### 6.4.2 Cod in Subarea IV (North Sea), Division VIId (Eastern Channel), and IIIa West (Skagerrak)

State of the stock

| Spawning biomass <br> in relation to <br> precautionary <br> limits | Fishing <br> mortality in <br> relation to <br> precautionary <br> limits | Fishing <br> mortality in <br> relation to <br> high long- <br> term yield | Fishing <br> mortality in <br> relation to <br> agreed target | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reduced <br> reproductive <br> capacity | Increased risk | Overfished | Above target |  |

Based on the most recent estimate of SSB (in 2009) and fishing mortality (in 2008), ICES classifies the stock as suffering reduced reproductive capacity and as being at risk of being harvested unsustainably. SSB has increased since its historical low in 2006, but remains below $\mathrm{B}_{\text {lim }}$. Fishing mortality declined after 2000, but in 2008 increased, predominantly as a consequence of increased discarding and is currently estimated to be between $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$. The 2005 year class is estimated to be one of the most abundant amongst the recent below-average year classes. The 2008 year class is estimated to be one of the lowest in the series.

## Management objectives

The EU-Norway agreement management plan as updated in December 2008 aims to be consistent with the precautionary approach and is intended to provide for sustainable fisheries and high yield leading to a target fishing mortality to 0.4. (for details see Annex 6.4.2). The EU has adopted a long-term plan for this stock with the same aims (Council Regulation (EC) 1342/2008).

ICES has evaluated the management plan in 2009 and considers it to be in accordance with the precautionary approach if it is implemented and enforced adequately. Discarding in excess of the assumptions under the management plan will affect the effectiveness of the plan. The evaluation is most sensitive to assumptions about implementation error (i.e. TAC and effort overshoot and the consequent increase in discards).

Reference points

|  | Type | Value | Technical basis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Precautionary approach | $\mathrm{B}_{\text {lim }}$ | 70000 t | Bloss ( $\sim 1995$ ) |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 150000 t | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}=$ Previous MBAL and signs of impaired recruitment below 150000 t . |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {lim }}$ | 0.86 | $\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}=$ F loss $_{\text {( }}$ 1995) |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 0.65 | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}=$ Approx. 5th percentile of $\mathbf{F}_{\text {loss, }}$ implying an equilibrium biomass $>\mathbf{B}_{\text {pa }}$. |
| Targets | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{mgt}}$ | 0.4 | EU/Norway agreement and EU management plan 1342/08 |

(Unchanged since 1998, management plan target added in 2008)

Yield and spawning biomass per Recruit F-reference points (2009):
Fishing
Mortality
Yield/R SSB/R
Ages 2-4

| Average last 3 years | 0.79 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{F}_{\text {max }}$ | 0.25 | 0.69 | 2.1 |
| $\mathbf{F}_{0.1}$ | 0.16 | 0.69 | 3.2 |
| $\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}$ | 0.81 | 0.51 | 0.3 |

Estimated by ICES in 2009, assuming constant maturity, with M and stock weights averaged over the period 2000-2007. Selectivity is averaged over 2005-2007.

In 2005, ICES advised that, on the basis of evaluations of harvest control rules for North Sea cod, target fishing mortalities (covering all catches) below 0.4 (ages 2-4) would result in a low risk of SSB falling below the conservation limit Blim and would achieve high long-term yields

## Single-stock exploitation boundaries

Considering the options below, ICES advises on the basis of the management plan on an F in 2010 that is $65 \%$ of the F in 2008 (F2010=0.51), catches should be less 66400 t . Assuming discards rates as observed in 2008, this implies landings of less than 40300 t in 2010.
This presumes that the objectives of the management plan are realised which assumes reduction in F and control of catches in 2009 and 2010.

Exploitation boundaries in relation to existing management plans
The plan stipulates that, based on the assumption that the $25 \%$ reduction in F in 2009 has been effective in reducing $\mathrm{F}_{2009}$ to $25 \%$ below $\mathrm{F}_{2008}$, the following criteria be met, in order of increasing priority:
(a) $\mathrm{TAC}_{2009}$ should not exceed a level that results in $\mathrm{F}_{2010}$ being above $65 \%$ of $\mathrm{F}_{2008}$;
(b) There should be no more than a $20 \%$ change from $\mathrm{TAC}_{2009}$ to $\mathrm{TAC}_{2010}$;

These criteria imply catches should be less 66400 t . Assuming discards rates as observed in 2008, this implies landings of less than 40300 t in 2010.This is less than the $20 \%$ increase constraint $\left(1.2 \times \mathrm{TAC}_{2009}=41500 \mathrm{t}\right)$ for Area IV and Subdivisions VIId and IIIa (Skagerrak).

Exploitation boundaries in relation to high long-term yield, low risk of depletion of production potential and considering ecosystem effects
$\mathrm{F}_{2008}$ is above the levels that would lead to high long-term yield and low risk of depletion of production potential, taking ecosystem effects into account.

## Exploitation boundaries in relation to precautionary limits

Given the low stock size and recent poor recruitment, the stock cannot be rebuilt to $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ at the start of 2011 even with a zero catch. Simulations indicate that with the recent poor recruitment, a zero catch in 2010 and 2011 is likely to achieve the rebuilding of the stock to $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ by 2012.

## Short-term implications

Outlook for 2010
Management plan assumptions
Basis; $\mathrm{F}_{09}=$ [management plan] $=0.75 \mathrm{~F}_{08}=0.59$ (land $=0.29$, disc $=0.30$ ); $\mathrm{R}_{08-10}=$ (re-sampled from 1997-2007 YC, median of 1998-2008 YC) $\sim 110$ million; $\operatorname{SSB}(2010)=66.0$; Landings (2009) = 41.9; Discards (2009) $=24.8$.

| Rationale | Catches <br> (2010) | Landings (2010) | Basis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F total } \\ & \text { (2010) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F land } \\ & \text { (2010) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F disc } \\ & (2010) \end{aligned}$ | Discards (2010) | $\begin{gathered} \text { SSB } \\ (2011) \end{gathered}$ | \%SSB change ${ }^{1)}$ | \%TAC change ${ }^{2)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management Plan | 66.4 | 40.3 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F}_{10}= \\ 0.65 * \mathrm{~F}_{08} \end{gathered}$ | 0.51 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 26.1 | 79.6 | 21\% | 17\% |

This option is considered precautionary in the context of the long term management plan.
ICES assumptions
Basis: $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{sq}}=\mathrm{F}_{06-08}$ scaled to $\mathrm{F}_{08}=0.79 ; \mathrm{R}_{08-10}=$ (re-sampled from 1997-2007 YC, median of 1998-2008 YC) $\sim 110$ million; $\mathrm{SSB}(2010)=54.2$; Landings (2009) = 51.5; Discards (2009) = 30.8.

| Rationale | Catches (2010) | Landings (2010) | Basis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F total } \\ & \text { (2010) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F land } \\ & \text { (2010) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F disc } \\ & \text { (2010) } \end{aligned}$ | Discards (2010) | $\begin{gathered} \text { SSB } \\ \text { (2011) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \%SSB } \\ \text { change }{ }^{1)} \end{gathered}$ | \%TAC change ${ }^{2)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zero Catch | 0 | 0.0 | $\mathrm{F}=0$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 113.5 | 110\% | -100\% |
| Status quo options | 46.8 | 27.9 | 0.50*Fsq | 0.40 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 18.9 | 75.9 | 40\% | -19\% |
|  | 50.5 | 30.1 | 0.55*Fsq | 0.44 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 20.4 | 72.9 | 35\% | -13\% |
|  | 54.3 | 32.3 | 0.60*Fsq | 0.48 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 22.0 | 70.1 | 29\% | -7\% |
|  | 57.8 | 34.3 | 0.65*Fsq | 0.51 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 23.4 | 67.2 | 24\% | -1\% |
|  | 61.1 | 36.2 | 0.70*Fsq | 0.55 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 24.8 | 64.6 | 19\% | 5\% |
|  | 64.2 | 38.1 | 0.75*Fsq | 0.59 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 26.1 | 62.0 | 14\% | 10\% |
|  | 67.3 | 39.9 | 0.80*Fsq | 0.63 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 27.4 | 59.6 | 10\% | 15\% |
|  | 70.5 | 41.8 | 0.85*Fsq | 0.67 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 28.7 | 57.3 | 6\% | 21\% |
|  | 73.5 | 43.5 | 0.90*Fsq | 0.71 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 30.0 | 55.1 | 2\% | 26\% |
|  | 79.1 | 46.7 | Fsq | 0.79 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 32.4 | 50.8 | -6\% | 35\% |

[^0]Shaded areas are not considered consistent with the precautionary approach in the short term
${ }^{1)}$ SSB 2011 relative to SSB 2010.
${ }^{2)}$ Landings 2010 relative to TAC 2009 (Total = 34590 t for the Skagerrak; VIId and IV; EC waters of IIa; that part of IIIa not covered by the Skagerrak and Kattegat)

## Management considerations

The 2008 advice from ICES for this stock was a zero catch in 2009 because it did not consider the former recovery plan precautionary. The ICES advice for 2010 indicates that catches of cod can be allowed under the new management agreement. This change in advice is because the new management agreement is considered to be consistent with the precautionary approach. It should be emphasised that the new agreement is only consistent with the precautionary approach if it is implemented and enforced adequately.

ICES has observed that there have been considerable problems with the effectiveness of the former recovery plan. Despite the objective of the plan to reduce fishing mortality and to increase the SSB by combined TAC control and effort management, estimated catches have been much higher than intended in the management plan. Although fishing mortality has been reduced after 2000, it has remained well above the targets implied by the plan and has increased again in 2008. Also discarding increased in 2007 and 2008 and contributed about half of the total fishing mortality in these years. Under the present implementation and enforcement approach, reduction in F and the recovery of the stock is unlikely under either management plan. It is therefore urgent to make significant improvements in implementation and enforcement to achieve reduction in F by effective control of cod catches.

In recent years surveys indicate that the year classes are depleting faster than one would expect from the catches and point to unaccounted removals. There is no documented information on the source of these unaccounted removals; while it is assumed that these removals originate mostly from fishing activities, changes in natural mortality may also have an influence. Plausible fishery-based contributions to these unaccounted removals are discards that do not count against quota, and the mis- and under-reporting of catches (although the latter are considered to have reduced in recent years following changes to national reporting procedures). The recorded landings from 2005-2008 have fluctuated between $30 \%$ and $55 \%$ of the total removals. This indicates that the management system has not been effective in controlling the catches.

Although the absolute levels of current SSB and fishing mortality are considered uncertain (Figure 6.4.2.1), fishing mortality rates have been reduced after 2000 and, due to the 2005 year class, the stock has increased since 2006. However, all recent year classes have been poor. The low average age of the spawning stock reduces its reproductive capacity, as first-time spawners reproduce less successfully than older fish which is considered to be a factor that has contributed to the continued low recruitment.

In 2008, $94 \%$ of 1 year old, $73 \%$ of 2 year old, $64 \%$ of 3 year old (the abundant 2005 year class) and $12 \%$ of 4 year old cod (in numbers) were discarded. This resulted in discard mortality which exceeded landings mortality (Figure 6.4.2.2 and Figure 6.4.2.3). The recruitment of the relatively more numerous 2005 year-class to the fishery will have no beneficial effect on the current spawning biomass if it continues to be caught and heavily discarded. ICES notes that there have been considerable efforts to reduce discards by some countries, but it is too premature to evaluate the impact these have had in reducing discard mortality. It is important that the effectiveness of the existing measures is monitored and if discarding is still considered to be too high, then additional technical, temporal or spatial measures should be promptly introduced that are effective in reducing discards.

The 2006 year class is locally abundant in the southern North Sea and Eastern Channel. This is causing high rates of discards and high-grading in 2008 and 2009 in the Eastern Channel. The 2006 year class is estimated as high as the 1996 year class in the Eastern Channel by the French ground fish survey but was found to be poor in the North Sea, based on the IBTS Q1 and Q3 surveys.

Several nations, who make substantial landings of cod, have not supplied ICES with estimates of discards that can be used within the assessment process, despite the requirement to do so according to EU data collection regulations. In order to improve the quality of the assessment, and hence management advice, these nations should be encouraged to do so.

Cod are taken by towed gears in mixed demersal fisheries, which include haddock, whiting, Nephrops, plaice, and sole. They are also taken in directed fisheries using fixed gears. For management to be effective, both species-specific assessments and the latest developments in mixed fisheries approaches need to be considered. A reduction in direct effort on one stock may lead to a reduction or an increase in effort on another and, hence, the implications of any changes need to be identified and carefully evaluated.

Cod catch in Division VIId was managed by a TAC for Divisions VIIb-k,VIII, IX, X, and CECAF 34.1.1, (i.e. the TAC covers a small proportion of the North Sea cod stock together with cod in Divisions VIIe-k). Division VIId was allocated a separate TAC for 2009 which was adjusted in line with the revision to the North Sea TAC.

ICES has developed a generic approach to evaluate whether new survey information that becomes available in September forms a basis to update the advice. If this is the case, ICES will publish new advice in November 2009.

## Management plan evaluations

In December 2008 the European Commission and Norway agreed on a new cod management plan implementing a new system of linked effort management with a target fishing mortality of 0.4 (EC 1342/2008 and Annex 6.4.2).

ICES has evaluated the EC management plan in March 2009 and concluded that this management plan is in accordance with the precautionary approach only if implemented and enforced adequately.

During the evaluation, ICES assumed that the annual effort reduction is fully achieved and the target F is achieved. Recovery is sensitive to assumptions about implementation error (i.e. scenarios of TAC and effort overshoot and increase in discards) and a continuation of the current low recruitment. Under these scenarios recovery to a precautionary status is delayed until after the required target date of 2015.

The application of the $20 \%$ TAC constraint results in reductions in fishing mortality to values that are so low (e.g. $\mathrm{F}=$ 0.1 by $\sim 2012$ ) that it is impractical for effort to be reduced to the levels required, possibly even for by-catch fisheries. At such low levels of fishing, the behaviour of the mixed fishery is considered highly uncertain and the management plan evaluation assumptions will break down, especially with respect to discard practices. Removing the TAC constraint might reduce the level of discards and lead to more appropriate management and fishing practices but would also delay the recovery.

## Impacts of fisheries on the ecosystems

Cod is targeted by a gillnet fishery, primarily conducted by Denmark and the UK, with a substantial bycatch of harbour porpoise. In 2001 the total bycatch in the cod fishery was around 2000 porpoises. Since 2001, effort reductions in this fishery have likely led to decreased bycatches of porpoises.

The effect on the benthic invertebrate community in the northern North Sea from all otter trawling is estimated to represent an annual mortality of approximately $25 \%$ of the standing-crop biomass. The MAFCONS and STECF data set suggest that otter trawl effort directed at fish has declined since 1999 (Greenstreet et al., 2007).

## Factors affecting the fisheries and the stock

## Regulations and their effects

Spatial management has been attempted for cod, both in the form of a closure of a large area of the North Sea in 2001 (Council Regulation (EC) 259/2001) and through implementation of a cod protection area in 2004 (EC 2287/2003). None of these measures appeared to have had the desired effect and both were abandoned shortly after implementation.

In 2001, cod in the whole of NEAFC region 2 was a legitimate target species for towed gears with a minimum codend mesh size of 100 mm . As part of the cod recovery measures, the EU and Norway introduced additional technical measures from 1 January 2002 (EC 2056/2001). The basic minimum mesh size for towed gears for cod, apart from some transitional arrangements, has been 120 mm from 2002. This resulted in a shift in effort towards smaller meshed fisheries.

Effort restrictions in the EC were introduced in 2003 (annual annexes to the TAC regulations) for the protection of the North Sea (?) cod stock. In 2009, the management program switched from a days at sea to a kW/day system (2009 Council Regulation (EC) $\mathrm{N}^{\circ} 43 / 2009$ ), in which different amounts of $\mathrm{kW} /$ days are allocated within each area by Member State to different groups of vessels depending on gear and mesh size. In 2008, STECF indicated that overall effort (kW/days) by demersal trawls, seines and beam trawls had been substantially reduced since 2002. Fishing mortality declined between 2003 and 2007 concomitant with this effort reduction, but F increased again in 2008 despite a further nominal reduction in effort. Marked changes have also occurred in the use of the different mesh size categories by demersal trawlers. A sharp reduction has occurred in the use of mesh sizes between 100 mm and 119 mm , while a pronounced increase is apparent in the use of mesh sizes of 120 mm and greater. As well, a general increase in effort has been observed in vessels using mesh sizes of $70-89 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $90-99 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Scotland implemented in February 2008 a national scheme known as the 'Conservation Credits Scheme’. The principle of this two-part scheme involves additional time at sea in return for the adoption of measures which aims to reduce mortality on cod and lead to a reduction in discard numbers. ICES has not yet been able to evaluate the consequences of these measures. Despite their introduction, ICES notes that during the initial year of operation (2008) cod discarding rates increased substantially.

A new rights-based regulation (FKA - Vessel Quota Share) was put in force in Denmark from the 1st January 2007. With the new system, individual vessels are allocated a yearly share of the Danish quota, which can be taken at any time of the year. There is also a possibility to trade it, exchange it, or pool it with other fishers. The old regulation had a system with 14-day quotas, which continuously adjusted to the amount of national quota left. The new system gives the industry a possibility to plan better and is expected to lead to a more efficient fishery with less discards. ICES has not yet been able to evaluate the consequences of these measures.

## Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns

WGFTFB (ICES, 2009) note that the decline in fuel costs from 2008 to 2009 have influenced the operational dynamics of some fleets that traditionally target mixed demersal species in the North Sea by lowering the costs associated with fishing in more distant areas. This has been further enhanced by the introduction of a more restrictive effort regime in IV, VIId and VIa and the absence of effort restrictions in other areas. These factors are thought to have contributed to a shift in effort away from IV towards fisheries in Rockall, the Celtic Sea and the Porcupine. The extent of the effort transfer can not currently be quantified, but is likely to be significant and fishing patterns in 2009 may be very different to those observed in 2008..

The expected benefits from the increase in mesh size to 120 mm are not apparent from the available data. The effect of this increase is confounded by the transfer of effort from the fleets fishing with mesh sizes $>120 \mathrm{~mm}$ to fleets fishing with mesh sizes between 70 and 99 mm , i.e. fishing for Nephrops. The regulation differentiated between the number of fishing days allowed when fishing for Nephrops or when fishing for other demersal species ( $>120 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Fishing for Nephrops with the smaller mesh allowed more days at sea than fishing with larger meshes.

The introduction of the one-net rule as part of the Scottish Conservation Credit Scheme is likely to improve the accuracy of reporting of metier-based landings. Scottish legislation implemented in January 2008, banning the use of multi-rigs ( $>2$ rigs per trawl), could limit the potential of uncontrolled increase in effort. There has also been a move in Scottish vessels from using 100-110 mm for whitefish on west coast ground (Subarea VI) to the North Sea using 80 mm prawn codends, which could imply increased discarding.

A move from the Farn Deeps Nephrops fishery into other fisheries for whitefish because of poor Nephrops catch rates, implies increased effort in whitefish fisheries.

## Impacts of the environment on the fish stock

The North Sea has seen a northerly shift in the mean latitudinal distribution of the stock. However, the evidence for this in the form of a migratory response is slight or non-existent. More likely, cod in the North Sea are composed of a complex of more or less isolated sub-stocks and the southern units have been subjected to disproportionately high rates of fishing mortality. The contracted range of the North Sea cod stock can be linked to reduced abundance as well as climate factors.

The consumption of cod in the North Sea in 2002 by grey seals has recently been estimated (Hammond and Grellier, 2006). For the North Sea it was estimated that in 1985 grey seals consumed 4150 tonnes of cod ( $95 \%$ confidence intervals; 2484-5760 tonnes), and in 2002 the population tripled in size ( $21000-68000$ individuals) and consumed 8344 tonnes ( $95 \%$ confidence intervals; 5028-14 941 tonnes). Inclusion of the new grey seal diet data and seal population abundance are expected to reduce slightly the historic estimates of cod consumption in the North Sea by seals, generated from a multispecies model previously used. This suggests that the new estimates of seal predation will not alter the current perception of North Sea cod stock dynamics.

## Scientific basis

## Data and methods

The age-based assessment model (B-ADAPT) used landings and discards, calibrated with two survey indices (from IBTS quarter 1 and quarter 3 surveys). For ICES Subarea IV and Division VIId, discards were estimated from the Scottish discards sampling programme up until 2005 and raised to the total international fleet. For 2006 Denmark provided its own discard estimates. For 2007 and 2008 Scottish, Danish, German, and England \& Wales discard estimates were combined and used to raise landings-at-age for remaining nations in Subarea IV. Discards in Division IIIa were based on observer estimates. For 2006-2008, Danish and Swedish discard estimates were combined to raise landings-at-age from the remaining nations in Division IIIa.

The assessment and forecast made use of the 2009 Quarter 1 IBTS survey. Because of unreliable information on landings and effort, commercial indices were not used in the assessment. Instead, the assessment uses only survey data for calibration. The natural mortality values used in the assessment have been revised based on new estimates from the
multi-species model. Quantities of additional unallocated removals were estimated by the model on the basis of the total mortality indicated by the survey. In addition to the B-ADAPT model a new model (state space SAM model) was used to validate the estimates of unallocated removals. Both models gave similar estimates of SSB and total F although there were differences in unallocated removals. The unallocated removals estimates could potentially include components associated to increased natural mortality and discarding as well as unreported landings. It is, however, assumed that all of these removals originate from fishing activities.

A series of medium-term projections were used to evaluate management scenarios. The catch options in the forecast table represent median values from the projections. All scenarios assume a $25 \%$ reduction in fishing mortality in 2009 to account for a $25 \%$ reduction in effort for the main cod gears, as stipulated in EC 1342/2008. Landings and discards in the forecast are estimated by applying the landing- and discard-at-age ratios for 2008 to total fishing mortality-at-age for the projection period. Figures 6.2.2.3a and b illustrate two scenarios, one with constant fishing at the 2009 level (Figures 6.4.2.3a) and the other a closure from 2010 onwards (Figures 6.4.2.3b). The closure option is expected to bring SSB above $B_{\text {lim }}$ in 2012 with $95 \%$ probability.

## Information from the fishing industry

Comparison between the fishers North Sea Stock Survey and the IBTS survey data has been shown in previous years the time series are broadly in agreement in recording a stable overall stock abundance until 2003-2005 followed by an increase more recently, especially in the north-western North Sea. The IBTS surveys have more variability, due to the inherent spatial variation, but exhibit similar trends in the same areas as the fishers survey, with significant increases in the north and west.

In May 2008, French fishers targeting cuttlefish in the eastern Channel reported discards of several tonnes per haul of undersized cod in ICES rectangle 28F0, forcing them to leave their usual cuttlefish fishing area. They reported that this also occurred in 2007. Data collected in the Channel by French fishers and submitted to the ICES WGNSSK in 2009 indicate high rates of discards for lengths between 37 and 48 cm (ages 2 and 3), confirming the information from previous years and indicate recent improved recruitment and survivorship in the southern North Sea and VIId.

## Uncertainties in assessment and forecast

A large part (approximately $50 \%$ in 2007 and 2008) of the total catch used in the assessment is discards estimated from relatively low sample numbers compared to landings, and through estimation of unallocated mortality rates. Raised discard information was not available for a major component of the catch in the southern part of the North Sea and in the eastern Channel from French fleets. These are sources of added uncertainty in the assessment.

## Comparison with previous assessment and advice:

The fishing mortality for 2007 has been revised downwards by $3 \%$ compared to the last assessment (May 2008) while SSB in 2008 was revised upwards by $15 \%$. The $\mathrm{F}_{2008}$ estimate, at 0.79 is a $36 \%$ increase on the $\mathrm{F}_{2008}$ ( 0.58 ) used for the previous years' forecast, which was based on the $10 \%$ reduction in fishing effort in 2008 imposed in that year. The natural mortality values used in the assessment have been revised based on new estimates from the multi-species model.. The basis for the advice has changed from precautionary limits to the management plan since this was evaluated in 2009 and found to be consistent with the precautionary approach when implemented and enforced adequately.

## Sources of information

Report of the Working Group on the Assessment of Demersal Stocks in the North Sea and Skagerrak, 6-12 May 2009 (ICES CM 2009/ACOM:10).

Greenstreet, S., Robinson, L., Piet, G., Craeymeersch, J., Callaway, R., Reiss, H., Ehrich, S., Kröncke, I., Fraser, H., Lancaster, J., Jørgensen, L., and Goffin, A. 2007. The ecological disturbance caused by fishing in the North Sea. Fisheries Research Services, Aberdeen, U.K. Collaborative Report No. 04/07, 169 pp.

Grellier, K., and Hammond, P. S. 2006. Robust digestion and passage rate estimates for hard parts of grey seal (Halichoerus grypus) prey. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 63: 1982-1998.

ICES 2009, ICES-FAO Working Group on Fishing Technology and Fish Behaviour [WGFTFB], (ICES CM 2009/ FTC:06).

Cod in Subarea IV (North Sea), Division VIId (Eastern Channel), and Division IIIa (Skagerrak). Single-stock exploitation boundaries (advice), management, and catch/landings. Landings for each of the three parts of this combined-area assessment, and for all areas combined are given in Table 6.4.2.2.

| Year ICES Advice | Single-stock exploitation boundaries | Predicted catch corresponding to advice | Predicted catch corresp. to singlestock exploitation boundaries | Agreed <br> TAC | Official landings | ICES landings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1987 SSB recovery; TAC |  | 100-125 |  | 175 | 167 | 182 |
| $198870 \%$ of F(86); TAC |  | 148 |  | 160 | 142 | 157 |
| 1989 Halt SSB decline; protect juveniles; TAC |  | 124 |  | 124 | 110 | 116 |
| 1990 80\% of F (88); TAC |  | 113 |  | 105 | 99 | 105 |
| 1991 70\% of effort (89) |  |  |  | 100 | 87 | 89 |
| 1992 70\% of effort (89) |  |  |  | 100 | 98 | 97 |
| 1993 70\% of effort (89) |  |  |  | 101 | 94 | 105 |
| 1994 Significant effort reduction |  |  |  | 102 | 87 | 95 |
| 1995 Significant effort reduction |  |  |  | 120 | 112 | 120 |
| $199680 \%$ of $\mathrm{F}(94)=0.7$ |  | 141 |  | 130 | 104 | 107 |
| $199780 \%$ of $\mathrm{F}(95)=0.65$ |  | 135 |  | 115 | 100 | 102 |
| $1998 \mathrm{~F}(98)$ should not exceed $\mathrm{F}(96)$ |  | 153 |  | 140 | 114 | 122 |
| $1999 \mathrm{~F}=0.60$ to rebuild SSB |  | 125 |  | 132 | 80 | 78 |
| 2000 F less than 0.55 |  | < 79 |  | 81 | 62 | 59 |
| 2001 lowest possible catch |  | 0 |  | 48.6 | 42.3 | 41 |
| 2002 lowest possible catch |  | 0 |  | 49.3 | 44.2 | 44.3 |
| 2003 Closure |  | 0 |  | 27.3 | 27.4 | NA |
| 2004 Zero catch | Zero catch | 0 | 0 | 27.3 | 23.4 | NA |
| 2005 Zero catch | Zero catch | 0 | 0 | 27.3 | 23.9 | NA |
| 2006 Zero catch | Zero catch | 0 | 0 | 23.2 | 22.2 | NA |
| 2007 Zero catch | Zero catch | 0 | 0 | 20.0 | 19.7 | NA |
| 2008 Exploitation boundaries in relation to precautionary limits | Total removals $<22000 \mathrm{t}$ | <22 | <22 | 22.2 | 22.2 | NA |
| 2009 Zero catch | Zero catch | 0 | 0 | 28.8 |  |  |
| 2010 Management plan F (65\% of F2008) |  | $<40.3^{1)}$ |  |  |  |  |



[^1]Eastern Channel (Division VIId)
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{llllll}\hline \text { Year } & \text { ICES Advice } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Single-stock } \\ \text { exploitation } \\ \text { boundaries }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Predicted catch } \\ \text { corresponding to } \\ \text { advice }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Predicted } \\ \text { catch corresp. TAC }{ }^{1)} \\ \text { to single- } \\ \text { stock } \\ \text { exploitation }\end{array} \\ \text { boundaries }\end{array}\right]-\begin{array}{l}\text { ICES landings } \\ \text { landings }\end{array}\right]$

[^2]D ${ }^{1 \text { 1) }}$ Until 2008 this area was included in TAC for Subarea VII (except Division VIIa), from 2009 a separate TAC is set
${ }^{2)}$ Including Division VIIe.
${ }^{3)}$ For Subarea IV (North Sea), Division VIId (Eastern Channel), and Division IIIa (Skagerrak)


Figure 6.4.2.1 Cod in Subarea IV (North Sea), Division VIId (Eastern Channel), and Division IIIa (Skagerrak). Clockwise from top left: percentiles $(5,25,50,75,95)$ of the estimated spawning-stock biomass (SSB), total stock biomass (TSB), recruitment (R(age 1)), and the catch multiplier, catch, and mean fishing mortality for ages $2-4(\mathrm{~F}(2-4))$ from the B-ADAPT base run. The heavy lines represent the bootstrap median, the light broken lines the 25th and 75th percentiles, and the heavy broken lines the 5th and 95th percentiles. The solid diamonds represent point estimates, and the open diamonds given in the catch plot the recorded total catch. The horizontal broken lines in the SSB plot indicate $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}=$ 70000 t and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}=150000 \mathrm{t}$, and those in the $\mathrm{F}(2-4)$ plot $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}=0.65$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {lim }}=0.86$. The horizontal solid line in the catch multiplier plot indicates a multiplier of 1. Catch, SSB, and TSB are in tonnes, $R$ is in thousands.



Figure 6.4.2.2 Cod in Subarea IV, Divisions IIIa (Skagerrak) and VIId. The mean fishing mortality for ages 2-4 separated into landings and discards components by using ratios calculated from the landings and discards numbers at age from the reported catch data. The top panel shows bootstrap medians (heavy lines) with 25th and 75th percentiles (light broken lines), and 5th and 95th percentiles (heavy broken lines), while the bottom panel shows a stacked-area plot of the bootstrap medians.


Figure 6.4.2.3 Cod in Subarea IV and Divisions IIIa (Skagerrak) and VIId: Proportion of total numbers caught at age that are discarded. In 2008, $94 \%$ of 1 year old, $73 \%$ of 2 year old, $64 \%$ of 3 year old (the abundant 2005 year class) and $12 \%$ of 4 year old cod, were discarded.


Figure 6.4.2.4a Cod in Subarea IV (North Sea), Division VIId (Eastern Channel), and Division IIIa (Skagerrak). B-ADAPT forecast for a reduction in fishing mortality by $25 \%$ from 2009, followed by Top 4 graphs; constant fishing mortality at the 2009 level for 2010 onwards.
Bottom 4 graphs; a closure of the fishery for 2009 onwards.
Broken lines represent bootstrap percentiles ( $5,25,75,95$ ), and the solid trajectory the median.


Figure 6.4.2.5 Cod in Subarea IV (North Sea), Division VIId (Eastern Channel), and Division IIIa (Skagerrak). Spawning stock - recruitment and precautionary approach plot.


Figure 6.4.2.6 Cod in Subarea IV (North Sea), Division VIId (Eastern Channel), and Division IIIa (Skagerrak). Results of the North Sea Commission fishers’ survey 2008.


Figure 6.4.2.7 Cod in Subarea IV (North Sea), Division VIId (Eastern Channel), and Division IIIa (Skagerrak). Historical performance of the assessment.

Table 6.4.2.2 Cod in Subarea IV (North Sea), Division VIId (Eastern Channel), and Division IIIa (Skagerrak). Nominal landings (in tonnes) of COD, 1989-2008, as officially reported to ICES, and as used by the Working Group.

| Sub-area IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| Belgium | 3,398 | 2,934 | 2,331 | 3,356 | 3,374 | 2,648 | 4,827 | 3,458 | 4,642 | 5,799 |
| Denmark | 25,782 | 21,601 | 18,997 | 18,479 | 19,547 | 19,243 | 24,067 | 23,573 | 21,870 | 23,002 |
| Faroe Islands | 35 | 96 | 23 | 109 | 46 | 80 | 219 | 44 | 40 | 102 |
| France | 2,578 | 1,641 | 975 | 2,146 | 1,868 | 1,868 | 3,040 | 1,934 | 3,451 | 2,934 |
| Germany | 11,430 | 11,725 | 7,278 | 8,446 | 6,800 | 5,974 | 9,457 | 8,344 | 5,179 | 8,045 |
| Greenland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Netherlands | 12,028 | 8,441 | 6,831 | 11,133 | 10,220 | 6,512 | 11,199 | 9,271 | 11,807 | 14,676 |
| Norway | 4,813 | 5,168 | 6,022 | 10,476 | 8,742 | 7,707 | 7,111 | 5,869 | 5,814 | 5,823 |
| Poland | 24 | 53 | 15 | - | - | - | - | 18 | 31 | 25 |
| Sweden | 501 | 620 | 784 | 823 | 646 | 630 | 709 | 617 | 832 | 540 |
| UK (E/W/NI) | 18,035 | 15,593 | 14,249 | 14,462 | 14,940 | 13,941 | 14,991 | 15,930 | 13,413 | 17,745 |
| UK (Scotland) | 31,828 | 31,187 | 29,060 | 28,677 | 28,197 | 28,854 | 35,848 | 35,349 | 32,344 | 35,633 |
| Total Nominal Catch | 110,452 | 99,059 | 86,565 | 98,107 | 94,380 | 87,457 | 111,468 | 104,407 | 99,423 | 114,324 |
| Unallocated landings | 5,248 | 5,692 | 1,968 | -758 | 10,200 | 7,066 | 8,555 | 2,161 | 2,746 | 7,779 |
| WG estimate of total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| landings | 115,700 | 104,751 | 88,533 | 97,349 | 104,580 | 94,523 | 120,023 | 106,568 | 102,169 | 122,103 |
| Agreed TAC | 124,000 | 105,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 101,000 | 102,000 | 120,000 | 130,000 | 115,000 | 140,000 |
| Division VIId |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Country | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| Belgium | 173 | 237 | 182 | 187 | 157 | 228 | 377 | 321 | 310 | 239 |
| Denmark | <0.5 | - |  | 1 | - | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| France |  |  |  | 2,079 | 1,771 | 2,338 | 3,261 | 2,808 | 6,387 | 7,788 |
| Netherlands | 1 | - |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| UK (E/W/NI) | 563 | 422 | 341 | 443 | 530 | 312 | 336 | 414 | 478 | 618 |
| UK (Scotland) | - | 7 | 2 | 22 | 2 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Total Nominal Catch | 737 | 666 | 525 | 2,734 | 2,460 | 2,887 | 3,974 | 3,547 | 7,178 | 8,665 |
| Unallocated landings | 4,801 | 2,097 | 1,361 | -65 | -28 | -37 | -10 | -44 | -135 | -85 |
| WG estimate of total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| landings | 5,538 | 2,763 | 1,886 | 2,669 | 2,432 | 2,850 | 3,964 | 3,503 | 7,043 | 8,580 |
| Division IIIa (Skagerrak)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Country | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| Denmark | 16,634 | 15,819 | 10,294 | 11,187 | 11,994 | 11,921 | 15,888 | 14,573 | 12,159 | 12,339 |
| Germany | - | 58 | 3 | - | 530 | 399 | 285 | 259 | 81 | 54 |
| Norway | 1,003 | 1,061 | 924 | 1,208 | 1,043 | 850 | 1,039 | 1,046 | 1,323 | 1,293 |
| Sweden | 1,805 | 1,136 | 3,846 | 2,523 | 2,575 | 1,834 | 2,483 | 1,986 | 2,173 | 1,900 |
| Others | 34 | 76 | 38 | 102 | 88 | 71 | 134 | - | - | - |
| Norwegian coast * | 888 | 846 | 854 | 923 | 909 | 760 | 846 | 748 | 911 | 976 |
| Danish industrial by-catch * | 428 | 687 | 953 | 1,360 | 511 | 666 | 749 | 676 | 205 | 97 |
| Total Nominal Catch | 19,476 | 18,150 | 15,105 | 15,020 | 16,230 | 15,075 | 19,829 | 17,864 | 15,736 | 15,586 |
| Unallocated landings | -779 | -350 | -3,046 | -1,018 | -1,493 | -1,814 | -7,720 | -1,615 | -790 | -255 |
| WG estimate of total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| landings | 18,697 | 17,800 | 12,059 | 14,002 | 14,737 | 13,261 | 12,109 | 16,249 | 14,946 | 15,331 |
| Agreed TAC | 20,500 | 21,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,500 | 20,000 | 23,000 | 16,100 | 20,000 |
| Sub-area IV, Divisions VIId and IIIa (Skagerrak) combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| Total Nominal Catch | 130,665 | 117,875 | 102,195 | 115,861 | 113,070 | 105,419 | 135,271 | 125,818 | 122,337 | 138,575 |
| Unallocated landings | 9,271 | 7,439 | 283 | -1,841 | 8,679 | 5,215 | 825 | 502 | 1,821 | 7,439 |
| WG estimate of total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| landings | 139,936 | 125,314 | 102,478 | 114,020 | 121,749 | 110,634 | 136,096 | 126,320 | 124,158 | 146,014 |
| ** Skaggerak/Kattegat split derived from national statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * The Danish industrial by-catch and the Norwegian coast catches are not included in the (WG estimate of) total landings of Division Illa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . Magnitude not available | itude know | to be nil | 5 Magnitu | ess than h | the unit us | in the table | n/a Not ap | able |  |  |
| Division Illa (Skagerrak) landings not included in the assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Country | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| Norwegian coast * | 888 | 846 | 854 | 923 | 909 | 760 | 846 | 748 | 911 | 976 |
| Danish industrial by-catch * | 428 | 687 | 953 | 1,360 | 511 | 666 | 749 | 676 | 205 | 97 |
| Total | 1,316 | 1,533 | 1,807 | 2,283 | 1,420 | 1,426 | 1,595 | 1,424 | 1,116 | 1,073 |

Table 6.4.2.2.cont Cod in Subarea IV (North Sea), Division VIId (Eastern Channel), and Division IIIa (Skagerrak). Nominal landings (in tonnes) of COD, 1989-2008, as officially reported to ICES, and as used by the Working Group.


Table 6.4.2.3 Cod in Subarea IV (North Sea), Division VIId (Eastern Channel), and Division IIIa (Skagerrak). Landings, discards, and estimated total removals in tonnes.

|  | Landings | Discards | Catch (L+D) | Total estimated removals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | 214.6 | 31.5 | 246.1 | 247.0 |
| 1986 | 204.1 | 139.1 | 343.1 | 341.0 |
| 1987 | 216.2 | 27.8 | 244.1 | 244.8 |
| 1988 | 184.2 | 10.7 | 195.0 | 194.8 |
| 1989 | 139.9 | 62.1 | 202.1 | 202.6 |
| 1990 | 125.3 | 27.0 | 152.3 | 153.0 |
| 1991 | 102.5 | 18.6 | 121.0 | 121.2 |
| 1992 | 114.0 | 36.9 | 150.9 | 151.8 |
| 1993 | 121.7 | 21.9 | 143.6 | 174.0 |
| 1994 | 110.6 | 99.6 | 210.2 | 203.2 |
| 1995 | 136.1 | 32.2 | 168.3 | 223.2 |
| 1996 | 126.3 | 14.3 | 140.6 | 199.4 |
| 1997 | 124.2 | 33.6 | 157.8 | 173.4 |
| 1998 | 146.0 | 40.5 | 186.5 | 179.3 |
| 1999 | 96.2 | 14.2 | 110.4 | 138.5 |
| 2000 | 71.4 | 13.7 | 85.1 | 96.2 |
| 2001 | 49.7 | 13.9 | 63.6 | 75.9 |
| 2002 | 54.9 | 5.7 | 60.6 | 81.6 |
| 2003 | 30.9 | 6.4 | 37.2 | 76.7 |
| 2004 | 28.2 | 5.8 | 34.0 | 53.9 |
| 2005 | 28.7 | 6.3 | 35.0 | 51.9 |
| 2006 | 26.6 | 8.1 | 34.6 | 53.3 |
| 2007 | 24.4 | 23.6 | 48.1 | 70.1 |
| 2008 | 26.8 | 21.8 | 48.7 | 90.7 |

Table 6.4.2.4 Cod in Subarea IV (North Sea), Division VIId (Eastern Channel), and Division IIIa (Skagerrak). Summary from stock assessment.

Run title: North Sea/Skagerrak/Eastern Channel Cod Tuning data. INCLUDES DISCARDS
30/04/2009 10:26
B-ADAPT median values

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | RECRUITS |  | TSB | SSB | CATCH | YIELD/SSB | FBAR 2-4

## Annex 6.4.2

## EU - Norway management plan

In 2008 the EU and Norway renewed their initial agreement from 2004 and "agreed to implement a long-term management plan for the cod stock, which is consistent with the precautionary approach and is intended to provide for sustainable fisheries and high yield.

## Transitional arrangement:

F will be reduced as follows: $75 \%$ of F in 2008 for the TACs in 2009, $65 \%$ of F in 2008 for the TACs in 2010, and applying successive decrements of $10 \%$ for the following years.

The transitional phase ends as from the first year in which the long-term management arrangement (paragraphs $3-5$ ) leads to a higher TAC than the transitional arrangement.

## Long-term management

1. If the size of the stock on 1 January of the year prior to the year of application of the TACs is:
a. Above the precautionary spawning biomass level, the TACs shall correspond to a fishing mortality rate of 0.4 on appropriate age groups;
b. Between the minimum spawning biomass level and the precautionary spawning biomass level, the TACs shall not exceed a level corresponding to a fishing mortality rate on appropriate age groups equal to the following formula:
0.4 - (0.2 * (Precautionary spawning biomass level - spawning biomass) / (Precautionary spawning biomass level - minimum spawning biomass level))
c. At or below the limit spawning biomass level, the TAC shall not exceed a level corresponding to a fishing mortality rate of 0.2 on appropriate age groups.
2. Notwithstanding paragraphs 2 and 3 , the TAC for 2010 and subsequent years shall not be set at a level that is more than 20 \% below or above the TACs established in the previous year.
3. Where the stock has been exploited at a fishing mortality rate close to 0.4 during three successive years, the parameters of this plan shall be reviewed on the basis of advice from ICES in order to ensure exploitation at maximum sustainable yield.
4. The TAC shall be calculated by deducting the following quantities from the total removals of cod that are advised by ICES as corresponding to the fishing mortality rates consistent with the management plan:
a. A quantity of fish equivalent to the expected discards of cod from the stock concerned;
b. A quantity corresponding to other relevant sources of cod mortality.
5. The Parties agree to adopt values for the minimum spawning biomass level ( 70,000 tonnes), the precautionary biomass level (150,000 tonnes) and to review these quantities as appropriate in the light of ICES advice.

Procedure for setting TACs in data-poor circumstances
6. If, due to a lack of sufficiently precise and representative information, it is not possible to implement the provisions in paragraphs 3 to 6 , the TAC will be set according to the following procedure.
a. If the scientific advice recommends that the catches of cod should be reduced to the lowest possible level the TAC shall be reduced by $25 \%$ with respect to the TAC for the preceding year.
b. In all other cases the TAC shall be reduced by $15 \%$ with respect to the TAC for the previous year, unless the scientific advice recommends otherwise.

This plan shall be subject to triennial review, the first of which will take place before 31 December 2011. It enters into force on 1 January 2009.

The main changes between this and the plan of 2004 is the phasing (transitional and long-term phase) and the inclusion of an F reduction fraction,

## EU management plan

In December 2008 the European Council agreed on a new cod management plan implementing the new system of effort management and a target fishing mortality of 0.4 (EC 1342/2008). The HCR for setting TAC for the North Sea cod stock are as follows:

Article 7 1.(a) and 1.(b) are required for interpretation of Article 8.
Article 7: Procedure for setting TACs for cod stocks in the Kattegat the west of Scotland and the Irish Sea

1. Each year, the Council shall decide on the TAC for the following year for each of the cod stocks in the Kattegat, the west of Scotland and the Irish Sea. The TAC shall be calculated by deducting the following quantities from the total removals of cod that are forecast by STECF as corresponding to the fishing mortality rates referred to in paragraphs 2 and 3:
(a) a quantity of fish equivalent to the expected discards of cod from the stock concerned;
(b) as appropriate a quantity corresponding to other sources of cod mortality caused by fishing to be fixed on the basis of a proposal from the Commission. [...]

Article 8: Procedure for setting TACs for the cod stock in the North Sea, the Skagerrak and the eastern Channel

1. Each year, the Council shall decide on the TACs for the cod stock in the North Sea, the Skagerrak and the eastern Channel. The TACs shall be calculated by applying the reduction rules set out in Article 7 paragraph 1(a) and (b).
2. The TACs shall initially be calculated in accordance with paragraphs 3 and 5. From the year where the TACs resulting from the application of paragraphs 3 and 5 would be lower than the TACs resulting from the application of paragraphs 4 and 5, the TACs shall be calculated according to the paragraphs 4 and 5.
3. Initially, the TACs shall not exceed a level corresponding to a fishing mortality which is a fraction of the estimate of fishing mortality on appropriate age groups in 2008 as follows: $75 \%$ for the TACs in 2009, $65 \%$ for the TACs in 2010, and applying successive decrements of $10 \%$ for the following years.
4. Subsequently, if the size of the stock on 1 January of the year prior to the year of application of the TACs is:
(a) above the precautionary spawning biomass level, the TACs shall correspond to a fishing mortality rate of 0,4 on appropriate age groups;
(b) between the minimum spawning biomass level and the precautionary spawning biomass level, the TACs shall not exceed a level corresponding to a fishing mortality rate on appropriate age groups equal to the following formula: 0,4-(0,2 * (Precautionary spawning biomass level - spawning biomass) / (Precautionary spawning biomass level - minimum spawning biomass level))
(c) at or below the limit spawning biomass level, the TACs shall not exceed a level corresponding to a fishing mortality rate of 0,2 on appropriate age groups.
5. Notwithstanding paragraphs 3 and 4, the Council shall not set the TACs for 2010 and subsequent years at a level that is more than $20 \%$ below or above the TACs established in the previous year.
6. Where the cod stock referred to in paragraph 1 has been exploited at a fishing mortality rate close to 0,4 during three successive years, the Commission shall evaluate the application of this Article and, where appropriate, propose relevant measures to amend it in order to ensure exploitation at maximum sustainable yield.

Article 9: Procedure for setting TACs in poor data conditions
Where, due to lack of sufficiently accurate and representative information, STECF is not able to give advice allowing the Council to set the TACs in accordance with Articles 7 or 8, the Council shall decide as follows:
(a) where STECF advises that the catches of cod should be reduced to the lowest possible level, the TACs shall be set according to a $25 \%$ reduction compared to the TAC in the previous year;
(b) in all other cases the TACs shall be set according to a $15 \%$ reduction compared to the TAC in the previous year, unless STECF advises that this is not appropriate.

## Article 10: Adaptation of measures

1. When the target fishing mortality rate in Article 5(2) has been reached or in the event that STECF advises that this target, or the minimum and precautionary spawning biomass levels in Article 6 or the levels of fishing mortality rates given in Article 7(2) are no longer appropriate in order to maintain a low risk of stock depletion and a maximum sustainable yield, the Council shall decide on new values for these levels.
2. In the event that STECF advises that any of the cod stocks is failing to recover properly, the Council shall take a decision which:
(a) sets the TAC for the relevant stock at a level lower than that provided for in Articles 7, 8 and 9;
(b) sets the maximum allowable fishing effort at a level lower than that provided for in Article 12;
(c) establishes associated conditions as appropriate.

[^0]:    Weights in ' 000 t

[^1]:    Weights in ' 000 t .
    ${ }^{1)}$ Norwegian fjords not included.
    ${ }^{2)}$ For Subarea IV (North Sea), Division VIId (Eastern Channel), and Division IIIa (Skagerrak)

[^2]:    Weights in ' 000 t .

