### 3.4.3 Norway request to ICES on the status and harvest potential of the harp seal stocks in the Greenland Sea and the White Sea/Barents Sea, and of the hooded seal stock in the Greenland Sea

## ICES advice

## Greenland Sea harp seal

ICES estimates the 2017 total stock size at 650300 animals ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 471$ 200-829 300).

The reported catch in 2016 is at historically low levels of 1442 seals.

ICES advises that:

- an annual catch at the current level (average 2012-2016) will not be impacting the stock;
- an annual catch level of $215001+$ animals is consistent with maintaining the population of $1+$ animals at the current (2017) level; and
- an annual catch level of $260001+$ animals is consistent with reducing the population over a 15-year period, in such a manner that the population size would remain above a level of $70 \%$ of the maximum population size with $80 \%$ probability.

If pups are hunted, two pups are considered the equivalent of one $1+$ animal.

## White Sea/Barents Sea harp seal

ICES estimates the 2017 total stock size at 1408000 animals ( $95 \%$ CI: 1251 680-1 564 320).

The reported catch in 2016 is at historically low levels of nine $1+$ animals and no pups.

ICES advises that:

- an annual catch at the current level (average 2012-2016) will not be impacting the stock;
- an annual catch level of 10090 1+ animals is consistent with maintaining the population of $1+$ animals at the current (2017) level; and
- because of the poor knowledge of the reproductive status of this stock, ICES cannot advise on an annual catch that, with $80 \%$ probability, would be consistent with the population remaining above $70 \%$ of the maximum population size over the next 15 years.

If pups are hunted, two pups are considered the equivalent of one 1+ animal.

## Greenland Sea hooded seal

ICES estimates that the stock remains at a historically low level, with a 2017 total stock estimate of about 80000 animals. There have been no reported catches in recent years.

ICES advises that no catches should be taken from this stock, with the exception of those for scientific purposes.

## Request

The Royal Norwegian Ministry of Trade, Industry and Fisheries requested ICES as follows:

New information is now available on both the harp and hooded seal stocks. Therefore we would request an assessment of status and harvest potential of the harp seal stocks in the Greenland Sea and the White Sea/Barents Sea, and of the hooded seal stock in the Greenland Sea.

ICES should also assess the impact on the harp seal stocks in the Greenland Sea and the White Sea/Barents Sea of an annual harvest of:

1. current harvest levels,
2. sustainable catches (defined as the fixed annual catches that stabilizes the future $1+$ population),
3. catches that would reduce the population over a 15-years period in such a manner that it would remain above a level of $70 \%$ of the maximum population size, determined from population modeling, with $80 \%$ probability.

## Stock development over time

No surveys of pup production have been conducted since the most recent ICES advice in 2013. The most recent surveys were conducted in 2012 for the Greenland Sea harp seal stock, in 2013 for the White Sea/Barents Sea harp seal stock, and in 2012 for the Greenland Sea hooded seal stock.

## Greenland Sea harp seal

A population model estimates a 2017 abundance of 543800 ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 366500-719400$ ) 1+ animals and 106500 ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ : $76500-136400)$ pups. The total population estimate is $650300(95 \%$ CI: $471200-829300)$ seals. Using current catch levels, the model projects an increase in the $1+$ population of $58 \%$ over the next 15 years (equivalent to a $3.9 \%$ per annum increase) (Figure 3.4.3.1).
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Figure 3.4.3.1 Modelled population trajectories for Greenland Sea harp seal; (a) total population and (b) pups (full lines with blue confidence bands). Future projections are illustrated by blue confidence bands only. $\mathrm{N}_{70}, \mathrm{~N}_{50}$, and $\mathrm{N}_{\text {lim }}$ denote $70 \%, 50 \%$, and $30 \%$ of the estimated maximum population size, respectively. Observed pup production estimates and $95 \%$ confidence intervals are shown.

## White Sea/Barents Sea harp seals

The model estimates of abundance for White Sea harp seals in 2017 are 1197000 (95\% CI: 1042 800-1 351 200) 1+ animals and 211000 ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ : 185 100-236 900) pups. The total population estimate is 1408000 ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 1251680-1564320$ ). The model indicates that with no catch the $1+$ population will increase by $12 \%$ over the next 15 years, equivalent to an increase of $0.8 \%$ per annum (Figure 3.4.3.2).


Figure 3.4.3.2 Modelled population trajectories for White Sea/Barents Sea harp seal; (a) total population and (b) pups (full lines with blue confidence bands). Future projections are illustrated by blue confidence bands only. $\mathrm{N}_{70}, \mathrm{~N}_{50}$, and $\mathrm{N}_{\text {lim }}$ denote $70 \%$, $50 \%$, and $30 \%$ of the estimated maximum population size, respectively. Observed pup production estimates and $95 \%$ confidence intervals are shown.

## Greenland Sea hooded seal

The estimated 2017 abundance of Greenland Sea hooded seals was 66860 1+ animals ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ : $45860-87$ 860) and 13600 ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ : $9250-17950$ ) pups. The estimated total 2017 population is 80460 seals ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 59020-101900$ ). All model runs indicate a stock that is currently well below the Limit Reference Level (Figure 3.4.3.3).


Figure 3.4.3.3 Modelled population trajectories for Greenland Sea hooded seal a) total population and b) pups (full lines with blue confidence bands). Future projections are illustrated by blue confidence bands only. $\mathrm{N}_{70}, \mathrm{~N}_{50}$, and $\mathrm{N}_{\text {lim }}$ denote $70 \%, 50 \%$, and $30 \%$ of the estimated maximum population size, respectively. Observed pup production estimates and $95 \%$ confidence intervals are shown.

## Catch options

Table 3.4.3.1 Variables used in deriving catch options for the three seal stocks. Production and population values are in number of animals.

| Variable | Greenland Sea harp seal | White Sea/Barents Sea harp seal | Greenland Sea hooded seal |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pup production (SD) | $106500(15300)$ | $211000(13200)$ | $13600(2200)$ |
| Total population (SD) | $650300(91300)$ | $1408000(79800)$ | $80500(10900)$ |
| Adult mortality $M_{1+}(S D)$ | $0.12(0.02)$ | $0.13(0.006)$ | $0.17(0.05)$ |
| Pup mortality $\mathrm{M}_{0}($ SD $)$ | $0.27(0.19)$ | $0.27(0.05)$ | $0.34(0.02)$ |
| Reproductive rate | 0.84 | 0.76 | 0.70 |

Table 3.4.3.2 Catch options for the three seal stocks. In the "current catch" option it is assumed that $80 \%$ of the harvest consists of pups. In the other options it is assumed that animals aged $1+$ years comprise $100 \%$ of the catch. Catches of pups are included, with two pups counting as one 1+ animal. Catch values are in number of animals.

| Options | Greenland Sea harp seal | White Sea/Barents Sea harp seal | Greenland Sea hooded seal |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Current catch (average of <br> last 5 years) | 7456 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainable catch | 21500 | 10090 | 0 |
| Catch to $\mathrm{N}_{70}$ | 26000 | - | 0 |

## Greenland Sea harp seal

An average of the modelled reproductive rates over the past decade was used in the projections (Table 3.4.3.1). There were only two observed reproductive rates in the last decade (2009, 2014). This resulted in an average reproductive rate of 0.84 , which is higher than the rate of 0.81 used in the 2013 advice (ICES, 2013). The projected catches, consistent with unchanged stock size and with a stock size at $70 \%$ of the maximum stock size over the next 15 years, are higher than advised in 2013 (ICES, 2013) because of the increased reproductive rate used in the projections (Table 3.4.3.2).

## White Sea/Barents Sea harp seal

The harp seal stock in the White Sea/Barents Sea is considered data limited because of the time elapsed since 2006 when the last observation of reproductive rate (0.84) was made. The reproductive rate used by ICES in the projections is the average of the reproductive rates ( 0.76 , Table 3.4.3.1) over the last 10 years as estimated in the assessment model.

ICES developed an advice approach for seal stocks in 2005 (ICES, 2005), as described in the section "Basis of the advice". According to the rules ICES should apply the Potential Biological Removal (PBR) approach for providing catch advice. However, the PBR approach gave unrealistic results. It is therefore not possible for ICES to respond to the request regarding the number of catches that, while reducing the population over a 15 -year period would allow the population size, with $80 \%$ probability, to remain above a level of $70 \%$ of the maximum population size, determined from population modelling.

## Greenland Sea hooded seal

All model runs indicate a stock currently well below the Limit Reference Level (30\% of the maximum population level observed previously) developed as part of the ICES precautionary approach framework for these stocks in 2005. Consequently, ICES repeats its 2013 advice that no catches should be taken from this stock, with the exception of those for scientific purposes (Table 3.4.3.2).

## Basis of the advice

Advice for all three stocks is provided using an age-structured population dynamics model. Data inputs include information on catches, the age-specific proportion of mature females, and the pregnancy rates of the mature animals (referred to as reproductive rates). The model is fitted to independent estimates of pup production obtained from aerial surveys and historical mark-recapture estimates of pup production. There are no independent estimates of mortality rates for harp seals. Model fitting to the pup production data is achieved by adjusting the starting population, pup mortality ( $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ ) rate, and mortality rates of animals aged 1 year and older ( $\mathrm{M}_{1+}$ ).

The reproductive rate data are an important input to the model. This information is needed for the "conversion" of pup numbers into an estimate of total population size. The amount of information available for each stock varies. In periods where data are missing, a linear transition between estimates is assumed. For the Greenland Sea harp seal stock, reproductive data are available from three periods (1959-1990, 2009, and 2010-2014) over the model fitting period (19502016). For the White Sea/Barents Sea harp seal stock, information on reproductive rates is available for four periods (19621972, 1976-1985, 1988-1993, and 2006). For the Greenland Sea hooded seal stock, the reproductive data are limited to two periods (1990-1994 and 2008-2010).

## Advice rule

ICES uses the following control rules to determine which assessment approach to follow (ICES, 2005):

1. Data-limited stocks.
a) If the stock has no recent abundance estimates, then no harvest should occur.
b) If the stock has $1-2$ recent abundance estimates, then the control rules collapse to the point where the only concern is whether the abundance is less than or greater than $\mathrm{N}_{\text {lim, }}$ such that:
i. if the abundance is greater than $\mathrm{N}_{\text {lim, }}$, then the Potential Biological Removal (PBR) protocol is used to set the TAC (ICES, 2016);
ii. if the abundance is less than $\mathrm{N}_{\text {lim, }}$, then no harvest should occur.
2. Data-rich stocks. For these stocks the full set of control rules established under the multi-tier system would apply. For example,
a) if the abundance is greater than $N_{70}$, management objectives would be based upon the appropriate ICES model and would require that the population remain above the $\mathrm{N}_{70}$ level;
b) if the abundance is greater than $\mathrm{N}_{50}$, the management objective must include efforts to conserve the population (i.e. projections of proposed management actions must have a $>0.8$ probability of the population returning to $\mathrm{N}_{70}$ within 10 years);
c) if the abundance is greater than $N_{\text {lim }}$, and less than $N_{50}$, then significant conservation measures will be required (i.e. a $95 \%$ chance of recovery would be required, leading to something like the PBR protocol for setting harvest levels);
d) if the abundance is less than $\mathrm{N}_{\text {lim }}$, then no harvest should occur.

## Quality of the assessment

The amount of data available for the assessment is limited compared to many fish stock assessments, but is good compared to many other marine mammal stocks. The population model is similar in structure to that used for other seal stocks (Canada harp, hooded, and grey seals; UK grey seals).

The model estimates pup production, and fits the estimates to observed pup production obtained from the aerial surveys by adjusting adult and juvenile mortality rates.

The key model input data is catches, reproductive rates, and maturity-at-age. Reproductive rates for stocks in the northwestern Atlantic can vary considerably between years. However, for the three stocks concerned, the amount of data available on reproductive rates is limited. To make up for the lack of information, ICES estimates reproductive data for years where they are missing by interpolating between years with data. This means that the reproductive rates used for years with no data are changing in a straight line, when they likely bounce around. This results in model "stiffness" and the model being unable to capture the year-to-year variability in pup production. The fit between estimated and observed pup production is therefore poor.

For the White Sea/Barents Sea stock the observed pup abundance shows a major shift in 2005. Since 2005 there has been little change in pup production. This shift implies a significant change in production. However, the model is unable to capture this sudden step-like shift, owing in part to the lack of annual data on reproductive rates.

The model includes uncertainty in mortality rates, but uncertainty in reproductive rates is not included. The total model uncertainties presented in figures 3.4.3.1-3.4.3.3 are therefore underestimates.

Because there is no independent information on mortality rates to help bound these parameters, the model estimates of $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1+}$ are highly correlated.

The reproductive rates are also important when projecting into the future. In the case of the White Sea/Barents Sea, data on reproductive rates are not available since 2006. ICES advises that new data be obtained since it is such a key component in the assessment model fitting and projections.

Despite the uncertainties associated with the estimated stock sizes and projected catch levels, ICES considers the information sufficiently robust to form the basis for reliable advice on catch options.

## Issues relevant for the advice

Poor ice conditions, with no suitable ice for pupping, occurred in the White Sea in 2015 and 2016. Seals with pups were observed on the ice at the entrance to the White Sea. Ice also accumulated in the southeastern Barents Sea (Pechora Sea). If similar poor conditions are encountered in the White Sea during 2017, the Pechora Sea should be searched to see if pupping also occurs in this area.

## Reference points

Table 3.4.3.3 Reference points (RPs) for each stock of harp and hooded seals (ICES, 2005). $\mathrm{N}_{\max }=$ historical maximum population size (estimated). $\mathrm{N}_{70}=70 \%$ of $\mathrm{N}_{\max }$ (first precautionary RP). $\mathrm{N}_{\text {lim }}=30 \%$ of $\mathrm{N}_{\max }$ (limit RP or $\mathrm{N}_{\text {lim }}$ ).

|  | $N_{\lim }$ | $N_{70}$ | $N_{\max }$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Greenland Sea harp seal | 195090 | 455210 | 6 |
| White Sea/Barents Sea harp seal | 634590 | 1480710 | 650300 |
| Greenland Sea hooded seal | 390840 | 911960 | 2115300 |

## History of the advice, catch, and management

Tables 3.4.3.4-3.4.3.6 indicate the quota and allocations for the three seal stocks.

Table 3.4.3.4 Greenland Sea harp seal quota and allocations, 1985-2016.

| Year | Quota | Allocations |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Norway | Soviet/Russia |
| 1985 | 25000 | 7000 | 4500 |
| 1986 | 11500 | 7000 | 4500 |
| 1987 | 25000 | 20500 | 4500 |
| 1988 | 28000 | 21000 | 7000 |
| 1989 | 16000 | 12000 | 9000 |
| 1990 | 7200 | 5400 | 1800 |
| 1991 | 7200 | 5400 | 1800 |
| 1992-1993 | 10900 | 8400 | 2500 |
| 1994 | 13100 | 10600 | 2500 |
| 1995 | 13100 | 10600 | 2500 |
| 1996 | 13100 | 10600 | 2500 |
| 1997-1998 | 13100 | 10600 | 2500 |
| 1999-2000 | 17500 | 15000 | 2500 |
| 2001-2005 | 15000 | 15000 | 0 |
| 2006-2007 | 31200 | 31200 | 0 |
| 2008 | 31200 | 31200 | 0 |
| 2009 | 40000 | 40000 | 0 |
| 2010 | 42000 | 42000 | 0 |
| 2011 | 42000 | 42000 | 0 |
| 2012-2013 | 25000 | 25000 | 0 |
| 2014-2016 | 21270 | 21270 | 0 |

Table 3.4.3.5 White Sea/Barents Sea harp seal quota and allocations, 1979-2016.

| Year | Quota | Allocations |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Norway | Soviet/Russia |
| $1979-1980$ | 50000 | 16000 | 34000 |
| 1981 | 60000 | 17500 | 42500 |
| 1982 | 75000 | 17500 | 57500 |
| 1983 | 82000 | 18000 | 64000 |
| 1984 | 80000 | 18000 | 62000 |
| $1985-1986$ | 80000 | 19000 | 61000 |
| 1987 | 80000 | 19000 | 61000 |
| 1988 | 70000 | 16600 | 53400 |
| $1989-1994$ | 40000 | 9500 | 30500 |
| 1995 | 40000 | 8750 | 31250 |
| 1996 | 40000 | 9500 | 30500 |
| $1997-1998$ | 40000 | 5000 | 35000 |
| 1999 | 21400 | 5000 | 16400 |
| 2000 | 27700 | 5000 | 22700 |
| $2001-2002$ | 53000 | 5000 | 48000 |
| 2003 | 53000 | 10000 | 43000 |
| $2004-2005$ | 45100 | 10000 | 35100 |
| 2006 | 78200 | 10000 | 68200 |
| 2007 | 78200 | 15000 | 63200 |
| 2008 | 55100 | 10000 | 45100 |
| 2009 | 35000 | 7000 | 28000 |
| 2010 | 7000 | 7000 | 0 |
| 2011 | 7000 | 7000 | 7000 |

Table 3.4.3.6 Greenland Sea hooded seal quota and allocations, 1985-2016.

| Year | Quota |  | Allocations |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Norway | Soviet/Russia |  |
| 1985 | 20000 | 8000 | 3300 |  |
| 1986 | 9300 | 6000 | 3300 |  |
| 1987 | 2000 | 16700 | 3300 |  |
| 1988 | 20000 | 16700 | 5000 |  |
| 1989 | 30000 | 23100 | 6900 |  |
| 1990 | 27500 | 19500 | 8000 |  |
| 1991 | 9000 | 1000 | 8000 |  |
| $1992-1994$ | 9000 | 1700 | 7300 |  |
| 1995 | 9000 | 1700 | 7300 |  |
| 1996 | 9000 | 1700 | 7300 |  |
| 1997 | 9000 | 6200 | 2800 |  |
| 1998 | 5000 | 2200 | 2800 |  |
| $1999-2000$ | 11200 | 8400 | 2800 |  |
| $2001-2003$ | 10300 | 5600 | 10300 |  |
| $2004-2005$ | 4000 | 5600 |  |  |
| 2006 | 0 | 4000 | 0 |  |
| $2007-2016$ |  | 0 | 0 |  |

## History of catches

Information is available on numbers caught (either as part of a harvest or for scientific purposes) for all stocks (tables 3.4.3.73.4.3.9).

Table 3.4.3.7 Catches of harp seals in the Greenland Sea from 1946 through 2016. Totals include catches for scientific purposes (further details in ICES, 2016). Catches are in numbers.

| Year | Norwegian catches |  |  | Russian catches |  |  | Total catches |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pups | 1+ animals | Total | Pups | 1+ animals | Total | Pups | 1+ animals | Total |
| 1946-1950 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 26606 | 9464 | 36070 | - | - | - | 26606 | 9464 | 36070 |
| 1951-1955 ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ | 30465 | 9125 | 39590 | - | - | -b | 30465 | 9125 | 39590 |
| 1956-1960 ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ | 18887 | 6171 | 25058 | 1148 | 1217 | $2365{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 20035 | 7388 | 27423 |
| 1961-1965 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 15477 | 3143 | 18620 | 2752 | 1898 | 4650 | 18229 | 5041 | 23270 |
| 1966-1970 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 16817 | 1641 | 18458 | 1 | 47 | 48 | 16818 | 1688 | 18506 |
| 1971 | 11149 | 0 | 11149 | - | - | - | 11149 | 0 | 11149 |
| 1972 | 15100 | 82 | 15182 | - | - | - | 15100 | 82 | 15182 |
| 1973 | 11858 | 0 | 11858 | - | - | - | 11858 | 0 | 11858 |
| 1974 | 14628 | 74 | 14702 | - | - | - | 14628 | 74 | 14702 |
| 1975 | 3742 | 1080 | 4822 | 239 | 0 | 239 | 3981 | 1080 | 5061 |
| 1976 | 7019 | 5249 | 12268 | 253 | 34 | 287 | 7272 | 5283 | 12555 |
| 1977 | 13305 | 1541 | 14846 | 2000 | 252 | 2252 | 15305 | 1793 | 17098 |
| 1978 | 14424 | 57 | 14481 | 2000 | 0 | 2000 | 16424 | 57 | 16481 |
| 1979 | 11947 | 889 | 12836 | 2424 | 0 | 2424 | 14371 | 889 | 15260 |
| 1980 | 2336 | 7647 | 9983 | 3000 | 539 | 3539 | 5336 | 8186 | 13522 |
| 1981 | 8932 | 2850 | 11782 | 3693 | 0 | 3693 | 12625 | 2850 | 15475 |
| 1982 | 6602 | 3090 | 9692 | 1961 | 243 | 2204 | 8563 | 3333 | 11896 |
| 1983 | 742 | 2576 | 3318 | 4263 | 0 | 4263 | 5005 | 2576 | 7581 |
| 1984 | 199 | 1779 | 1978 | - | - | - | 199 | 1779 | 1978 |
| 1985 | 532 | 25 | 557 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 535 | 31 | 566 |
| 1986 | 15 | 6 | 21 | 4490 | 250 | 4740 | 4505 | 256 | 4761 |
| 1987 | 7961 | 3483 | 11444 | - | 3300 | 3300 | 7961 | 6783 | 14744 |
| 1988 | 4493 | 5170 | 9663 | 7000 | 500 | 7500 | 11493 | 5670 | 17163 |
| 1989 | 37 | 4392 | 4429 | - | - | - | 37 | 4392 | 4429 |
| 1990 | 26 | 5482 | 5508 | 0 | 784 | 784 | 26 | 6266 | 6292 |
| 1991 | 0 | 4867 | 4867 | 500 | 1328 | 1828 | 500 | 6195 | 6695 |
| 1992 | 0 | 7750 | 7750 | 590 | 1293 | 1883 | 590 | 9043 | 9633 |
| 1993 | 0 | 3520 | 3520 | - | - | - | 0 | 3520 | 3520 |
| 1994 | 0 | 8121 | 8121 | 0 | 72 | 72 | 0 | 8193 | 8193 |
| 1995 | 317 | 7889 | 8206 | - | - | - | 317 | 7889 | 8206 |
| 1996 | 5649 | 778 | 6427 | - | - | - | 5649 | 778 | 6427 |
| 1997 | 1962 | 199 | 2161 | - | - | - | 1962 | 199 | 2161 |
| 1998 | 1707 | 177 | 1884 | - | - | - | 1707 | 177 | 1884 |
| 1999 | 608 | 195 | 803 | - | - | - | 608 | 195 | 803 |
| 2000 | 6328 | 6015 | 12343 | - | - | - | 6328 | 6015 | 12343 |
| 2001 | 2267 | 725 | 2992 | - | - | - | 2267 | 725 | 2992 |
| 2002 | 1118 | 114 | 1232 | - | - | - | 1118 | 114 | 1232 |
| 2003 | 161 | 2116 | 2277 |  |  |  | 161 | 2116 | 2277 |
| 2004 | 8288 | 1607 | 9895 |  |  |  | 8288 | 1607 | 9895 |
| 2005 | 4680 | 2525 | 7205 |  |  |  | 4680 | 2525 | 7205 |
| 2006 | 2343 | 961 | 3304 |  |  |  | 2343 | 961 | 3304 |
| 2007 | 6188 | 1640 | 7828 |  |  |  | 6188 | 1640 | 7828 |
| 2008 | 744 | 519 | 1263 |  |  |  | 744 | 519 | 1263 |
| 2009 | 5177 | 2918 | 8035 | - | - | - | 5117 | 2918 | 8035 |
| 2010 | 2823 | 1855 | 4678 | - | - | - | 2823 | 1855 | 4678 |
| 2011 | 5361 | 4773 | 10134 | - | - | - | 5361 | 4773 | 10134 |
| 2012 | 3740 | 1853 | 5593 | - | - | - | 3740 | 1853 | 5593 |
| 2013 | 13911 | 2122 | 16033 | - | - | - | 13911 | 2122 | 16033 |
| 2014 | 9741 | 2245 | 11986 |  |  |  | 9741 | 2245 | 11986 |
| 2015 | 2144 | 93 | 2237 | - | - | - | 2144 | 93 | 2237 |
| 2016 | 426 | 1016 | 1442 | - | - | - | 426 | 1016 | 1442 | reported at 3900,11600 and 12900 , respectively. These catches are not included.

Table 3.4.3.8 Catches of harp seals in the White Sea/Barents Sea, 1946-2016 (further details in ICES, 2016).

| Year | Norwegian catches |  |  | Russian catches |  |  | Total catches |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pups | 1+ animals | Total | Pups | 1+ animals | Total | Pups | 1+ animals | Total |
| 1946-1950 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 25057 | 90031 | 55285 | 145316 |  |  | 170373 |
| 1951-1955 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 19590 | 59190 | 65463 | 124653 |  |  | 144243 |
| 1956-1960 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2278 | 14093 | 16371 | 58824 | 34605 | 93429 | 61102 | 48698 | 109800 |
| 1961-1965 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2456 | 8311 | 10767 | 46293 | 22875 | 69168 | 48749 | 31186 | 79935 |
| 1966-1970 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 12783 | 21186 | 410 | 21596 |  |  | 34379 |
| 1971 | 7028 | 1596 | 8624 | 26666 | 1002 | 27668 | 33694 | 2598 | 36292 |
| 1972 | 4229 | 8209 | 12438 | 30635 | 500 | 31135 | 34864 | 8709 | 43573 |
| 1973 | 5657 | 6661 | 12318 | 29950 | 813 | 30763 | 35607 | 7474 | 43081 |
| 1974 | 2323 | 5054 | 7377 | 29006 | 500 | 29506 | 31329 | 5554 | 36883 |
| 1975 | 2255 | 8692 | 10947 | 29000 | 500 | 29500 | 31255 | 9192 | 40447 |
| 1976 | 6742 | 6375 | 13117 | 29050 | 498 | 29548 | 35792 | 6873 | 42665 |
| 1977 | 3429 | 2783 | 6212 | 34007 | 1488 | 35495 | 37436 | 4271 | 41707 |
| 1978 | 1693 | 3109 | 4802 | 30548 | 994 | 31542 | 32341 | 4103 | 36344 |
| 1979 | 1326 | 12205 | 13531 | 34000 | 1000 | 35000 | 35326 | 13205 | 48531 |
| 1980 | 13894 | 1308 | 15202 | 34500 | 2000 | 36500 | 48394 | 3308 | 51702 |
| 1981 | 2304 | 15161 | 17465 | 39700 | 3866 | 43566 | 42004 | 19027 | 61031 |
| 1982 | 6090 | 11366 | 17456 | 48504 | 10000 | 58504 | 54594 | 21366 | 75960 |
| 1983 | 431 | 17658 | 18089 | 54000 | 10000 | 64000 | 54431 | 27658 | 82089 |
| 1984 | 2091 | 6785 | 8876 | 58153 | 6942 | 65095 | 60244 | 13727 | 73971 |
| 1985 | 348 | 18659 | 19007 | 52000 | 9043 | 61043 | 52348 | 27702 | 80050 |
| 1986 | 12859 | 6158 | 19017 | 53000 | 8132 | 61132 | 65859 | 14290 | 80149 |
| 1987 | 12 | 18988 | 19000 | 42400 | 3397 | 45797 | 42412 | 22385 | 64797 |
| 1988 | 18 | 16580 | 16598 | 51990 | 2501 | 54401 | 51918 | 19081 | 70999 |
| 1989 | 0 | 9413 | 9413 | 30989 | 2475 | 33464 | 30989 | 11888 | 42877 |
| 1990 | 0 | 9522 | 9522 | 30500 | 1957 | 32457 | 30500 | 11479 | 41979 |
| 1991 | 0 | 9500 | 9500 | 30500 | 1980 | 32480 | 30500 | 11480 | 41980 |
| 1992 | 0 | 5571 | 5571 | 28351 | 2739 | 31090 | 28351 | 8310 | 36661 |
| 1993 | 0 | 8758 | 8758 | 31000 | 500 | 31500 | 31000 | 9258 | 40258 |
| 1994 | 0 | 9500 | 9500 | 30500 | 2000 | 32500 | 30500 | 11500 | 42000 |
| 1995 | 260 | 6582 | 6842 | 29144 | 500 | 29644 | 29404 | 7082 | 36486 |
| 1996 | 2910 | 6611 | 9521 | 31000 | 528 | 31528 | 33910 | 7139 | 41049 |
| 1997 | 15 | 5004 | 5019 | 31319 | 61 | 31380 | 31334 | 5065 | 36399 |
| 1998 | 18 | 814 | 832 | 13350 | 20 | 13370 | 13368 | 834 | 14202 |
| 1999 | 173 | 977 | 1150 | 34850 | 0 | 34850 | 35023 | 977 | 36000 |
| 2000 | 2253 | 4104 | 6357 | 38302 | 111 | 38413 | 40555 | 4215 | 44770 |
| 2001 | 330 | 4870 | 5200 | 39111 | 5 | 39116 | 39441 | 4875 | 44316 |
| 2002 | 411 | 1937 | 2348 | 34187 | 0 | 34187 | 34598 | 1937 | 36535 |
| 2003 | 2343 | 2955 | 5298 | 37936 | 0 | 37936 | 40279 | 2955 | 43234 |
| 2004 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 33 |
| 2005 | 1162 | 7035 | 8197 | 14258 | 19 | 14277 | 15488 | 9405 | 22474 |
| 2006 | 147 | 9939 | 10086 | 7005 | 102 | 7107 | 7152 | 10041 | 17193 |
| 2007 | 242 | 5911 | 6153 | 5276 | 200 | 5476 | 5518 | 6111 | 11629 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13331 | 0 | 13331 | 13331 | 0 | 13331 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 105 | 105 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 110 | 115 |
| 2011 | 0 | 200 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 200 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 9 |
| 2013 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2016 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 28 |

[^0]Table 3.4.3.9 Catches of hooded seals in the Greenland Sea from 1946 through 2016. Totals include catches for scientific purposes.

| Year | Norwegian catches |  |  | Russian catches |  |  | Total catches |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pups | 1+ animals | Total | Pups | 1+ animals | total | Pups | 1+ animals | Total |
| 1946-1950 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 31152 | 10257 | 41409 | - | - | - | 31152 | 10257 | 41409 |
| 1951-1955 ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ | 37207 | 17222 | 54429 | - | - | -b | 37207 | 17222 | 54429 |
| 1956-1960 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 26738 | 9601 | 36339 | 825 | 1063 | $1888{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 27563 | 10664 | 38227 |
| 1961-1965 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 27793 | 14074 | 41867 | 2143 | 2794 | 4937 | 29936 | 16868 | 46804 |
| 1966-1970 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 21495 | 9769 | 31264 | 160 | 62 | 222 | 21655 | 9831 | 31486 |
| 1971 | 19572 | 10678 | 30250 | - | - | - | 19572 | 10678 | 30250 |
| 1972 | 16052 | 4164 | 20216 | - | - | - | 16052 | 4164 | 20216 |
| 1973 | 22455 | 3994 | 26449 | - | - | - | 22455 | 3994 | 26449 |
| 1974 | 16595 | 9800 | 26395 | - | - | - | 16595 | 9800 | 26395 |
| 1975 | 18273 | 7683 | 25956 | 632 | 607 | 1239 | 18905 | 8290 | 27195 |
| 1976 | 4632 | 2271 | 6903 | 199 | 194 | 393 | 4831 | 2465 | 7296 |
| 1977 | 11626 | 3744 | 15370 | 2572 | 891 | 3463 | 14198 | 4635 | 18833 |
| 1978 | 13899 | 2144 | 16043 | 2457 | 536 | 2993 | 16356 | 2680 | 19036 |
| 1979 | 16147 | 4115 | 20262 | 2064 | 1219 | 3283 | 18211 | 5334 | 23545 |
| 1980 | 8375 | 1393 | 9768 | 1066 | 399 | 1465 | 9441 | 1792 | 11233 |
| 1981 | 10569 | 1169 | 11738 | 167 | 169 | 336 | 10736 | 1338 | 12074 |
| 1982 | 11069 | 2382 | 13451 | 1524 | 862 | 2386 | 12593 | 3244 | 15837 |
| 1983 | 0 | 86 | 86 | 419 | 107 | 526 | 419 | 193 | 612 |
| 1984 | 99 | 483 | 582 | - | - | - | 99 | 483 | 582 |
| 1985 | 254 | 84 | 338 | 1632 | 149 | 1781 | 1886 | 233 | 2119 |
| 1986 | 2738 | 161 | 2899 | 1072 | 799 | 1871 | 3810 | 960 | 4770 |
| 1987 | 6221 | 1573 | 7794 | 2890 | 953 | 3843 | 9111 | 2526 | 11637 |
| 1988 | 4873 | 1276 | 6149 | 2162 | 876 | 3038 | 7035 | 2152 | 9187 |
| 1989 | 34 | 147 | 181 | - | - | - | 34 | 147 | 181 |
| 1990 | 26 | 397 | 423 | 0 | 813 | 813 | 26 | 1210 | 1236 |
| 1991 | 0 | 352 | 352 | 458 | 1732 | 2190 | 458 | 2084 | 2542 |
| 1992 | 0 | 755 | 755 | 500 | 7538 | 8038 | 500 | 8293 | 8793 |
| 1993 | 0 | 384 | 384 | - | - | - | 0 | 384 | 384 |
| 1994 | 0 | 492 | 492 | 23 | 4229 | 4252 | 23 | 4721 | 4744 |
| 1995 | 368 | 565 | 933 | - | - | - | 368 | 565 | 933 |
| 1996 | 575 | 236 | 811 | - | - | - | 575 | 236 | 811 |
| 1997 | 2765 | 169 | 2934 | - | - | - | 2765 | 169 | 2934 |
| 1998 | 5597 | 754 | 6351 | - | - | - | 5597 | 754 | 6351 |
| 1999 | 3525 | 921 | 4446 | - | - | - | 3525 | 921 | 4446 |
| 2000 | 1346 | 590 | 1936 | - | - | - | 1346 | 590 | 1936 |
| 2001 | 3129 | 691 | 3820 | - | - | - | 3129 | 691 | 3820 |
| 2002 | 6456 | 735 | 7191 | - | - | - | 6456 | 735 | 7191 |
| 2003 | 5206 | 89 | 5295 | - | - | - | 5206 | 89 | 5295 |
| 2004 | 4217 | 664 | 4881 | - | - | - | 4217 | 664 | 4881 |
| 2005 | 3633 | 193 | 3826 | - | - | - | 3633 | 193 | 3826 |
| 2006 | 3079 | 568 | 3647 | - | - | - | 3079 | 568 | 3647 |
| 2007 | 27 | 35 | 62 | - | - | - | 27 | 35 | 62 |
| 2008 | 9 | 35 | 44 | - | - | - | 9 | 35 | 44 |
| 2009 | 396 | 17 | 413 | - | - | - | 396 | 17 | 413 |
| 2010 | 14 | 164 | 178 | - | - | - | 14 | 164 | 178 |
| 2011 | 15 | 4 | 19 | - | - | - | 15 | 4 | 19 |
| 2012 | 15 | 6 | 21 | - | - | - | 15 | 6 | 21 |
| 2013 | 15 | 7 | 22 | - | - | - | 15 | 7 | 22 |
| 2014 | 24 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| 2015 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 11 |
| 2016 | 10 | 8 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 18 |

a For the period 1946-1970 only 5-year averages are given.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ For the years 1955, 1956, and 1957 the Soviet Union combined catches of harp and hooded seals reported at 3900,11600 , and 12900 , respectively. These catches are not included.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the period 1946-1970 only 5-year averages are given.

