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- REPORT OF THE WORKING GROUP ON ASSESSMENT OF HAKE STOCKS


## 0. INTRODUCTION

0.1 Participants
J. Eridger (Rapporteur)
E. Cadima (Chairman)
F. Cardador
J. Dardienac
H. Dinis

R, Guichet
X. Pereiro
R. Robles

United Kingdom
Portugal
Portugal
France
Portugal
France
Spain
Spain

### 0.2 Terms of Reference

At the ICES 1980 Statutory Meeting it was decided (C. Res. 1980/2:6.11) that the Working Group on the Assessment of the Stocks of Hake should meet at ICES Headquarters from 30 April to 7 May 1981 to (i) assess TACs for hake,
(ii) review the exploitation patterns of hake stocks and advise on any additional measures required to improve them,
(iii) discuss the data requirements for assessments of sea bream, monkfish and flatfish in Sub-areas VII, VIII and IX and draw up plans for collecting the requisite data.

### 0.3 Nominal Landing Trends

Nominal hake catches for NEAFC Regions $2+3$ (including the stock areas considered in this report) for 1936 to 1980 appear in Table 0.1. Nominal catches averaged 52000 tonnes during the late 1930's, declined during World War II, and rose sharply to 194000 tonnes in 1946. Thereafter, they declined to around 121000 tonnes from 1949 to 1965, to 101000 tonnes from 1966 to 1976 and since 1977 when 200 miles jurisdiction was adopted to 66100 tonnes. The latter figure is believed to reflect both declining abundance and EC restrictions on Spanish effort. While the data in Table 0.1 are subject to many errors, particularly in the earlier years, the Group accepted these data as being indicative of the general condition of the resource relative to earlier years.
Nominal catches of hake as reported to ICES by country and area from 1961 to 1980 are given in Table 0.2. Again a downward trend is evident, although national trends differ considerably. Although reduced, partly by EC restrictions, Spain remains the major hake catching nation, France
second, Portugal third and the United Kingdom fourth.

### 0.4 Stock Separation

As in the two preceding Working Group reports, (Anon, 1979, 1980) two hake stocks were recognised within NEAFC Regions 2 and 3, i.e. a "northern stock" (ICES Divisions IVa and VIa, Sub-area VII and Division VIIIa, b) and a "southern stock" in ICES Divisions VIIIc and IXa. The French participants mentioned some work in progress on meristic characters of 0-group hake which may be of some help in stock separation.
0.5 Assessment of the Data Base

The lack of adequate catch, effort, length and age composition data have in the past greatly hindered assessment of these stocks. However, encouraging reports were received as to improved sampling levels in 1980 (See Section 1.3) and still further improvement promised for 1981. France provided a substantial amount of data on the relative quantities and length composition of small hake discarded in the Nephrops fishery and further advances have been made by Spain towards reliable ageing of hake of the southern stock. (See Section 1.8).

Catch, effort, catch per unit effort and leneth compositions in 1980 were provided by England and Wales for Divisions IVa and VIa and Sub-area VII for all the various fleets except the small vessels working in Division VIIe. and Spanish vessels working mainly in Division VIIj which, having been re-registered in the Channel Islands, now form part of the English fleet.

France provided similar data for many components of her fleet including a new table for the cpue of hauturiers (large trawlers) based at La Rochelle for the years 1966 to 1980. Recruitment indices in Divisions VIIIa and VIIIb for the years 1977 to 1980 from cruises by R.V. "La Pelagia" were also provided.

Spain provided catch figures for each Sub-area and Division. These figures refer to the catches of licensed vessels in EC waters, also effort data and length compositions for those vessels.

For the southern stock Spain and Portugal provided details of their fleets, catches by country and gear, also length compositions, abundance indices of juvenile fish, sex ratios in research vessel catches and some selectivity data.

In general, the biological data available to the Working Group for 1980 was a considerable improvement on past years. However, unrepcrted catch and effort data, especially by Spanish vessels, remain a major problem in the assessment of hake stocks.

### 1.0 Northern Stock (ICES Divisions IVa and VIa, Sub-area VII and

Divisions VIIIa and VIIIb)

### 1.1 Nominal Landing Trends

Nominal catches for the Northern Stock (as reported to ICES for 1961 to 1979 by country and by sub-areas) appear in Table l.1. Table l. 2 is similar, but includes revisions by the Hake Working Group, including unreported landings. It is to the quantities of hake shown in Table 1.2 that length measurements, etc. have been raised and all the 1980 cal.culations based.

It should be noted that the TAC for 1980 recomended by ACFM was 30000 tonnes. This TAC was increased by the EC to 40000 tonnes. The reported landings agree with this figure; however, this Working Group's estimate of the catch in 1980, including unreported landings, was 53100 tonnes ( $77 \%$ above ACFM's TAC).

The general level of catch was the same as in 1979. Table 1.2 indicates that the catch in Divisions IVa and VIa increased by 400 tonnes ( $6 \%$ ); in Sub-area VII fell by 3200 tonnes (17\%) and in Division VIIIa,b rose by 300 tonnes (15\%).

### 1.2 Fleet Composition

### 1.2.1 England and Wales

There is now almost no directed hake fishery and individual landings of hake rarely exceed 250 kg .

Fleetwood has 10-12 modern vessels of $34-40 \mathrm{~m}$, of which 6 often work as pairs, trawling for cod and haddock in Divisions IVa and VIa. The trawlers working singly get most of their hake in Division VIIa. Total catch was 96 tonnes. Milford has 6 very old trawlers working in Divisions VIIa and VIIf,in 1980 they took 25 tonnes of hake. Plymouth has $20-30$ vessels of $16-20 \mathrm{~m}$, some trawling and others seining, all in Division VIIe. In 1980 the trawlers took 10 tonnes of hake, the seiners 22 tonnes. Newlyn has about 30 vessels of $20-25 \mathrm{~m}$ working in Divisions VIIe, VIIf and in summer in Divisions VIIg-k. In 1980 the trawlers took 186 tonnes of hake, 4 seiners working out of port took a further 22 tonnes.

These 4 ports received 369 tonnes of hake out of an England and Wales total of 659 tonnes by vessels of over $40 \mathrm{ft}(13 \mathrm{~m})$.

In 1980 a new development took place. About 6 Spanish vessels, mainly from Pasajes, re-registered in Jersey with British skippers and Spanish crews began landing at Penryn in Cornwall. The entire catch is reiced and exported to Spain. Hake, monk and megrim are the main species landed. Almost all the English landings from Divisions VII h, j, lll tonnes, came from these vessels.

In addition, there is a large number of small inshore trawlers working in Divisions VIIe and VIIf, working day trips from many small ports. No reliable effort figures are available and the reported catch, 58 tonnes, is probably an underestimate. Very occasional small landines of hake occur at Grimsby and Lowestoft from Divisions IVa, $b$ and at Whitehaven from Division VIIa. The total landings of hake in England and Wales in 1980 was 717 tonnnes as against 326 tonnnes in 1979.
1.2.2 Spain. For a description of the Spanish fleet see the 1978 Working Group Report (C.M. 1978/G:45).

Pasajes. This port has all the main types of trawl vessels; bous, bakas and parejas or trios. Their main grounds are in Division VIIIa,b, although
the trios and bakas also fish in Sub-areas VI and VII. Ondarroa has mainly bakas working in Division VIJIa, b. Santander has a small number of bakas and bous working only in Division VIIIa,b.

Coruna is the port from which most of the fishing done in Sub-area VII is carried out. The fleet consists mainly of bakas and some trios, and is more hake-orientated than those based at the other ports. The proportion of hake to other species landed is about $1: 2$.

Vigo has a fleet of about half the size of Coruna's, also working mainly in Sub-area VII, but their effort is less directed towards hake. The proportion of hake to other species landed is about $1: 12$, megrim and monk making up the bulk of the catches.

In all, Spain has 413 trawlers having a mean horsepower of 760 . Of these, 168 were licensed to fish in EC waters in 1980. (See Figure 1.2)

### 1.2.3 France

The fleet composition has not drastically changed since it was described in an earlier Working Group report (C.M. 1977/G:3). Improved coverage of the sampling programme has, however, permitted further sub-division of the catches by long liners, gill netters and cotiers (small inshore trawlers) from those of the somewhat larger artisans and the hauturiers.

While the type of vessels used have not changed, the numbers of vessels have. The evolution of French effort is treated in Section 1.5.

### 1.3 Sampling Methods and Levels

1.3.1 England and Wales

Measurements of the hake landed at Fleetwood and Milford Haven are available since the 1950 s by sub-area and division. With the drastic decrease in the landings of hake at those two ports, sampling has been started at Plymouth and Newlyn where the catches, although small, are nevertheless an important part of the total landings. Sampling of the hake landed by the Spanish vessels at Penryn should be arranged soon, and also from the many small vessels landing at the Cornish ports. The four ports where sampling is carried out received just over half the total English and Welsh landings in 1980.

The sampling level at all four ports is intended to be 1 vessel's catch sampled each month for each gear and each division fished, but hake landings
are so few and so small that this is not always achieved. Samples are raised to the vessel's catch and then quarterly to the port total, and finally summed for the year.

### 1.3.2 Scotland

No length compositions of hake landed in Scotland were available for 1980.

### 1.3.3 France

Market sampling is carried out at : Les Sables d'olonne, 1 sample per month from artisan trawl, La Rochelle, l sample per week from all vessel classes, Hendaye, 2 samplesper month from artisans using pelagic trawls and from long liners.

Table 1.3 .1 gives the quantity of hake landed at the various French ports by the different gears and denotes which vessel classes are sampled at which port. The final line shows the percentage of the French total landings discharged at ports where sampling takes place. Where the weight of the sample is known, the numbers are raised by the ratio of landed weight/sample weight. Where the weight of the sample is not known, its weight is calculated by use of a length/weight relationship of gutted fish.

Sampling at sea on R.V. "La Pelagia" covers the grounds fished by the artisans in Division VIIIa,b, particularly those fished from the Guilvinec St Nazaire, Les Sables, La Rochelle and Arcachon. The net used is the same as that of the commercial vessels. Such cruises are carried out quarterly. Measurements are also made on artisans trawling for Nephrops in Sub-area VII. Several such trips are made each month. Sampling also takes place at sea on artisan, côtiers (small trawlers), where 18900 measurements of hake were taken before discarding in 1980.

### 1.3.4 Spain

Table 1.3 .2 gives the frequency of sampling at Spanish ports and the approximate number of fish measured in 1980. The frequency of sampling will be increased in 1981.

### 1.4 Length Compositions

The length compositions for Divisions IVa and VIa and Sub-area VII, split by countries and vessel classes, are given in Table 1.4.1 and those for Division VIIIa,b in Table 1.4.2. At the foot of the table the mean weight of individual fish in the catch is given. This immediately distinguishes
those sections of the fleet which exploit the adult fish from those which catch mainly juveniles.

Further, these two tables show clearly the greater average size of the fish taken in Divisions IVa and VIa as compared with those in Division VII which, in turn, are larger than those taken in Division VIIIa,b, Where hake are taken by the same type of vessel in all three locations, as for example French hauturiers, the mean weight of the fish are 2321 , 1444 and 1158 g in Divisions IVa and VIa, Sub-areas VII and Division VIIIa, b respectively, For French artisans, the mean weight of the fish landed are 395 g in Sub-area VII; 328 g in Division VIIIa, b. Even by long line, those taken by Spanish vessels in Sub-area VII are individually over twice the weight of those taken by French vessels in Division VIIIa,b. In terms of catch in numbers, Division IVa and VIa produced 2.6 million fish in 1980; Sub-area VII 21.7 million and Division VIIIa,b 97.4 million. This may be taken as confirmation that the major hake nursery areas lie in Division VIIIa,b.

### 1.5. Trends in fishing effort

Table 1.5 .1 gives a number of estimates of the fishing effort exerted by England and France in Divisions IVa and VIa, Sub-area VII and Division VIIIa,b for the years 1961 to 1980. No comparable data sets for Spain were available.

### 1.5.1 England and Wales

In Divisions IVa and VIa the effort by both motor trawlers and all trawlers which latterly includes some pair trawlers and formerly included steam trawlers) has been declining since 1977. In Sub-area VII English effort has been relatively constant for ten years or more. There was an increase of effort in 1980 as compared with the previous year, but the 1980 value is similar to those of the 1970s. There was a suggestion that in 1980 some of the English trawlers shifted from their traditional grounds to join the French fleet on the Nephrops عrounds and this is reflected in increased catches of hake (see Table 1.2).
1.5.2 French hauturiers in Divisions IVa and VIa increased their effort considerably during the late 60's and 70's but have reduced their effort since 1978. In Sub-area VII hauturier effort shows a decline from the peak year of 1976 and in Division VIIa,b has declined greatly since
the late 60's. Data on the distribution of French artisanal effort between Sub-area VII and Division VIIIa,b is not clear, but in the final column, where Sub-area VII and Division VIIIa, b are combined, their effort seems to have increased steadily over the 20 year period and from 1976 to 1978 has stabilised at a high level.

Over the whole area, inhabited by the Northern Stock, it seems probable that effort by the larger and more powerful units is decreasing, while effort by the smaller units, that is,French artisans and the smaller English trawlers has stabilised at a hich level. Having no comparable data set for Spain means that it is impossible to decide whether the total effort on the Northern Stock has increased or decreased over the last 20 years. The Working Group was, however, of the opinion that Spanish effort was now reduced and more closely confined to the western parts of Division VIIj than previously.

### 1.6 Trends in Catch per Unit Effort

Table 1.6.1 gives the catches per unit effort for certain components of the fleet in Divisions IVa and VIa, Sub-area VII and Division VIIIa,b. In addition, two further data sets relating to the hauturiers and artisans landing at La Rochelle were made available and are given in Table 1.6.2. These latter are thought to be particularly useful, partly because the coverage of landings at La Rochelle is good and partly because there has been no shift of Eround or change in the manner of fishing over the time period involved. (See Figures 1.6.1 and 1.6.2).

Table 1.6.1 shows the general downward trend of the cpue of the larger English trawlers and French hauturiers which tend to exploit the larger hake. This downward trend is not apparent in the Spanish data for the port of La Coruna. This data set is not complete, since in the last two years the Irish Government have forbidden Spanish vessels to fish in an area where catches were heaviest and so the figures would not be comparable.

Table 1.6.2 shows the cpue of the La Rochelle artisans to be very varjable from year to year. This is to be expected where the bulk of the catch consists of a single year class. If their cpue is in fact largely determined by the success or failure of a year class, then those of 1976 to 1978 as two year olds have been more abundant that that of 1974. The cpue of the hauturiers is less variable, but if the 1974 year class was a particularly bad one, this could explain the hauturiers' low cpue in 1977.

Almost all components of the fleet show some increase in cpue in 1980 as compared to 1979. On the evidence available, it is difficult to distinguish between the effects of :
a) reduced effort by the EC fleet,
b) a general reduction of Spanish effort,
c) a somewhat stronger year class, or year classes, passing through the fishery,
d) a gradual increase of mesh size in many components of the fleet.

### 1.6.1 Longer term trends in catch per unit effort and the effect of the small mesh fisheries on hake abundance

English work in progress showed that over the period 1946 to 1960 the English catch per effort fell rapidly. The decline was most rapid in Division VIIg-k, only slightly less rapid in Division VIIb, c, but occurred considerably later in Division VIa and Division IVa. Further, by the use of cpue in number for fish of less than 49 cm , as opposed to those of 50 cm and above, the decline in Divisions IVa, VIa and Sub-area VII was apparent in the smaller fish two years before the larger. In short, a partial failure of recruitment to the English fisheries was the primary cause of the collapse of the Cardiff, Swansea and Milford Haven hake fleet, This decline was very apparent in Sub-area VII as early as 1955. Since no other country has reliable effort data for that period, the total international catch of Nephrops in Sub-areas IV, VI, VII and VIII was used as an index of the growth ot the small mesh fisheries. In Figure 1.6.4 the catch of Nephrops is plotted against this Working Group's revised international hake catches in the same sub-areas two years later. In Figure 1.6 .5 the two data sets are seen to be roughly inversely related, apart from 3 years in the early 1970s. Since it has been abundantly shown that Nephrops and juvenile hake inhabit the same type of substrates, notably the area known as the Grande Vasière in the Bay of Biscay, to the south of the Smalls in the Celtic Sea and elsewhere, it is reasonable to conclude that the small mesh fisheries, of which the catches of Nephrops are an indicator, are largely responsible for the decrease in abundance of the $30-50 \mathrm{~cm}$ hake on which the English fisheries largely depended. If that is so, then clearly while an increase in mesh size in the directed hake fisheries must be beneficial, unless steps are taken to reduce the catches of very small hake further south, very large numbers of which are subsequently discarded as Table 1.4 .2 shows, then the recovery of the hake stocks is unlikely. (See Figures 1.6.3, 1.6.4. and 1.6.5).

### 1.7 Indices of Recruitment

Two sets of data were available.
Table 1.7.1 gives the cpue of the smallest market category of hake landed at La Rochelle from Divisions VIIIa and VIIIb separately for the years 1968 to 1980. These fish are mainly of age group 2, so they can be taken to give some indication of year class strength two years before, modified by fishing mortality in those two years. The same data are shown in Figure 1.7.1. In general, abundance in Divisions VIIIa and VIIIb show similarities; both areas show peaks in 1970, 1973 and 1975 with lower values in 1969, 1971, 1972, 1974 and 1976. Since 1977 neither Division VIIIa nor Division VIIIb has yielded a high abundance. On the other hand, they would appear to be more consistently at a moderate level than hitherto.

The figure gives no indication that a particularly strong year class is soon to recruit to the adult fishery.

Table 1.7 .2 gives indices of recruitment as obtained on cruises of the R.V. "La Pelagia" from 1977 to 1980 in the same divisions. In Division VIIIa, the results tend to confirm those shown in the previous table, rather steady recruitment. In Division VIIIb, however, where commercial sampling suggests that the cpue of young hake is higher than in Division VIIIa, the research vessel results succest that they are less abundant, and in 1980 very much less abundant.

### 1.8 Age Determination of Hake

Preliminary work on age determination by Iglesias and Dery made it possible to construct an age/length key for hake of the Southern Stock. Some years previously, Quero and Labastie attempted to age hake from which the French participants had prior to this meeting converted numbers at length to numbers at age in the Northern Stock. The two available age/length keys were different, but as previously, a lack of age data so seriously hindered assessment, it was decided to attempt to create a data base for Virtual Population Analysis of both stocks using both age/length keys. The Working Group was unable to complete this during the meeting, but it is anticipated that VPA's will be available in the near future.

### 1.9 Assessments

The Working Group was of the opinion that the long-term decline in the stock had been halted; there has been some reduction in effort and some increase in cpue in some components of the fleets as compared with the previous year. However, the Working Group was concerned that the EC TAC had, as far as they could estimate, (in view of the large quantity of unreported landings) been substantially exceeded. The total catch still included a high proportion of very small hake.

The Working Group therefore considered 5 options for possible regulation of the fishery in 1982 :
(1) To increase mesh size to 80 mm in all components of the fleet;
(2) To increase mesh size to 60 mm in all components of the fleet;
(3) To limit the catch to (a) 40000 tonnes, (b) 30000 tonnes in 1982 by the reduction of fishing effort. These totals are the E.C and ACFM TACs for 1980/81.
(4) To increase mesh size to 80 mm and also limit the catch to (a) 40000 tonnes, (b) 30000 tonnes in 1982.
(5) To increase mesh size to 60 mm and limit the catch to (a) 40000 tonnes and (b) 30000 tonnes in 1982.

The fleet was divided into 6 categories based on the size composition of their catches.
(a) Large mesh. This included the UK trawlers, French hauturiers and Spanish vessels in Division VIa.
(b) Medium mesh. The French "semi-industrial"trawlers, the Spanish "bakas" in Sub-area VII, the parejas in Division VIIIa,b.
(c) Small mesh. All French artisans and Spanish bakas and bous in Division VIIIa,b.
(d) French pelagic trawlers.
(e) French "côtiers".
(f) Lines and gill nets.

The immediate losses for each component of the fleet were then calculated.

For an increase to 80 mm these would be :

| \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) | 1 | these | vessels | take | 18\% | of | the | total | landings |
| (b) | 21 | " | " | " | 23\% | n | " | " | " |
| (c) | 31 | " | " | " | 42\% | " | " | " | " |
| (d) | 12 | " | " | 1 | 5\% | " | " | " | " |
| (e) | 50 | " | " | " | 4\% | " | " | " | " |
| (f) | 0 | " | 1 | " | 8\% | " | " | " | " |

Overall, the immediate loss would amount to $20 \%$ of the landings in 1980.

An increase to 60 mm would entail much lower immediate losses, viz: (a) 0 , (b) $3 \%$ (c) $9 \%$ (d) $1 \%$ (e) $15 \%$ (f) 0 .

Option 3 (a) would entail cutting fishing effort by $25 \%$, but due to the large landings in 1980, 3 (b) would entail cutting effort by $43 \%$ in order to reduce the catch in 1982 to 30000 tonnes.

Option 4 would entail cutting the fishing effort by $6 \%$ provided that the 80 mm mesh size were introduced and by $29 \%$ to reduce the catch in 1982 to 30000 tonnes.

Option 5 would entaịl a cut-back in fishing effort of $21 \%$ to achieve 40000 tonnes and by $40 \%$ to achieve 30000 tonnes in 1982.

The general view of the Working Group was that the fishing pattern should be changed and the fishing intensity on the juvenile fish reduced.

The fact that the catch in 1980 was well above the TAC adopted by the EC implies that some general reduction of effort would be beneficial.

It was not possible for the Group to assess the spawning biomass; nevertheless it is clearly at a low level and any measure to regulate the fishery must also benefit the spawning stock.

Therefore, the Working Group favoured the adoption of regulatory measures in the direction of an increase in the mesh size and some reduction of effort.

The Working Group therefore : recommends an increase in mesh size up to 80 mm for all components of the fleet and a reduction of fishing effort by at least $6 \%$ as the most adequate regulatory measure to allow the hake stock to recover as well as the stocks of the majority of other species associated, with the possible exception of Nephrops.

The Working Group points out that the immediate losses, although moderate for the whole of the fleet, can have a serious repercussion for certain components.

## Other Species

The Working Group was requested to discuss the data needed for further assessments on monkfish, sea bream and flatfish in Sub-areas VII, VIII and IX. As can be seen in Table 1.3 of the 1980 Hake Working Croup Report (C.M. 1980/G:13), sea bream (including probably different species), megrim (including two species), monkfish (including 2 species), sole, plaice and probably witch in Sub-area VII are of great importance.

Sea_Bream - Some years ago, French papers were presented to ICES dealing with pink sea bream (Pagellus bogaraveo), including catch and effort, biological and tagging data. Recently, a directed fishery by pelagic trawling on grey sea bream (Spondyliosoma cantharus) has been developed in France (Division VIIIa,b); detailed and updated routine and biological information is available.

Sole - Research programmes and routine work is going on in France. For Divisions VIIa, e, $f$ and $g$ the data obtained have been presented to the corresponding Working Groups. In Annex I data related to Division VIIIa,b are presented.

Plaice and Witch - No investigations are carried out on these species in the Sub-areas concerned by this Working Group.

Megrim - Despite the great importance of these species, above all in Sub-area VII, no research was carried out by the countries involved. Some Spanish biological data have been published on Lepidorhombus boscii in Division VIIIc and Sub-area IX.

Monkfish - Both species are rather abundant in Sub-areas VII, VIII and IX, particularly in Sub-area VII. French biological data have been published on Lophius piscatorius and Lophius budegassa, although there are great difficulties to obtain details of commercial landings separately.

The members of the Working Group were requested to collect all the available data and to develop the corresponding research programmes in order to improve the knowledge of these species for the next Working Group meeting.

### 2.0 SOUTHERN STOCK (ICES Divisions IXa and VIIIc)

### 2.1 Nominal Landing Trends

Table 2.1 shows the annual landings during 1961 to 1980, by country and gear.

According to the data, a small increase of the 1980 catches can be observed in relation to 1979. This increase is mainly due to artisanal gears (gillnet and longline). The increase of the Spanish longline landings in 1980 is due to a better statistical information for the Cantabrica area.

It should be noted that the TAC recommended for 1980 by ACFM was 10000 tonnes, and a total of 21900 tonnes were landed which represents an increase of more than $100 \%$.

### 2.2 Fleet Composition

### 2.2.1 Portugal

The only available information concerns the trawl fleet. The artisanal fleet includes gillnets and lines with a large number of small inshore boats, but no data about their number, composition and effort are available.

The trawl fleet, working in Division IXa in 1980 comprised 119 trawlers, 77 stern- and 42 side trawlers. Their GRT varies between 70 and 400 , with an average GRT of 170 and an average HP of 680. The greatest part of this fleet ( $90 \%$ ) has an average GRT of about 150 (Table 2.2.1, Figure 2.2.1). The relation between GRT and HP is given in Figure 2.2.2. The mean mesh size of cod-end was near to 40 mm . A trawler makes an estimated 122 trips per year, 2 fishing days per trip, 3 hauls per day and 4.4 fishing hours per haul.

### 2.2.2 Spain

The Spanish fleet working in Divisions IXa and VIIIc comprises several types of eear :

- trawl : "Bakas", "Bous" and "Parejas"
- artisanal : "Volanta" (gillnets), "Betas" (small gillnets) and longlines.

Table 2.2.2 shows for each gear the number of vessels, their mean horse power, GRT and total catch. The total number of trawlers working in 1980 was 287, gillnetters 416, and longliners 484. The Galician fleet
contains about $60 \%$ of the total trawlers which used a mesh size between $40-60 \mathrm{~mm}$. The Cantabrica trawl fleet used mesh sizes between $50-70 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Figure 2.2.3 shows the Spanish trawl fleet which worked in 1980 on the Portuguese coast. The total number was 86 with an average GRT of 170 and HP of 500 .

### 2.3 Sampling Methods

### 2.3.1 Portugal

A biological sampling scheme for the Portuguese commercial landings started in October 1980 for the main species. Up to that time, sampling of the trawl and artisanal landings took place at the most important ports, but with irregular frequency. The number of fish measured, as well as the percentages of the weights landed were not made available to the Working Group for 1980 samples. A description of the new sampling scheme will be presented to the next Working Group meeting.

### 2.3.2 Spain

Table 2.3.1 provided the frequency of sampling at Spanish ports and the approximate number of fish measured in 1980.

### 2.4 Length Composition

The length compositions for Divisions VIIIc and IXa and split by countries and gears are given in Table 2.4.1.

At the foot of the table the mean weight of individual fish in the catch is given. The length composition of the Spanish trawls include an estimation of 1000 tonnes of "carioca" (smaller than 25 cm ) caught by the Galician fleet.

It can be seen in Table 2.4.1 that the mean weight of fish caught in the trawl fishery was around 100 g and in the artisanal one around 1000 g , except for small Spanish gillnets ( 136 g ). $97.5 \%$ of the catches of hake less than 30 cm were caught by trawl, which represents $85.7 \%$ of its landings.
2.5 Trends in Catch per Unit Effort (Table 2.5.1)

Figure 2.5 .1 gives the cpue for Portugese and Spanish trawl fleets. It seems that there was a small increase in the 1980 catch rate in several components of the fleet when comparing with recent years.

### 2.6 Indices of Recruitment <br> 2.6.1 Portuguese coast

Figure 2.6.1 shows the numbers of juveniles per trawl hour, estimated from the groundfish surveys carried out by the R.V. "Noruega" (October $80 / 81$, March 81) in Portuguese waters. It can be seen that the areas of higher concentrations of juveniles ( $<25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) are situated to the west of Figueira Foz in the north and off Cape Sines in the south. Other areas of less importance occur near Viana Castelo in the north and Sagres in Algarve.

It must be taken into account that on the October $80 / 81$ surveys a trawl net with 40 mm cod-ends was used. October seems to be the most suitable month in which to estimate the recruitment.

As in Spanish waters, the juveniles are found mainly between 100 and 200 m depth, although in some cases these concentrations extend beyond 200 m .

Those surveys confirm as areas of juvenile concentrations those proposed by ICES as closed areas.

### 2.6.2 Spanish waters

Indices of recruitment are given in Table 2.6.2. The 1980 index is greater than in recent years, but well below the 1974 level. The areas that give higher levels of recruitment are Prior, Sisargas and Toriñana, correspondingly the first two were recommended as closed areas by ICES in 1980.

It is important to note that these concentrations of juveniles (less than 17 cm ) were found at depths of less than 200 m .

In Figure 2.6.2 the values of the index of recruitment are shown, obtained in October 1980 in "Carioca 80" and "Plataforma Cantábrica" surveys on the Galician and Cantabrica shelf. It shows a progressive decrease of recruits from Galician to Cantabrica waters.
2.7 Indices of Abundance from Portuguese Groundfish Surveys

### 2.7.1 Sampling scheme

During the years 1979, 1980 and 1981 the Portuguese R.V. "Noruega" made 6 surveys along the Portuguese coast. Those surveys were
carried out in June and October 1979, March 1980*, May/June 1980, October 1980 and March 1981. Each cruise was of 3 weeks duration ( $\sim 60$ hauls). The sampling method was the stratified random one. All of the area was divided into strata, between 20 and 500 m of depth. Each strata was divided into squares of $5 \times 5$ miles and at least 2 squares were sampled in each depth strata. The first 5 surveys contained 15 strata and the last one 36 strata. The net used was not a commercial one. The mean mesh size of the cod-end was 40 mm . The average speed of the trawl was 3 knots, each haul was of 1 hour's duration (when possible) and all the hauls were made in daylight.

### 2.7.2 Results

The indices of abundance used were the catch in weight per fishing hour and the relative biomass in tonnes. The biomass was estimated from the swept area method.

The results are shown in Table 2.7 .2 as well as the corresponding sampling error.

### 2.8 Biological Data

2.8.1 Age determination of hake (see Section 1.8 )

### 2.8.2 Selectivity

Table 2.8.2 presents some new data on hake selectivity made by Spain and Portugal. The Spanish experiments were made with 61 and 74 mm cod-end mesh sizes, in 1979 on the Atlantic shelf of the Iberian Penninsula (C.M. 1980/ B:12) and in 1980 in Galician waters.

The Portuguese experiments were carried out off the Portuguese coast in November 1980 and March 1981. Two different research vessels were involved. As no detailed information concerning these experiments were available, the Working Group agreed to use the selectivity data used in 1980. (see Table 2.8.3).
*covered 9 strata

### 2.8.3 Weight at length

Table 2.8 .4 provides 4 relationships between weight and length as well as the average weight at the mid-point of each length group. The second relationship was used in the southern stock assessment made by this Working Group last year and was obtained from data collected in the Portuguese groundfish survey made in March 1980.

The third relationship results from the data collected on the R.V. "Noruega" in March, May/June and October 1980.

The Spanish relationship was obtained from samples caught in the Cantabrica area (Div. VIIIc) during June ard September 1980.

It seems that all the relations are similar, therefore to simplify the assessments, the Working Group adopted the French one.

### 2.8.4 Sex ratio

The data were obtained on six surveys on the Portuguese coast, carried out in June, October 1979, March, June, October 1980 and March 1981.
All the individuals above 19 cm have been examined, except in June 1979 (over 24 cm ).

From a total number of 17615 fish examined, $45 \%$ were females as is shown in Table 2.8.5. The results show a decreased number of females in the 20 to 45 cm length classes and an increased number of greater lengths.

### 2.9 Assessments

The Working Group was concerned that the 1980 TAC had been substantially exceeded and that the catch has a high proportion of very small hake (see Section 2.4).

The Working Group considered 5 options for possible regulation of the fishing in 1982:
(1) to increase the mesh sizes up to 80 cm ;
(2) to increase the mesh size to 60 mm and to close the fishery during the period and in the areas of concentrations of juveniles (January-March; October-December).
(3) to reduce the fishing effort to limit the catches to :
(a) 15000 tonnes
(b) 10000 tonnes ( 1980 TAC )
(c) 8000 tonnes ( 1981 TAC )
(4) to increase the mesh size to 80 mm and to reduce the fishing effort to limit the catches to :
(a) 15000 tonnes
(b) 10000 tonnes
(c) 8000 tonnes
(5) to increase mesh size to 60 mm , to set closed areas and seasons and to reduce fishing effort to limit the catches to :
(a) 15000 tonnes
(b) 10000 tonnes
(c) 8000 tonnes

Option 1 - The estimated immediate losses for each component of the fleet were calculated for an increase in trawl mesh size to 80 mm .

These were :

|  | \% of immediate losses | \% of total landing |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Portuguese trawl | 66 | 11.2 |
| Spanish trawl | 41 | 28.5 |
| Artisanal fleet | 0 | 60.3 |
| Total | 19 |  |

Option 2 - The immediate effects of option 2 were taken as similar to option 1.

Option 3 - This option would entail cutting fishing effort by :
a) $32 \%$
b) $55 \%$
c) $61 \%$

These reductions were considered due to the excessive landings in 1980.

Option 4 - This option would entail cutting fishing effort by :
a) $16 \%$
b) $44 \%$
c) $52 \%$

Option 5-This option was taken as similar to option 4.

Therefore, the Working Group recommends as the most adequate measures to regulate this fishery to increase trawl mesh size up to 80 mm (or alternatively 60 mm and closed areas during the period of concentration of juvenile fish), and to reduce the fishing effort of all components of the fleets by about $40 \%$.

Table 0.1 Nominal Hake catches (thousands of tonnes) for NEAFC Regions 2 and 3, 1936-1980 as reported to ICES.

| YEABS | CATCH |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1936{ }_{1}^{1}$ | 43.2 | Mean 1936-38 $=51.8$ |
| 19371 | 52.5 |  |
| $1938{ }^{1}$ | 59.9 |  |
| 1939 |  |  |
| 1940 | - |  |
| 1941 | - |  |
| 1942 | - |  |
| 1943 | - |  |
| 1944 | - |  |
| 1945 | - |  |
| 1946 | 194.3 | Mean 1946-48 $=177.8$ |
| 1947 | 179.7 |  |
| 1948 | 158.0 |  |
| 1949 | 130.6 |  |
| 1950 | 114.9 |  |
| 1951 | 128.1 |  |
| 1952 | 119.7 |  |
| 1953 | 109.8 |  |
| 1954 | 105.9 |  |
| 1955 | 143.0 |  |
| 1956 | 101.5 |  |
| 1957 | 113.3 | Mean 1949-65 $=120.8$ |
| 1958 | 112.6 |  |
| 1959 | 110.9 |  |
| 1960 | 114.2 |  |
| 1961 | 133.8 |  |
| 1962 | 128.9 |  |
| 1963 | 133.2 |  |
| 1964 | 130.2 |  |
| 1965 | 120.6 |  |
| 1966 | 107.2 |  |
| 1967 | 107.0 |  |
| 1968 | 107.4 | Mean 1966-76 $=101.3$ |
| 1969 | 100.6 |  |
| 1970 | 117.0 62.4 |  |
| 1972 | $110.0^{2}$ |  |
| 1973 | 109.4 |  |
| 1974 | 98.3 | Mean 1974-76 = 97.6 (Before 200 miles |
| 1975 | 102.9 | jurisdiction) |
| 1976 | 91.7 |  |
| 1978 | 49.6 | $\text { Mean 1978-80 }=59.3$ |
| 19793 | 66.4 |  |
| $1980^{3}$ | 62.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\text {Spanish catch assumed nil }}$. |  |  |
| 2 Includes 17.6 thouby area but is ass3 Preliminary; not r |  | tonnes for Spain which were not repor to have been taken in Regions 2 and 3. |
|  |  | ted to ICES. |

Table 0.2 Nominal Hake catches (thousands of tonnes) as reported to ICES by country and area, 1961-1980.

| YEARS | TOTAL | Fravce |  |  |  |  | PORTUGAL | SPALN |  |  |  |  | U.K. |  |  | OTHEPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | IV+VI | VII | VIII | IX | IX | TOTAL | IV+VI | VII | VIII | IX | TOTAL | IV+VI | VII | TOTAL | IV+VI | VII |
| 1961 | $(133.4)^{1}$ | $35.0^{2}$ | 1.5 | 18.0 | 12.3 | 3.1 | 13.0 | $(72.4)^{1}$ | - | - | 40.6 | $31.8{ }^{3}$ | 11.8 | 10.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 1962 | (128.3) | $39.5{ }^{2}$ | 0.7 | 19.4 | 14.8 | 3.1 | 6.4 | (67.8) | - | - | 32.0 | $35.8^{3}$ | 13.7 | 12.3 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 1963 | (132.5) | $33.4{ }^{2}$ | 1.5 | 14.9 | 12.4 | 3.2 | 6.9 | (79.1) | - | - | 39.3 | $39.8^{3}$ | 11.9 | 10.7 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 1964 | (129.7) | $30.7^{2}$ | 3.2 | 11.3 | 13.0 | 2.9 | 9.0 | (79.8) | - | - | 34.0 | $45.8^{3}$ | 9.2 | 8.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| 1965 | (120.0) | $26.2^{2}$ | 3.7 | 11.7 | 10.7 | - | 10.4 | (74.7) |  | $21.0^{\prime}$ | 7.1 | $46.6^{3}$ | $7 \cdot 7$ | 7.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| 1966 | (106.6) | 18.1 | 3.0 | 7.6 | 5.5 | 2.0 | 8.3 | (73.2) |  | - | 27.5 | $45.7^{3}$ | 5.9 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| 1967 | (116.5) | 25.9 | 2.9 | 9.6 | 11.0 | 2.4 | 7.6 | (76.7) | - | - | 31.6 | $45.1{ }^{3}$ | 4.9 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 1968 | (106.4) | 22.5 | 2.5 | 7.8 | 10.2 | 2.0 | 7.2 | (69.7) | - | - | 32.2 | $37.5^{3}$ | 5.4 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| 1969 | (99.6) | 21.3 | 2.9 | 7.9 | 8.8 | 1.7 | 6.6 | (65.7) | - | - | 27.1 | $38.6{ }^{3}$ | 4.3 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| 1970 | (116.4) | 25.7 | 1.5 | 9.8 | 12.8 | 1.5 | 9.3 | (76.1) | - | - | 34.3 | $41.8^{3}$ | 3.2 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.2 |
| 1971 | (61.6) | 23.6 | 0.8 | 9.1 | 13.1 | 0.6 | 8.0 | (24.8) | 0.9 | 7.8 | 14.0 | $2.1{ }^{3}$ | 2.6 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 0.5 |
| 1972 | $108.8{ }^{4}$ | 21.8 | 0.4 | 8.8 | 12.6 | - | 8.7 | 73.24 | 1.1 | 4.8 | 32.4 | 17.3 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | - |
| 1973 | 108.6 | 24.2 | 2.2 | 10.7 | 11.3 | - | 15.3 | 63.0 | 0.5 | 4.7 | 37.0 | 20.8 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 0.4 |
| 1974 | 96.5 | 21.7 | 2.5 | 11.8 | 7.3 | 0.1 | 7.8 | 61.7 | 7.1 | 21.9 | 18.5 | 14.1 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.3 |
| 1975 | 101.4 | 22.2 | 3.2 | 11.0 | 7.9 | 0.1 | 9.4 | 63.9 | 6.4 | 20.5 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 0.9 |
| 1976 | 90.7 | 19.1 | 3.8 | 10.4 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 7.9 | 58.8 | 4.1 | 20.8 | 20.2 | 13.7 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 0.8 |
| 1977 | 64.9 | 15.3 | 2.6 | 6.1 | 6.6 | - | 5.5 | 41.0 | 1.6 | 5.3 | 26.6 | 17.5 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| 1978 | 49.6 | 18.4 | 2.2 | 7.3 | 8.8 | - | 4.4 | 21.7 | 2.3 | 5.0 | 6.6 | 8.8 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 3.1 |  |  |
| 1979 | 62.6 | 22.4 | 2.5 | 9.2 | 10.7 | - | 5.3 | 32.0 | 1.1 | 6.1 | 16.7 | 8.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.4 |
| $1980^{2}$ | 62.0 | 24.4 | 2.8 | 5.5 | 13.1 | - | 8.3 | 26.4 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 8.4 | 13.6 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 |

[^1]Table 1.1 Nominal catches (thousands of tonnes) for the Northern Hake stock (ICES Divisions IVa and VIa, Sub-area VII, and Divisions VIII a and b), as reported to ICES by country and areas, 1961.1980.

| YEARS | TOTAL | France |  |  |  | SPAIN |  |  |  | J.K. |  |  | OTYERS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | IVa+VIa | VII | VIII | TOTAL | IVa+VIa | VII | VIII | TOTAL | IVa+VIa | VII | TOTAL | IVa+VIa | VII |
| 1961 | 85.4 | 31.8 | 1.5 | 18.0 | 12.3 | 40.6 | - | - | 40.6 | 11.8 | 10.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 1962 | 81.5 | 34.9 | 0.7 | 19.4 | 14.8 | 32.0 | - | - | 32.0 | 13.7 | 12.3 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 1963 | 81.2 | 28.8 | 1.5 | 14.9 | 12.4 | 39.3 | - | - | 39.3 | 11.9 | 10.7 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 1964 | 71.7 | 27.5 | 3.2 | 11.3 | 13.0 | 34.0 | - | - | 34.0 | 9.2 | 8.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| 1965 | 62.9 | 26.1 | 3.7 | 11.7 | 10.7 | 28.1 | - | 21.0 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| 1966 | 50.6 | 16.1 | 3.0 | 7.6 | 5.5 | 27.5 | - | - | 27.5 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| 1967 | 61.4 | 23.5 | 2.9 | 9.6 | 11.0 | 31.6 | - | - | 31.6 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 1968 | 59.7 | 20.5 | 2.5 | 7.8 | 10.2 | 32.2 | - | - | 32.2 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| 1969 | 52.7 | 19.6 | 2.9 | 7.9 | 8.8 | 27.1 | - | - | 27.1 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| 1970 | 63.7 | 24.1 | 1.5 | 9.8 | 12.8 | 34.3 | - | - | 34.3 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.2 |
| 1971 | 50.9 | 23.0 | 0.8 | 9.1 | 13.1 | 22.7 | 0.9 | 7.8 | 14.0 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 0.5 |
| 1972 | 65.2 | . 21.8 | 0.4 | 8.8 | 12.6 | 38.3 | 1.1 | 4.8 | 32.4 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | - |
| 1973 | 72.5 | 24.2 | 2.2 | 10.7 | 11.3 | 42.2 | 0.5 | 4.7 | 37.0 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 0.4 |
| 1974 | 74.3 | 21.5 | 2.5 | 11.8 | 7.2 | 47.5 | 7.1 | 21.9 | 18.5 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.3 |
| 1975 | 72.9 | 22.1 | 3.2 | 11.0 | 7.9 | 44.9 | 6.4 | 20.5 | 18.0 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 0.9 |
| 1976 | 69.0 | 19.0 | 3.8 | 10.4 | 4.8 | 45.1 | 4.1 | 20.8 | 20.2 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 0.8 |
| 1977 | 41.8 | 15.3 | 2.6 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 23.5 | 1.6 | 5.3 | 16.6 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| 1978 | 34.1 | 18.4 | 2.2 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 12.9 | 1.3 | 5.0 | 6.6 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| 1979 | 48.7 | 22.4 | 2.5 | 9.2 | 10.7 | 23.9 | 1.1 | 6.1 | 16.7 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| $1980^{+}$ | 40.1 | 24.4 | 2.8 | 8.5 | 13.1 | 12.8 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 8.4* | 2.3 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 |

* VIIIa, b only
+ not reported to ICES

Table 1.2 Revised catches (thousands of tonnes) for the Northern Hake stock (ICES Divisions IVa and VIa, Sub-area VII and Divisions VIII a and b) by country and area determined by the Hake Working Group, 1961-1980.

|  |  | FRANCE |  |  |  | SPAIN |  |  |  | U.K. |  |  | OTHERS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEARS | TOTAL | TOTAL | IVa+VIa | VII | VIIIa, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | TOTAL | IVa+VIa | VII | VIIİ, b | TOTAL | rva+VIa | VII | TOTAL | IVa+VIa | VII |
| 1961 | 95.6 | 42.0 | 5.3 | 20.7 | 16.0 | 40.6 | - | - | 40.6 | 11.8 | 10.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 1962 | 86.3 | 39.7 | 4.9 | 19.3 | 15.5 | 32.0 | - | - | 32.0 | 13.7 | 12.3 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 1963 | 86.2 | 33.8 | 4.0 | 16.2 | 13.6 | 39.3 | - | - | 39.3 | 11.9 | 10.7 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 1964 | 76.8 | 32.6 | 4.6 | 15.2 | 12.8 | 34.0 | - | - | 34.0 | 9.2 | 8.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| 1965 | 64.7 | 27.9 | 3.3 | 13.0 | 11.6 | 28.1 | - | 21.0 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| 1966 | 60.9 | 26.4 | 3.2 | 13.0 | 10.2 | 27.5 | - | - | 27.5 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| 1967 | 62.1 | 24.2 | 3.2 | 9.9 | 11.1 | 31.6 | - | - | 31.6 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 1968 | 62.0 | 22.8 | 2.5 | 9.2 | 11.1 | 32.2 | - | - | 32.2 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| 1969 | 54.9 | 21.8 | 3.5 | 10.9 | 7.4 | 27.1 | - | - | 27.1 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| 1970 | 64.9 | 25.3 | 4.3 | 11.5 | 9.5 | 34.3 | - | - | 34.3 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.2 |
| 1971 | 51.3 | 23.4 | 3.3 | 10.7 | 9.4 | 22.7 | 0.9 | 7.8 | 14.0 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 0.5 |
| 1972 | 65.5 | 22.1 | 3.7 | 9.6 | 8.8 | 38.3 | 1.1 | 4.8 | 32.4 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | - |
| 1973 | 79.5 | 24.0 | 3.2 | 12.3 | 8.5 | 49.4 | 2.4 | 17.9 | 29.1 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 0.4 |
| 1974 | 74.2 | 21.3 | 2.8 | 11.9 | 6.6 | 47.6 | 3.6 | 16.1 | 27.9 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.3 |
| 1975 | 74.5 | 22.2 | 3.3 | 12.1 | 6.8 | 46.4 | 4.9 | 15.8 | 25.7 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 0.9 |
| 1976 | 67.3 | 18.3 | 3.8 | 10.3 | 4.2 | 44.1 | 4.2 | 15.6 | 24.3 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 0.8 |
| 1977 | 51.2 | 17.2 | 2.8 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 31.0 | 1.6 | 13.0 | 16.4 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| 1978 | 47.6 | 17.4 | 2.2 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 27.4 | 1.4 | 12.4 | 13.6 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| 1979 | 52.1 | 20.5 | 2.5 | 7.1 | 10.9 | 29.2 | 2.4 | 11.6 | 15.2 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| $1980^{2}$ | 53.1 | 24.4 | 2.8 | 8.5 | 13.1 | 25.6 | 2.2 | 6.6 | 16.8 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

[^2]Table 1.3.1 France 1980. Landings, tonnes (gutted) per port and per gear and the percentage discharged at ports Where sampling takes place.

| Ports | Sub-areas IV + VI | Sub-area VII |  |  |  |  | Division VIIIa, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hauturiers | Hauturiers | Semiindustr. | Artisans bottom tr. | Pelagic trawl | Hauturiers | Semi- <br> industr | Artisans bottom tr. | Pelagic trawl | G111 nets | Lines | "COtiers" |  |
| Boulogne | 152 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 152 |
| Ports of the Channel |  | 1 |  | 581 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 582 |
| Douarnenez | 143 | 199 | 203 | 15 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 563 |
| Les Guilvinec |  | 214 |  | 483 |  | 14 |  | 1659 |  | 129 |  |  | 2499 |
| Concarneau | 173 | 1383 | 739 | 39 |  | 20 |  | 21 |  | 32 |  | 229 | 2626 |
| Lorient | 1828 | 332 | 2219 | 69 | 32 | 52 |  | 29 | 369 | 185 |  | 384 | 5499 |
| Other ports of Britanny |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 830 |  |  |  | 128 | 958 |
| Les Sables d'olonne* |  |  | 29 | 26 |  |  | 18 | 802* | 358 | 734 |  | 137 | 2104 |
| Other ports of Vendee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 825 | 90 | 320 |  | 33 | 1268 |
| La Rochelle* | 87* | 651* | 14* | 14* | . | 368* | 55" | 288* | 217* | 332* |  | 212 | 2298 |
| Marennes/ Oléron |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 310 |  |  |  | 308 | 618 |
| Arcachon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 262 | 338 |  |  | 7 | 607 |
| Hendaye* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 636" | 60 | 140* | 193 | 1023 |
| Total Landings (tonnes) | 2383 | 2780 | 3204 | 1227 | 32 | 444 | 73 | $5 C 29$ | 2008 | 1852 | 140 | 1631 | 20803 |
| \% landed at Sampling Ports | 3.7 | 23.4 | 0.4 | 1.1 | n11 | 82.9 | 75.3 | 21.7 | 42.5 | 21.2 | 100 | sampled at | sea |

*Sampling Ports.

Table 1.3.2 Spain 1980. Sampling ports and level of sampling

| Ports | Sub-area VI | Sub-area VII |  | Division VIIIa, b |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trawl | Trawl | Long line |  | Trawl |  |
|  | (trios and parejas) | (bacas) |  | (bous) | (bacas) | (trios and parejas) |
| La Coruña Santander Ondarroa Pasajes | 1 per month <br> 1 per month | 4 per month | 1 per 3 months | 1 per month <br> 1 per month | 1 per month <br> 1 per month <br> 1 per month | 1 per month |
| Approx. number of fish sampled by year | 2000 | 10000 | 600 | 5000 | 8000 | 1000 |

Table 1.4.1 Length compositions (thousands of fish) for hake landings from ICES Divisions IVa + VIa and Sub-area VII by country and vessel class in 1980.

| Length <br> Classes (cm) | Divisions IVa and VIa |  |  |  |  | Sub-area VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { England } \& \\ & \text { Wales } \end{aligned}$ | France Hauturier | Spain | Scotland |  | England \& Wales |  |  | ance |  |  |  | in |  |
|  | Trawl | Traw 1 | Trawl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { IVa+VIa } \end{aligned}$ |  |  <br> Traw] | Hauturier Trawl | Semi-industr. Trawl | Artisan |  | Artisan Pel. Trawl | Bakas <br> Trawl | Long Line | Total VII |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Irawl |  |  | piscards |  |  |  |  |
| 5-9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  | 11 |
| 10-14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 369 |  |  |  | 369 |
| 15-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1974 |  |  |  | 1 974 |
| 20-24 |  |  | 15.8 |  | 15.8 |  |  |  | 118 | 656 | 4 |  |  | 778 |
| 25-29 |  |  | 47.2 |  | 47.2 | 6.4 | 11 | 1158 | 965 1378 |  | 17 | 11.2 |  | 2158.6 |
| 30-34 | 0.4 | + | 126.0 |  | 126.4 | 64.2 | 27 | 4011 | $\begin{array}{r}1378 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 16 | 321.8 |  | 5819.0 |
| 35-39 | 2.1 | 10 | 110.4 |  | 122.5 | 190.6 | 86 | 2325 | 585 |  | 17 | 1345.4 |  | 4549.0 |
| 40-44 | 8.0 | 20 | 56.6 |  | 84.6 | 206.8 | 322 | 337 | 210 |  | 19 | 1661.2 |  | 2756.0 |
| 45-49 | 10.9 | 60 | 94.6 |  | 165.5 | 105.6 | 462 | 168 | 146 |  | 7 | 1341.0 | 17.2 | 2246.8 |
| 50-54 | 8.2 | 112 | 70.2 |  | 190.4 | 40.8 | 369 | 159 | 87 |  | 2 | 668.0 | 29.0 | 1354.8 |
| 55-59 | 8.5 | 141 | 116.8 |  | 266.3 | 20.4 | 273 | 199 | 54 |  | 1 | 310.0 | 61.6 | 919.0 |
| 60-64 | 10.9 | 259 | 116.0 |  | 385.9 | 17.5 | 196 | 131 | 25 |  | 1 | 191.6 | 44.4 36.0 | 606.5 432.5 |
| 65-69 | 8.7 | 153 | 229.8 | $\pm$ | 391.5 | 9.9 14.2 | 153 | 51 | 16 |  |  | 166.6 | 36.0 | 432.5 |
| 70-74 | 5.3 | 127 | 135.2 | \% | 267.5 | 14.2 | 156 | 12 | 18 |  | 1 | 129.2 | 78.6 | 409.0 |
| 75-79 | 2.9 | 140 | 78.0 | $\bigcirc$ | 220.9 | 6.9 4.8 | 86 | 16 | 12 |  |  | 77.0 | 77.0 | 274.9 |
| 80-84 | 2.7 | 63 | 44.0 | 2 | 109.7 | 4.8 | 42 | 8 | 8 |  |  | 36.8 | 80.4 | 180.0 |
| 85-89 | 1.3 | 41 | 18.8 |  | 61.1 | 2.0 | 35 | 15 | 5 |  |  | 20.4 | 56.4 | 133.8 |
| 90-94 | 1.8 | 19 | 10.8 |  | 31.6 | 2.7 | 19 | 37 | 4 |  |  | 10.2 | 17.2 | 90.1 |
| 95-99 | 0.8 | 40 | 18.4 |  | 59.2 | 0.8 | 14 | 27 | 4 |  |  | 4.2 3.4 | 8.6 3.4 | 58.6 22.9 |
| $100-104$ $105+$ | 0.8 0.4 | 15 |  |  | 15.8 1.4 | 1.1 0.3 | 9 3 | 2 1 | 4 1 |  |  | 3.4 1.4 | 3.4 1.8 | 22.9 8.5 |
| 105+ | 0.4 | 1 |  |  | 1.4 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total N. | 73.7 | 1201 | 1288.6 |  | 2563.3 | 695.0 | 2253 | 8657 | 3640 | 3010 | 85 | 6299 | ¢ 512 | $\because 5151$. |
| R.F. Tonnes | 139 | 2788 | 2170 |  | 5097 | 525 | 3253 | 3749 | 1436 |  | 37 | 5100 | 1526 | 15626 |
| Calc. Tonnes | 136 | 2887 | 2161 |  | 5184 | 507 | 3285 | 3590 | 1362 | 127 | 35 | 5096 | 1525 | 15527 |
| Mean | 1886 | 2321 | 1684 |  | 1988 | 755 | 1444 | 433 | 395 | 42 | 435 | 810 | 2983 | 566 |

Table 1.4.2 Length compositions (thousands of fish) for hake landings from ICES Division VIIIa,b
by country and vessel class in 1980

| Length <br> Classes <br> (cm) | Division VIIIa, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | France |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Spain |  |  |
|  | Hauturiers | Semi-industr. | $\frac{\text { Artisans }}{\text { Landings }}$ | $\frac{\text { Traw] }}{\text { Liscards }}$ | Pelagic Trawl | Artisans Cótiers |  | Gillnets | Lines | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Parejas \& } \\ \text { Trios } \end{array}$ | Bous \& Bakas |  |
| 5-9 |  |  |  | 266 |  |  | 114 |  |  |  |  | 380 |
| 10-14 |  |  |  | 6484 |  |  | 1162 |  |  |  |  | 7646 |
| 15-19 |  |  |  | 19561 |  |  | 2201 |  |  | 280 | 640 | 22. 682 |
| 20-24 | + | 10 | 2141 | 11925 | 122 | 822 | 3256 |  |  | 494 | 5954 | 24724 |
| 25-29 | 1 | 99 | 6637 |  | 733 | 2473 |  | 1 |  | 1688 | 9962 | 21594 |
| 30-34 | 3 | 77 | 3643 |  | 1875 | 2231 |  | 14 |  | 1194 | 3848 | 12885 |
| 35-39 | 12 | 56 | 2532 |  | 920 | 920 |  | 10 |  | 952 | 3394 | 8796 |
| 40-44 | 57 | 22 | 1373 |  | 752 | 274 |  | 8 | 4 | 576 | 3382 | 6448 |
| 45-49 | 127 | 4 | 620 |  | 304 | 117 |  | 5 | 35 | 328 | 3640 | 5180 |
| 50-54 | 89 | 4 | 284 |  | 107 | 52 |  | 13 | 82 | 254 | 1502 | 2387 |
| 55-59 | 64 | 3 | 302 |  | 68 | 70 |  | 50 | 31 | 294 | 1086 | 1968 |
| 60-64 | 39 | 2 | 240 |  | 109 | 44 |  | 78 | 2 | 240 | 644 | 1398 |
| 65-69 | 31 | + | 70 |  | 76 | 17 |  | 213 | 2 | 68 | 310 | 787 |
| 70-74 | 16 | + | 70 |  | 46 | 9 |  | 272 | 1 | 34 | 256 | 704 |
| 75-79 | 6 | + | 7 |  | 17 |  |  | 146 | 1 | 8 | 42 | 227 |
| 80-84 | 2 | + | 4 |  | 3 |  |  | 62 |  | + | 20 | 91 |
| 85-89 | 1 | + | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 8 | 23 |
| 90-94 | + | + | + |  | + |  |  | 4 |  |  | + | 4 |
| 95-99 | + |  | + |  | + |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 100-104 | + |  | + |  | $+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Number | 448 | 277 | 17924 | 38236 | 5133 | 7029 | 6733 | 889 | 158 | 6410 | 34688 | 117525 |
| R.F. Weight (tonnes) | 519 | 85 | 5884 | 1709 | 2349 | 1908 | 345 | 2167 | 164 | 2770 | 14106 | 32006 |
| Calc. Tonnage | 507 | 77 | 5397 | 1614 | 2203 | 1729 | 327 | 2219 | 163 | 2720 | 13970 | 30926 |
| Mean Weight (g) 1158 |  | 307 | 328 | 45 | 453 | 271 | 51 | 2438 | 1038 | 432 | 407 | 271 |

Table 1.5.1 Trends in fishing effort exerted on the Northern stock by country, sib-area and. type of vessel

| Sub-area |  |  |  | VII |  |  | VIII |  | VII and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division | IVa and VIa |  |  |  |  |  |  | VIIIa,b | VIIIa, b |
| Country | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eng. + } \\ & \text { Wales } \end{aligned}$ | Eng.t Wales | France | Eng . + Wales | Eng. + Wales | France | France | France | France |
| Vessel <br> Type | Motor | A11 | Hauturier | Motor | All | Hauturier | Hauturier | Hauturier | Art. |
| Gear | Trawl | Traw1 | Trawl | Trawl | Trawl | Trawl | Trawl | Trawl | Traw 1 |
| Year | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| 1961 | 41.8 | 145 | 34.3 | 10.7 | 231 | 103.4 | 100.8 | 71.1 | 173.0 |
| 1962 | 41.7 | 136 | 39.2 | 10.5 | 262 | 118.2 | 103.7 | 78.0 | 176.2 |
| 1963 | 41.4 | 143 | 40.2 | 14.0 | 243 | 135.7 | 102,9 | 81.5 | 174.6 |
| 1964 | 44.3 | 156 | 61.1 | 12.6 | 252 | 164.9 | 106.7 | 83.3 | 181.4 |
| 1965 | 43.5 | 149 | 37.4 | 12.5 | 257 | 209.5 | 116.7 | 83.3 | 188.9 |
| 1966 | 42.2 | 142 | 86.5 | 12.3 | 254 | 163.4 | 115.3 | 105.2 | 192.2 |
| 1967 | 38.2 | 125 | 58.1 | 12.5 | 249 | 165.2 | 125.1 | 107.9 | 204.2 |
| 1968 | 46.3 | 149 | 49.4 | 13.7 | 275 | 171.8 | 130.6 | 111.9 | 210.0 |
| 1969 | 36.4 | 119 | 81.7 | 13.4 | 295 | 164.7 | 142.3 | 61.5 | 202.2 |
| 1970 | 23.2 | 83.9 | 90.2 | 10.8 | 255.3 | 154.5 | 154.3 | 48.7 | 235.9 |
| 1971 | 29.4 | 107.2 | 77.5 | 11.2 | 288.8 | 150.3 | 169.8 | 56.7 | 246.0 |
| 1972 | 48.7 | 142.1 | 88.2 | 10.2 | 254.6 | 146.8 | 173.8 | 59.6 | 250.1 |
| 1973 | 41.3 | 122.8 | 99.1 | 14.2 | 272.1 | 185.8 | 183.9 | 49.1 | 272.9 |
| 1974 | 35.7 | 104.2 | 108.6 | 9.0 | 211.0 | 160.9 | 207.0 | 37.6 | 301.8 |
| 1975 | 37.5 | 117.9 | 119.3 | 9.8 | 248.4 | 168.0 | 271.2 | 30.4 | 314.9 |
| 1976 | 46.5 | 139.2 | 116.7 | 9.2 | 230.0 | 174.8 | N/A | 24.4 | 323.9 |
| 1977 | 55.8 | 184.3 | 170.4 | 8.6 | 206.4 | 154.0 | N/A | 12.1 | 328.1 |
| 1978 | 55.1 | 165.5 | 173.7 | 7.9 | 199.6 | 137.5 | N/A | 8.9 | 329.0 |
| 1979 | 29.1 | 104.5 | 163.3 | 8.2 | 217.0 | 131.8 | N/A | 11.5 | N/A |
| 1980 | 16.7 | 64.7 | 101.7 | 10.9 | 278.1 | 145.6 | N/A | 7.5 | N/A |
| $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ all years 39.7 |  | 130.0 | 89.8 | 11.11 | 249.0 | . 155.3 | 146.9 | 56.5 | 239.2 |
| - ${ }^{\text {x }} 61-63$ | 41.6 | 141.3 | 37.9 | 11.7 | 245.3 | 119.1 | 102.4 | 76.9 | 174.6 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ last 3 | 33.6 | 111.6 | 146.2 | 9.0 | 231.6 | 138.3 | 220.7 | 9.3 | 327.0 |
| \% change | -19 | -21 | +286.6 | -23.1 | -5.6 | +13.9 | +115.5 | -87.9 | +87.3 |

Units (1) Tonne hours $\times 10^{-6}$, (2) Hours $\times 10^{-3}$, (3) HP days $\times 10^{-2}$

Table 1.6.1 Trends in landings per unit effort for trawl fisheries in ICES Divisions IVa and VIa, Sub-area VII and Divisions VIIIa and b by area, country and vessel class, 1961-1980.

| YEAR | $\mathrm{IVa}+\mathrm{VIa}$ |  | VII |  |  |  | ViIIa, b |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FRANCE ${ }^{1}$ | U.K. ${ }^{2}$ | France ${ }^{1}$ |  | U.K. ${ }^{2}$ | $\text { SPAIN }^{3}$ | FRANCE ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  |  |  | FAOTURIERS | ARTISANS |  |  | HAUTURIERS | ARTISANS |
| 1961 | 155 | 151 | 142.2 | 41.9 | 88.9 | - | 174.4 | 37.3 |
| 1962 | 124 | 162 | 110.8 | 36.7 | 105.3 | . | 159.0 | 31.2 |
| 1963 | 101 | 150 | 83.3 | 29.5 | 74.8 | . | 136.2 | 25.4 |
| 1964 | 74 | 128 | 65.5 | 25.5 | 33.9 | - | 124.8 | 20.6 |
| 1965 | 89 | 104 | 43.0 | 26.6 | 15.0 | - | 106.5 | 19.7 |
| 1966 | 37 | 61 | 53.2 | 21.9 | 20.0 | . | 75.1 | 20.9 |
| 1967 | 54 | 48 | 39.4 | 16.9 | 20.0 | 47.3 | 77.8 | 22.6 |
| 1968 | 50 | 41 | 40.7 | 11.7 | 73.7 | 57.4 | 75.1 | 21.6 |
| 1969 | 43 | 44 | 52.8 | 13.9 | 35.1 | 55.8 | 69.9 | 23.6 |
| 1970 | 48 | '45 | 60.2 | 17.0 | 25.9 | 76.0 | 78.4 | 40.6 |
| 1971 | 42 | 17 | 57.2 | 16.6 | 23.5 | 98.9 | 95.2 | 25.6 |
| 1972 | 42 | 9 | 47.0 | 19.5 | 24.7 | 54.0 | 90.6 | 21.1 |
| 1973 | 33 | 6 | 51.1 | 20.7 | 21.1 | 55.8 | 83.5 | 25.7 |
| 1974 | 26 | 6 | 57.2 | 19.4 | 34.3 | 51.9 | 82.4 | 18.0 |
| 1975 | 27 | 5 | 56.6 | 21.5 | 33.5 | 45.1 | 62.5 | 22.0 |
| 1976 | 29 | 4 | 43.1 | . | 29.0 | 53.1 | 63.3 | . |
| 1977 | 23 | 4 | 34.5 | . | 22.0 | 56.2 | 53.7 |  |
| 1978 | 17 | 3 | 41.3 | - | 24.6 | 59.4 | 74.7 | - |
| 1979 | 15 21 | 2 4 | 30.0 |  | 21.6 | . | 70.8 |  |
|  | 21 | 4 | 46.3 | : | 37.2 | . | 66.8 | : |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}_{61-63}$ | 126.7 | 154.3 | 112.1 | 36.0 | 89.7 | - | 156.5 | 31.3 |
| $\bar{X}_{\text {lat }} 3$ yrs. in series | 16.0 | 3.0 | 42.2 | 20.5 | 27.8 | 56.2 | 70.8 | 21.9 |
| \% change | -87 | -98 | -62 | -43 | -69 | - | -55 | -30 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Catch in kg per hundred horsepower days |  |  |  |  | $3_{\text {Port of La Coruña only }}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Catch in tonnes per million tonne hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.6.2 Evolution of landings, effort and cpue (kg per 100 HP days) of Hauturiers and Artisans trawling in Division VIIIa, b which landed their catches at La Rochelle.

| Year | Hauturiers |  |  | Artisans |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Landings (tonnes) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effort } \\ & 100 \mathrm{HP} \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | cpue | Landings (tonnes) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effort } \\ & 100 \mathrm{HP} \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | cpue |
| 1966 | 2932 | 42132 | 69.6 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1967 | 2926 | 35929 | 81.5 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1968 | 2313 | 28129 | 82.2 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1969 | 1601 | 22779 | 70.3 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1970 | 1417 | 18075 | 78.4 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1971 | 2227 | 21495 | 103.6 | 268 | 21538 | 12.5 |
| 1972 | 2052 | 20790 | 98.7 | 251 | 16917 | 14.8 |
| 1973 | 1734 | 18703 | 92.7 | 399 | 17540 | 22.7 |
| 1974 | 838 | 10692 | 78.4 | 226 | 19095 | 11.8 |
| 1975 | 791 | 10004 | 79.0 | 404 | 19938 | 20.2 |
| 1976 | 654 | 10084 | 64.9 | 130 | 18659 | 7.0 |
| 1977 | 349 | 7423 | 47.0 | 397 | 22604 | 17.5 |
| 1978 | 459 | 5542 | 82.9 | 363 | 15521 | 23.4 |
| 1979 | 543 | 6829 | 79.5 | 263 | 10780 | 24.4 |
| 1980 | 368 | 4752 | 77.4 | 288 | 12399 | 23.2 |

Table l.7.1 Catch/effort of the smallest market category (22-26-35 cm) at La Rochelle as an index of recruitment in Divisions VIIIa and VIIIb

| Year |  | Lear Class |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1968 | 1966 | Div. VIIIa | Div. VIIIb |
| 1969 | 1967 | 12.7 | 23.9 |
| 1970 | 1968 | 7.1 | 15.0 |
| 1971 | 1969 | 23.5 | 23.4 |
| 1972 | 1970 | 6.4 | 9.1 |
| 1973 | 1971 | 2.9 | 9.0 |
| 1974 | 1972 | 14.2 | 16.0 |
| 1975 | 1973 | 2.5 | 5.3 |
| 1975 | 1974 | 18.3 | 11.8 |
| 1977 | 1975 | 3.6 | 3.1 |
| 1978 | 1976 | 9.4 | 9.1 |
| 1979 | 1977 | 9.8 | 11.5 |
| 1980 | 1978 | 8.4 | 11.5 |

Table 1.7.2 Recruitment indices in the Bay of Biscay (R.V. "La Pelagia") Numbers per square mile

| Year | Div. VIIIa | Div. VIIIb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | $233 \pm 73$ |  |
| 1978 | $227 \pm 58$ | $256 \pm 56$ |
| 1979 | $328 \pm 146$ | $257 \pm 54$ |
| 1980 | $258 \pm 75$ | $53 \pm 20$ |
|  | 261 | $189 \overline{\times 1977-80}$ |

Table 2.1 Revised catches (thousand of tonnes) for the Southern hake stock (ICES Divisions VIIIc and IXa) by country and area adopted by the Working Croup

| Year | Total | Portugal (IXa) |  |  | Spain (IXa + VIIIc) |  |  | France <br> (Divs. VIIIc and IXa) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Trawl | Artisanal* | Total | Trawl | Artisanal* |  |
| 1961 | ** | 7.5 | 4.6 | 2.9 | ** | ** | ** | 0.7 |
| 1962 | ** | 7.5 | 5.1 | 2.4 | ** | ** | ** | 0.7 |
| 1963 | ** | 8.1 | 5.5 | 2.6 | ** | ** | ** | 0.6 |
| 1964 | ** | 10.5 | 6.4 | 4.1 | ** | ** | ** | 0.7 |
| 1965 | ** | 12.1 | 7.9 | 4.2 | ** | ** | ** | 0.8 |
| 1966 | ** | 9.6 | 5.4 | 4.2 | ** | ** | ** | 0.6 |
| 1967 | ** | 7.8 | 4.0 | 3.8 | ** | ** | ** | 0.6 |
| 1968 | ** | 8.0 | 3.8 | 4.2 | ** | ** | ** | 0.4 |
| 1969 | ** | 7.1 | 2.8 | 4.3 | ** | ** | ** | 0.5 |
| 1970 | ** | 9.9 | 5.8 | 4.1 | ** | ** | ** | 0.2 |
| 1971 | ** | 9.5 | 4.9 | 4.6 | ** | ** | ** | 0.1 |
| 1972 | 26.7 | 9.4 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 17.3 | 10.2 | 7.1 | 0.0 |
| 1973 | 35.6 | 14.6 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 20.8 | 12.3 | 8.5 | 0.2 |
| 1974 | 23.4 | 9,2 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 14.1 | ** | ** | 0.1 |
| 1975 | 31.9 | 11.0 | 4.6 | 6.4 | 20.8 | ** | ** | 0.1 |
| 1976 | 26.1 | 9.6 | 3.3 | 6.3 | 16.4 | ** | ** | 0.1 |
| 1977 | 15.8 | 6.4 | 1.7 | 4.7 | 9.2 | ** | ** | 0.2 |
| 1978 | 14.8 | 5.2 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 9.5 | 5.9 | 3.6 | 0.1 |
| 1979 | 17.5 | 6.2 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 11.3 | 7.2 | 4.1 | 0.0 |
| 1980 | 21.9 | 8.3 | 2.4 | 5.9 | 13.6 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 0.0 |

*Gillnets and longlines
** Unknown

Table 2.2.1 Portuguese trawl fleet composition fishing in 1980 (Division IXa)

| GRT Group | Number of Boats | $\overrightarrow{H P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $70-$ | 2 | 305.0 |
| $80-$ | 3 | 370.0 |
| $90-$ | 4 | 334.8 |
| $100-$ | 6 | 340.2 |
| $110-$ | 5 | 384.2 |
| 120 - | 10 | 503.0 |
| $130-$ | 7 | 480.6 |
| 140- | 3 | 603.3 |
| 150- | 6 | 665.8 |
| $160-$ | 4 | 625.0 |
| $170-$ | 13 | 685.0 |
| 180- | 21 | 744.8 |
| $190-$ | 23 | 925.2 |
| $200-$ | 6 | 1176.7 |
| 280 - | 1 | 800.0 |
| $350-$ | 1 | 550.0 |
| $380-$ | 2 | 770.0 |
| $400-$ | 2 | 837.5 |
| Total | $119\left\{\begin{array}{l}77 \\ 42\end{array}\right.$ |  |

Table 2.2.2 Spanish fleet composition and catches
(Divisions IXa and VIIIc)

- Cantabrica

|  | Trawl |  |  | Artisanal |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bakas | Bous | Parejas | Gillnets | Longlines | Others |
| Catches(t) | 464 | 702 | 109 | 700 | 2308 | $*$ |
| Number | 29 | 16 | $8 \times 2$ | 36 | $314^{21}$ | 215 |
| HP | 724 | 1007 | $503 \times 2$ | 83 | 135 | 176 |
| GRT | 209 | 254 | $182 \times 2$ | 12 | 26 | 31 |

rth Galicia

|  | Trawl |  | Artisanal |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bakas | Parejas | Volantas | Betas | Longlines | Others |
| Catches $(t)$ | $1070^{1}$ | 225 | 551 | 81 | 1640 | $* *$ |
| Number | 60 | $6 \times 2$ | 42 | 118 | 80 |  |
| HP | 465 | $493 \times 2$ | 164 | 24 | 235 |  |
| GRT | 180 | $200 \times 2$ | 37 | 5 | 55 |  |

South Galicia

|  | Trawl | Artisanal |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bakas and Bous | Volantas | Betas | Longlines | Others |
| Catches $(t)$ | $2149^{1}$ | 928 | 158 | 1019 | $* *$ |
| Number | 104 | 40 | 180 | 90 |  |
| HP | 454 | 284 | 50 | 115 | $*$ |
| N | 150 | 74 | 6 | 20 |  |

## South Atlantic Coast

|  | Bakas | Others |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Catches $(t)$ | 538 | $* *$ |
| Number | 50 |  |
| HP | 436 |  |
| GRT | 129 |  |

*are included in longline and gillnet
** not known
${ }^{2)} 81$ of those boats work $85 \%$ in EC waters and $15 \%$ in the southern stock.
${ }^{1)}$ Includes an estimate of 1000 tonnes of illegal Calician catches ( $<25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

Table 2.3.1 Sampling frequency at Spanish ports in 1980 and the approximate number of fish measured in 1980

Southern Stock (VIIIc and IXa)


Table 2.4.1 Length composition of the catches (Number $\times 10^{3}$ ) by fishing gear in 1980 (Divisions IXa and VIIIc)

| Length classes (cm) | Portugal |  | Spain |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trawl | Artisanal | Trawl* | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { Small } \\ \text { Gillnet } \end{array}\right\|$ | Gillnet | Longline |  |
| 5 - | 1 |  | 859 |  |  |  | 860 |
| $10-$ | 947 |  | 17630 |  |  |  | 18577 |
| 15 - | 6343 |  | 11071 | 118 |  |  | 17532 |
| $20-$ | 7149 | 34 | 8662 | 707 |  |  | 16553 |
| 25 - | 4851 | 213 | 7720 | 579 | 4 |  | 13367 |
| $30-$ | 1610 | 417 | 3233 | 258 | 21 |  | 5539 |
| 35 - | 693 | 627 | 2284 | 66 | 20 | 26 | 3716 |
| $40-$ | 233 | 884 | 1273 | 22 | 40 | 370 | 2822 |
| 45 - | 123 | 1153 | 811 | 3 | 126 | 845 | 3060 |
| $50-$ | 23 | 881 | 267 | 2 | 298 | 1094 | 2565 |
| $55-$ | 16 | $744^{\prime}$ | 121 | - | 427 | 1034 | 2342 |
| $60-$ | 16 | 531 | 81 | 1 | 361 | 497 | 1487 |
| 65 - | 6 | 266 | 56 |  | 132 | 178 | 638 |
| $70-$ | 2 | 99 | 28 |  | 58 | 54 | 241 |
| 75 - | 2 | 51 | 1 |  | 17 | 32 | 102 |
| \$ $80-$ |  | 49 | 2 |  | 3 | 10 | 64 |
| ptal | 22015 | 5949 | 54099 | 1756 | 1506 | 4141 | 89465 |
| Nominal <br> Weight ( $t$ ) | 2453 | 5859 | 6258 | 239 | 2179 | 4967 | 21955 |
| Current <br> Mesh <br> Size (mm) | 40 | - | 40-60 | - | - | - |  |
| $\overline{\text { w }}$ | 111 | 985 | 116 | 136 | 1446 | 1199 | 245 |

${ }^{*}$ Includes an estimation of 1000 tonnes of illegal catches ( $<25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

Table 2.5.1 Cpue for trawl fishermen in Divisions IXa and VIIIC by countries during the period 1961-1980

| Year | France | Spain |  |  | Portugal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | La Coruña | Muros | Riveira |  |
| 1961 | 174 | - | - | - | 24.3 |
| 1962 | 151 | - | - | - | 23.8 |
| 1963 | 123 | - | - | - | 31.2 |
| 1964 | 102 | - | - | - | 34.7 |
| 1965 | 107 | - | - | - | 42.9 |
| 1966 | 78 | - | - | - | 31.0 |
| 1967 | 63 | - | - | - | 19.7 |
| 1968 | 54 | - | - | - | 17.3 |
| 1969 | 69 | - | - | - | 11.9 |
| 1970 | 67 | - | - | - | 22.4 |
| 1971 | 87 | - | - | - | 16.7 |
| 1972 | 53 | - | - | - | 15.6 |
| 1973 | 108 | - | - | - | 20.9 |
| 1974 | 102 | - | - | - | 11.0* |
| 1975 | 93 | 36.0 | - | - | 13.2* |
| 1976 | 67 | 30.3 | - | - | 9.8* |
| 1977 |  | 34.3 | - | 26.8 | 4.5* |
| 1978 |  | 25.2 | 22.1 | 20.6 | 4.3* |
| 1979 |  | 34.9 | 26.8 | 28.2 | 5.3* |
| 1980 |  | 31.7 | 31.5 | 31.8 | 6.5* |

*) estimated
cpue France $: ~ \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{x} 10^{-2} \times(\mathrm{HP} \times \text { day })^{-1}$
cpue Spain : - La Coruna: $\mathrm{kg} \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{HP} \times \text { day })^{-1}$ Muros, Riveira : kg/day
cpue Portugal : kg/hour

Table 2.6.2 Indices of hake recruitment (number of hake $<17 \mathrm{~cm}$ per trawl hour)

| Year | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average <br> index | 1152 | 198 | 254 | 96 | 158 | 487 |
| Maximum <br> value | 4552 | 1027 | 1094 | 662 | 326 | 1807 |

Indices of hake recruitment by area and year (Divisions VIIIc and IXa)

| Year | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EstacaRibadeo | 1217 | 150 |  |  |  | 339 |
| Prior | 1582 | 444 |  |  | 157 | 1315 |
| Sisargas | 1389 | 508 | 58 | 32 |  | 398 |
| Toriñana | 1934 | 288 | 234 | 11 |  | 248 |
| FinisterreCorrubedo | 170 | 17 | 74 | 41 |  | 292 |

Table 2.7.2 Indices of abundance of hake estimated from the Portuguese surveys (R.V. "Noruega") (Division IXa)

| Surveys | $\bar{y}$ <br> $(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Sy | B <br> (tonnes) | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 1979 | 11.75 | 1.88 | 4142.1 | 663.4 |
| October 1979 | 9.38 | 1.33 | 3433.7 | 488 |
| March 1980 | 12.22 | 2.61 | 2781.8 | 593.1 |
| May-June 1980 | 15.64 | 2.8 | 6157.3 | 1102.4 |
| October 1980 | 12.44 | 1.85 | 4900.1 | 728.4 |
| March 1981 | 12.74 | 1.99 | 4005.5 | 670.1 |

Table 2.8.2 Selectivity of hake (nylon codend)


SF = Selection factor
$L_{50}=50 \%$ Selection length
$25-75=25 \%-75 \%$ Selection range

Table 2.8.3 Selectivity adopted with respect to retention

| (1) |  | (2) | (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length class | 42.5 mm | 61 mm | 80 mm |
| $\begin{array}{r} 5- \\ 10- \\ 15- \\ 20- \\ 25- \\ 30- \\ 35- \\ 40- \\ 45- \\ 50- \\ 55- \\ 60- \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .041 \\ & .198 \\ & .587 \\ & .891 \\ & .979 \\ & .996 \\ & .999 \\ & 1.000 \end{aligned}$ | .010 .036 .122 .337 .651 .873 .962 .989 .997 .999 1.000 | $\begin{aligned} & .001 \\ & .005 \\ & .018 \\ & .063 \\ & .197 \\ & .474 \\ & .768 \\ & .924 \\ & .978 \\ & .994 \\ & .998 \\ & 1.000 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & l_{25} \\ & I_{75} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.75 \\ & 19.82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.0 \\ & 29.41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.66 \\ & 37.11 \end{aligned}$ |
| SF | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| $\mathbf{L}_{50}$ | 16.5 | 25.1 | 32.9 |
| a | -5.84 | -6.46 | -8.55 |
| b | 0.35 | 0.26 | $0.26 *$ |

(1) Cruise of the "Roselys" 1976
(2) Cruise of the "Cigala" 1979
(3) Derived from (2)
(*) Derived from mesh of 61 mm

Table 2.8.4 Length/weight relationship. Hake

| Length <br> classes <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Average weight (kg) |  |  |  |  | France <br> $(1)$ | Portugal <br> $(2)$ | Portugal <br> $(3)$ | Spain <br> $(4)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5-9$ | .002 | .003 | .003 | .002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10-14$ | .012 | .012 | .013 | .011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $15-19$ | .034 | .035 | .035 | .032 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $20-24$ | .073 | .078 | .077 | .072 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $25-29$ | .136 | .146 | .142 | .135 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $30-34$ | .227 | .246 | .237 | .230 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $35-39$ | .352 | .384 | .368 | .362 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40-44$ | .517 | .569 | .540 | .539 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $45-49$ | .727 | .805 | .759 | .767 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $50-54$ | .989 | 1.101 | 1.033 | 1.054 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $55-59$ | 1.309 | 1.464 | 1.365 | 1.406 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $60-64$ | 1.691 | 1.900 | 1.764 | 1.832 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $65-69$ | 2.142 | 2.417 | 2.234 | 2.339 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $70-74$ | 2.668 | 3.022 | 2.781 | 2.935 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $75-79$ | 3.276 | 3.724 | 3.481 | 3.626 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $80-84$ | 3.993 | 4.528 | 4.138 | 4.422 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $85-89$ | 4.785 | 5.443 | 4.958 | 5.330 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $90-94$ | 5.676 | 6.476 | 5.880 | 6.358 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $95-99$ | 6.673 | 7.636 | 6.911 | 7.514 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $100-104$ | 7.782 | 8.929 | 8.058 | 8.807 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $105-109$ | 9.009 | 10.363 | 9.327 | 10.244 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(1) $W=.00513 L^{3.074}$ Hake Working Group 1979 (May, 1979)
(2) $\mathrm{W}=.00458 \mathrm{~L}^{3.12819}$ Hake Working Group 1980 (Portuguese R.V. "Noruega" in March 1980)
(3) W $=$. $00541 L^{3.07006}$ October 1980 Portuguese R.V. "Noruega" in March, May/June and
(4) W $=.00366 \mathrm{~L}^{3.17365}$ Santander 1980 (June and September)

Table 2.8.5 Hake sex ratio (\% females)
Southern Stock

| Length $\begin{gathered} \text { classes } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | 49 | 8809 | \% 웅 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20-$ | 3302 | 1068 | 45.3 |
| $25-$ | 2205 | 4907 | 44.9 |
| $30-$ | 1205 | 2801 | 43.0 |
| 35 - | 706 | 1651 | 42.8 |
| $40-$ | 289 | 722 | 40.0 |
| $45-$ | 78 | 241 | 32.4 |
| $50-$ | 63 | 126 | 50.0 |
| $55-$ | 43 | 58 | 74.1 |
| $60-$ | 23 | 25 | 92.0 |
| 65 - | 8 | 8 | 100.0 |
| $70-$ | 6 | 6 | 100.0 |
| 75 - | 1 | 2 | 50.0 |
| Total | 7929 | 17615 | 45.0 |
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Nephrops: Sub-areas IV, VI, VII and VIII in year $x$
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Figure 2.2.1 Composition of the Portuguese fishing fleet operating in ICES Division IXa during 1980.


Figure 2.2.2 Relationship between HP and GRT of the Portufuese fishing fleet operating in ICES Division IXa in 1980
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Figure 2.2.3 Spanish fishing fleet composition working in ICES Division IXa in Portuguese waters in 1980



Figure 2.6.1 Number of juveniles (less than 25 cm ) per trawl hour (October 1979. October 1980 and March 1981).


Figure 2.6.2 Cruises "Carioca 80" and"Plataforma Cantabrica" (October-November 1980). Number of O-group hake (less than 17 cm ) per hour fishing. The two zones proposed for closure between October and March are shown.

## ANNEX

FRANCE
Sole
Sole is yet the object of research programmes and routine work in France. For Divisions VIId and e the data are presented to the North Sea Flatfish Working Group and for Divisions VIIa - VIIg to the Irish Sea and Bristol Channel Working Group. What follows only concerns the Bay of Biscay and has not been, until now, regularly reported to any ICES Working Group.

## Catches

For the ports of the Atlantic coast from Le Guilvinec to Bayonne and during the period 1966-1980 the landings have been as follows :

| Year | Landings (tonnes) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1966 | 1330 |
| 1967 | 1454 |
| 1968 | 1435 |
| 1969 | 1449 |
| 1970 | 1982 |
| 1971 | 2412 |
| 1972 | 2177 |
| 1973 | 2182 |
| 1974 | 2486 |
| 1975 | 2416 |
| 1976 | 2655 |
| 1977 | 2663 |
| 1978 | 2167 |
| 1979 | 2158 |
| 1980 | 2254 |

On the whole, four types of boats participate in this fishery :

- the "artisans" trawlers (10 -. 50 GRT)
- the "cotiers" trawlers (<10 GRT)
- the shrimp trawlers (<l0 GRT)
- the small gillnets ( $<10$ GRT)

In 1980 and for the Atlantic ports from Auray to Bayonne (totalling 1900 tonnes of the 2254 tonnes mentioned above, their landings were respectively :

| Artisan trawlers | 1339 tonnes |
| :--- | ---: |
| Cotiers trawlers | 425 tonnes |
| Shrimp trawlers | 75 tonnes |
| Gillnets | 61 tonnes |

The quality of these statistics differs according to the ports and the gears. The landings of the three last types of boats that often sell their fish outside the market are underestimated.

Fishing Effort
Effort data have been compiled since 1961 for the trawlers, but the same observations as in the previous paragraph must be formulated concerning their quality.

Sampling (see Annex, Figure 1)
The catches of artisan trawlers are sampled since 1970 in La Rochelle and since 1979 at Les Sables d'Olonne (these two ports contribute by about $45 \%$ to the total French Atlantic production).

In 1980, this sampling has been carried out at sea on board some cotiers trawlers of La Rochelle and shrimp trawlers from the Ile d'Oléron. Moreover, the quarterly-based cruises of the R.V. "La Pelagia" give the catch composition (before discarding) on the fishing grounds of the artisan trawlers of the main ports. During the same year, the following age-readings have been achieved :

| Artisan trawlers | 1077 |
| :--- | ---: |
| C8tiers trawlers | 75 |
| Shrimp trawlers | 114 |

The age composition of these three types of boats is given in Annex Figure 1.

## Selectivity

Selectivity of the trawls used by the artisans has been studied by R. Guichet (C.M.1979/B:13). Selection factors of 3.6 and 3.7 have been calculated.

Biology
Reproduction. The spawning season extends from January to May in the whole area of the Bay of Biscay. The spawning grounds are in the depths of $30-100 \mathrm{~m}$ and the eggs have been mainly caught over the depths of $50-70 \mathrm{~m}$.

Nursery grounds. Their identification has started in 1976. They are limited to the littoral areas. The following have mainly been identified as nurseries (from north southwards): the Bay of Vilaine, the Esturay of the Loire, the Bay of Bourgneuf, the "Pertius Charentais" (region between the Ile de Ré, Ile d'Oléron and the coast) and the Estuary of the Gironde.

Migrations. Tagging experiments have been carried out on the nursery grounds (age group II mainly) :

| 1978 | 193 individuals |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1979 | 1000 individuals |

Concluding Remarks
A firsttrial of the Jones' method seems to show that the conclusions are strongly dependent on the catch estimates of the shrimp and cotier trawlers, as well as on the length composition of their catches. An improvement in the coverage of their landings and in sampling of the catch must be recommended.
\%


Annex Figure 1 Age composition of the sole catches in the Bay of Biscay (1980)



Annex Figure ? Age composition of the sole catches by gear in the Bay of Biscay (1980)


[^0]:    *) General Secretary ICES
    Palægade 2-4
    DK-1261 Copenhagen $K$
    Denmark

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Numbers in brackets include unknown African catches for Spain (see footnote 3)
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes small amounts unreported by area.
    ${ }^{3}$ Data refer to port of landing, not area of capture (includes African catches).
    ${ }^{4}$ Includes 17.6 thousand tonnes for Spain which were not reported by area
    $5_{\text {Preliminary; not reported to ICES }}$

[^2]:    ${ }^{1)}$ Data for 1961-1972 not revised; revised figures for Sub-area VIII for 1973-1978 include data for VIIIa+b only.
    ${ }^{2)}$ Preliminary.

