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#### Abstract
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### 1.0 InTRODUCTIOH

1.1 The Working Group met at Lowestoft, UK, from 13-17 March with the following terms of reference (c. Res. 1977/2:8):
"In view of the importance of making assessments so as to take account of interaction between fisheries, a Working Group, to be called 'The Irish Sea and Bristol Channel Working Group' to be convened by Mr David de G Griffith, should meet for 5 days at Lowestoft to assess TACs for cod, haddock, whiting, plaice and sole in Divisions VIIa and VIIf. The Working Group should also identify and specify in detail shortcomings and gaps in data required for stock assessment purposes."
1.2 In December 1977, the Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Fishery Management asked the Working Group to examine the applicability of mesh assessments (based on North Sea data) to other parts of NEAFC Region 2, and also to make recommendations on minimum landing sizes. This was in response to the following request from the EEC (Director General of Fisheries) to ICES.
"This year the Liaison Committee report recommended an increase in mesh size in Region II. Mesh assessments have been carried out for North Sea species, but not as far as we are aware for ICES sub-areas VI, VII and VIII. In view of the questions raised by special interest groups in these areas we think it would be useful to extend the mesh assessment work to these areas. Furthermore the North Sea Roundfish mesh assessments suffer from certain difficulties with regard to the distinction between catch and landings and over the fact that the two different methods used were not necessarily giving the same results. It would also be useful to have a firm proposal on minimum landing sizes in relation to the proposed mesh changes (perhaps on the basis of adopting the $30 \%$ retention length) and a judgement about whether gill nets and purse seines should be included in mesh proposals."


The catch of cod in 1977 fell to 7394 tons (provisional figure) from 10,247 in 1976 (Table 2.1). This is the lowest level since 1970 and appears to have been due to both a fall in catch rate (shown by French and UK statistics) and possibly a slight decline in the fishing effort on cod, at least by Ireland.

### 2.2 Age composition of the catch

The international age composition is shown in Table 2.2. For the years up to but not including 1974 the data are taken from the 1977 Report of the North Sea Roundfish Working Group (CM 1977/F:8). For the 1974-76 the numbers have been adjusted to the new catch data reported. For 1977 the method was as follows:
i UK numbers at age were available.
ii French quarterly length distributions were converted to age distributions using UK age/length keys.
iii The Irish age distributions were raised to include the Northern Irish catch.
iv The sum of all of these, which make up the bulk of the catch, were raised to the total international catch.
By catch, discards, and industrial landings, which are all one-year-old or younger, were not included in the VPA.
2.3 Recruitment

A plot of the relationship hetween UK catch per effort of one-year-old cod in the fourth quarter and the latest VPA values of one-year-old numbers is given in Figure 2.1 (for the method see Brander, 1975). As predicted by this relationship,
the 1975 yearclass is below average, but the 1976 yearclass should be average. The 1977 and 78 yearclasses have also been assumed to be average ( $6.8 \times 10^{6}$ one-year-olds) and the effect of this assumption on the forecast will be examined later. There are no marked trends in recruitment and the spawning stock biomass is high.

### 2.4 Weight at age

Values of weight at age are given in Table 2.2 and are the same as those used last year. The sum of products of numbers in the catch in 1977 and weight at age is within $1 \%$ of the actual catch and the check for 1975 and 1976 is also very close. For years prior to 1975 the sum of products is considerably higher than the actual weight and this is because the data were raised from the UK catch only, in which weight at age is lower.

### 2.5 Mortality

The value of natural mortality used was 0.2. The terminal $F$ used was the mean of the VPA values for 1968-74. This was judged to be reasonable because it gave slightly lower $F$ values on the abundant ages (2- and 3-yearolds) than in 1977 and a slight drop in biomass, such as might be expected from the decline in catch per effort and effort. These terminal $F$ values also give estimates of the 1975 and 1976 yearclasses which are consistent with the values expected from Figure 2.2. The results of the VPA are shown in Tables 2.3 and 2.4.

### 2.6 Catch forecast for 1979

The catch forecast for 1979, assuming no change in the fishing mortality and exploitation pattern in 1978 (ie no increase in mesh size) is shown in Table 2.5. If the fishing mortality and exploitation pattern are unchanged then the catch will be 8636 tons, but almost half of this is accounted for by the two yearclasses (1977 and 1978) for which we have assumed average recruitment. The relationship between $F$ in 1979 , the catch in 1979 and the total stock biomass at the beginning of 1980 is given in Figure 2.2. Figures 2.3 shows the trends in catch and stock biomass since 1968 and the projected figures.

### 2.7 Yield per recruit

The yield per recruit curve, conditional on the present exploitation pattern, is shown in Figure 2.4. It has a peak at a level of $F$ which is about $50 \%$ of the present level, and although the increase in yield per recruit from going down to this level is only $9 \%$, there would be a consequent increase in total stock biomass of about $85 \%$. Greater improvements in yield could probably be obtained by reducing the mortality on pre-recruit cod in the small-mesh fisheries and by reducing the mortality on one-year-old cod. The 1975 yearclass in particular appears to have suffered very heavy mortality as one year olds in the Irish fishery. An increase in mesh size to 80 mm single twine ( 90 mm double) would have little effect since even the larger mesh size only just raises the mean selection length above the present 30 cm minimum landing size regulation. It may be necessary to consider restricting the directed fisheries for codling during the latter part of the year, but the Working Group did not have time to consider this option in detail.

### 2.8 Evaluation of options

2.8.1 The spawning stock biomass is in a healthy state (see Figure 2.3) and likely to remain so if fishing mortality does not rise. Although the pre-recruit cod are being overfished in the sense that they form part of the by-catch in the small mesh fisheries there is no evidence of so-called "recruit overfishing".
2.8.2 Although the yield per recruit curve indicates some benefit to be gained from reducing $F$ to the level needed for conditional MYR, the corresponding increase in yield per recruit is small. Continuing with the present level of $F$ would therefore seem a reasonable course of action and this would give a catch of 8,636 tons in 1979. THE WORKING GROUP THEREFORE RECOMMENDS A TAC OF 8,600 TONS IN 1979. 2.8.3 Since these values depend heavily on the size of incoming yearclasses it may be possible to allow a higher TAC if recruitment is good. On the other hand if recruitment is poor then the catch should fall in any case, provided the fishing mortality is not allowed to rise (ie effort restriction or second tier quota in force).

### 3.0 IRISH SEA WHITING

### 3.1 Catch trends

The revised figures of international landings in the last decade are given in Table 3.1 taking into account the latest national amendments. After the minimum of $6,900 t$ in 1970, annual landings have not shown marked trend: they fluctuated between 8,700 and 12,100 t. France, Ireland and Northern Ireland accounted for about $88 \%$ of the total and the share of Ireland alone represents more than $40 \%$. In the last few years the part taken for industrial purposes tended to decline from more than $2,000 \mathrm{t}$ in the early seventies to 760 t in 1977 (Table 3.l, part B).

### 3.2 Input data for stock assessment

Age composition of catches was available for the period 1969-1977 for England and Wales. France provided length distributions for the last 5 years; these were converted into age distributions by using English age/ length keys for the corresponding years. Irish data concerning catches for human consumption were also presented for 1976 and 1977. In order to run a VPA, the age structure for the 4 earlier years was reconstructed for each age group separately by multiplying the summed numbers for France, England and Wales by the mean factor $N_{I}+N I^{\prime N} E W+F$ for 1976 and 1977 (where $N_{I}+N I$ represents the number at age in Irish and Northern Irish catches and $N_{E W}+F$ the number at age in English, Welsh and French catches). The values of this factor were as follows:

Age group: 18.241
$2 \quad 2.004$
31.739
$4 \quad 1.215$
$5 \quad 0.485$
$6 \quad 0.235$
(Irish samples contained no fish older than 6).
The total numbers were adjusted so that the sum of products of number $x$ weight at age corresponded to the total weight landed. In this way allowance could be made for the younger age structure of Irish catches whilst any yearclass dominance present in the English, Welsh and French data was preserved. Information about the numbers of whiting caught in the Irish industrial fishery is given in section 10.1.

### 3.3 Mean weight at age

Mean weight at age for English data was calculated by use of the parameters given in the 1973 Whiting Working Group Report (CM 1973/F:2). For Irish data the mean of values obtained from length-weight relationships at different seasons in 1976 was used. The overall weight at age values were a mean of Irish data weighted by the numbers of fish at each age landed in Ireland and Northern Ireland, and English data weighted by the rest of the catch (see Table 3.2).

### 3.4 Virtual population analysis

The stock value of $M$ used was 0.2 .
Terminal values of $F$ for 1977 were derived from a hand-smoothed plot of the means 1972-1974. For age group 9 they were based on a mean of values for age groups 8 and 7 during 1972-74.

The exploitation pattern appeared to involve Irish boats in a concentrated fishery on whiting mainly of groups, 1, 2 and 3, whereas Erench catches showed an older age composition and that for English/Welsh catches was still older.

The exploitation pattern appeared to have little trend with time, weighted mean $F$ values oscillating between 0.95 and 1.25 . The exploitation pattern, averaged over the period 1972-74, showed that the greatest levels of $F$ fell on the 3-year-old and 7-year-old fish.

### 3.5 Prognosis

To predict catches in 1973, parameters used for 1377 were applied unchanged to 1978. Recruitment of numbers of age one-year-old fish was taken to be $60 \times 10^{6}$.

The 1979 catch was predicted using values of $E$ differing from those of 1977 by factors of from 0.5 to 2.0. The resulting values are shown in Table 3.5. Predictions for 1978 and 1979 are strongly influenced by the unusual strength of the 1976 yearclass as judged from the 1977 catch by one-year-olds, which should make the catch increase in both 1978 and 1979 at unchanged rate of exploitation. The biomass at the end of the year should rise from 17,500 tons in 1976 to 22,535 tons in 1977 and

23,065 in 1978 but should fall to 20,492 tons in 1979: A reduction of F in 1979 to 0.9 of the 1977 value would maintain the catch at its 1977 level and the close of year biomass would be slightly higher. Such a reduction in $F$ values would transfer the benefit of the 1976 yearclass from the 1979 catch to the spawning stock, but of course the 1977 and 1978 yearclasses (which are expected to contribute about $40 \%$ of the 1979 catch) are of unknown size.

In view of the uncertainty which also surrounds the size of the 1976 yearclass, the Working Group felt unable to recommend a TAC based on the foregoing VPA and prognosis. A TAC of 10,000 tons for the human consumption fishery is therefore recommended for 1979, which is close to the annual catch levels of recent years.
4.0 IRISH SEA PLAICE
4.1 Catch trends

At 2,840 tons the 1977 catch was approximately 600 tons (18\%) down in comparison with the 1976 catch of 3,467 tons (Table 4.1 ), thus continuing the gradual decline from 4,000 tons in 1975. Five-year averages are:

| $1963-67$ | 3,537 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1968-72$ | 4,405 |
| $1973-77$ | 3,829 |

The total 1977 catch thus amounts to only $68 \%$ of the TAC of 4,150 tons. None of the five participating countries (except the Netherlands) reached their 1977 quota.
4.2 Age compositions and mean weight at age

The age distribution of the 1976 landings were adjusted to agree with the updated landing figures for that year.

Age distributions for 1977 were available from Ireland, England/Wales and Belgium, accounting for $85 \%$ of the total landings. Irish age distributions were raised to the sum of Irish and Northern Irish landings, English data to the sum of the landings in England, Wales and Scotland, and Belgian age distributions to the sum of Belgian, French and Dutch landings. This procedure follows that of the 1977 North Sea Flatfish Working Group Report (CM 1977/F:5). The age compositions of the total landings for the period 1964-77 are shown in Tables 4.2 and 4.5 for males and females respectively, together with mean weights-at-age for fish in the catch ( 1 July) and in the stock (l January).

### 4.3 Virtual population analysis

In the opinion of the Working Group (see section 6), a significant decrease in fishing effort occurred in the Irish Sea in 1977. Input Fs were calculated so as to take account of the general decrease in effort, and to show a $20 \%$ decrease compared with the mean 1976 level of $F$ on the fully recruited age groups. The VPA output is reproduced in Tables 4.2 to 4.7; $M=0.15$ for males and 0.1 for females. Mean weights at age are the same as those used in the 1977 assessment (CM 1977/F:5).

Recruitment as one-year-old fish has fluctuated about a mean of 7.0 x $10^{6}$ for males and $3.5 \times 10^{6}$ for females during the years 1967 to 1977. 4.4 Yield per recruit curves

Conditional $Y / R$ curves were calculated using the mean weights-at-age given in Tables 4.2 and 4.5 . These indicated that the 1777 levels of $F$ (1.02 for males and 0.82 for females) exceeded $F_{\max }$ by a factor of 1.7 for males and 3.3 for females.

### 4.5 Total allowable catch

Catch levels in 1979, and stock hiomass at 1 January 1980, were calculated for a range of Fs. The results are shown in Figure 4.1.

Maintaining the 1977 level of $F$ through 1978 and 1973, and assuming average recruitment, will give a total catch of 3,300 tons in 1979. A reduction of $F$ to $F_{\text {max }}$ in 1979 ( 0.6 for males and 0.2 for females) would correspond to a total catch of 1,480 tons in that year (a decrease of $55 \%$ ).

Since there is no evidence to suggest that the stock is in need of such radical conservation measures, the Working Group RECOMMEIIDS A TAC in 1979 OF 3,000 TONS.
$\therefore 0$ BRISTOL CHAMIEL PLAICE
5.1 Catch trends

The 1977 catch ( 316 tons) was at the same level as that of 1976 (Table 5.1). Thus only half the TAC of 640 tons was taken in either year.

### 5.2 Age composition and mean weight at age

Belgian and UK age distributions (accounting for $65 \%$ of the total catch) were raised to the total international catch (Belgium, France and UK). The weights at age for fish in the catch (l July) were the same as those used in the 1977 assessment; these weights and the weights at age of fish in the stock (1 January) are shown in Tables 5.2 and 5.5 .

### 5.3 Virtual population analysis

Input Fs used were the means for the period 1970-74, calculated from trial VPAs. This gave an $F$ on the recruiting age group (2-year-olds) of . 03 (males) and . 01 (females) in 1977, which implied a numerical strength of this yearclass one order of magnitude higher than the mean annual recruitment since 1970. By increasing the 1977 level of fishing mortality on 2-year-olds to 0.2 for males and 0.15 for females, the recruitment in that year was calculated as $1.0 \times 10^{6}$ males and $1.6 \times 10^{6}$ females, about twice the $1970-74$ mean. Throughout the period, mean $F$ on the fully exploited age groups has fluctuated without trend. The VPA outputs are shown in Tables 5.2 to 5.7 ; $M=0.15$ for males and 0.1 for females.

### 5.4 Prognosis

Maintaining the 1977 level of F through 1978 and 1979 should give total catches of 620 tons and 840 tons respectively. These yields, and the increased catch rates which they imply, are based on the strong 1975 yearclass.

In $Y / R$ terms, however, the current level of $F$ on females ( 0.69 ) exceeds $F_{\text {max }}$ by a factor of two. The male $Y / R$ curve is flat-topped.

The Working Group recommends that advantage should be taken of the 1975 yearclass, and that THE TAC FOR 1979 SHOULD BE 600 TONS.

### 6.0 IRISH SEA SOLL

### 6.1 Catch trends

The total 1977 catch was 1,163 tons (preliminary figure) which was considerably lower than the 1975 and 1976 levels and also lower than the 1977 TAC of 1,670 tons. With the low 1977 catch rates, effort would have had to increase for the TAC to be met, and this did not occur. In the case of the Belgian fishery, part of the potential effort was diverted to the North Sea where catch rates of sole in 1977 were higher than expected. The mean horse-power of the Belgian fleet in the Irish Sea was lower in 1977 than in previous years, and catch rates were also somewhat reduced (see Table 6.2).

### 6.2 Age composition

The international age composition of the catch was calculated by raising the data from Belgium, Holland and the UK (accounting for $86 \%$ of the total catch) to 100\%. The age distributions of 1974 and 1976 were amended to include the Dutch $1974^{\circ}$ and adjusted 1976 age distribution. Tables 6.3 and 6.7 give the total age composition by sexes. The Belgian and Dutch fishery was mainly concentrated in the second quarter.

### 6.3 Virtual population analysis

A VPA was carried out on the catch figures for the years 1970-77 and the results of this analysis are given in Tables 6.3 to 6.8 .

In the 1977 Report of the North Sea Flatfish Working Group (CM 1977/F:5), a TAC of 1,380 tons for 1978 was recommended assuming that the 1977 catch would be equal to the 1976 catch of 1,463 tons. This would have given an $F$ value close to the optimum in the yield per recruit curves.

The fact that the actual 1977 catch of 1,163 tons was $20 \%$ lower than the expected catch of 1,449 tons implies that a reduction in effort had taken place for reasons explained under catch trends. Thus the terminal F values for the new VPA are those used in the 1977 assessment (CM 1977/ $F: 5$ ), lowered by $20 \%$. A natural mortality rate of 0.10 was taken for both sexes.

The catch data show that in 1977 a yearclass (1975) of about the strength of the good 1971 yearclass recruited to the fishery. Together with the remainder of the $1969,1967,1966$ and 1964 yearclasses. this makes the stock less dependent on a few yearclasses than are other sole stocks.

### 6.4 Prognosis

Recruitment was taken as the average value of the two-yearold soles in the VPA and amounted to $3.9 \times 10^{6}$ males and $4.2 \times 10^{6}$ females.

For 1978 a TAC of 1,380 tons has been recommended, although at present no TAC has been adopted. The Working Group therefore had to consider which catch level is likely to be achieved in 1978. It is plausible to assume that the effort level in 1977 will be maintained, together with the 1977 exploitation pattern. A prognosis was carried out starting with the calculated stock for 1977 and the exploitation pattern as given by the terminal F array in the VPA. The weight-at-age data are given for catch and stock in Tables 6.3 and 6.6. Table 6.9 summarizes the results of this prognosis. The expected catch for 1978 will be 1,200 tons, a rise of $7 \frac{1}{2} \%$ over the 1977 level. This is the result of the good 1975 yearclass being fully exploited, giving a rise of $4.3 \%$ in the stock biomass and $21.9 \%$ in the spawning stock biomass. For 1979 Table 6.9 gives an array of possible fishery strategies also shown in Figure 6.1. An obvious choice is to assume fishing effort in 1979 will remain constant, which will result in a catch of 1,370 tons. This is a rise of $14 \%$ over the expected 1978 level. Predicted stock biomass and spawning stock biomass will both increase $3 \%$ over their 1978 values.

Conditional yield per recruit curves are show in Figure 6.2, in which the position of current levels of $F$ are indicated.

It is necessary to stabilize the present situation and keep $F$ constant, at the same time letting total stock and spawning stock increase. Thus the catch figure of 1,370 tons expected in 1979 for an unchanged exploitation pattern should be taken to the TAC. A rounded figure of 1,400 TONS IS RECOMMENDED AS THE TAC FOR 1979.

### 7.0 BRISTOL CHANNEL SOLE

### 7.1 Catch trends

The total catch in 1977 dropped substantially from the 1975-76 level to 352 tons. Only $50 \%$ of the TAC set for 1977 was fished. The reason for this drop is a decrease in effort of $10,000 \mathrm{~h}$ fishing of all gears of the Belgian fleet catching about $70 \%$ of the total catch, owing to the better than expected catch-rate in the North Sea sole fishery.

The catch-rate of the Belgian beam trawlers (see Table 7.2) declined as compared with the 1976 situation. However, considering the whole period 1971-77 no definite trend can be observed.

### 7.2 Age composition

The international catch at age data are given in Tables 7.3 and 7.6. For 1977 the Belgian and English data were available covering $97 \%$ of the international catch and were raised to the total catch.

### 7.3 Virtual population analysis

The international age composition was processed by a VPA using natural mortality of .10 for both sexes.

The 1977 assessment recommended a TAC of 670 tons for 1978 assuming constant effort and an expected 1977 catch of 520 tons. The actual catch in 1977 turned out to be 352 tons, being $62 \%$ of the expected catch. For this reason the terminal $F$ values used in last year's VPA has been lowered by $38 \%$ for the new VPA. The results of this VPA are shown in Tables 7.3 and 7.8. The decline in stock observed since 1970 has levelled off in 1977.

Judging by the catch of 2-year-olds in 1977, the 1975 yearclass appears to be about the same strength as the 1970 yearclass which was the highest on record.

### 7.4 Prognosis

An average recruitment of $1.1 \times 10^{6}$ males and $0.9 \times 10^{6}$ females was calculated from the VPA stock sizes of the 2-year-old soles. It is not likely that effort in 1978 will increase to the 1976 level and it may be assumed that with the present situation in the North Sea sole fishery, fishing effort in the Bristol Channel will remain constant.

A prognosis was carried out based on the stock composition in 1977 and using the terminal $F$ values in the present VPA. Tables 7.3 and 7.6 give the weight at age data for catch and stock. These are based on revised Belgian data for the period 1970-77 and are whole weights. The results of this prognosis are given in Table 7.9 and shown in Ficure 7.1. The expected catch for 1978 will be 350 tons being the same as the observed 1977 catch. The recruitment of the good 1975 yearclass will put an end to the gradual decline in stock level which began in 1970 (as indicated by VPA).

Table 7.3 gives an array of fishery strategies. If fishing effort does not change, a catch of 372 tons will be achieved in 1970 , accompanied by a slight increase (2.5\%) in the spawning stock biomass.

The present maximum $F$ level is below the maximum on the conditional yield per recruit curve given in Figure 7.2 for both sexes. A slight increase in the allowable catch will bring the fishery somewhat closer to $F_{\text {max }}$. For that reason A TAC FOR 1979 of 400 TONS IS RECOMMENDED, but the Working Group draws attention to the reservations expressed in section 13.0 about the area basis for this assessment.

### 8.0 HADDOCK

Although the recommendation of a TAC for haddock was included in the Working Group's terms of reference, no data were available to enable this to be done. The group included haddock in the total demersal production discussed in section 10 of this report.
9.0 MIMIMUM MESH SIZES

Constant $F$ at age seldom occurs and the Gulland method could therefore not be used, since the observed $F$ at age relationship should be taken into consideration. The difficulty is that the exploitation pattern is a result of a number of factors such as fleet deployment over the area, varying distribution of the fish with age and the current mesh size.

It is not possible to assess the precise form of the exploitation pattern where the mesh size changes. This uncertainty will increase with larger changes in mesh size.

It is reasonable to expect as a first approximation that an increase in mesh size with the consequence of a shift in the $50 \%$ selection age will force the exploitation pattern to move to older ages while conserving its shape at the same time. The amount of the shift can be read off from the length at age curves showing the $50 \%$ length for the various mesh sizes considered.

### 9.1 Irish Sea sole

Figure 9.1 shows the length at age curves for male and female sole and the $50 \%$ lengths for the $75 \mathrm{~mm}, 80 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 90 mm mesh for double twine, used in the directed fishery for soles with the beam trawl by Belgium and the Netherlands (see Table 9.1). The selection factor of 3.3 used in the 1974 mesh assessment by the North Sea Flatfish Working Group was adopted.

Figure 9.2 gives the current exploitation pattern for the 75 mm mesh taken from the VPA in section 6.

Figure 9.3 shows the exploitation patterns for the 80 mm and 90 mm mesh for males and females and Table 9.2 gives the corresponding $F$ at age values.

For the mesh assessment a prognosis was carried out based on the stock composition at the beginning of 1977 and using the 75 mm exploitation pattern for 1977 and 1978. Unchanged fishing mortality over the years 1977-1989 has been assumed. The predicted stock composition at the beginning of 1979 was then used together with the exploitation pattern for the 80 mm mesh to predict catch and stock for 1979 and 1980. To conclude, the predicted stock composition at the beginning of 1981 was used with the exploitation pattern for the 90 mm mesh to predict catch and stock for 1981-1989. Table 9.3 and Figure 9.4 give the results of these predictions.

From 1977 to 1978 the catch will increase by $7 \%$. The introduction of the 80 mm mesh size on 1 January 1979 will cause the catch in 1979 to drop by $19 \%$, but in 1980 the catch will increase $15 \%$ over the 1979 level and be.only $7 \%$ less than the 1978 catch.

The introduction of the 90 mm mesh size at the beginning of 1981 would result in a drop of $48 \%$, a heavy immediate loss. In the succeeding years this drop in catch level will diminish and in 1986, five years after the proposed introduction of the 90 mm mesh, the catch will reach the 1980 level again. The long-term gain is negligible.

The stock and the catch-rate will benefit from the mesh increase and will be roughly doubled in 10 years' time, Figure 9.5. However, the severe short-term losses which will not in the long run lead to any gain makes an increase in mesh size to 90 mm unrealistic.

The proposal for Region 2, which is based on North Sea data, is thus not applicable to the Irish Sea sole because of the lower growth rate in the latter area.

### 9.2 Bristol Channel sole

The same method has been applied as in the Irish Sea sole. Figure 9.6 gives the length at age curves together with the $50 \%$ lengths and ages for 75, 80 and 90 mm mesh double twine (Table 9.4).

Figure 9.7 gives the current exploitation pattern for the 75 mm mesh taken from the VPA in section 6.3.

Figure 9.8 gives the exploitation pattern for the 80 mm and 90 mm mesh for males and females and Table 9.5 gives the corresponding $F$ at age arrays. Again, unchanged fishing mortality has been applied and, as in the case of the Irish Sea sole, catch and stock was predicted for 1977-89 for an increase in mesh size to 80 mm in 1979 and 1980 and to 90 mm from 1981 onwards.

Table 9.6 and Figure 9.9 and 9.10 give the results of this prognosis. The catch will decline from 1977 to 1978 (see section 7.4) and the introduction of the 80 mm mesh in 1979 would reduce catches in 1979 by a further $9 \%$. The 1980 catch will increase by $5 \%$ over the preceding year's catch, but the introduction of the 90 mm mesh would lead to a $29 \%$ drop in the catch in 1981. The catch will then rise and reach the 1977 level in 1985, four years after the introduction of the 90 mm mesh (Figure 9.9). The catch will then continue to rise and will in 1989 be $87 \%$ higher than the 1981 catch.

Short-term losses would be less severe than in the Irish Sea sole and the long-term gains will exceed the short-term losses considerably. Stock biomass will increase by some $40 \%$ (Figure 9.10).

Contrary to the situation in the Irish Sea sole an increase in mesh size to 90 mm would be beneficial both to the long-term catch and stock situation. This is because the growth rate in the Bristol Channel resembles that of the North Sea.

### 9.3 Whiting

Gulland's method was used to estimate the influence of an increase in the mesh size to 90 mm (double). The worksheet is reproduced in Table 9.7. Using the mean age distribution of the international catch over the period 1973-77 and the length/weight/age relationship used in the VPA, it was calculated that an increase in mesh size from 70 mm single twine to 90 mm double twine would result in a short-term loss of $36 \%$. The calculation used the selectivity data from Table 26 of the 1974 Report of the North Sea Roundfish Working Group (CM 1974/F:5) which had consolidated the data presented in the 1969 ICES Co-operative Research Report. These data were based on nets of double synthetic twine, and agreed closely with the results of Hillis (1968) for the Irish whiting fishery.

The mesh assessment indicates that no long-term gain would accrue in the Irish Sea if the proposed increase to 90 mm double ( 80 mm single) were implemented. The reason for this difference from the North Sea mesh assessment is the lower growth rate of Irish Sea whiting.

This assessment takes account of only the human consumption fishery, but the small-mesh fisheries are discussed in section 10 of this report. 10.0 SMALL MESH FISHERIES IN THE IRISH SEA AND THEIR EFFECTS OM WHITEFISH FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION
The Irish Sea has traditionally been subject to several fisheries with small mesh nets and these have caused considerable mortality of juvenile whitefish of several species. The 60 mm mesh concession for whiting (within the so-called "whiting box") has been repeated, but three small mesh fisheries still continue.

The industrial fishery landing at Mornington in Ireland.
The Nephrops fishery by Horthern Irish, Irish, French and recently English vessels.

The English and Welsh shrimp fishery.

Some data are available on the whitefish by-catches for all three fisheries and an attempt has been made to assess the mortality on juvenile whiting in particular, since that is the species most affected. More routinely collected data are needed on the whitefish by-catches from these small mesh fisheries and also on the discard rates in the large mesh (IFAFC Recommendation 4) fisheries. This would enable a full assessment to be made of the effects of reducing mortality in the small mesh fisheries and of increasing the whitefish mesh size. Nevertheless the data available do allow firm conclusions to be drawn about the relative importance of the two measures currently proposed for regulating the Nephrops fishery, namely an increase in the mesh size to 70 mm and a mirimum landing size of 100 mm total length.

### 10.1 The industrial fishery

The estimated quantities of whitefish species landed as a by-catch in the Irish industrial fishery are given in Table lo.l. The quantities of whiting are comparable to the quantities discarded in the Northern Irish Nephrops fishery and the numbers involved are therefore likely to be of the same order (see rows 2 and 3 of Table 10.2). From the length frequency data it is clear that these are mostly 0 -group fish and the bulk are caught during the autumn (Report of the Irish Sea Working Group, ICES CM 1973/F:2). 10.2 The Nephrops fisheries

The quantities of whiting taken as a by-catch in the small mesh Nephrops fisheries are given in Table 10.2. No data were available for Ireland and only 1975 data were available for France. The UK only entered the small mesh fishery in 1977. For Northern Ireland, estimates of the quantity discarded were available and data for 1972 and 1373 enabled an estimate to be made of the numbers of whiting (mainly I and 0-groups) discarded. Even allowing for a fairly high natural mortality on these prerecruit fish it is clear that the quantities discarded in the Nephrops fishery are comparable to the number recruiting to the human consumption fishery (see Table 3.4). By-catch data for other species show that they are less affected by the Nephrops fishery but more data are needed to carry out an assessment.

The proposed increase in mesh size for Nephrops would have a very beneficial effect on recruitment of whiting as well as giving the benefits for Nephrops which are outlined in the report of the 1977 Nephrops Working Group (ICES CM 1977/K:2). It would also have smaller but noticeable effects on
recruitment of other species such as cod. The proposed minimum landing size of 100 mm for Nephrops will not affect whitefish recruitment and its effects on Nephrops have not been assessed. The Working Group therefore recommends that priority should be given to implementing the proposal on the increase in mesh size to 70 mm for Nephrops. The proposal to increase the minimum landing size should be considered elsewhere.
10.3 The shrimp (Crangon crangon) fisheries

As in the North Sea, these cause high mortalities on juvenile flatfish, but no estimates are available of the quantities involved, the survival of the rejected by-catch or the effect on flatfish recruitment. Studies directed at this problem have been started and should be encouraged.

It is clear from Table 10.2 that there are considerable gaps in our data on by-catches and discarding and any further data should be made available to allow a full assessment of the small mesh fisheries. 11.0 FISHERY AND BIOLOGICAL INTLRACTION RELATIVE TO FISHERIES MANAGEMENT OF THE IRISH SEA AND BRISTOL CHANNEL
Currently fish stocks are assessed and managed in isolation. Fisheries for each stock interact with other fisheries and stocks as follows:
i Fishing effort necessary to capture any particular species also generates fishing mortality on other species (fishery interaction). ii The three factors that determine surplus yield of stock (recruitment, growth and natural mortality) are all potentially affected by competition and/or predation-prey interactions with other stocks (biological interactions).
11.1 Fishery interactions

Fishing effort should be categorized as directed toward specific species or non-directed (mixed) for each country. The long-term goal should be to determine the specific fishing mortality generated for each species by a unit of fishing effort of each category. With this information, for a desired catch or fishing mortality for each species, the optimum set of national TACs by species could be determined. It is unlikely that such a task will be completed in the near future, but numerous useful intermediate results may stem from a rigorous consideration of non-directed fishing mortality in the Irish Sea and Bristol Channel.

### 11.2 Biological interactions

Two approaches to multispecies research and management should be considered. Production by individual populations can be modelled empirically, based on observed fluctuations in production and abundance of potentially interacting species and environmental factors. Such models may have predictive values useful for managenent purposes, but generally empirical models should be tested on a set of data not used to fit the model parameters and the model structure should be supported by biological evidence of the existence of interactions.

Another approach is the development of process oriented multispecies models. Such models relate production of each species to a mass or energy balance equation: assimilated consumption equals growth plus metabolism plus reproductive material. It will be many years before such models have adequate predictive ability to be used directly in fisheries management, but programs designed to collect some of the data necessary for process oriented models may provide immediate biological support for empirical models. The general data requirenents for process-oriented models are already well known, but the Working Group did not have time to specify in sufficient detail either the subjects to be included or the design of the systems to collect this information. The Working Group did, however, compile an agreed revised set of catch data for all species and brought together all available data on nominal fishing effort and factors affecting fishing power.

The simplest approach to multispecies management, is application of a surplus production model (Schaefer 1954, 1957; Pella and Tomlinson 1969; Fox 1970) to a group of species together. This family of models has been widely applied to single species fishery management and recently ICNAF applied such a model to management of the total finfish and squid biomass of the Atlantic continental shelf of the USA north of Cape Hatteras.

Surplus production models relate production in excess of that required to maintain the population at its current level to population biomass. These models are an extremely simplified representation of a biological system. One of the weaknesses of surplus production models is that they ignore age structure. When applied to several species together, they also ignore species composition, but since most variability in production is effected
by fluctuations in recruitment and the early life stages of many species may compete together, application of a surplus yield model to several species may be as meaningful as application to a single species. Because of their simplified nature, surplus production models are probably inadequate for predicting the short-term effect of a particular level of fishing, but they may be a useful indicator of long-term potential impact.
12.0 TOTAL DEMERSAL PRODUCTION MODEL

Total demersal production of the Irish Sea and Bristol Channel was modelled by Brander (1977). Fox's (1970) exponential model was fitted to time series of total demersal catch and total units of standardized fishing effort for 1954-1973. Fox's (1970) model assumes that catch per unit of effort declines exponentially as effort increases for a population at equilibrium.

The calculation of standardized fishing effort is described by Brander. The Working Group updated the total demersal production model for three additional years of catch and effort data (1974-1976) and adjusted the total international effort data to take account of the revised total demersal catch data supplied to the Group (Table 12.1). In addition to the exponential model, the Schaefer model was also fitted to the data. For the Schaefer model, a linear relationship between catch per unit of fishing effort and effort is assumed at equilibrium.

In order to approximate observations at equilibrium, three-year running averages 'of effort were considered (Gulland 1961). The linear and exponential relationships between catch per unit effort and three-year running average of effort are shown in Figure 12.1. The corresponding production models are shown in Figure 12.2. The deviations between observed and predicted points of catch and effort cannot be used to judge the validity of the models since the models indicate the equilibrium catch associated with each level of effort whereas the data points are clearly not representative of the equilibrium situation. On the other hand, the functions plotted in Figures 12.1 and 12.2 should explain most of the variability in the data points to which they were fitted since the application of running averages of effort was intended to correct for nonequilibrium conditions. The correlation coefficients of the linear and exponential models are 0.90 and 0.92 respectively.

Since both models fit the available data almost equally well, both sets of results are considered. According to the linear model, MSY is about 52,000 tons corresponding to 11,200 standard units of effort. For the exponential model, MSY is about 54,000 tons corresponding to 14,000 standard units of effort.

If in fact the exponential model is, correct, but effort were restricted to 11,200 units, the equilibrium catch would be $90 \%$ of MSY with a $12 \%$ higher catch rate than at MSY. On the other hand if 14,000 units of effort is allowed and the linear model were in fact valid, only $82 \%$ of MSY would be caught at equilibrium with a 35\% lower catch rate than corresponds to MSY. Thus the adverse impact of erroneously accepting the exponential model is more severe than would result from erroneously accepting the linear model. Furthermore, recent work in ICNAF (Doubleday 1976, Sisenwine 1977) and by Beddington and May (1977) indicate that for a system with random fluctuations in production (which is clearly the case), fishing mortality should be restricted below the MSY level in order to reduce variability in population size and catch and in order to avoid severe reductions in biomass that might threaten future productivity. In ICES (Shepherd 1977), some of these conclusions have been challenged, but nevertheless from a conservation point of view, according to the total demersal production model, catch and effort should be limited to 52,000 tons and 11,200 standard units. These levels of catch and effort approximately correspond to the current situation. At the same time most countries have not taken their entire allowable catch of several species. Thus, a total demersal TAC of 52,000 tons would not allow substantial increase in catch of any particular, species without a corresponding decrease in catch of some other species. A major departure from the past observed pattern of relative fishing mortality (by species) may invalidate the total demersal production model, therefore single species catch restrictions are also necessary. It is also noteworthy that the implementation of mesh size increases will probably decrease mortality on young fish and have a positive effect on MSY, but the magnitude of the effect cannot be evaluated at present.

The conclusion from the multispecies assessment is that total demersal fishing effort should not be allowed to rise above its present level, but the Working Group did not have time to consider this result in relation to the single species assessments carried out. The Group does not, therefore, feel able to make a recommendation on a second tier total demersal TAC, or effort restriction, at present.
13.0 VALIDITY OF THE AREAS USED IN ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMEHT

The present area basis for assessment and quota management, usine the Bristol Channel (VIIf) as a unit is unsatisfactory because the populations in VIIf are not separate stocks and because the fishing grounds, particularly off

Trevose Head, are split between VIIf and VIIg. The Working Group did not have time to discuss the situation in detail, but noted that separate assessments of the VIIf populations are unrealistic if they do not include a consideration of the situation in VIIg. The Working Group feel that the areas for which assessments are required and for which TACs are set should be redefined to take account of the distribution of stocks and the pattern of fishing.
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TABLE 2.1 Nominal catch (metric tons) of cod in Division VIIa, 1967-1977

| Country | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 251 | 211 | 272 | 332 | 390 | 348 | 276 | 409 | 282 | 257 | 135 |
| France | 989 | 1044 | 563 | 1282 | 2229 | 2024 | 2507 | 2601 | 2623 | 1938 | 1410 |
| Ireland | 1611 | 2126 | 2200 | 1743 | 2852 | 2336 | 4256 | 3337 | 3550 | 4903 | 3798 |
| Netherlands | 2 | 1 | - | 4 | 148 | 58 | 35 | 113 | 53 | 87 | 38 |
| UK (England \& Wales) | 3310 | 3730 | 3445 | 1710 | 2451 | 2856 | 3158 | 2463 | 2132 | 1815 | 1154 |
| UK (N Ireland) | 1177 | 1389 | 1380 | 1267 | 1112 | 1522 | 1537 | 1279 | 1153 | 1171 | 1409 |
| UK (Scotland) | 11 | 40 | 131 | 88 | 64 | 90 | 50 | 49 | 76 | 91 | 50 |
| Total | 7351 | 8541 | 7991 | 6426 | 9246 | 9234 | 11819 | 10251 | 9863 | 10247 | 7994 |

*Preliminary

## Table 2.2




Table 2.4

| fige | $\begin{gathered} Y E 1 \\ \varsigma 8 \end{gathered}$ | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | MEGN DF $68-74$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3807 | 5812 | 6585 | 12057 | 4085 | 12815 | 3050 | 8937 | 3498 | 5371 |  |
| 2 | 3975 | 2788 | 3964 | 4576 | 7352 | 2646 | 8460 | 2077 | 6470 | 1121 | 4829 |
| 3 | 2021 | 1856 | 964 | 1717 | 1783 | 3155 | 1214 | 3037 | 1019 | 2483 | 1816 |
| 4 | 936 | 760 | 586 | 481 | 620 | 717 | 991 | 485 | 1030 | 370 | 734 |
| 5 | 387 | 360 | 381 | 291 | 233 | 327 | 199 | 455 | 151 | 494 | 311 |
| 6 | 188 | 159 | 129 | 109 | 170 | 137 | 115 | 108 | 236 | 85 | 144 |
| 7 | 7 | 128 | 62 | 66 | 45 | 104 | 55 | 55 | 59 | 139 | 67 |
| stock. <br> BIDAGSS | 24139 | 22587 | 20535 | 26196 | 27079 | 30177 | 27458 | 25489 | 24530 | 0071 |  |

## TABLE 2.5 Summary of results of catch forecast for Irish Sea cod in 1979. Biomass and catch weights are in tonnes, $F$ values are multiples of 1977 exploitation pattern

| F | Catch wt | Total biomass | Biomass in 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 22153 | 37074 |
| . 2 | 2179 | 22153 | 33735 |
| . 4 | 4102 | 22153 | 30793 |
| . 6 | 5801 | 22153 | 28198 |
| . 8 | 7304 | 22153 | 25906 |
| 1.0 | 8636 | 22153 | 23879 |
| 1.2 | 9818 | 22153 | 22084 |
| 1.4 | 10868 | 22153 | 20492 |
| 1.6 | 11802 | 22153 | 19078 |
| 1.8 | 12634 | 22153 | 17820 |
| 2.0 | 13376 | 22153 | 16698 |

TABLE 3.1 Nominal catch (metric tons) of whiting in Division VIIa, 1968-77

| Country | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A: FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belgium | 103 | 115 | 159 | 154 | 38 | 102 | 94 | 99 | 68 | 63 |
| France | 4285 | 3148 | 1312 | 1952 | 2805 | 3102 | 2700 | 2784 | 2985 | 1968 |
| Ireland ${ }^{1}$ | 3007 | 2421 | 1145 | 2059 | 1953 | 3048 | 3736 | 3389 | 4513 | 4202 |
| Netherlands | + | 0 | + | 23 | 5 | 12 | 52 | 52 | 56 | 28 |
| UK (England \& Wales) | 1536 | 1251 | 706 | 810 | 639 | 1224 | 685 | 617 | 635 | 953 |
| UK (N Ireland) | 3548 | 2391 | 1314 | 1899 | 1976 | 2437 | 2045 | 2280 | 3290 | 2692 |
| UK (Scotland) | 35 | 107 | 31 | 19 | 29 | 47 | 52 | 54 | 104 | 160 |
| Total | 12514 | 9443 | 4667 | 6917 | 7445 | 9972 | 9364 | 9275 | 11651 | 10066 |
| B: FISHMEAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (Ireland only) |  | 707 | 2198 | 2531 | 1231 | 744 | 283 | 353 | 425 | 760 |

$I=$ Catches reduced from those previously published by a factor of 1.12
*Preliminary


| 3.4 $\begin{aligned} & \text { IRISH SEA UHITING } \\ & \text { STOCK IN NUMBERS }\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GGE | YEAR |  | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | MEAN DF72-74 |
|  | 72 | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 44038 | 56573 | 40894 | 79022 | 13817 | 128194 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47168 |
| 2 | 34187 | 30994 | 35561 | 25447 | 51356 | 7775 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 33580 |
| 3 | 13006 | 17632 | 16608 | 17488 | 13024 | 20056. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15749 |
| 4 | 4050 | 3900 | 3972 | 3567 | 5154 | 2567 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3974 |
| 5 | 1169 | 1364 | 1157 | 1601 | 1300 | 1586 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1230 |
| 6 | 247 | 501 | 381 | 362 | 712 | 510 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 376 |
| 7 | 175 | 151 | 134 | 121 | 111 | $15 \%$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 153 |
| 8 | 32 | 58 | 37 | 44 | 31 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + 43 |
| 9 | 68 | 20 | 13 | 13 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| Stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BIOMASS | 14608 | 16261 | 15548 | 16713 | 16102 | 17460 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |

TABLE 3.5 Catch and surviving biomass in tonnes 1976-79

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{F}{F} \\ & 1977 \end{aligned}$ | Catch | Biomass at beginning of following year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | -•• | 11697 | 17460 |
| 1977 | 1 | 10049 | 22.535 |
| 1978 | 1 | 12003 | 23065 |
| 1979 | . 5 | 8147 | 25859 |
|  | . 6 | 3036 | 24610 |
|  | . 7 | 10621 | 23456 |
|  | . 8 | 11727 | 22.391 |
|  | . 9 | 12757 | 21405 |
|  | 1.0 | 13715 | 20492 |
|  | 1.1 | 14609 | 19646 |
|  | 1.2 | 15433 | 18860 |
|  | 1.3 | 16223 | 18130 |
|  | 1.4 | 16953 | 174.51 |
|  | 1.5 | 17637 | 16818 |
|  | 2.0 | 20487 | 14227 |

TABLE 4.1 Nominal catch (metric tons) of plaice in Division VIIa, 1967-77

| Country | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | $1977 *$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Belgium | -29 | 152 | 208 | 305 | 175 | 179 | 221 | 247 | 248 | 136 | 110 |
| France | 1082 | 40 | 33 | 250 | - | 440 | 500 | 132 | 134 | 126 | 140 |
| Ireland | 819 | 1449 | 1309 | 909 | 1028 | 863 | 1079 | 891 | 884 | 1032 | 914 |
| Netherlands | - | - | - | 8 | 61 | 48 | 42 | 47 | 75 | 73 | 28 |
| UK (England \& Wales) | 2866 | 2764 | 2540 | 1869 | 2744 | 3366 | 3002 | 2240 | 2544 | 1937 | 1391 |
| UK (N Ireland) | 138 | 178 | 216 | 184 | 132 | 134 | 143 | 104 | 125 | 117 | 165 |
| UK (Scotland) | 85 | 112 | 88 | 58 | 92 | 89 | 73 | 54 | 53 | 52 | 99 |

*Preliminary

## Table 4.2

IRISH SEA plaice male
CATCH IN NUMBERS (* 1000 ) INPUT FOR YPA AND FORECAST


Table 4.3
IRISH SEA plaice male FISHING MORTALITY (M=.15)


IRISH SEA PLAICE MALE
FISHING MORTALITY


Table 4.4
IRISH SEf flaice male
Stock in numbers (* 1000)


Table 4.5


| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 83 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | MEAN DF E4- |
| 1 | 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 010 | 00 | 00 |  |  |
| 2 | 04 | . 05 | 00 | . 02 | . 02 | . 03 | . 03 | . 02 | 02 | . 14 | 01 05 |
| 3 | 21 | . 20 | . 14 | . 17 | . 16 | . 25 | . 18 | . 22 | 26 | . 14 | 05 |
| 4 | . 34 | 42 | . 31 | . 31 | . 42 | . 40 | 39 | . 73 | 61 | . 48 | 23 |
| 5 | . 06 | 59 | . 60 | . 51 | . 51 | 44 | . 44 | 68 | 62 | 84 | 48 |
| 6 | . 32 | . 30 | 46 | . 62 | . 53 | 52 | . 50 | 67 | 62 | 86 | - 53 |
| 7 | . 42 | . 14 | . 49 | . 5.3 | . 38 | 57 | . 42 | 67 40 | .56 .78 | 69 60 | 52 47 |
| $\varepsilon$ | . 65 | . 26 | . 45 | . 45 | . 36 | 44 | . 32 | 40 |  | 63 | 47 |
| 9 | . 28 | . 12 | . 55 | . 54 | . 38 | 28 | . 35 | 40 27 | .77 .52 | 63 59 | 47 38 |
| 10 | . 03 | . 62 | . 18 | . 43 | . 12 | 27 | 24 | 37 | . 62 | 59 | 38 |
| 11 | . 81 | . 53 | . 68 | 1.22 | . 12 | 28 | 38 | 32 37 | 62 55 | 52 79 | . 34 |
| 12 | . 04 | . 05 | . 35 | . 38 | . 37 | 20 | 19 | 40 | - 86 | 79 44 | 55 |
| 13 | . 12 | . 05 | . 49 | . 63 | . 53 | . 44 | 41 | 14 | .85 73 | 1.44 | . 35 |
| 14 | . 27 | . 15 | . 17 | 1.16 | . 49 | . 49 | 94 | . 15 |  <br> 3 <br> 3 | 1.05 | . 44 |
| 15 | 43 | . 43 | . 43 | . 43 | . 43 | . 43 | . 43 | . 43 | . 43 | .60 .43 | 53 43 |

## UEIGHTED


AGE

| ACE | YEAR |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 74 | 75 |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | .00 | .00 |
| 2 | .20 | .02 |
| 3 | .29 | .38 |
| 4 | .52 | .90 |
| 5 | .64 | 1.05 |
| 6 | .50 | 1.11 |
| 7 | .48 | .82 |
| 8 | .45 | .82 |
| 9 | .31 | .85 |
| 10 | .34 | .85 |
| 11 | .33 | .83 |
| 12 | .54 | .50 |
| 13 | .21 | .71 |
| 14 | 1.06 | .56 |
| 15 | .43 | .43 |

76

.00
.25
.48
.80
.99
.99
.82
.71
.57
.92
.70
.69
.85
.61
.43

| 77 | 78 | 79 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| .03 | .00 | .00 |
| .31 | .00 | .00 |
| .34 | .00 | .00 |
| .68 | .00 | .00 |
| .82 | .00 | .00 |
| .82 | .00 | .00 |
| .75 | .00 | .00 |
| .74 | .00 | .00 |
| .57 | .00 | .00 |
| .57 | .00 | .00 |
| .52 | .00 | .00 |
| .52 | .00 | .00 |
| .52 | .00 | 00 |
| .27 | .00 | .00 |
| .43 | .00 | .00 |


| 80 | .81 |
| :--- | :--- |
| .00 | .00 |
| 00 | .00 |
| 00 | .00 |
| 00 | .00 |
| 00 | .00 |
| .00 | .00 |
| 00 | .00 |
| 00 | 00 |
| 00 | 00 |
| 00 | .00 |
| 00 | .00 |
| .00 | .00 |
| 00 | .00 |
| 00 | .00 |
| 00 | .00 |

22
.00
00
00
00
00
.00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
83
.00
.00
.00
.00
.00
.00
.00
.00
.00
.00
.00
.00
.00
.00
00

MEAN DF 64-74
.00

00
.05
.23

ABE YEAR

WEIGHTED
MEAN F>3.47
THE WEIGHTING USES THE NO. AT EACH AGE IN THE STOCK.

Table 4.7
IRISH SEA PLAICE (FEMALE)

| AIE | YEAR |  | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { MEAN DF } \\ \text { G4-74 } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 64 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 20663 | 10450 | 9963 | 7303 | 7340 | 10927 | 12388 | 7048 | 4420 | 6123 | 9577 |
| 2 | 13476 | 18697 | 9456 | 9015 | 6608 | 6642 | 9887 | 11210 | 5377 | 4000 | 9173 |
| 3 | 8207 | 11716 | 16163 | 8525 | 8001 | 5854 | 5823 | 8695 | 9912 | 5643 | 8337 |
| 4 | 4240 | 5992 | 8697 | 12722 | 6532 | 6155 | 4114 | 4405 | 6296 | 6895 | 6297 |
| 5 | 2352 | 2722 | 3544 | 5794 | 8461 | 3876 | 3725 | 2515 | 1914 | 3096 | 3700 |
| $\dot{5}$ | 1515 | 2000 | 1364 | 1767 | 3140 | 4593 | 2259 | 2170 | 1246 | 931 | 2015 |
| 7 | 1183 | 996 | 1347 | 780 | 856 | 1659 | 2459 | 1239 | 1010 | 646 | 1147 |
| 8 | 305 | 702 | 784 | 750 | 417 | 532 | 853 | 1459 | 752 | 420 | 864 |
| 9 | 108 | 145 | 490 | 450 | 43.3 | 262 | 309 | 563 | 891 | 31.4 | 379 |
| 10 | 38 | 74 | 117 | 257 | 237 | 268 | 179 | 197 | 388 | 478 | 217 |
| 11 | 55 | 33 | 36 | 88 | 151 | 190 | 184 | 127 | 129 | 189 | 131 |
| 12 | 26 | 22 | 18 | 16 | 24 | 121 | 130 | 114 | 79 | 68 | 63 |
| 13 | 10 | 23 | 19 | 11 | 10 | 15 | 90 | 98 | 69 | 30 | 38 |
| 14 | 4 | 8 | 20 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 54 | 77 | 30 | 21 |
| 15 | 30 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 42 | 51 | 16 |
| Stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EIDMASS | 7708 | 98.33 | 11351 | 11983 | 11465 | 10551 | 9725 | 9689 | 9005 | 7535 |  |
| GCE | YE | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | $\varepsilon 2$ | 83 | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEAN OF } \\ 64-74 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 8719 | 9115 | 9025 | 9740 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9.577 |
| 2 | 5541 | 7889 | 8248 | 8136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9173 |
| 3 | 3158 | 4116 | 7014 | 5835 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8337 |
| 4 | 3218 | 2136 | 2538 | 3944 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6297 |
| 5 | 2702 | 1734 | 788 | 1030 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3700 |
| 6 | 1186 | 1286 | 551 | 265 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2015 |
| 7 | 422 | 648 | 383 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1147 |
| 8 | 320 | 236 | 259 | 152 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 664 |
| 9 | 202 | 185 | 94 | 116 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 379 |
| 10 | 157. | 134 | 71. | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217 |
| 11 | 256 | 101 | 52 | 26 | 0 | 0 : | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 |
| 12 | 76 | 167 | 40 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| 13 | 40 | 41 | 91 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| 14 | 10 | 29 | 18 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 15 | 15 | 3 | 15 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BIOMASS | 5445 | 5145 | 4582 | 4390 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

TABLE 5.1 Nominal catch (metric tons) of plaice in Division VIIf, 1967-77

| Country | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | $1977 *$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Belgium | -137 | 260 | 202 | 226 | 202 | 137 | 158 | 154 | 137 | 79 | 71 |
| France | - | 669 | 668 | 102 | - | 110 | - | - | 147 | 98 | 110 |
| UK (England \& Hales) | 655 | 521 | 506 | 501 | 545 | 377 | 381 | 210 | 184 | 137 | 135 |
| Total | 792 | 1450 | 1376 | 829 | 747 | 624 | 539 | 364 | 468 | 314 | 316 |

*Preliminary

Table 5.2
BRISTOL CHANNEL PLAICE (MALE) CATCH IN NUMEERS (* 1000) INFUT FOR UPA ANO FORECAST

| ace | YEGR |  | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | INOIVICUAL CGTCH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WT IN KG } \\ & \text { STOCK } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 70 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 8 | 9 | 44 | 3 | 2 | 16 | 44 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 236 | . 200 |
| 3 | 55 | 139 | 109 | 48 | 78 | 92 | 123 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 281 | 260 |
| 4 | 207 | 164 | 248 | 181 | 68 | 54 | 124 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 300 |
| 5 | 230 | 107 | 45 | 189 | 79 | 74 | 62 | 12 | 0 | 0 | . 340 | 320 |
| 6 | 277 | 66 | 104 | 58 | 36 | 40 | 23 | 13 | 0 | 0 | . 380 | . 360 |
| 7 | 110 | 44 | 25 | 2 | 21 | 16 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 0 | . 428 | . 400 |
| 8 | 329 | 18 | 18 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | -519 | 460 |
| CHECK WT (NOS*WT) | 482 | 181 | 192 | 158 | 94 | 94 | 121 | 109 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

```
Table 5.3
BRIETDL CHANNEL PLGICE (MALE)
FISHING MORTALITY <M=.15)
```



Table 5.4

| Ffic | YEAR |  | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | $76$ | 77 | 78 | $79$ | MEGN OF 7D-74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 70 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 985 | 673 | 401 | 323 | 330 | 481 | 597 | 6272 | 1) | 0 | 542 |
| 3 | 472 | $\varepsilon 40$ | 571 | 304 | 274 | 282 | 399 | 473 | 0 | 0 | 492 |
| 4 | 506 | 355 | 595 | 391 | 218 | 164 | 158 | 230 | 0 | D | 413 |
| 5 | 370 | 245 | 154 | 284 | 170 | 124 | 91 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 245 |
| 6 | 390 | 108 | 112 | 92 | 72 | 73 | 39 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 155 |
| 7 | 152 | 83 | 33 | 4 | 25 | 29 | 26 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| 8 | 554 | 31 | 31 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 125 |
| STDCK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EIOMASS | 1046 | 624 | 524 | 389 | 294 | 297 | 329 | 1472 | 0 | 0 |  |



Table 5.7

| ALE | $\begin{gathered} Y E \\ 70 \end{gathered}$ | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | MEAN OF 7B-74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1540 | 1039 | 55.3 | 449 | 642 | 347 | 446 | 1582 | 0 | 0 | 847 |
| 3 | 825 | 1376 | 9.37 | 497 | 406 | 575 | 275 | 368 | 0 | 0 | 809 |
| 4 | 568 | 653 | 757 | 632 | 256 | 284 | 186 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 573 |
| 5 | 310 | 341 | 225 | 343 | 305 | 112 | 106 | 68 | $1]$ | 1 | 305 |
| $E$ | 186 | 108 | 178 | 105 | 179 | 152 | 48 | 38 | $1]$ | $1]$ | 151 |
| 7 | 134 | 107 | 69 | 67 | 73 | 95 | 55 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 913 |
| 8 | 65 | 73 | 64 | 37 | 45 | 47 | 55 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| 9 | 23 | 34 | 30 | 47 | 27 | 31 | 27 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| 10 | 7 | 19 | 24 | 16 | 25 | 16 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 11 | 3 | 5 | 14 | 17 | 7 | 14 | 9 | 10 | D | 1 | 9 |
| STOCK. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BIDMASS | 1347 | 1427 | 1173 | 950 | 825 | 730 | 522 | 5695 | 0 | 0 |  |

TABLE 6.1 Nominal catch (metric tons) of sole in Division VIIa, 1967-1977 (data for 1967-1976 from Bulletin Statistique)

| Country | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 307 | 332 | 841 | 1142 | 883 | 561 | 793 | 664 | 805 | 674 | 566 |
| France | 361 | 125 | 97 | 115 | 45 | 38 | 12 | 54 | 59 | 72 | 55 |
| Ireland | 22 | 23 | 34 | 25 | 45 | 50 | 27 | 28 | 24 | 74 | 53 |
| Netherlands | - | - | 3 | 235 | 552 | 514 | 281 | 320 | 234 | 381 | 273 |
| UK (England \& Wales) | 308 | 446 | 400 | 267 | 316 | 238 | 258 | 218 | 281 | 195 | 167 |
| UK (N Ireland) | 12 | 10 | 17 | 24 | 40 | 40 | 46 | 23 | 24 | 49 | 49 |
| UK (Scotland) | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 9 | 11 | -•• | 15 | 18 | - |
| Total | 1010 | 936 | 1392 | 1809 | 1882 | 1450 | 1428 | 1307 | 1442 | 1463 | 1163 |

*Preliminary

TABLE 6.2 Irish Sea sole. Catch per unit of effort ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) of Belgian beam trawlers during the second
quarter over the period 1971-77

| Year | $\mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1971 | 26.7 |
| 1972 | 25.9 |
| 1973 | 25.3 |
| 1974 | 21.1 |
| 1975 | 24.1 |
| 1976 | 25.2 |
| 1977 | 22.2 |

Table 6.3


IRISH SEA SOLE (MALE) STOCK IN NUKBERS (* 1000)

| ASE | YEAR |  | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | MEAN DF 70-74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 70 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2154 | 4520 | 1535 | 5609 | 2514 | 3824 | 4181 | 8131 | $1]$ | 0 | 3267 |
| 3 | 3948 | 1938 | 4064 | 1379 | 5023 | 2259 | 3362 | 3769 | 0 | 0 | 3270 |
| 4 | 2474 | 3109 | 1654 | 3421 | 1079 | 4103 | 1712 | 2942 | 0 | 0 | 2349 |
| 5 | 905 | 1704 | 1777 | 1111 | 2011 | 727 | 2687 | 1255 | $1)$ | 0 | 1501 |
| 6 | 2446 | 515 | 917 | 1070 | 732 | 1161 | 372 | 2067 | $1)$ | 0 | 1136 |
| 7 | 873 | 1673 | 349 | 672 | 637 | 477 | 749 | 284 | 0 | 0 | 841 |
| 8 | 391 | 753 | 1054 | 252 | 470 | 427 | 372 | 455 | 0 | 0 | 584 |
| 9 | 1027 | $2 \dot{4}$ | 556 | 725 | 135 | 300 | 291 | 270 | 0 | 0 | 541 |
| 10 | 764 | 684 | 202 | 483 | 481 | 86 | 185 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 523 |
| 11 | 880 | 621 | 494 | 158 | 423 | 280 | 23 | 64 | $1]$ | 0 | 517 |
| 12 | 594 | 552 | 312 | 327 | 80 | 346 | 210 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 373 |
| 1.3 | 35 | 494 | 431 | 233 | 218 | 37 | 299 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 282 |
| 14 | 143 | 23 | 275 | 360 | 165 | 131 | 16 | 261 | 1 | 1 | 193 |
| 15 | 27 | 121 | 7 | 215 | 309 | 74 | 60 | 13 | D | 0 | 136 |
| STOCK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BIDMASS | 3223 | $\sum 164$ | 2602 | 2846 | 2574 | 2479 | 2486 | 3200 | D | 0 |  |

Table 6.6


IRISH SEA SOLE (FEMALE)
CATCH IN NUMBERS (* 1000) INPUT FOR VPA AND FORECAST

Table 6.7
IRISH SEA SOLE © FEMALE
FISHINQ MORTALITY (M=.10)

| ATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MEAN DF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 70-74 |
| 2 | . 01 | . 02 | . 01 | . 04 | . 01 | 04 | . 02 | . 02 | . 00 | . 00 | .02 |
| 3 | . 20 | . 18 | . 13 | . 12 | . 04 | . 11 | . 07 | . 14 | 00 | . 00 | . 13 |
| 4 | . 35 | . 34 | . 23 | . 30 | . 25 | 24 | . 30 | . 25 | . 00 | . 00 | 29 |
| 5 | . 18 | . 39 | . 50 | . 28 | . 41 | 26 | . 50 | . 28 | . 00 | . 00 | 35 |
| 6 | . 34 | . 28 | . 34 | . 39 | . 31 | 38 | 40 | . 28 | . 00 | . 00 | 33 |
| 7 | 38 | . 28 | . 63 | 24 | . 41 | 05 | 54 | . 27 | . 00 | . 00 | 39 |
| 8 | . 20 | . 06 | . 36 | . 10 | . 26 | 32 | 1. 12 | . 25 | . 00 | . 00 | . 19 |
| 9 | . 29 | 27 | . 31 | . 24 | . 47 | 20 | . 60 | . 22 | . 00 | . 00 | 32 |
| 10 | . 26 | . 30 | . 38 | . 34 | . 18 | 45 | . 27 | . 20 | . 00 | . 00 | 29 |
| 11 | . 26 | . 19 | . 16 | . $5 ¢$ | .23 | . 26 | 31 | . 20 | . 00 | . 00 | 28 |
| 12 | . 11 | 19 | . 22 | . 18 | . 80 | . 07 | . 37 | . 20 | . 00 | . 00 | 30 |
| 13 | . 05 | . 30 | . 31 | . 30 | . 18 | . 24 | . 65 | . 20 | . 00 | . 00 | 23 |
| 14 | . 13 | . 09 | . 25 | . 16 | . 17 | . 18 | . 76 | . 20 | . 00 | . 00 | 16 |
| 15 | . 20 | . 20 | 20 | . 20 | . 20 | . 20 | . 20 | . 20 | . 00 | . 00 | 20 |
| WEIGHTEO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEAR F ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | . 28 | 29 | 35 | 29 | 32 | . 25 | 46 | . 26 | . 00 | . 00 |  |
|  | THE WE | TING | $S$ THE | . AT | CH AgE | N THE | OCK |  |  |  |  |
| Table | . 8 |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} E H & \subseteq E A \\ C K & I N H \end{array}$ | LE ( MEER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TALE } \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALE | YE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MEAN OF |
|  | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 70-74 |
| 2 | 2268 | 5525 | 1947 | 8126 | 3688 | 4148 | 1307 | 6792 | 0 | 0 | 4311 |
| 3 | 5313 | 2032 | 4921 | 1741 | 7038 | 3320 | 3614 | 1165 | 0 | 0 | 4209 |
| 4 | 2715 | 3920 | 1536 | 3928 | 1395 | 6123 | 2694 | 3063 | 0 | 0 | 2699 |
| 5 | 656 | 1734 | 2521 | 1104 | 2631 | 982 | 4354 | 1807 | 0. | 0 | 1729 |
| 6 | 2632 | 494 | 1059 | 1387 | 759 | 1582 | 683 | 2378 | 0 | 0 | 1266 |
| 7 | 539 | 1689 | 337 | 679 | 852 | 502 | 982 | 417 | 0 | 0 | 819 |
| 8 | 290 | 334 | 1155 | 163 | 482 | 514 | 433 | 517 | 0 | 0 | 485 |
| 9 | 1227 | 215 | 285 | 733 | 133 | 337 | 337 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 519 |
| 10 | 859 | 827 | 149 | 190 | 523 | 75 | 251 | 168 | 0 | 0 | 510 |
| 11 | 1406 | 600 | 554 | 92 | 122 | 397 | 43 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 555 |
| 12 | 595 | 984 | 449 | 426 | 47 | 88 | 277 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 500 |
| 13 | 467 | 481 | 734 | 327 | 323 | 19 | 74 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 466 |
| 14 | 204 | 400 | 322 | 486 | 220 | 245 | 14 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 326 |
| 15 | 295 | 1 ¢2 | 330 | 226 | 376 | 168 | 185 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 278 |
| STOCK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BIOMASS | 5620 | 5155 | 4525 | 4461 | 4327 | 4243 | 3880 | 3635 | 0 | 0 |  |

TABLE 6.9 Summary of results of catch forecast for Irish Sea sole in 1979. Biomass and catch weights are in tonnes. $F$ values are multiples of 1977 exploitation pattern.

|  | F | Catch | Stock at beginning of year | Spawning stock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | 1 | 1142.0 | 6848 | 5032.4 |
| 1978 | 1 | 1213.9 | 7126 | 6139.7 |
|  |  |  |  | (At 1/1/1980) |
| 1979 | . 1 | 155.0 | 7294 | 7546.6 |
|  | . 2 | 306.0 |  | 7389.0 |
|  | . 3 | 453.1 |  | 7238.2 |
|  | . 4 | 596.7 |  | 7091.5 |
|  | . 5 | 736.2 |  | 6948.6 |
|  | . 6 | 872.2 |  | 6809.3 |
|  | . 7. | 1004.9 |  | 6673.6 |
|  | - 8 | 1134.1 |  | 6541.6 |
|  | . 9 | 1260.1 |  | 6412.8 |
|  | 1.0 | 1382.9 |  | 6287.5 |
|  | 1.1 | 1502.5 |  | 6165.3 |
|  | 1.2 | 1619.2 |  | 6076.3 |
|  | 1.3 | 1732.8 |  | 5930.3 |
|  | 1.4 | 1843.7 |  | 5817.3 |
|  | 1.5 | 1951.7 |  | 5707.2 |
|  | 1.6 | 2057.0 |  | 5600.0 |
|  | 1.7 | 2159.7 |  | 5495.3 |
|  | 1.8 | 2259.9 |  | 5393.0 |
|  | 1.9 | 2357.6 |  | 5294.1 |
|  | 2.0 | 2452.7 |  | 5197.3 |

TABLE 7.1 Nominal catch (metric tons) of sole in Division VIIf, 1967-1977 (data for 1967-1976 from Bulletin Statistique)

| Country | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 451 | 292 | 289 | 567 | 595 | 343 | 416 | 545 | 453 | 416 | 253 |
| France | 83 | 179 | 194 | 118 | 40 | 82 | 240 | 24 | 20 | 16 | 11 |
| UK (England \& Wales) | 209 | 127 | 168 | 145 | 131 | 123 | 122 | 94 | 92 | 88 | 88 |
| Total | 743 | 598 | 651 | 830 | 766 | 548 | 778 | 663 | 565 | 520 | 352 |

*Preliminary

TABLE 7.2 Bristol Channel sole. Effort and catch per unit effort by beam trawlers in the Bristol Channel (period 1971-1977)

| Year | Hours fishing | kg per <br> hours <br> fishing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1971 | 19664 | 16.8 |
| 1972 | 13064 | 13.8 |
| 1973 | 19147 | 17.9 |
| 1974 | 22516 | 18.3 |
| 1975 | 23218 | 15.4 |
| 1976 | 17869 | 18.5 |
| 1977 | 13961 | 15.7 |



## Table 7.4

ERIETOL CHANNEL SOLE`(MALE) FISHING MORTALITY $(M=10\}$

| AGE | YEGF |  | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEAN DF } \\ 70-74 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 70 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 05 | . 11 | . 04 | . 23 | . 09 | 06 | 01 | . 06 | 00 | . 00 | . 11 |
| 3 | 32 | . 32 | 32 | . 40 | 26 | 28 | 17 | . 19 | 00 | . 00 | . 33 |
| 4 | 32 | 56 | 28 | . 51 | 35 | 53 | 52 | . 25 | 00 | . 00 | . 41 |
| 5 | 46 | 52 | 41 | 56 | 40 | 38 | 51 | . 29 | 00 | . 00 | 47 |
| 6 | 65 | . 34 | . 37 | . 26 | 32 | 70 | . 66 | . 29 | 00 | 00 | 39 |
| 7 | . 20 | . 27 | . 25 | . 32 | 24 | 23 | . 38 | . 29 | 00 | 00 | . 26 |
| 8 | . 33 | . 36 | 28 | . 25 | . 27 | 34 | . 69 | . 26 | 00 | . 00 | 30 |
| 9 | 44 | . 54 | . 22 | . 27 | . 15 | 31 | . 67 | . 22 | 00 | . 00 | 32 |
| 10 | . 22 | . 08 | . 22 | . 34 | . 60 | 25 | . 29 | . 19 | . 00 | . 00 | 29 |
| 11 | . 15 | . 48 | . 19 | . 32 | . 08 | 30 | . 15 | . 17 | . 00 | 00 | 24 |
| 12 | . 62 | . 18 | 05 | . 11 | .16 | 06 | . 11 | . 16 | 00 | . 00 | . 22 |
| 13 | . 18 | . 51 | . 13 | . 10 | . 32 | . 12 | . 15 | . 14 | . 00 | 00 | . 25 |
| 14 | . 25 | . 32 | . 17 | . 23 | . 13 | 44 | . 11 | . 14 | . 00 | 00 | 22 |
| 15 | 2.44 | . 97 | . 05 | 3.54 | . 17 | 04 | . 04 | . 14 | . 00 | 00 | 1.43 |
| 16 | . 14 | . 14 | . 14 | . 14 | . 14 | . 14 | . 14 | . 14 | . 00 | . 00 | . 14 |
| WEIGHTEC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN F:J | . 35 | 44 | 27 | . 39 | . 31 | 39 | . 49 | 25 | 00 | 00 |  |
|  | THE | TING |  | O. AT | H | THE |  |  |  | . 00 |  |

Table 7.5

| AGE | YEAR |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 70 | 71 |
| 2 | 621 | 1329 |
| 3 | 1815 | 534 |
| 4 | 743 | 1193 |
| 5 | 535 | 488 |
| 6 | 578 | 307 |
| 7 | 789 | 274 |
| 8 | 294 | 586 |
| 9 | 248 | 191 |
| 10 | 326 | 144 |
| 11 | 217 | 237 |
| 12 | 151 | 170 |
| 13 | 224 | 74 |
| 14 | 33 | 170 |
| 15 | 10 | 23 |
| 16 | 209 | 1 |

stock. BIOMASS $1873 \quad 1485$

BRISTDL CHANNEL SOLE (MALE) STOCK IN NUMBERS (* 1000)

| 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | MEAN OF 70-74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2448 | 987 | 639 | 583 | 712 | 1605 | 0 | 0 | 1205 |
| 1072 | 2122 | 706 | 531 | 498 | 637 | 0 | 0 | 1250 |
| 348 | 702 | 1291 | 491 | 365 | 379 | 0 | 0 | 855 |
| 615 | 238 | 380 | 819 | 261 | 196 | 0 | 0 | 451 |
| 262 | 369 | 124 | 230 | 508 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 328 |
| 198 | 164 | 257 | 82 | 103 | 237 | 0 | 0 | 336 |
| 189 | 139 | 108 | 183 | 59 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 263 |
| 371 | 130 | 99 | 75 | 118 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 208 |
| 101 | 268 | 90 | 77 | 50 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 186 |
| 120 | 73 | 173 | 44 | 54 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 164 |
| 132 | 89 | 48 | 145 | 30 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 118 |
| 129 | 114 | 72 | 37 | 123 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| 40 | 102 | 93 | 47 | 30 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 88 |
| 112 | 31 | 74 | 74 | 28 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 8 | 96 | 1 | 56 | 64 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 63 |




## Table 7.8

BRISTDL CHANNEL SOLE (FEMALE)

| AGE | $\begin{gathered} Y E \\ 70^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | MEAN DF $70-74$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 573 | 1098 | 1594 | 559 | 517 | 537 | 589 | 1500 | 0 | 0 | 908 |
| 3 | 1239 | 518 | 922 | 1491 | 507 | 432 | 474 | 524 | 0 | 0 | 935 |
| 4 | 679 | 997 | 444 | 753 | 983 | 371 | 308 | 35.3 | 0 | 0 | 771 |
| 5 | 953 | 557 | 829 | 346 | 586 | 717 | 26.3 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 654 |
| 6 | 682 | 776 | 450 | 586 | 249 | 399 | 432 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 548 |
| 7 | 1138 | 555 | 630 | 350 | 411 | 152 | 265 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 617 |
| 8 | 447 | 850 | 385 | 534 | 285 | 282 | 90 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 500 |
| 9 | 574 | 358 | 668 | 304 | 462 | 227 | 171 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 473 |
| 10 | 795 | 477 | 279 | 543 | 241 | 373 | 179 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 467 |
| 11 | 711 | 679 | 405 | 222 | 439 | 175 | 315 | 146 | $1]$ | 0 | 491 |
| 12 | 293 | 609 | 575 | 355 | 182 | 345 | 141 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 403 |
| 13 | 332 | 252 | 491 | 506 | 309 | 138 | 284 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 378 |
| 14 | 63 | 277 | 224 | 403 | 445 | 264 | 111 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 283 |
| 15 | 214 | 56 | 250 | 192 | 334 | 383 | 232 | 78 | $1]$ | 0 | 209 |
| 16 | 171 | 186 | 31 | 217 | 155 | 280 | 342 | 202 | $1]$ | 0 | 152 |
| STOCK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BIDAESS | 4762 | 4416 | 4124 | 3905 | 3382 | 2842 | 2283 | 1924 | D | 0 |  |

TABLE 7.9 Summary of results of catch forecast for Bristol Channel sole in 1979. Biomass and catch weights are in tonnes. $F$ values are multiples of 1977 exploitation pattern

|  |  | Catch | Stock at beginning of year | Spawning stock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | 1 | 358.4 | 2657.3 | 2428.6 |
| 1978 | 1 | 349.3 | 2646.2 | 2373.8 |
|  |  |  |  | (At 1/1/1980) |
| 1979 | . 1 | 40.7 | 2711.5 | 2788.6 |
|  | . 2 | 80.6 |  | 2746.6 |
|  | . 3 | 119.7 |  | 2705.7 |
|  | . 4 | 158.0 |  | 2665.6 |
|  | . 5 | 195.6 |  | 2626.3 |
|  | . 6 | 232.4 |  | 2587.7 |
|  | . 7 | 268.5 |  | 2550.1 |
|  | . 8 | 303.8 |  | 2513.2 |
|  | . 9 | 338.4 |  | 2477.0 |
|  | 1.0 | 372.4 |  | 2441.4 |
|  | 1.1 | 405.7 |  | 2406.7 |
|  | 1.2 | 438.5 |  | 2372.6 |
|  | 1.3 | 470.5 |  | 2339.3 |
|  | 1.4 | 501.8 |  | 2306.5 |
|  | 1.5 | 532.7 |  | 2274.5 |
|  | 1.6 | 562.9 |  | 2243.0 |
|  | 1.7 | 592.5 |  | 2212.1 |
|  | 1.8 | 621.7 |  | 2181.9 |
|  | 1.9 | 650.3 |  | 2152.2 |
|  | 2.0 | 678.3 |  | 2123.2 |

TABLE 9.1 Irish Sea sole


TABLE 9.2 Exploitation patterns for mesh assessment of Irish Sea sole

| Mesh size (mm) | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 75 | 80 | 90 | 75 | 80 | 90 |
| Years | 1977, 78 | 1979, 80 | 1981 | 1977, 78 | 1979, 80 | 1981 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | . 01 | . 00 | . 00 | . 02 | . 01 | . 00 |
| 3 | . 06 | . 00 | . 00 | . 14 | . 05 | . 00 |
| 4 | . 27 | . 03 | . 00 | . 25 | . 19 | . 04 |
| 5 | . 36 | . 13 | . 00 | . 28 | . 27 | . 16 |
| 6 | . 30 | . 36 | . 00 | . 28 | . 29 | . 26 |
| 7 | . 27 | . 33 | . 00 | . 27 | . 28 | . 29 |
| 8 | . 27 | . 28 | . 03 | . 25 | . 27 | . 28 |
| 9 | . 26 | . 27 | . 12 | . 22 | . 25 | . 27 |
| 10 | . 25 | . 27 | . 36 | . 20 | . 22 | . 24 |
| 11 | . 24 | . 26 | . 34 | . 20 | . 20 | . 21 |
| 12 | . 22 | . 25 | . 28 | . 20 | . 20 | . 20 |
| 13 | . 21 | . 24 | . 27 | . 20 | . 20 | . 20 |
| 14 | . 19 | . 22 | . 27 | . 20 | . 20 | . 20 |
| 15 | . 17 | . 21 | . 26 | . 20 | . 20 | . 20 |

TABLE 9.3 Results of the mesh assessment on Irish Sea sole (weights in tonnes)

| Mesh size (mm) | Year | Catch | Stock | Spawning stock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 | 1977 | 1139 | 7086 | 5271 |
| 75 | 1978 | 1219 | 7371 | 6386 |
| 80 | 1979 | 989 | 7534 | 6549 |
| 80 | 1980 | 1138 | 7931 | 6946 |
| 90 | 1981 | 588 | 8323 | 7338 |
| 90 | 1982 | 686 | 8714 | 7729 |
| 90 | 1983 | 762 | 9398 | 8413 |
| 90 | 1984 | 861 | 9986 | 9001 |
| 90 | 1985 | 999 | 10435 | 9450 |
| 90 | 1986 | 1149 | 10733 | 9748 |
| 90 | 1987 | 1169 | 10870 | 9885 |
| 90 | 1988 | 1180 | 20973 | 9988 |
| 90 | 1989 | 1196 | 11061 | 10076 |

TABLE 9.4 Bristol Channel sole


TABLE 9.5 Exploitation patterns for mesh assessment of Bristol Channel sole

| Mesh size (mm) | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 75 | 80 | 90 | 75 | 80 | 90 |
| Years | 1977, 78 | 1979, 80 | 1981 | 1977, 78 | 1979, 80 | 1981 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | . 06 |  | . 00 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| 3 | . 19 | . 10 | . 00 | . 11 | 0.08 | 0.02 |
| 4 | . 25 | . 21 | . 00 | . 20 | 0.16 | 0.10 |
| 5 | . 29 | . 26 | . 01 | . 29 | 0.25 | 0.18 |
| 6 | . 29 | . 29 | . 12 | . 38 | 0.36 | 0.25 |
| 7 | . 29 | . 29 | . 22 | . 39 | 0.39 | 0.35 |
| 8 | . 26 | . 28 | . 26 | . 24 | 0.28 | 0.39 |
| 9 | . 22 | . 25 | . 29 | . 17 | 0.18 | 0.25 |
| 10 | .19 | . 22 | . 29 | . 11 | 0.14 | 0.18 |
| 11 | . 17 | . 18 | . 28 | . 09 | 0.09 | 0.12 |
| 12 | . 16 | .16 | . 24 | . 07 | 0.07 | 0.08 |
| 13 | . 14 | . 15 | . 20 | . 07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 14 | . 14 | . 14 | . 18 | . 07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 15 | . 14 | . 14 | . 16 | . 07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 16 | . 14 | . 14 | . 14 | . 07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |

TABLE 9.6 Results of the mesh assessment on Bristol Channel sole (weights in tonnes)

| Mesh size (mm) | Year | Catch | Stock | Spawning stock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 | 1977 | 358 | 2657 | 2403 |
| 75 | 1978 | 349 | 2676 | 2359 |
| 80 | 1979 | 316 | 2648 | 2360 |
| 80 | 1980 | 331 | 2749 | 2462 |
| 90 | 1981 | 237 | 2731 | 2444 |
| 90 | 1982 | 242 | 2925 | 2638 |
| 90 | 1983 | 273 | 3114 | 2826 |
| 90 | 1984 | 315 | 3294 | 3006 |
| 90 | 1985 | 366 | 3431 | 3144 |
| 90 | 1986 | 400 | 3516 | 3228 |
| 90 | 1987 | 414 | 3566 | 3278 |
| 90 | 1988 | 427 | 3604 | 3316 |
| 90 | 1989 | 435 | 3627 | 3338 |

TABLE 9.7 Irish Sea whiting. Gulland estimate. Actual mesh to 90 mm (double twine)


TABLE 10.1 Ireland VIIA. Industrial landings

|  | Total amount of each species (tonnes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 |
| Whiting | 707 | 2198 | 2531 | 1231 | 744 | 283 | 353 | 425 | 760 |
| Hake |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Haddock |  | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cod | 24 | 169 | 52 | 61 | 32 | 61 | 51 | 88. | 28 |
| Dab | 66 | 159 | 188 | 54 | 19 | 54 | 12 | 54 | 33 |
| Plaice | 36 | 199 | 220 | 41 | 32 | 34 | 51 | 41 | 19 |
| Megrim |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mackerel | 18 | 30 | : | 14 |  |  |  |  | 28 |
| Herring | 2579 | 3750 | 1767 | 2830 | 2143 | 4480 | 1562 | 1215 | 1348 |
| Sprat | 2174 | 3113 | 3524 | 240 | 3258 | 1705 | 1849 | 4833 | 2421 |
| Others | 435 | 229 | 2145* | 170 | 69 | 115 | 47 | 95 | 109 |

TABLE 10.2 Estimated quantities of whiting landed in the industrial and Nephrops fisheries and quantities discarded

|  | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72. | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INDUSTRIAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Irish |  | 707 | 2198 | 2531 | 1231 | 744 | 283 | 353 | 425 | 760 |
| NEPHROPS FISHERY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Quantity landed (tonnes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $N$ Ireland | 784 | 710 | 450 | 833 | 1145 | 1442 | 1056 | 1307 | 1941 | 2029 |
| France | na | na | na | na | na | na |  | 278 |  |  |
| Ireland UK | na | na | na | na | na | na |  |  |  | na <br> na |
| 2 Quantity discarded (tonnes) N Ireland | 385 | 342 | 214 | 407 | 535 | 728 | 514 | 642 | 942 | 984 |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { No } \times 10^{-6} \text { discarded } \\ \text { N Ireland } \end{gathered}$ | 18 | 16 | 10 | 19 | 25 | 34 | 24 | 30 | 44 | 46 |

For $N$ Ireland the quantities (tonnes) and numbers of discards are estimated using 1972/73 data from Watson and Parsons (1974, ICES CM 1974/F:29) and from ICES CM 1973/F:2

TABLE 12.1 Estimated catch per effort and total international effort on total demersal in VIIa + VIIf

|  | A | B | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1954 | 8.6 | 3206 | 27572 |  |
| 55 | 8.0 | 3513 | 28104 |  |
| 56 | 8.2 | 4046 | 33177 | 3588 |
| 57 | 8.8 | 4423 | 38922 | 3994 |
| 58 | 7.0 | 5572 | 39004 | 4680 |
| 59 | 5.8 | 5887 | 34145 | 5294 |
| 60 | 7.5 | 4847 | 36358 | 5435 |
| 61 | 7.6 | 4521 | 34356 | 5085 |
| 62 | 6.4 | 6650 | 42561 | 5339 |
| 63 | 6.2 | 5554 | 34435 | 5575 |
| 64 | 5.6 | 8637 | 48366 | 6947 |
| 65 | 5.4 | 9165 | 49489 | 7785 |
| 66 | 6.1 | 5919 | 36107 | 7907 |
| 67 | 6.8 | 6780 | 46106 | 7288 |
| 68 | 5.9 | 8306 | 49008 | 7001 |
| 69 | 5.1 | 9260 | 47228 | 8115 |
| 70 | 4.3 | 10183 | 43786 | 9249 |
| 71 | 4.2 | 11724 | 49242 | 10389 |
| 72 | 4.5 | 10411 | 46850 | 10772 |
| 73 | 4.3 | 13543 | 58237 | 11892 |
| 74 | 4.8 | 10509 | 50445 | 11487 |
| 75 | 4.1 | 12983 | 51766 | 12345 |
| 76 | 3.9 | 14118 | 52235 | 12536 |

$A=$ Catch per effort (from Belgian $+E+W$ otter trawlers - in tonnes/100 h of $1954 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{W}$ motor trawlers)

B = Total international effort (in 100 h by 1954 motor trawlers)
$C=$ Total demersal catch (in tons as reported at WG for 1960-76 and from Bull. Stat. prior to this)
$D=$ Running 3 year mean of $B$
Linear model: $A=9.30-4.476 \times 10^{-4} \times D$

$$
r^{2}=.81
$$

Exponential model: $A=10.39 \times e^{-7.814 \times 10^{-5} \times D}$

$$
r^{2}=.85
$$



Figure 2.1 Abundance of 1 -year-old cod from VPA plotted against catch per effort of 1 -year-olds in U.K. $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter catch.

$F_{79}$ as a multiple of the 1977 exploitation pattern
Figure 2.2 Relationship between $F$ and catch in 1979 and stock in 1980.


Figure 2.3 Actual and projected trends in catch and biomass.

$F$ as multiple of 1977 exploitation pattern
Figure 2.4 Yield per recruit conditional on 1977 exploitation pattern.


Figure 4.1 Relationship between $F$ and catch in 1979 and stock in 1980.

$F_{79}$ as a multiple of the 1977 exploitation pattern
Figure 6.1. Relationship between $F$ and catch in 1979 and spawning stock biomass in 1980.


Figure 6.2 Yield per recruit conditional on the 1977 exploitation pattern.


Figure 7.1. Relationship between $F$ and catch in 1979 and spawning stock in 1980


Figure 7.2 Yield per recruit conditional on 1977 exploitation pattern.


Figure 9.1 Irish Sea Sole. Male and female length at age



Figure 9.2 Exploitation patterns for 75 mm mesh. (double synthetic)



Figure 9.3 Exploitation patterns for 80 mm \& 90 mm mesh (double synthetic)

Irish Sea Sole. Mesh assessment


Figure 9.4


Figure 9.5


Figure 9.6 Bristol Channel Sole. Male and female length at age



Figure 9.7 Exploitation patterns for 75 mm mesh (double synthetic)



Figure 9.8 Exploitation pattern for $80 \& 90 \mathrm{~mm}$ mesh.


Figure 9.9 Mesh assessment


Figure 9.10 Mesh assessment


Running 3 -year mean of effort in standard units $\times 10^{-3}$
Figure 12.1. Relationship between total demersal catch rate and total demersal effort for the Irish Sea and Bristol Channel.


Figure 12.2 Total demersal yield curves for the Irish Sea and Bristol Channel.
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