## Atlantic salmon from North America

## Summary of the advice for 2020

ICES advises that when the Framework of Indicators (FWI) was applied in early 2020, a full reassessment was not required and the 2018 ICES advice remains valid. Consequently, in line with the management objectives agreed by the North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organization (NASCO) and consistent with the MSY approach, there are no mixed-stock fishery options on 1SW non-maturing and 2SW salmon components from North American stocks in 2020. 2020 marks the final year of NASCO's three year multi-annual regulatory measure for fishing Atlantic salmon at West Greenland (NASCO, 2018).

ICES advises that when the MSY approach is applied, fishing should only take place on salmon from rivers where stocks are at full reproductive capacity. Mixed-stock fisheries present particular threats, and should be managed based on the individual status of all stocks exploited in the fishery.

## NASCO 3.1 Describe the key events of the 2019 fisheries (including the fishery at Saint Pierre and Miquelon)

The provisional catch of Atlantic salmon in eastern North America in 2019 was estimated at 95.1 tonnes ( t ), of which 93.8 t was reported from Canada, 1.3 t from France (Islands of Saint Pierre and Miquelon, located off the southern coast of Newfoundland), and 0 t from USA (Tables 1 and 2; Figure 1). There were no commercial or recreational fisheries for Atlantic salmon in USA in 2019. The dramatic decline in harvested tonnage since 1980 is in large part the result of the reductions in commercial fisheries effort, with the closure of the Newfoundland commercial fishery in 1992, the Labrador commercial fishery in 1998, and the Québec commercial fishery in 2000. All commercial fisheries for Atlantic salmon remained closed in Canada in 2019.

Unreported catch in 2019 was estimated at 11.6 t for Canada and 0 t for USA. France (Islands of Saint Pierre and Miquelon) did not provide an unreported catch value.

The assessment regions for North America are shown in Figure 2.
Three groups exploited salmon in Canada in 2019: indigenous people, residents fishing for food in Labrador, and recreational fishers. No rivers in the Gulf of St Lawrence (henceforth called "Gulf") and Scotia-Fundy regions were opened for retention in recreational fisheries. Mandatory catch-and-release measures were in effect during the period 2015-2019 in the recreational fisheries for the Gulf region. Fishing regulations in Québec limited the retention of small ( $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$, fork length) and large salmon ( $\geq 63 \mathrm{~cm}-$ MSW and repeat spawners) to 16 of 114 rivers, and the retention of small salmon only to 56 rivers. Nine rivers were opened to catch-and-release only, and 33 rivers were closed to salmon fishing. Retention of small salmon was only allowed in rivers which were open for recreational fisheries in Newfoundland and Labrador.

For Canada in 2019, 7\% of the harvests were taken in coastal areas, entirely from Labrador. The harvest from France (Islands of Saint Pierre and Miquelon) was entirely from coastal areas. Overall for eastern North America in 2019, 40\% of the harvests were in-river, $52 \%$ from estuaries, and $8 \%$ from coastal areas.

Exploitation rates of both large salmon and small salmon (mostly 1SW) remained relatively stable until 1984 and 1992, then declined sharply with the introduction of restrictive management measures (Figure 3). Declines continued in the 1990s. In the last few years, exploitation rates have remained among the lowest in the time-series.

Total recreational catch for Canada in 2019 was 66575 salmon ( 45293 small and 21282 large salmon), $70 \%$ of which were released ( 26237 small and 20098 large salmon).

Table 1 Salmon catches and catch locations in the NAC area in 2019. Catches of NAC-origin salmon at Greenland are reported in the West Greenland Commission area (in tonnes, t).

|  | Canada |  |  |  |  | St Pierre \& Miquelon | USA | North <br> America |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial | Indigenous | Labrador resident | Recreational | Total |  |  |  |
| 2019 reported harvests | 0 | 54 | 2 | 38 | 94 | 1 | 0 | 95 |
| \% of NAC total | - | 57 | 2 | 40 | 99 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| Unreported catch (t) | - |  |  |  | 12 | na | 0 | 12 |
| Location of catches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% in-river |  |  |  |  | 52 | 0 | - | 52 |
| \% in estuaries |  |  |  |  | 41 | 0 | - | 40 |
| \% coastal |  |  |  |  | 7 | 100 | - | 8 |

Table 2 Total reported nominal harvest (in tonnes, round fresh weight) of salmon in home waters in North America for Canada (small salmon, large salmon, and total), for USA, and for France (Saint Pierre and Miquelon [SPM]), from 1980 to 2019. The 2018 values were finalized and the 2019 values are provisional.

| Year | Canada |  |  | USA | SPM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small salmon | Large salmon | Total |  |  |
| 1980 | 917 | 1763 | 2680 | 6 | - |
| 1981 | 818 | 1619 | 2437 | 6 | - |
| 1982 | 716 | 1082 | 1798 | 6 | - |
| 1983 | 513 | 911 | 1424 | 1 | 3 |
| 1984 | 467 | 645 | 1112 | 2 | 3 |
| 1985 | 593 | 540 | 1133 | 2 | 3 |
| 1986 | 780 | 779 | 1559 | 2 | 3 |
| 1987 | 833 | 951 | 1784 | 1 | 2 |
| 1988 | 677 | 633 | 1310 | 1 | 2 |
| 1989 | 549 | 590 | 1139 | 2 | 2 |
| 1990 | 425 | 486 | 911 | 2 | 2 |
| 1991 | 341 | 370 | 711 | 1 | 1 |
| 1992 | 199 | 323 | 522 | 1 | 2 |
| 1993 | 159 | 214 | 373 | 1 | 3 |
| 1994 | 139 | 216 | 355 | 0 | 3 |
| 1995 | 107 | 153 | 260 | 0 | 1 |
| 1996 | 138 | 154 | 292 | 0 | 2 |
| 1997 | 103 | 126 | 229 | 0 | 2 |
| 1998 | 87 | 70 | 157 | 0 | 2 |
| 1999 | 88 | 64 | 152 | 0 | 2 |
| 2000 | 95 | 58 | 153 | 0 | 2 |
| 2001 | 86 | 61 | 148 | 0 | 2 |
| 2002 | 99 | 49 | 148 | 0 | 2 |
| 2003 | 81 | 60 | 141 | 0 | 3 |
| 2004 | 94 | 68 | 161 | 0 | 3 |
| 2005 | 83 | 56 | 139 | 0 | 3 |
| 2006 | 82 | 55 | 137 | 0 | 3 |
| 2007 | 63 | 49 | 112 | 0 | 2 |
| 2008 | 100 | 57 | 158 | 0 | 4 |
| 2009 | 74 | 52 | 126 | 0 | 3 |
| 2010 | 100 | 53 | 153 | 0 | 3 |
| 2011 | 110 | 69 | 179 | 0 | 4 |
| 2012 | 74 | 52 | 126 | 0 | 3 |
| 2013 | 72 | 66 | 137 | 0 | 5 |
| 2014 | 77 | 41 | 118 | 0 | 4 |
| 2015 | 86 | 54 | 140 | 0 | 4 |
| 2016 | 79 | 56 | 135 | 0 | 5 |
| 2017 | 55 | 55 | 110 | 0 | 3 |
| 2018 | 39 | 39 | 78 | 0 | 1 |
| 2019 | 48 | 46 | 94 | 0 | 1 |



Figure 1 Nominal catch (harvest; $t$ ) of small $(<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and large salmon in Canada (combined harvests in USA and Saint Pierre and Miquelon are $\leq 6 \mathrm{t}$ in any year), from 1960 to 2019.


Figure 2 Assessment regions for salmon in the North American Commission area. Dots indicate locations of salmon rivers.


Figure 3 Exploitation rates in North America on small (1SW) ( $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and large (MSW and repeat spawners) salmon, from 1971 to 2019.

## Origin and composition of catches

In the past, salmon from both Canada and the USA were taken in the commercial fisheries of eastern Canada. Sampling programmes of current marine fisheries (Labrador subsistence and Saint Pierre and Miquelon [SPM]) are used to monitor the stock composition of these mixed-stock fisheries.

The stock composition of Atlantic salmon in the Labrador subsistence and SPM mixed-stock fisheries was determined using a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) panel range-wide baseline that allows accurate individual assignment to one of 21 North American or ten European reporting groups (Jeffery et al., 2018; ICES, 2019a) (Figure 4). The accuracy of assignment accounting for bias in the SNP analyses was $90 \%$. The reporting groups from the genetic assignments do not correspond directly to the regions used by ICES to characterize stock status and to provide catch advice. Assessment of stock status and provision of catch advice is not possible at the scale of the genetic groups, because historical catch reporting is available at a jurisdictional scale that is broader than the genetic reporting groups. However, the genetic reporting groups can be aligned to the assessment regions (Figure 4).

| Assessment <br> region | Genetic Reporting group | Group <br> acronym |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quebec (North) <br> Labrador | Ungava | UNG |
|  | Labrador Central | LAC |
|  | Lake Melville | MEL |
|  | Labrador South | LAS |
| Quebec <br> Scotia-Fundy | St Lawrence North Shore Lower | QLS |
|  | Anticosti | ANT |
|  | Gaspé Peninsula | GAS |
|  | Quebec City Region | QUE |
|  | Gulf of St Lawrence | Inner Bay of Fundy |
|  | Eastern Nova Scotia | GUL |
|  | Western Nova Scotia | ENS |
|  | Saint John River \& Aquaculture | SJR |
| Newfoundland Northern Newfoundland | NNF |  |
|  | Western Newfoundland | WNF |
|  | Newfoundland 1 | NF1 |
|  | Newfoundland 2 | NF2 |
|  | Fortune Bay | BPN |
|  | Burin Peninsula | USA |
|  | Avalon Peninsula | Maine, United States |
| USA |  |  |


| Assessment <br> region | Genetic Reporting Group | Group <br> Acronym |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Europe | Spain | SPN |
|  | France | FRN |
|  | European Broodstock | EUB |
|  | United Kingdom/Ireland | BRI |
|  | Barents-White seas | BAR |
|  | Baltic Sea | BAL |
|  | Southern Norway | SNO |
|  | Northern Norway | NNO |
|  | Iceland | ICE |
|  | Greenland | GL |



Figure 4 Map of sample locations used in the range-wide genetic baseline (single nucleotide polymorphisms [SNPs]) for Atlantic salmon, which provided 21 North America and ten European genetic reporting groups (labelled and identified by colour) and correspondence between genetic reporting groups and assessment regions for eastern North America (upper table). The EUB (European Broodstock) reporting group is not represented on the map.

## Labrador fishery origin and composition of the catches

In 2019, 485 of 867 tissue samples from the Labrador subsistence salmon fisheries were analysed using the SNP panel. The percentage of the catch that was processed in 2019 for stock origin ( $4 \%$ ) is less than the percentage of the catch sampled ( $6 \%$ by number); this is due to resource constraints. However, emphasis was placed on genotyping samples from the coastal areas (Salmon Fishing Areas [SFAs] 1A and 2) where interception of non-local stocks has been more prevalent in the past. As in previous years, the estimated origin of the samples was dominated ( $>98 \%$ ) by the Labrador genetic reporting groups. Although two samples of USA origin salmon were detected in 2017, none were detected in 2018 or in 2019. The dominance of the Labrador genetic reporting groups is consistent with previous analyses conducted for the period 2006-2018 which assigned $>95 \%$ of the harvest to Labrador groups. Assignment of harvest within the three Labrador genetic reporting groups suggest largely local harvest within salmon fishing areas (Figure 5).


Region assignment

Figure 5 Percentages of Labrador subsistence fishery samples, assigned to SNP-derived regional groups of the North Atlantic for the 2019 fishery year, by size group (left) and by area (right).

## Saint Pierre and Miquelon (SPM) fishery origin and composition of the catches

In 2019, 63 samples collected from the Saint Pierre and Miquelon fishery were analysed using the SNP panel range-wide baseline ( $12 \%$ of catch by number). Small salmon ( $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ fork length) represented $70 \%$ of the samples analyses, in contrast to 2017 and 2018 when samples of the catch were dominated ( $92 \%$ and $93 \%$ ) by small salmon. Regional analysis using the SNP panel showed the consistent dominance of three genetic reporting groups; 42\% Gulf of St Lawrence, 30\% Gaspé Peninsula, and $24 \%$ for Newfoundland reporting groups, consistent with previous studies (ICES, 2019a; Bradbury et al., 2016) (Figure 6).

The Saint Pierre and Miquelon harvest of Atlantic salmon has been dominated by small salmon in recent years (ICES, 2019a). There was no information on how the samples were collected in 2019 or if they were representative of the total catch. ICES (2018) reported on a consistent increase in the proportion of the samples assigned to the Newfoundland regional groups with increasing proportions of small salmon in the samples from the fishery, emphasizing the importance of having representative sampling of the fishery catches in order to assess the impacts of this mixed-stock fishery on stocks in North America.


Figure 6 Percentages of the Saint Pierre and Miquelon (SPM) fishery samples assigned to SNP derived genetic reporting groups of the North Atlantic for the 2019 fishery year.

NASCO 3.2 Update age-specific stock conservation limits based on new information as available, including updating the time-series of the number of river stocks with established CLs by jurisdiction

Limit reference points were revised for some areas in North America by Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO, 2009; 2012; 2017; 2018) and the Province of Québec (Dionne et al., 2015; MFFP, 2016). As a result of these revisions, the 2SW conservation limit (CL) for the Gulf region decreased $38 \%$ from the previous value, whereas the Québec value increased slightly (9\%) (ICES, 2019a). No other changes to the 2 SW CLs or the management objectives were made from those identified previously (ICES, 2015).

In addition, rebuilding management objectives have been defined for Scotia-Fundy and USA. For Scotia-Fundy, the management objective is based on an increase of $25 \%$ in returns of 2 SW salmon from the mean return in the base years 1992 to 1996. For USA, the management objective is to achieve 2 SW adult returns of 4549 individuals or greater (Table 3).

Table 3 2SW CLs and management objectives for the regional groups in North America in 2019.

| Country <br> and Commission area | Assessment regional group | 2SW conservation limit <br> (number of fish) | 2SW Management objective <br> (number of fish) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Labrador | 34746 |  |
|  | Newfoundland | 4022 |  |
|  | Québec | 32085 |  |
|  | Southern Gulf of St Lawrence | 18737 |  |
|  | Scotia-Fundy | 24705 |  |
|  | Total | 114295 |  |
| USA |  | 29199 |  |
| North American Commission |  | 143494 |  |

In Canada, conservation limits (CLs) were first established in 1991 for 74 rivers. Since then the number of rivers with defined CLs increased to 266 in 1997, and to 498 since 2018 (Figure 7). Conservation limits have been established for 33 river stocks in USA since 1995 (Figure 7).

Figure 7 Time-series for Canada and the USA showing the number of rivers with established CLs, the number of rivers assessed, and the number of assessed rivers meeting CLs, for the period 1991 to 2019. Further details can be found in ICES (2020).

## NASCO 3.3 Describe the status of the stocks, including updating the time-series of trends in the number of river stocks meeting CLs by jurisdiction

Stock status is presented for six assessment regions (Figure 2) and overall for North America.
Returns of small (1SW), large (MSW and repeat spawners), and 2 SW salmon (a subset of large) to each region are estimated by the methods reported by ICES (1993). The 2SW component of the returns of large salmon was determined using the sea-age composition of one or more indicator stocks. Returns are the number of salmon that returned to the geographic region, including fish caught by home water commercial fisheries, except in the case of the Newfoundland and Labrador regions where returns do not include landings in commercial and subsistence fisheries.

The non-maturing component of 1SW salmon, destined to be 2 SW returns (excluding 3SW and repeat spawners) is the estimated number of salmon in the North Atlantic on 1 August of their second summer at sea. The pre-fishery abundance (PFA) estimates account for returns to rivers, fisheries at sea in North America, fisheries at West Greenland, and are corrected for natural mortality. Harvests of North American origin salmon in the fishery at Faroes are not included. As the PFA estimate for potential 2SW salmon requires an estimate of returns to rivers, the most recent year for which an estimate of PFA is available is 2018. Maturing 1SW salmon are in some areas (particularly Newfoundland) a major component of salmon stocks, and their abundance when combined with that of the 2 SW age group provides an index of the majority of a cohort.

The total estimate of returns of small salmon to North America in 2019 (332 100) was 22\% lower than the finalized value in 2018 and the eighth lowest of the 49-year time-series (Figure 8). Returns of small salmon in 2019 decreased from the previous year in Labrador (59\%) but increased in Newfoundland (81\%) and Scotia-Fundy (189\%). Small salmon returns in 2019 were among the lowest (third to sixth lowest of 49 years) for Québec, Gulf, and Scotia-Fundy. Returns of small salmon to Labrador (117500) and Newfoundland (171400) combined represented $87 \%$ of the total returns of small salmon to North America in 2019.

The total estimate of returns of large salmon to North America in 2019 (103 900) was 15\% lower than in the finalized value for 2018. Returns of large salmon in 2019 decreased from the previous year in Labrador (41\%), Gulf (43\%), and ScotiaFundy (52\%), but increased in Québec (9\%), Newfoundland (136\%), and USA (109\%). Large salmon returns in 2019 were the second lowest of the 49-year time-series for Gulf and Scotia-Fundy and the fourth lowest for Québec (Figure 9). Returns of large salmon to Labrador ( 27 100), Québec (31 000), and Gulf (19700) combined represented 75\% of the total returns of large salmon to North America in 2019.

The total estimate of 2SW salmon returns (subset of returns of large salmon) to North America in 2019 (59900) was $28 \%$ lower than in 2018 ( 82 900; Figure 10). The 2SW salmon returns to NAC in 2019 were the second lowest on record (49 years), and were particularly low in Québec (fourth lowest), Gulf, and Scotia-Fundy (second lowest). Although the estimated 2SW returns in Labrador were thirteenth highest in the 49-year time-series, the returns were the second lowest of the most recent ten years. Three assessment regions (Labrador, Québec, and Gulf) collectively accounted for $92 \%$ of the returns of 2SW salmon to North America in 2019.

In 2019, the estimates (median) of 2 SW salmon returns to rivers and spawners were below CLs (suffering reduced reproductive capacity) in all six assessment regions; the percentages of respective 2SW CLs attained by spawners ranged from $3 \%$ in Scotia-Fundy to $77 \%$ in the Gulf (Figure 11). Particularly large deficits relative to CLs and rebuilding management objectives are noted in the Scotia-Fundy and USA regions.

River-specific assessments are provided for 86 rivers in 2019. Egg depositions by all sea ages combined in 2019 exceeded or equaled the river-specific CLs in 42 of the 86 assessed rivers (49\%) and were at or less than $50 \%$ of CLs in 28 rivers (33\%) (Figure 12). The number of rivers assessed annually in Canada has ranged from 61 to 91, and the annual percentages of these rivers achieving CL has ranged from $26 \%$ to $67 \%$ ( $59 \%$ in 2019) with no temporal trend (Figure 7 ). Sixteen rivers in the USA are assessed against CL attainment annually, with none meeting CLs to date (Figure 7).

Estimates of PFA (defined as the number of maturing and non-maturing 1SW salmon) suggest continued low abundance of North American salmon (Figure 10). The PFA in the Northwest Atlantic has oscillated around a generally declining trend since the 1970s, with a period of persistent low abundance since the early 1990s. During the period 1993 to 2018, the PFA averaged 605000 fish, less than half of the average abundance (1 232000 fish) during the period 1971 to 1992. The PFA of maturing and non-maturing 1SW salmon in 2018 was estimated at 551700 fish. Abundance declined by $66 \%$ over the time-series, from a peak of 1705000 fish in 1975 (Figure 13).

Despite major changes in fisheries management two to three decades ago, and increasingly more restrictive fisheries measures since then, returns of salmon have remained near historical lows, with the exception of those in Labrador and Newfoundland. All salmon populations within USA and the Scotia-Fundy regions have been, or are being considered for, listing under country-specific species-at-risk legislation. The continued low abundance of salmon stocks in USA and in three regions of Canada (Scotia-Fundy, Gulf, and Québec), despite significant fishery reductions, strengthens the conclusions that factors acting on survival in the first and second years at sea at both local and broad ocean scales are constraining the abundance of salmon. Declines in smolt production in some rivers of eastern North America are now being observed and may also be contributing to lower adult abundance.







Figure 8 Estimated (median, 5th to 95th percentile range) returns (shaded circles) and spawners (open squares) of small salmon (primarily 1SW) for eastern North America overall and for each of the six regions, 1971 to 2019.



Figure 9 Estimated (median, 5th to 95th percentile range) returns (shaded circles) and spawners (open squares) of large salmon (primarily MSW and repeat spawners) for eastern North America overall and for each of the six regions, 1971 to 2019.








Figure 10 Estimated (median, 5th to 95th percentile range) returns (shaded circles) and spawners (open squares) of 2SW salmon for eastern North America overall and for each of the six regions. The dashed line is the corresponding 2 SW CL ; the 2SW CL (29 199 fish) is off scale in the plot for USA. The dotted lines in the Scotia-Fundy and USA panels are the region-specific management objectives. For USA, estimated spawners exceed the estimated returns in some years as a result of adult stocking restoration efforts, 1971 to 2019.

2SW returns and spawners by regions


Figure 11
Estimated returns (circle symbol) and spawners (square symbol) of 2SW salmon in 2019 to six regions of North America relative to the stock status categories. The percentage of the 2 SW CLs for the four northern regions and to the rebuilding management objectives ( MO ) for the two southern areas are shown based on the median of the Monte Carlo distribution. The colour shading, which in this case is relevant for red only as all stocks in these regions are categorized as suffering reduced reproductive capacity, is interpreted as follows: blue refers to the stock being at full reproductive capacity (median and 5th percentile of the Monte Carlo distributions are above the CL), orange refers to the stock being at risk of suffering reduced reproductive capacity (median is above but the 5th percentile is below the CL ), and red refers to the stock suffering reduced reproductive capacity (the median is below the CL).


Figure 12 Degree of attainment for the river-specific conservation egg requirement (CL) in the 86 rivers of the North American Commission area assessed in 2019. Three rivers in the USA are not shown because they were partially assessed, but they are considered not to have attained CLs in 2019.


Figure 13 Estimated (median, 5th to 95th percentile range) pre-fishery abundance (PFA) for 1SW maturing, 1SW non-maturing, and total cohort of 1SW salmon for North America. The dashed blue horizontal line is the corresponding sum of the 2SW conservation limits for North America, corrected for 11 months of natural mortality, against which 1SW nonmaturing abundance is assessed.
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## Annex 1 Glossary of acronyms and abbreviations

1SW (one-sea-winter). Maiden adult salmon that have spent one winter at sea.
2SW (two-sea-winter). Maiden adult salmon that have spent two winters at sea.
3SW (three-sea-winter). Maiden adult salmon that have spent three winters at sea.
CL, i.e. $S_{\text {lim }}$ (conservation limit). Demarcation of undesirable stock levels or levels of fishing activity; the ultimate objective when managing stocks and regulating fisheries will be to ensure that there is a high probability that undesirable levels are avoided.
FWI (Framework of Indicators). The FWI is a tool used to indicate if any significant change in the status of stocks used to inform the previously provided multi-annual management advice has occurred.
ICES (International Council for the Exploration of the Sea).
NAC (North American Commission). A commission under NASCO.
NASCO (North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organization).
PFA (pre-fishery abundance). The numbers of salmon estimated to be alive in the ocean from a particular stock at a specified time.
SFA (Salmon Fishing Area). The 23 areas for which Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) manages the salmon fisheries.
SPM (the islands of Saint Pierre and Miquelon [France]).

## Annex 2* General considerations

## Management plans

The North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organization (NASCO) has adopted an Action Plan for Application of the Precautionary Approach, which stipulates that management measures should be aimed at maintaining all stocks above their conservation limits through the use of management targets. NASCO has adopted the region-specific CLs as limit reference points ( $\mathrm{Slim}_{\mathrm{l}}$ ); having populations fall below these limits should be avoided with high probability. Within the agreed management plan for the North American Commission, the following has been agreed for the provision of catch advice on 2SW salmon exploited in North America (as non-maturing 1SW and 2SW salmon): a risk level (probability) of $75 \%$ for simultaneous attainment of the 2SW CLs for the four northern regions (Labrador, Newfoundland, Québec, Gulf), management objectives defined as achieving a $25 \%$ increase in 2 SW returns relative to a baseline period (average returns in the period 1992-1996) for the Scotia-Fundy region, and the achievement of 2 SW adult returns of 4549 fish or greater. A framework of indicators has been developed to identify any significant change in the multi-annual management advice in the intervening years of the three-year assessment cycle.

## Biology

Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) is an anadromous species found in rivers of countries bordering the North Atlantic. In the Northwest Atlantic they range from the Connecticut River (USA, $41.6^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) northward to the Ungava Bay rivers ( $58.8^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$; Québec, Canada). Juveniles emigrate to the ocean at ages of one to eight years (dependent on latitude) and generally return after one or two years at sea. Long-distance migrations to ocean feeding grounds are known to take place, with adult salmon from both the North American and Northeast Atlantic stocks migrating to West Greenland to feed in their second summer and autumn at sea. Recent genetic information has demonstrated that fish from North America were also exploited in the historical Faroes fishery.

## Environmental influence on the stock

Environmental conditions in both freshwater and marine environments have a marked effect on the status of salmon stocks. Across the North Atlantic, a range of problems in the freshwater environment play a significant role in explaining the poor status of stocks. In many cases, river damming and habitat deterioration have had a devastating effect on freshwater environmental conditions. In the marine environment, return rates of adult salmon have declined through the 1980 s and are now at the lowest levels in the time-series for some stocks, even after closure of marine fisheries. Climatic factors modifying ecosystem conditions and the impact of predators of salmon at sea are considered to be the main contributory factors to lower productivity, which is expressed almost entirely in terms of lower marine survival.

## Effects of the fisheries on the ecosystem

The current salmon fisheries probably have no influence, or only a minor influence, on the marine ecosystem. However, the exploitation rate on salmon may affect the riverine ecosystem through changes in species composition. Knowledge on the magnitude of these effects is limited.

## Quality considerations

Uncertainties in input variables to the stock status and stock forecast models are incorporated in the assessment. The reliability of catch statistics could be improved in all North America. Estimates of abundance of adult salmon in some areas, in particular Labrador, are based on a small number of counting facilities raised to a large production area.
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## Scientific basis

| ICES stock data category | 1 (ICES, 2019b). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Assessment type | Run-reconstruction models and Bayesian forecasts, taking into account uncertainties in the data. |
| Input data | Nominal catches (by sea-age class) for commercial, indigenous, and recreational fisheries. <br> Estimates of unreported/illegal catches. <br> Estimates of exploitation rates. <br> Natural mortalities (from earlier assessments). |
| Discards and bycatch | It is illegal to retain salmon that are incidentally captured in fisheries not directed at salmon (no <br> bycatch). In the directed recreational fishery, mortality from catch and release is accounted for in the <br> regional assessments to estimate spawners. There is no accounting of discarding mortality in non- <br> salmon directed fisheries. |
| Indicators | The Framework of Indicators is used to indicate whether a significant change has occurred in the <br> status of stocks in intermediate years where multiannual management advice applies. |
| Other information | Advice subject to annual review. A stock annex was developed in 2014 and updated in 2019 <br> (ICES, 2019c). |
| Working group | Working Group on North Atlantic Salmon (WGNAS) (ICES, 2020). |
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