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## SUMMARY

The Working Group addressed the problem of estimating spawning stock sizes of mackerel and horse mackerel in the western spawning area (VI, VII, VIIIabde) and southern spawning area (VIIIc and IXa). The annual egg production method was implemented using international egg surveys completed in 1998 from 17 January to 5 July and associated estimates of fecundity and atresia. Sampling was completed as planned, but the WG concluded that the surveys began too late in the year to cover fully the mackerel spawning event in the western area, and ended too early to cover fully the horse mackerel spawning event in the western area. Spawning events for both species in the southern area were not comprehensively covered. Egg production estimates for both species in the western area are therefore considered minimum estimates.

Estimates of egg production and of spawning biomass for both species in both areas are provided. In the western area, egg production of mackerel is estimated to have decreased by $8 \%$ but as total corrected fecundity is estimated to have decreased by $23 \%$ this indicates an increase in spawning biomass of $19 \%$ to 2.95 Million $t$ in 1998. In the southern area, estimated mackerel egg production has increased by $122 \%$ from 1995 to 1998 and fecundity has increased by $8 \%$, indicating an increase in spawning biomass of $106 \%$. Overall the ratio of southern:western component biomasses is revised from $15 \%$ in 1995 to $26 \%$ in 1998. Mackerel biomass for the southern and western components of the North East Atlantic mackerel is estimated at 3.73 Million t , but is subject to revision.

Estimation of horse mackerel fecundity in 1998 has not been possible. Horse mackerel egg production in the western area has fallen by $18 \%$ from 1995 to 1998. An estimate of biomass of 1.4 Million $t$ is provided on the assumption that fecundity in 1998 was as estimated for this stock in previous years. In the southern area, estimated horse mackerel egg production excluding a small number of very abundant egg samples is $18.610^{13}$ (s.e. $7.710^{13}$ ). If these samples are included the estimate is $100.310{ }^{13}$ (s.e. 80.7 $10{ }^{13}$ ).

Comparison of egg staging among participating countries indicated good consistency of mackerel staging for stage I eggs but poor consistency of horse mackerel staging. GAM- based egg production estimates for mackerel were similar to estimates calculated using the WG's usual method. A mackerel egg survey in the North Sea is planned for summer 1999 and is expected to report preliminary results by September 1999.

### 1.1 Terms of Reference

At the ICES Annual Science Conference in October 1998 it was decided that (C.Res.1998/2:49) the Working Group on Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Surveys [WGMEGS] (Chair: Mr J.H. Nichols, UK) will meet in Hamburg, Germany from 13-19 April 1999 to:
a) analyse and evaluate the results of the 1998 mackerel and horse mackerel egg surveys of the western and southern areas, including the comparisons of egg staging;
b) calculate the total seasonal stage 1 egg production estimates for mackerel and horse mackerel separately for the western and southern areas;
c) analyse and evaluate the results of the mackerel and horse mackerel fecundity and atresia sampling in the western and southern areas and provide estimates of fecundity, corrected for atresia, separately for each area;
d) investigate the possibilities of combining the mackerel fecundity estimates, corrected for atresia, from the western and southern areas;
e) analyse and evaluate the results of the sampling for mackerel and horse mackerel maturity in the western and southern areas and produce maturity ogives for 1998 for each area;
f) provide estimates of the spawning stock biomass of mackerel and horse mackerel, using stage 1 egg production estimates and the estimates of fecundity and atresia, separately for the western and southern areas;
g) provide an estimate of the spawning stock biomass of the North-East Atlantic mackerel by combining the estimates from the western and southern areas;
h) use the new estimates of the spawning stock biomass from the egg surveys to re-tune the VPA estimates of stock size for the North-East Atlantic mackerel, western horse mackerel and southern horse mackerel and produce a report for the ACFM meeting in May 1999 (relevant assessment biologists to carry out this task);
i) obtain a peer review of the Working Group report from the appropriate assessment working group prior to the 1999 Annual Science Conference;
j) comment on the draft objectives and activities in the Living Resources Committee component of the ICES FiveYear Strategic Plan, and specify how the purpose of the Working Group contributes to it.

WGMEGS will report to the Living Resources and Resource Management Committees at the 1999 Annual Science Conference and to WGMHSA.

Since the above resolution was tabled terms of reference h) and $j$ ) have been deleted by ICES. As a consequence the Chair agreed with the ICES General Secretary that the meeting time would be from 13-17 April 1999.

### 1.2 Participants

The Working Group met in Hamburg, Germany from 13-17 April 1999 with the following participants:

| John Nichols (Chair) | UK (E\&W) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pablo Abaunza | Spain |
| Paula Alvarez | Spain |
| Guus Eltink | Netherlands |
| Concha Franco | Spain |
| Francois Gregoire | Canada |
| Cornelius Hammer | Germany |
| Svein Iversen | Norway |
| Steve Milligan | UK (E\&W) |
| John Molloy | Ireland |
| Alberto Murta | Portugal |
| Kenneth Patterson | UK (Scotland) |
| Jose-Ramon Perez | Spain |
| Dave Reid | UK (Scotland) |
| Aileen Shanks | UK (Scotland) |
| Amor Sola | Spain |


| Bas Vingerhoed | Netherlands |
| :--- | :--- |
| Peter Witthames | UK (E\&W) |
| Christopher Zimmermann | Germany |

## 2 GENERAL ASPECTS

### 2.1 Comparison of Egg Staging

Two samples of 100 eggs (one of mackerel, one of horse mackerel), collected from the Celtic Sea area during May 1995 were passed to each institute in turn. The egg stages were identified and counted, and the results collated (Tables 2.1.1 and 2.1.3).

In both samples the total number of eggs decreased (due to loss and damage both in transit and during the analysis) as the sample was passed from institute to institute. Consequently the percentage numbers of eggs in each stage was calculated to enable more direct comparisons to be made (Tables 2.1.2 and 2.1.4) (Figures 2.1.1 and 2.1.2).

### 2.1.1 Mackerel

Some participants experienced difficulty when separating stage I eggs into stages IA and IB and two participants did not split the stage I eggs (Tables 2.1.1 and 2.1.2). Comparison of the numbers of stage I eggs (IA and IB combined) shows a good consistency of staging between institutes with $30 \%$ to $38 \%$ of the eggs being allocated to this stage (Figure 2.1.1). This was very reassuring as the annual egg production is based upon the abundance of eggs in this stage. These results also compare favourably with a similar exercise conducted for the 1995 survey when the numbers of eggs allocated to stage I ranged between $32 \%$ and $48 \%$.

The greatest differences between participants can be seen in the allocation of eggs to stage II. Both the Netherlands and England allocated a greater proportion of eggs to stage II with correspondingly fewer eggs allocated to stage III.

Norway found 11 eggs in the sample, which they would not have identified as mackerel eggs had they occurred in the survey samples.

### 2.1.2 Horse mackerel

For the first time, the 1995 egg survey report (ICES, 1996b) recommended that a sample of horse mackerel eggs should be passed around the participants for comparative egg staging. The analysis of the results (Tables 2.1.3 and 2.1.4) (Figure 2.1.2) show some cause for concern. There was a large variability in the allocation of eggs to stage I ranging from $29 \%$ (England) to $55 \%$ (Germany). If translated to the survey samples, these discrepancies would lead to large differences in the estimate of abundance of stage I eggs, with a direct and significant effect on the estimate of horse mackerel SSB.

Large differences also occur in the allocation of eggs to the other stages. England found the highest number of stage II eggs ( $38 \%$ ) with Germany allocating only $7 \%$ to this stage. There not only appears to be some miss allocation of eggs between stages I and II but also between stages II and III, with Norway allocating $13 \%$ to stage II but very large numbers of eggs ( $37 \%$ ) to stage III.

Germany found some eggs in stage 5, a stage not normally found in horse mackerel.
The difficulties experienced by all participants in allocating horse mackerel eggs to the various stages may be due to the age of the samples. Horse mackerel eggs have a dense, segmented yolk and the yolk would have darkened further, having been fixed for three years. A clearing technique described by Gurr, 1963, was suggested by the 1995 WG (ICES, 1996b) but was not applied by any of the participants.

It may be that the problems of staging horse mackerel eggs encountered during this comparison would have been greater than those experienced if analysts had been looking at recently fixed samples from the 1998 survey. However, such large discrepancies cannot be overlooked because they may have serious effects on the estimates of SSB. This workshop therefore recommends that the EU is approached to fund an egg identification and staging workshop prior to the 2001 survey to try and resolve some of the problems encountered. Action: S.Milligan, G.Eltink.

There was little sampling of the same rectangles, by different vessels, within the same time period. On the few occasions when this did occur the sampling dates were too far apart for any valid comparisons to be made.

### 2.3 Vertical Distribution of Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Eggs

No additional information on the vertical distribution of the eggs of either species was collected during these surveys.

### 2.4 Sampler calibration

During an EU funded Concerted Action (Anon., 1997) the performance of Gulf III samplers currently used in the mackerel and horse mackerel egg surveys was examined. As a result of calibrations carried out in a flume tank using a Laser/Doppler system it was concluded that these samplers are between $100 \%$ and $105 \%$ efficient. The performance of the flowmeters used in national variations of these samplers is also checked over a range of speeds on most surveys prior to sampling. Full account is therefore taken of the performance of individual flowmeters and, together with the results of the 1996 calibrations, allows accurate calculation of the volume of water filtered.

A 20 cm Bongo sampler was also calibrated in the flume during the EU Concerted Action and was found, somewhat surprisingly, to be only $85 \%$ efficient. Three sizes of this type of sampler were used (Tables 4.3.1 and 4.3.2) on the mackerel and horse mackerel egg surveys and are regularly calibrated over a range of speeds at sea. Flowmeters mounted in the aperture of the Bongos provide an accurate measure of the water velocity and hence distance travelled by the samplers on each deployment. However, to date, no account has been taken of the EU Concerted Action results and an efficiency of $100 \%$ has been assumed. The volume of water filtered on each deployment is calculated by multiplying the estimate of distance travelled (using the flowmeter) by the aperture area.

The efficiency, which is used in the calculation of volume filtered for Bongo type samplers, has a direct and identical effect on the calculation of egg densities. Therefore, if the efficiency of these samplers is $85 \%$ (Anon., 1997), then the numbers of eggs $\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ from each survey tow can be increased by $15 \%$. It is clear, therefore, that the 1998 survey results could be an under-estimate of the numbers of eggs produced where a Bongo sampler has been used.

### 2.5 Definition of the stocks

## Mackerel

Traditionally and according to main spawning sites three mackerel stocks were previously considered by ICES, the southern, the western and the North Sea stock. However, data from egg surveys have demonstrated that it is impossible clearly to distinguish between a southern and a western spawning area. Tagging experiments have demonstrated that after spawning fish from these areas migrate into the Norwegian Sea and the North Sea during the second half of the year to feed where they mix with mackerel from the North Sea stock. Since it is impossible to allocate catches to stock, mackerel are at present, for practical reasons, considered as one stock: the North East Atlantic Mackerel Stock. However, to be able to keep track of the development of the spawning biomass in different spawning areas, the North East Atlantic mackerel stock is divided into three spawning components, i.e. the Western Spawning Component, the North Sea Spawning Component and the Southern Spawning Component. The Western Component, spawning in the western spawning area (ICES Divisions and Sub-Areas VI, VII, VIII a,b,d,e) comprises approximately $85 \%$ of the entire North East Atlantic Stock. The Southern Component is spawning in the southern area (ICES Divisions VIIIc and IXa). Although the North Sea Component has been at an extremely low level since the early 1970s the WG regards the North Sea Component as still existing (Section 3). This component is spawning in the North Sea and Skagerrak (ICES Sub-Area IV and Division IIIa). The egg surveys indicate that minor spawning also occur outside the three main spawning areas.

The North East Atlantic mackerel stock is distributed and fished in the ICES Sub-Areas and Divisions: IIa, IIIa, IV, Vb, VI, VII, VIII, IXa.

The definitions of stock, components and spawning areas, as used by this Working Group and the MHSA Working Group, are summarised in the text table below.

| North-East Atlantic Mackerel |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distributed and fished in ICES Divisions IIa, IIIa, IV, Vb, VI, VII, VIII and IXa |  |  |  |
| Spawning Component | Western | Southern | North Sea |
| Spawning Areas | VI, VII, VIIIa,b,d,e. | VIIIc, IXa. | IV, IIIa. |

## Horse Mackerel

There is some biological evidence (see ICES 1999/G:16) that horse mackerel form three different spawning populations. These populations are regarded as stocks, i.e., the Southern Stock, the North Sea Stock and the Western Stock. Extensive migration and mixing of the stocks is likely to occur. The catches are allocated to the different stocks on an arbitrary basis according to the temporal and spatial distribution of the fishery (ICES 1999/ACFM:6).

The definitions of stocks, spawning areas and fishing areas, as used by this Working Group and the MHSA Working Group, are summarise in the text table below.

| Horse Mackerel |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stock | Western | Southern | North Sea |
| Spawning Area | VI, VIIa-c, e-k, VIIIa,b,d,e. | VIIIc, IXa. | IVb, c and VIId |
| Fishing Area | IIa, IVa, VIa, VIIa-c, e-k, <br> VIIIa,b,d,e, IIIa (western) | VIIIc, IXa | IIIa (eastern), IVb, c and VIId |


| Table 2.1.1 The number of mackerel eggs allocated to each development stage by country |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Development Stage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1A | 1B | Total 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| England | 24 | 8 | 32 | 24 | 17 | 15 | 12 | 100 |
| Ireland | 22 | 13 | 35 | 13 | 18 | 19 | 14 | 99 |
| Spain (AZTI) | 16 | 14 | 30 | 16 | 21 | 18 | 14 | 99 |
| Spain (IEO) | 17 | 15 | 32 | 16 | 23 | 16 | 12 | 99 |
| Portugal | 16 | 22 | 38 | 13 | 17 | 12 | 19 | 99 |
| Scotland (1) |  |  | 28 | 15 | 23 | 12 | 13 | 91 |
| Scotland (2) |  |  | 30 | 16 | 23 | 8 | 16 | 93 |
| Norway | 25 | 5 | 30 | 7 | 18 | 12 | 14 | 81 |
| Germany | 9 | 27 | 36 | 10 | 26 | 10 | 14 | 96 |
| Netherlands |  |  | 35 | 24 | 18 | 10 | 11 | 98 |

Note: The total number of eggs staged by Norway excludes 11 eggs which were not identified as mackerel

| Table 2.1.2 The percentage of mackerel eggs allocated to each development stage by country |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Development Stage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1A | 1B | Total 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| England | 24.0 | 8.0 | 32.0 | 24.0 | 17.0 | 15.0 | 12.0 | 100 |
| Ireland | 22.2 | 13.1 | 35.4 | 13.1 | 18.2 | 19.2 | 14.1 | 100 |
| Spain (AZTI) | 16.2 | 14.1 | 30.3 | 16.2 | 21.2 | 18.2 | 14.1 | 100 |
| Spain (IEO) | 17.2 | 15.2 | 32.3 | 16.2 | 23.2 | 16.2 | 12.1 | 100 |
| Portugal | 16.2 | 22.2 | 38.4 | 13.1 | 17.2 | 12.1 | 19.2 | 100 |
| Scotland (1) |  |  | 30.8 | 16.5 | 25.3 | 13.2 | 14.3 | 100 |
| Scotland (2) |  |  | 32.3 | 17.2 | 24.7 | 8.6 | 17.2 | 100 |
| Norway | 30.9 | 6.2 | 37.0 | 8.6 | 22.2 | 14.8 | 17.3 | 100 |
| Germany | 9.4 | 28.1 | 37.5 | 10.4 | 27.1 | 10.4 | 14.6 | 100 |
| Netherlands |  |  | 35.7 | 24.5 | 18.4 | 10.2 | 11.2 | 100 |


| Table 2.1.3 The number of horse mackerel eggs allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Development Stage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1A | 1B | Total 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| England | 24 | 5 | 29 | 38 | 10 | 23 | 0 | 100 |
| Ireland | 31 | 8 | 39 | 25 | 11 | 25 | 0 | 100 |
| Spain (AZTI) | 21 | 10 | 31 | 24 | 24 | 21 | 0 | 100 |
| Spain (IEO) | 21 | 19 | 40 | 22 | 17 | 21 | 0 | 100 |
| Portugal | 30 | 15 | 45 | 20 | 8 | 26 | 0 | 99 |
| Scotland (1) |  |  | 35 | 16 | 20 | 24 | 0 | 95 |
| Scotland (2) |  |  | 44 | 14 | 12 | 19 | 0 | 89 |
| Norway | 33 | 1 | 34 | 12 | 35 | 14 | 0 | 95 |
| Germany |  |  | 52 | 7 | 15 | 16 | 4 | 94 |
| Netherlands |  |  | 38 | 31 | 19 | 10 | 0 | 98 |


| Table 2.1.4 The percentage of horse mackerel eggs allocated to each develoopment stage by country. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Development Stage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1A | 1B | Total 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| England | 24.0 | 5.0 | 29.0 | 38.0 | 10.0 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Ireland | 31.0 | 8.0 | 39.0 | 25.0 | 11.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Spain (AZTI) | 21.0 | 10.0 | 31.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 21.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Spain (IEO) | 21.0 | 19.0 | 40.0 | 22.0 | 17.0 | 21.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Portugal | 30.3 | 15.2 | 45.5 | 20.2 | 8.1 | 26.3 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Scotland (1) |  |  | 36.8 | 16.8 | 21.1 | 25.3 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Scotland (2) |  |  | 49.4 | 15.7 | 13.5 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Norway | 34.7 | 1.1 | 35.8 | 12.6 | 36.8 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Germany |  |  | 55.3 | 7.4 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 4.3 | 100 |
| Netherlands |  |  | 38.8 | 31.6 | 19.4 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 100 |

Figure 2.1.1 Comparison between institutes when allocating a sample of mackerel eggs to five development stages.


Figure 2.1.2 Comparison between institutes when allocating a sample of horse mackerel eggs to five development stages.


### 3.1 Countries and Ships Participating

The last AEPM surveys for mackerel eggs in the North Sea were carried out in 1990 (Iversen et al., 1991) and in 1996 (ICES, 1997/H:4). In 1990 the spawning stock was estimated at 78,000 t and in 1996 at 110,000 t. Rather large quantities of mackerel of the 1996-year class were observed in the North Sea in the autumn and winter of 1996/1997. This was for the first time in many years that large numbers of 0 - and 1 -group mackerel were observed in the North Sea. If this year class were of North Sea origin it would be fully recruited to the spawning stock in 1999. Therefore the egg WG recommended to carry out new AEPM surveys in the North Sea in 1999 (ICES, 1997/H:4).

The Netherlands and Norway will carry out mackerel egg surveys in the North Sea in 1999. They will work for about three weeks each and will cover the spawning area three times during 20 May-27 June. This will not cover the total spawning period, which usually starts in mid May and ends late July. However, the peak period is usually around mid June and may therefore be covered. One vessel will survey the spawning area in about two weeks. The surveys will be carried out by the Dutch and Norwegian research vessels "Tridens" and "G. O. Sars" respectively, and the coverages are planned as follows:

| Vessel/Coverage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| "Tridens" | 25 May-4 June | 5-11 June |  |
| "G. O. Sars" |  | 5-14 June | 15-27 June |

### 3.2 Sampling Area and Survey Design

Usually the main spawning area is located between $55-58^{\circ}$ north and $1-5^{\circ}$ east. However in 1996 the spawning took place in a wider area, $53^{\circ} 30^{\prime}-58^{\circ}$ north and $2^{\circ}$ west $-8^{\circ}$ east. Based on the findings in 1996 areas to be covered during the three coverages were suggested. The 1996 survey results were also used to identify high priotity areas.The plankton samples should be analysed on board and the sampling area should be adjusted according to the findings.

Traditionally sections along whole or $1 / 2$ degrees latitude have been sampled. Ideally one sample should be taken in each of the rectangles. However, experience has shown that it is better to survey a larger area less intensively than a lesser area more intensively. As usual Norway will use a 20 cm Bongo sampler towed for 5 minutes in each of the depths 20 $\mathrm{m}, 15 \mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{~m}$ and in the surface. The towing speed will be about 2.5 knots. The Netherlands will use a Gulf III either stepwise as for the Bongo net or in double oblique hauls with a towing speed of 5 knots. As usual nets with mesh size of 500 microns are recommended, as nets with smaller mesh size will easily become clogged.

### 3.3 Sampling and Data Analysis

The samples will be placed in standard fixative of buffered $4 \%$ formaldehyde. For the purpose of estimating the age of the eggs the temperature in the surface layer $(5 \mathrm{~m})$ is required. It is recommended to record a temperature depth profile for each sampling station.

For each station, data on the number of stage I mackerel eggs per sample, the filtered volume and temperature at 5 m are required. If possible a preliminary estimate of the mackerel egg production in 1999 should be available for the MHMSA WG in September 1999.

At present no funds are available to investigate the ovaries histologically for fecundity and atresia. If the egg production is found to be at a similar level to that in 1996 there will be no need to carry out these investigations because then the stock is still on or close to its historical low level. However, if the egg production is found to have increased significantly it is more urgent to investigate these parameters so that the estimated SSB of the North Sea component can be compared with the two other spawning components. Therefore ovaries should be sampled to enable these parameters to be checked later if necessary.

Total fecundity: During the first survey 100 mackerel ovaries in pre-spawning stage 3 (Walsh et al.,1990) should be collected.

Atresia: During each of the coverages 50 mackerel ovaries from mature fish (maturity stages 3-6, Walsh et al., 1990) should be collected and dissected carefully out without damage to the wall of the ovary.

The ovaries sampled for both total fecundity and atresia should be fixed in a minimum of two volumes of $4 \%$ formaldehyde, 0.1 M phosphate buffered to pH 7 for subsequent histological analysis.

## 4 WESTERN AND SOUTHERN EGG SURVEYS IN 1998

### 4.1 Countries and Ships Participating

The deployment of research vessel effort in the western mackerel/horse mackerel egg survey for 1998 is given in Table 4.1.1 and for the southern mackerel/horse mackerel egg survey for 1998, in Table 4.1.2.

### 4.2 Sampling Areas and Sampling Effort

### 4.2.1 Egg surveys in the Western Area

The standard sampling area used for the western mackerel/horse mackerel survey for 1998 is shown in Figure 4.2.1. The standard survey area for 1998 is described in Section 4.3.1. The expansion is along the western edge between $45^{\circ}$ and $49^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. As with the 1995 survey, sampling was not constrained to be within the standard area. Where reasonably large samples were encountered at the edge of the area it was expected that the survey would be continued until zero samples were found.

The number of hauls taken by half ICES rectangle and by sampling period are presented in Figures 4.2.2c-f. The figures also include those rectangles where egg production was calculated by interpolation from neighbouring, sampled, rectangles.

Within the periods surveyed, the spatial and temporal coverage was very good. Sampling appeared to cover the entire spatial range of both mackerel and horse mackerel spawning, and reached zero samples along most of the edges of the distribution. Slight exceptions to this were seen in period 3, where there were small numbers of eggs on the most northern transect, and in periods 5 and 6 where the western edge in the northern part of the area was poorly defined (see Figures 5.1.1c-f and 6.1.1a-d). For the mackerel surveys there was strong evidence that the surveys were started late in relation to the actual spawning. The daily egg production in period 3 was $90 \%$ of the peak production in period 4 . For horse mackerel, it appears that the spawning continued well after the surveys. The egg production reached a maximum in period 6. Thus overall, the temporal coverage was inadequate to fully describe the spawning season for both mackerel and horse mackerel. In turn this effects the choice of start date for mackerel and end date for horse mackerel, the implications of this are discussed more fully in Sections 5.2.1. and 6.2.

### 4.2.2 Egg surveys in the Southern Area

As in previous years, the spatial and temporal coverage was designed to ensure an adequate coverage of both mackerel and horse mackerel.

The standard sampling area used for the western mackerel egg surveys in 1998 was defined as the Atlantic coast of Spain and Portugal, between $36^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $45^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude and the western boundary at $11^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude (Figure 4.2.1). The same area was used in the previous surveys in 1995, since coverage appeared to be adequate and no additional sampling stations were necessary.

Temporal coverage in the southern area during 1998 was more extended than in 1995 allowing full coverage of the spawning season and it was split into 6 periods (from 17 January to 21 June). Surveys were carried out by Portuguese, English, Dutch and Spanish research vessels covering the standard spawning area defined according to the Report of Mackerel and Horse mackerel Egg Production Workshop (ICES, 1997b), Table 6.1.

The number of hauls made per half ICES rectangle per survey period and the rectangles in which egg production values have been interpolated are shown in Figures 4.2.2a-f.

One change was made in the survey schedule. The third Portuguese survey was carried out two weeks earlier than scheduled so it was included in period 2. As a result, the coverage during period 3 did not include the area from $37^{\circ}$ to $43^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ on the Portuguese coast. It should be noted that this means that there was no temporal overlap between surveys on
the Portuguese coast and the north Spanish coast. Additionally, and as in the western area, spawning on the north Spanish coast was already well under way by the time of the first survey in that area.

### 4.3 Sampling and Data Analysis

The 1998 surveys were carried out in accordance with the modified sampling strategy described in detail for the 1995 survey (ICES 1996b) and by the planning group for the 1998 surveys (ICES 1997b).

### 4.3.1 Sampling strategy

Thirty-two rectangles were added to the standard area as a result of changes in the distribution of mackerel and horse mackerel eggs noted during the 1995 surveys. The flexible sampling strategy, adopted for the 1998 survey, to take account of observations during the survey resulted in some additional rectangles being sampled outside the standard area at the northern boundary. Poor weather and limited vessel time resulted in very few replicate rectangle samples being taken by any of the vessels. Similarly, very few rectangles were sampled by more than one vessel within the same time period (see Section 2.2).

### 4.3.2 Sampling gears and procedures

In the western area plankton sampling was carried out using national versions of a Gulf III type sampler with the exception of Norway and Spain who used Bongo samplers (Table 4.3.1).

Each Gulf III type sampler was fitted with a conical nosecone with an aperture of either 19.5 cm (Netherlands) or 20 cm diameter. The Gulf III type samplers were deployed to within 3 m of the bottom or to a maximum of 200 m in deeper water. A double-oblique haul was carried out at each sampling position at a ship speed of approximately five knots. Calibrated flowmeters, mounted both inside the nosecone and externally on the body of each sampler, were used to calculate the volume of water filtered on each deployment.

The presence or absence of a thermocline on each survey is shown in Table 4.3.1. A thermocline was recorded only on the English survey in period six. The sampling strategy was not changed, as fish larvae were required from the samples as part of an EU funded project (INDICES).

In the southern area Bongo samplers were used by Portugal ( 60 cm diameter) and Spain ( 40 cm diameter) (Table 4.3.2) while the Netherlands and England used Gulf III's. The Bongo samplers, used in the southern and western areas were also deployed on double oblique hauls to a maximum depth of 200 m or to within 3 m of the bottom in shallower water. They were towed at a ship speed of 2-3 knots and calibrated flowmeters mounted in the aperture were used to calculate the volume of water filtered.

In all the surveys a full temperature/depth profile was recorded. The temperature at 20 m on each deployment was used as a parameter in the calculation of the production of eggs per day in each rectangle.

### 4.3.3 Data analysis

All data analysis was carried out in accordance with the procedures described in detail for the 1995 survey (ICES 1996b) and at the planning group for the 1998 surveys (ICES 1997b). For all sampling in the western area, individual countries supplied data on an electronic database form to the data co-ordinator at the Marine Laboratory, Aberdeen. For sampling in the southern area data were supplied in Excel spreadsheet format to the data co-ordinator in Madrid.

The data consisted of, sample position, numbers of eggs (both mackerel and horse mackerel) in each development stage, sub sample size, volume of water filtered by the sampler, depth sampled and temperature and salinity profiles. Each country was responsible for validating their own basic data and there were also some checks built into the Aberdeen database.

Because of the absence of adequate replicate rectangle samples, in the southern area, the standard error in the western area, obtained in 1995, was used to estimate variance ( 1.27 for mackerel; 1.44 for horse mackerel). The variance of the total annual egg production was assumed to be the weighted sum of the variances of the total daily production in each sampling period (ICES 1996b). In the western area the standard errors were calculated for both mackerel (s.e.0.212) and horse mackerel (s.e.0.325).

| Table 4.1. | The deployment of research vessel effort in the 1998 western mackerel and horse mackerel egg survey |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | Country | Vessel | Dates | Area Coverage |
| 3 | Spain Germany | Cornide de Saavedra Walther Herwig | $\begin{aligned} & 15 / 3-2 / 4 \\ & 22 / 3-25 / 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44^{\circ} 30^{\prime}-46^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 44^{\circ} 15^{\prime}-53^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | Netherlands <br> Scotland <br> Spain | Tridens <br> Scotia <br> Cornide de Saavedra | $\begin{aligned} & 16-30 / 4 \\ & 29 / 4-14 / 5 \\ & 20-22 / 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45^{\circ} 15^{\prime}-49^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 48^{\circ} 15^{\prime}-58^{\circ} 45^{\prime N} \\ & 44^{\circ} 15^{\prime}-44^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | Netherlands <br> Spain <br> Norway <br> England <br> Ireland | Tridens <br> Investigador <br> GO Sars <br> Corystes <br> Celtic Voyager | $\begin{aligned} & 12-22 / 5 \\ & 19 / 5-7 / 6 \\ & 26 / 5-13 / 6 \\ & 4-13 / 6 \\ & 1-6 / 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-48^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 43^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-47^{\circ} 00^{\prime N} \\ & 48^{\circ} 15^{\prime}-53^{\circ} 45^{\prime N} \\ & 44^{1} 15^{\prime}-48^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 54^{\circ} 15^{\prime}-58^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | Ireland <br> England <br> Scotland | Celtic Voyager <br> Corystes <br> Scotia | $\begin{aligned} & 17-26 / 6 \\ & 14-26 / 6 \\ & 17 / 6-5 / 7 \end{aligned}$ | $50^{\circ} 15^{\prime}-53^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ <br> $42^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-49^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ <br> $48^{\circ} 15^{\prime}-59^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ |


| Table 4.1.2 | The deployment of research vessel effort in the 1998 southern mackerel and horse mackerel egg <br> survey |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Period | Country | Vessel | Dates | Area Coverage |
| 1 | Portugal | Noruega | $17-31 / 1$ | $36^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-42^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 06^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-11^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 2 | Portugal <br> Portugal | Noruega <br> Noruega | $7-15 / 2$ |  |
| $21 / 2-1 / 3$ | $37^{\circ} 45^{\prime}-42^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 08^{\circ} 30^{\prime}-11^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |
| $36^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-42^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 07^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-11^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Spain (IEO) | Cornide de Saavedra | $14 / 3-1 / 4$ | $43^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-45^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 01^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-11^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 4 | Spain (IEO) | Cornide de Saavedra | $13-27 / 4$ | $42^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-44^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 01^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-10^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 5 | Netherlands <br> Spain (AZTI) | Tridens <br> Investigador | $15-20 / 5$ <br> $19-24 / 5$ | $42^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-45^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 05^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-11^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| $43^{\circ} 15^{\prime}-44^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 01^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-05^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | England | Corystes | $15-21 / 6$ | $42^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-44^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 01^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-11^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |


| Table 4.3.1 | mpling ge | and proced | adopted du | the 1998 we | n macker | d horse m | surveys. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Sampling |  | pler | Max. Depth | Ther | ocline | Temp |  | Comments |
|  |  | Type | Aperture Diam.(cm) | (m) | Definition | Sampling Strategy | Measured | Used for Prod. |  |
| Germany | 3 | Gulf III | 20 | 200 | 2.5C/10m | 200 m | Full profile | temp @ 20m | Thermocline not found |
| Spain (IEO) | $3+4$ | Bongo | 40 | 200 | $2.5 \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{~m}$ | 200 m | Full profile | temp @ 20m | Thermocline not found |
| Netherlands | $4+5$ | Gulf III | 19.5 | 200 | $2.5 \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{~m}$ | 200 m | Full profile | temp @ 20m | Thermocline not found |
| Scotland | $4+6$ | Gulf III | 20 | 200 | $2.5 \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{~m}$ | 200 m | Full profile | temp @ 20m | Thermocline not found |
| Spain (AZTI) | 5 | Bongo | 40 | 200 | $2.5 \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{~m}$ | 200 m | Full profile | temp @ 20m | Thermocline not found |
| Norway | 5 | Bongo | 20 | 200 | $2.5 \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{~m}$ | 200 m | Full profile | temp @ 20m | Thermocline not found |
| England | $5+6$ | Gulf III | 20 | 200 | $2.5 \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{~m}$ | 200 m | Full profile | temp @ 20m | Thermocline |
| Ireland | $5+6$ | Gulf III | 20 | 200 | $2.5 \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{~m}$ | 200 m | Full profile | temp @ 20m | Thermocline not found |

Table 4.3.2 Sampling gears and procedures adopted during the 1998 southern mackerel and horse mackerel egg surveys.

| Country | Sampling Period | Sampler |  | Max. Depth (m) | Thermocline |  | Temperature (c) |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Type | Aperture Diam.(cm) |  | Definition | Sampling Strategy | Measured | Used for Prod. |  |
| Portugal | $1+2$ | Bongo | 60 | 200 | $2.5 \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{~m}$ | 200 m | Full profile | temp @ 20m | Thermocline not found |
| Spain (IEO) | $3+4$ | Bongo | 40 | 200 | $2.5 \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{~m}$ | 200 m | Full profile | temp @ 20m | Thermocline not found |
| Spain (AZTI) | 5 | Bongo | 40 | 200 | $2.5 \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{~m}$ | 200 m | Full profile | temp @ 20m | Thermocline not found |
| Netherlands | 5 | Gulf III | 19.5 | 200 | $2.5 \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{~m}$ | 200 m | Full profile | temp @ 20m | Thermocline not found |
| England | 6 | Gulf III | 20 | 200 | $2.5 \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{~m}$ | 200 m | Full profile | temp @ 20m | Thermocline not found |



Figure 4.2.1 1998 standard survey area for the western area mackerel and horse mackerel surveys.


Figure 4.2.2a Number of observations per rectangle in period 1 (17-31 January). Grey squares represent those rectangles assigned interpolated values.


Figure 4.2.2b Number of observations per rectangle in period 2 (7 February - 1 March). Grey squares represent those rectangles assigned interpolated values.


Figure 4.2.2c Number of observations per rectangle in period 3 (15 March - 6 April) - Grey squares represent those rectangles assigned interpolated values.


Figure 4.2.2d Number of observations per rectangle in period 4 (16 April - 15 May) - Grey squares represent those rectangles assigned interpolated values.


Figure 4.2.2e Number of observations per rectangle in period 5 (16 May -13 June) - Grey squares represent those rectangles assigned interpolated values.


Figure 4.2.2f Number of observations per rectangle in the western spawning area in period 6 (14 June -5 July) Grey squares represent those rectangles assigned interpolated values.

# MACKEREL IN THE WESTERN AND SOUTHERN SPAWNING AREAS: 1998 EGG SURVEY RESULTS. 

### 5.1 Spatial distribution of stage 1 mackerel eggs

### 5.1.1 Western spawning area

The first survey in the western area was in Period 3 (Figure 5.1.1c). Coverage of the area was reasonably good, although as indicated in 4.2.1 the northern limit of the egg distribution was not reached. Egg abundance along this edge was, however, relatively low (below 50 eggs. $\mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$ ). One other problem was the lack of sampling at $48^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (row 25) which was missed due to bad weather, and thus required interpolation. Due to the high densities at $48^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (row 26), these interpolated values were relatively high. As in previous surveys the egg production was well defined and concentrated along the 200 m contour from the southern end of Biscay to SW Ireland. The main concentrations were in the area of the southern Celtic Sea. The spawning during this period appeared to be well advanced and may indicate that the surveys were started later than required.

In period 4 (Figure 5.1.1d) the survey area was completely covered from north to south. Again the edges were generally well defined and little important interpolation was required. Production was well distributed along the whole shelf edge from Biscay to north of Ireland. Little evidence was seen of a westward extension of spawning in the area $46^{\circ}$ to $48^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (rows 21-24) as was reported for the 1995 and 1992 surveys. This period represented the peak of spawning in 1998.

In period 5 (Figure 5.1.1e) the survey again covered the full area. The edges were mostly well defined except in the extreme north-west, off the Hebrides. There was little spawning south of $46^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (row 22), as in 1995. North of here spawning remained close to the 200 m contour with no evidence of the westward extension seen in previous years. Unlike previous years, the main concentrations of eggs in this period were in the area from the north of Ireland and northwards. In 1995 there were only low abundances north of $55^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (row 40), while in 1998 the highest densities were located north of this latitude. Additionally, high densities were located at the northern limit of the survey area and also along the western edge in this area. There is a clear need to take more care in following guidelines and continue sampling until zero or low egg densities are encountered.

In 1998 period 6 (Figure 5.1.1f) was the last survey period. Egg abundances were well reduced from period 5 although the area occupied was similar. Again coverage was good and the boundaries mostly well defined. South of $52^{\circ}$ (row 32 ) there was evidence of spawning spreading further onto the Celtic Sea shelf, also further north there was evidence of spawning extending further inshore. This contrasts with 1995 where the spawning tended to spread offshore. Two main concentration areas could be discerned, one in the Celtic Sea and the other north and west of Ireland, continuing the trends seen in period 5 . North of $56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (row 41) the spawning displayed a sharp shift offshore in comparison with the areas further south. One result of this was that again the western edge in this area was poorly defined. Some samples were taken to the north of the standard area, and mostly contained some mackerel eggs, in one case in reasonable numbers ( 27 eggs. $\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ day ${ }^{-1}$ ). As reported previously, this may indicate that the sampling should be extended further north in future years.

### 5.1.2 Southern spawning area

Distribution maps of daily stage I egg production. $\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ are given for the six survey periods in Figures 5.1.1a-f. The timing of the survey periods was synchronised for the western and southern area.

During period 1 only the southern part of the southern area was surveyed by the first Portuguese cruise $\left(36^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-\right.$ $43^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ ) as scheduled. Very low abundance of mackerel eggs stage I were found in between $38^{\circ} 30$ and $43^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and near the coast. There were no eggs south of $38^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$.

In Period 2 again only the southern part of the southern area was sampled $\left(36^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-43^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}\right)$ as planned. In the original survey schedule, Portugal was to carry out one survey in this period and another one in period 3. This latter survey was made 2 weeks earlier and so was included within period 2 , combining both cruises. To obtain the egg production for period 2, data from samples in the same rectangles were combined and the arithmetic mean calculated to obtain one production value for each rectangle. Mackerel eggs were absent during the first cruise in this period, and very sparse in the second cruise, with very low abundances appearing south of $39^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, and very close to the coast around $37^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$.

During period 3 only the north of the Iberian Peninsula was sampled, and following the recommendation of the planning group, the survey was carried out earlier than in 1995. Thus, the sampling did not cover the whole spawning area as the western Iberian shelf was not covered.

The mackerel egg distribution during this period indicates the start of the peak of spawning in the southern area, and shows some similarities with 1995. The eggs were distributed between the coast and the 200 m contour. There was some extension to the north between 5 and $6^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. Highest abundances were from $6^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ to the east $\left(1^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\right)$ and the maximum value appeared in the inner part of the Bay of Biscay (3,107 eggs.m ${ }^{2}$.day ${ }^{1}$ ).

In period 4 only the north part of the area was sampled. The cruise had many difficulties due to the extremely bad weather conditions and it was not possible to cover all the area completely, so the last two rectangles of each row were not sampled. Mackerel egg production during period 4 suggests that this was the peak spawning of mackerel in Cantabrian Sea (the same period as in the western area). The pattern was very similar to that in period 3, with higher abundance between the coast and the shelf break, but the spawning was extended further to the west. Very few eggs were found over the deeper water. The highest abundance was found between $4^{\circ} 00$ and $8^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, with two patches of high densities, one at $8^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, which has been a typical place for high egg abundance in previous years, and one between 5 and $6^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, with a maximum value ( 3,112 eggs. $\mathrm{m}^{-2}$. $\mathrm{day}^{-1}$ ).

In period 5 all the north part of the area was sampled by AZTI and the Netherlands survey, covering the area as scheduled. Mackerel egg distribution was the same as in all previous periods, close to the coast and confined to the shelf, but with much lower densities and a reduction of the spawning area.

In period 6 the northern part of the area was sampled by the English cruise as scheduled, but, because of time constraints, sampling did not reach the edge of the area, and the last rectangle of each row was not sampled. Mackerel egg distribution showed a continued reduction in abundance and the distribution pattern was very similar to that of period 5 .

### 5.2 Egg production of the North East Atlantic Mackerel

This chapter includes information on egg production derived from surveys in the western and southern spawning areas. No information is included on the North Sea spawning component.

### 5.2.1 Stage I Egg production in western spawning area

The mean daily stage I egg production estimates for each survey period are plotted against the mid-period days in Figure 5.2.1 to provide an egg production curve as presented for previous surveys. The data values are presented in Table 5.2.1.

The start date was assumed to be the 10 February as used in 1995, when spawning also occurred earlier than in the previous survey year. This date was earlier than used for the surveys before 1995 (19 February). No histological or survey data were available in the western area or in the Cantabrian Sea prior to period 3 to suggest any alternative start date. The end date is the same as that used in 1995-31 July. Samples in the northern part of the survey area at the end of period 6 found no eggs which suggests that spawning had substantially ended by the second week in July. Production estimates for the individual survey periods, the periods before and after the surveys and for the unsampled period in April are presented in Table 5.2.2. There was no temporal overlap between periods for the 1998 survey. The standard errors are slightly greater than 1995, probably due to a reduced number of duplicated samples. These calculations are based on the complete survey results including observations beyond the edge of the 1998 standard survey area. No data from the southern area were included in the analysis. There was a negligible effect on the estimate of expanding the 1998 area, the two estimates are identical to two decimal places. A calculation of the estimate using the 1995 standard area gives a production of $1,35010^{15}$ for 1998. This value is given for comparison purposes only, the extended 1998 area estimate has been used for all subsequent biomass estimates.

Also for comparison purposes, a calculation was made of the annual egg production using the start date used prior to 1995 (19 February). The annual egg production was reduced by approximately $3 \%$, from $1.37 .10^{15}$ to $1.33 .10^{15}$.

### 5.2.2 Stage I Egg production in southern spawning area

The mean daily egg production estimated for each individual period is given in Table 5.2.3.

The start date of spawning for mackerel was 17 January, earlier than the start date assumed in previous years. It is based on the eggs found on the Portuguese coast during period 1 where a few mackerel eggs in stage II occurred on 19 January.

The end date of the spawning was assumed to be the 17 July (the same date that was used in 1995) based on the fact that some mackerel eggs stage I appeared in the monthly ichthyoplankton sampling carried out in July in front of Santander coast.

Total production values for the individual time periods and interpolated periods are given in Table 5.2.4 and the daily egg production estimates for each survey period were plotted against the mid cruise dates to give the production curve (Figure 5.2.2).

Total egg production for the standard sampling area was estimated by integrating the area under the curve between 17 January and 17 July.

Total egg production for mackerel during 1998 and comparison with egg production in 1995 are shown in the text table below.

| Estimates of the total mackerel egg production in the southern spawning area in $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Annual stage I egg production *10 |  |
|  | estimate | se |
| 1995 | 20.72 | 1.25 |
| 1998 | 46.09 | 18.59 |

In 1998, the mackerel egg production estimate has increased considerably compared with 1995. This increase could be due to the improved temporal and spatial coverage of the spawning. In 1998 spawning in the Cantabrian Sea, started one month earlier than in 1995 and an additional sampling period (period 3) was included. As a consequence, the potential underestimation of egg production was less than in 1995.

In 1998, the spawning area along the Cantabrian coast was more confined to the coast than in 1995. It was also different to distributions obtained in previous survey years (1988, 1990 and 1992) when higher densities appeared beyond the 200 m depth contour.

Although some mackerel eggs appeared very early in January in the Western Iberian Peninsula, their abundance was very low in each of the sampling periods. This result is similar to that obtained in 1995.

The coefficient of variation (c.v.) of the total egg production ( $40.34 \%$ ) is very high, mainly due to the high standard error (s.e.) values obtained during periods 3 and 4. In these periods, the adaptive sampling strategy was applied. Therefore it is probable that the lapse of two weeks between consecutive transects could increase the variance estimate.

### 5.3 Potential fecundity of North East Atlantic mackerel

| Term | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previtellogenic oocyte | A precursor oocyte stage that develops into a vitellogenic oocyte |
| Vitellogenic oocyte (VO) | Oocytes that comprise the annual potential fecundity |
| De novo vitellogenesis | The process of producing vitellogenic oocytes from previtellogenic oocytes; ysed <br> especially in relation to determinate / indeterminate fecundity. |
| Determinate | A fish is described as 'determinate' when the annual potential fecundity is either the <br> same as or more than the number of eggs shed during the spawning season. This is a <br> basic assumption of the annual egg production based mackerel stock assessment |
| Annual potential fecundity | The number of vitellogenic oocytes in a female just before the start of spawning and <br> often expressed as the relative potential fecundity (oocytes per g female) |
| Migratory nucleus stage <br> oocyte | Oocytes in the final stage of maturation which are about to hydrate prior to ovulation and <br> spawning. |


| Term | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hydrated oocyte | Fully mature oocytes ready for ovulation but still held in a follicle and part of the ovary <br> tissue. |
| Ovulated oocyte | Loose oocytes ready for spawning, found in 'running' females. |
| Realised fecundity | Number of ovulated oocytes spawned in a year by a female. |
| Post ovulated follicle | A structure marking the site in the ovary where an oocyte grew to maturity. They quickly <br> collapse and disappear after ovulation and are used as indicators of previous spawning <br> activity |
| Atretic oocyte | Oocytes that used to be part of the potential fecundity which abort development and <br> regress through stages classified by histological structure. Only the first stage (early <br> alpha atresia) is estimated to discount from the potential fecundity to calculate realised <br> fecundity. |
| Atresia stage duration | The early alpha atresia stage has been estimated to last 7.5 days in mackerel. |
| Prevalence of atresia | The proportion of fish with one or more early alpha atretic oocytes present in a section of <br> the ovary. |
| Relative intensity of atresia | The number of early alpha stage atretic oocytes found in the ovary estimated by <br> stereological analysis (expressed as the number per g. female). |

Tereshchenko \& Shamray (WD 1999) reported that SSB was $30 \%$ underestimated by ICES during the 1989 triennial survey. They attributed the difference to the potential relative fecundity (Figure 5.3.1) because this was $30 \%$ greater in the case of ICES 1989 than in their estimate, based on collections of fish sampled in 1986, 1988, 1992, 1993 and 1996 and the earlier estimate (Lockwood 1981). The inference was that fecundity had not changed since 1989. After considering the WD by Tereshchenko \& Shamray and additional evidence (Mazhirina WD 1999) on mackerel ovary development the WG concluded the $30 \%$ difference lay in the method to determine potential fecundity. The WG method includes all oocytes >165 (130 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in Gilson fixative) following Walsh et al 1990 whilst the WD excluded all oocytes $<250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the fecundity count. It was also acknowledged by Walsh et al that a minimum size of $130 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ would accommodate possible recruitment of reserve oocytes during spawning (De Novo vitellogenesis). The fecundity estimate is very sensitive to the minimum size of oocytes counted because a large population of reserve oocytes remain below the cut off size (ICES 1987b). The authors of the working document did not appreciate that the 1981 estimate was also based on counting oocytes $>130 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and gave no reason to restrict their fecundity counts to oocytes $>250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The WG felt the original ICES figure was still the most accurate estimate of fecundity.

### 5.3.1 Potential fecundity in the Western spawning component

Ovaries from over 148 mackerel at maturity stage 3 (Walsh et al., 1990) were collected by RV Cirolana on the Western Ground fish survey in ICES rectangles 27D8-9, 27E0, 28D8, 29D9, 30D9, and 33D8, during period 3 and treated as in ICES 1987b. The collection was divided equally between CEFAS and MLA to determine potential fecundity using the method developed previously (Walsh et al., 1990). One of the ovaries from each fish was examined, following histology, to reject spawning fish (presence of post ovulatory or hydrated oocytes) and fish that contained largely atretic oocytes (abortive maturation). Relative potential fecundity was estimated by raising the counts of oocytes >130 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in gravimetric subsamples to the total weight of both ovaries. A comparison of the results (detailed in Table 5.3.1) in the text table below show a small but significant difference between the fecundity data from each Institute.

|  |  | Institute |  | Total samples | \% difference <br> $(\mathbf{p})$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Data | CEFAS | MLA |  |  |
| 1998 | Average of Samples | 1176 | 1255 |  |  |
|  | Std of Samples | 165 | 172 |  |  |
|  | Count of Samples | 45 | 52 | 97 | $7(0.013)$ |

The data were combined (Figure 5.3.2) and showed relative fecundity was significantly dependent on fish weight but the effect was not very great. Assuming the mean weights of 339 g (fish in the fecundity samples) and 227 g (mature fish in the maturity samples) represented the extremes in our estimate of mean weight of mature females in the population the relative fecundity would change by $3.1 \%$. Compared with the triennial survey in 1995 potential relative
fecundity (Figure 5.3.3) showed a significant reduction ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) of $16 \%$ from 1437 (se 29.2) to 1206 (se 20.5) oocytes $\mathrm{g}^{-1}$ total weight and this value was accepted as representative of the Western area.

### 5.3.2 Potential fecundity in the Southern spawning component

Following the recommendation of the planning meeting (ICES., 1997) the IEO (Spain) collected ovaries in February from mackerel over the length range of 22 cm and above, with a purse seine in the position $43^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 3^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, ICES Division VIIIc, (Table 5.3.2). Potential relative fecundity was estimated by the same procedure as in Western area mackerel. A total of 69 fish were examined by histology of which 28 were rejected (presence of hydrated oocytes, migratory oocytes and post-ovulatory follicles) because they had started spawning. The results of fecundity for the remaining fish are shown in Figure 5.3.4. The mean of individual fish weight was 278 g and their mean relative fecundity was 1276 (s.e. 39.98 ) oocytes $\mathrm{g}^{-1}$ total weight. As in the Western area the relative fecundity showed a small dependence on fish weight but in the absence of any data on the mean fish weight in the population the mean value was taken as representative of the population.

### 5.4 Atresia and realised fecundity in the North East Atlantic mackerel

### 5.4.1 Atresia and realised fecundity in the Western spawning component

Ovaries from random samples of fish collected in periods 3 to 6 (Table 5.4.1) were prepared for sterometric analysis to quantify prevalence (number of fish with atresia present in the ovary) and relative intensity (number of atretic oocytes $\mathrm{g}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ total weight). Methods of data analysis to discount the production of atretic oocytes over the predicted spawning duration ( 60 days) from the relative potential fecundity are as described in ICES 1996. At the time of the WG only results (Table 5.4.2) from the analysis of samples sent to CEFAS were available but a similar number are presently waiting analysis at MLA.

The extended analysis of atresia data collected in triennial surveys from 1989 to 1995 (ICES 1997) showed significant temporal differences within and between years. In 1998 this peak of atresia appeared earlier in the last week of April and extended to the last week in May compared to a peak in the last week in May in 1995. It is probable that this may have coincided with the drop in egg production (two peaked production curve) seen in the 1998 GAM analysis and in some previous triennial surveys (e.g. 1989). Overall atresia in the Western area was higher (see text table below) and this compounded the drop in relative potential fecundity to substantially reduce the realised fecundity in the Western spawning component.

| Year | Relative <br> fecundity (se) | Atresia <br> loss (se) | Realised fecundity |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | $1437(\operatorname{se} 29.2)$ | $171(11.6)$ | $1303(36.5)$ |
| 1998 | $1206(20.5)$ | $204(36.5)$ | $1002(40.7)$ |

The above results will profoundly raise SSB and it is appropriate to review the strengths and weakness of the data. The temporal coverage was well distributed between the four periods but about double the number of samples are still available for inclusion in the data set and this is very desirable. Efforts to standardise the interpretation of slides as recommended in the ICES 1997 have not been completed but pictures and or slides were sent from CEFAS to MLA and IEO as detailed in the text table below. The WG recommends this comparison and evaluation is carried out and the results presented to the Assessment Working Group.

| Date | Slides to | Pictures to |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| December 1997 |  | Aberdeen University |
| Early January 1998 |  | Aberdeen University, IEO and MLA |
| Early March 1998 | MLA \&Aberdeen University |  |
| May 1999 to implement on <br> completion by MLA and Aberdeen <br> University | IEO |  |

### 5.4.2 Atresia and realised fecundity in the Southern spawning component

To study atresia, a sample of 368 mackerel was collected in periods 3 to 5 (Table 5.4.3). Ovaries were prepared for stereometric analysis by IEO and atresia scored as in the Western area. All of these samples are ready for analysis but at the time of the Working Group only 97 ovaries from the third period were analysed of which 56 were in spawning condition (Table 5.4.4). The prevalence was 0.21 and the fecundity was 1,171 oocytes $\mathrm{g}^{-1}$. The number of atretic oocytes was $105 \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ and the relative intensity of atresia $14.7 \%$, see Table 5.4.4.

### 5.4.3 Combining spawning component estimates of potential and realised fecundity

The mean relative fecundity estimates from the Western component ( 1176 CEFAS, and 1255 MLA) and the Southern component (1276) show considerable overlap. In this context it is important to note that three independent analyses of fish collected over a large spatial range provide very similar results. This assumption is especially valid if the Southern area population is close to, or a little below the mean size of fish in the Southern fecundty sample. At the WG it was not possible to combine estimates of realised fecundity because the Southern component atresia data was not complete.

### 5.5 Mackerel Biomass Estimate

### 5.5.1 Estimate of the western spawning component

Total stage I egg production using all data both inside and outside the 1998 standard sampling area, and interpolated rectangles both inside and outside the standard area is given in Table 5.2.2. Total spawning stock biomass (SSB) was estimated using the fecundity estimate of 1,002 oocytes/g female, corrected for atresia (see Sections 5.3 and 5.4), a sex ratio of $1: 1$ and a raising factor of 1.08 (ICES 1987b) to convert pre-spawning to spawning fish. This gave an estimate of spawning stock biomass for 1998 of 2.95 million tonnes. with a standard error of 0.6 million tonnes. The variance in this estimate attributable to the egg survey is $58 \%$ and to the fecundity estimate is $42 \%$.

Comparative data from earlier years are shown in Table 5.5.1. These indicate a $19 \%$ increase in biomass compared to the previous egg survey estimate in 1995. This increase in the estimate of biomass has resulted from an $8 \%$ decrease in annual egg production, an $18 \%$ reduction in potential fecundity and a $19 \%$ increase in atresia over the values obtained in 1995.

### 5.5.2 Estimate of the southern spawning component

Production estimates for the individual and interpolated periods and a total stage I egg production estimate for 1998 are given in Table 5.2.4.

The fecundity estimate of 1,171 oocytes/gram female adjusted for atresia, was estimated using the samples collected by the IEO in Division VIIIc (Tables 5.4.3 and 5.4.4).

Total spawning stock biomass (SSB) was estimated using a sex ratio of $1: 1$ and a raising factor of 1.08 (ICES, 1987) to convert pre-spawning to spawning fish. This gave an estimate of spawning stock biomass for 1998 of 850,166 tonnes adjusted for atresia and with a standard error of 312,959 tonnes. In this estimate $70 \%$ of the variance is attributable to the egg survey and $30 \%$ to the fecundity estimate.

A comparison with the 1995 biomass estimate shows an increase of $124.64 \%$. This is mainly due to the increased egg production.

| Year | Total egg production <br> $\left(\mathbf{x ~ 1 0}^{\mathbf{- 1 3}}\right)$ | Total fecundity <br> $($ egg/gr. female) | Spawning Stock Biomass <br> (tonnes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 20.73 | 1,183 | 378,450 |
| 1998 | 46.09 | 1,171 | 850,166 |

### 5.5.3 North East Atlantic Mackerel biomass estimate

The survey estimates of egg production for the southern and western spawning components have been combined to produce a single egg production curve (Figure 5.5.1).

As noted in Section 5.4.3 the fecundity estimates for the two spawning components were not significantly different and could therefore be combined. However there are potential problems because of differences in the length compositions of the two spawning components. As a consequence the Working Group decided that the best estimate of the spawning stock biomass of the western and southern components of the North East Atlantic mackerel was the sum of the estimated biomass of each component which gives a value of 3.80 million tonnes. This estimate may be revised once all the fecundity analyses have been completed. The estimate does not include any element of the North Sea spawning component for which the SSB was 110,000 tonnes when last estimated by egg survey in 1996 (ICES 1997b).

### 5.6 Mackerel Maturity

### 5.6.1 Maturity in the Western spawning component

Only 3 samples, each of 100 fish, were collected from the Western spawning component. The data was too limited for constructing a maturity ogive.

### 5.6.2 Maturity in the Southern spawning component

It was not possible to assess female maturity in the Southern component because the data were not available to the WG. Analysis of the histological slides already prepared will be completed and incorporated in a working document for the Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy Working group.

Table 5.2.1 Western mackerel mean daily stage I egg production. $10^{-12}$

| Period | Dates | Estimate | s.e. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $15 / 3-6 / 4$ | 12.5 | 4.4 |
| 4 | $16 / 4-15 / 5$ | 13.9 | 2.8 |
| 5 | $16 / 5-13 / 6$ | 7.9 | 1.8 |
| 6 | $14 / 6-5 / 7$ | 5.3 | 1.0 |


| Table 5.2.2 | Western mackerel total stage I egg production estimates by time period for 1998 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dates | Period | No. of days | Annual stage I egg production.10 ${ }^{\mathbf{- 1 5}}$ |
| $10 / 2-14 / 3$ |  | 33 | 0.153 |
| $15 / 3-6 / 4$ | 3 | 23 | 0.287 |
| $7 / 4-15 / 4$ |  | 9 | 0.118 |
| $16 / 4-15 / 5$ | 4 | 30 | 0.418 |
| $16 / 5-13 / 6$ | 5 | 29 | 0.228 |
| $6 / 7-3 / 7$ | 6 | 22 | 0.117 |
|  | total | 172 | 0.049 |
|  | s.e. |  | 1.370 |


| Period | Dates |  |  | Production and standard errors |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | From | To | Midpoint |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Production | Se |
| 1 | 17 January | 31 January | 24/01 | 0.16 | 0.10 |
| 2 | 7 February | 1March | 18/02 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| 3 | 14 March | 1 April | 23/03 | 7.05 | 4.04 |
| 4 | 13 April | 27 April | 20/04 | 8.25 | 4.80 |
| 5 | 15 May | 24 May | 19-20/05 | 0.12 | 0.06 |
| 6 | 15 June | 21 June | 18/06 | 0.09 | 0.06 |


| Table 5.2.4 Southern spawning component of mackerel total stage I egg production estimates by time period for $1998\left(\times 10^{-13}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Dates | Period | No of days | Annual stage I egg production x 10 ${ }^{-\mathbf{1 3}}$ |
|  |  |  | Mackerel |
| January - 31 January | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 , 2 4}$ |
| 1 February - 6 February | $*$ | 6 | 0,06 |
| 7 February - 1March | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 , 0 7}$ |
| 2 March - 13 March | $*$ | 12 | 4,50 |
| 14 March - 1 April | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 3 9}$ |
| 2 April - 12 April | $*$ | 11 | 8,46 |
| 13 April - 27 April | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 3 7}$ |
| 28 April - 14 May | $*$ | 17 | 6,53 |
| 15 May -24 May | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 , 1 2}$ |
| 25 May - 14 June | $*$ | 21 | 0,21 |
| 15 June - 21 June | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{0 , 0 6}$ |  |
| 22 June - 17 July | $*$ | 26 | 0,08 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 , 0 9}$ |
|  | Se |  | $\mathbf{1 8 , 5 9}$ |

Table 5.3.1 Details of length, total weight fecundity and relative fecundity for Western mackerel spawning component determined by CEFAS and MLA.

| Institute | Length (mm) | Fish wt (g) | Fecundity | Eggs g $^{\mathbf{- 1}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MLA | 367 | 373 | 455552 | 1221 |
| MLA | 317 | 224 | 287202 | 1282 |
| MLA | 295 | 184 | 235673 | 1281 |
| MLA | 271 | 154 | 178790 | 1161 |
| MLA | 306 | 202 | 243536 | 1206 |
| MLA | 315 | 237 | 298590 | 1260 |
| MLA | 338 | 280 | 405525 | 1448 |
| MLA | 373 | 381 | 474722 | 1246 |
| MLA | 346 | 293 | 373085 | 1273 |
| MLA | 388 | 393 | 604793 | 1539 |
| MLA | 382 | 441 | 541833 | 1229 |
| MLA | 380 | 397 | 548594 | 1382 |
| MLA | 397 | 555 | 724150 | 1305 |
| MLA | 413 | 569 | 685422 | 1205 |
| MLA | 345 | 282 | 397114 | 1408 |
| MLA | 329 | 246 | 308366 | 1254 |
| MLA | 327 | 246 | 289343 | 1176 |
| MLA | 353 | 334 | 397237 | 1189 |
| MLA | 377 | 367 | 356969 | 973 |
| MLA | 394 | 403 | 575988 | 1429 |
| MLA | 386 | 457 | 595179 | 1302 |
| MLA | 391 | 469 | 532654 | 1136 |
| MLA | 369 | 395 | 488815 | 1238 |
| MLA | 304 | 173 | 195845 | 1132 |
| MLA | 362 | 350 | 364168 | 1040 |
| MLA | 364 | 326 | 350494 | 1075 |
| MLA | 354 | 319 | 300261 | 941 |
| MLA | 362 | 330 | 352227 | 1067 |
| MLA | 333 | 271 | 334679 | 1235 |
| MLA | 361 | 318 | 264539 | 832 |
| MLA | 304 | 200 | 259098 | 1295 |
| MLA | 348 | 269 | 306609 | 1140 |
| MLA | 321 | 217 | 286316 | 1319 |
| MLA | 356 | 314 | 488706 | 1556 |
| MLA | 370 | 358 | 462244 | 1291 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.3.1. continued

| Institute | Length (mm) | Fish wt (g) | Fecundity | Eggs ${ }^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MLA | 352 | 304 | 353511 | 1163 |
| MLA | 358 | 334 | 383174 | 1147 |
| MLA | 294 | 158 | 166718 | 1055 |
| MLA | 318 | 208 | 275256 | 1323 |
| MLA | 410 | 557 | 784817 | 1409 |
| MLA | 400 | 480 | 696478 | 1451 |
| MLA | 410 | 488 | 704412 | 1443 |
| MLA | 417 | 545 | 834767 | 1532 |
| MLA | 430 | 585 | 515849 | 882 |
| MLA | 435 | 577 | 791679 | 1372 |
| MLA | 429 | 628 | 783921 | 1248 |
| MLA | 431 | 680 | 862030 | 1268 |
| MLA | 435 | 617 | 786577 | 1275 |
| MLA | 438 | 683 | 1122945 | 1644 |
| MLA | 395 | 509 | 782880 | 1538 |
| MLA | 289 | 157 | 213876 | 1362 |
| MLA | 303 | 186 | 199082 | 1070 |
| CEFAS | 332 | 269 | 271975 | 1011 |
| CEFAS | 324 | 234 | 234076 | 1000 |
| CEFAS | 327 | 267 | 344427 | 1290 |
| CEFAS | 321 | 247 | 273089 | 1106 |
| CEFAS | 322 | 219 | 225159 | 1028 |
| CEFAS | 371 | 421 | 419108 | 996 |
| CEFAS | 345 | 316 | 415764 | 1316 |
| CEFAS | 378 | 431 | 514225 | 1193 |
| CEFAS | 367 | 357 | 399788 | 1120 |
| CEFAS | 412 | 592 | 657643 | 1111 |
| CEFAS | 402 | 497 | 500478 | 1007 |
| CEFAS | 377 | 377 | 419108 | 1112 |
| CEFAS | 389 | 431 | 487102 | 1130 |
| CEFAS | 374 | 413 | 412420 | 999 |
| CEFAS | 401 | 487 | 646497 | 1328 |
| CEFAS | 408 | 534 | 724522 | 1357 |
| CEFAS | 357 | 332 | 352972 | 1063 |
| CEFAS | 362 | 371 | 470382 | 1268 |
| CEFAS | 336 | 261 | 343312 | 1315 |
| CEFAS | 362 | 335 | 480786 | 1435 |
| CEFAS | 417 | 567 | 537261 | 948 |
| CEFAS | 301 | 162 | 182803 | 1128 |
| CEFAS | 354 | 316 | 319904 | 1012 |
| CEFAS | 344 | 267 | 371178 | 1390 |
| CEFAS | 345 | 293 | 320276 | 1093 |
| CEFAS | 348 | 329 | 402389 | 1223 |
| CEFAS | 338 | 262 | 363376 | 1387 |
| CEFAS | 374 | 386 | 446975 | 1158 |
| CEFAS | 394 | 390 | 468153 | 1200 |
| CEFAS | 419 | 579 | 540605 | 934 |
| CEFAS | 416 | 539 | 698514 | 1296 |
| CEFAS | 400 | 504 | 668790 | 1327 |
| CEFAS | 421 | 582 | 851592 | 1463 |
| CEFAS | 421 | 541 | 802548 | 1483 |
| CEFAS | 431 | 609 | 733439 | 1204 |
| CEFAS | 422 | 681 | 872771 | 1282 |
| CEFAS | 440 | 696 | 937420 | 1347 |
| CEFAS | 295 | 159 | 195435 | 1229 |
| CEFAS | 336 | 279 | 316561 | 1135 |
| CEFAS | 335 | 251 | 331051 | 1319 |
| CEFAS | 381 | 410 | 441401 | 1077 |
| CEFAS | 311 | 211 | 168312 | 798 |
| CEFAS | 404 | 531 | 628662 | 1184 |
| CEFAS | 423 | 630 | 814809 | 1293 |
| CEFAS | 306 | 203 | 166083 | 818 |
| Arith. Mean | 364 | 369 | 453992 | 1221 |

Table 5.3.2 Length, weight and fecundity estimated by gravimetric method of the southern mackerel spawning component.

|  | Length <br> (cm) | Weight <br> (g) | Eggs 1ml | Total fecundity eggs / fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 28 | 146.1 | 124 | 166169 |
|  | 29 | 171.8 | 168 | 225132 |
|  | 29 | 172.0 | 167 | 223792 |
|  | 30 | 172.0 | 158 | 211284 |
|  | 30 | 175.2 | 206 | 275608 |
|  | 30 | 187.0 | 162 | 217538 |
|  | 30 | 181.2 | 150 | 201457 |
|  | 30 | 184.8 | 246 | 328987 |
|  | 30 | 184.6 | 195 | 260867 |
|  | 30 | 220.2 | 157 | 210391 |
|  | 31 | 297.2 | 211 | 282755 |
|  | 31 | 199.4 | 172 | 230939 |
|  | 32 | 195.4 | 174 | 232725 |
|  | 32 | 190.0 | 132 | 176889 |
|  | 32 | 204.6 | 208 | 278734 |
|  | 32 | 201.8 | 160 | 214411 |
|  | 32 | 225.6 | 191 | 256400 |
|  | 32 | 224.8 | 155 | 207264 |
|  | 33 | 289.2 | 304 | 407828 |
|  | 34 | 245.4 | 310 | 414975 |
|  | 34 | 285.8 | 315 | 422122 |
|  | 35 | 302.6 | 338 | 453390 |
|  | 35 | 284.4 | 335 | 448923 |
|  | 36 | 311.6 | 295 | 395320 |
|  | 36 | 350.2 | 346 | 463217 |
|  | 37 | 375.2 | 360 | 482425 |
|  | 37 | 331.4 | 366 | 490912 |
|  | 37 | 387.8 | 345 | 462324 |
|  | 38 | 420.6 | 371 | 497166 |
|  | 39 | 454.4 | 353 | 473491 |
|  | 40 | 536.4 | 648 | 867918 |
|  | 41 | 550.6 | 756 | 1012646 |
|  | 41 | 516.4 | 457 | 612858 |
| Arith. Mean | 33 | 278.1 | 273.8 | 366874 |

Table 5.4.1 Details of the mackerel collection from the Western spawning component to estimate relative atresia in periods 3-6.

Period 3

| Ship | Date | Lat <br> N | Long <br> W | Total Weight (g) | Ovary Weight (g) | Length (mm) | Age | alpha atresia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walther Herwig | 17-Mar-98 | $50^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | $10^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ | 326 | 43 | 340 | 5 |  |
| Walther Herwig | 17-Mar-98 | $50^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | $10^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ | 383 | 37 | 350 | 4 |  |
| Walther Herwig | 17-Mar-98 | $50^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | $10^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ | 394 | 26 | 360 | 6 | 3212 |
| Walther Herwig | 17-Mar-98 | $50^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | $10^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ | 588 | 33 | 420 |  | 4238 |
| Walther Herwig | 17-Mar-98 | $50^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | $10^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ | 309 | 26 | 350 |  | 11385 |
| Walther Herwig | 17-Mar-98 | $50^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | $10^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ | 269 | 28 | 330 | 3 |  |
| Walther Herwig | 17-Mar-98 | $50^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | $10^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ | 355 | 28 | 370 | 3 | 20502 |
| Walther Herwig | 17-Mar-98 | $50^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | $10^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ | 355 | 20 | 370 | 3 | 8964 |
| Walther Herwig | 20-Mar-98 | $53^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | $11^{\circ} 22^{\prime}$ | 244 | 14 | 310 | 4 | 20449 |
| Walther Herwig | 24-Mar-98 | $49^{\circ} 48^{\prime}$ | 1053' | 315 | 21 | 340 | 5 |  |
| Walther Herwig | 24-Mar-98 | $49^{\circ} 48^{\prime}$ | $10^{\circ} 53^{\prime}$ | 300 | 21 | 340 | 5 | 14964 |
| Walther Herwig | 24-Mar-98 | $49^{\circ} 48^{\prime}$ | $10^{\circ} 53^{\prime}$ | 398 | 18 | 370 | 5 | 26140 |
| Walther Herwig | 24-Mar-98 | $49^{\circ} 48^{\prime}$ | $10^{\circ} 53 '$ | 274 | 24 | 330 | 4 |  |
| Walther Herwig | 24-Mar-98 | $49^{\circ} 48^{\prime}$ | $10^{\circ} 53^{\prime}$ | 233 | 22 | 310 | 4 |  |
| Walther Herwig | 24-Mar-98 | $49^{\circ} 48^{\prime}$ | $10^{\circ} 53^{\prime}$ | 337 | 20 | 370 | 5 |  |
| Walther Herwig | 31-Mar-98 | $45^{\circ} 56^{\prime}$ | $02^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | 181 | 6 | 290 | 3 | 6599 |
| Walther Herwig | 31-Mar-98 | $45^{\circ} 56$ | $02^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | 284 | 7 | 310 | 3 | 3721 |
| Walther Herwig | 31-Mar-98 | $45^{\circ} 56^{\prime}$ | $02^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | 321 | 9 | 360 | 5 | 21548 |
| Walther Herwig | 31-Mar-98 | $45^{\circ} 56^{\prime}$ | $02^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | 209 | 9 | 300 | 3 | 9491 |
| Walther Herwig | 1-Apr-98 | $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | $03^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ | 304 | 19 | 340 | 4 |  |
| Walther Herwig | 1-Apr-98 | $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | $03^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ | 377 | 29 | 360 |  |  |
| Walther Herwig | 1-Apr-98 | $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | $03^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ | 274 | 19 | 330 | 4 |  |
| Walther Herwig | 1-Apr-98 | $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | 03 ${ }^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ | 234 | 24 | 320 | 3 | 9398 |
| Walther Herwig | 1-Apr-98 | $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | 03 ${ }^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ | 381 | 26 | 370 | 4 |  |
| Walther Herwig | 1-Apr-98 | $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | 03 ${ }^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ | 207 | 11 | 320 | 3 |  |
| Walther Herwig | 1-Apr-98 | $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | 03 ${ }^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ | 291 | 10 | 340 | 3 | 4062 |
| Walther Herwig | 1-Apr-98 | $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | $03^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ | 363 | 17 | 360 | 4 |  |
| Walther Herwig | 1-Apr-98 | $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | 03 ${ }^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ | 192 | 14 | 300 | 3 |  |
| Walther Herwig | 2-Apr-98 | $46^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ | $05^{\circ} 04^{\prime}$ | 359 | 40 | 360 |  | 25785 |
| Walther Herwig | 2-Apr-98 | $46^{\circ} 50{ }^{\prime}$ | $05^{\circ} 04^{\prime}$ | 456 | 33 | 390 |  | 25725 |
| Walther Herwig | 2-Apr-98 | $46^{\circ} 50{ }^{\prime}$ | $05^{\circ} 04^{\prime}$ | 461 | 36 | 390 | 8 | 58582 |
| Walther Herwig | 2-Apr-98 | $46^{\circ} 50{ }^{\prime}$ | $05^{\circ} 04^{\prime}$ | 403 | 48 | 370 | 6 | 30365 |
| Walther Herwig | 2-Apr-98 | $46^{\circ} 50$ | $05^{\circ} 04^{\prime}$ | 533 | 66 | 400 |  | 57341 |
| Walther Herwig | 2-Apr-98 | $46^{\circ} 50{ }^{\prime}$ | $05^{\circ} 04^{\prime}$ | 389 | 40 | 360 | 5 |  |
| Walther Herwig | 2-Apr-98 | $46^{\circ} 50{ }^{\prime}$ | $05^{\circ} 04^{\prime}$ | 437 | 42 | 380 |  |  |
| Walther Herwig | 2-Apr-98 | $46^{\circ} 50$ | $05^{\circ} 04^{\prime}$ | 443 | 32 | 370 | 5 |  |
| Walther Herwig | 2-Apr-98 | $46^{\circ} 50{ }^{\prime}$ | $05^{\circ} 04^{\prime}$ | 371 | 45 | 350 | 5 |  |
|  |  |  | AM | 339 | 26 | 349 | 4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Geomean | 13490 |

Table 5.4.1 continued

Period 4

| Ship | Date | Lat <br> N | Long <br> W | Total Weight (g) | Ovary Weight (g) | Length (mm) | Age | alpha atresia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tridens | 24-Apr-98 | $45^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 306 | 15 | 374 | 7 | 14339 |
| Tridens | 24-Apr-98 | $45^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 338 | 12 | 370 | 5 | 66800 |
| Tridens | 24-Apr-98 | $45^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 428 | 6 | 390 | 5 | 52638 |
| Tridens | 24-Apr-98 | $45^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 210 | 6 | 317 | 3 | 33081 |
| Tridens | 24-Apr-98 | $45^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 293 | 8 | 363 | 5 |  |
| Tridens | 24-Apr-98 | $45^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 340 | 13 | 363 | 5 | 38442 |
| Tridens | 24-Apr-98 | $45^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 349 | 10 | 377 | 5 | 58937 |
| Tridens | 24-Apr-98 | $45^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 311 | 11 | 363 | 5 | 41308 |
| Tridens | 24-Apr-98 | $45^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 378 | 20 | 392 | 6 | 14054 |
| Tridens | 24-Apr-98 | $45^{\circ} 24{ }^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 300 | 7 | 361 | 5 | 5270 |
| Tridens | 24-Apr-98 | $45^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 602 | 27 | 449 | 9 | 52540 |
| Tridens | 24-Apr-98 | $45^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 316 | 9 | 362 | 5 | 41326 |
| Tridens | 24-Apr-98 | $45^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 284 | 3 | 361 | 5 | 7133 |
| Tridens | 24-Apr-98 | $45^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 328 | 8 | 365 | 5 | 78069 |
| Tridens | 24-Apr-98 | $45^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 475 | 19 | 418 | 9 |  |
| Tridens | 24-Apr-98 | $45^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 198 | 12 | 323 | 3 | 14899 |
| Tridens | 24-Apr-98 | $45^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 277 | 13 | 347 | 6 | 18490 |
| Tridens | 24-Apr-98 | $45^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 120 | 8 | 271 | 2 |  |
| Tridens | 24-Apr-98 | $45^{\circ} 24{ }^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 503 | 11 | 419 | 9 | 68976 |
| Tridens | 30-Apr-98 | $47^{\circ} 50{ }^{\prime}$ | $6^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ | 351 | 3 | 371 | 5 | 28298 |
| Tridens | 30-Apr-98 | $47^{\circ} 50{ }^{\prime}$ | $6^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ | 188 | 11 | 297 | 3 |  |
| Tridens | 30-Apr-98 | $47^{\circ} 50{ }^{\prime}$ | $6^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ | 320 | 11 | 361 | 5 | 42832 |
| Tridens | 30-Apr-98 | $47^{\circ} 50{ }^{\prime}$ | $6^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ | 401 | 7 | 390 | 5 | 76005 |
| Tridens | 30-Apr-98 | $47^{\circ} 50{ }^{\prime}$ | $6^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ | 360 | 4 | 369 | 5 | 31036 |
| Tridens | 30-Apr-98 | $47^{\circ} 50$ | $6^{\circ} 00{ }^{\prime}$ | 377 | 6 | 376 | 5 | 53040 |
|  |  |  | AM | 334 | 10 | 366 | 5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Geomean | 31989 |

Table 5.4.1 continued

Period 5

| Ship | Date | Lat <br> N | Long W | Total Weight (g) | Ovary Weight (g) | Length (mm) | Age | alpha <br> atresia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tridens | 25-May-98 | $47^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ | $05^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ | 331 | 4 | 377 | 6 | 1217 |
| Tridens | 25-May-98 | $47^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ | $05^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ | 432 | 6 | 412 | 9 | 12060 |
| Tridens | 25-May-98 | $47^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ | $05^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ | 311 | 5 | 365 | 5 | 1416 |
| Tridens | 25-May-98 | $47^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ | $05^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ | 342 | 3 | 370 | 7 | 446 |
| Tridens | 25-May-98 | $47^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ | $05^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ | 478 | 8 | 424 | 9 | 11323 |
| Tridens | 25-May-98 | $47^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ | $05^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ | 443 | 8 | 402 | 8 | 43376 |
| Tridens | 25-May-98 | $47^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ | $05^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ | 528 | 8 | 430 | 6 | 29908 |
| Tridens | 25-May-98 | $47^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ | $05^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ | 537 | 6 | 437 | 8 | 5035 |
| Tridens | 25-May-98 | $47^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ | $05^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ | 458 | 6 | 409 | 7 |  |
| Tridens | 25-May-98 | $47^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ | $05^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ | 506 | 12 | 421 | 11 | 123552 |
| Tridens | 25-May-98 | $47^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ | $05^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ | 422 | 7 | 394 | 5 | 54941 |
| Tridens | 25-May-98 | $47^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ | $05^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ | 450 | 8 | 406 | 7 | 28288 |
| Corystes | 6-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ | $08^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ | 416 | 17 | 394 | 6 | 1696 |
| Corystes | 6-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ | $08^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ | 407 | 14 | 397 | 10 | 26165 |
| Corystes | 6-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ | $08^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ | 357 | 13 | 383 | 7 |  |
| Corystes | 6-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ | $08^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ | 388 | 17 | 376 | 7 |  |
| Corystes | 6-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ | $08^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ | 383 | 13 | 381 | 6 |  |
| Corystes | 6-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ | $08^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ | 416 | 21 | 396 | 7 |  |
| Corystes | 6-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ | $08^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ | 512 | 13 | 402 | 8 |  |
| Corystes | 6-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ | $08^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ | 366 | 24 | 388 | 5 |  |
| Corystes | 6-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ | $08^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ | 316 | 23 | 353 | 4 |  |
| Corystes | 6-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ | $08^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ | 480 | 21 | 402 | 8 | 8819 |
| Corystes | 6-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ | $08^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ | 393 | 17 | 392 | 8 | 35256 |
| Corystes | 6-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ | $08^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ | 427 | 20 | 393 | 7 | $11973$ |
| Corystes | 6-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ | $08^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ | 451 | 15 | 419 | 9 | 3061 |
|  |  |  | AM | 422 | 12 | 397 | 7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Geomean | 9763 |

Table 5.4.1 continued

Period 6

| Ship | Date | Lat <br> N | Long W | Total Weight (g) | Ovary Weight (g) | Length (mm) | Age | alpha <br> atresia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corystes | 22-Jun-98 | $45^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ | $02^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ | 628 | 82 | 402 | 5 |  |
| Corystes | 22-Jun-98 | $45^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ | $02^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ | 161 | 19 | 279 | 2 |  |
| Corystes | 22-Jun-98 | $45^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ | $02^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ | 132 | 6 | 268 | 2 |  |
| Corystes | 22-Jun-98 | $45^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ | $02^{\circ} 49{ }^{\prime}$ | 246 | 29 | 318 | 5 |  |
| Corystes | 22-Jun-98 | $45^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ | $02^{\circ} 49{ }^{\prime}$ | 183 | 22 | 295 | 2 |  |
| Corystes | 22-Jun-98 | $45^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ | $02^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ | 320 | 8 | 379 | 6 | 26660 |
| Corystes | 22-Jun-98 | $45^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ | $02^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ | 165 | 12 | 283 | 2 | 698 |
| Corystes | 22-Jun-98 | $45^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ | $02^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ | 198 | 23 | 310 | 4 | 456 |
| Corystes | 22-Jun-98 | $45^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ | $02^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ | 214 | 22 | 319 | 6 |  |
| Corystes | 22-Jun-98 | $45^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ | $02^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ | 174 | 9 | 308 | 4 | 44844 |
| Corystes | 22-Jun-98 | $45^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ | $02^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ | 366 | 27 | 376 | 7 |  |
| Corystes | 22-Jun-98 | $45^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ | $02^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ | 343 | 29 | 371 | 8 |  |
| Corystes | 27-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ | $09^{\circ} 40{ }^{\prime}$ | 353 | 16 | 356 | 7 |  |
| Corystes | 27-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ | $09^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ | 444 | 16 | 389 | 10 | 39879 |
| Corystes | 27-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ | $09^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ | 260 | 29 | 327 | 4 |  |
| Corystes | 27-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ | $09^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ | 352 | 51 | 351 | 5 |  |
| Corystes | 27-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ | $09^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ | 170 | 28 | 272 | 2 |  |
| Corystes | 27-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ | $09^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ | 186 | 13 | 299 | 4 |  |
| Corystes | 27-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ | $09^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ | 280 | 36 | 330 | 4 |  |
| Corystes | 27-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ | $09^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ | 277 | 17 | 334 | 4 |  |
| Corystes | 27-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ | $09^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ | 443 | 15 | 384 | 8 | 33802 |
| Corystes | 27-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ | $09^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ | 271 | 38 | 315 | 5 |  |
| Corystes | 27-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ | $09^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ | 357 | 89 | 336 | 5 |  |
| Corystes | 27-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ | $09^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ | 441 | 56 | 382 | 6 |  |
| Corystes | 27-Jun-98 | $48^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ | $09^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ | 271 | 17 | 331 | 3 |  |
|  |  |  | AM | 289 | 28 | 333 | 5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Geomean | 8947 |

Table 5.4.2 Summary of mackerel atresia data from the Western spawning component by vessel and period and estimation of realised fecundity.

| Vessel/ <br> Dates | Lat <br> (N) | Long <br> (W) | Nos <br> Fish | Period | Atresia |  | Oocytes lost Per Day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Prevalence | Intensity |  |
| Walther | $50^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | $10^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ | 7 | 3 | 0.51 | 40 | 2.749 |
| Herwig | $50^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | 10'37' | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 17 March- | $53^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | $11^{\circ} 22^{\prime}$ | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 April | $45^{\circ} 56^{\prime}$ | $02^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | 03 ${ }^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ | 9 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $46^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ | 05 ${ }^{\circ} 04^{\prime}$ | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Tridens | $45^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 19 | 4 | 0.84 | 95 | 10.650 |
| 24,30 April | $47^{\circ} 50{ }^{\prime}$ | $6^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Tridens 25 May Corystes 6 June | $47^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ | 05 ${ }^{\circ} 54$ | 12 | 5 | 0.92 | 95 | 5.326 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $48^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ | $08^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ | 13 |  | 0.46 | 21 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corystes |  |  |  | 6 | 0.24 | 34 | 1.075 |
| 22,27 June | $45^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ | 02 ${ }^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ | 25 |  |  |  |  |

## Summary of all cruises combined

| Total number of fish analysed | 112 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Geometric mean of relative atresia intensity | 46.0 |
| Prevalence of atresia | 0.55 |
| Duration of atresia stage (days) | 7.5 |
| Number of oocytes lost per day through atresia (46.0 x 0.55/7.5) | 3.40 |
| Potential fucundity | 1206 |
| Number of oocytes lost (atresia) over 60 days spawning | 204 |
| Realised fecundity | 1002 |
| Potential fecundity loss (\%) | 17 |

Table 5.4.3 Mackerel sample collected from the Southern spawning component to estimate atresia in 1998.

| Period | Country | Collection dates | Vessel | Area coverage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Portugal (IPIMAR) | 17 Feb-15 Mar | Noruega | $42^{\circ} 09-38^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $9^{\circ} 15-9^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 3 | Spain (IEO) | 16 Mar - 1 April | Cornide Saavedra | $43^{\circ} 00-45^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $1^{\circ} 00-11^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 3-4 | Spain (IEO) | 20 Mar-26 Mar | Cornide Saavedra | $42^{\circ} 00-44^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $1^{\circ} 00-10^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 3 | Spain (IEO) | 28 Mar - 8 April | Thalassa | $42^{\circ} 39-46^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $4^{\circ} 41-9^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 5 | Spain (IEO) | 7 May | Purse Seiner | $43^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $3^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |

Table 5.4.4 The length, weight, residual fecundity and the number of atretic oocytes in the Southern spawning component of mackerel. Females identified in spawning conditions by the presence of migratory nuclei, hydrated oocytes or post ovulatory follicles.

| Length (cm) | Fish weight <br> (g) | Residual fecundity <br> (Vitell. oocytes) | SE <br> fecundity | Number of atretic oocytes | $\overline{\mathbf{S E}}$ <br> atresia | $\mathbf{N}^{0}$ of atretic oocytes/g |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 197 | 303545 | 9630 |  |  |  |
| 30 | 193 | 288624 | 9705 |  |  |  |
| 34 | 233 | 427653 | 39424 |  |  |  |
| 35 | 268 | 284048 | 20694 |  |  |  |
| 35 | 304 | 563347 | 14581 |  |  |  |
| 35 | 299 | 420082 | 33499 |  |  |  |
| 37 | 296 | 368993 | 20245 |  |  |  |
| 38 | 338 | 486477 | 23313 |  |  |  |
| 33 | 246 | 388273 | 25274 |  |  |  |
| 32 | 210 | 112680 | 7805 | 8654 | 2785 | 41 |
| 33 | 227 | 336164 | 5536 |  |  |  |
| 35 | 282 | 364330 | 17037 |  |  |  |
| 33 | 225 | 110627 | 8158 | 62167 | 10728 | 276 |
| 43 | 505 | 542691 | 50472 |  |  |  |
| 29 | 175 | 112345 | 4096 |  |  |  |
| 31 | 180 | 315402 | 11399 |  |  |  |
| 32 | 206 | 96301 | 7783 | 27745 | 2486 | 135 |
| 38 | 358 | 483506 | 11379 |  |  |  |
| 32 | 207 | 138572 | 5504 |  |  |  |
| 32 | 203 | 275597 | 13281 |  |  |  |
| 30 | 213 | 326126 | 27402 |  |  |  |
| 32 | 235 | 227026 | 9407 |  |  |  |
| 36 | 350 | 422679 | 8278 |  |  |  |
| 39 | 401 | 624082 | 23262 |  |  |  |
| 35 | 252 | 137297 | 9653 |  |  |  |
| 37 | 312 | 207018 | 11419 | 71872 | 8751 | 231 |
| 37 | 292 | 194077 | 7364 | 120135 | 11538 | 412 |
| 36 | 339 | 329560 | 11640 |  |  |  |
| 37 | 338 | 448433 | 25269 |  |  |  |
| 37 | 373 | 325933 | 33082 | 14096 | 7436 | 38 |
| 37 | 374 | 371032 | 7668 |  |  |  |
| 38 | 363 | 378229 | 9197 | 53104 | 18997 | 146 |
| 38 | 390 | 451282 | 4098 |  |  |  |
| 38 | 377 | 634930 | 31056 |  |  |  |
| 38 | 386 | 692975 | 36568 |  |  |  |
| 38 | 401 | 458001 | 8426 |  |  |  |
| 39 | 415 | 627486 | 23166 |  |  |  |
| 39 | 420 | 525681 | 37244 | 25266 | 10181 | 60 |
| 39 | 433 | 483838 | 21655 |  |  |  |
| 33 | 283 | 430246 | 19423 |  |  |  |
| 36 | 346 | 234208 | 15442 |  |  |  |
| 36 | 335 | 370315 | 14845 |  |  |  |
| 38 | 413 | 683322 | 13506 |  |  |  |
| 40 | 501 | 504533 | 10674 |  |  |  |
| 28 | 158 | 164646 | 3655 | 894 | 914 | 6 |
| 37 | 323 | 160445 | 40281 | 132638 | 3827 | 411 |
| 35 | 309 | 349146 | 19547 |  |  |  |
| 36 | 340 | 600081 | 10592 |  |  |  |
| 37 | 343 | 427653 | 39424 | 13641 | 5116 | 40 |
| 38 | 378 | 384020 | 26795 |  |  |  |
| 38 | 417 | 733933 | 45087 |  |  |  |
| 38 | 437 | 693845 | 35713 |  |  |  |
| 39 | 438 | 685469 | 47469 |  |  |  |
| 40 | 475 | 751316 | 36186 |  |  |  |
| 40 | 458 | 622185 | 25726 |  |  |  |
| 41 | 548 | 812593 | 49667 | 230444 | 21439 | 421 |
| Arith.Mean | 327 | 408802 | Arith.Mean | 63388 | Geo.Mean | 105 |

Table 5.5.1 Spawning stock biomass for the western spawning component of mackerel and western horse mackerel. Spawning stock biomass estimates are corrected for atresia. A sex ratio of $1: 1$ is assumed. The SSB was calculated from the total egg production based on arithmetic mean of unsampled rectangles if available.

| Annual egg production method - western mackerel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Total egg prod ( $\mathbf{x 1 0 ^ { - 1 5 } \text { ) }}$ (mean for unsampled rectangles) |  | Total fecundity (eggs/g female) <br> (atresia oocytes/gm female) | Totalfecunditycorrected foratresia(eggs $/ \mathbf{g}$female) | Pre-spawning stock biomass ( $\times 10^{-6}$ tonnes) | Spawning stock biomass (x10-6 tonnes) <br> (conversion factor 1.08) |
|  | Geometric | Arithmetic |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 1.98 |  | 1526 [211] | 1315 | 3.01 | 3.25 |
| 1980 | 1.48 a |  | 1526 [211] | 1315 | 2.25 | 2.43 |
| 1980 | 1.84 b |  | 1526 [211] | 1315 | 2.80 | 3.02 |
| 1983 | 1.50 | 1.53 | 1526 [211] | 1315 | 2.33 | 2.51 |
| 1986 | 1.15 | 1.24 | 1457 [211] | 1246 | 1.99 | 2.15 |
| 1989 | 1.45 | 1.52 | 1608 [326] | 1282 | 2.37 | 2.56 |
| 1992 | 1.83 | 1.94 | 1569 [138] | 1431 | 2.71 | 2.93 |
| 1995 | - | 1.49 | 1473 [171] | 1302 | 2.28 | 2.47 |
| 1998 | - | 1.37 | 1206 [203] | 1002 | 2.73 | 2.95 |


| Annual egg production method - western horse mackerel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Total egg prod ( $\mathbf{x 1 0 ^ { - 1 5 } )}$ (mean for unsampled rectangles) |  | Total fecundity (eggs/g female) | Total <br> fecundity <br> corrected for <br> atresia <br> (eggs/g <br> female) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pre-spawning stock } \\ & \text { biomass } \\ & \left(\times 10^{-6} \text { tonnes }\right) \end{aligned}$ | Spawning stock biomass (conv f 1.05) (x10 ${ }^{-6}$ tonnes) |
|  | Geometric | Arithmetic |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 0.533 c |  | 1557 | 1504 | 0.71 | 0.74 |
| 1980 | 0.635 c |  | 1557 | 1504 | 0.84 | 0.89 |
| 1983 | 0.381 c |  | 1557 | 1504 | 0.51 | 0.53 |
| 1986 | 0.508 c |  | 1557 | 1504 | 0.68 | 0.71 |
| 1989 | 1.54 | 1.63 | 1557 | 1504 | 2.17 | 2.28 |
| 1992 | 1.37 | 1.58 | 1557 | 1504 | 2.10 | 2.21 |
| 1995 | - | 1.226 | 1557 | 1504 | 1.63 | 1.71 |
| 1998 |  | 1.003 | (1557) d | (1504) d | (1.33) d | (1.40) d |

a Egg survey data for period 3 included. b Egg survey data for period 3 excluded.
c Eaton (1989). In 1977 incomplete coverage. d see Section $6.3 \& 6.4$ of (ICES 1999)

Estimates by Generalised Additive Modelling (provisional)

| Egg Production x $10{ }^{15}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Area | Mackerel |  | Horse Mackerel |  |
|  |  | GAM (no bc) | GAM (with bc) | GAM (no bc) | GAM (with bc) |
| 1995 | Western | $\begin{gathered} 0.854 \\ 0.02 \\ {[2.7]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.623 \\ & 0.05 \\ & {[2.9]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.886 \\ 0.09 \\ [10.2]] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.554 \\ 0.24 \\ {[15.4]} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Southern | 0.136 | 0.202 | 0.396 | 0.553 |
| 1992 | Western | $\begin{gathered} 1.744 \\ 0.05 \\ {[2.6]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.366 \\ & 0.07 \\ & 2.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.44 \\ 0.11 \\ {[7.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.804 \\ 0.21 \\ 11.9 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1989 | Western | $\begin{gathered} 1.373 \\ 0.09 \\ {[6.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.027 \\ 0.12 \\ {[3.8]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.308 \\ 0.09 \\ {[6.7]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.635 \\ & 0.14 \\ & {[9.2]} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1998 | Western |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{bc}=$ bias correction. Figures in italics are standard errors. Figures in brackets are \% cv's


Figure 5.1.1a Mackerel egg production by rectangle for period 1 (17-31 January). Diamonds represent interpolated data, x represents interpolated zeroes.


Figure 5.1.1b Mackerel egg production by rectangle for period 2 (7 February-1 March). Diamonds represent interpolated data, x represents interpolated zeroes.


Figure 5.1.1c Mackerel egg production by rectangle for period 3 (15 March-6 April). Diamonds represent interpolated data, x represents interpolated zeroes.


Figure 5.1.1d Mackerel egg production by rectangle for period 4 (16 April-15 May). Diamonds represent interpolated data, x represents interpolated zeroes.


Figure 5.1.1e Mackerel egg production by rectangle for period 5 (16 May-13 June). Diamonds represent interpolated data, x represents interpolated zeroes.


Figure 5.1.1f Mackerel egg production by rectangle for period 6 (14 June-5 July). Diamonds represent interpolated data, x represents interpolated zeroes.


Figure 5.2.1 Mackerel daily egg production curve for the surveys in the western spawning area in 1998


Figure 5.2.2 Mackerel daily egg production curve for the surveys in the southern spawning area in 1998.
The curve was produced assuming start and finish dates of 17 January and 17 July respectively.


Figure 5.3.1 Change of individual fecundity of mackerel in dependence of fish weight due to Russian and foreign data (WD Tereshchenko \& Shamray)


Figure 5.3.2 The relationship between relative fecundity and total body weight in the Western mackerel spawning component.
Ln Relative fecundity $=$ Ln Total weight $x 0.077220593+6.642189 \mathrm{P}>0.05 \mathrm{n}=97$


Figure 5.3.3 Comparison of fecundity observations in 1998 (a and b) and in 1995 (c and d) in the Western spawning component. Left panels (a and c) are plots of the logarithms of weight-specific egg production plotted on logarithms of body weight. Right panels (b and d) show observations of fecundity and body weight on untransformed scales.


Figure 5.3.4 Summary of relative potential fecundity for mackerel in Southern spawning component.


Figure 5.5.1 Mackerel daily egg production curve for the surveys in the southern and western spawning areas combined.

### 6.1 Spatial distribution of Stage 1 Horse mackerel eggs.

Distribution maps of daily egg production per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ surface are given for the four time periods 3 to 6 in Figures 6.1a-d. No surveys were carried out in the western area during periods 1 or 2 .

During period 3 the overall egg production was low. Small numbers of eggs were distributed over a large area south of $53^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ (row 35) with the highest concentrations being found along the shelf edge west of the Bay of Biscay. Although some eggs were found outside the standard survey area in the Celtic Sea and in the English Channel the standard area seemed to cover the egg distribution adequately. The overall distribution was similar to that found in 1995.

The egg production increased considerably during period 4. The main spawning appeared to take place in a narrow restricted area along the continental shelf that extended from south west of Ireland ( $52^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ ) (row 33 ) to the centre of the Bay of Biscay (rows 21 and 22). Some small concentrations of eggs were found scattered over a large area west of Ireland and west of Scotland as far north as $58^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ (row 45). During the same period in 1995 eggs were distributed over a much wider area than in 1998. Again the standard survey area in 1998 appeared to adequately contain the egg production.

During period 5, when peak spawning would be expected, the overall egg production decreased considerably. No explanation is presently available for apparent bimodality in the spawning period. There are no obvious differences in length or age between fish sampled during period 4 and period 5 . Eggs were located over a much wider area than in period 4. Although high numbers of eggs were located further to the west than in the previous period the main spawning again appeared to take place along the continental shelf edge. Compared to the 1995 distribution during the corresponding period more spawning appeared to have taken place in the northern parts of the survey area. High numbers of eggs were located along the Cantabrian coast during the western survey. However the values present along the north Spanish coast in periods 5 and 6 are derived from western egg surveys which also sampled the southern area. These values have not been included in the egg production calculations for the southern area.

The egg distribution during period 6 in 1998 appeared to be very unusual both in distribution and in concentration. The daily egg production indicates that peak spawning occurred during this period and this is considered to be much later than that indicated by any of the previous surveys. The highest concentrations of eggs were found over a very wide area with the densest concentrations being located between $47^{\circ}-48^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ (rows 24 and 25) i.e. west of Brittany and also to the south west of Ireland. High concentrations were also located west of Ireland between $53^{\circ}-54^{\circ}$ (rows $30-37$ ). In the area north-west of Ireland and west of Scotland the egg distributions indicate that spawning took place to the west of the standard area. Spawning also took place in this area in 1995 and as a result the western edge of the standard area was extended. However the extended area did not adequately cover the entire egg production.

### 6.2 Stage I Egg production of Western Horse Mackerel

The mean daily stage I egg production estimates for each survey period are plotted against the mid-period dates (Figure 6.2.1) to provide an egg production curve as presented for previous surveys. The data values are presented in Table 6.2.1.

The start date was assumed to be the 10 February as used in 1995. This date was earlier than used for the previous surveys ( 19 February). No survey data was available in the western area or in the Cantabrian Sea prior to period 3 to assist in setting a start date. All survey data and histological data suggest that this date could be considered as the latest appropriate start date. Given the low egg production in period 3 this date seems reasonable. The end date is the same as that used in 1995-31 July. No survey or histological data were available to question this date. Production estimates for the individual survey periods, the periods before and after the surveys and for the unsampled period in April are presented in Table 6.2.2.

There was no temporal overlap between periods for the 1998 survey. The standard errors are slightly greater than 1995, probably due to a reduced number of duplicate samples. These calculations are based on the complete survey results including observations beyond the edge of the 1998 standard survey area. No data from the southern area were included in the analysis. There was a negligible effect on the estimate of expanding the 1998 area, the two estimates are identical to two decimal places. A calculation of the estimate using the 1995 standard area gives a production of $1.0110^{15}$ for 1998. This value is given for comparison purposes only, the extended 1998 area estimate has been used for all subsequent biomass estimates.

The Netherlands was responsible for the estimation of fecundity, atresia and maturity of western horse mackerel. However, not all of the atresia ovaries and none of the maturity ovaries were analysed at the time of this meeting, because of a long-lasting sickness of the Dutch expert on horse mackerel histology. Unfortunately, nobody else with that expertise was available replace him. Therefore, only preliminary results could be presented at this meeting, but a working document will be presented to the assessment Working Group meeting in September 1999 with the final results.

## Potential Fecundity

Following the recommendation of the planning meeting in 1997 (ICES, 1997b) 10 ovaries per cm group of horse mackerel in late pre-spawning stage 3 were collected for fecundity estimation in April. RV Tridens collected 98 horse mackerel ovaries for fecundity estimation in April 1998 (period 4) between $45^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $48^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. However, most of these fish showed already signs of spawning based on histological analysis and only 17 ovaries were selected for fecundity estimation. The histological sections were examined: i) to ensure that spawning had not yet commenced (spawning is indicated by the presence of post-ovulatory follicles), and ii) to determine the total fecundity by raising the counts of vitellogenic and atretic oocytes to the total volume of the ovary.

The 90 randomly selected adult females collected for atresia estimation in March 1998 (period 3) by Germany were also taken into account for fecundity estimation in order to have more ovaries available for the fecundity estimation. However, again most of these fish showed signs of spawning based on histological analysis and only 28 fish could be selected for fecundity estimation. For period 3 and 4 a total of 45 ovaries were available for fecundity estimation.

Information on the percentages of fish by maturity stage and month for both 1995 and 1998 (Dutch market-sampling program for horse mackerel) confirmed that the ovaries of the fish collected in 1998 were more developed compared to those collected in 1995. The histometric method to estimate the total fecundity is described in Eltink and Vingerhoed (1989) and Emerson et al. (1990).

Figure 6.3 .1 shows the plot of the fecundity against the fish weight from fish collected in period 3 and 4. This corresponds to an estimated fecundity of 605 eggs per gram pre-spawning female (SE 38 eggs/g) as given in Table 6.3.1. The fecundity estimate of 516 eggs/g (SE 22) for period 3 differs considerably from 776 eggs/g (SE 58) for period 4. These fecundity estimates differ even more from the fecundity of $1557 \mathrm{eggs} / \mathrm{g}$ (SE 43), which was used for the conversion of total egg productions to biomass for all egg surveys up to 1995 (Table 5.5.1). Figure 6.3.2 shows a comparison of the 1998 fecundity estimates with the fecundity estimates as obtained from ovaries collected in 1987, 1988, 1992 and 1995. The fecundity estimates of 1998 clearly do not fit into the data series of the historic fecundity estimates. In earlier years the fecundity estimates of each year fitted quite well within the earlier estimated fecundity data. It is not clear what could have caused this apparent low fecundity in 1998. Food conditions before the spawning season of 1998 might have been worse compared to 1995 and might have caused this lower fecundity. However, a comparison of condition factors over the period January to May both in 1995 and 1998 showed that the condition factor in 1998 was only $5 \%$ lower than in 1995. The Working Group did not expect that this would have caused a much lower fecundity.

It was observed that the vitellogenic oocytes in ovaries collected in period 3 and 4 in 1998 had a rather large diameter. Comparison of frequencies of the diameter distributions from ovaries collected in 1995 and 1998 showed that the modes differed considerably. In 1998 the mode was at 0.5 mm compared to a mode of 0.2 mm in 1995 (Figure 6.3.3). This much larger diameter of the vitellogenic oocytes in 1998 could be related to this lower fecundity, since there would be less space in the same volume of ovary for these larger oocytes (ovary volumes in 1995 and 1998 were approximately the same). The mode of the oocyte diameter of the vitellogenic oocytes in ovaries collected during the later survey periods of 1998 was different to that observed in 1995. The observed larger mode in oocyte diameter is often observed in ovaries of fish, which are in a very advanced stage of spawning (spawning of their last batches).

The histological sections were examined to ensure that spawning had not yet commenced before they were used for fecundity estimation. Spawning is indicated by the presence of post-ovulatory follicles. However, spawning fish cannot be identified as spawning if the duration of the batch interval is longer than the duration of the resorption of the postovulatory follicles. In this case fish, which had spawned, might have been used for fecundity estimation, although they showed no signs of spawning by post-ovulatory follicles. Potential fecundity estimates are not valid if fish have spawned already in the current spawning season. It is therefore recommended that the fish samples for fecundity estimation are collected as early as possible in 2001, when the next egg survey will be carried out.

In principle the residual fecundity by survey period should decrease during the course of the spawning season, because it represents the number of vitellogenic oocytes remaining in the ovary due to spawning. This gradual decrease of residual fecundity was observed during 1995 egg survey (ICES, 1997). However, Figure 6.3 .4 shows that in 1998 the residual fecundity, over time, increases up to survey period 5 and then decreases in period 6 . Also the potential fecundity, as estimated from ovaries that did not show signs of spawning, increased up to survey period 5 and remained at the same level in survey period 6. A possible explanation for the increase in residual and potential fecundity is the decrease of the mode in the frequency distribution of the oocyte diameters. It should be noted that individual fish are expected to spawn approximately over two months while the entire spawning season lasts about six months. This implies that fish that are spawning in the end of the spawning season replace the fish that are spawning at the beginning of the spawning season. The potential fecundity does not necessarily have to be the same at the beginning and the end of the spawning season. Furthermore the residual fecundity can remain rather high, when the nearly spent fish leave the spawning area. The very high residual fecundity of survey period 6 indicates that a large proportion of the western horse mackerel would continue to spawn after period 6 . The assumed end date of the spawning season of 31 July was probably too early. Furthermore it indicates that a survey in July is really necessary for the estimation of the egg production curve during the egg survey in 2001.

The fecundity estimates of earlier years $(1987,1988,1992$ and 1995) were mainly based on fish of the extremely strong 1982 year class, which showed a retarded growth and which matured much later than observed before. During the 1998 survey, many small mature fish were caught. These fish were already mature at a length of 20 cm onwards. It was remarkable that many of these young fish had already spawned in April. The mean length at age, which was estimated from the randomly collected adult females during periods 3-6, was respectively $25.3,26.1,26.8$ and 29.6 cm . This is in contrast with what is observed in mackerel, where the older and larger fish spawn first more to the edge of the continental shelf and the younger and smaller fish spawn later on the continental shelf.

De novo vitellogenesis is the development of resting oocytes into vitellogenic oocytes during the course of the spawning season. This might also explain the increase in fecundity during the course of the spawning season. The increase in fecundity might therefore be explained, if the rate of production of vitellogenic oocytes is higher than the rate of spawning vitellogenic oocytes. If this is the case, then horse mackerel would be an indeterminate spawner and the annual egg production method can not be applied to estimate the spawning stock biomass. The Working Group,
therefore, recommends that tank experiments with horse mackerel be carried out to investigate whether horse mackerel is an indeterminate or a determinate spawner.

Based on the observations described above it is impossible to present a fecundity estimate for 1998. However, the Working Group regarded the biomass estimates, as obtained from the egg surveys up to 1995, reliable enough to be used as absolute biomass indices for stock assessment purposes by the Working Group on the Assessment of Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy. This was based on the following arguments:

All estimates of fecundity from fish collected in 1987, 1988, 1992 and 1995 agreed quite well and did not show these large discrepancies as observed in 1998 (ICES, 1996b).

The spawning stock biomass estimates from the Annual Egg Production Method (AEPM) and the Daily Egg Production Method (DEPM) based on the 1992 egg surveys were quite well in agreement. There was no indication that the AEPM overestimated spawning stock biomass because of a underestimation of the fecundity, which would be the case if horse mackerel were an indeterminate spawner (ICES, 1993b).

In 1995 a gradual decrease of residual fecundity was observed during the spawning season (ICES, 1996b).


#### Abstract

Atresia Preliminary results on the number of atretic oocytes per gram female by survey period are presented in Table 6.3.2. The first impression is that atresia levels in 1998 do not differ very much from those estimated during the 1995 egg survey (ICES, 1996b). Information on atresia based on all atresia samples will become available in September 1999 to the Working Group on the Assessment of Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy.


### 6.4 Biomass Estimate of Western Horse Mackerel

The total stage I egg production for western horse mackerel is $1.003 * 10^{15}$ as given in Table 6.2.2. It is based on an assumed end date of the spawning season of 31 July. The total egg production would be much higher, if the end date had been taken much later (as is indicated by the residual fecundity in survey period 6 (see Section 6.3)). A fecundity estimate could not be provided due to the problems outlined in Section 6.3. Therefore, a biomass estimate of the western horse mackerel can not be calculated for 1998 using the 1998 fecundity estimate. However, applying the
historical fecundity of $1504 \mathrm{eggs} / \mathrm{g}$ female (corrected for atresia) would result in a biomass estimate of 1.40 million tonnes (see Table 5.5.1). This can be regarded as the most conservative estimate of biomass, since fecundity is likely to have been lower and egg production is likely to have been higher. Both of these factors would have increased the biomass estimate.

### 6.5 Western Horse Mackerel Maturity

The Netherlands was responsible for the estimation of the maturity ogive for western horse mackerel. However, at the time of this meeting none of the histological slides of the maturity ovaries were analysed because of reasons outlined in the beginning of Section 6.3 and because a first priority was given to the analysis of the fecundity and atresia samples. A working document will be presented to the assessment Working Group meeting in September 1999 with the final results on western horse mackerel maturity.

| Table 6.2.1 | Western horse mackerel mean daily stage I egg production.10 |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Period | Dates | Estimate | s.e. |
|  |  | $15 / 3-6 / 4$ | 1.0 |
| 0.4 |  |  |  |
| 4 | $16 / 4-15 / 5$ | 11.7 | 5.1 |
| 5 | $16 / 5-13 / 6$ | 3.9 | 1.2 |
| 6 | $14 / 6-5 / 7$ | 14.4 | 8.4 |


| Table 6.2.2 | Western horse mackerel total stage I egg production estimates by time period for 1998 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dates | Period | No. of days | Annual stage I egg production.10 |
| $10 / 2-14 / 3$ |  | 33 | 0.013 |
| $15 / 3-6 / 4$ | 3 | 23 | 0.024 |
| $7 / 4-15 / 4$ |  | 9 | 0.053 |
| $16 / 4-15 / 5$ | 4 | 30 | 0.351 |
| $16 / 5-13 / 6$ | 5 | 29 | 0.113 |
| $14 / 6-5 / 7$ | 6 | 22 | 0.317 |
| $6 / 7-31 / 7$ | total | 26 | 0.132 |
|  | s.e. |  | 172 |

Table 6.3.1 The length, weight, annual potential fecundity and its standard error (s.e), and the number of atretic oocytes and its s.e from 24 western horse mackerel collected by 'RV Walther Herwig' in March and 17 fish collected by 'RV Tridens' in April 1998. The annual potential fecundities do not include the number of atretic oocytes.
$\mathrm{AM}=$ arithmetic mean: $\mathrm{GM}=$ geometric mean.

| Annual potential fecundity estimates (period 3) German samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length | Fish <br> weight <br> (g) | Annual potential <br> fecundity <br> (vitell. oocytes) | Eggs/gram <br> (vitell. Oocytes) | SE <br> fecundity | Number of <br> atretic oocytes | SE <br> atresia |  |
| 21 | 105 | 49,487 | 471 | 2,696 |  |  |  |
| 22 | 81 | 78,679 | 971 | 10,133 |  |  |  |
| 22 | 86 | 44,507 | 518 | 2,491 |  |  |  |
| 22 | 87 | 52,567 | 604 | 2,801 | 327 | 384 |  |
| 22 | 92 | 33,989 | 369 | 1,771 | 399 | 327 |  |
| 23 | 100 | 37,565 | 376 | 1,417 | 2,111 | 722 |  |
| 23 | 103 | 59,767 | 580 | 2,879 | 639 | 337 |  |
| 23 | 107 | 55,384 | 518 | 2,522 | 2,542 | 480 |  |
| 23 | 109 | 58,655 | 538 | 5,857 | 396 | 387 |  |
| 23 | 116 | 53,091 | 458 | 2,448 | 4,022 | 914 |  |
| 23 | 127 | 77,325 | 609 | 2,271 |  |  |  |
| 24 | 96 | 56,849 | 592 | 2,552 |  |  |  |
| 24 | 99 | 52,903 | 534 | 2,142 | 869 | 427 |  |
| 24 | 113 | 52,535 | 465 | 2,174 | 560 | 548 |  |
| 24 | 124 | 60,224 | 486 | 4,683 | 1,099 | 595 |  |
| 25 | 116 | 55,043 | 475 | 1,601 | 4,427 | 886 |  |
| 25 | 117 | 64,486 | 551 | 2,808 | 174 | 188 |  |
| 25 | 121 | 73,756 | 610 | 2,755 | 3,140 | 1,210 |  |
| 26 | 142 | 67,813 | 478 | 5,721 | 977 | 427 |  |
| 26 | 147 | 63,187 | 430 | 3,952 | 5,474 | 2,488 |  |
| 27 | 142 | 51,939 | 366 | 2,334 | 1,086 | 709 |  |
| 27 | 150 | 76,308 | 509 | 5,878 |  |  |  |
| 28 | 151 | 99,745 | 661 | 8,464 | 437 | 427 |  |
| 33 | 295 | 142,469 | 483 | 6,396 | 21,293 | 1,212 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 , 2 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 6}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 , 7 7 6}$ |  |  |
|  | AM |  | $\mathbf{G M}$ |  |  |  |  |


| Annual potential fecundity estimates (period 4) Dutch samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length (cm) | Fish weight (g) | Annual potential fecundity (vitell. oocytes) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eggs/gram } \\ \text { (vitell. Oocytes) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SE } \\ \text { fecundity } \end{gathered}$ | Number of atretic oocytes | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{SE} \\ \text { atresia } \end{gathered}$ |
| 25 | 148 | 108,493 | 733 | 6,544 | 792 | 772 |
| 26 | 154 | 106,639 | 692 | 7,880 | 5,321 | 1,458 |
| 28 | 176 | 105,931 | 602 | 12,370 |  |  |
| 28 | 172 | 226,766 | 1,318 | 10,833 |  |  |
| 29 | 211 | 211,380 | 1,002 | 15,500 | 8,210 | 3,497 |
| 29 | 187 | 151,072 | 808 | 19,330 |  |  |
| 29 | 206 | 78,773 | 382 | 2,410 |  |  |
| 30 | 207 | 161,460 | 780 | 9,336 | 715 | 801 |
| 30 | 216 | 236,008 | 1,093 | 18,374 | 12,811 | 6,154 |
| 30 | 266 | 152,351 | 573 | 10,382 |  |  |
| 30 | 200 | 217,641 | 1,088 | 16,294 |  |  |
| 30 | 223 | 247,261 | 1,109 | 7,093 |  |  |
| 31 | 238 | 173,177 | 728 | 12,252 | 4,790 | 1,844 |
| 31 | 238 | 155,908 | 655 | 10,062 |  |  |
| 34 | 314 | 164,320 | 523 | 6,617 | 3,456 | 2,334 |
| 34 | 336 | 257,916 | 768 | 16,579 | 19,200 | 4,950 |
| 39 | 393 | 260,443 | 663 | 15,860 |  |  |
|  | $229$ | $177,385$ AM | $760$ GM |  | $\mathbf{6 , 9 1 2}$ |  |

Period 3 Fecundity in eggs/g female $=516$
Period $4 \quad$ Fecundity in eggs/g female $=760$
Period 3+4 Fecundity in eggs/g female $=605$

SE 22
SE 58
SE 38

Table 6.3.2 The residual fecundity and the potential fecundity from ovaries with no signs of spawning, and the number of atretic oocytes per gramme female. The prevalence of atresia is the percentage of fish showing atresia.

| Period | Mean <br> weight | Mean fecundity | Residual <br> fecundity <br> eggs/g | No signs <br> of spawning <br> Fecundity <br> eggs/g | Number of <br> atretic oocytes | Number <br> of atretic <br> oocytes/g | Prevalence of <br> atresia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 125 | 60,491 | 484 |  | 3,562 | 12 | $77 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 232 | 208,179 | 897 | 872 | 5,421 | 19 | $34 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 145 | 150,364 | 1038 | 1,078 | 9,349 | 44 | $17 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 220 | 190,787 | 866 | 1,071 | 11,311 | 17 | $29 \%$ |




Figure 6.1.1a Horse mackerel egg production by rectangle for period 3 ( $15^{\text {th }}$ March to the $6^{\text {th }}$ April). Diamonds represent interpolated data, x represents interpolated zeroes.
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Figure 6.1.1b Horse mackerel egg production by rectangle for period $4\left(16^{\text {th }}\right.$ April to the $15^{\text {th }}$ May $)$. Diamonds represent interpolated data, x represents interpolated zeroes.
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Figure 6.1.1c Horse mackerel egg production by rectangle for period 5 ( $16^{\text {th }}$ May to the $13^{\text {th }}$ June). Diamonds represent interpolated data, x represents interpolated zeroes.
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Figure 6.1.1d Horse mackerel egg production by rectangle for period $6\left(14^{\text {th }}\right.$ June to the $5^{\text {th }}$ July). Diamonds represent interpolated data, x represents interpolated zeroes.


Figure 6.2.1 Horse mackerel daily egg production curve for the surveys in the western spawning area in 1998


Figure 6.3.1 The relationship between fish weight (g) and annual potential fecundity as estimated from Western horse mackerel collected in survey periods 3 ( 28 fish) and $4(17$ fish $)$ in 1998.


Figure 6.3.2 The relationship between weight (g) and annual potential fecundity as estimated from Western horse mackerel collected in 1987, 1988, 1992 and 1995 compared to fish collected in period 3 and 41998.




Figure 6.3.3 Comparison of frequencies of the diameter distributions from ovaries collected in 1995 and 1998.


Figure 6.3.4 Changes in residual and potential fecundity as estimated from the atresia samples.

## 7 SOUTHERN HORSE MACKEREL: 1998 EGG SURVEY RESULTS

### 7.1 Spatial Distribution of Stage I Eggs of Southern Horse Mackerel

Distribution maps of daily stage I egg production per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ surface are given for six survey periods in Figures 7.1a-f. The surveys were co-ordinated over both western and southern areas.

During period 1 only the western Iberian Peninsula was surveyed. Horse mackerel eggs appear along the sampled area in low and patchy abundance. Most of the eggs were found over the continental shelf or over the edge and very few eggs appear beyond the 200 m depth contour line. Higher densities were located to the south of latitude $37^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$.

In period 2 only the western Iberian Peninsula was sampled $\left(36^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-43^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}\right)$ and the data presented in this period are the combination of two Portuguese cruises. To obtain the egg production for period 2, samples from the same rectangles were combined and the arithmetic mean was made to obtain only one production value for each rectangle.

Horse mackerel egg abundance during period 2 marks the peak spawning in the Portuguese area. The egg distribution appeared throughout the area, with a very localised patch of very high egg abundance concentrated around $41^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. The abundance obtained in those two rectangles had values over $6,000 \mathrm{egg} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ day and they are surrounded to the west and east by rectangles with 0 values. These values represent the maximum abundance of horse mackerel eggs found over all the spawning season in the southern area.

During period 3 only the area to the north of the Iberian Peninsula was sampled and following the recommendation of the planning group the survey was carried out earlier than in 1995. Therefore the sampling did not cover all the main spawning area, because the western Iberian shelf was missed. There was a very high production of eggs in this area in the previous period.

Horse mackerel egg distribution is similar to that of mackerel and eggs were found all along the continental shelf and confined to the coast but with much lower abundance. The low abundance in this area agrees with the spawning behaviour, which is longer but peak spawning is smoother and continues later than mackerel.

In period 4 only the area to the north of the Iberian Peninsula was sampled. Horse mackerel egg abundance suggests the peak of spawning in the Cantabrian Sea occurs in this period. The egg abundance was lower than that found for mackerel, but the egg distribution was very similar for both species. The eggs appeared very close to the coast with a patch of high density eggs located in the Western part of the Cantabrian Sea. No eggs were found in the offshore stations.

During period 5 the area to the north of the Iberian Peninsula was sampled. Although a similar pattern of distribution to period 4 was observed, there was a significant reduction in horse mackerel egg abundance.

In period 6 the coverage area was the same as the previous period. Horse mackerel eggs were still evident in the area, and even a little increase in abundance was observed, mainly in the inner corner of the Bay of Biscay.

### 7.2 Stage I Egg Production of Southern Horse Mackerel

The mean daily egg production estimated for each individual period is given in Table 7.2.1.
The start date of spawning for horse mackerel was taken as 17 January, earlier than the start date assumed in previous years. It is based on the eggs found in the Portuguese coast during period 1 where a few horse mackerel in stage II occurred on 17 of January.

The end date of the spawning was assumed to be the 17 July (in 1995 the same date was used), based on the fact that some horse mackerel eggs in stage I appeared in the monthly ichthyoplankton sampling carried out in July along the coast off Santander.

Total egg production values for the individual time periods and interpolated periods are given in Table 7.2.2. The daily egg production estimates for each survey period were plotted against the mid cruise dates to give the production curve (Figure 7.2.1).

Total egg production for the standard sampling area was estimated by integrating the area under the curve between 17 January and 17 July.

Total egg production for horse mackerel during 1998 and comparison with the egg production in 1995 years are shown in the text table below.

| Estimates of the total egg production of southern horse mackerel in $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ and 1998 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Annual stage I egg production $\boldsymbol{* 1 0} \mathbf{}^{\mathbf{- 1 3}}$ |  |
|  | estimate | SE |
| 1995 | 17.27 | 0.79 |
| 1998 | 100.3 | 80.70 |
| 1998 <br> (excluding the two rectangles with very <br> high egg abundance) | 18.59 | 7.70 |

Horse mackerel egg production has increased greatly compared with 1995. This is due to the very high production obtained to the west of the Iberian Peninsula during period 2 ( $26.59 \mathrm{E}^{12}$ total egg production). This high production off the Portuguese coast shows a big difference with the production values and temporal distribution of horse mackerel found in the Cantabrian Sea, where peak spawning occurs later and with lower densities, although spawning takes place over a longer period.

An alternative estimate of egg production was carried out to estimate the impact of the two rectangles with the very high egg abundance in period 2 on the total egg production estimate. The egg abundance of these two rectangles was replaced by an average of the adjacent rectangles. This resulted in a total egg production estimate of $18,59 * 10^{13}$ (CV $41 \%$ ), which is a reduction of $82 \%$ compared to the original calculated egg production.

As for mackerel, horse mackerel eggs show a more coastal distribution pattern in the Cantabrian Sea during 1998 than in previous years.

The coefficient of variation (c.v.) of the total egg production ( $80,4 \%$ ) is very high, mainly due to the high standard error (s.e.) in period 2 when the peak of spawning occurred on the Western Iberian shelf. However, the variance in period 3 and 4 did not change so much in spite of the adaptive sampling strategy. This could be due to the fact that the spawning season of horse mackerel is longer in time and the peak is smoother with lower densities. Therefore the lapse of two weeks between consecutive transects will produce less influence in the temporal variance.

### 7.3 Potential fecundity and atresia of Southern Horse Mackerel

To estimate potential fecundity in 1998, a total of 123 horse mackerel ovaries were collected by IEO in Divisions VIIIc and IXa North, and 361 were collected by IPIMAR in Divisions IXa Centre-South and IXa South (Tables 7.3.1a and b) all in late pre-spawning condition. The samples were taken according to the recommendation of the Working Group on Mackerel and Horse mackerel Egg Surveys (ICES, 1997). The analysis performed by IEO followed the histometric method described in Eltink and Vingerhoed (1989) and Emerson et al. (1990), while in IPIMAR the method described by Laird and Priede (1986) was used.

Weight of individual fish and ovary was recorded with a precision of 0.1 g prior to preservation, and the volume of the ovaries were determined by water displacement for the IPIMAR samples. Otoliths were also removed for ageing. After rejecting the damaged ovaries, 103 slides were prepared by IEO and 361 by IPIMAR. From these, only 53 ovaries from IEO and 29 from IPIMAR were found histologically in real late pre-spawning stage, with length ranges $25-38 \mathrm{~cm}$ (IEO) and $20-28 \mathrm{~cm}$ (IPIMAR). Tables 7.3.2a,b show the total numbers of oocytes counted. These ovaries showed no signs of spawning activity and were used to determine the potential annual fecundity by raising the counts of vitellogenic oocytes to the total volume of the ovary. In the samples from IEO there were 11 ovaries with atresia, 4 ovaries had alpha and beta atresia, 1 beta gamma and 6 the gamma atresia or later.

The regression of fecundity against the weight for the IEO data was forced through the origin and weighted to the inverse of the weight of the fish (Fig. 7.3.1). The correlation coefficient was 0.97 and the total fecundity was estimated using the mean weight: 265 g , which corresponds to a total potential fecundity of 330,620 vitellogenic oocytes. The fecundity was 1247 eggs/g, with a standard error of 26 and a coefficient of variation of $2.1 \%$. This fecundity is lower than the one estimated in 1995 (1572 eggs) (ICES, 1996a). More research is needed to find an explanation for this decrease, although some of the possible causes could be the same as those discussed in Section 6.3. An estimate of the potential fecundity with IPIMAR data was not obtained, given that the analysis of the slides was made with a method different from the one used by the other WG members. It is recommended that an exchange of samples for assessing the coherence of results is carried out. This sample exchange was recommended previously (ICES CM 1996/H:2) but has never been carried out.

## Atresia and realised fecundity of Southern horse mackerel

For the calculation of prevalence and relative intensity of atresia fish must be in spawning condition, thus at least one of these following stages has to be present in the ovaries: post-ovulatory follicules, hydrated oocytes or migratory oocyte stage.

To estimate the atresia by the histometric method a random sample of 210 horse mackerel ovaries were collected by IEO during the third and fourth periods. The ovaries were processed using glycol-methacrylate and two cuts were made in each ovary. 38 of the slides observed were selected for estimation. Only 11 ovaries belonging to the third period were in spawning condition. The mean weight was 152 g . The residual number of vitellogenic oocytes, in a total of 161269 residual fecundity, are in Table 7.3.3a. The number of atretic oocytes per female was 28 oocytes $/ \mathrm{g}$ in the sample and 13 atretic oocytes $/ \mathrm{g}$ in the population. The prevalence was 0.45 and the residual fecundity 1061 eggs $/ \mathrm{g}$., that represented the $85 \%$ of the potential fecundity in period 3 (Table 7.3.4). In the fifth period 90 ovaries were collected by the Netherlands, but have not been analysed.

The number of atretic eggs per gram female produced during the spawning season was estimated by IPIMAR as described in ICES (1996b). The mean number of atretic oocytes was estimated in 16161 for period 1 (determined from the observation of 18 ovaries from fish between 20 and 30 cm length), 4478 for period 2 ( 2 females with 20 cm length) and 17403 eggs for period 3 ( 18 fish from length groups 23 to 29 cm ). Prevalence of atresia, number of atretic oocytes per gramme female in the population, relative intensity and the proportion of residual fecundity compared to total fecundity are shown in Table 7.3.3b. Atresia per gramme female was estimated as 68.88 eggs/gramme and the fecundity corrected for atresia was estimated as 247 eggs per gram female.

Because of the differences in the potential fecundity estimates from the IEO and IPIMAR a final fecundity estimate is not provided. The Working Group recommends that an exchange of slides and a comparison of results between methods should be made in time to provide fecundity estimates to the Working Group on the Assessment of Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy.

### 7.4 Biomass Estimate of Southern Horse Mackerel

The spawning biomass of the southern horse mackerel was not calculated due to problems in the fecundity estimation as explained above (Section 7.3). Furthermore uncertainties exist concerning the extremely high egg production estimate
during the period 2 (see Section 7.2). This unusual egg production estimate originates from the high abundance of eggs observed in only two rectangles located between the $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $42^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. If the values of these rectangles are changed by a mean value obtained from the adjacent rectangles, then the egg production estimate would be $18.59 \times 10^{-13}$, which would reduce the biomass estimation by $81.5 \%$.

### 7.5 Southern Horse Mackerel Maturity

Samples for maturity estimation were collected, but have not been processed. This Working Group recommends that a maturity at age estimation should be available in September 1999 for the Working Group on the assessment of Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy.

Table 7.2.1 Southern spawning component of horse mackerel mean daily stage I egg production in 1998 (x $10^{12}$ )

| Period | Dates |  | Production and standard errors |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | From | To | Midpoint | Horse mackerel |  |
|  |  |  |  | Production | SE |
|  |  |  |  | 0.92 | 0.43 |
| 1 | 17 January | 31 January | $24 / 01$ | 26.59 | 25.11 |
| 2 | 7 February | 1 March | $18 / 02$ | 0.89 | 0.14 |
| 3 | 14 March | 1 April | $23 / 03$ | 2.42 | 1.84 |
| 4 | 13 April | 27 April | $20 / 04$ | 0.59 | 0.42 |
| 5 | 15 May | 24 May | $19-20 / 05$ | 1.50 | 1.19 |


| Table 7.2.2 | Southern spawning component of horse mackerel total stage I egg production estimates by time period for <br> 1998 $(\mathrm{x} \mathrm{10-13})$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dates | Period | No of days | Annual stage I egg production x 10-13 |
|  |  |  | Horse mackerel |
| 17 January - 31 January | 1 | 15 | 1,38 |
| 1 February - 6 February | $*$ | 6 | 7,01 |
| 7 February - 1 March | 2 | 23 | 61,11 |
| 1 March - 13 March | $*$ | 12 | 15,54 |
| 14 March - 1 April | 3 | 19 | 1,69 |
| 2 April - 12 April | $*$ | 11 | 1,88 |
| 13 April - 27 April | 4 | 15 | 3,63 |
| 28 April - 14 May | $*$ | 17 | 2,49 |
| 15 May -24 May | 5 | 10 | 0,59 |
| 25 May - 14 June | $*$ | 21 | 2,22 |
| 15 June - 21 June | 7 | 1,05 |  |
| 22 June - 17 July | $*$ | 26 | 1,71 |
|  | Total | 182 | 100,3 |
|  | SE |  | 80,70 |
|  | CV |  | 0,80 |

Table 7.3.1a Horse mackerel collected to estimate the total annual fecundity and atresia in 1998.

| Period | Country | Vessel | Cruise data | Area coverage |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | Spain (IEO) | Thalassa | $17 / 03-30 / 03$ | $42^{\circ} 38-43^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $9^{\circ} 20-3^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 3 | Spain (IEO) | Cornide Saavedra | $07 / 02-15 / 02$ | $43^{\circ} 00-45^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $1^{\circ} 00-11^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| $3-4$ | Spain (IEO) | Cornide Saavedra | $21 / 02-01 / 03$ | $42^{\circ} 00-44^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $1^{\circ} 00-10^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 5 | Netherlands (RIVO) | Tridens | $11 / 05-29 / 05$ | $43^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $5^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |

Table 7.3.1b Horse mackerel ovaries collected for the estimation of total fecundity and atresia in the Southern area.

| Period | Cruise <br> dates | Sampling day | ICES <br> Division/ rectangle | Position |  | Number of females collected | Number of females processed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Lat. N | Long. W |  |  |
| 1 | 16 Jan. 1 Feb . | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \mathrm{jan} .^{(1)} \\ & 18 \mathrm{jan} .^{(2)} \\ & 20 \mathrm{jan}{ }^{(3)} \end{aligned}$ | IXa/2E2 <br> IXa/2E1 <br> IXa/4E0 | $\begin{aligned} & 36^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \\ & 36^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \\ & 37^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 07^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \\ & 08^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \\ & 09^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 131 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 131 \\ 12 \end{array}$ |
| 2 | 9-16 Feb. | $10 \mathrm{Feb} .^{(4)}$ | IXa/5E0 | $38^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ | $09^{\circ} 29^{\prime}$ | 58 | 53 |
| 3 | 21 Feb . 3 Mar. | $25 \mathrm{Feb} .{ }^{(5)}$ | IXa/2E0 | $36^{\circ} 52^{\prime}$ | $08^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$ | 101 | 93 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 360 | 308 |

Table 7.3.2a The total annual potential fecundity of horse mackerel collected in ICES Division VIIIc and IXa North in Period 3.


Table 7.3.2b Fecundity estimates from Portuguese sampling (Jan. / Feb.1998).

| Fish <br> number | Length <br> (cm) | Weight <br> (g) | Total fecund. <br> (vitell. ooc) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 20.2 | 61 | 8511 |
| 2 | 20.3 | 63 | 15868 |
| 3 | 20.4 | 61 | 14537 |
| 4 | 20.5 | 62 | 24468 |
| 5 | 20.6 | 65 | 12956 |
| 6 | 20.9 | 62 | 11797 |
| 7 | 20.9 | 65 | 18898 |
| 8 | 21.4 | 62 | 15638 |
| 9 | 22.2 | 85 | 31269 |
| 10 | 22.9 | 92 | 39640 |
| 11 | 23.1 | 110 | 86145 |
| 12 | 23.3 | 100 | 35623 |
| 13 | 23.8 | 99 | 46259 |
| 14 | 23.9 | 107 | 32006 |
| 15 | 24.2 | 94 | 21057 |
| 16 | 24.3 | 103 | 27565 |
| 17 | 24.5 | 110 | 52138 |
| 18 | 24.7 | 116 | 37591 |
| 19 | 25.2 | 108 | 38288 |
| 20 | 25.4 | 114 | 20528 |
| 21 | 26.3 | 128 | 41860 |
| 22 | 26.3 | 146 | 62264 |
| 23 | 26.6 | 136 | 24387 |
| 24 | 26.9 | 131 | 43821 |
| 25 | 27.0 | 117 | 15587 |
| 26 | 27.3 | 130 | 47917 |
| 27 | 27.7 | 162 | 67654 |
| 28 | 27.9 | 145 | 27096 |
| 29 | 28.1 | 150 | 19077 |
| Average | 24.0 | 102.9 | 32429.1 |

Corrected fecundity $=\mathrm{F}_{\text {Tw }}-\mathrm{A}$
Corrected fecundity $=316.77-68.88$
Corrected fecundity $=247$ ovos $/ \mathrm{g}$
Table 7.3.3.a Horse mackerel: The length, weight, residual fecundity and the number of atretic oocytes from period 3 in ICES Division VIIIc and IXa North.

Atresia estimates from period 3

| Length (cm) | Fish weight (g) | Residual fecundity (vitell. Oocytes) | SE fecundity | Number of atretic oocytes | SE atresia | $\mathrm{N}^{0}$ of atretic oocytes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | 104 | 130767 | 5206 | 2197 | 917 | 21 |
| 24 | 93 | 145947 | 4100 |  |  |  |
| 24 | 104 | 150739 | 8911 | 11166 | 4150 | 108 |
| 25 | 125 | 46468 | 6505 |  |  |  |
| 26 | 129 | 125842 | 3266 |  |  |  |
| 26 | 155 | 266780 | 18364 |  |  |  |
| 27 | 99 | 108800 | 1969 | 1391 | 625 | 14 |
| 28 | 156 | 88597 | 11144 | 2764 | 1513 | 18 |
| 30 | 157 | 391598 | 6034 |  |  |  |
| 32 | 275 | 115256 | 2185 | 8506 | 6805 | 31 |
| 32 | 271 | 203170 | 8566 |  |  |  |
| 27 | 152 | 161269 | GM | 3809 |  | 28 |

Table 7.3.3b Atresia estimates from Portuguese sampling (Jan./ Feb. 1998)

| Fish <br> number | Length <br> $(\mathbf{c m})$ | Weight <br> $(\mathbf{g})$ | Number of <br> atret. ooc. | $\mathbf{i} / \mathbf{w}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 20.5 | 67 | 8475 | 126.49254 |
| 2 | 20.8 | 66 | 5450 | 82.575758 |
| 3 | 20.9 | 62 | 3506 | 56.548387 |
| 4 | 23.6 | 85 | 23353 | 274.74118 |
| 5 | 23.7 | 107 | 13864 | 129.57009 |
| 6 | 24.0 | 110 | 9780 | 88.909091 |
| 7 | 24.2 | 98 | 8287 | 84.561224 |
| 8 | 24.3 | 99 | 8072 | 81.535354 |
| 9 | 24.3 | 117 | 15337 | 131.08547 |
| 10 | 24.2 | 103 | 16372 | 158.95146 |
| 11 | 24.5 | 97 | 5564 | 57.360825 |
| 12 | 24.5 | 104 | 6704 | 64.461538 |
| 13 | 24.5 | 104 | 11866 | 114.09615 |
| 14 | 24.5 | 108 | 12646 | 117.09259 |
| 15 | 24.7 | 116 | 23482 | 202.43103 |
| 16 | 25.0 | 123 | 34286 | 278.74797 |
| 17 | 25.1 | 112 | 13522 | 120.73214 |
| 18 | 25.4 | 107 | 10714 | 100.13084 |
| 19 | 25.4 | 109 | 7389 | 67.788991 |
| 20 | 25.4 | 114 | 25287 | 221.81579 |
| 21 | 25.5 | 120 | 20462 | 170.51667 |
| 22 | 25.6 | 111 | 21324 | 192.10811 |
| 23 | 25.6 | 114 | 22785 | 199.86842 |
| 24 | 25.6 | 120 | 33628 | 280.23333 |
| 25 | 25.7 | 131 | 17742 | 135.43511 |
| 26 | 26.0 | 120 | 21241 | 177.00833 |
| 27 | 26.0 | 122 | 13776 | 112.91803 |
| 28 | 26.1 | 119 | 9224 | 77.512605 |
| 29 | 26.4 | 123 | 26921 | 218.86992 |
| 30 | 26.5 | 137 | 14762 | 107.75182 |
| 31 | 26.7 | 130 | 39053 | 300.40769 |
| 32 | 27.8 | 131 | 25926 | 197.9084 |
| 33 | 28.0 | 155 | 13473 | 86.922581 |
| 34 | 28.3 | 146 | 10741 | 73.568493 |
| 35 | 28.9 | 194 | 16703 | 86.097938 |
| 36 | 29.2 | 155 | 7261 | 46.845161 |
| 37 | 29.9 | 174 | 23894 | 137.32184 |
| 38 | 30.5 | 219 | 10236 | 46.739726 |
| Average | 25.5 | 119.2 | 16134.4 | 137.0 |
| Geomean |  |  | 11247.9 | 120.55419 |

Atresia $/ \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{GM}(\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{w}) \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{d}) \quad$ Atresia $/ \mathrm{g}=68.88$
GM = geometric mean
i $\quad=$ intensity (nr. of atretic oocytes in each female)
$\mathrm{w} \quad=$ total fish weight in grams
p = prevalence of atresia (\% of females with atresia)
$\mathrm{s} \quad=$ spawning duration (70 days)
d $\quad=$ duration of the atresia stage ( 15 days)

Table 7.3.4 The number of atretic oocytes per gramme female horse mackerel (NM Fop's Hydrated oocytes) in the population during Period 3 in ICES Division VIIIc and IXa North (Spain). The proportion of remaining fecundity compared to total fecundity.

| Survey <br> coverage | Prevalence <br> of atresia | $\mathbf{N}^{0}$ of fish for <br> scoring <br> prevalence | $\mathbf{N}^{0}$ of atretic <br> oocytes / g. female <br> with atresia | $\mathbf{N}^{\boldsymbol{o}}$ of fish for <br> counting <br> atresia | $N^{0}$ of atretic <br> oocytes / g. female <br> in the population | Relative <br> intensity <br> of atresia | Proportion of <br> remaining <br> fecundity compared <br> to total fecundity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0.45 | 11 | 28 | 11 | 13 | 0.0 |  |


| Survey <br> period | Average <br> weight | Remaining <br> fecundity | $\mathbf{n}^{\circ}$ atretic <br> oocytes | Females <br> with atresia | $\mathbf{n}^{0}$ of females <br> used to count <br> atretic. oocytes | $\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{o}}$ females <br> sampled | Fecundity <br> oocytes / g female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 152 | 161269 | 3809 | 5 | 11 | 11 | 1061 |


| Predicted fecundity | 189544 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Remaining fecundity | 161269 |



Figure 7.1a. Horse mackerel egg production by rectangle for period 1 (17 to 31 January).


Figure 7.1b. Horse mackerel egg production by rectangle for period 2 ( 7 February to 1 March).


Figure 7.1c. Horse mackerel egg production by rectangle for period 3 (14 March to 1 April).


Figure 7.1d. Horse mackerel egg production by rectangle for period 4 (13 to 27 April).


Figure 7.1e. Horse mackerel egg production by rectangle for period 5 ( 15 to 24 May).


Figure 7.1f. Horse mackerel egg production by rectangle for period 6 ( 15 to 21 June).


Figure 7.2.1 Horse mackerel daily egg production curve for the surveys in the southern spawning area in 1998. The curve was produced assuming start and finish dates of 17 January and 17 July respectively.


Figure 7.3.1 The relationship between weight and potential annual fecundity in southern horse mackerel.

### 8.1 Results for Mackerel of the Western Spawning Component

Generalized additive models (GAMs) were described in detail previously (ICES, 1996b).
The 1998 survey data were restricted to observations made from latitudes $44^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ northwards ( 1019 observations). The same constraints in space and time were used to analyse the 1998 survey data as were used before; see Figure 3.6.1a, ICES, 1996b for constraints in space and the start and end dates of spawning were assumed to be 10 February and 31 July, respectively. The explanatory variables used in the GAMs were as follows:

- date (date),
- distance to the 200 m contour line (cdist; negative if tdepth $>200$ ) in nautical miles,
- distance along the 200 m contour in a north-south direction (gdist) in nautical miles, and
- logarithm of bottom depth $(\log (t$ depth $))$ in metres.

The model initially run was that found by Augustin et al, 1998. Stage 1 egg density was the response variable and was fitted using a negative binomial distribution and log link function. The egg production curve from this model (Figure 8.1.1) was bi-modal having two peaks at approximately mid-April and end of May.

### 8.1.1 Comparison with traditional method

The egg production curve from the traditional method showed a single peak at approximately the end of April. This difference is likely to be due to the fact that high egg densities were found during the first survey in March 1998 (see Figure 5.1.1c), leading to some uncertainty about the start date of spawning. The GAM-based total stage 1 egg production estimate is $1.16 \times 10^{15}$ while that for the traditional method is $1.37 \times 10^{15}(\mathrm{se}=0.21)$. Considerable effort is still required to investigate distributions other than the negative binomial, the effect of degree of smoothing and the effect of the "structural zeros" on final model selection and ultimately on the estimate of stage 1 egg production and its standard error.

### 8.2 Results for Western Horse Mackerel

The same constraints in space were applied to the 1998 survey data as were applied previously (see Figure 3.6.1b, ICES, 1996b). The start and end dates were those used for the mackerel. The initial model fitted was the same as for the mackerel. The resulting egg production curve gives a peak at the end of the assumed spawning period which is a consequence of high horse mackerel stage 1 egg densities being found in the final survey in July.

### 8.2.1 Comparison with traditional method

The stage 1 egg production estimate from the traditional method was $1.00 \times 10^{15}$ while the GAM-based estimate was much higher. As with the mackerel considerable further modelling is required but it may be that the failure of the surveys to cover the entire horse mackerel spawning period, results in over-estimates of production.


Joachim Bartsch gave a presentation on the SEAMAR (Shelf-Edge Advection, Mortality and Recruitment programme) at the ICES Mackerel Egg Working Group meeting in Hamburg. In addition to this, he gave an example of a modelling study conducted within the SEFOS project (Shelf Edge Fisheries and Oceanographic Study).

SEAMAR is 3-year research programme (1999-2001) on bio-physical transport modelling of larvae and post-larvae of mackerel (Scomber scombrus) in the eastern North Atlantic (Figure 9.1). The strategic design of the work programme, a combination of field studies and use of historical data within a modelling framework, incorporates many elements of the GLOBEC philosophy. Funding is provided, in part, by the Directorate-General XIV (Fisheries) of the European Commission (EU).

The overall objective of SEAMAR is to develop a model to predict year-to-year survival of mackerel during the planktonic stages in the first 2-3 months of life. A transport model, utilising circulation from the HAMburg Shelf Ocean Model (HAMSOM), will be used to predict the dispersal of the eggs, larvae and post-larvae in response to real wind fields and density forcing. At the same time, differential survival rates will be implemented via individual-based growth and mortality modules as functions of the local physical and biological environment (Figure 9.2).

There is already a considerable wealth of information on the early life history of the mackerel, which spawn along the shelf-edge in the western North Atlantic. Routine egg surveys for stock estimation have been carried out in this area every three years since 1977 with additional studies in many of the intervening years. SEAMAR will build on this existing knowledge and add to it with mesoscale process-orientated cruises (e.g. eddies in Biscay) and targeted sampling for the later post-larval stages.

Parameterisation of growth and survival will be based both on literature-derived functions and on the basis of the cruise studies, including the proximate linkage with food abundance and temperature. Satellite imagery will provide regional coverage of sea-surface temperature as a primary forcing function and to derive regional production indices using SeaWiFS data. Output from the model will be validated against recruit survey results and annual recruitment indices.

Participant laboratories and university departments include those at Santander (IEO), Hamburg (IHF), Bremerhaven (BAH-AWI), San Sebastian (AZTI), Aberdeen (MLA-FRS), Galway (NUI-MRI) and Lisbon (IPIMAR), with project co-ordination from Plymouth (CCMS-PML).

One of the target species in the SEFOS programme was Blue Whiting. A numerical circulation and transport model system was used to simulate the dispersion of blue whiting larvae under climatological and meteorological forcing, and using actual ECMWF (European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasting) data for 1994 and 1995. These different wind regimes caused variations in the dispersal patterns especially with respect to on shelf drift and the distribution in the Rockall Gyre, with possible effects on year class strength. Details may be found in Bartsch and Coombs (1997).


Figure 9.1. SEAMAR study area for bio-physical transport model for mackerel larvae.


Figure 9.2. Flow diagram for proposed SEAMAR bio-physical model.

The Working Group decided to request that its next meeting, for planning the proposed 2001 Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Surveys, should be held from 18-21 February 2000 in Santander (Spain). The Working Group decided to nominate Cornelius Hammer, Germany, as its new Chair. The above request and nomination will be sent to the ICES Living Resources Committee for consideration at the Annual Science Conference in October 1999. The terms of reference for the Planning Meeting will be provided by the Working Group for the Assessment of Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy.

The following nations intend to participate in the 2001 survey: Ireland, Scotland, Spain ( $2-3$ weeks ship time but depending on the availability of a new research vessel), Netherlands ( 6 weeks), Germany ( 4 weeks, possibly up to 6 weeks) and Norway ( 3 weeks). England might face some problems with the provision of research vessel time. No information on potential participation was available for Portugal.

## 11 DEFICIENCIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## Deficiencies

The timing of the surveys did not adequately cover the whole spawning period of mackerel and horse mackerel in the western area. Deployment of effort should be addressed by the Planning Group for the proposed 2001 surveys, in order to achieve an earlier start and a later end to the surveys.

## Recommendations

1) The Chair should seek EU funding for a proposed Study Group to meet in Lowestoft (UK) before the proposed 2001 surveys, in order to resolve problems of staging horse mackerel eggs and in particular to obtain consistently accurate counts of stage 1 eggs.
2) Mixed plankton samples, containing the eggs of mackerel, horse mackerel and those of species with similar eggs, should be circulated amongst potential participants in the 2001 surveys. The exchange will be organised by Ruth Harrop (UK) and the results of sorting analysed to compare the accuracy of sorting and identifying mackerel and horse mackerel eggs.
3) The Working Group recognises that the SEAMAR project will provide valuable information on recruitment processes in mackerel and therefore supports it and encourages all members to make survey data available.
4) The Working Group recommends that tank experiments with horse mackerel be carried out to investigate whether horse mackerel are determinate or indeterminate spawners.
5) The Working Group recommends that an exchange of histological slides or photographs of mackerel and horse mackerel ovaries be carried out in order to identify the problems in recognising atresia and in determining the different atretic oocytes stages. Bas Vingerhoed (Netherlands) will be co-ordinator for horse mackerel, and Peter Witthames (UK) will be co-ordinator for mackerel.
6) The Working Group recommends that a maturity at age estimation for the southern mackerel spawning component and the southern and western horse mackerel stocks should be made available by September 1999 for the MHSA Working Group.
7) The Working Group recommends that an exchange of slides and a comparison of results, between methods, should be made in order to resolve differences in fecundity estimates of southern horse mackerel across the whole southern area. The results should be presented to the MHSA Working Group in September 1999.
8) The Working Group recommends that the samples, collected for fecundity, atresia and maturity, that were not available at the Working Group, should be analysed. The results should be used to revise and complete the estimates of realised fecundity and maturity at age. The results should be presented as a working document to the next assessment working group
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