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## A. INIRODUCTION

1. The first and second reports ${ }^{\text {I) }}$ of the Working Party dealt with the growth of the fishery for salmon off West Greenland in the period up to May 1968, with the results of investigations during this time on the composition, origin and biological features of the salmon concentrations exploited there and with assessments of the effects of the fishery on total and home-waters salmon catches and stocks. This report deals with the further information obtained for this fishery and the assessments made of its effects in the period May 1968 to February 1970; in addition, information is presented on the development of a high seas salmon fishery in the Norwegian Sea, which developed during this period, and of preliminary assessments of its effects on total and home-waters catches and stocks.
2. In the period May 1968 - February 1970, three meetings of the Working Party were held, in May 1969, February 1970 and September 1970 respectively. The representation at these meetings was as follows:-

|  | May 1969 | February 1970 | September 1970 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada | F. D. McCracken <br> G. F. M. Smith <br> W. Templeman | A. W. May | A. W. May |
| Denmark | 0. Christensen <br> P. M. Hansen <br> S. A. Horsted <br> P. Kanneworff <br> E. Smidt | 0. Christensen | 0. Christensen <br> S. A. Horsted <br> J.Neller Jensen |
| Fngland and Wales | I. R. H. Allan | I. R. H. Allan <br> A. Swain | I. R. H. Allan |
| Federal Republic of Germany |  | F. Thurow | F. Thurow |
| France |  | R. Vibert |  |
| Ireland | Miss E. Twomey | Miss E. Twomey | A. E. J. Went |
| Scotland | W. R. Nunro <br> B. B. Parrish (Chairman) <br> K. A. Pyefinch <br> (Rapporteur) | W. R. Munro <br> B. B. Parrish (Chairman) <br> K. A. Pyefinch (Rapporteur) | A. J. Aglen <br> W. R. Munro <br> B. B. Parrish <br> (Chairman) <br> K. A. Pyefinch <br> (Rapporteur) |
| Norway | L. Rosseland | L. Rosseland | L. Rosseland |

[^0]|  | May 1969 | February 1970 | September 1970 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sweden | B. Carlin | B. Carlin | B. Carlin |
| U.S.A. | J. B. Kimsey <br> B. Skud | J. B. Kimsey <br> W. Anthony | J. B. Kimsey |
| U.S.S.R. |  | A. Bogdanov <br> Y. Riazantsev <br> A. Volkov | G. V. Nikolsky |
| ICES | J. Meller Christensen |  |  |
| ICILAF |  |  | L. R. Day |

A. J. Aglen (Scotland) attended some of the sessions of the meeting in February 1970 and K. J. Vickers (Northern Ireland) attended on the first day of this meeting. Mr. S. Å. Horsted (Denmark) was unable to attend the meeting in February 1970 because of illness.

## B. WEST GRRHNLAND FISHERY

## 1. Catch and Fishing Effort

3. The salmon catches taken at West Greenland in the years 1960-68, and provisional data for 1969 are given in Table 1. The catches in the inshore (set gill-net) and off-shore (drift-net) fisheries are given separately, but it should be noted that an accurate division of the catch into inshore and offshore components cannot be made for 1969 owing to some locally-registered vessels taking part in the drift-net fishery. Catches from these vessels were landed in Greenland and reported together with the set gill-net catches. The data for the two components in 1969 given in Table 1 are therefore only approximate.
4. These data indicate that there was a large increase in total salmon catch in 1969 to over 2000 tonnes ( 1 tonne $=1$ metric ton $=$ 1000 kg ). Despite the uncertainty about the division of the total catch into its inshore and offshore components, it is clear that the drift-net fishery increased considerably and is now the larger component. In 1969 it exceeded 1000 tonnes.
5. The available information on the size and age composition of the salmon stock exploited in both the inshore and offshore components of the fishery at West Greenland in 1969 suggests that as in previous
years the main part of the exploited stock consisted, as in former years, of fish which had spent one winter in the sea and which, if returning to home waters, would do so as two or more sea-winter fish.
6. The number of fishing vessels taking part in the drift-net fishery also increased substantially in 1969. The information available indicates that about 34 non-Greenland vessels ( 15 Danish, 6 Faroese, 11 Norwegian and 2 Swedish) took part in this fishery, as compared with totals of 17 in 1968 and 11 in 1967. In addition, some offshore drift-netting took place by about 30 Greenland-registered vessels, although they had much less fishing power per vessel than the non-Greenland vessels. Thus the number of drift-net vessels has increased steadily since 1966 in parallel with the increase in driftnet catch. Another significant change in 1969 is that a few of these vessels were using some monofilament nets and it seems likely that the use of these nets will increase. If this occurs, it would have a significant effect on fishing efficiency as recent observations in Greenland, both of monofilament nets fished from a commercial vessel and from a research vessel, have shown that these nets are at least twice as effective as the polyfilament nets used previously.
7. In contrast to the drift-net fishery, the fishing effort in the set gill-net fishery appears not to have increased significantly in 1969.

## 2. Distribution of Fishing

8. The distribution of the offshore driftmet fishery along the Greenland coast, based on information in 1969 and the main centres of the inshore set gill-net fishery are shown in Figure 1.
9. The inshore set gill-net fishery is carried out along a large part of the west coest of Greenland from Nanortalik (latitude $60^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) in the south to Disko Island (latitude approx. $70^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) in the north with some limited fishing extending to as far north as Upernavik (lat. $72^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ ). The main centres of fishing are in the vicinity of the larger towns (siown in Figure 1), the most important being the Arsuk-Frederikshaab area, Fiskenaesset, the area round Godthaab, the

Sukkertoppen district (including Sukkertoppen, Napassoq and Kangamiut) and the Holsteinsborg area. The success of the fishery in the various districts varies from year to year, and in some years has failed completely in certain areas, especially in the south where drift ice often prevents nets being set.
10. Prior to 1969, the drift-net fishery, prosecuted almost entirely by European-registered vessels, was mainly confined to the area of the Store Hellefiske Bank, extending as far south as Kangamiut (lat. $66^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) in the early part of the season but tending to be concentrated on the Store Hellefiske Bank itself in the remainder of the season. In 1969, the fishery spread over a rather wider area than in previous years, extending from Sukkertoppen in the south to the Disko area in the north (hatched area in Figure 1). In addition, some Greenland-registered vessels fished with drift-nets in this general area. Therefore, the drift-net fishery has been mainly confined to an area between $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $70^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and extending outwards to about 40 n.m. from the coast. However, exploratory fishing voyages have demonstrated that salmon are present over a wider area than this.

## 3. Origin and Destination of Salmon

3.1 Tag Recaptures at West Greenland
11. The recaptures, during 1964-1969, of salmon tagged in home waters as smolts (either natural or hatchery-reared) are shown in Tables 2, 3, and as adults in Table 4. These tables include revisions of data presented in earlier reports of the Working Party. In addition, information is presented, in Table 5, for parr tagged in home waters.
12. In 1969, as in previous years, the smolt tag returns show that the great majority of the fish recaptured at Greenland were derived from smolts which had entered the sea during the previous year and if surviving, would have returned to home waters as salmon of two or more seawinters. Tags were again recorded at West Greenland from a number of North American (mostly Canadian) and European (mostly United Kingdom) river systems including, for the first time, recaptures of fish tagged as hatchery-reared smolts in Norway and Denmark respectively. Also, following tagging in 1968 of adults ascending a Newfoundland river, two of these fish were recaptured at Greenland in 1969.
13. Comparison of the recapture data in Tables 2 and 3 for tagged, natural and hatchery-reared smolts respectively indicates that survival in the sea is usually much less for the tagged, hatchery-reared fish. Therefore, the return rates from natural smolts are likely to be a better guide to the national contributions to the West Greerland stock. For those countries in which most or all of the smolts tagged were from hatcheries, it is possible that the return rates from Greenland underestimate their relative contributions to the exploited stock.
14. While separation of the smolt tagging data into hatchery-reared and natiural components eliminates one source of variation in year-toyear recapture rates at West Greenland, it is evident that a good deal of variation still remains, both between countries and within countries. Thus, returns from West Greenland of hatchery-reared smolts tagged in the United States were relatively high for fish tagged in 1966, but were low for the 1967 and 1968 taggings. The return rate from West Greenland of Canadian tagged smolts was highest for the 1965 tagging (both hatchery-reared and natural) and declined substantially for smolts tagged in 1966, even though the Greenland eatch increased slightly from 1966 to 1967. On the other hand, natural smolts tagged in 1966 gave the highest return rate at West Greenland from Scottish tagging, while for Fngland and Wales the highest return rates were obtained from the 1967 and 1968 taggings. In addition, the rates of return from Greenland may vary for tagging in different home river systems and the relative numbers of smolts tagged is known to have varied between these rivers from year to year. It is therefore evident that more detailed evaluation of tag retorns in the countries concerned is urgently needed, particularly with respect to possible bias in some years relative to the area of tagging, type of tag applied, etc.
15. In addition to the above sources of variation and error, the non-reporting of tags, especially from the drift-net fishery, poses a major problem. It is known that the reporting efficiency from this fishery is low so that, with the increase in its size relative to the set gill-net fishery, the magnitude of this source of error is likely to have increased progressively. Therefore, in view of the importance of tag recapture data in these studies, the Working Party
strongly recommended
that all possible steps be taken to increase the efficiency of reporting of tag recaptures from the drift-net fishery.
16. Despite the above factors, which preclude any accurate estimation of the relative contributions of salmon from different countries in the West Greenland stock, the recapture data over the whole period suggest that the major part of the exploited stock at West Greenland has been derived from rivers in Canada and the United Kingdom.

### 3.2 Biochemical and Parasite Studies

17. Investigations were continued in 1969 on biochemical characters and parasite fauna (as biological tags) in relation to the study of the origin and mixing of salmon at West Greenland. Although these investigations have not yet progressed far enough to provide reliable estimates of the origin and rate of mixing of the exploited stock in this area, recent Canadian investigations of blood serum proteins, in association with parasite studies, have provided very promising results. Analyses of a sample of 242 salmon caught by drift-net mainly in the Disko Bay area indicated, for example, that $43 \%$ of these fish were of North American origin. The Working Party considers that this and similar investigations in other countries should be continued as intensively as possible.

### 3.3 Tagging at West Greenland

18. From late August until the end of October 1968, Denmark and the United Kingdom collaborated in a further tagging experiment at West Greenland, in which the possibility of using T-nets as a means of catching salmon for tagging was thoroughly investigated and preliminary tests were made of the use of pelagic long-lines. The results were disappointing, in that the T-nets only caught 23 salmon (of which 13 were tagged) and only 11 salmon were caught on long lines, of which 5 were tagged. Most of the salmon tagged in 1968 (Table 6) were caught by set gill-net.
19. Further liberations of tagged fish were made at West Greenland in 1969 in the course of experiments by Canada, and by Denmark and the United Kingdom.
20. In the Canadian experiment, the salmon were captured by driftnet in day-light and tagging was conducted from a small boat patrolling the nets continuously throughout the fishing period so that the fish could be removed from the nets and tagged soon after capture. Between 6 September and 2 October, a total of 627 salmon, ranging in length between $55-88 \mathrm{~cm}$ (average 67 cm ) was caught, of which 385 were tagged, 355 in Disko Bay and 30 off the coast further south; 134 of the fish liberated were graded as being in 'excellent' condition, 199 in grod' condition and 52 in 'fair' condition on the basis of classifications made in earlier experiments conducted off the Newfoundland coast, when the same fishing, handling and tagging methods were used.
21. In the joint Danish-United Kingdom experiments, fishing was conducted by long line in a number of localities off the West Greenland coast between the Store Hellefiske Bank and Disko Bay during the period 11 October to 14 November 1969. A total of 65 salmon was caught during the experiment, of which 43 were tagged and liberated, all of the tagged fish being judged to be in very good condition. A notable feature of the salmon taken in this experiment, which may account for the small number caught, was their relatively large size (range $60-100 \mathrm{~cm}:$ average 76 cm ) compared with those taken in dri金t-nets both in the commercial fishery and by research vessels. Another joint Danish-United Kingdom experiment was made to attempt to assess the viability of tagged and untagged fish caught by gill-net, but the numbers caught were too low to provide a reliable test. Fifteen tagged fish were, however, liberated.
22. The subsequent recaptures in the West Greenland fishery and in other areas of salmon tagged in these and earlier experiments are given in Table 6. These data show that, during the period 1965-69, a total of 1818 salmon was tagged, of which 56 have been recaptured locally and, up to the end of 1970, 22 have been recaptured in home waters (10 in Canada, 5 in Scotland, 4 in Ireland and 3 in Finglend and Wales). The overall recapture rate of $3.4 \%$ in the West Greenland fishery in 1969 was approximately the same as the average for the experiments in previous years. However, the recapture rate of the fish in the 'excellent' condition category in the Canadian experiment was substantially higher at $6.0 \%$.
23. The accuracy with which tag recapture data from these experiments can be used in estimating the rate of exploitation of salmon in the West Greenland fishery is governed by (a) the magnitude of the mortality due to tagging and (b) the extent to which recaptured fish are recovered from the catch and reported. Unfortunately, insufficient information is available for the magnitude of these factors to be estimated accurately. As indicated in paragraph 15, it is known that the efficiency of tag recovery and/or reporting in the drift-net fishery is relatively very low. Since the proportion of the total catch taken by drift-net fishery has increased in recent years, and especially in 1968 and 1969, the magnitude of this source of error in the use of tag recapture data for estimating rate of exploitation has also increased. While an accurate adjustment of the recapture data for these experiments cannot be made in the absence of detailed information on the distribution of the catches taken by the gill-net and drift-net fisheries respectively, it seems likely that, from this and other sources of error (e.g. through no account being taken of tagging mortality), the estimated $6 \%$ recapture rate of the fish in the 'excellent' condition category in the Canadian experiment represents an underestimate of the rate of exploitation for that part of the total fishery within which tagged fish were present.

## 4. Assessment of Effects of West Greenland Fishery on Total and HomeWaters Salmon Stocks and Yields

### 4.1 Total Salmon Yields

24. The results of previous assessments reported by the Working Party indicated that the development of the West Greenland fishery has resulted in an increase in the total catch (West Greenland plus home waters) of salmon of European origin in the West Greenland stock, and that, with the possible exception of fish originating from some river systems in Canada, where the rate of exploitation is known to be high, this probably also applies to the salmon originating from North American home waters.
25. The latest data available to the Working Party on the growth of salmon between their occurrence in the West Greenland stock and their return to home waters and on the rates of exploitation in home waters, provide no grounds for modifying these conclusions. It is emphasized, however, that they are based on the assumption that the exploitation at West Greenland has not reduced spawning stocks so much as to cause
a direct reduction in the number of smolts and subsequant recruitment of salmon. At present, too few data are available on the relationship between adult stock size and smolt production and between smolt production and the subsequent recruitment of grilse and older salmon for the validity of this assumption to be tested. The Working Party therefore stresses the need for such studies to be conducted within river systems in both Europe and North America, especially in the light of the salmon catch data for home-water fisheries in 1968 and 1969, which point to a reduction, in these years, in the quantities of two or more sea-winter salmon entering the river systems in both regions.

### 4.2 Home-waters Salmon Stocks and Yields

26. In its second report, the Working Party presented estimates of the possible magnitude of the losses in weight to the salmon stocks and catches in home waters resulting from the West Greenland fishery, based on (a) the average catch in that fishery in the years 1964-67, (b) data on the increase in weight of salmon between their appearance in the West Greenland fishery and their return to home waters, (c) limiting values of their natural mortality rate during this time and (d) an estimate of the overall average exploitation rate of salmon in the homewaters fisheries in countries to which salmon at West Greenland return. On the assumption that the salmon at West Greenland, if surviving, will return to home waters in the following year as two seaminter salmon, the estimated losses to the home-waters stocks for an average catch of . 1340 tonnes for the years 1964-67 lay between 667 and 1667 tonnes and to the home-waters catches between 400 and 1000 tonnes (using an average raie of exploitation in all home-waters fisheries of 0.6).
27. It is evident from Table 1 that, with the exception of 1968 , the total catch at West Greenland has tended to increase during the period since 1965 due to the steady growth of the drift-net fishery, to reach over 2000 tonnes in 1969. Estimates of the losses to the home-waters stocks and catches were therefore made for the 1969 level of catch at West Greenland, using the same estimates of increase in weight ( $50 \%$ ), upper and lower limits of natural mortality rate (0.02 and 0.1 per month) and home-waters exploitation rate (0.6), as
in the previous assessment. These estimates ranged between approximately 1 100-2 700 tonnes and 650-1 600 tonnes for the home-waters stocks and catches respectively.
28. Although no further information has become available since the previous assessment was made to justify any modification of the param meters used in the estimation of these losses, the Working Party noted the conclusions of the Baltic salmon experts that, after Baltic salmon reach exploitable size, the natural mortality rate is very low, i.e. about $10 \%$ per year $(M=0.1)$. This value is considerably less than the lower of the values used in the present assessment.
29. In the absence of accurate estimates of the proportions of salmon in the West Greenland catch which, if not caught and if surviving, would have returned to each country, it is not possible to estimate reliably the losses in individual countries. However, the latest data available provide no clear basis for modifying the previous tentative conclusion that the largest proportion of the losses have been experienced in Canada and the United Kingdom.

## C. NORWEGIAN SEA FISHERY

30. In its second report, the Working Party drew attention to the longline fishery for salmon which has developed, particularly from 1967 onwards, in the Norwegian Sea, off the Norwegian west coast. The latest information available to the Working Party on the growth of this fishery, its distribution, the composition, origin and subsequent destination of the exploited stock and assessments of its effects on total and homewaters salmon catches is summaxized below.

## 1. Catch and Fishing Effort

31. Data on the catches taken and the number of vessels operating in the Norwegian Sea fishery in the years 1965-1968 and provisional statistics for 1969 are given in Table 7. These data show that this fishery has grown rapidly during the short perlod of its existence to reach, in 1969, a total catch in excess of 900 tonnes. This development has been due mainly to an increase in the number of Danish and

Norwegian vessels participating in the fishery, these together taking almost the whole of the catch in 1969. However, in 1969, a small number of German vessels participated in the fishery for the first time. The data on the number of vessels participating in the fishery indicate that the fishing effort increased at least ten-fold between 1966 and 1969.
32. Information on the catch-per-unit effort in this fishery in 1968 and 1969 is given in Table 8. These data indicate an average fishing rate in 1969 of about 40 salmon per 1000 hooks for the Danish and German vessels during the main fishing months April-June; this would appear to be lower than the catch rates in 1968, as indicated by the limited data available for Danish vessels in that year. The March data for the Danish vessels also indicate that salmon were present in the fishing area and available for capture by long-line before the commencement of the main fishing season in April, and the Danish and German data point to a decrease in the abundance and/or availability of salmon in the fishing area in June, compared with the two preceding months.

## 2. Distribution of Fishing

33. The area within which long-line fishing took place in 1969 is show in Figure 2. This shows that fishing took place off the Norwegian coast between latitudes $63^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $72^{\circ} 30^{1} \mathrm{~N}$ from the 12 miles fishery limit along the coast to as far as 200 wiles offshore. The main fishery was concentrated in the region between latitudes $68^{\circ} 30^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $90-95 \%$ of the total catch of the Danish, Swedish, German and Faroese vessels was taken in this axea. It was also one of the principal areas fished by Nurwegian vessels, although their fishing was much more widely distributed along and closer to the coast than the vessels of the other countries.
34. Although a smail number of Danish vessels started fishing in March 1969 and continued into July, as in previous years, more then $50 \%$ of the catch was taken in May. The available information on the distribution of catches provides no indication of any major changes in the distribution of fishing during the season.
35. In addition to the information on the distribution of salmon in the Norwegi.an Sea provided by the fishery itself, catches have
been made in the course of exploratory fishing surveys conducted during the same months in other parts of the Norwegian Sea, to the southwest of Bear Island, near Spitzbergen and east of the North Cape to as far as Novaya Zemlya. These indicate that salmon are available for capture by long-line over a much wider area than that in which the fishery has taken place so far. However, little is known of their abundance, composition and interrelations with the concentrations currently exploited.
36. It was also noted that a small commercial fishery, using long-lines, was conducted in the vicinity of the Faroe Islands in the spring of 1968 and 1969.

## 3. Composition of Stock

37. On the basis of age-readings of salmon taken from the Norwegian long-line fishery in 1968, it appeared that about $90 \%$ of the exploited stock in the Norwegian Sea had already spent two or more winters in the sea. Further age data collected between mid-March and mid-July 1969 from Norwegian and Danish catches confirmed these results for the salmon exploited during the main season (April to early June), but samples taken in mid-June and mid-July from Danish landings contained $11 \%$ and $60 \%$ of smaller, one sea-winter fish respectively. This points to a progressive recruitment of these younger fish to the exploited area during June and early July.
38. As in 1968, a notable feature of the two sea-winter salmon caught in the long-line fishery in 1969 was their widely varying but, on average, low condition factor (average $=0.79$ ) compared with salmon of the same sea age caught at various localities in the Norwegian coastal fishery, the condition factors of which, in 1969, ranged from 0.96 to 1.04. In 1968 the condition factors of the salmon in the Norwegian Sea (average $=0.85$ ) and in Norwegian home waters (average $=1.0-1.2$ ) were somewhat higher than in 1969.
39. The age analysis of samples taken by a research vessel in the vicinity of the Faroes in April 1968 and 1969 showed that, in contrast to the Norwegian Sea fishery in April, one sea-winter salmon predominated in that area.

## 4. Origin and Destination of Salmon

40. Information on the recapture in the Norwegian Sea fishery in 1968 and 1969 of salmon tagged as smolts or parr in Norwegian, Swedish and Danish rivers are given in Tables 2, 3, and 5. These show that recaptures have been made in this fishery of fish tagged as smolts and parr in Norway and as smolts in Sweden and Denmark. No recaptures have so far been reported from this area of salmon tagged as smolts in the United Kingdom or Ireland (one recapture of a fish tagged as a smolt in Scotland. has, however, been made at the Faroes). It is important to point out that smolt tagging experiments have not been conducted in U.S.S.R. rivers entering the Barents Sea in the years since this Norwegian Sea fishery commenced so that the possible contribution to the Norwegian Sea stock of salmon originating from them cannot be gauged from the smolt tagging data.
41. In addition to this evidence concerning the origin of salmon in the Norwegian Sea, information relating to their subsequent home-waters destination is available from the recaptures of salmon tagged in this area in 1968 and 1969. In May 1968, 238 salmon caught in the long-line fishery were tagged and 5 recaptures were reported from Norway later that year, 3 from the coastal and 2 from the river fishery. No further rem captures from these liberations were recorded during 1969. Between the end of March and mid-June 1969 (but mostly during April and May), a further 932 fish were tagged in the long-line fishery and 51 recaptures were reported in 1969, including 3 from the long-line fishery (though it is known that more than this were caught in it). All the remaining recaptures came from the Norwegian coastal and river fisheries. Most of the fish tagged had spent two winters in the sea.
42. Although in the absence of smolt tagging experiments in U.S.S.R. rivers and because of deficiencies in the reporting of tag recaptures from the long-line fishery it is not possible to determine, from the tag recaptures, the relative proportions of salmon originating from the rivers of different countries, the available data suggest that most of the exploited stock in the Norwegian Sea in 1968 and 1969 originated from Norwegian rivers. They also suggest that most of the returning salmon migrate to Norwegian home waters, mainly as two sea-winter salmon.
43. In addition to the evidence from tagging data, during 1968 reports were received from Norway, the U.S.S.R. and Scotland of fish in their
home-waters catches with hooks still attached which were the same as those used in the Norwegian Sea fishery. A considerable number of similar records were reported in Norway in 1969 but none from either the U.S.S.R, Scotland or elsewhere.
44. Assessment of Effects of Norwegian Sea Fishery on Total and Homewaters Salmon Stocks and Yields
45. As indicated in paragraph 37, the age composition data from samples taken during the main long-line fishing season (April-early June) in 1968 and 1969, showed that about $90 \%$ of the exploited stock in the Norwegian Sea consisted of fish which had spent two or more winters in the sea. Therefore, as with the West Greenland fishery, any effects which this fishery, as currently prosecuted, might have on total and home-waters stocks and yields will be mainly confined to adult salmon of two or more seawinters.

### 5.1 Total Salmon Yields

45. The assessment of the effect of the Norwegian Sea fishery on total salmon yield (Norwegian Sea plus home waters) can be approached in the same general way as that adopted for the West Greenland fishery, using information on (a) the increase in weight of the fish between their appearance in the Norwegian Sea and homewaters fisheries respectively and, (b) the proportion of the fish present in the fished area which, if not caught there, would be subsequently caught in the home-waters fisheries.
46. Although accurate, direct measures of the increase in weight of salmon between their appearance in the Norwegian Sea and homewaters fisheries were not available for this assessment, data on the difference in condition factor between two sea-winter salmon in the Norwegian Sea, at the peak of the fishery in May and in Norwegian coastal waters one month later, in June, were used. On the assumption that these data were representative of the same population of salmon, exploited first in the Norwegian Sea and subsequently in Norwegian home waters, they indicate an average increase in weight of about $25 \%$ during this interval. On this basis it is estimated that, if more than $80 \%$ of the two sea-winter salmon in the exploited area in the Norwegian Sea are subsequently caught in home waters, the Norwegian sea fishery will have resulted in a lower total
(Norwegian Sea plus home waters) weight of fish caught than would have been obtained in its absence, while if less than $80 \%$ are subsequently caught it will have resulted in a higher total catch (by weight).
47. Reliable measures of the rates of exploitation of two sear winter salmon in home waters are not available for all of the homewaters areas in Norway and elsewhere to which two sea-winter salmon in the Norwegian Sea may return so that it is not possible to assess with any degree of certainty whether the Norwegian Sea fishery has increased or decreased the overall total catch (by weight). It is known, however, that the rate of exploitation of two sea-winter salmon in some Norwegian home-waters areas is high and probably in excess of $80 \%$; also, in the absence of evidence of large concentrations of known predators in this area, it is probable that the natural mortality rate of these salmon between their appearance in the Norwegian Sea fishery and their return to home waters is small. Therefore it seems likely that, for the salmon returning to these areas, the Norwegian Sea fishery may have resulted in a lower catch than would have been obtained in its absence. On the other hand, for those salmon returning to other home-waters areas it has probably increased the total catch.
48. It should be noted that, because of the low average condition factor for the salmon exploited in the Norwegian Sea fishery, the overall average 'quality' of the total catch will be lower in the presence than in the absence of this fishery.

### 5.2 Home-waters Salmon Stocks and Yields

49. In order to estimate the effects of the Norwegian Sea fishery on the home-waters stocks and catches of all countries combined and of each country separately, measures are required of (a) the natural mortality occurring between the time the salmon are exploited in the Norwegian Sea and their arrival in home waters, (b) their increase in weight during this time, (c) the relative contributions to the Norwegian Sea catch of salmon which, if surviving, would return to the river systems in different countries and, (d) the exploitation rate in each country's home-waters fishing. Insufficient is yet known of the magnitude of these factors for the effects to be estimated reliably. However, as indicated in the previous section, the loss
in weight of catch due to natwal mortality during the interval between the exploited phase in the Norwegian Sea and their return to home waters is likely to be small and probably no greater than the average increase in weight of the individual fish. On this basis, and on the assumption that all the salmon in the Norwegian sea stock, if not caught and if surviving would return to home waters in the same year, the loss (in weight) to the home-waters salmon stocks of all countries combined would be of roughly the same magnitude each year as the catch of salmon taken in the Norwegian Sea fishery, i.e. about 50 and 300 tonnes in 1967 and 1968 respectively, and, provisionally, not less than 800 tonnes in 1969.
50. The corresponding losses to the homewaters catches in these years again cannot be estimated accurately in the absence of full information on the exploitation rates in the home-waters fisheries of all the countries to which salmon in the exploited stock in the Norwegian Sea return. However, from the data which are available, it seems likely that the overall average rate for these fisheries is not less than 0.5. Using this value gives rough, provisional estimates of the losses to the catches of all countries combined of about 25, 150 and not less than 400 tannes in 1967, 1968 and 1969 respectively.
51. In the absence of accurate measures of the relative proportions of the aalmon in the exploited stock in the Norwegian Sea which return to the river systems of individual countries, it is not possible to apportion these estimated losses between countries but it seems clear that the biggest loss would be that to the stocks in Norwegian home waters.
52. The above rough estimates concern only the immediate direct effects of the Norwegian Sea fishery on total and home-water catahes and take no account of its possible longermterm effects on smolt production and hence on future recruitment to the salmon stock as a result of a reduct ion in spawning stock. As indicated in relation to the effects of the West Greenland fishery, too little is known, at present, of the relation between adult stock size, smolt production and subsequent recruitment of Atlantic salmon and grilse for these effects to be estimated.

## D. HOME-WATERS CATCHES

53. Catch statistics for the home-waters salmon and grilse fisheries in Canada, England and Wales, Ireland, Norway, Scotland, Sweden and U.S.A. are given in Table 9, together with catch data, for the first time, for France, Northern Ireland and the U.S.S.R. Whereas in the years up to 1968, statistics for salmon and grilse were presented separately only for Scotland, for 1969 they are also presented separately for Fngland and Wales and Norway. Catch-per-unit-effort data are given in Table 10 for Canada, the Irish Republic, the Foyle area, Norway and Scotland. All these catch statistics have been revised and brought up-to-date as far as possible.
54. The statistics for 1969 indicate that, in the countries with substantial home-waters fisheries, the total catches (salmon plus grilse) were similar to those in 1968; they were slightly higher in England and Wales, Ireland and Scotland but slightly lower in Canada and Norway. Although complete statistics on the division of the total catch into grilse and salmon is not available for all countries, data presented from Canada, Scotland and the Irish Republic indicated that the salmon component of the catch in 1968 and 1969 was lower than the average of the previous five years. However, it should be noted that the salmon catches in 1968 and 1969 fell within the long-term range in years before the high seas fisheries developed. The grilse catch in. 1968 and 1969 remained at a relatively high level.
55. Information presented to the Working Party on the seasonal breakdowr of home-waters catches indicated that, in Fngland and Wales, Scotland and Ireland catches have decreased in the spring fishery (to May), when the catch is composed almost exclusively of salmon, and have increased in the surmer fishery (after May) when the main component is grilse. In Scotland the decline in catch in the spring fishery has taken place steadily from about 500 tonnes in the early 1950's to around 180 tonnes in 1967-1968, while in England and Wales and Ireland it has taken place more recently, mainly since 1965.
56. Scottish data for the summer fishery, on the other hand, indicate a marked increase in both salmon and grilse catches during the 1960's and the increase in the salmon catch in this season resulted in the maintenance of a relatively high salmon catch throughout the 1960's. These data point, in fact, to a change in the timing of the main salmon runs in

Scottish rivers during this period. The Working Party noted, however, that the division of the total catch into salmon and grilse in the Scottish fishery is usually made on a weight basis and it has always been recognized that, if the weight of grilse at capture increased, this method of dividing the catch would tend to overestimate the salmon and underestimate the grilse catch. The results of a comparison of the proportions of salmon and grilse in the catches in two Scottish rivers in 1969, estimated on the basis of weight and from direct readings of age from scale samples respectively did, in fact point to a substantial overestimation of the salmon catch taken in them in that year. However, such data as are available for previous years indicate that the extent of the overestimation in years prior to 1969 was relatively small.

## E. RESEARCH PROGRAMMES

57. The Working Party considered the future research programmes to be conducted at West Greenland, in the Norwegian Sea and in home waters in relation to the problems of assessing the effects of the open sea fisheries on total and home-waters stocks and yields. The main features of these progranmes are summarized below:-

## 1. West Greenland

(a) Collection of catch statistics and sampling of the catches for length, weight and age in both the set gill-net (inshore) and drift-net (offshore) fishery will be continued throughout the fishing season.
(b) An exploratory drift-net fishing survey to be made in the Davis Strait and Labrador Sea by Canada in 1970, to determine the distribution, abundance and composition of salmon in areas outside that currently fished by the drift-net fleets off the West Greenland coast; comparative tests also to be made (by Canada, Denmark and the United Kingdom) between the relative catching capacities of drift-nets and long-lines and of the condition of salmon caught by the two methods.
(c) The continuation of biochemical and parasite studies of salmon at West Greenland and in home waters in North America and Europe in relation to determining the home-waters origin and rates of mixing of salmon ir the exploited stock at West Greenland.
(d) A iurther joint tagging programme between Denmark and the United Kingdom to be conducted in 1970 and 1971 in the course of the West Greenland fishery, using pelagic long-lines to catch salmon in good condition; further experiments to determine the viability of salmon caught by both long-lines and gill-nets also to be conducted.
(e) In relation to determining the exploitation rate of salmon in the West Greenland fishery and obtaining more information on their home-waters destination, the Working Party considers that a larger scale tagging experiment than those conducted hitherto is necessary to ensure a wide distribution of a sufficiently large number of tags throughout the exploited stock. It also considers that an experiment organized in the same general way as that currently being undertaken on young herring in the North Sea would be very appropriate. At its meeting in September 1970, the Working Party discussed the arrangements for this experiment in some detail and, resulting from this discussion
recommended
(a) that member countries of ICES and ICNAF be invited to provide the necessary funds for, and participation in, a large-scale salmon tagging experiment of three months' duration during the time of the West Greenland fishery in 1972, probably from mid-August to mid-November with the aim to liberate at least 3000 tagged salmon as widely as possible within the area occupied by the exploited stock. Part of the tagging will be conducted aboard research vessels provided by countries participating in the experiment and part by scientific personnel aboard commercial fishing vessels. The scientific personnel would collect information on the recapture of tagged fish by the comercial vessels and
would also conduct tagiging operations and sample the catch for associated biological observations. The cost of the experiment, apart from the research vessel and fishing gear costs and the scientist salaries is estimated to be about \& 20000 (D.Kr. 350 000).
(b) that a small group of experts, with Dr. A. W. May (Canada) as Convener meet for two days, at national expense, immediately preceding the next meeting of the Joint Working Party in March 1971 to prepare detailed plans for the experiment.

## 2. Norwegian Sea

(a) Collection of catch statistics and routine sampling of the catches for length, weight and age to be continued throughout the fishing season by Norway, Denmark and Sweden.
(b) If possible further tagging by Norway of salmon caught by long-line in the exploited area during the course of the season, to provide further information on the rate of exploitation and destination of the salmon in the exploited stock. In addition, tagging by Faroese and Scottish workers in the vicinity of the Feroes.
(c) Biochemical and parasite studies, similar to those conducted in relation to the West Greenland fishery, to be conducted on salmon in the Norwegian Sea and home-waters stocks.

## 3. Home Waters

(a) Collection of catch and fishing effort statistics and routine sampling of catches for length, weight and age to be continued.
(b) Tagging of natural and/or hatchery-reared smolts (and, in some countries, parr) to be continued in North American and European rivers, including if possible, U.S.S.R. rivers entering into the Barents Sea.
(c) Biochemical and parasite studies to be continued.
(d) Studies of the relationship between spawning stock size, smolt production and subsequent recruitment of grilse and salmon to be continued in river systems in North America and Frurope.

## F. TABLES

Table 1. Catches at West Greenland 1960-1969, in metric tons and round fresh weight. (Based on data available on 28 February 1970).

| Year | Drift-Net (Offshore) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Set Gill-Net } \\ & \text { (Inshore) } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Norway | Faroes | Denmark | Sweden | Total |  |  |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 60 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 127 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 244 | 244 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 466 | 466 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1539 | 1539 |
| 1965 | a) | 36 | 0 | 0 | $36+$ | 825 | 861 |
| 1966 | 32 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 1251 | 1370 |
| 1967 | 78 | 155 | 85 | 0 | 318 | 1283 | 1601 |
| 1968 | 138 | 134 | 272 | 4 | 548 | 579 | 1127 |
| 1969 | 250 | 215 | $740^{\text {c }}$ ) | 30b) | $1235{ }^{\text {b }}$ ) | 975 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2210 |

a) - Figures not available, but catch is known to be less than Faroes.
b) - Provisional.
c) - Estimated. As the offshore catch includes some fish caught by residents of Greenland, a firm breakdown into offshore and inshore catches is no longer possible. The breakdown quoted is a minimum offshore fraction and a maximum inshore fraction.

Table 2. Number of natural (wild) smolts tagged in the years 1963-1969, and recaptured in Greenland and in other areas, including home-waters, up to the end of 1969. Figures in brackets are returns per thousand tagged.

| Country | Year of Tagging | Number <br> Tagged | Recaptures |  |  |  |  |  | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | West Greenland |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Norwegian Sea } \\ & \text { and Faroes } \end{aligned}$ | All Other Areas |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Grilse | Salmon | Total |  |
| Canada | 1963 | 5850 | 11 | (1.9) |  | 0 | 70 | 20 (3.4) | 90 | 101 |
|  | 1964 | 15013 | 9 | ( 0.6 | 0 | 203 | 71 (4.7) | 274 | 283 |
|  | 1965 | 16485 | 72 | (4.4) | 0 | 175 | 191 (11.6) | 366 | 438 |
|  | 1966 | 9509 | 25 | (2.6) | 0 | 122 | $98 \cdot(10.3)$ | 220 | 245 |
|  | 1967 | 17810 | 18 | (1.0) | 0 | 96 | 148 (8.3) | 244 | 262 |
|  | 1968 | 55982 |  | (1.9) a | 0 | 1203 | 148 (8.3) | 1203 | 1311 |
|  | 1969 | 45417 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| Scotland | 1963 | 10998 | 10 | (0.9) | 0 | 172 | 92 (8.4) | 264 | 274 |
|  | 1964 | 9200 |  | (0.7) | 0 | 110 | 66 (7.2) | 176 | 182 |
|  | 1965 | 9239 | 10 | (1.1) | 0 | 74 | 49 5.3) | 123 | 133 |
|  | 1966 | 15406 | 29 | (1.9) | 0 | 281 | 38 (2.5) | 319 | 348 |
|  | 1967 | 21002 | 22 | (1.0) | 1 | 168 | 66 (3.1) | 234 | 254 |
|  | 1968 | 15695 | 14 | (0.9) | 0 | 127 | - | 127 | 141 |
|  | 1969 | 15958 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fngland } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Wales } \end{aligned}$ | 1963 | 9485 | 8 | (0.8) | 0 | 15 | 38 (4.0) | 53 | 61 |
|  | 1964 | 17129 | 10 | (0.6) | 0 | 30 | 97 (5.7) | 127 | 137 |
|  | 1965 | 5873 | 12 | (2.0) | 0 | 35 | 57 (9.7) | 92 | 104 |
|  | 1966 | 3219 | 5 | (1.6) | 0 | 28 | 37 (11.5) | 65 | 70 |
|  | 1967 | 4118 | 10 | (2.4) | 0 | 23 | 49 (11.9) | 72 | 82 |
|  | 1968 | 5790 | 19 | (3.3) | 0 | 43 | - | 43 | 62 |
|  | 1969 | 8611 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| Norway | 1963 | 97 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 4 (41.2) | 4 | 4 |
|  | 1964 | 1465 | 0 |  | 0 | 67 | 24 (16.4) | 91 | 91 |
|  | 1965 | 2120 | 0 |  | 0 | 41 | 18 (8.5) | 59 | 59 |
|  | 1966 | 1362 | 0 |  | 2 | 27 | 16 (11.7) | 43 | 45 |
|  | 1967 | 3434 | 0 |  | 2 | 59 | 19 (5.5) | 78 | 80 |
|  | 1968 | 3564 | 0 |  | 2 | 103 | - | 103 | 105 |
|  | 1969 | 3571 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| Iceland | 1966 | 82 | Recaptures included in Table 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1967 | 153 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1968 | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1969 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ireland | 1968 | 606 | 0 |  | 0 | 18 | - | 18 | 18 |
|  | 1969 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Sweden | 1966 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1967 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1968 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1969 | 800 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| USSR | 1969 | 500 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |

a) Provisional

Table 3. Number of hatchery-reared smolts tagged in the years 1963-1969 and recaptured in Greenland and in other areas, including home waters, up to the end of 1969. Figures in brackets are returns per thousand tagged.

| Country | Year of Tagging | Number Tagged | Recaptures |  |  |  |  | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | West | Norwegian Sea | A11 | ther Areas |  |  |
|  |  |  | Greenland | and Faroes | Grilse | Salmon | Total |  |
| Canada | 1963 | 7332 | 4 (0.5) | 0 | 132 | 29 (4.0) | 161 | 165 |
|  | 1964 | 46659 | 9 (0.2) | 0 | 101 | 83 (1.8) | 184 | 193 |
|  | 1965 | 45988 | 67 (1.5) | 0 | 378 | 214 (4.7) | 592 | 659 |
|  | 1966 | 70881 | 70 1.0) | 0 | 239 | 293 4.1) | 532 | 602 |
|  | 1967 | 112317 | $63\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { a } \\ 0.6 \\ \text { a }\end{array}\right.$ | 0 | 276 | 190 (1.7) | 466 | 529 |
|  | 1968 | 113992 | 140 (1.2) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0 | 289 | - | 289 | 429 |
|  | 1969 | 128280 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Scotland | 1963 | 6750 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 (0.4) | 6 | 6 |
|  | 1964 | 3000 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 (2.3) | 14 | 14 |
|  | 1965 | 3000 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 19 |
|  | 1966 | 8000 | 1 (0.1) | 0 | 13 | 4 (0.5) | 17 | 18 |
|  | 1967 | 4451 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1968 | 5335 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | 3 | 3 |
|  | 1969 | 3694 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { England } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Wales } \end{aligned}$ | 1963 | 1970 | 1 (0.5) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 1964 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 (0, 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 1966 | 9668 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (0.1) | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1967 | 18522 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 (0.1) | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1968 | 28266 | 3 (0.1) | 0 | 4 | - | 4 | 7 |
|  | 1969 | 7420 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Norway | 1963 | 10999 | 0 | 1 | 88 | 95 (8.6) | 183 |  |
|  | 1964 | 9182 | 0 | 1 | 135 | 87 (9.5) | 222 | 223 |
|  | 1965 | 8072 | 0 | 12 | 71 | 2 C (2.5) | 91 | 103 |
|  | 1966 | 13812 | 0 | 33 | 411 | 149 (10.8) | 560 | 593 |
|  | 1967 | 18393 | 2 (0.1) | 47 | 240 | 53 (2.9) | 293 | 342 |
|  | 1968 | 12983 | 0 | 22 | 173 | - | 173 | 195 |
|  | 1969 | 16967 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Iceland | 1966 | 8367 | 2 (0.2) | 0 | 66 | 14 (1.7) | 80 |  |
|  | 1967 | 10061 |  | 0 | 24 | 6 (0.6) | 30 | 30 |
|  | 1968 | - 9985 | 0 | 0 | 45 | - | 45 | 45 |
|  | 1969 | 7586 | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Irelañ | 1966 | 15000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | 1967 | 5000 | 1 (0.2) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 1968 | 222 |  | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1969 | 7194 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Sweden | 1966 | 11181 | 7 (0.6) | 1 | 690 | 137 (12.2) | 827 |  |
|  | 1967 | 4000 | 1 (0.2) | 4 | 364 | 47 (11.8) | 410 | 414 |
|  | 1968 | 4298 | 1 (0.2) | 1 | 586 | ( | 586 | 588 |
|  | 1969 | 6381 | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| USA | 1966 | 82251 | 37 (0.4) | 0 | 69 | 168 (2.0) | 237 | 274 |
|  | 1967 | 80717 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 10 (0.1) | 22 | 22 |
|  | 1968 | 73730 | 7 (0.1) | 0 | 9 | - | 16 | 16 |
|  | 1969 | 73418 |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| Denmark | 1965 | 1880 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 (1.1) |  |  |
|  | 1966 | 4270 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 44 (10.3) | 62 | 66 |
|  | 1967 | 2696 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 7 (2.6) | 20 | 22 |
|  | 1968 | 5173 | 1 (0.2) | 1 | 36 |  | 36 | 38 |
|  | 1969 | 3837 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| USSR | 1969 | 600 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

a) Provisional

Table 4. Number of kelts tagged in the winters 1962/63-1969/70 and recaptured in Greenland and in other areas, including home-waters, up to the end of 1969.

| Country | Winter of Tagging | Number Tagged | Recaptures |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Greenland | Other Areas | Total |
| Canada ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1962-63 | 653 | 2 | 65 | 67 |
|  | 1963-64 | 1519 | 0 | 90 | 90 |
|  | 1964-65 | 1995 | 1 | 144 | 145 |
|  | 1965-66 | 7170 | 0 | 650 | 650 |
|  | 1966-67 | 7510 | 1 | 689 | 690 |
|  | 1967-68 | 3742 | 0 | 419 | 419 |
|  | 1968-69 | 3627 | 3 | 120 | 123 |
|  | 1969-70 | 4521 | - | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { England } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Wales } \\ & \text { (River Axe } \\ & \text { only) } \end{aligned}$ | 1962-63 | 159 | 1 | 12 | 13 |
|  | 1963-64 | 185 | 2 | 10 | 12 |
|  | 1964-65 | 184 | 1 | 11 | 12 |
|  | 1965-66 | $109{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1 | 7 | 8 |
|  | 1966-67 | $178{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1 | 11 | 12 |
|  | 1967-68 | 188 | 2 | 6 | 8 |
|  | 1968-69 | 81 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 1969-70 | 112 | - | - | - |
| Ireland | 1962-63 | 2264 | 2 | 31 | 33 |
|  | 1963-64 | 2351 | 2 | 70 | 72 |
|  | 1964-65 | 2695 | 2 | 34 | 36 |
|  | 1965-66 | 2972 | 1 | 40 | 41 |
|  | 1966-67 | 3175 | 0 | 77 | 77 |
|  | 1967-68 | 1034 | 0 | 24 | 24 |
|  | 1968-69 | 498 | 0 | 9 | 9 |
|  | 1969-70 | - | - | - | - |
| Scotland | 1962-63 | 413 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 1963-64 | 134 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 1964-65 | 233 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
|  | 1965-66 | 1376 | 4 | 19 | 23 |
|  | 1966-67 | 901 | 3 | 18 | 21 |
|  | 1967-68 | 117 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 1968-69 | 152 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1969-70 | 133 | - | - | - |
| USA | 1962-63 | 151 | 1 | 13 | 14 |
|  | 1963-64 | 123 | 1 | 10 | 11 |
|  | 1964-65 | 160 | 0 | 23 | 23 |
|  | 1965-66 | 146 | 2 | 16 | 18 |
|  | 1966-67 | 578 | 5 | 75 | 80 |
|  | 1967-68 | 340 | 5 | 51 | 56 |
|  | 1968-69 | 218 | 1 | 8 | 9 |
|  | 1969-70 | 315 | - | - | - |
| USSR | 1968-69 | 566 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 1969-70 | 1147 | - | - | - |

a)

Ascending adults tagged during any year are included in the totals tagged for the corresponding winter (i.e. those tagged in 1962 are included under 1962-63, those tagged in 1963 under 1963-64 etc.), but recaptures of these adults in the year of tagging have not been included.
b)

In addition, 180 kelts were tagged by the Dee and Clwyd River Authority in 1965-66 and 291 kelts in 1966-67. No recaptures were reported from the first experiment and two (from 'Other Areas') from the second.

Table 5. Number of parr tagged in the years 1964-1969 and recaptured in Greenland and in other areas, including home-waters, up to the end of 1969. Figures in brackets are returns per thousand tagged.

| Country | Humber Tagged | Year of Tagging | Year of Assumed Migration | Recaptures |  |  |  |  | Grand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | West | Norwegian | A11 0 | ther Areas |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Greenland | Sea \& Fraroes | Grilse | Salmon | Total |  |
| Canada | 1971 (H) | 1964 | 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 (2.0) | 4 | 4 |
|  | 1971 | 1964 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1882 (H) | 1965 | 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1882 (W) | 1965 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4694 (H) | 1966 | 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4694 15 | 1966 | 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 999 H | 1967 | 1968 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
|  | 58 (V) | 1967 | 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
|  | 995 (H) | 1968 | 1969 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 385 (H\&W) | 1968 | 1969 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1999 (H) | 1969 | 1970 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 147 (W) | 1969 | 1970 | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Scotland | 0 (H) | 1966 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 564 (W) | 1966 | 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 (H) | 1967 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
|  | 4046 (W) | 1967 | 1968 | 0 | 0 | 6 | - | 6 |  |
|  | 222 (\#) | 1968 | 1969 | $\overrightarrow{ }$ | - | 3 | - | 3 | 4 |
|  | 5130 (w) | 1968 | 1969 | 1 | - | 3 | - | 3 |  |
|  | 2043 (H) | 1969 | 1970 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 4505 (W) | 1969 | 1970 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { England } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Wales } \end{aligned}$ | 4939 (H) | 1966 | 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 0 (W) | 1966 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2050 (H) | 1967 | 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 (W) | 1967 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2121 (H) | 1968 | 1969 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 0 (W) | 1968 |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 2512 (H) | 1969 | 1970 | - | - | - | - |  | - |
|  | 0 (W) | 1969 |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Norway | 996 (H) | 1965 | 1966 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 (1.0) | 2 |  |
|  | 0 (w) | 1965 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 6 |
|  | 2000 (\#\#) | 1966 | 1967 | 0 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 6 |
|  | 1000 (W) | 1966 | 1967 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 (3.0) | 9 | 9 |
|  | 1995 (H) | 1967 | 1968 | 0 | 4 | 7 | - | 7 | 11 |
|  | 831 (W) | 1967 | 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1000 (H) | 1968 | 1969 | - | - | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | 0 |
|  | 10 (W) | 1968 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1000 (H) | 1969 | 1970 | - | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overrightarrow{0}$ | 0 |
|  | 0 (W) | 1969 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland |  | 1969 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 821 (W) | 1969 | 1970 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Sweden |  | 1965 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 327 (W) | 1965 | 1966 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 3 (9.2) | 28 | 28 |
|  | 0 (H) | 1966 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 591 (W) | 1966 | 1967 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 5 (8.5) | 62 | 62 |
|  | $\bigcirc{ }_{0}$ (H) | 1967 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 300 W | 1967 | 1968 | 0 | 0 | 19 | - | 19 | 19 |
|  | 500 a ) (H) | 1968 |  | 0 | 0 | 10 | - | 10 | 10 |
|  | 0 (W) | 1968 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | c (H) | 1969 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 (W) | 1969 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

a) Tagged as 'one-year-old smolts', but recapture records suggest that they should be included in this table rather than in Table 4.

H - Hatchery-reared.
W - Natural (wild).

Table 6. Recaptures (to December 1970) of fish tagged at West Greenland.

| Year Tagged | Number Tagged | Local Recaptures |  | Distant Recaptures |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Days Absence | Number | Location |
| 1965 | 223 | 3 | 1, 3, 26 | 1 | Canada (SW Newfoundland) |
| 1966 | 729 | 28 | $\begin{gathered} 1-8(24) \\ 10-50(4) \end{gathered}$ | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada (Miramichi - 1) } \\ & \text { Scotland (River Tweed - 2) } \\ & \text { (River Spey - 1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1967 | 375 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 1-2 \text { (3) } \\ & \text { Not known (3) } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada (Labrador }-1 \text { ) } \\ & \text { Ireland (River Slaney - 1) } \\ & \text { (River Barrow -1) } \\ & \text { Scotland (River Tay -1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1968 | 47 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1-3 \text { (3) } \\ & <1 \text { month (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Canada (Labrador) |
| 1969 | 444 | 15 | 4-35 days | 12 |  |

a) One recapture in year of tagging.

Table 7. Catches in the Norwegian Sea long-line fishery and in the drift-net fishery within Norwegian fishery limits 1965-1969. Metric tons, round fresh weight.

| Year |  |  |  |  | Noxwegian | Ion | ine Fis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Denmark |  | Faroes |  | Germany |  | Norway |  | Sweden |  | Total |  | Drift-Net Fishery within Norwegian Fishery Limits |
|  | Number of Ships | Catch | Number of Ships | Catch | Number of Ships | Catch | Number of Ships | Catch | Number of Ships | Catch | Number of Ships | Catch |  |
| 1965 | 1-2 | - a) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1-2 | -a) | 283 |
| 1966 | 10 | -a) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | _a) | -a) | $10+$ | _a) | 312 |
| 1967 | 22 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -a) | _a) | 6 | _a) | $28+$ | 78+ | 333 |
| 1968 | 28 | 184 | 2 | 5b) | 0 | 0 | -a) | $100^{\text {d }}$ ) | 16 | 126 | 46+ | 415 | 228 |
| 1969 | 40 | 427 | 4 | $7^{\text {c) }}$ | 5 | 21 | 70+ | $450{ }^{\text {e }}$ ) | 2 | 24 | 121+ | 929 | 234 |

a) Not known.
b) Roughly $70 \%$ of catch taken in vicinity of Faroes.
c) All taken in vicinity of Faroes.
d) Estimated catch.
e) Partiy estimated.

Table 8. Estimates of catch-per-unit effort in the Norwegian Sea long-line fishery.

| Year | Month | Country | No. of Salmon Sampled | No. of Salmon Caught per 1000 hooks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1968 | April | Denmark | 1104 | 92 |
| 1968 | May | Denmark | 4435 | 100 |
| 1968 | Apr.-Aug. | Sweden | 32751 | 42 |
| 1969 | March | Denmark \{ |  | 43 |
| 1969 | April | Denmark |  | 57 |
| 1969 | May | Denmark | 25891 | 44 |
| 1969 | May | Denmark |  | 13a) |
| 1969 | June | Denmark |  | 29 |
| 1969 | April | Germany ) |  | 50 |
| 1969 | May | Germany ) | 5459 | 46 |
| 1969 | June | Germany) |  | 23 |

a) This catch, which comprised only 196 fish, was taken a short distance south of the area mainly fished by Danish vessels in 1969.

Table 9. Catches in home-waters, 1960-1969 (salmon plus grilse except where shown separately) in metric tons, round fresh weight.

| Year | Fhgland and Weles |  |  | France | Iceland | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Irishb) } \\ & \text { Republic } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Northerm }{ }^{\text {b }} \\ \text { Ireland } \end{gathered}$ | Norway |  |  | Scotland |  |  | Sweden ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ) | USSR | Canada | USA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salmon | Grilse | Total |  |  |  |  | Salmon | Grilse | Totel | Salmon | Grilse | Total |  |  |  |  |
| 1960 | - | - | 283 | 50-100 | 200 | 743 | 139 | - | - | 1659 | 960 | 476 | 1436 | 40 | 1100 | 1635 | $<2$ |
| 1961 | - | - | 232 | 50-100 | 200 | 707 | 132 | - | - | 1533 | 820 | 376 | 1196 | 27 | 790 | 1580 | $<2$ |
| 1962 | - | - | 318 | 50-100 | 100 | 1459 | 356 | - | - | 1935 | 1015 | 725 | 1740 | 15 | 710 | 1717 | $<2$ |
| 1963 | - | - | 325 | 50-100 | 200 | 1458 | 306 | - | - | 1786 | 1286 | 412 | 1698 | 16 | 480 | 1848 | $<2$ |
| 1964 | - | - | 307 | 50-100 | 200 | 1617 | 377 | - | - | 2147 | 1216 | 698 | 1914 | 16 | 590 | 2066 | $<2$ |
| 1965 | - | - | 320 | 50-100 | ? | 1457 | 281 | - | - | 2000 | 1042 | 560 | 1602 | 17 | 590 | 2113 | $<2$ |
| 1966 | - | - | 387 | 50-100 | 120 | 1238 | 287 | - | - | 1863 | 1069 | 555 | 1624 | 17 | 570 | 2356 | $<2$ |
| 1967 | - | - | 420 | 50-100 | 120 | 1463 | 449 | - | - | 2052 | 1245 | 888 | 2133 | 23 | 883 | 2859 | $<2$ |
| 1968 | - | - | 282 | 50-100 | 171 | 1413 | 312 | - | - | 1593 | 1020 | 543 | 1563 | ? | 827 | 2104 | $<2$ |
| 1969 | 264 | 113 | 377 | 50-100 | 147 | 1730 | 267 | 801 | 582 | 1383 | 987 | 954 | 1941 | $?$ | 360 | $1957{ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | $<2$ |



| Percen- |
| :--- |
| tage |
| Grilse |

a) Provisional.
b) Catch in River Foyle allocated on basis of $50 \%$ Irish Republic and $50 \%$ Northern Ireland.
c) West coast catch only.
d) Angling catches (mainly grilse) about $10 \%$ additional (by weight).
e) Mainly salmon.

Table 10. Estimates of catches per unit effort for some home-water fisheries.

| Year | Canads ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Irish Republic |  | Foyle Area ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Norway ${ }^{\text {e }}$ ) | Scotland |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Drift-Mets and Traps) } \\ \text { (Ibs) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\frac{(\text { Licences) }}{(\mathrm{Ibs})}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Drift-Nets) } \\ & \text { (numbers) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Bag Nets) } \\ (\mathrm{kg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Fixed Engines }{ }^{\text {f }} \text { ) } \\ \text { (numbers) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\text { Net and Coble) } \\ & (\text { numbers }) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Old Series | New Series |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1960 | 169 | - | 325 | 950 | 104 | 172 | 12.8 | 84.1 |
| 1961 | 159 | - | 224 | 1030 | - h) | 158 | 12.3 | . 60.9 |
| 1962 | 178 | - | 563 | 2210 | 297 | 175 | 14.8 | 83.6 |
| 1963 | 193 | - | 456 | 1940 | 334 | 177 | 19.9 | 109.3 |
| 1964 | 266 | - | 430 | 1720 | 392 | 195 | 23.2 | 98.6 |
| 1965 | 262 | - | 520 | 1700 | 361 | 172 | 17.8 | 84.0 |
| 1966 | 249 | - | 516 | 1250 | 375 | 154 | 19.4 | 95.0 |
| 1967 | 248 | 300 | 733 | 1650 | 524 | 154 | 21.6 | 130.2 |
| 1968 | 186 | 183 | 552 | 1650 | 482 | 129 | 17.3 | 97.9 |
| 1969 | - | 159 | 491 | 2077 | 455 | 137 | 15.9 | 123.4 |

a) Miramichi area, salmon only. Average of mean monthly catch/unit effort for both types of gear throughout open seasons for each type. Tnits of effort taken as 1 trap net or 200 fathoms of drift-net, as defined in FRB Tech.Rept., No.29. New estimating procedure adopted in 1967.
b) Irish Republic and Northern Ireland;
drift-nets in the open sea only.
c) Salmon and grilse per drift-net.
d) Pounds salmon and grilse per Iicence.
e) Salmon and grilse per bag net.
f) Salmon only, catch/net/month.
g) Salmon only, catch/crew/month.
h) Not available

## G. APPENDIX

## Working Papers 1969-1970

| ICES/ICINAF Salmon Document |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 69/1 | Biochemical studies on Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.) and some of its parasites. By L. Nyman . (also ICNAF Res.Doc.69/37) |
| 69/2 | The length, weight and age composition of the cormercial catches from the River Tay and River Tweed in 1968. By W.R. Munro and I.J.R. Hynd (also ICNAF Res.Doc.69/40). |
| 69/3 | Note on the salmon landed by M.F.V. Faro Bank, May 1968. By W.M. Shearer and I.J.R. Hynd. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.69/41). |
| 69/4 | Results of the examination of scale samples from salmon taken off Faroe, 1968. By W.R. Munro and I.J.R. Hynd. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.69/42). |
| 69/5 | Scottish salmon tagging data, 1965-1967. By Department of Agriculture and Fisheries for Scotland, Pitlochry. (also ICINAF Res.Doc.69/43). |
| 69/6 | US salmon research in the State of Maine. By E.T. Baum and A.L. Meister. (also ICINAF Res.Doc.69/49). |
| 69/7 | Studies on the parasites of Atläntic salmon (Salmo salar) in 1968. By J.H.C. Pippy. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.69/50). |
| 69/8 | Distribution of Atlantic salmon recaptures in Greenland waters and the recaptures in North America and Europe of the Greenland tagged salmon as reported to 18.4.1969. By S. Å. Horsted and P. Kanneworff. (also TCNAF Res.Doc.69/61). |
| 69/9 | Scottish research programme for Greenland and high seas salmon investigations, 1969. By Department of Agriculture and Fisheries for Scotland, Pitlochry and Aberdeen. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.69/64). |
| 69/10 | Canadian tagging data for Atlantic salmon to 28 February 1969. By P.F. Elson. (also ICinAF Res.Doc.69/67). |
| 69/17 | Scottish salmon catch statistics. By W.R. Munro. (also ICINAF Res.Doc.69/69). |

69/12 Salmon work in Greenland, 1968. By W.R. Munro. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.69/70).

69/13 Salmon fishery in the North Atlantic by Swedish fishing. vessels in 1968. By B. Carlin. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.69/71).

69/14 Utilization of three stocks of Atlantic salmon tagged and liberated as smolts in the Northwest Miramichi River from 1964 to 1967. By P.F. Elson. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.69/7<).

Research programe for Greenland and in home waters for Fngland and Wales, 1969. By Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, London. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.69/78).

Salmon catches for major salmon rivers in five areas of Fngland and Wales, 1965-1968. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.69/79). The proportional occurrence of salmon tagged in the UK and in Canada in some offshore drift net catches made in the West Greenland area, 1B, in 1968. By I.R.H. Allan. (also ICNAF Res.Doc. 69/80).

69/18 The proportions of grilse in England and Wales catches. By I.R.H. Allan and A. Swain. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.69/81).

Serological and biochemical studies on Atlantic salmon. Progress Report. By N.P. Wilkins. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.69/6). Drift net tagging of Atlantic salmon. By A.W. May. (also ICNAF Res.Doc. 70/2).
70/2 Size and age of salmon from West Greenland, 1968 and 1969. By A.W. May. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.70/3).
70/3 Canadian salmon statistics. By A.W. May. (also ICNAF Res. Doc.70/4) .

70/4 Origin of salmon at West Greenland. By L. Nyman. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.70/5).
70/5 Use of fluorescence to find parasitic nematodes. By J.H.C. Pippy. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.70/6).

| 70/6 | Studies on the nematode parasites of Atlantic salmon and related species from other hosts. By O.L. Nyman and J.H.C. Pippy. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.70/7). |
| :---: | :---: |
| 70/7 | Summary of salmon parasite investigations, 1969. By J.H.C. Pippy. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.70/8). |
| 70/8 | Relative catching efficiency of salmon drift nets and relative viability of salmon caught. By A.W. May. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.70/9). |
| 70/9 | Canadian tagging and recapture data for Atlantic salmon, updated tr 1 December 1969. By P.F. Elson. (also ICNAF Res.Doc. 70/1). |
| 70/10 | Irish salmon. Homewater stocks and exploitation. By E. Twomey. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.70/32). |
| 70/11 | Parasitic investigations in juvenile and adult salmon in 1968 and 1969 in selected Irish waters. By M.B. Kane. (also ICLAF Res.Doc.70/33). |

70/12 Notes on the salmon long-lining cruises by the RV 'Jens Chr. Svabol off Faroe, April 1969. By W.R. Munro. (also ICNAF Ros.Doc.70/40).

70/13 Recent trends in Scottish salmon and grilse catches. By W.R. Munro (also ICNAF Res.Doc.70/41).

70/14 Length and age distribution of Atlantic salmon from West Greenland, 1969. By P. Kanneworff. (also ICNAF Res.Doc. 70/42).

70/15 Notes on spawning and recruitment in a tributary of a Scottish river system. By K.A. Pyefinch. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.70/43).
$70 / 16$ Greenland salmon research programe, 1969. Pelagic longlining cruises by R/V 'Adolf Jensen' . By W.R. Munro. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.70/44).

70/17 Scottish juvenile salmon tagging data, 1965-1969. By DAFS, Pitlochry. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.70/45).

70/18
Polymorphism of serum transferrin in Atlantic salmon. By Dag Møller. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.70/56).

70/19 Catch statistics and age/length distribution of Atlantic salmon from the southern part of the Davis Strait. By P. Kanneworff. (also ICNAF Res.Doc.70/65).
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