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10. PARTIGIPANTS

| V C Anthony | USA |
| :--- | :--- |
| A C Burd | United Kingdom |
| P Cornus | Germany, Fed.Rep, of |
| A Hylen | Norway |
| V P Ponomarenko | OSSR |
| C J Rørvik | Norway |
| V M Ryzhov | USSR |
| A Schumacher (Chairman) | Germany, Fed.Rep, of |
| M Yu. Volodarski | USSR |

V Nikolaev, ICES Statistician, also participated in the meeting, which was held at ICES headquarters from 1-5 October 1980.

TERMS OF REFERENCE
At the 67th Statutory Meeting the Council decided (C.Res.1979/2:42):
"that the Arctic Fisheries Working Group should
meet at ICES headquarters 5-1U May 1980 to assess TACs for 1981 for cod and haddock".
3. INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

At its May meeting the Working Group could not produce a reliable assessment since the differences between the results of an assessment based on fisheries data and an assessment using survey data were too large to be accounted for by normal sources of error in either estimate.
The Working Group, therefore, was seeking advice from ACFM on how it should proceed on these assessments.
ACFM advised the Arctic Fisheries Working Group to take the following line:

1. In estimating fishing mortalities and stock sizes in 1979 and 1980 more weight should be given to reliable survey results, particularly if two independent surveys are in reasonable agreement, than to fishery dependent data.
2. Adjustments should be made to the estimates of total international effort given by the Working Group. The possibility of underestimation of total international effort derived from cpue data for 1978 and 1979, due to the considerable change in the distribution of cod and haddock, should be fully evaluated and corrected for if necessary.
3. In view of possible errors or biases.in the estimated age composition of commercial catches, age data reported by national laboratories should be examined by the members of the Working Group prior to its next meeting. This examination should include: (a) comparison of time and location of samples taken, in relation to season and area of the catches to which the data of these samples were to be applied; and (b) interpretation of age structures on the otoliths.
4. In evaluating the assessments, and the TACs for 1981, due consideration should be given to the mixed fishery on cod and haddock in the area.
5. The Arctic Fisheries Working Group should meet at ICES headquarters for 3 (4) days prior to the Statutory Meeting (1-3(4) October 1980) to assess TACs for North-East Arctic Cod and Haddock according to the advice given by ACFM.

In addition, at its meeting in July ACFM received a letter from
Dr Zilanov, the USSR representative in ACFM, requesting some data should
be acquired in view of analysing stock/recruitment relationship. He also requested data and explanation on the mixture of coastal cod and Arctic cod in Norwegian waters.

Comments on these topics are given in Sections 8 and 9 of this report.
4. NORTH-EAST ARCTIC COD

### 4.1 Status of the Fisheries

Revised figures for cod landings in 1979 amounted to 444016 tonnes, about 17000 tonnes higher than the preliminary figure used in the previous Working Group report (C.M.1980/G:12). This is 255984 tonnes less than the total TAC of 700000 tonnes, Murman cod included (Tables 1 and 2).
The estimated total landing of North-East Arctic cod by September 1980 is 332000 tonnes (Table 3). The estimated total catch for the whole year is 401000 tonnes. For assessment purposes the estimated. catch was split by regions and by countries in order to apply the appropriate age compositions. This catch is some $20 \%$ below that projected by the Working Group in 1979 assuming no change in exploitation level from that in 1978 (C.M.1979/G:20).

### 4.2 Stock Size in 1979

Both in the ACFM report and that of the Working Group (C.M.1980/G:12) difficulties were reported in assessing and interpreting the level of total international effort and stock abundances derived from cpue data. Despite total revision of the United Kingdom data base and a careful re-examination of other countries' cpue data, it would appear that the 1979 cpue overestimates the abundance of the stock in 1979, and as a result fishing mortalities and effective fishing effort are underestimated.

The age composition of the commercial catches in 1979 indicated that the fishery was concentrated on the 1975 year class which dominated the age compositions in the trawl fisheries. Extreme hydrographic conditions in the autumn of 1978 and the spring of 1979 are believed to have had the effect of concentrating the stock, thus rendering it more vulnerable to the fishery.

The trawl fisheries in Sub-areas I and IIb concentrate on the 4-7 year old fish, but there appeared no way in which the magnitude of the likely overestimate of abundance from cpue could be assessed. In consequence, the international effort as estimated from these cpue data is underestimated in 1978 and 1979.

The Working Group, therefore, followed the line indicated by ACFM in basing an estimation of fishing mortality and stock size in 1979 and 1980 on "reliable survey results".

Two series of data were available, the Norwegian acoustic surveys for 1978, 1979 and 1980 and the USSR groundfish surveys in 1979 and 1980. The results of both these surveys are also affected by the environmental factors mentioned above. The Norwegian acoustic surveys in February and March each year do not cover the full distributional range of the cod stock. While the younger fish may more fully occur in the surveyed area, the older fish are distributed more to the west and outside the surveyed area. The stock estimates must be regarded as underestimates, but, as with the cpue data, it is not possible to assess the magnitude of this. Difficulties in the acoustic equipment during the 1980 survey may have introduced an additional bias into the survey estimate, the
magnitude of which cannot be quantified at present.
The stock estimates from the 1979 survey are given below

$$
\text { (Table } 7 \text { C.M.1980/G:12) }
$$

| Age | Year class | Stock in millions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1976 | 112 |
| 4 | 1975 | 522 |
| 5 | 1974 | 77 |
| 6 | 1973 | 44 |

In the absence of any other estimate the Working Group accepted this stock size estimate of the 1975 year class.

The USSR ground fish survey takes place in April-May and covers a wide area. The Working Group examined these data (Table 4) to assess whether they could give valid estimates of the mumbers of fish older than age 4 and whether they could provide estimates of total mortality. Compared with the commercial fishery data, the rates of the abundance of the older fish to that of the 1975 year class in the USSR were higher. This was interpreted as further evidence of concentration by the commercial fishery and it was agreed to use the ratios in the USSR data to calculate stock sizes of older fish on the basis of $522 \times 10^{6}$ fish of the 1975 year class.

Total mortality estimates were calculated from the Norwegian acoustic data, the USSR data, and the Norwegian catch data from the acoustic surveys but used as a ground fish survey, Table 5. It was concluded from the comparison of the Norwegian acoustic data and the USSR data that the latter probably was more representative of the abundances of older fish, and confirmed the procedure adopted.

In assessing the stock sizes of age groups 8-12, which are mainly taken in the fisheries in IIa, a regression was calculated relating mean fishing mortality in 1971-78 to total effort on fish older than 8 in gill net units (Figure 1, Table 6). From an estimate of gill net effort in 1979 a mean $F$ of 0.55 was derived. In accordance with the previous exploitation pattern F values were computed which produced this mean. The fishing mortalities were applied to the catches in a number of these age groups in 1979 to arrive at stock estimates.

It is believed that the trend in increasing catchability in the gill net fishery has continued (C.M.1979/G:12, Figure 2). This would result in an underestimation of fishing mortality.

From the catches in number in 1979 the stock at 1 Jan. 1980 was computed. Taking into consideration that the 1980 total is limited by quota regulation, an estimated annual catch in muber was derived. When applied to the computed 1980 stock the fishing mortalities were in general absurdly low, particularly on ages 8-12 (. 43 compared to the level of .73 in the 1971-77 period). It was concluded that the procedure. adopted in relying on the survey data was not acceptable and that these data did not offer a reliable base.

### 4.3 Virtual Population Analysis (VPA)

The age compositions used for the 1979 landings were adjusted for the revised catch figures. Preliminary age compositions were derived for
the estimated 1980 landings by applying age distributions for the first half of 1980, submitted by the Federal Republic of Germany, Norway and USSR (Table 7).

These catch at ase data were used as input data for the VPA. Natural mortality was set at 0.2 , as customary.

Because of the lack of any independent method of assessing fishing mortality in 1980 and not accepting the apparent reduction in effort as calculated from the cpue data for 1979, the Working Group decided that there was no reason to suppose that the level of exploitation or its pattern was different from that in recent years. It calculated the mean fishing mortality on each age group for the period 1971-77 taken from the VFA in the previous Working Group report (C.M.1980/G:12) as input F for starting the VIA The results are given in Tables 8, 9 and 10.

Figure 2 illustrates the decline in the Arctic cod stock from 1950 in biomass. The lower part of the histogram gives the biomass of fish older than 7 years, while the upper part shows the biomass of the juvenile stock of 4-7 year olds. Even supposing that the input $F$ values are too high in 1980 no major adjustment would reverse the obvious trend in declining spawning stock and declining recruitment.

### 4.4 Recruitment

The number of recruits at age 3 as calculated from the virtual population analysis (C.M.1980/G:12) were plotted against an index of abundance from the USSR young fish survey (Figure 3). A curvilinear regression ( $\mathrm{r}=0.84$ ) was fitted to the data for the purpose of estimating the abundance of the 1976, 1977, 1978 and 1979 year classes at age 3. The USSR Young fish survey indices for these year classes are given in Table 13 of the Report of the Arctic Fisheries Working Group (C.M.1980/G:12). A straight line was fitted to these points in the previous report which produced an intercept on the y-axis of 293 million fish. This means that even when no fish were caught in the USSR survey the recruitment estimate would still be 293 million fish. This level is greater than that determined for the 1965, 1966, 1967 and 1974 year classes from the virtual population analysis. The new curve, shown in Figure 3, has an intercept of 75 million fish and represents the poor year classes much better than the previously used straight line. The estimate of recruitment for the 1976, 1977, 1978 and 1979 year classes are 325, 195, 100 and 100 million cod, respectively.

### 4.5 Catch Prediction

The parameters used for calculating catches in 1981 and the resulting stock sizes in 1982 are given in Table 11. No change has been made on the pattern of exploitation and on the average weight per age group from the previous assessments.

Recruitment has been estimated on the basis of the USSR Young fish survey index and a predictive regression (s. section 4.4 of this report).
4.5.1 Management_Options

Species: COD
Area: ICES SA I and II

| 1980 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VAIAGEMETTI } \\ & \text { OPPIONN } \\ & \text { FOR } 1981 \end{aligned}$ | 1981 |  |  |  | 1982 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STOCK BIOM. $(3+)$ | SPAWNITYG STOCK EIOMASS (8+) | $\begin{gathered} \overline{\mathrm{F}} \\ (8-12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATCH } \\ & (3+) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STOCK } \\ & \text { BIOM. } \\ & (3+) \end{aligned}$ | SPAWNING STOCK BIOMASS (8+) | $\begin{gathered} \overline{\mathrm{F}} \\ (8-12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATCE } \\ & (3+) \end{aligned}$ | sTock <br> BIOM. <br> (3+) | SPAWTIIIG STOCK BIOMASS (8) |
| 1560 | 222 | . 7 | 401 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Doubling } \\ & 1980-81 \\ & \text { SSB } \end{aligned}$ | 1380 | 244 | . 06 | 50 | 1620 | 430 |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ |  |  | . 15 | 137 | 1516 | 418 |
|  |  |  |  | $F_{\text {(MAX }}$ |  |  | . 25 | 220 | 1411 | 380 |
|  |  |  |  | Maintaining <br> TAC 1980 |  |  | . 50 | 400 | 1181 | 298 |
|  |  |  |  | Maintaining 1980 level of exploitation |  |  | . 70 | 521 | 1028 | 246 |

Weights in thousands of tonnes

The results of the catch projection are given in Figure 4. In the table above, management options related to the reference points on the yield per recruit curve as suggested by ACFM are given.
In addition, other options which are related to certain levels of spawning stock biomass and catch are also considered.

### 4.5.2 Effects of 1981 TACs on spawning stock biomass

In the 1979 report of the Arctic Fisheries Working Group (C.M.1979/G:20) it was pointed out that, based on a Ricker stock/recruitment relation, the optimum level of spawning stock biomass ranges from 500000 tonnes to 1000000 tonnes. Only by ceasing to fish would the spawning stock reach the level of 500000 tonnes at the beginning of 1982. This level is considered by the Working Group as a minimum requirement to reduce the probability of recruitment failure due to low spawning stock levels.
The exploitation of the stock in 1981 at a level of $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ would increase the spawning stock by $70 \%$ at the beginning of 1982. The 1981 TAC would then be about 140000 tonnes. If this management strategy would be continued up to the mid-1980s there would be a continuous increase in spawning stock biomass up to about 1000000 tonnes in 1984 (see table on p.7) which was the level between 1950 and 1960 (see Figure 2).
The TAC levels associated with this management policy are expected to be very low in the next few years unless extremely abundant year classes will recruit to the fishery.
Fishing at $F_{\max }$ in 1981 would increase the spawning stock in 1982 by about $55 \%$ from the 1981 level to 380000 tonnes. This level of $F$ in 1981 would require a.reduction in TAC from the present level to 220000 tonnes in 1981. Continuation of this management policy would increase the spawning stock further in 1983 and 1984 to about 650000 and 800000 tonnes respectively. This estimated increase is mainly due to the expected contribution to the spawning stock of the 1975 year class which is entering the spawning stock in 1983. Since the following year classes are estimated to be poor, no further increase in spawning stock size can be expected in the later 1980s. However, it might be possible to keep the spawning stock biomass above the dangerously low level if this long-term management strategy will be followed (see text table, p.7 ). Maintaining the level of fishing mortality (0.5) which is associated with a TAC in 1981 equal to that of 1980 would increase the spawning stock biomass to about 300000 tonnes in 1982 followed by a further increase to about 400000 tonnes in 1983 and 1984. Under this management option the spawning stock is not expected to reach even the lower level of its optimal range. The estimated catch in 1982 associated with this management policy is 362000 tonnes.

Estimated spawning stock biomass 1981-85 and estimated catch 1981-82 at different levels of exploitation (catch figures for 1983-85 are dependent on recruitment estimates and are therefore not given in the table).

| Year | .15(F0.1) |  | .25( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ ) |  | . 5 |  | . 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SSB | Catch | SSB | Catch | SSB | Catch | SSB | Catch |
| 1981 | 244 | 137 | 244 | 220 | 244 | 400 | 244 | 521 |
| 1982 | 418 | 164 | 380 | 243 | 298 | 362 | 246 | 405 |
| 1983 | 780 |  | 647 |  | 405 |  | 279 |  |
| 1984 | 1048 |  | 797 |  | 404 |  | 235 |  |
| 1085 | 1.136 |  | 794 |  | 328 |  | 163 |  |

Maintaining the present level of exploitation ( $F=0.7$ ) into the mid1980s would, after a marginal increase in 1983 reduce the spawning stock further below the present level which is already dangerously low.

In general the spawning stock biomass in the mid-1980s is expected to be very low unless a drastic reduction in the overall level of exploitation will occur. This is due to the heavy overexploitation of the rich 1973 and 1975 year classes. Their contribution to the spawning stock in coming years is less than it could have been if they were only moderately exploited.

When the poor 1976-80 year classes enter the spawning stock in the mid-1980s, there is a possibility of a further reduction in spawning stock biomass as can be appreciated from the table above,
4.6 Comments on Assessment

An evaluation of the size of the 1975 year class is difficult because it is hard to explain an apparent large decline of the 1975 year class from the age composition of the catches unless there is a very low, fishing mortality on this year class in 1978, 1979 and 1980. Independent information of the 1975 year class at age 3 comes from the acoustic survey, opue data and the USSR youngfish survey.

The VPA which is based on the 1980 catches and average fishing mortalities 1971-77 ( Table 9) gives an estimate of the 1975 year class at age 4 of 476 million, while that from the acoustic survey is 522 million (table in Section 4.2). Recognising that the acoustic survey tends to underestimate the stock, the present assessment of the 1975 year class seems to be somewhat low.

The concentration phenomena of the stock renders the interpretation of cpue data in 1979 and 1980 difficult.
In view of the uncertainties in interpreting the data, a conservative approach should be taken in managing this stock despite a possible underestimation of the present size of the 1975 year class.
5. HADDOCK
5.1 Status of the Fisheries

The revised figures for haddock landings in 1979 (Tables 12 and 13) amounted to 102172 tonnes, about 750 tonnes higher than the preliminary
figure used in the previous Working Group report (C.M.1980/G:12). Estimated total landings of haddock are 49000 tonnes for the period January-September 1980 (Table 14). The estimate for the whole year is 71000 tonnes. As for cod, the total catch is split by regions and by countries.

### 5.2 Virtual Population Analysis (VPA)

The age compositions used for the 1979 landings were adjusted for the revised catch figures. Preliminary age compositions were derived for the estimated 1980 landings by applying age compositions for the first half of 1980 , submitted by the Federal Republic of Germany, Norway and USSR (Table 15).
5.2.1 Fishing mortalities

The average fishing mortality on 3-6 years old haddock in 1979 was calculated using the results from the USSR bottom trawl survey (Table 20) Taking the cpue of the 7 year olds in 1980 to be 0.1 , and the cpue of $\leqslant 3$ year olds in 1979 to be representative for the 3 year olds in 1979, the cpue ratios give an average total mortality of 0.74 on the 3 to 6 year olds. Attributing this to 1979 and subtracting a natural mortality of $0.20, \mathrm{~F}_{3-6}=0.54$ in 1979. Using the relative fishing pattern given in Doc. C.M.1980/G:12 (Table 20) one arrives at the input fishing mortalities for 1979 (Table 17). However, the fishing mortality on the 3 year olds were revised to give a recruitment of the 1976 year class of 225 million in 1979 in accordance with Figure 5 (see Section 5.2.2).
5.2.2 Recruitment

The number of recruits at age 3 as estimated in the previous Working Group Report (C.M.1980/G:12) are used together with the USSR young fish survey indices to fit two regression lines to the data as shown in Figure 5 for the purpose of estimating recruitment levels from the USSR surveys. In last year's report, a straight line was fitted to the same data which produced a correlation coefficient of 0.58 and an intercept on the $Y$-axis of 99 million fish. A USSR survey which caught few or no haddock would indicate a recruitment level of, at least, 99 million fish if that regression line were used to estimate recruitment. With the present time series, 5 year classes have been less than 100 million fish at the time of recruitment. Both regression lines given in Figure 5 have correlation coefficients greater than 0.7 but the root type of regression has a negative Y-intercept. The straight line regression was therefore calculated for survey values less than thirteen fish per hour. The root equation is intended to be used for predicting recruitmer for large survey values and the straight line regression is intended to be used for low survey catches. On this basis recruitment levels of 225, 50 and 50 million fish were estimated for the 1976,1977 and 1978 year classes, respectively.

### 5.3 Catch Prediction

The parameters used for calculating catches in 1981 and resulting stock sizes in 1982 are given in Table 21. No changes have been made on the pattern of exploitation compared to the 1979 assessment. The average weight per age group has been revised at the last meeting of the Working Group on the basis of more recent data in order to account for the large discrepancies between the reported landings and the sum of products from catch in numbers and average weights per age group.
Recruitment has been estimated from the USSR young fish survey index and a regression (see Section 5.2.2 of this report). It has to be noted that the recruitment of the 1977 and 1978 year classes is rather low.
5.3.1 Management_Options

Species: HADDOCK
Area: ICES SA I and II

| 1980 |  |  |  | MANAGEMLENTOPTIONFOR 1981 | 1981 |  |  |  | 1982 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STOCK <br> BIOM. <br> (3+) | SPAWIING STOCK BIOMASS (6+) | $\begin{gathered} \bar{F} \\ (7-14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATCH } \\ & (3+) \end{aligned}$ |  | STOCK <br> BIOM. <br> (3+) | SPAWNTING STOCK BIOMASS (6+) | $\begin{gathered} \bar{F} \\ (7-14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATCH } \\ & (3+) \end{aligned}$ | STOCK <br> BIOM. $(3+)$ | SPAWNING STOCK BIOMASS (6+) |
| 372 | 71 | . 53 | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Doubling } \\ & \text { SSB=F (MAX) } \\ & =\text { present } \\ & \text { level of } F \end{aligned}$ | 398 | 114 | . 27 | 107 | 345 | 231 |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ |  |  | . 14 | 60 | 401 | 277 |

Weights in thousands of tonnes

The results of the catch projection are given in Figure 6. In the table on p. 9 the two options are listed which have been considered by the Working Group and which are related to reference points on the yield per recruit curve.

### 5.3.2 Effects of 1981 TACs on spawning stock biomass

The size of the spawning stock is very low at present (1980) and at about the same level as in 1964 and 1965 which was the lowest on record. The 1969 year class which increased the spawning stock to the level of 400000 tonnes in 1975 and 1976 has been fished down since then and is now of minor importance to the spawning biomass.
Under the two management options considered by the Working Group the spawning stock is expected to increase above the 1971-80 average of about 190000 tonnes by 1982, due to the contribution of the relative abundant 1975 year class.
Fishing at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ would result in a spawning stock biomass of 277000 tonnes in 1982 and would allow a catch of 60000 tonnes in 1981 which is about $15 \%$ below the estimated 1980 catch. Fishing at $F_{\text {max }}$ would result in a spawning stock biomass of 231000 tonnes in 1982 and is associated with a TAC of 107000 tonnes for 1981 which is of the same order as the catch level in the preceeding years. Since the 1977 and 1978 year classes are expected to be poor a cautional approach in long-term management policy is advisable.
The stock situation at present offers the possibility to reduce the level of exploitation to $F_{\text {max }}$ without reductions in the level of catch in 1981.

## 6. MIXED FISHERY

Figure 7 shows the ratio between the total stock biomass (3+) of cod and haddock versus the ratio of the total international catch of the same two species. The broken line in Figure 7 shows the l:l relation which would be implied by a complete mixed fishery.
The deviation from this l:l relation tends to be more systematic for high and low biomass ratios. This may be because the main distributions of cod and haddock do not completely overlap.
For a high abundance of haddock relative to cod (for example in 1972 and 1973 when the rich 1969 year class of haddock entered the fishery) one would expect some concentration of effort (particularly from trawlers) in the areas where haddock is abundant. This could explain that the catch ratio of cod to haddock in 1972 and 1973 are below the l:l relation. The opposite effect, when the haddock stock is low compared to cod stock, would tend to increase the catches of cod relative to haddock above the l:l relation (Figure 7).
These considerations are supported by the data, as also shown by the line fitted by linear regression (Figure 7) which has a slope of 1.33 (>1) 。
The total stock biomass ratio in 1981 is estimated to be 3.47 which would imply a by-catch of haddock in the cod fishery of $29 \%$ taking the $1: 1$ relation (Fig.7), or $27 \%$ using the fitted line.
There are consequences for management if haddock is considered to be only a by-catch in the cod fishery. Supposing a haddock tac were set at 107000 tonnes then from the by-catch relation the expected cod
catch would be of the order of 380000 tonnes. This approximates to an $F$ of about 0.5 on cod and would result in simply maintaining the spawning stock biomass in 1982 at about the level in 1980.
Should the management decision be to further protect the cod stock then a lower TAC would have to be set for haddock.

## 7. AGE COMPOSITION

The Working Group was advised by ACFM as follows:
"In view of possible errors or biases in the estimated age composition of commercial catches, age data reported by national laboratories should be examined by the members of the Working Group prior to its next meeting. This examination should include: (a) comparison of time and location of samples taken, in relation to season and area of the catches to which the data of these samples were to be applied; and (b) interpretation of age structures on the otoliths".

At the time of the Working Group meeting no documentation was. available to consider point (a).
For the interpretation of age structurea on the otoliths (b), results of comparative age readings from USSR and Norwegian scientists on 1980 samples have been presented (see text table below).

NORWEGIAN INTERPRETATION

| Age | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2.0 |
| 5 |  |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |  | 82 | 41.0 |
| 6 |  |  | 35 | 42 | 1 |  |  |  | 78 | 39.0 |
| 7 |  |  |  | 4 | 18 | 3 |  |  | 25 | 12.5 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 5 | 1 |  | 9 | 4.5 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 0.5 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Total |  | 3 | 118 | 46 | 22 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 200 |  |
| \% | -. | 1.5 | 59.0 | 23.0 | 11.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 |  | 100 |

The number of the 6 and 7 year old fish from the USSR interpretation was higher and the number of 5 year old fish was lower compared to the interpretation done by Norwegian age readers. One explanation of these differences might be the frequent occurrence of a secondary ring in the second summer zone. Therefore, age validation studies are recommended in order to resolve this problem.
8. THE MIXTURE OF NORTH-EAST ARCTIC COD AND COASTAL COD IN NORWEGIAN WATERS

The assessment carried out by the Working Group have only dealt with the North-East Arctic. This cod stock visit Norwegian waters at different times during the course of their annual migratory movements. However, a. second cod population is living in Norwegian coastal waters throughout
their lives.
These two cod populations were first distinguished by characteristics of their otolith structure, findings which later have been confirmed by genetic testings. These observations have been the justifications for the estimation and exclusion of coastal cod from the reported landings of cod as used for assessments in the North-East Arctic (C.M.1970/F:2). The amount of coastal cod taken by Norway can be assessed from the differences between the catches reported in Bulletin Statistique and those in the Working Group reports.
9. STOCK/RECRUITMENT RELATIONSHIP

The problem of stock/recruitment relationship has not been considered by the Arctic Working Group at this meeting. However, in the report of the Working Group from its 1979 meeting (C.M.1979/G:20) a Ricker stock/recruitment relation for cod has been presented indicating an optimum level of spawning stock biomass for the range of 500000 tonnes to 1000000 tonnes. In its comments on the management options presented in this report, this range has been considered.

Table 1. COD. Total nominal catch (tonnes) by fishing areas (landings of Norwegian coastal cod not included).

| Year | Sub-area I | Division IIb | Division IIa | Total <br> catch |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1960 | 375327 | 91599 | 155116 | 622042 |
| 1961 | 409694 | 220508 | 153019 | 783221 |
| 1962 | 548621 | 220797 | 139848 | 909266 |
| 1963 | 547469 | 111768 | 117100 | 776337 |
| 1964 | 206883 | 126114 | 104698 | 437695 |
| 1965 | 241489 | 103430 | 100011 | 444930 |
| 1966 | 292253 | 56653 | 134805 | 483711 |
| 1967 | 322798 | 121060 | 128747 | 572605 |
| 1968 | 642452 | 269160 | 162472 | 1074084 |
| 1969 | 679373 | 262254 | 255599 | 1197226 |
| 1970 | 603855 | 85556 | 243835 | 933246 |
| 1971 | 312505 | 56920 | 319623 | 689048 |
| 1972 | 197015 | 32982 | 335257 | 565254 |
| 1973 | 492716 | 88207 | 211762 | 792685 |
| 1974 | 723489 | 254730 | 124214 | 1102433 |
| 1975 | 561701 | 147400 | 120276 | 829377 |
| 1976 | 526685 | 103533 | 237245 | 867463 |
| 1977 | 538231 | 109997 | 257073 | 905301 |
| 1978 | 418265 | 17293 | 263157 | 698715 |
| 1979 x | 193517 | 10044 | 240455 | 444016 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

x) Provisional figures, revised 2 October 1980.

Table 2. COD. Nominal catch (tonnes, whole weight) by countries (landings of Norwegian coastal cod not included). (Sub-area I and Divisions IIa and IIb combined.)

Data provided by Working Group members.

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Faroe } \\ & \text { Islands } \end{aligned}$ | France | $\begin{aligned} & \text { German } \\ & \text { Dem.Rep. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Germany } \\ & \text { Fed.Rep. } \end{aligned}$ | Norway | Poland | $\begin{gathered} \text { United } \\ \text { Kingdom } \end{gathered}$ | USSR | Others | Total all countries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 3306 | 22321 |  | 9472 | 231997 | 20 | 141175 | 213400 | 351 | 622042 |
| 1961 | 3934 | 13755 | 3921 | 8129 | 268377 | - | 158113 | 325780 | 1212 | 783221 |
| 1962 | 3109 | 20482 | 1532 | 6503 | 225615 | - | 175020 | 476760 | 245 | 909266 |
| 1963 | - | 18318 | 129 | 4223 | 205056 | 108 | 129779 | 417964 | - | 775577 |
| 1964 | - | 8634 | 297 | 3202 | 149878 | - | 94549 | 180550 | 585 | 437695 |
| 1965 | - | 526 | 91 | 3670 | 197085 | - | 89962 | 152780 | 816 | 444930 |
| 1966 | - | 2967 | 228 | 4284 | 203792 | - | 103012 | 169300 | 121 | 483704 |
| 1967 | - | 664 | 45 | 3632 | 218910 | - | 87008 | 262340 | 6 | 572605 |
| 1968 | - | - | 255 | 1073 | 255611 | - | 140387 | 676758 | - | 1074084 |
| 1969 | 29374 | - | 5907 | 5343 | 305241 | 7856 | 231066 | 612215 | 133 | 1197226 |
| 1970 | 26265 | 44245 | 12413 | 9451 | 377606 | 5153 | 181481 | 276632 | - | 933246 |
| 1971 | 5877 | 34772 | 4998 | 9726 | 407044 | 1512 | 80102 | 144802 | 215 | 689048 |
| 1972 | 1393 | 8915 | 1300 | 3405 | 394181 | 892 | 58382 | 96653 | 166 | 565287 |
| 1973 | 1916 | 17028 | 4684 | 16751 | 285184 | 843 | 78808 | 387196 | 276 | 792686 |
| 1974 | 5717 | 46028 | 4860 | 78507 | 287276 | 9898 | 90894 | $540801^{1}$ ) | 38453 | 1102434 |
| 1975 | 11309 | 28734 | 9981 | 30037 | 277099 | 7435 | 101834 | $343580^{1}$ ) | 19368 | 829377 |
| 1976 | 11511 | 20941 | 8946 | 24369 | 344502 | 6986 | 89061 | $343057{ }^{1}$ | 18090 | 867463 |
| 1977 | 9167 | 15414 | 3463 | 12763 | 388982 | 1084 | 86781 | $369876^{1}$ | 17771 | 905301 |
| 1978 | 9092 | 9394 | 3029 | 5434 | 363088 | 566 | 35449 | $267138^{1}$ ) | 5525 | 698715 |
| 1979 ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | 6320 | 3046 | 547 | 2515 | 284779 | 15 | 17991 | 119 364 ${ }^{1}$ ) | 9439 | 444016 |

x) Provisional figures, revised 2 October 1980.

1) Murman cod included.

Table 3. COD. Estimated catch for 1980 by countries ('000 tonnes, whole weight).

|  | January-September ${ }^{\mathbf{x}}$ ) | January-December |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EEC Countries | 12 | 17 |
| Norway | $\left.214^{1}\right)$ | $\left.247^{1}\right)$ |
| Others | 18 | 18 |
| USSR | $\left.88^{2}\right)$ | 119 |
| Total | 332 | 401 |

Provisional figures.

1) Coastal cod excluded.
2) January-August.

Table 4. COD. Age composition of catches in April and May 1979-80 in the USSR groundfish survey.

| Area | Year | Mean catch per trawling hour, specimens |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean age, years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\leq 3$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\geq 8$ | Total |  |
| B | 1979 | 0.7 | 20.5 | 16.7 | 11.4 | 8.4 | 6.2 | 64 | 5.5 |
| Norwegian Sea | 1980 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 16.1 | 6.2 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 30 | $5 \cdot 7$ |
| West.areas of south. | 1979 | 10.4 | 54.2 | 9.8 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 77 | 4.1 |
| Barents Sea | 1980 | 8.5 | 6.9 | 12.1 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 30 | 4.3 |
| A ${ }^{\text {a }}$, areas of Coast. | 1979 | 3.5 | 11.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 16 | 3.9 |
| south. Barents Sea | 1980 | 5.3 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 0.2 | $+$ | - | 9 | 3.6 |
|  | 1979 | 8.0 | 39.6 | 6.8 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 |  | 4.1 |
| South.Barents Sea | 1980 | 6.9 | 5.1 | 8.2 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 22 | 4.2 |
| A+B Norwegian Sea and | 1979 | 5.9 | 33.8 | 9.8 |  | 2.9 | 2.1 | 59 |  |
| south.Barents Sea | 1980 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 10.6 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 25 | 4.8 . |

Table 5. North-east Arctic COD. Total mortality rates for 1979/80 estimated on the basis of Norwegian and JSSR surveys in 1979 and 1980.

| Survey | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 / 2$ | $2 / 3$ | $3 / 4$ | $4 / 5$ | $5 / 6$ | $6 / 7$ | $7 / 8$ | 8 |
| Norwegian <br> acoustic <br> survey | -0.25 | -0.62 | 0.34 | 1.01 | 1.51 | 1.73 | 1.95 |  |
| OSSR <br> groundfish <br> survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norwegian <br> groundfish <br> survey | -1.39 | -1.78 | -0.82 | 0.43 | 0.78 | 0.99 | 1.28 | 1.82 |

Table 6. COD. Data used to estimate total international effort in gill net units and to calculate the regression of $\bar{F}(8-12)$ to total effort (Figure 1).

| Year | C8+, total fishery eff. | Lofoten cpue gill net | $\frac{C}{F_{8-12}} \cdot \mathrm{M} \cdot 1980 / \mathrm{G}: 12$ | Total effort (gill net units) | $9 \times 10^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1971 | 352175 | 334.3 | . 74 | 1053 | . 70 |
| 1972 | 358136 | 318.7 | . 96 | 1124 | . 85 |
| 1973 | 202852 | 189.7 . | . 67 | 1069 | . 63 |
| 1974 | 106419 | 96.3 | . 66 | 1105 | . 60 |
| 1975 | 99803 | 122.0 | . 70 | 818 | . 86 |
| 1976 | 110674 | 131.4 | . 57 | 842 | . 68 |
| 1977 | 159118 | 173.2 | . 61 | 919 | . 66 |
| 1978 | 241121 | 237.6 | $.72^{x}$ ) | 1015 | . 73 |
| 1979 | 146295 | 201.3 | $.55^{\text {x }}$ | 727 | . 55 |

x) From regression, see Figure 1.

Table 7. North-east Arctic COD.
Input catch data.

| AGE | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1366 | 1967 | 1968 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 103 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 4 | 675 | 2522 | 869 | 151 | 1 |
| 3 | 13136 | 5298 | 15725 | 55937 | 34467 | 3705 |
| 4 | 106984 | 45912 | 25999 | 55644 | 160048 | 174585 |
| 5 | 205549 | 97950 | 78299 | 34676 | 69235 | 26796: |
| 6 | 95498 | 58575 | 68511 | 42539 | 22061 | 107051 |
| 7 | 35518 | 19642 | 25444 | 37169 | 26295 | 26701 |
| 8 | 1 E221 | 9162 | 8438 | 18500 | 25139 | 16399 |
| 9 | 11894 | 6196 | 3569 | 5077 | 11323 | 11597 |
| 10 | 3884 | 3553 | 1467 | 1495 | 2329 | 3657 |
| 11 | 1021 | 783 | 1161 | 380 | 687 | 657 |
| 12 | 1025 | 172 | 131 | 403 | 316 | 122 |
| 13 | 498 | 387 | 67 | 77 | 225 | 124 |
| 14 | 129 | 264 | 91 | 9 | 40 | 70 |
| +15 | 157 | 131 | 179 | 70 | 14 | 46 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 491579 | 248803 | 231604 | 252846 | 352331 | 612681 |
| SPAWNING | $\begin{gathered} \text { STOCK I AGE } \\ 34829 \end{gathered}$ | $\Rightarrow=\frac{8)}{20648}$ | 15103 | 26011 | 40073 | 32672 |
| AGE | 1969 | 1870 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 38 | 1 | 1 | 115 |
| 2 | 275 | 591 | 2210 | 4701 | 8277 | 21347 |
| 3 | 2367 | 7164 | 7754 | 35536 | 294262 | 91855 |
| 4 | 24545 | 10792 | 13739 | 45431 | 131493 | 437377 |
| 5 | 238511 | 25813 | 11831 | 25832 | 61000 | 203772 |
| 6 | 181239 | 137829 | 9527 | 12089 | 20569 | 47006 |
| 7 | 79363 | 96420 | 59290 | 7918 | 7248 | 12630 |
| 8 | 26989 | 31920 | 52003 | 34885 | 8328 | 4370 |
| 9 | 13463 | 8933 | 12093 | 22315 | 19130 | 2523 |
| 10 | 5092 | 3245 | 2434 | 4572 | 4499 | 5607 |
| 11 | 1913 | 1232 | 762 | 1215 | 677 | 2127 |
| 12 | 414 | 260 | 418 | 353 | 195 | 322 |
| 13 | 121 | 106 | 149 | 315 | 81 | 151 |
| 14 | 23 | 39 | 42 | 121 | 59 | 83 |
| +15 | 46 | 35 | 25 | 40 | 55 | 62 |
| TOTAL | 574302 | 324384 | 172315 | 196324 | 555874 | 829347 |
| SPAWNING | STOCK (AGE 48061 | $>=\begin{gathered} 87 \\ 45774 \end{gathered}$ | 67926 | 63816 | 33024 | 15245 |
|  |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| AGE | 1975 | 1975 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| 1 | 1 | 706 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 1184 | 1908 | 11288 | 802 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 45282 | 85337 | 39594 | 78822 | 8277 | 985 |
| 4 | 59798 | 114341 | 168609 | 45400 | 87262 | 15086 |
| 5 | 226646 | 79993 | 136335 | 88495 | 49808 | 95561 |
| 6 | 118567 | . 18236 | 52925 | 56823 | 36323 | 38983 |
| 7 | 29522 | 47872 | 61821 | 25407 | 19663 | 20623 |
| 8 | 9353 | 13962 | 23338 | 31821 | 5730 | 9573 |
| 9 | 2617 | 4051 | 5659 | 9498 | 12826 | $3598$ |
| 10 | 1555 | 936 | 1521 | 1227 | 2160 | 3992 |
| 11 | 1928 | 558 | 610 | 913 | 422 | 481 |
| 12 | 575 | 442 | 271 | 446 | 139 | 51 |
| 13 | 231 | 139 | 122 | 748 | 88 | 21 |
| 14 | 15 | 26 | 92 | 48 | 59 | 38 |
| +15 | 37 | 53 | 54 | 51 | 79 | 91 |
| TOTAL | 497311 | 468560 | 502248 | 340414 | 226836 | 189083 |
| SPAWNING | $\begin{gathered} \text { STOCK IAGE } \\ 16311 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \quad=\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ 20167 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | 31667 | 44662 | 25503 | 17845 |

Table 8. North-East Arctic COD. Fishing mortalities.

| AGE | 1563 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | . 000 | .800 | . 200 | .000 | .000 |
| 2 | .000 | . 061 | . 001 | . 001 | .001 | . 000 | . 001 | .001 | .002 |
| 3 | 1031 | .017 | . 923 | . 040 | .836 | . 024 | -023 | .041 | .021 |
| 4 | .236 | . 144 | . 111 | . 103 | . 153 | . 207 | . 220 | . 141 | .103 |
| 5 | .738 | . 352 | . 389 | . 211 | . 181 | .469 | . 480 | . 378 | . 227 |
| 6 | 1.002 | . 480 | . 447 | . 380 | . 202 | . 466 | . 538 | . 570 | . 232 |
| 7 | . 963 | .572 | . 397 | . 467 | . 428 | . 399 | . 767 | . 621 | . 517 |
| 8 | . 868 | . 718 | . 520 | . 564 | . 672 | . 522 | . 918 | . 834 | . 83.3 |
| 9 | . 934 | 1.031 | . 694 | . 694 | . 831 | . 775 | 1.141 | . 936 | . 921 |
| 10 | 1.266 | . 832 | . 742 | . 717 | .820 | . 718 | . 983 | . 993 | . 728 |
| 11 | 1.334 | . 980 | . 731 | . 430 | . 884 | . 579 | 1.101 | . 686 | . 672 |
| 12 | . 8.33 | . 865 | . 420 | . 612 | . 783 | . 372 | . 919 | . 411 | . 527 |
| 13 | . 592 | . 912 | 1.060 | . 470 | . 852 | .841 | . 782 | . 641 | .439 |
| 14 | . 535 | .737 | . 563 | . 375 | . 478 | .718 | . 358 | . 630 | . 571 |
| 15 | .490 | .810 | . 560 | .370 | . 750 | . 740 | . 680 | .540 | . 340 |

MEAN F FOR AGES $\geqslant=8$ AND $<=12$ (NOT WEIGHTED BY STOCK IN NUMBERS) 1.046 . 885 . 821 . 603 . 798 . 5931.012 . 772 . 736

| AGE | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .001 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 |
| 2 | .002 | .014 | .030 | .002 | .004 | .015 | .008 | .000 | .000 |
| 3 | .039 | .194 | .211 | .081 | .153 | .116 | .139 | .101 | .110 |
| 4 | .167 | .199 | .487 | .207 | .299 | .503 | .189 | .225 | .270 |
| 5 | .297 | .354 | .536 | .507 | .468 | .703 | .543 | .327 | .410 |
| 6 | .381 | .390 | .508 | .698 | .545 | .655 | .731 | .450 | .460 |
| 7 | .308 | .414 | .443 | .705 | .683 | .620 | .779 | .609 | .500 |
| 8 | .665 | .619 | .474 | .657 | .890 | .886 | .774 | .802 | .690 |
| 5 | 1.137 | .992 | .383 | .584 | .761 | 1.228 | $1 . .200$ | .853 | .810 |
| 10 | 1.188 | .744 | .937 | .433 | .427 | .740 | 1.025 | 1.057 | .720 |
| 11 | 1.050 | .539 | 1.006 | 1.052 | .272 | .551 | 1.579 | 1.372 | .720 |
| 12 | .779 | .459 | .536 | .855 | .743 | .205 | 1.051 | 1.271 | .580 |
| 13 | 1.004 | .404 | .793 | .960 | .512 | .467 | 1.402 | .601 | .650 |
| 14 | .785 | .509 | .963 | .160 | .254 | .774 | .338 | .357 | .570 |
| 15 | .910 | .310 | .700 | .860 | .430 | .400 | .530 | .560 | .560 |



M (CONSTANT) $=$
.200

Table 9. North-east Arctic COD. Stock size in numbers.


Table 10. North-east Arctic COD. Stock weight.

| ALE | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 307683 | 220865 | 598189 | 1028544 | 841004 | 111314 |
| 4 | 559619 | 375601 | 273414 | 623389 | 1248643 | 1028197 |
| 5 | EES5E5 | 560965 | 412520 | 310635 | 713324 | 1356475 |
| 5 | 384977 | 395084 | 489688 | 346916 | 312238 | 738918 |
| 7 | 215245 | 169932 | 293775 | 376551 | 285241 | 306777 |
| 8 | 142928 | 91634 | 106930 | 220350 | 263402 | 207300 |
| 9 | 131344 | 64487 | 48023 | 68362 | 134679 | 144535 |
| 10 | 45134 | 52724 | 23510 | 24525 | 34875 | 59517 |
| 11 | 13847 | 12598 | 22570 | 11014 | 11776 | 15100 |
| 12 | 21421 | 3503 | 4538 | 10432 | 6879 | 4672 |
| 13 | 15228 | 8788 | 1396 | 2812 | 5335 | 2966 |
| 14 | 4734 | 7672 | 3214 | 438 | 1601 | 2672 |
| 15 | 3316 | 2450 | 3244 | 1618 | 266 | 875 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2511012 | 1966297 | 2188925 | 3025527 | 3855655 | 3979121 |
| SPAWNING | STOCK AQE | $3=8)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 377953 | 243849 | 213420 | 339491 | 458814 | 437448 |
| AGE | 1959 | 1370 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 |
| 1 | \% | 0 | 0 | $\theta$ | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | - | 0 |
| 3 | 73281 | 128336 | 253121 | 661351 | 1195356 | 345170 |
| 4 | 136851 | 90221 | 155183 | 324421 | 806948 | 1240704 |
| 5 | 1061206 | 139439 | 95418 | 177728 | 348267 | 832926 |
| $E$ | 1118801 | 814967 | 118632 | 98387 | 163934 | 303569 |
| 7 | 557098 | 784936 | 554045 | 113015 | 80820 | 133354 |
| 8 | 229555 | 288642 | 470305 | 3E8386 | 52608 | 59582 |
| 9 | 132192 | 98542 | 134742 | 219580 | 203628 | 53591 |
| 10 | 68036 | 43141 | 39494 | 54815 | 71977 | 77132 |
| 11 | 28733 | 25045 | 15719 | 18748 | 16438 | 33656 |
| 12 | 8123 | 9176 | 12112 | 7709 | 6298 | 9210 |
| 13 | 3038 | 3657 | 5740 | 6746 | 3.336 | 3756 |
| 14 | 1165 | 1266 | 1466 | 3768 | 2251 | 2027 |
| 15 | 853 | 719 | 596 | 732 | 1357 | 1196 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3418982 | 2427488 | 1870572 | 2054985 | 2987229 | 3095873 |
| SPAWNING | STOCK (AGE | $\rangle=8)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $47!734$ | 469589 | 680174 | 689085 | 397894 | 240150 |
| AGE | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 3 | 417731 | 431427 | 258094 | +34458 | 61523 | 6769 |
| 4 | 352007 | 485319 | 465527 | 289395 | 476199 | 70029 |
| 5 | 967816 | 363372 | 455583 | 357515 | 303884 | 482587 |
| 6 | 685153 | 723243 | 282550 | 280571 | 258100 | 272050 |
| 7 | 219611 | 362086 | 504136 | 176446 | 162385 | 197867 |
| 3 | 95509 | 121054 | 202898 | 302500 | 50305 | 98473 |
| 9 | 39873 | 51115 | 53417 | 89873 | 156811 | 43539 |
| 10 | 37319 | 22712 | 24403 | 15990 | 27648 | 65300 |
| 11 | 29724 | 23804 | 14572 | 11446 | 5644 | 9452 |
| 12 | 11814 | 9969 | 17416 | 80.70 | 2266 | 1374 |
| 13 | 5983 | 4741 | 4473 | 13575 | 2661 | Bive |
| 14 | 15.48 | 1772 | 2586 | 2552 | 2996 | 1329 |
| 15 | 584 | 1165 | 1215 | 1054 | 1608 | 1352 |
| TOrAL | 2783151 | 2601775 | 2288870 | 1983747 | 1545231 | 1251221 |
| SPIWNING | STOCK AGE 221553 | $\begin{aligned} & y=8) \\ & 236336 \end{aligned}$ | 320960 | 444863 | 283148 | 221919 |

Table 11. COD. Parameters used in catch predictions ( $M=0.2$ ).

| Age | Relative fishing <br> mortality <br> $\left(\bar{F}_{8-12}=1.00\right)$ | Mean weights <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Stock size at the <br> beginning of 1981 <br> (in thousands) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0.28 | 0.65 | $\left.100000^{x}\right)$ |
| 4 | 0.41 | 1.00 | 158855 |
| 5 | 0.69 | 1.55 | 198305 |
| 6 | 0.85 | 2.35 | 169169 |
| 7 | 0.93 | 3.45 | 59833 |
| 8 | 0.95 | 4.70 | 28480 |
| 9 | 1.11 | 6.17 | 8604 |
| 10 | 1.08 | 7.70 | 2570 |
| 11 | 1.05 | 9.25 | 3380 |
| 12 | 0.83 | 10.85 | 407 |
| 13 | 0.87 | 12.50 | 58 |
| 14 | 0.73 | 13.90 | 21 |
| $15+$ | 0.76 | 15.00 | 65 |

x) Recruitment at age 3 as estimated from USSR youngfish survey index (see Figure 3 ).

Table 12. HADDOCK. Total nominal catch (tonnes) by fishing areas.
(Data provided by Working Group members)

| Year | Sub-area I | Division IIb | Division IIa | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 125675 | 1854 | 27925 | 155454 |
| 1961 | 165165 | 2427 | 25642 | 193234 |
| 1962 | 160972 | 1727 | 25189 | 187888 |
| 1963 | 124774 | 939 | 21031 | 146744 |
| 1964 | 79056 | 1109 | 18735 | 98900 |
| 1965 | 98505 | 939 | 18640 | 118079 |
| 1966 | 124115 | 1614 | 34892 | 160621 |
| 1967 | 108066 | 440 | 27980 | 136486 |
| 1968 | 140970 | 725 | 40031 | 181726 |
| 1969 | 88960 | 1341 | 40208 | 130509 |
| 1970 | 59493 | 497 | 26611 | 86601 |
| 1971 | 56300 | 435 | 21567 | 78302 |
| 1972 | 221183 | 2155 | 41979 | 265317 |
| 1973 | 283728 | 12989 | 23348 | 320065 |
| 1974 | 159037 | 15068 | 47033 | 221138 |
| 1975 | 121686 | 9726 | 44330 | 175742 |
| 1976 | 94064 | 5649 | 37566 | 137279 |
| 1977 | 72159 | 9547 | 28452 | 110158 |
| 1978 | 63965 | 979 | 30478 | 95422 |
| $1979 x)$ |  |  |  | 38031 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

x) Provisional figures, revised 2 October 1980.

Table 13. HADDOCK. Nominal catch (tonnes) by countries.
(Sub-area I and Divisions IIa and IIb combined)
(Data provided by Working Group members)

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Faroe } \\ & \text { Islands } \end{aligned}$ | France | $\begin{gathered} \text { German } \\ \text { Dem.Rep. } \end{gathered}$ | Germany <br> Fed.Rep. | Norway | Poland | O.K. | USSR | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 172 | - | - | 5597 | 47263 | - | 45469 | 57025 | 125 | 155651 |
| 1961 | 295 | 220 | - | 6304 | 60862 | - | 39650 | 85345 | 558 | 193234 |
| 1962 | 83 | 409 | - | 2895 | 54567 | - | 37486 | 91340 | 58 | 187438 |
| 1963 | 17 | 363 | - | 2554 | 59955 | - | 19809 | 63526 | - | 146224 |
| 1964 | - | 208 | - | 1482 | 38695 | - | 14653 | 43870 | 250 | 99158 |
| 1965 | - | 226 | - | 1568 | 60447 | - | 14345 | 41750 | 242 | 118578 |
| 1966 | - | 1072 | 11 | 2098 | 82090 | - | 27723 | 48710 | 74 | 161778 |
| 1967 | - | 1208 | 3 | 1705 | 51954 | - | 24158 | 57346 | 23 | 136397 |
| 1968 | - | - | - | 1867 | 64076 | - | 40129 | 75654 | - | 181726 |
| 1969 | 2 | - | 309 | 1490 | 67549 | - | 37234 | 24211 | 25 | 130 820 |
| 1970 | 541 | - | 656 | 2119 | 36716 | - | 20423 | 25802 | - | 87257 |
| 1971 | 81 | - | 16 | 896 | 45715 | 43 | 16373 | 15778 | 3 | 78905 |
| 1972 | 137 | - | 829 | 1433 | 46700 | 1433 | 17166 | 196224 | 2231 | 266153 |
| 1973 | 1212 | 3214 | 22 | 9534 | 86767 | 434 | 32408 | 186534 | 2501 | 322626 |
| 1974 | 925 | 3601 | 454 | 23409 | 66164 | 3045 | 37663 | 78 5481) | 7348 | 221157 |
| 1975 | 299 | 5191 | 437 | 15930 | 55966 | 1080 | 28677 | 65 0151) | 3163 | 175758 |
| 1976 | 537 | 4459 | 348 | 16660 | 49492 | 986 | 16940 | $42485{ }^{1)}$ | 5358 | 137265 |
| 1977 | 213 | 1510 | 144 | 4798 | 40118 | - | 10878 | 52 210 ${ }^{1}$ ) | 287 | 110158 |
| 1978 | 466 | 1411 | 369 | 1521 | 39955 | 1 | 5766 | 45 8951) | 38 | 95422 |
| 1979 ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | 343 | 1. 198 | 10 | 1952 | 65116 | 2 | 6454 | 26643 | 454 | 102172 |

x) Provisional figures, revised 2 October 1980.

1) Murman haddock included.

Table 14. HADDOCK. Estimated catch for 1980 by countries ( 1000 tonnes, whole weight).

|  | January-September $\left.{ }^{x}\right)$ | January-December |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EEC countries | 3 | 3 |
| Norway | $\left.42^{1}\right)$ | $\left.59^{1}\right)$ |
| Others | - | 7 |
| USSR | $\left.4^{2}\right)$ | 2 |
| Total | 49 | 71 |

x) Provisional figures.

1) "Other haddock" excluded.
2) January-August.

Table 15. HADDOCK. Age composition and total numbers landed $x 10^{-3}$ in 1980. Preliminary figures.
(Data provided by Working Group Members, Oct.1980)

| Age | Sub-area I | Division IIa | Division IIb | $\Sigma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 78 | 28 | 1 | 107 |
| 4 | 10046 | 12914 | 123 | 23083 |
| 5 | 12404 | 5554 | 22 | 17980 |
| 6 | 1546 | 1942 | 22 | 3510 |
| 7 |  | 248 | 5 | 253 |
| 8 | 69 | 319 | 7 | 395 |
| 9 | 82 | 174 |  | 256 |
| 10 | 254 | 366 | 7 | 627 |
| 11 | 100 | 611 | 24 | 735 |
| 12 | 47 | 31 | 1 | 79 |
| 13 |  | 99 | 1 | 100 |
| 14 |  | 35 |  | 35 |
| $15+$ |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| Total | 24626 | 22325 | 213 | 47164 |
| Weight landed (tonnes, round fresh) | 36839 | 34067 | 315 | 71221 |

Table 16. North-east Arctic HADDOCK. Input catch data.


Table 17. North-east Arctic HADDOCK. Fishing mortalities.

| AGE | 1962 | 1963 | 198.4 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | .800 | .000 | . 000 | . 000 | .000 | .000 | .900 | .000 | .000 |
| 2 | . 015 | . 006 | . 007 | . 013 | . 008 | . 082 | . 002 | . 006 | .003 |
| 3 | . 200 | .121 | . 080 | . 067 | .127 | . 682 | . 036 | . 102 | .167 |
| 4 | . 591 | . 580 | .314 | . 238 | . 388 | . 303 | . 401 | . 144 | . 232 |
| 5 | 1.060 | . 920 | . 690 | . 457 | . 578 | . 428 | . 562 | . 505 | . 199 |
| 6 | 1.037 | 1.023 | . 828 | . 691 | . 767 | . 499 | . 469 | . 550 | . 584 |
| 7 | . 627 | . 941 | . 828 | . 607 | . 801 | . 491 | . 651 | . 416 | . 471 |
| 8 | . 646 | . 533 | .816 | . 573 | . 436 | . 556 | .613 | . 438 | . 423 |
| 9 | . 966 | . 621 | . 849 | . 711 | . 551 | . 291 | . 459 | . 388 | . 315 |
| 10 | . 398 | .615 | . 218 | . 277 | . 312 | . 452 | . 421 | . 420 | .300 |
| 11 | . 202 | . 216 | . 738 | . 865 | . 887 | . 459 | .512 | .165 | .409 |
| 12 | . 753 | . 098 | 1.902 | 1.543 | . 233 | 1.235 | .746 | . 428 | . 142 |
| 13 | .167 | . 658 | . 198 | . 554 | . 353 | .423 | 1.223 | . 182 | 1.493 |
| 14 | . 600 | . 600 | . 600 | . 600 | . 600 | . 500 | . 600 | .400 | . 400 |

MEAN F FOR AGES $>=3$ AND $<=6$ (NOT WEIGHTED BY STOCK IN NUMBERS) .722 .686 .478 . 363.450 . 323 . 367 . 325 . 276

| AGE | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | .000 | .002 | .000 | .003 | .067 | .013 | .092 | .031 | .000 |
| 2 | .003 | .031 | .094 | .066 | .067 | .053 | .087 | .022 | .057 |
| 3 | .023 | .283 | .333 | .220 | .254 | .316 | .669 | .331 | .276 |
| 4 | .268 | .377 | .593 | .338 | .569 | .637 | 1.217 | .497 | .510 |
| 5 | .183 | 1.653 | .915 | .412 | .507 | .602 | .501 | .778 | .708 |
| 6 | .142 | .951 | .461 | .590 | .433 | .680 | .484 | .415 | .666 |
| 7 | .413 | .352 | .296 | .562 | .447 | .755 | .590 | .648 | .567 |
| 8 | .333 | .601 | .168 | .484 | .323 | .514 | .481 | .399 | .503 |
| 9 | .314 | .535 | .291 | .394 | .203 | .704 | .230 | .551 | .475 |
| 10 | .273 | .665 | .190 | .690 | .134 | .848 | .447 | .180 | .475 |
| 11 | .245 | .449 | .210 | .684 | .355 | .466 | 1.615 | .699 | .475 |
| 12 | .657 | .676 | .273 | .765 | .175 | 1.110 | .305 | .171 | .475 |
| 13 | .214 | .621 | .163 | .717 | .316 | .734 | .391 | .384 | .475 |
| 14 | .400 | .600 | .300 | .600 | .300 | .500 | .500 | .600 | .475 |


$M($ CONSTANT $)=.200$

Table 18. North-east Arctic HADDOCK. Stock size in numbers.


Table 19. North-east Arctic HADDOCK. Stock weight.

| AGE | 1962 | 1963 | 1984 | 1965 | 1866 | 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | - | - | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| 3 | 158876 | 182015 | 210773 | ES99\% | 158973 | 192389 |
| 4 | 78089 | 166271 | 206038 | 248583 | 78824 | 178837 |
| 5 | 145660 | 61554 | 119862 | 214980 | 279345 | 76056 |
| 5 | 135695 | 54959 | 26694 | 65454 | 147628 | 170523 |
| 7 | 21520 | 47333 | 19447 | 11481 | 32269 | 71598 |
| 6 | 10272 | 10961 | 17603 | 8696 | 5965 | 13865 |
| 9 | 11538 | 4900 | 5853 | 7080 | 4153 | 3504 |
| 10 | 3581 | 4287 | 2570 | 2443 | 3392 | 2336 |
| 11 | 8033 | 2412 | 2323 | 2871 | 1857 | 2489 |
| 12 | $665 \%$ | 6670 | 1974 | 1128 | 886 | 777 |
| 13 | 1060 | 2831 | 5471 | 266 | 218 | 635 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 581129 | 544988 | 619906 | 630642 | 713646 | 713089 |
| 5PAWNING | STOCK (AGE | $3=6$ ) |  |  |  |  |
|  | 193505 | 135148 | 83233 | 181989 | 196503 | 265807 |
| AGE | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 4972 | 1973 |
| 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | ) |
| $\geq$ | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 13429 | 11405 | 108539 | 63986 | 676273 | 179498 |
| 4 | 231023 | 16547 | 13161 | 117338 | 79915 | 650878 |
| 5 | 187894 | 220075 | 20377 | 14848 | 127741 | 77960 |
| 6 | 53965 | 116539 | 144641 | 18175 | 13466 | 48501 |
| 7 | 101827 | 33231 | 65225 | 85953 | 15516 | 5119 |
| 8 | 41763 | 50647 | 28891 | 39408 | 54216 | 9991 |
| 9 | 7205 | 20483 | 23732 | 12452 | 25692 | 27028 |
| 10 | 2559 | 4441 | 13560 | 21175 | 8878 | 14886 |
| 11 | 149* | 1684 | 2926 | 10071 | 16163 | 4578 |
| 12 | 1597 | 907 | 1451 | 1975 | 8006 | 10484 |
| 13 | 204 | 585 | 535 | 1139 | 926 | 3683 |
| 14 | 368 | 53 | 505 | 196 | 814 | 441 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 643323 | 476758 | 422544 | 386526 | 1027606 | 1032847 |
| SPAWNING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STOCK CAGE } \\ & \text { 218977 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2=6) \\ 220770 \end{gathered}$ | 280466 | 190455 | 143677 | 124511 |
| AGE | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 3 | 35634 | 32354 | 37440 | 82658 | 121505 | 54046 |
| 4 | 164388 | 36553 | 32091 | 34890 | 54112 | 111471 |
| 5 | 511749 | 166846 | 29441 | 24141 | 14695 | 46823 |
| 6 | 33996 | 368943 | 109415 | 17557 | 10671 | 7345 |
| 7 | 30899 | 18539 | 235471 | 54537 | 10646 | 6934 |
| 8 | 3629 | 16360 | 11298 | 105499 | 28810 | 5309 |
| 9 | 7683 | 2035 | 10774 | 6145 | 59355 | 17589 |
| 10 | 19715 | 5055 | 1620 | 5201 | 4762 | 13387 |
| 11 | 12170 | 9912 | 4430 | 696 | 3336 | 3987 |
| 12 | 3769 | 6760 | 7060 | 2825 | 141 | 1843 |
| : 3 | 7218 | 1587 | 5133 | 2104 | 1883 | 187 |
| 12 | 277 | 31:8 | 1023 | 2120 | 1259 | 1135 |
| TOMAL 1259 1135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 832820 | 658100 | 485196 | 335434 | 311174 | 289977 |
| SPHWNING | Stock : AGE | $\rangle=$ E) |  |  |  |  |
|  | 121049 | 432308 | 385224 | 196744 | 120863 | 77636 |

Table 20. HADDOCK. Age composition of catches in April and May 1979-80 in the USSR groundfish survey.

| Area | Year | Mean catch per trawling hour, specimens |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean age, years | Mean length (cm) | Mean weight ( g ) | Mean catch per trawling hour (kg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\leq 3$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\geq 8$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| B | 1979 | 33.8 | 20.0 | 6.3 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 63 | 3.7 | 41.2 | 1033 | 59 |
| Norwegian Sea | 1980 | 1.3 | 22.3 | 12.1 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 39 | 4.5 | 48.6 | 1300 | 51 |
| ${ }^{A_{I}}$ | 1979 | 18.6 | 25.6 | 0.8 | + | + | + | 45 | 3.6 | 33.8 | 396 | 18 |
| West. ${ }^{\text {areas }}$ of south.Barents Sea | 1980 | 2.6 | 11.5 | 14.0 | 0.1 | - | + | 28 | 4.4 | 41.5 | 847 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 12 |  |  | 294 |  |
| Coastal areas of south. Barents Sea | 1980 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 3.1 | - | - | - | 11 | 3.8 | 34.8 | 565 | 7 |
| ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | 1979 | 14.8 | 18.3 | 0.6 | + | + | + | 34 | 3.6 | 33.2 | 385 | 13 |
| South.Barents Sea | 1980 | 2.5 | 8.9 | 9.8 | 0.1 | - | + | 21 | 4.3 | 40.2 | 812 | 17 |
| ${ }^{\text {A }}$ + $\mathrm{B}^{\text {a }}$ |  | 20.6 | 18.8 |  | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norwegian Sea and south. Barents Sea | 1980 | 2.1 | 13.1 | 10.6 | 1.0 | $+$ | 0.1 | 27 | 4.4 | 44.1 | 1036 | 28 |

Table 21. HADDOCK. Parameters used in catch predictions ( $M=0.2$ ).

| Age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Relative fishing } \\ & \text { mortality } \\ & \left(\bar{F}_{3-6}=1.00\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { weights } \\ & \text { (kg) } \end{aligned}$ | Stock size (in thousands) at the beginning of: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1980 | 1981 |
| 3 | 0.582 | 0.66 | $50000^{\text {x }}$ | $50000^{\text {x }}$ |
| 4 | 1.075 | 1.03 | 167995 | 40854 |
| 5 | 1.493 | 1.79 | 53208 | 116748 |
| 6 | 1.403 | 2.38 | 10561 | 27445 |
| 7 | 1.194 | 2.86 | 1298 | 5502 |
| 8 | 1.060 | 3.33 | 1126 | 835 |
| 9 | 1.0 | 3.70 | 790 | 568 |
| 10 | 1.0 | $4 \cdot 41$ | 2421 | 417 |
| 11 | 1.0 | 5.40 | 3855 | 1419 |
| 12 | 1.0 | 6.70 | 376 | 2495 |
| 13 | 1.0 | 7.40 | 140 | 237 |
| $14+$ | 1.0 | 8.00 | 79 | 56 |

x) Recruitment at age 3 as estimated from USSR youngfish survey index (see Figure 5).


Eigure 1. North-east Arctic COD. Effort (= total international catch ( $8+$ )/cpue of gillnetters in Lofoten) versus average fishing mortality on E-12 year olds (from C.M.1980/G:12, Table 10).

Figure 2. Arctic COD.


Figure 3. COD. Correlation of VPA recruitment estimates on USSR Young Fish Survey indices for 1957-74. 1975 year class is not inoluded in the regression.


Figure 4 a NE-Arctic Cod. Yield in 1981, total recruited biomass (TB)
and spawning stock biomass (SSB) at different levels of fishing mortality in 1981.
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Figure 5. HADDOCK. Correlation of numbers of 3 year olds (from VPA) and USSR Young Fish Survey indices for the year classes 1957-74.


Figure 6.a NE-Arctic Haddock. Yield in 1981, total recruited biomass
(TB) and spawning stock biomass (SSB) in 1982 at different levels of fishing mortality in 1981.



Figure 6.b Historic yield and spawning stock biomass of Arctic haddock 1969-1980.


Figure 7. North-east Arctic COD and HADDOCK. Total international catch ratios versus recruitęd biomass ratios (3+) for the years 1960-80.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Legends: } \quad \begin{aligned}
1 & - \text { The l:l line } \\
2 & -\quad \text { fitted line }(1960-79) Y=-0.84+1.33 X \\
& r=0.77(1960-79)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

