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Table 1.6. Length compositions (thousands of fish) for Hake landings from ICES Divisions IVa + VIa and Sub-area VII by country and vessel class for 1979.

| Length class (cm) | Divisions IVa + VIa |  |  |  |  | Length class (cm) | Division VII |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England |  | France |  |  |  | England | Fran |  |  | pain |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { + Wales } \\ & \text { (trawl) } \end{aligned}$ | Scotland | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Hauturiers } \\ \text { (trawl) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (trawl) | Total |  | $\begin{aligned} & + \text { Wales } \\ & \text { (trawl) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hauturiers } \\ \text { (trawl) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Artisans (trawl) | Trawl | Longline | Total |
| $5-$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10- |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15- |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $20-$ |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $25-$ |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 4.0 | 13 | 877 | 9 |  | 903 |
| 30- | . 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 | 30 | 64.4 | 124 | 2091 | 279 |  | 2558 |
| 35- | 1.2 |  | 45 |  | 46 | 35 | 63.8 | 237 | 684 | 1334 |  | 2319 |
| 40 - | 4.2 |  | 65 | 7 | 76 | 40 | 32.4 | 359 | 80 | 2284 |  | 2755 |
| 45- | 7.2 |  | 75 | 46 | 128 | 45 | 16.3 | 387 | 54 | 1802 |  | 2259 |
| 50- | 9.9 |  | 133 | 163 | 306 | 50 | 11.6 | 566 | 47 | 1353 | 29 | 2007 |
| $55-$ | 8.4 |  | 137 | 351 | 496 | 55 | 13.9 | 738 | 49 | 886 | 108 | 1795 |
| 60 - | 7.6 |  | 176 | 431 | 615 | 60 | 27.6 | 594 | 23 | 637 | 282 | 1564 |
| $65-$ | 4.6 |  | 80 | 282 | 367 | 65 | 10.4 | 442 | 48 | 444 | 140 | 1084 |
| $70-$ | 3.4 |  | 107 | 47 | 157 | 70 | 5.0 | 273 | 42 | 338 | 122 | 780 |
| $75-$ | 2.9 |  | 145 | 46 | 194 | 75 | 2.4 | 85 | 12 | 177 | 38 | 314 |
| $80-$ | 2.2 |  | 60 | 20 | 82 | 80 | 2.8 | 123 | 13 | 59 | 56 | 254 |
| 85 - | 1.6 |  | 40 | 11 | 53 | 85 | 2.3 | 39 | 7 | 32 | 16 | 96 |
| $90-$ | . 8 |  | 12 | 3 | 16 | 90 | 1.4 | 42 | 1 | 24 | 13 | 81 |
| 95 - | . 5 |  | 25 |  | 26 | 95 | . 7 | 16 | - | 16 | 6 | 39 |
| 100 | . 4 |  | 11 |  | 11 | 100 | . 6 | 8 | - | - | 6 | 15 |
| 105+ | . 5 |  |  |  | 1 | 105+ | . 2 | 8 | - | - | - | 8 |
| Total | 55.7 |  | 1114 | 1407 | 2577 | Total | 260 | 4054 | 4028 | 9675 | 817 | 18834 |
| Obs. tonnes | 106 | $(1400)$ | 2499 | 2436 | 5041 | Obs. tonnes | 215 | 5691 | 1417 | 9770 | 1872 | 18965 |
| Calc.tonnes | 102 |  | 2408 | 2436 | 4946 | Calc.tonnes | 228 | 6249 | 1422 | 9720 | 1870 | 19489 |

Table 1.7. Length composition (thousands of fish) for Hake landings from ICES Divisions VIIIa and $b$, by country and vessel class for 1979.

| Length class (cm) | France |  |  |  |  | Spain |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hauturiers <br> (trawl) | Artisans |  | Gillnet and <br> Longlines | Côtiers <br> (trawl) | Bakas |  | Bous (trawl) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Parejas- } \\ & \text { trios } \\ & (\mathrm{p} . \text { trawl }) \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Pelagic } \\ \text { trawl) } \end{gathered}$ | (Bottom trawl) (1) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { (trawI) } \\ (\mathrm{A}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { (trawl) } \\ (\mathrm{B}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| 5 - | - | - | 19503 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19503 |
| $10-$ | - | - | 33282 | - | - | - | 105 | - | - | 33293 |
| $15-$ | - | - | 35027 | - | 384 | 161 | 7086 | 36 | 203 | 42897 |
| $20-$ | - | 32 | 16062 | - | 5801 | 126 | 5558 | 142 | 556 | 28277 |
| $25-$ | 5 | 359 | 5307 | 1 | 8463 | 1714 | 7615 | 505 | 1538 | 25507 |
| $30-$ | 22 | 679 | 4390 | 11 | 3056 | 2083 | 745 | 787 | 2.451 | 14224 |
| $35-$ | 82 | 1057 | 1832 | 7 | 322 | 1268 | 318 | 894 | 1359 | 7139 |
| $40-$ | 149 | 721 | 1198 | 11 | 19 | 614 | 435 | 596 | 551 | 4294 |
| $45-$ | 277 | 215 | 417 | 7 |  | 566 | 89 | 650 | 249 | 2470 |
| $50-$ | 140 | 115 | 157 | 16 |  | 420 | 118 | 716 | 280 | 1962 |
| $55-$ | 170 | 55 | 364 | 67 |  | 173 | 84 | 602 | 232 | 1747 |
| 60 - | 40 | 69 | 128 | 101 |  | 120 | 65 | 364 | 151 | 1038 |
| $65-$ | 73 | 29 | 80 | 144 |  | 60 | 47 | 245 | 103 | 781 |
| $70-$ | 30 | 18 | 7 | 245 |  | 38 | 2 | 116 | 63 | 519 |
| 75 - | 12 | 8 | 2 | 76 |  | 12 | 3 | 25 | 27 | 165 |
| $80-$ | 8 | 5 | 1 | 37 |  | 0 |  | 6 | 1 | 58 |
| 85 - | 4 | 5 | 1 | 28 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 4 | 45 |
| $90-$ |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 95- |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100- \\ & 105+ \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total <br> Obs. tonnes <br> Calc. tonnes | 1012 | 3368 | 117758 | 756 | 18045 | 7370 | 28554 | 5687 | 7770 | 190680 |
|  | 1115 | 1524 | 7465 | 1587 | 2493 | 3042 | 4548 | 4446 | 3126 | 29346 |
|  | 1075 | 1602 | 7048 | 1821 | 2427 | 3048 | 4536 | 4448 | 3126 | 29131 |

(1) Includes discards
(A) Calculated from Port of Pasajes
(B) Calculated from Port of Ondarroa

Table 1.11 Immediate losses in percentages and tonnes by area, country and gear type calculated under different selectivity factors, for 80 mm mesh change.

| Sub-area/ <br> Division | 1979 <br> Mesh <br> Size | Immediate Loss (percent) |  | 1979 (3) <br> Official <br> Nominal <br> Catch of <br> Hake ( $t$ ) | Immediate Loss (tonnes) |  | Percent of Hake in Total landing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{SF}=3.6$ | $S F=4.1$ |  | $\mathrm{SF}=3.6$ | $\mathrm{SF}=4.1$ |  |
| IV + VI France Hauturiers England and Wales Scotland Spain | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 80 \\ & 70 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ 0.0 \\ - \\ 0.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ 0.0 \\ - \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 2 & 459 \\ & 106 \\ 1 & 400 \\ 2 & 436 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 0 \\ \approx 0 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 0 \\ \simeq 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <5 \\ & <5 \\ & <5 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total |  | 0.2 | 0.1 | 6401 | 12 | 5 |  |
| VII France Hauturiers <br>  France Semi-Industrials <br>  France Artisans <br>  England and Wales <br>  Spain Longlines <br>  Spain Trawlers | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 50 \\ & 50 \\ & 75 \\ & - \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6 \\ 13.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 1.7 \\ - \\ 1.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6 \\ 19.0 \\ 28.0 \\ - \\ 1.8 \end{array}$ | 3200 <br> 2490 <br> 1417 <br> 215 <br> 1872 <br> 99704 | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 324 \\ 255 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 150 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 468 \\ 391 \\ 0 \\ 180 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10-40 \\ 5-15 \\ <5 \\ <1 \\ 25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  | 3.9 | 5.5 | 19164 | 752 | 1058 |  |
| ```VIIIa,b France Hauturiers France Pelagic Trawls France Artisans France CÔtiers France Gillnets + Longlines Spain Trawlers``` | 60 <br> 60 <br> 40 <br> 40 <br> 60 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.6 \\ 9.2 \\ 24.0 \\ 51.0 \\ - \\ 15.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 35.0 \\ 71.0 \\ -7 \\ 22.0^{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ \\ 140 \\ 1090 \\ 1 \quad 271 \\ \\ \\ 2 \quad 274 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ \\ \\ 229 \\ 1 \quad 590 \\ 1770 \\ \\ \\ 3 \quad 336 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\sim 50$ <br> 15-35 <br> 10-20 <br> 15-20 <br> 60-80 <br> 44 |
| Total |  | 19.0 | 28.0 | 26424 | 4793 | 6947 |  |
| Total |  | 10.7 | 15.4 | 51989 | 5557 | 8010 |  |

${ }^{1}{ }^{\text {Mesh }}$ size and catch composition of 1978 used (no data available for 1979).
${ }^{2} 25 \%$ of mesh size assumed 40 mm .
$3_{\text {official Spanish data multiplied }}$ by 2
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## 0. INTRODUCTION

### 0.1 Participants

The ICES Working Group on Assessment of Hake Stocks met at ICES headquarters in Copenhagen from 12 to 17 May 1980. The following persons participated:

| J Bridger | United Kingdom |
| :--- | :--- |
| E Cadima (Chairman) | Portugal |
| S Clark (Rapporteur) | USA |
| J Dardignac | France |
| A Fernandez | Spain |
| J Y Le Gall | France |
| J Pereiro | Spain |
| R Robles | Spain |
| C de Verdelhan | France |

### 0.2 Terms of Reference

At the ICES 1979 Statutory Meeting it was decided (C.Res.1979/2:39) that the Working Group on the Assessment of Stocks of Hake should meet at ICES headquarters from 10-15 March 1980
"(1) to assess TACs for hake,
(2) in view of ACFM's recommendation that an 80 mm mesh should be introduced for the hake fishery, to estimate the effects of the EEC Commission's proposals regarding mesh regulations for both Recommendation 1 and Nephrops fisheres in NEAFC Region ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ".
As complete catch and effort data for 1979 were not available in March, the meeting was postponed until May.
A number of proposed EEC regulations (COM (79) 709 final, Brussels, 27 November 1979) appear pertinent. As regards the directed fishery for hake, the relevant proposal is Article 10 of the above report, which reads as follows:
"No vessel fishing for hake shall use any trawl any part of which has a mesh size smaller than 80 mm .
However, the use of existing mesh sizes of at least 70 mm in single twine and 75 mm in double twine in Region 2 and of 60 mm in single twine and 65 mm in double twine in Region 3 shall be authorised for two months following the entry into force of this Regulation".

It has been noted by the Chairman of ACFM that as there is no acceptable definition for a directed hake fishery at present this regulation may prove difficult to enforce.
The minimum landing size for hake is 30 cm in all areas (Annex VI).
Minimum mesh sizes proposed in the above document (Article 2 and Annex I) are as follows:

North Sea (Sub-area IV) - 90 mm
Remainder of NEAFC Region 2 except ICES Division VIIa, Skagerrak and the Kattegat - 80 mm
ICES Division VIIa - 75 mm
NEAFC Region 3-65 mm

Minimum mesh sizes for Nephrops (Article 8 and Annex VII) are as follows:

NEAFC Region $2-70 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( 60 mm outside the North Sea and West of Scotland until 31.12.1982)
NEAFC Region 3-60 mm (50 mm until 31.12.1982)

### 0.3 Nominal Landing Trends

Nominal hake catches for NEAFC Regions 2 and 3 (including the stock areas considered in this report) for 1936-79 appear in Table 0.1. Nominal catches averaged 52000 tonnes during the late 1930s, declined to 0 with the cessation of fishing during World War II, and then rose sharply to 194000 tonnes in 1946. Landings subsequently declined more or less continually to an average of 111000 tonnes during 1956-60 and then increased to an average of 129000 tonnes from 1961-65. Since that year nominal catches were relatively constant from 1966-76 (averaging 101000 tonnes); an average of 57000 tonnes has been reported for 1977-79. The latter figure is believed to reflect both declining abundance and EEC restrictions on Spanish effort.

It should be noted that the data in Table 0.1 are subject to many sources of error (such as unreported landings or misreporting by area) particularly in the case of data for earlier years, and consequently only generalised interpretations are possible. Nevertheless, nominal catches have declined considerably since the early post-war years. The 1974-76 average ( 97.6 thousand tonnes) is only $55 \%$ of the 1946-48 average ( 177.8 thousand tonnes), while the 1977-79 average ( 57000 tonnes) is only $32 \%$ of the 1946-48 figure. Related evidence relative to trends in catch per unit effort supports the hypothesis of a pronounced decline in abundance and accordingly the Group accepted these data as indicative of the general condition of the resource relative to earlier years.
Nominal catches of hake as reported to ICES by country and area from 1961-79 are given in Table 0.2. Again, a general downward trend is evident, although national trends differ somewhat. Nominal catches for France declined from an average of 36000 tonnes for 1961-63 to an average of 23000 tonnes from 1969-76. French landings for 1977-79 averaged 18000 tonnes, down 50\% from the 1961-63 average. Declines in French landings in Sub-areas VIII and IX in recent years were offset by increases in Sub-area VII. Nominal catches for Portugal since 1961 have fluctuated without a noticeable trend; those for Spain declined gradually from an average of 73000 tonnes in 1961-63 to an average of 61000 tonnes in 1974-76 and then dropped sharply to an average of 30000 tonnes under EEC restrictions, down $59 \%$ from 1961-63. Nominal catches for the United Kingdom declined by over $85 \%$ during the same period; catches for other nations have fluctuated without a clear trend.

### 0.4 Stock Separation

In the preceding Working Group report (Anon., 1979), two stocks were recognised within NEAFC Regions 2 and 3, i.e., a "northern stock" (ICES Divisions IVa and VIa, Sub-area VII, and Division VIIIa+b), and a "southern stock" in ICES Divisions VIIIc and IXa. This arrangement has been based primarily on the distribution of nursery grounds and apparent differences in recruitment trends between the two areas. In addition, the narrow continental shelf along the northern coast of Spain and the Cape Breton depression (where depths increase sharply to 500 m 4 miles from the coast and to 1000 m 20 miles from the coast) also serve as a geographical barrier, resulting in limited movement may
between the Bay of Biscay and the Cantabrian Sea. There is no biological basis for further sub-divisions. Indeed, data from British larval surveys suggest a relatively continuous distribution from the Cape Breton depression northward (Figures l.l-l.4).
In the absence of more definitive data, the Group has continued to recognise a "northern" and a "southern" stock in this report, noting that different arrangements may be more appropriate when additional data become available.
0.5 Assessment Data Base

The lack of adequate catch and length and age composition data, and uncertainty in biological parameter estimates, have greatly hindered assessment of these stocks. Nominal landings data reported to ICES are obviously erroneous in many cases; information relative to amounts discarded (even for the Nephrops fishery, which has been shown to take large amounts of small hake) is very limited, and length composition data are unavailable for many major components of the fishery prior to 1976. No age composition data are available for commercial landings of either stock. Information relative to biological parameters is also limited. Decamps and Labastie (1978) have realised some success in aging hake otoliths, but due to the limited sample size available their conclusions should probably be regarded as tentative. No reliable estimates of $M$ are available for either stock at the present time. Consequently, detailed assessments have not been attempted during the meeting; work on both stocks was primarily confined to yield per recruit studies and related analyses.
1.0 NORTHERN STOCK (ICES Divisions IVa and VIa, Sub-area VII, and Divisions VIIIa and b)
1.1 Nominal Landing Trends

Nominal catches for the Northern Stock (as reported to ICES for 1961-79 by country and area) appear in Table 1.l; Table 1.2 is similar but contains revised values, based on updated landings data. Revised figures are available for France for 1961-78 and for Spain for 1973-79; remaining data have not been changed. Preliminary estimates calculated by the Group for 1979 based upon reports by French inspectors of licensing violations suggest that Spanish effort in EEC waters was approximately double expected levels based on issuance of licenses by the EEC, and accordingly reported Spanish landings were increased by a factor of 2 so as to provide an estimate of the actual Spanish catch.
Revised nominal catches for France declined more or less continually from 42000 tonnes (all areas) in 1961 to 17000 tonnes in 1977-78; preliminary data for 1979 suggest an increase to 21000 tonnes. Trends in all areas were generally similar during this period, with $47 \%$ of the French landings being taken in Sub-area VII (Table l.2). Nominal catch data for Spain for 1961-72 do not appear to be reliable, although subsequent values declined gradually from 49000 tonnes in 1973 to 27000 tonnes in 1978; preliminary data for 1979 indicate landings of 29000 tonnes (Table 1.2). Since 1973, $55 \%$ of the Spanish catch has been taken in Divisions VIIIa and b. As noted above, nominal catches for the United Kingdom have declined by over $85 \%$ during the last two decades, while catches for other nations have fluctuated without a clear.trend.
Total nominal catch for this stock declined from 96000 tonnes in 1961 to 51000 tonnes in 1971, rose to 80000 tonnes in 1973, and then declined to an average of 51000 tonnes in 1977-79. The increase observed in the early 1970s primarily reflects adjustments to Spanish data. An overall
decline of $45 \%$ is indicated for the last two decades (i.e., from 89000 tonnes in 1961-63 to 51000 tonnes in 1977-79), although it may be noted that data for earlier years are less reliable and thus the actual extent of the decline could very well have been greater.
Table 1.3 provides percentages of total nominal catch in weight by species for ICES Divisions IVa and VIa and Sub-areas VII and VIII for 1947, 1962 and 1978. (These years were chosen as representative of the immediate post-war period, intermediate years and the most recent situation.) For Divisions IVa and VIa, the percentage by weight of hake in reported catches declined from $10 \%$ in 1947 to $1 \%$ in 1978 , while in Sub-area VII this percentage declined from $28 \%$ in 1947 to $5 \%$ in 1978. Corresponding figures for Sub-area VIII were $35 \%$ and $9 \%$, respectively (Table 1.3). (French percentages for 1979 ranged from 3-52\%, depending upon port and vessel class, but averaged only 7\%.) Thus, available data point to pronounced decline both in total landings and in relative importance of this species. The directed hake fishery of former years has been largely replaced by a number of different mixed fisheries. The Group was unable to reach a consensus relative to criteria which could serve to determine a directed fishery.

### 1.2 Length Composition

Prior to 1979, only England and Wales were able to provide adequate annual length composition data by area and port of landing. However, sampling has improved and data are available by area and vessel type for some major fisheries exploiting the Northern Stock. While additional length composition data for hake rejected at sea are needed, tentative estimates of numbers landed at length by vessel class appear possible for 1977-78 (Tables 1.4-1.5). Data for 1979 are similar but include estimates of discards for French artisans in Divisions VIIIa and $b$ (Table l.7). As a rule, estimates were obtained by applying sample data collected at certain ports to corresponding landings data by country and vessel class on a monthly or quarterly basis and raising resulting distributions to total landings to obtain the final distributions.
Data for all years reflect the relative importance of fisheries in Subarea VII and Divisions VIIIa and b, as opposed to more northerly areas. English trawlers, French hauturiers, and larger Spanish trawlers tend to harvest larger fish, reflecting use of larger mesh sizes and/or more offshore distribution of effort. French artisans and other Spanish trawlers tend to take smaller hake. Estimates of numbers rejected are unavailable in most cases, although the potential magnitude of the problem is evident from French data for artisans (Table l.7); here, inclusion of estimated rejects in the sample data resulted in a total catch of 88 million fish below 20 cm in length - $75 \%$ of the total number taken.
Age/length keys are unavailable for this stock, although preliminary information on age composition by area has been derived from available growth parameter estimates and length composition data. Mean ages were calculated for a series of vessel classes/gear types for the 1969-79 period, both for total landings (Table l.8) and for younger and older age groups ( $0-3$ and 4+, Figure 1.5), using the von Bertalanffy growth equation for both sexes derived in the previous Working Group meeting (Anon., 1979). Midpoint lengths for each interval were converted to age and weighted estimates generated from appropriate age composition data.
Mean ages of the total catch (Table 1.8) for the cases examined tend to fluctuate without a definite trend from 1969-79, although a pronounced shift in size distribution (towards smaller hake) occurs in more southerly Sub-areas (VII and VIII). This trend is also clearly endorsed by French and Spanish data for ages 0-3 (Figure 1.5). Numbers of ages $0-3$ hake landed annually by France and Spain in Sub-area VIII
normally exceed numbers landed in the remaining areas and for years in which estimates of numbers rejected can be made, total annual estimates were as high as 339 million fish (Figure 1.5). The magnitude of the resulting loss in yield can be put in perspective by considering that in 1946 England and Wales took 45 million fish weighing a total of 63000 tonnes, while in 1969-74 the estimated number of young hake taken annually in Divisions VIIIa and b exceeded 450 million fish with a total weight of 13500 tonnes (Figure 1.5).

### 1.3 Trends in Catch per Unit Effort

Catch-effort data by area, country and vessel class appear in Table 1.9. Data for all areas (excluding Spain, for which catch-effort data are not available prior to 1967) indicate declines in abundance in the last two decades ranging from 30\% (French hauturiers in Divisions VIIIa and b for 1961-75) to 98\% (United Kingdom trawlers in Divisions IVa and VIa for 1961-79). Catch per unit effort in Divisions IVa and VIa has declined more or less continually for both France and the United Kingdom throughout the available time series, although for the remaining indices values have been relatively constant since the late 1960s. Evidence relative to recruitment trends is somewhat conflicting; since the mid-1960s catch per effort of $<35 \mathrm{~cm}$ hake for trawlers from the ports of Lesconil and La Rochelle (used as an index of recruitment at age 2) has fluctuated without a definite trend in Division VIIIa but has declined somewhat in Division VIIIb (Figure 1.6).
1.4 Weight at Length

Table l. 10 provides weight at length values by length interval, the weight/length relationship used to derive these values, and von.. Bertalanffy growth parameter estimates for males and females. The weight/length relationship is the same as used in the La Rochelle (1978) and Charlottenlund (1979) assessments (Anon., 1978, 1979); growth parameter estimates are those derived by Decamps and Labastie (1978). Weight at length values were calculated at the mid-point of each length interval and differ slightly from those used in preceding assessments.
1.5 Selectivity

A number of experiments have been performed on gear selectivity for this species (Monteiro, 1966; Brabant and Guillou, 1976; Dardignac and de Verdelhan, 1978). Selectivity of 40 mm and 80 mm trawl gear is of particular interest in the present situation due to current gear usage and current or proposed regulations, and accordingly selection curves for 40 mm and 80 mm trawls have been obtained from previous assessments or calculated directly (Figure 1.7). The selection curve for 40 mm trawls obtained in the 1979 Working Group meeting (Anon., 1979) by fitting the logistic equation to data of Brabant and Guillou (1976) has been used in this assessment; also, a curve for 80 mm trawls was calculated from selectivity data for 61 mm trawls obtained by Working Group members in August 1979.
1.6 Yield per Recruit

Yield per recruit analyses were performed for males and females by means of the Beverton-Holt model, assuming $M=0.2$ and using the growth parameter estimates of Decamps and Labastie (1978) presented in Table 1.10. For each sex, calculations were performed for $t_{c}$ values corresponding to $40 \mathrm{~mm}, 60 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 80 mm mesh sizes ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{c}$ values of 0.9 , 1.9 and 2.9 years, respectively); no changes in $t_{c}$ occurred by sex as the von Bertalanffy growth curves for males and females are identical for fish of up to 3 years of age.

For males, $F$ values providing maximum yield per recruit ( $F_{\text {max }}$ ) were $0.14,0.17$, and 0.21 , respectively; corresponding figures $10 r$ females were $0.16,0.21$, and 0.28 (Figure 1.8). While current levels of $F$ are unknown, the Group considered that present levels of $F$ are substantially higher than 0.2 , and are certainly in excess of $F_{\text {max }}$, particularly on smaller fish.
Curves of virgin biomass per recmuit were calculated for males and females from the growth parameters of Decamps and Labastie (1978) and the weight-length equation in Table 1.10 (Figure 1.9). Maxima in these curves occur at ages of 7.6 years for males and 8.9 years for females, substantially higher than current ages at entry for mesh sizes of up to 80 mm . Taken together, results of yield per recruit modelling suggest that current age at entry is too low, and current levels of $F$ are too high, to achieve maximum yield per recruit.
In previous meetings, assessments of long-term effects of changes in effort and mesh size have been calculated using the method of Jones (1961, 1974). However, non-equilibrium situations now appear to exist in fisheries on both the northern and southern stocks (due to changing fishing patterns in the northern stock and sharply declining recruitment in the southern stock). Accordingly, members of the Group expressed serious doubts about the applicability of Jones' method in this situation (for example, simulations were performed which indicated that the F-vector derived from cohort analysis of length composition data was more dependent upon earlier events in the fishery than on data for the last few years). Therefore, the Group chose not to apply Jones' method in the present meeting.

### 1.7 Catch Predictions

The Group calculated immediate losses (in terms of percentages and actual weight based on 1979 nominal catch data) for various components of the fishery assuming an increase in mesh size to 80 mm (see Appendix I for details of calculations). Calculations were performed based on the 1979 length composition data and mesh sizes in force during that year with the exception of French semi-industrial trawlers in ICES Sub-area VII, for which only 1978 data were available. Results appear in Table l.1l.
As might be expected, such losses were negligible for larger mesh components of the fishery employing gears in Divisions IVa and VIa (where nominal catches are relatively insignificant). For smaller mesh sizes in Sub-areas VII and VIII, however, immediate losses become appreciable. For French semi-industrials*and artisans*using 50 mm trawls in Sub-area VII, losses range from $13 \%$ to $28 \%$ or from $300-500$ tonnes, depending upon the selectivity data used. Immediate losses are also quite significant for French artisans and côtiers using 40 mm trawls in Sub-area VIII ( $24-71 \%$ or from $1100-1800$ tonnes depending upon the selectivity data used). For all cases examined, average immediate losses range from $12-17 \%$. It is thus evident that an increase in mesh size to 80 mm , while of unquestionable value to hake, would entail substantial economic hardship in certain cases. Obviously, severe and possibly unacceptable immediate losses could also occur in small mesh fisheries directed towards other species, for example, Nephrops and sole. The Group identified five additional species (gurnard, whiting, blue whiting, Norway lobster and octopus) for which a mesh size increase to 80 mm could result in long-term losses.

### 1.8 Management Options

The Group considered the implications of an increase in mesh size to 80 mm keeping effort at current levels. The potential for substantial long-term gains was noted based on yield per recruit calculations out-

[^0]trawl as of 1979 .
lined in Section 1.6; for example, at a constant $F$ of 0.4 yield per recruit increases by $70 \%$ or more with a mesh size increase of from 40 to 80 mm (Figure 1.8). Additional benefits accruing from such an increase (assuming that total effort remains constant) would include increases in total and spawning stock biomass, reduced mortality of smaller hake, and increased economic returns promoted by increases in efficiency due to increases in catch and reduced handling of discards. It was noted that in some cases, immediate losses associated with the imposition of an 80 mm mesh size might be considerable (Table 1.11) and thus the above potential benefits would have to be carefully weighed in view of current socio-economic conditions.
The Group noted the desirability of a precautionary TAC (total allowable catch) to maintain 1981 effort at current levels. The 1980 TAC set by EEC ( 40000 tonnes) was taken as the catch for 1980; adjusting this figure by the calculated average immediate loss over the entire fishery (15\%. Table l.11) associated with an increase to 80 mm mesh, provides a TAC of 34000 tonnes.
Although assessments of long-term effects of effort changes were not made in the assessment, indications are that a reduction in effort would be beneficial, and accordingly the direction taken in calculation of this recommended TAC, i.e. towards an assumed lower level of effort appears to be appropriate. Accordingly, the Group recommended a TAC of 34000 tonnes for the Northern Stock for 1981.
A summary of the TACs recommended,adopted, and the subsequent estimated catches, together with the mesh sizes recommended and in use are given in Table 1.12.
2.0 SOUTHERN STOCK (ICES Divisions VIIIc and IXa)
2.1 Nominal Landing Trends

Nominal catches for the Southern Stock (as reported to ICES for 1961-79 by country and area) appear in Table 2.l; Table 2.2 is similar but contains revised values based on updated landing data only for the divisions of the Southern Stock.
Total catches decreased from around 30000 tonnes for the period 1972-75 to 15000 tonnes in 1977-79. Portuguese and Spanish catches appear to follow similar trends in reduction during the last 6 or 7 years from 20000 tonnes to 10000 tonnes for Spain and from 15000 to 7000 tonnes for Portugal. France has occasionally fished in the area, the catches remaining below 100 tonnes.

### 2.2 Length Composition

While additional length composition data for hake rejected at sea are needed, tentative estimates in number at length by gears appear possible for the average of the period 1974-77 (Table 2.3) and for 1978 and 1979 (Table 2.4-Table 2.5).
Estimates were obtained from samples collected at certain ports (Galician and Portuguese ports) by country and gear categories and raising the resulting distributions to total landings.
For the period 1974-77, it was only possible to obtain an average composition.
Data from trawlers of both countries show large quantities of small hake (less than 30 cm long), as opposed to the other "artisanal gears" (longline and gillnets), which catch mainly older fish except in the "Beta" fishery (i.e. small mesh gillnet).

Estimates of rejected numbers are not available for the Portuguese fleet, nor for the Spanish fleet in 1979. Rejected fish in these fisheries correspond to illegal or non-registered catches of hake less than 25 cm .
By using the von Bertalanffy growth equation the mean size of each 5 cm length group was calculated, and the total number and mean age for each component of the catch were estimated, splitting the whole population in two groups: less or equal to and older than 3 years. The resultsof this analysis are given in Table 2.9 and Figure 2.1.
The ratio in numbers of young fish caught in the years before 1978 to those caught in 1978 is about 8 to l. This sharp decline may result from a possible failure in the recruitment process. The 1979 data are not comparable as they do not include discards. However, for 1977-79 Spanish research vessel surveys on the abundance of young hake confirm the decline of recruitment as shown by the length composition.

| 2.3 | Trends in Catch per Unit Effort |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | No additional data have been provided from 1979 on effort or catch per unit effort for Spain or Portugal. Table 2.7 provides this information for Portugal, Spain and France for the period 1971-78. In 1977-78 Portuguese trawlers' catch per unit effort shows a decline as compared with previous years. However, fishing effort remains stable. |
| 2.4 | Weight at Length |
|  | Table 2.8 provides weight at length values by length classes, weight/length relationship used to derive these values and von Bertalanffy growth parameters for males and females. |
|  | The weight/length relationship used comes from the demersal cruise of the Portuguese research vessel "Noruega" during March 1980; growth parameter estimates are those obtained by Decamps and Labastie (1978). Weight at length values were calculated at mid-point classes and are slightly higher (around $10 \%$ ) than the one used in the preceding assessment. |
| 2.5 | Selectivity |
|  | Selectivity of 40 and 80 mm trawl gear is of particular interest in the present situation due to current gears used and proposed regulations. Selection curve for 40 mm was obtained in the 1979 Working Group meeting (Anon., 1979) by fitting the logistic equation to the data of Brabant and Guillou (1976). Selectivity curve for 80 mm was derived from the selection curve for 60 mm (Figure 2.2) obtained by Spanish scientists in August 1979 ( "Cigala" 1979 survey), taking a selection factor of 4.8 and a constant selection range. |
| 2.6 | Yield per Recruit |
|  | Yield per recruit analyses for males and females assuming $M=0.2$ and using growth parameter estimates provided in Table 2.9 were performed (Figure 2.3). For males $F$ providing maximum yield per recruit ( $F_{\text {max }}$ ) was .14 for a mesh size of 40 mm and .21 for a mesh size of 80 mm . Corresponding values for females were . 16 and . 28 . While current levels of $F$ are not known, the Group considered that the present level of $F$ is well above the $F_{\max }$, particularly on small fish. |

As the von Bertalanffy growth curve is identical for fish during the first 3 years for both sexes, for assessment purposes $t_{c}$ will be the same and so a combined yield curve was used for both sexes.

Curves of virgin biomass per recruit were calculated separately for male and female (Figure 2.4), using the von Bertalanffy equation and weight/length relationship in Table 2.9. In these curves the maximum occurs at the age of 7.6 years for males and 8.9 years for females, substantially higher than current ages at entries for mesh sizes up to 80 mm .

Taken together, the results of the yield by recruit modelling suggest that current age at entry (for 40 mm mesh size) is too low and current levels of $F$ are too high to achieve maximum yield by recruit.

### 2.7 Catch Predictions

The Group calculated immediate losses (in terms of percentage and actual weight, based on 1979 nominal catches) for various components of the fishery and the whole fishery assuming an increase in mesh size to 80 mm : Results appearing in Table 2.10 indicate that substantial losses occur for trawlers while artisanal fisheries are not affected. The resulting total immediate loss was estimated to $16 \%$ of the 1979 catches.

The potential for substantial long-term gains was noted based on yield per recruit calculations, as outlined in Section 2.6. For example, at a constant $F=.40$ the difference between yield for recruit at mesh size of 40 mm or 80 mm is $70 \%$ or more. Additional benefits accruing from such an increase in mesh size would result in an increase in the total spawning biomass, in a reduction in juvenile mortality etc.

### 2.8 Management Options

The Group considered the implications of an increase in the mesh size to 80 mm , keeping effort at current levels. Substantial long-term gains were noted, based on $Y / R$ calculations outlined in Section 2.6. For example, at a constant $F$ of 0.4 , the difference of $Y / R$ for mesh size 40 mm and 80 mm is around $70 \%$.

The Group noted the desirability of a precautionary TAC to maintain 1979 effort at current levels. The 1980 TAC ( 10000 tonnes) was taken as the catch for 1980; adjusting this figure by the estimated immediate loss ( $16 \%$, Table 2.10) associated with an increase to 80 mm mesh size provides a TAC of 8400 tonnes. Accordingly the Group recommended a TAC of 8400 tonnes for the Southern Stock for 1981.

A summary of the TACs, recommended, adopted, and the subsequent estimated catches, together with the mesh sizes recommended and in use, are given in Table 2.11.

## APPENDIX I

Immediate losses for each gear have been calculated in this report by the relation

$$
\text { I.L }(\%)=100\left(1-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i} L_{i}^{b} \frac{P_{2 i}}{P_{1 i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i} L_{i}^{b}}\right)
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{n}=\text { number of length intervals of size classes; }
$$

$C_{i}=$ number caught in the $i$ th size class $;$
$L_{i}=$ size class mid-point;
$\mathrm{b}=$ exponent of the weight/length relationship;
and $P_{1 i}$ and $P_{2 i} \begin{aligned} & \text { are retention ratios for the old and new mesh } \\ & \text { sizes the ith size class. }\end{aligned}$
If we assume that (l) the selection factor ( $\mathrm{SF}=\frac{\mathrm{L}_{50}}{\mathrm{~m}}$ ) is constant, (2) the selection range is proportional to $\mathrm{L}_{50}\left(\mathrm{I}_{75}-\mathrm{I}_{25}\right)=\mathrm{B} \mathrm{L}_{50}$, and (3) the selection curve is logistic in form, $P_{1 i}$ and $P_{2 i}$ may be computed by the relation

$$
P_{i j}=\frac{e^{x_{i j}}}{1+e^{x_{i j}}}
$$

where $\left.x_{i j}=\frac{2 \ln 3}{B} \frac{\left(L_{i}\right.}{S F \times m_{j}}-1\right)$
using $m=m_{1}$ to compute $P_{1 i}$ and $m=m_{2}$ for $P_{2 i}\left(m_{1}=\right.$ old mesh;
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Table 0.1 Nominal Hake catches (thousands of tonnes) for NEAFC Regions 2 and 3, 1936-1979, as reported to ICES.

| YEARS | CATCH |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19361 | 43.2 | Mean 1936-38 $=51.8$ |
| 19371 | 52.5 |  |
| $1938{ }^{1}$ | 59.9 |  |
| 1939 | - |  |
| 1940 | - |  |
| 1941 | - |  |
| 1942 | - |  |
| 1943 | - |  |
| 1944 | - |  |
| 1945 | - |  |
| 1946 | 194.3 | Mean 1946-48 $=177.8$ |
| 1947 | 179.7 |  |
| 1948 | 158.0 |  |
| 1949 | 130.6 |  |
| 1950 | 114.9 |  |
| 1951 | 128.1 |  |
| 1952 | 119.7 |  |
| 1953 | 109.8 |  |
| 1954 | 105.9 |  |
| 1955 | 143.0 |  |
| 1956 | 101.5 |  |
| 1957 | 113.3 |  |
| 1958 | 112.6 |  |
| 1959 | 110.9 |  |
| 1960 | 114.2 |  |
| 1961 | 133.8 |  |
| 1962 | 128.9 |  |
| 1963 | 133.2 |  |
| 1964 | 130.2 |  |
| 1965 | 120.6 |  |
| 1966 | 107.2 |  |
| 1967 | 107.0 |  |
| 1968 | 107.4 |  |
| 1969 | 100.6 |  |
| 1970 | 117.0 |  |
| 1971 | 62.42 |  |
| 1972 | 110.0 |  |
| 1973 | 109.4 |  |
| 1974 | 98.3 | Mean 1974-76 = 97.6 (Before 200 miles |
| 1975 | 102.9 | jurisdiction) |
| 1976 | 91.7 |  |
| 1977 | 66.7 | Mean 1977-79 $=57.1$ |
| 1978 1979 | 49.6 54.9 |  |
| 1979 | 54.9 |  |

[^1]Table 0.2 Nominal Hake catches (thousands of tonnes) as reported to ICES by country and area, 1961-1979.

| YEARS | TOTAL | FRANCE |  |  |  |  | PORTUGAL | SPAIN |  |  |  |  | U.K. |  |  | OTHERS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | IV+VI | VII | VIII | IX | IX | TOTAL | IV+VI | VII | VIII | IX | TOTAL | IV+VI | VII | TOTAL | IV+VI | VII |
| 1961 | $(133.4)^{1}$ | $35.0^{2}$ | 1.5 | 18.0 | 12.3 | 3.1 | 13.0 | $(72.4)^{1}$ | - | - | 40.6 | $31.8^{3}$ | 11.8 | 10.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 1962 | (128.3) | $39.5{ }^{2}$ | 0.7 | 19.4 | 14.8 | 3.1 | 6.4 | (67.8) |  |  | 32.0 | $35.8{ }^{3}$ | 13.7 | 12.3 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 1963 | (132.5) | $33.4{ }^{2}$ | 1.5 | 14.9 | 12.4 | 3.2 | 6.9 | (79.1) |  |  | 39.3 | $39.8{ }^{3}$ | 11.9 | 10.7 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 1964 | (129.7) | $30.7^{2}$ | 3.2 | 11.3 | 13.0 | 2.9 | 9.0 | (79.8) |  | - | 34.0 | $45.8^{3}$ | 9.2 | 8.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| 1965 | (120.0) | $26.2^{2}$ | 3.7 | 11.7 | 10.7 | - | 10.4 | (74.7) |  | 21.0 | 7.1 | $46.6^{3}$ | $7 \cdot 7$ | 7.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| 1966 | (106.6) | 18.1 | 3.0 | 7.6 | 5.5 | 2.0 | 8.3 | (73.2) | - | . | 27.5 | $45.7^{3}$ | 5.9 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| 1967 | (116.5) | 25.9 | 2.9 | 9.6 | 11.0 | 2.4 | 7.6 | (76.7) |  |  | 31.6 | $45.1^{3}$ | 4.9 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 1968 | (106.4) | 22.5 | 2.5 | 7.8 | 10.2 | 2.0 | 7.2 | (69.7) | - | - | 32.2 | $37.5^{3}$ | 5.4 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| 1969 | (99.6) | 21.3 | 2.9 | 7.9 | 8.8 | 1.7 | 6.6 | (65.7) |  |  | 27.1 | $38.6{ }^{3}$ | 4.3 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| 1970 | (116.4) | 25.7 | 1.5 | 9.8 | 12.8 | 1.5 | 9.3 | (76.1) |  | - | 34.3 | $41.8^{3}$ | 3.2 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.2 |
| 1971 | (61.6) | 23.6 | 0.8 | 9.1 | 13.1 | 0.6 | 8.0 | (24.8) | 0.9 | 7.8 | 14.0 | $2.1{ }^{3}$ | 2.6 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 0.5 |
| 1972 | 108.84 | 21.8 | 0.4 | 8.8 | 12.6 | - | 8.7 | 73.24 | 1.1 | 4.8 | 32.4 | 17.3 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 |  |
| 1973 | 108.6 | 24.2 | 2.2 | 10.7 | 11.3 | - | 15.3 | 63.0 | 0.5 | 4.7 | 37.0 | 20.8 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 0.4 |
| 1974 | 96.5 | 21.7 | 2.5 | 11.8 | 7.3 | 0.1 | 7.8 | 61.7 | 7.1 | 21.9 | 18.5 | 14.1 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.3 |
| 1975 | 101.4 | 22.2 | 3.2 | 11.0 | 7.9 | 0.1 | 9.4 | 63.9 | 6.4 | 20.5 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 0.9 |
| 1976 | 90.7 | 19.1 | 3.8 | 10.4 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 7.9 | 58.8 | 4.1 | 20.8 | 20.2 | 13.7 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 0.8 |
| 1977 | 64.9 | 15.3 | 2.6 | 6.1 | 6.6 | - | 5.5 | 41.0 | 1.6 | 5.3 | 16.6 | 17.5 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| 1978 | 49.6 | 18.4 | 2.2 | 7.3 | 8.8 | - | 4.4 | 21.7 | 1.3 | 5.0 | 6.6 | 8.8 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 3.1 |  |  |
| 1979 | 54.9 | 20.5 | 2.5 | 7.1 | 10.9 | - | 6.8 | 25.9 | 1.2 | 5.8 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.2 | . | - | . |

[^2]Table 1.1 Nominal catches (thousands of tonnes) for the Northern Hake stock (ICES Divisions IVa and VIa, Sub-area VII, and Divisions VIII a and b), as reported to ICES by country and areas, 1961-1979.

| YEARS | TOTAL | FRANCE |  |  |  | SPAIN |  |  |  | U.K. |  |  | OTHERS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | IVa+VIa | VII | VIII ${ }^{1}$ | TOTAL | IVa+VIa | VII | VIII ${ }^{1}$ | TOTAL | IVa+VIa | VII | TOTAL | IVa+VIa | VII |
| 1961 | 85.4 | 31.8 | 1.5 | 18.0 | 12.3 | 40.6 | - | - | 40.6 | 11.8 | 10.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 1962 | 81.5 | 34.9 | 0.7 | 19.4 | 14.8 | 32.0 | - | - | 32.0 | 13.7 | 12.3 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 1963 | 81.2 | 28.8 | 1.5 | 14.9 | 12.4 | 39.3 | - | - | 39.3 | 11.9 | 10.7 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 1964 | 71.7 | 27.5 | 3.2 | 11.3 | 13.0 | 34.0 | - | - | 34.0 | 9.2 | 8.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| 1965 | 62.9 | 26.1 | 3.7 | 11.7 | 10.7 | 28.1 | - | 21.0 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| 1966 | 50.6 | 16.1 | 3.0 | 7.6 | 5.5 | 27.5 | - | - | 27.5 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| 1967 | 61.4 | 23.5 | 2.9 | 9.6 | 11.0 | 31.6 | - | - | 31.6 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 1968 | 59.7 | 20.5 | 2.5 | 7.8 | 10.2 | 32.2 | - | - | 32.2 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| 1969 | 52.7 | 19.6 | 2.9 | 7.9 | 8.8 | 27.1 | - | - | 27.1 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| 1970 | 63.7 | 24.1 | 1.5 | 9.8 | 12.8 | 34.3 | - | - | 34.3 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.2 |
| 1971 | 50.9 | 23.0 | 0.8 | 9.1 | 13.1 | 22.7 | 0.9 | 7.8 | 14.0 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 0.5 |
| 1972 | 65.2 | 21.8 | 0.4 | 8.8 | 12.6 | 38.3 | 1.1 | 4.8 | 32.4 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | - |
| 1973 | 72.5 | 24.2 | 2.2 | 10.7 | 11.3 | 42.2 | 0.5 | 4.7 | 37.0 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 0.4 |
| 1974 | 74.3 | 21.5 | 2.5 | 11.8 | 7.2 | 47.5 | 7.1 | 21.9 | 18.5 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.3 |
| 1975 | 72.9 | 22.1 | 3.2 | 11.0 | 7.9 | 44.9 | 6.4 | 20.5 | 18.0 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 0.9 |
| 1976 | 69.0 | 19.0 | 3.8 | 10.4 | 4.8 | 45.1 | 4.1 | 20.8 | 20.2 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 0.8 |
| 1977 | 41.8 | 15.3 | 2.6 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 23.5 | 1.6 | 5.3 | 16.6 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| 1978 | 36.4 | 18.4 | 2.2 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 12.9 | 1.3 | 5.0 | 6.6 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 3.1 | - | - |
| 1979 | 38.6 | 20.5 | 2.5 | 7.1 | 10.9 | 16.4 | 1.2 | 5.8 | 9.4 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.2 | - | - | - |

$I_{\text {Includes Divisions VIIIa, }}$ b and $c$.

Table 1.2 Revised catches (thousands of tonnes) for the Northern Hake stock (ICES Divisions IVa and VIa, Sub-area VII and Divisions VIII a and b) by country and area determined by the Hake Working Group, 1961-1979.

| YEARS | TOTAL | FRANCE |  |  |  | SPAIN |  |  |  | U.K. |  |  | OTHERS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | IVa+VIa | VII | VIIIa, b | TOTAL | IVa+VIa | VII | VIIIa, b | TOTAL | IVa+VIa | VII | TOTAL | IVa+VIa | VII |
| 1961 | 95.6 | 42.0 | 5.3 | 20.7 | 16.0 | 40.6 | - | - | 40.6 | 11.8 | 10.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 1962 | 86.3 | 39.7 | 4.9 | 19.3 | 15.5 | 32.0 | - | - | 32.0 | 13.7 | 12.3 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 1963 | 86.2 | 33.8 | 4.0 | 16.2 | 13.6 | 39.3 | - | - | 39.3 | 11.9 | 10.7 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 1964 | 76.8 | 32.6 | 4.6 | 15.2 | 12.8 | 34.0 | - | - | 34.0 | 9.2 | 8.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| 1965 | 64.7 | 27.9 | 3.3 | 13.0 | 11.6 | 28.1 | - | 21.0 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| 1966 | 60.9 | 26.4 | 3.2 | 13.0 | 10.2 | 27.5 | - | - | 27.5 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| 1967 | 62.1 | 24.2 | 3.2 | 9.9 | 11.1 | 31.6 | - | - | 31.6 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 1968 | 62.0 | 22.8 | 2.5 | 9.2 | 11.1 | 32.2 | - | - | 32.2 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| 1969 | 54.9 | 21.8 | 3.5 | 10.9 | 7.4 | 27.1 | - | - | 27.1 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| 1970 | 64.9 | 25.3 | 4.3 | 11.5 | 9.5 | 34.3 | - | - | 34.3 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.2 |
| 1971 | 51.3 | 23.4 | 3.3 | 10.7 | 9.4 | 22.7 | 0.9 | 7.8 | 14.0 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 0.5 |
| 1972 | 65.5 | 22.1 | 3.7 | 9.6 | 8.8 | 38.3 | 1.1 | 4.8 | 32.4 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | - |
| 1973 | 79.5 | 24.0 | 3.2 | 12.3 | 8.5 | 49.4 | 2.4 | 17.9 | 29.1 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 0.4 |
| 1974 | 74.2 | 21.3 | 2.8 | 11.9 | 6.6 | 47.6 | 3.6 | 16.1 | 27.9 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.3 |
| 1975 | 74.5 | 22.2 | 3.3 | 12.1 | 6.8 | 46.4 | 4.9 | 15.8 | 25.7 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 0.9 |
| 1976 | 67.3 | 18.3 | 3.8 | 10.3 | 4.2 | 44.1 | 4.2 | 15.6 | 24.3 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 0.8 |
| 1977 | 51.2 | 17.2 | 2.8 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 32.0 | 1.6 | 13.0 | 16.4 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| 1978 | 49.9 | 17.4 | 2.2 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 27.4 | 1.4 | 12.4 | 13.6 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 3.1 | - | - |
| 1979 | 51.4 | 20.5 | 2.5 | 7.1 | 10.9 | 29.2 | 2.4 | 11.6 | 15.2 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.2 | - | - | - |

[^3]Table 1.3 Percentage of total nominal catch by weight of demersal species as reported to ICES for Divisions IVa+VIa and Sub-areas VII and VIII in 1947, 1962 and 1978.

| SPECIES | IVa+VIa |  |  | VII |  |  | VIII |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1947 | 1962 | 1978 | 1947 | 1962 | 1978 | 1947 | 1962 | 1978 |
| Hake | 10.0 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 28.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 (10)* | 35.0 | 24.0 | 9.0 (10)* |
| Horse Mackerel |  | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 35.0 |
| Sea Bream | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 8.0 | 5.0 |
| Cod | 15.0 | 19.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Hadzock | 38.0 | 20.0 | 14.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Gurnard | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| Ling | 6.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 |  | 0.6 | 2.0 |
| Megrim | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 4.0 | 2.0 |  | 3.0 | 5.0 |
| Monk | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 6.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 8.0 |
| Plaice | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Pollack | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.9 |
| Saithe | 5.0 | 14.0 | 28.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 0.6 |  |
| Skates/Rays | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.9 | 14.0 | 16.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Sole | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Turbot | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 |  | 0.2 | 0.1 |  |
| Whiting | 16.0 | 21.0 | 11.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 14.0 | 11.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| Conger | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Blue Whiting |  |  | 21.0 |  |  | 1.0 |  |  | 4.0 |
| Norway Lobster |  | 0.1 | 2.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 4.0 |
| Cephalopods |  |  | 0.1 |  |  | 1.0 |  |  | 3.0 |
| Others | 2.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 17.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 27.0 | 19.0 |
| TOTAL (tonnes) | 192661 | 191965 | 544641 | 144869 | 137244 | 208200 | 249236 | 190843 | 193600 |

* WG data.

Table 1.4 Length compositions (thousands of fish) for Hake landings from the Northern stock (ICES Divisions IVa and VIIa, Sub-area VII and Divisions VIII $a$ and b) by area, country and vessel class for 1977.

|  | IVa + VIIa |  | VII |  |  |  |  |  | VIII a,b |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LENGITH <br> CLASSES <br> (cm) | FRANCE | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ENGLAND } \\ \text { AND } \\ \text { WALES } \end{gathered}$ | France |  |  | U.K. | SPAIN |  | FRANCE |  |  | SPAIN <br> BAKAS, <br> BOUS <br> AND <br> PAREJAS |
|  | HAUTU- <br> RIERS |  | HAUTURIERS | SEMI- <br> INDUS- <br> TRIALS | ARTI- SANS |  | GIIL <br> NEIS + <br> LONG- <br> LINES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRAW- } \\ & \text { LERRS } \end{aligned}$ | HAUTU- <br> RIERS | ARTI- <br> SANS | FILETS <br> MAILLANIS, <br> PALANGRES |  |
| 5-9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 20-24 |  |  | 1 | 16 | 39 |  |  | 313 | 8 | 1600 | 0 | 1243 |
| 25-29 | 4 | 1 | 21 | 458 | 799 | 2 |  | 273 | 145 | 8132 | 3 | 3875 |
| 30-34 | 27 | 2 | 84 | 1507 | 1423 | 18 |  | 1854 | 100 | 6339 | 4 | 3612 |
| 35-39 | 77 | 3 | 129 | 1321 | 793 | 33 |  | 3785 | 120 | 2898 | 9 | 2174 |
| 40-49 | 108 | 3 | 271 | 459 | 294 | 28 | 444 | 3485 | 140 | 854 | 5 | 2413 |
| 45-49 | 141 | 7 | 383 | 215 | 131 | 11 | 383 | 2534 | 160 | 225 | 4 | 2990 |
| 50-54 | 153 | 17 | 429 | 190 | 38 | 8 | 295 | 1086 | 154 | 81 | 2 | 1952 |
| 55-59 | 126 | 23 | 418 | 125 | 20 | 6 | 89 | 744 | 125 | 62 | 4 | 1743 |
| 60-64 | 144 | 24 | 263 | 78 | 12 | 11 | 75 | 486 | 92 | 90 | 16 | 1301 |
| 65-69 | 116 | 20 | 94 | 61 | 22 | 12 | 48 | 294 | 43 | 67 | 37 | 827 |
| 70-74 | 101 | 13 | 95 | 61 | 26 | 12 | 40 | 228 | 9 | 27 | 28 | 394 |
| 75-79 | 104 | 6 | 68 | 46 | 19 | 9 | 39 | 220 | 2 | 16 | 23 | 206 |
| 80-84 | 55 | 3 | 38 | 20 | 6 | 5 | 26 | 87 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 140 |
| 85-89 | 45 | 2 | 34 | 27 | 3 | 3 | 25 | 44 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 55 |
| 90-94 | 44 | 1 | 14 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 15 | 19 | 6 | 2 | 13 | 3 |
| 95-99 | 25 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 26 | 0 |
| $100-104$ $105-109$ | 16 | 1 | 9 1 | 3 | 2 | - | 6 | 13 | 1 |  |  | 4 |
| 110-115 | 3 | - | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 1295 | 128 | 2367 | 4612 | 3635 | 165 | 1494 | 15482 | 1112 | 20404 | 193. | 22932 |

Table 1.5 Length compositions (thousands of fish) for Hake landings from the Northerm stock (ICES Divisions IVa and VIa, Sub-area VII and Divisions VIII $a$ and $b$ ) by area, country and vessel class for 1978.


Table 1.6 Length compositions (thousands of fish) for Hake landings from ICES Divisions IVa $+V I a$ and Sub-area VII by country and vessel class for 1979 .

| LEINGTH <br> CLASSES $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $\mathrm{IVa}+\mathrm{VIa}$ |  |  | VII |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ENGLAND } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { WALES } \\ & \text { (TRAWL) } \end{aligned}$ | FRANCE | SPAIN | $\begin{gathered} \text { ENGLAND } \\ \text { AND } \\ \text { WALES } \\ \text { (TRAWL) } \end{gathered}$ | FRANCE |  | SPAIN |  |  |
|  |  | HAUTURIERS (TRAWL) | TRAWL LONGLINES |  | HAUTURIERS (TRAWL) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ARTISANS } \\ \text { (TRAWL) } \end{array}$ | TRAWL | LONGLINES |  |
| 5-9 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-14 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20-24 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-29 | - | - | - | 4.0 | 13 | 877 | 4.4 | - | 898.4 |
| 30-34 | 0.3 | 3 | 3.3 | 64.4 | 124 | 2091 | 139.7 | - | 2419.1 |
| 35-39 | 1.2 | 45 | 46.2 | 63.8 | 237 | 684 | 667.2 | - | 1652.0 |
| 40-44 | 4.2 | 65 | 3.372 .5 | 32.4 | 359 | 80 | 1142.0 | - | 1613.4 |
| 45-49 | 7.2 | 75 | 22.8105 .0 | 16.3 | 387 | 54 | 901.2 | - | 1358.5 |
| 50-54 | 9.9 | 133 | 81.5224 .4 | 11.6 | 566 | 47 | 676.5 | 14.7 | 1315.8 |
| 55-59 | 8.4 | 137 | 175.4320 .8 | 13.9 | 738 | 49 | 443.2 | 54.0 | 1298.1 |
| 60-64 | 7.6 | 176 | 215.6399 .2 | 27.6 | 594 | 23 | 318.5 | 141.1 | 1104.2 |
| 65-69 | 4.6 | 80 | 141.0225 .6 | 10.4 | 442 | 48 | 222.2 | 70.0 | 792.6 |
| 70-74 | 3.4 | 107 | 23.5133 .9 | 5.0 | 273 | 42 | 169.0 | 61.0 | 550.0 |
| 75-79 | 2.9 | 145 | 23.1171 .0 | 2.4 | 85 | 12 | 88.4 | 19.1 | 206.9 |
| 80-84 | 2.2 | 60 | 10.272 .4 | 2.8 | 123 | 13 | 29.3 | 28.1 | 196.2 |
| 85-89 | 1.6 | 40 | 5.547 .1 | 2.3 | 39 | 7 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 72.3 |
| 90-94 | 0.8 | 12 | 1.614 .4 | 1.4 | 42 | 1 | 12.0 | 6.3 | 62.7 |
| 95-99 | 0.5 | 25 | 25.5 | 0.7 | 16 | - | 8.0 | 3.1 | 27.8 |
| 100-104 | 0.4 | 11 | 11.4 | 0.6 | 8 | - | - | 3.1 | 11.7 |
| 105+ | 0.5 |  | 0.5 | 0.2 | 8 | - | - | - | 8.2 |
| TOTAL | 55.7 | 1114 | 703.51873 .2 | 259.8 | 4054 | 4028 | 4837.6 | 408.5 | 13587.9 |
| OBS TONNES | 106 | 2499 | 12183823 | 215 | 5691 | 1417 | 4885 | 936 | 13144 |
| CALC. TONNES | 102 | 2408 | 12183728 | 228 | 6249 | 1422 | 4860 | 935 | 13694 |

Table 1.7 Length compositions (thousands of fish) for Hake landings from ICES Divisions VIII $a$ and $b$ by country and vessel class for 1979 .

| IENGTH <br> CLASSES <br> (cm) | France |  |  |  |  | SPAIN |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HAUTURIERS (TRAWL) | ARTISANS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { GILLNET } \\ \text { AND } \\ \text { LONGLINES } \end{gathered}$ | COTIERS <br> (TRAWL) | BAKAS ${ }^{1}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BOUS } \\ & \text { (TRAWL) } \end{aligned}$ | PAREJAS- <br> TRIOS <br> (TRAWL) |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { (PELAGIC } \\ \text { TRAWL) } \end{gathered}$ | (воттом TRAWL) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | TRAWL <br> (A) | TRAWL <br> (B) |  |  |  |
| 5-9 | - | - | 19503 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19503.0 |
| 10-14 | - | - | 33282 | - | - | - | 52.3 | - | - | 33334.3 |
| 15-19 | - | - | 35027 | - | 384 | 85.7 | 3543.1 | 18.0 | 101.7 | 39159.5 |
| 20-24 | - | 32 | 16062 | - | 5801 | 63.0 | 2779.2 | 71.0 | 277.9 | 25086.1 |
| 25-29 | 5 | 359 | 5307 | 1 | 8463 | 857.2 | 3807.8 | 252.5 | 769.1 | 19821.6 |
| 30-34 | 22 | 679 | 4390 | 11 | 3056 | 1041.4 | 3135.6 | 393.4 | 1225.3 | 13953.7 |
| 35-39 | 82 | 1057 | 1832 | 7 | 322 | 634.2 | 377.7 | 447.0 | 679.4 | 5438.3 |
| 40-44 | 149 | 721 | 1198 | 11 | 19 | 307.0 | 159.1 | 298.0 | 275.5 | 3137.6 |
| 45-49 | 277 | 215 | 417 | 7 |  | 283.0 | 217.8 | 325.0 | 124.7 | 1866.5 |
| 50-54 | 140 | 115 | 157 | 16 |  | 210.2 | 44.3 | 358.1 | 140.0 | 1180.5 |
| 55-59 | 170 | 55 | 364 | 67 |  | 86.6 | 58.9 | 301.0 | 115.8 | 1218.3 |
| 60-64 | 40 | 69 | 128 | 101 |  | 60.2 | 42.0 | 182.0 | 75.6 | 697.8 |
| 65-69 | 73 | 29 | 80 | 144 |  | 30.2 | 32.7 | 122.7 | 51.5 | 563.1 |
| 70-74 | 30 | 18 | 7 | 245 |  | 19.1 | 23.8 | 58.0 | 31.7 | 432.6 |
| 75-79 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 76 |  | 6.2 | 1.1 | 12.4 | 13.7 | 131.4 |
| 80-84 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 37 |  | 0.2 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 56.4 |
| 85-89 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 28 |  | 0.3 |  | 1.1 | 1.8 | 41.2 |
| 90-94 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 0.5 |  | - | 0.7 | 6.2 |
| 95-99 $100-104$ |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.6 |
| $100-104$ $105+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 1012 | 3368 | 117758 | 756 | 18045 | 3685.2 | 14277.2 | 2843.3 | 3885.1 | 165629.7 |
| TONNES RF. | 1115 | 1524 | 7465 | 1587 | 2493 | 1521 | 2274 | 2223 | 1563 |  |
| CALC. TONNES | 1075 | 1602 | 7048 | 1821 | 2427 | 1524 | 2268 | 2224 | 1563 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Data sets (A) and (B) calculated for sample data from the ports of Pasajes and Ondarra, respectively.
2 Includes discard estimates.

Table 1.8 Numbers (millions) and mean age of Hake caught from 1969-1979 by area and gear type.

| AREA |  | IVa + VIa |  |  |  | VII |  |  |  |  |  | VIIIa, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NATION |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \\ + \\ + \\ \mathrm{W} \end{gathered}$ | F R A | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{S} \\ & \mathrm{P} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{S} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & 0 \\ & \mathrm{~T} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | E + + W | FRANCE |  |  | SPAIN |  | France |  |  |  |  | SPAIN |  |  |
| $\underbrace{\substack{\text { VESSEI } \\ \text { GEAR }}}_{\text {YEAR }}$ | OR | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \mathrm{~L} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{U} \\ & \mathrm{~T}_{\text {. }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \mathrm{~L} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \mathrm{~L} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & T \\ & R \\ & A \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | H A U T 。 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{M} \\ & \mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{t}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{t}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \mathrm{~L} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | G I I LL + LN LE | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{U} \\ & \mathrm{~T} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{~T} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{G} \\ & \mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{~L} \\ & \mathrm{~L} \end{aligned}$ | P <br> E <br> L. | C T T | B 0 0 U S | B A K A | P A R A. |
| $\begin{gathered} 69- \\ 74 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NO. } \\ & \text { AGE } \end{aligned}$ |  | 2.2 7.3 | $\begin{array}{r} 10.2 \\ 4.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \\ & 4.3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 10.6 \\ 5.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & ? \\ & ? \end{aligned}$ | ? | $\begin{array}{r} 31.3 \\ 3.4 \end{array}$ | ? | ? | $118^{1}$ 1.2 | ? | ? | ? |  | 339 1.3 |  |
| 77 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NO. } \\ & \text { AGE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \\ & 8.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \\ & 8.2 \end{aligned}$ | ? | ? | 0.1 7.0 | 2.4 6.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.6 \\ & 4.1 \end{aligned}$ | 3.6 | 15.5 3.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 6.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 5.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r\|r} 20.4 \\ 2.4 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \\ 11.8 \end{array}\right\|$ | ? | ? |  | 22.9 4.6 |  |
| 78 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NO. } \\ & \text { AGE } \end{aligned}$ | 0.1 9.9 | 0.9 9.9 | ? | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \\ & 3.8 \end{aligned}$ | 0.2 5.7 | 2.7 6.7 | 5.5 3.7 | 1.9 3.8 | 11.2 5.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \\ & 6.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9 \\ & 5.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.3 \\ 3.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2 \\ 10.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & ? \\ & ? \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & ? \\ & ? \end{aligned}$ |  | 37.2 3.2 |  |
| 79 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NO. } \\ & \text { AGE } \end{aligned}$ | 0.1 8.2 | 1.1 9.2 | 0.7 8.1 | ? | 0.3 5.2 | 4.0 7.4 | ? | 4.0 3.3 | 4.8 5.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \\ & 9.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 6.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118^{1} \\ & 1.2 \end{aligned}$ | $1 \begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | 3.4 3.9 | 18.0 2.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \\ & 4.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.0 \\ 2.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9 \\ & 3.4 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEAN } \\ & 77-79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NO. } \\ & \text { AGE } \end{aligned}$ | 0.1 8.9 | 1.1 | 0.7 8.1 | 3.1 3.8 | 0.2 6.0 | 3.0 7.0 | 5.0 3.9 | 3.2 3.5 | 10.5 4.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 7.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 5.6 \end{aligned}$ | 2.2 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4 \\ 10.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & 3.9 \end{aligned}$ | 18.0 2.2 |  | 2.9 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Includes estimate of numbers rejected.

Table 1.9 Trends in catch per unit effort for trawl fisheries in ICES Divisions IVa and VIa, Sub-area VII and Divisions VIII a and b by area, country and vessel class, 1961-1979.

| YEAR | $\mathrm{IVa}+\mathrm{VIa}$ |  | VII |  |  |  | VIIIa, b |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FRANCE ${ }^{1}$ | U.K. ${ }^{2}$ | FRANCE ${ }^{1}$ |  | U.K. ${ }^{2}$ | SPAIN ${ }^{1}$ | FRANCE ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  |  |  | HAUTURIERS | ARTISANS |  |  | HAUTURIERS | ARTISANS |
| 1961 | 155 | 151 | 142.2 | 41.9 | 88.9 | - | 174.4 | 37.3 |
| 1962 | 124 | 162 , | 110.8 | 36.7 | 105.3 | . | 159.0 | 31.2 |
| 1963 | 101 | 150 | 83.3 | 29.5 | 74.8 | . | 136.2 | 25.4 |
| 1964 | 74 | 128 | 65.5 | 25.5 | 33.9 | - | 124.8 | 20.6 |
| 1965 | 89 | 104 | 43.0 | 26.6 | 15.0 | - | 106.5 | 19.7 |
| 1966 | 37 | 61 | 53.2 | 21.9 | 20.0 | - | 75.1 | 20.9 |
| 1967 | 54 | 48 | 39.4 | 16.9 | 20.0 | 47.3 | 77.8 | 22.6 |
| 1968 | 50 | 41 | 40.7 | 11.7 | 73.7 | 57.4 | 75.1 | 21.6 |
| 1969 | 43 | 44 | 52.8 | 13.9 | 35.1 | 55.8 | 69.9 | 23.6 |
| 1970 | 48 | 45 | 60.2 | 17.0 | 25.9 | 76.0 | 78.4 | 40.6 |
| 1971 | 42 | 17 | 57.2 | 16.6 | 23.5 | 98.9 | 95.2 | 25.6 |
| 1972 | 42 | 9 | 47.0 | 19.5 | 24.7 | 54.0 | 90.6 | 21.1 |
| 1973 | 33 | 6 | 51.1 | 20.7 | 21.1 | 55.8 | 83.5 | 25.7 |
| 1974 | 26 | 6 | 57.2 | 19.4 | 34.3 | 51.9 | 82.4 | 18.0 |
| 1975 | 27 | 5 | 56.6 | 21.5 | 33.5 | 45.1 | 62.5 | 22.0 |
| 1976 | 29 | 4 | 43.1 | . | 29.0 | 53.1 | 63.3 | . |
| 1977 | 23 | 4 | 34.5 | . | 22.0 | 56.2 | 53.7 | . |
| 1978 | 17 | 3 | 41.3 | . | 24.6 | 59.4 | 74.7 | - |
| 1979 | . | 2 | . | - | 21.6 | . | . |  |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}_{61-63}$ | 126.7 | 154.3 | 112.1 | 36.0 | 89.7 | - | 156.5 | 31.3 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\text {last }} 3$ yrs. in series | 23.0 | 3.0 | 39.6 | 20.5 | 22.7 | 56.2 | 63.9 | 21.9 |
| \% change | -82 | -98 | -65 | -43 | -75 | - | -59 | -30 |

[^4]Table 1. 10 Growth parameter estimates, weight-length relationship and average weights at length used for assessment of the Northern Stock.

Source: Descamps et Labastie, C.M. 1978/G:41

| LENGIH CLASSES (cm) | (mid-point class) |  | GROWTH <br> ESTI | AMETER <br> ES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5-9 | 0.002 |  |  |  |
| 10-14 | 0.012 |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.034 |  |  |  |
| 20-24 | 0.074 |  | 9 | $\sigma$ |
| 25-29 | 0.136 | $L_{\infty}$ | 83.0 | 116.0 |
| 30-34 | 0.228 |  |  |  |
| 35-39 | 0.354 | K | 0.148 | 0.098 |
| 40-44 | 0.520 | $t_{0}$ | -0.42 | -0.51 |
| 45-49 | 0.732 |  | 4.068 | 11.383 |
| 50-54 | 0.995 |  |  |  |
| 55-59 | 1.316 |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 1.701 |  |  |  |
| 65-69 | 2.155 |  |  |  |
| 70-74 | 2.684 |  |  |  |
| 75-79 | 3.295 |  |  |  |
| 80-84 | 3.993 |  |  |  |
| 85-89 | 4.785 |  |  |  |
| 90-94 | 5.676 |  |  |  |
| 95-100 | 6.673 |  |  |  |
| Weight-length relationship $W_{(K g)}=0.00513 \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{~cm})$ |  |  |  |  |

Table l. 11 Immediate losses in percentages and tonnes by area, country and gear type calculated under different selectivity options.

| Sub-area/ <br> Division | $\begin{aligned} & 1979 \\ & \text { Mesh } \\ & \text { Size } \end{aligned}$ | Immediate Loss (percent) |  | 1979 <br> Official <br> Nominal <br> Catch of <br> Hake ( t ) | Immediate Loss (tonnes) |  | Percent of Hake in Total landing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{SF}=3.6$ | $\mathrm{SF}=4.1$ |  | $\mathrm{SF}=3.6$ | $\mathrm{SF}=4.1$ |  |
| IV + VI France Hauturiers England and Wales Scotland Spain | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 80 \\ & 70 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ 0.0 \\ - \\ 0.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ 0.0 \\ - \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | 2459 106 1400 1 | 7 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 2 | 5 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & <5 \\ & <5 \\ & <5 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total |  | 0.2 | 0.1 | 5183 | 9 | 5 |  |
| VII France Hauturiers <br>  France Semi-Industrials <br>  France Artisans <br>  England and Wales <br>  Spain Longlines <br>  Spain Trawlers | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 50 \\ & 50 \\ & 75 \\ & \overline{70} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6 \\ 13.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 1.7 \\ - \\ 1.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6 \\ 19.0 \\ 28.0 \\ - \\ 1.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3200 \\ 2490 \\ 1417 \\ 215 \\ 936 \\ 4985 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 324 \\ 255 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 468 \\ 391 \\ 0 \\ 90 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10-40 \\ 5-15 \\ <5 \\ <1 \\ \\ 25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  | 5.0 | 7.0 | 13243 | 677 | 968 |  |
| VIIIa, $b$ France Hauturiers <br> France Pelagic Trawls <br> France Artisans <br> France Cotiers <br> France Gillnets + Longlines <br> Spain Trawlers | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & 40 \\ & 40 \\ & - \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.6 \\ 9.2 \\ 24.0 \\ 51.0 \\ - \\ 15.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 35.0 \\ 71.0 \\ -{ }^{2} 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{rl} 1 & 115 \\ 1 & 524 \\ 4 & 543 \\ 2 & 493 \\ 1 & 587 \\ 15 & 162 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}  & 18 \\ & 140 \\ 1 & 090 \\ 1 & 271 \\ & 0 \\ 2 & 274 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 50 \\ & 15-35 \\ & 10-20 \\ & 15-20 \\ & 60-80 \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total |  | 19.0 | 28.0 | 26424 | 4793 | 6947 |  |
| Total |  | 12.0 | 17.0 | 44850 | 5479 | 7920 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Mesh size and catch composition of 1978 used (no data available for 1979).
${ }^{2} 25 \%$ of mesh size assumed 40 mm .

Table 1.12 Estimated catches, TAC's recommended and adopted and mesh size recommended and in use after 1975 in the Northern stock.

| Years | Estimated catch ( $\mathrm{x} 10^{3} \mathrm{t}$ ) | TAC recommended$\left(x 10^{3} t\right)$ | TAC adopted$\left(x 10^{3} t\right)$ | Mesh size (m) recommended |  | Mesh size (mm) in use |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { IVa+VIa } \\ \text { VII } \end{gathered}$ | VIIIa, b | $\begin{gathered} \text { IVa+VIa } \\ \text { VII } \end{gathered}$ | VIIIa, b |
| 1975 | 74.5 |  |  | 70 | 60 | 40-80 | 40-50 |
| 1976 | 67.3 |  |  | 70 | 60 | 40-80 | 40-50 |
| 1977 | 51.2 |  |  | 70 | 60 | 60-80 | 40-60 |
| 1978 | 49.9 |  |  | 70 | 60 | 70-80 | 40-60 |
| 1979 | 51.4 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 70 | 60 | 70-80 | 40-60 |
| 1980 |  | 30.0 | 40.0 | 80 | 80 | 70-80 | 40-60 |
| 1981 |  | (34.0) |  | (80) | (80) |  |  |

Table 2.1 Nominal catches (thousands of tonnes) for the Southerm Hake stock (ICES Divisions VIIIc and IXa), as reported to ICES by country and area, 1961-1979.

| YEARS | TOTAL | FRANCE |  | PORTUGAL <br> IXa | SPAIN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VIII ${ }^{1}$ | IXa |  | VIII ${ }^{1}$ | IXa |
| 1961 | 100.6 | 12.3 | 3.1 | 13.04 | 40.6 | $31.8{ }^{3}$ |
| 1962 | 92.2 | 14.8 | 3.2 | 6.4 | 32.0 | $35.8{ }^{3}$ |
| 1963 | 101.7 | 12.4 | 3.2 | 7.0 | 39.3 | $39.8{ }^{3}$ |
| 1964 | 104.7 | 13.0 | 2.9 | 9.0 | 34.0 | 45.83 |
| 1965 | 74.8 | 10.7 | - | 10.4 | 7.1 | $46.6^{3}$ |
| 1966 | 89.1 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 8.3 | 27.5 | 45.73 |
| 1967 | 97.7 | 11.0 | 2.4 | 7.6 | 31.6 | 45.13 |
| 1968 | 89.1 | 10.2 | 2.0 | 7.2 | 32.2 | $37.5^{3}$ |
| 1969 | 82.8 | 8.8 | 1.7 | 6.6 | 27.1 | 38.63 |
| 1970 | 99.7 | 12.8 | 1.5 | 9.3 | 34.3 | $41.8^{3}$ |
| 1971 | 37.8 | 13.1 | 0.6 | 8.0 | 14.0 | 2.13 |
| 1972 | 71.0 | 12.6 | - | 8.7 | 32.4 | 17.3 |
| 1973 | 84.4 | 11.3 | - | 15.3 | 37.0 | 20.8 |
| 1974 | 47.8 | 7.3 | 0.1 | 7.8 | 18.5 | 14.1 |
| 1975 | 54.4 | 7.9 | 0.1 | 9.4 | 18.0 | 19.0 |
| 1976 | 46.7 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 7.9 | 20.2 | 13.7 |
| 1977 | 46.2 | 6.6 | - | 5.5 | 16.6 | 17.5 |
| 1978 | 28.6 | 8.8 | - | 4.4 | 6.6 | 8.8 |
| $1979{ }^{2}$ | 36.6 | 10.9 | - | 6.8 | 9.4 | 9.5 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes Divisions VIIIa,b and VIIIc.
${ }^{2}$ Preliminary
$3^{\text {Data }}$ refer to port of landing, not area of capture (includes African catches).
4 Include catches from area $\mathrm{Xa}, \mathrm{b}$.

Table 2.2 Reversed catches (thousands of tonnes) for the Southerm Hake stock (ICES Divisions VIIIc and IXa) by country and area determined by the Hake Working Group, 1961-1979.

| YEARS | TOTAL | PORTUGGAL <br> (IXa) | $\begin{gathered} \text { SPAIN } \\ (\text { VIIIc }+ \text { IXa }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FRANCE } \\ (\text { VIIIc }+ \text { IXa }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | - | 7.5 | - | . 7 |
| 1962 | - | 7.5 | - | . 7 |
| 1963 | - | 8.1 | - | . 6 |
| 1964 | - | 10.5 | - | . 7 |
| 1965 | - | 12.1 | - | . 8 |
| 1966 | - | 9.6 | - | . 6 |
| 1967 | - | 7.8 | - | . 6 |
| 1968 | - | 8.0 | - | . 4 |
| 1969 | - | 7.1 | - | . 5 |
| 1970 | - | 9.9 | - | . 2 |
| 1971 | - | 9.5 | - | . 1 |
| 1972 | 26.7 | 9.4 | 17.3 | . 0 |
| 1973 | 35.7 | 14.7 | 20.8 | . 2 |
| 1974 | 23.4 | 9.2 | 14.1 | .1 |
| 1975 | 32.0 | 11.1 | 20.8 | . 1 |
| 1976 | 26.2 | 9.7 | 16.4 | . 1 |
| 1977 | 15.8 | 6.4 | 9.2 | . 2 |
| 1978 | 14.5 | 5.6 | 8.6 | . 1 |
| $1979{ }^{1}$ | 18.1 | 6.8 | 11.3 | . 0 |

1
Preliminary

Table 2.3 Number of Hake landed $\left(x 10^{3}\right)$ by fishing gear in Divisions VIIIc and IXa (1974-1977 average).

| Length <br> Classes <br> (cm ) | Portugal |  | Spain |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Artisanal <br> (1) | Trawl | Trawl <br> (2) | Longline | Gillnet | $\begin{gathered} \text { Small } \\ \text { Gillnet } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 5-9 | - | - | 2497 | - | - | - | 2497 |
| 10-14 | - | 10 | 45626 | - | - | - | 45636 |
| 15-19 | 11 | 711 | 62474 | - | - | - | 63196 |
| 20-24 | 18 | 4769 | 21547 | - | - | 231 | 26565 |
| 25-29 | 104 | 4900 | 7896 | - | - | 1140 | 14040 |
| 30-34 | 114 | 3117 | 2904 | - | - | 1865 | 8000 |
| 35-39 | 201 | 1915 | 1079 | 3 | - | 680 | 3878 |
| 40-44 | 553 | 626 | 1014 | 32 | 10 | 198 | 2433 |
| 45-49 | 776 | 279 | 614 | 79 | 33 | 101 | 1882 |
| 50-54 | 787 | 97 | 371 | 174 | 100 | - | 1529 |
| 55-59 | 810 | 85 | 220 | 411 | 232 | - | 1758 |
| 60-64 | 610 | 55 | 188 | 425 | 368 | - | 1646 |
| 65-69 | 369 | 27 | 126 | 228 | 370 | - | 1120 |
| 70-74 | 212 | 8 | 37 | 102 | 221 | - | 580 |
| 75-79 | 106 | 1 | 21 | 31 | 78 | - | 237 |
| $\geqslant 80$ | 66 | - | 26 | 10 | 23 | - | 125 |
| Total | 4737 | 16600 | 146640 | 1495 | 1435 | 4215 | 175122 |
| Nominal Weight ( $t$ ) | 6287 | 3599 | 9421 | 2585 | 3099 | 1098 | 26089 |
| Current <br> Mesh <br> Size (mm) | - | 40 | 40 | - | - | - | - |

(1) Longline and Gillnet are included
(2) Includes an estimation of the illegal catch (< 25 cm )

Table 2.4 Length composition of the catches (N $\times 10^{3}$ ) by fishing gear in 1978.

| Length <br> Classes <br> (cm) | VIIIc and IXa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Portugal |  | Spain |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Trawl | Artisanal | Trawl <br> (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Small } \\ \text { Gillnet } \end{gathered}$ | Gillnet | Longline |  |
| 5-9 | 4 | - | 39 | - | - | - | 43 |
| 10-14 | 510 | - | 15490 | - | - | - | 16000 |
| 15-19 | 516 | 7 | 17675 | 7 | - | - | 18205 |
| 20-24 | 3032 | 13 | 4049 | 39 | - | - | 7133 |
| 25-29 | 3327 | 12 | 1720 | 240 | - | - | 5299 |
| 30-34 | 941 | 51 | 1873 | 273 | - | - | 3138 |
| 35-39 | 409 | 131 | 606 | 28 | 3 | - | 1177 |
| 40-44 | 257 | 232 | 569 | 2 | 10 | 67 | 1137 |
| 45-49 | 53 | 316 | 969 | 1 | 25 | 259 | 1623 |
| 50-54 | 33 | 461 | 830 | - | 73 | 395 | 1792 |
| 55-59 | 70 | 388 | 692 | - | 246 | 427 | 1823 |
| 60-64 | 58 | 244 | 355 | - | 285 | 219 | 1141 |
| 65-69 | 14 | 171 | 52 | - | 125 | 96 | 458 |
| 70-74 | 6 | 138 | 14 | - | 26 | 24 | 208 |
| 75-79 | 1 | 48 | - | - | 11 | 9 | 69 |
| $\geqslant 80$ | - | 110 | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | 115 |
| Total | 9231 | 2322 | 44914 | 590 | 806 | 1498 | 59361 |
| Nominal <br> Weight <br> ( $t$ ) | 1629 | 3550 | 5905 | 118 | 1441 | 2071 | 14714 |
| Current <br> Mesh <br> Size (mm) | 40 | - | 40 | - | - | - | - |

(1) Includes an estimation of the illegal catches (< 25 cm )

Table 2.5 Length composition of the catches by fishing gears in 1979.

| Length <br> Classes <br> ( cm ) | VIIIc and IXa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Portugal |  | Spain |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Trawl | Artisanal | Trawl <br> (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Small } \\ \text { Gillnet } \end{gathered}$ | Gillnet | Longline |  |
| 5-9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10-14 | - | - | 91 | - | - | - | 91 |
| 15-19 | 438 | 10 | 1589 | 12 | - | - | 2049 |
| 20-24 | 4043 | 57 | 4425 | 234 | - | - | 8759 |
| 25-29 | 5277 | 238 | 4624 | 851 | - | - | 10990 |
| 30-34 | 1741 | 208 | 3688 | 373 | - | - | 6010 |
| 35-39 | 674 | 192 | 2151 | 6 | - | 1 | 3024 |
| 40-44 | 138 | 462 | 1415 | - | - | 39 | 2054 |
| 45-49 | 99 | 463 | 1009 | - | - | 91 | 1662 |
| 50-54 | 52 | 486 | 839 | - | 43 | 374 | 1794 |
| 55-59 | 46 | 454 | 682 | - | 263 | 489 | 1934 |
| 60-64 | 42 | 426 | 342 | - | 399 | 368 | 1577 |
| 65-69 | 7 | 302 | 71 | - | 152 | 66 | 598 |
| 70-74 | - | 159 | 18 | - | 31 | 7 | 215 |
| 75-79 | - | 88 | 5 | - | 9 | 1 | 103 |
| $\geqslant 80$ | - | 53 | - | - | 4 | 8 | 65 |
| Total | 12557 | 3598 | 20949 | 1476 | 901 | 1444 | 40925 |
| Nominal Weight ( t ) | 2168 | 4612 | 7246 | 237 | 1705 | 2147 | 18115 |
| Current <br> Mesh <br> Size (mm) | 40 | - | 40 | - | - | - | - |

(1) Does not included any estimation of the illegal catches ( $<25 \mathrm{~cm}$ )

Table 2.6 Number (millions) and mean age of Hake caught from 1974-1979 by gear type.
Southern Stock


Table 2.7 CPUE for trawl fisheries in Divisions IXa and VIIIc by countries, during the period 1961-1978.

|  | $\mathrm{C} P \mathrm{U}$ E |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | France | Spain | Portugal |
| 1961 | 174 |  | 24.2 |
| 62 | 151 |  | 23.5 |
| 63 | 123 |  | 31.2 |
| 64 | 102 |  | 34.6 |
| 65 | 107 |  | 43.4 |
| 66 | 78 |  | 31.0 |
| 67 | 63 |  | 19.9 |
| 68 | 54 |  | 17.5 |
| 69 | 69 |  | 12.1 |
| 1970 | 67 |  | 22.2 |
| 71 | 87 |  | 16.6 |
| 72 | 53 |  | 16.0 |
| 73 | 108 |  | 21.1 |
| 74 | 102 |  | 10.9 |
| 75 | 93 | 36.0 | 13.4 |
| 76 | 67 | 30.3 | 9.7 |
| 77 | - | 34.3 | 4.4 |
| 78 | - | 25.2 | 4.3 |

CPUE France: $K g \times 10^{-2} \times(H P \times \text { Day })^{-1}$
CPUE Spain: $\quad \mathrm{Kg} \times 10^{-2} \times(\mathrm{BP} \times \text { Day })^{-1}$
CPUE Portugal: Kg/hour

Table 2.8 Length/weight relationship, Hake.

| Length <br> Classes <br> (cm) | France <br> (1) | Portugal <br> (2) $¥$ | Spain <br> (3) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | .002 | .003 | .002 |
| $10-14$ | .012 | .012 | .011 |
| $15-19$ | .034 | .035 | .033 |
| $20-24$ | .073 | .078 | .073 |
| $25-29$ | .136 | .146 | .138 |
| $30-34$ | .227 | .246 | .235 |
| $35-39$ | .352 | .384 | .372 |
| $40-44$ | .517 | .569 | .554 |
| $45-49$ | .987 | .805 | .791 |
| $50-54$ | 1.309 | 1.101 | 1.089 |
| $55-59$ | 1.691 | 1464 | 1.456 |
| $60-64$ | 2.142 | 1.900 | 1.900 |
| $65-69$ | 2.668 | 2.417 | 2.430 |
| $70-74$ | 3.276 | 3.022 | 3.053 |
| $75-79$ | 5.000 | 3.724 | 3.778 |
| $>80$ | - | 5.000 | 5.000 |
| $r$ |  | .9986 | .995 |

\% Up to 50 cm only 14 individuals were weighted
(1) $\mathrm{W}=.00513 \mathrm{~L}^{3.074}$
(2) $\mathrm{W}=.00458 \mathrm{~L}^{3.12819}$ Hake Working Group 1979
(3) $W=.003487 \mathrm{~L}^{3.194193}$

Cruise ASA-I-Galicia, March 1976

Table 2.9 Growth parameter estimates, weight-length relationship and average weights at length used for assessment of the Southern Stock.

Source: Decamps and Labastie, C.M. 1978/G:41


Table 2.10 Calculation of immediate losses and
long-term gains.

## Southern Stock

1. Immediate losses (on 1979) to 80 mm

| Portugal, trawl | $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ | Yo | Immediate losses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Tonnes | $\%$ |
|  | 2168 | 952 | 1216 | -56 |
| Portugal, artis. | 4200 | 4200 | 0 | 0 |
| Spain, trawl ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 7244 | 5576 | 1668 | -23 |
| Spain, artis. | 3840 | 3840 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 17452 | 14568 | 2834 | -16 |

m Does not included illegal landings
2. Long-term gains (on 1974-77 mean)

Y/R Model


| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Mesh } \\ =40 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \% \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{T} .\end{array} \quad \mathrm{F}=0.4 \mathrm{x} / \mathrm{R}\right)$ | +31 | +37 | +16 | -14 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 2.11 Abstract of the catches, TACs and meshes in use in the southerm stock of hake from 1975-1981.

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & \text { x1000 t } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TAC proposed } \\ & \text { by ACFM } \\ & \mathrm{x} 000 \mathrm{t} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | TAC adopted | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mesh } \\ \text { in use } \end{gathered}$ | Mesh recommended |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 31.9 |  |  | 40 | 60 NEAFC |
| 1976 | 26.1 |  |  | 40 | 60 NEAFC |
| 1977 | 15.6 |  |  | 40 | 60 NEAFC |
| 1978 | 14.2 |  |  | 40 | 60 NEAFC |
| 1979 | 18.1(1) | 20.0 | 20 | 40 | 60 NEAFC+ICES |
| 1980 |  | 10.0 | 10 | 40 | 80 ICES |
| 1981 |  | (8.4) |  | (80) | 80 (2) |

(I) Official data for Spain.
(2) Spanish-Portuguese Working Group, Vigo, April 1980.


Figure 1.1 Abundance of Hake larvae beneath 1 square metre determined from British Survey Data, 13-28 March, 1977
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Figure 1.2 Abundance of Hake larvae beneath 1 square metre determined from British Survey Data, 8-16 April, 1977
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Figure 1.3 Abundance of Hake larvae beneath 1 square metre as determined from British Larval Survey Data 10 - 19 May 1977



Figure 1.4 Abundance of Hake larvae beneath 1 square metre as determined from British Larval Survey Data, 2 - 12 June 1977
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Figure 1.5 Number (millions) of Hake caught in ICES Divisions IVa, VIa, VIIIa, b and Sub-area VII during 1974-1979.
$\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ue}$



Year
Figure 1.6 Catch per unit effort of $<35 \mathrm{~cm}$ hake by French trawlers from the ports of Lesconil and La Rochelle from 1966 to 1977


Figure 1.7 Selection curves for 40 mm and 80 nm trawls derived fromlogistic fits of selectivity data provided by Brabant and Guillou (1976) for. 40 mm trawls and by Working Group members for 60 mm trawls.

Figure 1.8 Yield per recruit curves formale and female hake (Northem stock) for $40 \mathrm{~mm}, 60 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 80 mm trawls calculated assuming $\mathrm{M}=0.2$ and utilizing the growth parameter of Decamps and Labastie. (1978).


Figure 1.8 continued ........


Figure 1.9 Virgin biomass curves for female and male hake (Northern stock) calculated assuming $\mathrm{M}=0.2$ and utilizing the growth parameters of Decamps and Labastie, (1978)



Figure 2.1 Number $\times 10^{-6}$ of Hake caught in VIIIa, b and IXa (Southern stock) 1974-1979

Figure 2.2 Survey "CIGALA - 79" - Division IXa.
R/v "Cornide de Saavedra" August 1979


Figure 2.3 Yieldser recruit curves by female and malalake (Southern Stock) for 40 and 80 mm trawls $(M=0.2)$


Figure 2.3 continued ......


Figure 2.4. Virgin biomass curves for female, and male hake (Southern stock) calculated assuming $M=0.2$ and utilizing the growth parameters of Decamps and Labastie (1978).



[^0]:    These vessels have been using 70 mm

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Spanish catch assumed nil.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes 17.6 thousand tonnes for Spain which were not reported by area but is assumed to have been taken in Regions 2 and 3.
    $3_{\text {Preliminary }}$ not reported to ICES.

[^2]:    $1_{\text {INumbers }}$ in brackets include unknown African catches for Spain (see footnote 3)
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes small amounts unreported by area.
    $3_{\text {Data }}$ refer to port of landing, not area of capture (includes African catches).
    ${ }^{4}$ Includes 17.6 thousand tonnes for Spain which were not reported by area
    ${ }^{5}$ Preliminary; not reported to ICES.

[^3]:    $1_{\text {Data }}$ for 1961-1972 and 1979 not revised; revised figures for Sub-area VIII for 1973-1978 include data for VIII a+b only. $2_{\text {Preliminary }}$

[^4]:    $I_{\text {Catch }}$ in kg per ten horsepower days.
    ${ }^{2}$ Catch in tonnes per million tonne hours.

