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#### Abstract

This Report has not yet been approved by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea; it has, therefore, at present the status of an internal document and does not represent advice given on behalf of the Council. The proviso that it shall not be quoted without the consent of the Council should be strictly observed.
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1.1 Participants

The ICES North Sea Flatfish Working Group met in Charlottenlund from l4-l8 May 1979 with the following participation:

| D W Armstrong | U.K. (Scotland) |
| :--- | :--- |
| R C A Bannister | U.K. (England) |
| F A V. Beek | Netherlands |
| R De Clerck(Chairman) | Belgium |
| R G Houghton | U.K. (England) |
| T Jakobsen | Norway |
| G Lefranc | France |
| E Nielsen | Denmark |
| G Rauck | Germany, Fed.Rep.of |
| J F de Veen | Netherlands |
| W Weber | Germany, Fed.Rep.of |

### 1.2 Terms of Reference

At the 1978 Statutory Meeting it was decided (C.Res.1978/2:48) that the North Sea Flatfish Working Group should meet with the following terms of reference:
"(a) assess TACs for sole and plaice in the North Sea and the Channel for 1980,
(b) advise on the desirability of extending the current prohibition on fishing for flatfish by larger vessels within 12 miles of the coast of Belgium, Netherlands, Federal Republic of Germany, and Denmark, beyond 12 miles or to other coastal areas,
(c) assess the effect of by-catch in the Crangon fishery on the exploitation of flatfishes".

In addition, ACFM asked that the Group should consider, when time permits, the following questions:

1. Can stocks of male and female plaice and sole be treated as a mixed fishery?
2. Should the results of pre-recruit surveys be presented in catch per unit effort rather than as ratios?
3. Do regressions of catch in numbers per unit effort on numbers in stock of plaice differ between fleets?
4. From a stock/recruitment curve on North Sea sole, can one estimate the stock which gives maximal recruitment?
5. Should there be a minimum mesh size differential between beam and otter trawl, and, if so, what should be the proportions?
6. Can one allow for migration between the two areas in assessments of Sub-area IV and Division VIId plaice?
7. Should trammel net mesh sizes in the Division VIId sole fishery be controlled?
8. Are there any sequential tagging experiment data available which should be re-examined with a view to estimating natural mortality rate, and to obtain a better insight into the terminal $F$ problem?
9. What spawning stock biomass yields the maximal recruitment?
10. What are the present effective mesh sizes in use, as estimated from the age of recruitment in cohort analysis?
11. NORTH SEA SOLE
2.1 Catch Trends

Reported catches for the period 1968-78 are shown in Table 2.1, but these figures do not include the non-reported landings known to have been made since the introduction of the quota regime in 1975, and these are included at the foot of the table (see also Figure 2.1).
The Group included this quantity in the assessment and thus the 1978 TAC of 10000 tonnes was probably exceeded by some 10000 tonnes ( $100 \%$ ). In 1978 no changes occurred in the major fleets fishing for North Sea sole.

### 2.2 Assessment of the Current Situation

The steadily growing amount of unreported landings further increases the uncertainty which the Group feels about the assessments. The reliability of the catch data has not been restored to its pre-1975 level and it cannot be expected to improve in the near future. The Working Group felt that the concept of management by means of catch quota, although universally accepted as the principal management tool, has, in the case of North Sea sole so far, failed in practice and unless the effective enforcement of national quotas could be achieved, the use of TACs should be reconsidered.
2.3 Age Composition and Weight-at-Age Data
2.3.1 Age composition

No amendments were made to the provisional age composition of the total 1977 catch. 1978 age composition data were available from Belgium, Denmark, the Federal Republic of Germany and the Netherlands accounting for $91 \%$ of the official landings figure of 10589 tonnes. The total of the countries' age compositions was then raised to account for the unreported landings to the estimated total of 20389 tonnes. The resulting age composition is given in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{bles}$ 2.2 and 2.5.
2.3.2 Weight_at_age

Using the average (1969-73) catch weight-at-age data the sum of products check on the total age composition is $90.1 \%$.
Because of the increasing uncertainty in estimating the total catch, and since there is no substantial increase in weight at age in 1978 (de Veen, pers.comm.), the Group left the number in the age composition and the weight-at-age data unchanged and made the relevant adjustments to catch and stock biomasses in the prognosis. The weight-at-age data for catch and stock are given in Table 2.8.

Choice of terminal F_at_age array and M
No change in effort has taken place in 1978 compared with 1977; thus the 1977 exploitation pattern from last year's VPA were used as input (Tables 2.3 and 2.6). Natural mortality was assumed to be 0.1 as in previous assessments.

### 2.4.2 The VPA

The steady decline in spawning stock biomass since the mid-1960s has halted, and since 1975 it has been stabilised. The 1978 stock biomass is of about the same level as that of 1977, thus leaving the biomass at a low level. The age composition of the stock is such that the strength of recruiting year classes (Figure 2.2) has a substantial effect. The good year classes 1975 and 1976 are being followed by a below-average 1977 year class which will fully recruit this year.

### 2.5 Natural Mortality

### 2.5.1 Variations in natural mortality

Normally, it is assumed that natural mortality is constant for all age groups and over all the years used for VPA and prognosis runs. This has been the procedure too for the previous assessment on North Sea sole. By its nature, sole in the North Sea is vulnerable to extreme winters and qualitative analysis of the after-effects, of e.g. the severe winter 1962/63, showed that a considerable increase in natural mortality, caused by very low temperatures, must have taken place (Woodhead, $1964 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ). In 1963 most mortality occurred in May and June when the temperature started to rise again. Rauck (1969) showed that soles demonstrating the effects of prolonged low temperatures as open wounds and death could also be observed in less severe winters. De Veen (1978a) showed by simulation runs with varying M values for 1963 that a VPA ignoring an increased M for 1963 resulted in too high $F$ values and too low stock biomass for the years prior to 1963. Recently, Houghton (pers.comm.) pointed again to the discrepancy between the observed catch rates and the VPA stock biomass estimated from the Group's previous VPAs. Figure 2.3 demonstrates that both the United Kingdom and Dutch cpue show the same downward trend since the early 1950s (lower part of the Figure), whereas the VPA stock estimate did not show any higher stock level prior to 1963 (upper part of the Figure).
A number of trial VPAs were run based on the VPA in the Group's report in 1978. In these trials, $M$ values for 1963 ranging from 0.1 to 1.0 were put leaving the $M$ values for the periods 1957-62 and 1964-77 unchanged ( $\mathrm{M}=0.10$ ).
Figure 2.4 shows in bold lines the VPA stock biomass (in which the observed weight-at-age data per year derived from Dutch sole growth studies (de Veen, 1976, 1978b) were used) for the 10 runs. As can be expected, VPA stock biomass prior to 1963 increases with increasing $\mathrm{M}_{1963}$.
In order to compare the VPA stock estimates with the cpue series, both the United Kingdom and the Dutch cpue were scaled down to the VPA stock range 1964-73 in which the average VPA stock equals the average United Kingdom and the average Dutch cpue.
Comparison show that from 1964 onwards the VPA stock curve agrees well with both cpue curves. Prior to 1964 both cpue curves rise to a substantially higher level and especially in the years 1957-60 they
are in very good agreement with each other. For the years 1961, 1962 and 1963 both cpue curves agree with VPA runs in which a high M for 1963 has been taken. This means that it is very likely that the natural mortality in 1963 was of the order of $0.8-1.0$.
Before 1959 the VPA stock curves shown still do not agree with the cpue curves; however, another simulation on similar lines (Houghton, pers.comm.) suggests that this discrepancy can also be resolved, so cpue and VPA curves match for the whole series.

The effect of the severe winter of 1979 on the natural mortality De Veen (1969) showed that the effects of a strong or severe winter can be estimated qualitatively by calculating for the North Sea the number of days in which the surface water temperature has been below $3 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Surface temperatures probably represent temperature at the bottom because. in the area considered the water column is homogenous throughout the year.

Figure 2.5 shows the situation in 1963. As a result of the normal east-west migration of the North Sea sole the fish moved to the deepest and warmest parts of their range but were still overrun by cold temperatures. Thus, the Silverpit and the Deepwater Channel showed the highest mortality rate later in May-June 1963 (Woodhead, 1964b). The area with reported dead or dying soles roughly coincides with 60 or more days line. Figure 2.6 shows the situation in the 1979 winter. Very high catch rates were experienced in the Belgian and Dutch sole fishery during January-March 1979 in the western half of the central and southern North Sea. However, compared with the 1963 situation, the duration of the cold water regime in these deeper parts of the North Sea was much less than in 1963, so that natural mortality owing to the 1979 severe winter may have been considerably less than in 1963.

Figure 2.7 shows the surface temperatures on four selected positions in the North Sea in 1963, 1979 and the average situation. The Galloper lightvessel temperatures in 1979 were slightly below the average in contrast to the low temperatures in 1963. The Smith Knoll lightvessel data for 1979 were below the average, but higher than in 1963. The position $55^{\circ} 05^{\prime}-55^{\circ} 14^{\prime} N, 2^{\circ} 03^{\prime}-2^{\circ} 14^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ in the central North Sea in the western part showed 1979 temperatures well below average and slightly above the 1963 picture. To conclude, the Elbe I lightvessel data showed 1979 temperatures far below average but somewhat higher than the 1963 situation (Ellett, 1963, 1967; Ellett and Baxter, 1963; D.H.I., 1954-77). Figure 2.7 confirms the findings of Figure 2.6.

At the moment no information on the level of $M$ for 1979 is available. For prognosis purposes a number of values for $\mathrm{M}_{19} 19$ has been chosen, e.g. 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, and 0.5.

### 2.6 Catch Predictions

2.6.1 Introduction

To assess the order of magnitude of an increased $M$ on catch and stock in 1980 and hence on a range of possible management measures, prediction runs have been made assuming an array of $M$ values between 0.1 and 0.5. (Table 2.9.) In addition, some assumptions on recruit strength have been made. In Option A average recruitment having the same natural mortality as the adults has been taken. In Options $B$ and $C$ the figure for the 1978 year class as taken from the latest 0 -group survey has been used. In Option B, this year class had the same $M$ as the adult soles. In Option $C$ an extra $50 \%$ natural mortality was assumed for the 1978 year class.

In each of the three Options three levels of $F$ have been taken. In the first run, it was assumed that $\mathrm{F}_{80}=\mathrm{F}_{78}$, in the second run $F_{80}=0.80 \mathrm{~F}_{7} 8$, and in the third run $\mathrm{F}_{80}=0.5 \mathrm{~F} 78$. In all runs it was assumed that the TAC for 1979 will be exceeded, and that $\mathrm{F}_{79}=\mathrm{F}_{78^{\circ}}$ The runs were carried out for males and females separately, and the resulting stock and catch biomasses added together. The input stock numbers per age groups at the beginning of 1979, the F at age array and the calculated catches for 1979 and for $M_{79}=0.1,0.2,0.3,0.4$ and 0.5 are given for both sexes in Tables 2.9 and 2.10. The weight-at-age data for catch and stock are given in Table 2.8.

### 2.6.2 Results of catch predictions

Table 2.11 gives the details of the predictions for total and spawning stock and catch biomasses for 1980. To correct for the discrepancies mentioned in para. 2.3 .1 all the figures have been raised by $10 \%$.
Table 2.12 is a summary of the resulting total stock biomasses at the beginning of 1981. In Section 2.7 the difficulty to define a long-term objective for management will be given.
In Section 9.4 the absence of a stock/recruitment relationship in the available data is indicated. It is obvious that the stock at the beginning of 1978 was such that the good year class 1978 was produced. A short-term objective might be to restore the sole stock to at least the level at the beginning of 1978, viz., 54700 tonnes.
Tables 2.11 and 2.12 show for different values of $M$ in 1979 the level of TACs needed to reach the stock of 54700 tonnes, i.e. the 1978 level, at the beginning of 1981. This will depend on the magnitude of $\mathrm{M}_{79}$ of the adult soles and the $\mathrm{M}_{79}$ of the year class recruiting in 1980.

### 2.7 Management Options

2.7.1 The present_impossibility_of giving_an_advice_on_a_TAC_for_1980

Owing to the effects of the severe winter of this year the level of the stock and the 1978 recruitment are unknown at present.
In 1962 a good year class was born, but it nearly disappeared after the 1963 winter. At the moment the situation is roughly the same. The fate of the good 1978 year class which has to recruit in 1980 is unknown. The international spring 0-group survey this year failed to show the 1978 year class, but this may be the result of retarded migration from deeper water which has happened also after the 1963 winter. Thus, in the months to come more information will become available on the strength of the 1978 year class at present. Another uncertainty is that the level of increased natural mortality on the adult soles is unknown at present.
It is therefore difficult to give any positive advice on a TAC for 1980 in this report. It is imperative to postpone any advice on management until more information on the after-effects of this severe winter become available.
Two possible short-term management options were discussed by the Working Group and are presented below:
(1) that the 1980 TAC should be chosen to return the total stock biomass in 1981 to 54700 tonnes, which was that observed in 1978;
(2) the 1980 TAC should be chosen to make the 1981 spawning stock biomass equal to the average level of 1970-78, i.e. 46000 tonnes.

TACs corresponding to these options for a range of values of M are given in the text tables below.

Text Table 1. TACs for North Sea sole for 1980 (in tonnes) to achieve a stock biomass in $1981=1978$.

| $\mathrm{M}_{79}$ | Option 1 <br> (Average recruitment) | Option 2 <br> (978 recruit <br> strength $)$ | Option 3 <br> 0.5 x 1978 <br> recruit strength $)$ <br> 0.1$\quad 18200$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16200 | $(23000)$ | 14000 |  |
| 0.2 | 14500 | 20800 | 12200 |
| 0.3 | 13200 | 19100 | 10300 |
| 0.4 | 11600 | 17100 | $(8900)$ |
| 0.5 |  | 15400 | $(7000)$ |

Text Table 2. TACs for North Sea sole for 1980
(in tonnes) to achieve a spawning
stock biomass in $1981=$ average 1970-78.

| $\mathrm{M}_{79}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c} \text { Option } 1 \\ \text { Average recruitment) } \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Option 2 } \\ \text { (1978 recruit } \\ \text { strength }) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Option } 3 \\ & \text { ( } 0.5 \times 1978 \\ & \text { recruit strength) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 18900 | (23 500) | 15000 |
| 0.2 | 17100 | (21 800) | 13100 |
| 0.3 | 15500 | 20000 | 11200 |
| 0.4 | 13900 | 18100 | (9 800) |
| 0.5 | 12500 | 16400 | $(8000)$ |

(NB. Figures within brackets are less accurate because of extrapolation on the curves.)

Whatever the effects of the 1979 winter on the stock, management should be aimed at restoring the present stock level immediately to the 1978 level. This short-term objective will certainly mean a reduction in the catch possibilities in 1980. It is necessary to know what the catch possibilities will be in 1980 and this can only be assessed after the missing information has been collected. There is a chance that a sensible assessment can be carried out in OctoberNovember this year, not earlier.
2.7.2 The present impossibility of defining_long-term management objectives £or_North_Sea_sole
De Veen (1976, 1978b) has shown that growth is not constant in the North Sea sole, but that a dependency on the fishery exists. Another possibility is that the observed change in growth rate is linked with stock biomass. In both cases a constant parameter yield per recruit
curve as given in last year's report, based on constant growth, with a defined $F_{\max }$ and $F_{0.1}$ position, is wrong.
In the long term, the effects of the fishery or of density-dependent growth on stock biomass will be significant.
In the case of the 1963 winter an increase in $M$ from 0.1 to 0.9 has been deduced, but even in less severe winters an increase in $M$ may occur (Rauck, 1969), and it is clearly not possible to estimate with any desired accuracy the variations in the future years.

### 2.7.3 Problems to be solved this year

In order to be able to assess the sole fishery in late autumn, the following problems should be tackled:
(1) Estimation of what is left of the 1978 year class through continuous pre-recruit surveys in the main sole nurseries.
(2) Assessing the relative abundance of the adult stock by following constantly the cpue and the age structure of the catch.
(3) Analysing the reports on dead soles collected presently in the Netherlands.
(4) Define a long-term objective taking into account dependent growth, varying $M$ and recruitment and the probability of severe winters with large $M$ values undoing any effect of management measures.
(5) When results of the current mesh selection experiments become available, mesh assessments should be carried out.
2.7.4 Recommendations

In the light of the conclusion arrived at the Working Group feels not to be in a position at the moment to give any advice on a 1980 TAC and recommends to be reconvened later in the year, but not earlier than October-November, provided the missing information on the effect of the 1979 severe winter is available.
3. NORTH SEA PLAICE
3.1 Catch Trend

Table 3.1 and Figure 3.1.A show the recent trend in total catch based on data submitted to Bulletin Statistique, where available, but with estimates of unreported landings where indicated. The 1978 catch was 112000 tonnes, $5 \%$ down, on both the 1978 TAC and the catch in the previous year, but at the same level as the 1976 catch. Effort data (see Section 3.3) show that because of a reported decline in Dutch beam trawl effort in the last three years, total effort may have declined slightly. In the English fleet there was also some switch of interest from plaice to cod in 1978.

### 3.2 Age Composition

The 1977 age composition has been amended by adjusting last year's provisional figures to the final landings. However, the Danish age composition was re-calculated from the percentage age composition of the 1975 and 1976 Danish landings.
Provisional 1978 age composition data were available from Belgium, Denmark, England, the Federal Republic of Germany and the Netherlands, accounting for $95 \%$ of the total landings and the sum
of these was raised to the total. The resulting age composition is added to the series in Tables 3.2 and 3.5.
Discarding almost certainly takes place in the beam trawl fleets, though not the otter trawl and seine fleets. However, no data were presented and no objective correction could be made for this effect. This could be an important source of bias in the age composition leading to an underestimate of the mortality on ages 1 to 3.
The total number of fish landed is estimated at 281.8 millions, equivalent to an average weight per individual of 397 g whole weight.
The sum of products using English mean weight at age is $2 \%$ higher than the observed total landing.

### 3.3 Virtual Population Analysis

Figure 3.2 summarises the results of several trial VPAs based on a range of values on either side of last year's input (run 1). The resulting mean $F$ values, which converge for the years 1973-76, were correlated with the sum of the available English, Belgian and Dutch effort presented in Figure 3.3 and Table 3.8, with the results shown in Table 3.9. Only one of these correlations is significant (for which $r=0.707$ for 6 degrees of freedom at the $5 \%$ level). The terminal $F$ input was therefore kept the same as last year. The respective arrays are superimposed on Figure 3.2.B. As in previous years $M=0.1$ for females and 0.15 for males. The VPA results are in Tables 3.3 and 3.4 (males) and Tables 3.6 and 3.7 (females). For total spawning stock biomass the output from the VPA is based on the 1978 stock weight array applied to each year. This assumes no change in growth rate. The resulting trend is in Figure 3.1.A showing a steady decline. For the female spawning stock, this trend has been checked by applying unpublished lst quarter Lowestoft otter trawl mean weight at age (Bannister, pers.comm.) for the individual years. The results of this calculation are compared with the VPA output in Figure 3.4.A. The difference between the two estimates of female spawning stock biomass cannot be explained at present.
In recent years the Netherlands beam trawl catch per effort (Figure 3.4.B) follows the likely, trend in spawning biomass, but for reasons which are unknown at present the Lowestoft otter trawl data do not (Figure 3.4.C).

### 3.4 Recruitment

The trend in number of recruits at age 2 for the 1945-76 year classes is shown in Figure 3.8, and the frequency distribution in Table 3.1. The means are $199 \times 10^{6}$ for males and $179 \times 10^{6}$ for females. Modal recruitment is $150 \times 10^{6}$ per sex.
Because of the variable catch of one year olds, and the uncertainty of estimating one year old fishing mortality, recruitment in the prognosis has been set at age two. The 1977 year class has been estimated on the basis of l-group pre-recruit surveys carried out in spring by RV "Tridens". The results from these surveys are positively correlated with the VPA with a value of $r=0.831$ for $n=8$. The data are in Table 3.11 and Figure 3.5. From the regression and the latest "Tridens" l-group estimate (de Veen, pers.comm.) for the 1977 year class abundance, the, expected value at age 2 is $344 \times 10^{6}$, which comprises $181 \times 10^{6}$ males and $163 \times 10^{6}$ females if the sex ratio is that shown by the means of the post-war VPA series. The strength of the 1978 and 1979 year classes is not known. The long-term mean of the 1945-76 year classes has therefore been used for these two year classes.

### 3.5 Weight at Age

For 1978 weight-at-age data were available from England and the Netherlands. The English data were the weighted mean of the Grimsby and Lowestoft samples, both quarterly and as a weighted mean across the quarters. The Netherlands data were the first quarter data for different parts of the North Sea. For the stock biomass the English and Netherlands first quarter data were compared and averaged by means of a representative line fitted by eye. For the catch prognosis only the weighted mean of the English quarterly data could be used. The two sets of data are included in Table 3.12 at columns 4, 5, 8 and 9. These are gutted weights.

In the catch forecast the weight at age was input as gutted weight, but the final total catch and stock weights were raised to whole weight using a factor of 1.06 . This replaces the former factor of l.l25, for which no objective basis exists.
The subject of changes in plaice growth rate is currently under investigation.

### 3.6 Yield per Recruit

Figure 3.7 shows the relation between fishing mortality and both yield per recruit and stock biomass per recruit, based on the input data included in Table 3.12. The abscissa is the maximal value of $F$ in the exploitation pattern. The 1978 position is indicated by arrows and shows that, as before, (Anon., 1976) the fishery is at the maximum on the female curve, and only a little below the asymptote on the male curve. On this basis, the stock could be described as fully exploited.
In this presentation the 1978 catch weight-at-age data are used, both for yield and stock biomass, and it is assumed that growth rate, natural mortality and exploitation pattern are constant.

### 3.7 Catch Predictions

A catch forecast up to 1981 was made using the data in Table 3.12, and assuming that fishing mortality in 1979 would be at the same level as that in 1978.

Figure 3.l.C shows the expected 1980 catch and 1981 spawning stock for different values of fishing mortality expressed in multiples of the present level, i.e. F is $\mathrm{F}_{80} / \mathrm{F}_{78}$. The data for these options, in the range of 0.6 to 1.4 of the present $F$, are shown in Table 3.l4, whilst Table 3.13 shows the results for just two options, Option 1 in which the present $F$ is maintained unchanged, and Option 2 in which $\mathrm{F}_{80}=0.8 \mathrm{~F}_{78}$.
The mesh sizes used in the English trawl and seine fleets are already above 80 mm , and are about $80-90 \mathrm{~mm}$ in the Danish seine fleet and gill net fleet. The proposed mesh changes will have no effect on the catches of these fleets. The beam trawl fleets generally use 75 mm meshes and will be affected by the proposed mesh change. However, the effect here will be to reduce the level of discarding. As already stated, discarding has not been taken into account in compiling the age composition, and so no change in the catch forecast has to be made. However, it should be noted that the position with relation to discarding is not satisfactory, and will require to be treated properly in future years.
3.8 Management Objectives

For both the catch option forecast in Table 3.13, the spawning stock does not change appreciably in the short term because of the level of recruitment, and the yield per recruit curve suggests that the present maximum value of $F$ in the exploitation pattern corresponds to the diagnosis of full|exploitation made in previous years.
For the years 1963-76, two year old recruits and the female stock biomass based on the English growth data, are plotted in Figure 3.6. No fit has been made to these data, but the plot suggests that recent year classes are larger, though more variable, than hitherto. On this basis the present management objective should be to maintain present spawning stock levels, and to prevent any further increase in fishing mortality. This would be achieved by adopting a TAC of 112000 tonnes for 1980 .

## 4. SOLE IN DIVISION VIId

4.1 Catch Trends

Total international landings have risen continuously from 840 tonnes in 1975 to 1350 tonnes in 1978 (Table 4.1, Figure 4.1.A).

### 4.2 Age Composition

The 1977 age composition data were updated (Tables 4.3 and 4.7). For 1978, Belgium, France and the United Kingdom (England) provided age composition data which accounted for $100 \%$ of the reported landings.
It is believed that perhaps $40 \%$ of the English landings and an unknown but probably significant proportion of the French landings are unreported in this area. At present, no data are available which could be used to correct|for this, and for this reason age compositions have been revised to represent only the reported weights.
No data are available on discards and by-catch.

### 4.3 Weight at Age

Values of weight at age used in estimation of spawning stock biomass and for predicting catches are shown in Table 4.4. These values are unaltered from those used last year. The sum of products of mean weight at age with numbers caught was $6 \%$ below the reported 1978 landings.

### 4.4 Virtual Population Analysis

It was assumed that $M=0.1$ for both sexes at all ages.
Data on fishing effort in the Belgian and United Kingdom (England) fisheries are shown in Table 4.2. Only four years' data are available for Division VIId for English vessels and only seven years' data were available for the Belgian fishery. It proved impossible to find a set of input $F$ at age, for either males or females, such that $F$ in years before 1978 was well correlated with either measure of fishing effort. On this basis, the input $F$ at age for 1978 was based on the mean value for the period 1973-75. This procedure resulted in sets of input $F$ at age which closely resembled those chosen by the Group last year (Tables 4.5 and 4.8).
Values of stock in numbers from VPA are given in Tables 4.6 and 4.9. Historical spawning stock biomasses are shown in Figure 4.l.A. Spawning stock levels declined between 1971 and 1976; the estimated level for 1978 is, however, in excess of that estimated for 1971.
4.6 Yield per Recruit and Spawning Stock Biomass per Recruit The yield and stock biomass per recruit curves were calculated on the basis of the 1978 F at age array (Tables 4.5 and 4.8 ), and the mean weights given in Table 4.4.

Combined male and female yield per recruit and spawning stock biomass per recruit curves (Figures 4.l.C and 4.1.D) were calculated by the method described in the Annex. The yield per recruit curve has a value of $F_{\max }$ at about 0.8 times the level of $F$ in evidence in 1978.

### 4.7 Catch Predictions

Input data for catch predictions are shown in Table 4.10. In last year's report a TAC for 1979 of 2200 tonnes was recommended. On the basis of this year's assessment, it appears that fishing effort would have to increase by $60 \%$ to $70 \%$ to take this catch. The Working Group felt that this cannot be achieved and that fishing effort in 1979 is likely to be of the same order of magnitude as that in 1978. For this reason the catch predictions made for 1980 are all based on the assumption that $F_{79}=F_{78}$ for both males and females. On this basis the predicted 1979 catch is about 1450 tonnes.
All feasible catches for 1980 are shown in Figure 4.l.C and selected values from this figure are shown in Table 4.ll.

### 4.8 Management Options

On the basis of the yield per recruit curve the level of $F$ is slightly in excess of $\mathrm{F}_{\max }$. It is, therefore, probably advisable that F should not be allowed to increase in 1980. On this basis the maximum TAC which can be permitted is 1380 tonnes. The corresponding predicted spawning stock biomass at the start of 1981 is 5600 tonnes which is in excess of that estimated for the stock of 1978.
The TAC to achieve $F_{\max }$ in 1980 is 1250 tonnes. If adopted, this will lead to a spawning stock biomass at the start of 1981 of about 6000 tonnes.
Having made these points, however, it should be stressed that, because of the unreported landings referred to in Section 4.2, little reliance can be put on the assessments or on any TAC option.

Catches have risen from 491 tonnes in 1975 to 750 tonnes in 1978. Non-reporting of catches is not known to be a problem for this Division.
5.3 Weight at Age

Weight-at-age data used in the estimation of spawning stock biomass and for predicting catches are given in Table 5.3. These values are unaltered from those used by the Working Group at last year's meeting. The sum of products of mean weight at age with estimated numbers caught at age was $5 \%$ lower than the reported catch in 1978.
5.4 Virtual Population Analysis

It was assumed that $M=0.1$ for both sexes at all ages. Data on fishing effort were submitted for the period 1969 to 1978 by United Kingdom (England) (Table 5.1). These data refer to the United Kingdom fleet only. It appears that United Kingdom fishing effort in 1978 is about $30 \%$ higher than the average level in the period 1973-75. On this basis, the fishing mortality ratios generated by the English fleet in 1978 are probably higher than those for the period 1973-75. French fishing effort over the same period has probably not decreased! On this basis, an attempt was made to find input $F$ at age values for 1978 which produced somewhat lower values for the period 1973-75! This procedure was not entirely successful, but given the rather poor quality of the data set with which the Group currently has to jwork, it was felt that the input $F$ arrays used this year were the best which can be obtained at present. The input $F$ at age sets for males and females now resemble each other much more closely than was the case last year (Tables 5.4 and 5.7).
Historical trends in spawning stock biomasses are shown in Figure 5.1.A. Spawning stock levels were fairly stable over the period 1969-78.

### 5.5 Recruitment

Average recruitment for the period $1972-75$ was $1.1 \times 10^{6}$ for males and $1.4 \times 10^{6}$ for females. The 1975 year class is thought to be of above average strength, and the Group decided to adjust the terminal F at age 3 in 1978 to produce a number of recruits in the sea at age 2 in 1977 about double the average value.
The 1976 year class is also thought to be of above average size, but less than the 1975 year class. Input $F$ values at age 2 in 1978 were therefore adjusted to give a value for the 1978 year class equal to $90 \%$ of that adopted for the 1975 year class.
The historical trend in recruitment is shown in Figure 5.1.B. There are insufficient data at present to allow presentation of a useful stock and recruitment scatter diagram.

### 5.6 Yield per Recruit and Spawning Stock Biomass per Recruit

Combined male and female yield per recruit and spawning stock biomass per recruit curves (Figures 5.l.C and 5.1.D) were calculated by the method described in the Annex. The yield per recruit curve is essentially flat-topped. $F_{0 . l}$ is approximately at a value of $F$ which is $80 \%$ of the $F$ currently being generated by the fishery.

### 5.7 Catch Predictions

Input data for the catch predictions are given in Table 5.9. In last year's report a TAC of 500 tonnes was recommended for Division VIIe sole in 1979. If taken exactly, this will generate a reduction of about $35 \%$ in fishing effort and will result in a level of F less than $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$. On the basis of previous years, however, the recommended TAC has always been exceeded (see Table 5.1), and there appears to be no valid reason to believe that this will not occur in 1979.

On this basis the Working Group assumed that $\mathrm{F}_{79}$ will be the same as F78. The predicted catch for 1979 on this assumption is 730 tonnes.
All feasible catches for 1980 are shown in Figure 5.l.C, and selected values from this figure are given in Table 4.1l.

### 5.8 Management Options

On the basis of the yield per recruit curve, $F$ in 1978 is in excess of $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$. It is therefore inadvisable that $F$ in 1980 should be allowed to increase beyond current levels. The TAC to stabilise $F$ at the 1978 level is 770 tonnes. The corresponding predicted spawning stock biomass at the start of 1981 is 4100 tonnes, which is slightly in excess of that estimated for the start of 1980.
The TAC to achieve $F_{0.1}$ is 640 tonnes. If adopted and enforced, this will lead to a spawning stock biomass at the start of 1981 of about 4200 tonnes.
6. ENGLISH CHANNEL PLAICE (Divisions VIId and VIIe)

General
In previous years, separate assessments have been made for Divisions VIId and VIIe plaice. This year a single assessment covering the combined populations of Divisions VIId and VIIe has been made, and it is proposed that this should be adopted as normal practice. The reasons for this change are as follows: an exchange of fish takes place between the two areas of up to $20 \%$ per annum (Houghton, 1976); the year class strengths and stock biomasses given by the separate assessments have shown similar trends (1978 report) and both populations receive mature fish from the North Sea at spawning time (Houghton and Harding, 1976).

However, the fleets which exploit the plaice in the two areas are different and so some problems will be encountered in analyses involving fishing effort, and the weights at age are slightly different. (Plaice aged less than 8 or 9 years in Division VIIe are, on average, $10 \%$ heavier than those in Division VIId and the older plaice are smaller.)
6.2 Catch Trends and Fleet Changes

Reported landings are given in Table 6.1 and Figure 6.1.A, those in 1978 were a few tonnes more than the landings in 1977. There was an increase over the landings of 1976 (the lowest recorded) and landings in 1978 were $91 \%$ of the average level since 1962
( 3176 tonnes). No fleet changes have been noted and the catch is still taken by Belgian and United Kingdom beam trawlers, Belgian, United Kingdom and French otter trawlers and by French and United Kingdom trammel netters. $\mid$ It is thought that a small quantity of plaice is landed and not reported by small beach boats of the United Kingdom and France using, respectively, trammel nets and small otter trawls in Division VIId. Since this is a fishery directed at sole, the extent of underreporting is probably not high and has been ignored. The effort data that are available are given in Table 6.2.
6.3 Age Composition

A new matrix of catch numbers at age for the years 1971 to 1977 was prepared by adding the matrices for Divisions VIId and VIIe used by the 1978 Working Group. The data for 1975 in Division VIId, found to be incorrect,were therefore amended.

The Division VIIe age compositions were prepared by raising United Kingdom trawl data to the total landings, those of Division VIId by summing United Kingdom trawl, trammel and Belgian trawl and raising to the total landings (Tables 6.3 and 6.6). As in previous years the French landings, which represented $66.7 \%$ of the total reported landings, were not sampled and have been assumed to have the same age composition as the combined United Kingdom and Belgian landings. Some improvements in sampling have taken place since 1975 following the introduction of United Kingdom sampling in Division VIId, but the basic data are still poor.

Discarding does take place in all fisheries but this has not been estimated and has been ignored.
6.4 Virtual Population Analysis

Natural mortality (M) was assumed to be 0.15 for males and 0.1 for females, as in previous assessments on the Channel plaice.
The terminal $F$ chosen for the final VPA reproduces a pattern of fishing mortality for 1975-78 which is similar to the trend in effort for the same period (Table 6.2). The input $F$ on age 1 in 1978 was, however, adjusted to give a stock of 1 year olds which equalled the average number of 1 year olds in 1971 to 1974.
Tables $6.4,6.5,6.7$ and 6.8 give the fishing mortalities and stock numbers of the final VPA.

There is some correspondence between the level of recruitment in males to that of females during each year of the VPA which is at least a consistent feature.
6.5 Recruitment

The only estimates of recruitment available to the Working Group were those from the VPA. Systematic pre-recruit surveys were only started in 1977 by France and do not form a sufficient series.
The VPA estimates at age 1 have been plotted in Figure 6.1.B for year classes 1970 to 1977. For the 1970 to 1973 year classes, the average recruitment was $6.6 \times 10^{6}$ and this figure was used in the catch predictions and yield curves.

The unknown strengths of the 1977 and 1978 year classes will influence the catch forecast for 1980.

No trend in recruitment is apparent from Figure 6.l.B. In the period 1970-77 the 1974 year class was about half average strength and the 1975 year class was twice average strength.
It is estimated that $56 \%$ of the spawners in the Channel are fish that migrate into the area from the North Sea (Houghton and Harding, 1976). A stock and recruitment relationship cannot be drawn for this reason and also because the data are very poor. One can say that as long as the North Sea spawning stock is healthy then the recruitment of plaice to the English Channel will probably be maintained.

### 6.6 Weight at Age

Weights at age for Division VIId plus Division VIIe were estimated from the mean of the VIId and VIIe stocks weights used in the 1978 Working Group. These were derived from United Kingdom and Belgian data for various periods. The 1978 catch weights at age were not available to the present Working Group. Combined catch weights at age were obtained by interpolation from the stock weights at age. Weights for the 13 year olds and older were roughly estimated from the growth curve. The estimated combined weights at age are given in Table 6.9.

Sums of products between these catch weights at age and the new matrix of catch numbers at age (ages 1 to $13+$ ) were calculated for each year between 1971 and 1978. The percentage discrepancies were respectively for each year in this period: +9.9, +8.6, +8.6, +14.7, $-3.8,+2.0,+3.0,-13.8$. This decline probably reflects an increase in the growth rate of plaice, which is demonstrable in the United Kingdom data for Division VIIe. Reasonable agreement for the period 1975 to 1977 is to be expected since the basic data were derived from samples taken in these years but the discrepancy in 1978 is rather large. No other alternative was open to the Group than to use the data set of Table 6.9 and to raise the forecasted yields and the estimated stock biomasses from the VPA by the ratios of actual landings divided by sums of products for each year (i.e., 0.91, 0.92, $0.92,0.87,1.04,0.98,0.97,1.16$ for the period 1971 to 1978).
6.7 Yield and Spawning Stock Biomass Curves

The long-term yield based on the 1978 exploitation patterns and contoured for the two sexes is shown in Figure 6.1.C. Average recruitment at age 1 for the year classes 1970-73 (6, 600 000 female recruitment equals male recruitment equals 3300000 ) was applied to the average yield per recruit values for females and males to produce the yield curve. As $F$ on the age group subject to maximum exploitation in $197^{8}$ was different for females and males (Tables 6.4 and 6.7) these two values were used as units in the respective yield per recruit calculations to arrive at corresponding values for the two sexes.
The yield curve is flat-topped with $\mathrm{F}_{\max }=0.8 \times \mathrm{F}_{78}$. For both $F_{\text {max }}$ and $F_{78}$ the long-term yield is about 2200 tonnes which is nearly 700 tonnes below the present level of landings. The difference is caused chiefly by the strong 1975 year class which in 1978 accounted for about $40 \%$ of the landings.
Figure 6.l.C also shows the long-term spawning stock biomass as a function of $F$.

The input data were as in Table 6.10. A factor of 1.16 was applied to all forecasted yields and stock biomasses since this was the discrepancy in the sums of products for 1978.
It was assumed that $F_{79}=F_{78}$ in all forecasts which reflects the probability that the HAC for 1979 of 2920 tonnes will not be taken.

Catch and stock predictions were made for 1980, assuming the same relative $F$ at age as in 1978, for a range of values of $F$ up to twice that of 1978 and 1979. The results (multiplied by 1.16) have been plotted in Figure 6.1.C 'and are given (for two options) in Table 6.11. The projected spawning stock biomasses have been plotted in Figure 6.1.A along with those taken from the VPA and with the actual and projected landings.
6.9 Management Options

The stock is lightly overexploited at the present levels of fishing mortality (weighted mean $F$ of 3 year olds and older of 0.97 for males and 0.71 for females) according to the yield curve (Figure 6.1.C). The theoretical maximum long-term yield would be obtained at an $F$ which is $80 \%$ of 'the present level and this would be achieved by a TAC for 1980 of 1995 tonnes (Option 2).
As was pointed out in Section 6.5, an objective related to the preservation of the spawning stock biomass in the English Channel is not very meaningful and so this option is not regarded as a useful one, even though the VPA has given a rather sharp decline in spawning stock in the area since 1971.
Maintaining the existing levels of $F$ would imply a TAC for 1980 of 2350 tonnes (Option 1).
7. ADVICE on Desirability of Extending the Current Prohibition on Fishing for Flatfish by Larger Vessels within 12 Miles of the Coast of Belgium, the Netherlands', the Federal Republic of Germany, and Denmark beyond 12 Miles or to Other Coastal Areas

The Working Group felt that to advise on the desirability of extending the current prohibition 'on fishing for flatfish by larger vessels within 12 miles of the coast of Belgium, the Netherlands, the Federal Republic of Germany, and Denmark beyond 12 miles or to other coastal areas could not be satisfactorily dealt with at this meeting. Considerable amounts of data will have to be compiled if a reasonable answer is to be given to this problem. Since there is a proposal in this report that the Group should be reconvened in October-November, it is suggested that the question can be answered at that meeting.
8. THE EFFECT OF BY-CATCH IN THE CRANGON FISHERY ON THE EXPLOITATION OF FLATFISH
Considerable quantities of undersized protected fish are caught and destroyed by the shrimp fisheries. The North Sea Flatfish Working Group dealt with this subject several times (Report of the Flatfish Working Group, 1972, 1973 and 1974).

Undersized flatfish (plaice, sole, flounder and dab) are regularly caught in the Wadden Sea of the southern North Sea by the crangonid shrimp fisheries of Denmark, the Federal Republic of Germany, the Netherlands and Belgium. The United Kingdom and France also contribute to a destruction of undersized flatfish, but to a much lesser extent and in other areas. The gear in use for catching crangonids in the North Sea is mainly the beam trawl, but the otter trawl is used in the Channel by France. Regarding the mesh size all the shrimp gears can be broadly classified as unselective for most of the flatfish species.
In some countries up to the 1950s a lot of fish by-catch consisting partly of undersized flatfish was landed besides fodder and consumption shrimps. All the shrimp fishing countries have by now abandoned this practice, since the whole fish by-catch is discarded after a sieving process on board.

> 8.1 Mesh Size of Shrimp Trawls (Report of the Working Group on Crangonid Shrimps, (Doc. C.M.1979/K:7))
> In offshore areas of the EEC zone a minimum mesh size of 16 mm (stretched) exists. In most fisheries mesh sizes of $20-23 \mathrm{~mm}$ are commonly used and in Denmark even mesh sizes of $20-28 \mathrm{~mm}$ in the cod end are applied.

Federal Republic of Germany
Schleswig-Holstein:
Mesh size: by law (Schleswig-Holsteinische Fischereiordnung) 8 mm (bar length)
in practice, 9 mm and (mostly) 10 mm (bar length)
Niedersachsen:
Mesh size:
by law (Seefischerei-Vertragsgesetz)
16 mm (stretched)
in practice, 9 mm and (mostly) 10 mm (bar length).
Due to the small mesh size of shrimp nets and the occurrence of consumption shrimps in the nursery areas of several fish species, the by-catch of undersized protected fish in the shrimp fisheries is unavoidable.
A long series of relative data are available in the Federal Republic of Germany (Tiews, 1979) (Table 8.1). For the Netherlands, figures exist for 1963, 1964 and 1972 and will be available for 1979 as well. For Belgium by-catch figures of 0-group flatfish discarded by the shrimp fleets for 1949-64 are available.
The figures for 1963 of caught juvenile flatfish, comparable for the three countries mentioned, are, for plaice: Federal Republic of Germany: 310 millions of individuals, Netherlands more than 1000 millions of individuals, Belgium, ll millions of individuals. For sole: Federal Republic of Germany: 20 millions, Netherlands 100 millions, Belgium, 4 millions. In these figures, year class strength in the different areas plays an important role. The number of soles caught by the Federal Republic of Germany shrimp fleet, for example, reached a peak in 1962 with 112 millions of fish.
Reasons for mortalities of undersized flatfish due to shrimp fishing are:

1. meshing in the net
2. trawling for extended periods, especially with large catches
3. effect of the stay on deck, during which especially the temperature of the air plays a major role
4. effects of the sorting of the shrimp catch. Especially the shaking sieve has been reported to cause lethal brain damage.

After 1963, developments leading to a reduction of this problem took place in several countries.
8.2 Selective Trawls (Figure 8.1)

Experiments comparing the normal beam trawl with several new types of selective beam trawls have been conducted in 1962 and 1963 by Bohl and Konra. 1978 by Morh and Rauck, and in 1976 by Albrechtsen. It appears that the amount of flatfishes is reduced by about $80-100 \%$ in the selective trawl catches (Table 8.2), the total reduction being by about $80 \%$.
In general, these results indicate that the selective beam trawl reduces the amount of by-catch efficiently while at the same time losses in the shrimp catches are negligible and the sorting of the catch is made easier.

## Selective trawls in use

Selective trawls with separating panels strongly reducing the by-catch are now in use in: Denmark: the whole fleet uses this type of net. Landings of marketable fish from shrimp boats are forbidden; the Federal Republic of Germany: the use of such a net, especially in offshore areas with large by-catches of fish increased very considerably in recent years; the Netherlands: in use in the northern parts of the country. Not in use in the Zealand district, due to the importance of by-catch of marketable fish to the shrimp fleet and the frequent clogging of the separating panel by seaweeds and hydroids in the area. Belgium: no use of this net due to the importance of marketable by-catch and the frequent clogging of the separating panel by seaweeds and hydroids in the area. United Kingdom: no use of selective trawl. France: selective trawl invented and in use in Division VIId (Brabant, 1974).
Recent Danish research demonstrated that up to about $85 \%$ of the flatfishes caught escape from a selective trawl. These findings are in line with earlier research in other countries (Boddeke, 1965; Van den Broucke and Van Middelem, 1973; Mohr and Rauck, 1978).

### 8.3 Rotating Sieves

The rotating sieve, developed in the Netherlands in the period 1968-72, works at a slow revolving speed (12-16 turns per minute) and the sorting process uses large amounts of water. The by-catch is washed overboard using water transport. A recent development is an automatic catch transporter in which the catch is stored in waterfilled basins immediately.
Rotating shrimp sieves are in use by $60 \%$ of the Dutch fleet, one vessel in Denmark and a part of the Belgian fleet. The use is limited to larger shrimp boats (of minimum size 17 metres). Further requirements may include a large capacity water pump to generate the sieving process.

## 8.4

## General Conclusions

From the 1972 assessment until present insufficient progress has been made in quantifying natural mortality of 0 - and l-group plaice and sole in such a way that the Group could be able to estimate the proportion of the 0- and l-group total population caught by the shrimp fisheries. Thus, the effect of by-catch in the Crangon. fishery on the survival of 0 - and l-group plaice and sole cannot be quantified at present.

In general it can be stated that the amount of undersized flatfish has been steadily reduced by the introduction of the various methods described above. It seems unlikely that for the future a further improvement of the survival rate of undersized flatfish is possible. However, it should be mentioned that the selective trawl, separating by-catch from shrimps under water, is the most efficient method, giving the highest survival rate for flatfish.
Beside the information on discarded or destructed flatfish in the shrimp fishery given in Table 8.3 for the most recent years, there is at present no further information on other countries available.
9. SCIENTIFIC QUESTIONS BY THE ACFM
9.1 Can Stocks of Male and Female Plaice and Sole be treated as a Mixed Fishery?

Male and female flatfish populations show sexual differences in growth rates, and sometimes in exploitation pattern. The practice of treating them separately should be retained for the present.
9.2 Should the Results of Pre-recruit Surveys be presented in Catch per Unit Effort rather than as Ratios?
In previous reports, the pre-recruit survey data for North Sea plaice and sole were expressed as anomalies from a mean value. The use of absolute density units is desirable and density indices are now available (Anon., 1979).
In fact, however, if the basic purpose of a pre-recruit survey is to give an estimate of the strength of recruiting year classes, results from such surveys should be well correlated with corresponding VPA results.
9.3 Do Regressions of Catch in Numbers per Unit Effort on Numbers in Stock of Plaice differ between Fleets?
This report contains data for the catch per effort by weight of the Belgian and Netherlands beam trawl fleets, and the English otter trawl fleet. The beam trawl and otter trawl trends differ. Catch numbers per effort regressions have not been calculated but will be produced for the next Working Group meeting.
9.4. From a Stock/Recruitment Curve on North Sea Sole, can one estimate the Stock which gives Maximal Recruitment?
The plot of recruitment against spawning stock biomass was shown in Figure 9.4.1. Over a wide range of spawning stock biomass
no trend modulation of recruitment can be detected. The two good year classes are thought to have been the results of cold winters. A stock level giving maximal recruitment cannot be defined.
9.5 Should there be a Minimum Mesh Size Differential between Beam- and Otter Trawl, and, if so, what should be the Proportion?

To answer this question, see para. 2.7.3 (5).
9.6 Can one allow for Migration between the two Areas in Assessments of Sub-area IV and Division VIId Plaice?

The Working Group discussed this and concluded that although this could be allowed for in the assessments, it was not necessary to do so. The reason is that the migratory fish did not appear to contribute a significant amount to the landings in Divisions VIId and VIIe, according to the VPA results and the estimates of the numbers of fish migrating into the area:
9.7 Should Trammel Net Mesh Sizes in the Division VIId Sole Fishery be controlled?

There is no case at present for controlling the mesh size of trammel netters in the Division|VIId sole fishery for two reasons. Firstly, the exploitation pattern is less severe than for the present trawl fishery ( 70 mm mesh). Secondly, commercial trammel netters use large meshes of $4^{\prime \prime}$ for practical and economic reasons. Non-commercial netters use smaller meshes but do not at present capture significant numbers of fish below the minimum landing size.
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| Country | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 ${ }^{\text {F) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 3874 | 2.703 | 1880 | 2227 | 1834 | 1485 | 2130 | 2383 | 1456 | 1669 | 1629 |
| Denmark | 1590 | 842 | 525 | 1149 | 671 | 957 | 705 | 682 | 574 | 323 | 443 |
| France | 273 | 364 | 265 | 403 | 206 | - 250 | 195 | 297 | 598 | 337 | 294 |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. of | 1138 | 692 | 318 | 600 | 258 | 336 | 173 | 233 | 192 | 310 | 467 |
| Netherlands | 25175 | 22032 | 16024 | 18776 | 17662 | 15883 | 15343 | 15242 | 11044 | 11106 | 7100 |
| Poland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | - |  |
| Sweden ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | -•• | - | 13 | 12 | 23 | 13 | 12 | + | - | - |  |
| U.K. (Engl.+Wales) | 1129 | 927 | 660 | 485 | 449 | 387 | 340 | 426 | 455 | 491 | 556 |
| U.K. (Scotland) |  | - | 1 | 2 | + | 1 | -•• | - | 2 | - |  |
| Total | 33179 | . 27560 | 19686 | 23654 | 21093 | 19312 | 17898 | 18.263 | 14326 | 24236 |  |
| Unreported landings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2500 | 3000 | 4000 | 9900 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20763 | 17326 | 18236 | 20389 |

\#) Preliminary data
${ }^{\text {a) }}$ Figures include catches made in Division IIIa. The 1968 catch was included in 148 tonnes of Various Pleuronectiforms.

Table 2.2 North Sea SOLE
Age composition of total catch (thousands) (males)

| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | e | 557 | 331 | - | 113 | 267 |
| 2 | 12637 | 3015 | 17671 | 3411 | 5840 | 9328 |
| 3 | 10291 | 13170 | 6692 | 23672 | 6500 | 15834 |
| 4 | 2918 | 3936 | 6799 | 3739 | 7643 | 3404 |
| 5 | 5631 | 769 | 2462 | 2544 | 1419 | 3447 |
| 6 | 8780 | 1290 | 438 | 1116 | 1160 | 1232 |
| 7 | 0 | 5523 | 694 | 162 | 344 | 821 |
| 8 | 66 | 44 | 2647 | 464 | 285 | 421 |
| 9 | 278 | 32 | 64 | 2269 | 610 | 194 |
| 10 | 3 | 240 | 45 | 51 | 1268 | 211 |
| 11 | 862 | 65 | 162 | 13 | 33 | 808 |
| 12 | 3 | 1022 | 48 | 288 | 194 | 18 |
| 13 | 236 | 98 | 660 | 22 | 161 | 16 |
| 14 | 32 | 220 | 160 | 420 | 27 | 167 |
| AGE | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |  |  |
| 1 | 233 | 394 | 817 | 27 |  |  |
| 2 | 10141 | 1435 | 9776 | 11428 |  |  |
| 3 | 14917 | 11512 | 5544 | 13879 |  |  |
| 4 | 5319 | 7077 | 8202 | 3042 |  |  |
| 5 | 913 | 2808 | 4304 | 3634 |  |  |
| 6 | 1709 | 669 | 1078 | 2323 |  |  |
| 7 | 230 | 1101 | 212 | 1193 |  |  |
| 8 | 284 | 246 | 557 | 368 |  |  |
| 9 | 171 | 227 | 121 | 284 |  |  |
| 10 | 115 | 102 | 92 | 136 |  |  |
| 11 | 57 | 137 | 23 | 92 |  |  |
| 12 | 697 | 59 | 53 | 44 |  |  |
| 13 | 6 | 592 | 55 | 48 |  |  |
| 14 | 27 | 29 | 402 | 4 |  |  |

Table 2.3 North Sea SOLE Fishing Mortalities (males)

| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | .000 | .008 | .015 | .000 | .002 | .006 |  |  | .014 | .008 |
| 2 | .324 | .146 | .308 | .183 | .0149 | .0017 | .265 | .102 | .253 | .010 |
| 3 | .627 | .579 | .484 | .757 | .549 | .654 | .557 | .478 | .614 | .220 |
| 4 | .527 | .461 | .583 | .485 | .519 | .550 | .421 | .495 | .659 | .720 |
| 5 | .881 | .226 | .518 | .404 | .304 | .415 | .246 | .364 | .563 | .619 |
| 5 | .306 | .374 | .174 | .416 | .289 | .416 | .331 | .256 | .207 | .600 |
| 7 | .000 | .286 | .315 | .081 | .194 | .304 | .113 | .328 | .108 | .300 |
| 8 | .082 | .072 | .193 | .319 | .179 | .341 | .146 | .152 | .245 | .240 |
| 9 | .087 | .047 | .128 | .225 | .785 | .160 | .201 | .149 | .094 | .170 |
| 10 | .007 | .090 | .077 | .128 | .170 | .609 | .120 | .160 | .075 | .130 |
| 11 | .134 | .174 | .073 | .926 | .103 | .140 | .288 | .185 | .044 | .090 |
| 12 | .002 | .208 | .169 | .162 | .567 | .067 | .154 | .477 | .091 | .100 |
| 13 | .127 | .074 | .181 | .098 | .115 | .072 | .026 | .170 | 1.001 | .100 |
| 14 | .150 | .150 | .150 | .150 | .150 | .150 | .150 | .150 | .150 | .150 |

MEAN F FOR AGES $)=3$ AND $<=14$ (HEIGHTED BY STOCK IN NUMBERS)

Table 2.3 (continued)

AGE-NATURAL MORTALITY

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 9 ${ }^{7}$ | 808080 | $1 e^{9}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ .100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ .100 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .180 | . 120 | .120 | .100 | .100 | . 180 | .18e |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 13 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . 100 | .1e9 | .100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.4 North Sea SOLE
Stock in numbers (thousands) (males)

|  |  | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25803 | 77902 | 23968 | 48886 | 55579 | 50732 |
| 1 | 47862 | 23347 | 69959 | 21372 | 44234 | 50183 |
| 2 | 23092 | 31324 | 18262 | 46542 | 16100 | 34478 |
| 3 | 7454 | 11161 | 15880 | 10187 | 19745 | 8416 |
| 4 | 10664 | 3982 | 6370 | 8921 | 5677 | 10630 |
| 5 | 34913 | 4330 | 2873 | 3433 | 4846 | 3791 |
| 6 | 735 | 23263 | 2695 | 2184 | 2049 | 3285 |
| 7 | 882 | 665 | 15811 | 1781 | 1822 | 1527 |
| 8 | 3513 | 736 | 560 | 11793 | 1171 | 1378 |
| 9 | 475 | 2914 | 635 | 446 | 8518 | 433 |
| 10 | 7207 | 427 | 2409 | 532 | 355 | 6503 |
| 11 | 1589 | 5703 | 324 | 2026 | 469 | 290 |
| 12 | 2080 | 1435 | 4190 | 248 | 1560 | 241 |
| 13 | 241 | 1658 | 1206 | 3165 | 203 | 1258 |

AGE

| 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 17347 | 51029 | 67941 | 2851 |
| 45650 | 15475 | 45799 | 68699 |
| 35554 | 31685 | 12639 | 32165 |
| 16223 | 18957 | 17768 | 6191 |
| 4393 | 9640 | 10452 | 8320 |
| 6352 | 3109 | 6061 | 5384 |
| 2262 | 4127 | 2178 | 4461 |
| 2194 | 1829 | 2691 | 1769 |
| 983 | 1716 | 1421 | 1906 |
| 1063 | 727 | 1337 | 1171 |
| 238 | 853 | 561 | 1122 |
| 5117 | 161 | 641 | 485 |
| 245 | 3968 | 91 | 530 |
| 203 | 216 | $3 e 29$ | 30 |

## Table 2.5 North Sea SOLE <br> Age composition of total catch (thousands) (females)

| AGE | 1369 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , | 265 | 649 | 185 | 0 | 61. | 416 |
| 2 | 13812 | 4068 | 20731 | 533 | 7376 | 10207 |
| 3 | 10986 | 13946 | 7214 | 19772 | 5470 | 12729 |
| 4 | 2174 | 4953 | 6298 | 3795 | 8795 | 2969 |
| 5 | 5083 | 1042 | 1703 | 2905 | 2503 | 3199 |
| 6 | 13408 | 1677 | 584 | 856 | 1208 | 814 |
| 7 | 243 | 7832 | 914 | 282 | 748 | 571 |
| 8 | 115 | 168 | 4266 | 567 | 565 | 208 |
| 9 | 537 | 56 | 79 | 3059 | 684 | 235 |
| 10 | 193 | 479 | 47 | 47 | 2002 | 206 |
| 11 | 1544 | 74 | 219 | 24 | 188 | 1200 |
| 12 | 154 | 1542 | - | 186 | 116 | 48 |
| 13 | 291 | 85 | 1094 | 26 | 207 | 4 |
| 14 | 96 | 303 | 72 | 658 | 46 | 101 |
| AGE | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |  |  |
| 1 | 51 | 485 | 1109 | 2 |  |  |
| 2 | 14391 | 1594 | 15036 | 14016 |  |  |
| 3 | 15232 | 10817 | 7975 | 15818 |  |  |
| 4 | 6153 | 8116 | 9114 | 3118 |  |  |
| 5 | 1083 | 3075 | 4305 | 3075 |  |  |
| 6 | 2014 | 751 | 1135 | 1975 |  |  |
| 7 | 400 | 1490 | 180 | 657 |  |  |
| 8 | 467 | 461 | 724 | 242 |  |  |
| 9 | 229 | 444 | 199 | 369 |  |  |
| 10 | 104 | 275 | 158 | 61 |  |  |
| 11 | 176 | 179 | 88 | 142 |  |  |
| 12 | 1307 | 141 | 88 | 80 |  |  |
| 13 | 21 | 1563 | 76 | 62 |  |  |
| 14 | 62 | 40 | 551 | 56 |  |  |

Table 2.6 $\begin{aligned} & \text { North Sea SOLE } \\ & \text { Fishing mortalities (females) }\end{aligned}$

| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | .010 | .009 | .012 | .000 | .011 | .008 | .003 | .007 | .017 | .010 |
| 2 | .344 | .189 | .371 | .038 | .216 | .235 | .375 | .092 | .348 | .280 |
| 3 | .585 | .610 | .521 | .640 | .571 | .612 | .574 | .475 | .750 | .660 |
| 4 | .347 | .565 | .545 | .506 | .582 | .620 | .600 | .606 | .830 | .660 |
| 5 | .578 | .248 | .341 | .461 | .654 | .382 | .426 | .606 | .669 | .660 |
| 6 | .332 | .337 | .192 | .256 | .314 | .404 | .391 | .521 | .416 | .660 |
| 7 | .198 | .293 | .276 | .120 | .331 | .214 | .315 | .492 | .201 | .400 |
| 8 | .128 | .183 | .230 | .246 | .331 | .129 | .243 | .638 | .421 | .400 |
| 9 | .191 | .076 | .110 | .229 | .465 | .199 | .183 | .341 | .555 | .350 |
| 10 | .275 | .232 | .076 | .080 | .206 | .220 | .114 | .309 | .175 | .290 |
| 11 | .152 | .144 | .142 | .046 | .457 | .165 | .264 | .245 | .137 | .210 |
| 12 | .192 | .200 | .000 | .154 | .287 | .179 | .242 | .310 | .174 | .160 |
| 13 | .114 | .139 | .190 | .069 | .230 | .013 | .698 | .449 | .223 | .160 |
| 14 | .150 | .150 | .150 | .150 | .150 | .150 | .250 | .250 | .250 | .250 |

MEAN F FOR GGES $>=3$ ANR $<=14$ (HEIGHTED BY STOCK IN NUMBERS) .378 . 405 . 358 . 458 . 467 . 454 . 493 . 515 . 661.622

## AGE-NATURAL MORTALITY

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .100 | .100 | . 1 ee | .180 | .100 | .100 | .100 | . 100 | .180 | .100 | .100 |
| 12 | 13 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .190 | .100 | .100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.7 North Sea SOLE.
Stock in numbers (thousands) (females)

| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1372 | 1973 | 974 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 27786 | 78082 | 16870 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 49747 | 24817 | 78984 | 44049 15088 | 57216 39858 | 53684 |
| 3 | 23814 | 31917 | 18593 | 43719 | 39858 13146 | 51191 |
| 4 | 7768 | 12994 | 15687 | 43719 9994 | 13146 20858 | 23064 |
| 5 | 12105 | 4967 | 6174 | 8232 | 20858 5450 | $\begin{array}{r}6718 \\ \hline 9550\end{array}$ |
| 6 | 49736 | 6143 | 3506 | 3972 | 5450 4697 | 10550 2564 |
| 7 | 1415 | 32280 | 3968 | 2618 | 4697 2782 | 2564 3195 |
| 8 | 1007 | 1053 | 21788 | 2723 | 2782 2101 | 3105 1808 |
| 9 | 3241 | 802 | 793 | 15666 | 2101 1926 | 1808 1365 |
| 10 | 842 14503 | 2423 | 673 | 643 | 1926 11272 | 1365 1095 |
| 11 | 11503 | 579 | 1738 | 564 | 11272 537 | 1095 8299 |
| 12 | 923 | 8942 | 454 | 1365 | 488 | 8299 308 |
| 13 | 2829 | 689 | 6627 | 410 | 1058 | 308 |
| 14 | 723 | 2283 | 542 | 4958 | 347 | 731 |


| AGE | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 21181 | 59630 | 67662 | 211 |
| 2 | 48185 | 19117 | 53570 | 60169 |
| 3 | 36634 | 29959 | 15783 | 34217 |
| 4 | 14256 | 18677 | 16863 | 6745 |
| 5 | 3271 | 7078 | 9220 | 6652 |
| 6 | 6514 | 1933 | 3495 | 4272 |
| 7 | 1549 | 3985 | 1838 | 2087 |
| 8 | 2267 | 1022 | 2205 | 769 |
| 9 | 1438 | 1689 | 489 | 1399 |
| 10 | 1012 | 1084 | 1035 | 254 |
| 11 | 795 | 817 | 720 | 786 |
| 12 | 6378 | 553 | 579 | 568 |
| 13 | 233 | 4523 | 367 | 446 |
| 14 | 256 | 191 | 2612 | 265 |

Table 2.8 North Sea SOLE
Nominal weight (g) at age for stock and catch (average 1969-1973)

| Age | Males |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Biomass | Catch | Biomass | Catch |
| 1 | 10 |  | 10 |  |
| 2 | 39 | 90 | 62 | 124 |
| 3 | 146 | 203 | 199 | 257 |
| 4 | 231 | 259 | 316 | 377 |
| 5 | 283 | 302 | 425 | 473 |
| 6 | 316 | 326 | 507 | 540 |
| 7 | 339 | 351 | 566 | 585 |
| 8 | 361 | 371 | 605 | 622 |
| 9 | 377 | 383 | 639 | 654 |
| 10 | 387 | 392 | 671 | 684 |
| 11 | 395 | 395 | 694 | 703 |
| 12 | 401 | 403 | 713 | 723 |
| 13 | 404 | 406 | 729 | 735 |
| 14 | 406 | 407 | 739 | 745 |
| 15 | 410 | 410 | 742 | 750 |
| 16 | 410 | 410 | 748 | 750 |
| 17 | 410 | 410 | 752 | 750 |
| 18 | 410 | 410 | 758 | 750 |
| 19 | 410 | 410 | 760 | 750 |
| 20 | 410 | 410 | 760 | 750 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.9 North Sea SOLE
Input data for catch predictions. Assumed catch in 1979

| Stock (female) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & \text { Natural Mortality } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | F | . 1 | . 2 | . 3 | . 4 | . 5 |
| 43000 | . 01 | 407.2 | 387.9 | 369.7 | 352.8 | 336.8 |
| 39421 | . 28 | 9182.9 | 8766.3 | 8375.5 | 8008.7 | 7664.2 |
| 41174 | . 66 | 19034.3 | 18227.3 | 17468.5 | 16754.7 | 16082.7 |
| 16024 | . 66 | 7407.7 | 7093.7 | 6798.4 | 6520.6 | 6259.0 |
| 3159 | . 66 | 1460.4 | 1398.5 | 1340.2 | 1285.5 | 1233.9 |
| 3115 | . 66 | 1440.0 | 1379.0 | 1321.6 | 1267.6 | 1216.7 |
| 2001 | . 40 | 629.9 | 601.9 | 575.6 | 551.0 | 527.8 |
| 1256 | . 40 | 395.4 | 377.8 | 361.3 | 395.8 | 331.3 |
| 463 | . 35 | 130.5 | 124.7 | 119.2 | 114.0 | 109.2 |
| 835 | . 29 | 200.5 | 191.4 | 182.9 | 174.9 | 167.4 |
| 176 | . 21 | 31.8 | 30.3 | 29.0 | 27.7 | 26.5 |
| 573 | . 16 | 80.7 | 77.0 | 73.5 | 70.2 | 67.1 |
| 443 | . 16 | 62.4 | 59.5 | 56.8 | 54.3 | 51.9 |
| 343 | . 16 | 48.3 | 46.1 | 44.0 | 42.0 | 40.2 |
| 310 | . 16 | 43.7 | 41.7 | 39.8 | 38.0 | 36.3 |
| 2075 | . 16 | 292.4 | 278.8 | 266.1 | 254.2 | 243.0 |
| 89 | . 16 | 12.5 | 12.0 | 11.4 | 10.9 | 10.4 |
| 33 | . 16 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 3.9 |
| 127 | . 16 | 17.9 | 17.1 | 16.3 | 15.6 | 14.9 |
| 77 | . 25 | 16.2 | 15.5 | 14.8 | 14.2 | 13.5 |

Table 2.10 North Sea SOLE
Input data for catch predictions. Assumed catch 1979

| Stock (male) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Catch } \\ \text { Natural Mortality } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | F | . 1 | . 2 | . 3 | . 4 | . 5 |
| 42000 | . 01 | 397.7 | 378.8 | 361.1 | 344.6 | 329.0 |
| 37622 | . 22 | 7083.2 | 6758.5 | 6454.0 | 6168.3 | 5900.1 |
| 44001 | . 60 | 18986.4 | 18172.6 | 17407.7 | 16688.4 | 16011.5 |
| 16058 | . 72 | 7889.8 | 7558.9 | 7247.7 | 6954.8 | 6679.0 |
| 2731 | . 61 | 1192.8 | 1141.8 | 1093.8 | 1048.7 | 1006.2 |
| 4073 | . 60 | 1757.5 | 1682.2 | 1611.4 | 1544.8 | 1482.1 |
| 2731 | . 30 | 675.3 | 644.7 | 616.1 | 589.2 | 564.0 |
| 2963 | . 24 | 602.8 | 575.3 | 549.5 | 525.2 | 502.5 |
| 1274 | . 17 | 189.8 | 181.0 | 172.8 | 165.1 | 157.8 |
| 1430 | . 13 | 166.1 | 158.3 | 151.1 | 144.3 | 137.9 |
| 934 | . 09 | 76.6 | 73.0 | 69.6 | 66.5 | 63.5 |
| 891 | . 10 | 80.8 | 77.0 | 73.4 | 70.1 | 67.0 |
| 394 | . 10 | 35.7 | 34.0 | 32.5 | 31.0 | 29.6 |
| 430 | . 10 | 39.0 | 37.2 | 35.4 | 33.8 | 32.3 |
| 36 | . 10 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| 1478 | . 10 | 134.0 | 127.7 | 121.8 | 116.3 | 111.1 |
| 125 | . 10 | 11.3 | 10.8 | 10.3 | 9.8 | 9.4 |
| 394 | . 10 | 35.7 | 34.0 | 32.5 | 31.0 | 29.6 |
| 143 | . 10 | 13.0 | 12.4 | 11.8 | 11.3 | 10.8 |
| 18 | . 15 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 |

Table 2.11 North Sea SOLE. Catch predictions for 1980 (in tonnes)


Table 2.12 North Sea SOLE. Stock size in tonnes in 1981

|  | Mean Recruitment |  |  | $\text { Recruitment } \begin{aligned} & \text { Females } 73400 \\ & \text { Males } 71200 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\text { Recruitment } \begin{aligned} & \text { Females } 36700 \\ & \text { Males } 35600 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Run I | Run II | Run III | Run I | Run II | Run III | Run I | Run II | Run III |
| $\mathrm{M}=.1$ <br> Total stock Spawners | $\begin{aligned} & 52448 \\ & 44811 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56364 \\ & 48727 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63030 \\ & 55393 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56265 \\ & 48628 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60423 \\ & 52786 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67331 \\ & 59694 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47575 \\ & 39938 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51271 \\ & 43634 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57519 \\ & 49882 \end{aligned}$ |
| $M=.2$ <br> Total stock Spawners | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \quad 161 \\ & 43524 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54934 \\ & 47297 \end{aligned}$ | 61358 <br> 53721 | $\begin{aligned} & 54978 \\ & 47341 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58993 \\ & 51 \quad 356 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65659 \\ & 58 \quad 022 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46299 \\ & 38662 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49852 \\ & 42 \quad 215 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55847 \\ & 48 \quad 210 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}=.3$ <br> Total stock Spawners | $\begin{aligned} & 49958 \\ & 42321 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53601 \\ & 45964 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59795 \\ & 52158 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53844 \\ & 46207 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \quad 662 \\ & 50 \quad 025 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64086 \\ & 56449 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45093 \\ & 37456 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48512 \\ & 40 \quad 875 \end{aligned}$ | 54284 <br> 46647 |
| $M=.4$ <br> Total stock Spawners | $\begin{aligned} & 48829 \\ & 41 \quad 192 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52338 \\ & 44701 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58322 \\ & 50685 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52646 \\ & 45009 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56408 \\ & 48771 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62623 \\ & 54986 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43956 \\ & 36319 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \quad 256 \\ & 39 \quad 619 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52822 \\ & 45 \quad 185 \end{aligned}$ |
| $M=.5$ <br> Total stock Spawners | $\begin{aligned} & 47762 \\ & 40 \quad 125 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \quad 161 \\ & 43524 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56947 \\ & 49 \quad 310 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52272 \\ & 44635 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55220 \\ & 47583 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61237 \\ & 53600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41052 \\ & 33415 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46079 \\ & 38442 \end{aligned}$ | 51436 <br> 43799 |

Table 3.1 North Sea PLAICE
Nominal catch (tonnes) in Sub-area IV, 1968-1978 (from Bulletin Statistique)

| Country | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978*) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 5576 | 4476 | 4360 | 5073 | 5531 | 6133 | 6202 | 6154 | 4574 | 6547 | 3817 |
| Dentiark | 30369 | 35227 | 32807 | 22278 | 24494 | 23266 | 19814 | 22731 | 23724 | 20900 | 20800 |
| Faroe Islands | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| France | 1310 | 1330 | 1406 | 1380 | 1062 | 1355 | 519 | 536 | 497 | 598 | 587 |
| Germany, Fed.Rep.of | 5250 | 5071 | 5519 | 3296 | 4318 | 5451 | 3233 | 4040 | 3654 | 5423 | 4599 |
| Hetherlands | 33236 | 39420 | 46080 | 44502 | 52048 | 57948 | 54438 | 51293 | 46630 | 42307 | 29250 |
| liorway | 38 | 26 | 22 | 18 | 19 | 15 | 23 | 13 | 20 | 16 | 12 |
| Poland | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 153 | 40 | - | - |
| Sweden ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 776 | 772 | 608 | 588 | 626 | 432 | 431 | 35 | 26 | - | 30 |
| UK (England \& Wales) | 29569 | 30349 | 34839 | 32576 | 31642 | 30400 | 23854 | 20290 | 23789 | 27623 | 27624 |
| UK (Scotland) | 5810 | 4981 | 4703 | 4210 | 3410 | 4815 | 4002 | 3266 | 3310 | 3623 | 3877 |
| USSR | - | - | - | - | - | 397 | 39 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 111934 | 121652 | 130344 | 113921 | 123150 | 130214 | 112545 | 100512 | 106264 | 107038 |  |
| Unreported landings ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5000 | 11384 | 21150 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 111264 | 118422 | 111746 |

F) preliminary
a) $1968-74$ includes Division IIIa
b) estimated by the Working Group

Table 3.2 North Sea PLAICE
Age composition of total catch in 1969-1978 (thousands) (males)

| age | 1969 | 1370 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 280 | 1401 | 428 | 1084 | 437 | 890 |
| 2 | 8941 | 13245 | 18836 | 14557 | 13037 | 9832 |
| 3 | 25842 | 27962 | 27439 | 22094 | 35623 | 30891 |
| 4 | 13546 | 31663 | 16385 | 23947 | 46290 | 36116 |
| 5 | 19725 | 23087 | 11357 | 10059 | 21150 | 13987 |
| 6 | 50365 | 18237 | 10351 | 7461 | 5635 | 9467 |
| 7 | 3967 | 37039 | 6189 | 5963 | 2789 | 3085 |
| 8 | 1913 | 2346 | 10683 | 3204 | 3331 | 1904 |
| 9 | 4041 | 1155 | 1408 | 5720 | 1764 | 1807 |
| 10 | 1034 | 1336 | 1189 | 1213 | 4290 | 1009 |
| 11 | 939 | 528 | 781 | 856 | 155 | 2356 |
| 12 | 686 | 663 | 374 | 736 | 379 | 247 |
| 13 | 209 | 307 | 487 | 300 | 276 | 392 |
| 14 | 217 | 120 | 183 | 345 | 261 | 162 |
| $15+$ | 371 | 362 | 449 | 477 | 524 | 340 |
| AGE | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |  |  |
| 1 | 981 | 3027 | 1719 | 859 |  |  |
| 2 | 21743 | 19178 | 27651 | 32224 |  |  |
| 3 | 59986 | 51915 | 40316 | 26795 |  |  |
| 4 | 15709 | 79941 | 48351 | 29309 |  |  |
| 5 | 11399 | 19126 | 34451 | 33183 |  |  |
| 6 | 7457 | 5353 | 3667 | 22052 |  |  |
| 7 | 4166 | 3744 | 2159 | 3142 |  |  |
| 8 | 2037 | 2351 | 1577 | 1265 |  |  |
| 9 | 1430 | 1225 | 1233 | 727 |  |  |
| 10 | 866 | 723 | 519 | 792 |  |  |
| 11 | 264 | 579 | 271 | 294 |  |  |
| 12 | 892 | 143 | 229 | 92 |  |  |
| 13 | 181 | 574 | 107 | 120 |  |  |
| 14 | 110 | 98 | 295 | 100 |  |  |
| $15^{+}$ | 258 | 391 | 211 | 470 |  |  |

Table 3.3 North Sea PLAICE
Fishing mortality 1969-1978 ( $\mathrm{M}=0.15$ ) (males)

| AGE | 969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | .00 | .01 | . 80 | .01 | . 00 | . 00 | .01 | . 02 | . 01 | .01 |
| 2 | . 08 | . 09 | . 12 | .11 | . 11 | . 03 | . 29 | . 13 | . 23 | .12 |
| 3 | . 28 | . 38 | . 26 | . 19 | . 38 | . 38 | . 23 | . 28 | . 41 | .34 |
| 4 | . 25 | . 61 | . 38 | . 35 | . 68 | . 77 | . 32 | . 53 | . 44 | . 55 |
| 5 | . 38 | . 52 | . 43 | . 41 | . 57 | . 67 | . 55 | . 77 | . 42 | . 57 |
| 6 | . 46 | . 68 | . 43 | . 52 | . 39 | . 44 | . 53 | . 52 | . 30 | . 50 |
| 7 | . 36 | . 68 | . 48 | . 45 | . 35 | . 37 | . 38 | . 53 | . 38 | . 43 |
| 8 | . 22 | . 35 | . 40 | . 46 | . 46 | . 41 | . 42 | . 36 | . 42 | . 38 |
| 9 | . 53 | . 19 | . 35 | . 37 | . 47 | . 46 | . 58 | . 45 | . 31 | . 33 |
| 10 | . 29 | . 33 | . 28 | . 54 | . 48 | . 52 | . 39 | . 62 | . 32 | . 32 |
| 11 | . 24 | . 21 | . 30 | . 32 | . 11 | . 51 | . 23 | . 47 | . 47 | . 29 |
| 12 | . 32 | . 25 | . 21 | . 48 | . 21 | . 25 | . 34 | . 18 | . 31 | . 27 |
| 13 | . 17 | . 22 | . 27 | . 25 | . 31 | . 35 | . 27 | . 36 | . 19 | . 26 |
| 14 | . 27 | . 13 | . 18 | .30 | . 34 | . 29 | . 14 | . 22 | . 31 | . 25 |
| 15 | . 20 | . 20 | . 20 | . 20 | . 20 | . 26 | . 20 | . 20 | . 20 | . 24 |

MEAN F FOR AGES $\rangle=2$ AND $<=15$ (NOT WEIGHTED BY STOCK IN NUMRERS)

Table 3.4 North Sea PLAICE
Stock in numbers (thousands) 1969-1978 (males)

| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 191824 | 214339 | 182897 | 157758 | 428062 | 333357 |
| 2 | 118947 | 164845 | 183185 | 157024 | 134779 | 368932 |
| 3 | 114307 | 34014 | 129621 | 140189 | 121677 | 103938 |
| 4 | 91203 | 74516 | 55125 | 86215 | 100231 | 71865 |
| 5 | 67203 | 61362 | 35003 | 32332 | 52108 | 43724 |
| 6 | 146824 | 39650 | 31553 | 19657 | 19552 | 25334 |
| 7 | 14118 | 79355 | 17364 | 17615 | 10048 | 10770 |
| 8 | 10521 | 8431 | 34731 | 9243 | 3661 | 6075 |
| 9 | 10447 | 7288 | 5143 | 20040 | 5003 | 5245 |
| 10 | 4639 | 5271 | 5204 | 3127 | 11971 | 2581 |
| 11 | 4792. | 2992 | 3248 | 3390 | 1575 | 6351 |
| 12 | 2693 | 3257 | 2987 | 2075 | 2127 | 1212 |
| 13 | 1435 | 1585 | 2191 | 1450 | 1107 | 1480 |
| 14 | 969 | 1042 | 1166 | 1436 | 971 | 698 |
| 15 | 645 | 634 | 786 | 835 | 917 | 595 |


| AGE | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 199531 | 172320 | 357854 | 92955 |
| 2 | 286098 | 170829 | 145512 | 306415 |
| 3 | 307658 | 226115 | 129287 | 99688 |
| 4 | 60965 | 209367 | 146670 | 74099 |
| 5 | 28695 | 37971 | 106590 | 81667 |
| 6 | 19261 | 14205 | 15122 | 59980 |
| 7 | 14044 | 9712 | 7297 | 9630 |
| 8 | 6424 | 8244 | 4912 | 4289 |
| 9 | 3473 | 3651 | 4927 | 2774 |
| 10 | 2849 | 1673 | 2013 | 3102 |
| 11 | 1378 | 1654 | 775 | 1253 |
| 12 | 3297 | 942 | 890 | 417 |
| 13 | 815 | 2014 | 679 | 563 |
| 14 | 912 | 534 | 1204 | 485 |
| 15 | 452 | 684 | 369 | 764 |

Table 3.5 North Sea PLAICE
Age composition of total catch in 1969-1978 (thousands) (females)
\(\left.\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}AGE \& 1969 \& 1970 \& 1971 \& 1972 \& 1973 \& 1374 <br>

1 \& \& 8 \& 770 \& 481 \& 765 \& 723\end{array}\right]\)| 728 |
| :--- |
| 2 |

| AGE | 1375 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 18210 | 1976 | 1149 | 307 |
| 3 | 46396 | 36246 | 26743 | 26598 |
| 4 | 18884 | 51867 | 31604 | 24350 |
| 5 | 14398 | 8750 | 25898 | 25167 |
| 6 | 13806 | 6677 | 4276 | 18243 |
| 7 | 7270 | 6753 | 2762 | 3053 |
| 8 | 3993 | 4518 | 2452 | 2093 |
| 9 | 6223 | 2498 | 1896 | 1707 |
| 10 | 3024 | 2145 | 1018 | 1553 |
| 11 | 1593 | 2025 | 783 | 846 |
| 12 | 8071 | 909 | 843 | 509 |
| 13 | 1317 | 7374 | 273 | 554 |
| 14 | 1374 | 372 | 1490 | 210 |
| 15 | 1166 | 559 | 166 | 1120 |
| 16 | 431 | 552 | 217 | 114 |
| 17 | 1166 | 674 | 158 | 146 |
| 18 | 132 | 272 | 146 | 127 |
| 19 | 25 | 111 | 174 |  |
| 20 |  | 44 | 102 | 79 |


| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 1 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .01 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .01 | .01 | .01 |
| 2 | .08 | .07 | .12 | .11 | .13 | .04 | .09 | .12 | .21 | .14 |
| 3 | .24 | .33 | .23 | .20 | .40 | .45 | .25 | .24 | .29 | .26 |
| 4 | .22 | .38 | .29 | .28 | .52 | .50 | .52 | .42 | .31 | .37 |
| 5 | .20 | .27 | .24 | .35 | .41 | .46 | .55 | .42 | .34 | .38 |
| 6 | .23 | .29 | .24 | .33 | .34 | .34 | .57 | .47 | .34 | .38 |
| 7 | .18 | .28 | .21 | .40 | .28 | .32 | .38 | .55 | .32 | .38 |
| 8 | .12 | .21 | .15 | .57 | .36 | .30 | .42 | .38 | .34 | .38 |
| 9 | .17 | .14 | .21 | .28 | .53 | .35 | .76 | .45 | .25 | .38 |
| 10 | .10 | .18 | .16 | .49 | .29 | .56 | .43 | .56 | .30 | .38 |
| 11 | .22 | .09 | .15 | .45 | .47 | .32 | .54 | .51 | .36 | .38 |
| 12 | .09 | .20 | .12 | .56 | .33 | .53 | .44 | .60 | .36 | .38 |
| 13 | .16 | .09 | .18 | .28 | .34 | .42 | .65 | .80 | .32 | .38 |
| 14 | .07 | .15 | .09 | .55 | .46 | .36 | .65 | .47 | .32 | .38 |
| 15 | .21 | .08 | .20 | .22 | .41 | .34 | .72 | .53 | .35 | .38 |
| 16 | .11 | .15 | .10 | .67 | .18 | .43 | .53 | .60 | .35 | .33 |
| 17 | .22 | .11 | .52 | 1.59 | 1.04 | .46 | .44 | .59 | .30 | .38 |
| 18 | .23 | .11 | .15 | .83 | 1.31 | .37 | .96 | .49 | .22 | .38 |
| 19 | .29 | .16 | .13 | .23 | 1.11 | .50 | .64 | .64 | .34 | .38 |
| 20 | .10 | .20 | .20 | .20 | .30 | .40 | .40 | .40 | .40 | .38 |

MEAN F FOR AGES $>=2$ AND $\{=20$ (NOT WEIGHTED BY STOCK IN NUMBERS)

| .17 | .18 | .19 | .45 | .48 | .39 | .52 | .49 | .32 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 3.7 North Sea PLAICE
Stock in numbers (thousands) 1969-1978 (females)

| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 165688 | 200532 | 146605 | 118837 | 285788 | 237443 |
| 2 | 122262 | 149913 | 180716 | 132196 | 106301 | 257984 |
| 3 | 129783 | 101847 | 126799 | 144829 | 107373 | 84563 |
| 4 | 102091 | 92322 | 66471 | 90739 | 107129 | 65002 |
| 5 | 77434 | 74500 | 57165 | 45134 | 62111 | 576.38 |
| 6 | 206134 | 57251 | 51292 | 40813 | 28670 | 37296 |
| 7 | 32602 | 148930 | 38577 | 36641 | 26595 | 18531 |
| 8 | 27786 | 24705 | 101860 | 28331 | 22315 | 18210 |
| 9 | 30831 | 22205 | 18074 | 79001 | 14493 | 14148 |
| 10 | 21503 | 23461 | 17483 | 13307 | 53931 | 7724 |
| 11 | 16769 | 17632 | 17647 | 13473 | 7408 | 35511 |
| 12 | 11118 | 12161 | 14553 | 13781 | 7800 | 4179 |
| 13 | 707.5 | 3173 | 9003 | 11709 | 7118 | 5263 |
| 14 | 551.8 | 5462 | 7585 | 6799 | 3046 | 4597 |
| 15 | 3748 | 4649 | 4257 | 6258 | 3565 | 4594 |
| 16 | 3597 | 2740 | 3982 | 3148 | 4544 | 2135 |
| 17 | 2503 | 2924 | 2125 | 3202 | 1453 | $34+2$ |
| 18 | 920 | 1314 | 2369 | 1141 | 589 | 456 |
| 19 | 845 | 663 | 1477 | 1852 | 448 | 144 |
| 20 | 1909 | 573 | 509 | 1169 | 1327 | 133 |


| AGE | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 157289 | 168347 | 236578 | 32419 |
| 2 | 214155 | 142065 | 151304 | 12972 |
| 3 | 223423 | 176475 | 114549 | 11521 |
| 4 | 49014 | 158136 | 125287 | 77416 |
| 5 | 35683 | 26470 | 93943 | 83391 |
| 6 | 33019 | 18659 | 15661 | 60448 |
| 7 | 24810 | 16812 | 10559 | 10116 |
| 8 | 12159 | 14834 | 8820 | 6935 |
| 9 | 12257 | 7219 | 9140 | 5656 |
| 10 | 9065 | 5210 | 4165 | 6471 |
| 11 | 4005 | 5337 | 2684 | 2803 |
| 12 | 23875 | 2116 | 2912 | 1687 |
| 13 | 2216 | 13957 | 1055 | 1836 |
| 14 | 3013 | 1043 | 5663 | 696 |
| 15 | 2907 | 1427 | 591 | 3711 |
| 16 | 2964 | 1274 | 762 | 378 |
| 17 | 1261 | 1578 | 631 | 484 |
| 18 | 1970 | 733 | 790 | 421 |
| 19 | 292 | 682 | 496 | 577 |
| 20 | 79 | 140 | 324 | 262 |

Table 3.8 North Sea PLAICE. Fishing Effort Data 1971-1978

|  | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lowestoft trawl (hours) | 272878 | 270929 | 261466 | 242949 | 191437 | 215941 | 211207 | 217428 |
| Grimsby trawl (hours) | 170909 | 177233 | 148417 | 124889 | 87523 | 88575 | 93406 | 136521 |
| Grimsby seine (hours) | 140755 | 150501 | 159449 | 140981 | 133146 | 142327 | 140896 | 162267 |
| Belgian beam-trawl <br> (horse power corrected) | 129000 | 139473 | 137737 | 147342 | 181940 | 209345 | 224370 | 226947 |
| Netherlands beam-trawl (horse power corrected) | 1092716 | 1100905 | 1296297 | 1338173 | 1443481 | 1407797 | 1302814 | 1102458 |
| Total | 1806258 | 1839041 | 2003366 | 1994334 | 2037527 | 2063985 | 1972747 | 1845621 |

Table 3.9 North Sea PLAICE. Mean F values in 10 VPA for different trial runs and the correlation with the trend in fishing effort.

|  | Hours |  | Mean F | ges (4-10) |  |  |  | Mea | $F$ ages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | (thousands) | Run 1 | Run 2 | Run 3 | Run 4 | Run 5 | Run 1 | Run 2 | Run 3 | Run 4 | Run 5 |
| 1971 | 1806.3 | 0.391 | 0.391 | 0.390 | 0.394 | 0.399 | 0.213 | 0.212 | 0.217 | 0.217 | 0.218 |
| 1972 | 1839.0 | 0.437 | 0.439 | 0.438 | 0.443 | 0.443 | 0.385 | 0.379 | 0.393 | 0.394 | 0.396 |
| 1973 | 2003.4 | 0.480 | 0.484 | 0.486 | 0.492 | 0.490 | 0.388 | 0.380 | 0.401 | 0.405 | 0.406 |
| 1974 | 1994.3 | 0.506 | 0.519 | 0.516 | 0.526 | 0.524 | 0.402 | 0.390 | 0.419 | 0.424 | 0.430 |
| 1975 | 2037.5 | 0.455 | 0.470 | 0.477 | 0.477 | 0.475 | 0.518 | 0.490 | 0.550 | 0.553 | 0.578 |
| 1976 | 2064.0 | 0.539 | 0.558 | 0.569 | 0.566 | 0.585 | 0.465 | 0.429 | 0.509 | 0.523 | 0.564 |
| 1977 | 1972.7 | 0.372 | 0.386 | 0.422 | 0.421 | 0.393 | 0.313 | 0.276 | 0.356 | 0.384 | 0.425 |
| 1978 | 1845.6 | 0.440 | 0.456 | 0.500 | 0.516 | 0.440 | 0.380 | 0.320 | 0.464 | 0.520 | 0.626 |
| Correlation coefficient |  | 0.615 | 0.656 | 0.649 | . 0.586 | 0.705 | 0.757 | 0.703 | 0.651 | 0.583 | 0.455 |

Table 3.10 North Sea PLAICE
Frequency distribution of recruitment at age 2 from VPA (millions)

|  | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $100-119$ | 3 | 2 |
| $120-139$ | 3 | 4 |
| $140-159$ | 7 | 11 |
| $160-179$ | 6 | 4 |
| $180-199$ | 5 | 4 |
| $200-219$ | - | 2 |
| $240-239$ | 1 | 2 |
| $260-259$ | 1 | 2 |
| $280-299$ | - | - |
| $300-219$ | 3 | - |
| $320-339$ | 1 | - |
| $340-359$ | - | - |
| $360-379$ | - | 1 |
| 380 | 1 |  |

Table 3.11 North Sea PLAICE
VPA and I-group spring survey data 1968-1977

| Year | I-group Tridens Abundance <br> Index (relative units) | VPA recruits at <br> age 2 $\left(\times 10^{6}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1968 | 2876 | 314.7 |
| 1969 | 9670 | 363.9 |
| 1970 | - | 289.2 |
| 1971 | 2746 | 241.6 |
| 1972 | 18625 | 625.9 |
| 1973 | 6017 | 500.3 |
| 1974 | 4004 | 312.9 |
| 1975 | 1713 | 296.8 |
| 1976 | 7729 | 519.4 |
| 1977 | 4503 |  |

Table 3.12 North Sea PLAICE
Input data used for catch forecast

| Age |  | Males |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Relative } \\ F \end{gathered}$ | Catch in number $\begin{array}{r} 1978 \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Stock weight averages $\mathrm{kg}{ }^{\text {T }}$ | Catch weight at age $\mathrm{kg}^{\text {F }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Relative } \\ & F \end{aligned}$ | Catch in number 1978 (000) | Stock weight averages $\mathrm{kg}^{\mathrm{F}}$ | Catch weight at age $\mathrm{kg}^{37}$ |
|  | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | 0.210 0.596 0.965 1.000 0.877 0.754 0.667 0.579 0.561 0.509 0.474 0.456 0.439 0.421 | $\begin{array}{rr} 32 & 224 \\ 26 & 795 \\ 29 & 309 \\ 33 & 183 \\ 22 & 052 \\ 3142 \\ 1265 \\ 1727 \\ & 792 \\ & 294 \\ & 92 \\ & 120 \\ & 100 \\ & 470 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.220 \\ & 0.260 \\ & 0.310 \\ & 0.350 \\ & 0.410 \\ & 0.450 \\ & 0.500 \\ & 0.550 \\ & 0.600 \\ & 0.650 \\ & 0.690 \\ & 0.720 \\ & 0.740 \\ & 0.760 \end{aligned}$ | 0.274 <br> 0.303 <br> 0.334 <br> 0.355 <br> 0.380 <br> 0.410 <br> 0.430 <br> 0.460 0.480 <br> 0.510 <br> 0.530 <br> 0.550 <br> 0.570 <br> 0.590 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.368 \\ & 0.684 \\ & 0.974 \\ & 1.000 \\ & 1.000 \\ & 1.000 \\ & 1.000 \\ & 1.000 \\ & 1.000 \\ & 1.000 \\ & 1.000 \\ & 1.000 \\ & 1.000 \\ & 1.000 \\ & 1.000 \\ & 1.000 \\ & 1.000 \\ & 1.000 \\ & 1.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 22 \\ 250 \\ 25 \\ \hline 164 \\ 18 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{array} 033$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.200 \\ & 0.300 \\ & 0.400 \\ & 0.500 \\ & 0.590 \\ & 0.680 \\ & 0.770 \\ & 0.840 \\ & 0.900 \\ & 0.960 \\ & 1.000 \\ & 1.100 \\ & 1.130 \\ & 1.150 \\ & 1.180 \\ & 1.200 \\ & 1.200 \\ & 1.200 \\ & 1.200 \end{aligned}$ | 0.310 <br> 0.365 <br> 0.415 <br> 0.470 <br> 0.525 <br> 0.575 <br> 0.620 <br> 0.720 <br> 0.765 <br> 0.815 <br> 0.860 <br> 0.940 <br> 0.980 <br> 1.020 <br> 1.060 <br> 1.100 1.135 |
| Column | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

\#) kilogrammes gutted weight

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}_{1979} \quad 181.8 \times 10^{6} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{7980} \quad 199.0 \times 10^{6} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{1981} \quad 199.0 \times 10^{6} \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{1978} 0.57 \\
& 162.4 \times 10^{6} \\
& 178.7 \times 10^{6} \\
& 178.7 \times 10^{6} \\
& 0.38
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 3.13 North Sea PLAICE
Catch and spawning stock biomass predictions (sexes combined). Whole weight (in thousand tonnes)

|  | Option 1 |  |  | Option 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | $F$ | Catch | Stock | $F$ | Catch |
| 1978 | $F_{78}$ | 113.5 | 276.3 | $F_{78}$ | 113.5 | 276.3 |
| 1979 | $F_{78}$ | 112.0 | 282.0 | $F_{78}$ | 112.0 | 282.0 |
| 1980 | $F_{78}$ | 112.0 | 281.3 | $F=0.8 F_{78}$ | 92.6 | 281.3 |
| 1981 | $F_{78}$ | 108.8 | 270.9 | $F=0.8 F_{78}$ | 95.3 | 290.1 |

Table 3.14 North Sea PLAICE
Catch in 1980. Spawning stock in 1981

| 1980 F as <br> multiple of <br> 1978 F | Catch (1980) <br> (tonnes) | Spawning Stock (1981) <br> (tonnes) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0.6 | 72000 | 313000 |
| 0.7 | 83000 | 302000 |
| 0.8 | 93000 | 290000 |
| 0.9 | 103000 | 282000 |
| 1.0 | 112000 | 271000 |
| 1.1 | 121000 | 263000 |
| 1.2 | 130000 | 255000 |
| 1.3 | 139000 | 246000 |
| 1.4 | 147000 | 238000 |

Table 4.1 English Channel SOLE
Nominal catch (tonnes) in Divisions VIId and VIIe, 1968-1978

| YEAR | belgium |  | FRANCE |  | NETHERLANDS 3) |  | U. $\times$. |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | VIId | VIIe | VIId | VIIe | VIId | VIIe | VIId | VIIe | VIId | vire |
| 1968 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 133 | 114 |  |  |
| 1969 | 10 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 177 | 138 |  |  |
| 1970 | 127 | 10 |  |  |  |  | 228 | 125 |  |  |
| 1971 | 157 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 254 | 152 |  |  |
| 1972 | 147 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 322 | 201 | 1 |  |
| 1973 | 126 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 360 | 194 2) |  |  |
| 1974 | 159 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 309 | 181 |  |  |
| 1975 | 132 | 3 | 464 | 271 |  |  | 244 | 217 | 841 | 491 |
| 1976 | 203 | 4 | 599 | 352 |  |  | 404 | 260 | 1206 | 616 |
| 1977 | 225 | 3 | 737 | 331 |  |  | 315 | 272 | 1277 | 606 |
| 1978 1) | 226.4 | 2 | 761.5 | 291.8 |  |  | 366 | 453 | 1353.9 | 746.8 |

1) Preliminary figures
2) Figures amended from 1976 Working Group Report
3) Mainly Division VIId

Note: Catches for Divisions VIId and VIIe combined were taken from Bulletin Statistique as were the separate catches in 1975-77. separate catches in 1975-77.
The VIId and VIIe separate catches for previous years were obtained from national statistics.

SOLE in Division VIId
Fishing effort and catch per unit.
Fishing effort for Belgium and the United Kingdom

| Year | Total Catch (tonnes) | Belgium |  |  | United Kingdom |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Landings (tonnes) | CPUE $(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{h})$ Beam-traw1 | Fishing Effort (hours) | Landings (tonnes) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ (t / 1 \quad 000 \mathrm{~h}) \end{gathered}\right.$ | Fishing Effort (hours) |
| 1972 |  | 147 | 8.1 | 18148 | 322 |  |  |
| 1973 |  | 126 | 8.2 | 15366 | 360 |  |  |
| 1974 |  | 159 | 9.5 | 16737 | 309 |  |  |
| 1975 | 841 | 132 | 7.9 | 16709 | 244 | 4.220 | 57820 |
| 1976 | 1206 | 203 | 11.3 | 17965 | 404 | 4.878 | 82821 |
| 1977 | 1277 | 225 | 9.8 | 22959 | 315 | 2.320 | 135776 |
| 1978 | 1353.9 | 226.4 | 9.4 | 24085 | 366 | 3.963 | 92354 |

# $$
-45-
$$ <br> Table 4.3 Division VIId SOLE (males) <br> Age composition of total catch (thousands) 

| AGE | 1971 | 1572 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | . 0 | . ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 |
| 2 | 91.0 | 34.7 | 147.6 | 186.1 | 4.7 | 306.7 |
| 3 | 222.2 | 215.8 | 189.1 | 187.3 | 231.1 | 456.2 |
| 4 | 11.0 | 185.4 | 389.4 | 191.3 | 223.8 | 263.7 |
| 5 | . 0 | . 0 | 137.5 | 213.5 | 78.7 | 73.8 |
| 6 | 15.3 | 45.3 | 14.8 | 32.0 | 226.4 | 12.1 |
| 7 | 63.5 | . 0 | 30.5 | 11.4 | 73.8 | 76.4 |
| 8 | 447.5 | 45.3 | 12.5 | . 0 | 33.8 | 17.2 |
| 9 | 15.3 | 510.5 | 100.8 | 30.2 | 9.3 | 17.1 |
| 10 | 21.4 | 41.0 | 136.5 | 9.7 | 18.2 | 4.2 |
| 11 | 51.9 | 23.5 | 38.3 | 47.4 | 10.0 | 44.0 |
| 12 | 34.8 | . 0 | 24.2 | 45.1 | 95.6 | 235.4 |
| 13 | 108.1 | 162.5 | 52.3 | . 0 | 9.1 | 52.1 |
| 14 + | 220.4 | 28.5 | 76.6 | 22.3 | 105.3 | 50.2 |
| AGE | 1977 | 1978 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | . 0 | 307.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 900.0 | 986.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 357.1 | 1414.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 356.6 | 338.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 125.5 | 222.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 35.6 | 158.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 35.7 | 36.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 52.9 | 33.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 8.3 | 18.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 33.8 | 19.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 20.7 | 16.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 30.1 | 11.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 16.4 | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |
| $14+$ | 125.8 | 75.4 |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.4 Division VIId SOLE

Stock weight at | act |
| :---: |

| Males | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | . 027 | . 097 | . 178 | . 221 | . 270 | . 302 |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | . 335 | . 362 | . 378 | . 400 | .416 | . 427 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ .437 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Females | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | . 027 | . 135 | . 243 | . 346 | . 410 | . 475 |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | . 524 | . 567 | . 594 | . 621 | . 648 | . 670 |
|  | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
|  | . 680 | . 680 | .700 | . 704 | . 708 | . 712 |
|  | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
|  | . 713 | . 713 | . 713 |  |  |  |

Table 4.5 Division VIId SOLE (males) Fishing mortalities ( $\mathrm{M}=0.10$ )

| AGE | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .18 |
| 1 | .00 | .03 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .10 | .14 |
| 2 | .23 | .03 | .13 | .08 | .00 | .16 | .20 | .20 |
| 3 | .23 | .14 | .22 | .22 | .16 | .25 | .25 | .30 |
| 4 | .83 | .27 | .35 | .32 | .40 | .20 | .28 | .35 |
| 5 | .00 | .00 | .30 | .30 | .19 | .20 | .12 | .25 |
| 6 | .05 | .18 | .04 | .09 | .52 | .04 | .12 | .20 |
| 7 | .07 | .08 | .16 | .04 | .29 | .30 | .13 | .16 |
| 8 | .28 | .06 | .05 | .06 | .14 | .03 | .30 | .15 |
| 9 | .05 | .52 | .17 | .16 | .07 | .09 | .06 | .15 |
| 10 | .07 | .17 | .22 | .02 | .12 | .03 | .22 | .15 |
| 11 | .38 | .11 | .20 | .10 | .02 | .43 | .21 | .15 |
| 12 | .10 | .00 | .11 | .35 | .28 | .89 | .52 | .15 |
| 13 | 1.15 | .79 | .85 | .00 | .10 | .21 | .12 | .15 |
| 14 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 |

MEAN F FOR AGES $>=3$ AND $\leqslant=10$ (HEIGHTED BY STOCK IN NUMBERS) .16 . 22.23 . 19 . 25 . 20 . 21 . 22

Table 4.6 Division VIId SOLE (males)
Stock in numbers (thousands)

| AGE | 1571 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1278 | 1370 | 2670 | 2667 | 2433 | 11840 |
| 2 | 2017 | 1157 | 1240 | 2416 | 2414 | 2202 |
| -3 | 1127 | 1738 | 1014 | 982 | 2009 | 2179 |
| 4 | 447 | 809 | 1368 | 738 | 711 | 1549 |
| 5 | 320 | 334 | 556 | 868 | 486 | 431 |
| 6 | 520 | < 89 | 357 | 373 | 583 | 365 |
| 7 | 967 | 275 | 219 | 309 | 307 | 313 |
| 8 | 1915 | \&15 | 249 | 169 | 268 | $<08$ |
| 9 | 729 | 1309 | E. 34 | 213 | 153 | 21 |
| 10 | 348 | 283 | 781 | 532 | 164 | 136 |
| 11 | 171 | 295 | く17 | 510 | 473 | 131 |
| 12 | 380 | 106 | 240 | 160 | 417 | 418 |
| 13 | 165 | 311 | 96 | 194 | 102 | 286 |
| 14 | 367 | 47 | 128 | 37 | 175 | 84 |

AGE

| 1977 | 1978 |
| ---: | ---: |
|  |  |
| 817 | 1931 |
| 9989 | 7978 |
| 1761 | 8183 |
| 1539 | $1 E 06$ |
| 1144 | 1654 |
| 320 | 916 |
| 319 | $2 \equiv 6$ |
| 211 | 255 |
| 172 | 141 |
| 175 | 147 |
| 115 | 127 |
| 77 | 83 |
| 156 | 41 |
| 210 | 126 |

Table 4.7 Division VIId SOLE (females)
Age composition of total catch (thousands)

| AGE | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 |
| 2 | . 0 | . 0 | 339.8 | 354.6 | 16.9 | 388.8 |
| 3 | 249.1 | 294.6 | 128.9 | 364.8 | 484.9 | 793.1 |
| 4 | 42.7 | 28.5 | 367.2 | 127.3 | 205.2 | 476.1 |
| 5 | 45.2 | . 0 | 120.3 | 270.6 | 60.7 | 183.2 |
| 6 | . 0 | . 0 | 30.5 | 43.4 | 209.1 | 53.7 |
| 7 | 21.4 | . 0 | 46.9 | 87.9 | 23.3 | 201.5 |
| 8 | 327.9 | . 0 | 50.0 | 10.3 | 12.3 | 34.2 |
| $9^{\circ}$ | 20.8 | 310.7 | 71.1 | 10.8 | 9.0 | 19.2 |
| 10 | . 0 | 226.4 | 152.3 | 46.2 | 4.9 | 8.2 |
| 11 | 47.0 | . 0 | 28.1 | 111.3 | 16.7 | 3.7 |
| 12 | 70.2 | . 0 | 58.6 | . 0 | 70.7 | 4.7 |
| 13 | 48.8 | 24.8 | 63.3 | 8.E | 7.6 | 140.4 |
| 14 | 73.3 | 57.7 | 18.0 | 41.1 | 6.0 | 1.8 |
| 15 | . 0 | . 0 | 26.6 | 21.1 | 9.0 | 11.3 |
| 1 E | 28.7 | 39.1 | 21.1 | 6.3 | 11.1 | 22.0 |
| 17 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 36.0 | 43.3 | 10.2 |
| 18 | . 0 | 9.3 | . 0 | 25.7 | 9.4 | 21.5 |
| 19 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 9.7 | 25.6 | 14.0 |
| 20 | 97.1 | 8.1 | . 0 | 17.1 | 7.1 | 5.0 |
| 21 | 26.3 | 38.5 | 14.1 | 30.8 | 8.9 | 26.3 |

AGE
1977
1978

| 1 | .0 | 54.8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 1202.8 | 793.1 |
| 3 | 596.1 | 913.7 |
| 4 | 688.8 | 205.1 |
| 5 | 168.6 | 92.3 |
| 6 | 95.9 | 137.5 |
| 7 | 22.3 | 53.6 |
| 8 | 64.4 | 19.9 |
| 9 | 47.4 | 38.7 |
| 18 | 10.3 | 14.8 |
| 11 | 6.3 | 10.6 |
| 12 | 4.9 | 7.0 |
| 13 | 23.1 | 6.7 |
| 14 | 53.6 | 25.6 |
| 15 | 14.4 | 22.6 |
| 16 | .6 | 1.6 |
| 17 | 5.9 | 12.2 |
| 18 | 13.5 | 1.9 |
| 19 | 56.4 | 3.4 |
| 20 | 5.9 | 11.4 |
| 21 | .1 | 5.0 |

Table 4.8 Division VIId SOLE (females)
Fishing mortalities ( $M=0.10$ )

| AGE | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | .00 | .00 | .60 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .02 |
| 2 | .00 | .00 | .21 | .15 | .01 | .20 | .23 | .19 |
| 3 | .37 | .16 | .24 | .33 | .27 | .49 | .46 | .25 |
| 4 | .13 | .06 | .26 | .35 | .28 | .41 | .91 | .25 |
| 5 | .23 | .60 | .33 | .28 | .25 | .38 | .22 | .25 |
| 6 | .00 | .00 | .12 | .17 | .33 | .37 | .31 | .25 |
| 7 | .05 | .00 | .40 | .54 | .12 | .53 | .20 | .25 |
| 8 | .22 | .00 | .48 | .13 | .12 | .23 | .29 | .25 |
| 9 | .06 | .30 | .21 | .16 | .14 | .24 | .45 | .25 |
| 10 | .06 | 1.39 | .21 | .18 | .09 | .17 | .18 | .25 |
| 11 | .20 | .00 | .54 | .21 | .08 | .08 | .17 | .25 |
| 12 | .35 | .06 | .39 | .06 | .18 | .03 | .14 | .25 |
| 13 | .11 | .18 | .46 | .08 | .27 | .57 | .17 | .25 |
| 14 | .43 | .17 | .17 | .54 | .07 | .65 | .38 | .25 |
| 15 | .06 | .00 | .10 | .28 | .19 | .15 | 1.35 | .25 |
| 16 | .30 | .43 | .21 | .03 | .21 | .83 | .01 | .25 |
| 17 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .58 | .24 | .26 | .48 | .25 |
| 18 | .00 | .23 | .00 | .56 | .26 | .16 | .58 | .25 |
| 19 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .18 | 1.71 | .67 | .69 | .28 |
| 20 | .59 | .33 | .00 | .83 | .17 | 3.53 | .59 | .25 |
| 21 | .25 | .25 | .25 | .25 | .25 | .25 | .25 | .25 |

MEAN F FOR AGES $>=3$ AND $\langle=16$ (HEIGHTED BY STOCK IN NUMBERS) .20 .20 .26 .27 .25 . 20 . 27 . 25

Table 4.9 Division VIId SOLE (females)
Stock in numbers (thousands)

| AGE | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1374 | 1975 | 1976 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 776 | 2066 | 3043 | 2656 | 2525 | 6685 |
| 2 | 2362 | 702 | 1870 | 2753 | 2403 | 2285 |
| 3 | 837 | 2137 | 635 | 1365 | 2155 | 2159 |
| 4 | 381 | 521 | 1654 | 452 | 893 | 1490 |
| 5 | 2ลิ9 | 305 | 444 | 1148 | 289 | 613 |
| 6 | 167 | 164 | 276 | 288 | 782 | 284 |
| 7 | 502 | 151 | 149 | 220 | 219 | 510 |
| 8 | 1726 | 434 | 136 | 90 | 116 | 176 |
| 9 | 368 | 1251 | 392 | 76 | 72 | 93 |
| 10 | 234 | 313 | 837 | 288 | 59 | 56 |
| 11 | 270 | 211 | 71 | 613 | 216 | 48 |
| 12 | 248 | 199 | 191 | 37 | 449 | 180 |
| 13 | 482 | 158 | 180 | 118 | 34 | 339 |
| 14 | 213 | 390 | 129 | 103 | 98 | 23 |
| 15 | 130 | 129 | 298 | 91 | 55 | 83 |
| 16 | 116 | 117 | 115 | 245 | 62 | 41 |
| 17 | 53 | 77 | 63 | 85 | 215 | 46 |
| 18 | 58 | 48 | 70 | 63 | 43 | 154 |
| 19 | 33 | 53 | 35 | 63 | 32 | 30 |
| 20 | 161 | 30 | 48 | 32 | 48 | 5 |
| 21 | 37 | 54 | 20 | 43 | 12 | 37 |

AGE
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

1977
5314
2532
48.09

4332
972
438
E52
ご. 4
94
18.3

70
50
33
32
121
107
5
58
9
16
54
1978
5.4
9.4

0
43
40
158
174
20
65
16
32
119
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Table 4.10 SOIE in Division VIId
Input data for estimation of yield per recruit curves and for catch predictions

| Age | Males ( $\mathrm{M}=0.1$ ) |  |  | Females ( $M=0.1$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Relative } \\ F_{t} \end{gathered}$ | $\bar{w}_{t}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Relative } \\ & F_{t} \end{aligned}$ | $\bar{w}_{t}$ |
| 1 | . 178 | 0.51 | . 027 | . 023 | . 09 | . 027 |
| 2 | . 139 | 0.40 |  | . 19 | . 76 | . 135 |
| 3 | . 20 | 0.57 | . 178 | . 25 | 1.00 | . 243 |
| 4 | . 35 | 1.00 | . 221 | . 25 | 1.00 | . 346 |
| 5 | . 25 | 0.71 | . 270 | . 25 | 1.00 | . 410 |
| 6 | . 20 | 0.57 | . 302 | . 25 | 1.00 | . 475 |
| 7 | . 16 | 0.46 | . 335 | . 25 | 1.00 | . 524 |
| 8 | . 15 | 0.43 | . 362 | . 25 | 1.00 | . 567 |
| 9 | . 15 | 0.43 | . 378 | . 25 | 1.00 | . 594 |
| 10 | . 15 | 0.43 | . 400 | . 25 | 1.00 | . 621 |
| 11 | . 15 | 0.43 | . 416 | . 25 | 1.00 | . 648 |
| 12 | . 15 | 0.43 | . 427 | . 25 | 1.00 | . 670 |
| 13 | . 15 | 0.43 | . 437 | . 25 | 1.00 | . 680 |
| 14 | . 15 | 0.43 | . 443 | . 25 | 1.00 | . 680 |
| 15 |  |  |  | . 25 | 1.00 | . 700 |
| 16 |  |  |  | . 25 | 1.00 | . 704 |
| 17 |  |  |  | . 25 | 1.00 | . 708 |
| 18 |  |  |  | . 25 | 1.00 | . 712 |
| 19 |  |  |  | . 25 | 1.00 | . 713 |
| 20 |  |  |  | . 25 | 1.00 | . 713 |
| 21 |  |  |  | . 25 | 1.00 | . 713 |

Recruits at age 1
1978 : 1981
1979 : 1996
1980 : 1996

Recruits at age 2
1978: 2532
1979: 2573
1980: 2573

Table 4.11 SOLE in Divisions VIId and VIIe
Selected catch predictions

|  | Div. VIId |  | Div. VIIe |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spawning stock biomass 1978 (tonnes $\times 10^{-3}$ ) <br> Catch 1978 <br> $\left(\right.$ tonnes $\left.\times 10^{-2}\right)$ | 5. 13. |  | 3.8 |  |
| Spawning stock biomass 1979 Catch 1979 | 3.4 |  | 3.8 |  |
| Spawning stock biomass 1980 | 6.1 |  | 4.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{80} / \mathrm{F}_{78}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Males }}{\text { Catch }} \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | Females <br> Spawning <br> Stock <br> Biomass | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Males }}{\text { Catch }} \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | Females <br> Spawning <br> Stock <br> Biomass |
| 0 | 0 | 7.1 | 0 | 4.7 |
| 0.1 | 1.6 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 4.6 |
| 0.2 | 3.0 | 6.7 | 1.7 | 4.4 |
| 0.4 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 3.3 | 4.3 |
| 0.6 | 8.5 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 4.2 |
| 0.8 | 11.3 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 4.1 |
| 1.0 | 13.8 | 5.6 | 7.8 | 4.0 |
| 1.5 | 19.4 | 4.9 | 11.0 | 3.7 |
| 2.0 | 24.4 | 4.4 |  |  |

Table 5.1 SOLE in Division VIIe
Fishing effort and catch per unit effort (United Kingdom)

| Year | Total <br> Catch <br> (tonnes) | U.K. <br> Landings <br> (tonnes) | U.K. CPUE <br> tonnes/ I 000 <br> hours | U.K. Fishing <br> Effort (hours) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1969 | $(369)^{\#}$ | 138 | 1.93 | 71503 |
| 1970 | $(413)^{\#}$ | 125 | 1.24 | 100806 |
| 1971 | $(457)^{\#}$ | 152 | 1.02 | 149020 |
| 1972 | $(461)^{\#}$ | 201 | 1.30 | 154615 |
| 1973 | $(482)^{\#}$ | 194 | 0.95 | 204211 |
| 1974 | $(449)^{\#}$ | 181 | 1.14 | 158772 |
| 1975 | 491 | 217 | 1.41 | 153900 |
| 1976 | 616 | 260 | 1.57 | 165605 |
| 1977 | 606 | 272 | 1.28 | 212500 |
| 1978 | 746.8 | 453 | 2.09 | 216746 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

\#) Working Group estimate for assessment purposes

Table 5.2 Division VIIe SOLE (males)
Age composition of total catch (thousands)

| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 44.5 | 19.8 | 24.2 | 58.3 |  |  |
| 3 | 63.8 | 102.5 | 100.4 | 58.3 140.6 | 53.7 | 4E.5 |
| 4 | 30.1 | 136.4 | 77.1 | 140.6 31.5 | 136.8 | 134.1 |
| 5 | 36.5 | 27.8 | 28.6 | 31.5 32.8 | 167.0 | 90.2 |
| 6 | 52.6 | 31.5 | 14.0 | 17.5 | 50.3 | 43.4 |
| 7 | . 0 | 21.5 | 34.5 | 17.5 | 29.2 | 17.8 |
| 8 | 15. | 6.2 | 39.3 | 6.1 | 3.6 23.6 | 19.0 |
| 9 | 15.5 | 30.0 | 6.8 | 73.3 | 23.6 8.6 | 19.5 |
| 10 | . 8 | 13.7 | . 0 | 22.2 | - 0 | 17.0 |
| 11 | . 0 | 10.9 | 35.7 | 16.6 | . 0 | 2\%.0 |
| 12 | 2.7 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 7.9 | 8.5 |  |
| 1.3 | E. 7 | 6.2 | 7.8 | 11.0 | 11.3 | 19.1 |
| 14 | 2.7 | . 1 | 6.8 | 3.1 | 11.3 .0 | 19.5 |
| 15 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | - 1 | 20.6 | E.E |
| 16 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 26.6 3.1 | 2.3 |
| 17 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 3.3 |
| 18 | . 1 | 3.9 | . 1 | . 1 | -1 | .7 |
| 19 | - 1 | 1.2 | . 1 | 3.1 | . 1 | -1 |
| 20 | . 1 | . 1 | 2.0 | 3.1 | . 1 | 1.8 |
| 21+ | . 1 | 1.3 | 5.1 | 25.8 | 1.6 | .7 6.5 |

AGE


1975
50.3
236.9
56.7
91.2
E. 9.
17.5
38.8
6.1
5.2
17.8
17.2
3.E.
6. 1
.1
.1
E. 4
5.7
.1
9.5

1976
67.6
185.5
163.7
53.9

7 . 6
35.1
24.4
37.9
34.5
3.6
14.5
21.2
9.1
3.7
4.3
22.5
17.9
1.2
13.4

1977
1978

| 197.7 | 139.3 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 181.2 | 593.3 |
| 143.1 | 172.2 |
| 92.2 | 45.5 |
| 43.7 | 51.0 |
| 40.6 | 65.8 |
| 31.6 | 33.7 |
| 2.7 | 18.7 |
| 12.0 | 16.7 |
| 14.4 | 10.6 |
| 4.8 | 5.5 |
| 5.5 | 5.6 |
| 24.2 | 2.0 |
| 3.2 | 9.7 |
| 5.7 | 3.1 |
| 4.4 | 5.3 |
| 8.5 | 10.3 |
| .8 | 2.5 |
| .0 | 10.2 |

(Males)

| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| .168 | .199 | .230 | .268 | .287 | .316 |
| 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| .341 | .364 | .381 | .405 | .427 | .438 |
| 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 1 | 18 |
| .454 | .473 | .489 | .561 | .513 | .526 |
| 20 | $21+$ |  |  |  |  |
| .543 | .601 |  |  |  |  |

(Females)

| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| .182 | .244 | .302 | .364 | .420 | .465 |
| 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| .513 | .552 | .588 | .625 | .659 | .683 |
| 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| .708 | .735 | .757 | .778 | .801 | .817 |
| 20 | $21+$ |  |  |  |  |
| .834 | .923 |  |  |  |  |

$\begin{aligned} \text { Table 5.4 } & \text { Division VIIe SOLE (males) } \\ & \text { Fishing mortalities ( } M=0.10 \text { ) }\end{aligned}$

| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | .06 | .02 | .03 | .06 | .05 | .04 | .06 | .06 | .09 | .08 |
| 3 | .13 | .18 | .18 | .19 | .16 | .14 | .26 | .32 | .20 | .35 |
| 4 | .12 | .38 | .18 | .23 | .32 | .14 | .07 | .26 | .38 | .26 |
| 5 | .15 | .14 | .12 | .10 | .17 | .11 | .18 | .09 | .21 | .18 |
| 6 | .10 | .17 | .09 | .09 | .11 | .07 | .24 | .21 | .08 | .15 |
| 7 | .00 | .05 | .26 | .00 | .05 | .08 | .09 | .16 | .14 | .15 |
| 8 | .07 | .05 | .10 | .06 | .20 | .14 | .22 | .15 | .19 | .15 |
| 9 | .13 | .17 | .06 | .28 | .10 | .20 | .05 | .30 | .02 | .15 |
| 10 | .00 | .13 | .00 | .27 | .00 | .10 | .08 | .43 | .13 | .15 |
| 11 | .00 | .04 | .53 | .14 | .06 | .15 | .35 | .06 | .28 | .15 |
| 12 | .04 | .04 | .01 | .19 | .09 | .13 | .11 | .48 | .10 | .15 |
| 13 | .22 | .10 | .08 | .05 | .40 | .13 | .08 | .18 | .36 | .15 |
| 14 | .06 | .00 | .13 | .04 | .00 | .38 | .09 | .26 | .23 | .15 |
| 15 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .31 | .02 | .01 | .07 | .12 | .15 |
| 16 | .00 | .06 | .00 | .01 | .08 | .67 | .04 | .45 | .12 | .15 |
| 17 | .01 | .01 | .00 | .00 | .01 | .02 | .00 | .20 | 1.04 | .15 |
| 18 | .09 | .71 | .01 | .06 | .00 | .01 | .24 | .60 | .10 | .15 |
| 19 | .01 | .02 | .63 | .22 | .01 | .07 | .49 | .06 | .00 | .15 |
| 20 | .04 | .01 | .04 | .04 | .01 | .04 | .00 | .06 | .08 | .15 |
| 21 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 |

MEAN F FOR AGES $>=3$ GND < $=16$ (HEIGHTED BY STOCK IN NUMRERS) .09 .15 .14 .1E .16 .12 .17 .2̃ .19 . 25

| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 768 | 707 | 977 | 1121 | 1261 | 1236 |
| 3 | 547 | 653 | 629 | 861 | 959 | 1085 |
| 4 | 2s0 | 434 | 493 | 474 | 645 | 737 |
| 5 | 268 | 225 | 269 | 373 | 342 | 426 |
| 6 | 597 | 208 | 177 | 216 | $30 \%$ | ご2 |
| 7 | 151 | 430 | 158 | 147 | 179 | 250 |
| 8 | 236 | 136 | 423 | 110 | 133 | 154 |
| 9 | 144 | 199 | 117 | 345 | 94 | 38 |
| 10 | 313 | 115 | 152 | 100 | 237 | 77 |
| 11 | 142 | 233 | 91 | 137 | 69 | 215 |
| 12 | 82 | 123 | 245 | 43 | 105 | 63 |
| 13 | 36 | 71 | 112 | 221 | 36 | 90 |
| 14 | 52 | 26 | 59 | 94 | 189 | 22 |
| 15 | 31 | 44 | 23 | 47 | 82 | 171 |
| 16 | 23 | 28 | 40 | 21 | 42 | 55 |
| 17 | 9 | 21 | 25 | S 6 | 13 | 55 |
| 18 | 52 | 8 | 19 | 22 | 32 | 17 |
| 19 | 11 | 56 | 3 | 17 | 20 | 29 |
| 20 | 2 | 9 | 50 | 3 | 12 | 18. |
| 21 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 43 | 3 | 11 |


| AGE | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 842 | 1244 | 2299 | 1974 |
| 3 | 1074 | 714 | 1962 | 1892 |
| 4 | 854 | 748 | 470 | 789 |
| 5 | 582 | 719 | 521 | 290 |
| $E$ | 344 | 448 | 594 | 384 |
| 7 | 220 | 246 | 323 | 496 |
| 8 | 208 | 182 | 189 | 254 |
| 9 | 121 | 151 | 142 | 141 |
| 10 | 75 | 103 | 101 | 126 |
| 11 | 63 | 61 | 61 | 80 |
| 12 | 167 | 40 | 52 | 41 |
| 13 | 51 | 135 | 22 | 42 |
| 14 | 14 | 42 | 102 | 15 |
| 15 | 152 | 12 | 29 | 73 |
| 16 | 46 | 132 | 50 | 23 |
| 17 | 31 | 42 | 7 | 40 |
| 18 | 15 | 22 | 98 | 2 |
| 19 | 25 | 8 | 21 | 81 |
| 26 | 16 | 22 | 19 | 19 |
| 21 |  |  |  | 8 |

Table 5.6 Division VIIe SOLE (females) Age composition of total catch (thousands)

| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 46.8 | 25.4 | 2e. 8 | 55.8 | 43.0 | 43.2 |
| 3 | 235.2 | 133.6 | 111.5 | 245.0 | 2132.7 | 212.3 |
| 4 | 66.3 | 174.7 | 157.6 | 84.0 | 281.0 | 111.2 |
| 5 | 107.8 | 45.0 | 147.5 | 1:6.5 | 74.5 | 114.7 |
| 6 | 164.9 | 82.9 | 45.6 | 94.4 | 70.7 | 53.7 |
| 7 | 16.4 | 99.7 | 47.4 | 28.2 | 119.5 | 2.5.5 |
| 8 | 32.8 | 14.2 | 141.2 | 18.6 | 2.6 | 32.6 |
| 9 | 9.4 | 16.5 | 7.4 | 60.4 | 21.8 | ここ.2 |
| 10 | 16.8 | 34.1 | 31.5 | 19.3 | 31.6 | 21.5 |
| 11 | 13.5 | 16.0 | 18.2 | 29.0 | 5.2 | 16.3. |
| 12 | 6.7 | 11.0 | 29.2 | 13.1 | 19.9 | 13.5 |
| 13 | 1.5 | 17.4 | 13.7 | 3.1 | 6.9 | 12.7 |
| 14 | 14.9 | 8.3 | 13.7 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 7.3 |
| 15 | 5.2 | 15.8 | 7.7 | 13.5 | 4.6 | 9.5 |
| 16 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 7.2 | 3.3 | 6.3 |
| 17 | 7.9 | . 8 | 2.1 | 2.9 | . 5 | 3.0 |
| 18 | 1.2 | . 9 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 12.3 | -. 1 |
| 19 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 8.8 | 1.5 | . 5 | E. 5 |
| 20 | . 2 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 2.E |
| 21 | 5.5 | 15.8 | 14.3 | 8.7 | 13.2 | 14.5 |


| AGE | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 19.1 | 66.6 | 95.5 | 65.3 |
| 3 | 267.4 | 164.3 | 139.3 | 455.5 |
| 4 | 121.5 | 275.1 | 219.8 | 166.5 |
| 5 | 122.5 | 88.9 | 125.2 | 138.8 |
| 6 | 50.8 | 93.1 | 62.5 | 124.3 |
| 7 | 10.0 | $E .0 .4$ | 49.7 | 25.0 |
| 8 | 36.4 | 10.7 | 63.7 | 42.2 |
| 9 | 20.1 | 23.9 | 7.9 | 45.9 |
| 10 | 18.5 | 22.3 | 16.1 | 16.2 |
| 11 | 10.7 | 9.4 | 29.7 | 12.3 |
| 12 | 19.7 | 3.2 | 8.1 | 11.3 |
| 13 | 14.1 | 44.4 | 12.1 | 4.7 |
| 14 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 21.4 | 8.0 |
| 15 | 5.5 | 11.7 | 3.4 | 26.2 |
| 16 | 9.9 | 12.1 | 13.2 | 11.6 |
| 17 | 11.6 | 4.8 | 9.4 | 6.2 |
| 18 | 2.7 | 15.1 | 5.7 | 5.1 |
| 19 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 5.4 |
| 26 | 13.3 | 23.3 | 21.6 | 26.3 |

Table 5.7 Division VIIe SOLE (females)
Fishing mortalities ( $M=0.10$ )

| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1376 | 1977 | 1978 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | .85 | . 03 | .01 | . 04 | .05 | . 63 | . 02 | . 06 | . 04 | . 03 |
| 3 | . 20 | .16 | . 19 | . 17 | . 19 | . 34 | . 19 | . 16 | . 21 | . 22 |
| 4 | . 18 | .20 | . 25 | . 19 | . 27 | . 14 | . 29 | . 28 | . 30 | . 26 |
| 5 | . 22 | .17 | . 23 | . 26 | . 23 | . 15 | . 13 | . 32 | . 13 | . 28 |
| 6 | . 14 | . 24 | . 22 | . 21 | . 23 | . 23 | . 08 | . 20 | . 34 | . 24 |
| 7 | . 05 | . 11 | . 18 | . 13 | . 38 | .12 | . 05 | .12 | . 14 | . 20 |
| 8 | .09 | . 25 | . 20 | . 09 | . 92 | . 15 | . 20 | .07 | .16 | .15 |
| 9 | . 04 | .06 | .13 | . 11 | . 13 | .21 | . 12 | .17 | . 06 | . 15 |
| 10 | . 07 | . 16 | . 13 | .09 | .07 | . 17 | . 25 | .17 | . 15 | .15 |
| 11 | . 87 | . 09 | . 11 | . 15 | . 63 | . 04 | .11 | .17 | . 21 | . 15 |
| 12 | .03 | .07 | .13 | .10 | .13 | . 08 | .06 | . 04 | . 20 | . 15 |
| 13 | . 01 | . 08 | . 11 | . 02 | . 06 | . 49 | . 11 | .16 | .17 | . 15 |
| 14 | .10 | .087 | .88 | . 03 | . 04 | . 08 | .17 | . 08 | .10 | . 15 |
| 15 | .09 | . 14 | . 08 | . 09 | .05 | . 10 | . 11 | . 16 | .84 | . 15 |
| 16 | . 1.0 | . 09 | . 05 | . 09 | . 03 | . 8 | .67 | .20 | . 24 | . 15 |
| 17 | .16 | . 00 | . 95 | . 04 | . 01 | . 03 | . 16 | . 24 | . 21 | . 15 |
| 18 | . 04 | . 02 | . 66 | . 15 | . 19 | . 12 | . 13 | . 69 | . 12 | .15 |
| 19 | .10 | . 13 | . 27 | . 05 | . 82 | . 13 | . 06 | . 22 | . 06 | . 15 |
| 20 | .01 | . 07 | . 22 | . 11 | . 04 | . 11 | . 06 | . 09 | . 18 | . 15 |
| 21 | .15 | . 15 | . 15 | .15 | . 15 | . 15 | . 15 | . 15 | . 15 | .15 |

MLGN F FOR AGES $>3$ AND $<=16$ (WEIGHTED EY STOCK IN INUMBERS) .14 .14 .18 . 14.19 .17 .16 .19 .20 . 22

Table 5.9 Division VIIe SOLE
Input data for estimation of yield per recruit curves and for catch predictions

| Age | Males $(M=0.1)$ |  |  | Females $(M=0.1)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | Relative <br> $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{w}}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | Relative <br> $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{w}}_{\mathrm{t}}$ |  |
| 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 2 | .08 | .23 | .168 | .03 | .11 | .182 |  |
| 3 | .35 | 1.00 | .199 | .22 | .79 | .244 |  |
| 4 | .26 | .74 | .230 | .26 | .93 | .302 |  |
| 5 | .18 | .51 | .268 | .28 | 1.00 | .364 |  |
| 6 | .15 | .43 | .287 | .24 | .86 | .420 |  |
| 7 | .15 | .43 | .316 | .20 | .71 | .465 |  |
| 8 | .15 | .43 | .341 | .15 | .54 | .513 |  |
| 9 | .15 | .43 | .364 | .15 | .54 | .552 |  |
| 10 | .15 | .43 | .381 | .15 | .54 | .588 |  |
| 11 | .15 | .43 | .405 | .15 | .54 | .625 |  |
| 12 | .15 | .43 | .427 | .15 | .54 | .659 |  |
| 13 | .15 | .43 | .438 | .15 | .54 | .683 |  |
| 14 | .15 | .43 | .454 | .15 | .54 | .708 |  |
| 15 | .15 | .43 | .473 | .15 | .54 | .735 |  |
| 16 | .15 | .43 | .489 | .15 | .54 | .757 |  |
| 17 | .15 | .43 | .501 | .15 | .54 | .778 |  |
| 18 | .15 | .43 | .513 | .15 | .54 | .801 |  |
| 19 | .15 | .43 | .526 | .15 | .54 | .817 |  |
| 20 | .15 | .43 | .543 | .15 | .54 | .834 |  |
| 21 | .15 | .43 | .601 | .15 | .54 | .923 |  |

Recruits at age 2
1978 : 1974
1979 : I 115
1980 : 1115

Table 6.1 English Channel PLAICE
Nominal catch (tonnes) in Divisions VIId and VIIe, 1962-1978

| Year | Belgium |  | France |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nether- } \\ \text { lands } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.K. } \\ \text { (England \& Wales) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | VIId | VIIe | VIId | VIIe | VIId | VIIe | VIId | VIIe | VIId |  | VIIe |
| 1962 | 24 |  | 874 |  | - |  | 545 | 373 |  | 816 |  |
| 1963 |  |  | 1162 |  | - |  | 472 | 506 |  | 172 |  |
| 1964 |  |  | 1393 |  | - |  | 616 | 422 |  | 459 |  |
| 1965 |  |  | 2130 |  | - |  | 841 | 445 |  | 449 |  |
| 1966 |  |  | $27001)$ |  | - |  | 1067 | 681 |  | 473 |  |
| 1967 |  |  | 2905 |  | - |  | 976 | 829 |  | 721 |  |
| 1968 |  |  | 1920 |  | - |  | 713 | 641 |  | 304 |  |
| 1969 | 18 | 12 | 1681 |  | - |  | 521 | 508 |  | 740 |  |
| 1970 | 170 | 13 | 2161 |  | 6 |  | 1126 | 391 |  | 867 |  |
| 1971 | 175 | 4 | 2635 |  | - |  | 1025 | 440 |  | 279 |  |
| 1972 | 163 | 14 | 1866 |  | 17 |  | 855 | 327 |  | 242 |  |
| 1973 | 139 | 5 | 1735 |  | - |  | 889 | 367 |  | 135 |  |
| 1974 | 148 | 4 | 2180 |  | 13 |  | 564 | 248 |  | 157 |  |
| 1975 | 153 | 8 | 1802 | 288 | - |  | 293 | 279 | 2248 |  | 575 |
| 1976 | 146 | 5 | 1349 | 388 | - |  | 378 | 306 | 1873 |  | 699 |
| 1977 | 148 | 23 | 1714 | 336 | - |  | 304 | 363 | 2166 |  | 722 |
| 1978* | 151 | - | 1640 | 291 |  |  | 349 | 465 | 2140 |  | 756 |

*) preliminary figures as reported
${ }^{1)}$ Figure from Révue des Travaux de I'Institut des Pêches maritimes raised to round fresh weight
NB. All combined VIId,e figures and the 1975-77 data are from Bulletin Statistique. All others are from national statistics.

Table 6.2 English Channel PLAICE. Catch per effort data and estimated effective effort

| Year | C P U E |  |  |  | Effective f |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tonnes <br> landed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.K. } \\ & \text { CPUE VIIe } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.K, } \\ \text { CPUE VIIA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Belgian } \\ & \text { CPUE VIId } \end{aligned}$ | U.K. VIIe | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.K. } \\ & \text { VIId } \end{aligned}$ | Belgian VIId |
| 1971 | 4279 | 4.25 | - | - | 1007 | - | - |
| 1972 | 3242 | 3.59 | - | 3.5 | 903 | - | 926 |
| 1973 | 3135 | 3.06 | - | 6.9 | 1025 1007 | - | 454 ¢18 |
| 1974 | 3157 | 2.90 | - | 8.3 | 1089 | - | 380 |
| 1975 | 2823 | 2.79 | 3.21 | 9.0 | 1012 | 879 | 314 |
| 1976 | 2572 | 2.80 | 5.09 | 8.2 | 919 | 505 | 314 |
| 1977 | 2888 | 2.45 | 3.22 | 6.1 | 1179 | 897 | 473 |
| 1978 | 2896 | 3.22 | 4.96 | 6.4 | 899 | 584 | 452 |

Table 6.3 Division VIId and VIIe PLAICE Age composition of total catch in 1971-1978 (thousands) (males)
$\left.\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}\text { AGE } & 1971 & 1972 & 1973 & 1974 & 1975 & 1976 \\ 1 & & .4 & 20.9 & 3.0 & 29.0 & 2.9\end{array}\right)$

## Table 6.4 Division VIId and VIIe PLAICE

Fishing mortality 1971-1978 ( $M=0.15$ ) (males)

| AGE | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | .000 | .010 | .001 | .008 | .002 | .054 | .012 | .040 |
| 2 | .072 | .145 | .076 | .041 | .572 | .413 | .516 | .500 |
| 3 | .721 | .440 | .574 | .255 | .971 | .988 | 1.137 | 1.030 |
| 4 | .712 | .512 | 1.735 | .524 | .810 | .744 | 1.200 | .920 |
| 5 | .454 | .572 | 1.782 | .402 | .991 | .430 | .757 | .730 |
| 6 | .669 | .810 | .633 | .322 | .290 | .533 | .779 | .660 |
| 7 | .557 | .752 | .391 | .250 | .519 | .554 | .720 | .660 |
| 8 | .462 | .111 | .059 | .109 | .433 | .892 | .990 | .660 |
| 9 | 1.987 | .212 | .023 | .029 | .138 | .339 | 1.658 | .660 |
| 10 | .217 | .356 | .213 | .004 | .713 | .144 | 1.100 | .660 |
| 11 | .042 | 3.163 | .220 | .326 | .187 | .466 | .257 | .310 |
| 12 | .530 | .066 | .101 | .047 | .282 | .110 | .225 | .200 |
| 13 | .200 | .200 | .200 | .200 | .200 | .200 | .200 | .200 |

MEAN F FOR AGES $>=3$ AND $\leqslant=13$ (HEIGHTED BY STOCK IN NUMBERS) $.669 \quad .494 \quad 1.179 \quad .320 \quad .788$.754 . 982 . 966

Table 6.5 Division VIId and VIIe PLAICE
Stock in numbers (thousands) 1971-1978 (males)

| AGE | 1971 | 1972 | 1373 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3224.2 | 2275.7 | 2526.9 | 4151.6 | 1665.0 | 6694:8 |
| 2 | 7212.8 | 2774.8 | 1939.3 | 2172.1 | 3546.5 | 1430.4 |
| 3 | 4594.1 | 5777.3 | 2066.4 | 1546.5 | 1794.8 | 1722.7 |
| 4 | 1828.7 | 1922.8 | 3203.8 | 1001.4 | 1031.5 | 585.8 |
| 5 | 1558.8 | 772.7 | 991.4 | 486.4 | 510,3. | 395.1 |
| 6 | 791.6 | 851.8 | 375.2 | 143.6 | 280.0 | 163.0 |
| 7 | 571.3 | 348.9 | 326.3 | 171.5 | 89.5 | 180.4 |
| 8 | 534.1 | 281.7 | 141.5 | 189.9 | 114.9 | 45.8 |
| 9 | 190.8 | 322.1 | 216.9 | 114.9 | 146.6 | 64.1 |
| 10 | 44.6 | 22.5 | 224.4 | 182.5 | 96.1 | 10.9 .9 |
| 11 | 36.8 | 30.3 | 13.6 | 156.2 | 156.5 | 40.5 |
| 12 | 32.5 | 30.4 | 1.1 | 9.4 | 97.0 | 111.6 |
| 13 | 7.7 | 16.5 | 24.5 | . 9 | 7.7 | 63.0 |

AGE
1977
1978
$4066.7 \quad 3368.1$
$5461.9 \quad 3457.6$
$814.5 \quad 2806.7$
$552.0 \quad 225.0$
239.3143 .2
$221.1 \quad 96.6$
$77.6 \quad 87.3$
$89.2 \quad 32.5$
16.2
39.3
81.9
21.9
86.1
28.5
2.7
11.3
54.5
15.1

## Table 6.6 Division VIId and VIIe PLAICE Age composition of total oatoh in 1971-1978 (thousands) (females)

| nge | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 196 |
| 2 | 198 | 253 | 68 | 476 | 983 | 355 |
| 3 | 851 | 717 | 679 | 1716 | 994 | 1040 |
| 4 | 330 | 400 | 861 | 794 | 402 | 475 |
| 5 | 344 | 215 | 498 | 1324 | 316 | 286 |
| 6 | 316 | 540 | 203 | 336 | 235 | 185 |
| 7 | 309 | 51 | 74 | 223 | 86 | 188 |
| 8 | 574 | 221 | 17 | 65 | 66 | 70 |
| 9 | 153 | 134 | 111 | 99 | 33 | 30 |
| 10 | 280 | 85 | 162 | 183 | 38 | 42 |
| 11 | 142 | 35 | 12 | 106 | 18 | 17 |
| 12 | 142 | 105 | 24 | 88 | 85 | 24 |
| $13+$ | 180 | 273 | 12 | 90 | 105 | 211 |
| age | 1977 | 1978 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 51 | 34 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1964 | 5.88 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 616 | 1412 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 584 | 142 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 271 | 169 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 81 | 53 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 47 | 57 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 83 | 61 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 52 | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 23 | 17 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 26 | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 12 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| $13^{+}$ | 100 | 106 |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.7 Division VIId and VIIe PLAICE
Fishing mortality 1971-1978 ( $\mathrm{M}=0.10$ ) (females)

| AGE | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .04 | .03 | .01 |
| 2 | .04 | .09 | .02 | .19 | .06 | .30 | .53 | .40 |
| 3 | .36 | .19 | .31 | .91 | .67 | .69 | 1.11 | .82 |
| 4 | .25 | .25 | .32 | .62 | .49 | .70 | .96 | .73 |
| 5 | .37 | .23 | .51 | 1.01 | .48 | .69 | 1.01 | .73 |
| 6 | .43 | .67 | .32 | .68 | .42 | .50 | .37 | .47 |
| 7 | .29 | .10 | .26 | .60 | .32 | .61 | .20 | .43 |
| 8 | .46 | .31 | .04 | .35 | .32 | .41 | .53 | .39 |
| 9 | .31 | .16 | .22 | .30 | .26 | .21 | .54 | .35 |
| 10 | .81 | .25 | .16 | .60 | .16 | .55 | .22 | .31 |
| 11 | .72 | .19 | .05 | .22 | .09 | .09 | .69 | .30 |
| 12 | .31 | 1.98 | .17 | .46 | .25 | .16 | .08 | .30 |
| 13 | .30 | .30 | .30 | .30 | .30 | .30 | .30 | .38 |

MEAN F FOR AGES $>=3$ AND $<=13$ (HEIGHTED BY STOCK IN NUMBERS) $.38 \quad .26 \quad .30 \quad .74 \quad .47$.59 .78 .71

Table 5．8 Division VIIe SOIE（females）
Stock in numbers（thousands）

| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1116 | 779 | 1831 | 1421 | 909 | 18.01 |
| 3 | 1357 | 966 | 681 | 1637 | 1233 | 781 |
| A | 414 | 1005 | 747 | 510 | ：248 | 97.3 |
| 5 | 571 | 311 | 743 | 526 | 382 | 863 |
| 6 | 1300 | 415 | 238 | 533 | 366 | 275 |
| 7 | Э71 | 1020 | 297 | 172 | 392 | 2E：4 |
| 8 | 386 | 320 | 828 | 223 | 137 | 242 |
| 9 | 273 | 318 | 276 | 615 | 184 | 121 |
| 10 | 245 | 238 | 272 | 243 | 499 | 146 |
| 11 | 198 | 206 | 183 | 216 | 201 | $4 \grave{2}$ |
| 12 | 257 | 16.6 | 171 | 148 | 168 | 177 |
| 13 | 137 | 226 | 140 | 136 | 122 | 134 |
| 14 | 158 | 123 | 188 | 113 | 120 | 103 |
| 15 | ES | 129 | 163 | 157 | 100 | 154 |
| 16 | 52 | 55 | 101 | 86 | 17.9 | ¢．E． |
| 17 | 57 | 42 | 46 | 87 | 71 | 1：4 |
| 18 | 29 | 44 | 38 | 39 | 76 | E． 4 |
| 19 | 34 | 25 | 33 | 33 | 31 | E． 7 |
| 20 | 23 | 28 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 27 -4 |
| 21 | 9 | 26 | 24 | 14 | ご | ご4 |


| AGE | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\geq$ | 1296 | 1222 | 27ア8 | 2485 |
| 3 | 1588 | 115 | 1042 | 2413 |
| 4 | 506 | 1183 | 8®9 | 763 |
| 5 | 729 | 342 | 810 | 596 |
| 6 | 672 | c：4 | 225 | 611 |
| 7 | 158 | 560 | 404 | 145 |
| 8 | 212 | 1 ES | 449 | 318 |
| 9 | 188 | 157 | 143 | 346 |
| 10 | 88 | 15. | 119 | $1 \geq 2$ |
| 11 | 112 | ES | 126 | 93 |
| 12 | 3 EE | 91 | 48 | 85 |
| 13 | 147 | 313 | 79 | 35 |
| 14 | 111 | 120 | 241 | 60 |
| 15 | 87 | 8.5 | 10 | 197 |
| 16 | \＆5 | 71 | 65 | 87 |
| 17 | 72 | 7¢ | 52 | 47 |
| 18 | 10 | 56 | 51 | 58 |
| 19 | 51 | $8 \times$ | 4 E | 41 |
| 20 | 46 | 44 | 58 | 39 |
| 21 | こえ | 39 | $E 6$ | 44 |

Table 6.8 Division VIId and VIIe PLAICE
Stock in numbers (thousands), 1971-1978 (females)

|  | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 3586 | 3733 | 3154 | 3805 | 1582 | 5694 |
| 2 | 5078 | 3245 | 3375 | 2853 | 3434 | 1431 |
| 3 | 2957 | 4407 | 2695 | 2989 | 2130 | 2175 |
| 4 | 1550 | 1868 | 3307 | 1795 | 1085 | 987 |
| 5 | 1161 | 1090 | 1311 | 2176 | 873 | 601 |
| 6 | 548 | 724 | 782 | 715 | 720 | 491 |
| 7 | 1292 | 559 | 334 | 515 | 329 | 429 |
| 8 | 1634 | 876 | 457 | 232 | 255 | 217 |
| 9 | 607 | 935 | 583 | 397 | 149 | 168 |
| 10 | 525 | 404 | 719 | 422 | 266 | 104 |
| 11 | 288 | 211 | 285 | 554 | 209 | 204 |
| 12 | 550 | 126 | 158 | 247 | 401 | 172 |
| 13 | 240 | 363 | 16 | 120 | 140 | 282 |


| AGE | 1977 | 1978 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 2118 | 3275 |
| 2 | 4965 | 1868 |
| 3 | 958 | 2633 |
| 4 | 985 | 286 |
| 5 | 444 | 341 |
| 6 | 274 | 147 |
| 7 | 269 | 171 |
| 8 | 211 | 199 |
| 9 | $13 e$ | 112 |
| 18 | 124 | 68 |
| 11 | 54 | 90 |
| 12 | 169 | 25 |
| 13 | 133 | 142 |

Table 6.9 English Channel VIIe and VIId PLAICE
Weight at age data (derived from the mean of the VIId and VIIe stock weights used in the 1978 Report; catch weights by interpolation (kg).

| Age | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Catch | Stock | Catch | Stock |
| 1 | 0.218 | 0.180 | 0.248 | 0.200 |
| 2 | 0.290 | 0.255 | 0.342 | 0.295 |
| 3 | 0.355 | 0.325 | 0.435 | 0.390 |
| 4 | 0.408 | 0.385 | 0.522 | 0.480 |
| 5 | 0.450 | 0.430 | 0.605 | 0.565 |
| 6 | 0.485 | 0.470 | 0.685 | 0.645 |
| 7 | 0.515 | 0.500 | 0.762 | 0.725 |
| 9 | 0.540 | 0.530 | 0.836 | 0.800 |
| 10 | 0.560 | 0.550 | 0.907 | 0.872 |
| 11 | 0.579 | 0.570 | 0.976 | 0.942 |
| 12 | 0.595 | 0.588 | 1.041 | 1.010 |
| $13+$ | 0.620 | 0.602 | 1.104 | 1.072 |

N.B. The value for $13+$ year olds was estimated on the basis of the extended growth curves and the abundance in recent catches.

## Table 6.10 English Channel PLAICE

Data used for catch prognosis and yield curves

| Age | Males ( $\mathrm{M}=0.15$ ) |  |  |  | Females ( $\mathrm{M}=0.1$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{F}{\text { Prop. }}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{78}$ | Stock weight at age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & \text { weight } \end{aligned}$ | Prop. <br> F | $\mathrm{N}_{78}$ | Stock weight at age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & \text { weight } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 0.039 | 3300 * | 0.180 | 0.218 | 0.013 | $3300{ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 0.200 | 0.248 |
| 2 | 0.485 | 3458 | 0.255 | 0.290 | 0.488 | 1868 | 0.295 | 0.342 |
| 3 | 1.000 | 2807 | 0.325 | 0.355 | 1.000 | 2633 | 0.390 | 0.435 |
| 4 | 0.893 | 225 | 0.385 | 0.408 | 0.890 | 286 | 0.480 | 0.522 |
| 5 | 0.709 | 143 | 0.430 | 0.450 | 0.890 | 341 | 0.565 | 0.605 |
| 6 | 0.641 | 97 | 0.470 | 0.485 | 0.573 | 147 | 0.645 | 0.685 |
| 7 | 0.641 | 87 | 0.500 | 0.515 | 0.524 | 171 | 0.725 | 0.762 |
| 8 | 0.641 | 32 | 0.530 | 0.540 | 0.476 | 199 | 0.800 | 0.836 |
| 9 | 0.641 | 29 | 0.550 | 0.560 | 0.427 | 112 | 0.872 | 0.907 |
| 10 | 0.641 | 3 | 0.570 | 0.579 | 0.378 | 68 | 0.942 | 0.976 |
| 11 | 0.301 | 11 | 0.588 | 0.595 | 0.366 | 90 | 1.010 | 1.041 |
| 12 | 0.194 | 55 | 0.602 | 0.620 | 0.366 | 25 | 1.072 | 1.104 |
| $13+$ | 0.194 | 51 | 0.637 | 0.650 | 0.366 | 421 | 1.137 | 1.300 |

*) average recruitment year classes 1970-1973
a) Stock weight used in prediction of spawning stock biomass
${ }^{\text {b) Catch weight used in prediction of catch }}$

Table 6.11 English Channel PTAICE
Prediction of catch and spawning stock biomass. Sexes combined

| Year | Option 1 |  |  | Option 2 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | F | Catch | Spawning <br> Stock Biomass | $F$ | Catch | Spawning <br> Stock Biomass |
| 1978 | $F_{78}$ | 2894 | 3167 | $F_{78}$ | 2896 | 2731 |
| 1979 | $F_{78}$ | 2467 | 2935 | $F_{78}$ | 2491 | 2921 |
| 1980 | $F_{78}$ | 2350 | 2311 | $F_{\max }=0.8 F_{78}$ | 1995 | 2297 |
| 1981 |  |  | 2119 |  |  | 2403 |

Table 8.1 By-catches of undersized protected fish in Federal Republic of Germany shrimp fishery (in millions of fish). (The figures do not take survived discards into account).

| Year | Plaice | Sole | Dab | Whiting | Cod |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1)54 | 274 | 88 | 60 | 3 | 1 |
| 1955 | 136 | 69 | 35 | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 1 |
| 1950 | 138 | 53 | 39 | 9 | 1 |
| 1957 | 247 | 47 | 39 | 23 | 14 |
| 1958 | 259 | 94 | 37 | 9 | 5 |
| 1959 | 281 | 77 | 54 | 109 | 9 |
| 1960 | 172 | 66 | 67 | 32 | 4 |
| 1961 | 140 | 45 | 96 | 40 | 6 |
| 1962 | 160 | 112 | 27 | 12 | 1 |
| 1963 | 310 | 20 | 74 | 22 | 11 |
| 1964 | $13 \%$ | 53 | 113 | 26 | 10 |
| 1965 | 1194 | 52 | 64 | 22 | 3 |
| 1966 | 164 | 50 | 103 | 12 | 21 |
| 1967 | 144 | 98 | 88 | 26 | 2 |
| 1963 | 119 | 106 | 150 | 7 | 5 |
| 1969 | 163 | 51 | 78 | 14 | 30 |
| 1970 | 133 | 37 | 84 | 11 | 97 |
| 1971 | 76 | 40 | 97 | 2 | 2 |
| 1972 | 97 | 22 | 93 | 6 | 2 |
| 1973 | 112 | 34 | 172 | 9 | 1 |
| 1974 | 155 | 19 | 145 | 28 | 6 |
| $19 \% 5$ | 67 | 19 | 136 | 2 | 2 |
| 1976 | 230 | 11 | 201 | 44 | 26 |
| 197 | 235 | 43 | 172 | 36 | 40 |
| 1978 | 437 | 41 | 269 | 16 | 34 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & 1954-1978 \end{aligned}$ | 181 | 53 | 99 | 21 | 13 |

Table 8.2 Comparison of normal beam trawl with a selective one. Catch in grammes/hour (see Anon. 1979)

| Normal Beam Trawl |  | Selective Beam Trawl |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Average of 8 hauls (grams) | Average of 9 hauls (grams) | Loss in \% |
| Shrimps | 102021 | 97006 | 5 |
| Plaice | 2427 | 70 | 98 |
| Sole | 291 | 54 | 81 |
| Flounder | 1389 | - | 100 |
| Dab | 10673 | 214 | 98 |

Table 8.3 Estimated number of flatfish caught by the Danish and German (Federal Republic of) Crangon boats (in millions)

| Year | Plaice |  | Sole |  | Dab |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Denmark | Germany,F.R. | Denmark | Germany,F.R. | Denmark | Germany,F.R. |
| 1975 | 4 | 67 | - | 19 | 63 | 136 |
| 1976 | 4 | 230 | - | 11 | 93 | 201 |
| 1977 | 6 | 235 | - | 43 | 22 | 172 |
| 1978 | - | 437 | - | 41 | - | 269 |



Figure 2.1 North Sca SOLE
Total catch per year in the period 1968-1978


Figure 2.2 North Sea SOLE
Recruitment 1967-1977

Figure 2.3 North Sea SOLE
CPUE and Stock Trends


Vpa stock weight ( 2.15 years) $\mathrm{kg} \times 10^{-5}$

Figure 2.5 North Sea SOLE



Figure 2.6 North Sea SOLE


Stock in 1981


Proportional reduction in 1980 of the 1978 fishing mortality pattern

Figure 2.8 North Sea SOLE
Stock size prediction for different values of $F$ and $M$

## Figure 3.1 North Sea plaice




Year class

Figure 3.1 (continued)

Data for Equilibrium Yield Curve

| $F$ | (Thousand <br> tonnes whole <br> weight) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 46.746 |
| 0.2 | 70.290 |
| 0.3 | 83.564 |
| 0.4 | 91.648 |
| 0.5 | 96.853 |
| 0.6 | 10.363 |
| 0.7 | 102.829 |
| 0.8 | 104.591 |
| 0.9 | 105.869 |
| 1.0 | 106.864 |
| 1.1 | 107.615 |
| 1.2 | 108.204 |
| 1.3 | 108.668 |
| 1.4 | 109.052 |
| 1.5 | 109.332 |
| 1.6 | 109.592 |
| 1.7 | 109.771 |
| 1.8 | 109.987 |
| 1.9 | 110.122 |
| 2.0 | 110.257 |



Figure 3.2 North Sea PLAICE. Results of trial VPA runs



3.2.b Exploitation patterns. Mean $F$ at age for 1973-76. Forecast run


Figure 3.3 North Sea PLAICE
Trend in Fishing Effort 1971-1978


## Figure 3.4 North Sea PLAICE

Trends in spawning stock biomass and catch per effort



Lowestoft CPUE. cwts/100 hrs


## Figure 3.5

North Sea PLAICE
Relation between VPA and 1 Group pre-recruit survey estimates



| Data for plotting |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Year | I-group | Recruit |  |
| 1976 | 7709 | 519 |  |
| 1975 | 1813 | 297 |  |
| 1974 | 4004 | 313 |  |
| 1973 | 6017 | 509 |  |
| 1972 | 18 | 625 |  |
| 1971 | 2746 | 242 |  |
| 1970 | - | - |  |
| 1969 | 9670 | 364 |  |
| 1968 | 2876 | 315 |  |

Figure 3.6 North Sea PLAICE
Relation between VPA recruits at age 2 and female spawning stock biomass. 1963-1976


## Figure 3.7 North Sea PLAICE

Yield per recruit curves


Maximal $F$ in the exploitation pattern

Data for Figure 3.7

| $F$ | Yw/Recruit (kg) |  | Pw/Recruit (kg) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| 0.1 | 0.111 | 0.262 | 2.075 | 3.439 |
| 0.2 | 0.163 | 0.305 | 1.482 | 2.102 |
| 0.3 | 0.189 | 0.316 | 1.155 | 1.514 |
| 0.4 | 0.206 | 0.319 | 0.959 | 1.196 |
| 0.5 | 0.216 | 0.319 | 0.832 | 0.999 |
| 0.6 | 0.222 | 0.318 | 0.745 | 0.367 |
| 0.7 | 0.227 | 0.317 | 0.682 | 0.773 |
| 0.8 | 0.231 | 0.316 | 0.634 | 0.702 |
| 0.9 | 0.234 | 0.315 | 0.597 | 0.646 |
| 1.0 | 0.236 | 0.314 | 0.567 | 0.602 |
| 1.1 | 0.238 | 0.314 | 0.542 | 0.566 |
| 1.2 | 0.239 | 0.313 | 0.521 | 0.535 |
| 1.3 | 0.240 | 0.312 | 0.502 | 0.509 |
| 1.4 | 0.242 | 0.311 | 0.486 | 0.487 |
| 1.5 | 0.243 | 0.311 | 0.472 | 0.467 |

> N.B.
> Not the same $F$ values -
> lst set is absolute F ,
> 2nd set is $\mathrm{F}_{80} / \mathrm{F}_{78}$

North Sea Plaice Recruitment Age 2, millions

| Year class | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1945 | 185.1 | 192.1 |
| 1946 | 163.6 | 179.7 |
| 1947 | 182.7 | 131.5 |
| 1948 | 139.9 | 145.9 |
| 1949 | 153.7 | 160.2 |
| 1950 | 146.8 | 155.2 |
| 1951 | 119.2 | 121.4 |
| 1952 | 135.6 | 154.2 |
| 1953 | 143.1 | 154.3 |
| 1954 | 171.9 | 180.9 |
| 1955 | 110.9 | 108.6 |
| 1956 | 154.4 | 145.9 |
| 1957 | 252.3 | 245.5 |
| 1958 | 280.6 | 231.8 |
| 1959 | 287.4 | 230.3 |
| 1960 | 236.5 | 184.6 |
| 1961 | 188.1 | 158.6 |
| 1962 | 186.4 | 168.4 |
| 1963 | 619.9 | 532.3 |
| 1964 | 170.4 | 161.8 |
| 1965 | 164.0 | 154.3 |
| 1966 | 140.6 | 150.8 |
| 1967 | 118.8 | 122.3 |
| 1968 | 164.8 | 149.9 |
| 1969 | 183.2 | 180.7 |
| 1970 | 157.0 | 132.2 |
| 1971 | 134.8 | 106.8 |
| 1972 | 368.0 | 257.8 |
| 1973 | 286.1 | 214.2 |
| 1974 | 170.8 | 142.1 |
| 1975 | 145.5 | 151.3 |
| 1976 | 306.4 | 213.0 |
| 1 |  |  |



Figure 4.1 SOLE in Division VIId



Figure 4.1 (continued)


Figure 5.1 SOLE in Division VIIe



Figure 5.1 (continued)



Figure 6.1 English Channel Plaice (sexes combined)


Figure 8.1 One type of selective shrimp net for separating shrimps
from the rest of the catch

Shrimps jump through
the sieve net


Fish and dirt pass through cod-end 2 which may be closed or left open

## 1958



Spawning Stock Biomass (in thousand tonnes)

## ANNEX

## COMBINATION OF MALES AND FEMALES YIELD PER RECRUIT CURVES

For flatfish stocks VPAs are carried out for each sex separately. This results in an estimated set of $F$ at age for the last year for which input catch data are available for males and females, respectively. These sets of $F$ at age are different as are the values of mean weight at age, and in the case of plaice, the assumed values of $M$ at age. The differences in $F$ at age mean that if yield per recruit values for males and females respectively are conventionally plotted against $F$, the male and female curves will be on different scales. To get round this problem the male and female curves are plotted on a scale of $F$ relative to $F$ in the year from which the exploitation pattern has been derived (in our case, 1978). Values of yield per recruit for any value of $F$ relative to $F$ in 1978 are the read off from the respective male and female curves and combined to give a yield per recruit value for males + females by use of the following relationship:

$$
y / r=(r m x y m+r f x y f) /(r m+r f)
$$

where $y / r=$ male + female yield per recruit
rm $=$ average male recruitment
$r f=$ average female recruitment
ym = yield per recruit of males
yf $=$ yield per recruit of females.
Obviously, biomass per recruit curves for males and females can be considered by use of analogous methods.


[^0]:    x) General Secretary, ICES, Charlottenlund Slot, 2920 Charlottenlund, Denmark.

