

Spotted ray (Raja montagui) in Subarea 8 (Bay of Biscay)

ICES advice on fishing opportunities

ICES advises that when the precautionary approach is applied, landings should be no more than 129 tonnes in each of the years 2021 and 2022. ICES cannot quantify the corresponding catches.

Note: This advice sheet is abbreviated due to the COVID-19 disruption. The previous advice issued for 2019 and 2020 is attached as Annex 1.

Stock development over time




Figure 1 Spotted ray in Subarea 8. Left: ICES estimated landings (in tonnes); discard data are incomplete and have not been used in the advice. Right: biomass index (solid line); total biomass in kg haul⁻¹ from the SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4 survey and associated 95% confidence interval (the shaded area). The dotted horizontal lines show the mean stock-size indicator for 2013–2017 and 2018–2019.

Stock and exploitation status

 Table 1
 Spotted ray in Subarea 8. State of the stock and the fishery relative to reference points.

		Fishing pressure			Stock size					
		2017	2018		2019		2017	2018		2019
Maximum sustainable yield	F _{MSY}	2	?	?	Unknown	MSY B _{trigger}	?	?	8	Undefined
Precautionary approach	F _{pa} ,F _{lim}	?	2	8	Unknown	B _{pa} ,B _{lim}	8	2	0	Undefined
Management plan	F _{MGT}	-	-	-	Not applicable	B _{MGT}	-	-	-	Not applicable
Qualitative evaluation	-	2	8	8	Unknown	-	€	۲	۲	Decreasing

Catch scenarios

The stock size and fishing pressure status relative to reference points is unknown. The precautionary buffer was last applied in 2018 and thus not applied in 2020.

Some estimates of discards are available since 2015, but data are considered to be incomplete and the discard rate is unknown. ICES cannot quantify the corresponding dead catch.

Table 2Spotted ray in Subarea 8. The basis for the catch scenarios	*.
--	----

Index A (2018–2019)		1.70
Index B (2013–2017)		1.41
Index ratio (A/B)		1.20
Uncertainty cap	Not applied	-
Advised landings for 2019–2020, issued in 2018		108
Discard rate	Unknown	-
Precautionary buffer	Not applied	-
Landings advice **		129
% advice change ***		20%

* The figures in the table are rounded. Calculations were done with unrounded inputs and computed values may not match exactly when calculated using the rounded figures in the table.

** [Recent advised landings] × [index ratio].

*** Advice value for 2021 and 2022 relative to the advice value for 2019 and 2020.

The advised landings have increased by 20% compared to last year's advice because of the increasing biomass index.

History of the advice, catch, and management

Table 3 Spotted ray in Subarea 8. History of ICES advice and ICES estimates of landings *. All weights are in tonnes. **ICES** advice Landings corresp. to the advice Year **ICES** species-specific landings 2011 No specific advice 114 2012 No specific advice 105 No TAC, species-specific measures needed, 137 2013 _ catch to decrease by at least 20% 2014 No new advice, same as 2013 161 2015 20% decrease from last 3 years' average 94 190 No new advice, same as 2015 94 2016 159 2017 Precautionary approach ≤ 115 180 Precautionary approach (same value as advised 2018 ≤ 115 215 catches for 2017) 2019 Precautionary approach ≤ 108 267 2020 Precautionary approach ≤ 108 2021 Precautionary approach ≤ 129 2022 Precautionary approach ≤ 129

* There is no specific TAC for this stock. Fishing opportunities are managed through an overall TAC by management unit, which includes all species of skates and rays.

Summary of the assessment

Table 4

Spotted ray in Subarea 8. Assessment summary. Time-series of survey index (SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4 [± standard error] in kg haul⁻¹).

Year	Biomass index (kg haul ⁻¹)	Upper 95% Cl	Lower 95% Cl	Landings (tonnes)
1999	0.46	0.79	0.13	46
2000	2.1	4.09	0.14	55
2001	2.2	3.60	0.83	67
2002	1.01	1.62	0.41	64
2003	0.98	1.55	0.41	62
2004	0.86	1.51	0.22	73
2005	1.26	1.92	0.61	155
2006	1.01	1.59	0.43	130
2007	1.18	1.76	0.60	124
2008	0.55	0.90	0.19	107
2009	0.68	1.01	0.34	77
2010	0.92	1.54	0.31	112
2011	0.46	0.69	0.23	114
2012	0.83	1.33	0.32	105
2013	1.37	2.57	0.18	137
2014	1.73	3.04	0.42	161
2015	1.29	2.15	0.43	190
2016	1.37	2.60	0.13	159
2017	1.31	2.68	0	180
2018	1.76	2.93	0.59	215
2019	1.63	2.90	0.36	267

Sources and references

ICES. 2020. Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF). ICES Scientific Reports, 2:77. http://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.7470.

Recommended citation: ICES. 2020. Spotted ray (*Raja montagui*) in Subarea 8 (Bay of Biscay). *In* Report of the ICES Advisory Committee, 2020. ICES Advice 2020, rjm.27.8. https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.advice.5796.

Annex 1

ICES Advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort Bay of Biscay and the Iberian Coast Ecoregion rjm.27.8



B oma s Index

2009

2014

2004

Spotted ray (Raja montagui) in Subarea 8 (Bay of Biscay)

ICES advice on fishing opportunities

ICES advises that when the precautionary approach is applied, landings should be no more than 108 to nes Ch of the years 2019 and 2020. ICES cannot quantify the corresponding catches.

3.5

3

2.5

2 1.5


1

0.5

C

Stock development over time

The stock size indicator is variable. Recent survey estimates are above the average of the seles.



Spotted ray in Subarea 8. ICES estimated landings (in tunnes). Peft: total catches (in tonnes). Information on discards Figure 1 is available from some fleets since 2015. Right. iom. ind x (solid line) from the SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4 survey and Standard Error (SE) as the shadowed area. The do real prizontal lines show the mean stock indicators for 2016–2017 and 2011-2015.

Biomass Index in kg/haul

Stock and exploitation status

ICES cannot assess the stock and exploitation status related to the maximum sustainable yield (MSY) and precautionary approach (PA) reference points because the reference points are undefined.

Spotted ray in Subarea 8. States I the stock and fishery relative to reference points. Table 1

		Fish or pressure			Stock size					
		015	. 116		2017		2015	2016		2017
Maximum sustainable yield	F _{MSY}		?	2	Unknown	MSY B _{trigger}	?	?	0	Undefined
Precautionary approach	F _p , lim	0	2	9	Unknown	B _{pa} ,B _{lim}	2	8	0	Undefined
Management plan	r MG1	/_	-	-	Not applicable	B _{MGT}	—	-	-	Not applicable
Qualitative evaluation		8	8	2	Unknown	-		۲	\bigcirc	Stable
Catch scenarios									•	

The ICES frame work for category 3 stocks was applied (ICES, 2012). The SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4 survey was used as the index of stock size. The advice is based on a comparison of the two latest index values (Index A) with the five preceding values (Index 2) multiplies by the recent advised landings. The index is estimated to have increased by less than 20% and thus the unc rtair ly up was not applied.

The stock size indicator has been stable over the longer time series. The precautionary buffer was last applied in 2015. Because there is no information on stock size or fishing pressure, the precautionary buffer was applied again in 2018. Some estimates of discards are available but considered to be incomplete and discard rate is unknown. ICES cannot quantify the corresponding dead catch.

Table 2Spotted ray in Subarea 8. The basis for the catch scenarios*.

Index A (2016–2017)		1.34
Index B (2011–2015)		1.14
Index ratio (A/B)		1.18
Uncertainty cap	Not applied	
Advised landings for 2017–2018 issued in 2016		115
Discard rate		L iknown
Precautionary buffer	Applied	0.8
Landings advice**		108
% Advice change***		-5.9%

* The figures in the table are rounded. Calculations were done with unrounded inputs and computed value may not match exactly when calculated using the rounded figures in the table.

** [Recent advised landings × index ratio × Precautionary Buffer].

*** Advice value for 2019 relative to advice value for 2018.

The advised landings are lower than advised for 2016 and 2017 because the biomass index has increased less than the precautionary buffer.

Basis of the advice

Table 3 Spo	ed ray in Subarea 8. The basis of the advice.	
Advice basis	Precautionary approach	
Management plan	ICES is not aware of any agreed precaution	ary nanage ent plan for spotted ray in this area.

Quality of the assessment

Two long-term scientific trawl surveys take place in the ecore on, one in Division 8.c (SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4) and another in divisions 8.a and 8.b (EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4). Catch rates in the latter survey are highly variable and not considered indicative of stock size. The stock indicator used, therefore, only covers part of the stock area.

Issues relevant for the advice

The quality of landings data has generally improved a recent years, especially following the the Workshop to compile and refine catch and landings of elasmobranch (WKS HARK2), where ICES revised elasmobranch landings data for the period 2009–2015 (ICES, 2016a). However, commercial data might not be fully accurate as *Raja montagui* are confounded with the larger-bodied, but morph, logically similar *Raja brachyura*.

Discard information from the main flex's involved in the fishery (France and Spain) has been quantified from 2015 to 2017 but is uncertain.

Raja montagui is a coastal and inner helf species that is a bycatch in trawl and gillnet fisheries. It is one of the most commercially important skate species in this ecoregion.

Reference points

No reference points are defined for this stock



Basis of the assessment

Table 4Spotted ray	in Subarea 8. Basis of assessment and advice.
ICES stock data category	3 (<u>ICES, 2016b</u>)
Assessment type	Survey-based trends (ICES, 2018)
Input data	Surveys: SpGFS-WIBTS-Q4 in Division 8.c
Discards and bycatch	Discard rates are considered low but have not been fully quantified.
Indicators	None
Other information	None
Working group	Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF)

Information from stakeholders

No additional information is available.

History of the advice, catch, and management

Table 5	Spotted ray in Subarea 8. History of ICES advice a	ce and ICES estimates of Izy Jings*. I weights are in tonnes.					
Year	ICES advice	Landings corresp the advice	ICES species-specific landings: minimum estimate based on reported landings**				
2011	No specific advice		114				
2012	No specific advice		105				
2013	No TAC, species-specific measures needed,		137				

	catch to decrease by at least 20%		
2014	No new advice, same as 2013	-	161
2015	20% decrease from last 3 years' average	94	190
2016	No new advice, same as 2015	94	159
2017	Precautionary approach	≤ 115	180
2018	Precautionary approach (same value as advised catches for 2017)	≤ 115	
2019	Precautionary approach	≤ 108	
2020	Precautionary approach	≤ 108	

* There is no specific TAC for this stock. Fishing o portul lies are managed through an overall TAC by management unit, which includes all species of skates and rays.

** Data revised in 2018 (ICES, 2018)

History of the catch and landings

This stock is distributed primarily in EU wat, is; whilst catches from the NEAFC area are not quantified, they are assumed negligible.

Table 6 Spotted ra	iy in Su⊾ ea 8 Cat	tch distribution by fleet	in 2017 as estima	ited by ICES.	
Catch (2017)		Landin	gs		Discards
•	ottom trawl	hooks & lines	nets	other	
188 tonnes	-2%	5%	76%	7%	8 tonnes (partial)
		180 ton	nes		
6					

ICES Advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort rjm.27.8

able /	Spotted ray in Subare	ea o. ICLS estimates	or landings by count	iy (in tonnes). Data	Teviseu III 2010 (ICL	3, 2010).
Year	Belgium	Spain	France	UK	Ireland	Total
2009	0	11	64	1		77
2010	0	26	86	0		112
2011	0	22	91	0		114
2012	0	19	86			105
2013		28	109			37
2014		40	121			161
2015		28	149	1	12	190
2016		26	132	0		159
2017	0	27	153			180

Table 7 Spotted ray in Subarea 8. ICES estimates of landings by country (in tonnes). Data revised in 2018 (ICES, 2018).

Summary of the assessment

Table 8

Spotted ray in Subarea 8. Assessment summary. Time-series of survey index (SpGFS W 3TS-Q4 (±Standard Error) in kg*haul⁻¹.

kg lidul .		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	Biomass index			ndings	Discards
Year	kg haul ⁻¹	SE upper	SE lower	tonnes	
1999	0.46	0.29	0.63	46	
2000	2.11	1.11	3.12	55	
2001	2.21	1.51	2	67	
2002	1.01	0.7	1.32	64	
2003	0.98	0.69	- 77	62	
2004	0.86	0.53	1.19	73	
2005	1.26	0.93	.6	155	
2006	1.01	0.72	1.31	130	
2007	1.18	0.89	1.48	124	
2008	0.55	0.36	0.73	107	
2009	0.68	0.51	0.85	77	
2010	0.92	0.61	1.24	112	
2011	0.46	0.2	0.58	114	
2012	0.83	0 - 7	1.09	105	
2013	1.37	0.77	1.98	137	
2014	1.73	1.06	2.4	161	
2015	1.29	0.85	1.73	190	9
2016	1.37	0.74	2.0	159	63
2017	1.31	0.61	2.01	180	8

Sources and references

ICES. 2012. ICES Implementation of A vice for Data-limited Stocks in 2012 in its 2012 Advice. ICES CM 2012/ACOM:68. 42 pp.

ICES. 2016a. Report of the Work hop to compile and refine catch and landings of elasmobranchs (WKSHARK2), 19–22 January 2016, Lisbon, Pertuge 12 ES CM 2016/ACOM:40. 69 pp.

ICES. 2016b. Advice basis. In report of the ICES Advisory Committee, 2016. ICES Advice 2016, Book 1, Section 1.2.

ICES. 2018. Report of the Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF), 19–28 June 2018, Lisbon, Portugal. ICES CM 2018/ACOM:10.

