

Brill (Scophthalmus rhombus) in subdivisions 22-32 (Baltic Sea)

ICES stock advice

ICES has not been requested to provide advice on fishing opportunities for this stock for 2021, 2022, or 2023.

Note: This advice is abbreviated due to the Covid-19 disruption. The previous advice issued in 2017 is attached as Annex 1.

Stock development over time




Figure 1 Brill in subdivisions 22–32. Left: landings and discards (weights in tonnes; discard data available for 2012–2019). Right: Combined abundance index (number per hour) of brill equal to or larger than 20 cm, from the Baltic International Trawl Survey (BITS – Q1 and Q4) in subdivisions 22, 23, and 24.

Stock and exploitation status

 Table 1
 Brill in subdivisions 22–32. State of the stock and the fishery relative to reference points.

	Fishing pressure				Stock size					
		2017	2018		2019		2017	2018		2019
Maximum sustainable yield	F _{MSY}	3	3	?	Unknown	MSY B _{trigger}	3	?	3	Unknown
Precautionary approach	F_{pa}, F_{lim}	3	?	3	Unknown	B_{pa}, B_{lim}	?	?	3	Unknown
Management plan	F _{MGT}	-	_	_	Not applicable	B _{MGT}	_	_	-	Not applicable
Qualitative evaluation	-	_	_	_	Unknown	-	3	3	②	Increasing

Catch scenarios

ICES has been requested to provide information on the status of the stock; however, it has not been requested to provide advice on fishing opportunities for this stock.

History of the advice, catch, and management

 Table 2
 Brill in subdivisions 22–32. ICES advice and official landings. All weights are in tonnes.

i abie 2	Brill in subdivisions 22–32. ICES advice and d	ifficial landings. All v	veignts are in to	nnes.	
Year	ICES advice	Catch corresp. to	Agreed TAC	Landings	Catches
Teal	ICLS duvice	advice	Agreed TAC	(ICES estimates)	(ICES estimates)
2000	No advice	-	-	28	
2001	No advice	-	-	19	
2002	No advice	-	-	27	
2003	No advice	-	-	36	
2004	No advice	-	-	41	
2005	No advice	-	-	62	
2006	No advice	-	-	56	
2007	No advice	-	-	56	
2008	No advice	-	-	105	
2009	No advice	-	-	92	
2010	No advice	-	-	82	
2011	No advice	-	-	57	
2012	Catches should not be increased	-		31	31
2013	No more than 20% catch increase	< 68		31	32
2014	Decrease catches by 4% (20% increase, followed by 20% PA reduction)	< 29		28	32
2015	Same advice as last year	< 29		40	40
2016	Precautionary approach (20% decrease in previous advised catch)	≤ 23		39	39
2017	Precautionary approach (20% decrease in previous advised catch)	≤ 18		39	48
2018	Precautionary approach (36% decrease relative to the advised catch for 2017)	≤ 11.5		53	56
2019	Precautionary approach (36% decrease relative to the advised catch for 2017)	≤ 11.5		48	57
2020	No advice requested				
2021	No catch advice requested				
2022	No catch advice requested				
2023	No catch advice requested				
	•				•

Summary of the assessment

Brill in subdivisions 22–32. Combined abundance index of brill equal to or larger than 20 cm, from the Baltic International Trawl Survey (BITS – Q1 and Q4) in subdivisions 22, 23, and 24. The individual indices are weighted averages per depth stratrum area and the two indices are combined, using the arithmetic mean.

Year	Stock-size indicator (Numbers per hour)	Landings (tonnes)	Discards (tonnes)
1970		4	
1971		3	
1972		7	
1973		13	
1974		26	
1975		40	
1976		48	
1977		67	
1978		40	
1979		30	
1980		26	
1981		23	
1982		36	
1983		55	
1984		15	
1985		17	
1986		18	

ICES Advice 2020 2

Year	Stock-size indicator	Landings (tonnes)	Discards (tonnes)
	(Numbers per hour)		Discards (connes)
1987		15	
1988		6	
1989		10	
1990		1	
1991		15	
1992		28	
1993		35	
1994		63	
1995		160	
1996		62	
1997		26	
1998		22	
1999		25	
2000		28	
2001	0.16	19	
2002	0.19	27	
2003	0.27	36	
2004	0.66	41	
2005	0.49	62	
2006	0.63	56	
2007	0.58	56	
2008	0.63	105	
2009	0.55	92	
2010	0.87	82	
2011	0.82	57	
2012	0.41	31	
2013	0.35	31	1
2014	0.59	28	4
2015	0.44	40	0
2016	0.41	39	0
2017	0.62	39	9
2018	0.69	53	3
2019	0.84	48	9

Sources and references

ICES. 2020. Baltic Fisheries Assessment Working Group (WGBFAS). ICES Scientific Reports. 2:45. http://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.6024

Recommended citation: ICES. 2020. Brill (*Scophthalmus rhombus*) in subdivisions 22–32 (Baltic Sea). *In* Report of the ICES Advisory Committee, 2020. ICES Advice 2020, bll.27.22-32. https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.advice.5774.

ICES Advice 2020 3

ICES Advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort Baltic Sea Ecoregion bll.27.22-32



Published 31 May 2017 Version 2: 1 June 2017 DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3057


Brill (Scophthalmus rhombus) in subdivisions 22–32 (Baltic Sea)

ICES stock advice

ICES advises that when the precautionary approach is applied, catches in each of the years 2018 and 101 should be no more than 11.5 tonnes. If discard rates do not change from last year (2016), this implies landings of no more than 11.4 tonnes.

Stock development over time

The survey index was highest in 2011, declined in 2012, and has remained stable since then.



Brill in subdivisions 22–32. Left: landings and disc rds (we this in tonnes; discard data available for 2012–2016). Right: Combined abundance index (number per hour) of bill equal to or larger than 20 cm, from the Baltic International Trawl Survey (BITS – Q1 and Q4) in subdivisions 22 23, and 24. Dashed lines denote the average cpue of the respective year range.

Stock and exploitation status

Table 1 Brill in subdivisions 22–32. State of the stock and fishery relative to reference points.

	Fishing pressure						Stock size				
		2014	2015		2016			2014	2015		2016
Maximum sustainable yield	F _{MSY}	?		D	Undefined		MSY B _{trigger}	?	?	?	Undefined
Precautionary approach	F _{pa} , F _{lim}	?	2	9	Undefined		B _{pa} , B _{lim}	?	?	3	Undefined
Management plan	F_{MGT}	-(7	7	-	Not applicable		SSB_{MGT}	-	-	-	Not applicable
Qualitative evaluation	-		\odot	→	Stable		-	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Stable

Catch options

The ICES frame fork to the egory 3 stocks was applied (ICES, 2012). The Baltic International Trawl Survey (BITS–Q1+Q4) cpue (number per hour, used as the index of stock development. The advice is based on a comparison of the two latest index values (index A with the three preceding values (index B), multiplied by the recent advised catch.

The indicase anted to have decreased by more than 20% and thus the uncertainty cap was applied in estimating the catch advice. The cock status relative to candidate reference points is unknown. The precautionary buffer was last applied in 2013. Because the e is no information on stock size or fishing pressure, the precautionary buffer was applied again in 2017.

ICES Advice 2017

Table 2Brill in subdivisions 22–32. For stocks in ICES data categories 3–6, one catch option is provided.*

Index A (2015, 2016)			0.9
Index B (2012, 2013, 2014)			1.2
Index ratio (A/B)			0.77
Uncertainty cap	Applied		0.80
Advised catch for 2017			18 t
Discard rate (2016)			1%
Precautionary buffer	Applied		0.8
Catch advice**			11.5 t
Landings corresponding to the advised catch***			11.4 t

^{*} The figures in the table are rounded. Calculations were done with unrounded inputs and computed value may not match exactly when calculated using the rounded figures in the table.

Basis of the advice

Table 3 Brill in subdivisions 22–32. The basis of the advice.

Advice basis	Precautionary approach
Management plan	Bycatch of this species is considered in an EU multian. plan for Baltic Sea stocks (EU, 2016).

Quality of the assessment

The advice is based on a combined abundance index from wo su veys, used as an indicator of stock size. The index is considered uncertain due to the low catch rate in the surve,

Issues relevant for the advice

Catches are mainly taken as bycatch, and this stock is grrently not regulated by a TAC. Fishing effort of active and passive gears of Denmark and Germany has been stable it latery ears.




Figure 2 Brill in bdivisions 22–32. Reported effort (days at sea) of Denmark and Germany in subdivisions 22 and 24.

^{** (}Advice for 2017) × uncertainty cap × precautionary buffer.

^{***} catch advice × (1 - discard ratio).

Reference points

No reference points are defined for this stock. An attempt was made this year to calculate MSY proxy reference points for this stock. However, there were concerns on the quality of the input parameters for the analysis and further investigation is needed (ICES, 2017).

Basis of the assessment

Table 4 Brill in subdivisions 22–32. Basis of the assessment and advice.

ICES stock data category	3 (<u>ICES, 2016</u>)
Assessment type	Survey trends (ICES, 2017).
Input data	Commercial catches and survey data from the Baltic International Trawl Su /ey ßITS-Q1+Q4).
Discards and bycatch	Discard data from 2012 and forward are included in the assessment.
Indicators	Commercial effort
Other information	None
Working group	Baltic Fisheries Assessment Working Group (WGBFAS)

Information from stakeholders

There is no available information.

History of the advice, catch, and management

 Table 5
 Brill in subdivisions 22–32. ICES advice and official lancings.
 Leights are in tonnes.

Year	ICES advice	Preunted catch contesp. to advice	Agreed TAC	Landings (ICES estimates)	Catches (ICES estimates)
2000	No advice	-	-	28	
2001	No advice	-	-	19	
2002	No advice	-	-	27	
2003	No advice	-	-	36	
2004	No advice	-	-	41	
2005	No advice	-	-	62	
2006	No advice	-	-	56	
2007	No advice	-	-	56	
2008	No advice	-	-	105	
2009	No advice	-	-	92	
2010	No advice	-	-	82	
2011	No advice	-	-	57	
2012	Catches should not be increased	-		30	31
2013	No more than 20% catcle inc.	< 68		31	32*
2014	Decrease catches by % (20% increase, followed by 20% PA reduction)	< 29		28	32*
2015	Same advice as last, lar	< 29		40	40
2016	Precautionary ap, roach, 20% decrease in previous advised catch)	≤ 23		39	39*
2017	Precautionary approach (20% decrease in previous advised catch)	≤ 18			
2018	Precaucenary: pproach (36% decrease relative to the dvised category 2017)	≤ 11.5			
2019	recause ry approach (36% decrease relative to the	≤ 11.5			

^{*} Version 2: \ \ \lue corrected.

History of the catch and landings

Table 6 Brill in subdivisions 22–32. Catch distribution by fleet in 2016 as estimated by ICES.

Total catch (2016)	Landings	Discards
39 t	bycatch in trawl and gillnet fisheries	2.4+
39 (38.6 t	41

Table 7 Brill in subdivisions 22–32. History of commercial catch and landings; both the official and ICES estimated values are

	pr	esented b	y area for each	n country part	icipating	in the fishery.	All weight	s are in to	nnes.		
				Germany						IL TS	
Year	D	enmark lar	ndings	(FRG)	Swed	en landings	Total landings			disca 1s	Total catch
real				landings							
	SD 22	SD 23	SDs 24-28	SD 22	SD 23	SDs 24–28	SD 22	SD 23	SDs 24–2	SDs 22-20	SDs 22-28
1970	4						4	0			4
1971	3						3	0	0		3
1972	7						7	0			7
1973	11		2				11	0	2		13
1974	25		1				25	0	1		26
1975	38		1	1			39		1		40
1976	45		1	2			47	0	1		48
1977	60		2	5			65	0	2		67
1978	37			3			40	0	0		40
1979	30						30		0		30
1980	26						_26	0	0		26
1981	22			1			23	0	0		23
1982	19					17	19		17		36
1983	13					42 4	13	0	42		55
1984	12					3	12	0	3		15
1985	16					1		0	1		17
1986	15					3	1,5	0	3		18
1987	12						12	0	3		15
1988	5					1	5	0	1		6
1989	9						9	0	1		10
1990						I	0	0	1		1
1991	15						15	0	0		15
1992	28				*		28	0	0		28
1993	29	5	1				29	5	1		35
1994	57	4	1			1	57	4	2		63
1995	134	12	1		5	8	134	17	9		160
1996	56	6				3	56	6	0		62
1997	25				1		25	1	0		26
1998	21				- 1 -		21	1	0		22
1999	24				1		24	1	0		25
2000	27			-4	1		27	1	0		28
2001	19				1		19	0	0		19
2002	25		0 4		1		25	1	0		27*
2002	35		1		0		35	0	1		36
2003	39		1		1	0	39	1	1		41
2004	50	9			0	0	50	9	3		62
2005	42	9		2	0	0	45	9	2		56
2006	50	9		5	0	0	55	0	0		56
		0						_			
2008	81	9	3	11	1	1 0	92	10	3		105 92*
2009	70	7	2	11	1		82	8	3		
2010	65		1	10	0	0	76 50	5	1		82
2011	46	5	1	4	1	0	50	6	1	O**	57
2012	24	5	0	2	1	0	26	4	0	0**	31*
2013			0	1	1	0	25	7	0	0**	31*
2014	10	5	0	2	1	0	21	6	0	4	32*
2015	7	7	0	3	1	0	32	8	0	0	40
2016	2	8	0	2	1	0	29	9	1	0.4	39

^{*} Discre per subdivision result from rounding.

^{**} Discarc estimates were less than 1% in 2012 and 2013.

Summary of the assessment

Table 8 Brill in subdivisions 22–32. Combined abundance index of brill equal to or larger than 20 cm, from the Brill International Trawl Survey (BITS – Q1 and Q4) in subdivisions 22, 23, and 24. The individual indices are weighted averages per depth stratrum area and the two indices are combined using the arithmetic mean.

Year	cpue, SDs 22–24
2001	0.5
2002	0.3
2003	0.4
2004	1.1
2005	0.8
2006	2.0
2007	1.1
2008	1.4
2009	0.9
2010	2.3
2011	2.8
2012	1.0
2013	1.36
2014	1.27
2015	0.85
2016	1.01

Sources and references

EU. 2016. REGULATION (EU) 2016/1139 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 6 July 2016 establishing a multiannual plan for the stocks of cod, herring and sprat in the Baltic Sea and the fisheries exploiting those stocks, amending Council Regulation (EC) No 2187/2005 and repeating Council Regulation (EC) No 1098/2007. Official Journal of the European Union, L 191/1. http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-col.ent/F J/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32016R1139&rid=1.

ICES. 2012. ICES Implementation of Advice for Lata-limited Stocks in 2012 in its 2012 Advice. ICES CM 2012/ACOM 68. 42 pp.

ICES. 2013. Report of the Baltic Fisheri is Ass ssment Working Group (WGBFAS), 10–17 April 2013, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES CM 2013/Ac. M:10 747 pp.

ICES. 2016. Advice basis. *In Report of the ICES Actions Committee*, 2016. ICES Advice 2016, Book 1, Section 1.2.

ICES. 2017. Report of the Balti Figure es Assessment Working Group (WGBFAS), 19–26 April 2017, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES CM 2 17/2 COM:11.