according to the ratios of the numbers of fish in the catches. The resultant values of $F$ were averaged for each year (age groups 4-7 England, 3-7 Scotland and 5-8 Faroe) and average F was then plotted against fishing effort for each country separately. The same effort units were used for English and Scottish effort and a geometric mean regression line has been fitted. The correlation is significant at the $95 \%$ level and the intercept is close to zero. The correlation for the Faroese fishery is not so good, probably due to the difficulty in estimating fishing effort in the line fishery.

A calculation of yield per recruit was made for each country's fishery separately for values of $F$ at each age averaged for the period 1968-70 (Tables 4.1 .4 and 4.1.5). The weight at age data used were derived from the mean length of age groups
in the English landings converted to weight in $k g$ using the relationship $W=L^{3} x$ 10-5. With an overall yield per recruit of 1.45 kg an average recruitment of 23.9 million one-year-olds would be required to provide total average landings of 34584 tons. From the VPA the estimated average year class strength for the appropriate year classes $(1962-66)$ is 21.7 million.
In Table 4.1 .6 estimates of the coefficient of total mortality (Z) calculated from annual age compositions per unit effort for the English fishery can be compared with values of $Z(=F+M)$ from the VPA.

### 1.4.1.4 Recruitment and year class strength

Estimates of year class strength as the numbers of one-year-old fish are given in Table 4.l.7. Year classes 1960 to 1966 showed little variation in abundance with the exception of the very poor 1963 year class. The 1958 and 1959 year classes were of lower abundance. In recent years the data suggest that year classes from 1967 onwards have been of very low abundance. It should be remembered, however, that estimates of year class strength in the most recent years will be in error if incorrect values were assumed for fishing mortality in 1972 in the VPA.

### 1.4.1.5 Growth

Von Bertalanffy growth parameters were calculated for the Plateau and Bank stocks using mean length at age data from English landings and a least squares fit of the growth curve. The calculated values are given in Table 7.1.5.
1.4.1.6 Yield per recruit and age at first capture

Yield in weight per recruit was calculated using the Beverton and Holt constant parameter model with the growth parameters given in Table 7.1 .5 and a natural mortality coefficient of 0.2 . The results plotted as yield curves are shown in Figure 2.
Results of the VPA estimates of fishing mortality indicate that full exploitation in the fisheries of the Plateau stock may not be reached until about 7 years of age. Cod are caught first in the Scottish fishery where the full exploitation rate is reached at about 3 years old. In the English fishery the full rate of exploitation is not reached until about 4 years. The equivalent age for the Faroese fishery is about 7 years. Thus fishing mortality increases with age over the range of 1 to 7 years. The equivalent mean age at first capture as used in the Beverton and Holt equation would thus be in the range of $3-4$ years. For a mean age at first capture of 3.5 years, the maximum yield per recruit is obtained at $F=0.4$ for the Plateau stock. The mean value of $F$ in the exploited phase as estimated from VPA is about 0.5 and for this level of $F$ the theoretical yield per recruit of 1.62 kg is about $1 \%$ below maximum. (This can be compared with the value of 1.45 kg per recruit obtained by the variable $F$ model).

For the Bank stock, which has a faster growth rate, optimum age at first capture for any given value of $F$ is lower than for the Plateau stock.

### 1.4.1.7 Mesh change assessment

The effect on catches of the change in trawl cod end mesh size from 110 mm to 130 mm was calculated using a modification of the Gulland
method developed by Mr K P Andersen. The method checks the assumptions on growth parameters and selection and recruitment curves, and states if they are consistent with the catches observed. Furthermore, it gives the changes in the fishery through the transition period after a change in selectivity, until a new equilibrium has been reached. All the computations were performed by Mr K P Andersen. The Working Group is indebted to Mr Andersen for his keen work on the mesh assessment problem, and hopes that a full description of the method and programmes involved will be made available to all those interested. The calculation used the same selection curve for both English and Scottish trawlers. Logistic curves were used to describe the normal selection ogives, and in addition a reverse logistic curve was applied to allow for the oldest fish not being available to the trawlers.
The results of the assessments indicate that the immediate effect would be a loss of about $4 \%$ in weight for the trawl fisheries with no change for the Faroese long-liners. The long-term effect would be no change for the trawl fisheries, a $4 \%$ gain for the Faroese long-line fishery with an overall net gain of $2 \%$. The results are consistent with what would be expected from earlier assessments (Anon., 1967). Table 4.1.8 gives some indication of the changes in the transition period until the new stable situation is reached.
Coincident with the introduction of the larger mesh size in 1974 will be the commencement of new regulatory measures for the Faroe fisheries. In addition to limiting catches, certain areas will be closed to trawlers at certain times of year. The system of closed areas will result in a major change in pattern of trawl fishing. Trawlers will be unable to work many of their traditional grounds at the preferred times of year. Such changes in the seasonal distribution of the trawl fleets are bound to have an effect on their catches and catch composition. In these circumstances it is likely to be impossible to distinguish any mesh change effects from the effects of changes in the pattern of fishing. Over the past history of the fishery a change in the distribution of fishing of comparable magnitude was the introduction of the 12 -mile limit in 1964. One of the results of this change was a reduction in fishing mortality on the younger age groups of cod and haddock and this is clearly seen in the results of the VPA.
With recruitment at an average level a total allowable catch (TAC) of 30000 tons, as was adopted in the "Arrangement Relating to Fisheries in Waters Surrounding the Faroes", would be consistent with the present level of exploitation. It has been mentioned in an earlier section that the year classes 1967 and onwards appear to be well below average abundance. Estimates for these recent years, however, could be subject to error if the values assumed for $F$ in 1972 used in the VPA were incorrect. If in fact there is a series of poor year classes recruiting to the fishery a lower TAC would be advisable.

Table 4.1.1 Faroe Plateau Cod.
Total catch by all countries (thousands of fish)
in each age group used for VPA.

| Year <br> class | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1949 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| 1950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 38 |  |
| 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 61 | 40 |  |
| 1952 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 207 | 131 | 29 | 5 |  |
| 1953 |  |  |  |  |  | 200 | 171 | 78 | 22 | 2 |  |
| 1954 |  |  |  |  | 1731 | 876 | 372 | 94 | 30 | 1.4 |  |
| 1955 |  |  |  | 858 | 513 | 232 | 93 | 48 | 41 | 7 |  |
| 1956 |  |  | 4239 | 2574 | 1066 | 481 | 204 | 79 | 63 | 42 |  |
| 1957 |  | 2002 | 4027 | 1331 | 855 | 284 | 158 | 48 | 33 | 27 |  |
| 1958 | 331 | 4728 | 2686 | 1255 | 662 | 350 | 155 | 104 | 27 | 45 |  |
| 1959 | 859 | 3093 | 2500 | 1280 | 630 | 363 | 197 | 64 | 11 | 3 |  |
| 1960 | 1223 | 4424 | 3958 | 2300 | 1416 | 606 | 309 | 105 | 92 | 40 |  |
| 1961 | 815 | 4110 | 3021 | 2564 | 1339 | 847 | 452 | 203 | 44 | 71 |  |
| 1962 | 1181 | 2033 | 3230 | 2080 | 1706 | 1226 | 713 | 300 | 179 | 25 |  |
| 1963 | 122 | 852 | 970 | 860 | 945 | 477 | 244 | 114 | 25 |  |  |
| 1964 | 162 | 1337 | 2690 | 2663 | 1538 | 752 | 510 | 154 |  |  |  |
| 1965 | 53 | 1609 | 3322 | 3300 | 1685 | 1451 | 596 |  |  |  |  |
| 1966 | 127 | 1529 | 3106 | 2172 | 1287 | 1021 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1967 | 34 | 878 | 1163 | 821 | 596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1968 | 68 | 402 | 757 | 810 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1969 | 35 | 328 | 1176 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 78 | 875 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Derived from English, Scottish and Faroese catch in numbers. Faroese catch on Plateau estimated as 0.8 x total Vb .

Table 4.1.2
Faroe Plateau Cod.
Estimates of fishing mortality coefficients from VPA analysis ( $M=0.2$ ).

| Year | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | . 02 | . 06 | . 05 | . 04 | . 05 | . 01 | . 01 | . 00 | . 01 | . 00 | . 01 | . 00 | . 01 |  |
| 2 | . 18 | . 45 | . 34 | . 28 | . 25 | . 12 | . 12 | . 09 | . 08 | . 10 | . 13 | . 07 | . 05 | . 1 |
| 3 | . 49 | . 68 | . 50 | . 50 | . 42 | . 30 | . 27 | . 20 | . 26 | . 24 | . 29 | . 26 | . 18 | . 25 |
| 4 | . 48 | .63 | . 50 | . 47 | . 53 | . 47 | . 44 | . 28 | . 27 | . 44 | . 39 | . 34 | . 29 | . 3 |
| 5 | . 65 | . 60 | . 59 | . 70 | . 48 | . 54 | . 60 | . 44 | . 39 | . 55 | . 50 | . 35 | . 34 | . 35 |
| 6 | . 43 | . 82 | . 61 | . 59 | . 54 | . 51 | . 69 | . 56 | . 56 | . 54 | . 60 | . 49 | . 58 | . 5 |
| 7 | . 68 | . 83 | 1.07 | . 52 | . 53 | . 65 | . 45 | 1.08 | . 62 | . 66 | . 71 | . 71 | . 72 | . 5 |
| 8 | . 29 | 1.36 | 1.27 | . 91 | . 57 | . 41 | . 42 | . 63 | 1.46 | . 44 | . 73 | . 76 | . 90 | . 5 |
| 9 | . 16 | . 68 | 1.54 | 2.06 | . 86 | 1.54 | . 67 | . 58 | . 33 | 1.20 | . 90 | . 33 | 1.70 | . 5 |
| 10+* | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 - |
| Average 5-8 years | . 51 | - 90 | . 89 | . 69 | . 53 | . 53 | - 54 | . 68 | . 76 | . 55 | . 64 | . 58 | . 64 |  |

* Values of $F$ shown for 1972 and for age group lo+ are assumed values.

Table 4.1.3 Faroe Plateau Cod.
Estimates of fishing mortality coefficients from VPA analysis ( $M=0.3$ ).

| Year | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.01 | 0.002 | 0.01 |  |
| 2 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.08 |
| 3 | 0.40 | 0.56 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0.34 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.2 |
| 4 | 0.40 | 0.52 | 0.41 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.24 |
| 5 | 0.56 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.46 | 0.40 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.28 |
| 6 | 0.38 | 0.72 | 0.51 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 0.40 | 0.62 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 0.39 | 0.46 | 0.4 |
| 7 | 0.61 | 0.75 | 0.94 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.35 | 0.98 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.62 | 0.60 | 0.59 | 0.4 |
| 8 | 0.23 | 1.24 | 1.17 | 0.77 | 0.50 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.49 | 1.31 | 0.37 | 0.57 | 0.68 | 0.74 | 0.4 |
| 9 | 0.12 | 0.55 | 1.32 | 1.81 | 0.70 | 0.13 | 0.54 | 0.46 | 0.25 | 1.01 | 0.74 | 0.26 | 1.47 | 0.4 |
| 10+* | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Average 5-8 years | 0.45 | 0.80 | 0.78 | 0.57 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.57 | 0.65 | 0.46 | 0.52 | 0.49 | 0.51 |  |

* Values of $F$ shown for 1972 and for age group 10+ are assumed values.

Table 4.1.4 Faroe Plateau Cod.
Estimates of average fishing mortality coefficients for the period 1968-70, subdivided between the main countries.

| Age <br> group | Average fishing mortality 1968-70 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | England | Scotland | Faroe |
| 1 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 |
| 2 | .10 | .02 | .06 | .01 |
| 3 | .26 | .05 | .13 | .05 |
| 4 | .39 | .07 | .14 | .13 |
| 5 | .47 | .07 | .11 | .24 |
| 6 | .54 | .06 | .09 | .32 |
| 7 | .69 | .09 | .14 | .35 |
| 8 | .64 | .07 | .10 | .40 |
| 9 | $(.7)$ | $(.09)$ | $.13)$ | .51 |
| $10+$ |  |  | $.11)$ | $(44)$ |

Table 4.1.5 Faroe Plateau Cod.
Estimates of yield per recruit taken by main countries.

| Age |  | Total |  |  |  | Yield | in weigh |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| group | N | F | $F / Z\left(1-e^{-2}\right)$ | W | E | S | F | Total |
| 1 | 1000 | . 00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 819 | . 10 | . 086 | . 98 | 13.8 | 41.4 | 6.9 | 69.0 |
| 3 | 607 | . 26 | . 208 | 1.93 | 46.3 | 121.8 | 46.3 | 243.6 |
| 4 | 383 | . 39 | . 295 | 3.10 | 63.1 | 126.1 | 115.6 | 350.3 |
| 5 | 212 | . 47 | . 343 | 4.12 | 44.9 | 68.9 | 152.8 | 297.5 |
| 6 | 109 | . 54 | . 382 | 5.18 | 23.7 | 36.6 | 127.1 | 215.5 |
| 7 | 52 | . 69 | . 457 | 6.38 | 19.7 | 30.4 | 77.4 | 151.8 |
| 8 | 21 | . 64 | . 433 | 7.66 | 7.7 | 11.2 | 43.9 | 69.7 |
| 9 | 9 | . 81 | . 510 | 8.52 | $4 \cdot 3$ | 6.3 | 24.7 | 39.2 |
| 10+ | 3 | . 7 | . 462 | 9.27 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 8.2 | 13.0 |
| Yield per recruit (kg) |  |  |  |  | 0.225 | 0.445 | 0.603 | 1.450 |
| Average landings 1968-70 (tons) |  |  |  |  | 5840 | 10188 | 14909 | 34584 |

Table 4.1.6 Faroe Plateau Cod.
Comparison of estimates and coefficients of total mortality (Z) from English catch per unit effort data and from VPA analysis.

| From catch per unit effort Average 1967/68-1971/72 |  | From VPA analysis <br> Average 1967-71 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group | z | Age group | z |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{M}=0.2$ | $\mathrm{M}=0.3$ |
|  |  | 4 | 0.55 | 0.57 |
| 4-5 | 0.48 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5 | 0.63 | 0.64 |
| 5-6 | 0.74 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 6-7 | 0.72 |  |  |  |
| 7-8 |  | 7 | 0.88 | 0.87 |
|  | 1.03 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8 | 1.06 | 1.03 |

Table 4.1.7 Faroe Plateau Cod.
Estimates of year class strength as the numbers of one-year-old fish from VPA.

| Year class | Stock size (millions) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{M}=0.2$ | $\mathrm{M}=0.3$ |
| 1958 | 17.7 | 24.7 |
| 1959 | 15.4 | 21.0 |
| 1960 | 26.0 | 36.8 |
| 1961 | 25.6 | 37.8 |
| 1962 | 26.4 | 40.6 |
| 1963 | 10.0 | 15.7 |
| 1964 | 21.3 | 33.0 |
| 1965 | 28.2 | 45.3 |
| 1966 | 22.5 | 36.0 |
| 1967 | 9.7 | 15.5 |
| 1968 | 8.1 | 13.0 |
| 1969 | 9.2 | 14.2 |
|  | 15.2 | 17.8 |

Table 4.1.8 Faroe Cod.
Effect of a change of trawl cod end minimum mesh size from 110 to 130 mm .

| Years after change | Percentage change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UK <br> trawlers | Faroese <br> long-liners | Total <br> All gears |
|  | -4 | 0 | -2 |
| 5 | -1 | +2 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | +3 | +2 |
| 15 | 0 | +4 | +2 |

Figure 1. Faroe Plateau Cod. Relationship between annual estimates of the fishing mortality coefficient ( $M=0.2$ ) and fishing effort for Fngland, Scotland and Faroe. Lines fitted by geometric mean regression (Figland and Scotland) and by eye (Faroe).


## Figure 2. Yield per Recruit for different ages at first capture.

 Faroe Plateau and Faroe Bank stock.
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### 1.4.2 HADDOCK <br> 1.4.2.1 Introduction

As in the case of cod, there are stocks of haddock on Faroe Bank and Faroe Plateau that are believed to be independent of each other. Most haddock data have been collected from the Plateau stock and for this reason, as well as because the greater part of the catches come from this area, assessments have been made for this stock only,
Total international landings of haddock have tended to increase in the long term over the period 1924-63. During this period, landings increased from about 10000 tons annually to about 24000 tons annually. Since 1963, landings have decreased and in 1972 they were 16000 tons (Table 7.1.b).
Landings by Scottish vessels have followed a similar trend to the total landings, increasing to a maximum in 1962 and then declining. English landings increased from about 8000 to 13000 tons from 1924-38. After the war, landings decreased from 11000 tons to about 2000 tons from 1946-73. Recorded Faroese landings were negligible before the war, but increased gradually after the war to a maximum of 12000 tons in 1970. Since then Faroese landings have declined.

### 1.4.2.2 Landings per unit effort (Table 7.l.3)

For haddock there have been annual fluctuations, but no significant trend in the landings per unit effort during the past 20 years. Good year classes in 1961 and 1966 accounted for the increase in landings in 1963 and 1969.

### 1.4.2.3 The Virtual Population Analysis

The virtual population analysis has been based on estimates of the numbers of haddock of each age group landed each year by Scottish, English and Faroese vessels fishing at Faroe.

For Scottish vessels, samples for length and age composition have been taken monthly on the Aberdeen fish market since 1950. For English vessels samples for length composition have been taken by the Lowestoft Laboratory for the years 1957-72. Age compositions have been determined for these data using the Scottish age/length keys. For Faroese line vessels, samples for length composition have been supplied by the Fisheries Laboratory, Tరrshavn in 1960, 1961 and 1969. These have been combined and converted into a single age composition using Scottish age/length keys and this has been used to derive an age composition for the Faroese landings for each year from 1957-72.
By combining the numbers landed by Scottish, English and Faroese vessels estimates were made of the total numbers landed at each age by these nations. These are arrayed by year class and age in Table 4.2.1. If required, these can be further raised, so as to be applicable to the landings by all nations, by increasing each number by $7 \%$.
A VPA was done for each year class separately (Tables 4.2 .2 and 4.2.3). These tables show values of $F$ and stock numbers for each year class, arranged by year of capture.

### 1.4.2.4 Mean values of $F$

Inspection of the values of $F$ shows that these vary both with time and age. For the two youngest age groups sampled (i.e. the one and two-year-old fish) values of $F$ tend to be very small due to the fact that these age groups are only partially exploited. For fish more than 6 years of age the values are variable, and in any event unreliable, since these are dependent on the starting values adopted for $F$. For calculating annual values therefore, only the values for 3 to 6 year-old fish have been used, and mean values for these four age groups are shown in Tables 4.2.2 and 4.2.3.
To investigate the relationship between fishing mortality and fishing effort, the annual values of $F$ were plotted against estimates of annual fishing effort. To make this comparison as meaningful as possible, the values of $F$ were first subdivided into estimates of F for each country separately. This was done by subdividing each value on the basis of the proportions of the total landings attributable to each country in each year. These annual values of $F$ were then plotted against the respective national fishing efforts for each country separately.
Some results are shown in Figure 3 based on values of $F$ derived from the VPA assuming $M=0.3$. The relationship between $F$ and effort (f) were found to be highly correlated. The geometric mean regressions were found to be as follows:

| Scotland | $F=.0017$ | $f=0.024$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| England | $F=.0050$ | $f=0.025$ |
| Faroe | $F=.0037$ | $f=0.112$ |

Similar plots were tried starting with values of $F$ from the VPA based on values of $M$ of $0.2,0.4$ and 0.5 . In each case the results appeared similar to those in Figure 3. There appeared to be no good reason for accepting the results based on any one value of $M$ as being better than the others so that no estimate of $M$ could be obtained by this method. It was reassuring, however, to find such good correlations between the national values of $F$ and their respective fishing efforts.

### 1.4.2.5 Mortality rates of haddock

Total instantaneous mortality coefficients ( $Z$ ) have been estimated by various methods and the results are shown in Table 4.2.4. Values based on the landings per unit effort in successive years using Aberdeen and English trawl data, gave values of $Z$ for fish of 3-7 years of age of about 0.6-0.8. Estimates based on VPA were very similar, although they tended to be a little lower for the younger age groups.

### 1.4.2.6 Recruitment

Estimates of year class strength for Faroe haddock are given in Table 4.2.5. These include estimates based on research vessel estimates of haddock in their second year of life. There are also estimates based on the landings per 100 hours' fishing by Aberdeen trawlers of haddock in their fourth year of life. For comparison, absolute estimates are given of year class strength based on the VPA.

Of particular significance in recent years has been the occurrence of a good year class in 1966, followed by a sequence of average or less than average year classes. This has contributed to the decline in total haddock landings since 1969.

### 1.4.2.7 Estimation of growth parameters

Bertalanffy parameters have been calculated for Faroe haddock based on mean lengths of fish and each age landed on the Aberdeen fish market for the period 1950-71. Parameters obtained are given in Table 7.l.5. These values for the various parameters were used in subsequent Beverton and Holt yield per recruit assessments.

### 1.4.2.8 First availability and age at first capture

Young haddock are widely distributed over the Plateau and the Bank and are thought to become available to trawling at an average age of 1 to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ years and a length of about $18-25 \mathrm{~cm}$. With a mesh size of 130 mm , the $50 \%$ lengths and ages at first capture (i.e. the length, or age, at which $50 \%$ of the fish are retained by the cod end) are 44.2 cm and 3.5 years for haddock. For this species, therefore, the age at first capture is mainly influenced by mesh size rather than by availability as in cod.
1.4.2.9 The effect on haddock landings of an increase in mesh size

Assessments of the effect of an increase in mesh size from 110 mm to 130 mm have been made using the same method as that used for cod. The results are given in Table 4.2.6. These show that in the first year after the change, Scottish and English trawlers could be expected to lose $32 \%$ and $28 \%$ of their catches. Faroese long-liners should benefit by $2 \%$.

Values for intermediate years are given in the table and it is shown that the long-term effect would be for Scottish and English trawlers to lose $20 \%$ and $16 \%$ respectively and for Faroese vessels to gain 22\%.
Previous estimates (Anon., 1966) took account of the possible effect of discards on the assessments. No recent discard data are available, but it should be noted that if discarding does occur, the losses experienced by trawlers should not be as great as indicated in Table 4.2.6. In the absence of the necessary data for calculating this effect, the trawl losses indicated should be regarded as overestimates.

### 1.4.2.10 The effect of fishing on haddock

Assessments have been made of the relationship between yield and fishing mortality rate for Faroe haddock. Figure 4 shows yield per recruit curves calculated using the Beverton and Holt constant parameter formula. For haddock, the maximum yield per recruit is expected from a fishing mortality rate of 0.3-0.5. The present fishing mortality rate is about 0.5. This assessment indicates, therefore, that the yield per recruit is close to its theoretical maximum. Estimates of fishing mortality rate at each age from the VPA show that these are not constant with age. This suggests that a more realistic estimate could be made by using a model in which $F$ is varied with age in the way indicated by the VPA. This has been done using the values of $F$ at each age calculated for the period 1970-71. The effect on the landings of varying $F$ at each age by various percentages was determined by the method of Jones (1961), and the results are shown in Figure 5. Curves are drawn
for values of $M=0.2$ and $M=0.3$, and they confirm the conclusion from the constant parameter assessment that at present the yield per recruit is close to its theoretical maximum.

### 1.4.2.ll The effect of the closure of certain areas to fishing

A large proportion of the haddock stock at Faroe is taken within the 100 fathom depth contour and much of this is within 20 miles of the present base-line. For this reason the closure of areas outside the current l2-mile limit will restrict the activities of trawlers to a smaller proportion of the region within the 100 fathom line. It is not possible to assess the effect of this with any certainty. It is possible, however, that it could lead to the reduction in fishing effort on at least some age groups, and possibly, therefore, to an alteration in the way in which the fishing mortality rate varies with age.

Table 4.2.1 Landings of Faroe Haddock (thousands). Faroe, Scotland, Fngland combined.

| Year <br> class | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1+ | $2+$ | $3+$ | 4+ | 5+ | $6+$ | 7+ | 8+ | 9+ | 10+ |
| 1947 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57.5 |
| 1948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93.9 | 104.5 |
| 1949 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 226.6 | 125.2 | 46.9 |
| 1950 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 585.2 | 293.5 | 97.8 | 27.8 |
| 1951 |  |  |  |  |  | 893.9 | 817.3 | 235.7 | 85.3 | 13.1 |
| 1952 |  |  |  |  | 1615.2 | 1298.8 | 720.5 | 243.2 | 59.2 | 21.7 |
| 1953 |  |  |  | 8442.0 | 3378.1 | 1843.6 | 1169.0 | 263.2 | 72.3 | 23.3 |
| 1954 |  |  | 7130.2 | 5679.4 | 2055.8 | 1559.0 | 838.3 | 270.1 | $74 \cdot 7$ | $7 \cdot 3$ |
| 1955 |  | 4133.3 | 8020.7 | 4543.6 | 2482.4 | 1305.1 | 867.5 | 256.8 | 49.1 | $7 \cdot 7$ |
| 1956 | 44.7 | 6255.3 | 7662.8 | 6655.2 | 1937.3 | I 406.6 | 859.7 | 198.4 | 42.5 | 9.2 |
| 1957 | 116.0 | 3970.6 | 10659.1 | 5134.0 | 2361.2 | 1539.4 | 727.7 | 1345.0 | 53.5 | 12.6 |
| 1958 | 524.5 | 6060.9 | 7330.3 | 5232.5 | 2242.3 | 1119.8 | 672.5 | 179.8 | 51.8 | 11.7 |
| 1959 | 853.6 | 7932.4 | 13976.7 | 7403.4 | 2259.8 | 1208.5 | 739.7 | 197.2 | 68.1 | 20.3 |
| 1960 | 941.2 | 9631.1 | 8907.4 | 3898.5 | 1442.5 | 1111.8 | 630.5 | 230.2 | 113.9 | 10.3 |
| 1961 | 784.2 | 13551.8 | 7457.0 | 5133.1 | 2710.0 | 1426.2 | 922.6 | 377.9 | 68.0 | 102.2 |
| 1962 | 356.2 | 2284.1 | 4285.6 | 4804.3 | 1784.9 | 1525.8 | 1223.9 | $325 \cdot 7$ | 146.7 | 94.8 |
| 1963 | 45.5 | 1367.8 | 3303.5 | 2598.8 | 1524.3 | 1484.9 | 1098.5 | 222.3 | 113.1 |  |
| 1964 | 39.4 | 1080.8 | 2405.1 | 2812.0 | 1564.8 | 1383.0 | 863.5 | 179.6 |  |  |
| 1965 | 89.6 | 1424.9 | 4096.8 | 4567.0 | 1624.1 | 1292.2 | 695.7 |  |  |  |
| 1966 | 69.6 | 5881.4 | 7539.1 | 6580.8 | 3267.4 | 1170.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 1967 | 48.8 | 2383.8 | 4855.4 | 4727.0 | 2706.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1968 | 94.7 | 1728.2 | 4392.7 | 4179.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1969 | 56.7 | 717.4 | 3744.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 55.1 | 750.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Virtual Population Analysis.
Numbers alive (millions) based on individual year classes.


Values of $F(M=0.2)$

| Ygear | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.022 | 0.015 | 0.011 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | - |
| 2 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.33 | 0.38 | 0.09 | 0.073 | 0.066 | 0.068 | 0.18 | 0.085 | 0.064 | 0.027 | 0.06 |
| 3 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 0.39 | 0.46 | 0.42 | 0.60 | 0.58 | 0.37 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.20 |
| 4 | 0.62 | 0.58 | 0.49 | 0.70 | 0.43 | 0.61 | 0.75 | 0.54 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0.32 | 0.39 | 0.58 | 0.61 | 0.41 | 0.35 |
| 5 | 0.40 | 0.55 | 0.43 | 0.54 | 0.44 | 0.36 | 0.58 | 0.54 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.39 | 0.42 | 0.72 | 0.44 |
| 6 |  | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.69 | 0.62 | 0.68 | 0.42 | 0.66 | 0.63 | 0.60 | 0.52 | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.71 | 0.69 | 0.61 |
| 7 |  |  | 0.98 | 1.29 | 1.05 | 1.15 | 1.29 | 0.36 | 1.13 | 1.07 | 0.85 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 1.21 | 1.48 | 1.04 |
| 8 |  |  |  | 1.17 | 1.04 | 1.29 | 1.51 | 1.37 | 2.78 | 1.15 | 0.98 | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.67 | 0.88 | 0.8 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  | 1.08 | 1.16 | 2.10 | 1.70 | 1.45 | 1.39 | 1.42 | 1.22 | 2.17 | 0.39 | 0.74 | 0.8 |
| 10* | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Mean 3-6 <br> years |  | 0.56 | 0.49 | 0.60 | 0.48 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 0.53 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.34 | 0.37 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.51 | 0.40 |

* Values of $F$ shown for 1972 and for age group 10 are assumed values.

Table 4.2.3 Faroe Haddock, $M=0.3$
Virtual Population Analysis.
Numbers alive (millions) based on individual year classes.

| Age Year | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 67.4 | 77.9 | 61.4 | 86.1 | 64.8 | 82.2 | 56.2 | 41.0 | 35.4 | 43.6 | 69.6 | 64.7 | 55.1 | 49.7 | 21.2 |
| 2 | 45.3 | 49.9 | 57.6 | 45.0 | 63.0 | 47.2 | 60.3 | 41.3 | 30.3 | 26.2 | 32.7 | 52.1 | 49.2 | 41.9 | 39.7 |
| 3 | 30.7 | 30.0 | 31.6 | 39.3 | 28.2 | 39.9 | 26.8 | 33.1 | 28.7 | 21.3 | 18.5 | 23.0 | 33.6 | 34.4 | 29.6 |
| 4 | 23.4 | 16.7 | 15.4 | 16.9 | 20.1 | 14.6 | 17.7 | 12.3 | 18.2 | 17.6 | 13.0 | 11.6 | 13.5 | 18.5 | 21.3 |
| 5 | 6.4 | 10.2 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 10.5 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 9.1 | 8.9 | 7.4 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 8.1 |
| 6 |  | 3.3 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 5.8 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| 7 |  |  | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| 8 |  |  |  | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| 9 10 |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

Values of $F(M=0.3)$

| Age Year | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.017 | 0.011 | 0.007 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | - |
| 2 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.083 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.066 | 0.054 | 0.049 | 0.052 | 0.14 | 0.058 | 0.049 | 0.021 | 0.05 |
| 3 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.30 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.16 |
| 4 | 0.53 | 0.49 | 0.41 | 0.60 | 0.35 | 0.52 | 0.65 | 0.45 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.26 | 0.32 | 0.49 | 0.52 | 0.29 | 0.30 |
| 5 | 0.34 | 0.48 | 0.38 | 0.47 | 0.39 | 0.30 | 0.51 | 0.47 | 0.34 | 0.42 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 0.61 | 0.30 |
| 6 |  | 0.59 | 0.60 | 0.62 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.36 | 0.59 | 0.57 | 0.54 | 0.46 | 0.42 | 0.52 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.53 |
| 7 |  |  | 0.90 | 1.18 | 0.97 | 1.07 | 1.20 | 0.33 | 1.04 | 0.98 | 0.77 | 0.70 | 0.82 | 1.12 | 1.43 | 0.96 |
| 8 |  |  |  | 1.09 | 0.97 | 1.22 | 1.42 | 1.28 | 2.67 | 1.08 | 0.91 | 0.86 | 0.82 | 0.61 | 0.84 | 1.2 |
| ${ }^{9}$ |  |  |  |  | 1.04 | 1.12 | 2.04 | 1.65 | 1.40 | 1.34 | 1.37 | 1.18 | 2.11 | 0.37 | 0.71 | 1.2 |
| $10^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Mean 3-6 <br> years |  | 0.48 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.41 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.45 . | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.32 |

* Values of $F$ shown for 1972 and for age group 10 are assumed values.

Table 4.2.4
Faroe Haddock.
Estimates of total instantaneous mortality coefficient (Z) by different methods.

| 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aberdeen | English | M |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | Age |
|  |  |  | 0.56 | 0.59 | 0.62 | 3 |
| 3-4 | 0.62 | 0.48 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 4 |
| 4-5 | 0.85 | 0.81 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.71 | 5 |
| 5-6 | 0.74 | 0.72 | 0.78 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 6 |
| 6-7 | 0.70 | 0.64 | 1.10 | 1.08 | 3.07 | 7 |
| 7-8 | 0.89 | 0.79 | 0.90 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 8 |
| 8-9 | 1.14 | 0.93 |  |  |  |  |

1: Comparison of mortality estimates (Z) derived from Aberdeen and English trawler landings per unit effort for the period 1957-68.

2: Total mortality estimates (Z) derived from a VPA due to vessels of all countries during the period 1958-63.

Table 4.2.5 Faroe Haddock.
Relative year class strengths.

| Research vessel catches/10 hrs as $1+f i s h$ |  |  |  | Year <br> class | Aberdeen <br> trawler 4th <br> year fre- <br> quencies/10 hrs | $\begin{gathered} \text { From VPA } \\ \text { (millions) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year <br> class | $\begin{gathered} \text { 01d } \\ \text { "Explorer" } \end{gathered}$ | Year <br> class | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { "Explorer" } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{M}=0.2$ | $M=0.3$ |
| 1922 | 112 | 1957 | 3003 | 1947 | 170 |  |  |
| 1923 | 179 | 1958 | 1500 | 1948 | 360 |  |  |
| 1924 |  | 1959 | 2300 | 1949 | 320 |  |  |
| 1925 |  | 1960 | 3800 | 1950 | 270 |  |  |
| 1926 | 391 | 1961 | 6260 | 1951 | 330 |  |  |
| 1927 |  | 1962 | 4000 | 1952 | 220 |  |  |
| 1928 | 1350 | -. 363 | 2700 | 1953 | 890 |  |  |
| 1929 |  | 1964 | 375 | 1954 | 430 |  |  |
| 1930 | 435 | 1965 | 68 | 1955 | 380 |  |  |
| 1931 |  | 1966 | 3000 | 1956 | 450 | 47 | 67 |
| 1932 | 2240 | 1967 | 1500 | 1957 | 370 | 52 | 78 |
| 1933 |  | 1968 | 3500 | 1958 | 310 | 44 | 61 |
| 1934 | 1197 | 1969 | 350 | 1959 | 600 | 62 | 86 |
| 1935 | 4815 | 1970 | 2120 | 1960 | 380 | 47 | 65 |
| 1936 | 35 | 1971 |  | 1961 | 640 | 58 | 82 |
| 1937 | 647 | 1972 | $\begin{gathered} 3600 \\ \text { ("Scotia") } \end{gathered}$ | 1962 | 320 | 36 | 56 |
| 1938 | 2221 |  |  | 1963 | 200 | 26 | 41 |
| 1939 |  |  |  | 1964 | 190 | 23 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  | 1965 | 340 | 29 | 44 |
| 1946 | 253 |  |  | 1966 | 590 | 49 | 70 |
| 1947 | 38 |  |  | 1967 | 280 | 39 | 65 |
| 1948 | 1258 |  |  | 1968 | 300 | 37 | 55 |
|  |  |  |  | 1969 | 110 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1970 |  |  |  |

Table 4.2.6 Faroe Haddock.
Effect of increase in mesh size to 130 mm (values show percentage changes).

| Years after <br> change | English | Scottish | Faroese | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -28 |  | +2 | -14 |
| 2 | -23 | -28 | +8 | -9 |
| 3 | -20 | -24 | +12 | -4 |
| 4 | -17 | -21 | +16 | -1 |
| 5 | -16 | -20 | +18 | +1 |
| Long term | -16 | -20 | +22 | +3 |



Fishing effort, Scotland. (Thousand
hours). $M=0.3$ 1958-71.



Fishing effort, England. (Million ton hours). 1958-71.

Figure 3. Faroe Haddock. Relationship between annual estimates of the fishing mortality coefficient $(M=0.3)$ and fishing effort for Scotland, Faroe and England. Lines represent geometric mean regressions.

Figure 4. Faroe Haddock. Yields per recruit for different ages at first capture.



Figure 5. Faroe Haddock. Equilibrium yield curves against effort.

### 1.4.3 CATCH PREDICTIONS FOR VARIOUS ASSUMPTIONS FOR COD AND HADDOCK

The predictions have been made using a programme developed at the Danish Fisheries and Marine Research Institute. The programme demands estimates of:

1. Values of $F$ for each age group, as proportions of the maximum $F$;
2. Weight at age;
3. Numbers caught at each age in the initial year chosen;
4. Age of recruitment and the natural mortality rate (M).

It is also necessary to make assumptions about the fishing mortality and the numbers of recruits for each year.
In Table 4.3.1 the input values for cod and haddock are given.
The values of $F$ at each age have been estimated from the VPA for the years 1968-70 for cod and for the years 1970-71 for haddock. Weights at age have been calculated using the Bertalanffy parameters referred to in the sections on cod and haddock. Age at recruitment has been taken as 1 year for haddock and 2 years for cod.

A run has been made for haddock using a natural mortality of 0.2 . A value of $F_{\max }$ of 1.0 has been assumed together with an average number of recruits from the VPA of 43 millions. The results are shown in Table 4.3.2. Three runs have been made for cod. Values of $M=0.2$ and $F_{\text {max }}$ of 0.7 have been assumed on all three occasions, but the number of recruits has been varied - about an average value of 10 million fish, this being the average number of recruits for the period 1968-7l. According to the VPA 20 million fish is about the average for the period before 1968. The results are given in Table 4.3.2.

The predictions show that with the present pattern of fishery and recruitment there should be a reasonably stable fishery for haddock with average catches of about 16000 tons.
For the cod stock the catches will also depend on recruitment and there are some indications of low recruitment since 1969. With low recruitment ( 10 million fish annually) the catches can be expected to decline. With an annual recruitment of 15 million fish, the fishery should remain at the current level. With an annual recruitment of 20 million fish, catches should improve and reach a higher level.
Both predictions suggest that the quotas set in the "Arrangement relating to Fisheries in Waters Surrounding the Faroes" allowing a total catch of 30000 tons of cod and 22000 tons of haddock are too high for application to 1976.

Table 4.3.1 Input values for prognoses of catches of haddock and cod.

| COD |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Proportions of Maximal F on age groups $M=0.2$ | Weight at age $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Catches in numbers in initial year 19'7l |
| 1 | 0.0 | 0.551 | 1223 |
| 2 | 0.14 | 1.05 | 3093 |
| 3 | 0.37 | 1.88 | 2686 |
| 4 | 0.56 | 2.897 | 1331 |
| 5 | 0.67 | 4.046 | 1066 |
| 6 | 0.77 | 5.277 | 232 |
| 7 | 1.0 | 6.542 | 372 |
| 8 | 1.0 | 7.805 | 78 |
| 9 | 1.0 | 9.042 | 29 |
|  | HADDOCK |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{M}=0.2$ |  |  |
| 1 | 0.01 | 0.249 | 55 |
| 2 | 0.046 | 0.475 | 717 |
| 3 | 0.24 | 0.795 | 4392 |
| 4 | 0.51 | 1.069 | 4727 |
| 5 | 0.57 | 1.403 | 3267 |
| 6 | 0.7 | 1.740 | 1292 |
| 7 | 1.0 | 2.070 | 864 |
| 8 | 0.78 | 2.386 | 222 |
| 9 | 0.7 | 2.582 | 146 |

Table 4.3.2 Catch predictions.
Prognoses for the cod and haddock fishery under various assumptions. Initial year 1971.
(predicted catches in tons)

COD

| Year | lst run | 2nd run | 3rd run |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | 17515 | 17960 | 18405 |
| 1973 | 14895 | 16789 | 18683 |
| 1974 | 14248 | 18152 | 22056 |
| 1975 | 14560 | 20259 | 25959 |
| 1976 | 15529 | 22565 | 29600 |

lst run: recruitment 10000000 fishes
2nd run: recruitment 15000000 fishes
3rd run: recruitment 20000000 fishes

## HADDOCK

| Year | lst run |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1972 | 16716 |
| 1973 | 13665 |
| 1974 | 13198 |
| 1975 | 16401 |
| 1976 | 18735 |
| lst run $\mathrm{M}=0.2$ |  |

No new assessments on saithe were made by the present Working Group as the Faroe saithe had been included in the assessments of the Saithe Working Group which met in the previous week. A summary of the results are included here for convenience.
(i) Provisional estimates of saithe landings in 1973 indicate that the catches have doubled since 1970-71, the main increase being in the reported landings by French vessels.
(ii) From VPA the recent level of fishing mortality on saithe is believed to be within the range 0.2 - 0.5 , indicating that the stock is mode... rately exploited.
(iii) Average age at first capture is consistent with that required to give maximum yield at the estimated present rate of fishing mortality.
(iv) Under the "Arrangement relating to Fisheries in Waters Surrounding the Faroes" future catches of saithe will be restricted but because of the terms of the Arrangement, it is not possible to define the maximum catch which may be taken. However, it is expected that the overall catch in the near future will not increase by more than about $10 \%$. For non-Faroese vessels the greater part of the fishery takes place outside the shallower areas of the Continental Shelf where the youngest age groups are generally not available. Thus any increase in fishing mortality due to trawl fishing would be expected to be confined to the older age groups and in these circumstances a moderate increase in fishing mortality would not be expected to be detrimental to the stock.
1.4.5 FLATFISH

### 1.4.5.1 Halibut

Total catches (Table 7.l.i) show a declining trend since the late fifties and early sixties when landings were between 2000 and 3000 tons. Faroese catches, however, have remained fairly stable during the whole period. Therefore, the reduced catches are considered to reflect a decrease in fishing effort in line fishery of all countries except those of Faroe, rather than a decrease in abundance. English taging experiments of small halibut indicate that at first these fish spread over both the Faroe Plateau and the Bank, but at an older age halibut tagged on the Plateau tend to be returned from as far as Iceland, whereas halibut tagged on the Bank disperse mainly to the southwest (Bill Bailey Bank, Lowry Bank and Outer Bill Bailey Bank).

### 1.4.5.2 Plaice, Lemon Sole

Total catches of plaice have slightly increased over the period (Table 7.l.h). Lemon sole (Table 7.l.g) in contrast seem to be
less exploited than in the early sixties. Since these species are taken only as a by-catch of the demersal fishery, biological information is limited and data on length and age composition are available only for some recent years.
The Bertalanffy growth curves were fitted to Faroese and Scottish length at age data (Table 7.1.5). Faroese data were often inconsistent with the theoretical curve which may perhaps be due to the fishing pattern, because only the younger age groups are present in the catches. The Scottish data presented more realistic estimates of L-infinity as compared with the length range observed in the catches. Therefore, these have been selected for yield per recruit calculations for different values of fishing mortality and age at first capture (Figures 6 and 7).
Catch curves from Scottish data for recent years are plotted in Figures 8 and 9, indicating the value of total mortality for plaice and lemon sole to be of the order of 0.3 and 0.4 respectively, and indicating low rates of exploitation. According to the catch curve, recruitment to the Scottish fishery is not complete until 6 years of age. Considering that the Faroese tend to fish the somewhat younger age groups, the mean age at recruitment can be estimated at 4 to 5 years old. The corresponding points on the yield per recruit curves are indicated in the figures. Although exploitation of the stock is very low, apparently not much gain can be expected from an increase in fishing effort on these species.

### 1.4.6 BLUE LING

This stock is exploited mainly by trawlers from the Federal Republic of Germany and from Norwegian long-liners. Catches have been reported by the Federal Republic of Germany since 1963 and by Norway since 1964. Varying amounts of blue ling have probably been included with common ling in earlier years. According to the preliminary figures, the catches have been increasing since the mid-sixties. In Table 4.6.1 total catches, catches per fishing day and estimates of total effort have been tabulated. Catch per unit effort has increased in 1971 and 1972 to almost twice the mean for the period 1963-72 (mean CPUE = l.1 ton/fishing day). It is not certain if this reflects a real increase in abundance or if it is the effect of a change in the fishing pattern due to effort being directed more towards blue ling.
The lack of sampling for biostatistical data in the blue ling fishery in the Faroe area has made it impossible for the Working Group to proceed any further in an analysis of the state of this stock.
It is not known if there is an interchange of the blue ling between the Faroe and other areas.

### 1.4.7 REDFISH

There is a trawl fishery by the Federal Republic of Germany for redfish in the deeper waters around the Faroes. It is the only country catching any substantial quantities of this species in the area. Preliminary catch figures for 1973 indicate a catch of about 9400 tons, which is about 600 tons less than the maximum catch which was recorded in 1955. Estimates of CPUE and total fishing effort given in Table 4.6.1 do not show any clear trends,
the CPUE's for 1971 and 1972 being about the average for the period 1963-72 (mean CPUE $=3.3$ tons/fishing day).
No age and length data were available to the Working Group and nothing is known about possible connections between this stock and the redfish stocks in the open sea in the North Atlantic.

Table 4.6.1 Blue Ling and Redfish catches off Faroe Islands 1963-72, and total effort from catches taken by the Federal Republic of Germany per fishing day.

| Year | Total catch for all countries (tons) |  | ```German (F.R.) catch per fishing day (tons)``` |  | Total effort for all countries |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Blue Ling | Redfish | Blue Ling | Redfish | Blue Ling | Redfish |
| 1963 | 478 | 2493 | 1.0 | 4.1 | - | 608.05 |
| 1964 | 2675 | 7908 | 1.5 | $4 \cdot 3$ | 1783.33 | 1839.07 |
| 1965 | 2732 | 5512 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 2276.67 | 1574.85 |
| 1966 | 1280 | 3228 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 1828.57 | 1195.56 |
| 1967 | 1371 | 4899 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 1713.75 | 1 484.55 |
| 1968 | 2646 | 6667 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 2646.00 | 1904.86 |
| 1969 | 1047 | 1258 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 2617.50 | 698.89 |
| 1970 | 2947 | 2.053 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 4911.67 | 554.86 |
| 1971 | 2032 | 2503 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 1069.47 | 807.42 |
| 1972 | 3982 | 4080 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 1810.00 | 1275.00 |



Figure 6. Yield per recruit of Faroe Plaice. (Bertalanffy parameter derived from Scottish data l972.) $W=.011 \mathrm{~L}^{S}$. Dots indicate present level on the yield curve.



Figure 7. Yields per recruit of Faroe Lemon Sole. (Bertalanffy parameters derived from Scottish data 1972.) $W=0.0107 L^{3}$. Dots indicate present level on the yield curve.

Figure 8. Catch curve. Faroe Plaice 1972.


Figure 2. Catch curve. Faroe Lemon Sole 1972.

In Table 7.l.m catches for several species are given, including tusk, ling, angler, rays and skates, dogfishes, several species of flatfishes, catfishes and others. No data other than of catch were available to the Working Group, and thus no attempt was made to analyse the state of these stocks.

| 1.5 | ADEQUACY OF DATA |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Time has not allowed the Working Group to make any detailed study of the adequacy of data and sampling. From the report it will be seen that for several species catch statistics only are at hand. |
|  | For redfish and blue ling effort data from the Federal Republic of Germany are available, but no sampling of age and length composition. For the lemon sole and plaice stocks some Scottish and Faroese data for the most recent years were available for the length and age distribution, allowing estimation of growth parameters and yield/recruit curves. The most complete data were available for cod, haddock and saithe allowing estimates of mortalities, stock numbers, effects of changes in fishing effort and mesh size and predictions of catches. The agreement between independent estimates of mortality gave confidence in the results. However, it should be noted that the Faroese cod data in the former years have been taken from the spring long-line fishery for spawning cod only and are therefore not representative for the long-line fishery as a whole. Also, the Faroese haddock sampling has been very scanty in former years. |
|  | To be able to assess the state of stocks other than those of cod, haddock and saithe in more detail and for continuing work on these three species, it will be necessary for all countries to sample their catches in order to estimate the numbers of fish of each size landed each year. In addition, age/length keys will be required for all years. |
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TABLES 7.1 Catches in ICES Division Vb by country and species 1952-72, metric tons, round fresh.

Table 7.1.a
COD

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Faroe } \\ & \text { Islands } \end{aligned}$ | France | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Germany } \\ & (\text { Fed.Rep. }) \end{aligned}$ | Norway | $\begin{array}{c\|} \text { U.K. } \\ \text { England } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { J.K. } \\ \text { Scotland } \end{array}$ | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1952 | 4550 | 175 | - | - | 12365 | 13283 | - | 30373 |
| 1953 | 4137 | - | - | - | 12469 | 10535 | - | 27052 |
| 1954 | 5190 | 600 | 37 | 125 | 16017 | 14238 | - | 36164 |
| 1955 | 7902 | 700 | 216 | - | 17223 | 12380 | - | 38421 |
| 1956 | 7938 | - | 689 | - | 8337 | 10610 | - | 27574 |
| 1957 | 6920 | - | 1085 | - | 10067 | 13413 | - | 31485 |
| 1958 | 6535 | - | 1011 | - | 9828 | 10523 | - | 27897 |
| 1959 | 4676 | - | 697 | - | 10087 | 10522 | - | 25982 |
| 1960 | 8723 | - | 451 | - | 13746 | 16300 | - | 39220 |
| 1961 | 9521 | - | 417 | 168 | 3891 | 12954 | - | 26951 |
| 1962 | 6751 | 100 | 301 | 505 | 5521 | 11052 | - | 24230 |
| 1963 | 7428 | 720 | 376 | 147 | 4558 | 10875 | - | 24104 |
| 1964 | 8888 | 989 | 1162 | 333 | 5845 | 7791 | - | 25008 |
| 1965 | 9948 | 1538 | 854 | 419 | 5470 | . 7868 |  | 26097 |
| 1966 | 7957 | 1120 | 669 | 314 | 4871 | - 7855 | $130^{\text {xx }}$ | 22916 |
| 1967 | 7835 | 871 | 815 | 650 | 7996 | 8546 | - | 26603 |
| 1968 | 13763 | 2519 | 1180 | 686 | 7096 | 8524 | - | 33768 |
| 1969 | 15718 | 2557 | 447 | 476 | 6717 | 12249 | - | 38164 |
| 1970 | 15245 | 2616 | 225 | 238 | 3707 | 9790 | - | 31821 |
| 1971 | 12754 | 1426 | 337 | 881 | 3485 | 9102 | - | 27985 |
| $1972{ }^{1973}$ | 12143 | 1462 | 262 | 266 | $\begin{array}{ll}3 & 019 \\ 5 & 167\end{array}$ | 6483 | - | 23635 |

Table 7.1.b HADDOCK

| 1952 | 3225 | - | - | - | 7714 | 6653 | - | 17592 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1953 | 2788 | - | - | - | 5965 | 6404 | - | 15157 |
| 1954 | 2645 | - | 1 | - | 6069 | 6832 | - | 15547 |
| 1955 | 3865 | - | 33 | - | 5148 | 7667 | - | 16713 |
| 1956 | 4221 | - | 20 | - | 5937 | 7512 | - | 17690 |
| 1957 | 4453 | - | 38 | - | 7105 | 9602 | - | 21198 |
| 1958 | 6850 | - | 19 | - | 7637 | 9573 | - | 24076 |
| 1959 | 5670 | - | 10 | - | 5536 | 9220 | - | 20436 |
| 1960 | 7772 | - | 6 | - | 7298 | 10943 | - | 26019 |
| 1961 | 8454 | - | 22 | - | 2765 | 9590 | - | 20831 |
| 1962 | 7042 | 166 | 18 | - | 3766 | 16159 | - | 27149 |
| 1963 | 6336 | 792 | 22 | - | 4655 | 15766 | - | 27571 |
| 1964 | 6952 | 1866 | 32 | 111 | 3442 | 7087 | - | 19490 |
| 1965 | 6673 | 1939 | 8 | 119 | 3385 | 6355 | - | 18479 |
| 1966 | 6902 | 2717 | 40 | - | 2867 | 6240 | - | 18766 |
| 1967 | 5246 | 1091 | 30 | - | 2347 | 4656 | 8 | 13378 |
| 1968 | 6751 | 2286 | 31 | - | 2445 | 6339 | - | 17852 |
| 1969 | 11122 | 3314 | 45 | - | 1976 | 6815 | - | 23272 |
| 1970 | 11791 | 2006 | 6 | - | 1137 | 6421 | - | 21361 |
| 1971 | 10488 | 790 | 1 | - | 2323 | 5762 | - | 19393 |
| 1972 | 8314 | 2666 | 25 | - | 1371 | 4109 | - | 16485 |
| 1973 ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |  |  | 46 |  | 2464 |  |  |  |

x)

Preliminary estimates.
xx )
U.S.S.R.

| Year | Faroe <br> Islands | France | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Germany } \\ \text { (Fed.Rep.) } \end{array}$ | Norway | U.K. England | U.K. Scotland | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1952 | 47 | - | - | - | 5663 | 1188 | - | 6898 |
| 1953 | 9 | - | - | - | 6087 | 1088 | - | 7184 |
| 1954 | 4 | - | 13 | - | 5543 | 652 | - | 6212 |
| 1955 | 89 | - | 484 | - | 5643 | 1018 | - | 7234 |
| 1956 | 37 | _ | 4998 | - | 4673 | 1176 | - | 10884 |
| 1957 | 979 | - | 21082 | - | 3869 | 928 | - | 26858 |
| 1958 | 339 | - | 4299 | - | 6880 | 1460 | - | 19978 |
| 1959 | 536 | - | 6781 | - | 5688 | 1540 | - | 14545 |
| 1960 | 685 | - | 2583 | - | 6437 | 2140 | - | 11845 |
| 1961 | 929 | - | 2219 | - | 4230 | 2214 | - | 9592 |
| 1962 | 2494 | 620 | 985 | - | 3724 | 2631 | - | 10454 |
| 1963 | 2431 | 2207 | 1471 | - | 3178 | 3463 | - | 12750 |
| 1964 | 1338 | 6458 | 6294 | + | 4329 | 3309 | - | 217.28 |
| 1965 | 1000 | 8565 | 3611 | - | 5265 | 3794 | - | 22235 |
| 1966 | 1167 | 9967 | 4772 | 2498 | 3321 | 3581 | - | 25306 |
| 1967 | 2242 | 5555 | 6119 | - | 4536 | 3996 | - | 22448 |
| 1968 | 2629 | 424 | 7532 | - | 5123 | 4778 | - | 20486 |
| 1969 | 4835 | 7899 | 4775 | 378 | 4303 | 5346 | - | 27536 |
| 1970 | 2694 | 11036 | 2249 | 1495 | 3066 | 8608 | - | 29148 |
| 1971 | 5653 | 10621 | 2251 | 1839 | 3305 | 7198 | 63 | 30930 |
|  | 5646 | 28346 |  |  |  | 6225 | - | 46753 |
| 1973 ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |  |  | 8602 | ca. 200 | 7460 |  |  |  |
|  | Table 7.1.d |  | WHITING |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1952 | - | - | - | - | 332 | 1300 | - | 1632 |
| 1953 | - | - | - | - | 563 | 1167 | - | 1730 1 |
| 1954 | - | - | - | - | 522 | 716 | - | 1238 |
| 1955 | - | - | 1 | - | 298 | 581 | - | 880 |
| 1956 | - | - | + | - | 213 | 415 | - | 628 |
| 1957 | - | - | + | - | 157 | 554 | - | 711 |
| 1958 | - | - | + | - | 167 | 333 | - | 500 |
| 1959 | - | - | + | - | 249 | 246 | - | 495 |
| 1960 | - | - | - | - | 70 | 403 | - | 473 |
| 1961 | 222 | 1200 | - | - | 50 | 257 | - | 1729 |
| 1962 |  | - | - | - | 26 | 197 | - | 223 |
| 1963 | - | - | + | - | 33 | 285 | - | 318 |
| 1964 | - |  | + | - | 25 | 117 | - | 142 |
| 1965 | - | $1421{ }^{\text {a }}$ | + | - | 29 | 97 | - | 1547 |
| 1966 | - | 225 | - | - | 28 | 139 |  | 392 |
| 1967 | - | 254 | 1 | - | 31 | 138 | $3^{x x}$ | 427 |
| 1968 | - | 80 | 1 | - | 46 | 172 | - | 299 |
| 1969 | - | 191 | + | - | 46 | 515 | - | 752 |
| 1970 | - | 73 | - | - | 35 | 251 | - | 359 |
| 1971 | 150 | 195 | 1 | - | 26 | 166 | - | 542 |
| 1972 (973 ${ }^{\text {x }}$ ( |  | 194 | $\overline{7}$ | - | 137 | 139 | - | 470 |

x)

Preliminary estimates.
$x x$ )
Denmark
a) Includes Iceland grounds.

| Year | Faroe <br> Islands | France | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Germany } \\ & \text { (Fed.Rep.) } \end{aligned}$ | Norway | J.K. <br> England | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.K. } \\ \text { Scotland } \end{gathered}$ | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1952 | 187 | - | - | 1007 | 92 | 387 | - | 1673 |
| 1953 | 593 | - | - | 711 | 93 | 483 | - | 1880 |
| 1954 | 560 | - | 7 | 511 | 95 | 401 | - | 1574 |
| 1955 | 1005 | - | 40 | 384 | 114 | 472 | - | 2015 |
| 1956 | 818 | - | 58 | 484 | 83 | 586 | - | 2029 |
| 1957 | 845 | - | 99 | 199 | 80 | 694 | - | 1917 |
| 1958 | 812 | - | 48 | 1068 | 106 | 1066 | - | 3100 |
| 1959 | 984 | - | 87 | 637 | 69 | 1275 | - | 3052 |
| 1960 | 1306 | - | 32 | 734 | 135 | 1260 | - | 3467 |
| 1961 | 1301 | - | 29 | 1401 | 67 | 1062 | - | 3860 |
| 1962 | 1902 | - | 21 | 1134 | 54 | 1405 | - | 4516 |
| 1963 | 2007 | - | 29 | 802 | 28 | 695 | - | 3561 |
| 1964 | 2775 | - | 137 | 875 | 30 | 799 | - | 4616 |
| 1965 | 1645 | - | 115 | 1565 | 32 | 924 | - | 4281 |
| 1966 | 1488 | - | 87 | 1221 | 21 | 482 | - | 3299 |
| 1967 | 2070 | - | 109 | 2729 | 18 | 432 | - | 5358 |
| 1968 | 2798 | - | 91 | 2906 | 23 | 549 | - | 6367 |
| 1969 | 1454 | - | 21 | 1338 | 16 | 412 | - | 3241 |
| 1970 | 1028 | - | 19 | 1475 | 11 | 515 | - | 3048 |
| 1971 | 1489 | - | 44 | 1872 | 13 | 419 | - | 3837 |
| 1972 | 1918 | - | 139 |  | 16 | 386 | - | 4880 |
| 1973 x) |  |  | 134 | ca. 2800 |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.l.f LING AND BLUE LING

x) Preliminary estimates.
xx) 1954-62: Ling and Blue Ling not separated.
xxx) 1952-63: Ling and Blue Ling not separated.

Table 7.1.a


Table 7.1.i
HALIBUT

| Year | Faroe Islands | France | $\begin{gathered} \text { Germany } \\ \text { (Fed.Rep.) } \end{gathered}$ | Norway | U.K. <br> England | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.K. } \\ \text { Scotland } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1952 | 243 | - | - | 420 | 467 | 720 | 1850 |
| 1953 | 149 | - | - | 437 | 414 | 663 | 1663 |
| 1954 | 226 | - | 13 | 561 | 433 | 735 | 1968 |
| 1955 | 335 | - | 428 | 560 | 554 | 866 | 2743 |
| 1956 | 390 | - | 57 | 187 | 407 | 901 | 1942 |
| 1957 | 374 | - | 125 | 366 | 557 | 1165 | 2587 |
| 1958 | 616 | - | 112 | 390 | 580 | 1165 | 2863 |
| 1959 | 404 | - | 125 | 180 | 593 | 1261 | 2563 |
| 1960 | 218 | - | 58 | 439 | 686 | 1397 | 2798 |
| 1961 | 222 | - | 165 | 327 | 287 | 1237 | 2238 |
| 1962 | 137 | - | 11 | 299 | 325 | 1126 | 1898 |
| 1963 | 161 | - | 10 | 128 | 241 | 887 | 1427 |
| 1964 | 174 | - | 63 | 110 | 239 | 792 | 1378 |
| 1965 | 276 | - | 35 | 124 | 292 | 725 | 1452 |
| 1966 | 169 | - | 36 | 120 | 248 | 636 | 1209 |
| 1967 | 245 | - | 57 | 180 | 178 | 749 | 1409 |
| 1968 | 267 | - | 64 | 90 | 130 | 698 | 1249 |
| 1969 | 205 | - | 18 | 151 | 124 | 558 | 1056 |
| 1970 | 296 | - | 10 | 182 | 74 | 514 | 1076 |
| 1971 | 234 | - | 14 | 197 | 92 | 371 | 908 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1972 \\ & \left.1973^{x}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 212 | - | 35 52 | 155 ca. 70 | 60 | 256 | 718 |
|  | Table 7.1.i |  | MEGRIM |  |  |  |  |
| 1952 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 12 | 17 |
| 1953 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 19 | 23 |
| 1954 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 11 | 16 |
| 1955 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 21 | 26 |
| 1956 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 13 | 16 |
| 1957 | - | - | 3 | - | 3 | 12 | 18 |
| 1958 | - | - | 1 | - | 4 | 10 | 15 |
| 1959 | - | - | 1 | - | 5 | 6 | 12 |
| 1960 | - | - | - | - | 9 | 21 | 30 |
| 1961 | - | - | - | - | 8 | 17 | 25 |
| 1962 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 19 | 25 |
| 1963 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 26 | 31 |
| 1964 | - | 50 | - | - | 5 | 20 | 75 |
| 1965 | - | 47 | - | - | 5 | 17 | 69 |
| 1966 | - | 237 | - | - | 5 | 14 | 256 |
| 1967 | - | 212 | - | - | 1 | 6 | 219 |
| 1968 | - | 250 | - | - | 3 | 6 | 259 |
| 1969 | - | 312 | - | - | 3 | 8 | 324 |
| 1970 | - | 99 | - | - | 1 |  |  |
| 1971 | - | 37 | - | - | 2 | 9 10 | 48 |
| 1972 1973 | - |  | - | - | 3 | 10 | 51 |

x) Preliminary estimates.

Table 7.1.k
REDFISH

| Year | Faroe <br> Islands | France | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Germany } \\ & \text { (Fed.Rep.) } \end{aligned}$ | U.K. <br> England | U.K. <br> Scotland | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1952 | - | - | - | 20 | 10 | 30 |
| 1953 | - | - | - | 139 | 1.6 | 155 |
| 1954 | - | - | 2114 | 87 | 2 | 2203 |
| 1955 | - | - | 10020 | 151 | 2 | 10173 |
| 1956 | - | - | 5018 | 25 | 7 | 5050 |
| 1957 | - | - | 5217 | 27 | 7 | 5251 |
| 1958 | - | - | 4451 | 58 | 13 | 4522 |
| 1959 | - | - | 3440 | 38 | 11 | 3489 |
| 1960 | - | - | 2295 | 276 | 60 | 2631 |
| 1961 | - | - | 3577 | 50 | 38 | 3665 |
| 1962 | - | - | 2237 | 52 | 49 | 2338 |
| 1963 | 1 | 366 | 2035 | 31 | 60 | 2493 |
| 1964 | - | 705 | 7119 | 41 | 43 | 7908 |
| 1965 | 1 | 582 | 4864 | 38 | 27 | 5512 |
| 1966 | - | -- | 3180 | 8 | 40 | 3228 |
| 1967 | - | - | 4853 | 24 | 22 | 4899 |
| 1968 | 1 | - | 6613 | 43 | 10 | 6667 |
| 1969 | 5 | - | 1225 | 13 | 15 | 1258 |
| 1970 | - | - | 2020 | 13 | 20 | 2053 |
| 1971 | - | - | 2479 | 12 | 12 | 2503 |
| 1972 | - | - | 4027 | 40 | 13 | 4080 |
|  | Table 7.1.1 |  | ANGLER (MONK) |  |  |  |
| 1952 | - | - | - | 86 | 376 | 462 |
| 1953 | - | - | - | 69 | 320 | 389 |
| 1954 | - | - | - | 85 | 344 | 429 |
| 1955 | - | - | 3 | 157 | 338 | 498 |
| 1956 | - | - | 3 | 157 | 429 | 589 |
| 1957 | - | - | 3 | 214 | 631 | 848 |
| 1958 | - | - | + | 263 | 580 | 843 |
| 1959 | - | - | 13 | 269 | 629 | 911 |
| 1960 | - | - | 7 | 314 | 811 | 1132 |
| 1961 | - | - | 11 | 167 | 695 | 873 |
| 1962 | - | - | 4 | 179 | 641 | 824 |
| 1963 | - | - | - | 160 | 618 | 780 |
| 1964 | - | - | 3 | 218 | 347 | 568 |
| 1965 | - | - | - | 212 | 326 | 538 |
| 1966 | - | - | - | 164 | 349 | 513 |
| 1967 | - | - | - | 118 | 308 | 426 |
| 1)68 | - | - | 3 | 159 | 335 | 497 |
| 1969 | 1 | 26 | 1 | 175 | 429 | 632 |
| 1970 | - | 10 | - | 127 | 542 | 679 |
| 1971 | - | - | - | 132 | 532 | 664 |
| $\left(\begin{array}{l} 1972 \\ 1973^{x} \end{array}\right.$ | - | 3 | 2 6 | 99 | 388 | 490 |

x)

Preliminary estimate.

Table 7.1.m OTHER SPECIES

| Year | Dab | Turbot | Witch | Various <br> Pleuro- <br> necti- <br> forms | Hake | Pollack | Various Gadiforms | Catfishes | Conger Eel | Gurnards | Dogfishes | Rays <br> and <br> Skates | Nonteleost fishes | Various unidentified fishes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1952 | 114 | 2 | 4 |  | 74 | 53 |  | 133 | 4 | 63 | 898 | 397 |  | 146 |
| 1953 | 198 | 3 | 4 |  | 90 | 12 |  | 113 | 5 | 42 | 686 | 508 |  | 137 |
| 1954 | 259 | 1 | 1 |  | 62 | 4 |  | 136 | 2 | 18 | 662 | 348 |  | 293 |
| 1955 | 192 | 4 | 3 |  | 26 | 7 |  | 174 | 2 | 21 | 579 | 485 |  | 802 |
| 1956 | 129 | 2 | 2 |  | 15 | 2 |  | 242 | 2 | 10 | 526 | 518 |  | 568 |
| 1957 | 126 | 1 | 3 |  | 18 | 3 |  | 259 | 3 | 13 | 524 | 485 |  | 552 |
| 1958 | 107 | 2 | 3 |  | 20 | 3 |  | 217 | 1 | 24 | 754 | 450 |  | 326 |
| 1959 | 114 | 3 | 1 |  | 26 | 5 |  | 222 | 2 | 17 | 738 | 471 |  | 645 |
| 1960 | 261 | 3 | 6 |  | 41 | 8 |  | 348 | 9 | 29 | 1089 | 659 |  | 499 |
| 1961 | 119 | 2 | 6 | 8771 | 39 | 4 | 679 | 231 | 2 | 22 | 720 | 564 | 3 | 190 |
| 1962 | 121 | 2 | 51 | 341 | 167 | 5 | 345 | 202 | 4 | 18 | 663 | 475 | 12 | 1209 |
| 1963 | 108 | + | 50 | 379 | 270 | 6 | 605 | 364 | 2 | 9 | 1166 | 473 | 30 | 838 |
| 1964 | 56 | 18 | 27 | 264 | 144 | 4 | 2365 | 145 | 2 | 15 | 1070 | 616 | 2 | 615 |
| 1965 | 68 | 13 | 27 | 660 | 123 | 8 | 1711 | 97 | 4 | 10 | 1140 | 657 | 1 | 554 |
| 1966 | 54 | - 7 | 10 | 537 | 103 | 5 | 1269 | 67 | 10 | 7 | 376 | 537 | 591 | 1501 |
| 1967 | 68 | 3 | 12 | 227 | 48 | 2 | 1298 | 86 | 8 | 9 | 359 | 481 | 393 | 98 |
| 1968 | 105 | + | 1 | 580 | 311 | 12 | 2850 | 89 | 15 | 30 | 330 | 551 | 1 | 2007 |
| 1969 | 203 | 1 | 2 | 51 | 361 | 20 | 1 l 101 | 56 | 18 | 21 | 400 | 621 | 946 | 2160 |
| 1970 | 56 | 1 | 4 | 48 | 36 | 6 | 3132 | 92 | 13 | 2 | 174 | 534 | 94 | 127 |
| 1971 | 49 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 28 | 5 | 1937 | 100 | 5 | 5 | 153 | 400 | 129 | 176 |
| 1972 | 45 | $+$ | 2 | 417 | 56 | 3 | 3975 | 209 | 16 | 324 | 97 | 380 | 541 | 823 |

Table 7.1.2 Quantity of Cod, Haddock and Saithe landed (1000 cwt) from the Faroe Plateau and the Faroe Bank by British trawlers landing in Scotland.

| Year | cod |  | Haddock |  | Saithe |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plateau | Bank | Plateau | Bank | Plateau | Bank |
| 1961 | 187.6 | 3.3 | 162.6 | 3.2 | 35.3 | 1.1 |
| 1962 | 162.6 | 6.4 | 274.6 | 7.4 | 42.3 | 1.6 |
| 1963 | 159.8 | 6.3 | 263.1 | 12.1 | 54.0 | 2.8 |
| 1964 | 106.4 | 6.2 | 118.8 | 4.6 | 51.8 | 2.4 |
| 1965 | 110.9 | 4.0 | 107.0 | 3.3 | 60.1 | 2.0 |
| 1966 | 115.3 | 6.3 | 102.0 | 6.7 | 54.2 | 4.4 |
| 1967 | 112.1 | 8.2 | 76.1 | 4.9 | 58.8 | 6.7 |
| 1968 | 115.2 | 11.8 | 101.0 | 8.8 | 68.4 | 9.9 |
| 1969 | 180.9 | 8.3 | 103.6 | 6.2 | 81.9 | 4.3 |
| 1970 | 132.6 | 15.1 | 94.8 | 16.4 | 123.1 | 18.1 |
| 1971 | 120.5 | 11.4 | 86.2 | 12.9 | 103.7 | 14.3 |
| 1972 | 82.3 | 10.8 | 49.5 | 18.7 | 88.0 | 14.0 |

Table 7.1.3 Faroe Division Vb. Fishing effort and landings per unit effort.

|  | Estimated total effort |  |  | Landings per unit effort |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Cod <br> (1) | Haddock <br> (1) | Saithe <br> (2) | Cod (3) | Haddock (3) | Saithe <br> (4) |
| 1950 | 54 | 45 | 34 | 666 | 303 | 160 |
| 1951 | 65 | 54 | 41 | 544 | 272 | 212 |
| 1952 | 65 | 59 | 32 | 511 | 298 | 216 |
| 1953 | 53 | 53 | 28 | 511 | 286 | 260 |
| 1954 | 56 | 55 | 27 | 641 | 283 | 227 |
| 1955 | 59 | 56 | 30 | 654 | 299 | 245 |
| 1956 | 58 | 49 | 42 | 474 | 363 | 259 |
| 1957 | 64 | 58 | 146 | 494 | 367 | 182 |
| 1958 | 76 | 79 | 53 | 368 | 304 | 243 |
| 1959 | 74 | 82 | 71 | 352 | 248 | 203 |
| 1960 | 118 | 141 | 74 | 331 | 199 | 161 |
| 1961 | 108 | 106 | 42 | 250 | 196 | 230 |
| 1962 | 101 | 92 | 56 | 239 | 295 | 186 |
| 1963 | 90 | 80 | 60 | 267 | 343 | 214 |
| 1964 | 80 | 78 | 80 | 315 | 250 | 267 |
| 1965 | 81 | 75 | 64 | 336 | 246 | 344 |
| 1966 | 63 | 70 | 91 | 363 | 268 | 279 |
| 1967 | 52 | 61 | 76 | 510 | 218 | 277 |
| 1968 | 74 | 71 | 51 | 464 | 252 | 399 |
| 1969 | 71 | 87 | 76 | 537 | 269 | 359 |
| 1970 | 79 | 85 | 68 | 405 | 252 | 427 |
| 1971 | 65 | 61 | 68 | 435 | 316 | 454 |
| 1972 | 72 | 79 | 189 | 328 | 209 | 247 |

(1) British units $=$ million ton-hours.
(2) English units = million ton-hours steam + motor trawl.
(3) Tons per million ton-hours, British trawlers.
(4) Tons per million ton-hours, English trawlers.

Table 7.1.4 TOTAL DEMERSAL. Faroes ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ ). Total landings, round fresh weights in 1000 metric tons.

| Year | England | Scotland | Faroes | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1924 | 55.3 | 13.7 | 4.9 | - | 73.9 |
| 1925 | 45.5 | 9.5 | 7.9 | 0.7 | 63.7 |
| 1926 | 44.2 | 16.7 | 6.4 | 1.1 | 68.3 |
| 1927 | 46.9 | 18.0 | 8.2 | 1.0 | 74.0 |
| 1928 | 40.9 | 12.7 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 61.6 |
| 1929 | 38.3 | 9.2 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 51.0 |
| 1930 | 42.3 | 12.8 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 61.2 |
| 1931 | 58.6 | 17.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 79.1 |
| 1932 | 61.6 | 17.6 | 5.3 | 1.0 | 85.4 |
| 1933 | 55.6 | 15.8 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 74.9 |
| 1934 | 53.0 | 15.0 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 70.4 |
| 1935 | 53.8 | 15.2 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 71.2 |
| 1936 | 54.1 | 18.7 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 75.4 |
| 1937 | 39.0 | 15.2 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 59.3 |
| 1938 | 40.6 | 14.8 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 59.2 |
| 1946 | 32.8 | 19.7 | - | - | 52.4 |
| 1947 | 31.7 | 22.7 | - | 0.1 | 54.5 |
| 1948 | 15.0 | 21.5 | - | - | 36.5 |
| 1c, 9 | 21.6 | 26.5 | - | - | 48.1 |
| 1950 | 27.2 | 32.4 | - | 0.4 | 60.1 |
| 1951 | 32.8 | 31.3 | - | 1.9 | 65.9 |
| 1952 | 28.8 | 25.9 | 8.4 | 1.3 | 64.4 |
| 1953 | 27.6 | 22.9 | 7.9 | 1.6 | 59.9 |
| 1954 | 30.5 | 25.7 | 8.9 | 5.8 | 70.9 |
| 1955 | 31.2 | 25.2 | 13.5 | 17.2 | 87.1 |
| 1956 | 21.2 | 23.8 | 13.7 | 15.2 | 73.9 |
| 1957 | 23.5 | 29.5 | 13.8 | 31.3 | 98.1 |
| 1958 | 26.9 | 27.0 | 15.8 | 14.7 | 84.5 |
| 1959 | 23.9 | 27.0 | 13.1 | 14.9 | 78.9 |
| 1960 | 31.0 | 36.6 | 19.6 | 8.0 | 95.3 |
| 1961 | 12.5 | 31.1 | 21.3 | 19.8 | 84.7 |
| 1962 | 14.7 | 35.6 | 19.2 | 9.1 | 78.6 |
| 1963 | 13.6 | 34.5 | 19.1 | 14.4 | 81.6 |
| 1964 | 15.1 | 21.9 | 20.8 | 34.5 | 92.3 |
| 1965 | 15.6 | 21.9 | 20.2 | 35.9 | 93.6 |
| 1966 | 12.4 | 20.6 | 18.3 | 36.2 | 87.5 |
| 1967 | 15.1 | 20.5 | 18.5 | 29.1 | 83.2 |
| 1968 | 15.8 | 23.1 | 27.7 | 33.9 | 100.5 |
| 1969 | 14.2 | 28.1 | 34.2 | 47.1 | 123.6 |
| 1970 | 8.7 | 28.7 | 32.1 | 29.7 | 99.2 |
| 1971 | 9.9 | 25.4 | 32.1 | 29.2 | 96.6 |

x)

Plateau and Bank combined.

Table 7.1.5 Estimates of Bertalanffy growth parameters. ${ }^{\text {1) }}$

| Species | Source | Year | Im | $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{L}_{\infty}}^{2}$ | K | $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{K}}^{2}$ | ${ }^{\text {o }}$ 。 | $s_{t_{0}}^{2}$ | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haddock ${ }^{\text {***) }}$ | Scotland | 1950-72 | 82.7 | 13.5 | . 149 | . 00039 | -1.55 | . 119 | $\delta^{\circ}+¢ \quad 1+$ exciuded |
| Cod***) Bank stock | England | 1959-72 | 111.7 | 1.6 | . 354 | . 00042 | 0.46 | . 00114 | $\sigma^{\circ}+¢ \mathrm{f}+\mathrm{excluded}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \left.\operatorname{cod}^{* *}\right)_{\text {Plateau }} \\ \text { stock } \end{gathered}$ | England | 1959-72 | 129.9 | 68.3 | . 131 | . 00043 | -1.21 | . 12 | $0^{\circ}+9$ l + excluded |
| Plaice | Faroe | 1967 | 56.5 | 8.6 | . 476 | . 043 | 0.45 | . 422 | $0^{\circ}$ |
| Plaice | Faroe | 1967 | 69.8 | 7.9 | . 248 | . 0020 | -0.24 | . 248 | 9 |
| Plaice | Scotland | 1972 | 83.4 | 7.1 | . 113 | . 00014 | -1.18 | . 155 | $0^{7}+$ ㅇ $3+$ included |
| Plaice ${ }^{* * *}$ ) | Scotland | 1972 | 84.8 | 14.1 | . 105 | . 00026 | -1.55 | . 485 | $\sigma^{\circ}+93+$ excluded |
| Lemon sole | Faroe | 1967 | 36.7 | 53.6 | . 222 | . 138 | -2.55 | 84.21 |  |
| Lemon sole ${ }^{\text {\%** }}$ ) | Scotland | 1972 | 44.0 | 0.67 | . 175 | . 00043 | 0.05 | . 368 | $0^{7}+9$ 4+ excluded |
| Lemon sole | Scotland | 1972 | 44.6 | 0.70 | . 159 | . 00026 | -0.54 | . 242 | $0^{\circ}+9$ 4+ included |
| Lemon sole | Faroe | 1961 | 36.9 |  | . 223 |  | -2.32 |  | $\left.\sigma^{*} \quad *\right)$ |
| Lemon sole | Faroe | 1965 | 33.3 |  | . 591 |  | 1.20 |  | $\left.\sigma^{*} \quad *\right)$ |
| Lemon sole | Faroe | 1966 | 41.9 |  | . 253 |  | -0.55 |  | $\left.\sigma^{\pi} \quad *\right)$ |
| Lemon sole | Faroe | 1961 | 38.7 |  | . 372 |  | -0.15 |  | 9 *) |
| Lemon sole | Faroe | 1965 | 50.9 |  | . 072 |  | -8.67 |  | *) |
| Lemon sole | Faroe | 1966 | 40.4 |  | . 359 |  | -0.14 |  | \% *) |

*) From mean variance data.
**) Estimates used for yield calculation.

1) The estimation is done according to a programme running at the Danish Institute for Fisheries and Marine Research, Charlottenlund. By an iterative process a least square fit of the growth curve to the observed data is found.

### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

The Working Group was set up at the request of the Liaison Committee at the 1973 Statutory Meeting of ICES and a meeting was held in Charlottenlund from 4-8 March 1974. The following members partici-pated:-

| R de Clerck | Belgium |
| :--- | :--- |
| K Popp Madsen | Denmark |
| H Knudsen | Denmark |
| E Nielsen (Mrs) | Denmark |
| G Rauck | Germany |
| F Wagner | Germany |
| N Daan | Netherlan |
| J F de Veen | Netherlan |
| (Chairman, North Sea |  |
| Flatfish WG) |  |
| J Lahn-Johanessen | Norway |
| R Jones, Chairman | U.K. |
| M J Holden | U.K. |
| D W Armstrong | U.K. |
| R C A Bannister | U.K. |
| V Anthony | U.S.A. |
| E G Heyerdahl | U.S.A. |

Mr D de G Griffith, ICES Statistician, also took part in the discussions.
The principal objectives of the Group (see C.Res.1973/2:23) were to re-assess the state of cod, haddock and whiting stocks in the North Sea, and to recommend total allowable catches for these species. In addition, at the end of the meeting (see C.Res.1973/2:22) the North Sea Roundfish Working Group joined with the North Sea Flatfish Working Group to consider multi-species mesh assessments for cod, haddock, whiting, plaice and sole.

### 2.2 TRENDS IN LANDINGS

During the last decade, landings of cod, haddock and whiting have all been very high, relative to long-term landings. For each of these species the highest individual annual landings on record occurred during the period 1967-72.
Cod (Tables 1 and 2). During the period 1967-72, cod landings averaged 271000 tons. The highest landing was 346000 tons in 1972.

Haddock (Tables 3 and 4). During the period 1967-72, haddock landings averaged 348000 tons with values exceeding 600000 tons in 1969 and 1970.

Whiting (Tables 5 and 6). During the period 1967-72, whiting landings averaged 140000 tons with a maximum value of 215829 tons in 1969.

For all three species, the relatively very high level of landings has been largely due to good year classes. For example, the 1964, 1965, 1966 and 1970 year classes of cod have been good in all parts of the North Sea, as were those of 1963 and 1969 in the southern North Sea.

For haddock, the best year class recorded this century occurred in 1967 and this accounted for the extremely high landings in 1969 and 1970.
For whiting there was also a very good year class in 1967, and this contributed to the high landings in 1969.

The relatively high landings for the three species during the past decade are also partly due to the increase in exploitation by countries that in previous years did not land large quantities from the North Sea. In particular there has been a growth of the Danish fishery for industrial fish species to a high level in the course of which large quantities of young whiting and haddock have been caught in certain years. Also, there have been years when Soviet vessels have operated in the North Sea.
2.3 LANDINGS PER UNIT EFFORT (Table 7)

Landings per unit effort for cod, haddock and whiting by various classes of vessels are shown in Table 7. They largely reflect fluctuations in year class strengths although the years in which good year classes made their maximum impact varied with both area and gear.
2.4 EFFORT

The Group considered the value of making estimates of total fishing effort in units of particular kinds of gear. It was felt that the North Sea gadoid stocks were so heterogeneous and were fished in so many ways, and by so many different gears, that to measure total fishing effort in units of any one gear, might be misleading. No estimates of total fishing effort have therefore been calculated.
2.5 DATA FOR MAKING ASSESSMENTS

The principle data available for making assessments consisted of length and age composition data.

### 2.5.1 Length compositions

For each country, for which data were available, the length compositions have been raised to numbers landed per year. This was done by raising the numbers in each length composition so that the sum of the products of the numbers in each length group, times the average round fresh weights corresponding to each length group, equalled the weight of the landings for the species and country in question shown in "Bulletin Statistique".
In addition, estimates of the numbers discarded have been made by the Netherlands, so that for this country it has been possible to estimate numbers caught as well. (Figure l, and Tables 8-10).

Cod (Table 8). Cod length compositions have been supplied by Belgium, Denmark, France, England, Scotland and the Netherlands and these are shown in Table 8.

Haddock (Table 9). Haddock length composition data have been supplied by England, Scotland, Netherlands, Norway and U.S.S.R. For the Soviet fishery, the only data available were for the period 1964-70 from Anon. (1971), and these refer to numbers caught, not numbers landed.

Whiting(Table 10). Whiting length composition data were supplied by Belgium, Denmark, England, Scotland and the Netherlands. The

Group noted that the numbers of whiting taken by Denmark in their industrial fishery were disproportionately greater than those taken by the other countries for which length composition data were available. However, the estimates of the numbers landed by Danish vessels at each length are unreliable because there are few sampling data.

### 2.5.2 Age compositions

Estimates of the numbers of fish landed by age and year of capture have been determined. Computational details are summarised in Appendix 1 ( $p .56$ ). In addition estimates of the numbers discarded in each age group were made by the Netherlands and these data are shown in Table ll. It was noted that various methods of raising age compositions to total landings had been adopted. It was recommended that before the next meeting, the Group should try to standardise the procedure for doing this.
Cod (Tables 12 and 13)
For cod, separate estimates of numbers landed were made for Divisions IVa and IVb,c and the values are shown in Tables 12 and 13. In both areas, fish were mainly captured when two or three years of age, although in some years considerable numbers of one year old cod were taken, especially in Divisions IVb, c.

## Haddock (Table 14)

For haddock insufficient information was available to enable separate assessments to be made for different parts of the North Sea and estimates of the numbers landed from the entire North Sea are given in Table 14. Haddock were mainly captured when 2-4 years of age.

## Whiting (Table 15)

As in the case of haddock, it was not possible to make separate estimates for whiting for different parts of the North Sea. Table 15 shows estimates of the numbers landed by year and age group for the whole North Sea. This species was mainly taken as $0-4$ year old fish. The Group noted that all the 0-group, and a considerable proportion of the one year old fish were taken in the Danish industrial fishery, although, as mentioned under 2.5.l Length compositions, Whiting, these estimates were based on very inadequate sampling.

### 2.6 VIRTUAL POPULATION ANALYSIS (VPA)

As part of the stock assessment procedure, a VPA was done for each species, using estimates of the numbers caught in each year class and age group. For this purpose, the numbers landed given in Tables 12-15, together with the estimates of the numbers discarded by the Netherlands (Table ll) were used. Analyses were done for each year class. These provided estimates of instantaneous rates of fishing mortality ( $F$ ) and of numbers of fish in the sea and these are shown in Tables 16 to 23 , arranged by age group and year of capture.

### 2.6.1 Mortality rates

Estimates of the instantaneous fishing mortality rate (F) at each age and for each year are given in Tables 16-19. In each table the values of $F$ assumed initially, are shown in the penultimate right-hand column and in the bottom row.

It should be noted that because the analysis requires assumptions about the values of $F$ in the oldest age group sampled, in each year class the estimates for the three oldest age groups for the three most recent years are less reliable than the other estimates.

Cod (Tables 16 and 17)
Estimates of $F$ are given for cod in Divisions IVa and IVb, c, separately, in Tables 16 and 17. In both areas the values of $F$ obtained for the one year old fish were relatively low. For the older fish, in IVb, c, the values of $F$ tend to be highest for the younger age groups. In IVa, however, trends in $F$ with age are less noticeable. *)

Haddock (Table 18)
Estimates of $F$ by age and year of capture for this species are given in Table 18 for two values of the instantaneous rate of natural mortality (M). The values tend to increase with age.

Whiting (Table 19). Estimates for whiting of $F$ by age group and year of capture are shown in Table 19. Apart from 1969 and 1970, when high values of $F$ were obtained for 0 - and $2-g r o u p$ fish, there has been an increase in fishing mortality rate with increasing age.

### 2.6.2 Estimates of numbers in the sea

In addition to estimates of fishing mortality, the VPA provides estimates of actual numbers of fish in the sea at each age, and in each year. The results are shown for cod, haddock and whiting in. Tables 20-23.
2. 7 YEAR CLASS STRENGTH

Estimates of year class strength have been made both from the VPA for each species, and also from research vessel samples. These'are summarised and compared in Table 24.

### 2.8 STOCK ASSESSMENTS

Four different assessments have been made for each species. These deal with:-

```
Yields per recruit,
catch rates,
total allowable catch for 1975,
the effects of increase in mesh size.
```


### 2.8.1 Yields per recruit (Table 25)

Yields per recruit were calculated using the principle of the Beverton and Holt yield/recruit model modified to take account of variations in the fishing mortality rate with age. The calculations were made with reference to an estimated yield per recruit applicable to the present-day situation, using the arrays of $F$ at each age summarised in the extreme right-hand columns of Tables 16-19. It was

[^0]assumed that any given percentage change in fishing effort would cause the fishing mortality rate at each age to change by the same percentage. It was also assumed that any percentage change in the fishing mortality rates at each age, would apply equally to all gears and to all countries.
The effects of various percentage changes in the fishing mortality rates at each age were calculated using a modification of the numerical technique described by Jones (1961). Minor adjustments were made to the mean weights at age, to allow for the fact that changes in effort would alter the average age of capture within each age group. The results for various values of natural mortality are shown below.

Cod. The percentage changes in yield per recruit plotted against percentage changes in the fishing mortality rate (both with reference to present-day levels) are shown in Figure 2 and Table 25 for a value of $M=0.2$. The results show that the maximum sustainable yield per recruit should be attained if the fishing mortality rate were reduced by $60 \%$ of its current level. At this level of effort the yield per recruit should be about $33 \%$ higher than it is at present.

Haddock. For haddock, although no reliable estimates are available for M, unpublished data by Jones suggest that this may be rather higher than previously assumed. For this reason, yields per recruit were calculated assuming values of $M=0.2$ and also 0.3. The results are shown in Figure 3 and Table 25. These suggest that a reduction in effort should increase the yield per recruit if $\mathbb{M}=0.2$, but not if $M=0.3$.

Whiting. Since 1969, fishing mortality rates for whiting appear to have increased in the younger age groups (see Table 19) as a result of the large numbers of very young whiting landed recently (Table 15). Assessments were therefore made, starting with values of $F$ at each age for the periods 1967-68 and also 1969-71. Values of $M=0.2$ and 0.3 were also used.

The results suggest that prior to 1969, fishing effort may have been near the level required for obtaining the maximum yield per recruit. Since 1969, however, the level of fishing effort may have risen to a level in excess of that required for obtaining the maximum sustainable yield per recruit. Mean results are shown in Figure 4 and Table 25.

The Group wish to emphasize that these calculations refer only to the effects of changes in effort on yield per recruit, assuming no change in natural mortality or growth rate. In addition, for all three years, actual yields (as distinct from yield per recruit) could be influenced if the mean level of recruitment were to change with changes in stock size. At present there are insufficient data to allow for these factors.

### 2.8.2 Catch levels

Changes in fishing effort can be expected to influence catch rates (i.e. catches per unit fishing time) as well as total yield.

For all three species, it is expected that catch rates should improve if fishing effort were reduced. Conversely, any increase in fishing effort should cause catch rates to decline.

The Group made two estimates of TAC:
a) that which would prevent the fishing mortality rate from increasing above its current level.
b) that which would reduce the fishing mortality rate by 50-60\%, this being the reduction required to obtain the maximum sustainable yield per recruit for cod.

The values (in tons) recommended under each of these headings were as follows:-
(a)

| Cod | 250000 | 130 | 000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Haddock | 240000 | 140000 |  |
| Whiting | 190 | 000 | 110 |

The Group noted that for haddock and whiting, the TACs were particularly dependent on the estimates of the most recent year class strengths. To date, the estimates of these are unreliable and for this reason less confidence can be placed on the estimates of TAC for haddock and whiting than on those for cod.
2.8.4 The effect of changes in mesh size

Assessments were made of the effect of changes in mesh size using the method described by Gulland (1961), modified to take account of ways in which fish released by one nation may become available to capture by other nations. It was assumed that the fishing mortality rates at each age remained constant at their present level.

Information on the mesh sizes at present in use was taken from the Cooperative Research Report for 1969 and this is shown in Table 26 together with the selection factors used for each species and values of the $25 \%, 50 \%$ and $75 \%$ selection lengths.
Values of the mesh sizes in use which were used in the calculations are shown in Table 27 along with other selectivity data. Assessments were made for increases in mesh size to 85 and 90 mm for haddock and whiting and for an increase to 90 mm only for cod. (All mesh sizes refer to double synthetic twines.)
Cod, haddock and whiting released in some parts of the sea would not necessarily become available to vessels fishing in all other parts of the sea. Consequently, assumptions have to be made about the ways in which released fish would become distributed. Due to lack of time, it was not possible to do this for a full range of possibilities. Consequently, Table 28 gives only one possible set of estimates for each year.

### 2.8.4.1 Immediate effects

Cod. For cod, the immediate effects on landings of an increase in mesh size to 90 mm would be a loss of $2 \%-3 \%$.

Haddock. Mesh increases should lead to the following percentage losses in landings:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \%-8 \% \text { for an increase to } 85 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& 6 \%-13 \% \text { for an increase to } 90 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Whiting. Mesh increases should lead to the following percentage losses in landings:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 19 \%-37 \% \text { for an increase to } 85 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& 35 \%-52 \% \text { for an increase to } 90 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.8.4.2 Long-term effects

Cod. The long-term effect of an increase in mesh size to 90 mm would be a gain of about $10 \%$.

Haddock. Increases in mesh size should lead to the following: overall long-term gains in landings for United Kingdom and Netherlands vessels:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \% \text { for an increase to } 85 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& 8 \% \text { for an increase to } 90 \mathrm{~mm} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Whiting. Increases in mesh size should lead to the following overall long-term gain in landings for United Kingdom and Netherlands vessels:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 14 \% \text { for an increase to } 85 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& 18 \% \text { for an increase to } 90 \mathrm{~mm} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

For this species the assessments were made on the assumption that there was no change in the mesh size used in the Danish industrial fishery. Any increase in the mesh size used by these vessels should lead to gains by the vessels of other countries. The Group did not, however, take time to calculate how large these gains should be. *)
2.9 SUNIVARY

The principle objectives of the meeting were to assess the state of the cod, haddock and whiting stocks in the North Sea, to recommend total allowable catches for these species, and to calculate the effects of changes in mesh size.

For cod the present level of fishing mortality rate is higher than that required for obtaining the maximum sustainable yield per recruit. This should be attained by reducing fishing mortality rate at each age by about $40-60 \%$ below its present level, which should increase the yield per recruit by about $33 \%$.

For haddock and whiting, fishing effort is probably also too high, although for these species it is more difficult to determine by how much effort should be reduced in order to obtain the maximum sustainable yield per recruit.

[^1]These assessments have been made on the assumption that all fisheries reduce their effort by proportionately the same amounts.

For all three species, a reduction in effort should lead to an increase in the catch per unit effort.
Two estimates of TAC for 1975 were made for each species. These were:-
a) The TAC required to prevent fishing mortality rate from increasing above its present level.
b) The TAC required to reduce the fishing mortality rate by $50-60 \%$ of its present level.

The recommended TACs (in tons) were as follows:-

## (a)

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Cod } & 250000 & 130000 \\ \text { Haddock } & 240000 & 140000 \\ \text { Whiting } & 190000 & 110000\end{array}$

The effects of increases in mesh size to 85 and 90 mm (all mesh sizes refer to double synthetic twine) were considered for countries for which recent length composition data were available.

Due to lack of time, however, assessments were made for only one of a number of possible assumptions about the way in which fish released by one nation might become available for capture by other nations.

For cod, an increase in mesh size to 90 mm should lead to small gains for U.K. and Dutch vessels.

For haddock and whiting, an increase in mesh size to 90 mm should lead to overall gains for U.K. and Dutch vessels. Seperate estimates for English, Scottish and Dutch vessels are given in Table 28.
2.10 RECOMMENDATIONS
(i) The Working Group recommended that those countries that do not at present collect cod, haddock and whiting length composition data should do so. If possible, age composition material should also be collected.
(ii) The Working Group recommended that further assessments should be made to assess the effects of change in mesh size, and also to assess the effects of simultaneous changes in mesh size and fish effort.
(iii) The Working Group recommended that before their next meeting, a standard procedure should be agreed, by correspondence, for estimating the numbers landed at each age.

### 2.11 REFERENCES

ANON., 1971. Preliminary Report of the North Sea Roundfish Working Group. ICES, C.M.1971/F:4 (mimeo).

GULLAND, J. A., 1961. The estimation of the effect on catches of changes in gear selectivity. J.Cons.int. Explor.Mer, 26(2):204-214.

JONES, R., 1961. The assessment of the long-term effects of changes in gear selectivity and fishing effort. J.Mar.Res., 1961, (2).

## JOINT STATEMENT BY THE NORTH SEA ROUNDFISH AND FLATFISH"

WORKING GROUPS

The two Working Groups met for a short time on 8 March to make a multispecies mesh assessment. This was discussed but it was agreed that at present there were neither the data nor the biological knowledge necessary for doing this. The Working Groups therefore had no alternative but to make mesh assessments for each species separately, and to consider the implications of the results in a general way. This was done for cod, haddock, whiting, sole and plaice.

It was agreed that there should be a long-term gain to the fishery for each species from an increase in mesh size to at least 90 mm . The effect of this on national fisheries is shown in Table 28.
-০-০-০-
*)
Report of the North Sea Flatfish Working Group, ICES, Doc. C.M.1974/F:6 (mimeo).

## APPENDIX 1

## COD

## Section 1. 1963-67:

## Division IVa:

1. English and Scottish age compositions from Statistical News Letters (SNL) were calculated in the manner described in Section 2.
2. For other nations the age distributions for Scottish northern and north central areas were raised to total landings of all other nations by weight.

## Divisiors IVb, c

1. English age compositions were calculated in the manner described in Section 2.
2. For other nations an age composition was derived from the English and Netherlands age compositions for 1968 and 1969 as shown in the Worksheet (p. 58).

Section 2. 1968 onwards:

## Belgium

Total landings included in IVb,c stock.
1968-69 Length distributions converted to age distributions using Netherlands age/length key (ALK).
1970- Age compositions from SNL.
England
1963-
Division IVa Age composition of landings at North Shields (SNL) raised to English total landings by weight.

Divisions IVb,c
1963 Age compositions of landings at Grimsby (SNL) raised to total English landings by weight.
1964- Age compositions of landings at Grimsby and Lowestoft (SNL) raised to total English landings by weight.

## Denmark

Total landings included in IVb,c stock.
1968-70 Age composition of cod caught by Grimsby seiners raised by weight.
1971- Length distribution in SNL converted to age distributions using English (Grimsby) ALK, on a quarterly basis.

## Faroes

Total landings from Division IVa.
1968- Age composition data for Scottish northern and north central areas raised to total landings..

## Federal Republic of Germany

1968-
Division IVa Scottish age compositions for northern and north central areas raised by weight.

Divisions IVb, c Netherlands age composition for southern area raised by weight.

## France

1968 -
Division IVa (as for Federal Republic of Germany).
Divisions IVb,c French length distributions converted to age distributions using English (Lowestoft) ALK: on an annual basis for 1968-69, and on a quarterly basis for 1970.

## Netherlands

Age data available from SNL.
Division IVa North and east areas.
Divisions IVb,c South and west areas.

Poland
(as for Faroes)
Scotland
Total landings from Division IVa.
1963- Landings from northern and north central areas given in SNL taken as actual landings from these areas. Age composition data for remaining areas then raised to total landings given in Bulletin Statistique (Bull.Stat.) minus landings from northern and north central areas.
U.S.S.R.
(as for Faroes).

## EXPLANATORY NOTE

"Raised by weight": in all cases the age compositions have been raised to total weight of cod landed by each country from each ICES Division by multiplying by the ratio:

Total weight landed from ICES Division
Total weight of cod corresponding to age distributions used

$\mathrm{A}=$ English age composition by numbers $\times 10^{-3}$ for 1968-69.
$B=$ Netherlands age composition by numbers $x 10^{-3}$ for 1968-69.
$\overline{\mathrm{W}}=$ mean weight (g).
$D=$ English age composition by numbers $\times 10^{-3}$ for 1967 .
C.W.D. = estimated weight of "other nations" landings for 1967, total $=44$ ll4 tons.
$R=$ ratio of actual weight of "other nations" landings for 1967 to those of estimated landings. $\Sigma=$ derived "other nations" age composition in numbers $\times 10^{-3}$.
$(p)=$ this figure then divided into appropriate older age groups by reference to English data.

Derived "other nations" age compositions for subsequent years are obtained by substituting English age compositions at 'D'.

WORKSHEET: Method of deriving "other nations" age compositions for the period 1963-67 for Divisions IVb,c; example for 1967.

## HADDOCK

1) Scotland - Trawl.

Seine.
2) England - Trawl.

Seine.

## 路

Scottish trawl age frequencies were adjusted by the sum of products (SOP)* to agree with total Scottish North Sea landings by trawlers (Scottish Sea Fisheries Statistical Tables).
Scottish seine age frequencies were adjusted by SOP, to agree with total Scottish North Sea landings by all gears other than trawl (Scottish Sea Fisheries Statistical Tables).

English length frequencies (SNL) for Lowestoft, Grimsby and North Shields were combined and converted to age frequencies using Scottish ALK for central and north central areas. These age frequencies were adjusted by SOP to agree with the total English North Sea landings by trawlers (English Sea Fisheries Statistical Tables).
(ne.
As for trawlers using length frequencies (SNL) for North Shields and adjusting the resultant age frequencies by SOP to agree with the English North Sea landings by all gears other than trawl (English Sea Fisheries Statistical Tables).
3) Netherlands (data for 1967-72 only) - Age frequencies (SNL) for otter and herring trawl, pair trawl, and beam trawl, were combined and adjusted by SOP to agree with the Netherlands North Sea landings.
4) U.S.S.R. (data for 1966-70 only). Data in Anon. (1971) were adjusted by SOP to agree with the total U.S.S.R. North Sea landings.
5) The data for Scottish, English, Netherlands and U.S.S.R. trawlers were added within years and adjusted by SOP to agree with the total North Sea landings for all countries except Denmark.
6) The English and Scottish seine data were then combined with the data obtained in (5).
7) The data obtained in (6) were then adjusted by SOP to agree with the total North Sea landings for all countries (i.e. including Denmark).

[^2]
## WHITING

1) Scotland - Trawl. Scottish trawl age frequencies adjusted by SOP to agree with the total Scottish North Sea landings by trawlers (from the Scottish Sea Fisheries Statistical Tables).
Seine. Scottish seine age frequencies were adjusted by SOP to agree with the total Scottish North Sea landings by all gears other than trawl (Scottish Sea Fisheries Statistical Tables).
2) England - Trawl. i) 1959-69 trawler length frequencies (SNL) for Lowestoft, North Shields and Grimsby were combined and converted to age frequencies using Scottish ALK for central and north central areas. These age frequencies were adjusted by SOP to agree with the English North Sea landings by trawlers (English Sea Fisheries Statistical Tables).
ii) 1970 Lowestoft and North Shields inshore age distributions (SNL) were added and raised to include the numbers of whiting landed by Grimsby and North Shield offshore trawlers. This age frequency was adjusted to SOP to agree with (Bull. Stat.IVa + IVb English landings) minus (English landings from the North Sea by all gears other than trawl).
iii) The 1971 North Shields offshore age frequency was added to the Lowestoft and North Shields inshore age frequencies (SNL). The resulting age frequency was raised to include the numbers landed by trawlers at Grimsby, and then adjusted by SOP as in (ii) above.

Seine. i) 1959-70 North Shields seine length frequencies were converted to age frequencies using Scottish ALK for central and north central areas. These age frequencies were adjusted by SOP to agree with the English North Sea landings by all gears other than trawl (English Sea Fisheries Statistical Tables).
ii) 1971. The North Shields age frequencies were adjusted by SOP as in (i) above.
3) Netherlands
i) 1959-60 length frequencies (SNL) were added to give IVa + IVb and IVc length frequencies. ALKs supplied by Netherlands laboratory used to convert these length frequencies to IVa $+I V b$ and IVc age frequencies respectively. IVa + IVb age frequencies were adjusted by SOP to agree with IVa + IVb Netherlands landings. The IVc age frequency was similarly adjusted to give IVc Netherlands landings.
ii) 1961-68. SNL age frequencies were added to give IVa + IVb and IVc age frequencies. These were adjusted by SOP to agree with IVa + IVb and IVc landings respectively.

Netherlands (ctd) iii) 1969-71. IVc beam trawl age frequencies (SNL) were adjusted by SOP to agree with IVc beam trawl landings (SNL). Pair trawl age frequencies (SNL) were treated similarly. Otter and herring trawl age frequencies (SNL) were adjusted by SOP to agree with (IVc Netherlands total landings) minus (IVc beam trawl + IVc pair trawl).
The IVa + IVb otter and herring trawl age frequencies (SNL) were adjusted by SOP to agree with Netherlands IVa + IVb landings.
4) Denmark

Annual length frequencies were available for the period 1959-66 (Coop.Res.Rep., 1969, Ser.A, No.9) and for 1970 and 1971 (supplied by the Danish Fisheries Laboratory). It was assumed that all the fish in these length frequencies were landed in the second half of the year, and age frequencies were derived from them using Scottish research vessels ALKs (taken from the second half of the year). Note: a proportion of the fish were landed in the first half of the year, and during this period $1+$ whiting have a very similar length frequency distribution to that of $0+$ whiting in the second half of the year. This means that, since an age/length key constructed from data collected in the second half of the year has been used, the number of $0+$ whiting has been overestimated and the number of l+ whiting has been underestimated.
For the period 1967-69, no length frequencies were available. It was therefore assumed that the "shape" of the age frequency in each of these years was the same as that in the corresponding year in the period 1962-64. (Note: these periods were chosen because the 1962 and the 1967 whiting year classes were both relatively large). Total numbers at age in the period 1967-69 were then estimated on the basis of the ratios of the weights landed in corresponding years, e.g.
1967 age frequency $=1962$ age frequency x $\frac{1967 \text { wt landed }}{1962 \text { wt landed }}$
5) Age frequencies for Scottish trawl, English trawl and Netherlands IVa + IVb otter and herring trawl were then combined within years. These age frequencies were adjusted by SOP to agree with the IVa + IVb landings for all countries except Denmark and France.
6) Netherlands otter and herring trawl data for IVc were raised by SOP to agree with the IVc total landings by all countries except Denmark and France.
7) The data derived in (5) and (6) were combined and raised by SOP to agree with the total North Sea landings by all countries except Denmark.
8) Data for Scottish and English seiners, Netherlands beam and pair trawl and the Danish landings were then combined with the data derived in (7) to produce the grand total.

## APPENDIX 2

## MEAN WEIGHTS-AT-AGE USED FOR WORKING GROUP ASSESSMENTS

(round fresh weight, g)

| Age Group | Whiting | Haddock | Cod |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All areas | All areas | IVa | IVb, c |
| 0 | 126 | - | - | - |
| 1 | 213 | 230 | 420 | 610 |
| 2 | 241 | 280 | 780 | 1190 |
| 3 | 267 | 410 | 2270 | 3010 |
| 4 | 310 | 580 | 4210 | 5090 |
| 5 | 377 | 710 | 6280 | 7060 |
| 6 | 471 | 940 | 8260 | 8740 |
| 7 | 563 | 1210 | 10010 | 10100 |
| 8 | 690 | 1500 | 11510 | 11160 |

Table l. Nominal catch of Cod by country in metric tons according to "Bulletin Statistique" for 1967-72, with provisional figures for 1973.

| Year | Belgium | Denmark | Fingland | France | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Germany } \\ & \text { (Fed.Rep.) } \end{aligned}$ | Netherlands | Norway | Scotland | Sweden | Poland | USSR | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 18641 | 38090 | 48964 | 13988 | 25038 | 23162 | 5720 | 38943 | 11770 | 1677 | 23810 | - | 249803 |
| 1968 | 23018 | 47293 | 61616 | 19981 | 34005 | 30004 | 8284 | 46143 | 12717 | 664 | 1589 | - | 285314 |
| 1969 | 13470 | 36986 | 44263 | 10460 | 20625 | 19511 | 8953 | 33208 | 8401 | 136 | 2970 | 52 | 199035 |
| 1970 | 8076 | 40017 | 38464 | 16058 | 20093 | 25212 | 5374 | 30079 | 8925 | 219 | 32174 | 78 | 224769 |
| 1971 | 19334 | 68179 | 55525 | 24254 | 46647 | 46614 | 7732 | 37229 | 9062 | 178 | 5153 | 124 | 320031 |
| 1972 | 21133 | 72520 | 62503 | 23507 | 49431 | 47634 | 4377 | 55190 | 8769 | 189 | 774 | 284 | 346275 |
| 1973* | 9403 | 49372 | 46286 | 21000 | 22324 | 25294 | 5600 | 48805 |  | 1551 |  |  |  |

* Estimated values for some countries.

Table 2. Nominal catch of Cod in the North Sea by Divisions in 100 metric
tons according to "Bulletin Statistique" for 1967-72.

| Year | IVa | IVb | IVc | No split | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 899 | 1343 | 256 | - | 2498 |
| 1968 | 741 | 1759 | 353 | - | 2853 |
| 1969 | 558 | 1220 | 212 | - | 1990 |
| 1970 | 796 | 1103 | 349 | - | 2248 |
| 1971 | 668 | 1850 | 682 | - | 3200 |
| 1972 | 800 | 2151 | 512 | - | 3463 |

Table 3. Nominal catch of North Sea Haddock by country in metric tons according to
"Bulletin Statistique" for 1967-72, with provisional figures for 1973.

| Year | Belgium | Denmark | England | France | $\begin{gathered} \text { Germany } \\ (\text { Fed.Rep.) } \end{gathered}$ | Netherlands | Norway | Scotland | Sweden | J.S.S.R. | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 1218 | 25010 | 8367 | 8325 | 1872 | 8856 | 787 | 70916 | 7633 | - 34333 | 91 | 167408 |
| 1968 | 873 | 39101 | 8800 | 4788 | 2268 | 7301 | 524 | 65304 | 5770 | 4724 | 16 | 139469 |
| 1969 | 4753 | 316516 | 14090 | 7562 | 3376 | 13233 | 792 | 70253 | 5108 | 203488 | 4 | 639175 |
| 1970 | 3691 | 158276 | 19500 | 10392 | 5075 | 8278 | 963 | 112952 | 8704 | 344000 | - | 671831 |
| 1971 | 971 | 31043 | 16648 | 8436 | 3045 | 6914 | 1063 | 121539 | 5857 | 62398 | 1 | 257915 |
| 1972 | 1601 | 34858 | 20827 | 7595 | 4020 | 5188 | 1146 | 96197 | 5305 | 36467 | 38 | 213247 |
| 1973* | 1869 | 13834 | 16200 | 9000 | 3117 | 3102 | 5000 | 88130 |  |  | 2553 |  |

[^3]Table 4. Nominal catch of Haddock in the North Sea by Divisions in 100 metric tons according to "Bulletin Statistique" for 1967-72.

| Year | IVa | IVb | IVc | No split | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 1225 | 448 | 0.5 | - | 1674 |
| 1968 | 753 | 627 | 14 | - | 1395 |
| 1969 | 2719 | 3618 | 54 | - | 6392 |
| 1970 | 4556 | 2126 | 35 | - | 618 |
| 1971 | 1970 | 582 | 26 | - | 278 |
| 1972 | 1347 | 753 | 31 | - | 231 |

Table 5. Nominal catch of North Sea Whiting by country in metric tons according to "Bulletin Statistique" for 1967-72, with provisional figures for 1973.

| Year | Belgium | Denmark | England | France | $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Germany } \\ (\mathrm{Fed} \cdot \operatorname{Rep} \cdot \end{array}\right)$ | Netherlands | Norway | Scotland | Sweden | Poland | USSR | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 3063 | 22952 | 3580 | 16683 | 612 | 9567 | 55 | 30266 | 1771 | 2 | 2694 | 91245 |
| 1968 | 2978 | 57367 | 3123 | 25267 | 698 | 13127 | 55 | 30286 | 1501 | - | 10518 | 144920 |
| 1969 | 2410 | 142622 | 2268 | 25602 | 542 | 15181 | 32 | 20573 | 1090 | - | 5509 | 215829 |
| 1970 | 2.799 | 102698 | 3398 | 25842 | 392 | 10115 | 43 | 21080 | 820 | - | 14319 | 181506 |
| 1971 | 2108 | 55618 | 4158 | 15863 | 233 | 6322 | 25 | 26755 | 616 | - | 541 | 112239 |
| 1972 | 2745 | 50109 | 3789 | 19171 | 264 | 7613 | 28 | 23846 | 596 | - | 613 | 108774 |
| 1973* | 2830 | 74743 | 4153 | 20000 | 200 | 10141 | 25 | 20688 |  | 7 |  | 132787 |

* Estimated values for some countries.

Table 6. Nominal catch of Whiting in the North Sea by Divisions in 100 metric tons according to "Bulletin Statistique" for 1967-72.

| Year | IVa | IVb | IVc | No <br> split | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 432 | 414 | 66 | - |  |
| 1968 | 517 | 769 | 163 | - | 12449 |
| 1969 | 296 | 1 | 582 | 112 | - |
| 1970 | 322 | 1 | 260 | 233 | - |
| 1971 | 226 | 707 | 188 | - | 1815 |
| 1972 | 322 | 667 | 98 | - | 122 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7. Landings per unit effort by commercial vessels (gutted weight).

|  | COD |  |  |  | HADDOCK |  | WHITING |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | Cotland <br> (1) | Nether- <br> lands <br> $(2)$ | Fngland <br> $(3)$ | Scotland <br> $(1)$ | England <br> $(3)$ | Scotland <br> $(1)$ |  |
| 1967 | 5.1 | 2.20 | 3.36 | 12.8 | 0.77 | 3.8 | 0.28 |  |
| 1968 | 5.0 | 5.00 | 4.58 | 10.4 | 0.80 | 3.2 | 0.26 |  |
| 1969 | 5.2 | 2.35 | 3.23 | 11.3 | 1.30 | 2.6 | 0.20 |  |
| 1970 | 5.2 | 1.65 | 2.69 | 23.4 | 1.83 | 2.9 | 0.33 |  |
| 1971 | 4.0 | 5.12 | 4.23 | 23.6 | 1.66 | 3.6 | 0.33 |  |
| 1972 | 5.8 | 4.78 | 4.38 | 15.4 | 1.96 | 3.0 | 0.30 |  |
| 1973 | 5.6 | 2.03 | 3.33 | 11.5 | 1.45 | 2.4 | 0.37 |  |

(1) From Scottish trawl statistics (tons/100 hrs fishing).
(2) Tons/l00 hrs fishing (beam trawl, Southern Bight) (winter).
(3) Metric tons gutted /l00 hrs fishing by motor trawlers longer than 12 m .

Table 8. Cod. Numbers (millions) at each length.*

| Length (cm) | Denmark ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | England ${ }^{2)}$ |  |  | Scotland ${ }^{\text {2) }}$ | Netherlands 3 ) |  | Belgium ${ }^{\text {4) }}$ | France ${ }^{5}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trawl: } \\ & \text { otter } \end{aligned}$ | N.Shields Seine | Grimsby Seine |  | Landings | Catch |  |  |
| 5-9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-29 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 6.4 |  |  |
| 30-34 | 1.15 | 0.48 | 0.36 | 0.02 | 2.83 | 2.9 | 10.0 | 1.55 | 1.36 |
| 35-39 | 6.54 | 1.61 | 0.77 | 0.11 | 5.22 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 3.81 | 3.24 |
| 40-44 | 9.66 | 1.95 | 0.59 | 0.29 | 4.44 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 3.31 | 2.08 |
| 45-49 | 7.88 | 1.61 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 3.26 | $4 \cdot 5$ | $4 \cdot 5$ | 2.27 | 2.01 |
| 50-54 | 5.09 | 1.19 | 0.30 | 0.51 | 2.41 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.18 | 1.08 |
| 55-59 | 3.70 | 0.89 | 0.19 | 0.34 | 1.90 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.98 | 0.77 |
| 60-64 | 2.54 | 0.66 | 0.13 | 0.27 | 1.31 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.57 | 0.46 |
| 65-69 | 1.49 | 0.50 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.94 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.32 | 0.30 |
| 70-74 | 0.96 | 0.36 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.74 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.15 | 0.27 |
| 75-79 | 0.43 | 0.27 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.62 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.07 | 0.22 |
| 80-84 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.06 | 0.17 | 0.49 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.04 | 0.08 |
| 85-89 | 0.38 | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.34 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 90-94 | 0.38 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.02 | + |
| 95-99 | 0.43 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.01 | + |
| 100-104 | 0.38 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.07 |  | + |
| 105-109 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.003 | 0.02 |  | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |  |
| 110-114 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.01 |  | 0.008 | 0.008 |  |  |
| 115-119 | 0.04 | 0.01 | + | 0.002 |  | 0.003 | 0.003 |  |  |
| 120-124 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 125-129 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 41.72 | 10.5 | 3.2 | $3 \cdot 3$ | 25.1 | 26.4 | 40.5 | 14.3 | 11.89 |

*) Netherlands - landings and catch.
I) 1972 .
2) Mean 1969-73.
3) Mean 1969-72.
4) Mean 1971-73.
5) Mean landings from Divisions IVb,c for the years 1967-72.

Table 9. Haddock. Numbers (millions) at each length.*

| Length (cm) | England ${ }^{\text {l }}$ |  | Scotland ${ }^{2}$ ) |  | USSR ${ }^{3}$ ) | Netherlands ${ }^{4}$ ) |  | Norway 5 ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trawl | Seine | Trawl | - Seine |  | Landings | Catch |  |
| 10-14 |  |  |  |  | 0.6 |  |  | 25.7 |
| 15-19 |  |  |  |  | 5.1 |  |  | 6.3 |
| 20-24 | - | - |  |  | 40.5 | 0.01 | 2.4 | 5.6 |
| 25-29 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 6.5 | 21.1 | 166.1 | 1.3 | 20.9 | 4.5 |
| 30-34 | 5.8 | 3.0 | 27.1 | 47.6 | 198.8 | 8.4 | 13.7 | 2.0 |
| 35-39 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 28.8 | 32.4 | 62.1 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 1.3 |
| 40-44 | 4.9 | 1.3 | 12.1 | 14.2 | 15.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0.4 |
| 45-49 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.05 |
| 50-54 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - |
| 55-59 | 0.3 | 0.05 | 0.3 | 0.5 |  | 0.05 | 0.05 | _ |
| $>60$ | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.2 |  | 0.03 | 0.03 | - |
| Total | 20.6 | 8.3 | 79.7 | 122.8 | 495.1 | 18.5 | 45.9 | 45.8 |

* Landings for United Kingdom, Netherlands and Norway. Catches for USSR and Netherlands.

1) Mean 1967-73.
2) Mean 1967-72.
3) Mean 1967-70.
4) Mean 1969-72.
5) 1973. Estimates based on samples of landings for reduction purposes from the northern North Sea.

Table 10. Whitinge Numbers (millions) at each length.

| Length (cm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Denmark }{ }^{\text {I }} \\ & (\text { Trawl) } \end{aligned}$ | England ${ }^{\text {2) }}$ |  | Scotland 3 ) |  | Netherlands ${ }^{4}$ ) |  | $\text { Belgium } 5 \text { ) }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Trawl | Seine | Trawl | Seine | Landings | Catch |  |
| 5-9 | 147 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-14 | 415 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 402 |  |  |  |  | 0.01 | 0.2 |  |
| 20-24 | 291 | 0.1 | 0.02 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 30.1 | 0.01 |
| 25-29 | 120 | 2.1 | 1.1 | $5 \cdot 5$ | 22.4 | 11.0 | 52.5 | 0.40 |
| 30-34 | 17 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 12.3 | 28.0 | 13.8 | 14.8 | 3.55 |
| 35-39 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 6.4 | 9.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 1.90 |
| 40-44 |  | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.38 |
| 45-49 |  | 0.1 | 0.03 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.04 |
| 50-54 |  | 0.01 | + | 0.09 | 0.1 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.01 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 55-59 \\ & >60 \end{aligned}$ |  | + |  | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |  |  |
| Total | 1393 | $7 \cdot 7$ | 5.1 | 26.7 | 63.3 | 31.4 | 102.7 | 6.3 |

* Landings for all countries plus catches for the Netherlands.

1) Mean 1970-71.
2) Mean 1967-73 (including an estimate of discards).
3) Mean 1967-72.
4) Mean 1969-72 - all gears.
5) Mean 1971-72.

Table 11. Whiting and Haddock. Estimates of numbers (millions) discarded by the Dutch fleet (all years).

| WHITING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age Year } \\ & \text { Group } \end{aligned}$ | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 |
| 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | 8.9 | 1.4 | 26.3 | 85.8 | 28.0 | 48.7 | 40.6 | 38.0 | 40.0 | 88.8 | 17.6 | 19.7 | 67.7 | 80.0 |
| 2 | 8.9 | 8.4 | 25.5 | 12.6 | 38.9 | 7.1 | 19.9 | 21.2 | 11.8 | 19.2 | 30.6 | 3.7 | 9.9 | 28.3 |
| 3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| HADDOCK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 |  |  | $4 \cdot 4$ | 9.2 | 4.8 | 174.6 | 2.0 | 48.4 | 1.4 | 22.3 | 138.2 | 12.0 | 0.9 | 9.7 |
| 2 |  |  |  | - | - | - | 14.0 | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |

Table 12. Northern North Sea Cod. (Division IVa).
All countries. Numbers landed (in millions).

| Age Year <br> group | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 10.4 | 2.1 |
| 2 | 25.0 | 10.0 | 9.4 | 21.2 | 32.3 | 18.5 | 14.4 | 9.7 | 25.5 | 48.8 |
| 3 | 3.0 | 12.0 | 7.5 | 6.7 | 14.5 | 11.4 | 10.8 | 11.2 | 2.4 | 10.9 |
| 4 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 7.1 | 4.9 | 2.8 | 1.2 |
| 5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| 6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 7 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.14 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 8 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.2 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.08 |
| 9 | - | 0.3 | - | - | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| 10 | - | - | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| 11 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.04 | 0.02 | - | - |  |
| Total | 31.9 | 26.5 | 30.3 | 40.9 | 59.8 | 36.1 | 35.8 | 32.4 | 43.3 | 65.0 |

Table 13. Southern North Sea Cod. (Divisions IVb,c).
All countries. Numbers landed (in millions).

| Age Year <br> group | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 16.2 | 46.0 | 31.5 | 73.5 | 58.0 | 5.6 | 2.9 | 38.7 | 43.8 | 2.4 |
| 2 | 13.0 | 13.9 | 58.3 | 51.1 | 50.6 | 61.6 | 8.6 | 18.3 | 125.5 | 147.0 |
| 3 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 6.2 | 22.1 | 12.7 | 25.6 | 20.9 | 5.3 | 12.3 | 32.9 |
| 4 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 3.2 | 3.9 |
| 5 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 2.9 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 1.0 |
| 6 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.4 |
| 7 | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| 8 | 0.4 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.09 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| 9 | - | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.3 |
| 10 | - | - | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.08 |
| 11 | - | - | - | 0.03 | - | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.06 | - |
| 12 | - | - | - | - | - | -0101 | - | 0.02 | 0.03 | - |
| 13 |  | - | - | - | - | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 37.6 | 67.8 | 99.2 | 151.7 | 130.8 | 107.8 | 46.5 | 76.8 | 192.5 | 191.9 |

Table 14. North Sea Haddock. All countries. Numbers landed (in millions)


Table 15. North Sea Whiting. All countries. Numbers landed (in millions).

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age Year } \\ & \text { group } \end{aligned}$ | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 20.2 | 16.2 | 145.6 | 57.8 | 64.3 | 198.8 | 35.8 | 26.5 | 149.7 | 88.0 | 1079.4 | 1032.8 | 937.9 |
| 1 | 50.9 | 91.9 | 92.2 | 67.1 | 271.6 | 61.8 | 79.0 | 264.4 | 107.6 | 387.6 | 305.4 | 459.6 | 207.8 |
| 2 | 71.3 | 50.2 | 130.8 | 72.5 | 212.6 | 149.7 | 46.9 | 173.6 | 66.8 | 231.4 | 479.0 | 24.5 | 36.5 |
| 3 | 41.8 | 73.2 | 84.7 | 90.6 | 56.9 | 106.5 | 217.2 | 64.5 | 72.7 | 76.4 | 105.5 | 351.9 | 12.8 |
| 4 | 81.3 | 12.4 | 24.9 | 26.8 | 35.0 | 21.7 | 65.2 | 197.7 | 20.7 | 45.2 | 27.2 | 40.9 | 111.5 |
| 5 | 24.7 | 17.7 | 1.5 | 6.4 | 8.2 | 12.4 | 8.3 | 29.8 | 58.7 | 7.6 | 11.1 | 10.6 | 12.4 |
| 6 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 7.5 | 32.1 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 2.3 |
| 7 | 14.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.01 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 7.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| 8 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.04 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 0.8 |
| Total | 310.6 | $267 \cdot 3$ | 484.0 | 322.84 | 650.4 | $554 \cdot 7$ | 457.2 | 761.7 | 484.9 | 871.5 | 2018.0 | 1927.4 | 1322.8 |

Table 16. Northern North Sea Cod (Division IVa).
Estimates of the fishing mortality rate (F)
from Virtual Population Analysis for $M=0.2$.

| Age <br> group Year | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | Current <br> values* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.05 | - | - |  |
| 2 | 0.64 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 0.70 | 0.56 | 0.51 | 0.93 | 0.71 | - | 0.05 |
| 3 | 0.41 | 0.74 | 0.60 | 0.76 | 0.94 | 0.58 | 0.76 | 0.98 | 0.62 | 0.77 | 0.72 |
| 4 | 0.37 | 0.56 | 0.69 | 0.68 | 0.81 | 0.50 | 0.90 | 0.98 | 0.71 | 0.77 | 0.86 |
| 5 | 0.43 | 0.54 | 0.75 | 0.84 | 0.51 | 0.97 | 1.01 | 0.83 | 0.77 | 0.94 |  |
| 6 | 0.49 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 0.55 | 0.85 | 0.43 | 0.85 | 0.83 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.82 |
| 7 |  | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.39 | 0.53 | 0.62 | 0.51 | 0.73 | 0.65 | 0.78 | 0.77 |
| 8 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.92 | 0.98 | 0.71 | 0.48 | 0.40 | 0.75 | 0.70 | 0.77 | 0.72 |
| 9 | 4.40 | 1.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.98 | 0.15 | 0.43 | 0.73 | 0.42 | 0.77 | 0.62 |
| 10 | 0.44 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.77 | 0.46 | 0.64 | 0.86 | 0.75 | 0.77 |  |

## Table 17. Southern North Sea Cod (Division IVb, c). <br> Estimates of the fishing mortality rate (F) <br> from Virtual Population Analysis for $M=0.2$.

|  | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current } \\ & \text { values* } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.34 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.43 | 0.34 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.15 | - | - | 0.11 |
| 2 | 0.64 | 0.56 | 0.70 | 0.81 | 0.60 | 0.74 | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.94 | - | 0.64 |
| 3 | 0.39 | 0.42 | 0.53 | 0.63 | 0.48 | 0.71 | 0.61 | 0.53 | 0.83 | 0.70 | 0.66 |
| 4 | 0.49 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.51 | 0.40 | 0.67 | 0.49 | 0.46 | 0.74 | 0.70 | 0.56 |
| 5 | 0.43 | 0.36 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.59 | 0.53 | 0.57 | 0.61 | 0.70 | 0.57 |
| 6 | 0.85 | 0.66 | 0.28 | 0.39 | 0.53 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.70 | 0.54 |
| 7 | 0.05 | 0.68 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.43 | 0.48 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.39 | 0.70 | 0.47 |
| 8 | 1.00 | 0.72 | 0.62 | 0.34 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.73 | 0.19 | 0.37 | 0.70 | 0.43 |
| 9 |  | 0.32 | 0.28 | 1.01 | 0.25 | 0.67 | 0.48 | 1.12 | 0.61 | 0.70 | 0.43 |
| 10 |  |  | 0.32 | 1.03 | 0.17 | 0.44 | 0.16 | 0.85 | 2.13 | 0.70 | 0.43 |

* Values used for current stock assessments.

Note: Values of $F$ assumed initially are shown in the columns headed "1972" and in the rows labelled "10" in Tables 16 and 17.

Table 18. North Sea Haddock. Estimates of fishing mortality rate (F) from Virtual Population Analysis for $M=0.2$ and $M=0.3$.

| $M=0.2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Year group | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Current } \\ \text { values* } \end{array}$ |
| 1 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.22 | 0.03 | - | - | 0.02 |
| 2 | 0.54 | 0.64 | 0.58 | 0.41 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.13 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.54 | 0.46 | 0.78 | 0.26 | - | 0.50 |
| 3 | 0.44 | 0.71 | 0.94 | 0.62 | 0.29 | 0.96 | 0.39 | 0.72 | 0.22 | 0.62 | 1.21 | 0.99 | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.96 |
| 4 | 0.96 | 0.65 | 0.68 | 0.78 | 0.49 | 0.73 | 0.60 | 0.69 | 0.67 | 0.24 | 2.54 | 0.90 | 0.73 | 0.80 | 1.10 |
| 5 | 1.24 | 0.86 | 0.55 | 0.46 | 0.70 | 0.93 | 0.74 | 1.32 | 0.85 | 0.49 | 1.08 | 0.44 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.80 |
| 6 | 0.91 | 0.98 | 0.75 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 1.61 | 1.36 | 1.76 | 1.12 | 0.79 | 1.29 | 0.29 | 1.48 | 0.90 | 0.80 |
| 7 | 1.24 | 0.76 | 0.79 | 0.99 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 1.59 | 0.47 | 1.18 | 0.37 | 2.04 | 0.37 | 0.18 | 0.90 | 0.80 |
| 8 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.80 |

$M=0.3$

| 1 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.18 | 0.03 | - | - | 0.01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.46 | 0.56 | 0.50 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.48 | 0.40 | 0.67 | 0.23 | - | 0.40 |
| 3 | 0.36 | 0.60 | 0.84 | 0.53 | 0.25 | 0.85 | 0.33 | 0.62 | 0.17 | 0.57 | 1.09 | 0.87 | 0.48 | 0.60 | 0.84 |
| 4 | 0.86 | 0.54 | 0.57 | 0.69 | 0.44 | 0.65 | 0.54 | 0.61 | 0.58 | 0.20 | 2.35 | 0.80 | 0.64 | 0.70 | 1.00 |
| 5 | 1.13 | 0.76 | 0.46 | 0.39 | 0.64 | 0.84 | 0.68 | 1.21 | 0.76 | 0.44 | 0.90 | 0.39 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.70 |
| 6 | 0.82 | 0.89 | 0.68 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 1.51 | 1.24 | 1.65 | 1.01 | 0.74 | 1.17 | 0.23 | 1.34 | 0.80 | 0.70 |
| 7 | 1.17 | 0.70 | 0.74 | 0.93 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 1.51 | 0.44 | 1.11 | 0.35 | 1.95 | 0.35 | 0.15 | 0.80 | 0.70 |
| 8 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.70 |

* Values used for current stock assessments.

Note: Values of $F$ assumed initially are shown in the column headed "l972" and in the row labelled "8".

$M=0.3$

| 0 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 1.03 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.93 | - | - | 0.80 .05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 1.66 | 0.18 | 0.31 | 0.19 | 0.61 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.61 | 1.64 | - | - 0.02 |
| 2 | 0.39 | 0.26 | 0.51 | 0.44 | 0.51 | 0.15 | 0.35 | 0.62 | 0.35 | 0.67 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.80 | 3 0.50 |
| 3 | 1.11 | 0.88 | 0.83 | 0.73 | 0.69 | 0.47 | 0.37 | 0.78 | 0.57 | 0.78 | 0.77 | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.0 .68 |
| 4 | 1.21 | 1.59 | 1.03 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.71 | 0.69 | 0.78 | 0.72 | 1.01 | 0.84 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 0.0 .85 |
| 5 | 1.91 | 1.18 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 0.72 | 0.93 | 0.76 | 0.94 | 0.64 | 0.75 | 0.88 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 0.70 |
| 6 | 1.19 | 2.09 | 1.06 | 0.69 | 0.94 | 0.77 | 1.01 | 1.04 | 0.76 | 1.07 | 0.44 | 1.24 | 1.00 | 0.91 |
| 7 | 1.45 | 0.88 | 1.20 | 1.94 | 0.05 | 1.33 | 0.62 | 1.33 | 1.20 | 0.94 | 0.97 | 0.35 | 1.00 | T 1.05 |
| 8 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

* Values for assessments for 1967-68.

Note:Values of $F$ assumed initially are shown in the column headed "l971" and in the row labelled "8".
from Virtual Population Analysis.


Table 2l. Southern North Sea Cod (Divisions IVb,c). Estimates of numbers in the sea (millions) from Virtual Population Analysis.
$M=0.2$

| Age Year group | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 60.8 | 205.1 | 156.7 | 230.3 | 219.9 | 38.6 | 62.9 | 315.4 | $437 \cdot 3$ | 10.8 |
| 2 | 30.0 | 35.3 | 126.6 | 100.0 | 122.7 | 128.1 | 26.5 | 48.9 | 223.4 | 318.6 |
| 3 | 11.0 | 13.0 | 16.5 | 51.6 | 36.3 | 55.2 | 49.9 | 14.0 | 23.7 | 71.3 |
| 4 | 5.1 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 22.5 | 18.4 | 22.3 | 22.2 | 6.8 | 8.4 |
| 5 | 5.7 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 12.3 | 7.7 | 11.2 | 11.5 | 2.6 |
| 6 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 5.2 | 5.1 |
| 7 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.6 |
| 8 | 0.7 | 0.16 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| 9 | 0.01 | 0.2 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| 10 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.3 | 0.13 | 0.2 | 0.07 | 0.17 |
| Total | 115.7 | 266.3 | 313.9 | 398.5 | 410.3 | 257.4 | 177.1 | 418.9 | 711.4 | 421.3 |

## Table 22. North Sea Haddock. <br> Estimates of numbers in the sea (millions) <br> from Virtual Population Analysis.

$\mathrm{M}=0.2$

$\mathrm{M}=0.3$

| 1 | 459.1 | 301.6 | 203.1 | 791.4 | 4483.3 | 88.2 | 83.5 | 185.8 | 899.9 | 7975.7 | 483.2 | 183.5 | 1070.5 | 133.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 53.6 | 312.3 | 200.9 | 132.9 | 531.2 | 3299.8 | 65.3 | 41.0 | 127.6 | 624.8 | 5870.3 | 298.6 | 132.3 | 766.5 |
| 3 | 31.8 | 25.1 | 132.1 | 90.6 | 70.5 | 289.0 | 1932.2 | 43.5 | 24.2 | 71.9 | 285.4 | 2904.3 | 112.9 | 77.9 |
| 4 | 156.9 | 16.4 | 10.3 | 42.4 | 39.5 | 40.7 | 91.1 | 1027.6 | 17.3 | 15.1 | 30.1 | 70.9 | 897.3 | 52.0 |
| 5 | 24.1 | 49.3 | 7.1 | 4.3 | 15.7 | 18.9 | 15.7 | 39.4 | 414.5 | 7.1 | 9.2 | 2.1 | 23.5 | 350.6 |
| 6 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 17.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 8.7 | 143.1 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 7.8 |
| 7 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 6.4 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 50.7 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.2 |
| 8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.16 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 1.0 |
| Total | 736.6 | 713.6 | 573.0 | 1071.9 | 5146.2 | 3745.0 | 2195.4 | 1344.7 | 1493.6 | 8840.3 | 6733.5 | 3468.4 | 2239.6 | 1389.5 |

North Sea Whiting.
Estimates of numbers in the sea (millions)
from Virtual Population Analysis.
$M=0.2$

| Age Year group | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 724.6 | 524.4 | 1282.1 | 1977.7 | 544.6 | 1003.4 | 832.7 | 1004.9 | 2759.6 | 950.1 | 2092.9 | - | - |
| 1 | 370.8 | 575.0 | 414.7 | 918.4 | 1567.0 | 387.9 | 642.6 | 649.4 | 795.7 | 2124.3 | 698.5 | 626.7 | - |
| 2 | 244.5 | 249.8 | 386.8 | 233.2 | 614.2 | 1013.4 | 218.4 | 418.5 | 261.7 | 518.6 | 1310.9 | 283.5 | 91.8 |
| 3 | 65.2 | 128.3 | 151.8 | 176.8 | 114.7 | 278.0 | 688.5 | 119.1 | 168.7 | 143.7 | 201.0 | 617.1 | 206.7 |
| 4 | 120.3 | 16.2 | 39.8 | 48.8 | 63.8 | 43.1 | 132.1 | 368.7 | 40.0 | 73.0 | 49.5 | 70.4 | 191.5 |
| 5 | 30.5 | 26.5 | 2.4 | 10.5 | 16.1 | 21.0 | 15.9 | 50.0 | 125.8 | 14.3 | 19.6 | 16.3 | 21.3 |
| 6 | 7.1 | 3.4 | 6.1 | 0.6 | 2.9 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 14.4 | 50.6 | 5.0 | 6.2 | 3.9 |
| 7 | 19.3 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 5.1 | 13.0 | 2.4 | 1.4 |
| 8 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 1.4 |
| Total | 1584.7 | 1528.6 | 2284.5 | 3367.7 | 2923.8 | 2753.8 | 2538.6 | 2618.8 | $4167 \cdot 7$ | 3880.0 | 4391.9 | - | - |

$\mathrm{IN}=0.3$

| 0 | 983.8 | 700.8 | 1724.5 | 2854.1 | 716.6 | 1290.3 | - 065.9 | 332.3 | 3618.7 | 1187.4 | 2278.3 | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 470.4 | 711.5 | 505.3 | 1153.0 | 2064.8 | 475.9 | 786.2 | 759.0 | 961.3 | 2552.6 | 804.4 | 662.8 | - |
| 2 | 283.6 | 297.4 | 447.4 | 273.5 | 723.6 | 1273.9 | 258.5 | 480.4 | 306.8 | 586.2 | I 484.9 | 323.1 | 95.6 |
| 3 | 70.7 | 142.0 | 170.4 | 199.1 | 130.4 | 323.1 | 809.8 | 135.0 | 191.4 | 160.5 | 222.9 | 668.4 | 215.2 |
| 4 | 130.2 | 17.3 | 46.6 | 54.9 | 71.0 | 48.6 | 148.9 | 415.2 | 45.7 | 80.1 | 54.4 | 76.2 | 199.3 |
| 5 | 32.1 | 28.8 | 2.6 | 11.5 | 18.1 | 23.2 | 17.7 | 55.4 | 141.3 | 16.4 | 21.5 | 17.5 | 22.2 |
| 6 | 7.8 | 3.5 | 6.6 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 16.0 | 55.1 | 5.7 | 6.6 | 4.1 |
| 7 | 20.5 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 5.6 | 14.0 | 2.7 | 1.4 |
| 8 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 3.9 | 1.4 |
| Total | 2001.6 | 1906.6 | 2904.2 | 4548.6 | 3728.2 | 3442.6 | 3096.2 | 3186.1 | 5283.2 | $4644 \cdot 3$ | 4887.4 | - | - |

Table 24. Estimates of year class strength.

| Year <br> class | $\mathrm{COD}(\mathrm{IVb}, \mathrm{c})$ |  |  | HADDOCK |  | WHITING |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (4) | (6) |
| 1958 |  |  |  | 1130 | 368 | 120 | - |
| 1959 |  |  |  | 350 | 236 | 220 | 725 |
| 1960 |  |  |  | 310 | 154 | 350 | 524 |
| 1961 |  |  |  | 1560 | 619 | 390 | 1282 |
| 1962 |  |  | 61 | 12000 | 3253 | 2170 | 1978 |
| 1963 |  |  | 205 | 20 | 64 | 80 | 545 |
| 1964 |  |  | 157 | 80 | 64 | 540 | 1003 |
| 1965 |  |  | 230 | 90 | 145 | 290 | 833 |
| 1966 | 214 | 38 | 220 | 3060 | 720 | 400 | 1005 |
| 1967 | 7 | 5 | 39 | 20000 | 6178 | 1380 | 2760 |
| 1968 | 51 | 5 | 63 | 1100 | 391 | 60 | 950 |
| 1969 | 322 | 75 | 315 | 970 | 141 | 160 | 2093 |
| 1970 | 388 | 72 | 437 | 3000 |  | 140 |  |
| 1971 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 7000 |  | $1000$ |  |
| 1972 |  | 50 |  | 1606 |  | 3600 |  |

(1) Catches per unit effort in numbers of 2 year old cod per 10 hours beam trawling in the Southern Bight (Dutch data).
(2) Average numbers per hour's fishing during the International Young Herring Surveys.
(3) Millions of fish at age from VPA with $M=0.2$.
(4) Catches per 10 hours' fishing of 1 year old fish by Scottish research vessels.
(5) Millions of fish 1 year old from VPA with $M=0.2$.
(6) Millions of fish at age 0 from VPA with $M=0.2$.

Table 25. Percentage changes in yield/recruit for various percentage changes in total fishing effort (relative to current levels of yield/recruit and effort).

| \% change in effort from assessment level | 4 | \% changes in yield per recruit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | COD | HADDOCK |  | WHITING |  |
|  | $M=0.2$ | $\mathrm{M}=0.2$ | $\mathrm{M}=0.3$ | $\mathrm{M}=0.2$ | $\mathrm{M}=0.3$ |
| -60\% | +33 | +12 | -15 | +5 | -10 |
| -40\% | +25 | +11 | -5 | +6 | -5 |
| -30\% | +18 | +9 | -3 | +4 | -3 |
| -20\% | +11 | +5 | -2 | +2 | -2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +20\% | -9 | -5 | 0 | -2 | 0 |
| +30\% | -14 | -8 | 0 | -4 | 0 |
| +40\% | -17 | -11 | -1 | -5 | -l |
| +60\% | -24 | -16 | -2 | -8 | -3 |

Table 26. Selectivity data.
(Ogives transformed to logistic curves $=\frac{p}{l-p}=e^{2(a L-b)}$ )
Present mesh sizes in use (double synthetic, wedge gauge. From Coop.Res.Rep., No. 25 (1969).

Trawl
U.S.S.R.
U.K.

France
Netherlands
Belgium
Sweden
Denmark

Mesh Size (mm)
81
81
64
73
75
83
19.6

## Seine

U.K. 73/103 (single synthetic)

Sweden 70 mm.

|  | COD ${ }^{1}$ ) | HADDOCK ${ }^{2}$ ) | WHITING 3 ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```Selection factor for trawl 4) 75 mm 50% retention + range 25-75% a b``` | $\begin{gathered} 3.6 \\ 27.0 \pm 2.4 \\ 0.2289 \\ 6.1796 \end{gathered}$ | $3.4$ $\begin{aligned} & 25.5 \pm 2.1 \\ & 0.2616 \\ & 6.6701 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.8 \\ 28.5 \pm 2.6 \\ 0.2113 \\ 6.0212 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{array}{rl} 80 \mathrm{~mm} & 50 \% \text { retention + range } \\ \text { a } \\ \text { b } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.8 \pm 2.6 \\ 0.2113 \\ 6.0846 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.2 \pm 2.3 \\ 0.2388 \\ 6.4961 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.4 \pm 2.9 \\ 0.1894 \\ 5.7582 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 85 \mathrm{~mm} 50 \% \text { retention + range } \\ \text { a } \\ b \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.6 \pm 2.8 \\ 0.1962 \\ 6.0031 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.6 \pm 2.4 \\ 0.2289 \\ 6.5458 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.3 \pm 3.1 \\ 0.1772 \\ 5.7234 \end{gathered}$ |
| ```90mm 50% retention + range a b``` | $\begin{gathered} 32.4 \pm 2.9 \\ 0.1894 \\ 6.1370 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.6 \pm 2.5 \\ 0.2197 \\ 6.7234 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.2 \pm 3.3 \\ 0.1665 \\ 5.6927 \end{gathered}$ |
| ```100 mm 50% retention + range a b``` | $\begin{gathered} 36.0 \pm 3.1 \\ 0.1772 \\ 6.3790 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.0 \pm 2.6 \\ 0.2113 \\ 7.1832 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.0 \pm 3.5 \\ 0.1569 \\ 5.9638 \end{gathered}$ |

1) Selection ranges interpolated between haddock and whiting.
2) Selection ranges according to Scottish data.
3) Selection ranges estimated from Coop.Res.Rep.No.25:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(<75 \mathrm{~mm} \text { range } & =42 \mathrm{~mm} \\
75-85 \mathrm{~mm} \text { range } & =62 \mathrm{~mm} \\
85-95 \mathrm{~mm} \text { range } & =74 \mathrm{~mm} \\
>105 \mathrm{~mm} \text { range } & =82 \mathrm{~mm}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

4) Selection factors according to Coop.Res.Rep., No.25.

Table 27. Showing the differences between the $50 \%$ ages for the mesh sizes in use, and the 50\% ages for various larger mesh sizes.

| Species | Country | Current mesh size (mm) | Differences in 50\% age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 80 mm | 85 mm | 90 mm |
| COD | England <br> Trawl and Seine <br> Scotland Trawl and Seine <br> Netherlands | 80 <br> 75 <br> 75 | $0.1$ $0.1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \\ & 0.2 \\ & 0.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & 0.3 \\ & 0.3 \end{aligned}$ |
| HADDOCK | England <br> Trawl and <br> Seine <br> Scotland <br> Trawl and <br> Seine <br> Netherlands | 80 $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & 0.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & 0.4 \\ & 0.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \\ & 0.6 \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| WHITING | England <br> Trawl and Seine <br> Scotland <br> Trawl and <br> Seine <br> Netherlands | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 75 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \\ & 0.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \\ & 0.6 \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \\ & 0.9 \\ & 0.9 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 28. Effects on certain national fisheries of changes in mesh size.

a) Data on sole and plaice taken from the Report of the North

Sea Flatfish Working Group, ICES Doc. C.M.1974/F:6, Tables 7-1l.
b) $0=$ less than $0.5 \%$.


Figure 1. Discard ogives. Percentages of ROUNDFISH retained by Dutch beam and otter trawls (from Daan, unpubl.)


Figure 2. COD. Percentage changes in yield per recruit for various percentage changes in fishing ef.fort.


Figure 3. HADDOCK. Percentage changes in yield/recruit for various percentage changes in fishing effort (relative to current levels of yield/recrui and effort).



Figure 4. WHITING. Percentage changes in yield/recruit for various percentage changes in fishing effort (relative to current levels of yield/recru and effort).

## SUPPLEMENT

At the Liaison Committee meeting in 1974 two points arose concerning the Report of the North Sea Roundfish Working Group which members of the Group were asked to resolve by correspondence. These were:

1. An incorrect figure for Sweden's catch of cod in 1972 was taken from a photocopy of a Bulletin Statistique manuscript and tabulated by the Working Group. The Group was asked to investigate the effect of using this incorrect figure on the virtual population analysis for cod.
2. In addition to the whiting mesh assessment made by the Working: Group the Liaison Committee had before it an independent set of calculations made by Mr K P Andersen of the Danish Institute. The Liaison Committee noted that the two methods gave a completely different pattern of long-term gains and losses and the Working Group was asked to resolve this discrepancy.

## The Cod Virtual Population Analysis

At the Working Group meeting, the age compositions used for the virtual population analysis for the northern North Sea cod stock excluded those for both Sweden and Norway because the conclusion of previous Working Groups was that the catches of these 2 nations were not taken from the North Sea stocks for which age conpositions were available. Thus, estimates of the total mortality rate were unaffected by the incorrect Swedish catch for 1972. In calculating the TAC for the northern North Sea, the allowable catch (that calculated from the age composition data obtained from the virtual population analysis) was raised to the total allowable catch by the ratio of the average total catch from the northern North Sea to that of the average of the catch less Norway's and Sweden's. In this calculation the data for 1972 were excluded because there was some doubt about the authenticity of the Swedish catch data. Also, it was felt that even if it had been correct, it would not have fairly represented the long-term average catch by Sweden.
The cod analyses carried out are therefore in no way affected by the incorrect Swedish catch for 1972.

## Whiting Mesh Assessments

Regarding the whiting mesh assessments, the Working Group had predicted long-term gains for a number of countries, whereas Mr Andersen had predicted primarily long-term losses. The reason for this was that Mr Andersen and the Working Group, had based their calculations on different assumptions. Mr Andersen, in his assessments, had assumed that a proportion of the fish released by UK and Ducth vessels would be recaptured by Danish vessels. The Working Group however had assumed that this would be unlikely and that it would be better to assume that none of the fish released by UK and Dutch vessels would be recaptured by Danish vessels.

One of the difficulties of making mesh assessments for North Sea demersal fish species is that the present state of knowledge about migrations is still incomplete.

For example, if a country increases its mesh size and releases some fish that otherwise would have been retained, it is not yet possible to predict how these fish would move and hence in what proportions they would be eventually captured by the various nations that fish in the North Sea.
Another factor is that Danish vessels take about $90 \%$ by number of the total North Sea catch of whiting. This proportion is so large, that its inclusion or exclusion from the assessments makes a very big difference to the results.
The Working Group considered that the estimates given in the Working Group Report, and those arrived at by Mr K P Andersen provide limits within which the current estimates might be expected to lie. The Working Group further considered that the correct estimates were likely to lie nearer to the Working Group estimates than to those given by Mr Andersen, but that until more is known about the movements of whiting in the North Sea, it is not possible to be more precise.
The Working Group felt, therefore, that it would not be possible to improve significantly upon the whiting mesh assessments already made, until more is khown about the movements of whiting within the North Sea.
.


[^0]:    *) See also the Supplement (p.85-86).

[^1]:    *) See also the Supplement (p.85-86).

[^2]:    *) "Adjusted by SOP" means that the numbers at each age were adjusted so that the sum of products of the numbers in each age group with the mean round weights of each age group were equal to the appropriate Bulletin Statistique landings, or other landings data where explicitly stated. In all cases, the mean weights-at-age used were thnse calculated for Scottish haddock. (See Appendix 2, p. 62 for the mean weights-at-age for this purpose.)

[^3]:    * Estimated values for some countries.

