

# Blonde ray (Raja brachyura) in Division 7.e (western English Channel)

### **ICES** advice on fishing opportunities

ICES advises that when the precautionary approach is applied, landings should be no more than 266 tonnes in each of the years 2021 and 2022. ICES cannot quantify the corresponding catches.

Note: This advice sheet is abbreviated due to the COVID-19 disruption. The previous advice issued for 2019 and 2020 is attached as Annex 1.

### Stock development over time




Figure 1 Blonde ray in Division 7.e. ICES estimated landings (in tonnes).

### Stock and exploitation status

 Table 1
 Blonde ray in Division 7.e. State of the stock and the fishery relative to reference points.

		Fishing pressure			_	Stock size					
		2017	2018		2019			2017	2018		2019
Maximum sustainable yield	F <sub>MSY</sub>	?	?	2	Unknown		MSY B <sub>trigger</sub>	?	?	2	Unknown
Precautionary approach	F <sub>pa</sub> ,F <sub>lim</sub>	?	?	8	Unknown		B <sub>pa</sub> ,B <sub>lim</sub>	?	?	0	Unknown
Management plan	F <sub>MGT</sub>	_	-	-	Not applicable		B <sub>MGT</sub>	_	-	-	Not applicable
Qualitative evaluation	-	?	?		Unknown		-	?	?	8	Unknown

### **Catch scenarios**

The stock size and fishing pressure status relative to reference points is unknown. The precautionary buffer was last applied in 2018 and thus not applied in 2020.

Discarding is known to take place; however, ICES cannot quantify the corresponding dead catch.

### Table 2Blonde ray in divisions 7.e. The basis for the catch scenarios.

266 tonnes
Unknown
Not applied -
266 tonnes
0%

\* Advised landings for 2021–2022.

\*\* Advice value for 2021 and 2022 relative to the advice value for 2019 and 2020.

### History of the advice, catch, and management

Year	ICES advice	Landings corresp. to advice	ICES landings
2011	No specific advice		414
2012	No specific advice		349
2013	No TAC, species-specific measures needed, catch to decrease by at least 20%	-	419
2014	No new advice, same as 2013	-	579
2015	Status quo for skate TAC	310	708
2016	No new advice, same as 2015	310	587
2017	Precautionary approach	≤ 333	504
2018	Precautionary approach (same value as advised catches for 2017)	≤ 333	735
2019	Precautionary approach	≤ 266	896
2020	Precautionary approach	≤ 266	
2021	Precautionary approach	≤ 266	
2022	Precautionary approach	≤ 266	

\* There is no specific TAC for this stock. Fishing opportunities are managed through an overall TAC by management unit, which includes all species of skates and rays.

### Summary of the assessment

There is no assessment for this stock in this area.

### Sources and references

ICES. 2020. Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF). ICES Scientific Reports, 2:77. <u>https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.7470</u>.

*Recommended citation:* ICES. 2020. Blonde ray (*Raja brachyura*) in Division 7.e (western English Channel). *In* Report of the ICES Advisory Committee, 2020. ICES Advice 2020, rji.27.7e. https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.advice.5815.

# Annex 1

ICES Advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort Celtic Seas Ecoregion rjh.27.7e




# Blonde ray (Raja brachyura) in Division 7.e (western English Channel)

### **ICES advice on fishing opportunities**

ICES advises that when the precautionary approach is applied, landings should be no more than 266 to nee ne ch of the years 2019 and 2020. ICES cannot quantify the corresponding catches.

### Stock development over time

Landings have decreased since a peak in 2015.



### Stock and exploitation status

Figure 1

ICES cannot assess the stock and exploitation status relative to the maximum sustainable yield (MSY) and precautionary approach (PA) reference points because the reference points are undefined.

#### Table 1 Blonde ray in Division 7.e. State of the stoch and fishery relative to reference points.

· · · · ·					· ·		· · · ·				
			shing pr	ressure	5	_			Stock s	ize	
		2005	20.		2017	_		2015	2016		2017
Maximum sustainable yield	F <sub>MSY</sub>	2	2	8	Unknown		MSY B <sub>trigger</sub>	?	?	?	Unknown
Precautionary approach	F <sub>pa</sub> ,F <sub>lim</sub>	?	9	8	Unknown		B <sub>pa</sub> ,B <sub>lim</sub>	?	?	8	Unknown
Management plan	F	)-	-	-	Not applicable		B <sub>MGT</sub>	_	-	-	Not applicable
Qualitative evaluation		9	8	8	Unknown		-	?	8	2	Unknown
Roy O											

### **Catch scenarios**

The ICES framework for category 5 stocks was applied (ICES, 2012). For stocks without information on abundance or exploitation, ICES considers that a precautionary reduction of catches should be implemented unless there is ancillary information clearly indicating that the current level of exploitation is appropriate for the stock. The precationary buffer was last applied in 2014, and has therefore been applied again in 2018.

Discarding is known to take place, but ICES cannot quantify the corresponding dead catch.

### Table 2 Blonde ray in divisions 7.e. The basis for the catch scenarios.\*

Advised landings for 2017–2018 (issued in 2016)		333 t
Discard rate		Unknown
Precautionary buffer	Applied	0.8
Landings advice **		266 t
% Advice change ***		-20%

\* The figures in the table are rounded. Calculations were done with unrounded inputs and computed values may not match exactly when calculated using the rounded figures in the table.

\*\* [Advised landings for 2017–2018] × [precautionary buffer].

\*\*\* Advice value for 2019 relative to advice value for 2018.

The advised landings for 2019 and 2020 are lower than advised for 2017 and 2018 because the precautionary buffer has been applied.

### Basis of the advice

Table 3 Blonde ra	y in Division 7.e. The basis of the advice	
Advice basis	Precautionary approach.	
Management plan	ICES is not aware of any agreed precutiona, management plan for blonde ray in this area.	

### Quality of the assessment

The quality of landings data has generally improved in receive years, especially following the WKSHARK2 workshop in which ICES revised elasmobranch landings data for the eriod 2009–2015 (ICES, 2016a). However, data for blonde ray and spotted ray are often confounded. The increase in landin, s from 2009 to 2015 might have been also influenced by the introduction of mandatory species-specific reporting of s<sup>1</sup>, te lanungs. Stock-specific landings data are not available before 2009.

### Issues relevant for the advice

Blonde ray is an important commercial spec. s, accounting for about one third of the skate landings in this division. It is a bycatch in demersal fisheries, but ray be targeted in areas of high local abundance due to its large size and high market value.

Restrictions on fishing for indulate ray from 2009 onwards may have re-directed fishing effort to this species.

The stock structure of blo, de ray in the western English Channel is unknown, and it is unclear as to whether it is a discrete stock or associated with the neighboring stocks in the eastern English Channel/southern North Sea or the Bristol Channel/Irish Cea.

It is a coastal and innum shelf species that has a patchy distribution and is often found in greater abundance in sand bank habitats. The for a conditional K Carhelmar survey (1989–2011) suggested low but stable catch rates in Lyme Bay (Burt *et al.*, 2013). More a cent a conditional (e.g. UK-Q1-SWBTS) are much more variable.

### Reference points

No reference points are defined for this stock.

# Basis of the assessment

Table 4Blonde ray i	in Division 7.e. The basis of the assessment.	
ICES stock data category	5 ( <u>ICES, 2016b</u> ).	
Assessment type	No assessment (ICES, 2018).	
Input data	Landings data 2009–2017.	
Discards and bycatch	Discarding is known to take place but has not been fully quantified.	
Indicators	None.	
Other information	UK Carhelmar survey (discontinued).	
Working group	Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF)	

## Information from stakeholders

There is no additional available information.

# History of the advice, catch, and management

Table 5	Blonde ray in Division 7.e. History of ICES advice and ICES estimates of a dings. All weights are in tonnes
---------	---

Year	ICES advice	Landings curresp. advice	ICES species-specific landings: minimum estimate based on reported landings**
2011	No specific advice		414
2012	No specific advice		349
2013	No TAC, species-specific measures needed, catch to decrease by at least 20%		419
2014	No new advice, same as 2013	-	579
2015	Status quo for skate TAC	310	708
2016	No new advice, same as 2015	310	587
2017	Precautionary approach	≤ 333	492
2018	Precautionary approach (same value as advised natc. is for 2017)	≤ 333	
2019	Precautionary approach	≤ 266	
2020	Precautionary approach	≤ 266	

\* There is no specific TAC for this stock. Fishing opportunities are managed through an overall TAC by management unit, which includes all species of skates and rays.

\*\* Revised in 2018 (ICES, 2018).

# History of the catch and landings

The distribution of this stock does not exten. Into the NEAFC regulatory area.

able 6 Blonde	e ray in Div sior 1. Ca	atch distribution by fle	et in 2017 as estimat	ted by ICES.	
Catch (2017)		Landi	ings		Discards
	Jeam trawl	bottom trawls	fixed-nets	other gear	
Unknown 💊	17%	32%	43%	8%	Unquantified
		492 tonnes			
Ò					

Table 7	Blonde ray in Division 7.e. History of landings. ICES estimates of landings by country (in tonnes). Data revised in 2018.

Year	Belgium	France	UK	Ireland	Netherlands	Total
2009	6	56	159			221
2010	3	148	215			365
2011	5	205	204			414
2012	5	169	175	0.43	0.150	349
2013	6	191	222		0.07	419
2014	3	281	295			579
2015	6	304	396	2		708
2016	11	223	352			587
2017	9	240	241	2	0. ?	492

### Summary of the assessment

There is no assessment for this stock in this area.

## Sources and references

Burt, G. J., Ellis, J. R., Harley, B. F., and Kupschus, S. 2013. The FV Carhelmar cham chawl survey of the western English Channel (1989–2011): History of the survey, data availability and the distribution and relative abundance of fish and commercial shellfish. Science Series Technical Report (CEFAS, Lowestoft): 51. 139 pp.

ICES. 2012. ICES Implementation of Advice for Data-limited Stocks in 1012 in its 2012 Advice. ICES CM 2012/ACOM:68. 42 pp.

ICES. 2016a. Report of the Workshop to compile and refine cat h and andings of elasmobranchs (WKSHARK2), 19–22 January 2016, Lisbon, Portugal. ICES CM 2016/ACOM:40. 69 pr

ICES. 2016b. Advice basis. In Report of the ICES Advisory Conmittee, 2016. ICES Advice 2016, Book 1, Section 1.2.

ICES. 2018. Report of the Working Group on Elasmobr non Fishes (WGEF), 19–28 June 2018, Lisbon, Portugal. ICES CM 2018/ACOM:16. 1306 pp.