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## Executive Summary

The ICES herring assessment working group (HAWG) met for 10 days in March 2006 to assess the state of 7 herring stocks and 3 sprat stocks. New data were only available for 6 herring stocks and 2 sprat stocks. HAWG carried out a benchmark assessment on North Sea herring. The following issues were explored:

- catch data through catch curves
- survey data based assessments using SURBA
- simpler models using CSA
- standard catch at age assessment models (ICA, XSA and FLICA, FLXSA in R)
- time inconsistencies, outliers and time trends in indices.
- retrospective performance of the different models.

The exploration resulted in ICA being chosen again as the principle model for the assessment of North Sea herring. HAWG also truncated the IBTS and MIK time series used in the model and adjusted the weighting factors on the catch and surveys. These changes lead to improved precision in the estimates of F and SSB in the terminal year and reduced retrospective bias in the assessment. The changes did not greatly change the perception of the state of the stock compared to last year's assessment or compared to an assessment with last years model settings.

The recent trends in North Sea autumn spawning herring show a peak in spawning biomass (SSB) of 1.8 million tonnes in 2004 and the SSB is now likely to decline due to serial poor recruitment since 2001. The new recruitment estimate for 2006 is again well below average. This poor recruitment is caused during the larvae phase of North Sea herring.

Update or exploratory assessments were carried out on all the other stocks. Two assessments were offered for herring to the west of Scotland (as a very low acoustic survey estimate had a marked effect on the assessment). Both IVaS and Celtic Sea herring had their time series of acoustic surveys revised. The assessments suggest that both of these stocks are at historic low spawning biomass. The assessment of IIIa was updated, and HAWG then spent much time improving the clarity of the IIIa advice.

HAWG answered one special request from the EU on the TAC for North Sea sprat in 2006. Sprat in the North Sea appears to be at a high biomass in recent years, but the incoming 2006 year class appears to be well below the average.

HAWG also commented on the quality and availability of data, the problems with estimating the amounts of discarded fish, the relevance of ecosystem changes to the stocks considered by the group and recent meetings and reports of relevance to HAWG.

HAWG was concerned about the apparent increase in misreporting of catches in recent years and the growing relaxation of regulations designed to restrict the ability to misreport or catch herring as bycatch.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Participants

| Steven Beggs | UK/Northern Ireland |
| :--- | :--- |
| Massimiliano Cardinale | Sweden |
| Maurice Clarke | Ireland |
| Lotte Worsøe Clausen | Denmark |
| Jørgen Dalskov | Denmark |
| Mikael van Deurs | Denmark |
| Mark Dickey-Collas (Chair) | The Netherlands |
| Ian Doonan | Ireland |
| Afra Egan | Ireland |
| Tomas Gröhsler | Germany |
| Joachim Gröger | Germany |
| Olvin van Keeken | The Netherlands |
| Emma Hatfield | UK/Scotland |
| Phil Large | UK/England \& Wales |
| Henrik Mosegaard | Denmark |
| Peter Munk | Denmark |
| Beatriz Roel | UK/England \& Wales |
| Norbert Rohlf | Germany |
| John Simmonds | UK/Scotland |
| Dankert Skagen | Norway |
| Else Torstensen | Norway |
| Christopher Zimmermann | Germany |

Contact details for each participant are given in Appendix 1.

### 1.2 Terms of Reference

2005/2/2ACFM03
The Herring Assessment Working Group south of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ [HAWG]:
a) assess the status of and provide management options (by fleet where possible) for 2007 for:

1) the North Sea autumn-spawning herring stock in Division IIIa, Subarea IV, and Division VIId (separately, if possible, for Divisions IVc and VIId);
2) the herring stocks in Division VIa and Sub-area VII;
3) the stock of spring-spawning herring in Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 (Western Baltic);
b) forecasts for North Sea autumn-spawning herring should be provided by fleet and according to the management plan agreed between the EU and Norway;
c) catch options for Div. IIIa shall be given by fleets taking into account that North Sea herring and Western Baltic herring are taken together in this Division;
d) assess the status of the sprat stocks in Subarea IV and Divisions IIIa and VIId,e; for the stocks mentioned in a) and d) perform the tasks described in C.Res. 2005/2/ACFM01.

### 1.3 Working Group's response to ad hoc requests

### 1.3.1 Request by European Commission (10/3/06_C.3.m) on North Sea sprat.

ICES received one special request from the European Commission to be considered by HAWG 2006.
"The Commission has to propose the establishment of the final catch limits for Sprat in Ila-IV (EC waters) and Norway pout in IIA-IIIA and IV (EC waters) in the first half of 2006.

Following our preliminary contacts, we understand that ICES is in a position to deliver, under the current MOU and without extra charges, an updated assessment for both stocks and provide a mid-year revision of the TAC taking into account the estimates of incoming recruitment."

The response of HAWG to this request is given in section 8.7 of this report, see below.

### 1.4 Reviews of groups or work important for the WG

HAWG was briefed throughout the meeting about other groups and projects that were of relevance to their work. Some of these briefings and/or groups are described below.

### 1.4.1 The Annual Meeting of Assessment Working Group Chairs [AMAWGC]

The working group was addressed by the chair of ACFM (Martin Pastoors). Both he and Mark Dickey-Collas informed the group about the AMAWGC meeting in 2006. They described the construction of a roadmap for the working group for the next 3 years. The HAWG road map can be summarised as:

- 2006- Benchmark assessment North Sea herring
- 2007- Benchmark assessment Celtic Sea herring, evaluation of Irish management agreements
- 2008- Benchmark assessment of herring in IIIa (western Baltic spring spawning herring), comprehensive descriptions of the fleets.

The new developments in mixed fisheries, evaluation of management strategies and ecosystem descriptions were also discussed at AMAWGC, and were taken into account when the HAWG 2006 report was put together. The input of WGRED was also discussed in the context of the AMAWGC meeting (see section 1.8).

### 1.4.2 The Planning Group for Herring Surveys [PGHERS]

PGHERS met in Rostock, Germany, from 24-27 January 2006 (Chair: B. Couperus, Netherlands) to:

Investigate and report on the possible bias introduced by a change in gear for sampling herring larvae during the Dutch herring larvae survey.
a ) combine the 2005 survey data to provide indices of abundance for the population within the area;
b ) coordinate the timing, area and effort allocation, and methodologies for acoustic and larvae surveys for herring and sprat in the North Sea, around Ireland, Divisions VIa and IIIa and Western Baltic in 2006;
c) review and update the PGHERS manual for acoustic surveys to address standardization of all sampling tools and survey gears;
d) assess the status and future of the HERSUR database and an intermediate database containing aggregated data;
e) review the conclusions of the herring age reading exchange and workshop (Turku, Finland) and report on implications and use;
f) investigate and report on the possible bias introduced by a change in gear for sampling herring larvae during the Dutch herring larvae survey.

Review of larvae surveys in 2005/2006: Five surveys in the North Sea were carried out covering six of the ten units in the North Sea. They were subsequently completed successfully and the results were made ready for this Herring Assessment Working Group (HAWG) meeting.

Coordination of larvae surveys for 2006/2007: In the 2006/2007 period, the Netherlands and Germany will undertake seven larvae surveys in the North Sea from 1 September 2006 to 31 January 2007. Germany will contribute a second vessel to the IHLS to ensure coverage in the Orkney and Buchan area, which has not been covered in recent years; this will also take place in the first half of September. Thus, with the combined effort of Germany and Netherlands an almost complete coverage of the main spawning grounds is achievable. The Baltic Sea Fisheries Institute will continue with the larvae survey in the Greifswalder Bodden area in 2006.

Larvae survey sampler: In the sampling period 2004-2005 the Netherlands changed from a Gulf III to a Gulf VII plankton torpedo. The Gulf VII seems to perform better in that the oblique hauls show a sharp 'V'-shape. Possible differences between these two sampling devices will be investigated by sampling simultaneously with the Gulf III and Gulf VII during the September 2006 survey. A special set-up will be tested and improved during a survey in May targeting spawning horse mackerel in the southern North Sea. If the tests during the May survey prove successful, the apparatus will be used during the herring larvae survey in September 2006 to obtain a set of intercalibration hauls.

North Sea acoustic surveys in 2005: Six acoustic surveys were carried out during late June and July 2005 covering the North Sea and west of Scotland. The provisional total combined estimate of North Sea spawning stock biomass (SSB) is 1.9 million $t$, a decrease from 2.6 million $t$ in 2004. The stock is dominated by the 2000 year class. Growth of the 2000 year class seems again to be slower than average: $96 \%$ is mature. The west of Scotland SSB estimate is 190000 t ( 400000 t in 2004); this is a substantial reduction from last years estimate.

Western Baltic acoustic survey in 2005: A joint German-Danish acoustic survey was carried out with RV "Solea" from 4 to 21 October in the Western Baltic. The estimate of Western Baltic spring spawning herring SSB is 197700 t (192 100 t in 2004).

Manuals for acoustic and herring larvae surveys: The manual for herring acoustic surveys in ICES Divisions III, IV, and VIA will be reviewed and updated in 2006 by correspondence. Development of the equipment used, has been extensive in recent years: an extensive review is, therefore, required: there was not enough time to carry out this activity at the meeting. The manual for the International Herring Larvae Surveys south of $62^{\circ}$ north has been reviewed and updated.

Status and future of the FISHFRAME and HERSUR database: The status of the HERSUR database has not changed since 2005. Only Denmark has uploaded new data. Three countries have uploaded aggregated ("stage 3") data in the Fishframe database. The international data set was completed by extracting national input data from the excel sheet used so far. The calculation, aggregation and reporting procedures on uploaded data of 2003, 2004 and 2005 was tested against the old procedure. The minor differences found were caused by differences in precision. It was decided that the Fishframe stage 3 module will be used to
aggregate data from the 2006 surveys at the next meeting in 2007. To ensure that all countries confirm to same methods in stage 2, a group to design the user requirement specifications should be formed and meet during 13-14 June 2006 at DIFRES in Copenhagen.

Sprat: Data on sprat were available from RV "Solea" III, RV "Tridens" and RV "Dana". The total sprat biomass was estimated as 562000 t in the North Sea (up from 360000 t in 2004) and 59800 t in the Kattegat (up from 15000 t in 2004). The present data suggest that sprat abundance is decreasing in the south and the distribution limit might therefore have been reached.

Coordination of acoustic surveys in 2006: Six acoustic surveys will be carried out in the North Sea and west of Scotland in 2006 between 25 June and 30 July. Participants are referred to Figure 4.4.1 for indications of survey boundaries. "Tridens" and "Solea" will cover the area between $52^{\circ}$ and $57^{\circ}$ together with interlaced transects. A survey of the western Baltic and southern part of Kattegat will be carried out by a German research vessel in October.

Review of the Age Reading Workshop at the Archipelago Research Institute on the Island of Seili, Finland on 6-June 2005: Thirty-five participants from 25 countries attended the Age Reading Workshop to identify present problems in herring age determination, improve the accuracy and precision of age determinations and spread information of the methods and procedures used in different ageing laboratories working with herring. The main conclusion was that it is recommended that regular otolith exchanges take place between institutes in order to detect precision drift in the age estimations.

Evaluating the potential of the Torry Fish Fat Meter for measuring lipid content of herring at sea: Deborah Davidson from the University of Aberdeen gave a presentation of her ongoing PhD study examining the fat content in herring. The overall aim of the field study in 2006 is to determine whether lipids impose a threshold on the onset of maturation in North Sea herring. PGHERS recommends that in the 2006 North Sea acoustic surveys, all participants take a student from Aberdeen University to make measurements of the fat content of herring.

### 1.4.3 Study Group on Recruitment Variability in North Sea Planktivorous Fish [SGRECVAP]. 2005/2/LRC14

SGRECVAP met in IJmuiden in 2006 and considered the serial poor recruitment in herring, Norway pout and sand eel in the North Sea in recent years. The summary of SGRECVAP is as follows:
"The poor recruitment in recent years (2001-2004) in planktivorous fish in the North Sea has become cause for concern for fishers, managers and scientists alike. It has lead to fishery closures and cuts in total allowable catches in sandeel, Norway pout and herring. SGRECVAP met to investigate and describe the serial poor recruitment, and review probable mechanisms for the recruitment trends.

Time series analysis showed that there was a common trend in the recent recruitment of all three target species. There was a strong negative trend in the stock-recruit residuals for herring and Norway pout, suggesting that the poor recruitment in those stocks is not related to spawning stock biomass size. This was not the case in sandeel, where the situation was more complex. The common pattern of decline in recruitment seen in the planktivorous fish was not common to the major commercially exploited fish species in the North Sea. There was evidence for significant shifts of at least two periods of recruitment for the major commercial fish species exploited in the North Sea (1986 and 1996/97). Specifically for the three target planktivorous species, SGRECVAP considered there was a significant shift in recruitment in 2001.

There was enough evidence to conclude that poor recruitment in herring was caused by a higher mortality of herring larvae before February of each year. The mechanisms for this were most likely poor larval feeding, predation or poor hatching condition and probably a combination of these. There was evidence that higher mortality of herring larvae can cooccur with high larval production.

Whilst herring, Norway pout and sandeel showed a common trend in recruitment, it cannot be assumed that the same mechanism was common for all three species. Due to insufficient information on the production of each life history stage in Norway pout and sandeel it was not possible to determine the mechanisms driving recruitment. It was clear that the poor sandeel recruitment from 2002 occurred at low spawning stock biomass (the stock was below Blim in 2000), this was not the case for Norway pout.

A well documented change in the planktonic community occurred in the North Sea after the mid 1980s. Change has continued to date, on a gradual basis, and is linked to the broader process of climate change/variability. Reponses at other trophic levels to this gradual change in the zooplankton may result in abrupt changes.

More exploration is needed to investigate the hypotheses presented in the report particularly targeting ecosystem interactions, especially in zooplankton (combined with hydrographic variability), predation and quality/condition of adults, eggs and larvae. SGRECVAP acknowledged that many of the proposed hypotheses could not be tested without extensive use of empirical data and individual and ecosystem modelling (biophysical models and spatial trophic modelling)."

HAWG found the descriptions of recent changes in the North Sea as useful. HAWG viewed the synthesis on herring recruitment from SGRECVAP as important and was concerned about the findings of the dynamic factor analysis that suggested that a shift had occurred in the recruitment of the three species in 2001.

### 1.4.4 Study Group on Regional Scale Ecology of Small Pelagics [SGRESP]

Dave Reid gave a presentation about SGRESP. The study group on small pelagics has considered the life history strategies of small pelagics in the ICES area. The findings of SGRESP will be published in an ICES cooperative research report. The proposed new work and study group following from the conclusions of SGRESP was of interest to some of the members of HAWG.

### 1.4.5 WESTHER [EU project]

WESTHER: A multidisciplinary approach to the identification of herring (Clupea harengus L.) stock components west of the British Isles using biological tags and genetic markers. Q5RS-2002-01056 (2003-2006).

WESTHER's overall goal is to describe the population structure of herring stocks distributed from the south-west of Ireland and the Celtic Sea to the northwest of Scotland. To achieve its goal WESTHER has four research objectives: (i) estimation of genetic and phenotypic differentiation between spawning aggregations; (ii) determination of stock origins and life history of juveniles; (iii) determination of composition of feeding aggregations and (iv) improved guidelines for the conservation and management of biodiversity and stock preservation. The Project started officially on January $1^{\text {st }}, 2003$ and was extended, in 2005 , by six months to finish at the end of June 2006.

In 2005 further sampling was carried out resulting overall in a good broad coverage of spawning areas, adult feeding aggregations and nursery areas. In all, 14 samples of spawners from 8 sites
were collected; 17 samples of juveniles from 6 areas; 8 samples from feeding aggregations in the four ICES herring management areas (VIa North; VIa South, VIIb,c; Irish Sea and Celtic Sea); 7 samples of 4 different outgroup areas.

Seven of the eight analytical workpackages have produced data now to enable analyses of spawning population differentiation, and the relation of juveniles and non-spawning aggregations to spawners. The research using parasites as biological tags enables the different life-stages to be linked; the other workpackages allow determination of the most important indicators of differentiation between spawners. These indicators will then inform mixed stock analyses of nonspawning adults and juveniles. The use of a number of different methods on the same individual fish results in a broader analysis of different facets of population structure than a single method would allow.

At the time of writing, the chapters for the final report are partially delivered and a full comparison of all of the results is on the way. All workpackages have carried out analyses using the same aggregation levels of data, both on a temporal and spatial basis, to enable comparison of the results. It appears that some of the analyses may be unstable, with different perceptions being produced, depending on the aggregation level of the spawner data used for the classification of the feeding adult aggregations. Some of the methods have a higher success in discriminating between spawning populations (the reference collections) and are thus expected to show a higher success in linking movements of adults between the spawning grounds and their feeding areas.

A meeting will take place in April 2006, of the participants from the different fishery institutes, to discuss the outcomes of each method and their comparisons and to produce a report to fulfil Objective 4: improved guidelines for the conservation and management of biodiversity and stock preservation. This report will then be presented to HAWG in 2007 with any recommendations arising from the project's synthesis.

### 1.4.6 Linking Herring 2008 [ICES/GLOBEC sponsored symposium]

The ICES/Globec sponsored symposium "Herring: Linking biology, ecology and status of populations in the context of changing environments", with the shortened title "Linking Herring" is planned to take place $26-29^{\text {th }}$ August 2008 in Galway, Ireland. It will take place at the Radisson SAS hotel in Galway city. The conveners are Maurice Clarke, Mark DickeyCollas and Aril Slotte. A science organising committee has been set up with Maurice Clarke, Mark Dickey-Collas, Aril Slotte, Emma Hatfield, Doug Hay, Richard Nash, Deirdre Brophy, Øyvind Fiksen as members. The symposium web site is www.linkingherring.com.

The proceedings will be published in the ICES Journal of Marine Science. Niels Daan will act as guest editor on the symposium proceedings. Audrey Geffen will be the in house ICES editor.


The Theme Sessions will include:
i) Herring in the middle- the trophic and ecological interactions and impacts of herring
ii ) Managing Change- management and exploitation of herring in a dynamic environment, within the context of long term change
iii ) Variable Production- particularly the role of reproduction, recruitment and life history strategies.
iv ) Population Integrity- the integrity of stocks and the drivers of migration
v) Counting herring- qualitative and quantitative estimation of herring and its application.

### 1.4.7 Improved advice for the mixed herring stocks in the Skagerrak and Kattegat [EU project IAMHERSKA]

This is an EU-project under the priority programme for the provision of fisheries scientific advice in the Community (FISH/2004/03). The primary goal of IAMHERSKA is to improve the assessment and advice of the mixed stock in IIIa by elaborating fleet- and stock-based disaggregation on the existing projection method. The advice would so take into account both stocks and all fleet components in IIIa. Temporal and spatial distribution of the different stock components and fleet exploitation patterns will form the basis for the elaboration.

The HAWG used a simple procedure in 2004 to find the highest total catch by fleet in Division IIIa that would be compatible with a precautionary exploitation of WBSS. This procedure used two kinds of information about the fishery, the fraction of WBSS that is caught in IIIa, and the fraction of the catches by the IIIa fleets that consist of WBSS based on recent historic data. This very crude procedure can be refined with more detailed information on how the stocks on one hand and the fisheries on the other hand are distributed geographically and seasonally.

The migration patterns of the different stock components has been evaluated using existing data in the institute databases and other published material. Based on historical material the spatial and temporal distribution of the NSAS and WBSS in IIIa were mapped. The current assumption of equal distribution of all stock components in the area with no differentiation regarding geographical and seasonal information is revised in van Deurs and Clausen, WD 1 to the present report.

The exploitation pattern and fleet behaviour has been modelled to describe the CPUE and catchability on all stock components and preliminary investigations and simulations of how changes in fleet effort affect the various herring stocks has been initiated. The preliminary results are presented in Ulrich-Rescan and Andersen, WD 2 to the present report.

The assumptions in the current approach for advice will be replaced by more consolidated fractions of the stock components based on the above outlined results. The calculations will then be combined with multi-fleet short term prediction programs for each stock, to outline combinations of total quotas by fleet that are compatible with proposed harvest rules and/or precautionary criteria.

### 1.5 Commercial catch data collation, sampling, and terminology

### 1.5.1 Commercial catch and sampling: data collation and handling

Input spreadsheet and initial data processing
Since 1999 (catch data 1998), the working group members have used a spreadsheet to provide all necessary landing and sampling data. The current version used for reporting the 2005 catch data was v1.6.4. All but one nation provided commercial catch data on these spreadsheets, which were then further processed with the SALLOCL-application (Patterson et al., 1997). This program gives the needed standard outputs on sampling status and biological parameters. It also clearly documents any decisions made by the species co-ordinators for filling in missing data and raising the catch information of one nation/quarter/area with information from another data set. This allows recalculation of data in the future (as done by SG REDNOSE in 2003, ICES 2003/ACFM:10, and as will have to be done when the new ICES InterCatch database is released, see below), choosing the same (subjective) decisions currently made by the WG. Ideally, all data for the various areas should be provided on the standard spreadsheet and processed similarly, resulting in a single output file for all stocks covered by this working group. Data submission in 2006 was less smooth than in the year before, as some institutes delivered their data very late. Data was, however, almost error free.

More information on data handling transparency, data archiving and the current methods compiling fisheries assessment data are given in the stock annex 3. To facilitate a long-term data storage, the group stores all relevant catch and sampling data in a separate "archive" folder on the ICES network, which is updated annually. This collection is supposed to be kept confidential as it will contain data on misreporting and unallocated catches, and will be available for WG members on request. Table 1.5.1 gives an overview of data available at present, and the source of the data. Members are encouraged to use the latest-version input spreadsheets if the re-entering of catch data is required. Figure 1.5.1 shows the separation of areas applied to data in the archive.

## Future developments: The ICES InterCatch database.

In this section of the report, since 1999, the WG has stated that the handling of catch data is considered as a priority issue for quality control, as the quality of the input data from commercial sampling has proven to be crucial for the quality of the whole assessment procedure. ICES has been asked repeatedly to develop a database application for the proper handling and storage of fisheries catch (-at-age) data. This is also regarded to be a prerequisite
for the use of fisheries data for multifleet/multispecies advice. Following generous funding by Norway in 2002, ICES started in early 2005 to develop such a database, called "InterCatch". HAWG was involved in defining the user specifications, and a first version was presented at the Annual Science Conference, Aberdeen, in September 2005. Since then, some effort has been spent on testing the system (inter alia with the validated 2004 North Sea herring data), and progress has continued and the software being debugged. Platform independene required for such systems have yet to be tested. Information requested by the ICES data centre (fleet and stock definitions, specifications for WG specific inputs like data types needed for specific assessments - with dimensions, level of disaggregation, limits for initial validity checks, stock extraction rules etc.) were given in last year's report. A properly tested beta-release of the software was, however, not available prior to, or during this year's HAWG meeting, so that the DISFAD/ALLOC system had to be used again to collate international catch and sampling data.

HAWG is eager to start using ICES' new database and again offers full support in the future. The group reiterated that the database should provide an opportunity to clearly track changes of "official" landings made by WG members to compensate misreported or unallocated landings or discards. This would, however, require means to keep some of the national disaggregated data confidential in order to protect their sources. Further, a transparent and effective handling of information obtained from market sampling in foreign ports should be possible.

### 1.5.2 Sampling

## Quality of sampling for the whole area.

The working group again produced a map indicating the level of catch sampling by area for all herring stocks covered by HAWG (Figure 1.5.2). The map indicates that the sampling level (in terms of fraction of catch sampled and number of age readings per 1000 t catch) is very different for the various areas. Further details of the sampling quality can be found by stock in the respective sections (Sec. 2.2.4 for North Sea herring, 3.2.6 for Western Baltic Spring Spawners, 4.2.3 for Celtic Sea and VIIj herring, 5.2. for VIa(N) herring, 6.2.2 for VIa(S) and VIIb,c herring, 7.2.2 for Irish Sea herring).

## The EU sampling regime.

HAWG has recommended for years that sampling of commercial catches should be improved for most of the stocks. The EU directive for the collection of fisheries data was implemented in 2002 for all EU member states (Commission Regulation 1639/2001). The provisions in the "data directive" define specific sampling levels. As most of the nations participating in the fisheries on herring assessed here have to obey this data directive, the definitions applicable for herring and the area covered by HAWG are given below:

| AREA | SAMPLING LEVEL PER 1000 t CATCH |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Baltic area (IIIa (S) and IIIb-c) | 1 sample of which | 100 fish measured and | 50 aged |
| Skagerrak (IIIa (N)) | 1 sample | 100 fish measured | 100 aged |
| North Sea (IV and VIId): | 1 sample | 50 fish measured | 25 aged |
| NE Atlantic and Western Channel ICES sub- | 1 sample | 50 fish measured | 25 aged |
| areas II, V, VI, VII (excluding d) VIII, IX, X,    <br> XII, XIV    |  |  |  |

There are some exemptions to the above mentioned sampling rules if e.g. landings of a specific EU member states are less than $5 \%$ of the total EU-quota for that particular species.

The process of setting up bilateral agreements for sampling landings into foreign ports has started last year and is beginning to yield results. However, there is scope for improvement,
and more of these agreements have to be negotiated, especially between EU and non-EU countries, to reach a sufficient sampling coverage of these landings.

HAWG reviewed the quality of the overall sampling of herring and sprat for the whole area. There is concern that the present sampling regime may lead to a deterioration of sampling quality, because it does not enssure an appropriate sampling of different metiers (each combination of fleet/nation/area and quarter). Given the diversity of the fleets harvesting most stocks assessed by HAWG, an appropriate spread of sampling effort over the different métiers is more important to the quality of catch at age data than a sufficient overall sampling level. The EU data directive appears to not assure this. The WG therefore recommends that all metiers with substantial catch should be sampled (including by-catches in the industrial fisheries), that catches landed abroad should be sampled, and information on these samples should be made available to the national laboratories. Most of the issues raised here have also been addressed by the Planning Group on Commercial Catch, Discard and Biological Sampling (see Section 1.4.8.).

### 1.5.3 Terminology

The WG noted that the use of "age", "winter rings" and "rings" still causes confusion outside the group (and sometimes even among WG members). The WG tries to avoid this by consequently using "rings" or "ringers" instead of "age" throughout the report. It should be observed that, for autumn spawning stocks, there is a difference of one year between "age" and "rings". Further elaboration on the rationale behind this can be found in the stock annex 3.

### 1.6 Methods Used

### 1.6.1 ICA

"Integrated Catch-at-age Analysis" (ICA: Patterson, 1998; Needle, 2000) combines a statistical separable model of fishing mortality for recent years with a conventional VPA for the more distant past. Population estimates are tuned by CPUE indices from commercial fisheries or research-vessel surveys, which may be age-structured or not as required. This model appears to behave well on the stocks considered by this WG.

The program ICAVIEW4 produces standard plots for the ICA output. This year there were great problems with getting ICAVIEW4 to work on most computers, probably caused by the incompatibility of the program with windows XP. As a result the standard ICA plots are not presented for all stocks.

### 1.6.2 CSA

"Catch Survey Analysis" (CSA: Mesnil, 2004) is an assessment method that aims to estimate absolute stock abundance, given a time series of catches and of relative abundance indices, typically from research surveys. It does this by filtering measurement error in the latter through a simple two-stage population dynamics model known in the literature as the CollieSissenwine (1983) model. The underlying aim is to reduce the dependence on age-structured data inherent in most VPA-type assessment methods. CSA can be used with only 2 life-history stages (recruits and adults, for example), although simplifying assumptions have to be made. CSA has been used for the final assessment of North Sea sprat and for exploratory analyses for North Sea herring and IIIa sprat.

### 1.6.3 FLXSA and FLICA [recent developments of XSA and ICA in R]

The complexity of fisheries systems and their management require flexible modelling solutions for evaluations. The FLR (Fisheries Library in R) system (www.flr-project.org) is an
attempt to implement a framework for modeling integral fisheries systems including population dynamics, fleet behaviour, stock assessment and management objectives. FLR consists of a number of packages for the open source statistical computer program R, centered around conventions on the representation of stocks, fleets, surveys etc. A broad range of models can be set up, encompassing population dynamics, fleet dynamics and stock assessment models. Moreover, previously developed methods and models developed in standard programming languages can be incorporated in FLR, using interfaces for which documentation is being written. The stock assessment tools in FLR can also be used on their own in the WG context. The combination of the statistical and graphical tools in R with the stock assessment aids the exploration of input data and results. Currently, an effort is being made to incorporate stock assessment models that are used in some of the ICES working groups. Methods for reading in VPA suite files, for investigating the effect of different model input parameters on the stock estimates, and modeling different aspects of uncertainty are also being developed. Currently the assessment methods "Extended Survivors Analysis" (XSA: Darby \& Flatman, 1994; Shepherd, 1999) and ICA have been incorporated in a package as FLXSA and FLICA, but the development of other stock assessment methods like ADAPT and SURBA is on-going.

In this working group, FLR has been used for exploratory analyses for North Sea herring, applying both FLICA and FLXSA:

- deterministic analysis using FLXSA and FLICA;
- retrospective analysis using FLXSA (not applicable for FLICA during the WG);
- structural uncertainty using different combinations of basic model assumptions using FLXSA and FLICA (up to last year's data);
- data uncertainty from bootstrapped tuning indices using FLXSA and FLICA (up to last year's data);

Bootstrapping of tuning data has been used in the assessment of North Sea plaice and sole during WGNSSK 2005 to estimate uncertainty of the data. However for this exercise survey residuals were resampled. It was found that for North Sea plaice, where a high shrinkage is used in the assessment, the bootstrapped assessments had the tendency to be biased compared to the deterministic assessment. In the exploration phase of this year's North Sea herring benchmark assessment, the survey data was resampled from station level to estimate data uncertainty. Combining this data uncertainty estimation with the estimation of model uncertainty due to the model settings, which can be done relatively easy in FLR, is a promising step forward towards management, which takes more account of uncertainty than currently.

### 1.6.4 SURBA

"Survey Based Assessment" (SURBA: Beare, 2005; Needle, 2003, 2004) is based on a simple survey-based separable model of mortality and has been used for exploratory analyses for North Sea herring. SURBA is under continual development. At the moment SURBA is not yet available in FLR, but development towards this is ongoing.

### 1.6.5 MFSP, MSYPR and MFDP

Short-term predictions for the North Sea used MFSP / MSYPR that was developed three years ago in the HAWG (Skagen; WD to HAWG 2003). Other short-term predictions were carried out using the MFDP v.1a software.

### 1.6.6 STPR used for medium term projections NS herring

Medium term projections were performed with the STPR3 software, supplemented with a version (S3S) made to ease screening over ranges of model parameter choices. The software documentation is available from ICES or as a report (Skagen, 2003). The simulation framework covers alternative scenarios for future recruitment, weight and maturity at age, assessment error, discarding and other unaccounted mortality. The harvest rules can be examined with respect to error in future assessments by assuming that the stock numbers at age, and hence the SSB on which managers make their decisions, deviates from the real state of the stock. STPR3 does this by a simple stochastic multiplier on the stock numbers as seen by decision makers. Likewise, discrepancy between the decided TAC and the catch actually taken is simulated by a common implementation multiplier. This may account for bias due to misreporting etc. Uncertainty due to measurement (i.e. sampling of the catch derivation of CPUE) estimation within the assessment process, model mis-specification and implementation error were not explicitly modelled but assigned a combined assessment error. However, varying feedback between the assessment process and the management decision making process was not included. Feedback can cause bias in the assessment to affect the management and thus the stock which in turn affects bias in the assessment.

The simple approach in STPR allows for some evaluation of the robustness of a harvest rule to such errors, but does not pretend to foresee how these errors will appear in the future. However, to be feasible, one would assume that the harvest rule still should lead to a precautionary management if these errors have an order of magnitude that has been experienced in the past. It may be noted that previous implementation error that has not been accounted for, although it will have influenced the perception of the stock in the past. Hence, implementation error should only cover cases where it may be different from what it was in the past or already documented and explicitly included in past data.

### 1.7 Discarding and unaccounted mortality by Pelagic fishing Vessels

In many fisheries, fish, invertebrates and other animals are caught as by-catch and returned to the sea, a practice known as discarding. Most animals do not survive this procedure. Reasons for discarding are various and usually have economic drivers:

- Fish smaller than the minimum landing size
- Quota for this specific species has already been taken
- Fish of undesired quality (high-grading)
- By-caught species of no commercial value

Theoretically, the use of modern fish finding technology used to find schools of fish should result in low by-catch. However, if species mixing occurs in pelagic schools (most notable of herring and mackerel), non-target species might be discarded. Releasing unwanted catch from the net (slipping) or pumping unsorted catch overboard also results in discarding.

Discarding of herring in the pelagic fisheries was considered not to be a large problem, with discards below 5\%, estimated by onboard observer programmes. In the area considered by HAWG, only two nations reported discards from their fleets in 2005. For those nations, discard figures were raised to national landings (based on the spatial and temporal distribution of the fleet), and used in the assessment of North Sea autumn spawning herring (UK/Scotland and Germany, see Section 2.3) and VIaN (UK/Scotland, see Section 5.1.3). All other nations did not report notable amounts of discards of herring in the pelagic fisheries, either because they did not occur, catches were not sampled for discards or difficulties with raising procedures. No discard estimates for the total international catch were calculated.

The inclusion of discarded catch is considered to reduce bias of the assessment and thus give more realistic values of fishing mortality and biomass. However, they might also increase the
noise in the assessment because the sampling level for discards is usually lower than that for landings (Table 1.7.1. and 1.7.2). This is, as for sampling of landings, caused by the large number of different metiers in the pelagic fishery and the difficulty to predict behaviour of the fisheries (in terms of target species and spatial and temporal distribution). Raising discard estimates to the national landings might result in a higher bias than an area based estimate of discards from the total international fleet, if sampling is insufficient. HAWG therefore recommends that the development of methods for estimating discards be based on a fleet based method, rather than on a national basis.

### 1.8 Ecosystem considerations, sprat and herring- response to WGRED, SGRECVAP and SGRESP.

HAWG acknowledges the importance of trends and variability in the ecosystem on the dynamics of herring and sprat. This must be considered when giving advice. The reports of SGPRISM (soon to be published as an ICES Cooperative Report) and SGGROMAT have played a role in the determination of current thinking within HAWG. HAWG is also aware of the need to consider the impact of the pelagic fisheries on the ecosystem as a whole. In considering the impact of ecosystem variability and trends on the recent productivity of herring and sprat, HAWG has used the reports of WGRED, SGRECVAP and SGRESP to provide information and input to the current two sections below.

### 1.8.1 North Sea

The largest stock assessed by HAWG is in the North Sea. Salinity and temperature are known to have a large impact to shape the ecosystem structure in the North Sea and generally their variability reflects the influence of the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) on the movement of Atlantic water into the North Sea. The ICES Annual Ocean Climate Status Summary (IAOCSS) for 2003/04 suggests that it may have been negative in the winter of 2004/05 as in the previous winter (Hughes and Lavin 2005). Negative NAO were usually associated with lower temperature than normal but this correlation seems to have been broken down in the latest years (ICES 2006/LRC:03).

The long-term temperature and salinity anomalies in the Atlantic waters flowing into the North Sea with the Faire Isle current provide a broadly similar cyclical behaviour up to the late 1990s (ICES 2006/LRC:03). However, in more recent years the two signals appear to diverge, with relatively high temperatures persisting during years showing a marked decline in salinity (Hughes and Lavin 2005).

In 2005, SST (Sea Surface Temperature) was close to the long term mean for the first eight months of the year, but showed strong positive anomalies in September to December (source http://www.bsh.de/en/index.jsp).

A series of studies on the plankton ecosystem at the herring spawning grounds in the North Sea have shown a strong linkage between frontal hydrography and the prey availability, growth and drift of herring larvae which hatch in these areas (e.g. Richardson and Heath 1986, Kiørboe et al 1988) and other studies propose a strong connection between frontal hydrography and herring recruitment (Iles and Sinclair 1982, Sinclair 1988). Preliminary explorations of the hydrographic variability at the spawning grounds during the period 19752005 indicate that two periods of poor herring recruitment (1987-90 and 2001-05) coincide periods of anomalous low water density in nearshore areas. This observed decline in water density is connected to both salinity and temperature fluctuations, and has most likely changed the performance of nearshore fronts. Hence, the preliminary comparisons indicate that the herring recruitment could be affected by oceanographic fluctuations, leading to changes in
frontal hydrography at the herring spawning areas, and further investigations of this relationship are recommended.

In concomitance with an increased SST and decreased salinity, the plankton community in the North Sea has shifted to a dominance of more "southerly" species, as shown by CPR data (Reid et al., 2003) after the decline in the abundance of the copepod Calanus finmarchicus. Both Calanus species and juvenile sand eels are common prey of herring and recent evidence from the Baltic has shown that herring positively select Pseudocalanus and Temora and select less Acartia (Casini et al., 2004). Acartia is associated with summer blooms and warmer temperatures as shown by Gowen et al. (1998). These trends in zooplankton species abundance and species compositions appear to be continuing and those might have causal effect with herring growth and migration patterns (ICES 2006/ACE:03). The CPR data also show a reduction in euphausid availability. Although no changes have been recorded in the total zooplankton biomass and in total copepod abundance (e.g. northern North Sea areas B1 and B2; SAHFOS 2004, Heath 2005), the overall picture is one of a changing zooplankton community structure.

The production of herring has increased (ICES 2005/ACFM:18) since the collapse caused by overfishing in the 1970s (for methods details see Dutil and Brander, 2003). Surplus production has been of the order of 700 k tonnes for the last 25 years and the recent positive net production has lead to an increase in available herring biomass in the system. Also, the biomass of sprat is considered high and fairly stable compared to the last decade (ICES 2005/ACFM:18).

In terms of the impact of a high biomass of herring and sprat on the North Sea ecosystem, some studies are ongoing, but more resources are required to obtain new estimates of stomach contents, prey selectivity, stomach evacuation rates and behavioural interactions by herring and sprat. With low sandeel and Calanus abundances, the herring may well be having a stronger impact on the ecosystem than in the previous last 2 decades. However, a high biomass of herring may also provide an alternative prey source to piscivores such as horse mackerel and Minke whales (Olsen and Holst, 2001) reducing the pressure on sandeel. Also, the impact of herring as predator of fish eggs varies with the prey spectra faced by the species (Segers et al., 2006). These last sentences are very speculative and if the quantitative trophiccomplexities of the system are to be considered as a priority by ICES, more resources need to be spent on understanding the trophic interactions in the North Sea and developing spatial and temporal trophic dynamics models of the system.

Recent investigations of the decline in larval herring at age (empirical data from the ICES coordinated larval herring surveys, Dickey-Collas in prep.), which used a temperature dependent growth model to estimate larval age, suggest that the daily mortality rate of herring in the North Sea has recently increased to the highest in the time series (ICES 2006/LRC:03). There was evidence that high mortality of herring larvae can co-occur with high larval production (CM 2006/LRC:03). There was a strong negative trend in the residuals from stockrecruits relationship in the latest decade suggesting that the poor recruitment is not just related to high spawning stock biomass level (ICES 2006/LRC:03) but likely caused by an high mortality of herring larvae. The mechanisms for this were most likely poor larval feeding, predation, poor hatching condition and probably a combination of those with possible links to variable hydrographic conditions.

SGRECVAP (ICES 2006/LRC:03), using dynamic factor analysis, highlighted a positive correlation between the time series of SST and herring recruitment anomalies in the North Sea. In addition, a recent analysis (see Cardinale and Hjelm, 2006 for details on methods used) on the effect of spawning stock biomass (SSB) and sea surface temperature (SST) on clupeid recruitment in the North East Atlantic showed significantly more stocks with an SSB effect on recruitment compared to an SST effect on recruitment (Cardinale et al., 2006), although there
was not significant difference on the strength of the SSB compared to the SST effect. Variability of recruitment anomalies of clupeid stocks (using all stocks assessed by ICES in the North East Atlantic) was positively strongly correlated with anomalies of SST in the area. A strong positive relationship was found between the first principal component, which explained around $29 \%$ of the recruitment anomalies variation, and average temperature deviations in the area. A similar relationship was found also with NAO, but its strength was lower than for SST. Interestingly, $70^{\prime}$ and $80^{\prime}$ s are clearly separated from $90^{\prime}$ and onwards, plausibly mimicking the different climate regimes (i.e cold against warm period) (Cardinale et al., 2006). This again highlights the link of temperature to recruitment strength of clupeids in the area but it does not provide any clear underlying mechanisms.

In the neighbouring Baltic Sea, the interactions between herring and sprat have been shown to be very dynamic (Mollmann and Koster, 2002). A close association in food items predated upon by those species has been recently shown, together with a clear density-dependent (i.e. food limited) growth for both herring and sprat (Casini et al., 2006). Clupeid condition covaried with the changes in the weight of zooplankton in the stomachs, which further suggest food competition being the main mechanism behind the changes in clupeid condition in the Baltic Sea during the last two decades. This is the first evidence of food resource mediated density-dependent fish growth in a large marine ecosystem (Casini et al., 2006). The individual fish from the strong 2000 year class of herring in the North Sea have been smaller in size and are less mature at age. This suggests that either slower-growing fish have survived in that year class or that the ecosystem has failed to provide enough food to allow the full potential growth for that cohort i.e. that food has been limiting for that cohort. This cohort grew well up to 1 winter ring of age. However, the less abundant 2001-2004 year classes show again average growth, tending to corroborate food limitation as the likely explaining factor for growth rates variability also in the North Sea herring (ICES 2006/LRC:03). With the decline in sandeel and other planktivorous fish, HAWG would support further studies into the feeding interaction and spatial and temporal associations of herring, sprat, anchovy and pilchard (sardine), especially in the light of the increase of the abundance of the latter southern species in the area during the latest decade (ICES 2006/ACE:03).

The Kattegat and the Skagerrak is also considered an important area for herring by HAWG, it supports both local spawning populations and is the major nursery ground for North Sea herring. The impact of the higher saline inflows through this area into the Baltic Sea in recent years on the resident herring populations is at present unknown. Studies presented to HAWG in 2005 about the HERGEN project suggest that salinity may play a role in the genetic integrity of local spawning components.

Most herring fisheries deploy gear that is deployed clear of the seabed. The impact of gravel extraction on the conservation and productivity of herring is still unclear, and there are virtually no studies to provide evidence at present (ICES 2005/ACFM:18). The limited evidence available at present records no incidences of cetacean mortality due to pelagic trawling ( 0 catches observed out of 218 pelagic hauls by commercial trawlers from 19992004). There are also very few other by-catches of fish, beyond the targeted fisheries of herring, mackerel, horse mackerel and blue whiting.

No specific environmental signals were identified specifically by WGRED (CM 2006/LRC:03) to be considered in assessment or management of herring and sprat in this area in 2006.

### 1.8.2 Celtic Seas

The western herring stocks assessed by HAWG are found in the Celtic Seas (Celtic Sea, Irish Sea, Malin/Hebridean Shelf). There is less information on the hydrographic variability and
ecosystem dynamics in the Celtic Seas. WGRED appeared to concentrate on the Celtic Sea, and this is reflected below:

In the Celtic Sea, in terms of hydrographic variability, the Irish Shelf Front, that occurs to the south and west of Ireland (at about $11^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ) around the 150 m isobath, and exists year-round, is an important feature for the structure of the marine ecosystem in the area. The turbulence caused by the front may bring nutrients from deeper water to the surface where it promotes the growth of phytoplankton, especially diatoms in spring, but also dinoflagellates where there is increased stratification. These may in-turn be fed on by swarms of zooplankton and associated with these, aggregations of fish, like herring and sprat (Reid et al. 2003).

The WGRED report (ICES 2006/ACE:03) suggests that there are indications of steadily warming in the area over recent years. Similar trends appear for salinity (ICES 2006/ACE:03). Considering that Celtic Sea herring is the second most southerly population of herring exploited in Europe, and this is an area of warming sea surface water, sea warming could affect the recruitment of this pelagic species.

Variation of zooplankton abundance and species composition might affect feeding conditions and mortality of juveniles and adults of both herring and sprat. Zooplankton monitoring data are available from one station in waters about 50 m deep in the English Channel. These data exhibited a decreasing trend from 1988 to 1995 but a recovery thereafter. This recovery was mainly due to two autumn developing small species of copepod, Euterpina sp. and Oncaea sp. In 1999 there was a decline in the zooplankton population, with the top ten species all below their typical average values (apart from Temora and Corycaeus, which exhibited very little variation) (ICES 2006/ACE:03). In 2000, 2001 and 2002 zooplankton population abundance experienced a recovery reaching values comparable to those after 1995 (reported in ICES Zooplankton Monitoring Status Summary 2001/2002). Data for 2004 and 2005 were not yet available.

WGRED considered that in the Celtic Sea key pelagic species here is herring as well as sardine, in the southern area, and sprat, in the Celtic Sea proper. The area also accommodates considerable stocks of argentines (two species) and large numbers of small mesopelagic myctophids along the shelf break (ICES 2006/ACE:03).

Despite recent evidence from WESTHER and HERGEN that there is little genetic differentiation between herring stocks, their phenotypic characteristics and population dynamics are different. A comparison of the relative trends in surplus production indicates that after the collapses due to overfishing in the 1970s, the Celtic Sea shows a very different pattern compared to both the west of Scotland and the Irish Sea stock (ICES 2006/ACE:03). The Celtic Sea stock appears to have been more dynamic in terms of surplus production (biomass available to fish) than the stocks further to the north.

No obvious environmental signals were identified by WGRED that should be considered in assessment or management of herring and sprat in this area. However, the major trends in the ecosystem noted above (i.e. the steady warming of the area and the reduction of copepod abundance) could play a major role to shape the dynamic of herring and sprat stocks in the near future (ICES 2006/ACE:03).

### 1.9 Pelagic Regional Advisory Council [Pelagic RAC]

Members of HAWG have attended meetings of the pelagic RAC since its inauguration in 2005. HAWG considers the views of the Pelagic RAC as important, and welcomes the formation of the forum to give stakeholders a role in the advisory process. HAWG notes that the Pelagic RAC also has special members from outside the EU, notably from Norway.

Prior to HAWG 2006, the chair of HAWG approached the Pelagic RAC to ask if any specific concerns about herring were of great worry to the RAC at present. The emailed response from Mr Rob Banning (RAC secretary) pointed to the poor communication of the advice on IIIa herring and worries in the RAC about the poor recruitment of North Sea herring. HAWG noted these worries, and whilst answering its terms of reference from ACFM, it took the RAC's comments into consideration.

### 1.10 Stock overview

At HAWG, a total of eight herring stocks and three sprat stocks are considered in the area south of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Analytical assessment could be carried out for three of these eleven stocks. The stock of the North Sea autumn spawning herring was analysed as a benchmark assessment. Results of the assessments are presented in the subsequent sections of the report and are summarized below and in Figures 1.10.1-1.10.3.

North Sea autumn spawning herring is the largest stock assessed by this WG. It has experienced very low spawning stock biomass levels in the late 1970s when the fishery was closed for a number of years. This stock began to recover until the mid-1990s, when it appeared to decrease again rapidly. A management scheme was adopted to halt this decline. Following a period of good recruitment co-occurring with the new management measures, SSB and the proportion of older fish in the stock increased. This gave the opportunity to increase TACs and catch. In recent years, $F$ on the adults has been just below $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and fishing mortality on the juveniles has been low. Both the 1998 and the 2000 year classes were strong. However the 2004 year class is estimated to be among the weakest in the time-series, as were the 2002 and 2003 year classes. Due to the current unusual circumstances of a clearly identified sequence of four poor recruiting year classes of North Sea herring it is particularly important that the potential decline of this stock is addressed with sufficient determination to ensure the safety of the spawning stock in the next few years.

Western Baltic Spring Spawners (WBSS) is the only spring spawning stock assessed within this WG. It is distributed in the eastern part of the North Sea, the Skagerrak, the Kattegat and the Sub-Divisions 22, 23 and 24. Within the northern area, the stock mixes with North Sea autumn spawners. An analytical assessment demonstrates that SSB has been slightly increasing or stable over a number of years. The age structure in the catch over the last three years consistently reflects that the large 1999 year class is now part of the spawning stock. The 2003 year class seems to be above average.

Celtic Sea herring: The herring fisheries to the south of Ireland in the Celtic Sea and in Division VIIj have been considered to exploit the same stock. For the purpose of stock assessment and management, these areas have been combined since 1982. The fishery in the eastern part of the Celtic Sea was closed in the early eighties due to poor recruitment. Stock assessments have become unstable in the recent past due to fluctuations in recruitment, for which there is no independent measure. In 2006 no final assessment could be produced. SSB and F cannot be precisely estimated, although it is likely that the SSB is between $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{PA}}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{LIM}}$. Indications from recruitment in the catch suggests that recruitment in 2003 (year-class 2001) may be the lowest in the series. Current fishing mortality is very uncertain and may be very high.

West of Scotland herring can currently be regarded as lightly exploited and with two good year classes the stock is at a relatively high level compared to last 30 years. Earlier data indicate the possibility of larger stock in the 1960s. The stock experienced a heavy fishery in the mid-70s following closure of the North Sea fishery. The fishery was closed before the stock collapsed. It was opened again along with the North Sea. In the mid 1990s there was substantial area misreporting of catch into this area and sampling of catch deteriorated.

Recently the area misreporting has reduced to a very low level and information on catch has improved, but in 2004 and 2005 misreporting increased again.

In this year's assessment uncertainties surrounding the reduced catch and survey estimates were regarded and it was decided to explore assessment outcomes with the 2005 survey both included and excluded in the assessment runs. The outcome of the assessment this year confirms earlier perceptions of a lightly exploited stock ( $\mathrm{F}<=0.2$ ). However, the assessment of the current biomass is very uncertain. The changes seen here are unusually dramatic for a stock that is still perceived as lightly exploited. The Working Group was unable to choose between the two assessment options with confidence. However, these assessments may be used to conclude that F is low but further investigation is required regarding the current SSB.

Herring in VIa south and VIIbc are considered to consist of a mixture of autumn- and winter/spring-spawning fish. The winter/spring-spawning component is distributed in the northern part of the area. The main decline in the overall stock since 1998 appears to have taken place on the autumn-spawning component, and this is particularly evident on the traditional spawning grounds in VIIb. The current levels of SSB and F are not precisely known, as there is no tuned assessment available for this stock. The results of a tentative assessment suggest that the sharp decline in SSB may have stopped and that the SSB may have stabilised at a low level. The current levels of SSb and F are not precisely known, but F appears to have been reduced due to the reduction in catch.

Irish Sea autumn spawning herring as comprises of two spawning groups (Manx and Mourne). This stock complex experienced a very low biomass level in the late 1970s with an increase in the mid-1980s after the introduction of quotas. The stock then declined from the late 1980s to its present level. During this time period the contribution of the Mourne spawning component has declined. In the past decade there have been problems in assessing the stock. It seems likely that the stock has been relatively stable for the last 10 years, and that the fishing mortality does not appear to be increasing above the recent average.

North Sea Sprat is the only sprat stock on which an assessment is carried out within this WG. Sprat in the North Sea is a short-lived species. The recruits account for a large proportion of the stock, and the fishery in a given year is very dependent on that year's incoming year class. The size of the stock has been variable with a large biomass in the early 90 's followed by a sharp decline. The sprat stock now shows signs of being in good condition. The $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter 2006 IBTS estimate of the 2005 year class indicate that this year class is the lowest estimate since the 1995 year class and far below long time mean.

### 1.11 Structure of the report

The report below, further details in each chapter the available information on the catch, fisheries and biology of the stocks and then the stock assessments, the projections, the quality of the assessments and management considerations for each stock. This information and analysis are given in chapters for each of the seven major stocks considered by HAWG. Despite this structure, it is important to realise that there are many links between the stocks and/or areas (e.g. North Sea and herring caught in IIIa, VIaN herring and the North Sea, Celtic Sea and Irish Sea herring).

HAWG has adopted the ICES recommended procedure of benchmark and update assessments. In 2006 HAWG carried out one benchmark assessment: North Sea autumn spawning herring. VIaN herring, western Baltic spring spawning herring and North Sea sprat were all update assessments in 2006. VIaS, Celtic Sea, Irish Sea herring and IIIa sprat were all exploratory assessments. Two stocks, with very poor data (no catch at age sampling) and no current ongoing research are described in chapter 10. These are Clyde herring and sprat in the English Channel.

### 1.12 Recommendations

Please see Annex 2.

Table 1.5.1: Available disaggregated data for the HAWG per March 2006. X: Multiple spreadsheets (usually xls); W: WG-data national input spreadsheets (xls); D: Disfad inputs and Alloc-outputs (ascii/txt)

| Stock | Catchyear | Format |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | X | W D |  |
| Baltic Sea: IIIa and SD 22-24 |  |  |  |  |
| her_3a22 | 1991-2000 | x |  | raw data, provided by Jørgen Dalskov, Mar. 2001, splitting revised |
|  | 1998 | x |  | provided by Jørgen Dalskov, Mar. 2001, splitting revised |
|  | 1999 | x |  | provided by Jørgen Dalskov, Mar. 2001, splitting revised, catch data revis |
|  | 2000 | x |  | provided by Jørgen Dalskov, Mar. 2001 |
|  | 2001 | x |  | provided by Jørgen Dalskov, Mar. 2002 |
|  | 2002 | x |  | provided by Jørgen Dalskov, Mar. 2003 |
|  | 2003 | x |  | provided by Jørgen Dalskov, Mar. 2004 |
|  | 2004 | $\times$ |  | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2005 |
|  | 2005 | x |  | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2006 |
| Celtic Sea and VIIj |  |  |  |  |
| her_irls | 1999 | x |  | provided by Ciarán Kelly, Mar. 2000 |
|  | 2000 | x |  | provided by Ciarán Kelly, Mar. 2001 |
|  | 2001 |  | D | provided by Ciarán Kelly, Mar. 2002 |
|  | 2002 |  | D | provided by Ciarán Kelly, Mar. 2003 |
|  | 2003 |  | D | provided by Maurice Clarke, Mar. 2004 |
|  | 2004 |  | D | provided by Maurice Clarke, Mar. 2005 |
|  | 2005 |  | D | provided by Maurice Clarke, Mar. 2006 |
| Clyde |  |  |  |  |
| her_clyd | $\begin{gathered} 1999 \\ 2000-2003 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | x |  | provided by Mark Dickey-Collas, Mar. 2000 included in VIaN |
| Irish Sea |  |  |  |  |
| her_nirs | 1988-2003 | x |  | updated by SG HICS, March 2004 |
|  | 1998 | x |  | provided by Mark Dickey-Collas, Mar. 2000 |
|  | 1999 | $\times$ |  | provided by Mark Dickey-Collas, Mar. 2000 |
|  | 2000 | $\times$ | w | provided by Mark Dickey-Collas, Mar. 2001 |
|  | 2001 | $\times$ |  | provided by Mark Dickey-Collas, Mar. 2002 |
|  | 2002 | x |  | provided by Richard Nash, Mar. 2003 |
|  | 2003 | x |  | provided by Richard Nash, Mar. 2004 |
|  | 2004 | $\times$ |  | provided by Beatriz Roel, Mar. 2005 |
|  | 2005 | x |  | provided by Steven Beggs, Mar. 2005 |
| North Sea |  |  |  |  |
| her_47d3, her_nsea | 1991 | x |  | provided by Yves Verin, Feb. 2001 |
|  | 1992 | x |  | provided by Yves Verin, Feb. 2001 |
|  | 1993 | $\times$ |  | provided by Yves Verin, Feb. 2001 |
|  | 1994 | $\times$ |  | provided by Yves Verin, Feb. 2001 |
|  | 1995 | $\times$ | W D | provided by Yves Verin, Feb. 2001, updated by SG Rednose, Oct 2003 |
|  | 1996 | (X) | W D | provided by Yves Verin, Feb. 2001, updated by SG Rednose, Oct 2003 |
|  | 1997 | (X) | W D | provided by Yves Verin, Feb. 2001, updated by SG Rednose, Oct 2003 |
|  | 1998 | (X) | $w$ D | provided by Yves Verin, Mar. 2000, updated by SG Rednose, Oct 2003 |
|  | 1999 |  | W D | provided by Christopher Zimmermann, Mar. 2000, updated by SG Rednos |
|  | 2000 |  | W D | provided by Christopher Zimmermann, Mar. 2001, updated by SG Rednos |
|  | 2001 |  | $w$ D | provided by Christopher Zimmermann, Mar. 2002 |
|  | 2002 |  | W D | provided by Christopher Zimmermann, Mar. 2003 |
|  | 2003 |  | W D | provided by Christopher Zimmermann, Mar. 2004 |
|  | 2004 |  | w D | provided by Christopher Zimmermann, Mar. 2005 |
|  | 2005 |  | W D | provided by Christopher Zimmermann, Mar. 2006 |
| West of Scotland (VIa(N)) |  |  |  |  |
| her_vian | 1957-1972 | x |  | provided by John Simmonds, Mar. 2004 |
|  | 1997 | $\times$ |  | provided by Ken Patterson, Mar. 2002 |
|  | 1998 | x |  | provided by Ken Patterson, Mar. 2002 |
|  | 1999 |  | w D | provided by Paul Fernandes, Mar. 2000, W included in North Sea |
|  | 2000 |  | w D | provided by Emma Hatield, Mar. 2001, W included in North Sea |
|  | 2001 |  | W D | provided by Emma Hatfield, Mar. 2002, W included in North Sea |
|  | 2002 |  | w D | provided by Emma Hatfield, Mar. 2003, W included in North Sea |
|  | 2003 |  | $w$ D | provided by Emma Hatfield, Mar. 2004, W included in North Sea |
|  | 2004 |  | w D | provided by John Simmonds, Mar. 2005, W included in North Sea |
|  | 2005 |  | W D | provided by Emma Hatield, Mar. 2006, W included in North Sea |
| West of Ireland |  |  |  |  |
| her_irlw | 1999 | $\times$ | (w) | provided by Ciaran Kelly, Mar. 2000 |
|  | 2000 | $\times$ | (w) | provided by Ciaran Kelly, Mar. 2001 |
|  | 2001 |  | D | provided by Ciaran Kelly, Mar. 2002 |
|  | 2002 |  | D | provided by Ciaran Kelly, Mar. 2003 |
|  | 2003 |  | D | provided by Maurice Clarke, Mar. 2004 |
|  | 2004 |  | D | provided by Maurice Clarke, Mar. 2005 |
|  | 2005 |  | D | provided by Afra Egan, Mar. 2006 |
| Sprat in IIIa |  |  |  |  |
| spr_kask | 1999 | $\times$ | (w) | provided by Else Torstensen, Mar. 2000 |
|  | 2000 | $\times$ | (W) | provided by Else Torstensen, Mar. 2001 |
|  | 2001 | $\times$ | (W) | provided by Lotte Askgaard Worsøe, Mar. 2002 |
|  | 2002 | $\times$ | (W) | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2003 |
|  | 2003 | $\times$ | (W) | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2004 |
|  | 2004 | $\times$ | (W) | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2005 |
|  | 2005 | $\times$ | (w) | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2006 |
| Sprat in the North Sea |  |  |  |  |
| spr_nsea | 1999 | $\times$ | (w) | provided by Else Torstensen, Mar. 2000 |
|  | 2000 | $\times$ | (W) | provided by Else Torstensen, Mar. 2001 |
|  | 2001 | $\times$ | (w) | provided by Lotte Askgaard Worsøe, Mar. 2002 |
|  | 2002 | $\times$ | (W) | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2003 |
|  | 2003 | $\times$ | (W) | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2004 |
|  | 2004 | $\times$ | (w) | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2005 |
|  | 2005 | $\times$ | (W) | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2006 |
| Sprat in VIId \& e |  |  |  |  |
| spr_ech | 1999 | $\times$ | (w) | provided by Else Torstensen, Mar. 2000 |
|  | 2000 | $\times$ | (W) | provided by Else Torstensen, Mar. 2001 |
|  | 2001 | $\times$ | (w) | provided by Lotte Askgaard Worsøe, Mar. 2002 |
|  | 2002 | $\times$ | (W) | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2003 |
|  | 2003 | $\times$ | (W) | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2004 |
|  | 2004 | $\times$ | (w) | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2005 |
|  | 2005 | $\times$ | (w) | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2006 |
| National Data |  |  |  |  |
| Germany: Western Baltic | 1991-2000 | $\times$ |  | provided by Tomas Gröhsler, Mar. 2001 (with sampling) |
| Germany: North Sea | 1995-1998 |  | w | provided by Christopher Zimmermann, Mar 2001 (without sampling) |
| Norway: Sprat | 1995-1998 |  | w | provided by Else Torstensen, Mar 2001 (without sampling) |
| Sweden | 1990-2000 |  | w | provided by Johan Modin, Mar 2001 (without sampling) |
| UK/England \& Wales | 1985-2000 | x |  | database output provided by Marinelle Basson, Mar. 2001 (without samplir |
| UK/Scotland | 1990-1998 |  | w | provided by Sandy Robb/Emma Hattield, Mar. 2002 |

Table 1.7.1: $\quad$ Sampling of the pelagic fleet by country, quarter and area for the North Sea (area IV) and area VIId. No. trip = number of trips. Total hauls = total number of hauls sampled. Herring hauls = total number of hauls sampled with herring catches (landings and/or discards) on a discard observer trip.

| Country | QUARTER | Area | No. Trips | Total hauls | Herring hauls |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Germany | 1 | IVa | 1 | 18 | 4 |
| Scotland | 1 | IVa | 9 | 26 | 4 |
| Germany | 2 | IVa | 1 | 10 | 10 |
| Netherlands | 2 | IVa | - | 52 | 52 |
| Germany | 3 | IVa | 1 | 16 | 16 |
| Netherlands | 3 | IVa | - | 19 | 19 |
| Scotland | 3 | IVa | 13 | 33 | 33 |
| Germany | 3 | VIId | 1 | 24 | 24 |
| Germany | 4 | VIId | - | $?$ | 30 |
| Netherlands | 4 |  |  | 50 | 48 |

* this table is based on the information available at the HAWG. It should not be regarded as a complete list of all biological samples taken in the pelagic fleet. The samples taken by The Netherlands are obtained from 11 trips.

Table 1.7.2 Sampling of the pelagic fleet by country, quarter and area for the remaining areas covered by the national sampling programmes within HAWG. No. trip = number of trips. Total hauls = total number of hauls sampled. Herring hauls = total number of hauls sampled with herring catches (landings and/or discards) on a discard observer trip.

| Country | QUARTER | Area | No. Trips | Total hauls | Herring hauls |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Germany | 1 | VIa | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 2 | VIa | - | 37 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 4 | VIa | - | 13 | 4 |
| Netherlands | 1 | VIIb | - | 17 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 3 | VIIb | - | 6 | 1 |
| Netherlands | 4 | VIIb | - | 2 | 1 |
| Netherlands | 1 | VIIc | - | 44 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 4 | VIIh | - | 2 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 1 | VIIh | - | 34 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 4 | VIIj | 1 | 8 | 0 |
| Germany | 1 | VIIj | - | 25 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 1 | VIIj | - | 3 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 3 |  |  |  |  |

* this table is based on the information available at the HAWG. It should not be regarded as a complete list of all biological samples taken in the pelagic fleet. The samples taken by The Netherlands are obtained from 11 trips.


Figure 1.5.1: ICES areas as used for the assessment of herring stocks south of $62^{\circ} \mathbf{N}$. Area names in italics indicate the area separation applied to the commercial catch and sampling data kept in long term storage. "Transfer area" refers to the transfer of Western Baltic Spring Spawners caught in the North Sea to the Baltic Assessment.


Figure 1.5.2: Herring south of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ : Sampling level per ICES areas for the whole year and all fleets in 2005. Circle diameter is proportional to working group catch; share of sampled catch (black) is indicated. Numbers give the numbers of age readings per 1000 t catch. For the allocation of areas to stocks, see Fig. 1.5.1



Figure 1.10.1 WG estimates of catch (yield) of the stocks presented in HAWG 2006.


Figure 1.10.2: Spawning stock biomass estimates of the $\mathbf{4}$ stocks for which analytical assessments were presented in HAWG 2006. The $B_{p a}$ level (if defined) is indicated in the graphs. Note that the SSB of herring Division VIa (North) is given in two different figures: Acoustic survey included (left lower panel) and acoustic survey excluded (right lower panel).


Figure 1.10.3 Estimates of mean $F$ of the 4 stocks for which analytical assessments were presented in HAWG 2006. The $F_{p a}$ level (if defined) is indicated in the graphs. Note that the $F$ for herring in Division VIa (North) is given in two different figures: Acoustic survey included (left lower panel) and acoustic survey excluded (right lower panel)

### 2.1 The Fishery

### 2.1.1 ACFM advice and management applicable to 2005 and 2006

According to the management scheme agreed between the EU and Norway, adopted in December 1997 and last amended in November 2004, efforts should be made to maintain the SSB of North Sea Autumn Spawning herring above 800000 tonnes. An SSB reference point of 1.3 million has been set $\left(=\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\right)$ above which the TACs will be based on an $\mathrm{F}=0.25$ for adult herring and $F=0.12$ for juveniles. If the SSB falls below 1.3 million tonnes, the fishing mortality will have to be linearly reduced. A TAC deviation of more than $15 \%$ between two subsequent years should be avoided, however, the TAC might be reduced by more than $15 \%$ if the parties consider this appropriate. The full management agreement is given in section 2.12 below.

Since 2002, the SSB is considered to have been above $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$. From then on, ACFM gave fleetwise catch option tables for fishing mortalities within the constraints of the EU-Norway management scheme. The advice for a sub-TAC on catches in IVc and VIId for 2004 was that it should not increase faster than the TAC for the North Sea as a whole. ACFM thought that a share of $11 \%$ on the total North Sea TAC (average share 1989-2002) would be an appropriate guide to distributing the harvesting of Downs herring.

It was expected that fishing at the recommended level would lead to a further increase in the SSB in the short term, mainly due to large recruiting year classes entering the fishery. ACFM considered in 2005 that there were three recruiting year classes (2002, 2003, and 2004) that were all well below average. This is unusual and ACFM recommended that managers should take this into account when implementing the harvest control rule as there is an increased risk that the stock may fall below the 1.3 mill. tonnes in the medium-term if the rule of $15 \%$ constraint on TAC variation is applied.

The final TAC adopted by the management bodies for 2005 was 535000 t for Area IV and Division VIId, whereof not more than 74293 t should be caught in Division IVc and VIId. For 2006, the TAC was reduced by $15 \%$ (following the constraints of the harvest control rule) to 454751 t and the sub-TAC set for Division IVc and VIId was reduced to 50023 t .

Catches of herring in the Thames estuary are not included in the TAC. The by-catch ceiling set for fleet B in the North Sea was 50000 t for 2005 and was decreased by $15 \%$ to 42500 t for 2006. As North Sea autumn spawners are also caught in Division IIIa, regulations for the fleets operating in this area have to be taken into account for the management of the stock (see Section 3). For a definition of the different fleets harvesting North Sea herring see the stock annex and Section 2.7.2.

Following the apparent recovery of the autumn spawning North Sea herring, some regulatory measures were amended in 2004: The total Norwegian quota and half of the EU quota for Division IIIa could be taken in the North Sea. A licence scheme introduced in 1997 by UK/Scotland to reduce misreporting between the North Sea and VIaN was relaxed. The minimal amount of target species in the EU industrial fisheries in IIIa has been reduced to 60 \% (for sprat, blue whiting and Norway pout). In 2005, for Division IIIa, Norway could only take half of its quota in the North Sea, and there is no flexibility for EU vessels. These amendments will be kept for 2006.

### 2.1.2 Catches in 2005

Total landings and estimated catches are given in the Table 2.1.1 for the North Sea and for each Division in Tables 2.1.2 to 2.1.5. Total working group catches per statistical rectangle and quarter are shown in Figures 2.1.1 a - d, the total for the year in Figure 2.1.1e. Each nation provided most of their catch data (either official landings or working group catch) by statistical rectangle.

The catch figures in Tables 2.1.1-2.1.5 are mostly provided by WG members and may or may not reflect national catch statistics. These figures can therefore not be used for legal purposes. For corrections applied to and inconsistencies in previous year's data see Section 2.2.3. Denmark and Norway provided information on by-catches of herring in the industrial fishery. These are taken in the small-meshed fishery (B-fleet) under an EU quota by Denmark and are included in the A-fleet figures for Norway. Catch estimates of herring taken as bycatch by other small-mesh fisheries in the North Sea may be an underestimate. The total catch in 2005 as used by the Working Group amounted to 638900 t .

In 2004, the catches of herring caught in the human consumption fishery in the North Sea overshot the TAC by 77000 t . For 2005, the TAC was raised by $16 \%$. Catches also increased by $16 \%$, so the excess over TAC amounted to 83000 t in the most recent year. By area, catches decreased in Division IVa (East) by about 16 \% and in IVb by $4 \%$. Catches increased in IVa (West) by 40 \% and by 8 \% in the southern North Sea (Division IVc and VIId). As the sub-TAC for the latter area was raised by $12 \%$, the total catch in this area now appears to be in good agreement with the TAC.

Landings of herring taken as by-catch in the Danish small-meshed fishery in the North Sea have increased by $60 \%$ to 21800 t as compared to last year (Table 2.1.6). This occurred in spite of the reduced numbers of juvenile herring in the area, and was mostly caused by significantly increased sprat catches, and a shift of effort from the sandeel- and Norway pout fisheries to sprat fisheries. However, these industrial herring catches were much lower than the by-catch ceiling set for Denmark ( 50000 t). In 2005, the Danish sprat fishery was carried out throughout the year with by-catches of herring of about $9 \%$ (21 035 t ; by-catch 2004: $5 \%)$. Herring by-catches in the Danish sandeel fishery were less than $0.5 \%$ and $0.8 \%$ in industrial fisheries targeting other fish. In the Norwegian industrial fishery, herring by-catch has decreased from 4984 t last year by $80 \%$ to 998 t , mostly due to the closure of the Norway pout fishery. The quarterly distribution of herring by-catches in the Norwegian industrial fishery and its relative share on the total industrial landings are given in the text table below. These figures are counted against the human consumption quota.

| QUARTER 1 | QUARTER 2 | QUARTER 3 | QUARTER 4 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 148 t | 329 t | 96 t | 425 t | 998 t |
| $7.0 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |

Misreporting of landings taken in the North Sea but reported from other areas such IIa and IIIa, and from VIaN has increased by almost $80 \%$ in 2005 compared to 2004 (from 31000 t to 56000 t ). The estimates of the total amount of misreported (including within-area misreporting) and unallocated catches have increased to about 79000 t (roughly $13 \%$ of the total landings from the North Sea - 626100 t). This is also an increase compared to 2004 (57 $000 \mathrm{t}, 11 \%$ of the total landings of 533100 t ).

Based on WG estimates of total catch, TACs for the human consumption fishery in Subarea IV and Division VIId have been greatly exceeded for several years. This appears to have continued in 2005, when the over catch of TAC amounted to 83000 t (compared to 77000 t in 2004). In the past, the largest relative discrepancies between officially reported landings and WG catch occurred in Division IVc and VIId, where TACs were exceeded by almost 100 \%
between 1996 and 2001 (when the sub-TAC was set to 25000 t). This has apparently changed since 2004, when the over-catch of TAC in the southern North Sea and the Eastern Channel was reduced to only $4 \%$. Following the increase of the sub-TAC, the Downs catch met the TAC in 2005. The excess catch is now taken in IVa and IVb.

The total North Sea TAC excess for the years 1995 to 2005 is shown in the table below (adapted from Table 2.1.6). Since the introduction of yearly by-catch ceilings in 1996, these ceilings have never been exceeded.

| YEAR | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TAC HC (‘000 t) | 440 | 156 | 159 | 254 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 400 | 460 | 535 |
| "Official" landings HC <br> ('000 t) ${ }^{1}$ | 443 | 170 | 162 | 253 | 275 | 267 | 275 | 282 | 414 | 484 | 547 |
| Working Group catch HC <br> ('000 t) | 449 | 196 | 226 | 324 | 318 | 328 | 303 | 331 | 438 | 537 | 617 |
| Excess of landings over <br> TAC HC (‘000 t) | 9 | 40 | 67 | 70 | 53 | 63 | 38 | 66 | 38 | 77 | 83 |
| By-catch ceiling ('000 t) ${ }^{3}$ |  | 44 | 24 | 22 | 30 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 52 | 38 | 50 |
| Reported by-catches (‘000 <br> t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working Group catch <br> North Sea (‘000 t) | 67 | 38 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 12 | 14 | 22 |

HC = human consumption fishery
1 "Official" landings might be provided by WG members; they do not in all cases correspond to official catches and cannot be used for management purposes. Norwegian by-catches included in this figure.
${ }^{2}$ figure altered in $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ on the basis of a re-evaluation of misreported catches from VIa North.
${ }^{3}$ by-catch ceiling for EU industrial fleets only, Norwegian by-catches included in the HC figure.
${ }^{4}$ provided by Denmark only.

### 2.2 Biological composition of the catch

Biological information (numbers, weight, length, catch (SOP) at age and relative age composition) on the catch as obtained by sampling of commercial catches is given for the whole year and per quarter in Tables 2.2.1 to 2.2.5. Except in cases where the necessary data are missing, data are displayed separately for herring caught in the North Sea (including a minor amount of Western Baltic spring spawners taken in IVa East), IVa East (total; Western Baltic spring spawners [WBSS] only - see Section 2.2.2; North Sea autumn spawners only), IVa West, IVb, VIId/IVc as well as for North Sea autumn spawners (NSAS) caught in Division IIIa, and the total NSAS stock, including catches in Division IIIa.

Biological information on the NSAS caught in Division IIIa was obtained using splitting procedures described in Sec. 3.2 and in the stock annex 3. Note that splitting was only applied to the working group catch, following the correction of area misreporting.

The total catches of NSAS (SOP figures), mean weights and numbers-at-age by fleet are given in Table 2.2.6. Data on catch numbers-at-age and SOP catches are shown for the period 19912005 in Tables 2.2.7 (herring caught in the North Sea), 2.2.8 (WBSS taken in the North Sea, see below), 2.2.9 (NSAS caught in Division IIIa) and 2.2.10 (total numbers of NSAS). Mean weights-at-age are given for 1995-2005 separately for the different Divisions where NSAS are caught (Tab. 2.2.11). Note that SOP catch estimates may deviate in some instances slightly from the working group catch used for the assessment.

### 2.2.1 Catch in numbers-at-age

The total number of herring taken in the North Sea and the total number of NSAS have increased by 18 \% (to 4.7 billion fish) and by 21 \% (to 5.2 billion fish), respectively, as
compared to last year. 0- and 1-ringers contributed $33 \%$ of the total catch in numbers of NSAS in 2005 (Table 2.2.7). 0- and 1-ringer catch has increased by $50 \%$ and $200 \%$, respectively, as compared to 2004 . Figure 2.2 .1 shows the relative proportions of the total catch numbers for different periods (1960-2005 and 1980-2005 for the total area, 2005 for different Divisions). While two thirds of the catch in the southern North Sea consisted of the strong 2000 year-class in 2004, this fraction was reduced to little more than $50 \%$ in 2005. Likewise, the share of the strong 1998 year-class in northern and central North Sea catch (2004: $20 \%$ ) was reduced to $12 \%$ in 2005. The proportion of the 2000 year-class has now increased to 26 \% in the northern catch.

The following table summarises the total catch in tonnes of North Sea autumn spawners. To arrive at the total catch of NSAS, splitting of the catch into NSAS and Western Baltic Spring Spawners has to be done in Divisions IIIa and IVaE. NSAS from IIIa are then added, and WBSS from the North Sea subtracted from the total NSAS catch figure. The final total catch used for the assessment of NSAS in 2005 was 664000 tonnes:

| Area | Allocated | Unallocated | Discards | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IVa West | 318787 | 39324 | 10861 | 368972 |
| IVa East | 99934 | - | - | 99934 |
| IVb | 83541 | 10233 | 1963 | 95737 |
| IVc/VIId | 66051 | 8231 | - | 74282 |
|  | Total catch in the North Sea |  |  | 638926 |
|  | Autumn Spawners caught in Division IIIa (SOP) |  |  | 31927 |
|  | Baltic Spring Spawners caught in the North Sea (SOP) |  |  | -7 039 |
|  | Other Spring Spawners |  |  | -74 |
|  | Total Catch NSAS used for the assessment |  |  | 663740 |

"Other spring spawners" are 74 t of Blackwater herring caught under a separate quota and included in the catch figure for England \& Wales. This year no spring spawners were reported from the commercial catch taken in other areas of the Western North Sea (see Sect. 2.2. below).

### 2.2.2 Spring-spawning herring in the North Sea

Norwegian Spring-spawners and local fjord-type spring spawning herring are taken in Division IVa (East) close to the Norwegian coast under a separate TAC. These catches are not included in the Norwegian North Sea catch figures given in Tables 2.1.1 to 2.1.6, but are listed separately in the respective catch tables. The amount of these catches varied significantly between less than 417 t in 2005 and 55000 t in 1997. Coastal Spring Spawners in the southern North Sea (e.g. Thames estuary) are caught in small quantities (usually less than 100 t ) regulated by a local TAC. The Netherlands reported increasing catches of Spring Spawners in the Western Part of the North Sea in recent years, which were included in the national catch figures and subtracted from the total catch used for the assessment of NSAS. This and last year no spring spawners were reported from routine sampling of commercial catch taken in the west.

Western Baltic and local Division IIIa Spring-spawners (WBSS) are taken in the eastern North Sea during the summer feeding migration (see stock annex 3 and section 3.2.2). These catches are included in Table 2.1.1 and listed as IIIa type. Table 2.2.8 specifies the estimated catch numbers of WBSS caught in the North Sea, which are transferred from the North Sea assessment to the assessment of Division IIIa/Western Baltic in 1991-2005.

The method of separating these fish, using vertebral counts as described in former reports of this Working Group (ICES 1991/ACFM:15) is given in Sec. 3 and in stock annex 3. For herring 2 -ringers, 3 -ringers, and $4+$-ringers caught in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ quarter, mean vertebral counts in the transfer area (see Fig. 1.5.1) were used. Samples from the Norwegian catches that have been taken in May and June 2005 were used for the second quarter (Figure 2.2.2). For 1ringers in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ quarter it was assumed that all fish were autumn spawners. For the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter no Norwegian or Danish samples were available from commercial landings, and instead the proportions from samples taken during the July Danish acoustic survey in this area (based on otolith micro-increment analysis) were applied. The source for the splitting in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter were again micro-increment analysis from Danish commercial samples. The resulting proportion of spring spawners and the quarterly catches of these in the transfer area in 2005 were as follows:

| QUARTER | 1- <br> RINGERS <br> (\%) | 2- <br> RINGERS <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ | 3- <br> RINGERS <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ | 4+- <br> RINGERS <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ | CATCH IN THE <br> TRANSFER AREA (T) | CATCH OF WBSS IN THE <br> NORTH SEA (T) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q 2 | $0 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $12 \%$ | 13320 | 2752 |
| Q 3 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 4282 | 4282 |
| Q 4 | $80 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 4926 | 4 |
| total |  |  |  |  | 22528 | 7039 |

The quarterly age distribution and mean weight-at-age in sub-division IVa East was applied to the catches of the second, third, and fourth quarter in the transfer area. The numbers of spring spawners by age were obtained by applying the estimated proportion by age.

### 2.2.3 Data revisions

The last major data revision to the North Sea herring dataset was applied in 2004, specifically following the work of the Study Group on the Revision of Data for North Sea Herring (SG Rednose, ICES 2003/ACFM:10), and a revision of the splitting between NSAS and WBSS in Division IIIa. Splitting data is still not completely reworked for the earlier period and NSAS assessment data could therefore not be updated for 1991 to 1995.

No data revisions were made this year.

### 2.2.4 Quality of catch and biological data, discards

As in previous years, some nations provided information on misreported and unallocated catches of herring in the North Sea and adjacent areas. Catches made in Division IVa were mainly misreported to Division VIa, IIIa and IIa, but misreporting also occurred from IIIa to IVa, within Area IV, and from Division VIId to IVb. The Working Group catch, which includes estimates of discards and misreported or unallocated catches (see Section 1.5), was estimated to exceed the official catch by more than $12 \%$. It is likely that this figure is an underestimate as it only includes information from a fraction of the fleets fishing herring in the North Sea, as an analysis conducted in 2002 indicated (ICES 2002/ACFM:12). This corroborates suggestions of the Study Group for Herring Assessment Procedures (ICES 2001/ACFM:22), that a important uncertainty of the total catch figure exists since the reopening of the fishery in 1980.

Discards. Prior to 1998, there was little information available on herring discards in the pelagic fisheries in the North Sea. Observer sampling programs since 1999 suggested that discarding in these fisheries were less than $5 \%$. In 2002 for the first time, onboard sampling by two nations observed increased discards of herring in the mackerel fishery in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter in Division IVa (W). At this time, the quotas for herring were already taken and herring occurred in mixed schools with mackerel. The discard figure finally used for the assessment was 17000 t . If the same raising scheme would have been used for all fleets
involved, discards would have been as high as 50000 t . However, the behaviour of other than the sampled fleets is uncertain. For 2003, the herring TAC was increased by $50 \%$, and at the same time the mackerel TAC was reduced by more than $5 \%$. Sampling of the same fleets in 2003 showed a reduced level of discarding, as was anticipated. Discards again occurred mainly in the mackerel fishery in the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter, and to less extent as slippage in the directed herring fishery in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter. The discard figure used in the assessment for 2003 was 4125 t , based on the raised figure for one sampled fleet. In 2004, herring quotas were again increased and mackerel quotas markedly decreased. In spite of this, discarding reported from three fleets increased again to 17049 t . Reasons for discarding were again the removal of unwanted by-catch in the mackerel fishery in IVa in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter ( 11000 t ), and for the first time there were indications for high-grading in the summer fishery in the central North Sea. The same three fleets have been sampled in 2005: discards occurred again in the mackerel fishery (mostly in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter), and in the directed herring fishery in summer in IVa and IVb. In contrast to last year, no high-grading was detected, but the limited processing capacity on smaller vessels was the likely reason for discarding (fish not processed during the day was pumped overboard; WD 4). Again, onboard sampling of other vessels in a similar fleet observed much less discarding (see Section 1.7). The final figure for discards in 2005 as used in the assessment was 12824 t , based on the raised discards for two fleets. As discards are likely to occur in all nation's fisheries, this figure is certainly an underestimate. Discard data has not been consistently available for the whole time series and was only included in the assessment when reported.

In general, sampling of commercial landings for age, length and weight is comparable to last year (Table 2.2.12). The European Union implemented a new sampling regime in 2002, obliging member states to meet specified overall sampling levels. This year, $95 \%$ of the catch was sampled (2004: $94 \%$ ), but the number of age readings has decreased by $17 \%$. It should be observed that "sampled catch" in Table 2.2.12 refers to the proportion of the reported catch to which sampling was applied. This figure is limited to $100 \%$ but might in fact exceed the official landings due to sampling of discards, unallocated and misreported catches.

However, more important than a sufficient overall sampling level is an appropriate spread of sampling effort over the different metiers (each combination of fleet/nation/area and quarter). Of 102 different reported metiers, only 39 were sampled in 2005 ( $39 \%$; as in 2004). Some of them, however, yielded very little catch. The recommended sampling level of more than 1 sample per 1000 t catch has been met only for 14 metiers (2004: 29). For age readings (recommended level $>25$ fish aged per 1000 t catch) this is also worse: only 17 metiers appear to be sampled sufficiently (2004: 26). The catch of France, UK/England and Wales, Sweden, UK/Northern Ireland, the Faroe Islands, Russia, Poland and Belgium from the North Sea (combined share $14 \%$ of the total North Sea catch) has not been sampled. Information on catches landed abroad was again not available or could not be used. While it is known that bycatches of herring in other than the directed human consumption fisheries occur, most countries have not implemented a sampling scheme for monitoring these fisheries.

In this respect, there is obviously an increasing need to improve the quality of the catch data for the North Sea herring. It appears that in some instances the new EU data collection directive could lead to a deterioration of sampling quality, because it does not assure an appropriate sampling of different metiers. This introduces uncertainties in the biological composition of the catches, which affects the quality of the assessment. The WG therefore recommends that all metiers with substantial catch should be sampled (including by-catches in the industrial fisheries), that catches landed abroad should be sampled and information on these samples should be made available to the national laboratories (see Section 1.5).

### 2.3 Fishery Independent Information

### 2.3.1 Acoustic Surveys in $\mathrm{VIa}(\mathrm{N})$ and the North Sea in July 2004

Five surveys were carried out in the North Sea during late June and July 2005 covering most of the continental shelf north of $51^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ in the North Sea and $56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ to the west of Scotland to a northern limit of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. The eastern edge of the survey area is bounded by the Norwegian, Danish, Swedish, German and Dutch coasts. The western edge is bounded by the UK coast and by the shelf edge at approximately 200 m depth. The individual surveys and the survey methods are given in the report of the Planning Group for Herring surveys (ICES 2006/LRC:04). The vessels, areas and dates of cruises are given below and in Figure 2.3.1.1:

| VESSEL | PERIOD | AREA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Johan <br> Hjort(NOR) | 04 July - 27 Jul | $56^{\circ} 30^{\prime}-62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 2^{\circ}-6^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ |  |
| Scotia (SCO) | 28 June -18 <br> July | $57^{\circ}-62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 2 / 4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}-2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ |  |
| Tridens (NED) | 28 June -23 <br> July | $53^{\circ} 30^{\prime}-58^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, Eng/ Sco to Den/Ger coasts |  |
| Solea (GER) | 28 June -19 <br> July | $52^{\circ}-56^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, Eng to Den/Ger coasts |  |
| Dana (DEN) | 29 June -12 <br> July | Kattegat north of $56^{\circ}+$ Skagerrak and North Sea north of $56^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, <br> east of $6^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ |  |

The data has been combined to provide an overall estimate. Estimates of numbers-at-age, maturity ogive and mean weights-at-age are calculated as weighted means of individual survey estimates by ICES statistical rectangle. The weighting applied is proportional to the survey track for each vessel that has covered each statistical rectangle. The data have been combined and the estimate of the stock surveyed is shown in Tables 2.3.1.1-3 by ICES subarea for North Sea autumn spawning herring.

## Combined Acoustic Survey Results:

The estimates of North Sea autumn spawning herring SSB are reasonably consistent with previous years, at 1.9 million tonnes and 9,600 millions herring (Table 2.3.1.4). The survey again shows two well above average year classes of herring (1998 and 2000). Growth of the 2000 year class seems still to be slower than average, individuals of this year class are smaller and lighter than the 1998 year class at the same age. In 2005, $96 \%$ of this year class are mature at age 4 compared to $65 \%$ when this year class was age 3 wr . Previous year classes were $100 \%$ mature at age 4 wr . This reduced maturation is thought to be a year class effect as the fraction mature for younger year classes 2 and 3 wr herring of $76 \%$ and $97 \%$ respectively is typical for these ages.

The survey again shows two well above average year classes of herring (the 1998 and 2000 year classes) in the North Sea, which is consistent with the observation of these large year classes observed in the MIK and IBTS surveys and the acoustic survey last year (ICES 2005). The 2005 estimate of the 2000 year class in the North Sea suggests it is 1.3 times higher than the 1998 year class at age 4wr, which is comparable with previous estimates. 2001 is observed as close to the long term mean with the 2002 and 2003 year classes estimated as the lowest in the time series. These estimates are comparable with earlier estimates of these year classes in this and other surveys.

The numbers and biomass of adult autumn spawning herring can be seen in Figures 2.3.1.2, the numbers at 1, 2 and 3+ rings in Figure 2.3.1.3. The spatial distribution of mean weight at 1 and 2 ring, and fraction mature at 2 and 3 ring are given in Figure 2.3.1.4. These show a considerable spatial trend which is observed each year, with more larger mature fish found in
the North and less smaller mature fish found in the south and particularly the eastern north Sea. The relative spatial distributions of adult and juvenile autumn spawning herring can be seen in Figures 2.3.1.5 and 2.3.1.6 respectively. The distribution of adults fairly is typical but with a small southerly shift. The distribution of juveniles within the North Sea is also typical but the low levels of juvenile Autumn spawners in the Skagerrak and Kattegat is unusual.

### 2.3.2 Larvae surveys

In 2005/06 The Netherlands and Germany carried out larvae surveys and managed to cover six out of ten areas. The survey effort is comparable to previous years. The areas and time periods (including numbers of samples, vessel-days in sampling and area coverage) are given in Table 2.3.2.1 and Table 2.3.2.2. The spatial extent of the surveys is shown in Figures 2.3.2.1 2.3.2.6. The historical background of the larvae surveys and the methods used for abundance calculation are described in the handbook for quality control (Appendix 2). A more detailed description is available in the manual for the international herring larvae surveys in the North Sea (ICES CM 2006/LRC: 04).

In the Orkney/Shetlands area a large spatial extension of newly hatched larvae and high larvae aggregations can be observed eastwards and northwards of the Orkneys (Fig. 2.3.2.1). The overall abundance varies greatly between years, and is approximately half of last year's estimate and $25 \%$ of the historical high value observed in 2001 (Table 2.3.2.3).

In the Buchan area (Fig. 2.3.2.2) larval distribution is concentrating on only a few stations. The abundance estimate for the second half of September is only $1 / 3$ of last years estimate (Tab. 2.3.2.3).

Abundance estimates in the central North Sea are comparable to last year and yield relatively high records (Fig. 2.3.2.3, Tab. 2.3.2.3).

Abundance estimates from the three surveys in the southern North Sea result in a high index value, but have a negative trend in the two most recent years (Tab. 2.3.2.3). The peak of the spawning activity has shifted towards middle and end of January. Larvae are almost exclusively found in subdivision VIId, while the impact of the part in IVc is nearly negligible this year (Fig. 2.3.2.4-6).

An overview of the historic trends for a collection of sampling areas and periods is given in Figure 2.3.2.7.

The model for the Multiplicative Larval Abundance Index (MLAI) was fitted to abundances of larvae less than 10 mm in length ( 11 mm for SNS) (Table 2.3.2.3). The analysis of variance and the parameter estimates are given in Table 2.3.2.4. The updated MLAI time-series is shown in Table 2.3.2.5. The estimated trend in spawning stock biomass from this model fit is plotted in Figure 2.3.2.8 along with the SSB values obtained from the ICA runs of the Herring Assessment Working Group (ICES 2005/ACFM:16).

Both the LAI per unit as well as the MLAI from the larvae surveys in period 2005/2006 indicate that the SSB has decreased considerably when compared to last years WG estimate (Tab. 2.3.2.5).

### 2.3.3 International Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS)

The International Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS) started out as a young herring fish survey in 1966 with the objective of obtaining annual recruitment indices (abundance of 1 -ringers in $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter) for the combined North Sea herring stock. It has been carried out every year since, and presently the survey provides recruitment indices not only for herring, but for roundfish species as well. Examinations of the catch of adult herring during the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter IBTS have
shown that this catch also indicates abundances of 2-5+ herring. Also during IBTS $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter, herring larvae are sampled during the night by small, fine-meshed nets. From 1977 to 1991 the gear was a small mid-water trawl (IKMT), but due to poor catchability of this gear, the standard gear was changed to a 2 metre ring net (MIK), used since the 1991 sampling. The total abundance of herring larvae in the survey area is used as an estimate of 0 -ringer abundance of the stock. Hence, a series of herring abundance indices (0-5+ ringers) are available from the IBTS programme.

### 2.3.3.1 Indices of $2-5+$ ringer herring abundances

Fishing gear and survey practices were standardised from 1983, and the series of 2-5+ ringer abundance estimates from 1983 onwards has shown the most consistent results in assessments of these age groups. This series is subsequently used in North Sea herring assessment. Note that the abundances in Division IIIa are not included in the 2-5+ ringer indices. Table 2.3.3.1 shows the time-series of abundance estimates of 2-5+ ringers from the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter IBTS for the period 1983-2006, while Table 2.3.3.2 contains area-disaggregated information on the IBTS indices for year 2006.

### 2.3.3.2 Index of 1 -ringer recruitment

The 1-ringer index of recruitment is based on trawl catches in the entire survey area. Indices are available for year classes 1977 to 2004 (Table 2.3.3.3). This years estimate of the 2004 year class strength (920) indicates a very low recruitment, among the lowest on record.

Figure 2.3.3.1 illustrates the spatial distribution of 1-ringers as estimated by the trawling in February 2004, 2005 and 2006. In 2006 the main concentrations of 1-ringers were found in the south-eastern part of the North Sea, as it was also observed during the preceding two years. The 1-ringers are, however, distributed more offshore in 2006. Their mean length in the areas of peak abundance is in the order of 14 cm (Figure 2.3.3.2.).

The Downs herring hatch later than the autumn spawned herring and generally appears as a smaller sized group during the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter IBTS. A recruitment index of smaller sized 1-ringers is calculated based on abundance estimates of herring $<13 \mathrm{~cm}$ (see discussion of procedures in earlier reports (ICES CM 2000/ ACFM:12, and ICES CM 2001/ ACFM:12).

Table 2.3.3.3 includes abundance estimates of 1-ringer herring smaller than 13 cm , based on a standard retrieval of the IBTS database, i.e. the standard index is in this case calculated for herring $<13 \mathrm{~cm}$ only. Indices for these small 1-ringers are given either for the total area or the area excluding division IIIa, and their relative proportions are also shown. In the time-series, the proportion of 1-ringers smaller than 13 cm (of total catches) is in the order of $20 \%$, and the contribution from division IIIa to the overall abundance of $<13 \mathrm{~cm}$ herring varies markedly during the period. (Table 2.3.3.3)

About $14 \%$ of this years group of 1 -ringers is smaller than 13 cm . These are almost exclusively found in the North Sea area (Table 2.3.3.3)

### 2.3.3.3 The MIK index of 0-ringer recruitment

This years 0-ringer index is based on 636 depth-integrated hauls with a 2 metre ring-net (the MIK). Index values are calculated as described in the WG report of 1996 (ICES 1996/ACFM:10). The series of estimates is shown in Table 2.3.3.4, the new index value of 0ringer abundance of the 2005 year class is estimated at 83.1.

This estimate is relatively low ( $63 \%$ of average for yea classes 1983-2004) however, it indicates some increase in recruitment compared to the last three year classes, 2002-2004. The 0 -ringers were distributed westerly and southerly in the North Sea with highest concentrations
in the south-western areas. Compared to the preceding year class, which is also shown in Figure 2.3.3.3, the 0 -ringers of this year class are distributed in a more restricted area with outstanding high concentrations along the English coast. This marked westerly distribution is also apparent from Figure 2.3.3.4, which illustrates the changes in absolute and relative abundance of 0 -ringers in the western part of the North Sea. The relative abundance is given as the number of 0 -ringers in the area west of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ relative to the total number of 0 -ringers in the given year class. Since the year class 1982, when the relative abundance was $25 \%$, a general increase has been seen for the western part. In the last decade, the majority of 0ringers has been distributed in this area, and the calculated relative abundance of $70 \%$ for the present year class is in accordance with the long term trend.

### 2.4 Mean weights-at-age and maturity-at-age

### 2.4.1 Mean weights-at-age

The mean weights-at-age of fish in the catches in 2005 (weighted by the numbers caught) are presented by ICES Division and by quarter in Table 2.2.2. Table 2.4.1.1 shows the historic mean weights-at-age (wr) in the North Sea stock during the 3rd quarter in Divisions IV and IIIa for the period 1995 to 2005. These values were obtained from the acoustic survey. The data for 2005 are taken from Table 2.3.1.4. In this quarter most fish are approaching their peak weights just prior to spawning. The spatial distribution of mean weight for 1 and 2 -ringers is given in Figure 2.3.1.4. The spatial variability of mean weight is considerable but not unusual. For comparison the mean weights-in-the-catch from the last ten years are also shown in Table 2.4.1.1 (from Section 2.2.1 for the 2005 values). For 5-ringers and older the mean weights for 2005 in the catch are close to the long-term mean and for the acoustic survey a little lower. These estimates are typical for this time series. For 4-ring herring the acoustic survey shows mean weights that are the lowest for the last 10 years supporting the view that the exceptional 2000 year class is growing slowly. This year class, possibly the largest in recent years and the first large one competing with an already large herring stock biomass, has grown more slowly than earlier year classes. This slower growth, although evident in catch mean weights up to 2004, is not continued in the catch weights in 2005. The reasons for this are not clear but could include higher selection on faster growing fish and/or high-grading in large catches.

### 2.4.2 Maturity ogive

The percentages of North Sea autumn-spawning herring (at age) that spawned in 2005 were estimated from the July acoustic survey (Table 2.4.2.1). The values were determined from samples of herring from the research vessel catches examined for maturity stage, and raised by the local abundance. All herring at maturity stage between 3 and 6 inclusive (using an 8 -stage scale) in June or July were assumed to spawn in the autumn. The method and justification for the use of values derived from a single year's data was described fully in ICES (1996/ACFM:10). The values for $2-\& 3$-ringers are taken from the acoustic survey results which are presented in Table 2.3.1.4. For 2-ringers the proportion mature at $76 \%$ was typical for this age group. For 3 ring herring the fraction mature (97\%) was also typical and much higher than observed last year (65\%), the latter reflecting slow growth and maturation of the large 2000 year class. Fraction mature, mean weight and mean length-at-age and by year are shown in Figure 2.4.2.1. Due to the prior knowledge of slow growth the fraction mature in the 2000 year class at age 4-ringer was monitored in the data from the acoustic survey. The results from this survey in Table 2.3.1.4 show a fraction mature at $95 \%$, which confirms that this year class has now finally fully matured with about 1 year of delay.

### 2.5 Recruitment

Information on the development in North Sea herring recruitment is available from the two IBTS indices, the 1 -ringer and the 0 -ringer index. Further, the ICA assessment provides estimates of the recruitment of herring in which information from the catch and from all fishery independent indices is incorporated.

### 2.5.1 Relationship between the MIK 0-ringer and the IBTS 1-ringer indices

The 0-ringer MIK index predicts the year class strength one year before the information is available from the IBTS 1-ringer estimates. The relationship between year class estimates from the two indices is illustrated in Figure 2.5.1 and described by the fitted linear regression. Last years prediction of a very small 2004 year class was confirmed by this year’s IBTS 1ringer index of the year class. The good correlation between the indices is also evident when comparing the respective trends in indices during the period (Figure 2.5.2).

### 2.5.2 Trends in recruitment from the assessment

Recruitment is estimated in the ICA-assessment, and in Figure 2.5.3 the trends in 1-ringer recruitment based on 2006 assessment is illustrated. The recruitment declined during the sixties and the seventies, followed by a marked increase in the early eighties. After the strong 1985 year class recruitment declined again until the strong year classes 1998-2001. However, the 1-ringer recruitments of the recent 2002-2004 year classes are very low, and while MIK index of 0 -ringer recruitment for the present year indicates an increasing recruitment size of the 2005 year class, this foreseen to be below average. The present ICA estimates of 1-ringer recruitment are 7.7 and 7.6 no $10^{9}$ for year classes 2003 and 2004 respectively, while the estimates for 0-ringers are 21.9, 21.8 and 27.0 no $10^{9}$ for year classes 2003, 2004 and 2005 respectively.

### 2.6 Assessment of North Sea herring (Benchmark)

### 2.6.1 Introduction

To carry out a benchmark the WG used the data and expertise available to the group. The evaluation followed six main strands:

- Exploration of catch data through catch curves
- Exploration of survey data based assessments using SURBA
- Exploration of simpler models using CSA
- Exploration of standard catch at age assessment models
- Exploration of time inconsistencies, outliers and time trends in indices.
- Exploration of retrospective performance of the different models.

The HAWG receives three of the four major indices and the catch at age data a few days into the meeting because the surveys are completed in January and February and catch data has to wait until the end of the previous year and takes some time to process and to split between Autumn and Spring spawning populations. In consequence a substantial proportion of the exploration was limited to the 2005 WG data. As this is a benchmark assessment, sensitivity to the last year of data should not be an issue so this is not regarded as a problem for the WG. The updated data are used in the final assessment.

The criteria used to judge the results of these explorations was to select data sets with a model and settings that gave consistent performance when evaluated retrospectively over time and provided low variability in the terminal year estimates of F2-6, SSB and recruitment. Within the current benchmark assessment the WG decided not to investigate the variability or trends in natural mortality, the effects of gross catch misreporting, or year effects in the surveys.

The sections below describe the work carried out under each topic and Section 2.6.11 provides a synthesis of the finding and gives overall conclusions.

### 2.6.2 Exploration of $\log$ catch ratios

Comparing the ratios between consecutive ages within each year class of the log catch numbers (log catch ratios) to the log abundance ratios from the acoustic survey (Figure 2.6.2.1) show a declining trend in the log catch ratio, while the ratios for the acoustic survey are relatively stable. For the IBTS there is a downwards trend in log abundance ratios for ages 2-3, but not for ages 1-2 or 3-4 (Table 2.6.2.1). In the log catch ratios the downwards trend for the younger ages can be caused by a shift in the selection towards lower exploitation. The reason for the discrepancy between the log catch ratios and the acoustic survey ratios for the older ages, and the differences in the trends at different ages in the IBTS survey are less clear. Assuming that the selection in the fishery at older age is independent of age, these discrepancies represent conflicts between the mortality signal in the catch and in the survey data.

### 2.6.3 Exploration of survey data by correlations and modelling with SURBA

### 2.6.3.1 Correlations at age in the survey data

The main time-series of survey data were inspected for correlations:-
a) along cohorts within surveys correlation $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ya}} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{y}+1, \mathrm{a}+1}\right)$
b) between survey correlation (Acoustic ya, IBTS $_{\text {y }}$ )
c ) between surveys and assessments (excluding recent years)
Assess $_{\mathrm{y} \text { a }}$, Acoustic ya , IBTS $_{\mathrm{y} \text { a }}$ )
The results of the along cohort correlation are given in Table 2.6.3.1 for Acoustic and IBTS surveys. The Acoustic survey shows higher correlation reflecting the relatively reliable appearance of yearclasses in subsequent years. Table 2.6.3.2 shows the correlations between surveys and between surveys and the assessment (excluding the last 4 years in the assessment). These results support the general view that the acoustic survey provides the most self consistent view of numbers at age and the best indication of relative yearclass strength in the assessment.

### 2.6.3.2 Exploration with SURBA

The performance of the survey data was explored using SURBA (ICES CM2003/D:03; Needle 2004). With SURBA, estimates of the stock indicators are derived from the survey data, independently of the catch data. The weightings and catchabilities for each index were maintained the same as last year. The stock summary from SURBA is presented in Figure 2.6.3.1 and Table 2.6.3.3. The uncertainty of Z in the beginning is quite wide, because during the earliest years data are only available from the MLAI biomass index. The SURBA run had a lower SSB and higher mean $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ in the terminal year than the ICA run with same procedure as last year (Figure 2.6.3.2). Retrospectives plots (Figure 2.6.3.3) indicate strong retrospective patterns back in time, probably driven by the differing lengths of the survey time-series. More importantly however, retrospective patterns in recent years are relatively small for SSB and
recruitment. Retrospective patterns are visible in mean Z, but a constant tendency of over- or underestimation is not apparent.

### 2.6.4 Simple model stock assessment with CSA

An exercise using Catch Survey Analysis ( Mesnil 2003) was undertaken to explore the results of using a comparatively simple model to assess the state of the stock. In essence, CSA is an assessment method that aims to estimate absolute stock abundance give a time series of catches and relative abundance indices, typically from research surveys. This is done by filtering measurement error in the latter through a simple two-stage population dynamics model known as the Collie-Sissenwine (1983) model. The population dynamics are described by the following model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{y+1}=\left(N_{y}+R_{y}\right) e^{-M}-C_{y} e^{-M(1-\tau)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
y : time step, typically annual. Years may be defined either on a calendar basis or as the interval between regular surveys. The year range is $[1, \mathrm{Y}]$.

Ny : population size, in number, of fully recruited animals at start of year $y$;
Ry : population size, in number, of recruits at start of year y;
Cy : catch in number during year y (known);
M : instantaneous rate of natural mortality (equal for both stages, assumed);
$\tau$ : fraction of the year when the catch is taken, e.g. 0 if the fishing season is early in the year, or 0.5 if the catch is taken midway through the year or, by resemblance with Pope's (1972) cohort approximation, evenly over the year.

Estimating the time series of Ny and Ry given the catches is the basic task of any assessment but, as with other methods, this requires additional information in the form of relative indices ny and ry of abundance for each stage, typically from surveys, which are assumed to be proportional to absolute population sizes Ny and Ry. The indices are deemed to be measured with some (log-normal) observation error:

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{y}=q_{n} N_{y} \exp \left(\eta_{y}\right) ; y=1, Y  \tag{2}\\
& r_{y}=q_{r} R_{y} \exp \left(\delta_{y}\right) ; y=1, Y-1 \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where:
qn and qr: catchability coefficients of fully-recruited and recruits, respectively, in the survey, supposed to be constant with time;
$\eta$ and $\delta$ : normally distributed random variables.

A constraint must be imposed whereby the survey catchability of the recruits is some fraction s of that of the fully-recruited:
$s=q_{r} / q_{n}$

### 2.6.4.1 Data for CSA

Data used were the total catch numbers from 1984 to date, the stock weights at ages 0 and 1+, the MIK survey series as index of the number of the 0 group and the acoustic numbers at age 1 and older as an index of the fully recruited ages (Table 2.6.4.1). Natural mortality (M) was derived from mean of the M vector used in the ICA assessment weighted by the stock numbers at age for the period of this analysis and is equal to 0.9 .

### 2.6.4.2 Results for CSA

The model was run assuming that catches take place in the middle of the year. In practice it appears that the catchability ratio $s$ cannot be estimated together with other parameters because it is strongly correlated with $q_{n}$ in the estimation (Mesnil 2005), the model was run iteratively for a set of values of $s$ until a minimum in the sum of squares was found (Figure 2.6.4.1), $s=0.3$ was chosen as a result.

The model estimates for total biomass and the number of recruits are shown in Figures 2.6.4.2 and 3 for the period 1984-2005. Both trajectories are in agreement with our current perception of the stock although that does not apply to the early years of the series. This could well be the effect of a change of the exploitation of the young fish in the 1980s compared to now. The industrial fishery was more important then but as CSA aggregates all ages in the catch the signal of year-class strength is only based on the MIK index. A similar effect can be observed in total biomass (Figure 2.6.4.3), total stock biomass, where ICA estimates fall within the $95 \%$ confidence intervals in the recent period but not in the early years.

Comparison between the estimated numbers at age scaled by their corresponding catchabilities and the survey indices suggests very good fits to the data (Figure. 2.6.4.4 and 5). However, examination of the log-residuals suggests patterns although the individual residuals are relatively low (Figures. 2.6.4.6 and 7). Sensitivity to the value of natural mortality (M) was explored by running the model for a set of values (Figures 2.6.4.8 and 9) and results show that this parameter scales the stock numbers and the biomass.

Results from the retrospective analysis (Figures 2.6.4.10 and 11) suggest that the addition of new data has resulted in upward revisions of the estimates in recent years although small downward revisions were also observed in the past.

### 2.6.5 Exploratory stock assessment with FLXSA

An exploratory assessment of North Sea herring has been performed using the Fish Lab in R (FLR) version 0.2 of XSA. The FLR system (www.flr-project.org) is an attempt to implement a framework for modelling fisheries systems and consists of a number of packages for the open source statistical computer program R. The combination of the statistical and graphical tools in R with the stock assessment facilitates exploration of input data and results. Currently XSA and ICA have been incorporated in a package, and the development of other assessment methods like SURBA and ADAPT is ongoing. The FLR version of XSA has been used for North Sea plaice and sole for WGNSSK 2005 (ICES 2005).

The model settings for FLXSA were chosen such that they resemble approximately the ICA model using same procedure as last year. The FLXSA settings in this year's assessment were kept the same as in last year's XSA exploratory assessment, using weak shrinkage (2.0) and exclusion of the MLAI (XSA cannot use biomass indices). The stock summary of the
exploratory FLXSA is presented in Table 2.6.5.1. The FLXSA assessment is consistent with the ICA assessment (Figure 2.6.5.1) except for the last few years. In the last 3 years FLXSA shows higher estimates of mean $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ and lower estimates of SSB.

Exploration of different model settings of FLXSA (changing plus-group, shrinkage, Q-age and R-age, Figure 2.6.5.2) showed changes in SSB and F in 1973-1979, caused by the choice of plus-group. However, the choice of plus-group does not greatly affect the stock status in recent years. Variability in recent years due to choice of model settings is larger for SSB compared to mean F. The choice of model settings had some effect on the recruitment in recent years, but no effect in historic period.

Changing the number of surveys used to tune the assessment has however much greater effect on the estimate of stock status than changing the model settings (Figure 2.6.5.3). Using only the Acoustic survey, which covers juvenile and adult ages, showed slightly lower SSB and higher mean F ages 2-6 in the final year. The IBTS and MIK however showed opposite signals with estimating a higher SSB and lower mean F ages 2-6. It is important to keep in mind that the IBTS only includes younger age groups and MIK only 0-ringers.

The XSA assessment that uses the three tuning series together gives results closest to the acoustic survey because the dynamic weighting choses higher weights for this survey

### 2.6.6 Variability in survey and catch data for catch at age model exploration

The exploration above indicated that the tuning data sources were the dominant factor effecting the terminal values in the assessment. Earlier studies reported in SGEHAP (ICES 2001) indicated that sampling of catch data had much smaller influence on the terminal values in the assessment

Due to the availability of data mentioned in the introduction (Section 2.6.1) generation of bootstrap dataset to evaluate the models was limited to data that could be obtained before the WG and consisted of:

Catch at age 0-9+, 1960 - 2004
MLAI index 1973-2004 (including January 2005 survey),
MIK index 1977 - 2005 ; see Figure 2.6.6.2
IBTS survey 1-5 indices, 1983 - 2005; see examples Figure 2.6.6.3
Acoustic survey 1-9 indices, 1989-2004, including weights and maturities at age (1 group limited to 1996-2004); see examples Figure 2.6.6.4

The spatial distributions of stations and examples of data see are give in Figure 2.6.6.1 to 4. Figures 2.6.6.1a and b show two of the ten annual area and seasonal larvae surveys that are used to give the MLAI index. For a description of the survey time periods and areas and which ones are covered each year see Table 2.3.2.3. Figure 2.6.6.2 shows the MIK 0wr surveys. Figures 2.6.6.3a and b and Figures 2.6.6.4a-c show surveys for 2 wr and 4 wr by the IBTS and acoustic surveys respectively. Figures 2.6.6.4a and b show the mature and immature components of 2 wr herring. (Note in particular the high abundance in 2003 distribution of immature 2 wr herring which was slow maturing.

For catch in tonnes the WG catch by year was taken as a fixed value. For the catch at age the variance / covariance of estimates of numbers and weights at age of the internationally assembled data from 1991 to 1998 was evaluated by the project EMAS (EU 98/075 Simmonds et al 2001). Since then there have been no further studies. The variance / covariance from these evaluations has been used to simulate data for all other years.

The general analytical approach used to prepare bootstrap data sets for use in multiple assessments was the substantively the same for all the survey index data sets. Evaluations
under EVARES indicated that almost all the variance comes from the variability in catch at age among stations because the sampling of station data for length and for age is sufficiently great that the resulting added variance is negligible. The full procedure is fully documented in (Simmonds et al 2003) and is carried out in three stages:

Stage 1: For each year, and survey, the catch at age was bootstrap resampled with replacement treating each station as an identically independently distributed (IID) sample, this preserves the correlation at age within the data. At this first stage the index to be used was taken as the mean of the station values. 100 replicates of each survey were obtained.
Stage 2: None of the surveys are random survey designs. Each has a strong systematic element to the station layout and the interaction between the station location and spatial autocorrelation could give rise to errors in the estimated variance assuming IID. To test for this and account for it where necessary a geostatistical evaluation of the data was used (Rivoirard et al 2000). Individual years of data give very variable measures of spatial autocorrelation and the results can be unstable. To improve the reliability of the estimates of spatial autocorrelation it was decided to average the results over several years, thus the mean spatial autocorrelation at age for each survey was obtained through the calculation of the mean variogram for all years. An index year and age correction factor for the sampling variance was obtained using the modelled variograms and the spatial distribution of stations through the comparision between the variance of the mean assuming IID and the geostatistical estimation variance. In most cases these correction factors are small.
Stage 3. The series were corrected for small difference between the means of the simulated data sets and the standard indices. The resampling method in stage 1 assumed that all the stations are weighted equally when estimating the index. Normally this is only the case when the survey area is sampled fully. Often stations are missed or coverage is reduced and then the abundance is calculated by raising to statistical rectangle, filling in missing rectangles to generate the standard indices used in the assessment. So the small differences are dealt with by realigning the mean value of each simulated index by year and age with the value normally used in the assessment.

This procedure is used for all the survey data, with two minor differences:
For the acoustic survey data station estimates are replaced by ICES stat rectangle estimates combining trawl and acoustic data at that stage.

For the MLAI index the GLM procedure to combine areas and seasons (Patterson and Beveridge 1998 , Gröger et al 1999) and account for missing data was implemented for each set after the above procedure was completed.

The variances and the simulated data sets created by this process capture most of the sampling variability. The resulting variance is dominated by the underlying variability in the sample data, because herring is inherently distributed in a rather patchy way giving rise to high local variability. By treating all the different sources of data in the same way any aspects of the method that affect give differences between estimated and underlying variance do so to each data set in a similar way. The resulting data sets for the surveys are given in Figures 2.6.6.5 to 2.6.6.8 for the MLAI SSB index, MIK 0 group index, the IBTS 1-5+ index and the Acoustic $1-9+$ indices respectively. This method captures sampling variability, other variability that can create year effects in the time-series is not included.

The mean weights in the stock, (Figure 2.6.6.9) and fraction at age mature at ages 2 and 3 (Figure 2.6.6.10) are also derived from the Acoustic survey and are bootstrapped in the same way, preserving correlation between weights, numbers and maturities.

### 2.6.7 Variance and weighting factors for ICA

In recent NS herring assessments the ICA model was used with user defined weighting factors for the input data series. Since 2001 a fixed set of inverse variance weights for surveys and catch at age have been used. These were derived from the survey and catch data by methods similar to those given above in 2.6.6. (ICES 2001). The variance used is the variance of the natural logarithm of the estimates of the index from the bootstrap procedures. The choice matches the use of a maximum log likelihood method with a lognormal error distribution used within the ICA model. The variance by year and age for the indices are given in Figure 2.6.7.1. The MLAI, MIK Acoustic survey 2-4wr and IBTS 1 wr surveys all have similar variances. The variance increase with age for acoustic survey and to an even greater extent for the IBTS survey.

The estimates at age from the IBTS and Acoustic surveys are not independent, the mean correlation at age is given in Tables 2.6.7.1 and 2.6.7.2. Correlation at old ages in the acoustic survey is particularly strong. The correlation in estimates of catch at age has been similarly checked but does not exhibit similar features probably because the international sampling program contains lots of independent components. ICA does not explicitly deal with covariance (in common with many assessment models) but it does allow modification of weights at age to account for this in a general way. The concept is to reduce the inverse variance factor by an amount that accommodates the covariance. The limits are: for zero correlation a factor of unity; for $100 \%$ covariance over $n$ ages weights of $1 / \mathrm{n}$. In both surveys the 1 to 2 group estimates are effectively independent and can be given weighting due to the full inverse variance weight, for subsequent ages the weighting has been implemented here for intermediate values of covariance to give the $\mathrm{W}_{\text {age }}$ weighting factors at age :-
$W_{\text {age }}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{var}_{\text {age }}}\left\{n-\sum \operatorname{cov}_{\text {age,age }-1}\right\} /\left\{\operatorname{cov}_{\text {age,age }-1} / \sum 1 / \operatorname{cov}_{\text {age,age-1 }}\right\}$
Where $\quad$ var $_{\text {age }}$ is the variance of $\ln$ (estimate at age)
cov is covariance (age, age-1)
n is the number of ages in the correlated sequence
The resulting correlation correction factors are given in Tables 2.6.7.3
The resulting weighting factors are given in Table 2.6.7.4 and can be compared with the old weighting factors derived under SGEHAP (ICES 2001). The major difference is a slight general reduction in survey weights relative to the catch. Among the surveys the resulting spread of weights is generally similar to the earlier values, reducing with age, more steeply with the IBTS than the acoustic. The major difference is the MIK weighting which is reduced to about $1 / 3$ of the previous value. The change is caused by the recent extended analysis. The difference between the previous analysis and this one was that in the earlier work the geostatistical analysis of spatial variance was limited to only a few recent years in each series. This resulted quite accidentally and unknowingly in selecting years from the MIK index that were very precise (see years 1997 to 2000 in Figure 2.6.7.1.

### 2.6.8 Influence of the data and assessment models settings for FLICA and FLXSA

The influence of the variability in the data on the assessment was evaluated by two methods. In the first, 100 bootstrapped data sets were introduced into the assessment models FLXSA and FLICA. In the second the standard dataset was evaluated in FLICA by removing each value one at a time from the data and evaluating the deviation in the assessment. This work
was carried out using the FLR framework, with FLICA(preliminary version 0.1) and FLXSA (version 0.2 ). These were validated for the particular stock and year range by comparison with the standard version. Subsequent changes to data and models were limited to substitution of data points and small ranges of parameter changes and are thought to be stable. The FLR Framework as used in this WG was a source of both considerable increased flexibility and great frustration. At this stage its use must be regarded as preliminary because updates can crash the system and some functionality does not work, some functions still need validation. Nevertheless the view of the WG is that the FLR direction is a considerable beneficial advance which will ultimately (soon) be a considerable addition to the facilities available for assessment work.

### 2.6.8.1 Using bootstrap data sets to evaluate the influence of data and model settings

The results for the full FLICA and FLXSA assessments with settings from 2004 (Same Procedure as Last Year: SPALY) are given in Figures 2.6.8.1 and 2.6.8.2. All the results in this section have been carried out using a definition for SSB at $1^{\text {st }}$ of January which should not be confused with the SSB at spawning time which includes the in-year fishery and natural mortality. It was realised late on that the calculation of SSB did not take this mortality into account. The variability due to this will affect the precision of SSB but it is likely that the general conclusions will be the same.

For FLXSA the same evaluations given in section 2.6.2 and Figure 2.6.2.4 are repeated here including the variability in the data. Figure 2.6.8.3 summarises the last 4 years and shows that this range of model setting make very little difference.

For FLICA (and FLXSA) Figure 2.6.8.4 summaries the results of data variability due for various model settings. The first run SPALY uses 2005 settings, runs 2 to 5 show the effect on $\operatorname{SSB}\left(1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{Jan}\right)$, mean F ages $2-6$ and recruitment age 0 using each of the 5 tuning series separately. The next 4 runs numbers 6 to 9 show 4 small changes to the separable model settings, changing the 5 year separable to 4 and 6 years and changing $F$ at oldest age to 0.9 and 1.1 times the F at reference age. These 8 runs together show that the perceptions of the state of the stock depend mostly on the sources of data and not the separable model settings in FLICA. Run 10 and 11 compare FLICA with the same indices as FLXSA (removing the MLAI as FLXSA cannot accommodate SSB series) showing the SSB is largely independent of the choice of model but that FLXSA gives a different more variable perception of F. Finally run 12 is with the new weights given in Table 2.6.7.4 discussed above in Section 2.6.7

The final year variability from these 12 runs is summarised in Figure 2.6.8.5 and Table 2.6.8.1. From this we can see that the dominant feature is the sources of data and not the model choices, at least within a small range of settings. Variability in the FLXSA assessment due to the variability in the input data exceeds that from FLICA assessments particularly for estimation of F . The most precise assessment is obtained using all the input data and the new weighting factors.

### 2.6.8.2 Evaluation of the influence of individual data values

The section above concludes that the data are the major source of influence on the assessment. While the variability can be best constrained by using inverse variance weights, the influence of individual data points may have undue influence on an assessment. Each of 390 values of numbers at age in the catch in the separable period or at any time in the tuning indices was individually removed from the FLICA assessment and treated as a missing value. The deviation in the assessment was evaluated and the full range of variability can be seen in Figure 2.6.8.6. This shows that the sensitivity to individual values is very small indeed in only a small number of cases do deviations from the central value occur. The five most influential
data observations on the numbers and F at age, the SSB and mean F 2-6 were tabulated in Table 2.6.8.2. The influence is expressed in a standardised way. The general variability in a parameter value was estimated as the standard deviation in that parameter resulting from the sampling variability estimated through the bootstraps. The deviation of the most influential points was then expressed in the table as the signed number of these standard deviations from the central parameter values. The most influential value is not surprisingly the N0 (recruitment) in the final year of the assessment, and even this value is only 3.2 standard deviations from the central point.

Overall the clear conclusion is that there are no individual data observations exerting undue influence on the assessment. Therefore looking for individual residuals is not particularly helpful. Nevertheless it is important to remember that there may be groups of values working together causing much greater influence. Some evidence for this can be seen in residual plots (c.f. Section 2.6.9 Figure 2.6.9.1 and 2). This aspect of conflict in the data is illustrated in the most extreme way by the influence of whole data series.

### 2.6.9 Analysis of trends in survey time-series

The data in the herring assessment come from several time-series of 17 to 33 years, with 45 years of catch data. There are possibilities that there may be long term differences in consistency due to either creep in survey procedures, or changes in catch area misreporting or under/over reporting. Whatever the cause of such inconsistencies the results would be to make retrospective errors more likely. To explore the possibility of long term trends in the data influencing the assessment, the longer time-series data sets (IBTS, MIK and MLAI) were split into two and fitted separately in the assessment. The non-weighted residuals are shown in Figure 2.6.9.1 illustrating the differences between model and data. The weighted residuals are shown in Figure 2.6.9.2 illustrating the influence of these differences on the model fit. The model fit diagnostics provide model parameter estimates with $95 \%$ confidence intervals and standard errors (Table 2.6.9.1)

For those series fitted with a linear model (MIK and IBTS) the precision of the catchability (q) can be used to detect significant differences if they are present.

MIK: Examination of survey procedures suggested that there was potential for different catchabilities before and after 1991, when changes in procedures occurred. Standardisation of the series was done at this time following evidence from gear trials. The analysis with this series taken as two periods showed significant differences in catchabilities between older and later series.
IBTS: The series was split arbitrarily in 1990. In this case there was no significant difference in catchability (q) for the all ages except 1 wr . Documentation in the IBTS shows that gear standardisation had occurred fully by 1984. The 1wr index had 5 years of data pre standardisation. Truncation of the IBTS at 1984 removed a series of 5 negative residuals on the first 5 years. Following this truncation the significant difference in catchability (q) of 1wr for the split series was removed.

For the MLAI with a power model, two coefficients are estimated and they are strongly inversely correlated so that significant differences in the coefficients cannot be used to detect differences in the series. Figure 2.6.9.3 shows the estimate of the time-series of the MLAI index by the assessment with one series, or with two. The early part fits rather differently to the points because the high values in recent years are not available for the earlier data. However, the fit of the model to the later series is $6 \%$ different from the full series fit which is not thought to be significantly different.

The conclusion was to use data from, and base weighting factors for the data on, the following series and years.

| MLAI | years | 1973 to 2005 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MIK | years | 1992 to 2006 |
| Acoustic | years | 1989 to 2005 |

### 2.6.10 Analytical retrospective performance of assessments

Compared to the majority of stocks assessed by ICES, the retrospective patterns for North Sea herring over the last 5 years are considered good, with low bias and variance (EASE project, unpublished).

Retrospective performance of the runs with the new weight applied to the catch and the surveys was better compared to the SPALY runs for SSB and F ages 2-6 (Figure 2.6.10.1). The bias, which is a measure for the direction of the retrospective pattern, increased slightly in recruitment. With the IBTS and MIK time series truncated, the bias decreased for recruitment and mean F ages 2-6, but variance (measured for the spread) increased compared to the SPALY (Table 2.6.10.1). The value of bias for SSB hardly changed, however the direction of the bias changed for SSB and mean F ages 2-6.

Retrospective analyses of single survey assessments (Figure 2.6.10.2) showed that the bias in SSB and mean F ages $2-6$ was lowest when using only the MIK survey and highest when using the MLAI survey. Comparison of SSB with the SPALY retrospective (Figure 2.6.10.2) with the single survey retrospectives showed lower bias in SSB and F ages 2-6 only for the MIK survey. This survey showed however a different perception of SSB and mean F ages 2-6 compared to the SPALY run.

For FLXSA, the bias in SSB was in close agreement with the ICA run with new weights applied and truncated survey time series (Table 2.6.10.1). The bias in F ages 2-6 and recruitment was lower for the FLXSA runs, but for both cases the bias is considered low.

### 2.6.11 Synthesis and conclusions for the benchmark assessment for North Sea herring

The assessment of NS herring has been evaluated through extensive data exploration and model testing. Initial trials with simpler models and survey only based methods look potentially promising. CSA give quite stable results and good retrospective performance. However, the CSA perception of the stock in the mid-1980s differs from ICA. There are two reasons why this is not surprising for a relatively simple model. Firstly it uses only two tuning indices: the MIK for recruits and an aggregated acoustic survey for the exploited component. Secondly as the model subtracts total numbers caught, any signal in the catch-at-age data would not be detected by the model. SURBA give a similar perception of the recent stock trends to other methods but with extensive variability in retrospectives. These models should be kept in mind and may prove useful if data sources deteriorate. However, they would currently be difficult to use to service the current management agreements. SURBA would give greater year on year variability and CSA would be difficult to use to give the required fleet based advice.

While the benchmark carried out in this year's working group was quite extensive and it is considered that it provides a basis for future assessments, it did not consider variability or trends in natural mortality, the effects of gross catch misreporting, or year effects in the surveys.

The main conclusions from the benchmark assessment are:

- The assessment of NS herring is a relatively stable
- The assessment is not at all sensitive to small changes in the model settings of ICA or XSA
- The choice of plusgroup does not affect the current perception of the stock and only affects a small part of the historic time-series.
- Individual data points are not perturbing the assessment
- There are indications of trend in the very early IBTS years and in the MIK series and truncated series give slightly improved retrospectives.
- Revised inverse variance weighting factors give a more precise assessment.
- The major issue for the assessment is the way the four tuning series are used. If used individually they give different perceptions of the stock.
- Data sensitivity analysis shows that ICA is less sensitive to data variability and gives much more precise estimate of mean F than XSA
- Analytical retrospectives show almost identical mean square error for ICA and XSA.

The current ICA model still seems to deliver the best method for providing an assessment of the current state of the stock and its exploitation rate.

The following changes are made from assessment run for 2005 WG:-
Truncating the IBTS survey time-series to a new starting date of 1984 when the gear was standardised
Truncating the MIK survey time-series to a start date of 1992 when the gears were standardised.
Changing the weighting factors to the new values which include variance estimates from data in recent years.

### 2.6.12 Final Assessment for NS herring

The final assessment of North Sea herring was carried out by fitting the integrated catch-atage model (ICA) with a separable constraint over a five-year period, and truncating the IBTS (1984-2006) and the MIK survey (1992-2006) time series. The model settings are shown in Table 2.6.12.1, the ICA output is presented in Table 2.6.12.2, the stock summary in Table 2.6.12.3 and Figure 2.6.12.1, and model fit and parameter estimates in Table 2.6.12.4 and Figures 2.6.12.2-2.6.12.19.

The spawning stock at spawning time in 2005 is estimated at approximately 1.70 million tonnes. The abundance of 0 wr fish in 2006 (2005 year class) remains low for the fourth consecutive year. A low recruitment was also observed in the three previous year classes. The strong 1998 and 2000 year classes are still evident in the population, with the 2000 year class at 4 wr in 2005 and the 1998 year class at 6 wr both being the highest in the time series since 1960. Mean fishing mortality on 2-6wr herring in 2005 is estimated at around 0.35 , which is above the management agreement F , while mean F on $0-1$ wr herring is 0.08 . The value of mean F ages 2-6 for 2004 in this year's assessment is 0.27 , which is slightly higher than the value of mean $F$ ages 2-6 from last year's assessment, which was 0.25 .

### 2.7 Short term projection by fleets.

### 2.7.1 Method

The procedure and program used (MFSP Skagen; WD to HAWG 2003) was the same as has been used since 2003. For the North Sea herring, managers have agreed to constrain the total outtake at levels of fishing mortalities for ages $0-1$ and $2-6$, and need options to show the trade-off between fleets within those limits. The MFSP program was developed to cover these needs.

### 2.7.2 Input data

## Fleet Definitions

The current fleet definitions are:

## North Sea

Fleet A: Directed herring fisheries with purse seiners and trawlers. By-catches in industrial fisheries by Norway are included.
Fleet B: Herring taken as by-catch under EU regulations.

## Division IIIa

Fleet C: Directed herring fisheries with purse seiners and trawlers
Fleet D: By-catches of herring caught in the small-mesh fisheries
The fleet definitions are the same as last year.

## Input Data for Short Term Projections

All the input data for the short term projections are shown in Table 2.7.1, which is the input file for the predictions.

Stock Numbers: For the start of 2006 the stock numbers at age were taken from ICA (ica.n file)

Recruitment: For 2007 and 2008, the recruitment was set to 23169 million which is the mean of the recruitments of the year classes 2001-2005, as estimated in this year's assessment. This (at $50 \%$ of the previous value) is far below the mean recruitment used in previous years. All the year classes from 2001 onwards have been poor. The SGRECVAP, which was set up to have a closer look at the recruitment failure in herring (as well as in Sandeel and Norway pout), concluded that the reduced recruitment is caused by an increased mortality in the first winter. Analysis of the time series of SSB and recruitment data clearly indicates a shift in the recruitment success in 2001. The underlying cause for the change in 2001 is not clear, but there is no evidence to justify an assumption of normal recruitment from 2006 onwards. Consequently, the advice is adopted to the current recruitment regime.

Fishing Mortalities: Selection by fleet at age was calculated by splitting the total fishing mortality in 2005 at each age proportional to the catches by fleets at that age. These fishing mortalities were used for all years in the prediction.

Mean weights in the catch by fleet: The mean weights by fleet for the years 2003 - 2005, excluding the 2000 year class, was used for all year classes except the 2000 year class. For the 2000 year class, the weights at age have so far been about $7 \%$ below the average of the surrounding year classes. Assuming that this year class will continue to have reduced weights at age, the weights at age for this year class were reduced by $7 \%$ in the prediction years.

Mean Weights at age in the stock: The smoothed weights at age in the stock for 2005 were used. However, the weights at age were reduced by $7 \%$ for the 2000 year class, following the procedure for weights in the catch.

Maturity at age: The average maturity at age for 2003 to 2005, calculated without the 2000 year class, was used (Table 2.6.2.2). The 2000 year class is now fully mature.

Natural Mortality: Unchanged from last year, equal to those assumed in the assessment.
Proportion of $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{F}$ before spawning: Unchanged from last year at 0.67 .

### 2.7.3 Prediction for 2006 and management option tables for 2007

### 2.7.3.1 Assumptions and Predictions for 2006

After the TACs were increased in 2003, the TAC for the A-fleet has been over-fished by 9 16 percent, while the other fleets caught less than half their TAC or by-catch ceiling. Catches in 2006 may be predicted with some confidence. The retrospective error has been low in recent years. It therefore seems most reasonable to use assumed catches to account for the removal in 2006. It is assumed that the TAC of 454000 tonnes of the A-fleet will be overshoot by $13 \%$, which is the average overshoot since 2003. The by-catch by the B-fleet has increased gradually from $23 \%$ to $44 \%$ in the same period. For the prediction, it is assumed that the catch by the B-fleet will be the same as in 2005, which implies a further increase in the fraction of the by-catch quota utilised, up to $55 \%$. An increased utilisation of the by-catch quota may be expected due to shortage of sandeel, and closure of the fishery for Norway pout. For the C and D fleet, it was assumed that their catch of North Sea autumn spawning herring would be the same as in 2005. The fishing mortalities resulting from these assumed catches were close to the fishing mortalities by fleet for 2005. Thus the difference between a catch constraint and F status quo constraint is small. The catch constraint assumes a very slightly higher catch and has been used.

### 2.7.3.2 Management Option Tables for 2006

The EU-Norway agreement on management of North Sea herring was updated in 2004. The revised rule specifies fishing mortalities for juveniles ( $\mathrm{F}_{0-1}$ ) and for adults ( $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ ) not to be exceeded, at 0.12 and 0.25 respectively, for the situation where the SSB is above 1.3 million tonnes. In addition, it now has a rule specifying reduced fishing mortalities when the SSB is below 1.3 million tonnes. Moreover, the current agreement has a constraint on year-to-year change of $15 \%$ in TAC, but allows for a stronger reduction in TAC if necessary.

With four fleets there are innumerable combinations of fleet-wise fishing mortalities and catches that satisfy the agreed rules.

Since the North Sea autumn spawning (NSAS) stock was rebuilt, the advice has been that the primary limiting factor for the fishery in IIIa should be the concern for the Western Baltic spring spawning (WBSS) stock. Using that as a guideline, and in order to reduce the number of possible options, the options for catches by the fleets C and D were derived from the likely recommended outtake of WBSS. The procedure for obtaining these catch limitations are described in detail in Section 3.10. In brief, the historical fractional distribution of the WBSS catches on IIIa and the other areas is used to translate the total recommended TAC for WBSS into outtake of WBSS in IIIa. Then, the mix of WBSS and NSAS in the IIIa catches is used to derive the outtake of NSAS in IIIa. Assuming a total catch of WBSS of 99000 tonnes (see Section 3.7) led to a catch of 24000 tonnes of NSAS herring for the C-fleet and 12900 tonnes of NSAS herring for the D-fleet by this procedure. Options are shown for these catches. In addition, to give an alternative example, options are shown with $2 / 3$ of these values.

It has become increasingly clear that in previous years, large parts of the catches reported for IIIa were actually taken in the North Sea. For 2004, Norway was allowed to transfer all of its quota in IIIa to IV, while the EC could transfer $50 \%$ of its quota. For 2005, Norway could transfer $50 \%$ its quota in IIIa to IV, while the EC could not. For 2006, Norway can again transfer $50 \%$ of its quota, while EU still can not. Furthermore, the last 4 year classes of NSAS have been weak, implying relatively small amounts of NSAS in IIIa. Therefore, it seems likely that the current fleet behaviour, with relatively small catches of NSAS in IIIa will be continued in the coming years.

The following options for 2007 and 2008 are tabulated:

- Catches as assumed for 2006
- F for the A-fleet and B-fleet reduced to get SSB at 1.3 million tonnes in 2007
- F0-1 $=0.12$ and F2-6 $=0.25$
- $\mathrm{F} 0-1=0.05$ and $\mathrm{F} 2-6=0.25$
- $\mathrm{F} 0-1=0.05$ and $\mathrm{F} 2-6=0.20$
- Applying the $15 \%$ rule in 2007, which gives catches of 388000 tonnes for the A-fleet in 2007. Due to limitations in the prediction programme, this catch is also assumed for 2008 when calculating the SSB in 2008.


## All predictions are for North Sea autumn spawning herring only.

The results are presented in Table 2.7.2.

### 2.7.4 Comments on the short-term projections

The outlook for this stock is poorer than in previous years, due to the recent reduction in the recruitment. This has been taken into account in the current prediction, both through the stock numbers at the start of 2006 as derived from the assessment, and by assuming a recruitment in line with what has been experienced the last 4 years.

As a result, maintaining the current catches in 2007 and 2008 will lead to a rapid and continuous decline in the spawning biomass. A drastic reduction in catches, in particular for the A-fleet, is needed to maintain the estimated SSB above Bpa ( 1.3 million tonnes) in 2006. The impact on the SSB of the catches by the other fleets is mainly on the prospect of recovery of the SSB from 2008 onwards, as these fleets to a large extent exploit juvenile herring.

The present agreement includes a rule to reduce the fishing mortality below 0.25 if the SSB drops below Bpa. It is not clear whether that applies to the SSB estimated for the assessment year, for the intermediate year, or for the prediction year. The SSB estimated for the intermediate year is marginally above Bpa, giving $\mathrm{F}=0.25$ from the rule. SSB is expected to drop below 1.3 million tonnes in the prediction year unless the removals from the stock are reduced markedly. The effect of the rule (a linear reduction in F with SSB below Bpa) would result in only a very small reduction in F below $\mathrm{F}=0.25$ for the prediction year. As the reduction was so small such simulations were not done.

The present agreement also includes a rule to constrain reductions in the TAC to $15 \%$, unless a greater reduction is considered appropriate. Applying this rule for 2007 will bring the SSB far below Bpa (the trigger biomass).

Making fleet-wise predictions for 4 fleets that are more or less independent remains problematic, in particular when it comes to presenting results in a way that allows managers to overview the range of possible trade-offs between fleets.

It is also worth noticing that the realised $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ for many years has exceeded that intended when setting the TACs. If managers wish to avoid exceeding the agreed limits, options with lower F-values may be preferable. Maintaining catches at the present level will bring the stock far below $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{p}}$.

The predictions presented here account for the slow growth of the large 2000 year class. There are no indications of reduced growth of the subsequent year classes.

The estimated impact of the juvenile fishery depends on the assumed value for natural mortality. It has not been investigated to what extent changes in natural mortality would affect the current advice, or if indeed such changes are taking place. However, some of the important predator stocks are currently in a poor condition.

### 2.8 Medium term predictions and HCR simulations

Medium term predictions have been made repeatedly for many years for this stock, to first develop and later evaluate the current management agreement. In all these simulations, a recruitment in line with what has been experienced in the 40 years in the past was assumed. This assumption may now be questioned, given the poor recruitment for 4 consecutive years. Such a prolonged sequence of poor recruitments is unusual for this stock, and would appear as very unlikely in the previous simulations. SGRECVAP has considered variability in the timeseries of recruitment and concluded that at least from a statistical point of view the current situation is different from the past. Although the cause of this poor recruitment is unclear and it is uncertain whether it will continue, management may have to adapt to a lower productivity of the North Sea herring stock.

To inform management under these circumstances medium term predictions assuming low recruitment in the future, in line with what has been experienced for the last 4 years are presented here. This is done to give some guidance to management adaptation to a reduced productivity. A run with normal stochastic recruitment is also included for comparison. In both cases an Ockham based recruitment function is used to simulate recruitment in both situations, with the same biomass point of inflection but lower recruitment at high biomass for the reduced recruitment scenario.

The software used was STPR3, the same as used at the evaluation of HCRs for North Sea herring in June 2004. This is a program for performing 10 years stochastic simulations of the stock and fishery, applying some HCRs. A description can be found i.a. the SGMAS report (ICES 2005, ICES CM 2006 /ACFM:09) and a manual in an EU Norway report on medium term management measures (EU 2004).

### 2.8.1 Input data:

The program was run with 2 fleets, Fleet 1 corresponds to the A-fleet and Fleet 2 corresponds to fleets $B, C$ and $D$ combined.

Stock numbers in the initial year 2006 and their variances were taken from the current ICA output (ica.n and ica.vc). Covariances were not included.

The stock-recruitment function was the same as used in previous simulations, but with a reduced recruitment. It assumed recruitment of 23169 millions independent of SSB at SSB larger than 800 thousand tonnes, and a linear reduction of the recruitment at lower SSB. The recruitment was drawn from a log-normal distribution with $\sigma=0.572$, which is the variation in the historic data series.

For weights and maturities historical data were used, by drawing years randomly and using data from that year.

Fleetwise selection at age were equal to those used in the short term prediction (Table 2.7.1)
For the intermediate year, catches by fleets were assumed as in the short term prediction.
Assessment and implementation was assumed to deviate from the true values by a random multiplier with mean 1.0 and $\mathrm{CV}=0.1$. No bias was assumed, except in Run 3.

### 2.8.2 Simulation options.

Run 1 Standard HCR: The first set of simulations applied the basic harvest rule agreed by Norway and EU from 2004:

At SSB $>1.3$ million tonnes: $\mathrm{F} 0-1=0.12$ and F2-6 $=0.25$

At SSB $<1.3$ million tonnes and SSB $>800000$ tonnes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { F0-1 }=0.12-\left(0.08^{*}(1300000-\text { SSB }) / 500000\right) \\
& \text { F2-6 }=0.25-\left(0.15^{*}(1300000-\text { SSB }) / 500000\right) 800000 \text { tonnes: }
\end{aligned}
$$

For SSB $<800000$ tonnes: F0-1 $=0.04$ and F2-6 $=0.10$
The agreement does not state the year which the SSB refers to. The SSB considered by STPR3 is the SSB in the quota year.

Run $2 \mathbf{- 1 5 \%}$ rule: The second set applied the rule to not change the TAC by more than $15 \%$ per year. The other parameters were as in the first set.

Run 3 - Overfishing: The third set assumed an overfishing of the derived quotas by $15 \%$. The other parameters were as in run 1, i.e. the rule constraining catch variation was not applied.

For comparison, 3 similar runs were also carried out assuming that the recruitment was normal as used in previous years.

### 2.8.3 Results

Figure 8.3.1a shows the risk of SSB being below Bpa of 1300000 tonnes in each of the simulation years. In this figure, the effect of recruitment reverting to the normal pattern from 2007 onwards is also shown for comparison. The deterministic short term prediction indicates an SSB slightly above Bpa. Figure 2.8.1b shows the risk of SSB being below Blim of 800000 tonnes in each of the simulation years. This risk is considerable if the $15 \%$ rule for constraining catch variation is applied, but small in the other cases.

Figures 2.8.2 a-c shows the SSB as median and upper and lower percentiles for the three cases. The median for 2006 is slightly above the deterministic estimate, and the variation due to the assessment uncertainty is small. This leads to a low probability of being below Bpa in the intermediate year 2006. These figures show that if the current harvest rule with F01 $=0.12$ and F2-6 $=0.25$ is implemented exactly, the SSB can be expected to be in equilibrium in the range of 1.0 to 1.6 million tonnes, with a median slightly below1.2 million tonnes, which is somewhat below the current Bpa of 1.3 million tonnes. If the constraint on year to year variation in annual quotas is applied, the SSB will fall for several years ahead, but recover gradually after that. As noted above, there is a considerable risk that it will even be well below Blim in some of the years. Assuming an overfishing of $15 \%$ on average leads towards an equilibrium between 0.9 and 1.5 million tonnes. In all cases, the rule to reduce fishing mortality when the SSB is below 1.3 million tonnes leads to an average F2-6 at about 0.25 , in accordance with the equilibrium of 1.3 million tonnes with F2-6 at 0.25 .

Figure 2.8.3 shows the risk for $\mathrm{SSB}<1.3$ million tonnes for a range of F2-6, with a low (0.04) and high (0.12) option for F0-1. In order to avoid the present Bpa with a high probability, the F0-1 will have to be kept low and F2-6 will have to be reduced to about 0.17 .

### 2.8.4 In conclusion:

There is high probability ( $>60 \%$ ) of the stock going below Bpa in 2007 and 2008 in all situations
There is a high probability ( $>60 \%$ ) of staying below Bpa under the current HCR if recruitment continues at the low level.
There is a significant probability ( $\sim 20 \%$ ) of the stock going below Blim if the $15 \%$ rule continues to be used after SSB falls below Bpa.
Reducing the fishery on either juveniles or adults can reduce the probability of SSB being below Bpa in 10 years (2017)

Under the current regime only if recruitment returns to normal does the risk to SSB reduce substantially.

### 2.9 Precautionary Reference Points

In 2003, SGPRP (ICES 2003 ACFM:04) suggested to reduce $B_{\text {lim }}$ from the current 800000 tonnes to about 560000 tonnes, based on the results of the segmented regression analysis of the stock and recruitment data. Fitting an "Ockham Razor" stock-recruit function with nonlinear minimisation of the SSQ of log residuals suggests a break point at 537000 tonnes. Although it is apparent that the recruitment historically has been at about the same level when the SSB was somewhat below 800000 tonnes as above, HAWG decided not to propose any revision of the $B_{\text {lim }}$ reference points at present for the following reasons:

- There is some doubt as to the validity of the calculation procedure used by the SGPRP
- Currently there is concern that the stock dynamics are changing
- HAWG would prefer to consider all reference points together, rather than revising just $B_{\text {lim }}$.

Most importantly, a downward revision of reference points now would not be helpful in precautionary management of the stock. While the harvest control rule in place for this stock has worked well in the recent past, and apart from $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$, the current reference points are derived from this HCR. The target $F$ in the HCR was adopted by ACFM as $F_{p a}$, while the trigger point at which $F$ should be reduced below the target is adopted as $B_{p a}$.

### 2.10 Quality of the Assessment

The details of the assessment have been discussed in great detail in the benchmark analysis presented in Section 2.6. The important issues on sensitivity of the assessment to sampling variability in the data, options for weighting of indices and model settings for ICA have been examined in section 2.6.8. A small range of model settings do not influence the assessment very much. Sampling errors important but the dominate effect is the different signals in the tuning indices, which is discussed below

### 2.10.1 Sensitivity to measured maturity

In previous years the measured maturity of the 2000 year class has been a source of variability in the estimates. This year class is now thought to be almost fully mature at age 4 wr (95\%) and the precision of this measure is quite good with $95 \%$ intervals at about $\pm 2.5 \%$. Other maturing year classes show typical levels of maturity. The assessment this year is therefore not particularly sensitive to measurement of maturity.

### 2.10.2 Use of tuning indices in the 2006 assessment

In this year's surveys, the MLAI surveys display an upward trend in SSB, in contrast to the Acoustic index that shows a decline. In single fleet tuning of the ICA assessment these translate into Acoustic Index: 14\% decline, IBTS: 1\% increase and MLAI: 7\% increase in SSB from 2004 to 2005. The MIK can also be used to tune the assessment but as this only provides a recruitment index the results are not that informative as a tuning index for the older parts of the population. The final assessment shows a decline of $6 \%$ which compares with a $4 \%$ decline if the 2005 procedure had been used. While the change in the last year terminal F and SSB is consistent among indices and with the precision of the combined assessment, the recent longer term trajectories are less so. ICA provides a variance/covariance method to bootstrap parameters estimated in the assessment. The scatter plot from 1000 bootstrap estimates using a the ICA variance covariance resampling method on parameter estimates is shown in Figure 2.10.1. Along with this are plotted the results of assessments with the indices
alone. The Acoustic survey suggests a lower SSB and higher F, the MLAI the highest SSB and lowest F . In particular the MLAI gives a much higher overall perception that is outside the range suggested by the combined assessment.

In conclusion the WG recognises that the different signals in the tuning data are currently the major cause of concern in the assessment. The WG has examined the indices through correlation to show they contain useful consistent data, reconciled the different tuning indices through the use of inverse variance weighting in a single assessment. Then checked that errors indicated by the retrospective patterns are small enough to support the utility of the assessment.

### 2.10.3 Comparison with the 2005 assessment and projection

The 2006 assessment is in good agreement with last years assessment see table below.

| Assessment year | SSB in 2004 | F2-6 in 2004 | SSB in 2005 | F in 2005 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 1} \mathbf{~ M ~ t}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7}$ | Assessed 1.69 Mt | Assessed 0.35 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 9} \mathbf{~ M ~ t ~}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ | Projected $\mathbf{1 . 8 2} \mathbf{~ M t}$ | Projected 0.30 |

SSB in 2004 is revised down by $5 \%$. SSB projected for 2005 was only a $7 \%$ overestimate of the current assessment with a fishing mortality at 0.35 instead of the projected 0.3.

### 2.10.4 Uncertainty in the 2005 assessment

The ICA plot of historic uncertainty is given in Figure 2.10.2. This shows $10 \%$ to $90 \%$ spread from $-15 \%$ to $+21 \%$. Figure 2.10 .1 provides a scatter plot of 100 terminal values in F against SSB, the spread of SSB is slightly narrower than in 2004 suggesting a slightly more precise assessment than last year. However, this ignores the disparity between the potentially different outcomes obtained when using the indices are used alone.

### 2.10.5 Comparison with earlier assessments

Cohort retrospectives are shown in Figure 2.10.3. The earliest cohorts shown have some revision over the early years. Latterly with the exception of the 2001 cohort retrospective evaluations suggest the WG is providing a fairly consistent evaluation of each year class. In particular the dominant 2000 year class has been estimated consistently since it was first seen in 2001. The early revisions occurred during the period following management changes in 1996/1997 and are thought to be mostly due to this but also the more equitable index weighting that was used before 2001.

### 2.10.6 Predictions

The short-term prediction method was substantially modified in 2002. Following the review by SGEHAP (ICES 2001/ACFM:22), which recommended that a simple multi-fleet method would be preferable, the complex split-factor method used for a number of years prior to 2002 has not been used since. The multi-fleet, multi-option, deterministic short-term prediction programme (MFSP) was accepted by ACFM and was developed further in 2004. It is intended to continue to use this programme in the future for as long as multifleet advice in the current form is requested. Last year's short-term prediction suggested that the North Sea autumnspawning herring stock SSB in 2006 would be around 1.62 Mt at an F of 0.30 . This does not compare so well with this year's projection of the 2006 SSB which is 1.3 Mt , a reduction of $19 \%$. However, the currently estimated F was higher at $\mathrm{F}=0.35$. This demonstrates that the
current prediction procedure may be underestimating the fishing mortality, which for a declining stock may be an additional problem for management.

### 2.11 Herring in Division IVc and VIId (Downs Herring).

Over many years the working group has attempted to assess the contribution of winter spawning Downs herring to the overall population of North Sea herring. There is a separate TAC for herring in Divisions IVc and VIId as part of the total North Sea TAC.

Historically, the TAC for herring in IVc and VIId has been set as a proportion of the total North Sea TAC and this has varied between 6 and $16 \%$ since 1986 and as a proportion has been relatively high in recent years. ACFM in 2005 expressed a range of concerns regarding Downs herring and recommended that the proportion used to determine the TAC should be set to the long term average of the proportions used since 1986 (11\%). This resulted in an agreed TAC in 2006 of 50,023 tonnes, a reduction of $33 \%$ compared with 2005 (Figure 2.11.1). The TAC in 2006 is 1.18 times the long term mean TAC for Downs (compared with 1.75 in 2005).

ACFM has in the past expressed concern that there is a persistent tendency to overfish the Downs TAC. However, this tendency has been markedly reduced in recent years (Figure 2.11.2), possibly because TACs have been much higher.

A further concern is that recent high catch levels in IVc and VIId have been driven largely by the strong 2000 year class. This year class accounted for $67 \%$ and $51 \%$ of the catch in numbers in 2004 and 2005, respectively. As has been noted previously these fish are smaller than average for their age and also have a lower proportion mature than average.

Historically, the Downs herring has been considered highly sensitive to overexploitation (Burd, 1985; Cushing 1968; 1992). It is less fecund and expresses different growth dynamics and recruitment patterns to the more northern spawning components. Furthermore, the directed fishery in Q4 and Q1 targets aggregations of spawning herring.

Preliminary studies suggest that the population profile of herring caught in Divisions IVc and VIId is slightly steeper than that for Divisions IVa and IV, particularly on the older ages (Figure 2.11.3)..The profiles were derived taking the log of the average catch numbers at age for Q4 over the period 1996 to 2004. Moreover, time-series estimates of total mortalities from within cohort catch-curves suggest that Z has been significantly higher on the 1998 and 1999 year classes of Downs herring (Figure 2.11.4).

Downs herring is also taken in other herring fisheries in the North Sea. Downs herring mixes with other components of North Sea herring in the summer whilst feeding, but it has not been possible to quantify the complete Downs component in catches. There is also a summer industrial fishery in the eastern North Sea exploiting Downs and North Sea autumn spawning herring juveniles. Tagging experiments (Aasen et al, 1962) estimated that around $15 \%$ of catches comprised Downs recruits. Recent otolith microstructure studies of Dutch catches in the summer of 2004 and 2005 from the northern North Sea suggest that the proportion of Downs herring may vary considerably from year to year. The percentage contribution was estimated as $60 \%$ in 2004 and $26 \%$ in 2005 (Dickey-Collas et al., 2005).

The proportion of the autumn and winter spawning components in recruiting year classes of North Sea herring has been traditionally monitored through the abundance of different sized fish in the IBTS. 1 wr fish from Downs spawning sites (winter) are thought to be smaller than those from the more northern, autumn spawning sites ( $<13 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $>13 \mathrm{~cm}$ respectively). Both the total abundance and the proportion of Downs herring have, on average, been higher since the early 1990s, although there is considerable variation between year classes (Figure 2.11.5). These data (Table 2.3.3.3) suggest that around $35 \%$ of the strong 2000 year class came from Downs production and that approximately $70 \%$ of the 2002 year class originated
from Downs production. In contrast, only 15\% of the very weak 2004 year class was derived from Downs spawners.

## Contribution by recent year classes

2000 year class- The Downs component continues to contribute significantly to the total fishery on the North Sea herring stock. Separate catches of this component are not available for all areas in the North Sea, however where separate data are available this year class in catches in IVc and VIId alone accounted for $8 \%$ and $5 \%$ of the total North Sea catches by weight in 2004 and 2005, respectively.

2003 and 2004 year classes- It appears probable that the recruitment for the 2003 and 2004 year classes of Downs herring is poor (Figure 2.11.6), based on the MIK index for the southern North Sea and the IBTS 1wr estimates ( $<13 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) (Table 2.3.3.3).

2005 year class- The preliminary results from the MIK survey (Figure 2.11.6) suggest that the 2005 year class may be the second highest since these data became available (1995). However, this estimate should be treated with extreme caution because it is based on a single large catch.

There remains an expectation of a low recruitment in recent years despite high levels (but with high variance) of larval abundances (Fig. 2.11.6). Hence it is probable that the productivity of the Downs component will reduce over the next few years, when the 2000 and 2002 year classes are fished out.

The Downs herring has returned to its pre-collapse state of being a major component of the stock but is currently dominated by one year class. Hence the management of the fishery on the spawning aggregations of Downs herring should continue to be cautious. More evidence about the dynamics and catches of Downs herring is required. Hence, HAWG continues to recommend that existing surveys of herring in the southern North Sea and English Channel be maintained and that the microincrement analysis of otoliths (to determine spawning type) is expanded to other fleets in the North Sea and also carried out on samples collected during the annual acoustic survey.

Last year the EC set a TAC for herring in IVc and VIId in concordance with the ICES advice. The TAC is specific to the conservation of the spawning aggregation of Downs herring. Evidence from catch-curve studies indicates a higher total mortality ( Z ) on this component and Downs herring is also caught in large numbers in other areas during the rest of the year. The TAC in 2006 remains $18 \%$ above the long-term mean TAC and low recruitment to the component is probable in the next few years. Thus, in the absence of other information HAWG recommends that the IVc-VIId TAC should be maintained in 2007 at $11 \%$ of the total North Sea TAC (as recommended by ACFM). This recommendation should be seen as an interim measure prior to the development of a more robust harvest control for setting the TAC of Downs herring, supported by increased research effort into the dynamics of this component in fisheries in the central and northern North Sea. Any new approach should provide an appropriate balance of F across stock components and be similarly conservative until the uncertainty in the Downs contribution to the catch in all fisheries in the North Sea is reduced.

## Towards a Harvest Rule to determine IVc and VIId herring TAC allocation

The larval index although noisy seems to be a reliable index of North Sea herring SSB. Comparison between the Downs and the North Sea indices of SSB based on larval production (Dickey-Collas WG D3) suggests differences in the trends corresponding to both stocks (Fig. 2.11.7), particularly in recent years where estimated SSB for the Downs has declined compared with an increasing trend for total North Sea SSB. Given the lack of key information to provide a well-substantiated southern North Sea TAC allocation, an indicator of Downs abundance relative to the total North Sea would be useful. The ratio of the IVc and VIId to the

North Sea index of SSB based on larval production is noisy so a three-year running average was applied to smooth the series. The historic series of the proportion of the catch in IVc VIId compared to the whole of the North Sea is plotted along with the smoothed larval SSBs ratios in Fig. 2.11.8. The smoothed larval ratios suggest an increasing trend in the proportion of Downs for the period although a persistent decline in the annual ratio was seen from 2000. However, the series of total mortality estimates by cohort presented early in this section (Fig. 2.11.4) suggested that fishing mortality for the component could have been high in recent years compared to the rest of the North Sea. Therefore, although the proportion of the Downs component relative to the total North Sea may on average have increased in the period 1986 to 2004 it is possible that the effective catch exerted on the component is not sustainable particularly if the in-coming year-classes continue to be weak. In recent years, the actual proportion of the southern North Sea component in the catch has substantially exceeded the $11 \%$ of the TAC long-term average reaching $22 \%$ in 1997 (Fig. 2.11.8). There is no scientific basis for the proposed $11 \%$ and given the indications of high mortality it becomes increasingly important that the $11 \%$ proposed as a conservative allocation is revised.

A number of rules to set the percentage TAC allocation could be proposed but they will have to be tested on a simulation framework. The SSB ratios should be investigated as a potential index to derive a percentage southern North Sea allocation and indices of in-coming year-class strength such as the proportion of small fish $(<13 \mathrm{~cm})$ in the IBTS survey and the MIK index for the Channel should also be taken into account when developing rules. Flexibility and perhaps some innovative approaches will be required when setting a framework given uncertainty in the dispersal/migration components and mixing rates of the various components in each area, and their variability. Further, measures of precision associated to the larval SSBs estimates will need to be taken into account. The possibility of bias in the larval SSB ratio and that the bias could have a temporal trend due for example environmental changes should be incorporated into the scenario testing. Needless to say, the actual HCR will have to be conservative given uncertainty and robust to a variety of likely scenarios. Although is must be noted that acting conservatively on one component may result in the opposite effect on the remaining components.

### 2.12 Management Considerations

Based on the most recent estimates of SSB and fishing mortality, the North Sea autumn spawning herring stock is considered to be at 1.7 million t in 2005 and is expected to decrease to 1.3 million tonnes in 2006, which is at the $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$. Following currently estimated low recruitment SSB is expected to decline further and will be at risk of having reduced reproductive capacity in 2007. The fishing mortality has increased considerably in the last year, and the stock is now clearly at risk of being harvested unsustainably. SSB peaked since the rise from the low stock size in the mid-1990s, in response to reduced catches, strong recruitment and management measures that reduced exploitation both on juveniles and adults. However, in the last 4 years the recruitment has been far below average, and the stock is declining. Landings of adult herring in recent years have consistently exceeded the agreed TAC, mainly due to unallocated catches and catches misreported out of the North Sea (see section 2.1). The fishing mortality is increasing and is now well above what was intended in the management agreement, and what was considered sustainable.

The stock is managed according to the EU-Norway Management agreement which was updated on 26 November 2004, the relevant parts of the text are included here for reference:-

1. Every effort shall be made to maintain a level of Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB) greater than the 800,000 tonnes (Blim).
2. Where the SSB is estimated to be above 1.3 million tonnes the Parties agree to set quotas for the directed fishery and for by-catches in other fisheries, reflecting a fishing mortality rate of no more than 0.25 for 2 ringers and older and no more than 0.12 for 0-1 ringers.
3. Where the SSB is estimated to be below 1.3 million tonnes but above 800,000 tonnes, the Parties agree to set quotas for the direct fishery and for bycatches in other fisheries, reflecting a fishing mortality rate equal to:
4. $0.25-(0.15 *(1,300,000-S S B) / 500,000)$ for 2 ringers and older, and
5. $0.12-\left(0.08^{*}(1,300,000-S S B) / 500,000\right)$ for $0-1$ ringers.
6. Where the SSB is estimated to be below 800,000 tonnes the Parties agree to set quotas for the directed fishery and for by-catches in other fisheries, reflecting a fishing mortality rate of less than 0.1 for 2 ringers and older and less than 0.04 for 0-1ringers.
7. Where the rules in paragraphs 2 and 3 would lead to a TAC which deviates by more than $15 \%$ from the TAC of the preceding year the Parties shall fix a TAC that is no more than $15 \%$ greater or $15 \%$ less than the TAC of the preceding year.
8. Not withstanding paragraph 5 the Parties may, where considered appropriate, reduce the TAC by more than $15 \%$ compared to theTAC of the preceding year.
9. By-catches of herring may only be landed in ports where adequate sampling schemes to effectively monitor the landings have been set up. All catches landed shall be deducted from the respective quotas set, and the fisheries shall be stopped immediately in the event that the quotas are exhausted
10. The allocation of TAC for the directed fishery for herring shall be $29 \%$ to Norway and $71 \%$ to the Community. The by-catch quota for herring shall be allocated to the Community
11. A review of this arrangement shall take place no later than 31 December 2007.
12. This arrangement enters in to force on 1 January 2005.

ACFM examined the performance of this revised harvest control rule in 2005, and considered "the agreement in terms of target F to be consistent with the Precautionary Approach. However, ACFM also considered that the strict application of the TAC change limit of $15 \%$ (rule number 5) is not consistent with the Precautionary Approach in a situation like the present when three consecutive weak year-classes have recruited to the population. The harvest control rule is in accordance with the precautionary approach if paragraph 6 is consistently invoked sufficiently early to prevent or minimise the risk of SSB falling below $B_{p a}$ even in the case of several consecutive weak year-classes. Assuming that paragraph 6 would be invoked when TAC constraints would lead to SSB falling below $B_{p a}$ it is considered that the revised HCR is in accordance with the Precautionary Approach."

The situation now is unusual, with all the four year classes from 2001 onwards being poor. The SGRECVAP, which was set up to have a closer look at the recruitment failure in herring (as well as in Sandeel and Norway pout), concluded that the reduced recruitment is caused by an increased mortality in the first winter. Analysis of the time series of SSB and recruitment data clearly indicates a shift in the recruitment success in 2001. The underlying cause for the change in 2001 is not clear, but there is no evidence to justify an assumption of normal recruitment from 2006 onwards.

Consequently, the WG considers that the advice for 2007 should be adapted to the current recruitment regime. However, managers should also consider adaptation of the management agreement to account for the possibility that this low recruitment is continuing. It still is unclear how long this poor recruitment will last. Nevertheless actions need to be taken.

With the current low recruitment, medium term simulations show that the SSB will be in stochastic equilibrium at $\mathrm{SSB} \sim 1.2$ million tonnes if the realised fishing mortality is at the level that has been intended in the agreed management plan. To reduce the the risk of $\mathrm{SSB}<1.3$ million tonnes to less than $5 \%$, fishing mortality for adults will have to be reduced to about 0.16 , provided that the fishing mortality on juveniles can be reduced to the level it had in the rebuilding phase prior to 2003 (F0-1 < 0.05). However, the present Bpa was derived as an element in a harvest rule based on simulations assuming the historic recruitment regime, and may not give adequate guidance for management with a different stock productivity. However, Blim which is has been used to derive the current Bpa is based on a very stable stock and recruit time-series and is unlikely to change in the short term.

With full compliance, the agreed fishing mortality of 0.25 for the adults should be sustainable, provided the fishing mortality on juveniles is maintained at the present low level. However, failure to comply with this fishing mortality is a matter of concern. The consequences of the present mortality around 0.35 , has not been examined in detail, but it is clear that it will lead to a substantial reduction in SSB in the near future. Likewise, the rule to constrain year-toyear change in TACs in the present situation inevitably precludes an adequate response to the abrupt change in recruitment. The combination of poor enforcement implementation and insufficient reduction in catch due to the $15 \%$ rule will act together to accelerate the decline.

The 1998 and 2000 year classes were both very strong, and will dominate the adult stock in the coming years. Therefore, in order to avoid later drastic reductions in fishing opportunities, these year classes should be exploited carefully. Likewise, as these year classes are depleted, the stock will be dominated by weak year classes. Managers, have an option to reduce the effect of this decline in recruitment by specifically reducing fishing mortality of incoming year classes.

This stock complex also includes Downs herring (herring in Divisions IVc and VIId), which has shown independent trends in exploitation rate and recruitment, but cannot be assessed separately. This year the Working Group concludes that the current state of the component is unknown. The WG's understanding of the component's dynamics is unlikely to improve until further examination of catch and the existing time series of surveys takes place. Both, alternative assessment methods have to be explored, and a greater knowledge the ecology of Downs herring is needed. The Downs fishery is concentrated on the spawning aggregations in a restricted area, which makes this stock component particularly vulnerable to excessive fishing pressure. Catches of the of the Downs component are taken both in the southern area and in the mixed fishery in the central and northern North Sea. The EU splits its share of the total North Sea herring TAC (Subarea IV and Division VIId) into TACs for Divisions IVa+IVb and for Divisions IVc+VIId. In response to ICES advice in May 1996, the IVc+VIId TAC was reduced by $50 \%$ in line with reductions for the whole North Sea. The TAC for Downs herring was reduced to 25000 t and remained there until 2001. The catches for this component have significantly exceeded the sub-TACs in all years from 1989 to 2003. ACFM advised for 2004 and 2005 that the quota should not increase faster than the TAC for the North Sea as a whole. For three years ICES proposed that a share of $11 \%$ on the total North Sea TAC (average share 1989-2002) would be appropriate for distributing the harvesting among Downs Herring and other stock components. In accordance with the ICES advice the sub-TAC was reduced from 74.3 Kt in 2005 to 50 Kt in 2006. The IVc and VIId TAC is specific to the conservation of the spawning aggregation of Downs herring. Downs herring is caught in large numbers in other areas during the rest of the year (see Dickey-Collas et al., 2005). While the WG acknowledges that the basis for this exact $11 \%$ figure is weak there are
indications that the total mortality on the Downs component, of which fishing is the major component, has recently been significantly higher than for the rest NS components.

Since the North Sea autumn spawning (NSAS) stock was rebuilt, ICES advice for catches of herring in IIIa, has been that the primary limiting factor should be the concern for the Western Baltic spring spawning (WBSS) stock. If as expected NS herring biomass declines, primacy of consideration may not be WBSS in future years. Currently the provision of advice affects the C and D fleets operating in IIIa. The issue of predicted catch in IIIa is dealt with in detail in the discussion of short term predictions in Section 2.7 and Section 3.10. Following the procedure set out in section 3.10 and assuming a total catch of WBSS of 99000 tonnes (see Section 3.7) leads to a catch of 21800 tonnes of NSAS herring for the C-fleet and 11900 tonnes of NSAS herring for the D-fleet.

It has become increasingly clear that in previous years, large parts of the catches reported for IIIa were actually taken in the North Sea. For 2004, Norway was allowed to transfer all of its quota in IIIa to IV, while the EC could transfer 50\% of its quota from IIIa to IV. For 2005 and 2006, Norway could again transfer $50 \%$ of its quota in IIIa to IV, while the EC now cannot (See Section 3.10). Furthermore, the last 4 year classes of NSAS have been weak, implying relatively smaller amounts of NSAS in IIIa. Therefore, it seems likely that the current fleet behaviour, with relatively small catches of NSAS in IIIa, will continue in the coming year.

Following the derogation for quota transfer from IIIa (described in the above paragraph) the UK relaxed its single area licence restrictions for fishing for herring in sub-area IV and subarea VI. Since then there has been an increase in area misreporting from the North Sea into subdivision VIa north.

The relaxation of the by-catch limits in the Danish industrial fishery in 2004 along with increased fishing opportunities for sprat and reduced opportunities for other industrial species has resulted in increased fishing mortality on juvenile herring, though the fishing mortality on herring juveniles is still below the management target of $\mathrm{F}_{01}=0.12$. Management of the North Sea sprat fishery must take account the bycatch of herring. Although the bycatch of juvenile herring was much lower than the allowed by-catch ceiling ( 50000 t ), the poor recruitment of herring warrants that the bycatch be constrained further.

All of the relaxation of area and bycatch rules are now contributing to the increase in exploitation and current over exploitation of North Sea herring. Removal of these derogations and increased compliance would be beneficial, particularly in the current circumstance of a declining North Sea population.

Table 2.1.1: Herring caught in the North Sea (Sub-area IV and Division VIId). Catch in tonnes by country, 1996 - 2005. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for legal purposes.

| Country | 1996 | 9 | 1997 | 9 | 1998 | 9 | 1999 |  | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | - |  | 1 |  | - |  | 2 |  | - |
| Denmark | 66733 |  | 38324 |  | 58924 |  | 61268 |  | 64123 |
| Faroe Islands | 815 |  | 1156 |  | 1246 |  | 1977 |  | 915 |
| France | 12500 |  | 14525 |  | 20784 |  | 26962 |  | 20952 |
| Germany, Fed.Rep | 14215 |  | 13380 |  | 22259 |  | 26764 |  | 26687 |
| Netherlands | 42792 |  | 35985 |  | 49933 |  | 54467 |  | 54341 |
| Norway ${ }^{4}$ | 43739 |  | 41606 |  | 70981 |  | 74071 |  | 72072 |
| Sweden | 2458 |  | 2253 |  | 3221 |  | 3241 |  | 3046 |
| USSR/Russia | - |  | 1619 |  | 452 |  | - |  | - |
| UK (England) | 6880 |  | 3470 |  | 7635 |  | 11434 |  | 11179 |
| UK (Scotland) | 17212 |  | 22582 |  | 31313 |  | 29911 |  | 30033 |
| UK (N.Ireland) | - |  | - |  | 1015 |  | - |  | 996 |
| Unallocated landings | 26069 | ${ }^{12}$ | 63403 | 6,12 | 70329 | ${ }^{12}$ | 43327 |  | 61673 |
| Total landings | 233413 |  | 238304 |  | 338092 |  | 333424 |  | 346017 |
| Discards | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total catch | 233413 |  | 238304 |  | 338092 |  | 333424 |  | 346017 |
| Estimates of the parts of the catches which have been allocated to spring spawning stocks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IIIa type (WBSS) | 855 |  | 979 |  | 7833 |  | 4732 |  | 6649 |
| Thames estuary ${ }^{5}$ | 168 |  | 202 |  | 88 |  | 88 |  | 76 |
| Others ${ }^{11}$ | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 378 |
| Norw. Spring Spawners ${ }^{15}$ | 30274 |  | 54728 |  | 29220 |  | 32106 |  | 25678 |


| Country | 2001 | 9 | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | - |  | 23 |  | 5 |  | 8 |  | 6 |
| Denmark ${ }^{7}$ | 67096 |  | 70825 |  | 78606 |  | 99037 |  | 128380 |
| Faroe Islands | 1082 |  | 1413 |  | 627 |  | 402 |  | 738 |
| France | 24880 | ${ }^{14}$ | 25422 |  | 31544 |  | 34521 |  | 38829 |
| Germany | 29779 |  | 27213 |  | 43953 |  | 41858 |  | 46555 |
| Netherlands | 51293 |  | 55257 |  | 81108 |  | 96162 |  | 81531 |
| Norway ${ }^{4}$ | 75886 | 1 | 74974 | 1 | 112481 | 1 | 137638 |  | 156802 |
| Poland | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 458 |
| Sweden | 3695 |  | 3418 |  | 4781 |  | 5692 |  | 13464 |
| Russia | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 99 |
| UK (England) | 14582 |  | 13757 |  | 18639 |  | 20855 |  | 25311 |
| UK (Scotland) | 26719 |  | 30926 |  | 40292 |  | 45331 |  | 73227 |
| UK (N.Ireland) | 1018 |  | 944 |  | 2010 |  | 2656 |  | 2912 |
| Unallocated landings | 27362 | ${ }^{12}$ | 31552 | 12 | 31875 | ${ }^{12}$ | 48898 | 12 | 57788 |
| Total landings | 323392 | ${ }^{14}$ | 335724 |  | 445921 |  | 533058 |  | 626101 |
| Discards | - |  | 17093 |  | 4125 |  | 17059 |  | 12824 |
| Total catch | 323392 | ${ }^{14}$ | 352817 |  | 450046 |  | 550117 |  | 638925 |


|  | Estimates of the parts of the catches which have been allocated to spring spawning stocks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| IIIa type (WBSS) | 6449 | 6652 | 2821 | 7079 | 7039 |  |  |
| Thames estuary $^{5}$ | 107 | 60 | 84 | 62 | 74 |  |  |
| Others $^{11}$ | 1097 | 0 | 308 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Norw. Spring Spawners $^{15}$ | 7108 | 4069 | 979 | 452 | 417 |  |  |

[^0]Table 2.1.2: Herring. Catch in tonnes in Division IVa West. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for legal purposes.

| Country | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6} \mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7} \mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8} \mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9} \mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0} \mathbf{1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denmark | 3183 | 2657 | 4634 | 15359 | 25530 |
| Faroe Islands | 815 | 1156 | 1246 | 1977 | 205 |
| France | 3177 | 362 | 4758 | 6369 | 3210 |
| Germany | 2167 | 4576 | 7753 | 11206 | 5811 |
| Netherlands | 7714 | 6072 | 10917 | 21552 | 15117 |
| Norway | 22187 | 16869 | 27290 | 31395 | 33164 |
| Sweden | 769 | 1617 | 315 | 859 | 1479 |
| Russia | - | 1619 | 452 | - | - |
| UK (England) | 2391 | 49 | 4306 | 7999 | 8859 |
| UK (Scotland) | 12763 | 17121 | 29462 | 28537 | 29055 |
| UK (N. Ireland) | - | - | 1015 | - | 996 |
| Unallocated landings | 12681 | 8 | 406625,8 | 56058 | 8 |
| Misreporting from VIa North |  |  |  | 254698 | 44334 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Landings | 67847 | 92760 | 148206 | 150722 | 167760 |
| Discards |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total catch | $\mathbf{6 7 8 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 7 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 8 2 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 7 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 7 7 6 0}$ |


| Country | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 ~ 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4} \mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denmark 7 | 17770 | 26422 | 48358 | 48128 | 80990 |
| Faroe Islands | 192 | - | 95 | - |  |
| France | 8164 | 10522 | 11237 | 10941 | 13474 |
| Germany | 17753 | 15189 | 25796 | 17559 | 22278 |
| Netherlands | 1750310 | 18289 | 25045 | 43876 | 36619 |
| Norway | 116531 | 10836 | 1 | 34443 | 36119 |
| Poland | - | - | - | - | 66232 |
| Sweden | 1418 | 2397 | 2647 | 2178 | 458 |
| Russia | - | - | - | - | 8261 |
| UK (England) | 12283 | 10142 | 12030 | 13480 | 15523 |
| UK (Scotland) | 25105 | 30014 | 39970 | 43490 | 71941 |
| UK (N. Ireland) | 1018 | 944 | 2010 | 2656 | 2912 |
| Unallocated landings | 24725 | 8 | 14201 | 8 | 14115 |
| Misreporting from VIa North |  |  | 286318 | 39324 |  |
| Total Landings | 137584 | 138956 | 215746 | 247058 | 358111 |
| Discards |  | 17093 | 4125 | 15794 | 10861 |
| Total catch | $\mathbf{1 3 7 5 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 6 0 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 9 8 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 2 8 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 8 9 7 2}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Preliminary
${ }^{4}$ Including IVa East
${ }^{5}$ Negative unallocated catches due to misreporting from other areas
${ }^{6}$ Altered in 2000 on the basis of a Bayesian assessment on $m$ isreporting into IVa (North)
${ }^{7}$ Including any by-catches in the industrial fishery
${ }^{8}$ May include misreported catch from VIaN and discards
${ }^{9}$ Figure altered in 2001
${ }^{10}$ Including 1057 t of local spring spawners
${ }^{11}$ Figures verified and altered if needed in 2003 by SG Rednose (ICES 2003/ACFM:10)

Table 2.1.3: Herring. Catch in tonnes in Division IVa East. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for legal purposes.

| Country | 1996 | 1997 | 7 | 1998 | 7 | 1999 | 7 | 2000 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark ${ }^{5}$ | 19166 | 22862 |  | 25750 |  | 18259 |  | 11300 |  |
| Faroe Islands | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | 710 |  |
| France | - | 3 |  | - |  | 115 |  | - |  |
| Germany | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | 29 |  |
| Netherlands | - | 756 |  | 301 |  | - |  | 38 |  |
| Norway ${ }^{2}$ | 18256 | 20975 |  | 43646 |  | 39977 |  | 38655 |  |
| Sweden | 1119 | 422 |  | 1189 |  | 772 |  | 1177 |  |
| Unallocated landings | - | -756 | 4 | -292 | 4 | - |  | 338 |  |
| Total landings | 38541 | 44262 |  | 70594 |  | 59123 |  | 52247 |  |
| Discards | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| Total catch | 38541 | 44262 |  | 70594 |  | 59123 |  | 52247 |  |
| Norw. Spring Spawners ${ }^{6}$ | 30274 | 54728 |  | 29220 |  | 32106 |  | 25678 |  |


| Country | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}{ }^{7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}{ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}{ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}{ }^{1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denmark 5 | 18466 | 17846 | 7401 | 16278 | 5761 |
| Faroe Islands | 890 | 1365 | 359 | - | 738 |
| France | - | - | - | - | - |
| Germany | - | 81 | 54 | 888 | - |
| Netherlands | - | - | - | - | - |
| Norway 2 | $56904^{1}$ | $63482^{1}$ | 62306 | 100443 | 89925 |
| Sweden | 517 | 568 | 1529 | 1720 | 3510 |
| Unallocated landings | 0 | 5961 | 11991 | 0 | 0 |
| Total landings | 76777 | 89303 | 83640 | 119329 | 99934 |
| Discards | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total catch | $\mathbf{0 6 7 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 3 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 6 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 9 3 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 9 3 4}$ |
| Norw. Spring Spawners ${ }^{\mathbf{6}}$ | 7108 | 4069 | 979 | 452 | 417 |

${ }^{1}$ Preliminary
${ }^{2}$ Catches of Norwegian spring spawning herring removed (taken under a separate TAC)
${ }^{3}$ Included in IVa West
${ }^{4}$ Negative unallocated catches due to misreporting into other areas
${ }^{5}$ Including any by-catches in the industrial fishery
${ }^{6}$ These catches (including some fjord-type spring spawners) are taken by Norway under a separate quota south of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and are not included in the Norwegian North Sea catch figure fir this area

Table 2.1.4: Herring. Catch in tonnes in Division IVb. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for legal purposes.

| Country | 1996 | ${ }^{6}$ | 1997 | ${ }^{6}$ | 1998 | ${ }^{6}$ | 1999 | ${ }^{6}$ | 2000 | ${ }^{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1 |  | - |  |
| Denmark ${ }^{4}$ | 43749 |  | 11558 |  | 26667 |  | 26211 |  | 26825 |  |
| Faroe Islands | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| France | 2373 |  | 6069 |  | 8945 |  | 7634 |  | 10863 |  |
| Germany | 11051 |  | 7455 |  | 13590 |  | 13529 |  | 18818 |  |
| Netherlands | 21053 |  | 14976 |  | 27468 |  | 22343 |  | 26839 |  |
| Norway | 3296 |  | 3762 |  | 45 |  | 2699 |  | 253 |  |
| Sweden | 570 |  | 214 |  | 1717 |  | 1610 |  | 390 |  |
| UK (England) | 2757 |  | 2033 |  | 1767 |  | 1641 |  | 669 |  |
| UK (Scotland) | 4449 |  | 5461 |  | 1851 |  | 1374 |  | 978 |  |
| Unallocated landings | -17313 | 5 | -3744 | 5 | -12138 | 5 | -3794 | 5 | -9820 |  |
| Total landings | 71985 |  | 47784 |  | 69912 |  | 73248 |  | 75815 |  |
| Discards ${ }^{2}$ | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| Total catch | 71985 |  | 47784 |  | 69912 |  | 73248 |  | 75815 |  |


| Country | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}^{\mathbf{6}}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}{ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}^{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}^{\mathbf{1}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Belgium | - | - | - | - | - |
| Denmark $^{4}$ | 30277 | 26387 | 22574 | 33857 | 41423 |
| Faroe Islands | - | 48 | 173 | 402 | - |
| France | $7796^{14}$ | 4214 | 7918 | 10592 | 10205 |
| Germany | 8340 | 7577 | 12116 | 13823 | 14381 |
| Netherlands | 24160 | 13154 | 19115 | 23649 | 10038 |
| Norway | $7329^{1}$ | $6566^{1}$ | 15732 | 1076 | 645 |
| Sweden | 1760 | 453 | 605 | 1794 | 1694 |
| UK (England) | 814 | 317 | 2632 | 2864 | 3869 |
| UK (Scotland) | 1614 | 289 | 322 | 1841 | 1286 |
| Unallocated landings | $-22885^{5}$ | 4052 | -2401 | 8300 | 10233 |
| Total landings $^{\text {Discards }}{ }^{2}$ | 59205 | 57147 | 78786 | 98198 | 93774 |
| Total catch | - | - | - | 1265 | 1963 |

[^1]Table 2.1.5: Herring. Catch in tonnes in Division IVc and VIId. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for legal purposes.

| Country | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}^{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}^{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}^{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}^{9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}^{9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Belgium | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Denmark | 635 | 1247 | 1873 | 1439 | 468 |
| France | 6950 | 8091 | 7081 | 12844 | 6879 |
| Germany | 997 | 1349 | 916 | 2029 | 2029 |
| Netherlands | 14024 | 14181 | 11247 | 10572 | 12348 |
| UK (England) | 1733 | 1388 | 1562 | 1794 | 1651 |
| UK (Scotland) | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unallocated landings | $30702^{4}$ | $27241^{4}$ | $26701^{4}$ | $21652^{4}$ | $26822^{4}$ |
| Total landings | 55041 | 53498 | 49380 | 50331 | 50198 |
| Discards $^{3}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total catch | $\mathbf{5 5 0 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 4 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 3 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 3 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 1 9 8}$ |
| Coastal spring spawners $^{\text {included above }{ }^{2}}$ | 168 | 143 | 88 | 88 | 76 |


| Country | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}^{9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}{ }^{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}^{1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Belgium | - | 23 | 5 | 8 | 6 |
| Denmark | 583 | 170 | 273 | 774 | 206 |
| France | 8750 | 10686 | 12389 | 12988 | 15150 |
| Germany | 3686 | 4366 | 5987 | 9588 | 9896 |
| Netherlands | 9630 | 23814 | 36948 | 28637 | 34874 |
| UK (England) | 1485 | 3298 | 3977 | 4511 | 5919 |
| UK (Scotland) | - | 623 | - | - | - |
| Unallocated landings | $25522^{4}$ | 7338 | 8170 | 11967 | 8231 |
| Total landings | 49656 | 50318 | 67749 | 68473 | 74282 |
| Discards 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total catch | $\mathbf{4 9 6 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 3 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 7 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 4 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 2 8 2}$ |
| Coastal spring spawners $^{\text {included above }{ }^{2}}$ | $147^{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | 60 | 84 | 62 | 74 |

${ }^{1}$ Preliminary
${ }^{2}$ Landings from the Thames estuary area are included in the North Sea catch figure for UK (England)
${ }^{3}$ Discards partly included in unallocated landings
${ }^{4}$ May include misreported catch and discards
${ }^{9}$ Figures verified and altered if needed in 2003 by SG Rednose (ICES 2003/ACFM:10)
${ }^{10}$ Figure altered in 2002 (was 7851 t higher before)
${ }^{11}$ Thames/Blackwater herring landings: 107 t , others included in the catch figure for The Netherlands
${ }^{14}$ Figure altered in 2004

Table 2.1.6 ("The Wonderful Table"): HERRING in Sub-area IV, Division VIId and Division IIIa. Figures in thousand tonnes.
 Sub-Area IV and Division VIId: TAC (IV and VIId)
Recommended Divisions IVa, b 1

Recommended Divisions IVc, vild
Expected catch of spring spawners
Agreed Divisions IVa,b 2
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}484 & 373, ~ & 332 & 363 & 652 & 290 & 7 & 296 & 7 & 389 & 11 & 156 & 159 & 254 & 265 & 265 & -22 & -22 & -22 \\ 30 & 30 & 50-60 & 6 & 54 & 50 & 50 & 50 & -14 & -14 & -14 & -14 & -14 & -14 & -14 & -14 & -14 & -14 & -14\end{array}$

Bycatch ceiling in the small mesh fishery
CATCH (IV and VIId)

|  | 24 | 22 | 30 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 52.0 | 38.0 | 50.0 | 42.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Unallocated landings Divisions IVa,b
Discard/slipping Divisions IVa,b 4
Total catch Divisions IVa,b 5
National landings Divisions IVc, VIId 3
Unallocated landings Divisions IVc,VIId
Discard/slipping Divisions IVc, VIId 4 Total catch Divisions IVc, VIId
Total catch IV and VIId as used by ACFM 5
CATCH BY FLEET/STOCK (IV and VIId) 10
North Sea autumn spawners directed fisheries (Fle
North Sea autumn spawners industrial (Fleet B) North Sea autumn spawners in IV and VIId total $\quad 69$ Baltic-III--type spring spawners in IV
Coastal-type spring spawners


1 Includes catches in directed fishery and catches of 1-ringers in small mesh fishery up to 1992 . 2 IVa, band EC zone of Ia. 3 Provided by Working Group members. 4 Incomplete, only some countries providing discard information. Discards might also be included in un. 5 Includes spring spawners not included in assessment. 6 Revised during 1991. 7 Based on $\mathrm{F}=0.3$ in directed fishery only; TAC advised for IVc, VIId subtracted. 8 Estimated. 9 130-180 for spring spawners in all areas. 10 Based on sum-of-products (number x mean weight at age). 11 Status quo F catch for fleet A. 12 The catch should not exceed recent catch levels. 13 During the middle of 1996 revised to $50 \%$ of its original agreed TAC. 14 Included in IVa,b. 15 Managed in accordance with autumn spawners. 17 Figure altered in 2001 and again in 2004. 18 Data for 1995-2001 were verified and amended where necessary by SG REDNOSE in 2003. 19 Fleet D and E are merged from 1999 onwards. 20 These catches (including local fjord-type Spring Spawners) are taken by Norway under a separate quota south of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and are not included in the Norwegian North Sea catch figure for this area. 21 figure altered in 2003 to account for earlier summarizing errors. 22 See catch option tables for different fleets.Shaded cells for the catch by fleet in Division IIIa indicate persisting inconsistencies which have to be resolved intersessionally.

Table 2.2.1: North Sea autumn spawning herring (NSAS), and western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) caught in the North Sea in 2005. Catch in numbers (millions) at age (CANUM), by quarter and division.

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { IIla } \\ \text { NSAS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { IVa(E) } \\ \text { all } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IVa(E) } \\ & \text { WBBS } \end{aligned}$ | IVa(E) NSAS only | IVa(W) | IVb | IVc | VIId | $\begin{array}{r} \text { IVa \& } \\ \text { IVb } \\ \text { NSAS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { IVc \& } \\ \text { VIId } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { NSAS } \end{aligned}$ | Herring caught in the North Sea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | North Sea |

Quarters: 1-4

| Q | 96.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 914.8 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 914.8 | 4.3 | 1015.6 | 919.1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 307.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 399.2 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 403.2 | 4.9 | 715.5 | 408.1 |
| 2 | 159.2 | 53.7 | 6.6 | 47.1 | 94.2 | 16.6 | 2.1 | 36.2 | 157.9 | 38.3 | 355.4 | 202.8 |
| 3 | 16.2 | 110.9 | 17.4 | 93.5 | 282.2 | 57.4 | 5.0 | 31.4 | 433.1 | 36.4 | 485.7 | 486.9 |
| 4 | 5.4 | 204.5 | 12.7 | 191.8 | 736.8 | 136.4 | 34.0 | 213.9 | 1065.1 | 247.9 | 1318.4 | 1325.7 |
| 5 | 2.4 | 67.0 | 2.6 | 64.4 | 307.4 | 59.6 | 3.7 | 42.4 | 431.4 | 46.2 | 479.9 | 480.1 |
| 6 | 2.3 | 88.0 | 3.8 | 84.2 | 362.9 | 56.6 | 3.1 | 66.8 | 503.7 | 69.9 | 575.9 | 577.4 |
| 7 | 0.5 | 19.4 | 1.1 | 18.3 | 53.9 | 17.9 | 1.1 | 23.5 | 90.1 | 24.6 | 115.2 | 115.8 |
| 8 | 0.2 | 23.8 | 0.4 | 23.4 | 62.0 | 13.1 | 0.2 | 9.1 | 98.5 | 9.3 | 108.0 | 108.2 |
| $9+$ | 0.0 | 13.4 | 0.3 | 13.1 | 23.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 38.2 | 0.9 | 39.1 | 39.4 |
| Sum | 589.9 | 582.0 | 44.8 | 537.1 | 1925.1 | 1673.9 | 58.1 | 424.6 | 4136.1 | 482.7 | 5208.7 | 4663.6 |

Quarter: 1

| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 97.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 32.2 | 0.5 | 129.8 | 32.7 |
| 2 | 125.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 1.1 | 130.8 | 5.4 |
| 3 | 12.6 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 10.2 | 39.3 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 7.5 | 50.6 | 9.3 | 72.5 | 59.9 |
| 4 | 0.9 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 121.8 | 3.3 | 16.3 | 61.8 | 150.1 | 78.1 | 229.1 | 228.2 |
| 5 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 51.9 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 8.6 | 53.7 | 10.4 | 64.3 | 64.1 |
| 6 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 55.5 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 4.6 | 61.8 | 6.5 | 68.6 | 68.3 |
| 7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 9.6 | 9.5 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 6.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 6.3 | 1.8 | 8.1 | 8.1 |
| 9+ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Sum | 236.6 | 41.9 | 0.0 | 41.9 | 282.7 | 39.5 | 23.1 | 89.3 | 364.1 | 112.4 | 713.1 | 476.5 |

Quarter: 2

| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 46.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 35.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 37.7 | 0.8 | 84.7 | 38.5 |
| 2 | 19.5 | 42.7 | 0.9 | 41.8 | 48.1 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92.0 | 0.0 | 111.5 | 92.9 |
| 3 | 1.1 | 85.4 | 10.8 | 74.5 | 81.6 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 159.5 | 0.0 | 160.7 | 170.4 |
| 4 | 0.3 | 149.5 | 4.4 | 145.1 | 229.9 | 18.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 393.6 | 0.3 | 394.2 | 398.3 |
| 5 | 0.1 | 29.1 | 0.8 | 28.2 | 53.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 84.2 | 0.0 | 84.3 | 85.0 |
| 6 | 0.1 | 34.7 | 1.0 | 33.7 | 71.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 106.9 | 0.0 | 107.0 | 107.9 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.3 | 9.7 | 16.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.2 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 27.5 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 4.9 | 8.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 14.1 |
| 9+ | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Sum | 67.3 | 358.9 | 18.4 | 340.5 | 513.3 | 64.7 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 918.5 | 1.3 | 987.1 | 938.2 |

Quarter: 3

| 0 | 62.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 572.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 572.5 | 0.0 | 635.4 | 572.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 113.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 43.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.3 | 0.0 | 157.4 | 44.3 |
| 2 | 8.8 | 10.1 | 5.7 | 4.4 | 38.3 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.0 | 0.0 | 62.8 | 59.7 |
| 3 | 1.9 | 11.7 | 6.6 | 5.1 | 133.6 | 48.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 187.0 | 0.0 | 188.9 | 193.6 |
| 4 | 2.0 | 14.8 | 8.3 | 6.5 | 327.4 | 106.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 440.4 | 0.2 | 442.6 | 448.9 |
| 5 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 180.2 | 52.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 233.6 | 0.0 | 234.4 | 235.3 |
| 6 | 0.2 | 4.9 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 212.6 | 48.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 263.3 | 0.0 | 263.6 | 266.1 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 31.6 | 15.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.2 | 0.0 | 48.2 | 49.0 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 43.1 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.4 | 0.0 | 54.4 | 54.7 |
| 9+ | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 20.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 22.7 |
| Sum | 189.6 | 46.9 | 26.4 | 20.6 | 987.3 | 912.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1920.0 | 0.3 | 2110.0 | 1946.7 |

Quarter: 4

| 0 | 33.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 342.4 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 342.4 | 4.3 | 380.2 | 346.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 51.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 287.5 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 289.0 | 3.6 | 343.6 | 292.6 |
| 2 | 5.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 35.1 | 7.5 | 37.2 | 50.4 | 44.8 |
| 3 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 27.6 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 23.8 | 36.0 | 27.0 | 63.6 | 63.0 |
| 4 | 2.2 | 15.1 | 0.0 | 15.1 | 57.8 | 8.0 | 17.5 | 151.8 | 81.0 | 169.3 | 252.5 | 250.3 |
| 5 | 1.3 | 34.3 | 0.0 | 34.3 | 21.8 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 33.8 | 60.0 | 35.7 | 97.0 | 95.7 |
| 6 | 1.7 | 43.4 | 0.0 | 43.4 | 23.0 | 5.3 | 1.3 | 62.1 | 71.7 | 63.3 | 136.7 | 135.1 |
| 7 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 19.5 | 10.1 | 19.7 | 30.1 | 29.8 |
| 8 | 0.1 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 7.3 | 23.8 | 7.5 | 31.5 | 31.3 |
| 9+ | 0.0 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 12.0 | 0.9 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| Sum | 96.3 | 134.3 | 0.0 | 134.2 | 141.7 | 657.5 | 33.9 | 334.8 | 933.4 | 368.7 | 1398.4 | 1302.2 |

Table 2.2.2: North Sea autumn spawning herring (NSAS), and western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) caught in the North Sea in 2005. Mean weight-at-age (kg) in the catch (WECA), by quarter and division.

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { IIIIa } \\ \text { NSAS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { IVa(E) } \\ \text { all } \end{array}$ | IVa(E) WBSS | IVa(W) | IVb | IVc | VIId | IVa \& IVb all | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { IVc \& } \\ \text { VIId } \end{gathered}$ | Total NSAS | Herring caught in the North Sea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Quarters: 1-4

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0.017 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 0.015 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 0.015 | 0.011 | 0.011 |
| 1 | 0.051 | 0.091 | 0.111 | 0.102 | 0.038 | 0.032 | 0.092 | 0.039 | 0.038 | 0.044 | 0.039 |
| 2 | 0.071 | 0.117 | 0.107 | 0.122 | 0.132 | 0.122 | 0.122 | 0.121 | 0.122 | 0.099 | 0.121 |
| 3 | 0.106 | 0.146 | 0.154 | 0.158 | 0.172 | 0.128 | 0.133 | 0.157 | 0.132 | 0.153 | 0.155 |
| 4 | 0.155 | 0.153 | 0.168 | 0.174 | 0.187 | 0.139 | 0.139 | 0.172 | 0.139 | 0.166 | 0.166 |
| 5 | 0.173 | 0.202 | 0.179 | 0.213 | 0.217 | 0.156 | 0.172 | 0.212 | 0.170 | 0.208 | 0.208 |
| 6 | 0.185 | 0.209 | 0.189 | 0.229 | 0.220 | 0.171 | 0.209 | 0.225 | 0.207 | 0.223 | 0.223 |
| 7 | 0.200 | 0.233 | 0.186 | 0.245 | 0.245 | 0.192 | 0.230 | 0.242 | 0.228 | 0.240 | 0.239 |
| 8 | 0.209 | 0.262 | 0.216 | 0.275 | 0.253 | 0.250 | 0.236 | 0.269 | 0.237 | 0.266 | 0.266 |
| $9+$ | 0.000 | 0.265 | 0.197 | 0.267 | 0.252 | 0.000 | 0.245 | 0.265 | 0.245 | 0.265 | 0.265 |

Quarter: 1

| 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | - | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.035 | 0.090 | 0.000 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.000 | 0.016 | 0.016 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 6}$ |
| 2 | 0.067 | 0.120 | 0.089 | 0.089 | 0.000 | 0.079 | 0.095 | 0.079 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 9 2}$ |
| 3 | 0.102 | 0.114 | 0.110 | 0.110 | 0.091 | 0.083 | 0.111 | 0.085 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0 7}$ |
| 4 | 0.131 | 0.123 | 0.127 | 0.126 | 0.115 | 0.099 | 0.126 | 0.102 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 1 8}$ |
| 5 | 0.141 | 0.175 | 0.152 | 0.149 | 0.136 | 0.121 | 0.152 | 0.124 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 7}$ |
| 6 | 0.156 | 0.149 | 0.160 | 0.155 | 0.157 | 0.138 | 0.159 | 0.143 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5 8}$ |
| 7 | 0.171 | 0.195 | 0.178 | 0.165 | 0.182 | 0.161 | 0.179 | 0.165 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 7 2}$ |
| 8 | 0.000 | 0.225 | 0.190 | 0.189 | 0.000 | 0.152 | 0.191 | 0.152 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8 2}$ |
| $9+$ | 0.000 | 0.207 | 0.215 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.215 | - | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1 5}$ |

Quarter: 2

| 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | - | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.028 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.092 | 0.021 | 0.020 | 0.000 | 0.025 | 0.020 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 4}$ |
| 2 | 0.068 | 0.120 | 0.120 | 0.117 | 0.101 | 0.000 | 0.079 | 0.118 | 0.079 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 1 8}$ |
| 3 | 0.094 | 0.144 | 0.144 | 0.154 | 0.125 | 0.091 | 0.083 | 0.148 | 0.086 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 8}$ |
| 4 | 0.128 | 0.150 | 0.150 | 0.164 | 0.126 | 0.115 | 0.099 | 0.157 | 0.106 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5 7}$ |
| 5 | 0.145 | 0.173 | 0.173 | 0.201 | 0.145 | 0.136 | 0.121 | 0.190 | 0.127 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 9 0}$ |
| 6 | 0.146 | 0.185 | 0.185 | 0.214 | 0.153 | 0.157 | 0.138 | 0.204 | 0.148 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 4}$ |
| 7 | 0.150 | 0.195 | 0.195 | 0.216 | 0.162 | 0.182 | 0.161 | 0.206 | 0.170 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 6}$ |
| 8 | 0.140 | 0.225 | 0.225 | 0.251 | 0.189 | 0.000 | 0.152 | 0.241 | 0.152 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 4 1}$ |
| $9+$ | 0.000 | 0.207 | 0.207 | 0.213 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.211 | - | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1 1}$ |

Quarter: 3

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0.012 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.008 | - | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 8}$ |
| 1 | 0.063 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.100 | 0.028 | 0.000 | 0.092 | 0.029 | 0.092 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 9}$ |
| 2 | 0.104 | 0.105 | 0.105 | 0.129 | 0.142 | 0.122 | 0.120 | 0.127 | 0.120 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2 7}$ |
| 3 | 0.127 | 0.170 | 0.170 | 0.177 | 0.179 | 0.150 | 0.139 | 0.177 | 0.143 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 7 7}$ |
| 4 | 0.148 | 0.177 | 0.177 | 0.202 | 0.202 | 0.161 | 0.148 | 0.201 | 0.152 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 1}$ |
| 5 | 0.161 | 0.182 | 0.182 | 0.238 | 0.225 | 0.176 | 0.170 | 0.235 | 0.171 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3 5}$ |
| 6 | 0.183 | 0.190 | 0.190 | 0.256 | 0.227 | 0.191 | 0.191 | 0.249 | 0.191 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 4 9}$ |
| 7 | 0.000 | 0.183 | 0.183 | 0.269 | 0.252 | 0.235 | 0.217 | 0.261 | 0.218 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 6 1}$ |
| 8 | 0.000 | 0.212 | 0.212 | 0.295 | 0.258 | 0.250 | 0.228 | 0.287 | 0.230 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 8 7}$ |
| $9+$ | 0.000 | 0.196 | 0.196 | 0.273 | 0.252 | 0.000 | 0.245 | 0.270 | 0.245 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7 0}$ |

Quarter: 4

| 0 | 0.025 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.000 | 0.015 | 0.015 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.074 | 0.111 | 0.111 | 0.109 | 0.044 | 0.038 | 0.092 | 0.045 | 0.045 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 5}$ |
| 2 | 0.109 | 0.127 | 0.127 | 0.129 | 0.118 | 0.122 | 0.123 | 0.125 | 0.123 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2 3}$ |
| 3 | 0.137 | 0.206 | 0.206 | 0.144 | 0.148 | 0.150 | 0.148 | 0.151 | 0.148 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5 0}$ |
| 4 | 0.174 | 0.214 | 0.214 | 0.156 | 0.157 | 0.161 | 0.156 | 0.167 | 0.156 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6 0}$ |
| 5 | 0.188 | 0.229 | 0.229 | 0.187 | 0.171 | 0.176 | 0.185 | 0.210 | 0.184 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 0}$ |
| 6 | 0.192 | 0.238 | 0.238 | 0.196 | 0.193 | 0.191 | 0.214 | 0.221 | 0.214 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1 8}$ |
| 7 | 0.227 | 0.293 | 0.293 | 0.236 | 0.225 | 0.235 | 0.244 | 0.279 | 0.244 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5 6}$ |
| 8 | 0.215 | 0.274 | 0.274 | 0.235 | 0.227 | 0.250 | 0.257 | 0.264 | 0.257 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 6 2}$ |
| $9+$ | 0.000 | 0.274 | 0.274 | 0.277 | 0.252 | 0.000 | 0.245 | 0.274 | 0.245 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7 2}$ |

Table 2.2.3: North Sea autumn spawning herring (NSAS), and western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) caught in the North Sea in 2005. Mean length-at-age (cm) in the catch, by quarter and division.

|  | IIIa | IVa(E) | IVa(E) | IVa(W) | IVb | IVc | VIId | IVa \& | IVc \& | Herring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NSAS | all | WBSS |  |  |  |  | IVb | VIId | caught in the |
| WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | all |  | North Sea |

Quarters: 1-4

| 0 | n.d. | 0.0 | n.d. | 0.0 | 12.1 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 13.5 | 12.1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | n.d. | 21.6 | n.d. | 23.5 | 17.9 | 17.3 | 22.5 | 18.0 | 17.8 | 18.0 |
| 2 | n.d. | 23.4 | n.d. | 23.9 | 24.9 | 23.9 | 24.1 | 23.8 | 24.1 | 23.9 |
| 3 | n.d. | 25.3 | n.d. | 26.3 | 26.5 | 24.0 | 25.0 | 26.1 | 24.9 | 26.0 |
| 4 | n.d. | 25.8 | n.d. | 27.0 | 27.5 | 24.8 | 25.3 | 26.9 | 25.3 | 26.6 |
| 5 | n.d. | 28.1 | n.d. | 29.0 | 28.7 | 25.8 | 26.9 | 28.8 | 26.8 | 28.6 |
| 6 | n.d. | 28.5 | n.d. | 29.3 | 28.9 | 27.1 | 28.2 | 29.1 | 28.1 | 29.0 |
| 7 | n.d. | 29.1 | n.d. | 29.7 | 30.1 | 29.3 | 29.0 | 29.6 | 29.0 | 29.5 |
| 8 | n.d. | 30.5 | n.d. | 30.8 | 30.7 | 28.8 | 29.3 | 30.7 | 29.3 | 30.6 |
| $9+$ | n.d. | 31.0 | n.d. | 30.5 | 30.1 | 0.0 | 29.7 | 30.6 | 29.7 | 30.6 |

Quarter: 1

| 0 | n.d. | 0.0 | n.d. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | n.d. | 21.4 | n.d. | 0.0 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 14.7 | 14.7 | $\mathbf{1 4 . 7}$ |
| 2 | n.d. | 23.6 | n.d. | 23.9 | 23.9 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 23.8 | 21.6 | $\mathbf{2 3 . 4}$ |
| 3 | n.d. | 25.2 | n.d. | 25.6 | 25.6 | 22.5 | 23.1 | 25.5 | 23.0 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 1}$ |
| 4 | n.d. | 25.8 | n.d. | 26.8 | 26.8 | 24.1 | 23.9 | 26.7 | 23.9 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 7}$ |
| 5 | n.d. | 26.7 | n.d. | 28.4 | 28.3 | 25.5 | 25.4 | 28.4 | 25.4 | $\mathbf{2 7 . 9}$ |
| 6 | n.d. | 27.7 | n.d. | 28.8 | 28.7 | 27.0 | 26.6 | 28.7 | 26.7 | $\mathbf{2 8 . 5}$ |
| 7 | n.d. | 27.8 | n.d. | 29.9 | 29.4 | 29.3 | 28.1 | 29.8 | 28.3 | $\mathbf{2 9 . 0}$ |
| 8 | n.d. | 29.0 | n.d. | 30.8 | 30.8 | 0.0 | 28.0 | 30.8 | 28.0 | $\mathbf{3 0 . 1}$ |
| $9+$ | n.d. | 28.5 | n.d. | 31.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 | $\mathbf{-}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 8}$ |

Quarter: 2

| 0 | n.d. | 0.0 | n.d. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | n.d. | 21.4 | n.d. | 22.2 | 14.6 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 14.5 | 15.0 |
| 2 | n.d. | 23.6 | n.d. | 23.7 | 22.6 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 23.6 | 21.6 | 23.6 |
| 3 | n.d. | 25.1 | n.d. | 25.6 | 24.3 | 22.5 | 23.1 | 25.3 | 22.8 | 25.3 |
| 4 | n.d. | 25.4 | n.d. | 26.1 | 24.5 | 24.1 | 23.9 | 25.8 | 24.0 | 25.8 |
| 5 | n.d. | 26.8 | n.d. | 27.6 | 26.0 | 25.5 | 25.4 | 27.3 | 25.4 | 27.3 |
| 6 | n.d. | 27.2 | n.d. | 28.2 | 26.4 | 27.0 | 26.6 | 27.9 | 26.8 | 27.9 |
| 7 | n.d. | 27.8 | n.d. | 28.6 | 27.2 | 29.3 | 28.1 | 28.3 | 28.6 | 28.3 |
| 8 | n.d. | 29.0 | n.d. | 29.9 | 30.3 | 0.0 | 28.0 | 29.6 | 28.0 | 29.6 |
| 9+ | n.d. | 28.5 | n.d. | 28.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.4 | - | 28.4 |

Quarter: 3

| 0 | n.d. | 0.0 | n.d. | 0.0 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.2 | - | 11.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | n.d. | 25.1 | n.d. | 22.5 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 22.5 | 16.0 | 22.5 | 16.0 |
| 2 | n.d. | 22.3 | n.d. | 24.0 | 25.3 | 23.9 | 24.1 | 24.0 | 24.1 | 24.0 |
| 3 | n.d. | 25.8 | n.d. | 26.8 | 26.7 | 24.9 | 25.3 | 26.7 | 25.1 | 26.7 |
| 4 | n.d. | 26.0 | n.d. | 27.6 | 28.1 | 25.4 | 25.7 | 27.7 | 25.6 | 27.7 |
| 5 | n.d. | 26.5 | n.d. | 29.5 | 29.0 | 26.0 | 26.8 | 29.3 | 26.7 | 29.3 |
| 6 | n.d. | 27.2 | n.d. | 29.7 | 29.1 | 27.3 | 27.6 | 29.5 | 27.6 | 29.5 |
| 7 | n.d. | 27.5 | n.d. | 30.1 | 30.3 | 29.3 | 28.6 | 30.1 | 28.6 | 30.1 |
| 8 | n.d. | 28.0 | n.d. | 30.9 | 30.9 | 28.8 | 28.9 | 30.9 | 28.9 | 30.9 |
| 9+ | n.d. | 28.0 | n.d. | 30.7 | 30.1 | 0.0 | 29.7 | 30.6 | 29.7 | 30.6 |

Quarter: 4

| 0 | n.d. | 0.0 | n.d. | 0.0 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 13.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | n.d. | 24.9 | n.d. | 24.7 | 19.0 | 18.4 | 22.5 | 19.0 | 18.9 |
| 2 | n.d. | 26.2 | n.d. | 26.1 | 25.0 | 23.9 | 24.2 | 25.7 | 24.2 |
| 3 | n.d. | 28.3 | n.d. | 27.2 | 26.1 | 24.9 | 25.6 | 27.1 | 25.5 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | n.d. | 28.9 | n.d. | 27.9 | 26.6 | 25.4 | 25.9 | 27.9 | 25.9 |
| 5 | n.d. | 29.3 | n.d. | 29.5 | 26.9 | 26.0 | 27.3 | 29.2 | 27.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 . 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | n.d. | 29.8 | n.d. | 29.9 | 27.8 | 27.3 | 28.3 | 29.7 | 28.3 |
| 7 | n.d. | 31.1 | n.d. | 31.3 | 30.5 | 29.3 | 29.2 | 31.1 | 29.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 4 . 5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | n.d. | 31.0 | n.d. | 31.3 | 29.5 | 28.8 | 29.6 | 30.9 | 29.6 |
| $\mathbf{2 6 . 4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $9+$ | n.d. | 31.4 | n.d. | 31.3 | 30.1 | 0.0 | 29.7 | 31.4 | 29.7 |
| $\mathbf{2 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.2.4: North Sea autumn spawning herring (NSAS), and western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) caught in the North Sea in 2005. Catches (tonnes) at-age (SOP figures), by quarter and division.

| WR | $\begin{array}{r} \text { IIIa } \\ \text { NSAS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { IVa(E) } \\ \text { all } \end{array}$ | IVa(E) <br> WBSS | IVa(E) NSAS only | IVa(W) | IVb | IVc | VIId | $\begin{gathered} \text { IVa \& } \\ \text { IVb } \\ \text { NSAS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { IVc \& } \\ \text { VIId } \end{gathered}$ | Total NSAS | Herring caught in the North Sea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Quarters: 1-4

| 0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 9.7 | 0.1 | 11.4 | 9.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 15.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 15.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 15.6 | 0.2 | 31.4 | 15.8 |
| 2 | 11.2 | 6.3 | 0.7 | 5.6 | 11.5 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 4.4 | 19.2 | 4.7 | 35.1 | 24.6 |
| 3 | 1.7 | 16.2 | 2.7 | 13.5 | 44.5 | 9.9 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 67.9 | 4.8 | 74.4 | 75.4 |
| 4 | 0.8 | 31.3 | 2.1 | 29.1 | 128.3 | 25.5 | 4.7 | 29.8 | 182.9 | 34.5 | 218.3 | 219.6 |
| 5 | 0.4 | 13.5 | 0.5 | 13.1 | 65.6 | 12.9 | 0.6 | 7.3 | 91.6 | 7.9 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| 6 | 0.4 | 18.4 | 0.7 | 17.7 | 83.1 | 12.5 | 0.5 | 14.0 | 113.3 | 14.5 | 128.2 | 128.5 |
| 7 | 0.1 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 4.3 | 13.2 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 5.4 | 21.9 | 5.6 | 27.6 | 27.7 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 0.1 | 6.1 | 17.0 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 26.5 | 2.2 | 28.7 | 28.8 |
| 9+ | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 6.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 10.2 | 0.2 | 10.4 | 10.4 |
| Sum | 31.9 | 100.1 | 7.0 | 93.1 | 369.6 | 96.1 | 7.2 | 67.4 | 558.8 | 74.6 | 665.3 | 640.4 |

Quarter: 1

| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ |
| 2 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |  |
| 3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 5.6 | 0.8 | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |  |
| 4 | 0.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 15.5 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 6.1 | 19.0 | 8.0 | $\mathbf{7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 1}$ |
| 5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 7.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 1.3 | $\mathbf{6 . 4}$ |  |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 8.9 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 9.8 | 0.9 | $\mathbf{2 7 . 0}$ |  |
| 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 4}$ |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.3 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8}$ |  |
| $9+$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ |
| Sum | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0}$ |

Quarter: 2

| Quarter: 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |
| 1 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9}$ |
| 2 | 1.3 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 0}$ |
| 3 | 0.1 | 12.3 | 1.6 | 10.7 | 12.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.7 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 3}$ |
| 4 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 0.7 | 21.7 | 37.8 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.8 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{6 1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 5}$ |
| 5 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 4.9 | 10.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 6 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 1}$ |
| 6 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 0.2 | 6.2 | 15.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.8 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 0}$ |
| 7 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 7}$ |
| 8 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ |
| $9+$ | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7}$ |
| Sum | $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 7 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 7 . 6}$ |

Quarter: 3

| 0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ |
| 2 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6}$ |
| 3 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 23.6 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.1 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{3 3 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 3}$ |
| 4 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 66.0 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 88.7 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{8 9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 2}$ |
| 5 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 42.9 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.9 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{5 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 2}$ |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 54.4 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 65.8 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{6 5 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 . 3}$ |
| 7 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 8.5 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 8}$ |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.6 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7}$ |
| $9+$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 1}$ |
| Sum | $\mathbf{9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 4 . 0}$ |

Quarter: 4

| 0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 6.0 | 5.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 12.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 12.9 | 0.2 | 16.8 | 13.0 |
| 2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 5.5 |
| 3 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 9.5 | 9.4 |
| 4 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 9.0 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 23.7 | 13.5 | 26.5 | 40.4 | 40.0 |
| 5 | 0.2 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 6.2 | 12.6 | 6.6 | 19.4 | 19.2 |
| 6 | 0.3 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 4.5 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 13.3 | 15.9 | 13.6 | 29.7 | 29.4 |
| 7 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 7.7 | 7.6 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 6.3 | 1.9 | 8.2 | 8.2 |
| 9+ | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Sum | 6.3 | 32.6 | 0.0 | 32.6 | 23.7 | 22.4 | 4.4 | 58.0 | 78.7 | 62.4 | 147.5 | 141.1 |

Table 2.2.5: North Sea autumn spawning herring (NSAS), and western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) caught in the North Sea in 2005. Percentage age composition (based on numbers, 3+ group summarised), by quarter and division.

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { IIIa } \\ \text { NSAS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { IVa(E) } \\ \text { all } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TVa(E) } \\ & \text { WBSS } \end{aligned}$ | IVa(E) NSAS only | IVa(W) | IVb | IVc | VIId | $\begin{gathered} \text { IVa \& } \\ \text { IVb } \\ \text { NSAS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { IVc \& } \\ \text { VIId } \end{gathered}$ | Tota NSAS | Herring caught in the North Sea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Quarters: 1-4

| 0 | 16.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 54.7\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 22.1\% | 0.9\% | 19.5\% | 19.7\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 52.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 23.8\% | 7.7\% | 0.1\% | 9.7\% | 1.0\% | 13.7\% | 8.8\% |
| 2 | 27.0\% | 9.2\% | 14.6\% | 8.8\% | 4.9\% | 1.0\% | 3.6\% | 8.5\% | 3.8\% | 7.9\% | 6.8\% | 4.3\% |
| 3 | 2.7\% | 19.1\% | 38.9\% | 17.4\% | 14.7\% | 3.4\% | 8.6\% | 7.4\% | 10.5\% | 7.5\% | 9.3\% | 10.4\% |
| 4 | 0.9\% | 35.1\% | 28.3\% | 35.7\% | 38.3\% | 8.2\% | 58.6\% | 50.4\% | 25.8\% | 51.4\% | 25.3\% | 28.4\% |
| 5 | 0.4\% | 11.5\% | 5.7\% | 12.0\% | 16.0\% | 3.6\% | 6.4\% | 10.0\% | 10.4\% | 9.6\% | 9.2\% | 10.3\% |
| 6 | 0.4\% | 15.1\% | 8.4\% | 15.7\% | 18.9\% | 3.4\% | 5.3\% | 15.7\% | 12.2\% | 14.5\% | 11.1\% | 12.4\% |
| 7 | 0.1\% | 3.3\% | 2.4\% | 3.4\% | 2.8\% | 1.1\% | 1.9\% | 5.5\% | 2.2\% | 5.1\% | 2.2\% | 2.5\% |
| 8 | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 0.9\% | 4.4\% | 3.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 2.1\% | 2.4\% | 1.9\% | 2.1\% | 2.3\% |
| 9+ | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.7\% | 2.4\% | 1.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% |
| Sum 3+ | 4.5\% | 90.5\% | 85.3\% | 91.0\% | 95.0\% | 20.5\% | 81.3\% | 91.4\% | 64.3\% | 90.2\% | 59.9\% | 67.2\% |

Quarter: 1

| 0 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | - | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $41.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | - | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $81.4 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 9 \%}$ |
| 2 | $53.0 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | - | $1.9 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 \%}$ |
| 3 | $5.3 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ | - | $24.3 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 6 \%}$ |
| 4 | $0.4 \%$ | $59.8 \%$ | - | $59.8 \%$ | $43.1 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $70.5 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 9 \%}$ |
| 5 | $0.1 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | - | $1.2 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $\mathbf{9 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 5 \%}$ |
| 6 | $0.1 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | - | $11.9 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $\mathbf{9 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 3} \%$ |
| 7 | $0.1 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | - | $0.5 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 \%}$ |
| 8 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | - | $0.2 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 \%}$ |
| $9+$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | - | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 \%}$ |
| Sum 3+ | $\mathbf{6 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 . 0} \%$ | - | $\mathbf{9 8 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{9 8 . 8} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 9} \%$ | $\mathbf{9 8 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 0 \%}$ |

Quarter: 2

| 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 68.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 55.0\% | 78.8\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 62.1\% | 8.6\% | 4.1\% |
| 2 | 28.9\% | 11.9\% | 4.8\% | 12.3\% | 9.4\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 10.0\% | 0.3\% | 11.3\% | 9.9\% |
| 3 | 1.7\% | 23.8\% | 58.9\% | 21.9\% | 15.9\% | 5.3\% | 1.7\% | 8.4\% | 17.4\% | 3.1\% | 16.3\% | 18.2\% |
| 4 | 0.4\% | 41.7\% | 23.7\% | 42.6\% | 44.8\% | 28.6\% | 15.2\% | 69.2\% | 42.8\% | 26.7\% | 39.9\% | 42.4\% |
| 5 | 0.1\% | 8.1\% | 4.6\% | 8.3\% | 10.4\% | 3.8\% | 1.7\% | 9.6\% | 9.2\% | 3.4\% | 8.5\% | 9.1\% |
| 6 | 0.1\% | 9.7\% | 5.5\% | 9.9\% | 14.0\% | 2.2\% | 1.7\% | 5.2\% | 11.6\% | 2.4\% | 10.8\% | 11.5\% |
| 7 | 0.1\% | 2.8\% | 1.6\% | 2.9\% | 3.2\% | 1.7\% | 0.8\% | 4.4\% | 3.0\% | 1.6\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% |
| 8 | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.8\% | 1.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 1.5\% |
| 9+ | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |
| Sum 3+ | 2.4\% | 87.8\% | 95.2\% | 87.4\% | 90.5\% | 41.7\% | 21.2\% | 98.8\% | 85.9\% | 37.7\% | 80.1\% | 86.0\% |

Quarter: 3

| 0 | 33.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.8\% | 0.0\% | 30.1\% | 29.4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 59.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.3\% | 0.2\% | 7.5\% | 2.3\% |
| 2 | 4.6\% | 21.4\% | 21.4\% | 21.4\% | 3.9\% | 1.2\% | 8.0\% | 13.8\% | 2.8\% | 12.6\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% |
| 3 | 1.0\% | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 13.5\% | 5.3\% | 12.0\% | 6.5\% | 9.7\% | 7.6\% | 9.0\% | 9.9\% |
| 4 | 1.0\% | 31.5\% | 31.5\% | 31.5\% | 33.2\% | 11.7\% | 66.4\% | 51.1\% | 22.9\% | 54.2\% | 21.0\% | 23.1\% |
| 5 | 0.4\% | 6.5\% | 6.5\% | 6.5\% | 18.2\% | 5.7\% | 7.2\% | 9.9\% | 12.2\% | 9.3\% | 11.1\% | 12.1\% |
| 6 | 0.1\% | 10.5\% | 10.5\% | 10.5\% | 21.5\% | 5.3\% | 4.8\% | 12.2\% | 13.7\% | 10.7\% | 12.5\% | 13.7\% |
| 7 | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 1.7\% | 0.8\% | 4.4\% | 2.5\% | 3.6\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% |
| 8 | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 4.4\% | 1.2\% | 0.8\% | 1.5\% | 2.8\% | 1.4\% | 2.6\% | 2.8\% |
| 9+ | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.2\% | 0.4\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% |
| Sum 3+ | 2.6\% | 78.6\% | 78.6\% | 78.6\% | 96.1\% | 31.2\% | 92.0\% | 86.0\% | 65.1\% | 87.2\% | 59.5\% | 65.3\% |

Quarter: 4

| 0 | 34.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.1\% | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 36.7\% | 1.2\% | 27.2\% | 26.6\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 52.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 43.7\% | 9.3\% | 0.1\% | 31.0\% | 1.0\% | 24.6\% | 22.5\% |
| 2 | 5.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 3.0\% | 0.5\% | 6.2\% | 10.5\% | 0.8\% | 10.1\% | 3.6\% | 3.4\% |
| 3 | 0.6\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 19.5\% | 0.7\% | 9.4\% | 7.1\% | 3.9\% | 7.3\% | 4.5\% | 4.8\% |
| 4 | 2.3\% | 11.3\% | 0.0\% | 11.3\% | 40.8\% | 1.2\% | 51.8\% | 45.3\% | 8.7\% | 45.9\% | 18.1\% | 19.2\% |
| 5 | 1.3\% | 25.6\% | 0.0\% | 25.6\% | 15.4\% | 0.6\% | 5.6\% | 10.1\% | 6.4\% | 9.7\% | 6.9\% | 7.3\% |
| 6 | 1.8\% | 32.3\% | 0.0\% | 32.3\% | 16.2\% | 0.8\% | 3.7\% | 18.5\% | 7.7\% | 17.2\% | 9.8\% | 10.4\% |
| 7 | 0.3\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 1.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 5.8\% | 1.1\% | 5.4\% | 2.2\% | 2.3\% |
| 8 | 0.2\% | 13.5\% | 0.0\% | 13.5\% | 2.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 2.2\% | 2.6\% | 2.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.4\% |
| 9+ | 0.0\% | 8.6\% | 0.0\% | 8.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% |
| Sum 3+ | 6.4\% | 99.8\% | 0.0\% | 99.9\% | 96.0\% | 3.7\% | 71.8\% | 89.4\% | 31.6\% | 87.8\% | 44.6\% | 47.5\% |

Table 2.2.6: Total catch of herring in the North Sea and Div. IIIa: North Sea autumn spawners (NSAS). Catch in numbers (millions) at mean weight-at-age (kg) by fleet, and SOP catches ('000 t). SOP catch might deviate from reported catch as used for the assessment.

| 2002 | Fleet A |  | Fleet B |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | Mean |  | Mean |  | Mean |  | Mean |  | Mean |
| Winter rings | Numbers | Weight | Numbers | Weight | Numbers | Weight | Numbers | Weight | Numbers | Weight |
| 0 |  |  | 318.8 | 0.013 | 10.2 | 0.015 | 468.3 | 0.012 | 797.3 | 0.013 |
| 1 | 77.5 | 0.082 | 412.9 | 0.025 | 201.0 | 0.054 | 161.6 | 0.018 | 852.9 | 0.036 |
| 2 | 427.2 | 0.129 | 77.8 | 0.050 | 51.5 | 0.101 | 5.2 | 0.096 | 561.7 | 0.115 |
| 3 | 874.3 | 0.153 | 23.5 | 0.114 | 5.1 | 0.120 | 0.5 | 0.136 | 903.4 | 0.151 |
| 4 | 281.5 | 0.169 | 1.7 | 0.169 | 0.7 | 0.143 | 0.1 | 0.143 | 283.9 | 0.169 |
| 5 | 131.4 | 0.199 | 1.6 | 0.180 | 0.2 | 0.161 | 0.0 | 0.170 | 133.2 | 0.198 |
| 6 | 159.7 | 0.215 | 1.4 | 0.193 | 0.1 | 0.179 | 0.0 | 0.180 | 161.2 | 0.214 |
| 7 | 46.0 | 0.228 | 0.2 | 0.228 | 0.0 | 0.177 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 46.3 | 0.227 |
| 8 | 33.2 | 0.250 | 0.2 | 0.244 | 0.0 | 0.221 | 0.0 | 0.179 | 33.4 | 0.250 |
| $9+$ | 7.2 | 0.253 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.2 | 0.253 |
| TOTAL | 2,038 |  | 838.1 |  | 268.8 |  | 635.7 |  | 3,780 |  |
| SOP catch |  | 323.4 |  | 22.1 |  | 17.1 |  | 9.1 |  | 371.7 |


| 2003 | Fleet A |  | Fleet B |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | Numbers | Mean | Numbers | Mean Weight | Numbers | Mean Weight | Numbers | Mean Weight | Numbers | Mean Weight |
| 0 | 1.7 | 0.038 | 345.8 | 0.013 | 1.9 | 0.013 | 19.7 | 0.021 | 369.1 | 0.014 |
| 1 | 59.2 | 0.078 | 112.8 | 0.030 | 167.5 | 0.054 | 277.5 | 0.021 | 617.0 | 0.037 |
| 2 | 952.9 | 0.115 | 69.2 | 0.048 | 142.1 | 0.073 | 40.2 | 0.048 | 1,204.5 | 0.104 |
| 3 | 502.0 | 0.158 | 1.9 | 0.123 | 12.4 | 0.124 | 0.7 | 0.099 | 516.9 | 0.157 |
| 4 | 799.1 | 0.174 | 4.4 | 0.133 | 16.0 | 0.151 | 0.2 | 0.128 | 819.7 | 0.173 |
| 5 | 240.5 | 0.185 | 0.4 | 0.162 | 1.8 | 0.163 | 0.0 | 0.174 | 242.7 | 0.184 |
| 6 | 104.7 | 0.204 | 0.4 | 0.173 | 1.1 | 0.193 | 0.1 | 0.152 | 106.2 | 0.204 |
| 7 | 118.8 | 0.221 | 0.5 | 0.178 | 1.2 | 0.214 | 0.0 | 0.244 | 120.5 | 0.221 |
| 8 | 36.8 | 0.232 | 0.1 | 0.178 | 0.2 | 0.187 | 0.0 | 0.180 | 37.1 | 0.232 |
| 9+ | 8.3 | 0.253 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.3 | 0.253 |
| TOTAL | 2,824 |  | 535.5 |  | 344.1 |  | 338.4 |  | 4,042 |  |
| SOP catch |  | 434.8 |  | 12.3 |  | 24.1 |  | 8.4 |  | 479.6 |


| 2004 | Fleet A |  | Fleet B |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | Mean |  | Mean |  | Mean |  | Mean |  | Mean |
| Winter rings | Numbers | Weight | Numbers | Weight | Numbers | Weight | Numbers | Weight | Numbers | Weight |
| 0 |  |  | 627.2 | 0.013 | 13.2 | 0.024 | 75.2 | 0.022 | 715.6 | 0.014 |
| 1 | 2.7 | 0.073 | 133.0 | 0.025 | 18.8 | 0.060 | 52.1 | 0.054 | 206.7 | 0.036 |
| 2 | 252.9 | 0.121 | 5.9 | 0.039 | 114.2 | 0.069 | 65.7 | 0.073 | 438.8 | 0.099 |
| 3 | 1298.6 | 0.138 | 6.8 | 0.096 | 12.0 | 0.120 | 8.7 | 0.121 | 1,326.1 | 0.137 |
| 4 | 510.6 | 0.183 | 2.9 | 0.137 | 4.4 | 0.138 | 1.6 | 0.147 | 519.5 | 0.182 |
| 5 | 714.6 | 0.206 | 1.9 | 0.175 | 8.7 | 0.149 | 1.0 | 0.171 | 726.2 | 0.205 |
| 6 | 168.6 | 0.221 | 0.8 | 0.168 | 1.6 | 0.169 | 0.2 | 0.185 | 171.1 | 0.220 |
| 7 | 99.1 | 0.229 | 0.2 | 0.217 | 1.9 | 0.187 | 0.1 | 0.183 | 101.2 | 0.228 |
| 8 | 69.7 | 0.241 | 0.5 | 0.232 | 0.8 | 0.178 | 0.0 | 0.213 | 71.1 | 0.241 |
| 9+ | 22.0 | 0.265 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22.0 | 0.265 |
| TOTAL | 3,139 |  | 779.1 |  | 175.7 |  | 204.7 |  | 4,298 |  |
| SOP catch |  | 532.8 |  | 13.6 |  | 13.4 |  | 10.8 |  | 570.6 |


| 2005 | Fleet A |  | Fleet B |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Winter rings | Numbers | Mean Weight | Numbers | Mean Weight | Numbers | Mean Weight | Numbers | Mean Weight | Numbers | Mean Weight |
| 0 | 0.4 | 0.119 | 918.7 | 0.011 | 11.3 | 0.027 | 85.1 | 0.015 | 1,015.6 | 0.011 |
| 1 | 42.3 | 0.088 | 365.8 | 0.033 | 174.6 | 0.065 | 132.9 | 0.032 | 715.5 | 0.044 |
| 2 | 196.3 | 0.122 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 115.9 | 0.072 | 43.3 | 0.068 | 355.4 | 0.099 |
| 3 | 469.5 | 0.155 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 12.4 | 0.106 | 3.7 | 0.105 | 485.7 | 0.153 |
| 4 | 1313.0 | 0.166 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 4.7 | 0.154 | 0.6 | 0.158 | 1,318.4 | 0.166 |
| 5 | 477.6 | 0.208 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 2.1 | 0.175 | 0.2 | 0.157 | 479.9 | 0.208 |
| 6 | 573.6 | 0.223 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 1.9 | 0.189 | 0.3 | 0.160 | 575.9 | 0.223 |
| 7 | 114.7 | 0.240 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 0.3 | 0.216 | 0.2 | 0.178 | 115.2 | 0.240 |
| 8 | 107.8 | 0.266 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 0.2 | 0.209 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 108.0 | 0.266 |
| 9+ | 39.1 | 0.265 | 0.0 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  | 39.1 | 0.265 |
| TOTAL | 3,334 |  | 1,284.5 |  | 323.5 |  | 266.4 |  | 5,209 |  |
| SOP catch |  | 611.7 |  | 21.8 |  | 22.9 |  | 9.0 |  | 665.4 |

Table 2.2.7: Catch at age (numbers in millions) of herring caught in the North Sea, 1991-2005.
SG Rednose's revisions for 1995-2001 are included (see Sect. 2.2.3).

| Year/rings | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9 +}$ | Numbers |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1991 | 1658 | 1301 | 801 | 568 | 563 | 507 | 207 | 40 | 26 | 13 | 5684 |
| 1992 | 7874 | 705 | 995 | 424 | 344 | 351 | 370 | 149 | 39 | 24 | 11274 |
| 1993 | 7254 | 1385 | 792 | 614 | 315 | 222 | 230 | 191 | 88 | 42 | 11133 |
| 1994 | 3834 | 497 | 1438 | 504 | 355 | 117 | 98 | 78 | 71 | 46 | 7038 |
| 1995 | 6294 | 484 | 1319 | 818 | 244 | 122 | 57 | 43 | 69 | 29 | 9480 |
| 1996 | 1795 | 645 | 488 | 516 | 170 | 57 | 22 | 9 | 17 | 4 | 3723 |
| 1997 | 364 | 174 | 565 | 428 | 285 | 109 | 31 | 12 | 19 | 6 | 1993 |
| 1998 | 208 | 254 | 1084 | 525 | 267 | 179 | 89 | 14 | 17 | 4 | 2642 |
| 1999 | 968 | 73 | 487 | 1034 | 289 | 134 | 70 | 28 | 10 | 2 | 3096 |
| 2000 | 873 | 194 | 516 | 453 | 636 | 212 | 82 | 36 | 15 | 3 | 3019 |
| 2001 | 1025 | 58 | 678 | 473 | 279 | 319 | 92 | 39 | 18 | 2 | 2982 |
| 2002 | 319 | 490 | 513 | 913 | 294 | 136 | 164 | 47 | 34 | 7 | 2917 |
| 2003 | 347 | 172 | 1022 | 507 | 809 | 244 | 106 | 121 | 37 | 8 | 3375 |
| 2004 | 627 | 136 | 274 | 1333 | 517 | 721 | 170 | 100 | 70 | 22 | 3970 |
| 2005 | 919 | 408 | 203 | 487 | 1326 | 480 | 577 | 116 | 108 | 39 | 4664 |

Table 2.2.8: Catch at age (numbers in millions) of Baltic Spring spawning Herring taken in the North Sea, and transfere to the assessment of the spring spawning stock in IIIa, 1991-2005.

| Year/rings | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9 +}$ | Numbers |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1991 | - | - | 6.7 | 15.1 | 18.0 | 9.1 | 3.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 53.0 |  |
| 1992 | - | - | 0.3 | 9.9 | 11.1 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 42.1 |
| 1993 | - | - | 4.2 | 10.8 | 12.3 | 8.4 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 49.0 |
| 1994 | - | - | 8.8 | 28.2 | 16.3 | 11.0 | 8.6 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 80.2 |
| 1995 | - | - | 22.4 | 11.0 | 14.9 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 57.8 |
| 1996 | - | - | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 4.5 |
| 1997 | - | - | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 5.9 |
| 1998 | - | 5.1 | 9.5 | 12.0 | 10.1 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 47.0 |
| 1999 | - | - | 3.3 | 14.3 | 5.6 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 29.3 |
| 2000 | - | - | 8.2 | 9.8 | 10.2 | 5.7 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 37.6 |
| 2001 | - | - | 11.3 | 10.2 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 39.9 |
| 2002 | - | - | 7.6 | 14.8 | 10.6 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 40.8 |
| 2003 | - | - | 0.0 | 3.1 | 6.0 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 15.7 |
| 2004 | - | - | 15.1 | 27.9 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 52.3 |
| 2005 | - | - | 6.6 | 17.4 | 12.7 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 44.8 |

Table 2.2.9: Catch at age (numbers in millions) of North Sea Autumn Spawners taken in IIIa, and transfered to the ass ment of NSAS, 1991-2005. Figures for 1991-1999 were altered in 2001 and 2002, but for 1991-1995 ni n the assessment. SG Rednose's revisions and the revision of 2002 splitting are included (see Sect. 2.2.3).

| Year/rings | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8 +}$ | Numbers |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1991 | 677 | 748 | 298 | 52 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1791 |
| 1992 | 2298 | 1409 | 220 | 22 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3971 |
| 1993 | 2795 | 2033 | 238 | 27 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5109 |
| 1994 | 482 | 1087 | 201 | 27 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1807 |
| 1995 | 1145 | 1181 | 147 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2487 |
| 1996 | 516 | 961 | 154 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1649 |
| 1997 | 68 | 305 | 125 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 521 |
| 1998 | 51 | 729 | 145 | 25 | 19 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 977 |
| 1999 | 598 | 231 | 133 | 39 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1017 |
| 2000 | 232 | 978 | 115 | 20 | 21 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1377 |
| 2001 | 808 | 557 | 140 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1521 |
| 2002 | 411 | 345 | 48 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 811 |
| 2003 | 22 | 445 | 182 | 13 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 682 |
| 2004 | 88 | 71 | 180 | 21 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 380 |
| 2005 | 96 | 307 | 159 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 590 |

Table 2.2.10: Catch at age (numbers in millions) of the total North Sea Autumn Spawning stock 1991-2005. Figures 1991-1999 were altered in 2001 and 2002, but for 1991-1995 not used in the assessment.
SG Rednose's revisions and the revision of 2002 splitting are included (see Sect. 2.2.3).

| Year/rings | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9 +}$ | Numbers |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1991 | 2405 | 2198 | 1157 | 500 | 537 | 493 | 203 | 39 | 25 | 13 | 7570 |
| 1992 | 10390 | 2470 | 1342 | 445 | 376 | 368 | 383 | 156 | 40 | 23 | 15994 |
| 1993 | 10280 | 4160 | 1305 | 577 | 295 | 210 | 221 | 184 | 86 | 41 | 17358 |
| 1994 | 4437 | 1890 | 1839 | 449 | 332 | 103 | 88 | 74 | 68 | 45 | 9325 |
| 1995 | 7438 | 1665 | 1444 | 817 | 232 | 119 | 55 | 41 | 69 | 29 | 11909 |

Table 2.2.11 Comparison of mean weights (kg) at age (rings) in the catch of adult herring in the North Sea (by Div.) and North Sea autumn spawners caught in Div. IIIa in 1995-2005. SG Rednose's revisions for 1995-2001 are included.


* Figures for 1991 - 1999 altered in 2002 but the 1991 - 1995 updated figures were still not included in the assessment

Table 2.2.12: Sampling of commercial landings of herring in the North Sea (Div. IV and VIId) in 2005 by quarter. Sampled catch means the proportion of the reported catch to which sampling was applied. It is limited by 100 \% but might exceed the official landings due to sampling of discards, unallocated and misreported catches. It is not possible to judge the quality of the sampling by this figure alone. Note that only one nation sampled their by-catches in the industrial fishery (Denmark, fleet B). Metiers are each reported combination of nation/fleet/area/quarter.

| Country (fleet) | Quarter | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No of } \\ \text { metiers } \end{array}$ | Metiers sampled | Sampled Catch \% | Official Catch | No. of samples | No. fish aged | No. fish measured | >1 sample per 1 kt catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| Denmark (A) | 1 | 3 | 2 | 97\% | 39242 | 25 | 686 | 3617 | n |
|  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 100\% | 7293 | 4 | 104 | 603 | n |
|  | 3 | 3 | 2 | 100\% | 36228 | 31 | 792 | 4136 | n |
|  | 4 | 3 | 2 | 96\% | 23956 | 9 | 301 | 1552 | n |
| total |  | 12 | 8 | 98\% | 106719 | 69 | 1883 | 9908 | n |
| Denmark (B) | 1 | 2 | 1 | 98\% | 534 | 4 | 17 | 17 | y |
|  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 98\% | 721 | 5 | 7 | 7 | y |
|  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 5681 | 20 | 302 | 1447 | y |
|  | 4 | 2 | 1 | 99\% | 14727 | 15 | 285 | 426 | y |
| total |  | 7 | 4 | 99\% | 21662 | 44 | 611 | 1897 | $y$ |
| England \& Wa | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0\% | 6990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0\% | 12208 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0\% | 6062 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 12 | 0 | 0\% | 25311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| Faroe IsI | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 720 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 738 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| France | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0\% | 783 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0\% | 4770 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0\% | 18983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 14292 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 13 | 0 | 0\% | 38828 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| Germany | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7\% | 423 | 4 | 117 | 713 | y |
|  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 97\% | 4982 | 10 | 239 | 3018 | y |
|  | 3 | 4 | 4 | 100\% | 29372 | 45 | 912 | 25015 | $y$ |
|  | 4 | 4 | 1 | 80\% | 11778 | 30 | 575 | 13550 | y |
| total |  | 12 | 7 | 100\% | 46555 | 89 | 1843 | 42296 | $y$ |
| Netherlands | 1 | 3 | 2 | 100\% | 7463 | 11 | 275 | 2586 | y |
|  | 2 | 4 | 2 | 100\% | 13500 | 51 | 1275 | 7541 | y |
|  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | 31288 | 45 | 1125 | 4177 | y |
|  | 4 | 4 | 2 | 100\% | 29280 | 10 | 250 | 1582 | n |
| total |  | 13 | 8 | 100\% | 81531 | 117 | 2925 | 15886 | y |
| Northern Irelan | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 2888 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 2912 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| Norway | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 2317 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 2 | 3 | 3 | 100\% | 86484 | 30 | 2962 | 2978 | n |
|  | 3 | 3 | 1 | 77\% | 34258 | 3 | 283 | 284 | n |
|  | 4 | 2 | 1 | 92\% | 33743 | 1 | 100 | 100 | n |
| total |  | 10 | 5 | 92\% | 156802 | 34 | 3345 | 3362 | n |
| Poland | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 458 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 458 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| Russia | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| Scotland | 1 | 2 | 1 | 100\% | 29 | 1 | 26 | 90 | y |
|  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | 5638 | 17 | 662 | 2993 | $y$ |
|  | 3 | 4 | 4 | 100\% | 66098 | 67 | 4204 | 12579 | y |
|  | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 1461 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 9 | 7 | 100\% | 73225 | 85 | 4892 | 15662 | y |
| Sweden | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0\% | 7203 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 4283 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 7 | 0 | 0\% | 13465 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| grand total |  | 102 | 39 | 95\% | 568312 | 438 | 15499 | 89011 | n |
| Period total 1 |  | 19 | 7 | 100\% | 50841 | 45 | 1121 | 7023 | n |
| Period total 2 |  | 28 | 11 | 93\% | 137600 | 117 | 5249 | 17140 | n |
| Period total 3 |  | 30 | 14 | 100\% | 242565 | 211 | 7618 | 47638 | n |
| Period total 4 |  | 25 | 7 | 80\% | 137307 | 65 | 1511 | 17210 | n |
| Total for stock 2005 |  | 102 | 39 | 95\% | 568312 | 438 | 15499 | 89011 | n |
| Human Cons. only |  | 95 | 35 | 94\% | 546650 | 394 | 14888 | 87114 | n |
| Total for stock 2003 |  | 108 | 46 | 90\% | 414045 | 533 | 14568 | 95347 | y |
| Total for stock 2004 |  | 100 | 39 | 94\% | 484159 | 519 | 18643 | 93311 | y |
| Human Cons. only 2004 |  | 85 | 33 | 95\% | 470574 | 450 | 17928 | 92389 | n |

Table 2.3.1.1 North Sea herring numbers (millions) at age (wr) and maturity by ICES Subarea from July acoustic survey 2005. The suffixes $i$ and $m$ refer to the immature and mature fish respectively.

| ICES A | IIIA | IVA | IVB | IVC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 4747.7 | 264.8 |
| 1 i | 118.5 | 360.7 | 2613.5 | 3.1 |
| 1 m | 0.0 | 7.4 | 9.4 | 0.0 |
| 2 i | 6.0 | 226.9 | 220.1 | 0.0 |
| 2 m | 0.0 | 1341.8 | 95.4 | 0.0 |
| 3 i | 1.7 | 87.3 | 24.6 | 0.0 |
| 3 m | 0.4 | 3196.9 | 125.5 | 0.0 |
| 4 i | 1.2 | 231.1 | 9.9 | 0.0 |
| 4 m | 1.2 | 5149.3 | 216.6 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 0.5 | 1190.6 | 20.2 | 0.0 |
| 6 | 0.0 | 1166.2 | 6.1 | 0.0 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 137.3 | 2.6 | 0.0 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 125.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 106.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9+ | 130.8 | 906.0 | 7615.8 | 267.8 |
| Immature | 1.7 | 9224.7 | 351.4 | 0.0 |

Table 2.3.1.2 North Sea herring biomass (thousands of tonnes) at age (wr) and maturity by ICES subarea from July acoustic survey 2005 . The suffixes $i$ and $m$ refer to the immature and mature fish respectively.

| ICES A | IIIA | IVA | IVB | IVC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.17 | 0.8 |
| 1i | 5.81 | 25.95 | 101.76 | 0.1 |
| 1 m | 0.00 | 0.75 | 0.35 | 0.0 |
| 2i | 0.34 | 23.39 | 16.26 | 0.0 |
| 2m | 0.00 | 203.57 | 11.84 | 0.0 |
| 3i | 0.18 | 10.32 | 3.06 | 0.0 |
| 3m | 0.05 | 556.56 | 17.63 | 0.0 |
| 4 i | 0.14 | 30.40 | 1.11 | 0.0 |
| 4 m | 0.14 | 953.74 | 28.84 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 0.06 | 274.09 | 2.66 | 0.0 |
| 6 | 0.00 | 289.36 | 0.91 | 0.0 |
| 7 | 0.00 | 34.99 | 0.35 | 0.0 |
| 9 | 0.00 | 34.53 | 0.19 | 0.0 |
| 9+ | 0.00 | 31.50 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Immature | 6.48 | 90.06 | 137.35 | 0.9 |
| Mature | 0.20 | 1822.52 | 45.14 | 0.0 |

Table 2.3.1.3 North Sea herring mean weight (g) at age (wr) and maturity by ICES Subarea from July acoustic survey 2005. The suffixes $\mathbf{i}$ and $m$ refer to the immature and mature fish respectively.

| ICES A | IIIA | IVA | IVB | IVC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1.26 |  | 3.19 | 3.17 |
| 1 i | 49.03 | 71.94 | 38.94 | 35.54 |
| 1 m |  | 101.22 | 37.76 |  |
| 2 i | 56.58 | 103.09 | 73.87 |  |
| 2 m |  | 151.72 | 124.08 |  |
| 3 i | 109.77 | 118.24 | 124.19 |  |
| 3 m | 109.77 | 174.09 | 140.46 |  |
| 4 i | 120.36 | 131.54 | 111.68 |  |
| 4 m | 120.36 | 185.22 | 133.13 |  |
| 5 | 124.44 | 230.21 | 131.47 |  |
| 6 |  | 248.12 | 149.74 |  |
| 7 |  | 254.73 | 138.50 |  |
| 8 |  | 275.52 | 159.08 |  |

Table 2.3.1.4 North Sea autumn-spawning herring in the area surveyed in the acoustic surveys July 2005 Total numbers (millions) and biomass (thousands of tonnes) with mean weights (g) and fraction mature by ring.

| North Sea |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ring | Numbers <br> (millions) | Biomass <br> Tonnes *10 | Maturity <br> (fraction) | Mean weight <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ | Mean length <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ |
| 0 | 5015.9 | 16.0 | 0.00 | 3.2 | 7.9 |
| 1 | 3112.5 | 134.7 | 0.01 | 43.3 | 17.5 |
| 2 | 1890.2 | 255.4 | 0.76 | 135.1 | 24.5 |
| 3 | 3436.4 | 587.8 | 0.97 | 171.0 | 26.2 |
| 4 | 5609.3 | 1014.4 | 0.96 | 180.8 | 26.6 |
| 5 | 1211.3 | 276.8 | 1.00 | 228.5 | 28.5 |
| 6 | 1172.3 | 290.3 | 1.00 | 247.6 | 29.2 |
| 7 | 139.9 | 35.3 | 1.00 | 252.6 | 29.5 |
| 8 | 126.5 | 34.7 | 1.00 | 274.4 | 30.2 |
| $9+$ | 106.7 | 31.5 | 1.00 | 295.1 | 30.7 |
| Immature | 8920.4 | 234.8 |  |  |  |
| Mature | 9577.8 | 1867.9 |  |  |  |
| Total | 21821.0 | 2676.9 |  |  |  |

Table 2.3.1.5 North Sea autumn spawners, estimates of (millions) at age from acoustic surveys, and SSB (thousands of tonnes) 1984-2005. For 1984-1986 the estimates are the sum of those from the Division IVa summer survey, the Division IVb autumn survey, and the Divisions IVc, VIId winter survey. The 1987 to 2000 estimates are from the summer survey in Divisions IVa,b, and IIIa excluding estimates of Division IIIa/Baltic spring spawners. For 1999 \& 2000 the Kattegat was excluded from the results because it was not surveyed. The 1996 to 1999 surveys have been revised due to changes in methods for calculating mean weight and proportion adult. The earlier surveys were revised in March 2002 following recent reorganisation of archive, removal of a $9 \%$ calibration error on Scottish survey 1999-2000. In 2003 the area was extended to include part of area IVc and provide better coverage for sprat, the increase in biomass due to this change in area was negligible at $\mathbf{0 . 0 5 \%}$.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { AGE } \\ \text { (RINGS) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 551 | 726 | 1639 | 13736 | 6431 | 6333 | 6249 | 3182 | 6351 | 10399 | 3646 | 4202 | 6198 | 9416 | 4449 | 5087 | 24735 | 6837 | 23055 | 9829 | 5183 | 3113 |
| 2 | 3194 | 2789 | 3206 | 4303 | 4202 | 3726 | 2971 | 2834 | 4179 | 3710 | 3280 | 3799 | 4557 | 6363 | 5747 | 3078 | 2922 | 12290 | 4875 | 18949 | 3415 | 1890 |
| 3 | 1005 | 1433 | 1637 | 955 | 1732 | 3751 | 3530 | 1501 | 1633 | 1855 | 957 | 2056 | 2824 | 3287 | 2520 | 4725 | 2156 | 3083 | 8220 | 3081 | 9191 | 3436 |
| 4 | 394 | 323 | 833 | 657 | 528 | 1612 | 3370 | 2102 | 1397 | 909 | 429 | 656 | 1087 | 1696 | 1625 | 1116 | 3139 | 1462 | 1390 | 4189 | 2167 | 5609 |
| 5 | 158 | 113 | 135 | 368 | 349 | 488 | 1349 | 1984 | 1510 | 795 | 363 | 272 | 311 | 692.1 | 982.4 | 506.4 | 1006 | 1676 | 794.6 | 675.1 | 2590 | 1211 |
| 6 | 44 | 41 | 36 | 77 | 174 | 281 | 395 | 748 | 1311 | 788 | 321 | 175 | 98.7 | 259.2 | 445.2 | 313.6 | 482.5 | 449.6 | 1031 | 494.8 | 317.1 | 1172 |
| 7 | 52 | 17 | 24 | 38 | 43 | 120 | 211 | 262 | 474 | 546 | 238 | 135 | 82.8 | 78.6 | 170.3 | 138.6 | 266.4 | 169.6 | 244.4 | 568.3 | 327.6 | 139.9 |
| 8 | 39 | 23 | 6 | 11 | 23 | 44 | 134 | 112 | 155 | 178 | 220 | 110 | 132.9 | 78.3 | 45.2 | 54.3 | 120.4 | 97.7 | 121 | 145.5 | 342.1 | 126.5 |
| 9+ | 41 | 19 | 8 | 20 | 14 | 22 | 43 | 56 | 163 | 116 | 132 | 84 | 206 | 158.3 | 121.4 | 87.2 | 97.2 | 58.9 | 149.5 | 177.7 | 185.6 | 106.7 |
| Total | 5478 | 5484 | 7542 | 20165 | 13496 | 16377 | 18262 | 12781 | 17173 | 19326 | 13003 | 11220 | 18786 | 22028 | 16104 | 15107 | 34928 | 26124 | 39881 | 38110 | 23722 | 16805 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{2+13+}$ | . | 0.91 | 0.57 | 1.02 | 0.81 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.57 | 0.36 | 0.72 | 1.19 | 0.51 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.75 | 0.51 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.62 | 0.44 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Smooth } \\ & \mathrm{Z}_{2+/ 3+} \end{aligned}$ |  | - | 0.74 | 0.79 | 0.91 | 0.46 | 0.11 | 0.34 | 0.47 | 0.54 | 0.96 | 0.85 | 0.46 | 0.40 | 0.56 | 0.63 | 0.41 | 0.34 | 0.42 | 0.53 | 0.60 | 0.53 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SSB } \\ & \text { (‘000 t) } \end{aligned}$ | 807 | 697 | 942 | 817 | 897 | 1637 | 2174 | 1874 | 1545 | 1216 | 1035 | 1082 | 1446 | 1780 | 1792 | 1534 | 1833 | 2622 | 2948 | 2999 | 2584 | 1868 |

Table 2.3.2.1: North Sea autumn spawners. Fortnightly time periods sampled and survey effort in 2005/2006.

NL - Netherlands, FRG - Federal Republic of Germany

| Area | Time period | Samples available | Vessel days | Nation | Coverage |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orkney/Shetland | $01-15$ Sep. | None |  |  |  |
|  | $16-30$ Sep. | 93 | 9 | FRG | Total |
| Buchan | $01-15$ Sep. | None |  |  |  |
|  | $16-30$ Sep. | 86 | 6 | NL | Total |
| Central North | $01-15$ Sep. | None |  |  |  |
| Sea | $16-30$ Sep. | 75 | 5 | NL | Total |
|  | $01-15$ Oct. | None | 76 | 4 | NL |
| Southern North | $16-31$ Dec. | 117 | 7 | FRG | Total |
| Sea | $01-15$ Jan. | 96 | 5 | NL | Total |

Table 2.3.2.2: North Sea autumn spawners. Number of samples taken and sampling effort for the herring larvae surveys in Orkney/Shetland, Buchan, Central North Sea and Southern North Sea by year

| Year | SAMPLES | Vessel-days (SAMPLING) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $1988 / 89$ | 1355 | 98 |
| $1989 / 90$ | 1300 | 96 |
| $1990 / 91$ | 634 | 49 |
| $1991 / 92$ | 738 | 51 |
| $1992 / 93$ | 498 | 31 |
| $1993 / 94$ | 491 | 34 |
| $1994 / 95$ | 450 | 33 |
| $1995 / 96$ | 421 | 26 |
| $1996 / 97$ | 469 | 32 |
| $1997 / 98$ | 456 | 29 |
| $1998 / 99$ | 531 | 37 |
| $1999 / 00$ | 645 | 38 |
| $2000 / 01$ | 696 | 53 |
| $2001 / 02$ | 534 | 32 |
| $2002 / 03$ | 533 | 35 |
| $2003 / 04$ | 568 | 35 |
| $2004 / 05$ | 483 | 33 |
| $2005 / 06$ | 543 | 36 |

Table 2.3.2.3: North Sea autumn spawners. Estimated abundances of herring larvae $<\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{~ m m}$ long ( $<11 \mathrm{~mm}$ for the SNS), by standard sampling area and time periods. The number of larvae are expressed as mean number per ICES rectangle * $10^{9}$

|  | Orkney/Shetland |  | Buchan |  | Central North Sea |  |  | Southern North Sea |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | $\begin{aligned} & 1-15 \\ & \text { Sep. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16-30 \\ & \text { Sep. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-15 \\ & \text { Sep. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 16-30 \\ & \text { Sep. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1-15 \\ & \text { Sep. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 16-30 \\ & \text { Sep. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1-15 \\ & \text { Oct. } \end{aligned}$ | 16-31 <br> Dec. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1-15 \\ & \text { Jan. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16-31 } \\ & \text { Jan. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1972 | 1133 | 4583 | 30 |  | 165 | 88 | 134 | 2 | 46 |  |
| 1973 | 2029 | 822 | 3 | 4 | 492 | 830 | 1213 |  |  | 1 |
| 1974 | 758 | 421 | 101 | 284 | 81 |  | 1184 |  | 10 |  |
| 1975 | 371 | 50 | 312 |  |  | 90 | 77 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 1976 | 545 | 81 |  | 1 | 64 | 108 |  |  | 3 |  |
| 1977 | 1133 | 221 | 124 | 32 | 520 | 262 | 89 | 1 |  |  |
| 1978 | 3047 | 50 |  | 162 | 1406 | 81 | 269 | 33 | 3 |  |
| 1979 | 2882 | 2362 | 197 | 10 | 662 | 131 | 507 |  | 111 | 89 |
| 1980 | 3534 | 720 | 21 | 1 | 317 | 188 | 9 | 247 | 129 | 40 |
| 1981 | 3667 | 277 | 3 | 12 | 903 | 235 | 119 | 1456 |  | 70 |
| 1982 | 2353 | 1116 | 340 | 257 | 86 | 64 | 1077 | 710 | 275 | 54 |
| 1983 | 2579 | 812 | 3647 | 768 | 1459 | 281 | 63 | 71 | 243 | 58 |
| 1984 | 1795 | 1912 | 2327 | 1853 | 688 | 2404 | 824 | 523 | 185 | 39 |
| 1985 | 5632 | 3432 | 2521 | 1812 | 130 | 13039 | 1794 | 1851 | 407 | 38 |
| 1986 | 3529 | 1842 | 3278 | 341 | 1611 | 6112 | 188 | 780 | 123 | 18 |
| 1987 | 7409 | 1848 | 2551 | 670 | 799 | 4927 | 1992 | 934 | 297 | 146 |
| 1988 | 7538 | 8832 | 6812 | 5248 | 5533 | 3808 | 1960 | 1679 | 162 | 112 |
| 1989 | 11477 | 5725 | 5879 | 692 | 1442 | 5010 | 2364 | 1514 | 2120 | 512 |
| 1990 |  | 10144 | 4590 | 2045 | 19955 | 1239 | 975 | 2552 | 1204 |  |
| 1991 | 1021 | 2397 |  | 2032 | 4823 | 2110 | 1249 | 4400 | 873 |  |
| 1992 | 189 | 4917 |  | 822 | 10 | 165 | 163 | 176 | 1616 |  |
| 1993 |  | 66 |  | 174 |  | 685 | 85 | 1358 | 1103 |  |
| 1994 | 26 | 1179 |  |  |  | 1464 | 44 | 537 | 595 |  |
| 1995 |  | 8688 |  |  |  |  | 43 | 74 | 230 | 164 |
| 1996 |  | 809 |  | 184 |  | 564 |  | 337 | 675 | 691 |
| 1997 |  | 3611 |  | 23 |  |  |  | 9374 | 918 | 355 |
| 1998 |  | 8528 |  | 1490 | 205 | 66 |  | 1522 | 953 | 170 |
| 1999 |  | 4064 |  | 185 |  | 134 | 181 | 804 | 1260 | 344 |
| 2000 |  | 3352 | 28 | 83 |  | 376 |  | 7346 | 338 | 106 |
| 2001 |  | 11918 |  | 164 |  | 1604 |  | 971 | 5531 | 909 |
| 2002 |  | 6669 |  | 1038 |  |  | 3291 | 2008 | 260 | 925 |
| 2003 |  | 3199 |  | 2263 |  | 12018 | 3277 | 12048 | 3109 | 1116 |
| 2004 |  | 7055 |  | 3884 |  | 5545 |  | 7055 | 2052 | 4175 |
| 2005 |  | 3380 |  | 1364 |  | 5614 |  | 498 | 3999 | 4822 |

Table 2.3.2.4: North Sea autumn spawners. Parameter estimates obtained on fitting the MLAI model to the estimates of larval abundance by area and time-period. Model fitted to abundances of larvae $<\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{~ m m}$ in length ( $11 \mathbf{~ m m}$ for the southern North Sea).
a) Analysis of variance of the model fit

|  | DF | Sum <br> OF Squares | MEAN <br> Square | F VALUE | P |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Model | 43 | 167.7 | 3.899 | 8.4 | $<0.0001$ |
| Error | 234 | 108.6 | 0.464 |  |  |
| C Total | 277 | 276.3 |  |  |  |

b) Estimates of parameters

Reference Mean

| Estimate | Standard Error |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6.84203 | 0.55367 | Reference: 1972, Orkney/Shetland 09/01 - 09/15 |

## Year Effects

| Year | Estimate | Standard Error | Year | Estimate | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1973 | 0.35580 | 0.68836 | 1989 | 2.67017 | 0.60877 |
| 1974 | -0.14271 | 0.73758 | 1990 | 2.93199 | 0.63166 |
| 1975 | -1.21357 | 0.74957 | 1991 | 2.28105 | 0.68435 |
| 1976 | -1.31916 | 0.73563 | 1992 | 1.52494 | 0.72348 |
| 1977 | -0.41293 | 0.70500 | 1993 | 1.19585 | 0.70005 |
| 1978 | -0.21939 | 0.71567 | 1994 | 0.82072 | 0.73799 |
| 1979 | 0.45887 | 0.68884 | 1995 | 0.93639 | 0.72737 |
| 1980 | 0.08507 | 0.68590 | 1996 | 1.60750 | 0.76610 |
| 1981 | 0.47529 | 0.68261 | 1997 | 1.84465 | 0.71857 |
| 1982 | 0.83976 | 0.61974 | 1998 | 2.11886 | 0.67539 |
| 1983 | 1.08712 | 0.63543 | 1999 | 1.93386 | 0.67909 |
| 1984 | 1.68328 | 0.61682 | 2000 | 1.52417 | 0.69434 |
| 1985 | 2.10319 | 0.59501 | 2001 | 2.66741 | 0.70698 |
| 1986 | 1.44902 | 0.61478 | 2002 | 2.50262 | 0.68621 |
| 1987 | 2.00990 | 0.60666 | 2003 | 3.40549 | 0.69858 |
| 1988 | 2.69683 | 0.59483 | 2004 | 3.56590 | 0.74056 |
|  |  |  | 2005 | 3.05546 | 0.68945 |

Sampling Unit Effects

| Sampling Unit | Estimate | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Or/Shet 16-30 Sep | -0.76912 | 0.32313 |
| Buchan 01-15 Sep | -1.82087 | 0.41918 |
| Buchan 16-30 Sep | -2.53133 | 0.35378 |
| CNS 01-15 Sep | -1.65436 | 0.40574 |
| CNS 16-30 Sep | -1.46950 | 0.35525 |
| CNS 01-15 Oct | -2.08176 | 0.38265 |
| CNS 16-31 Oct | -4.16573 | 0.52771 |
| SNS 12-31 Dec | -1.86590 | 0.38197 |
| SNS 01-15 Jan | -2.52268 | 0.33205 |
| SNS 16-31 Jan | -3.52261 | 0.36906 |

Table 2.3.2.5: North Sea autumn spawners. Time-series of the Multiplicative Larval Abundance Index (MLAI). The original MLAI is given in the second column. MLAI plus is the sum of the MLAI and the value of the reference area (Orkney/Shetlands, $1^{\text {st }}-15^{\text {th }}$ September 1972). This estimate is then unlogged (eMLAI) and divided by 100 (MLAI ${ }_{\text {assess }}$ ). The MLAI $_{\text {assess }}$ describes the time-series that is used in the assessment.

| REFERENCE VALUE: | 6.84203 |
| :---: | :---: |


| Year | MLAI | MLAI ${ }_{\text {pLus }}$ | EMLAI | MLAI $_{\text {assess }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1973 | 0.3558 | 7.1978 | 1336.5 | 13.37 |
| 1974 | -0.1427 | 6.6993 | 811.9 | 8.12 |
| 1975 | -1.2136 | 5.6285 | 278.2 | 2.78 |
| 1976 | -1.3192 | 5.5229 | 250.4 | 2.50 |
| 1977 | -0.4129 | 6.4291 | 619.6 | 6.20 |
| 1978 | -0.2194 | 6.6226 | 751.9 | 7.52 |
| 1979 | 0.4589 | 7.3009 | 1481.6 | 14.82 |
| 1980 | 0.0851 | 6.9271 | 1019.5 | 10.20 |
| 1981 | 0.4753 | 7.3173 | 1506.2 | 15.06 |
| 1982 | 0.8398 | 7.6818 | 2168.5 | 21.68 |
| 1983 | 1.0871 | 7.9292 | 2777.1 | 27.77 |
| 1984 | 1.6833 | 8.5253 | 5040.7 | 50.41 |
| 1985 | 2.1032 | 8.9452 | 7671.1 | 76.71 |
| 1986 | 1.4490 | 8.2911 | 3988.0 | 39.88 |
| 1987 | 2.0099 | 8.8519 | 6987.9 | 69.88 |
| 1988 | 2.6968 | 9.5389 | 13889.1 | 138.89 |
| 1989 | 2.6702 | 9.5122 | 13523.7 | 135.24 |
| 1990 | 2.9320 | 9.7740 | 17571.3 | 175.71 |
| 1991 | 2.2811 | 9.1231 | 9164.4 | 91.64 |
| 1992 | 1.5249 | 8.3670 | 4302.6 | 43.03 |
| 1993 | 1.1959 | 8.0379 | 3096.0 | 30.96 |
| 1994 | 0.8207 | 7.6628 | 2127.6 | 21.28 |
| 1995 | 0.9364 | 7.7784 | 2388.5 | 23.88 |
| 1996 | 1.6075 | 8.4495 | 4672.9 | 46.73 |
| 1997 | 1.8447 | 8.6867 | 5923.5 | 59.23 |
| 1998 | 2.1189 | 8.9609 | 7792.3 | 77.92 |
| 1999 | 1.9339 | 8.7759 | 6476.2 | 64.76 |
| 2000 | 1.5242 | 8.3662 | 4299.3 | 42.99 |
| 2001 | 2.6674 | 9.5094 | 13486.4 | 134.86 |
| 2002 | 2.5026 | 9.3447 | 11437.5 | 114.37 |
| 2003 | 3.4055 | 10.2475 | 28212.5 | 282.12 |
| 2004 | 3.5659 | 10.4079 | 33121.2 | 331.21 |
| 2005 | 3.0555 | 9.8975 | 19880.4 | 198.80 |

Table 2.3.3.1. North Sea herring. Indices of 2-5+ ringers from the $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ quarter IBTS

| YEAR OF SAMPLING | 2-RINGER | 3-RINGER | 4-RINGER | 5+ RINGER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 137.4 | 46.4 | 15.3 | 28.5 |
| 1984 | 169.9 | 67.0 | 30.0 | 10.8 |
| 1985 | 748.1 | 301.5 | 47.6 | 31.2 |
| 1986 | 820.1 | 288.9 | 84.1 | 28.5 |
| 1987 | 946.3 | 124.0 | 63.2 | 53.6 |
| 1988 | 4725.8 | 915.0 | 65.4 | 28.0 |
| 1989 | 933.9 | 401.2 | 111.8 | 10.5 |
| 1990 | 482.1 | 312.9 | 292.7 | 77.1 |
| 1991 | 821.0 | 288.4 | 258.7 | 174.3 |
| 1992 | 410.1 | 195.1 | 68.5 | 109.4 |
| 1993 | 840.8 | 225.1 | 46.9 | 68.6 |
| 1994 | 1176.5 | 214.4 | 68.4 | 43.0 |
| 1995 | 1263.1 | 251.0 | 33.2 | 6.2 |
| 1996 | 209.0 | 46.6 | 13.5 | 9.1 |
| 1997 | 526.6 | 204.1 | 42.8 | 24.3 |
| 1998 | 799.7 | 96.4 | 22.0 | 20.7 |
| 1999 | 456.8 | 547.8 | 109 | 40.3 |
| 2000 | 232.2 | 169.3 | 65.5 | 9.7 |
| 2001 | 1228.1 | 337.0 | 106.8 | 79.0 |
| 2002 | 666.2 | 323.9 | 22.8 | 19.2 |
| 2003 | 1597.7 | 452.7 | 354.8 | 51.5 |
| 2004 | 456.0 | 759.9 | 110.9 | 141.1 |
| *2005 | 190.2 | 325.7 | 402.2 | 140.3 |
| 2006 | 1436.4 | 358.5 | 251.7 | 338.8 |

* Norwegian survey data not included

Table 2.3.3.2. North Sea herring. Estimates of mean number per hour per statistical rectangle from $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter IBTS 2006. Means for age groups in "Roundfish areas" (*) and in all areas. In the index 2-5+ for all areas, the findings in RF8 and RF9 are not included.

| AREA | Total | MEAN PER STATISTICAL RECTANGLE |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | Age group (wr) | 4 | $5+$ |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 251.7 | 338.8 |
| All areas |  | 919.9 | 1436.4 | 358.5 | 884.8 | 1391.7 |
| RF1 |  | 0.3 | 117.1 | 450.6 | 493.5 | 352.7 |
| RF2 | 10022.6 | 65.0 | 7566.3 | 1610.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| RF3 | 36.9 | 975.5 | 30.9 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| RF4 | 1351.1 | 510.8 | 1304.5 | 45.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| RF5 | 27.7 | 145.9 | 26.3 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| RF6 | 147.9 | 1611.1 | 146.6 | 0.9 | 8.1 | 4.5 |
| RF7 | 128.7 | 1615.0 | 108.3 | 7.8 | 7.3 | 6.0 |
| RF8 | 349.9 | 2977.9 | 290.3 | 46.3 | 56.9 | 14.0 |
| RF9 | 1552.8 | 8990.6 | 1048.1 | 433.8 |  |  |

*) "Roundfish areas" are shown in the IBTS Manual (Add. ICES CM 2002/D:03)

Table 2.3.3.3. North Sea herring. Indices of 1-ringers from the IBTS $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Quarter. Estimation of the small sized component (possibly Downs herring) in different areas. " North Sea" = total area of sampling minus IIIa.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | Year of SAMPLING | ALL 1-RINGERS IN TOTAL AREA (NO/HOUR) | SMALL<13CM <br> 1-RINGERS IN TOTAL AREA (NO/HOUR) | PROPORTION <br> of SMALL <br> in total <br> AREA <br> VS. ALL SIZES | SMALL<13CM <br> 1-RINGERS IN NORTH SEA (NO/HOUR) | Proportion of SMALL IN North Sea vs. ALL SIZES | Proportion of SMALL IN IIIA vs SMALL IN TOTAL AREA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | 1979 | 156 | 11.1 | 0.07 | 11.9 | 0.08 | 0 |
| 1978 | 1980 | 342 | 112.9 | 0.33 | 112.5 | 0.33 | 0.07 |
| 1979 | 1981 | 518 | 57.6 | 0.11 | 48.3 | 0.09 | 0.22 |
| 1980 | 1982 | 799 | 175.34 | 0.22 | 184.0 | 0.23 | 0.02 |
| 1981 | 1983 | 1231 | 188.6 | 0.15 | 180.2 | 0.15 | 0.11 |
| 1982 | 1984 | 1469 | 330.3 | 0.23 | 278.5 | 0.19 | 0.21 |
| 1983 | 1985 | 2082 | 295.5 | 0.14 | 276.2 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| 1984 | 1986 | 2593 | 585.9 | 0.23 | 372.5 | 0.15 | 0.41 |
| 1985 | 1987 | 3734 | 640.3 | 0.17 | 526.9 | 0.14 | 0.23 |
| 1986 | 1988 | 4470 | 2365.7 | 0.52 | 697.5 | 0.15 | 0.72 |
| 1987 | 1989 | 2187 | 548.8 | 0.24 | 488.4 | 0.21 | 0.17 |
| 1988 | 1990 | 1025 | 69.0 | 0.07 | 60.1 | 0.06 | 0.19 |
| 1989 | 1991 | 1180 | 300.0 | 0.26 | 305.4 | 0.26 | 0.05 |
| 1990 | 1992 | 1204 | 120.9 | 0.10 | 125.4 | 0.11 | 0.03 |
| 1991 | 1993 | 2989 | 754.9 | 0.26 | 163.1 | 0.06 | 0.8 |
| 1992 | 1994 | 1644 | 267.0 | 0.16 | 224.9 | 0.13 | 0.21 |
| 1993 | 1995 | 1215 | 386.3 | 0.33 | 380.0 | 0.32 | 0.08 |
| 1994 | 1996 | 1728 | 537.1 | 0.31 | 408.9 | 0.24 | 0.29 |
| 1995 | 1997 | 3993 | 1179.9 | 0.29 | 933.0 | 0.23 | 0.26 |
| 1996 | 1998 | 2067 | 1168.1 | 0.57 | 1231.6 | 0.60 | 0.02 |
| 1997 | 1999 | 715 | 141.2 | 0.20 | 138.8 | 0.19 | 0.08 |
| 1998 | 2000 | 3639 | 1062.2 | 0.29 | 936.1 | 0.26 | 0.18 |
| 1999 | 2001 | 2696 | 322.6 | 0.12 | 302.2 | 0.11 | 0.06 |
| 2000 | 2002 | 3948 | 1510.9 | 0.38 | 1427.6 | 0.36 | 0.12 |
| 2001 | 2003 | 2926 | 708.4 | 0.24 | 201.6 | 0.07 | 0.73 |
| 2002 | 2004 | 980 | 649.0 | 0.66 | 691.5 | 0.71 | 0.004 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 1033 | 346.6 | 0.34 | 363.9 | 0.35 | 0.02 |
| 2004 | 2006 | 920 | 120.6 | 0.13 | 126.6 | 0.14 | 0.02 |

Table 2.3.3.4 North Sea herring. Density and abundance estimates of 0 -ringers caught in February during the IBTS. Values given for year classes by areas are density estimates in numbers per square metre. Total abundance is found by multiplying density by area and summing up.

| Area | NORTH WEST | NORTH EAST | Central west | Central EAST | South WEST | South <br> EAST | DIv. IIIA | South' <br> Bight | 0-RINGER ABUNDANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{x} \\ & 10^{9} \end{aligned}$ | 83 | 34 | 86 | 102 | 37 | 93 | 31 | 31 |  |
| Year class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | no. in $10^{9}$ |
| 1976 | 0.054 | 0.014 | 0.122 | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.016 | 17.1 |
| 1977 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.05 | 0.015 | 0.056 | 0.013 | 0.006 | 0.034 | 13.1 |
| 1978 | 0.176 | 0.031 | 0.061 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.005 | 0.074 | 0 | 52.1 |
| 1979 | 0.061 | 0.195 | 0.262 | 0.408 | 0.226 | 0.143 | 0.099 | 0.053 | 101.1 |
| 1980 | 0.052 | 0.001 | 0.145 | 0.115 | 0.089 | 0.339 | 0.248 | 0.187 | 76.7 |
| 1981 | 0.197 | 0 | 0.289 | 0.199 | 0.215 | 0.645 | 0.109 | 0.036 | 133.9 |
| 1982 | 0.025 | 0.011 | 0.068 | 0.248 | 0.29 | 0.309 | 0.47 | 0.14 | 91.8 |
| 1983 | 0.019 | 0.007 | 0.114 | 0.268 | 0.271 | 0.473 | 0.339 | 0.377 | 115 |
| 1984 | 0.083 | 0.019 | 0.303 | 0.259 | 0.996 | 0.718 | 0.277 | 0.298 | 181.3 |
| 1985 | 0.116 | 0.057 | 0.421 | 0.344 | 0.464 | 0.777 | 0.085 | 0.084 | 177.4 |
| 1986 | 0.317 | 0.029 | 0.73 | 0.557 | 0.83 | 0.933 | 0.048 | 0.244 | 270.9 |
| 1987 | 0.078 | 0.031 | 0.417 | 0.314 | 0.159 | 0.618 | 0.483 | 0.495 | 168.9 |
| 1988 | 0.036 | 0.02 | 0.095 | 0.096 | 0.151 | 0.411 | 0.181 | 0.016 | 71.4 |
| 1989 | 0.083 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.094 | 0.013 | 0.035 | 0.041 | 0 | 25.9 |
| 1990 | 0.075 | 0.053 | 0.202 | 0.158 | 0.121 | 0.198 | 0.086 | 0.196 | 69.9 |
| 1991 | 0.255 | 0.39 | 0.431 | 0.539 | 0.5 | 0.369 | 0.298 | 0.395 | 200.7 |
| 1992 | 0.168 | 0.039 | 0.672 | 0.444 | 0.734 | 0.268 | 0.345 | 0.285 | 190.1 |
| 1993 | 0.358 | 0.212 | 0.26 | 0.187 | 0.12 | 0.119 | 0.223 | 0.028 | 101.7 |
| 1994 | 0.148 | 0.024 | 0.417 | 0.381 | 0.332 | 0.148 | 0.252 | 0.169 | 126.9 |
| 1995 | 0.26 | 0.086 | 0.699 | 0.092 | 0.266 | 0.018 | 0.001 | 0.02 | 106.2 |
| 1996 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.935 | 0.135 | 0.436 | 0.379 | 0.039 | 0.032 | 148.1 |
| 1997 | 0.042 | 0.021 | 0.338 | 0.064 | 0.178 | 0.035 | 0.023 | 0.083 | 53.1 |
| 1998 | 0.1 | 0.056 | 1.15 | 0.592 | 0.998 | 0.265 | 0.28 | 0.127 | 244.0 |
| 1999 | 0.045 | 0.011 | 0.799 | 0.2 | 0.514 | 0.22 | 0.107 | 0.026 | 137.1 |
| 2000 | 0.284 | 0.011 | 1.052 | 0.197 | 1.156 | 0.376 | 0.063 | 0.006 | 214.8 |
| 2001 | 0.08 | 0.019 | 0.566 | 0.473 | 0.567 | 0.247 | 0.209 | 0.226 | 161.8 |
| 2002 | 0.141 | 0.04 | 0.287 | 0.028 | 0.121 | 0.045 | 0.003 | 0.157 | 54.4 |
| 2003 | 0.045 | 0.005 | 0.284 | 0.074 | 0.106 | 0.021 | 0.022 | 0.154 | 47.3 |
| 2004 | 0.017 | 0.010 | 0.189 | 0.089 | 0.268 | 0.187 | 0.027 | 0.198 | 61.3 |
| 2005 | 0.013 | 0.018 | 0.327 | 0.081 | 0.633 | 0.184 | 0.007 | 0.131 | 83.1 |

Table 2.4.1.1: North Sea Herring: Mean weight-at-age (wr) in the third quarter, in Divisions IVa, IVb and IIIa

| Ring | Third quarter mean wts in catch (Divisions IVa, IVb \& IIIa) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | July acoustic survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| 1 | 63 | 75 | 43 | 54 | 62 | 54 | 69 | 50 | 65 | 45 | 53 | 58 | 45 | 45 | 52 | 52 | 46 | 50 | 45 | 46 | 35 | 43 |
| 2 | 149.7 | 135.1 | 129 | 131 | 128 | 123 | 136 | 140 | 119 | 125 | 124 | 132 | 119 | 120 | 109 | 118 | 118 | 127 | 138 | 104 | 116 | 135 |
| 3 | 192.5 | 186.3 | 175 | 172 | 163 | 172 | 167 | 177 | 177 | 159 | 177 | 180 | 196 | 168 | 198 | 171 | 180 | 162 | 172 | 185 | 139 | 171 |
| 4 | 221 | 224.3 | 220 | 209 | 193 | 201 | 199 | 200 | 198 | 203 | 201 | 200 | 253 | 233 | 238 | 207 | 218 | 204 | 194 | 209 | 206 | 181 |
| 5 | 232.4 | 229.3 | 247 | 237 | 228 | 228 | 218 | 224 | 210 | 234 | 234 | 195 | 262 | 256 | 275 | 236 | 232 | 228 | 224 | 214 | 231 | 229 |
| 6 | 272 | 252.6 | 255 | 263 | 252 | 241 | 237 | 244 | 236 | 250 | 249 | 228 | 299 | 245 | 307 | 267 | 261 | 237 | 247 | 243 | 253 | 248 |
| 7 | 275.8 | 291.6 | 278 | 269 | 263 | 266 | 262 | 252 | 247 | 264 | 261 | 257 | 306 | 265 | 289 | 272 | 295 | 255 | 261 | 281 | 262 | 253 |
| 8 | 317 | 300.3 | 295 | 313 | 275 | 286 | 288 | 281 | 272 | 262 | 287 | 302 | 325 | 269 | 308 | 230 | 300 | 286 | 280 | 290 | 279 | 274 |
| $9+$ | 306 | 302.3 | 295 | 298 | 306 | 271 | 298 | 298 | 282 | 299 | 270 | 324 | 335 | 329 | 363 | 260 | 280 | 294 | 249 | 307 | 270 | 295 |

Weights-at-age in the catch for 1995 to 2001 were revised by SG Rednose for details of the revision see last years report (ICES ACFM 2003/ACFM:10).

Table 2.4.2.1 North Sea herring. Maturity at 2 -, 3- and $4+$ ring for Autumn Spawning herring in the North Sea. The values are derived from the acoustic survey for 1988 to 2005.

| Year \ RiNG | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{> 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | 65.6 | 87.7 | 100 |
| 1989 | 78.7 | 93.9 | 100 |
| 1990 | 72.6 | 97.0 | 100 |
| 1991 | 63.8 | 98.0 | 100 |
| 1992 | 51.3 | 100 | 100 |
| 1993 | 47.1 | 62.9 | 100 |
| 1994 | 72.1 | 85.8 | 100 |
| 1995 | 72.6 | 95.4 | 100 |
| 1996 | 60.5 | 97.5 | 100 |
| 1997 | 64.0 | 94.2 | 100 |
| 1998 | 64.0 | 89.0 | 100 |
| 1999 | 81.0 | 91.0 | 100 |
| 2000 | 66.0 | 96.0 | 100 |
| 2001 | 77.0 | 92.0 | 100 |
| 2002 | 86.0 | 97.0 | 100 |
| 2003 | 43.0 | 93.0 | 100 |
| 2004 | 69.8 | 64.9 | 97.0 |
| 2005 | 76.0 |  | 100 |

Table 2.6.2.1. North Sea herring. Values for the slope of the regression line fitted through the time trend of $\log$ catch ratios for the catch data, and the $\log$ abundance ratios of Acoustic 1-9+ ring and IBTS 1-5+ ring indices. Their significance was not tested.

| Ages | catch ratio slope | Acoustic abundance ratio <br> slope | IBTS abundance ratio slope |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-1$ | -0.0895 |  |  |
| $1-2$ | -0.0603 | 0.0673 | 0.0137 |
| $2-3$ | -0.0960 | -0.0461 | -0.1684 |
| $3-4$ | -0.0825 | -0.0315 | -0.0226 |
| $4-5$ | -0.0910 | -0.0068 |  |
| $5-6$ | -0.0631 | -0.0097 |  |
| $6-7$ | -0.0875 | -0.0206 |  |
| $7-8$ | -0.0166 | 0.0088 |  |

Table 2.6.3.1. North Sea herring. Correlations within cohorts in the acoustic survey and IBTS, with number of observations used for each correlation.

|  | Acoustic surver |  | IBTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGES | Correlation | N OBS | Correlation | N OBS |
| $1-2$ | 0.92 | 8 | 0.51 | 24 |
| $2-3$ | 0.92 | 16 | 0.20 | 24 |
| $3-4$ | 0.95 | 16 | 0.46 | 24 |
| $4-5$ | 0.94 | 16 |  |  |
| $5-6$ | 0.91 | 16 |  |  |
| $6-7$ | 0.92 | 16 |  |  |
| $7-8$ | 0.84 | 16 |  |  |

Table 2.63.2. North Sea herring. Correlations at age between the acoustic survey and stock numbers, the IBTS and stock numbers and the acoustic survey and the IBTS, with number of observations used for each correlation.

| Age | Acoustic with stock n |  | IBTS WITH STOCK N |  | Acoustic with IbTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Correlation | N ObS | Correlation | N OBS | Correlation | N OBS |
| 1 | 0.95 | 5 | 0.83 | 23 | 0.76 | 9 |
| 2 | 0.82 | 13 | 0.69 | 23 | 0.62 | 17 |
| 3 | 0.83 | 13 | 0.81 | 23 | 0.68 | 17 |
| 4 | 0.87 | 13 | 0.82 | 23 | 0.85 | 17 |
| 5 | 0.95 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0.95 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 0.90 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0.78 | 13 |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.6.3.3. North Sea herring. EXPLORATORY SURBA stock summary results. Standard errors are given for recruitment and mean $Z$ age 2-6.

| Year | Recruitment |  | SSB <br> ESTIMATE | TB <br> ESTIMATE | Mean Z |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | estimate | S.E. |  |  | estimate | S.E. |
| 1973 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.117 | 0.194 |
| 1974 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.117 | 0.176 |
| 1975 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.117 | 0.156 |
| 1976 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.117 | 0.133 |
| 1977 | 0.141 | 0.109 | NA | NA | 0.117 | 0.105 |
| 1978 | 0.114 | 0.109 | NA | NA | 0.106 | 0.067 |
| 1979 | 0.431 | 0.105 | NA | NA | 0.014 | 0.058 |
| 1980 | 0.829 | 0.104 | NA | NA | -0.026 | 0.057 |
| 1981 | 0.643 | 0.103 | NA | NA | 0.032 | 0.055 |
| 1982 | 0.977 | 0.102 | NA | NA | 0.119 | 0.055 |
| 1983 | 0.746 | 0.101 | NA | NA | 0.289 | 0.06 |
| 1984 | 0.943 | 0.099 | NA | NA | 0.256 | 0.054 |
| 1985 | 1.381 | 0.098 | 0.611 | 0.793 | 0.309 | 0.052 |
| 1986 | 1.582 | 0.097 | 0.686 | 0.902 | 0.363 | 0.053 |
| 1987 | 1.958 | 0.095 | 0.83 | 1.104 | 0.217 | 0.043 |
| 1988 | 1.002 | 0.093 | 1.175 | 1.425 | 0.308 | 0.043 |
| 1989 | 0.463 | 0.092 | 1.61 | 1.835 | 0.486 | 0.05 |
| 1990 | 0.238 | 0.092 | 1.548 | 1.694 | 0.561 | 0.056 |
| 1991 | 0.458 | 0.091 | 1.187 | 1.285 | 0.404 | 0.044 |
| 1992 | 1.056 | 0.091 | 0.902 | 1.039 | 0.389 | 0.044 |
| 1993 | 0.978 | 0.092 | 0.703 | 1.02 | 0.478 | 0.049 |
| 1994 | 0.596 | 0.092 | 0.721 | 1.009 | 0.534 | 0.053 |
| 1995 | 0.759 | 0.093 | 0.876 | 1.118 | 0.583 | 0.054 |
| 1996 | 0.828 | 0.092 | 0.883 | 1.143 | 0.575 | 0.055 |
| 1997 | 0.898 | 0.092 | 0.851 | 1.141 | 0.423 | 0.044 |
| 1998 | 0.393 | 0.092 | 0.985 | 1.272 | 0.562 | 0.054 |
| 1999 | 2.107 | 0.09 | 1.179 | 1.396 | 0.479 | 0.048 |
| 2000 | 1.025 | 0.091 | 0.909 | 1.387 | 0.458 | 0.046 |
| 2001 | 2.049 | 0.091 | 1.149 | 1.468 | 0.457 | 0.05 |
| 2002 | 1.461 | 0.09 | 1.666 | 2.094 | 0.276 | 0.038 |
| 2003 | 0.428 | 0.092 | 1.535 | 2.014 | 0.421 | 0.047 |
| 2004 | 0.413 | 0.093 | 2.097 | 2.649 | 0.41 | 0.048 |
| 2005 | 0.523 | 0.103 | 1.633 | 1.721 | 0.435 | 0.064 |
| 2006 | 0.611 | 0.103 | 1.389 | 1.522 | 0.422 | 0.046 |

Table 2.6.4.1: North Sea herring CSA assessment input data.

Error! Objects cannot be created from editing field codes.

Table 2.6.5.1. North Sea herring. EXPLORATORY FLXSA v0.2 stock summary results with low shrinkage (=2.0).

| Year | Recruitment | SSB | Landings | Mean F2-6 | Mean F0-1 | Y/SsB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 12273704 | 2393348 | 696200 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.29 |
| 1961 | 110197268 | 2106771 | 696700 | 0.36 | 0.08 | 0.33 |
| 1962 | 47010514 | 1455864 | 627800 | 0.42 | 0.05 | 0.43 |
| 1963 | 49157192 | 2563307 | 716000 | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.28 |
| 1964 | 64470773 | 2323578 | 871200 | 0.33 | 0.17 | 0.37 |
| 1965 | 35926530 | 1662521 | 1168800 | 0.7 | 0.13 | 0.7 |
| 1966 | 28993207 | 1402660 | 895500 | 0.62 | 0.11 | 0.64 |
| 1967 | 41629336 | 926397 | 695500 | 0.8 | 0.17 | 0.75 |
| 1968 | 40167311 | 421235 | 717800 | 1.34 | 0.17 | 1.7 |
| 1969 | 22310335 | 430409 | 546700 | 1.1 | 0.17 | 1.27 |
| 1970 | 43508211 | 382261 | 563100 | 1.09 | 0.16 | 1.47 |
| 1971 | 34221049 | 273368 | 520100 | 1.36 | 0.33 | 1.9 |
| 1972 | 22271655 | 299762 | 497500 | 0.68 | 0.33 | 1.66 |
| 1973 | 10721340 | 246352 | 484000 | 1.09 | 0.37 | 1.96 |
| 1974 | 23502196 | 176332 | 275100 | 0.98 | 0.27 | 1.56 |
| 1975 | 3252951 | 97552 | 312800 | 1.2 | 0.42 | 3.21 |
| 1976 | 3059790 | 99641 | 174800 | 1.01 | 0.18 | 1.75 |
| 1977 | 4655139 | 72227 | 46000 | 0.46 | 0.19 | 0.64 |
| 1978 | 5557103 | 96835 | 11000 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.11 |
| 1979 | 10817424 | 135630 | 25100 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.19 |
| 1980 | 17322719 | 170150 | 70764 | 0.23 | 0.12 | 0.42 |
| 1981 | 39558908 | 236364 | 174879 | 0.28 | 0.4 | 0.74 |
| 1982 | 67425793 | 321606 | 275079 | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.86 |
| 1983 | 64471129 | 477593 | 387202 | 0.3 | 0.34 | 0.81 |
| 1984 | 55787894 | 727198 | 428631 | 0.41 | 0.22 | 0.59 |
| 1985 | 83260131 | 745017 | 613780 | 0.62 | 0.24 | 0.82 |
| 1986 | 101500706 | 732319 | 671488 | 0.55 | 0.2 | 0.92 |
| 1987 | 90384000 | 929004 | 792058 | 0.54 | 0.28 | 0.85 |
| 1988 | 44396582 | 1236699 | 887686 | 0.51 | 0.37 | 0.72 |
| 1989 | 40623356 | 1293764 | 787899 | 0.52 | 0.29 | 0.61 |
| 1990 | 36716833 | 1240937 | 645229 | 0.42 | 0.27 | 0.52 |
| 1991 | 35261432 | 1029772 | 658008 | 0.48 | 0.22 | 0.64 |
| 1992 | 65550854 | 746918 | 716799 | 0.56 | 0.35 | 0.96 |
| 1993 | 52577790 | 509941 | 671397 | 0.67 | 0.41 | 1.32 |
| 1994 | 34458961 | 565930 | 568234 | 0.68 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 1995 | 42327680 | 496416 | 579371 | 0.74 | 0.33 | 1.17 |
| 1996 | 49981849 | 486476 | 275098 | 0.39 | 0.18 | 0.57 |
| 1997 | 26948691 | 560763 | 264313 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.47 |
| 1998 | 24715351 | 725803 | 391628 | 0.46 | 0.1 | 0.54 |
| 1999 | 63105028 | 830155 | 363163 | 0.39 | 0.05 | 0.44 |
| 2000 | 36328936 | 792236 | 388157 | 0.42 | 0.07 | 0.49 |
| 2001 | 84071657 | 1153833 | 363343 | 0.33 | 0.06 | 0.31 |
| 2002 | 36340436 | 1370293 | 370941 | 0.27 | 0.04 | 0.27 |
| 2003 | 19123592 | 1469104 | 472587 | 0.3 | 0.06 | 0.32 |
| 2004 | 21086591 | 1545682 | 567252 | 0.38 | 0.05 | 0.37 |
| 2005 | 24135744 | 1447985 | 663813 | 0.43 | 0.12 | 0.46 |

Table 2.6.7.1. North Sea herring. Correlation at age for estimates from acoustic survey (derived from bootstrap estimates from stat rectangle data)

| AGE | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.00 | 0.06 | -0.12 | -0.13 | -0.13 | -0.13 | -0.15 | -0.12 | -0.13 |
| 2 | 0.06 | 1.00 | 0.66 | 0.41 | 0.34 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.18 | 0.23 |
| 3 | -0.12 | 0.66 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 0.83 | 0.77 | 0.74 | 0.65 | 0.68 |
| 4 | -0.13 | 0.41 | 0.89 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.83 | 0.83 |
| 5 | -0.13 | 0.34 | 0.83 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 0.88 | 0.87 |
| 6 | -0.13 | 0.28 | 0.77 | 0.92 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.90 |
| 7 | -0.15 | 0.25 | 0.74 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.92 |
| 8 | -0.12 | 0.18 | 0.65 | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.94 |
| 9 | -0.13 | 0.23 | 0.68 | 0.83 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 1.00 |

Table 2.6.7.2. North Sea herring. Correlation at age for estimates from IBTS survey (derived from bootstrap estimates from haul data)

|  | AGE | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.00 | 0.24 | 0.03 | 0.00 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| 2 | 0.24 | 1.00 | 0.64 | 0.42 | 0.32 |
| 3 | 0.03 | 0.64 | 1.00 | 0.82 | 0.68 |
| 4 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.82 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| 5 | -0.01 | 0.32 | 0.68 | 0.85 | 1.00 |

Table 2.6.7.3. North Sea herring. Corrections to inverse variance weights at age due to correlation at age in the survey catch series. Old corrections are included for comparison

|  | Acoustic |  | IBTS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | old | new | old | new |
| 3 | 0.33 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.50 |
| 4 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.39 |
| 5 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.38 |
| 6 | 0.15 | 0.19 |  |  |
| 7 | 0.15 | 0.20 |  |  |
| 8 | 0.15 | 0.19 |  |  |
| 9 | 0.15 | 0.20 |  |  |

Table 2.6.7.4. North Sea herring. New weighting factors based bootstrap of survey data. Old weights are included for comparison

|  | CAtch | Acoustic |  |  |  | IBTS |  | MIK |  | MLAI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | OLD | NEW | OLD | NEW | OLD | NEw | OLD | NEW | OLD | NEW |
| 0 | 0.10 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  | 2.05 | 0.63 |  |  |
| 1 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.74 | 0.63 | 0.67 | 0.47 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 3.17 | 3.67 | 0.75 | 0.62 | 0.24 | 0.28 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2.65 | 2.87 | 0.64 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1.94 | 2.23 | 0.27 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1.31 | 1.74 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0.97 | 1.37 | 0.13 | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 0.75 | 1.04 | 0.12 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0.55 | 0.94 | 0.07 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 0.54 | 0.91 | 0.07 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SSB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.65 | 0.60 |

Table 2.6.8.1. North Sea herring. Variability in the FLICA/FLXSA assessments due to data variability and a small range of model settings (last year in the assessment SSB $1^{\text {st }}$ Jan, mean F ages 2-6, Recruitment age 0). 2005 settings all data (spaly), individual tuning series (MLAI, MIK, IBTS, Acoustic), separable model settings (4-6 years 0.9 to 1.1 F on oldest age), ICA and XSA compared with same data (MLAI removed) and new weighting factors. (SSB $1^{\text {st }}$ of Jan should not be confused with SSB at spawning time wgich is used for management and includes proportion of $F$ and $M$ in the year prior to spawning)

| Percentile | SPALY | BIomass of SPAWNERS 1st OF JANUARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MLAI | MIK | IBTS | Acoust | 6yrsep | 4YRSEP | SEL1.1 | SELL0.9 | XSAIND | XSA | NEWWT |
| 2.5 | $2.08 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 3.21E+06 | $2.27 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.06 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.58 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.11 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.99 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.06 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.11 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.88 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.63 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.20 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 25 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.41 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.84 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.47 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.80 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.35 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.22 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.31 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.17 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.88 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.42 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 50 | $2.42 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.50 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.07 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.66 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.47 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.33 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.40 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.46 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.25 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.04 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.51 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 75 | $2.57 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.56 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.40 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.87 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.08 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.58 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.45 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.54 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.61 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.42 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.22 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.62 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 97.5 | $2.87 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.05 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.27 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.43 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.86 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.71 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.83 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.92 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.78 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.67 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.86 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| CV(\%) | 8\% | 3\% | 14\% | 11\% | 11\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 10\% | 13\% | 7\% |
| F ages 2-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentile | SPALY | MLAI | MIK | IBTS | Acoust | 6YRSEP | 4YRSEP | SEL1.1 | SELL0.9 | XSAIND | XSA | NEWWT |
| 2.5 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.21 |
| 25 | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.39 | 0.23 |
| 50 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.33 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.43 | 0.24 |
| 75 | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.36 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.25 |
| 97.5 | 0.29 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.40 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.58 | 0.27 |
| CV(\%) | 7\% | 4\% | 15\% | 12\% | 12\% | 8\% | 8\% | 7\% | 7\% | 9\% | 18\% | 6\% |
| Recruitment age 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentile | SPALY | MLAI | MIK | IBTS | Acoust | 6yRSEP | 4YRSEP | SEL1.1 | SELL0.9 | XSAIND | XSA | NEWWT |
| 2.5 | $1.65 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $5.44 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.56 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.03 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $3.31 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.65 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.64 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.64 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.65 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.63 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.62 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.85 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 25 | $1.88 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $5.91 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.84 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $3.59 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.88 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.86 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.87 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.89 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.87 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.89 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.10 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 50 | $1.98 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $6.21 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.99 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.74 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $3.92 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.98 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.97 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.97 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.98 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.96 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.01 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 75 | $2.09 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $6.43 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.11 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.93 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $4.24 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.10 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.08 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.09 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.10 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.07 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.19 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.34 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 97.5 | $2.39 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $6.90 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.52 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $3.36 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $4.67 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.39 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.36 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.40 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.37 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.48 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.61 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| CV(\%) | 9\% | 6\% | 12\% | 12\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 11\% | 9\% |

Table 2.6.8.2. North Sea herring. The influence of individual data values on the 2005 assessment parameters N0 to N9, F0 to F9, SSB(1st Jan) and Mean F2-6. Source date defined by data type, year and age (MLAI 0 indicates SSB Index). The 5 most influential values are selected. The deviation as a ln(deviation) is given in a standardised format for each variable and is expressed as number of standard deviations deviation from the central value where the standard deviation is derived from the bootstrap data sets.

|  | Most influential point |  |  |  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Most influential point |  |  |  | $3^{\text {rd }}$ Most influential point |  |  |  | $4^{\text {th }}$ Most influential point |  |  |  | $5^{\text {th }}$ Most influential point |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Data | year | age | log dev | data | year | age | log dev | data | year | age | $\log$ dev | data | year | age | $\log \mathrm{dev}$ | data | year | age | log dev |
| N0 | MIK | 2004 | 0 | 3.29 | IBTS | 2005 | 1 | -0.52 | MIK | 1990 | 0 | -0.44 | MIK | 1980 | 0 | 0.25 | Catch | 2004 | 0 | -0.23 |
| N1 | IBTS | 2005 | 2 | 0.42 | Acoustic | 2004 | 1 | -0.32 | MIK | 1990 | 0 | -0.30 | MIK | 2003 | 0 | 0.21 | MIK | 1980 | 0 | 0.18 |
| N2 | MIK | 2002 | 0 | -2.24 | Catch | 2004 | 2 | 1.57 | Acoustic | 2004 | 2 | 1.16 | IBTS | 2003 | 1 | -0.74 | MLAI | 2004 | 0 | -0.41 |
| N3 | Catch | 2004 | 2 | -0.69 | MIK | 2001 | 0 | 0.61 | MLAI | 2004 | 0 | -0.40 | Acoustic | 2003 | 2 | -0.35 | MIK | 2002 | 0 | -0.33 |
| N4 | Catch | 2004 | 2 | -0.77 | MIK | 2000 | 0 | -0.76 | Catch | 2002 | 2 | -0.64 | Acoustic | 2003 | 3 | 0.52 | MLAI | 2004 | 0 | -0.49 |
| N5 | Catch | 2001 | 2 | 0.93 | Catch | 2004 | 2 | -0.53 | Acoustic | 2000 | 1 | -0.43 | MLAI | 2004 | 0 | -0.40 | Catch | 2004 | 5 | -0.38 |
| N6 | Catch | 2000 | 2 | -1.05 | Catch | 2001 | 3 | -0.49 | Catch | 2004 | 2 | -0.44 | Acoustic | 2004 | 6 | 0.36 | Catch | 2004 | 7 | -0.36 |
| N7 | Catch | 2004 | 7 | -0.79 | Catch | 2002 | 8 | -0.37 | Catch | 2000 | 2 | -0.35 | Catch | 2002 | 5 | 0.34 | MLAI | 2000 | 0 | 0.33 |
| N8 | Catch | 2002 | 8 | -0.51 | MLAI | 2000 | 0 | 0.39 | Catch | 2002 | 4 | -0.36 | Catch | 2000 | 2 | -0.29 | MLAI | 2003 | 0 | -0.22 |
| N9 | Catch | 2004 | 9 | 0.88 | Catch | 2004 | 2 | -0.56 | MIK | 2002 | 0 | -0.25 | MLAI | 2004 | 0 | -0.18 | Catch | 2002 | 4 | -0.16 |
| F0 | Catch | 2004 | 0 | -1.67 | Catch | 2004 | 2 | 1.09 | Catch | 2000 | 0 | 0.71 | MIK | 2002 | 0 | 0.71 | Acoustic | 2004 | 2 | -0.71 |
| F1 | Catch | 2002 | 1 | 1.15 | Catch | 2004 | 1 | 0.97 | Catch | 2004 | 2 | 0.88 | Acoustic | 2004 | 2 | -0.88 | Catch | 2000 | 1 | 0.85 |
| F2 | Catch | 2004 | 2 | 2.42 | MIK | 2002 | 0 | 1.11 | Catch | 2001 | 2 | 0.64 | Acoustic | 2004 | 2 | -0.63 | MLAI | 2004 | 0 | 0.51 |
| F3 | Catch | 2004 | 2 | 1.94 | MIK | 2002 | 0 | 0.88 | MLAI | 2004 | 0 | 0.60 | Catch | 2001 | 3 | -0.59 | Catch | 2003 | 3 | 0.57 |
| F4 | Catch | 2004 | 2 | 1.63 | MIK | 2002 | 0 | 0.73 | MLAI | 2004 | 0 | 0.52 | Catch | 2002 | 4 | 0.47 | Catch | 2000 | 2 | 0.42 |
| F5 | Catch | 2004 | 2 | 1.60 | MIK | 2002 | 0 | 0.72 | Catch | 2004 | 5 | -0.70 | Catch | 2002 | 5 | 0.55 | MLAI | 2004 | 0 | 0.51 |
| F6 | Catch | 2004 | 2 | 1.28 | MIK | 2002 | 0 | 0.57 | Catch | 2002 | 8 | 0.57 | Catch | 2000 | 2 | 0.50 | Catch | 2000 | 6 | 0.50 |
| F7 | Catch | 2004 | 2 | 1.06 | Catch | 2004 | 7 | -1.01 | Catch | 2002 | 8 | 0.80 | Catch | 2000 | 7 | 0.49 | MIK | 2002 | 0 | 0.47 |
| F8 | Catch | 2004 | 2 | 1.63 | MIK | 2002 | 0 | 0.73 | MLAI | 2004 | 0 | 0.52 | Catch | 2002 | 4 | 0.47 | Catch | 2000 | 2 | 0.42 |
| F9 | Catch | 2004 | 2 | 1.63 | MIK | 2002 | 0 | 0.73 | MLAI | 2004 | 0 | 0.52 | Catch | 2002 | 4 | 0.47 | Catch | 2000 | 2 | 0.42 |
| SSB | MIK | 2002 | 0 | -0.62 | MLAI | 2004 | 0 | -0.44 | MIK | 2001 | 0 | 0.34 | Acoustic | 2004 | 2 | 0.34 | MLAI | 2003 | 0 | -0.32 |
| F2-6 | Catch | 2004 | 2 | 1.89 | MIK | 2002 | 0 | 0.85 | MLAI | 2004 | 0 | 0.57 | Acoustic | 2004 | 2 | -0.46 | Catch | 2000 | 2 | 0.42 |
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Table 2.6.9.1. North Sea herring. Catchability estimates from ICA run with the MLAI survey split into 1973-1989 and 1990-2005, IBTS into 1979-1994 and 1995-2006 and MIK into 1977-1991 and 1992-2006.

MLAI1
Power model fitted. Slopes (Q) and exponents (K) at age

| Q | 2.930 | 17 | 2.247 | 4.444 | 2.655 | 3.760 | 3.208 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K | $.2979 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 17 | $.5450 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.1078 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.6440 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.9121 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.8361 \mathrm{E}-04$ |

MLAI2
Power model fitted. Slopes (Q) and exponents (K) at age

| Q | 5.389 | 17 | 4.714 | 9.324 | 5.571 | 7.889 | 6.731 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $K$ | $.8332 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 17 | $.9831 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $.1945 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $.1162 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $.1645 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $.1508 \mathrm{E}-06$ |

IBTS1: 1-5+ wr
Linear model fitted. Slopes at age :

| 1 | Q | $.1288 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 8 | $.1192 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1632 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1288 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1511 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1400 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Q | $.1788 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 15 | $.1541 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.2826 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1788 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.2436 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.2112 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 3 | Q | $.1268 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $30.9424 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.3168 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1268 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.2354 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1813 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  |
| 4 | Q | $.8451 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 43 | $.5553 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.3085 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.8451 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.2027 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1440 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 5 | Q | $.5169 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 43 | $.3397 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.1887 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.5169 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.1240 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.8810 \mathrm{E}-04$ |

Linear model fitted. Slopes at age :

| 1 | Q | $.1984 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 9 | $.1807 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.2645 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1984 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.2409 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.2197 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Q | $.1553 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 15 | $.1335 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.2472 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1553 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.2126 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1839 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 3 | Q | $.1262 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 31 | $.9367 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.3166 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1262 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.2349 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1807 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 4 | Q | $.6537 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 43 | $.4291 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.2395 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.6537 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.1572 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1116 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 5 | Q | $.2852 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 43 | $.1870 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.1048 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.2852 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.6873 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.4877 \mathrm{E}-04$ |

MIK1 0-wr
Linear model fitted. Slopes at age :
Q .2818E-05 $4.2693 E-05.3242 \mathrm{E}-05$.2818E-05 .3098E-05 .2958E-05
MIK2 0-wr
Linear model fitted. Slopes at age :
Q . 3681E-05 5 . 3495E-05 . 4318E-05 . 3681E-05 .4100E-05 . 3891E-05

Table 2.6.10.1. North Sea herring. Bias and variance from the retrospective runs over last 5 years for ICA using same procedure as last year, ICA with new weights applied to the catch and IBTS and MIK surveys truncated, and FLXSA.

|  | ICA SPALY |  | ICA, NEW WEIGHTS \& SURVEYS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRUNCATED |  |  |  |  |$\quad$ FLXSA

Table 2.6.12.1 North Sea herring. Final model fit ICA log. Note age=ringer.

where Q and K are parameters to be estimated, and e is a lognormally-distributed error.
Model for MLAI is to be A/L/P ?
Model for Acoustic survey 1-9+ wr is to be A/L/P ?
Model for IBTS: $1-5+$ wr is to be A/L/P ?
Model for MIK 0-wr is to be A/L/P ?
Fit a stock-recruit relationship (Y/N) ?
Enter the time lag in years between spawning and the stock size
of fish aged 0 years on 1 January.
This will probably be 0 unless the stock is an autumn-spawning herring
in which case it will probably be 1 years.
Enter the lag in years (rounded up)
Enter lowest feasible F
Enter highest feasible F

Mapping the F-dimension of the SSQ surface

| F | SSQ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.02 | 114.2898515193 |
| 0.12 | 37.0742013872 |
| 0.23 | 22.9607551584 |
| 0.33 | 18.6770574976 |
| 0.44 | 17.6706787397 |
| 0.54 | 18.0005553785 |
| 0.65 | 18.9500886015 |
| 0.75 | 20.2178087607 |
| 0.85 | 21.6650599371 |
| 0.96 | 23.2253002660 |
| 1.06 | 24.8686423026 |

Table 2.6.12.1 North Sea herring. Continued.

| 1.17 | 26.5889357314 |
| :---: | ---: |
| 1.27 | 28.4066191669 |
| 1.37 | 30.2077316068 |
| 1.48 | 32.0035534900 |
| 1.58 | 33.8679564328 |
| 1.69 | 35.8321285804 |
| 1.79 | 37.6558646215 |
| 1.90 | 39.4693946176 |
| 2.00 | 41.2946727268 |
| Lowest SSQ is for $\mathrm{F}=$ | 0.452 |

No of years for separable analysis : 5
Age range in the analysis : 0 . . . 9
Year range in the analysis : 1960 . . . 2005
Number of indices of SSB : 1
Number of age-structured indices : 4
Stock-recruit relationship to be fitted.
Parameters to estimate : 50
Number of observations : 398
Conventional single selection vector model to be fitted.


```
Enter estimates of the extent to which errors
in the age-structured indices are correlated
across ages. This can be in the range 0 (independence)
to 1 (correlated errors).
    Enter value for Acoustic survey 1-9+ wr--> 0.0000000000000000E+000
    Enter value for IBTS: 1-5+ wr--> 0.0000000000000000E+000
    Enter value for MIK 0-wr--> 0.0000000000000000E+000
```

Do you want to shrink the final fishing mortality (Y/N) ?-->N
Seeking solution. Please wait.
SSB index weights
0.600
Aged index weights

| Acoustic survey | $1-9+$ | wr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | $:$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

    Wts : \(\quad 0.6300 .6200 .1700 .1000 .0900 .0800 .0700 .0700 .050\)
    IBTS: 1-5+ wr
Age : $\quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5$
Wts: $\quad 0.470 \quad 0.280 \quad 0.010 \quad 0.010 \quad 0.010$
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { MIK } 0 \text {-wr } \\ \text { Age } & 0 \\ \text { Wts : } & 0.630\end{array}$
Stock-recruit weight 0.100
F in 2005 at age 4 is 0.409115 in iteration 1
Detailed, Normal or Summary output (D/N/S) --> D
Output page width in characters (e.g. 80..132) ? --> 80
Estimate historical assessment uncertainty ? --> n
Succesful exit from ICA exit from ICA

Table 2.6.12.2 North Sea herring. Final model fit ICA output. Note age=ringer
Catch in Number x $10 \wedge 6$

| AGE | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 195. | 1269. | 142. | 443. | 497. | 157. | 375. | 645. |
| 1 | 2393. | 336. | 2147. | 1262. | 2972. | 3209. | 1383. | 1674. |
| 2 | 1142. | 1889. | 270. | 2961. | 1548. | 2218. | 2570. | 1172. |
| 3 | 1967. | 480. | 797. | 177. | 2243. | 1325. | 741. | 1365. |
| 4 | 166. | 1456. | 335. | 158. | 148. | 2039. | 450. | 372. |
| 5 | 168. | 124. | 1082. | 81. | 149. | 145. | 890. | 298. |
| 6 | 113. | 158. | 127. | 230. | 95. | 152. | 45. | 393. |
| 7 | 126. | 61. | 145. | 22. | 256. | 118. | 65. | 68. |
| 8 | 129. | 56. | 86. | 42. | 26. | 413. | 96. | 82. |
| 9 | 142. | 88. | 87. | 51. | 58. | 78. | 236. | 173. |
| AGE | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| 0 | 839. | 112. | 898. | 684. | 750. | 289. | 996. | 264. |
| 1 | 2425. | 2503. | 1196. | 4379. | 3341. | 2368. | 846. | 2461. |
| 2 | 1795. | 1883. | 2003. | 1147. | 1441. | 1344. | 773. | 542. |
| 3 | 1494. | 296. | 884. | 663. | 344. | 659. | 362. | 260. |
| 4 | 621. | 133. | 125. | 208. | 131. | 150. | 126. | 141. |
| 5 | 157. | 191. | 50. | 27. | 33. | 59. | 56. | 57. |
| 6 | 145. | 50. | 61. | 31. | 5. | 31. | 22. | 16. |
| 7 | 163. | 43. | 8. | 27. | 0. | 4. | 5. | 9. |
| 8 | 14. | 27. | 12. | 0. | 1. | 1. | 2. | 3. |
| 9 | 92. | 25. | 12. | 12. | 0. | 1. | 1. | 1. |
| AGE | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| 0 | 238. | 257. | 130. | 542. | 1263. | 9520. | 11957. | 13297. |
| 1 | 127. | 144. | 169. | 159. | 245. | 872. | 1116. | 2449. |
| 2 | 902. | 45. | 5. | 34. | 134. | 284. | 299. | 574. |
| 3 | 117. | 186. | 6. | 10. | 92. | 57. | 230. | 216. |
| 4 | 52. | 11. | 5. | 10. | 32. | 40. | 34. | 105. |
| 5 | 35. | 7. | 0. | 2. | 22. | 29. | 14. | 26. |
| 6 | 6. | 4. | 0. | 0. | 2. | 23. | 7. | 23. |
| 7 | 4. | 2. | 0. | 1. | 1. | 19. | 8. | 13. |
| 8 | 1. | 1. | 0. | 1. | 0. | 6. | 4. | 11 |
| 9 | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 1. | 1. | 12. |
| AGE | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| 0 | 6973. | 4211. | 3725. | 8229. | 3165. | 3058. | 1303. | 2387. |
| 1 | 1818. | 3253. | 4801. | 6836. | 7867. | 3146. | 3020. | 2139. |
| 2 | 1146. | 1326. | 1267. | 2137. | 2233. | 1594. | 899. | 1133. |
| 3 | 441. | 1182. | 841. | 668. | 1091. | 1364. | 779. | 557. |
| 4 | 202. | 369. | 466. | 467. | 384. | 809. | 861. | 549. |
| 5 | 81. | 125. | 130. | 246. | 256. | 212. | 388. | 501. |
| 6 | 23. | 44. | 62. | 75. | 128. | 124. | 80. | 205. |
| 7 | 25. | 20. | 21. | 24. | 38. | 61. | 54. | 39. |
| 8 | 11. | 13. | 14. | 8. | 15. | 20. | 29. | 26. |
| 9 | 19. | 16. | 15. | 8. | 9. | 9. | 12. | 13. |
| AGE | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| 0 | 10331. | 10265. | 4499. | 7438. | 2311. | 431. | 260. | 1566. |
| 1 | 2303. | 3827. | 1785. | 1665. | 1606. | 480. | 978. | 304. |
| 2 | 1285. | 1176. | 1783. | 1444. | 642. | 688. | 1220. | 616. |
| 3 | 443. | 609. | 489. | 817. | 526. | 447. | 538. | 1059. |
| 4 | 362. | 306. | 348. | 232. | 172. | 285. | 276. | 294. |
| 5 | 361. | 216. | 109. | 119. | 58. | 109. | 176. | 136. |
| 6 | 376. | 226. | 92. | 55. | 23. | 31. | 89. | 69. |
| 7 | 152. | 188. | 76. | 41. | 9. | 12. | 15. | 28. |
| 8 | 39. | 87. | 70. | 69. | 17. | 19. | 17. | 10. |
| 9 | 23. | 42. | 47. | 29. | 4. | 6. | 4. | 2. |

Table 2.6.12.2 North Sea herring. Continued.

| AGE | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1105. | 1833. | 730. | 369. | 716. | 1016. |
| 1 | 1172. | 614. | 835. | 617. | 207. | 716. |
| 2 | 623. | 806. | 553. | 1204. | 439. | 355. |
| 3 | 463. | 477. | 903. | 517. | 1326. | 486. |
| 4 | 647. | 274. | 284. | 820. | 520. | 1318. |
| 5 | 213. | 312. | 133. | 243. | 726. | 480. |
| 6 | 82. | 89. | 161. | 106. | 171. | 576. |
| 7 | 36. | 37. | 46. | 120. | 101. | 115. |
| 8 | 15. | 17. | 33. | 37. | 71. | 108. |
| 9 | 2. | 2. | 7. | 8. | 22. | 39. |

Predicted Catch in Number x $10 \wedge 6$

| AGE | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2400.6 | 741.2 | 451.9 | 595.4 | 768.4 |
| 1 | 655.9 | 1291.0 | 474.9 | 311.1 | 470.9 |
| 2 | 950.5 | 495.2 | 1162.6 | 457.4 | 339.7 |
| 3 | 396.6 | 867.2 | 540.4 | 1349.3 | 592.4 |
| 4 | 269.8 | 311.5 | 818.5 | 539.3 | 1478.4 |
| 5 | 287.9 | 168.0 | 234.2 | 649.1 | 466.3 |
| 6 | 86.4 | 164.7 | 116.2 | 170.9 | 516.3 |
| 7 | 42.7 | 47.0 | 108.3 | 80.7 | 130.0 |
| 8 | 17.1 | 26.9 | 35.7 | 87.0 | 70.9 |

Weights at age in the catches ( Kg )

| AGE | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 |
| 1 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 |
| 2 | 0.12600 | 0.12600 | 0.12600 | 0.12600 | 0.12600 | 0.12600 | 0.12600 | 0.12600 |
| 3 | 0.17600 | 0.17600 | 0.17600 | 0.17600 | 0.17600 | 0.17600 | 0.17600 | 0.17600 |
| 4 | 0.21100 | 0.21100 | 0.21100 | 0.21100 | 0.21100 | 0.21100 | 0.21100 | 0.21100 |
| 5 | 0.24300 | 0.24300 | 0.24300 | 0.24300 | 0.24300 | 0.24300 | 0.24300 | 0.24300 |
| 6 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 |
| 7 | 0.26700 | 0.26700 | 0.26700 | 0.26700 | 0.26700 | 0.26700 | 0.26700 | 0.26700 |
| 8 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 |
| 9 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 |
| AGE | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| 0 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 |
| 1 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 |
| 2 | 0.12600 | 0.12600 | 0.12600 | 0.12600 | 0.12600 | 0.12600 | 0.12600 | 0.12600 |
| 3 | 0.17600 | 0.17600 | 0.17600 | 0.17600 | 0.17600 | 0.17600 | 0.17600 | 0.17600 |
| 4 | 0.21100 | 0.21100 | 0.21100 | 0.21100 | 0.21100 | 0.21100 | 0.21100 | 0.21100 |
| 5 | 0.24300 | 0.24300 | 0.24300 | 0.24300 | 0.24300 | 0.24300 | 0.24300 | 0.24300 |
| 6 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 |
| 7 | 0.26700 | 0.26700 | 0.26700 | 0.26700 | 0.26700 | 0.26700 | 0.26700 | 0.26700 |
| 8 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 |
| 9 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 |
| AGE | 1976 | 197 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.00700 | 0.01000 | 0.01000 |
| 1 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.04900 | 0.05900 | 0.05900 |
| 2 | 0.12600 | 0.12600 | 0.12600 | 0.12600 | 0.12600 | 0.11800 | 0.11800 | 0.11800 |
| 3 | 0.17600 | 0.17600 | 0.17600 | 0.17600 | 0.17600 | 0.14200 | 0.14900 | 0.14900 |
| 4 | 0.21100 | 0.21100 | 0.21100 | 0.21100 | 0.21100 | 0.18900 | 0.17900 | 0.17900 |
| 5 | 0.24300 | 0.24300 | 0.24300 | 0.24300 | 0.24300 | 0.21100 | 0.21700 | 0.21700 |
| 6 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.22200 | 0.23800 | 0.23800 |
| 7 | 0.26700 | 0.26700 | 0.26700 | 0.26700 | 0.26700 | 0.26700 | 0.26500 | 0.26500 |
| 8 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27400 | 0.27400 |
| 9 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27100 | 0.27500 | 0.27500 |

## Table 2.6.12.2 North Sea herring. Continued.

| AGE | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.01000 | 0.00900 | 0.00600 | 0.01100 | 0.01100 | 0.01700 | 0.01900 | 0.01700 |
| 1 | 0.05900 | 0.03600 | 0.06700 | 0.03500 | 0.05500 | 0.04300 | 0.05500 | 0.05800 |
| 2 | 0.11800 | 0.12800 | 0.12100 | 0.09900 | 0.11100 | 0.11500 | 0.11400 | 0.13000 |
| 3 | 0.14900 | 0.16400 | 0.15300 | 0.15000 | 0.14500 | 0.15300 | 0.14900 | 0.16600 |
| 4 | 0.17900 | 0.19400 | 0.18200 | 0.18000 | 0.17400 | 0.17300 | 0.17700 | 0.18400 |
| 5 | 0.21700 | 0.21100 | 0.20800 | 0.21100 | 0.19700 | 0.20800 | 0.19300 | 0.20300 |
| 6 | 0.23800 | 0.22000 | 0.22100 | 0.23400 | 0.21600 | 0.23100 | 0.22900 | 0.21700 |
| 7 | 0.26500 | 0.25800 | 0.23800 | 0.25800 | 0.23700 | 0.24700 | 0.23600 | 0.23500 |
| 8 | 0.27400 | 0.27000 | 0.25200 | 0.27700 | 0.25300 | 0.26500 | 0.25000 | 0.25900 |
| 9 | 0.27500 | 0.29200 | 0.26200 | 0.29900 | 0.26300 | 0.25900 | 0.28700 | 0.27100 |
| AGE | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| 0 | 0.01000 | 0.01000 | 0.00600 | 0.00900 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.02100 | 0.00900 |
| 1 | 0.05300 | 0.03300 | 0.05600 | 0.04200 | 0.01800 | 0.04400 | 0.05100 | 0.04500 |
| 2 | 0.10200 | 0.11500 | 0.13000 | 0.13000 | 0.11200 | 0.10800 | 0.11400 | 0.11500 |
| 3 | 0.17500 | 0.14500 | 0.15900 | 0.16900 | 0.15600 | 0.14800 | 0.14500 | 0.15100 |
| 4 | 0.18900 | 0.18900 | 0.18100 | 0.19800 | 0.18800 | 0.19500 | 0.18300 | 0.17100 |
| 5 | 0.20700 | 0.20400 | 0.21400 | 0.20700 | 0.20400 | 0.22700 | 0.21900 | 0.20700 |
| 6 | 0.22300 | 0.22800 | 0.24000 | 0.24300 | 0.21200 | 0.22600 | 0.23800 | 0.23300 |
| 7 | 0.23700 | 0.24400 | 0.25500 | 0.24700 | 0.26100 | 0.23500 | 0.24700 | 0.24500 |
| 8 | 0.24900 | 0.25600 | 0.27300 | 0.28300 | 0.28000 | 0.24400 | 0.28900 | 0.26100 |
| 9 | 0.28700 | 0.31000 | 0.28100 | 0.27600 | 0.28800 | 0.29100 | 0.28300 | 0.30100 |
| AGE | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |  |
| 0 | 0.01500 | 0.01200 | 0.01200 | 0.01400 | 0.01400 | 0.01100 |  |  |
| 1 | 0.03300 | 0.04800 | 0.03700 | 0.03700 | 0.03600 | 0.04400 |  |  |
| 2 | 0.11300 | 0.11700 | 0.11600 | 0.10400 | 0.09900 | 0.09900 |  |  |
| 3 | 0.15700 | 0.14900 | 0.15100 | 0.15700 | 0.13700 | 0.15300 |  |  |
| 4 | 0.17900 | 0.17700 | 0.16900 | 0.17300 | 0.18200 | 0.16600 |  |  |
| 5 | 0.20100 | 0.19700 | 0.19800 | 0.18400 | 0.20500 | 0.20800 |  |  |
| 6 | 0.21600 | 0.21200 | 0.21400 | 0.20400 | 0.22000 | 0.22200 |  |  |
| 7 | 0.24600 | 0.23700 | 0.22800 | 0.22100 | 0.22800 | 0.23900 |  |  |
| 8 | 0.27500 | 0.26700 | 0.25000 | 0.23200 | 0.24100 | 0.26600 |  |  |
| 9 | 0.26200 | 0.28600 | 0.25300 | 0.25300 | 0.26500 | 0.26500 |  |  |

Weights at age in the stock ( Kg )

| AGE | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 |
| 1 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 |
| 2 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 |
| 3 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 |
| 4 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 |
| 5 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 |
| 6 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 |
| 7 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 |
| 8 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 |
| 9 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 |
| AGE | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 19 |
| 0 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 |
| 1 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 |
| 2 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 |
| 3 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 |
| 4 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 |
| 5 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 |
| 6 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 |
| 7 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 |
| 8 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 |
| 9 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 |

## Table 2.6.12.2 North Sea herring. Continued.

| AGE | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01500 | 0.01700 |
| 1 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05000 | 0.05700 |
| 2 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15000 |
| 3 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 | 0.18700 | 0.19000 |
| 4 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 | 0.22300 | 0.23000 |
| 5 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 | 0.23900 | 0.24300 |
| 6 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 | 0.27600 | 0.28200 |
| 7 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 | 0.29900 | 0.31100 |
| 8 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 | 0.30600 | 0.33800 |
| 9 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 | 0.31200 | 0.34700 |
| AGE | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| 0 | 0.01600 | 0.01400 | 0.00900 | 0.00800 | 0.00800 | 0.01200 | 0.01100 | 0.01000 |
| 1 | 0.05600 | 0.06100 | 0.05000 | 0.04800 | 0.04400 | 0.05200 | 0.05900 | 0.06400 |
| 2 | 0.13800 | 0.13000 | 0.12200 | 0.12300 | 0.12200 | 0.12600 | 0.13900 | 0.13700 |
| 3 | 0.18700 | 0.18300 | 0.17000 | 0.16600 | 0.16500 | 0.17400 | 0.18400 | 0.19400 |
| 4 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 | 0.21200 | 0.20800 | 0.20500 | 0.21200 | 0.21200 | 0.21400 |
| 5 | 0.24700 | 0.25200 | 0.23000 | 0.22900 | 0.22800 | 0.24400 | 0.23900 | 0.23400 |
| 6 | 0.27500 | 0.27300 | 0.24200 | 0.24800 | 0.25200 | 0.27000 | 0.26500 | 0.25300 |
| 7 | 0.32100 | 0.31500 | 0.27500 | 0.25900 | 0.26100 | 0.28400 | 0.28000 | 0.27100 |
| 8 | 0.34100 | 0.33200 | 0.26800 | 0.26300 | 0.27700 | 0.29800 | 0.30000 | 0.29100 |
| 9 | 0.36500 | 0.39200 | 0.34300 | 0.32500 | 0.31500 | 0.33100 | 0.32800 | 0.31200 |
| AGE | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| 0 | 0.00600 | 0.00700 | 0.00600 | 0.00600 | 0.00500 | 0.00600 | 0.00600 | 0.00600 |
| 1 | 0.06100 | 0.06000 | 0.05700 | 0.05400 | 0.04900 | 0.04700 | 0.05100 | 0.05100 |
| 2 | 0.13400 | 0.12700 | 0.13000 | 0.13000 | 0.12300 | 0.11600 | 0.11600 | 0.11600 |
| 3 | 0.18400 | 0.19200 | 0.18600 | 0.19900 | 0.18300 | 0.18700 | 0.17900 | 0.18400 |
| 4 | 0.21300 | 0.21400 | 0.21100 | 0.22800 | 0.23000 | 0.24100 | 0.22600 | 0.22100 |
| 5 | 0.23500 | 0.24000 | 0.22400 | 0.23400 | 0.23700 | 0.26400 | 0.25600 | 0.24800 |
| 6 | 0.26200 | 0.27500 | 0.26800 | 0.27400 | 0.25700 | 0.28400 | 0.27300 | 0.27900 |
| 7 | 0.27300 | 0.29100 | 0.29300 | 0.30100 | 0.28000 | 0.28700 | 0.27600 | 0.28600 |
| 8 | 0.30200 | 0.30900 | 0.31800 | 0.32400 | 0.30300 | 0.30100 | 0.27000 | 0.28100 |
| 9 | 0.32000 | 0.33800 | 0.34600 | 0.34400 | 0.33400 | 0.34200 | 0.31800 | 0.30300 |
| AGE | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |  |
| 0 | 0.00600 | 0.00600 | 0.00700 | 0.00700 | 0.00600 | 0.00600 |  |  |
| 1 | 0.05100 | 0.04700 | 0.04700 | 0.04200 | 0.04100 | 0.03900 |  |  |
| 2 | 0.12200 | 0.12800 | 0.12300 | 0.11900 | 0.11800 | 0.12600 |  |  |
| 3 | 0.17200 | 0.17200 | 0.17300 | 0.16500 | 0.16500 | 0.15500 |  |  |
| 4 | 0.21000 | 0.20500 | 0.20200 | 0.20300 | 0.19800 | 0.19300 |  |  |
| 5 | 0.23300 | 0.22800 | 0.22200 | 0.22300 | 0.22500 | 0.23000 |  |  |
| 6 | 0.25500 | 0.24800 | 0.24200 | 0.24800 | 0.24800 | 0.25000 |  |  |
| 7 | 0.27500 | 0.27000 | 0.26600 | 0.26800 | 0.26500 | 0.25700 |  |  |
| 8 | 0.27400 | 0.28900 | 0.28500 | 0.28300 | 0.28100 | 0.27700 |  |  |
| 9 | 0.28000 | 0.27500 | 0.28300 | 0.27500 | 0.29100 | 0.28300 |  |  |

Natural Mortality (per year)

| AGE | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 2 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 |
| 3 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 |
| 4 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 5 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 6 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 7 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 8 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 9 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |

Table 2.6.12.2 North Sea herring. Continued.

| AGE | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 2 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 |
| 3 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 |
| 4 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 5 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 6 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 7 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 8 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 9 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| AGE | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| 0 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 2 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 |
| 3 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 |
| 4 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 5 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 6 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 7 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 8 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 9 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| AGE | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| 0 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 2 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 |
| 3 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 |
| 4 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 5 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 6 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 7 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 8 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 9 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| AGE | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| 0 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 2 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 |
| 3 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 |
| 4 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 5 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 6 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 7 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 8 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 9 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| AGE | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |  |
| 0 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |
| 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |
| 2 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 |  |  |
| 3 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 |  |  |
| 4 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |  |  |
| 5 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |  |  |
| 6 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |  |  |
| 7 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |  |  |
| 8 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |  |  |
| 9 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |  |  |

Table 2.6.12.2 North Sea herring. Continued.

| Proportion of fish spawning |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 |
| 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 3 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 8 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 9 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| AGE | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.8200 | 0.8200 | 0.8200 | 0.8200 |
| 3 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 8 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 9 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| AGE | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.8200 | 0.8200 | 0.8200 | 0.8200 | 0.8200 | 0.8200 | 0.8200 | 0.8200 |
| 3 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 8 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 9 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| AGE | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.8200 | 0.7000 | 0.7500 | 0.8000 | 0.8500 | 0.8200 | 0.9100 | 0.8600 |
| 3 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9300 | 0.9400 | 0.9700 | 0.9900 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 8 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 9 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| AGE | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.5000 | 0.4700 | 0.7300 | 0.6700 | 0.6100 | 0.6400 | 0.6400 | 0.6900 |
| 3 | 0.9900 | 0.6100 | 0.9300 | 0.9500 | 0.9800 | 0.9400 | 0.8900 | 0.9100 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 8 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| - | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |

Table 2.6.12.2 North Sea herring. Continued.

| AGE | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.6700 | 0.7700 | 0.8700 | 0.4300 | 0.7000 | 0.7600 |
| 3 | 0.9600 | 0.9200 | 0.9700 | 0.9300 | 0.6500 | 0.9700 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 8 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 9 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |

INDICES OF SPAWNING BIOMASS
MLAI

|  | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 13.40 | 8.10 | 2.80 | 2.50 | 6.20 | 7.50 | 14.80 | 10.20 |
|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| 1 | 15.10 | 21.70 | 27.80 | 50.40 | 76.70 | 39.90 | 69.90 | 138.90 |
|  | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| 1 | 135.20 | 175.70 | 91.60 | 43.00 | 31.00 | 21.30 | 23.90 | 46.70 |
|  | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| 1 | 59.20 | 77.90 | 64.80 | 43.00 | 134.90 | 114.40 | 282.10 | 331.20 |
|  | 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 198.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

AGE-STRUCTURED INDICES
Acoustic survey 1-9+ wr x $10 \wedge 3$

| AGE | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 999990. | 999990. | 999990. | 999990. | 999990. | 999990. | 999990. | 999990. |
| 2 | 4090. | 3306. | 2634. | 3734. | 2984. | 3185. | 3849. | 4497. |
| 3 | 3903. | 3521. | 1700. | 1378. | 1637. | 839. | 2041. | 2824. |
| 4 | 1633. | 3414. | 1959. | 1147. | 902. | 399. | 672. | 1087. |
| 5 | 492. | 1366. | 1849. | 1134. | 741. | 381. | 299. | 311. |
| 6 | 283. | 392. | 644. | 1246. | 777. | 321. | 203. | 99. |
| 7 | 120. | 210. | 228. | 395. | 551. | 326. | 138. | 83. |
| 8 | 44. | 133. | 94. | 114. | 180. | 219. | 119. | 133. |
| 9 | 22. | 43. | 51. | 104. | 116. | 131. | 93. | 206. |
| AGE | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| 1 | 9361. | 4449. | 5087. | 24736. | 6837. | 23055. | 9829. | 5184. |
| 2 | 5960. | 5747. | 3078. | 2923. | 12290. | 4875. | 18949. | 3416. |
| 3 | 2935. | 2520. | 4725. | 2156. | 3083. | 8220. | 3081. | 9192. |
| 4 | 1441. | 1625. | 1116. | 3140. | 1462. | 1390. | 4189. | 2167. |
| 5 | 601. | 982. | 506. | 1007. | 1676. | 795. | 675. | 2591. |
| 6 | 215. | 445. | 314. | 483. | 450. | 1031. | 495. | 317. |
| 7 | 46. | 170. | 139. | 266. | 170. | 244. | 568. | 328. |
| 8 | 78. | 45. | 54. | 120. | 98. | 121. | 146. | 342. |
| 9 | 159. | 121. | 87. | 97. | 59. | 149. | 178. | 186. |

Table 2.6.12.2 North Sea herring. Continued.

Acoustic survey 1-9+ wr x $10 \wedge 3$

| AGE | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3112. |
| 2 | 1890. |
| 3 | 3436. |
| 4 | 5609. |
| 5 | 1211. |
| 6 | 1172. |
| 7 | 140. |
| 8 | 126. |
| 9 | 107. |

IBTS: 1-5+ wr

| AGE | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1468.9 | 2082.4 | 2593.0 | 3733.8 | 4469.6 | 2187.0 | 1024.6 | 1180.3 |
| 2 | 169.9 | 748.1 | 820.1 | 946.3 | 4725.8 | 933.9 | 482.1 | 821.0 |
| 3 | 67.0 | 301.5 | 288.9 | 124.0 | 915.0 | 401.2 | 312.9 | 288.4 |
| 4 | 30.0 | 47.6 | 84.1 | 63.2 | 65.4 | 111.8 | 292.7 | 258.7 |
| 5 | 10.8 | 31.2 | 28.5 | 53.6 | 28.0 | 10.5 | 77.1 | 174.3 |
| AGE | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| 1 | 1204.0 | 2988.5 | 1644.3 | 1215.4 | 1728.3 | 3992.7 | 2067.1 | 714.8 |
| 2 | 410.1 | 840.8 | 1176.5 | 1263.1 | 209.0 | 526.6 | 799.7 | 456.8 |
| 3 | 195.1 | 225.1 | 214.4 | 251.0 | 46.6 | 204.1 | 96.4 | 547.8 |
| 4 | 68.5 | 46.9 | 68.4 | 33.2 | 13.5 | 42.8 | 22.0 | 109.0 |
| 5 | 109.4 | 68.6 | 43.0 | 6.2 | 9.1 | 24.3 | 20.7 | 40.3 |
| AGE | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |  |
| 1 | 3693.7 | 2508.8 | 4071.1 | 2999.9 | 979.5 | 1033.1 | 919.9 |  |
| 2 | 217.9 | 1117.2 | 654.4 | 1547.9 | 456.0 | 190.2 | 1436.4 |  |
| 3 | 159.3 | 317.4 | 306.3 | 475.2 | 759.0 | 325.6 | 385.5 |  |
| 4 | 61.5 | 98.0 | 21.9 | 345.9 | 110.9 | 402.1 | 251.7 |  |
| 5 | 8.6 | 66.2 | 19.9 | 43.9 | 141.1 | 140.3 | 338.8 |  |

MIK 0-wr

| AGE | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 200.70 | 190.10 | 101.70 | 127.00 | 106.50 | 148.10 | 53.10 | 244.00 |
| AGE | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |  |
| 0 | 137.10 | 214.80 | 161.80 | 54.40 | 47.30 | 61.30 | 83.00 |  |

Table 2.6.12.2 North Sea herring. Continued.

| AGE | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.0257 | 0.0186 | 0.0049 | 0.0148 | 0.0126 | 0.0071 | 0.0215 | 0.0256 |
| 1 | 0.2559 | 0.1294 | 0.0897 | 0.1241 | 0.3084 | 0.2461 | 0.1852 | 0.2980 |
| 2 | 0.4363 | 0.6172 | 0.2502 | 0.2975 | 0.3890 | 0.7753 | 0.5921 | 0.4222 |
| 3 | 0.3284 | 0.3526 | 0.6271 | 0.2755 | 0.4124 | 0.7389 | 0.7082 | 0.8046 |
| 4 | 0.3365 | 0.4086 | 0.4220 | 0.2271 | 0.3703 | 0.7767 | 0.5719 | 0.9244 |
| 5 | 0.2663 | 0.4010 | 0.5345 | 0.1507 | 0.3079 | 0.6601 | 0.8347 | 0.8278 |
| 6 | 0.3156 | 0.3815 | 0.8120 | 0.1819 | 0.2378 | 0.5200 | 0.3905 | 1.0101 |
| 7 | 0.6101 | 0.2525 | 0.6363 | 0.2815 | 0.2820 | 0.4567 | 0.3885 | 1.5338 |
| 8 | 0.5640 | 0.5341 | 0.5888 | 0.3358 | 0.5464 | 0.8615 | 0.7306 | 1.0701 |
| 9 | 0.5640 | 0.5341 | 0.5888 | 0.3358 | 0.5464 | 0.8615 | 0.7306 | 1.0701 |
| AGE | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| 0 | 0.0348 | 0.0082 | 0.0351 | 0.0340 | 0.0583 | 0.0462 | 0.0749 | 0.1568 |
| 1 | 0.3002 | 0.3291 | 0.2681 | 0.6021 | 0.5781 | 0.6739 | 0.4515 | 0.6878 |
| 2 | 1.3272 | 0.7844 | 0.9728 | 0.8826 | 0.8120 | 1.0219 | 1.0286 | 1.3107 |
| 3 | 1.8720 | 0.9124 | 1.2669 | 1.2148 | 0.8013 | 1.3331 | 0.9724 | 1.5042 |
| 4 | 1.0714 | 0.8741 | 1.3302 | 1.2263 | 0.7996 | 0.9876 | 0.9924 | 1.3704 |
| 5 | 1.2340 | 1.0539 | 0.8754 | 1.0842 | 0.5494 | 0.9515 | 1.1853 | 1.8719 |
| 6 | 1.1757 | 1.9009 | 1.0793 | 2.6110 | 0.5173 | 1.3776 | 1.0788 | 1.2731 |
| 7 | 1.6018 | 1.3034 | 4.1187 | 2.6988 | 0.0978 | 0.8046 | 0.7722 | 2.0348 |
| 8 | 1.6608 | 1.3308 | 1.7722 | 1.9397 | 1.0113 | 1.5391 | 1.3291 | 2.0177 |
| 9 | 1.6608 | 1.3308 | 1.7722 | 1.9397 | 1.0113 | 1.5391 | 1.3291 | 2.0177 |
| AGE | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| 0 | 0.1466 | 0.0975 | 0.0455 | 0.0837 | 0.1257 | 0.4818 | 0.3343 | 0.3995 |
| 1 | 0.2483 | 0.2969 | 0.1999 | 0.1666 | 0.1132 | 0.2853 | 0.2249 | 0.2516 |
| 2 | 1.3378 | 0.2241 | 0.0242 | 0.0946 | 0.3636 | 0.3241 | 0.2604 | 0.3020 |
| 3 | 1.4322 | 1.4064 | 0.0423 | 0.0664 | 0.4187 | 0.2753 | 0.5082 | 0.3242 |
| 4 | 1.7375 | 0.4279 | 0.1034 | 0.0933 | 0.2970 | 0.3031 | 0.2470 | 0.4363 |
| 5 | 1.5882 | 1.2023 | 0.0166 | 0.0519 | 0.2638 | 0.4124 | 0.1541 | 0.2753 |
| 6 | 1.0562 | 0.7236 | 0.0771 | 0.0124 | 0.0667 | 0.4284 | 0.1449 | 0.3440 |
| 7 | 1.4937 | 0.7156 | 0.0593 | 0.4356 | 0.1014 | 0.9546 | 0.2275 | 0.3912 |
| 8 | 1.6598 | 0.9412 | 0.1681 | 0.2259 | 0.3593 | 0.6190 | 0.4177 | 0.5061 |
| 9 | 1.6598 | 0.9412 | 0.1681 | 0.2259 | 0.3593 | 0.6190 | 0.4177 | 0.5061 |
| AGE | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| 0 | 0.2263 | 0.0852 | 0.0619 | 0.1614 | 0.1246 | 0.1304 | 0.0589 | 0.1179 |
| 1 | 0.2051 | 0.3827 | 0.3157 | 0.3723 | 0.5800 | 0.4306 | 0.4530 | 0.3082 |
| 2 | 0.3143 | 0.4042 | 0.4592 | 0.4061 | 0.3556 | 0.3983 | 0.3767 | 0.5747 |
| 3 | 0.4294 | 0.6706 | 0.5223 | 0.5052 | 0.4005 | 0.4100 | 0.3695 | 0.4543 |
| 4 | 0.5364 | 0.7369 | 0.5810 | 0.5888 | 0.5814 | 0.5553 | 0.4673 | 0.4576 |
| 5 | 0.6269 | 0.6620 | 0.5529 | 0.6148 | 0.6635 | 0.6553 | 0.4993 | 0.4832 |
| 6 | 0.3595 | 0.7290 | 0.7280 | 0.6335 | 0.6714 | 0.6993 | 0.4909 | 0.4769 |
| 7 | 0.6932 | 0.5562 | 0.8145 | 0.6053 | 0.6869 | 0.7001 | 0.6776 | 0.4207 |
| 8 | 0.6124 | 0.8529 | 0.8041 | 0.7824 | 0.8906 | 0.8198 | 0.7526 | 0.7010 |
| 9 | 0.6124 | 0.8529 | 0.8041 | 0.7824 | 0.8906 | 0.8198 | 0.7526 | 0.7010 |
| AGE | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| 0 | 0.2968 | 0.3764 | 0.2304 | 0.3218 | 0.0746 | 0.0245 | 0.0146 | 0.0370 |
| 1 | 0.3874 | 0.4222 | 0.2463 | 0.3000 | 0.2537 | 0.0448 | 0.1636 | 0.0478 |
| 2 | 0.5728 | 0.6691 | 0.6839 | 0.6010 | 0.3185 | 0.2867 | 0.2629 | 0.2541 |
| 3 | 0.4990 | 0.6409 | 0.7174 | 0.8675 | 0.4917 | 0.4100 | 0.4068 | 0.4089 |
| 4 | 0.5720 | 0.7352 | 0.9119 | 0.8700 | 0.4188 | 0.5143 | 0.4551 | 0.3863 |
| 5 | 0.5462 | 0.7095 | 0.5600 | 0.8247 | 0.4812 | 0.4535 | 0.6129 | 0.3752 |
| 6 | 0.7207 | 0.6990 | 0.6661 | 0.5441 | 0.3151 | 0.4658 | 0.7245 | 0.4603 |
| 7 | 0.6939 | 0.8755 | 0.4759 | 0.6387 | 0.1447 | 0.2422 | 0.3833 | 0.4634 |
| 8 | 0.8546 | 1.0011 | 0.8585 | 0.9305 | 0.5287 | 0.4275 | 0.5585 | 0.4227 |
| 9 | 0.8546 | 1.0011 | 0.8585 | 0.9305 | 0.5287 | 0.4275 | 0.5585 | 0.4227 |

Table 2.6.12.2 North Sea herring. Continued.

| AGE | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.0442 | 0.0429 | 0.0375 | 0.0390 | 0.0438 | 0.0572 |
| 1 | 0.0795 | 0.0755 | 0.0660 | 0.0687 | 0.0771 | 0.1007 |
| 2 | 0.2225 | 0.1437 | 0.1257 | 0.1307 | 0.1467 | 0.1916 |
| 3 | 0.3284 | 0.2288 | 0.2002 | 0.2082 | 0.2337 | 0.3052 |
| 4 | 0.4465 | 0.3068 | 0.2684 | 0.2791 | 0.3133 | 0.4091 |
| 5 | 0.4747 | 0.3245 | 0.2839 | 0.2953 | 0.3314 | 0.4328 |
| 6 | 0.3653 | 0.3175 | 0.2777 | 0.2889 | 0.3242 | 0.4234 |
| 7 | 0.4050 | 0.2911 | 0.2547 | 0.2649 | 0.2974 | 0.3883 |
| 8 | 0.4162 | 0.3068 | 0.2684 | 0.2791 | 0.3133 | 0.4091 |
| 9 | 0.4162 | 0.3068 | 0.2684 | 0.2791 | 0.3133 | 0.4091 |

Population Abundance (1 January) x 10 ^ 9

| AGE | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ | 12.09 | 108.86 | 46.27 | 47.66 | 62.79 | 34.90 | 27.86 | 40.26 |
| 1 | 16.42 | 4.33 | 39.31 | 16.94 | 17.27 | 22.81 | 12.75 | 10.03 |
| 2 | 3.70 | 4.68 | 1.40 | 13.22 | 5.51 | 4.67 | 6.56 | 3.90 |
| 3 | 7.71 | 1.77 | 1.87 | 0.81 | 7.27 | 2.76 | 1.59 | 2.69 |
| 4 | 0.61 | 4.55 | 1.02 | 0.82 | 0.50 | 3.94 | 1.08 | 0.64 |
| 5 | 0.75 | 0.39 | 2.73 | 0.60 | 0.59 | 0.31 | 1.64 | 0.55 |
| 6 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 0.24 | 1.45 | 0.47 | 0.39 | 0.15 | 0.64 |
| 7 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.10 | 1.09 | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.09 |
| 8 | 0.31 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.75 | 0.19 | 0.13 |
| 9 | 0.34 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.48 | 0.27 |
| AGE | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| 0 | 38.70 | 21.58 | 41.08 | 32.31 | 20.86 | 10.11 | 21.70 | 2.84 |
| 1 | 14.43 | 13.75 | 7.87 | 14.59 | 11.49 | 7.24 | 3.55 | 7.41 |
| 2 | 2.74 | 3.93 | 3.64 | 2.22 | 2.94 | 2.37 | 1.36 | 0.83 |
| 3 | 1.89 | 0.54 | 1.33 | 1.02 | 0.68 | 0.97 | 0.63 | 0.36 |
| 4 | 0.98 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.20 |
| 5 | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.07 |
| 6 | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| 7 | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 8 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 9 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AGE | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| 0 | 2.73 | 4.34 | 4.61 | 10.61 | 16.74 | 37.89 | 64.79 | 61.83 |
| 1 | 0.89 | 0.87 | 1.45 | 1.62 | 3.59 | 5.43 | 8.61 | 17.06 |
| 2 | 1.37 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 1.18 | 1.50 | 2.53 |
| 3 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.63 | 0.86 |
| 4 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.31 |
| 5 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| 6 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.08 |
| 7 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| 8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| 9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| AGE | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| 0 | 53.48 | 80.96 | 97.63 | 86.22 | 42.30 | 39.16 | 35.87 | 33.62 |
| 1 | 15.25 | 15.69 | 27.35 | 33.76 | 26.99 | 13.74 | 12.64 | 12.44 |
| 2 | 4.88 | 4.57 | 3.94 | 7.34 | 8.56 | 5.56 | 3.29 | 2.96 |
| 3 | 1.39 | 2.64 | 2.26 | 1.84 | 3.62 | 4.44 | 2.77 | 1.67 |
| 4 | 0.51 | 0.74 | 1.11 | 1.10 | 0.91 | 1.99 | 2.41 | 1.56 |
| 5 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 0.32 | 0.56 | 0.55 | 0.46 | 1.03 | 1.37 |
| 6 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.57 |
| 7 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| 8 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.05 |
| 9 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 |

Table 2.6.12.2 North Sea herring. Continued.
Population Abundance (1 January)

| AGE | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 62.13 | 50.21 | 33.94 | 41.66 | 50.54 | 28.18 | 28.26 | 68.01 |
| 1 | 10.99 | 16.99 | 12.68 | 9.92 | 11.11 | 17.25 | 10.12 | 10.25 |
| 2 | 3.36 | 2.75 | 4.10 | 3.65 | 2.70 | 3.17 | 6.07 | 3.16 |
| 3 | 1.23 | 1.41 | 1.04 | 1.53 | 1.48 | 1.46 | 1.76 | 3.46 |
| 4 | 0.87 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.42 | 0.53 | 0.74 | 0.79 | 0.96 |
| 5 | 0.90 | 0.44 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.40 | 0.45 |
| 6 | 0.76 | 0.47 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.20 |
| 7 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.08 |
| 8 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| 9 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| AGE | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |  |
| 0 | 40.29 | 90.19 | 31.76 | 18.63 | 21.91 | 21.78 | 26.98 |  |
| 1 | 24.11 | 14.18 | 31.79 | 11.25 | 6.59 | 7.71 | 7.57 |  |
| 2 | 3.59 | 8.19 | 4.84 | 10.95 | 3.87 | 2.24 | 2.57 |  |
| 3 | 1.82 | 2.13 | 5.26 | 3.16 | 7.12 | 2.47 | 1.37 |  |
| 4 | 1.88 | 1.07 | 1.39 | 3.52 | 2.10 | 4.61 | 1.49 |  |
| 5 | 0.59 | 1.09 | 0.71 | 0.96 | 2.41 | 1.39 | 2.77 |  |
| 6 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.71 | 0.49 | 0.65 | 1.57 | 0.82 |  |
| 7 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.49 | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.93 |  |
| 8 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.34 | 0.22 | 0.26 |  |
| 9 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.21 |  |

Weighting factors for the catches in number

| AGE | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 1 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 2 | 3.6700 | 3.6700 | 3.6700 | 3.6700 | 3.6700 |
| 3 | 2.8700 | 2.8700 | 2.8700 | 2.8700 | 2.8700 |
| 4 | 2.2300 | 2.2300 | 2.2300 | 2.2300 | 2.2300 |
| 5 | 1.7400 | 1.7400 | 1.7400 | 1.7400 | 1.7400 |
| 6 | 1.3700 | 1.3700 | 1.3700 | 1.3700 | 1.3700 |
| 7 | 1.0400 | 1.0400 | 1.0400 | 1.0400 | 1.0400 |
| 8 | 0.9400 | 0.9400 | 0.9400 | 0.9400 | 0.9400 |

Predicted SSB Index Values
MLAI

|  | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 17.19 | 11.33 | 5.19 | 4.93 | 2.82 | 4.02 | 7.11 | 8.94 |
|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| 1 | 14.12 | 21.12 | 34.94 | 58.42 | 60.43 | 58.46 | 80.64 | 111.28 |
|  | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| 1 | 117.18 | 110.31 | 88.77 | 60.82 | 38.69 | 42.16 | 37.46 | 37.02 |
|  | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| 1 | 45.84 | 63.28 | 75.79 | 77.26 | 124.74 | 157.13 | 171.13 | 178.27 |
|  | 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 165.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.6.12.2 North Sea herring. Continued.

| Pred Acou | d Age-S <br> survey | ructured $1-9+w r$ | Index Predict | alues <br> d $\times 10$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| 1 | 999990. | 999990. | 999990. | 999990. | 999990. | 999990. | 999990. | 999990. |
| 2 | 5775. | 3453. | 2788. | 3174. | 2457. | 3637. | 3388. | 2934. |
| 3 | 5669. | 3609. | 2079. | 1498. | 1579. | 1122. | 1520. | 1806. |
| 4 | 2513. | 3205. | 2089. | 1088. | 702. | 630. | 443. | 718. |
| 5 | 566. | 1382. | 1850. | 1170. | 529. | 344. | 247. | 213. |
| 6 | 312. | 295. | 778. | 917. | 570. | 244. | 182. | 131. |
| 7 | 143. | 132. | 158. | 360. | 345. | 270. | 107. | 111. |
| 8 | 45. | 72. | 69. | 86. | 159. | 152. | 137. | 63. |
| 9 | 54. | 81. | 96. | 138. | 206. | 274. | 157. | 39. |
| AGE | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| 1 | 10742. | 5899. | 6368. | 14726. | 8681. | 19558. | 6914. | 4031. |
| 2 | 3503. | 6792. | 3553. | 4112. | 9789. | 5839. | 13173. | 4611. |
| 3 | 1858. | 2254. | 4414. | 2423. | 3004. | 7528. | 4507. | 10003. |
| 4 | 959. | 1058. | 1334. | 2525. | 1552. | 2055. | 5187. | 3036. |
| 5 | 431. | 505. | 651. | 803. | 1606. | 1075. | 1439. | 3542. |
| 6 | 122. | 216. | 272. | 412. | 499. | 1091. | 739. | 965. |
| 7 | 84. | 67. | 102. | 149. | 251. | 316. | 700. | 463. |
| 8 | 86. | 58. | 47. | 69. | 110. | 199. | 253. | 548. |
| 9 | 71. | 35. | 26. | 31. | 41. | 144. | 160. | 377. |
| AGE | 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 4657. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2612. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 3342. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 6321. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1931. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 2214. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 567. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 339. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 508. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IBTS: 1-5+ wr Predicted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGE | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| 1 | 2064.3 | 2076.6 | 3650.4 | 4473.9 | 3485.4 | 1807.2 | 1658.9 | 1662.1 |
| 2 | 782.5 | 724.6 | 619.8 | 1163.0 | 1365.0 | 882.0 | 522.6 | 458.9 |
| 3 | 161.0 | 297.8 | 259.7 | 212.1 | 422.5 | 517.7 | 323.9 | 193.5 |
| 4 | 34.2 | 48.5 | 74.1 | 73.5 | 61.0 | 133.6 | 164.2 | 106.6 |
| 5 | 12.8 | 15.3 | 17.9 | 27.2 | 31.5 | 29.8 | 48.9 | 72.7 |
| AGE | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| 1 | 1454.2 | 2237.3 | 1706.9 | 1326.3 | 1494.4 | 2382.2 | 1376.0 | 1414.1 |
| 2 | 522.0 | 421.0 | 627.1 | 563.9 | 433.1 | 510.2 | 979.3 | 510.4 |
| 3 | 142.1 | 159.0 | 116.8 | 168.5 | 170.8 | 169.7 | 205.6 | 402.8 |
| 4 | 58.3 | 40.3 | 39.0 | 26.9 | 36.0 | 50.1 | 53.9 | 66.0 |
| 5 | 69.8 | 47.9 | 29.6 | 20.3 | 12.8 | 18.3 | 22.8 | 26.4 |
| AGE | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |  |
| 1 | 3314.3 | 1950.5 | 4376.8 | 1549.1 | 906.2 | 1057.7 | 1037.3 |  |
| 2 | 582.7 | 1341.6 | 794.1 | 1795.5 | 632.7 | 365.4 | 417.8 |  |
| 3 | 213.7 | 254.0 | 628.7 | 377.7 | 847.4 | 291.9 | 162.1 |  |
| 4 | 128.2 | 74.3 | 96.8 | 245.3 | 145.7 | 315.9 | 102.2 |  |
| 5 | 35.6 | 58.2 | 62.8 | 74.1 | 132.4 | 127.7 | 170.9 |  |

Table 2.6.12.2 North Sea herring. Continued.
MIK 0-wr Predicted

| AGE | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 185.47 | 148.41 | 102.17 | 123.98 | 155.10 | 87.02 | 87.39 | 209.71 |
| AGE | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |  |
| 0 | 124.14 | 277.89 | 97.93 | 57.42 | 67.50 | 66.98 | 83.00 |  |

Fitted Selection Pattern

| AGE | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.0765 | 0.0455 | 0.0115 | 0.0651 | 0.0340 | 0.0092 | 0.0375 | 0.0277 |
| 1 | 0.7603 | 0.3166 | 0.2125 | 0.5464 | 0.8329 | 0.3169 | 0.3239 | 0.3224 |
| 2 | 1.2965 | 1.5105 | 0.5929 | 1.3103 | 1.0504 | 0.9982 | 1.0353 | 0.4567 |
| 3 | 0.9757 | 0.8630 | 1.4861 | 1.2134 | 1.1136 | 0.9513 | 1.2385 | 0.8703 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 0.7912 | 0.9813 | 1.2666 | 0.6635 | 0.8313 | 0.8498 | 1.4596 | 0.8955 |
| 6 | 0.9378 | 0.9337 | 1.9243 | 0.8009 | 0.6422 | 0.6695 | 0.6829 | 1.0927 |
| 7 | 1.8128 | 0.6181 | 1.5079 | 1.2399 | 0.7616 | 0.5880 | 0.6793 | 1.6592 |
| 8 | 1.6758 | 1.3072 | 1.3954 | 1.4789 | 1.4756 | 1.1092 | 1.2775 | 1.1575 |
| 9 | 1.6758 | 1.3072 | 1.3954 | 1.4789 | 1.4756 | 1.1092 | 1.2775 | 1.1575 |
| AGE | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| 0 | 0.0325 | 0.0094 | 0.0264 | 0.0277 | 0.0729 | 0.0467 | 0.0755 | 0.1144 |
| 1 | 0.2802 | 0.3765 | 0.2015 | 0.4910 | 0.7230 | 0.6824 | 0.4550 | 0.5019 |
| 2 | 1.2388 | 0.8974 | 0.7313 | 0.7197 | 1.0155 | 1.0347 | 1.0365 | 0.9564 |
| 3 | 1.7473 | 1.0438 | 0.9524 | 0.9906 | 1.0021 | 1.3499 | 0.9799 | 1.0976 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 1.1518 | 1.2058 | 0.6581 | 0.8841 | 0.6871 | 0.9635 | 1.1944 | 1.3660 |
| 6 | 1.0973 | 2.1748 | 0.8114 | 2.1292 | 0.6469 | 1.3949 | 1.0871 | 0.9290 |
| 7 | 1.4951 | 1.4912 | 3.0962 | 2.2007 | 0.1223 | 0.8148 | 0.7781 | 1.4848 |
| 8 | 1.5501 | 1.5226 | 1.3323 | 1.5817 | 1.2647 | 1.5585 | 1.3393 | 1.4724 |
| 9 | 1.5501 | 1.5226 | 1.3323 | 1.5817 | 1.2647 | 1.5585 | 1.3393 | 1.4724 |
| AGE | 1976 | 197 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| 0 | 0.0844 | 0.2278 | 0.4399 | 0.8968 | 0.4232 | 1.5899 | 1.3532 | 0.9157 |
| 1 | 0.1429 | 0.6939 | 1.9329 | 1.7855 | 0.3811 | 0.9413 | 0.9107 | 0.5766 |
| 2 | 0.7700 | 0.5238 | 0.2339 | 1.0140 | 1.2241 | 1.0693 | 1.0540 | 0.6921 |
| 3 | 0.8243 | 3.2867 | 0.4091 | 0.7121 | 1.4098 | 0.9083 | 2.0575 | 0.7429 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 0.9141 | 2.8098 | 0.1604 | 0.5566 | 0.8881 | 1.3609 | 0.6238 | 0.6310 |
| 6 | 0.6079 | 1.6910 | 0.7452 | 0.1329 | 0.2245 | 1.4137 | 0.5867 | 0.7883 |
| 7 | 0.8597 | 1.6723 | 0.5732 | 4.6693 | 0.3415 | 3.1500 | 0.9209 | 0.8965 |
| 8 | 0.9553 | 2.1995 | 1.6254 | 2.4214 | 1.2098 | 2.0425 | 1.6909 | 1.1600 |
| 9 | 0.9553 | 2.1995 | 1.6254 | 2.4214 | 1.2098 | 2.0425 | 1.6909 | 1.1600 |
| AGE | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| 0 | 0.4219 | 0.1157 | 0.1066 | 0.2741 | 0.2144 | 0.2348 | 0.1260 | 0.2576 |
| 1 | 0.3824 | 0.5193 | 0.5432 | 0.6323 | 0.9976 | 0.7755 | 0.9692 | 0.6736 |
| 2 | 0.5860 | 0.5485 | 0.7903 | 0.6897 | 0.6117 | 0.7172 | 0.8061 | 1.2560 |
| 3 | 0.8006 | 0.9101 | 0.8990 | 0.8581 | 0.6890 | 0.7384 | 0.7906 | 0.9927 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 1.1689 | 0.8984 | 0.9516 | 1.0443 | 1.1413 | 1.1801 | 1.0685 | 1.0560 |
| 6 | 0.6702 | 0.9892 | 1.2530 | 1.0759 | 1.1548 | 1.2594 | 1.0504 | 1.0421 |
| 7 | 1.2924 | 0.7548 | 1.4018 | 1.0280 | 1.1815 | 1.2608 | 1.4499 | 0.9194 |
| 8 | 1.1419 | 1.1575 | 1.3839 | 1.3289 | 1.5319 | 1.4762 | 1.6104 | 1.5320 |
| 9 | 1.1419 | 1.1575 | 1.3839 | 1.3289 | 1.5319 | 1.4762 | 1.6104 | 1.5320 |

Table 2.6.12.2 North Sea herring. Continued.

| AGE | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.5188 | 0.5120 | 0.2526 | 0.3699 | 0.1782 | 0.0476 | 0.0321 | 0.0958 |
| 1 | 0.6773 | 0.5743 | 0.2701 | 0.3448 | 0.6057 | 0.0871 | 0.3594 | 0.1238 |
| 2 | 1.0014 | 0.9101 | 0.7500 | 0.6908 | 0.7605 | 0.5575 | 0.5776 | 0.6578 |
| 3 | 0.8724 | 0.8718 | 0.7866 | 0.9972 | 1.1740 | 0.7971 | 0.8940 | 1.0587 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 0.9550 | 0.9651 | 0.6141 | 0.9479 | 1.1490 | 0.8818 | 1.3468 | 0.9715 |
| 6 | 1.2600 | 0.9507 | 0.7304 | 0.6254 | 0.7523 | 0.9057 | 1.5919 | 1.1918 |
| 7 | 1.2132 | 1.1908 | 0.5218 | 0.7341 | 0.3455 | 0.4708 | 0.8423 | 1.1998 |
| 8 | 1.4941 | 1.3617 | 0.9414 | 1.0696 | 1.2623 | 0.8311 | 1.2273 | 1.0944 |
| 9 | 1.4941 | 1.3617 | 0.9414 | 1.0696 | 1.2623 | 0.8311 | 1.2273 | 1.0944 |
| AGE | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0990 | 0.1397 | 0.1397 | 0.1397 | 0.1397 | 0.1397 |  |  |
| 1 | 0.1780 | 0.2461 | 0.2461 | 0.2461 | 0.2461 | 0.2461 |  |  |
| 2 | 0.4984 | 0.4683 | 0.4683 | 0.4683 | 0.4683 | 0.4683 |  |  |
| 3 | 0.7355 | 0.7460 | 0.7460 | 0.7460 | 0.7460 | 0.7460 |  |  |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |
| 5 | 1.0633 | 1.0578 | 1.0578 | 1.0578 | 1.0578 | 1.0578 |  |  |
| 6 | 0.8181 | 1.0349 | 1.0349 | 1.0349 | 1.0349 | 1.0349 |  |  |
| 7 | 0.9071 | 0.9490 | 0.9490 | 0.9490 | 0.9490 | 0.9490 |  |  |
| 8 | 0.9321 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |
| 9 | 0.9321 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |

Table 2.6.12.3 North Sea herring. STOCK SUMMARY


No of years for separable analysis : 5
Age range in the analysis : 0 . . . 9
Year range in the analysis : 1960 . . . 2005
Number of indices of SSB : 1
Number of age-structured indices : 3
Stock-recruit relationship to be fitted.
Parameters to estimate : 45
Number of observations : 398
Conventional single selection vector model to be fitted.

Table 2.6.12.4 North Sea herring. Model fit parameters, residuals and diagnostics.

## PARAMETER ESTIMATES



Age-structured index catchabilities
Acoustic survey 1-9+ wr
Linear model fitted. Slopes at age :

| 29 | 1 | $Q$ | 1.106 | 8 | 1.015 | 1.441 | 1.106 | 1.322 | 1.214 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30 | 2 | $Q$ | 1.525 | 6 | 1.435 | 1.841 | 1.525 | 1.732 | 1.628 |
| 31 | 3 | $Q$ | 1.785 | 11 | 1.593 | 2.535 | 1.785 | 2.262 | 2.024 |
| 32 | 4 | $Q$ | 1.814 | 15 | 1.564 | 2.863 | 1.814 | 2.469 | 2.141 |
| 33 | 5 | $Q$ | 1.863 | 16 | 1.593 | 3.016 | 1.863 | 2.580 | 2.221 |
| 34 | 6 | $Q$ | 1.885 | 17 | 1.596 | 3.148 | 1.885 | 2.666 | 2.276 |
| 35 | 7 | $Q$ | 1.752 | 18 | 1.466 | 3.037 | 1.752 | 2.541 | 2.147 |
| 36 | 8 | $Q$ | 2.031 | 18 | 1.698 | 3.528 | 2.031 | 2.950 | 2.491 |
| 37 | 9 | $Q$ | 5.505 | 21 | 4.465 | 10.50 | 5.505 | 8.515 | 7.011 |

## Table 2.6.12.4 North Sea herring. Continued.

IBTS1: 1-5+ wr
Linear model fitted. Slopes at age :

| 38 | 1 | $Q$ | $.1573 E-03$ | 6 | $.1481 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1894 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1573 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1783 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1678 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 39 | 2 | Q | $.1731 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 7 | $.1603 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.2193 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1731 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.2031 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1881 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 40 | 3 | Q | $.1257 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 41 | $.8428 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.4317 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1257 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.2894 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.2080 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 41 | 4 | Q | $.7300 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 41 | $.4893 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.2507 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.7300 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.1680 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.1208 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 42 | 5 | Q | $.3663 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 41 | $.2454 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.1258 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $.3663 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.8432 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $.6062 \mathrm{E}-04$ |

MIK 0-wr
Linear model fitted. Slopes at age :
$430 \quad \mathrm{Q} .3510 \mathrm{E}-05 \quad 7.3279 \mathrm{E}-05.4331 \mathrm{E}-05.3510 \mathrm{E}-05.4045 \mathrm{E}-05$. $3778 \mathrm{E}-05$
Parameters of the stock-recruit relationship
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}44 & 1 & \mathrm{a} & .6321 \mathrm{E}+08 & 22 & .5101 \mathrm{E}+08 & .1224 \mathrm{E}+09 & .6321 \mathrm{E}+08 & .9880 \mathrm{E}+08 & .8102 \mathrm{E}+08 \\ 45 & 1 & \mathrm{~b} & .4499 \mathrm{E}+06 & 44 & .2930 \mathrm{E}+06 & .1689 \mathrm{E}+07 & .4499 \mathrm{E}+06 & .1100 \mathrm{E}+07 & .7772 \mathrm{E}+06\end{array}$
RESIDUALS ABOUT THE MODEL FIT
Separable Model Residuals

| Age | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | -0.2699 | -0.0148 | -0.2024 | 0.1839 | 0.2789 |
| 1 | -0.0655 | -0.4354 | 0.2617 | -0.4090 | 0.4183 |
| 2 | -0.1643 | 0.1105 | 0.0354 | -0.0416 | 0.0452 |
| 3 | 0.1856 | 0.0406 | -0.0443 | -0.0173 | -0.1987 |
| 4 | 0.0157 | -0.0926 | 0.0015 | -0.0374 | -0.1146 |
| 5 | 0.0802 | -0.2320 | 0.0356 | 0.1123 | 0.0288 |
| 6 | 0.0335 | -0.0215 | -0.0902 | 0.0016 | 0.1091 |
| 7 | -0.1309 | -0.0154 | 0.1064 | 0.2262 | -0.1210 |
| 8 | 0.0081 | 0.2143 | 0.0374 | -0.2014 | 0.4206 |

SPAWNING BIOMASS INDEX RESIDUALS
MLAI

|  | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.2489 | -0.3353 | -0.6171 | -0.6783 | 0.7862 | 0.6229 | 0.7333 | 0.1316 |
|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| 1 | 0.0671 | 0.0269 | -0.2285 | -0.1477 | 0.2384 | -0.3819 | -0.1429 | 0.2217 |
|  | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| 1 | 0.1430 | 0.4655 | 0.0314 | -0.3468 | -0.2215 | -0.6827 | -0.4495 | 0.2322 |
|  | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| 1 | 0.2558 | 0.2079 | -0.1566 | -0.5860 | 0.0783 | -0.3174 | 0.4998 | 0.6194 |
|  | 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.1804 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.6.12.4 North Sea herring. Continued.
AGE-STRUCTURED INDEX RESIDUALS
Acoustic survey 1-9+ wr

| Age | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | -0.345 | -0.044 | -0.057 | 0.163 | 0.194 | -0.133 | 0.128 | 0.427 |
| 3 | -0.373 | -0.025 | -0.201 | -0.084 | 0.036 | -0.291 | 0.295 | 0.447 |
| 4 | -0.431 | 0.063 | -0.064 | 0.053 | 0.250 | -0.457 | 0.417 | 0.415 |
| 5 | -0.140 | -0.012 | -0.001 | -0.031 | 0.337 | 0.101 | 0.192 | 0.376 |
| 6 | -0.098 | 0.285 | -0.189 | 0.307 | 0.309 | 0.274 | 0.110 | -0.281 |
| 7 | -0.174 | 0.465 | 0.369 | 0.092 | 0.470 | 0.190 | 0.254 | -0.286 |
| 8 | -0.013 | 0.610 | 0.304 | 0.287 | 0.121 | 0.365 | -0.140 | 0.748 |
| 9 | -0.896 | -0.632 | -0.627 | -0.281 | -0.576 | -0.739 | -0.525 | 1.660 |
| Age | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| 1 | -0.138 | -0.282 | -0.225 | 0.519 | -0.239 | 0.164 | 0.352 | 0.252 |
| 2 | 0.531 | -0.167 | -0.144 | -0.341 | 0.228 | -0.180 | 0.364 | -0.300 |
| 3 | 0.457 | 0.111 | 0.068 | -0.117 | 0.026 | 0.088 | -0.380 | -0.085 |
| 4 | 0.407 | 0.430 | -0.179 | 0.218 | -0.060 | -0.391 | -0.214 | -0.337 |
| 5 | 0.333 | 0.665 | -0.253 | 0.227 | 0.043 | -0.302 | -0.757 | -0.313 |
| 6 | 0.568 | 0.723 | 0.142 | 0.159 | -0.103 | -0.056 | -0.401 | -1.113 |
| 7 | -0.599 | 0.927 | 0.314 | 0.579 | -0.388 | -0.257 | -0.208 | -0.347 |
| 8 | -0.096 | -0.253 | 0.137 | 0.557 | -0.116 | -0.497 | -0.555 | -0.472 |
| 9 | 0.811 | 1.228 | 1.217 | 1.128 | 0.359 | 0.038 | 0.106 | -0.708 |
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IBTS1: 1-5+ wr

| Age | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.340 | 0.003 | -0.342 | -0.181 | 0.249 | 0.191 | -0.482 | -0.342 |
| 2 | -1.527 | 0.032 | 0.280 | -0.206 | 1.242 | 0.057 | -0.081 | 0.582 |
| 3 | -0.877 | 0.012 | 0.107 | -0.537 | 0.773 | -0.255 | -0.034 | 0.399 |
| 4 | -0.132 | -0.020 | 0.126 | -0.151 | 0.069 | -0.178 | 0.578 | 0.887 |
| 5 | -0.171 | 0.716 | 0.463 | 0.680 | -0.117 | -1.042 | 0.455 | 0.875 |
| Age | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| 1 | -0.189 | 0.290 | -0.037 | -0.087 | 0.145 | 0.516 | 0.407 | -0.682 |
| 2 | -0.241 | 0.692 | 0.629 | 0.806 | -0.729 | 0.032 | -0.203 | -0.111 |
| 3 | 0.317 | 0.347 | 0.607 | 0.398 | -1.299 | 0.185 | -0.757 | 0.307 |
| 4 | 0.162 | 0.151 | 0.562 | 0.210 | -0.982 | -0.158 | -0.896 | 0.501 |
| 5 | 0.450 | 0.359 | 0.374 | -1.188 | -0.341 | 0.285 | -0.097 | 0.424 |
| Age | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |  |
| 1 | 0.108 | 0.252 | -0.072 | 0.661 | 0.078 | -0.024 | -0.120 |  |
| 2 | -0.984 | -0.183 | -0.193 | -0.148 | -0.328 | -0.653 | 1.235 |  |
| 3 | -0.294 | 0.223 | -0.719 | 0.230 | -0.110 | 0.109 | 0.867 |  |
| 4 | -0.735 | 0.277 | -1.486 | 0.344 | -0.273 | 0.241 | 0.901 |  |
| 5 | -1.420 | 0.128 | -1.148 | -0.524 | 0.064 | 0.094 | 0.684 |  |

Table 2.6.12.4 North Sea herring. Continued.
MIK 0-wr

| Age | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.0789 | 0.2476 | -0.0046 | 0.0240 | -0.3759 | 0.5317 | -0.4983 | 0.1515 |
| Age | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |  |
| 0 | 0.0993 | -0.2575 | 0.5021 | -0.0541 | -0.3557 | -0.0886 | 0.0000 |  |

PARAMETERS OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF $\ln (C A T C H E S ~ A T ~ A G E)$

| Separable model fitted from 2001 to 2005 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Variance | 0.0514 |
| Skewness test stat. | 0.3071 |
| Kurtosis test statistic | 0.6286 |
| Partial chi-square | 0.0834 |
| Significance in fit | 0.0000 |
| Degrees of freedom | 20 |

PARAMETERS OF DISTRIBUTIONS OF THE SSB INDICES DISTRIBUTION STATISTICS FOR MLAI

Power catchability relationship assumed
Last age is a plus-group

| Variance | 0.1027 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Skewness test stat. | 0.3167 |
| Kurtosis test statistic | -0.9120 |
| Partial chi-square | 1.4291 |
| Significance in fit | 0.0000 |
| Number of observations | 33 |
| Degrees of freedom | 31 |
| Weight in the analysis | 0.6000 |

PARAMETERS OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE AGE-STRUCTURED INDICES DISTRIBUTION STATISTICS FOR Acoustic survey 1-9+ wr

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Variance 0.0671 | 0.0490 | 0.0102 | 0.0098 | 0.0111 | 0.0160 | 0.0209 | 0.0151 | 0.0424 |
| Skewness test stat 0.4112 | 0.7032 | 0.5172 | 0.0903 | -0.4229 | -1.2915 | -1.2001 | -0.5000 | 0.5704 |
| Kurtosis test ti -0.8393 | -0.8663 | -0.3431 | -1.1081 | -0.1619 | 0.4846 | 0.5956 | -0.4216 | -0.8378 |
| Partial chi-square 0.0338 | 0.0513 | 0.0111 | 0.0113 | 0.0130 | 0.0194 | 0.0272 | 0.0202 | 0.0607 |
| Significance in fit0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Number of observations 9 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Degrees of freedom 8 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Weight in the anal 0.6300 | 0.6200 | 0.1700 | 0.1000 | 0.0900 | 0.0800 | 0.0700 | 0.0700 | 0.0500 |

DISTRIBUTION STATISTICS FOR IBTS1: 1-5+ wr
Linear catchability relationship assumed

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | 0.0491 | 0.1226 | 0.0030 | 0.0034 | 0.0044 |
| Variance | -0.0086 | -0.0445 | -1.2835 | -1.5175 | -1.6315 |
| Skewness test stat. | -0.2940 | 0.0957 | -0.1345 | 0.3488 | -0.3940 |
| Kurtosis test statisti | 0.1443 | 0.4182 | 0.0122 | 0.0174 | 0.0274 |
| Partial chi-square | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Significance in fit | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Number of observations | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| Degrees of freedom | 0.4700 | 0.2800 | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | 0.0100 |

DISTRIBUTION STATISTICS FOR MIK 0-wr
Linear catchability relationship assumed
Age
0
Variance
0.0553

Skewness test stat. 0.2577
Kurtosis test statisti -0.4088
Partial chi-square 0.1677
Significance in fit 0.0000
Number of observations 15
Degrees of freedom 14
Weight in the analysis 0.6300

Table 2.6.12.4 North Sea herring. Continued.

| ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variance |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SSQ | Data | Parameters | d.f. | Variance |
| Total for model | 92.7966 | 398 | 45 | 353 | 0.2629 |
| Catches at age | 1.4317 | 45 | 25 | 20 | 0.0716 |
| SSB Indices |  |  |  |  |  |
| MLAI | 5.3071 | 33 | 2 | 31 | 0.1712 |
| Aged Indices |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acoustic survey 1-9+ wr | 31.6156 | 145 | 9 | 136 | 0.2325 |
| IBTS1: 1-5+ wr | 35.6595 | 115 | 5 | 110 | 0.3242 |
| MIK 0-wr | 1.2290 | 15 | 1 | 14 | 0.0878 |
| Stock-recruit model | 17.5537 | 45 | 2 | 43 | 0.4082 |
| Weighted Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variance |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SSQ | Data | Parameters | d.f. | Variance |
| Total for model | 5.8451 | 398 | 45 | 353 | 0.0166 |
| Catches at age | 1.0282 | 45 | 25 | 20 | 0.0514 |
| SSB Indices |  |  |  |  |  |
| MLAI | 1.9105 | 33 | 2 | 31 | 0.0616 |
| Aged Indices |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acoustic survey 1-9+ wr | 0.9778 | 145 | 9 | 136 | 0.0072 |
| IBTS1: 1-5+ wr | 1.2653 | 115 | 5 | 110 | 0.0115 |
| MIK 0-wr | 0.4878 | 15 | 1 | 14 | 0.0348 |
| Stock-recruit model | 0.1755 | 45 | 2 | 43 | 0.0041 |

Table 2.7.1 Input file for the short term prediction with MFSP

```
North sea herring 2006
2006
0}
4
F ref. age for each fleet
126
2 0 1
301
4 0 1
Two age ranges for overall F
0 1
2 6
Init numbers
\begin{tabular}{rr}
0 & 26980 \\
1 & 7570 \\
2 & 2570 \\
3 & 1370 \\
4 & 1490 \\
5 & 2770 \\
6 & 820 \\
7 & 930 \\
8 & 260 \\
9 & 210
\end{tabular}
recruitments
23169
23169
selection by age and fleet
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
0 & 0.00002 & 0.05170 & 0.00064 & 0.00479 \\
1 & 0.00595 & 0.05147 & 0.02456 & 0.01870 \\
2 & 0.10579 & 0.00000 & 0.06244 & 0.02335 \\
3 & 0.29503 & 0.00000 & 0.00781 & 0.00235 \\
4 & 0.40744 & 0.00000 & 0.00147 & 0.00019 \\
5 & 0.43060 & 0.00000 & 0.00193 & 0.00021 \\
6 & 0.42171 & 0.00000 & 0.00143 & 0.00024 \\
7 & 0.38664 & 0.00000 & 0.00093 & 0.00067 \\
8 & 0.40849 & 0.00000 & 0.00061 & 0.00000 \\
9 & 0.40910 & 0.00000 & 0.00000 & 0.00000
\end{tabular}
natmor at age
0 1.0
11.0
2 0.3
3 0.2
4 0.1
50.1
0.1
70.1
0.1
0.1
weca2006
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr}
0 & 0.079 & 0.012 & 0.021 & 0.020 \\
1 & 0.080 & 0.029 & 0.060 & 0.036 \\
2 & 0.122 & 0.039 & 0.071 & 0.070 \\
3 & 0.156 & 0.123 & 0.115 & 0.102 \\
4 & 0.178 & 0.135 & 0.145 & 0.138 \\
5 & 0.186 & 0.168 & 0.163 & 0.167 \\
6 & 0.216 & 0.171 & 0.183 & 0.166 \\
7 & 0.230 & 0.197 & 0.206 & 0.201 \\
8 & 0.247 & 0.205 & 0.191 & 0.196 \\
9 & 0.261 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{tabular}
```

Table 2.7.1 Cont: Input file for the short term prediction with MFSP

| weca 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ | 0.079 | 0.012 | 0.021 | 0.020 |
| 1 | 0.080 | 0.029 | 0.060 | 0.036 |
| 2 | 0.122 | 0.039 | 0.071 | 0.070 |
| 3 | 0.156 | 0.123 | 0.115 | 0.102 |
| 4 | 0.178 | 0.135 | 0.145 | 0.138 |
| 5 | 0.200 | 0.168 | 0.163 | 0.167 |
| 6 | 0.201 | 0.171 | 0.183 | 0.166 |
| 7 | 0.230 | 0.197 | 0.206 | 0.201 |
| 8 | 0.247 | 0.205 | 0.191 | 0.196 |
| 9 | 0.261 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| west 2006 |  |  |  |  |
| 00.006 |  |  |  |  |
| 10.039 |  |  |  |  |
| 20.126 |  |  |  |  |
| 30.155 |  |  |  |  |
| 40.193 |  |  |  |  |
| 50.214 |  |  |  |  |
| 60.250 |  |  |  |  |
| 70.257 |  |  |  |  |
| 80.277 |  |  |  |  |
| 90.283 |  |  |  |  |
| west 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| 00.006 |  |  |  |  |
| 10.039 |  |  |  |  |
| 20.126 |  |  |  |  |
| 30.155 |  |  |  |  |
| 40.193 |  |  |  |  |
| 50.230 |  |  |  |  |
| 60.233 |  |  |  |  |
| 70.257 |  |  |  |  |
| 80.277 |  |  |  |  |
| 90.283 |  |  |  |  |
| west 2008 |  |  |  |  |
| 00.006 |  |  |  |  |
| 10.039 |  |  |  |  |
| 20.126 |  |  |  |  |
| 30.155 |  |  |  |  |
| 40.193 |  |  |  |  |
| 50.230 |  |  |  |  |
| 60.250 |  |  |  |  |
| 70.239 |  |  |  |  |
| 80.277 |  |  |  |  |
| 90.283 |  |  |  |  |
| maturity 2006 |  |  |  |  |
| 00 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 20.73 |  |  |  |  |
| 30.95 |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |
| 51 |  |  |  |  |
| 61 |  |  |  |  |
| 71 |  |  |  |  |
| 81 |  |  |  |  |
| 91 |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.7.1 Cont: Input file for the short term prediction with MFSP maturity 2007
00
10
20.73
30.95

41
51
61
71
81
91
maturity 2008
00
10
20.76
30.97

41
51
61
71
81
91
Proportion of $F$ and $M$ before spawning 0.670 .67

Table 2.7.2. Management options for North Sea herring.
The numbers in italics are assumed, the others are derived.
Intermediate year - TAC constraint:

| F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | $\mathbf{F}_{0.1}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{2.6}$ | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | SSB2006 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.346 | 0.066 | 0.016 | 0.013 | 0.098 | 0.371 | 513.0 | 21.8 | 22.9 | 9.1 | 1327.0 |

Intermediate year - F- constraint:

| F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | $\mathbf{F}_{0.1}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{2.6}$ | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | SSB2006 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.332 | 0.052 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.079 | 0.352 | 496.3 | 17.2 | 18.2 | 8.5 | 1343.3 |

Prediction year (all with TAC constraint)

| F-values by fleet and total |  |  |  |  |  | Catches by fleet |  |  |  | SSB2007 | SSB2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | $\mathrm{F}_{0-1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{26}$ | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 |  |  |
| Catches as in 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.443 | 0.066 | 0.016 | 0.012 | 0.098 | 0.467 | 512.9 | 21.8 | 22.9 | 9.1 | 1037.3 | 920.7 |
| Reduce F for A fleet to get SSB in 2007 at 1.3 mill. t |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.130 | 0.040 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.063 | 0.147 | 177.5 | 13.4 | 16.0 | 8.6 | 1301.3 | 1507.9 |
| 0.130 | 0.040 | 0.016 | 0.017 | 0.074 | 0.156 | 177.2 | 13.4 | 24.0 | 12.9 | 1296.0 | 1488.2 |
| Harvest control rule: F on adults 0.25 , F on juveniles 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.232 | 0.096 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.120 | 0.250 | 300.0 | 31.5 | 16.0 | 8.6 | 1209.0 | 1271.2 |
| 0.223 | 0.085 | 0.016 | 0.017 | 0.120 | 0.250 | 289.1 | 27.8 | 24.0 | 12.9 | 1211.8 | 1273.6 |
| Harvest control rule: F on adults 0.25 , F on juveniles 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.232 | 0.026 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.050 | 0.250 | 300.3 | 8.9 | 16.0 | 8.6 | 1208.9 | 1286.3 |
| 0.223 | 0.015 | 0.016 | 0.017 | 0.050 | 0.250 | 289.6 | 5.1 | 24.0 | 12.9 | 1211.7 | 1288.1 |
| Harvest control rule: F on adults 0.20 , F on juveniles 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.183 | 0.027 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.050 | 0.200 | 242.2 | 9.1 | 16.0 | 8.6 | 1253.0 | 1390.3 |
| 0.174 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.017 | 0.050 | 0.200 | 231.1 | 5.3 | 24.0 | 12.9 | 1255.9 | 1393.3 |
| Applying the 15\% TAC constraint rule in 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.313 | 0.040 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.065 | 0.331 | 387.8 | 13.4 | 16.0 | 8.6 | 1141.1 | 1134.1 |
| 0.314 | 0.100 | 0.016 | 0.01 | 0.137 | 0.341 | 387.9 | 32.6 | 24.0 | 12.9 | 1135.3 | 1102.4 |

Herring catches 2005, 1st Quarter


Figure 2.1.1: Herring catches in the North Sea (in tonnes) in 2005 by statistical rectangle. Working group estimates (if available). a.: 1st quarter

## Herring catches 2005, 2nd Quarter



Figure 2.1.1: Herring catches in the North Sea (in tonnes) in 2005 by statistical rectangle. Working group estimates (if available). b.: 2nd quarter

Herring catches 2005, 3rd Quarter


Figure 2.1.1: Herring catches in the North Sea (in tonnes) in 2005 by statistical rectangle. Working group estimates (if available). c.: 3rd quarter

Herring catches 2005, 4th Quarter


Figure 2.1.1.: Herring catches in the North Sea (in tonnes) in 2005 by statistical rectangle. Working group estimates (if available). d.: 4th quarter

Herring catches 2005, All Quarters


Figure 2.1.1: Herring catches in the North Sea (in tonnes) in 2005 by statistical rectangle. Working group estimates (if available). $e$ : all quarters


Figure 2.2.1: Proportions of age groups (numbers) in the total catch of herring in the North Sea (upper, 1960 - 2005, and middle panel, 1980 - 2005), and in the total catch of North Sea autumn spawners in 2005 (lower panel).


Figure 2.2.2: Mean vertebrae counts of 2 (upper number), 3 (middle) and 4+ herring (lower) in the North Sea and Div. IIIa as obtained by Norwegian sampling in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter 2005. The transfer area (Western Baltic spring spawners transferred to the assessment of IIIa herring) is indicated.


Figure 2.3.1.1 Herring in the North Sea. Herring survey area layouts and dates for all participating vessels in the 2005 acoustic survey of the North Sea and adjacent areas.


Figure 2.3.1.2 Herring in the North Sea. Autumn spawning herring abundance from combined acoustic survey July 2005. Numbers (millions) (upper figure), and biomass (thousands of tonnes) (lower figure)



Figure 2.3.1.4 Herring in the North Sea. Mean weight \& maturity of Autumn spawning herring from combined acoustic survey June - July 2005. Four values per ICES rectangle, percentage mature (lower), 2 wr (left), 3 wr (right), mean weights gram (upper), 1 wr (left), 2 wr (right), 0 indicates measured percentage mature, + indicates surveyed with zero abundance blank indicates an unsurveyed rectangle


Figure 2.3.1.5 Herring in the North Sea. Abundance of mature autumn-spawning herring from combined acoustic survey July 2005. Numbers of herring, (dark areas indicate higher density).


Figure 2.3.1.6 Herring in the North Sea. Abundance of immature autumn spawning herring from combined acoustic survey July 2005. Numbers of herring.(dark areas indicate higher density)


Figure 2.3.2.1: North Sea autumn spawners. Orkney/Shetlands 16-30 September 2005. Abundance of larvae $<10 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\mathbf{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$


Figure 2.3.2.2: North Sea autumn spawners. Buchan 16-30 September 2005. Abundance of larvae $<10 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$


Figure 2.3.2.3: North Sea autumn spawners. Central North Sea 16-30 September 2005. Abundance of larvae $<10 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\mathbf{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$


Figure 2.3.2.4: North Sea autumn spawners. Southern North Sea 16-31 December 2005. Abundance of larvae $<11 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$


Figure 2.3.2.5: North Sea autumn spawners. Southern North Sea 1-15 January 2006. Abundance of larvae < $11 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{m}^{2}\right)$


Figure 2.3.2.6: North Sea autumn spawners. Southern North Sea 16-31 January 2006. Abundance of larvae $<11 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\mathbf{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$





Figure 2.3.2.7: North Sea autumn spawners. Larval Abundance Index time-series for a collection of areas and sampling periods (Orkney/Shetlands 2nd half of September top left panel, Buchan 2nd half of September top right, central North Sea lower left, southern North Sea lower right. Due to historic reasons the abundance in the CNS is given as the mean of three surveys and in the SNS as the sum of three).


Figure 2.3.2.8: North Sea autumn spawners. Comparison of spawning stock size estimates from the Herring Assessment Working Group (ICES, 2005; bold line) and the year effects fitted to the larval abundances in the multiplicative model (symbols with error bars). The MLAI estimates have been rescaled to the mean of the WG estimates. Error bars indicate $+/$ - one standard error of larval survey abundance estimates. Note the $\log y$ axis.
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1-ringers Yearclass 2003
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$-3-2-106123456789101112$ Longitude

Figure 2.3.3.1. North Sea herring. Distribution of 1-ringer herring, year classes 2002-2004. Abundance estimates of 1-ringers within each statistical rectangle are based on GOV catches during IBTS in February 2004-2006. Areas of filled circles illustrate numbers per hour, the area of a circle extending to the border of a rectangle represents $45000 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$.

Mean length 1-ringers from IBTS 2006


Figure 2.3.3.2. North Sea herring. Mean length (mm) of 1-ringer herring caught during
IBTS $1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter
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Figure 2.3.3.3. North Sea herring. Distribution of 0 -ringer herring, year classes 2003-2005. Abundance estimates of $\mathbf{0}$-ringers within each statistical rectangle are based on MIK catches during IBTS in February 2004-2006. Areas of filled circles illustrate densities in no $\mathbf{m}^{-2}$, the area of a circle extending to the border of a rectangle represents $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{m}^{-2}$


Figure 2.3.3.4 North Sea herring. Absolute (no ${ }^{*} 10^{9}$ ) and relative abundance of 0 -ringers in the area west of $2^{\circ} E$ in the North Sea. Abundances are based on MIK sampling during IBTS, the relative abundance in the western part is estimated as the number of 0 -ringers west of $2^{\circ} E$ relative to total number of 0-ringers


Figure 2.5.1 North Sea herring. Relationship between indices of 0-ringers and 1-ringers for year classes 1977 to 2004. The 2004 relation is indicated by a circle.


Figure 2.5.2 North Sea herring. Time series of 0-ringer and 1-ringer indices. Year classes 1976 to 2005 for 0-ringers, year classes 1977-2004 for 1-ringers.


Figure 2.5.3. North Sea herring. Trend in recruitment of 1-ringers from year class 1958 to 2004. Data from the 2006 ICA assessment of the North Sea autumn spawned herring.


Figure 2.6.2.1. North Sea herring. Log ratios for the catch data and the Acoustic survey indices along cohort.


Figure 2.6.3.1. North Sea herring. EXPLORATORY SURBA stock summary. Mean Z ages 2-6, SSB, total stock biomass and recruitment. Confidence limits are +/- 2 standard deviation.


Figure 2.6.3.2. North Sea herring. Comparison of EXPLORATORY SURBA with ICA (using same procedure as last year). Recruitment and SSB are presented as mean standardized for comparison between both models.


Figure 2.6.3.3. North Sea herring. EXPLORATORY SURBA retrospective analysis. Mean Z at ages 2-6, SSB, total stock biomass and recruitment.


Figure 2.6.4.1. North Sea herring. CSA. Sum of squares profile for the catchabilities ratio $\boldsymbol{s}=\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{r}} / \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{f}}$.


Figure 2.6.4.2. North Sea herring. Recruits as estimated by CSA (solid line) and ICA 2005 WG.


Figure 2.6.4.3. North Sea herring. CSA estimated stock total biomass (thick line), $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles (dotted lines) and 2005 ICA WG estimate of total biomass (thin line).


Figure 2.6.4.4. North Sea herring. CSA estimate of recruits multiplied by the estimated survey index catchability and MIK estimates.


Figure 2.6.4.5. North Sea herring. CSA estimated $1+$ multiplied by the model estimated catchability of the fully recruited and Acoustics 1+.


Figure 2.6.4.6. North Sea herring. CSA. Recruits log-residuals from the model fit to the MIK index.


Figure 2.6.4.7. North Sea herring. CSA. Log-residuals from the model fit to the aggregated acoustics index of numbers of fish aged 1 and older.


Figure 2.6.4.8. North Sea herring. CSA. Estimated number of recruits for a range of values of natural mortality (M).


Figure 2.6.4.9. North Sea herring. CSA. Estimated total stock biomass for a range of natural mortality values (M).


Figure 2.6.4.10. North Sea herring. CSA. Retrospective analysis, total biomass.


Figure 2.6.4.11. North Sea herring. CSA. Retrospective analysis, recruits numbers.


Figure 2.6.5.1. North Sea herring. Comparison of EXPLORATORY FLXSA v0.2 with ICA (using same procedure as last year): recruitment, SSB, mean F ages 2-6 and mean F ages 0-1.


Figure 2.6.5.2. North Sea herring. EXPLORATORY FLXSA v0.2 permutation runs; Mean $\mathbf{F}$ ages 2-6, SSB and recruitment. In total 16 assessments have been run with changing the following settings for the XSA model:

Fse- shrinkage set at:
Plus group set at:
Q age, catchability independent of age, for ages >=
0.5 (high) and 2.0 (low)

7 and 9
4 and 6
$R$ age, catchability independent on
stock size for ages smaller than:
-1 and 3


Figure 2.6.5.3. North Sea herring. EXPLORATORY FLXSA v0.2, comparison of the assessment run using all three age structured tuning indices with single survey assessments: recruitment, SSB and mean F ages 2-6. Note that XSA cannot use the MLAI survey.


Figure 2.6.6.1a. North Sea herring. An example of the spatial distribution of stations and values for the spatial and seasonal coverage of the Larvae survey. Area / period 2: the second coverage of the Orkney Shetland area (circles are on a log scale) See Table 2.3.2.3 for definitions of periods and areas.


Figure 2.6.6.1b. North Sea herring. An example of the spatial distribution of stations and values for the spatial and seasonal coverage of the Larvae survey. Area / period 10: the second coverage of the area IVc (circles are on a log scale). See Table 2.3.2.3 for definitions of periods and areas.


Figure 2.6.6.2. North Sea herring. The spatial distribution of stations and values for the spatial coverage of the MIK 0wr survey. Circles indicate catch rates per unit of surface area and are on a linear scale.


Figure 2.6.6.3a. North Sea herring. The spatial distribution of stations and values for the spatial coverage of the IBTS $2 w r$ survey. Circles indicate catch rates per unit of surface area and are on a linear scale.


Figure 2.6.6.3b. North Sea herring. The spatial distribution of stations and values for the spatial coverage of the IBTS 4 wr survey. Circles indicate catch rates per unit of surface area and are on a linear scale.


Figure 2.6.6.4a. North Sea herring. The spatial distribution of stations and values for the spatial coverage of the Acoustic 2wr immature group survey, note the high abundance of immature 2wr herring in 2003, the slow growing 2000 yearclass. Circles indicate catch rates per unit of surface area and are on a linear scale. (The immature component is used to estimate the maturity ogive used in the assessment)


Figure 2.6.6.4b. North Sea herring. The spatial distribution of stations and values for the spatial coverage of the Acoustic survey 2wr mature. Circles indicate catch rates per unit of surface area and are on a linear scale. (The mature component is used to estimate the maturity ogive used in the assessment)


Figure 2.6.6.4c. North Sea herring. The spatial distribution of stations and values for the spatial coverage of the Acoustic 4wr survey. Circles indicate catch rates per unit of surface area and are on a linear scale.


Figure 2.6.6.5 North Sea herring. Multiplicative Larvae Abundance Index (MLAI) used as an SSB index, box and whisker plot derived from bootstrap of station data.


Figure 2.6.6.6. North Sea herring. Methot Issacs Kidd (MIK) Owr recruitment index, box and whisker plot derived from bootstrap of station data.


Figure 2.6.6.7. North Sea herring. International Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS) 1-5 wr indices, box and whisker plot derived from bootstrap of station data.


Figure 2.6.6.8. North Sea herring. ICES Coordinated Acoustic Survey 1-9+ wr indices, box and whisker plot derived from bootstrap of estimates at age by stat rectangle data.


Figure 2.6.6.9. North Sea herring. Acoustic Survey 1-9+ wr mean weights, box and whisker plot derived from bootstrap of estimates at age by stat rectangle data.

## Acoustic Age 2



Acoustic Age 3


Figure 2.6.6.10 North Sea herring. ICES Coordinated Acoustic Survey 2 and 3 wr fraction mature, box and whisker plot derived from bootstrap of estimates at age by stat rectangle data.





Figure 2.6.7.1. North Sea herring. Variance of log survey abundance at age by year (a) MLAI SSB Index, (b) MIK 0wr survey, (c) IBTS 1-5wr survey (d) Acoustic 1-9wr


Figure 2.6.8.1. North Sea herring. Results of assessments using FLXSA with 2005 settings using bootstrap data for all surveys, weights at age, maturities at age and catch at age. (SSB refers to SSB at $1^{\text {st }}$ of January and should not be confused with as SSB at spawning time which is used for management and includes the proportion of $F$ and $M$ in the year before spawning. )


Figure 2.6.8.2. North Sea herring. Results of assessments using FLICA with 2005 settings using bootstrap data for all surveys, weights at age, maturities at age and catch at age. (SSB refers to SSB at $1^{\text {st }}$ of January and should not be confused with as SSB at spawning time which is used for management and includes the proportion of $F$ and $M$ in the year before spawning. )


Figure 2.6.8.3. North Sea herring. Variability in the FLXSA assessments ( 2005 settings) due to data variability and a small range of model settings (see Section 2.6.5), These model changes make no significant difference to the assessment. (SSB refers to SSB at $1^{\text {st }}$ of January and should not be confused with as SSB at spawning time which is used for management and includes the proportion of $F$ and $M$ in the year before spawning. )


Figure 2.6.8.4. North Sea herring. Variability in the FLICA/FLXSA assessments due to data variability and a small range of model settings (last $\mathbf{4}$ years in the assessment SSB $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Jan, mean F ages 2-6, Recruitment age 0). Panel 12005 settings all data (spaly) Panel 2-5 individual tuning series (MLAI, MIK, IBTS, Acoustic), Panel 6-9 Separable model settings ( $4-6$ years 0.9 to 1.1 F on oldest age) Panel $10-11$, ICA and XSA compared with same data (MLAI removed), Panel 12 new weighting factors. (SSB refers to SSB at $1^{\text {st }}$ of January and should not be confused with as SSB at spawning time which is used for management and includes the proportion of $F$ and $M$ in the year before spawning. )




Figure 2.6.8.5 North Sea herring. Variability in the FLICA/FLXSA assessments due to data variability and a small range of model settings (last year in the assessment SSB $1^{\text {st }}$ Jan, mean $F$ ages 2-6, Recruitment age 0). From left to right:- (1) 2005 settings all data (spaly); (2-5) individual tuning series (MLAI, MIK, IBTS, Acoustic); (6-9) Separable model settings (4-6 years 0.9 to 1.1 F on oldest age); ( 10 - 11) ICA and XSA compared with same data (MLAI removed), (12) new weighting factors. (SSB refers to SSB at $1^{\text {st }}$ of January and should not be confused with as SSB at spawning time which is used for management and includes the proportion of $F$ and $M$ in the year before spawning )


Figure 2.6.8.6 Variability in ICA assessment due to input data. Box and whisker plots of assessments with 390 individual data points removed one at a time from the tuning series and the catch at age in the separable period (last 5 years). (SSB refers to SSB at $1^{\text {st }}$ of January and should not be confused with as SSB at spawning time which is used for management and includes the proportion of $F$ and $M$ in the year before spawning )

|  | Catch sep period | Acoustic | IBTS | MIK | MLAI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 2.6.9.1 North Sea herring. ICA residuals plots for catch separable period, acoustic survey, IBTS, MIK and MLAI from SPALY (top panels), new weights applied to the catch and surveys (middle panels) and new weights applied in combination with truncation of the IBTS (1984-2006) and the MIK (1992-2006, lower panels).

|  | SPALY, old weights | new weights | new weights, surveys truncated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| \# 0 0 0 4 |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\varrho}{\stackrel{\sim}{\bullet}}$ |  |  |  |

Figure 2.6.9.2 North Sea herring. Weighted ICA residuals plots for catch separable period, acoustic survey and IBTS, from SPALY (left panels), new weights applied to the catch and surveys (middle panels) and new weights applied in combination with truncation of the IBTS (1984-2006) and the MIK (1992-2006, right panels).


Figure 2.6.9.3. North Sea herring. Plot of the MLAI estimated index using model coefficients from the assessments against measured index for 1) 2005 assessment, and 2) MLAI split into two separate time series 1973-1990 and 1991 to 2005. The earlier series fits differently but this is due to the absence of high values only seen in recent years. The later series differs by $6 \%$ from the full series which is not thought to be significant.


Figure 2.6.10.1. North Sea herring. ICA. Retrospective plots for SPALY (left panels), new weights applied to the catch and surveys (middle panels) and new weights applied in combination with the IBTS truncated to 1984-2006 and the MIK truncated to 1992-2006 (left panels): recruitment, SSB and mean F ages 2-6. Bias and variance are calculated over the last 5 years.


Figure 2.6.10.2. North Sea herring. ICA. Retrospective plots for single survey assessments using same procedure as last year: recruitment, SSB and mean $\mathbf{F}$ ages 2-6. Bias and variance are calculated over the last 5 years.


Figure 2.6.10.3. North Sea herring. EXPLORATORY FLXSA v0.2. Retrospective plots for mean F ages 2-6, SSB and recruitment.




Figure 2.6.12.1. North Sea herring. Stock summary of the FINAL ICA assessment: recruitment, SSB and mean $F$ on ages 2-6 (line with dots) and ages 0-1 (line without dots).


Figure 2.6.12.2. North Sea herring. SSQ surface for the deterministic calculation of the 5 -year separable period.

SSBx1 - MLAI larvae survey,
Agex1 - age disaggregated acoustic estimates
Agex2 - age disaggregated IBTS estimates
Agex3 - age disaggregated MIK net estimates


Figure 2.6.12.3. North Sea herring. Illustration of selection patterns diagnostics, from deterministic calculation (5-year separable period). Top left, a contour plot of selection pattern residuals. Top right, estimated selection (relative to 4-ringers) +/- standard deviation. Bottom, marginal totals of residuals by year and ring (with weights applied).


Figure 2.6.12.4. North Sea herring. Illustration of residuals from deterministic calculation (5-year separable period). Diagnostics of the fit of the predicted SSB against the SSB MLAI survey. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the index observations and estimated catchability (triangles +/- standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatter plot and fitted relationship of abundance from fitted populations of 1 -ringers in acoustic surveys. Bottom, residuals, as $\ln (o b s e r v e d ~ i n d e x) ~-~ \boldsymbol{l n}(e x p e c t e d ~ i n d e x) ~ p l o t t e d ~ a g a i n s t ~$ expected values and against time.


Figure 2.6.12.5. North Sea herring. Illustration of residuals from deterministic calculation (5year separable period). Diagnostics of the fit of the 1 -ring index against the acoustic surveys. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the index observations and estimated catchability (triangles $+/-$ standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatter plot and fitted relationship of abundance from fitted populations of 2-ringers in acoustic surveys. Bottom, residuals, as $\ln (o b s e r v e d ~ i n d e x) ~-~ l n(e x p e c t e d ~ i n d e x) ~ p l o t t e d ~ a g a i n s t ~$ expected values and against time.


Figure 2.6.12.6. North Sea herring. Illustration of residuals from deterministic calculation (5-year separable period). Diagnostics of the fit of the 2-ring index against the acoustic surveys. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the index observations and estimated catchability (triangles +/- standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatter plot and fitted relationship of abundance from fitted populations of 3 -ringers in acoustic surveys. Bottom, residuals, as $\ln ($ observed index) - $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l n }}(\operatorname{expected}$ index) plotted against expected values and against time.


Figure 2.6.12.7. North Sea herring. Illustration of residuals from deterministic calculation (5year separable period). Diagnostics of the fit of the 3-ring index against the acoustic surveys. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the index observations and estimated catchability (triangles $+/-$ standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatter plot and fitted relationship of abundance from fitted populations of 3-ringers in acoustic surveys. Bottom, residuals, as $\ln$ (observed index) - $\ln$ (expected index) plotted against expected values and against time.


Figure 2.6.12.8. North Sea herring. Illustration of residuals from deterministic calculation (5year separable period). Diagnostics of the fit of the 4 ring index against the acoustic surveys. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the index observations and estimated catchability (triangles +/- standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatter plot and fitted relationship of abundance from fitted populations of 5 ringers in acoustic surveys. Bottom, residuals, as $\ln ($ observed index) - $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l n }}(\operatorname{expected}$ index) plotted against expected values and against time.


Figure 2.6.12.9. North Sea herring. Illustration of residuals from deterministic calculation (5year separable period). Diagnostics of the fit of the 5 ring index against the acoustic surveys. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the index observations and estimated catchability (triangles $+/-$ standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatter plot and fitted relationship of abundance from fitted populations of 5 ringers in acoustic surveys. Bottom, residuals, as $\ln ($ observed index) - $\ln ($ expected index) plotted against expected values and against time.


Figure 2.6.12.10. North Sea herring. Illustration of residuals from deterministic calculation (5year separable period). Diagnostics of the fit of the 6 ring index against the acoustic surveys. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the index observations and estimated catchability (triangles +/- standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatter plot and fitted relationship of abundance from fitted populations of 5 ringers in
 expected values and against time.


Figure 2.6.12.11. North Sea herring. Illustration of residuals from deterministic calculation (5year separable period). Diagnostics of the fit of the 7 ring index against the acoustic surveys. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the index observations and estimated catchability (triangles $+/-$ standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatter plot and fitted relationship of abundance from fitted populations of 5 ringers in acoustic surveys. Bottom, residuals, as $\ln$ (observed index) - $\ln (e x p e c t e d ~ i n d e x) ~ p l o t t e d ~ a g a i n s t ~$ expected values and against time.


Figure 2.6.12.12. North Sea herring. Illustration of residuals from deterministic calculation (5year separable period). Diagnostics of the fit of the 8 ring index against the acoustic surveys. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the index observations and estimated catchability (triangles $+/-$ standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatter plot and fitted relationship of abundance from fitted populations of 5 ringers in acoustic surveys. Bottom, residuals, as $\ln (o b s e r v e d ~ i n d e x) ~-~ l n(e x p e c t e d ~ i n d e x) ~ p l o t t e d ~ a g a i n s t ~$ expected values and against time.


Figure 2.6.12.13. North Sea herring. Illustration of residuals from deterministic calculation (5year separable period). Diagnostics of the fit of the 9 ring index against the acoustic surveys. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the index observations and estimated catchability (triangles +/- standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatter plot and fitted relationship of abundance from fitted populations of 5 ringers in acoustic surveys. Bottom, residuals, as $\ln ($ observed index) - $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l n }}(\mathbf{e x p e c t e d}$ index) plotted against expected values and against time.


Figure 2.6.12.14. North Sea herring. Illustration of residuals from deterministic calculation (5year separable period). Diagnostics of the fit of the 1 ring index against the IBTS survey. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the index observations and estimated catchability (triangles +/- standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatter plot and fitted relationship of abundance from fitted populations of 9 ringers in
 expected values and against time.


Figure 2.6.12.15. North Sea herring. Illustration of residuals from deterministic calculation (5year separable period). Diagnostics of the fit of the 2 ring index against the IBTS survey. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the index observations and estimated catchability (triangles +/- standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatter plot and fitted relationship of abundance from fitted populations of 9 ringers in
 expected values and against time.


Figure 2.6.12.16. North Sea herring. Illustration of residuals from deterministic calculation (5year separable period). Diagnostics of the fit of the 3 ring index against the IBTS survey. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the index observations and estimated catchability (triangles +/- standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatter plot and fitted relationship of abundance from fitted populations of 9 ringers in
 expected values and against time.


Figure 2.6.12.17. North Sea herring. Illustration of residuals from deterministic calculation (5year separable period). Diagnostics of the fit of the 4 ring index against the IBTS survey. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the index observations and estimated catchability (triangles +/- standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatter plot and fitted relationship of abundance from fitted populations of 9 ringers in
 expected values and against time.


Figure 2.6.12.18. North Sea herring. Illustration of residuals from deterministic calculation (5year separable period). Diagnostics of the fit of the 5 ring index against the IBTS survey 19841994. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the index observations and estimated catchability (triangles +/- standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatter plot and fitted relationship of abundance from fitted populations of 9 ringers in acoustic surveys. Bottom, residuals, as $\ln (o b s e r v e d ~ i n d e x) ~-~ \boldsymbol{l n}(\operatorname{expected}$ index) plotted against expected values and against time.


Figure 2.6.12.19. North Sea herring. Illustration of residuals from deterministic calculation (5year separable period). Diagnostics of the fit of the 0 ring index against the MIK surveys. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the index observations and estimated catchability (triangles $+/-$ standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatter plot and fitted relationship of abundance from fitted populations of 9 ringers in acoustic surveys. Bottom, residuals, as $\ln (o b s e r v e d ~ i n d e x) ~-~ \boldsymbol{n}($ expected index) plotted against expected values and against time.


Figure


Figure 2.8.1. A) Probability of SSB below Bpa (1300000 t) and B) Probablity of SSB below Blim ( 800,000 t). The probability is expressed as the percentage probability by year. The three scenarios with low recruitment detailed in section 2.8 are evaluated: Current simple HCR excluding the $15 \%$ rule, the HCR including the $15 \%$ rule, the HCR with $15 \%$ overfishing. In A) a scenario with historic recruitment is included for comparison.




Figure 2.8.2 Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB) median, quartiles, upper and lower intervals for three scenarios. (See section 2.8)



Figure 2.8.3 Risk of SSB being below Bpa in 2017 with recent recruitment for different exploitation rates (F2-6) of the adult fisheries for two levels of juvenile fishery (F0-1) A ) at 0.4 and B) at 0.12.


Figure 2.10.1 Uncertainty in the assessment in the terminal year; SSB verses F2-6 from bootstrap of parameter residuals based on variance covariance method in ICA (small points). For comparison the terminal $F$ and SSB values if the three main tuning indices are used alone. Acoustic (triangle) IBTS (circle) MLAI(square).




Figure 2.10.2 Historic uncertainty at $10,25,50,75,90$ percentiles estimated by bootstrap of parameter residuals based on variance covariance method in ICA.


Figure 2.10.3 Cohort historic retrospectives for yearclasses from 1994 to 2004.


Figure 2.11.1. North Sea herring. Comparison of TACs for total North Sea and IVc and VIId.


Figure 2.11.2. Herring in IVc and VIId. Comparison of historical catches and TACs.


Figure 2.11.3 North Sea herring. Comparison between the population profiles from the log catch numbers at age data ( $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter, 1996 - 2004) for divisions IVa - IVb and IVc - VIId.


Figure 2.11.4. North Sea herring. Estimated total mortality ( $Z$ on the $y$-axis) for year-classes 1992 to 1999 from the slope of the log-catch numbers for ages 3 to 8 in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter. Comparison between the northern (IVa - IVb, triangles) and southern North Sea (IVc - VIId, diamonds). The dash lines correspond to one standard error.


Figure 2.11.5. Downs herring. Index (nos per hr) of small ( $<13 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) 1-ringers in the North Sea and proportion of small 1-ringers versus all sizes in the North Sea (from Table 2.3.3.3)


Figure 2.11.6. Downs herring. Larval Abundance Index (LAI) in the Channel area (line), calculated as the sum of surveys per year class 1975-2005, and preliminary MIK survey results in the Channel (bars) (early spring 1995-2005) (there were no data available in 1996 and 2001 and the estimate for 2005 should be treated with extreme caution because it is driven by a single large catch).


Figure 2.11.7 North Sea herring. North Sea and Downs component SSBs estimated from larval production.


Figure 2.11.8. North Sea herring. Downs to entire North Sea catch proportion (as a percentage, left y-axis) and IVc - VIId to whole of North Sea larval SSBs ratios smoothed by taking a 3-year running average.

## 3 Herring in Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 [update assessment]

### 3.1 The Fishery

### 3.1.1 ACFM advice and management applicable to 2005 and 2006

At the ACFM (May) meeting in 2005, it was stated that the status of the stock is unknown relative to safe biological limits, because reference points have not been determined. Although the assessment is uncertain SSB has been stable over a number of years. Fishing mortality estimates for 2004 are 0.36 for adults and 0.11 for the juveniles ( 0 - and 1-ringers).

ACFM recommended that since the current fishing mortality has lead to a stable or increased SSB, the fishing mortality should not be allowed to increase. This would correspond to catches in 2006 less than 95000 t . According to the recent geographic distribution of catches, approximately half of the total catches should be taken from Subdivisions 22-24.

In 2005 the EU and Norway agreement on herring TACs were 96000 t in Division IIIa for the human consumption fleet and a by-catch ceiling of 24150 t to be taken in the small mesh fishery.

The EU and Norway agreement on a herring TACs set for 2006 was 81600 t in Division IIIa for the human consumption fleet and a by-catch ceiling of 20528 t to be taken in the small mesh fishery.

In previous years the International Baltic Sea Fishery Commission (IBSFC) set no special TAC for Subdivisions 22-24. In 2006, a TAC was set for the first time on the Western Baltic area the stock component. The TAC for 2006 was set at 47500 t .

### 3.1.2 Catches in 2005

Herring caught in Division IIIa are a mixture of North Sea Autumn Spawners (NSAS) and Western Baltic Spring Spawners (WBSS). This Section gives the landings of both NSAS and WBSS, but the stock assessment applies only to the spring spawners.

Landings from 1985 to 2005 are given in Table 3.1.1. In 2005 the total landings increased to 113300 t in Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24, resulting in a landing figure for 2005 slightly below the average for the recent 5 years, though higher than 2004. In 2005, 21100 t were taken in the Kattegat, about 48,500 t from the Skagerrak and 43700 t from Subdivisions 22-24. The Danish national management regime for herring and sprat fishery in Subdivision 22 was changed in 2002. It should be noted that the total landings for fishery in Skagerrak have been updated for 1995-2001 because of Norwegian misreporting of landings taken in the North Sea and reported to Skagerrak.

The German landings in 2005 were slightly higher than observed in 2004. The overall fishing pattern changed in the last few years. Until 2000 the dominant part of herring was caught in the passive fishery by gillnets and trapnets around the Rügen Island. Since 2001 the activities in the trawl fishery increased. Recently the landings by trawl reached a level of more than 50 \% of the total landings (2003: 63 \%, 2004: $52 \%$ and 2005: $57 \%$ ). The change in fishing pattern was caused by new requirements for a new fish factory on Rügen Island. This factory expects to process 50000 t per year and started during autumn 2003.

In 2005 the landing data are calculated by fleet according to the fleet definitions used when setting TACs.

The fleet definitions used since 1998 are:

- Fleet C: directed fishery for herring in which trawlers (with 32 mm minimum mesh size) and purse seiners participate.
- Fleet D: All fisheries in which trawlers (with mesh sizes less than 32 mm ) and small purse seiners, fishing for sprat along the Swedish coast and in the Swedish fjords, participate. For most of the landings taken by this fleet, herring is landed as by-catch. Danish and Swedish by-catches of herring from the sprat fishery and the Norway pout and blue-whiting fisheries are listed under fleet D.
- Fleet F: Landings from Subdivisions 22-24. Most of the catches are taken in a directed fishery for herring and some as by-catch in a directed sprat fishery.

In Table 3.1.2 the landings are given for 2001 to 2004 in thousands of tonnes by fleet (as defined by HAWG) and quarter.

## Investigation of new Danish fleet/metier description

A descriptive analysis of the Danish fleet dynamics during the last decade, in terms of the distribution of herring catches over fleets and at the overall activity of the vessels targeting herring in IIIa was performed in the IAMHERSKA (Improved advice for the mixed herring stocks in the Skagerrak and Kattegat (ICES Division IIIa)) project (see also section 1.4.12 and Ulrich-Rescan and Andersen 2006 WD 1 to the present report). The definition of fleet and metier followed the proposed STECF fleet definition which is different than the definition above, where the fleets correspond to metiers according to the STECF definition. For the descriptive analysis of the Danish fleet dynamics during the last decade, the fisheries identified in Ulrich and Andersen (2004) was modified accordingly, to get as much consistency with the previous HAWG work. Fisheries were identified using a 3 -steps method using multivariate analysis of landings profile (target species) and trips descriptors (mesh size, season, and area). The data were based on logbook data and though considerable misreporting is suspected to take place between Division IIIa and the North Sea, the geographical patterns described below is believed to illustrate the fishery behaviour in general terms.

Figure 3.1.1 illustrates the distribution of Danish herring landings in Division IIIa by vessel type and homeport (fleet) in 2004. From this 4 fleets were identified and Figure 3.1.2 shows the Distribution of herring landings by fleet over selected years:

1) OTB_NSSK: trawlers from North Sea and Skagerrak harbours (Skagen included). This fleet is referred to as the Northern fleet.
2 ) PSB_NSSK: purse-seines from North Sea and Skagerrak harbours.
3 ) OTB_KAWB: trawlers from North Sjælland and Western Baltic (Subdivisions 22-24) harbours. This fleet is referred to as the Southern fleet.
4 ) OTH: all other vessels recorded for having caught herring in Division IIIa at least once a year. Given its low importance, this fleet is not kept further in the analysis.

Figure 3.1.3 displays the time series of number of vessel by vessel length class, their average catches of herring in Division IIIa, and the proportion of effort they spent in the various metiers.

The general dynamics of the Danish herring activities in Division IIIa can be summed up as the following points:

- During the first half of the nineties, the activity was relatively local. The fleets were mostly fishing in their immediate waters. For some of the vessels mainly participating in the small meshed fisheries the fishery for herring for the human consumption was a minor but stable activity.
- The second half of the nineties was a period of extension. Both the Southern and Northern trawling fleets extended their activity to the Baltic, and decreased meanwhile their industrial activities in the Kattegat and Skagerrak, which induced reduced by-catches of herring. In the same period, the large purse seines (most of the vessels are polyvalent) increased significantly their geographical mobility, with a majority of their effort being spent outside the traditional Danish fishing grounds in the North Sea and Division IIIa as they participated in fishery for blue whiting and Norwegian spring spawning herring.


### 3.2 Biological composition of the catch

Table 3.2.1 and Table 3.2.2 show the total catch (autumn- and spring-spawners) in numbers and mean weight-at-age in the catch for herring by quarter and fleet landed from Skagerrak and Kattegat, respectively. The total numbers and mean weights-at-age for herring landed from the Kattegat, Skagerrak and Subdivisions 22-24 are shown in Table 3.2.3.

The level of sampling of the commercial landings was generally acceptable. One exception is the total lack of Danish samples from the Danish landings of 4900 t in $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter in the Skagerrak (Table 3.2.4). It is, however, not clear to the working group whether these landings were actual landings or due to miss-reporting. In the remaining cases of missing samples the corresponding landings were minor. Where sampling was missing in areas and quarters on national landings, sampling from either other nations or adjacent areas and quarters were used to estimate catch in numbers and mean weight-at-age (Table 3.2.5).

Based on the proportions of spring- and autumn-spawners in the landings (Table 3.2.6 and see Section 3.2.2 for more details) catches were split between NSAS and WBSS. A common mean weight-at-age was used for both stocks.

The total numbers and mean weight-at-age of the WBSS and NSAS landed from Kattegat, Skagerrak, and IIIa respectively was then estimated by quarter and fleet (Table 3.2.7-3.2.12).

The total catch (SOP) of the WBSS taken in the North Sea+IIIa in 2005 were estimated to be 44645 t , and has thereby increased compared to 35078 t in 2004 and 37994 t in 2003 (Table 3.2.13). This increase in catch (SOP) was mainly due to an increase in the estimated number of 2 - and 4 -ringers. The estimated number of 1-ringers in fact decreased considerably between 2004 and 2005.

Furthermore, the total catches (SOP) of WBSS from the North Sea, IIIa, and Subdivisions 2224 respectively, by quarter, was estimated for 2005 (Table 3.2.14). Additionally, the total catches of WBSS in numbers and tonnes (SOP), divided between the North Sea+IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 respectively for 1991-2005, was estimated (Tables 3.2.15 and 3.2.16)

Catches (SOP) of WBSS from Subdivisions 22-24 have remained rather stable for the last three years at about 40000 t (Table 3.2.16).

The total catch (SOP) of NSAS in IIIa+Subdivisions 22-24 amounted to 31927 t in 2005, and thereby it has increased compared to 24214 t in 2004 and 32498 t in 2003. The increase relative to 2004 was mainly due to an increase in 1-ringers (Table 3.2.17).

### 3.2.1 Quality of Catch Data and Biological Sampling Data

Misreporting of fishing area still occurs. There is uncertainty about where the Danish landings for human consumption, reported from Division IIIa were actually taken. There is a high probability that these catches have been taken in the North Sea. Therefore, some of these catches have been transferred to the North Sea. The same problem of mis-reporting is likely to have existed also for the Norwegian landings between 1995 and 2005 (except for 2004 where
the Norwegian fleet had full area flexibility). Some landings, reported as taken in the Triangle (Gilleleje, DK - Kullen, S - Helsingborg, S - Helsingør, DK), may have been taken outside this area and listed under the Kattegat.

The amount of discards for 2005 is regarded as being insignificant. However, no quantitative estimates of discards were available to the Working Group.

Table 3.2.4 shows the number of fish aged by country, area, fishery and quarter. The total landings from Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 in 2005 were 114500 t from which 328 samples were taken, 34866 fish were measured and 14687 aged. For comparison, 94200 t were landed in 2004 from which 352 samples were taken, 34581 fish were measured and 18611 aged. Although the overall sampling more than meets the recommended level of one sample per $1000 t$ landed per quarter, there is an unequal coverage of some areas, times of the year and gear (meshsize).

There is an unknown effect of variability in the stock composition in Division IIIa due to uncertainty of the splitting factor between the NSAS and the WBSS. There is at present no information about the relevance of local herring stocks/populations in relation to the fisheries and their possible influence on the stock assessment. Recent evidence from genetic differentiation among spawning aggregations in the Skagerrak suggests a potential high representation of these local spawning stocks (Bekkevold et al., 2005; see also stock annex 3).

### 3.2.2 Stock composition in the catch

Catches of herring in the Kattegat, the Skagerrak and the Eastern part of the North Sea are taken from a mixture of two main spawning stocks mainly 1+ ringers of the Western Baltic Spring Spawners (WBSS) and 0-2-ringers from the North Sea Autumn Spawners (NSAS). The winter spawning Downs herring are included under NSAS (see stock annex 3). An uncertain amount of spring spawners belonging to local spawning populations in the Skagerrak/Kattegat area are likely also to contribute to the catches, however, due to lack of knowledge concerning these, they are included under WBSS (see also stock annex 3). As in recent years the WG uses the analysis of individual otolith microstructure for determination of spawning type in age-class stratified random sub-samples of herring in Division IIIa (see stock annex 3). The split between WBSS and NSAS in the eastern North Sea is limited to an area also referred to as the transfer area (the transfer area is defined by the following ICES rectangles: 43F3 to 43F7, 44F3 to 44F6, 45F3 to 45F6, 46F3 to 46F6, and 47F3 to 47F6 (see also Figure 2.2.2)), under the assumption that the geographical distribution of WBSS into the North Sea is within the boarders of the transfer area.

For the present year the otolith-based method has been exclusively applied for the Division IIIa split. For Subdivisions 22, 23 and 24 it was assumed that all individuals caught belong to the WBSS stock, even when otolith microstructure indicate occurrence of autumn spawners in the surveys or in samples of commercial catches (see stock annex 3).

In the Division IV and IIIa a common TAC and by-catch ceiling is set for the mixed herring stock of WBSS and NSAS. An on-going research project has been launched to explore ways to regulate the fishing mortality of NSAS and WBSS individually within Division IV and IIIa (IMHERSKA). Preliminary results indicate that a set of proposed métiers, to some degree, were fishing selectively with respect to stock (WBSS and NSAS) and fish sizes, in specific areas and quarters (Working document-2, HAWG 2006; Working document-1, HAWG 2006). In example the metiér, abbreviated OTB_KAWB/MTB_Her, landed almost solely 2+ ringer WBSS in the southern Kattegat during 3rd quarter (time-series explored was 2000-2003). This picture is fairly consistent between years and the proportion of the total yearly Danish landing of $2+$ ringer WBSS falls within 10 to $30 \%$. Also worth noticing is that results agreed with the existing knowledge on migration behaviors of the respective stocks.

### 3.2.2.1 Spring-spawning herring in the North Sea

Catches from the transfer area in the eastern North Sea in 2005 were split by analyses of Norwegian and Danish samples from landings (see Figure 2.2.2 for details about the transfer area). Mean vertebral counts from the Norwegian samples and otolith microstructure readings from the Danish samples were used to estimate the proportion of WBSS. Samples were missing in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter for all ages and in $2^{\text {nd }}$ quarter for 1-ringers, and proportions were assumed to $0 \%$. The sources of data for splitting between NSAS and WBSS in the transfer area are:

|  | 1-RINGERS | 2-RINGERS | 3-RINGERS | 4+-RINGERS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ <br> quarter | Assumed to be 0\% <br> WBSS | Assumed to be 0\% <br> WBSS | Assumed to be 0\% <br> WBSS | Assumed to be 0\% <br> WBSS |
| $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ <br> quarter | Assumed to be 0\% <br> WBSS | Norwegian samples <br> (landings) | Norwegian samples <br> (landings) | Norwegian samples <br> (landings) |
| $\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}$ <br> quarter | Danish samples <br> (acoustic + landings) | Danish samples <br> (acoustic + landings) | Danish samples <br> (acoustic + landings) | Danish samples <br> (acoustic + landings) |
| $\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}$ <br> quarter | Danish samples <br> (landings) | Danish samples <br> (landings) | Danish samples <br> (landings) | Danish samples <br> (landings) |

Resulting proportions of WBSS can be found in Section 2.2.2.

### 3.2.2.2 Autumn spawners in Division IIIa

The proportions and the analysed numbers are presented in Table 3.2.6.
For commercial landings in 2005 the split of the Swedish and Danish landings was conducted using the proportion by age in the combined samples of Swedish and Danish microstructure analyses. The estimation of the proportion of spring- and autumn-spawners in the landings from Division IIIa was performed on the basis of totally 2411 (869 Danish and 1542 Swedish) otolith microstructure analyses in 2005. Data were disaggregated by area (Kattegat and Skagerrak), age group (1-8+ in 1st and 2nd quarter and 0-8+ in 3rd and $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter) and quarter (1-4).

Sampling levels in 2005 were high enough in age groups 1-3 to allow the split to be applied to their respective spatial and temporal origin without reallocating between landings and surveys or between areas or quarters. Sampling of individual older age classes, and age group 0 in the Kattegat in $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter, was scarce. Individual microstructure estimates were reallocated from the Swedish IBTS surveys, if less than 12 individual microstructure estimates per age group were available. In cases where reallocation of individual microstructure estimates was not enough, then analyses were pooled in combined age groups to achieve at least 12 individual otolith microstructure estimates per age group. Autumn spawners in the fishery in Subdivisions 22 to 24 .

All herring found in subdivisions 22-24 are treated as Western Baltic spring spawners (see stock annex 3).

### 3.2.2.3 Accuracy and precision in stock identification

The stock classification power of otolith microstructure by visual inspection was tested by Danish readers in 2004/2005. Almost all of the Danish routine samples for the stock identification are interpreted by experienced readers. In the test these readers classified the spawning stock affiliation with a high agreement of 95-100\% (ICES 2005/ACFM:16). A closer analysis of all test readings indicated that winter spawned individuals were most likely to be misinterpreted by inexperienced readers; the problem has been corrected before this years working group.

Recent work on the precision and accuracy of hatch month determination using both spawning individuals and back-calculation of hatch month in 0-group herring validated the method (Clausen et al. submitted). Otoliths from spawning individuals were classified and compared to the sampling season, with an overall success rate of $91 \%$, but some mix-up between autumn and winter spawners. However, in case of spawning type infidelity this validation method would show false misclassification. Therefore, an objective method of hatch time estimation was employed, enumerating the unbroken series of daily increments in 0-group herring hatched during different seasons. Visual inspection and objective estimation agreed to $89 \%$, and the confusion between autumn and winter spawners was explained by overlapping hatch periods. Older herring may be classified using multiple linear regression of hatch time versus median increment width (Clausen et al. submitted).

Danish and Swedish otolith microstructure analyses are regularly double checked by the same Danish expert reader for consistency in interpretation. The overall impression is a good agreement among readers implying a potential high accuracy in the splits.

Results presented to the WG on mixed stock analysis exploiting genetic variation in herring from Division IIIa in 2002 and 2003, show excellent agreement between assignments based on micro satellites and otolith microstructure (HERGEN QLRT - 2000 - 01370, final report) indicating good accuracy of the split between North Sea and local stocks plus Western Baltic herring. The possibility of combining genetics and otolith analyses (both otolith morphology and microstructure) for a higher resolution of the Skagerrak, Kattegat and Western Baltic stocks is presently being explored (see also stock annex 3).

Otolith microstructure analysis for stock splitting is a relatively time consuming method. Time has therefore been put into developing new, and more time efficient methods, for stock splitting. Under the EU-FP5 project HERGEN (EU project QLRT 200-01370, final report) a promising and time effective method based on otolith morphology are hopefully on its way. So far this work has showed that individual stocks and local populations display significantly different patterns of lobe formation in the otolith (se also stock annex 3).

### 3.3 Fishery Independent Information

### 3.3.1 International Bottom Trawl Survey in Division IIIa

The survey indices were split into spring and autumn spawning components by microstructure analysis of otoliths (section 3.2.2) except for $20013^{\text {rd }}$ quarter and $20021^{\text {st }}$ quarter when vertebrae counting methods were used. The estimates of the abundance by age of the spring spawning component in the Kattegat are presented in Table 3.3.1 and Table 3.3.2. In line with last year, the mean value for 1-ringers in $20061^{\text {st }}$ quarter is lower than the average and follows two of the lowest values in 2004 and 2005. The older age classes shows similar values to the prior years except a tendency of a slight increase of the abundance of individuals of age 4 and 5 . For $3^{\text {st }}$ quarter survey indices, the mean value for 1-ringers in 2005 is just above the average values in the time-series while there was a decrease of the abundance of fish older than 3 years.

### 3.3.2 Summer Acoustic Survey in Division IIIa

The acoustic survey from 29 June to 11 July 2005 covered the area in the Skagerrak and the Kattegat. Details of the survey are given in the 'Report of the Planning Group for Herring Surveys' (ICES 2006/LRC:04). The estimated spawning biomass (3+) of Western Baltic Spring Spawning herring (WBSS) in 2005 was about 119000 tonnes, showing a decrease compared to the previous year of about $34 \%$. The results from this survey are summarised in Table 3.3.3.

### 3.3.3 Autumn Acoustic Survey in Subdivisions 22-24

A joint German-Danish acoustic survey was carried out with R/V "SOLEA" between 4 and 21 October 2005 in the Western Baltic covering Subdivisions 21, 22, 23 and 24. A full survey report is given in the Report of the Planning Group for Herring Surveys (ICES 2006/LRC:04). The results for 2005 are presented in Table 3.3.4. The herring stock was estimated to be about 191000 tonnes in Subdivisions 22-24 (Table 3.3.4). This is an increase of $10 \%$ compared to the last year estimate.

### 3.3.4 Larvae Surveys

Herring larvae surveys in the western Baltic were conducted in weekly intervals during the 2005 spawning season. The estimated numbers of larvae for the period 1977 to 2005 are summarised in Table 3.3.5. For 2005 the estimate has considerably decreased. It is comparable to 1995 and 2000, and indicates weak year-class strength.

### 3.4 Mean weights-at-age and maturity-at-age

Mean weights at age in the catch in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter were used as stock weights (Table 3.2.14). The maturity ogive was assumed constant between years. The same maturity ogive was used as in the HAWG 2005:

| W-RINGS | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8 +}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Maturity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.75 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

### 3.5 Recruitment

Indices of 0-ringer abundance of the Western Baltic Spring Spawning herring (WBSS) in Subdivisions 22-24 for 2005 were available from the larvae surveys during the spawning season on the main spawning area (see also Table 3.3.5) and from the autumn acoustic survey in Subdivisions 22-24. Log transformed indices were compared by year class in Figure 3.5.1. The larvae index and the 0 -ringer from the acoustic survey showed very similar trends in the last 5-10 years, except in the most recent year when the larvae index shows a decline in spite of a rather stable trend in the 0 -ringer from the acoustic survey. The latest estimate in the time series shows a quite low value of abundance for the 0 -group herring (Table 3.3.5).

### 3.6 Assessment of western Baltic spring spawners in Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24

### 3.6.1 Input data

Catch in numbers at age from 1991 to 2005 were available for Subdivision IVa (East), Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 (Table 3.6.1) and as proportion at age (Figure 3.6.1). Years before 1991 have been excluded due to lack of reliable data for splitting spawning type and to a large change in fishing pattern caused by changes in the German fishing fleets.

Mean weights at age in the landings are found in Table 3.6.2 and in Figure 3.6.2. The proportions of F and M before spawning were assumed constant between years. F-prop was set to be 0.1 and M-prop 0.25 for all age groups. Natural mortality was assumed constant at age and equal to $0.3,0.5$, and 0.2 for 0 - ringers, 1 - ringers, and $2+$ ringers respectively (Table 3.6.4). The estimates of natural mortality were derived as a mean for the years 1977-1995 from the Baltic MSVPA (ICES 1997/J:2).

Available survey indices were:

FLT1: Hydroacoustic survey in Division IIIa \& Sub-division IVa East, July 1991-2005, 0-8+ ringers
FLT2: Hydroacoustic survey in Subdivisions 22, 23 and 24, Oct. 1991-2005, 0-8+ ringers
FLT3: IBTS in Division IIIa, Quarter 1, 1991-2006, 1-5 ringers
FLT4: IBTS in Division IIIa, Quarter 3, 1991-2005, 1-5 ringers
FLT5: Larvae survey in Subdivision 24 (Greifswalder Bodden), March-June 1977-2005, 0ringer

All are age-structured indices with FLT5 used as an index of recruiting 0-ringers. None of the indices covered the total spatial distribution of the WBSS stock and the indices covered the following quarters and areas:

| Survey area | Quarter 1 | QUarter 2 | QUARTER 3 | Quarter 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division IIIa | FLT3 |  | FLT1 and FLT4 |  |
| Subdivisions 22-24 | FLT5 | FLT5 |  | FLT2 |

Subsets of these data series representing selected age groups were constructed to give a better representation of the stock (see section 3.6.3).

### 3.6.2 ICA settings

The following settings were used in 2006, similar to 2005:

- The period for the separable constraint: 5 years (2001-2005).
- $\quad$ The weighing factor to all indices (lambda $=1$ ).
- A linear catchability model for indices $1,2,3$, and 4 , and both linear and power model for index 5.
- The reference F set at age 4 and the selection=1 for the oldest age.
- The catch data were down-weighted to 0.1 for 0 -ringer herring.


### 3.6.3 Exploration by individual survey indices

Given that this is an update assessment only a limited exploration was carried out similar to as last year. Exploratory runs of catch data with single indices were performed using the general ICA-setting mentioned above (Section 3.6.2). A summary of the results from these runs is presented in Figures 3.6.3 and 3.6.4.

The runs with the larval survey index only including all years and using a linear model did not exhibit a realistic F value, whereas the power model was more in line with other individual indices. However, the recent history of exploratory runs for the larval survey has shown large variation in estimated F and it may still be too early to judge their robustness for use in the final assessment.

The IBTS in Kattegat Q1 (FLT3) indicate a high F of 0.7, slightly higher than or similar to the hydroacoustic survey indices in Division IIIa (FLT1a and FLT1b) being 0.5 and 0.7 respectively, whereas the Acoustic survey indices in Subdivisions 22-24 (FLT2a and FLT2b) and the IBTS index in Kattegat Q3 (FLT4) suggest more intermediate Fs of 0.3, 0.4 and 0.2 respectively. On the other extreme the larval survey in Subdivision 24 (FLT5a and FLT5b) give indications of quite low fishing mortality depending on the chosen model, power( $\mathrm{F} \sim 0.08$ ) and linear catchability ( $\mathrm{F} \sim 0.01$ ).

The larvae survey FLT5 (N30) predicts strong and weak year classes very well but does not reflect the actual magnitude of year class strength. This results in a strong correlation, but large residuals when fitted in the ICA model to the catch data. A longer time-series may help resolve these issues, particularly if intermediate N30 values appear in the time-series. Although the larval survey does not add information to the current specification of the ICA model, it appears to function well as an indicator of recruitment. Trends in log transformed
values of recruitment indices (larval index total time-series, 0-ringer Acoustic in SD 22-24 and 1-ringer Acoustic in SD 22-24) show good concordance in the recent time series from 1991 (Figure 3.5.1). In the North Sea, the long MIK time-series (on post larvae) works well as an indicator of 0 ring year class strength in the ICA model. The larvae N30 is an abundance index of post-larvae in some ways similar to the MIK index, so potentially it may be of use in the future. The N30 index provides extremely valuable information on the general biology and year class development of the WBSS herring population.

The tuning fleet choice and the settings for the final ICA run for the 2005 assessment were the same as in the last two years assessments with fleets FLT1b, FLT2b, and FLT4. The biological reasoning behind the choice of indices with restricted numbers of age classes is that there is only a partial migration of age $0-1$ ringers to the Division IIIa in the summer and that ages older than 5-ringers are poorly represented in the Subdivision 22-24 acoustic surveys and in the IBTS.

### 3.6.4 Final Assessment

This assessment conforms to an update assessment of WBSS herring, input data (years 19912005, Ages 0-8+ ringers) are given in the following tables:

- $\quad$ Catch in number (Table 3.6.1)
- Weight in catch (Table 3.6.2)
- Weight in stock (Table 3.6.3)
- Natural mortality (Table 3.6.4)
- Maturity (see text table in section 3.4)

The following surveys were included (Tables 3.6.5a-c):

- FLT 1b: DK Hydroacoustic survey in Division IIIa+ SD IVaE, July 1991-2005, excl. 1999, 2-8+ ringers
- FLT 2b: GER Hydroacoustic survey in Subdivisions 22, 23 and 24, Oct 19912005, 0-5 ringers
- FLT 4: IBTS in Kattegat, Quarter 3, 1991-2005, 1-5 ringers

The final model settings are shown in Table 3.6.6. The output data are given in Tables 3.6.73.6.16. The estimated SSB for 2005 is about 164600 tonnes with a mean fishing mortality (ages 3-6) of 0.41 (Table 3.6.9). The model diagnostics show a rather well defined minimum SSQ response-curve for all age-indices except age-index 1 (Acoustic Survey in Division IIIa+IVaE) that is somewhat flat (Figure 3.6.5). The minimum SSQ for the Acoustic Survey in Subdivisions 22-24 (age-index 2) finds an intermediate compromise between the high F of age-index 1 (Acoustic Survey in Division IIIa+IVaE) and the low F of age-index 3 (IBTS Kattegat Q3). The stock summary is shown in Figure 3.6.6 and Table 3.6.9.

The marginal totals of residuals between the catch and the separable model (scrutinised on screen in ICA-view) are overall small, with almost no residuals for younger ages (1-3) and slightly larger residuals at older ages (4-7) as well as a reasonably trend-free separable period (2001-2005). Year effects repeat the somewhat large positive and negative values for 2001 and 2003 respectively (see Figure 3.6.7), but as already noted in last years assessment most of the year effects are again caused by 0-ringers that are down-weighted in the analysis but still appears with full weight in the residual plot of the ICA diagnostics. For values see Table 3.6.12.

The diagnostics for the three surveys repeat the trend of low acoustic and high IBTS residuals for 2003 seen in last years assessment, whereas values for 2004 are much closer to model predictions although they reflect the same type of balance between acoustic and IBTS surveys. The Acoustic Survey in Division IIIa+IVaE and the Acoustic Survey in Subdivisions 22-24 showed in general negative but relatively small residuals for 2005 (Figure 3.6.8), with the
exception of a somewhat marked negative residual for 4-ringers also indicated in the IBTS Kattegat Q3 survey.

The catch-at-age unweighted variance component is of the same magnitude as the individual acoustic survey variance components and smaller than the IBTS survey component (Table 3.6.16), however in the unweighted statistics down-weighting of the 0 -ringers is not accounted for, and this age contribute quite some variation with a C.V. of $49 \%$ compared to about $14 \%$ for the $2+$ groups (Table 3.6.10). After a period of fluctuating high fishing mortality in the mid 1990s, the F3-6 values declined; and since 2000 there has been shift from a level of just above 0.5 in the first three years to a level around 0.4 in the recent three years. After a marked decline in the mid 1990s and a slight increase after the late 1990s the SSB is now fluctuating at around 160000 t .

All surveys had noisy fits to population estimates for the younger age-classes, but improved from age 3, 2 and 4 in the Acoustic Survey in Division IIIa, in the Acoustic Survey in Subdivisions 22-24, and in the IBTS Kattegat Q3 survey, respectively. Model fit declined again for the oldest ages 8 and 5 in the Acoustic Survey in Division IIIa and in the Acoustic Survey in Subdivisions 22-24, respectively. The fits were generally better for the acoustic surveys than for the IBTS and more so in recent years than for earlier years during the period (1991-2005). The reason for the poorer performance of the Kattegat Q3 IBTS survey may be an increased redistribution of immature age-classes into the Kattegat area in the recent years.

## Overall trends in the age structured data for the ICA model

Exploring the cohort dynamics by log catch and log survey indices gives an indication of overall mortality and catchability in successive cohorts from year classes 1991-2002 (Figures 3.6.9a-d). Disregarding the last analysed cohort (2002 year-class) which obviously has a poor fit, slopes of log catches indicate a stable slightly decreasing trend in mortality (Figure 3.6.9a). Slopes from the three surveys; Division IIIa acoustic survey (Figure 3.6.9b), the Subdivisions 22-24 acoustic survey (Figure 3.6.9c), and the IBTS in quarter 3 in the Kattegat (Figure 3.6.9d) are somewhat more fluctuating, with a decreasing trend in mortality from the 1994 cohort, but with a change to higher mortalities for the most recent cohorts especially in the two southernmost surveys (Figures 3.6.9c-d). Although these cohorts are still based on few ageclasses (3-4), the slopes are well described and except for the 2002 cohort in the Subdivisions 22-24 acoustic survey, all slopes have $\mathrm{R}^{2}>0.9$. The explanation for the discrepancy between recent changes in trends of apparent cohort mortality between southern surveys and catches may be sought in a shift in the geographical distributions of older individuals into the North Sea IVaE area (see figure 8 in ICES CM 2006/LRC:04).

### 3.7 Short term projections

The assessment was used to provide a yield-per-recruit plot for WBSS herring in Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 (Figure 3.7.1). The values for $\mathbf{F}_{0.1}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\max }$ are 0.22 and 0.46 , respectively.

Short-term predictions were carried out using MFDP v.1a software. ICA estimates of population numbers and fishing mortalities were used except for the numbers of 0-ringers in 2005-2008, where the geometric mean of the recruitment over the period 1994-2003 was taken, and for the numbers of 1-ringers in 2006, where the geometric mean over the period 1995-2004 was used. Mean weights-at-age in the catch and in the stock were taken as a mean for the years 2003-2005. A status quo fishing mortality for 2006 onwards was assumed, with values rescaled to the last year estimate. Input data for catch predictions are presented in Table 3.7.1.

Short-term predictions were carried out assuming a status quo fishing mortality for 2006 onwards. The single option table is available for 2006 to 2008 (Table 3.7.2).

| SCENARIO | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1) status quo F | $\mathrm{F}_{2006}=\mathrm{F}_{2005}=0.41$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{2006}=\mathrm{F}_{2005}=0.41$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{2006}=\mathrm{F}_{2005}=0.41$ |
|  | Status quo F | Status quo F | Status quo F |
|  | Catch $=94000 \mathrm{t}$ | Catch $=98700 \mathrm{t}$ | Catch $=101300 \mathrm{t}$ |

The results of the short-term predictions are given in Tables 3.7.2 - 3.7.3. Table 3.7.2 shows single option predictions for 2006-2008 and Table 3.7.3 multiple options for 2007 at status quo fishing mortality in 2006. The catches for 2007 and 2008 at status quo fishing mortality were predicted to be 98700 t and 101300 t , respectively, which is an overall increase in relation to the current catch level of 88400 t . The SSB is predicted to increase to 181500 t in 2007 and to 189100 t in 2008.

Based on Status quo F and $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ ( 0.41 and 0.22 respectively) the predictions of $\mathrm{SSB}_{2007}$ (181 500 t and 184000 t respectively) are well both above the lowest observed in the time series from 1991-2005 ( $\mathrm{SSB}_{1998} 117000 \mathrm{t}$ ).

### 3.8 Precautionary and yield based reference points

Reference points have neither been defined nor proposed for this stock. The time series is short with revised catch data and reliable splitting factors for only 15 years, the estimated SSB has not been below 117000 t since 1991 and there is no obvious stock-recruitment relationship.

### 3.9 Quality of the Assessment

This year's assessment is an update similar to last year's assessment. Therefore, the assessment has not been explored beyond examining the standard diagnostics.

Three data series (surveys) are used in addition to the catch numbers at age. None of these surveys cover the whole distribution area of the stock, but each of them covers areas where it is likely that certain ages are well represented at survey time. The acoustic survey in Division IIIa+IVaE covers fish age 2 and older while the two others largely cover the younger part of the population. Hence, these surveys can be regarded as complementary. All surveys are noisy, with strong year effects. The acoustic survey in Division IIIa+IVaE indicates a higher mortality than the others, but its contribution to the total sum of squares does not have a distinct minimum (Figure 3.6.5). The selection pattern is smooth and no age (1+) or year effects (2001-2005) in model residuals are large (Figure 3.6.7).

Altogether, the current procedure for assessing the stock has given consistent results with respect to fishing mortality and spawning biomass for several years (Figure 3.9.1).

The retrospective errors are small, except in the recruitment (Figure 3.9.2). Apparently, the strength of a year class is not firmly estimated before the year class has been followed for 2-3 years. The selection at age in the fishery changes in retrospective runs. This probably reflects a stronger exploitation of younger herring in earlier years, which in the present assessment is reflected in the VPA part. The selection at age in this year's assessment is virtually equal to that in two last year's assessment (Figure 3.9.3), and the catch residuals are relatively small. Hence, the separable assumption does not seem to be violated.

For prediction purposes, better indicators of recruitment would be useful. At present, geometric mean recruitment has to be assumed for age 0 in the intermediate year and for later years. The larval survey index has been considered previously as a candidate recruitment indicator, but including it in the assessment as another tuning series has not been successful (Figure 3.9.4). However, it does identify most strong and weak year classes. Using it as a
semi-quantitative support for the assumptions about recruitment in the predictions may be considered as an alternative (Figure 3.5.1). This would need further exploration with this purpose in mind. HAWG suggests to investigate procedures that give a better predictive power of the recruitment by reducing the impact of outliers and to analyse within survey variances.

The predictions are made for the Western Baltic Spring Spawning (WBSS) stock, while management is by areas. In Division IIIa, the fishery exploits both WBSS and North Sea autumn spawning herring. The Working Group has attempted to outline the consequences for both stocks in fishery in Division IIIa (Section 3.10). This requires insight to both how the catches of WBSS are distributed by areas, and the proportions of the catches in Division IIIa from each stock. Both these properties change over time, and are influenced both by managers' decisions and the abundance of the respective stocks in the area. So far, the only basis has been historical data of catches in biomass by area and species (cfr. Table 2.1.6). A better basis could be achieved by considering catches at age by different fleets, and investigations of how management decisions influence the fishery. Further a deeper understanding of relationships between stock characteristics and major migration patterns would help predictions of the seasonal stock composition in the mixed areas. These efforts require inter-sessional work; an attempt to resolve parts of the problem is addressed through the IAMHERSKA project (see section 1.4.8).

Compared to last year's assessment, the change in the estimate is $+3 \%$ and $+8 \%$ for the fishing mortalities in 2003 and 2004 respectively; and $-3 \%$ and $-6 \%$ for the SSB in 2003 and 2004 respectively. The text table below gives an overview of the assumptions made in the 2005 and 2006 assessments and a comparison of the main results with 2003 and 2004 as baselines.

| CATEGORY | PARAMETER | ASSESSMENT IN 2005 | ASSESSMENT IN 2006 | $\begin{gathered} \text { DIFF. } \\ \text { 2006- } \\ 2005 \\ (+/-) \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ICA input | No. of years for separable constraints | 5 | 5 | No |
|  | Reference age for separable constraint | 4 | 4 | No |
|  | Selection to be fixed on last age | 1 | 1 | No |
|  | Weighting factor to all indices | 1 | 1 | No |
|  | Catch down-weighted to 0.1 for 0 -ringer | Yes | Yes | No |
|  | Tuning data | Acoustic Surv. Div. IIIa 2-8+ ringers | Acoustic Surv. Div. IIIa 2-8+ ringers | No |
|  |  | Acoustic Surv. SDs 22-24 0-5 ringers | Acoustic Surv. SDs 22-24 $0-5$ ringers | No |
|  |  | IBTS Surv. Quarter 3 1-5 ringers | IBTS Surv. Quarter 3 1-5 ringers | No |
| ICA results | SSB 2003 | 155,000 t | 150,800t | -3\% |
|  | F(3-6) 2003 | 0.389 | 0.401 | +3\% |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SSB } 2004 \\ \text { F(3-6) } 2004 \end{gathered}$ | 180,400 t | 168,700 t | -6\% |
|  |  | 0.358 | 0.386 | +8\% |

### 3.10 Management Considerations

## Catch options for mixed stocks in Division IIIa based on short term predictions for WBSS

The present state of a declining NSAS stock with poor recruitment in the last 4 years and a stable WBSS stock with an above average recruitment in 2003 indicate that management has to consider both stocks in the mixed areas of Division IIIa and Division IVaE.

It should also be noted that the scope for exploitation is not only dependent on the overall population dynamics of the two stocks. Management also has to consider age-class specific stock composition in the mixing zones brought about by unpredictable changes in distribution pattern triggered by environmental as well as population biological and behavioural cues.

The current fleet definitions are:

## North Sea

Fleet A: Directed herring fisheries with purse seiners and trawlers. By-catches in industrial fisheries by Norway are included.
Fleet B: Herring taken as by-catch under EU regulations.

## Division IIIa

Fleet C: Directed herring fisheries with purse seiners and trawlers
Fleet D: By-catches of herring caught in the small-mesh fisheries
Subdivision 22-24
Fleet F: All herring fisheries in Subdivisions 22-24

## Quotas in Division IIIa

The quota for the C-fleet and the by-catch quota for the D-fleet are set for both stocks together. Therefore the implication of the quotas for the outtake of WBSS has to be considered. Furthermore the implication for the outtake of NSAS has to be taken into account when setting fleet wise quotas for that stock (see section 2.7).

For 2005 the agreed TAC for the directed fishery in Division IIIa (C-fleet) was 96000 t . The TAC was divided into quotas, 500 t for the Faeroes, 82700 t for the EU of which all has to be taken in Divisiion IIIa, and 12800 t for Norway of which $50 \%$ could be taken in the North Sea. A by-catch ceiling for Division IIIa herring in the small meshed fishery (fleet-D) was set at 24150 t for the EU fleet.

For 2006 the agreed TAC for the directed fishery in Division IIIa (C-fleet) is 81600 t . The TAC is divided into quotas, 500 t for the Faeroes, 70217 t for the EU of which all has to be taken in Division IIIa, and 10883 t for Norway of which $50 \%$ can be taken in the North Sea. A by-catch quota for Division IIIa herring in the small meshed fishery (fleet-D) is set at 22528 t.

It must also be noted that a slightly variable and relatively small amount (around 7000 t ) of WBSS herring is taken in the fishery in Subarea IV (see Section 2.2.2 and Figure 2.2.2 for information about WBSS taken in Divisions IVa and IVb East). This component is accounted for in both the assessments on NSAS and WBSS. The situation is further complicated by misreporting by areas. In recent years, HAWG has calculated a substantial part of the catch reported as taken in Division IIIa in fleet C actually has been taken in Subarea IV. These catches have been allocated to the North Sea stock and accounted under the A-fleet. Regulations allowing quota transfers from Division IIIa to the North Sea were introduced with the incentive to decrease misreporting for the Norwegian part of the fishery. However, working group estimates suggest that out of the official landings for human consumption in the Skagerrak, $46 \%, 58 \%$, and $46 \%$ are misreported in 2003, 2004, and 2005 respectively. These figures are probably underestimating the problem since only a subset of countries supply this information to the HAWG. Misreported catches are moved to the appropriate stock for the assessment.

## TAC in Subdivisions 22-24

For 2006 the agreed TAC for the herring fishery in Subdivisions 22-24 (Fleet F) is 47500 t . The TAC is divided into quotas, 6658 t for Denmark, 26207 t for Germany, 3 t for Finland, 6181 t for Poland and 8451 t for Sweden.

## ICES catch predictions versus management TAC

ICES gives advice on catch options for the entire distribution of the two herring stocks separately, whereas herring is managed by areas cross sectioning the geographical distribution of the stocks (see the following text diagram).


## Data used for catch options in 2007

There is no firm basis for predicting the fraction of NSAS in the catches by the C- and Dfleets. The proportions of the two stocks as well as the distribution pattern of the fishery in the Eastern North Sea and the Division IIIa is dynamically changing year by year. This is probably influenced by year-class strength of the two stocks and their relative geographical distributions as well as fleet behaviour reacting on herring availability and management decisions. Directed intersessional work has started to make further progress regarding catch predictions by stock for the different fleets (see Section 1.4.8).

Recent years’ shares of the WBSS catches in IIIa and other areas is used to translate the total recommended TAC for WBSS into outtake of WBSS in Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24. The mix of the two stocks in the Division IIIa catches is used to derive the outtake of NSAS and total catches in Division IIIa. Predicted catches of WBSS and NSAS by fleet in IIIa is based on recent patterns of 1) ratio of WBSS catches taken by each fleet and 2) proportion of the two stocks in catches of the different fleets.

The catch option for 2007 is based on the share by fleet and stock composition in catches given as a mean for 2004 and 2005. The ratio by fleet and stock composition is given in the following table $A$ and $B$, respectively:

Text table A showing the 2004 and 2005 average share of the total catch in t of WBSS by each fleet.

| WBSS | FLEET C <br> (IIIA) | FLEET D (IIIA) | FLEET F (SD22-24) <br> + FLEET A (IV)* | TotAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean $(2004,2005)$ <br> catch in t | 24,650 | 8,150 | 49,800 | 82,600 |
| Mean $(2004,2005)$ <br> share in \% | $29.9 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $60.2 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

*A constant catch of 7100 t of WBSS caught in Subarea IV are accounted for in the calculations.
Text table B showing the 2004 and 2005 average proportion of WBSS in catches by fleet.

| WBSS | FLEET C | FLEET D | FLEET F (SD22-24) <br> + FLEET A (IV) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean (2004,2005) <br> proportion | 0.576 | 0.452 |  |

## Exploring a range of total WBSS catches

Considering the present level of a NSAS stock with low recruitment in recent years, catch options were explored for the two stocks in Division IIIa at total catches set for the WBSS stock. Short-term predictions indicate a catch in 2007 of 99000 t with status quo fishing mortality ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{sq}}$ ) (Table 3.7.3). Further the projected stock composition was assumed to equal the 2004 and 2005 average of the NSAS and WBSS in each of the C and D fleets (in Division IIIa) and a 2004 and 2005 average catch of 7100 t of WBSS taken in Subarea IV.

The text table below gives the catch option derived from the HAWG2006 short-term predictions for the Western Baltic spring spawners in Division IIIa, in SDs 22-24 and in Subarea IV (text table below: option 5 in bold corresponding to $\mathrm{F}_{\text {sq }}$ ) along with several other options between 80000 t and 105000 t (values rounded to the nearest 100 t ).

| Management considerations for Division IIIa based on short term predictions (HAWG2006) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch option for the WBSS herring stock | WBSS herring |  |  |  | NSAS herring |  | Total catches of both stocks in Division IIIa and Sub-division 22-24 |  |  |
| Total catches of WBSS herring* | Fleet A* | Fleet C | Fleet D | Fleet F | Fleet C | Fleet D | Fleet C | Fleet D | Fleet F |
| 80,000 | 7,100 | 23,900 | 7,900 | 41,100 | 17,600 | 9,600 | 41,500 | 17,500 | 41,100 |
| 85,000 | 7,100 | 25,400 | 8,400 | 44,100 | 18,700 | 10,200 | 44,100 | 18,600 | 44,100 |
| 90,000 | 7,100 | 26,900 | 8,900 | 47,100 | 19,800 | 10,800 | 46,700 | 19,700 | 47,100 |
| 95,000 | 7,100 | 28,400 | 9,400 | 50,100 | 20,900 | 11,400 | 49,300 | 20,800 | 50,100 |
| 99,000 | 7,100 | 29,600 | 9,800 | 52,500 | 21,800 | 11,900 | 51,400 | 21,700 | 52,500 |
| 100,000 | 7,100 | 29,900 | 9,900 | 53,100 | 22,000 | 12,000 | 51,900 | 21,900 | 53,100 |
| 105,000 | 7,100 | 31,400 | 10,400 | 56,100 | 23,100 | 12,600 | 54,500 | 23,000 | 56,100 |

*A catch of 7100 t of WBSS herring taken in the Eastern North Sea is assumed.

Applying status quo fishing mortality from the HAWG2006 short term predictions (Section 3.7) give a catch option for WBSS of $99 \mathbf{0 0 0} \boldsymbol{t}$ in the entire distribution area including Subdivisions 22-24, Division IIIa and Division IVaE (bold in text table).

The catch option (both stocks combined) for the directed herring fishery in Division IIIa (fleet C ) is then found to be 51400 t ; and the by-catch quota is accordingly 21700 t . A
constant catch of 7100 t WBSS in Subarea IV is assumed and leaves a catch option of 52500 t in Subdivisions 22-24 for 2007, which is an $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ increase in relation to the TAC for this area set in 2006.

For a TAC on catch of NSAS and total catch by the fleets in Division IIIa to be compatible with the advice for WBSS, the numbers derived as above, based on the largest advisable catch of WBSS, are upper bounds on the advisable catches of NSAS by the C- and D- fleets. Thus the resulting catch options were also used as constraints for short term predictions for the NSAS herring (section 2.7).

Table 3.1.1 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24.
Landings in 1986-2005 in thousands of tonnes.
(Data provided by Working Group members 2006).

| Year | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skagerrak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 94.0 | 105.0 | 144.4 | 47.4 | 62.3 | 58.7 | 64.7 | 87.8 | 44.9 | 43.7 |
| Faroe Islands | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Germany |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norway | 1.6 | 1.2 | 5.7 | 1.6 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 13.9 | 24.2 | 17.7 | 16.7 |
| Sweden | 43.0 | 51.2 | 57.2 | 47.9 | 56.5 | 54.7 | 88.0 | 56.4 | 66.4 | 48.5 |
| Total | 139.1 | 157.4 | 207.3 | 96.9 | 124.4 | 121.5 | 166.6 | 168.4 | 129.0 | 108.9 |
| Kattegat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 37.4 | 46.6 | 76.2 | 57.1 | 32.2 | 29.7 | 33.5 | 28.7 | 23.6 | 16.9 |
| Sweden | 35.9 | 29.8 | 49.7 | 37.9 | 45.2 | 36.7 | 26.4 | 16.7 | 15.4 | 30.8 |
| Total | 73.3 | 76.4 | 125.9 | 95.0 | 77.4 | 66.4 | 59.9 | 45.4 | 39.0 | 47.7 |
| Sub. Div. 22+24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 14.0 | 32.5 | 33.1 | 21.7 | 13.6 | 25.2 | 26.9 | 38.0 | 39.5 | 36.8 |
| Germany | 60.0 | 53.1 | 54.7 | 56.4 | 45.5 | 15.8 | 15.6 | 11.1 | 11.4 | 13.4 |
| Poland | 12.3 | 8.0 | 6.6 | 8.5 | 9.7 | 5.6 | 15.5 | 11.8 | 6.3 | 7.3 |
| Sweden | 5.9 | 7.8 | 4.6 | 6.3 | 8.1 | 19.3 | 22.3 | 16.2 | 7.4 | 15.8 |
| Total | 92.2 | 101.4 | 99.0 | 92.9 | 76.9 | 65.9 | 80.3 | 77.1 | 64.6 | 73.3 |
| Sub. Div. 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| Sweden | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Total | 2.9 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| Grand Total | 307.5 | 336.2 | 432.4 | 286.4 | 279.9 | 257.8 | 311.4 | 294.9 | 234.4 | 231.0 |


| Year | 1996 | 1997 | $1998{ }^{2}$ | $1999{ }^{2}$ | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $2005{ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skagerrak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 28.7 | 14.3 | 10.3 | 10.1 | 16.0 | 16.2 | 26.0 | 15.5 | 11.8 | 14.8 |
| Faroe Islands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |
| Germany |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| Norway | 9.4 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 9.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sweden | 32.7 | 32.9 | 46.9 | 36.4 | 45.8 | 30.8 | 26.4 | 25.8 | 21.8 | 32.5 |
| Total | 70.8 | 56.0 | 65.2 | 53.9 | 71.5 | 47.0 | 52.3 | 42.0 | 34.1 | 48.5 |
| Kattegat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 17.2 | 8.8 | 23.7 | 17.9 | 18.9 | 18.8 | 18.6 | 16.0 | 7.6 | 11.1 |
| Sweden | 27.0 | 18.0 | 29.9 | 14.6 | 17.3 | 16.2 | 7.2 | 10.2 | 9.6 | 10.0 |
| Total | 44.2 | 26.8 | 53.6 | 32.5 | 36.2 | 35.0 | 25.9 | 26.2 | 17.2 | 21.1 |
| Sub. Div. 22+24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 34.4 | 30.5 | 30.1 | 32.5 | 32.6 | 28.3 | 13.1 | 6.1 | 7.3 | 5.3 |
| Germany | 7.3 | 12.8 | 9.0 | 9.8 | 9.3 | 11.4 | 22.4 | 18.8 | 18.5 | 21.0 |
| Poland | 6.0 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 5.3 | 6.6 | 9.3 | - | 4.4 | 5.5 | 6.1 |
| Sweden | 9.0 | 14.5 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 4.8 | 13.9 | 10.7 | 9.4 | 9.9 | 9.2 |
| Total | 56.7 | 64.7 | 49.9 | 50.2 | 53.3 | 62.9 | 46.2 | 38.7 | 41.2 | 41.5 |
| Sub. Div. 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 0.7 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 1.8 |
| Sweden | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | - | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Total | 1.0 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 4.6 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 2.2 |
| Grand Total | 172.7 | 149.8 | 169.4 | 137.2 | 162.0 | 145.7 | 128.9 | 109.5 | 92.8 | 113.3 |

[^2]2 Revised data for 1998 and 1999
Bold= German revised data for 2001

Table 3.1.2 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24.
Landings (SOP) in 2001-2005 in thousands of tonnes by fleet and quarter.

| Year | Quarter | Division IIIa |  | SD 22-24 | Division IIIa + SD 22-24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fleet C | Fleet D | Fleet F | Total |
| 2001 | 1 | 19.6 | 3.8 | 20.8 | 44.2 |
|  | 2 | 11.1 | 1.9 | 20.7 | 33.7 |
|  | 3 | 24.7 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 40.1 |
|  | 4 | 11.1 | 1.7 | 14.8 | 27.6 |
|  | Total | 66.5 | 15.3 | 63.8 | 145.6 |
| 2002 | 1 | 11.4 | 6.2 | 19.6 | 37.2 |
|  | 2 | 6.3 | 2.1 | 18.3 | 26.7 |
|  | 3 | 23.2 | 7 | 1.5 | 31.7 |
|  | 4 | 14.2 | 2.5 | 13.3 | 30.0 |
|  | Total | 55.1 | 17.8 | 52.7 | 125.6 |
| 2003 | 1 | 10.9 | 7 | 20.3 | 38.2 |
|  | 2 | 7.9 | 1.3 | 12.9 | 22.1 |
|  | 3 | 21.9 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 24.3 |
|  | 4 | 15 | 3.3 | 5.6 | 23.9 |
|  | Total | 55.7 | 12.5 | 40.3 | 108.5 |
| 2004 | 1 | 13.5 | 2.8 | 20.4 | 36.7 |
|  | 2 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 10.4 | 16.5 |
|  | 3 | 8.2 | 10.8 | 2.4 | 21.4 |
|  | 4 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 8.6 | 19.4 |
|  | Total | 30.3 | 22.0 | 41.7 | 93.9 |
| 2005 | 1 | 16.6 | 6.1 | 20.4 | 43.1 |
|  | 2 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 15.6 | 20.9 |
|  | 3 | 23.4 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 28.7 |
|  | 4 | 12.0 | 2.6 | 5.8 | 20.5 |
|  | Total | 55.4 | 14.1 | 43.7 | 113.3 |

Table 3.2.1 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24.
Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age, quarter and fleet in the Skagerrak.


Table 3.2.2 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24. Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age, quarter and fleet in the Kattegat.

|  | Division | : Kattegat |  | Year: | 2005 | Country: | ALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 24.80 | 43 | 36.72 | 24 | 61.52 | 32 |
|  | 2 | 51.30 | 62 | 3.68 | 43 | 54.99 | 60 |
|  | 3 | 18.03 | 93 | 0.08 | 88 | 18.11 | 93 |
|  | 4 | 7.97 | 126 |  |  | 7.97 | 126 |
|  | 5 | 2.24 | 148 |  |  | 2.24 | 148 |
|  | 6 | 1.64 | 151 |  |  | 1.64 | 151 |
|  | 7 | 0.47 | 152 |  |  | 0.47 | 152 |
|  | 8+ | 0.04 | 179 |  |  | 0.04 | 179 |
|  | Total | 106.49 |  | 40.49 |  | 146.98 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 7,565 |  | 1,060 |  | 8,625 |
|  |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| Quarter | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 | 7.37 | 49 | 20.36 | 22 | 27.73 | 29 |
|  | 2 | 18.97 | 69 | 4.73 | 69 | 23.70 | 69 |
|  | 3 | 2.20 | 95 | 0.38 | 84 | 2.58 | 93 |
|  | 4 | 1.53 | 130 | 0.10 | 98 | 1.63 | 128 |
|  | 5 | 0.40 | 145 |  |  | 0.40 | 145 |
|  | 6 | 1.06 | 146 |  |  | 1.06 | 146 |
|  | 7 | 0.58 | 150 |  |  | 0.58 | 150 |
|  | $8+$ | 0.17 | 140 |  |  | 0.17 | 140 |
|  | Total | 32.29 |  | 25.57 |  | 57.87 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 2,406 |  | 818 |  | 3,224 |
|  |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| Quarter | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 | 1.45 | 8 | 50.14 | 12 | 51.59 | 11 |
|  | 1 | 21.26 | 65 | 11.06 | 31 | 32.32 | 54 |
|  | 2 | 34.56 | 85 | 0.25 | 46 | 34.80 | 85 |
|  | 3 | 7.94 | 111 |  |  | 7.94 | 111 |
|  | 4 | 0.91 | 140 |  |  | 0.91 | 140 |
|  | 5 | 0.08 | 149 |  |  | 0.08 | 149 |
|  | 6 | 0.08 | 152 |  |  | 0.08 | 152 |
|  | 7 | 0.06 | 197 |  |  | 0.06 | 197 |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 66.34 |  | 61.44 |  | 127.78 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 5,396 |  | 933 |  | 6,329 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 | 7.86 | 29 | 4.53 | 22 | 12.39 | 26 |
|  | 1 | 19.68 | 57 | 1.79 | 49 | 21.47 | 56 |
|  | 2 | 12.36 | 83 | 0.29 | 92 | 12.65 | 83 |
|  | 3 | 2.19 | 99 | 0.05 | 99 | 2.24 | 99 |
|  | 4 | 0.51 | 149 | 0.02 | 129 | 0.53 | 148 |
|  | 5 | 0.19 | 150 |  |  | 0.19 | 150 |
|  | 6 | 0.01 | 268 |  |  | 0.01 | 268 |
|  | 7 | 0.04 | 140 |  |  | 0.04 | 140 |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 42.82 |  | 6.69 |  | 49.51 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 2,697 |  | 221 |  | 2,918 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{T} \\ & \mathbf{o} \\ & \mathbf{t} \\ & \mathbf{a} \\ & \mathbf{1} \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 9.31 | 25 | 54.67 | 12 | 63.98 | 14 |
|  | 1 | 73.11 | 54 | 69.94 | 25 | 143.04 | 40 |
|  | 2 | 117.19 | 72 | 8.95 | 58 | 126.14 | 71 |
|  | 3 | 30.36 | 98 | 0.51 | 86 | 30.87 | 98 |
|  | 4 | 10.92 | 129 | 0.12 | 103 | 11.04 | 128 |
|  | 5 | 2.91 | 148 |  |  | 2.91 | 148 |
|  | 6 | 2.79 | 150 |  |  | 2.79 | 150 |
|  | 7 | 1.16 | 153 |  |  | 1.16 | 153 |
|  | 8+ | 0.21 | 147 |  |  | 0.21 | 147 |
|  | Total | 247.95 |  | 134.19 |  | 382.14 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 18,064 |  | 3,032 |  | 21,096 |

Table 3.2.3 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24.
Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP ( t ) by age and quarter in Subdivisions 22-24.

| Quarter | Subdivisions: |  | 22-24 | Year: |  | 2005 | Country: | ALL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sub-division 22 |  | Sub-division 23 |  | Sub-division 24 |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 146.31 | 9 | 1.49 | 29 | 6.05 | 19 | 153.86 | 10 |
|  | 2 | 13.89 | 31 | 18.27 | 50 | 36.53 | 41 | 68.68 | 41 |
|  | 3 | 1.29 | 52 | 2.32 | 62 | 41.80 | 72 | 45.41 | 71 |
|  | 4 |  |  | 1.87 | 121 | 30.00 | 94 | 31.86 | 96 |
|  | 5 |  |  | 1.12 | 94 | 17.13 | 133 | 18.25 | 130 |
|  | 6 |  |  | 0.96 | 137 | 25.73 | 159 | 26.69 | 158 |
|  | 7 |  |  | 0.04 | 150 | 13.43 | 168 | 13.47 | 168 |
|  | 8+ |  |  | 0.01 | 140 | 4.96 | 182 | 4.97 | 182 |
|  | Total | 161.49 |  | 26.08 |  | 175.63 |  | 363.20 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 1,879 |  | 1,563 |  | 16,974 |  | 20,416 |
| Quarter |  | Sub-division 22 |  | Sub-division 23 |  | Sub-division 24 |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 | 28.37 | 17 | 0.46 | 49 | 3.86 | 18 | 32.68 | 18 |
|  | 2 | 11.76 | 33 | 1.18 | 68 | 5.80 | 43 | 18.74 | 38 |
|  | 3 | 0.69 | 90 | 0.14 | 92 | 25.93 | 67 | 26.77 | 68 |
|  | 4 |  |  | 0.10 | 126 | 41.64 | 83 | 41.74 | 83 |
|  | 5 |  |  | 0.03 | 131 | 27.32 | 112 | 27.35 | 112 |
|  | 6 |  |  | 0.07 | 143 | 23.08 | 132 | 23.14 | 132 |
|  | 7 |  |  | 0.04 | 148 | 12.85 | 156 | 12.89 | 156 |
|  | 8+ |  |  | 0.01 | 139 | 5.41 | 160 | 5.42 | 160 |
|  | Total | 40.82 |  | 2.02 |  | 145.89 |  | 188.73 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 936 |  | 149 |  | 14,507 |  | 15,593 |
| Quarter |  | Sub-division 22 |  | Sub-division 23 |  | Sub-division 24 |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 | 0.09 | 13 | 0.13 | 12 | 1.13 | 13 | 1.35 | 13 |
|  | 1 | 0.05 | 32 | 0.65 | 45 | 5.91 | 35 | 6.61 | 36 |
|  | 2 | 0.01 | 34 | 0.72 | 73 | 5.14 | 59 | 5.87 | 60 |
|  | 3 |  |  | 0.41 | 91 | 10.34 | 52 | 10.75 | 54 |
|  | 4 |  |  | 0.15 | 93 | 4.66 | 60 | 4.81 | 61 |
|  | 5 |  |  | 0.05 | 116 | 3.61 | 53 | 3.66 | 54 |
|  | 6 |  |  | 0.02 | 153 | 2.09 | 60 | 2.11 | 61 |
|  | 7 |  |  | 0.01 | 134 | 0.81 | 63 | 0.82 | 64 |
|  | 8+ |  |  | 0.01 | 124 | 0.18 | 91 | 0.19 | 92 |
|  | Total | 0.14 |  | 2.16 |  | 33.88 |  | 36.18 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 3 |  | 146 |  | 1,725 |  | 1,875 |
| Quarter |  | Sub-division 22 |  | Sub-division 23 |  | Sub-division 24 |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 | 7.28 | 11 | 0.88 | 26 | 2.08 | 17 | 10.24 | 14 |
|  | 1 | 0.67 | 34 | 1.54 | 51 | 12.20 | 40 | 14.41 | 41 |
|  | 2 | 0.09 | 80 | 1.93 | 77 | 20.57 | 74 | 22.60 | 74 |
|  | 3 |  |  | 0.71 | 92 | 18.84 | 97 | 19.55 | 96 |
|  | 4 |  |  | 0.13 | 117 | 4.92 | 126 | 5.05 | 126 |
|  | 5 |  |  | 0.05 | 138 | 1.99 | 146 | 2.04 | 145 |
|  | 6 |  |  | 0.03 | 150 | 2.22 | 173 | 2.25 | 173 |
|  | 7 |  |  | 0.01 | 144 | 0.58 | 181 | 0.58 | 181 |
|  | 8+ |  |  | 0.01 | 157 | 0.63 | 189 | 0.64 | 188 |
|  | Total | 8.04 |  | 5.28 |  | 64.04 |  | 77.36 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 112 |  | 344 |  | 5,386 |  | 5,841 |
| Quarter |  | Sub-division 22 |  | Sub-division 23 |  | Sub-division 24 |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{T} \\ & \mathbf{o} \\ & \mathbf{t} \\ & \mathbf{a} \\ & \mathbf{1} \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 7.37 | 11 | 1.01 | 24 | 3.21 | 16 | 11.59 | 14 |
|  | 1 | 175.40 | 11 | 4.14 | 42 | 28.02 | 31 | 207.56 | 14 |
|  | 2 | 25.75 | 32 | 22.10 | 54 | 68.05 | 53 | 115.89 | 48 |
|  | 3 | 1.99 | 65 | 3.58 | 73 | 96.91 | 74 | 102.48 | 73 |
|  | 4 |  |  | 2.24 | 119 | 81.22 | 89 | 83.46 | 89 |
|  | 5 |  |  | 1.25 | 97 | 50.05 | 116 | 51.30 | 116 |
|  | 6 |  |  | 1.07 | 138 | 53.12 | 144 | 54.19 | 144 |
|  | 7 |  |  | 0.10 | 147 | 27.67 | 160 | 27.77 | 160 |
|  | 8+ |  |  | 0.04 | 140 | 11.17 | 170 | 11.21 | 170 |
|  | Total | 210.50 |  | 35.53 |  | 419.43 |  | 665.46 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 2,930 |  | 2,202 |  | 38,593 |  | 43,725 |

Table 3.2.4 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24. Samples of commercial landings by quarter and area for 2005 available to the Working Group.

|  | Country | Quarter | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Landings } \\ \text { in '000 tons } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Numbers of samples | Numbers of fish meas. | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Numbers of } \\ \text { fish aged } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skagerrak | Denmark | 1 | 4.9 | No data available |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | 0.8 | 7 | 59 | 35 |
|  |  | 3 | 7.2 | 30 | 2748 | 731 |
|  |  | 4 | 1.8 | 8 | 842 | 380 |
|  | Total |  | 14.8 | 45 | 3,649 | 1,146 |
|  | Germany | 1 | - | No data available |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 0.6 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | 0.2 |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Faroe Islands | 1 | - | No data available |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | 0.3 |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | 9.2 | 25 | 1,248 | 1,246 |
|  |  | 2 | 1.3 | 5 | 462 | 462 |
|  |  | 3 | 12.6 | 10 | 492 | 486 |
|  |  | 4 | 9.5 | 13 | 710 | 709 |
|  | Total |  | 32.5 | 53 | 2,912 | 2,903 |
| Kattegat | Denmark | 1 | 4.9 | 18 | 1,542 | 946 |
|  |  | 2 | 1.3 | 9 | 231 | 178 |
|  |  | 3 | 3.2 | 32 | 1,786 | 495 |
|  |  | 4 | 1.7 | 11 | 700 | 547 |
|  | Total |  | 11.1 | 70 | 4,259 | 2,166 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | 3.7 | 10 | 500 | 498 |
|  |  | 2 | 1.9 | 10 | 496 | 495 |
|  |  | 3 | 3.1 | 6 | 465 | 465 |
|  |  | 4 | 1.3 | 4 | 421 | 419 |
|  | Total |  | 10.0 | 30 | 1,882 | 1,877 |
| Subdivision 22 | Denmark | 1 | 0.8 | 18 | 1,027 | 286 |
|  |  | 2 | 0.2 | 4 | 59 | 59 |
|  |  | 3 | 0.0 | 10 | 232 | 27 |
|  |  | 4 | 0.0 | 13 | 903 | 386 |
|  | Total |  | 1.0 | 45 | 2,221 | 758 |
|  | Germany | 1 | 1.1 | No data available |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | 0.7 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subdivision 23 | Denmark | 1 | 1.4 | 1 | 158 | 106 |
|  |  | 2 | 0.1 |  | o data available |  |
|  |  | 3 | 0.1 |  | o data available |  |
|  |  | 4 | 0.2 |  | o data available |  |
|  | Total |  | 1.8 | 1 | 158 | 106 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | 0.2 | No data available |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subdivision 24 | Denmark | 1 | 2.8 | 8 | 943 | 372 |
|  |  | 2 | 0.5 | 1 | 98 | 97 |
|  |  | 3 | 0.0 | No data available |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | 1.0 | 1 | 101 | 100 |
|  | Total |  | 4.3 | 10 | 1,142 | 569 |
|  | Germany | 1 | 8.7 | 17 | 4,842 | 1,074 |
|  |  | 2 | 9.5 | 20 | 8,331 | 1,529 |
|  |  | 3 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 4 | 1.0 | 4 | 1,191 | 479 |
|  | Total |  | 19.1 | 41 | 14,364 | 3,082 |
|  | Poland | 1 | 0.9 | 4 | 682 | 278 |
|  |  | 2 | 4.6 | 5 | 696 | 276 |
|  |  | 3 | 0.8 | 2 | 850 | 146 |
|  |  | 4 | 0.0 | 2 | 561 | 188 |
|  | Total |  | 6.3 | 13 | 2789 | 888 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | 4.7 | 8 | 805 | 560 |
|  |  | 2 | 0.1 | 4 | 200 | 199 |
|  |  | 3 | 0.9 | 3 | 185 | 183 |
|  |  | 4 | 3.5 | 5 | 300 | 250 |
|  | Total |  | 9.2 | 20 | 1,490 | 1,192 |

Table 3.2.5 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24.
Samples of landings by quarter and area used to
to estimate catch in numbers and mean weight by age for 2005.

|  | Country | Quarter | Fleet | Sampling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skagerrak | Denmark | 1 | C | Swedish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | C | Swedish sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 3 | C | Danish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | C | Danish sampling in Q4 |
|  | Germany | 1 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 2 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 3 | C | Danish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | C | Danish sampling in Q4 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | C | Swedish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | C | Swedish sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 3 | C | Swedish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | C | Swedish sampling in Q4 |
|  | Denmark | 1 | D | Swedish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | D | Danish sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 3 | D | Danish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | D | Danish sampling in Q4 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | D | Swedish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | D | Swedish sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 3 | D | Danish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | D | Swedish sampling in Q4 |
|  | Faroe Islands | 1 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 2 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 3 | C | Danish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | C | Danish sampling in Q4 |
| Kattegat | Denmark | 1 | C | Danish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | C | Swedish sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 3 | C | Swedish sampling in Q4 |
|  |  | 4 | C | Danish sampling in Q4 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | C | Swedish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | C | Swedish sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 3 | C | Swedish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | C | Swedish sampling in Q4 |
|  | Denmark | 1 | D | Danish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | D | Danish sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 3 | D | Danish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | D | Danish sampling in Q4 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | D | Danish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | D | No landings |
|  |  | 3 | D | Danish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | D | Danish sampling in Q4 |

Fleet $\mathbf{C}=$ Human consumption, Fleet $\mathbf{D}=$ Industrial landings.

Table 3.2.5 continued. HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24.
Samples of landings by quarter and area used to
to estimate catch in numbers and mean weight by age for 2005.

|  | Country | Quarter | Fleet | Sampling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subdivision 22 | Denmark | 1 | F | Danish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | F | Danish sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 3 | F | Danish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | F | Danish sampling in Q4 |
|  | Germany | 1 | F | Danish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | F | Danish sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 3 | F | Danish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | F | Danish sampling in Q4 |
| Subdivision 23 | Denmark | 1 | F | Danish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | F | Swedish sampling in Q2 in Kattegat (fleet C) |
|  |  | 3 | F | Swedish sampling in Q3 in Kattegat (fleet C) |
|  |  | 4 | F | Danish sampling in Q4 in Kattegat (fleet C) |
|  | Sweden | 1 | F | Danish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | F | Swedish sampling in Q2 in Sub-division 24 |
|  |  | 3 | F | Swedish sampling in Q3 in Sub-division 24 |
|  |  | 4 | F | Swedish sampling in Q4 in Sub-division 24 |
| Subdivision 24 | Denmark | 1 | F | Danish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | F | Danish sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 3 | F | Swedish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | F | Danish sampling in Q4 |
|  | Germany | 1 | F | German sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | F | German sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 3 | F | Swedish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | F | German sampling in Q4 |
|  | Poland | 1 | F | Polish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | F | Polish sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 3 | F | Polish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | F | Polish sampling in Q4 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | F | Swedish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | F | Swedish sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 3 | F | Swedish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | F | Swedish sampling in Q4 |

Fleet $\mathrm{C}=$ Human consumption, Fleet $\mathrm{D}=$ Industrial landings, Fleet $\mathrm{F}=$ All landings from Subdiv.22-24.

Table 3.2.6 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS
Proportion of North Sea autumn spawners and Baltic spring
spawners given in \% in Skagerrak and Kattegat by age and quarter. Year: 2005

| Quarter | W-rings | Skagerrak |  | n | source | Kattegat |  | n | source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { North Sea } \\ & \text { autumn SP } \end{aligned}$ | Baltic Spring SP |  |  | North Sea autumn SP | Baltic Spring SP |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 97.50\% | 2.50\% | 40 |  | 69.72\% | 30.28\% | 109 |  |
|  | 2 | 84.78\% | 15.22\% | 46 |  | 29.19\% | 70.81\% | 185 |  |
|  | 3 | 53.33\% | 46.67\% | 45 |  | 16.67\% | 83.33\% | 72 |  |
|  | 4 | 7.69\% | 92.31\% | 39 |  | 3.57\% | 96.43\% | 56 |  |
|  | 5 | 50.00\% | 50.00\% | 10 |  | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |  |  |
|  | 6 | 42.11\% | 57.89\% |  | IBTS | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |  | IBTS |
|  | 7 | 42.11\% | 57.89\% |  | age5-7 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |  | age5-7 |
|  | 8+ | 42.11\% | 57.89\% | 19 | (6-8+) | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 21 | (5-8+) |
| 2 | 1 | 97.67\% | 2.33\% | 43 |  | 60.42\% | 39.58\% | 96 |  |
|  | 2 | 57.14\% | 42.86\% | 49 |  | 38.78\% | 61.22\% | 49 |  |
|  | 3 | 37.50\% | 62.50\% | 24 |  | 30.95\% | 69.05\% | 42 |  |
|  | 4 | 3.33\% | 96.67\% |  |  | 16.67\% | 83.33\% | 18 |  |
|  | 5 | 3.33\% | 96.67\% |  | Acoust | 25.00\% | 75.00\% | 4 |  |
|  | 6 | 3.33\% | 96.67\% |  | IBTS | 7.69\% | 92.31\% |  |  |
|  | 7 | 3.33\% | 96.67\% |  | age4-6 | 7.69\% | 92.31\% |  |  |
|  | 8+ | 3.33\% | 96.67\% | 30 | (4-8+) | 7.69\% | 92.31\% | 26 | (6-8+) |
| 3 | 0 | 14.29\% | 85.71\% | 35 |  | 92.59\% | 7.41\% | 54 |  |
|  | 1 | 88.24\% | 11.76\% | 51 |  | 60.42\% | 39.58\% | 48 |  |
|  | 2 | 13.89\% | 86.11\% | 108 |  | 4.00\% | 96.00\% | 50 |  |
|  | 3 | 9.09\% | 90.91\% | 99 |  | 2.04\% | 97.96\% | 49 |  |
|  | 4 | 13.04\% | 86.96\% | 92 |  | 2.04\% | 97.96\% | 49 | Acoust |
|  | 5 | 18.18\% | 81.82\% | 22 | Acoust | 4.00\% | 96.00\% | 25 | IBTS |
|  | 6 | 4.76\% | 95.24\% | 21 | IBTS | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |  |  |
|  | 7 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |  | age7-8 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |  | age4-8 |
|  | 8+ | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 19 | (7-8+) | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 32 | (6-8+) |
| 4 | 0 | 100\%* | 0\%* | 5 |  | 94.06\% | 5.94\% | 101 |  |
|  | 1 | 60.77\% | 39.23\% | 130 |  | 38.89\% | 61.11\% | 90 |  |
|  | 2 | 17.86\% | 82.14\% | 56 |  | 2.08\% | 97.92\% | 96 |  |
|  | 3 | 11.11\% | 88.89\% | 45 |  | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 36 |  |
|  | 4 | 41.18\% | 58.82\% | 34 |  | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |  |  |
|  | 5 | 78.95\% | 21.05\% |  |  | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |  |  |
|  | 6 | 78.95\% | 21.05\% |  |  | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |  |  |
|  | 7 | 78.95\% | 21.05\% |  |  | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |  |  |
|  | 8+ | 78.95\% | 21.05\% | 19 | (5-8+) | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 22 | $(4-8+)$ |

Figures marked with * are based on less than 12 observations.
If data was reallocated from IBTS or acoustic surveys it is noted under `source`.
If age-clases were pooled it is noted under `source` in (), and the estimated percentages are in bold.

Table 3.2.7 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24. Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age, quarter and fleet of North Sea Autumn spawners in Kattegat.

North Sea Autumn spawners

| Division: |  |  | Kattegat | Year: | 2005 | Country: | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 17.29 | 43 | 25.60 | 24 | 42.90 | 32 |
|  | 2 | 14.97 | 62 | 1.08 | 43 | 16.05 | 60 |
|  | 3 | 3.01 | 93 | 0.01 | 88 | 3.02 | 93 |
|  | 4 | 0.28 | 126 |  |  | 0.28 | 126 |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $8+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 35.56 |  | 26.69 |  | 62.25 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 1,981 |  | 671 |  | 2,652 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 | 4.45 | 49 | 12.30 | 22 | 16.75 | 29 |
|  | 2 | 7.36 | 69 | 1.83 | 69 | 9.19 | 69 |
|  | 3 | 0.68 | 95 | 0.12 | 84 | 0.80 | 93 |
|  | 4 | 0.25 | 130 | 0.02 | 98 | 0.27 | 128 |
|  | 5 | 0.10 | 145 |  |  | 0.10 | 145 |
|  | 6 | 0.08 | 146 |  |  | 0.08 | 146 |
|  | 7 | 0.04 | 150 |  |  | 0.04 | 150 |
|  | 8+ | 0.01 | 140 |  |  | 0.01 | 140 |
|  | Total | 12.98 |  | 14.27 |  | 27.25 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 860 |  | 410 |  | 1,270 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 | 1.34 | 8 | 46.42 | 12 | 47.77 | 11 |
|  | 1 | 12.85 | 65 | 6.68 | 31 | 19.53 | 54 |
|  | 2 | 1.38 | 85 | 0.01 | 46 | 1.39 | 85 |
|  | 3 | 0.16 | 111 |  |  | 0.16 | 111 |
|  | 4 | 0.02 | 140 |  |  | 0.02 | 140 |
|  | 5 | 0.00 | 149 |  |  | 0.00 | 149 |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 15.76 |  | 53.11 |  | 68.87 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 991 |  | 743 |  | 1,734 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 | 7.39 | 29 | 4.27 | 22 | 11.65 | 26 |
|  | 1 | 7.65 | 57 | 0.70 | 49 | 8.35 | 56 |
|  | 2 | 0.26 | 83 | 0.01 | 92 | 0.26 | 83 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 15.30 |  | 4.97 |  | 20.27 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 669 |  | 128 |  | 797 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 | 8.73 | 26 | 50.69 | 12 | 59.42 | 14 |
|  | 1 | 42.24 | 53 | 45.29 | 25 | 87.53 | 39 |
|  | 2 | 23.97 | 65 | 2.92 | 59 | 26.90 | 65 |
|  | 3 | 3.85 | 94 | 0.13 | 85 | 3.98 | 94 |
|  | 4 | 0.56 | 128 | 0.02 | 98 | 0.58 | 127 |
|  | 5 | 0.10 | 145 |  |  | 0.10 | 145 |
|  | 6 | 0.08 | 146 |  |  | 0.08 | 146 |
|  | 7 | 0.04 | 150 |  |  | 0.04 | 150 |
|  | $8+$ | 0.01 | 140 |  |  | 0.01 | 140 |
|  | Total | 79.60 |  | 99.05 |  | 178.64 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 4,501 |  | 1,953 |  | 6,454 |

Table 3.2.8
HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24.
Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age, quarter and fleet of North Sea Autumn spawners in Skagerrak.


Table 3.2.9 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24. Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age, quarter and fleet of Baltic Spring spawners in Kattegat.

Baltic Spring spawners

| Division: |  |  | Kattegat | Year: | 2005 | Country: | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 7.51 | 43 | 11.12 | 24 | 18.63 | 32 |
|  | 2 | 36.33 | 62 | 2.61 | 43 | 38.94 | 60 |
|  | 3 | 15.03 | 93 | 0.07 | 88 | 15.09 | 93 |
|  | 4 | 7.69 | 126 |  |  | 7.69 | 126 |
|  | 5 | 2.24 | 148 |  |  | 2.24 | 148 |
|  | 6 | 1.64 | 151 |  |  | 1.64 | 151 |
|  | 7 | 0.47 | 152 |  |  | 0.47 | 152 |
|  | $8+$ | 0.04 | 179 |  |  | 0.04 | 179 |
|  | Total | 70.94 |  | 13.79 |  | 84.73 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 5,585 |  | 389 |  | 5,973 |
|  |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| Quarter | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 | 2.92 | 49 | 8.06 | 22 | 10.98 | 29 |
|  | 2 | 11.62 | 69 | 2.89 | 69 | 14.51 | 69 |
|  | 3 | 1.52 | 95 | 0.26 | 84 | 1.78 | 93 |
|  | 4 | 1.27 | 130 | 0.09 | 98 | 1.36 | 128 |
|  | 5 | 0.30 | 145 |  |  | 0.30 | 145 |
|  | 6 | 0.98 | 146 |  |  | 0.98 | 146 |
|  | 7 | 0.54 | 150 |  |  | 0.54 | 150 |
|  | 8+ | 0.16 | 140 |  |  | 0.16 | 140 |
|  | Total | 19.31 |  | 11.30 |  | 30.61 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 1,546 |  | 408 |  | 1,954 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 | 0.11 | 8 | 3.71 | 12 | 3.82 | 11 |
|  | 1 | 8.42 | 65 | 4.38 | 31 | 12.79 | 54 |
|  | 2 | 33.18 | 85 | 0.24 | 46 | 33.41 | 85 |
|  | 3 | 7.78 | 111 |  |  | 7.78 | 111 |
|  | 4 | 0.89 | 140 |  |  | 0.89 | 140 |
|  | 5 | 0.07 | 149 |  |  | 0.07 | 149 |
|  | 6 | 0.08 | 152 |  |  | 0.08 | 152 |
|  | 7 | 0.06 | 197 |  |  | 0.06 | 197 |
|  | $8+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 50.59 |  | 8.33 |  | 58.91 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 4,405 |  | 189 |  | 4,594 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 | 0.47 | 29 | 0.27 | 22 | 0.74 | 26 |
|  | 1 | 12.02 | 57 | 1.10 | 49 | 13.12 | 56 |
|  | 2 | 12.10 | 83 | 0.29 | 92 | 12.39 | 83 |
|  | 3 | 2.19 | 99 | 0.05 | 99 | 2.24 | 99 |
|  | 4 | 0.51 | 149 | 0.02 | 129 | 0.53 | 148 |
|  | 5 | 0.19 | 150 |  |  | 0.19 | 150 |
|  | 6 | 0.01 | 268 |  |  | 0.01 | 268 |
|  | 7 | 0.04 | 140 |  |  | 0.04 | 140 |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 27.52 |  | 1.72 |  | 29.24 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 2,027 |  | 93 |  | 2,121 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 | 0.57 | 25 | 3.98 | 12 | 4.56 | 14 |
|  | 1 | 30.87 | 55 | 24.65 | 26 | 55.52 | 42 |
|  | 2 | 93.22 | 74 | 6.03 | 58 | 99.25 | 73 |
|  | 3 | 26.51 | 99 | 0.38 | 87 | 26.89 | 99 |
|  | 4 | 10.36 | 129 | 0.11 | 104 | 10.47 | 129 |
|  | 5 | 2.80 | 148 |  |  | 2.80 | 148 |
|  | 6 | 2.71 | 150 |  |  | 2.71 | 150 |
|  | 7 | 1.11 | 153 |  |  | 1.11 | 153 |
|  | 8+ | 0.20 | 148 |  |  | 0.20 | 148 |
|  | Total | 168.35 |  | 35.14 |  | 203.50 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 13,562 |  | 1,080 |  | 14,642 |

Table 3.2.10 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24.
Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP ( $\mathbf{t}$ ) by age, quarter and fleet of Baltic Spring spawners in Skagerrak.

## Baltic Spring spawners

| Division: |  |  | Skagerrak | Year: | 2005 | Country: All |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 0.67 | 40 | 0.73 | 34 | 1.39 | 37 |
|  | 2 | 13.40 | 69 | 6.22 | 68 | 19.62 | 69 |
|  | 3 | 5.37 | 105 | 2.98 | 105 | 8.35 | 105 |
|  | 4 | 4.25 | 132 | 3.07 | 135 | 7.32 | 134 |
|  | 5 | 0.10 | 138 | 0.12 | 144 | 0.22 | 141 |
|  | 6 | 0.04 | 201 | 0.36 | 151 | 0.40 | 156 |
|  | 7 |  |  | 0.23 | 171 | 0.23 | 171 |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 23.83 |  | 13.70 |  | 37.53 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 2,098 |  | 1,287 |  | 3,385 |
|  |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| Quarter | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 | 0.12 | 46 | 0.58 | 23 | 0.70 | 27 |
|  | 2 | 4.25 | 68 | 3.46 | 65 | 7.72 | 67 |
|  | 3 | 0.36 | 93 | 0.17 | 103 | 0.53 | 96 |
|  | 4 | 0.19 | 128 | 0.05 | 131 | 0.23 | 129 |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 4.93 |  | 4.25 |  | 9.18 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 354 |  | 261 |  | 615 |
|  |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| Quarter | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 | 4.74 | 23 | 86.02 | 14 | 90.76 | 14 |
|  | 1 | 9.01 | 76 | 3.47 | 35 | 12.48 | 65 |
|  | 2 | 44.77 | 109 | 1.02 | 68 | 45.78 | 108 |
|  | 3 | 17.33 | 129 |  |  | 17.33 | 129 |
|  | 4 | 13.08 | 148 |  |  | 13.08 | 148 |
|  | 5 | 3.39 | 161 |  |  | 3.39 | 161 |
|  | 6 | 4.16 | 183 |  |  | 4.16 | 183 |
|  | 7 | 0.97 | 196 |  |  | 0.97 | 196 |
|  | 8+ | 0.46 | 210 |  |  | 0.46 | 210 |
|  | Total | 97.91 |  | 90.50 |  | 188.41 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 11,428 |  | 1,361 |  | 12,789 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 21.66 | 80 | 5.87 | 68 | 27.52 | 78 |
|  | 2 | 19.87 | 110 | 4.53 | 111 | 24.40 | 110 |
|  | 3 | 4.00 | 138 | 0.82 | 135 | 4.82 | 137 |
|  | 4 | 2.65 | 173 | 0.49 | 178 | 3.14 | 174 |
|  | 5 | 0.32 | 190 | 0.03 | 170 | 0.35 | 188 |
|  | 6 | 0.43 | 191 | 0.02 | 198 | 0.45 | 192 |
|  | 7 | 0.06 | 229 | 0.01 | 212 | 0.07 | 227 |
|  | $8+$ | 0.04 | 215 |  |  | 0.04 | 215 |
|  | Total | 49.03 |  | 11.76 |  | 60.80 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 5,097 |  | 1,115 |  | 6,212 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 | 4.74 | 23 | 86.02 | 14 | 90.76 | 14 |
|  | 1 | 31.46 | 78 | 10.64 | 53 | 42.09 | 72 |
|  | 2 | 82.30 | 101 | 15.22 | 80 | 97.52 | 97 |
|  | 3 | 27.06 | 125 | 3.96 | 111 | 31.02 | 123 |
|  | 4 | 20.17 | 148 | 3.61 | 141 | 23.78 | 147 |
|  | 5 | 3.81 | 163 | 0.15 | 149 | 3.96 | 162 |
|  | 6 | 4.63 | 184 | 0.38 | 153 | 5.01 | 181 |
|  | 7 | 1.03 | 198 | 0.24 | 173 | 1.27 | 193 |
|  | 8+ | 0.50 | 210 |  |  | 0.50 | 210 |
|  | Total | 175.69 |  | 120.22 |  | 295.91 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 18,977 |  | 4,023 |  | 23,001 |

Table 3.2.11 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24.
Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age, quarter and fleet of North Sea Autumn spawners in Division IIIa.

| Division: IIIa |  |  |  | North Sea Autumn spawners |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Year: | 2005 | Country: | All |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 43.26 | 41 | 53.90 | 30 | 97.15 | 35 |
|  | 2 | 89.64 | 68 | 35.71 | 67 | 125.35 | 67 |
|  | 3 | 9.14 | 101 | 3.42 | 105 | 12.56 | 102 |
|  | 4 | 0.64 | 129 | 0.26 | 135 | 0.90 | 131 |
|  | 5 | 0.10 | 138 | 0.12 | 144 | 0.22 | 141 |
|  | 6 | 0.03 | 201 | 0.26 | 151 | 0.29 | 156 |
|  | 7 |  |  | 0.17 | 171 | 0.17 | 171 |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 142.81 |  | 93.82 |  | 236.63 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 8,878 |  | 4,465 |  | 13,344 |
|  |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| Quarter | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 | 9.70 | 48 | 36.52 | 22 | 46.22 | 28 |
|  | 2 | 13.03 | 69 | 6.45 | 66 | 19.48 | 68 |
|  | 3 | 0.89 | 94 | 0.22 | 93 | 1.11 | 94 |
|  | 4 | 0.26 | 130 | 0.02 | 101 | 0.28 | 128 |
|  | 5 | 0.10 | 145 |  |  | 0.10 | 145 |
|  | 6 | 0.08 | 146 |  |  | 0.08 | 146 |
|  | 7 | 0.04 | 150 |  |  | 0.04 | 150 |
|  | 8+ | 0.01 | 140 |  |  | 0.01 | 140 |
|  | Total | 24.13 |  | 43.21 |  | 67.34 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 1,511 |  | 1,268 |  | 2,779 |
|  |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| Quarter | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 | 2.13 | 13 | 60.76 | 12 | 62.89 | 12 |
|  | 1 | 80.41 | 74 | 32.69 | 34 | 113.10 | 63 |
|  | 2 | 8.60 | 105 | 0.17 | 67 | 8.78 | 104 |
|  | 3 | 1.89 | 127 |  |  | 1.89 | 127 |
|  | 4 | 1.98 | 148 |  |  | 1.98 | 148 |
|  | 5 | 0.76 | 161 |  |  | 0.76 | 161 |
|  | 6 | 0.21 | 183 |  |  | 0.21 | 183 |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 95.99 |  | 93.62 |  | 189.61 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 7,587 |  | 1,868 |  | 9,455 |
|  |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| Quarter | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 | 9.21 | 30 | 24.33 | 23 | 33.54 | 25 |
|  | 1 | 41.20 | 76 | 9.78 | 67 | 50.98 | 74 |
|  | 2 | 4.58 | 108 | 0.99 | 111 | 5.57 | 109 |
|  | 3 | 0.50 | 138 | 0.10 | 135 | 0.60 | 137 |
|  | 4 | 1.85 | 173 | 0.35 | 178 | 2.20 | 174 |
|  | 5 | 1.18 | 190 | 0.12 | 170 | 1.30 | 188 |
|  | 6 | 1.63 | 191 | 0.07 | 198 | 1.69 | 192 |
|  | 7 | 0.23 | 229 | 0.03 | 212 | 0.26 | 227 |
|  | 8+ | 0.15 | 215 |  |  | 0.15 | 215 |
|  | Total | 60.53 |  | 35.77 |  | 96.30 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 4,908 |  | 1,442 |  | 6,349 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 | 11.35 | 27 | 85.09 | 15 | 96.44 | 17 |
|  | 1 | 174.56 | 65 | 132.89 | 32 | 307.46 | 51 |
|  | 2 | 115.85 | 72 | 43.32 | 68 | 159.17 | 71 |
|  | 3 | 12.43 | 106 | 3.74 | 105 | 16.17 | 106 |
|  | 4 | 4.73 | 154 | 0.62 | 158 | 5.36 | 155 |
|  | 5 | 2.14 | 175 | 0.23 | 157 | 2.38 | 173 |
|  | 6 | 1.95 | 189 | 0.33 | 160 | 2.27 | 185 |
|  | 7 | 0.28 | 216 | 0.20 | 178 | 0.48 | 200 |
|  | 8+ | 0.16 | 209 |  |  | 0.16 | 209 |
|  | Total | 323.46 |  | 266.42 |  | 589.88 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 22,884 |  | 9,042 |  | 31,927 |

Table 3.2.12 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24.
Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age, quarter and fleet of Baltic Spring spawners in Division IIIa.

## Baltic Spring spawners

| Division |  | n: IIIa |  | Year: | 2005 | Country: | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 8.17 | 43 | 11.84 | 25 | 20.02 | 32 |
|  | 2 | 49.73 | 64 | 8.82 | 60 | 58.55 | 63 |
|  | 3 | 20.40 | 96 | 3.04 | 105 | 23.44 | 97 |
|  | 4 | 11.94 | 128 | 3.07 | 135 | 15.01 | 130 |
|  | 5 | 2.34 | 148 | 0.12 | 144 | 2.46 | 148 |
|  | 6 | 1.68 | 152 | 0.36 | 151 | 2.03 | 152 |
|  | 7 | 0.47 | 152 | 0.23 | 171 | 0.70 | 159 |
|  | 8+ | 0.04 | 179 |  |  | 0.04 | 179 |
|  | Total | 94.77 |  | 27.49 |  | 122.26 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 7,683 |  | 1,675 |  | 9,358 |
|  |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| Quarter | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 | 3.04 | 49 | 8.64 | 22 | 11.68 | 29 |
|  | 2 | 15.87 | 69 | 6.36 | 67 | 22.23 | 68 |
|  | 3 | 1.88 | 95 | 0.43 | 92 | 2.31 | 94 |
|  | 4 | 1.46 | 130 | 0.13 | 110 | 1.59 | 128 |
|  | 5 | 0.30 | 145 |  |  | 0.30 | 145 |
|  | 6 | 0.98 | 146 |  |  | 0.98 | 146 |
|  | 7 | 0.54 | 150 |  |  | 0.54 | 150 |
|  | 8+ | 0.16 | 140 |  |  | 0.16 | 140 |
|  | Total | 24.23 |  | 15.56 |  | 39.79 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 1,900 |  | 669 |  | 2,569 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 | 4.85 | 22 | 89.73 | 14 | 94.58 | 14 |
|  | 1 | 17.42 | 71 | 7.85 | 33 | 25.27 | 59 |
|  | 2 | 77.94 | 99 | 1.25 | 64 | 79.20 | 98 |
|  | 3 | 25.11 | 123 |  |  | 25.11 | 123 |
|  | 4 | 13.98 | 147 |  |  | 13.98 | 147 |
|  | 5 | 3.47 | 161 |  |  | 3.47 | 161 |
|  | 6 | 4.23 | 182 |  |  | 4.23 | 182 |
|  | 7 | 1.03 | 196 |  |  | 1.03 | 196 |
|  | 8+ | 0.46 | 210 |  |  | 0.46 | 210 |
|  | Total | 148.49 |  | 98.83 |  | 247.32 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 15,833 |  | 1,551 |  | 17,384 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 | 0.47 | 29 | 0.27 | 22 | 0.74 | 26 |
|  | 1 | 33.68 | 72 | 6.96 | 65 | 40.64 | 71 |
|  | 2 | 31.97 | 100 | 4.82 | 110 | 36.79 | 101 |
|  | 3 | 6.19 | 124 | 0.87 | 133 | 7.06 | 125 |
|  | 4 | 3.15 | 169 | 0.51 | 176 | 3.67 | 170 |
|  | 5 | 0.50 | 175 | 0.03 | 170 | 0.53 | 175 |
|  | 6 | 0.44 | 193 | 0.02 | 198 | 0.46 | 193 |
|  | 7 | 0.10 | 193 | 0.01 | 212 | 0.11 | 195 |
|  | 8+ | 0.04 | 215 |  |  | 0.04 | 215 |
|  | Total | 76.55 |  | 13.48 |  | 90.04 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 7,124 |  | 1,208 |  | 8,332 |
|  |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| Quarter | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 | 5.32 | 23 | 90.00 | 14 | 95.32 | 14 |
|  | 1 | 62.32 | 67 | 35.29 | 34 | 97.61 | 55 |
|  | 2 | 175.52 | 86 | 21.25 | 74 | 196.77 | 85 |
|  | 3 | 53.57 | 112 | 4.34 | 109 | 57.92 | 112 |
|  | 4 | 30.53 | 141 | 3.72 | 140 | 34.25 | 141 |
|  | 5 | 6.61 | 157 | 0.15 | 149 | 6.76 | 156 |
|  | 6 | 7.34 | 171 | 0.38 | 153 | 7.71 | 170 |
|  | 7 | 2.14 | 175 | 0.24 | 173 | 2.38 | 175 |
|  | 8+ | 0.69 | 193 |  |  | 0.69 | 193 |
|  | Total | 344.05 |  | 155.37 |  | 499.41 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 32,539 |  | 5,103 |  | 37,643 |

Table 3.2.13 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND
Total catch in numbers (millions) and mean weight (g), SOP (tonnes) of
Western Baltic Spring spawners in Division IIIa and the North Sea in the years 1991-2005.

| Year W-rings |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | Numbers | 100.00 | 157.43 | 382.91 | 394.77 | 166.97 | 112.35 | 21.86 | 7.33 | 3.15 | 1,346.77 |
|  | Mean W. | 33.0 | 48.6 | 69.5 | 99.9 | 135.7 | 146.2 | 166.9 | 179.7 | 193.2 |  |
|  | SOP | 3,300 | 7,656 | 26,614 | 39,455 | 22,657 | 16,430 | 3,648 | 1,318 | 609 | 121,687 |
| 1992 | Numbers | 109.08 | 246.00 | 321.85 | 174.02 | 154.47 | 78.33 | 55.83 | 17.91 | 8.53 | 1,166.03 |
|  | Mean W. | 13.9 | 44.1 | 87.0 | 112.9 | 136.2 | 166.3 | 183.5 | 194.4 | 203.6 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,516 | 10,841 | 27,986 | 19,653 | 21,035 | 13,030 | 10,243 | 3,481 | 1,737 | 109,523 |
| 1993 | Numbers | 161.25 | 371.50 | 315.82 | 219.05 | 94.08 | 59.43 | 40.97 | 21.71 | 8.22 | 1,292.03 |
|  | Mean W. | 15.1 | 25.9 | 81.4 | 127.5 | 150.1 | 171.1 | 195.9 | 209.1 | 239.0 |  |
|  | SOP | 2,435 | 9,612 | 25,696 | 27,936 | 14,120 | 10,167 | 8,027 | 4,541 | 1,966 | 104,498 |
| 1994 | Numbers | 60.62 | 153.11 | 261.14 | 221.64 | 130.97 | 77.30 | 44.40 | 14.39 | 8.62 | 972.19 |
|  | Mean W. | 20.2 | 42.6 | 94.8 | 122.7 | 150.3 | 168.7 | 194.7 | 209.9 | 220.2 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,225 | 6,524 | 24,767 | 27,206 | 19,686 | 13,043 | 8,642 | 3,022 | 1,898 | 106,013 |
| 1995 | Numbers | 50.31 | 302.51 | 204.19 | 97.93 | 90.86 | 30.55 | 21.28 | 12.01 | 7.24 | 816.86 |
|  | Mean W. | 17.9 | 41.5 | 97.8 | 138.0 | 163.1 | 198.5 | 207.0 | 228.8 | 234.3 |  |
|  | SOP | 902 | 12,551 | 19,970 | 13,517 | 14,823 | 6,065 | 4,404 | 2,747 | 1,696 | 76,674 |
| 1996 | Numbers | 166.23 | 228.05 | 317.74 | 75.60 | 40.41 | 30.63 | 12.58 | 6.73 | 5.63 | 883.60 |
|  | Mean W. | 10.5 | 27.6 | 90.1 | 134.9 | 164.9 | 186.6 | 204.1 | 208.5 | 220.2 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,748 | 6,296 | 28,618 | 10,197 | 6,665 | 5,714 | 2,568 | 1,402 | 1,241 | 64,449 |
| 1997 | Numbers | 25.97 | 73.43 | 158.71 | 180.06 | 30.15 | 14.15 | 4.77 | 1.75 | 2.31 | 491.31 |
|  | Mean W. | 19.2 | 49.7 | 76.7 | 127.2 | 154.4 | 175.8 | 184.4 | 192.0 | 208.0 |  |
|  | SOP | 498 | 3,648 | 12,176 | 22,913 | 4,656 | 2,489 | 879 | 337 | 480 | 48,075 |
| 1998 | Numbers | 36.26 | 175.14 | 315.15 | 94.53 | 54.72 | 11.19 | 8.72 | 2.19 | 2.09 | 699.98 |
|  | Mean W. | 27.8 | 51.3 | 71.5 | 108.8 | 142.6 | 171.7 | 194.4 | 184.2 | 230.0 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,009 | 8,980 | 22,542 | 10,287 | 7,804 | 1,922 | 1,695 | 403 | 481 | 55,121 |
| 1999 | Numbers | 41.34 | 190.29 | 155.67 | 122.26 | 43.16 | 22.21 | 4.42 | 3.02 | 2.40 | 584.77 |
|  | Mean W. | 11.5 | 51.0 | 83.6 | 114.9 | 121.2 | 145.2 | 169.6 | 123.8 | 152.3 |  |
|  | SOP | 477 | 9,698 | 13,012 | 14,048 | 5,232 | 3,225 | 749 | 373 | 366 | 47,179 |
| 2000 | Numbers | 114.83 | 318.22 | 302.10 | 99.88 | 50.85 | 18.76 | 8.21 | 1.35 | 1.40 | 915.60 |
|  | Mean W. | 22.6 | 31.9 | 67.4 | 107.7 | 140.2 | 170.0 | 157.0 | 185.0 | 210.1 |  |
|  | SOP | 2,601 | 10,145 | 20,357 | 10,756 | 7,131 | 3,189 | 1,288 | 249 | 294 | 56,010 |
| 2001 | Numbers | 121.68 | 36.63 | 208.10 | 111.08 | 32.06 | 19.67 | 9.84 | 4.17 | 2.42 | 545.65 |
|  | Mean W. | 9.0 | 51.2 | 76.2 | 108.9 | 145.3 | 171.4 | 188.2 | 187.2 | 203.3 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,096 | 1,875 | 15,863 | 12,093 | 4,657 | 3,371 | 1,852 | 780 | 492 | 42,079 |
| 2002 | Numbers | 69.63 | 577.69 | 168.26 | 134.60 | 53.09 | 12.05 | 7.48 | 2.43 | 2.02 | 1,027.26 |
|  | Mean W. | 10.2 | 20.4 | 78.2 | 117.7 | 143.8 | 169.8 | 191.9 | 198.2 | 215.5 |  |
|  | SOP | 709 | 11,795 | 13,162 | 15,848 | 7,632 | 2,046 | 1,435 | 481 | 435 | 53,544 |
| 2003 | Numbers | 52.11 | 63.02 | 182.53 | 65.45 | 64.37 | 21.47 | 6.26 | 4.35 | 1.81 | 461.38 |
|  | Mean W. | 13.0 | 37.4 | 76.5 | 113.3 | 132.7 | 142.2 | 153.5 | 169.9 | 162.2 |  |
|  | SOP | 678 | 2,355 | 13,957 | 7,416 | 8,540 | 3,053 | 961 | 740 | 294 | 37,994 |
| 2004 | Numbers | 25.7 | 209.3 | 96.0 | 94.0 | 18.2 | 16.8 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 466.71 |
|  | Mean W. | 27.1 | 43.2 | 81.9 | 117.1 | 145.4 | 157.4 | 170.7 | 184.4 | 187.1 |  |
|  | SOP | 695 | 9,047 | 7,869 | 11,005 | 2,652 | 2,651 | 769 | 279 | 111 | 35,078 |
| 2005 | Numbers | 95.3 | 96.9 | 203.3 | 75.4 | 46.9 | 9.3 | 11.5 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 543.51 |
|  | Mean W. | 14.1 | 54.9 | 85.6 | 121.6 | 148.3 | 162.7 | 176.3 | 178.3 | 200.6 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,341 | 5,319 | 17,415 | 9,163 | 6,961 | 1,519 | 2,028 | 618 | 282 | 44,645 |

Data for 1995 to 2001 was revised in 2003.

Table 3.2.14 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24.
Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t)
by age and quarter from of Western Batic Spring spawners in the North Sea \& Division IIIa \& Subdivisions 22-24.
(values from the North Sea, see Table 2.2.1-2.2.5)
Western Baltic Spring Spawners

| Division: |  |  |  | IV + IIIa + 22-24 |  |  |  | Year: 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Subarea IV |  | Division IIIa |  | Subdivisions 22-24 |  | Total |  |
| Quarter | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 |  |  | 20.02 | 32 | 153.86 | 10 | 173.875 | 12.60 |
|  | 2 |  |  | 58.55 | 63 | 68.68 | 41 | 127.238 | 51.36 |
|  | 3 |  |  | 23.44 | 97 | 45.41 | 71 | 68.852 | 80.00 |
|  | 4 |  |  | 15.01 | 130 | 31.86 | 96 | 46.876 | 106.57 |
|  | 5 |  |  | 2.46 | 148 | 18.25 | 130 | 20.711 | 132.21 |
|  | 6 |  |  | 2.03 | 152 | 26.69 | 158 | 28.722 | 157.33 |
|  | 7 |  |  | 0.70 | 159 | 13.47 | 168 | 14.177 | 167.66 |
|  | $8+$ |  |  | 0.04 | 179 | 4.97 | 182 | 5.007 | 182.05 |
|  | Total | 0.00 |  | 122.26 |  | 363.20 |  | 485.46 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 0 |  | 9,358 |  | 20,416 |  | 29,774 |
| Quarter |  | Subarea IV |  | Division IIIa |  | Subdivisions 22-24 |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.68 | 29 | 32.68 | 18 | 44.36 | 21 |
|  | 2 | 0.89 | 120 | 22.23 | 68 | 18.74 | 38 | 41.85 | 56 |
|  | 3 | 10.85 | 144 | 2.31 | 94 | 26.77 | 68 | 39.93 | 90 |
|  | 4 | 4.36 | 150 | 1.59 | 128 | 41.74 | 83 | 47.69 | 91 |
|  | 5 | 0.85 | 173 | 0.30 | 145 | 27.35 | 112 | 28.50 | 114 |
|  | 6 | 1.01 | 185 | 0.98 | 146 | 23.14 | 132 | 25.14 | 135 |
|  | 7 | 0.29 | 195 | 0.54 | 150 | 12.89 | 156 | 13.72 | 157 |
|  | $8+$ | 0.19 | 221 | 0.16 | 140 | 5.42 | 160 | 5.76 | 161 |
|  | Total | 18.43 |  | 39.79 |  | 188.73 |  | 246.95 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 2,753 |  | 2,569 |  | 15,593 |  | 20,915 |
| Quarter |  | Subarea IV |  | Division IIIa |  | Subdivisions 22-24 |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 94.58 | 14 | 1.35 | 13 |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0.00 | 143.00 | 25.27 | 59 | 6.61 | 36 | 31.88 | 54 |
|  | 2 | 5.66 | 105.00 | 79.20 | 98 | 5.87 | 60 | 90.73 | 96 |
|  | 3 | 6.59 | 170 | 25.11 | 123 | 10.75 | 54 | 42.45 | 113 |
|  | 4 | 8.32 | 177 | 13.98 | 147 | 4.81 | 61 | 27.11 | 141 |
|  | 5 | 1.72 | 182 | 3.47 | 161 | 3.66 | 54 | 8.85 | 121 |
|  | 6 | 2.78 | 190 | 4.23 | 182 | 2.11 | 61 | 9.12 | 157 |
|  | 7 | 0.79 | 183 | 1.03 | 196 | 0.82 | 64 | 2.65 | 151 |
|  | 8+ | 0.53 | 204 | 0.46 | 210 | 0.19 | 92 | 1.17 | 188 |
|  | Total | 26.38 |  | 247.32 |  | 36.18 |  | 213.95 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 4,282 |  | 17,384 |  | 1,875 |  | 22,201 |
| Quarter |  | Subarea IV |  | Division IIIa |  | Subdivisions 22-24 |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.74 | 26 | 10.24 | 14 | 10.97 | 15 |
|  | 1 | 0.01 | 111 | 40.64 | 71 | 14.41 | 41 | 55.06 | 63 |
|  | 2 | 0.03 | 127 | 36.79 | 101 | 22.60 | 74 | 59.41 | 91 |
|  | 3 | 0.00 | 206 | 7.06 | 125 | 19.55 | 96 | 26.61 | 104 |
|  | 4 | 0.00 | 214 | 3.67 | 170 | 5.05 | 126 | 8.72 | 145 |
|  | 5 | 0.00 | 229 | 0.53 | 175 | 2.04 | 145 | 2.57 | 152 |
|  | 6 | 0.00 | 238 | 0.46 | 193 | 2.25 | 173 | 2.71 | 176 |
|  | 7 | 0.00 | 293 | 0.11 | 195 | 0.58 | 181 | 0.69 | 183 |
|  | 8+ | 0.00 | 0 | 0.04 | 215 | 0.64 | 188 | 0.68 | 190 |
|  | Total | 0.03 |  | 90.04 |  | 77.36 |  | 167.43 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 4 |  | 8,332 |  | 5,841 |  | 14,178 |
| Quarter |  | Subarea IV |  | Division IIIa |  | Subdivisions 22-24 |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| T | 0 |  |  | 95.32 | 14 | 11.59 | 14 | 106.906 | 14.01 |
|  | 1 |  |  | 97.61 | 55 | 207.56 | 14 | 305.171 | 27.19 |
|  | 2 | 6.57 | 107 | 196.77 | 85 | 115.89 | 48 | 319.225 | 72.08 |
|  | 3 | 17.43 | 154 | 57.92 | 112 | 102.48 | 73 | 177.833 | 93.78 |
|  | 4 | 12.68 | 168 | 34.25 | 141 | 83.46 | 89 | 130.394 | 110.57 |
|  | 5 | 2.57 | 179 | 6.76 | 156 | 51.30 | 116 | 60.639 | 122.80 |
|  | 6 | 3.79 | 189 | 7.71 | 170 | 54.19 | 144 | 65.695 | 149.33 |
|  | 7 | 1.08 | 186 | 2.38 | 175 | 27.77 | 160 | 31.231 | 161.92 |
|  | 8+ | 0.71 | 208 | 0.69 | 193 | 11.21 | 170 | 12.620 | 173.55 |
|  | Total | 44.84 |  | 499.41 |  | 665.46 |  | 1,209.71 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 7,038 |  | 37,643 |  | 43,725 |  | 88,406 |

Table 3.2.15 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24.
Total catch in numbers (millions) of Western Baltic Spring Spawners
in the North Sea \& Division IIII \& Subdivisions 22-24 in the years 1991-2005.

|  | W-rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 100.0 | 157.4 | 382.9 | 394.8 | 167.0 | 112.4 | 21.9 | 7.3 | 3.2 | 1246.8 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 19.0 | 668.5 | 158.3 | 169.7 | 112.8 | 65.1 | 24.6 | 5.9 | 1.8 | 1206.8 |
| 1992 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 109.1 | 246.0 | 321.9 | 174.0 | 154.5 | 78.3 | 55.8 | 17.9 | 8.5 | 1056.9 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 36.0 | 210.7 | 280.8 | 190.8 | 179.5 | 104.9 | 84.0 | 34.8 | 14.0 | 1099.5 |
| 1993 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 161.3 | 371.5 | 315.8 | 219.0 | 94.1 | 59.4 | 41.0 | 21.7 | 8.2 | 1130.8 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 44.9 | 159.2 | 180.1 | 196.1 | 166.9 | 151.1 | 61.8 | 42.2 | 16.3 | 973.7 |
| 1994 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 60.6 | 153.1 | 261.1 | 221.6 | 131.0 | 77.3 | 44.4 | 14.4 | 8.6 | 911.6 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 202.6 | 96.3 | 103.8 | 161.0 | 136.1 | 90.8 | 74.0 | 35.1 | 24.5 | 721.6 |
| 1995 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 50.3 | 302.5 | 204.2 | 97.9 | 90.9 | 30.6 | 21.3 | 12.0 | 7.2 | 816.9 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 491.0 | 1,358.2 | 233.9 | 128.9 | 104.0 | 53.6 | 38.8 | 20.9 | 13.2 | 1951.5 |
| 1996 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 166.2 | 228.1 | 317.7 | 75.6 | 40.4 | 30.6 | 12.6 | 6.7 | 5.6 | 883.6 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 4.9 | 410.8 | 82.8 | 124.1 | 103.7 | 99.5 | 52.7 | 24.0 | 19.5 | 917.1 |
| 1997 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 26.0 | 73.4 | 158.7 | 180.1 | 30.2 | 14.2 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 491.3 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 350.8 | 595.2 | 130.6 | 96.9 | 45.1 | 29.0 | 35.1 | 19.5 | 21.8 | 973.2 |
| 1998 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 36.3 | 175.1 | 315.1 | 94.5 | 54.7 | 11.2 | 8.7 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 700.0 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 513.5 | 447.9 | 115.8 | 88.3 | 92.0 | 34.1 | 15.0 | 13.2 | 12.0 | 818.4 |
| 1999 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 41.34 | 190.29 | 155.67 | 122.26 | 43.16 | 22.21 | 4.42 | 3.02 | 2.40 | 584.8 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 528.3 | 425.8 | 178.7 | 123.9 | 47.1 | 33.7 | 11.1 | 6.5 | 3.7 | 830.5 |
| 2000 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 114.8 | 318.2 | 302.1 | 99.9 | 50.8 | 18.8 | 8.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 915.6 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 37.7 | 616.3 | 194.3 | 86.7 | 77.8 | 53.0 | 30.1 | 12.4 | 9.3 | 1079.9 |
| 2001 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 121.7 | 36.6 | 208.1 | 111.1 | 32.1 | 19.7 | 9.8 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 545.6 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 634.6 | 486.5 | 280.7 | 146.8 | 76.0 | 48.7 | 29.3 | 14.1 | 4.3 | 1721.0 |
| 2002 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 69.6 | 577.7 | 168.3 | 134.6 | 53.1 | 12.0 | 7.5 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1027.3 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 80.6 | 81.4 | 113.6 | 186.7 | 119.2 | 45.1 | 31.1 | 11.4 | 6.3 | 675.4 |
| 2003 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 52.1 | 63.0 | 182.5 | 64.0 | 62.2 | 20.3 | 5.9 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 455.5 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 1.4 | 63.9 | 82.3 | 95.8 | 125.1 | 82.2 | 22.9 | 13.1 | 7.0 | 493.6 |
| 2004 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 25.7 | 209.3 | 96.0 | 94.0 | 18.2 | 16.8 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 466.7 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 217.9 | 248.4 | 101.8 | 70.8 | 75.0 | 74.4 | 44.5 | 13.4 | 10.4 | 856.5 |
| 2005 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 95.3 | 96.9 | 203.3 | 75.4 | 46.9 | 9.3 | 11.5 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 543.5 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 11.6 | 207.6 | 115.9 | 102.5 | 83.5 | 51.3 | 54.2 | 27.8 | 11.2 | 665.5 |

Data for 1995-2001 for the North Sea and Div. IIIa was revised in 2003.

Table 3.2.16 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24.
Mean weight (g) and SOP (tons) of Western Baltic Spring Spawners
in the North Sea \& Division IIIa \& Subdivisions 22-24 in the years 1991-2005.

|  | W-rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | SOP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 33.0 | 48.6 | 69.5 | 99.9 | 135.7 | 146.2 | 166.9 | 179.7 | 193.2 | 121,687 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 11.5 | 31.5 | 60.4 | 83.2 | 105.2 | 126.6 | 145.6 | 160.0 | 163.7 | 69,886 |
| 1992 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 13.9 | 44.1 | 87.0 | 112.9 | 136.2 | 166.3 | 183.5 | 194.4 | 203.6 | 109,523 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 19.1 | 23.3 | 44.8 | 77.4 | 99.2 | 123.3 | 152.9 | 166.2 | 184.2 | 84,888 |
| 1993 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 15.1 | 25.9 | 81.4 | 127.5 | 150.1 | 171.1 | 195.9 | 209.1 | 239.0 | 104,498 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 16.2 | 24.5 | 44.5 | 73.6 | 94.1 | 122.4 | 149.4 | 168.5 | 178.7 | 80,512 |
| 1994 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 20.2 | 42.6 | 94.8 | 122.7 | 150.3 | 168.7 | 194.7 | 209.9 | 220.2 | 106,013 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 12.9 | 28.2 | 54.2 | 76.4 | 95.0 | 117.7 | 133.6 | 154.3 | 173.9 | 66,425 |
| 1995 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 17.9 | 41.5 | 97.8 | 138.0 | 163.1 | 198.5 | 207.0 | 228.8 | 234.3 | 76,674 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 9.3 | 16.3 | 42.8 | 68.3 | 88.9 | 125.4 | 150.4 | 193.3 | 207.4 | 74,157 |
| 1996 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 10.5 | 27.6 | 90.1 | 134.9 | 164.9 | 186.6 | 204.1 | 208.5 | 220.2 | 64,449 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 12.1 | 22.9 | 45.8 | 74.0 | 92.1 | 116.3 | 120.8 | 139.0 | 182.5 | 56,817 |
| 1997 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 19.2 | 49.7 | 76.7 | 127.2 | 154.4 | 175.8 | 184.4 | 192.0 | 208.0 | 48,075 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 30.4 | 24.7 | 58.4 | 101.0 | 120.7 | 155.2 | 181.3 | 197.1 | 208.8 | 67,513 |
| 1998 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 27.8 | 51.3 | 71.5 | 108.8 | 142.6 | 171.7 | 194.4 | 184.2 | 230.0 | 55,121 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 13.3 | 26.3 | 52.2 | 78.6 | 103.0 | 125.2 | 150.0 | 162.1 | 179.5 | 51,911 |
| 1999 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 11.5 | 51.0 | 83.6 | 114.9 | 121.2 | 145.2 | 169.6 | 123.8 | 152.3 | 47,179 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 11.1 | 26.9 | 50.4 | 81.6 | 112.0 | 148.4 | 151.4 | 167.8 | 161.0 | 50,060 |
| 2000 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 22.6 | 31.9 | 67.4 | 107.7 | 140.2 | 170.0 | 157.0 | 185.0 | 210.1 | 56,010 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 16.5 | 22.2 | 42.8 | 80.4 | 123.5 | 133.2 | 143.4 | 155.4 | 151.4 | 53,904 |
| 2001 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 9.0 | 51.2 | 76.2 | 108.9 | 145.3 | 171.4 | 188.2 | 187.2 | 203.3 | 42,079 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 12.9 | 22.3 | 46.8 | 69.0 | 93.5 | 150.8 | 145.1 | 146.3 | 153.1 | 63,724 |
| 2002 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 10.2 | 20.4 | 78.2 | 117.7 | 143.8 | 169.8 | 191.9 | 198.2 | 215.5 | 53,544 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 10.8 | 27.3 | 57.8 | 81.7 | 108.8 | 132.1 | 186.6 | 177.8 | 157.7 | 52,647 |
| 2003 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 13.0 | 37.4 | 76.5 | 112.7 | 132.1 | 140.8 | 151.9 | 167.4 | 158.2 | 37,075 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 22.4 | 25.8 | 46.4 | 75.3 | 95.2 | 117.2 | 125.9 | 157.1 | 162.6 | 40,315 |
| 2004 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 27.1 | 43.2 | 81.9 | 117.1 | 145.4 | 157.4 | 170.7 | 184.4 | 187.1 | 35,078 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 3.7 | 14.3 | 47.4 | 77.7 | 96.4 | 125.5 | 150.4 | 165.8 | 151.0 | 41,736 |
| 2005 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 14.1 | 54.9 | 85.6 | 121.6 | 148.3 | 162.7 | 176.3 | 178.3 | 200.6 | 44,645 |
|  | Sub-div. 22-24 | 13.6 | 14.2 | 48.3 | 73.3 | 89.3 | 115.5 | 143.6 | 159.9 | 170.2 | 43,725 |

Data for 1995-2001 for the North Sea and Div. IIIa was revised in 2003.

Table 3.2.17 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24.
Transfers of North Sea autumn spawners from Division IIIa to the North Sea.
Numbers (mill) \& mean weight (g) \& SOP in (tonnes) in the years 1991-2005.

|  | W-Rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | Number | 677.1 | 748.3 | 298.3 | 52.4 | 7.7 | 5.1 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 1,790.6 |
|  | Mean W. | 25.6 | 40.5 | 72.9 | 97.2 | 135.8 | 149.7 | 155.7 | 159.8 | 176.8 |  |
|  | SOP | 17,314 | 30,336 | 21,744 | 5,098 | 1,049 | 771 | 178 | 59 | 26 | 76,575 |
| 1992 | Number | 2,298.4 | 1,408.8 | 220.3 | 22.1 | 10.4 | 6.6 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 3,970.9 |
|  | Mean W. | 12.3 | 51.8 | 84.2 | 131.4 | 162.0 | 173.4 | 185.3 | 198.4 | 201.2 |  |
|  | SOP | 28,159 | 72,985 | 18,557 | 2,907 | 1,683 | 1,143 | 533 | 200 | 84 | 126,251 |
| 1993 | Number | 2,795.4 | 2,032.5 | 237.6 | 26.5 | 7.7 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 5,109.0 |
|  | Mean W. | 12.5 | 28.6 | 79.7 | 141.4 | 132.3 | 233.4 | 238.5 | 180.6 | 203.1 |  |
|  | SOP | 34,903 | 58,107 | 18,939 | 3,749 | 1,016 | 850 | 647 | 390 | 133 | 118,734 |
| 1994 | Number | 481.6 | 1,086.5 | 201.4 | 26.9 | 6.0 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1,807.5 |
|  | Mean W. | 16.0 | 42.9 | 83.4 | 110.7 | 138.3 | 158.6 | 184.6 | 199.1 | 213.9 |  |
|  | SOP | 7,723 | 46,630 | 16,790 | 2,980 | 831 | 460 | 287 | 75 | 37 | 75,811 |
| 1995 | Number | 1,144.5 | 1,189.2 | 161.5 | 13.3 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 2,514.4 |
|  | Mean W. | 11.2 | 39.1 | 88.3 | 145.7 | 165.5 | 204.5 | 212.2 | 236.4 | 244.3 |  |
|  | SOP | 12,837 | 46,555 | 14,267 | 1,940 | 573 | 225 | 133 | 86 | 65 | 76,680 |
| 1996 | Number | 516.1 | 961.1 | 161.4 | 17.0 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1,661.9 |
|  | Mean W. | 11.0 | 23.4 | 80.2 | 126.6 | 165.0 | 186.5 | 216.1 | 216.3 | 239.1 |  |
|  | SOP | 5,697 | 22,448 | 12,947 | 2,151 | 565 | 307 | 145 | 77 | 66 | 44,403 |
| 1997 | Number | 67.6 | 305.3 | 131.7 | 21.2 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 528.7 |
|  | Mean W. | 19.3 | 47.7 | 68.5 | 124.4 | 171.5 | 184.7 | 188.7 | 188.7 | 192.4 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,304 | 14,571 | 9,025 | 2,643 | 285 | 146 | 40 | 16 | 25 | 28,057 |
| 1998 | Number | 51.3 | 745.1 | 161.5 | 26.6 | 19.2 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1,011.6 |
|  | Mean W. | 27.4 | 56.4 | 79.8 | 117.8 | 162.9 | 179.7 | 197.2 | 178.9 | 226.3 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,409 | 41,994 | 12,896 | 3,137 | 3,136 | 547 | 608 | 211 | 108 | 64,045 |
| 1999 | Number | 598.8 | 303.0 | 148.6 | 47.2 | 13.4 | 6.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1,119.4 |
|  | Mean W. | 10.4 | 50.5 | 87.7 | 113.7 | 137.4 | 156.5 | 188.1 | 187.3 | 198.8 |  |
|  | SOP | 6,255 | 15,297 | 13,037 | 5,369 | 1,841 | 974 | 230 | 90 | 92 | 43,186 |
| 2000 | Number | 235.3 | 984.3 | 116.0 | 21.9 | 22.9 | 7.5 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1,391.8 |
|  | Mean W. | 21.3 | 28.5 | 76.1 | 108.8 | 163.1 | 190.3 | 183.9 | 189.4 | 200.2 |  |
|  | SOP | 5,005 | 28,012 | 8,825 | 2,377 | 3,731 | 1,436 | 601 | 114 | 13 | 50,115 |
| 2001 | Number | 807.8 | 563.6 | 150.0 | 17.2 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,540.8 |
|  | Mean W. | 8.7 | 49.4 | 75.3 | 108.2 | 130.1 | 147.1 | 219.1 | 175.8 | 198.1 |  |
|  | SOP | 7,029 | 27,849 | 11,300 | 1,856 | 177 | 43 | 109 | 8 | 5 | 48,376 |
| 2002 | Number | 478.5 | 362.6 | 56.7 | 5.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 904.5 |
|  | Mean W. | 12.2 | 38.0 | 100.6 | 121.5 | 142.7 | 160.9 | 178.7 | 177.4 | 218.6 |  |
|  | SOP | 5,859 | 13,790 | 5,705 | 684 | 106 | 26 | 21 | 8 | 5 | 26,205 |
| 2003 | Number | 21.6 | 445.0 | 182.3 | 13.0 | 16.2 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 682.4 |
|  | Mean W. | 20.5 | 33.7 | 67.0 | 123.2 | 150.3 | 163.5 | 190.2 | 214.6 | 186.8 |  |
|  | SOP | 442 | 14,992 | 12,219 | 1,606 | 2,436 | 293 | 213 | 264 | 33 | 32,498 |
| 2004 | Number | 88.4 | 70.9 | 179.9 | 20.7 | 6.0 | 9.7 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 380.4 |
|  | Mean W. | 22.5 | 55.3 | 70.2 | 120.6 | 140.9 | 151.7 | 170.6 | 186.6 | 178.5 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,993 | 3,921 | 12,638 | 2,498 | 851 | 1,479 | 312 | 367 | 154 | 24,214 |
| 2005 | Number | 96.4 | 307.5 | 159.2 | 16.2 | 5.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 589.9 |
|  | Mean W. | 16.5 | 50.5 | 71.0 | 105.9 | 154.6 | 173.5 | 184.5 | 200.2 | 208.9 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,595 | 15,527 | 11,304 | 1,712 | 828 | 412 | 420 | 95 | 34 | 31,927 |

Corrections for the years 1991-1998 was made in WG2001, but are NOT included in the North Sea assessment.

Table 3.3.1 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.
International Bottom Trawl Survey in the Kattegat in quarter 1.
Mean catch of spring-spawning herring at age in number per hour.

| Year | Winter rings |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | 416 | 681 | 65 | 43 | 11 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | 190 | 206 | 144 | 25 | 20 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | 588 | 82 | 33 | 21 | 13 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | 3140 | 554 | 81 | 35 | 50 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | 1380 | 256 | 112 | 22 | 31 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 781 | 132 | 30 | 42 | 24 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | 1312 | 1405 | 160 | 42 | 22 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 3267 | 229 | 119 | 15 | 18 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 407 | 853 | 165 | 74 | 8 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 309 | 66 | 43 | 21 | 14 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 1933 | 219 | 28 | 10 | 7 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 2335 | 178 | 222 | 23 | 7 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 1364 | 1495 | 41 | 10 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 147 | 144 | 37 | 6 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 286 | 257 | 26 | 12 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 361 | 163 | 48 | 19 | 17 |
| * no data available |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.3.2
WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.
International Bottom Trawl Survey in the Kattegat in quarter 3.
Mean catch of spring-spawning herring at age in number per hour.

| Year | Winter rings |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | 141 | 83 | 101 | 41 | 24 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | 372 | 108 | 70 | 63 | 25 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | 404 | 159 | 42 | 36 | 25 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | 265 | 229 | 154 | 49 | 36 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 687 | 192 | 113 | 99 | 29 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | 631 | 322 | 31 | 17 | 11 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 52 | 122 | 33 | 8 | 13 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 118 | 86 | 22 | 27 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 292 | 116 | 71 | 34 | 14 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 313 | 190 | 72 | 18 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 1568 | 169 | 100 | 16 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 969 | 550 | 170 | 53 | 29 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 1225 | 215 | 144 | 30 | 23 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 607 | 255 | 54 | 23 | 13 |

* $=$ no survey was carried out in 2000

Table 3.3.3 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Acoustic surveys on the Spring
Spawning Herring in the North Sea/Division IIIa in 1991-2005 (July).

| Year | 1991 | 1992* | 1993* | 1994* | 1995* | 1996* | 1997 | 1998 | 1999** | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Numbers in millions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W-rings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  | 3,853 | 372 | 964 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 277 | 103 | 5 | 2,199 | 1,091 | 128 | 138 | 1,367 | 1,509 | 66 | 3,346 | 1,833 | 1,669 | 2,687 |
| 2 | 1,864 | 2,092 | 2,768 | 413 | 1,887 | 1,005 | 715 | 1,682 | 1,143 | 1,891 | 641 | 1,577 | 1,110 | 930 | 1,342 |
| 3 | 1,927 | 1,799 | 1,274 | 935 | 1,022 | 247 | 787 | 901 | 523 | 674 | 452 | 1,393 | 395 | 726 | 464 |
| 4 | 866 | 1,593 | 598 | 501 | 1,270 | 141 | 166 | 282 | 135 | 364 | 153 | 524 | 323 | 307 | 201 |
| 5 | 350 | 556 | 434 | 239 | 255 | 119 | 67 | 111 | 28 | 186 | 96 | 88 | 103 | 184 | 103 |
| 6 | 88 | 197 | 154 | 186 | 174 | 37 | 69 | 51 | 3 | 56 | 38 | 40 | 25 | 72 | 84 |
| 7 | 72 | 122 | 63 | 62 | 39 | 20 | 80 | 31 | 2 | 7 | 23 | 18 | 12 | 22 | 37 |
| 8+ | 10 | 20 | 13 | 34 | 21 | 13 | 77 | 53 | 1 | 10 | 12 | 17 | 5 | 18 | 21 |
| Total | 5,177 | 10,509 | 5,779 | 3,339 | 6,867 | 2,673 | 2,088 | 3,248 | 3,201 | 4,696 | 1,481 | 7,002 | 3,807 | 3,926 | 4,939 |
| 3+ group | 3,313 | 4,287 | 2,536 | 1,957 | 2,781 | 577 | 1,245 | 1,428 | 691 | 1,295 | 774 | 2,079 | 864 | 1,328 | 910 |

Biomass ('000 tonnnes)

| Biomass ('000 tonnnes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W-rings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  | 34.3 | 1 | 8.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 26.8 | 7 | 0.4 | 77.4 | 52.9 | 4.7 | 7.1 | 74.8 | 61.4 | 3.5 | 137.2 | 79.0 | 63.9 | 105.9 |
| 2 | 177.1 | 169.0 | 139 | 33.2 | 108.9 | 87.0 | 52.2 | 136.1 | 101.6 | 138.1 | 55.8 | 107.2 | 91.5 | 75.6 | 100.1 |
| 3 | 219.7 | 206.3 | 112 | 114.7 | 102.6 | 27.6 | 81.0 | 84.8 | 59.5 | 68.8 | 51.2 | 126.9 | 41.4 | 89.4 | 46.6 |
| 4 | 116.0 | 204.7 | 69 | 76.7 | 145.5 | 17.9 | 21.5 | 35.2 | 14.7 | 45.3 | 21.5 | 55.9 | 41.7 | 41.5 | 28.9 |
| 5 | 51.1 | 83.3 | 65 | 41.8 | 33.9 | 17.8 | 9.8 | 13.1 | 3.4 | 25.1 | 17.9 | 12.8 | 13.9 | 29.3 | 16.5 |
| 6 | 19.0 | 36.6 | 26 | 38.1 | 27.4 | 5.8 | 9.8 | 6.9 | 0.5 | 10.0 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 4.2 | 11.7 | 14.9 |
| 7 | 13.0 | 24.4 | 16 | 13.1 | 6.7 | 3.3 | 14.9 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 7.5 |
| 8+ | 2.0 | 5.0 | 2 | 7.8 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 13.6 | 9.0 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 4.9 |
| Total | 597.9 | 756.1 | 436.5 | 325.8 | 506.2 | 215.1 | 207.5 | 297.0 | 254.9 | 351.4 | 164.2 | 454.0 | 274.5 | 318.8 | 325.3 |
| 3+ group | $420.9{ }^{\circ}$ | 560.3 | 291.0 | 292.3 | 319.9 | 75.2 | 150.6 | $153.7{ }^{\circ}$ | 78.5 | 151.9 | 104.9 | 209.6 | 104.0 | 179.3 | 119.3 |

Mean weight (g)

| Mean weight (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W-rings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  | 8.9 | 4.0 | 9.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 96.8 | 66.3 | 80.0 | 35.2 | 48.5 | 36.9 | 51.9 | 54.7 | 40.7 | 54.0 | 41.0 | 43.1 | 38.3 | 39.4 |
| 2 | 95 | 80.8 | 50.1 | 80.3 | 57.7 | 86.6 | 73.0 | 80.9 | 88.9 | 73.1 | 87.0 | 68.0 | 82.5 | 81.3 | 74.6 |
| 3 | 114 | 114.7 | 87.9 | 122.7 | 100.4 | 111.9 | 103.0 | 94.1 | 113.8 | 102.2 | 113.2 | 91.1 | 104.9 | 123.2 | 100.5 |
| 4 | 134 | 128.5 | 116.2 | 153.0 | 114.6 | 126.8 | 129.6 | 124.7 | 109.1 | 124.4 | 140.5 | 106.6 | 128.8 | 135.2 | 143.7 |
| 5 | 146 | 149.8 | 149.9 | 175.1 | 132.9 | 149.4 | 145.0 | 118.7 | 120.0 | 135.4 | 185.2 | 145.8 | 134.2 | 159.4 | 160.9 |
| 6 | 216 | 185.7 | 169.6 | 205.0 | 157.2 | 157.3 | 143.1 | 135.8 | 179.9 | 179.2 | 182.6 | 186.5 | 165.4 | 162.9 | 177.7 |
| 7 | 181 | 199.7 | 256.9 | 212.0 | 172.9 | 166.8 | 185.6 | 156.4 | 179.9 | 208.8 | 206.3 | 198.7 | 167.2 | 191.6 | 202.3 |
| 8+ | 200 | 252.0 | 164.2 | 230.3 | 183.1 | 212.9 | 178.0 | 168.0 | 181.7 | 135.2 | 226.9 | 183.4 | 170.3 | 178.0 | 229.2 |
| Total | 115.6 | 123.9 | 75.8 | 100.2 | 73.7 | 80.5 | 99.4 | 91.4 | 78.5 | 74.8 | 110.9 | 64.8 | 72.1 | 81.2 | 65.9 |

* revised in 1997
**the survey only covered the Skagerrak area by Norway. Additional estimates for the Kattegat area were added
(see ICES 2000/ACFM:10, Table 3.5.8)

Table 3.3.4 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Acoustic survey on the Spring Spanning Herring in Sub-divisions 22-24 in 1991-2005 (September/October).

| Year | $1991^{3)}$ | $1992^{3)}$ | $1993^{1)}$ | $1994^{1)}$ | $1995^{1)}$ | $1996^{1)}$ | $1997^{1)}$ | $1998^{1)}$ | $1999^{1)}$ | 2000 | $2001^{2)^{7}}$ | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Numbers in millions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W-rings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 5,577 | 3,467 | 768 | 4,383 | 4,001 | 1,418 | 2,608 | 2,179 | 4,821 | 1,021 | 1,831 | 3,984 | 3,701 | 2,401 | 2,769 |
| 1 | 2,507 | 2,179 | 345 | 412 | 1,163 | 1,084 | 1,389 | 451 | 1,145 | 1,208 | 1,314 | 611 | 781 | 912 | 662 |
| 2 | 880 | 1,015 | 354 | 823 | 307 | 541 | 492 | 557 | 246 | 477 | 1,761 | 372 | 200 | 590 | 569 |
| 3 | 852 | 465 | 485 | 540 | 332 | 413 | 343 | 364 | 187 | 348 | 1,013 | 566 | 230 | 352 | 378 |
| 4 | 259 | 233 | 381 | 433 | 342 | 282 | 151 | 232 | 129 | 206 | 357 | 337 | 276 | 166 | 183 |
| 5 | 102 | 71 | 122 | 182 | 247 | 283 | 112 | 99 | 44 | 81 | 92 | 61 | 103 | 145 | 102 |
| 6 | 49 | 32 | 52 | 56 | 124 | 110 | 92 | 51 | 8 | 39 | 55 | 23 | 41 | 81 | 87 |
| 7 | 6 | 8 | 28 | 22 | 40 | 44 | 32 | 23 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 23 | 25 |
| 8+ | 27 | 9 | 13 | 2 | 27 | 18 | 46 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 16 |
| Total | 10,259 | 7,480 | 2,547 | 6,854 | 6,583 | 4,193 | 5,265 | 3,966 | 6,582 | 3,389 | 6,428 | 5,970 | 5,353 | 4,682 | 4,791 |
| 3+ group | 1,295 | 818 | 1,080 | 1,235 | 1,112 | 1,151 | 775 | 778 | 370 | 682 | 1,522 | 1,002 | 671 | 780 | 791 |

Biomass ('000 tonnnes)

| W-rings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 62.0 | 48.9 | 11.1 | 49.3 | 41.1 | 12.3 | 25.6 | 20.4 | 54.2 | 12.8 | 21.4 | 33.9 | 31.5 | 20.5 | 28.6 |
| 1 | 97.8 | 77.8 | 12.3 | 14.3 | 39.6 | 32.9 | 49.4 | 18.2 | 42.3 | 47.5 | 59.1 | 23.9 | 24.7 | 34.2 | 26.0 |
| 2 | 60.0 | 57.5 | 15.7 | 38.1 | 19.8 | 26.8 | 29.2 | 41.4 | 18.8 | 29.7 | 118.7 | 27.1 | 14.9 | 34.9 | 43.6 |
| 3 | 76.9 | 39.5 | 29.7 | 39.2 | 28.5 | 29.2 | 31.9 | 32.9 | 22.0 | 29.0 | 93.4 | 56.1 | 23.3 | 28.4 | 34.3 |
| 4 | 29.4 | 28.5 | 23.5 | 41.3 | 39.1 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 27.5 | 13.1 | 24.1 | 34.2 | 39.8 | 36.3 | 18.9 | 21.8 |
| 5 | 13.5 | 10.6 | 12.3 | 22.9 | 26.7 | 33.9 | 16.0 | 11.2 | 5.6 | 9.2 | 11.6 | 8.6 | 15.6 | 17.8 | 14.0 |
| 6 | 6.4 | 5.1 | 6.7 | 11.5 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 13.2 | 6.1 | 0.8 | 5.6 | 7.6 | 3.3 | 6.2 | 12.6 | 14.0 |
| 7 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 4.9 | 8.8 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 5.0 |
| 8+ | 3.6 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 6.6 | 2.7 | 10.2 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 3.5 |
| Total | 350.3 | 271.6 | 115.3 | 222.1 | 224.8 | 178.4 | 201.6 | 163.5 | 157.4 | 159.7 | 346.9 | 195.2 | 155.8 | 172.8 | 190.8 |
| 3+ group | 130.5 | 87.4 | 76.2 | 120.4 | 124.4 | 106.3 | 97.4 | 83.5 | 42.1 | 69.6 | 147.7 | 110.3 | 84.6 | 83.2 | 92.6 |

Mean weight (g)

| W-rings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 11.1 | 14.1 | 14.4 | 11.2 | 10.3 | 8.7 | 9.8 | 9.4 | 11.2 | 12.6 | 11.7 | 8.5 | 8.5 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 39.0 | 35.7 | 35.7 | 34.7 | 34.0 | 30.4 | 35.6 | 40.3 | 37.0 | 39.3 | 45.0 | 39.1 | 31.7 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 68.2 | 56.7 | 44.3 | 46.3 | 64.5 | 49.6 | 59.4 | 74.3 | 76.4 | 62.2 | 67.4 | 72.8 | 74.5 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 90.2 | 84.9 | 61.3 | 72.6 | 85.9 | 70.7 | 93.1 | 90.4 | 117.6 | 83.3 | 92.2 | 99.2 | 101.2 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 113.5 | 122.3 | 61.6 | 95.5 | 114.5 | 71.1 | 139.2 | 118.3 | 101.8 | 117.1 | 95.7 | 118.2 | 131.2 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 132.2 | 148.7 | 100.9 | 125.9 | 108.0 | 119.7 | 142.3 | 114.0 | 127.5 | 114.1 | 126.0 | 142.6 | 151.0 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 122.6 | 130.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 130.4 | 161.0 | 129.6 | 204.0 | 118.1 | 133.5 | 143.4 | 120.5 | 107.2 | 143.0 | 137.0 | 142.8 | 150.9 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 133.0 | 205.7 | 80.2 | 222.6 | 222.0 | 128.5 | 161.6 | 158.1 | 232.7 | 202.9 | 175.7 | 205.5 | 155.7 |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 132.5 | 224.4 | 137.5 | 269.6 | 241.1 | 154.7 | 222.2 | 232.9 | 219.1 | 180.9 | - | 143.5 | 165.6 |
| Total | 34.1 | 36.3 | 45.3 | 32.4 | 34.2 | 42.5 | 38.3 | 41.2 | 23.9 | 47.1 | 54.0 | 32.7 | 29.1 |

${ }^{1)}$ revised in 2001 due to new presented area of strata in the 'Manual for the Baltic
International Acoustic Survey'. ICES CM 2000/H:2 Ref.: D: Annex 3 (Table 2.2)
${ }^{2)}$ incl. estimates for Sub-division 23, which was covered by RV ARGOS (Sweden) in November 2001
${ }^{3)}$ revised in 2003 due to revised Sa values

Table 3.3.5 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Estimation of the herring 0-Group ( $\mathrm{TL}>=\mathbf{3 0} \mathrm{mm}$ ) Greifswalder Bodden and adjacent waters (March/April to June).

| Year | Number in Millions |
| :---: | ---: |
| 1977 | $2000^{1}$ |
| 1978 | $100^{1}$ |
| 1979 | $2200^{1}$ |
| 1980 | $360^{1}$ |
| 1981 | $200^{1}$ |
| 1982 | $180^{1}$ |
| 1983 | $1760^{1}$ |
| 1984 | $290^{1}$ |
| 1985 | $1670^{1}$ |
| 1986 | $1500^{1}$ |
| 1987 | $1370^{1}$ |
| 1988 | $1223^{2}$ |
| 1989 | $63^{2}$ |
| 1990 | $57^{2}$ |
| 1991 | $236^{3}$ |
| 1992 | $18^{4}$ |
| 1993 | $199^{4}$ |
| 1994 | $788^{4}$ |
| 1995 | $171^{4}$ |
| 1996 | $31^{4}$ |
| 1997 | $54^{4}$ |
| 1998 | $2553^{4}$ |
| 1999 | $1945^{4}$ |
| 2000 | $151^{4}$ |
| 2001 | $421^{4}$ |
| 2002 | $2051^{4}$ |
| 2003 | $2005^{4}$ |
| 2004 | $860^{4}$ |
| 2005 | $162^{5}$ |

[^3]Table 3.6.1 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Input to ICA.

## Catch in number (millions)

| AGE | \| | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | I | 119.0 | 145.1 | 206.1 | 263.2 | 541.3 | 171.1 | 376.8 | 549.8 | 569.6 | 152.6 | 756.3 | 150.3 | 53.5 | 243.6 | 106.9 |
| 1 | I | 826.0 | 456.7 | 530.7 | 249.4 | 1660.7 | 638.9 | 668.6 | 623.1 | 616.1 | 934.5 | 523.2 | 659.1 | 126.9 | 457.8 | 305.2 |
| 2 | । | 541.2 | 602.6 | 495.9 | 365.0 | 438.1 | 400.6 | 289.3 | 430.9 | 334.3 | 496.4 | 488.8 | 281.8 | 264.9 | 197.8 | 319.2 |
| 3 | \| | 564.4 | 364.9 | 415.1 | 382.6 | 226.8 | 199.7 | 276.9 | 182.9 | 246.2 | 186.6 | 257.8 | 321.3 | 161.3 | 164.8 | 177.8 |
| 4 | । | 279.8 | 334.0 | 260.9 | 267.0 | 194.9 | 144.2 | 75.3 | 146.7 | 90.3 | 128.6 | 108.1 | 172.3 | 189.4 | 93.2 | 130.4 |
| 5 | I | 177.5 | 183.2 | 210.5 | 168.1 | 84.1 | 130.1 | 43.1 | 45.3 | 55.9 | 71.7 | 68.4 | 57.2 | 103.6 | 91.2 | 60.6 |
| 6 | । | 46.5 | 139.8 | 102.8 | 118.4 | 60.1 | 65.3 | 39.9 | 23.8 | 15.5 | 38.3 | 39.1 | 38.5 | 29.1 | 49.0 | 65.7 |
| 7 | I | 13.2 | 52.7 | 63.9 | 49.5 | 32.9 | 30.7 | 21.2 | 15.4 | 9.5 | 13.8 | 18.3 | 13.8 | 17.5 | 14.9 | 31.2 |
| 8 | \\| | 4.9 | 22.6 | 24.5 | 33.1 | 20.5 | 25.1 | 24.1 | 14.1 | 6.1 | 10.7 | 6.7 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 11.0 | 12.6 |

Table 3.6.2 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Input to ICA.

## Mean weight in catch (kg)

| AGE | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |




| 1 | 0.06685 | 0.06732 | 0.06797 | 0.08328 | 0.06843 | 0.08090 | 0.06845 | 0.06634 | 0.06583 | 0.05775 | 0.05931 | 0.06998 | 0.06711 | 0.06419 | 0.07208 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll} & 0.06685 & 0.06732 & 0.06797 & 0.08328 & 0.06843 & 0.08090 & 0.06845 & 0.06634 & 0.06583 & 0.05775 & 0.05931 & 0.06998 & 0.06711 & 0.06419 & 0.07208\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}1 & 0.09490 & 0.09435 & 0.10204 & 0.10323 & 0.09841 & 0.09702 & 0.11807 & 0.09423 & 0.09814 & 0.09501 & 0.08618 & 0.09678 & 0.09075 & 0.10017 & 0.09378\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1 & 0.12342 & 0.11630 & 0.11428 & 0.12213 & 0.12349 & 0.11254 & 0.13420 & 0.11779 & 0.11642 & 0.13013 & 0.10886 & 0.11956 & 0.10792 & 0.10596 & 0.11057\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1 & 0.13901 & 0.14169 & 0.13615 & 0.14115 & 0.15196 & 0.13283 & 0.16198 & 0.13673 & 0.14713 & 0.14280 & 0.15673 & 0.14003 & 0.12234 & 0.13139 & 0.12280\end{array}$ | 6 | 0.15560 | 0.16511 | 0.16795 | 0.15648 | 0.17041 | 0.13687 | 0.18170 | 0.16628 | 0.15660 | 0.14633 | 0.15597 | 0.18763 | 0.13188 | 0.15228 | 0.14933 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 7 | 0.17091 | 0.17576 | 0.18228 | 0.17046 | 0.20626 | 0.15425 | 0.19671 | 0.16523 | 0.15382 | 0.15829 | 0.15560 | 0.18141 | 0.16029 | 0.16768 | 0.16192 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 8 | 0.18256 | 0.19152 | 0.19890 | 0.18596 | 0.21696 | 0.19100 | 0.20872 | 0.18701 | 0.15756 | 0.15908 | 0.17132 | 0.17170 | 0.16252 | 0.15295 | 0.17355 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 3.6.3 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Input to ICA .

## Mean weight in stock (kg)

| AGE | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

$0 \quad 10.000100 .000100 .000100 .000100 .000100 .00010 \quad 0.00010 \quad 0.00010 \quad 0.00010 \quad 0.00010 \quad 0.00010 \quad 0.00010 \quad 0.00010 \quad 0.00010 \quad 0.00010$
$1 \quad 1 \quad 0.030850 .020290 .015630 .018550 .013050 .018150 .013100 .022090 .021060 .01398 \quad 0.016860 .016450 .014440 .013060 .01260$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1 & 0.05277 & 0.04513 & 0.04020 & 0.05288 & 0.04590 & 0.05456 & 0.05147 & 0.05578 & 0.05668 & 0.04313 & 0.05088 & 0.06368 & 0.04447 & 0.04561 \\ 0.0 .05136\end{array}$ $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll} & 0.07873 & 0.08176 & 0.09671 & 0.08357 & 0.07081 & 0.09051 & 0.10633 & 0.08293 & 0.08705 & 0.08370 & 0.07829 & 0.09046 & 0.07926 & 0.08106 & 0.08000\end{array}\right.$ $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}0.07873 & 0.08176 & 0.09671 & 0.08357 & 0.07081 & 0.09051 & 0.10633 & 0.08293 & 0.08705 & 0.08370 & 0.07829 & 0.09046 & 0.07926 & 0.08106 & 0.08000 \\ \mid & 0.10412 & 0.10751 & 0.10793 & 0.10767 & 0.13269 & 0.11703 & 0.13334 & 0.11280 & 0.10813 & 0.12504 & 0.11594 & 0.12388 & 0.10509 & 0.10925 & 0.10657\end{array}\right.$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll} \\ \mid & 0.12447 & 0.13127 & 0.14087 & 0.13921 & 0.16745 & 0.11974 & 0.16618 & 0.13378 & 0.14801 & 0.14365 & 0.16904 & 0.17365 & 0.12681 & 0.143999 & 0.13221\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1 & 0.14492 & 0.15934 & 0.16715 & 0.15656 & 0.18923 & 0.15383 & 0.19429 & 0.16779 & 0.16015 & 0.16287 & 0.17627 & 0.19830 & 0.15061 & 0.16285 & 0.15733\end{array}$ $7 \quad \mid \quad 0.159430 .171020 .182730 .176760 .209700 .146670 .208950 .168320 .143940 .165030 .16808 \quad 0.198010 .172870 .193210 .16766$

Table 3.6.4 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Input to ICA .

## Natural mortality



Table 3.6.5 a WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Input to ICA.
AGE - STRUCTURED INDICES.
Fleet 1b: Acoustic Survey in Div. IIIa+IVaE, Ages 2-8+ (Catch: Number in millions)

| AGE | \| | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | 1864.0 | 2092.0 | 2768.0 | 413.0 | 1887.0 | 1005.0 | 715.0 | 1682.0 | ** | 1891.1 | 641.2 | 1576.6 | 1110.0 | 929.6 | 1342.1 |
| 3 | I | 1927.0 | 1799.0 | 1274.0 | 935.0 | 1022.0 | 247.0 | 787.0 | 901.0 | ****** | 673.6 | 452.3 | 1392.8 | 394.6 | 726.0 | 463.5 |
| 4 | I | 866.0 | 1593.0 | 598.0 | 501.0 | 1270.0 | 141.0 | 166.0 | 282.0 | ** | 363.9 | 153.1 | 524.3 | 323.4 | 306.9 | 201.3 |
| 5 | । | 350.0 | 556.0 | 434.0 | 239.0 | 255.0 | 119.0 | 67.0 | 111.0 | **** | 185.7 | 96.4 | 87.5 | 103.4 | 183.7 | 102.5 |
| 6 | 1 | 88.0 | 197.0 | 154.0 | 186.0 | 174.0 | 37.0 | 69.0 | 51.0 | ** | 55.6 | 37.6 | 39.5 | 25.2 | 72.1 | 83.6 |
| 7 | । | 72.0 | 122.0 | 63.0 | 62.0 | 39.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 31.0 | *** | 6.9 | 23.0 | 17.8 | 12.0 | 21.5 | 37.2 |
| 8 | 1 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 13.0 | 34.0 | 21.0 | 13.0 | 77.0 | 53.0 |  | 9.6 | 11.9 | 17.1 | 5.4 | 18.0 | 21.4 |

Table 3.6.5 b WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Input to ICA.
AGE - STRUCTURED INDICES.
Fleet 2b: Acoustic Survey in SD 22-24, Ages 0-5 (Catch: Number in millions)

| AGE | \| | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | \| | 5577.0 | 3467.0 | 768.0 | 4383.0 | 4001.0 | 1418.0 | 2608.0 | 2179.0 | 4821.0 | 1021.0 | 1831.0 | 3984.0 | 3701.0 | 2401.0 | 2769.0 |
| 1 | \| | 2507.0 | 2179.0 | 345.0 | 412.0 | 1163.0 | 1084.0 | 1389.0 | 451.0 | 1145.0 | 1208.0 | 1314.0 | 611.0 | 781.0 | 912.0 | 662.0 |
| 2 | \| | 880.0 | 1015.0 | 354.0 | 823.0 | 307.0 | 541.0 | 492.0 | 557.0 | 246.0 | 477.0 | 1761.0 | 372.0 | 200.0 | 590.0 | 569.0 |
| 3 | \| | 852.0 | 465.0 | 485.0 | 540.0 | 332.0 | 413.0 | 343.0 | 364.0 | 187.0 | 348.0 | 1013.0 | 566.0 | 230.0 | 352.0 | 378.0 |
| 4 | । | 259.0 | 233.0 | 381.0 | 433.0 | 342.0 | 282.0 | 151.0 | 232.0 | 129.0 | 206.0 | 357.0 | 337.0 | 276.0 | 166.0 | 183.0 |
| 5 | । | 102.0 | 71.0 | 121.0 | 182.0 | 247.0 | 283.0 | 112.0 | 99.0 | 44.0 | 81.0 | 92.0 | 61.0 | 103.0 | 145.0 | 102.0 |

Table 3.6.5 c WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Input to ICA.
AGE - STRUCTURED INDICES.
Fleet 4: IBTS in Kattegat, Quarter 3, Ages 1-5 (Catch: Number per hour)

| AGE | \| | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 141.2 | 371.5 | 404.0 | 264.5 | 687.3 | 631.3 | 52.4 | 117.5 | 292.0 |  | 313.0 | 1567.8 | 968.8 | 1225.2 | 607.2 |
| 2 | I | 83.2 | 107.6 | 158.7 | 229.4 | 191.5 | 321.8 | 122.2 | 85.8 | 116.3 | ****** | 190.0 | 169.0 | 550.2 | 215.0 | 255.4 |
| 3 | \| | 100.9 | 69.9 | 41.9 | 154.2 | 113.2 | 30.8 | 33.2 | 22.4 | 71.2 | * | 72.0 | 100.2 | 170.2 | 143.6 | 53.7 |
| 4 | I | 41.2 | 63.0 | 36.0 | 49.0 | 99.1 | 17.5 | 8.4 | 27.3 | 33.6 | ** | 18.0 | 15.5 | 52.7 | 30.0 | 23.3 |
| 5 |  | 23.8 | 24.7 | 25.1 | 35.7 | 29.4 | 11.3 | 13.2 | 5.0 | 14.3 |  | 2.0 | 5.8 | 29.4 | 23.0 | 12.5 |

Table 3.6.6 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING:

# Input parameters for ICA FINAL Run 

Integrated Catch at Age Analysis

Version 1.4 w
K.R.Patterson

Fisheries Research Services
Marine Laboratory
Aberdeen
24 August 1999

```
Type * to change language
Enter the name of the index file -->index.dat
canum.low
weca.low
    Stock weights in 2006 used for the year 2005
west.low
    Natural mortality in 2006 used for the year 2005
natmor.low
    Maturity ogive in 2006 used for the year 2005
matprop.low
    Name of age-structured index file (Enter if none) : -->dagaiyfd.dat
    Name of the SSB index file (Enter if none) -->
No indices of spawning biomass to be used.
    No of years for separable constraint ?--> 5
    Reference age for separable constraint ?--> 4
    Constant selection pattern model (Y/N) ?-->y
    S to be fixed on last age ?--> 1.000000000000000
    First age for calculation of reference F ?--> 3
    Last age for calculation of reference F ?--> 6
    Use default weighting (Y/N) ?-->n
Enter relative weights at age
    Weight for age 0--> 0.100000000000000
    Weight for age 1--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for age 2--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for age 3--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for age 4--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for age 5--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for age 6--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for age 7--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for age 8--> 1.000000000000000
Enter relative weights by year
    Weight for year 2001--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for year 2002--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for year 2003--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for year 2004--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for year 2005--> 1.000000000000000
Enter new weights for specified years and ages if needed
    Enter year, age, new weight or -1,-1,-1 to end. -1 -1 -1.0000000000000000
    Is the last age of Acoustic Survey in Div IIIa+IVaE Ages a plus-group (Y/-->y
    Is the last age of Acoustic Survey in Sub div 22-24 Ages 0- a plus-group (Y-->n
    Is the last age of IYFS Katt Quart3 Age groups 1-5 (Mean Ca a plus-group (Y-->n
You must choose a catchability model for each index.
Models: A Absolute: Index = Abundance . e
    L Linear: Index = Q. Abundance . e
    P Power: Index = Q. Abundance^ K .e
```

where $Q$ and $K$ are parameters to be estimated, and
e is a lognormally-distributed error.

```
Model for Acoustic Survey in Div IIIa+IVaE Ages is to be A/L/P ?-->L
Model for Acoustic Survey in Sub div 22-24 Ages 0- is to be A/L/P ?-->L
Model for IYFS Katt Quart3 Age groups 1-5 (Mean Ca is to be A/L/P ?-->L
Fit a stock-recruit relationship (Y/N) ?-->n
Enter lowest feasible F--> 5.0000000000000003E-02
Enter highest feasible F--> 1.000000000000000
```

Table 3.6.6 continued
Mapping the $F$-dimension of the SSQ surface
F
$0.05 \quad 44.575317532$
$0.10 \quad 26.3162612804$
$0.15 \quad 19.7427063201$
$0.20 \quad 16.8820333184$
$0.25 \quad 15.5451373989$
$0.30 \quad 14.9375764508$
$0.35 \quad 14.7222945243$
$0.40 \quad 14.7388060934$
$0.45 \quad 14.9030566821$
$0.50 \quad 15.1673727480$
$0.55 \quad 15.5028868101$
$0.60 \quad 15.8912535860$
$0.65 \quad 16.3203647720$
$0.70 \quad 16.7820739086$
$0.75 \quad 17.2710374598$
$0.80 \quad 17.7837178162$
0.8518 .3181246806
$0.90 \quad 18.8733553084$
$0.95 \quad 19.4497370697$
$1.00 \quad 20.0484579369$
Lowest SSQ is for $F=0.369$
No of years for separable analysis : 5
Age range in the analysis : 0 . . . 8
Year range in the analysis : 1991 . . . 2005
Number of indices of SSB : 0
Number of age-structured indices : 3
Parameters to estimate : 41
Number of observations : 298
Conventional single selection vector model to be fitted.


Table. 3.6.7WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Output from ICA Final Run
FISHING MORTALITY (per year)

| AGE | \| | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | \| | 0.02800 | 0.04724 | 0.08023 | 0.05075 | 0.16851 | 0.04705 | 0.11680 | 0.11957 | 0.10883 | 0.05384 | 0.06753 | 0.06473 | 0.05162 | 0.04961 | 0.05247 |
| 1 | । | 0.26044 | 0.17497 | 0.30018 | 0.16146 | 0.64393 | 0.38175 | 0.32234 | 0.35675 | 0.23511 | 0.32378 | 0.23979 | 0.22983 | 0.18330 | 0.17616 | 0.18631 |
| 2 | 1 | 0.32164 | 0.37417 | 0.35331 | 0.42543 | 0.57876 | 0.38336 | 0.36284 | 0.43548 | 0.40337 | 0.36645 | 0.34620 | 0.33182 | 0.26465 | 0.25433 | 0.26898 |
| 3 | । | 0.42385 | 0.37413 | 0.47985 | 0.50806 | 0.51397 | 0.57333 | 0.50042 | 0.41155 | 0.47896 | 0.41351 | 0.40950 | 0.39250 | 0.31304 | 0.30084 | 0.31817 |
| 4 | । | 0.40478 | 0.47988 | 0.50336 | 0.65866 | 0.53046 | 0.73346 | 0.44202 | 0.54448 | 0.36699 | 0.49744 | 0.55162 | 0.52871 | 0.42168 | 0.40525 | 0.42859 |
| 5 | \| | 0.38330 | 0.50817 | 0.64028 | 0.71972 | 0.44618 | 0.83858 | 0.50561 | 0.52453 | 0.41204 | 0.56037 | 0.52097 | 0.49933 | 0.39825 | 0.38273 | 0.40478 |
| 6 | \| | 0.25411 | 0.59414 | 0.60337 | 0.95008 | 0.61770 | 0.75559 | 0.67997 | 0.58388 | 0.34053 | 0.55365 | 0.61777 | 0.59211 | 0.47225 | 0.45385 | 0.47999 |
| 7 | 1 | 0.45335 | 0.50852 | 0.60332 | 0.66712 | 0.77505 | 0.75956 | 0.59638 | 0.61503 | 0.48935 | 0.57848 | 0.55162 | 0.52871 | 0.42168 | 0.40525 | 0.42859 |
| 8 | \| | 0.45335 | 0.50852 | 0.60332 | 0.66712 | 0.77505 | 0.75956 | 0.59638 | 0.61503 | 0.48935 | 0.57848 | 0.55162 | 0.52871 | 0.42168 | 0.40525 | 0.42859 |

Table. 3.6.8WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Output from ICA Final Run
POPULATION ABUNDANCE ( millions)- 1 January

| AGE | \| | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | \| | 4983.0 | 3635.1 | 3088.2 | 6148.3 | 4023.4 | 4305.1 | 3944.8 | 5629.9 | 6376.3 | 3365.0 | 4030.7 | 2777.9 | 4687.0 | 3611.3 | 3473.5 |
| 1 | \| | 4528.6 | 3589.5 | 2568.7 | 2111.4 | 4329.4 | 2518.4 | 3042.7 | 2600.2 | 3700.7 | 4236.6 | 2362.2 | 2791.0 | 1929.0 | 3297.5 | 2545.8 |
| 2 | \| | 2159.6 | 2117.0 | 1827.7 | 1154.0 | 1089.7 | 1379.2 | 1042.8 | 1337.0 | 1103.9 | 1774.3 | 1858.9 | 1127.3 | 1345.3 | 974.0 | 1677.0 |
| 3 | \| | 1790.0 | 1281.8 | 1192.2 | 1051.0 | 617.4 | 500.1 | 769.6 | 593.9 | 708.2 | 603.8 | 1007.0 | 1076.6 | 662.3 | 845.3 | 618.4 |
| 4 | \| | 921.1 | 959.2 | 721.9 | 604.1 | 517.7 | 302.3 | 230.8 | 382.0 | 322.2 | 359.1 | 326.9 | 547.4 | 595.3 | 396.5 | 512.3 |
| 5 | \| | 611.1 | 503.1 | 486.0 | 357.3 | 256.0 | 249.4 | 118.9 | 121.5 | 181.5 | 182.8 | 178.8 | 154.2 | 264.1 | 319.7 | 216.5 |
| 6 | । | 227.6 | 341.0 | 247.8 | 209.8 | 142.4 | 134.1 | 88.3 | 58.7 | 58.8 | 98.4 | 85.4 | 86.9 | 76.6 | 145.2 | 178.5 |
| 7 | । | 39.8 | 144.5 | 154.1 | 111.0 | 66.4 | 62.9 | 51.6 | 36.6 | 26.8 | 34.3 | 46.3 | 37.7 | 39.4 | 39.1 | 75.5 |
| 8 | I | 14.8 | 62.0 | 59.2 | 74.2 | 41.3 | 51.4 | 58.7 | 33.6 | 17.2 | 26.6 | 17.2 | 22.2 | 28.1 | 36.2 | 39.7 |


| AGE | \| |
| :---: | ---: |
| 0 | 2006 |
| 0 | 3086.4 |
| 1 | 2441.7 |
| 2 | 1281.7 |
| 3 | 1049.2 |
| 4 | 368.3 |
| 5 | 273.2 |
| 6 | 118.2 |
| 7 |  |
| 8 |  |

Table. 3.6.9WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Output from ICA Final Run STOCK SUMMARY

| Year | Recruits <br> Age 0 <br> thousands | Total <br> Biomass tonnes | Spawning Biomass tonnes | Landings <br> tonnes | Yield /SSB ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean F } \\ \text { Ages } \\ 3-6 \end{gathered}$ | SoP <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 4982970 | 608826 | 304411 | 191573 | 0.6293 | 0.3665 | 99 |
| 1992 | 3635070 | 533346 | 314917 | 194411 | 0.6173 | 0.4891 | 100 |
| 1993 | 3088150 | 456382 | 288746 | 185010 | 0.6407 | 0.5567 | 100 |
| 1994 | 6148290 | 370909 | 226086 | 172438 | 0.7627 | 0.7091 | 99 |
| 1995 | 4023410 | 312733 | 178238 | 150831 | 0.8462 | 0.5271 | 100 |
| 1996 | 4305070 | 268338 | 130676 | 121266 | 0.9280 | 0.7252 | 100 |
| 1997 | 3944820 | 267503 | 145258 | 115588 | 0.7957 | 0.5320 | 100 |
| 1998 | 5629850 | 263370 | 116790 | 107032 | 0.9164 | 0.5161 | 99 |
| 1999 | 6376320 | 280358 | 121128 | 97240 | 0.8028 | 0.3996 | 100 |
| 2000 | 3364990 | 284340 | 132694 | 109914 | 0.8283 | 0.5062 | 100 |
| 2001 | 4030720 | 307730 | 152643 | 105803 | 0.6931 | 0.5250 | 99 |
| 2002 | 2777930 | 339175 | 185578 | 106191 | 0.5722 | 0.5032 | 99 |
| 2003 | 4686970 | 260229 | 150752 | 78309 | 0.5195 | 0.4013 | 99 |
| 2004 | 3611310 | 284449 | 168700 | 76815 | 0.4553 | 0.3857 | 100 |
| 2005 | 3473460 | 299203 | 164639 | 88406 | 0.5370 | 0.4079 | 100 |

Table. 3.6.10 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Output from ICA Final Run PARAMETER ESTIMATES

| Parm. No. |  | Maximum Likelh. Estimate | CV <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lower } \\ & 95 \% \text { CL } \end{aligned}$ | Upper <br> 95\% CL | -s.e. | +s.e. | Mean of Param. Distrib. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Separable model : F by year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2001 | 0.5516 | 12 | 0.4304 | 0.7070 | 0.4860 | 0.6261 | 0.5561 |
| 2 | 2002 | 0.5287 | 12 | 0.4116 | 0.6792 | 0.4653 | 0.6008 | 0.5330 |
| 3 | 2003 | 0.4217 | 13 | 0.3254 | 0.5465 | 0.3694 | 0.4813 | 0.4254 |
| 4 | 2004 | 0.4052 | 13 | 0.3082 | 0.5328 | 0.3524 | 0.4660 | 0.4092 |
| 5 | 2005 | 0.4286 | 15 | 0.3145 | 0.5840 | 0.3660 | 0.5019 | 0.4340 |
| Separable Model: Selection (S) by age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0 | 0.1224 | 36 | 0.0600 | 0.2499 | 0.0851 | 0.1762 | 0.1308 |
| 7 | 1 | 0.4347 | 15 | 0.3206 | 0.5894 | 0.3721 | 0.5078 | 0.4400 |
| 8 | 2 | 0.6276 | 14 | 0.4697 | 0.8386 | 0.5413 | 0.7276 | 0.6345 |
| 9 | 3 | 0.7424 | 14 | 0.5582 | 0.9874 | 0.6418 | 0.8586 | 0.7503 |
| 1.0000 Fixed : Reference Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 5 | 0.9444 | 13 | 0.7300 | 1.2219 | 0.8281 | 1.0771 | 0.9526 |
| 11 | 6 | 1.1199 | 12 | 0.8740 | 1.4350 | 0.9869 | 1.2709 | 1.1289 |
|  | 7 | 1.0000 |  | xed : La | true age |  |  |  |
| Separable model: Populations in year 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 0 | 3473460 | 49 | 1319850 | 9141133 | 2120095 | 5690747 | 3923626 |
| 13 | 1 | 2545840 | 22 | 1654073 | 3918389 | 2043064 | 3172344 | 2608207 |
| 14 | 2 | 1676995 | 16 | 1216372 | 2312049 | 1423561 | 1975548 | 1699656 |
| 15 | 3 | 618376 | 14 | 469255 | 814885 | 537166 | 711865 | 624536 |
| 16 | 4 | 512270 | 12 | 398704 | 658183 | 450780 | 582148 | 516475 |
| 17 | 5 | 216469 | 12 | 169045 | 277197 | 190811 | 245577 | 218199 |
| 18 | 6 | 178506 | 13 | 135970 | 234348 | 155361 | 205099 | 180236 |
| 19 | 7 | 75519 | 16 | 54826 | 104020 | 64136 | 88921 | 76533 |
| Separable model: Populations at age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 2001 | 46306 | 23 | 28959 | 74043 | 36444 | 58835 | 47653 |
| 21 | 2002 | 37715 | 19 | 25848 | 55030 | 31103 | 45733 | 38422 |
| 22 | 2003 | 39376 | 17 | 28124 | 55128 | 33164 | 46751 | 39960 |
| 23 | 2004 | 39114 | 16 | 28284 | 54092 | 33151 | 46150 | 39653 |

Table. 3.6.11 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Output from ICA Final Run AGE-STRUCTURED INDEX OF CATCHABILITIES

| Acoustic Survey in Div IIIa+IVaE WR 2-8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Linear model fitted. Slopes at age : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 2 | Q | 1.248 | 17 | 1.059 | 2.071 | 1.248 | 1.758 | 1.503 |
| 25 | 3 | Q | 1.395 | 17 | 1.184 | 2.315 | 1.395 | 1.964 | 1.680 |
| 26 | 4 | Q | 1.256 | 17 | 1.065 | 2.084 | 1.256 | 1.768 | 1.512 |
| 27 | 5 | Q | 1.040 | 17 | . 8814 | 1.729 | 1.040 | 1.466 | 1.253 |
| 28 | 6 | Q | . 9019 | 17 | . 7633 | 1.509 | . 9019 | 1.277 | 1.089 |
| 29 | 7 | Q | . 9093 | 17 | . 7673 | 1.535 | . 9093 | 1.295 | 1.102 |
| 30 | 8 | Q | . 8023 | 17 | . 6788 | 1.343 | . 8023 | 1.137 | 9695 |
| Acoustic Survey in Subdiv 22-24 WR 0-5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Linear model fitted. Slopes at age : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | 0 | Q | . 8503 | 15 | . 7311 | 1.355 | . 8503 | 1.165 | 1.008 |
| 32 | 1 | Q | . 5806 | 15 | . 5007 | . 9161 | . 5806 | . 7901 | . 6854 |
| 33 | 2 | Q | . 5815 | 15 | . 5018 | . 9158 | . 5815 | . 7903 | . 6859 |
| 34 | 3 | Q | . 8270 | 15 | . 7139 | 1.302 | . 8270 | 1.124 | . 9753 |
| 35 | 4 | Q | . 9228 | 15 | . 7964 | 1.453 | . 9228 | 1.254 | 1.089 |
| 36 | 5 | Q | . 7813 | 15 | . 6738 | 1.233 | . 7813 | 1.064 | . 9225 |
| IYFS Katt Quart3 WR 1-5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Linear model fitted. Slopes at age : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | 1 | Q | .2151E-03 | 14 | . $1869 \mathrm{E}-03$ | . $3315 \mathrm{E}-03$ | .2151E-03 | . 2881E-03 | . 2516E-03 |
| 38 | 2 | Q | .1781E-03 | 14 | . $1549 \mathrm{E}-03$ | . 2738E-03 | .1781E-03 | . 2382E-03 | . $2082 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 39 | 3 | Q | .1229E-03 | 14 | .1069E-03 | .1889E-03 | .1229E-03 | .1643E-03 | .1436E-03 |
| 40 | 4 | Q | .9817E-04 | 14 | . $8540 \mathrm{E}-04$ | . 1509E-03 | . 9817E-04 | .1313E-03 | .1147E-03 |
| 41 | 5 | Q | . $8860 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 14 | 7701E-04 | . $1366 \mathrm{E}-03$ | . 8860E-04 | .1187E-03 | .1036E-03 |

Table. 3.6.12 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Output from ICA Final Run RESIDUALS ABOUT THE MODEL FIT Separable Model Residuals ( $\log ($ Observed Catch)-log(Expected Catch))

| Age |  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | 1.200 | -0.003 | -1.339 | 0.477 | -0.362 |
| 1 |  | 0.268 | 0.370 | -0.703 | 0.081 | -0.117 |
| 2 |  | -0.014 | -0.029 | -0.072 | -0.006 | -0.120 |
| 3 |  | -0.180 | 0.008 | -0.006 | -0.194 | 0.147 |
| 4 |  | -0.159 | -0.176 | 0.013 | -0.257 | -0.223 |
| 5 |  | 0.030 | 0.032 | 0.269 | -0.016 | -0.081 |
| 6 |  | 0.081 | 0.080 | 0.100 | 0.012 | 0.055 |
| 7 |  | 0.019 | -0.023 | 0.345 | 0.224 | 0.262 |

Table. 3.6.13 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Output from ICA Final Run
AGED INDEX RESIDUALS: LOG(OBSERVED INDEX) - LOG(EXPECTED INDEX)

| Age | \| | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | I | -0.043 | 0.125 | 0.539 | -0.858 | 0.814 | -0.174 | -0.247 | 0.405 |  | 0.196 | -0.945 | 0.446 | -0.123 | 0.016 | -0.151 |
| 3 | \| | 0.131 | 0.365 | 0.158 | -0.008 | 0.617 | -0.555 | 0.127 | 0.466 | ******* | 0.160 | -0.753 | 0.295 | -0.530 | -0.172 | -0.298 |
| 4 | \| | 0.089 | 0.705 | 0.024 | 0.122 | 1.126 | -0.407 | -0.156 | -0.066 | ** | 0.221 | -0.517 | 0.185 | -0.449 | -0.106 | -0.769 |
| 5 | \| | -0.232 | 0.504 | 0.373 | 0.134 | 0.361 | -0.130 | -0.171 | 0.324 | ** | 0.452 | -0.206 | -0.168 | -0.603 | -0.229 | -0.408 |
| 6 | \| | -0.563 | 0.051 | 0.130 | 0.702 | 0.815 | -0.587 | 0.407 | 0.453 | ** | 0.004 | -0.207 | -0.191 | -0.589 | -0.188 | -0.230 |
| 7 | \\| | 1.097 | 0.368 | -0.298 | 0.055 | 0.172 | -0.451 | 1.032 | 0.438 | ***** | -1.021 | -0.135 | -0.200 | -0.705 | -0.125 | -0.220 |
| 8 | । | 0.235 | -0.468 | -0.793 | -0.018 | 0.153 | -0.555 | 0.989 | 1.187 | ******* | -0.312 | 0.319 | 0.415 | -1.040 | -0.101 | -0.004 |

Acoustic Survey in Sub div 22-24 WR 0-5

| Age | \| | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | \| | 0.537 | 0.393 | -0.925 | 0.104 | 0.531 | -0.671 | 0.082 | -0.451 | 0.210 | -0.747 | -0.333 | 0.814 | 0.207 | 0.034 | 0.217 |
| 1 | । | 0.561 | 0.585 | -0.824 | -0.561 | 0.144 | 0.406 | 0.417 | -0.523 | -0.041 | -0.052 | 0.549 | -0.391 | 0.186 | -0.201 | -0.254 |
| 2 | । | 0.062 | 0.266 | -0.657 | 0.705 | -0.102 | 0.073 | 0.241 | 0.175 | -0.476 | -0.318 | 0.925 | -0.141 | -0.992 | 0.404 | -0.164 |
| 3 | \| | -0.053 | -0.365 | -0.166 | 0.090 | 0.141 | 0.617 | -0.058 | 0.190 | -0.598 | 0.130 | 0.684 | 0.021 | -0.457 | -0.285 | 0.112 |
| 4 | । | -0.705 | -0.791 | 0.004 | 0.434 | 0.250 | 0.757 | 0.170 | 0.177 | -0.381 | 0.082 | 0.770 | 0.178 | -0.191 | -0.306 | -0.446 |
| 5 | \| | -1.077 | -1.145 | -0.471 | 0.308 | 0.728 | 1.204 | 0.752 | 0.622 | -0.680 | 0.041 | 0.159 | -0.121 | -0.216 | -0.078 | -0.022 |

IYFS Kattegat Quarter 3 WR 1-5

| Age |  | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | -1.456 | -0.310 | 0.187 | -0.127 | 0.411 | 0.704 | -2.012 | -1.025 | -0.543 |  | -0.022 | 1.416 | 1.275 | 0.969 | 0.532 |
| 2 |  | -1.205 | -0.895 | -0.372 | 0.501 | 0.474 | 0.635 | -0.067 | -0.623 | -0.148 | ******* | -0.214 | 0.160 | 1.122 | 0.499 | 0.137 |
| 3 |  | -0.390 | -0.454 | -0.826 | 0.620 | 0.846 | -0.208 | -0.610 | -0.801 | 0.223 | ****** | -0.161 | 0.092 | 1.058 | 0.637 | -0.024 |
| 4 |  | -0.409 | 0.022 | -0.237 | 0.345 | 1.124 | 0.055 | -0.596 | 0.148 | 0.416 |  | -0.109 | -0.785 | 0.285 | 0.117 | -0.376 |
| 5 |  | -0.456 | -0.148 | -0.013 | 0.694 | 0.662 | -0.023 | 0.666 | -0.322 | 0.265 |  | -1.619 | -0.414 | 0.602 | 0.156 | -0.047 |

WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Output from ICA Final Run PARAMETERS OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF Ln CATCHES AT AGE

```
PARAMETERS OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF ln(CATCHES AT AGE)
Separable model fitted from 2001 to 2005
```

Variance
Skewness test stat.
Kurtosis test statistic
Partial chi-square
Significance in fit
Degrees of freedom
$-1.8630$
2.4610
0.1448
0.0000
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Table. 3.6.15
WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Output from ICA Final Run. PARAMETERS OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE AGE-STRUCTURED INDICES

| Linear catchability relationship assumed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Variance | 0.0344 | 0.0239 | 0.0345 | 0.0176 | 0.0299 | 0.0503 | 0.0559 |
| Skewness test stat. | -0.6593 | -0.6340 | 1.0725 | 0.0675 | 0.5454 | 0.5833 | 0.4147 |
| Kurtosis test statisti | -0.1797 | -0.6582 | 0.2616 | -0.9478 | -0.7048 | -0.2410 | -0.3467 |
| Partial chi-square | 0.0320 | 0.0231 | 0.0346 | 0.0189 | 0.0348 | 0.0645 | 0.0737 |
| Significance in fit | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Number of observations | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Degrees of freedom | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Weight in the analysis | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1429 |

DISTRIBUTION STATISTICS FOR Acoustic Survey in Subdiv 22-24 WR 0-5

| Linear catchability relationship assumed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Variance | 0.0443 | 0.0343 | 0.0415 | 0.0209 | 0.0371 | 0.0747 |
| Skewness test stat. | -0.6013 | -0.3411 | -0.1527 | 0.4779 | -0.0219 | -0.1367 |
| Kurtosis test statisti | -0.7147 | -0.9018 | -0.1922 | -0.2110 | -0.6203 | -0.5087 |
| Partial chi-square | 0.0424 | 0.0351 | 0.0439 | 0.0228 | 0.0416 | 0.0890 |
| Significance in fit | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Number of observations | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Degrees of freedom | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Weight in the analysis | 0.1667 | 0.1667 | 0.1667 | 0.1667 | 0.1667 | 0.1667 |

DISTRIBUTION STATISTICS FOR IYFS Kattegat Quarter 3 WR 1-5
Linear catchability relationship assumed

| Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Variance | 0.2027 | 0.0813 | 0.0740 | 0.0465 | 0.0761 |
| Skewness test stat. | -0.7281 | -0.3503 | 0.4483 | 0.7929 | -1.7051 |
| Kurtosis test statisti | -0.4459 | -0.4342 | -0.8269 | 0.2869 | 1.0742 |
| Partial chi-square | 0.4409 | 0.1992 | 0.2300 | 0.1785 | 0.4119 |
| Significance in fit | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Number of observations | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Degrees of freedom | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Weight in the analysis | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 |

Table. 3.6.16 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Output from ICA Final Run
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE

## Unweighted Statistics

Variance
Total for model
Catches at age

| SSQ | Data | Parameters | d.f. Variance |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 79.8559 | 298 | 41 | 257 | 0.3107 |
| 4.9444 | 40 | 23 | 17 | 0.2908 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 22.4361 | 98 | 7 | 91 | 0.2466 |
| 21.2345 | 90 | 6 | 84 | 0.2528 |
| 31.2409 | 70 | 5 | 65 | 0.4806 |

## Weighted Statistics

| Variance |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SSQ | Data | Parameters | d.f. | Variance |
| Total for model | 4.0102 | 298 | 41 | 257 | 0.0156 |
| Catches at age | 1.7129 | 40 | 23 | 17 | 0.1008 |
| Aged Indices |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acoustic Survey Div IIIa+IVaE WR 2-8+ | 0.4579 | 98 | 7 | 91 | 0.0050 |
| Acoustic Survey Subdiv 22-24 WR 0-5 | 0.5898 | 90 | 6 | 84 | 0.0070 |
| IYFS Kattegat Quarter 3 WR 1-5 | 1.2496 | 70 | 5 | 65 | 0.0192 |

Table 3.7.1

## WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Input table for short term predictions

MFDP version 1a
Run: WBSS_2006_Final
Time and date: 10:18 20/03/2006
Fbar age range: 3-6

| $\begin{aligned} & 2006 \\ & \text { Age } \end{aligned}$ | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | SWt | Sel | CWt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 4390766 | 0.3 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.000 | 0.052 | 0.011 |
| 1 | 2987936 | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.013 | 0.186 | 0.029 |
| 2 | 1281700 | 0.2 | 0.20 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.047 | 0.269 | 0.068 |
| 3 | 1049200 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.080 | 0.318 | 0.095 |
| 4 | 368300 | 0.2 | 0.90 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.107 | 0.429 | 0.108 |
| 5 | 273200 | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.134 | 0.405 | 0.126 |
| 6 | 118200 | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.157 | 0.480 | 0.144 |
| 7 | 90400 | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.178 | 0.429 | 0.163 |
| 8 | 61400 | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.191 | 0.429 | 0.163 |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | SWt | Sel | CWt |
| 0 | 4390766 | 0.3 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.000 | 0.052 | 0.011 |
| 1 |  | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.013 | 0.186 | 0.029 |
| 2 |  | 0.2 | 0.20 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.047 | 0.269 | 0.068 |
| 3 |  | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.080 | 0.318 | 0.095 |
| 4 |  | 0.2 | 0.90 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.107 | 0.429 | 0.108 |
| 5 |  | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.134 | 0.405 | 0.126 |
| 6 |  | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.157 | 0.480 | 0.144 |
| 7 |  | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.178 | 0.429 | 0.163 |
| 8 |  | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.191 | 0.429 | 0.163 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | SWt | Sel | CWt |
| 0 | 4390766 | 0.3 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.000 | 0.052 | 0.011 |
| 1 |  | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.013 | 0.186 | 0.029 |
| 2 |  | 0.2 | 0.20 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.047 | 0.269 | 0.068 |
| 3 |  | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.080 | 0.318 | 0.095 |
| 4 |  | 0.2 | 0.90 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.107 | 0.429 | 0.108 |
| 5 |  | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.134 | 0.405 | 0.126 |
| 6 |  | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.157 | 0.480 | 0.144 |
| 7 |  | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.178 | 0.429 | 0.163 |
| 8 |  | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.191 | 0.429 | 0.163 |

Input units are thousands and kg - output in tonnes

| $\mathrm{M}=$ | Natural mortality |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{MAT}=$ | Maturity ogive |
| $\mathrm{PF}=$ | Proportion of $F$ before spawning |
| $\mathrm{PM}=$ | Proportion of M before spawning |
| SWT $=$ | Weight in stock $(\mathrm{kg})$ |
| Sel $=$ | Exploit. Pattern |
| CWT $=$ | Weight in catch $(\mathrm{kg})$ |

$\mathrm{N}_{2006}$ Age 1:
$\mathrm{N}_{2006}$ Age 2-8+:
$\mathrm{N}_{\text {2005/2006/2007/2008 }}$ Age 0:
Natural Mortality (M):

Geometric Mean from ICA of age 1 (Table 3.6.8) for the years 1995-2004 Output from ICA (Table 3.6.8)
Geometric Mean from ICA of age 0 (Table 3.6.8) for the years 1994-2003
Average for 2003-2005
Weight in the Catch/Stock (CWt/SWt): Average for 2003-2005
Expoitation pattern (Sel):
Average for 2003-2005 rescaled to the last year

Table 3.7.2 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.
Short term prediction single option table, status quo $F$.
MFDP version 1a
Run: WBSS 2006_Final
Time and date: 10:18 20/03/2006
Fbar age range: 3-6


| Year: | 2007 F multiplier: 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fbar: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  | F | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) | SSB(Jan) | SSNos(ST) | SSB(ST) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0.0525 | 194152 | 2164 | 4390766 | 439 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0.1863 | 416059 | 11962 | 3086494 | 41256 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 0.269 | 322976 | 21896 | 1504222 | 70919 | 300844 | 14184 | 278577 | 13134 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0.3182 | 199112 | 18896 | 801881 | 64236 | 601411 | 48177 | 554164 | 44392 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 0.4286 | 198835 | 21504 | 624914 | 66847 | 562422 | 60162 | 512548 | 54827 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | 0.4048 | 59662 | 7488 | 196430 | 26388 | 196430 | 26388 | 179438 | 24105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | 0.48 | 51968 | 7509 | 149221 | 23417 | 149221 | 23417 | 135291 | 21231 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 0.4286 | 19053 | 3111 | 59883 | 10654 | 59883 | 10654 | 54572 | 9709 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | 0.4286 | 25760 | 4199 | 80961 | 15500 | 80961 | 15500 | 73782 | 14126 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 1487579 | 98729 | 10894771 | 319656 | 1951172 | 198482 | 1788372 | 181524 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Year: |  | 2008 F multiplier: 1 |  | Fbar: |  | 0.4079 |  | SSB(Jan) | SSNos(ST) | SSB(ST) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  | F | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0.0525 | 194152 | 2164 | 4390766 | 439 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 0.1863 | 416059 | 11962 | 3086494 | 41256 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 0.269 | 333630 | 22618 | 1553839 | 73258 | 310768 | 14652 | 287766 | 13567 |
|  | 3 | 0.3182 | 233681 | 22176 | 941099 | 75388 | 705824 | 56541 | 650375 | 52099 |
|  | 4 | 0.4286 | 151965 | 16435 | 477608 | 51090 | 429847 | 45981 | 391729 | 41903 |
|  | 5 | 0.4048 | 101231 | 12706 | 333293 | 44773 | 333293 | 44773 | 304461 | 40900 |
|  | 6 | 0.48 | 37365 | 5399 | 107289 | 16837 | 107289 | 16837 | 97274 | 15265 |
|  | 7 | 0.4286 | 24054 | 3928 | 75598 | 13450 | 75598 | 13450 | 68894 | 12257 |
|  | 8 | 0.4286 | 23901 | 3896 | 75118 | 14381 | 75118 | 14381 | 68457 | 13106 |
| Total |  |  | 1516039 | 101284 | 11041105 | 330873 | 2037738 | 206615 | 1868957 | 189099 |

Input units are thousands and kg - output in tonnes

Table 3.7.3 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.
Short-term prediction multiple option table, Status quo F .
MFDP version 1 a
Run: WBSS_2006_Final
Western Baltic Herring (combined sex; plus group)
Time and date: 10:18 20/03/2006
Fbar age range: 3-6

| 2006 <br> Biomass | SSB | FMult | FBar | Landings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 307339 | 177301 | 1.0000 | 0.4079 | 94042 |


| 2007 <br> Biomass | SSB | FMult | FBar | Landings | 2008 <br> Biomass | SSB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 319656 | 188802 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0 | 438845 | 278360 |
|  | 188061 | 0.1000 | 0.0408 | 11376 | 426341 | 267709 |
|  | 187323 | 0.2000 | 0.0816 | 22385 | 414254 | 257486 |
|  | 186588 | 0.3000 | 0.1224 | 33041 | 402569 | 247672 |
|  | 185856 | 0.4000 | 0.1632 | 43356 | 391271 | 238251 |
|  | 185127 | 0.5000 | 0.2039 | 53342 | 380347 | 229206 |
|  | 184400 | 0.6000 | 0.2447 | 63010 | 369782 | 220521 |
|  | 183677 | 0.7000 | 0.2855 | 72373 | 359565 | 212182 |
|  | 182957 | 0.8000 | 0.3263 | 81440 | 349682 | 204174 |
|  | 182239 | 0.9000 | 0.3671 | 90222 | 340122 | 196484 |
|  | 181524 | 1.0000 | 0.4079 | 98729 | 330873 | 189099 |
|  | 180813 | 1.1000 | 0.4487 | 106971 | 321924 | 182005 |
|  | 180104 | 1.2000 | 0.4895 | 114957 | 313265 | 175191 |
|  | 179398 | 1.3000 | 0.5302 | 122695 | 304885 | 168645 |
|  | 178695 | 1.4000 | 0.5710 | 130195 | 296774 | 162357 |
|  | 177994 | 1.5000 | 0.6118 | 137465 | 288923 | 156316 |
|  | 177297 | 1.6000 | 0.6526 | 144512 | 281323 | 150511 |
|  | 176602 | 1.7000 | 0.6934 | 151344 | 273964 | 144933 |
|  | 175910 | 1.8000 | 0.7342 | 157969 | 266839 | 139573 |
|  | 175221 | 1.9000 | 0.7750 | 164394 | 259940 | 134422 |
|  | 174534 | 2.0000 | 0.8158 | 170626 | 253257 | 129471 |

Input units are thousands and kg - output in tonnes


Figure 3.1.1 Western Baltic Herring. Danish herring landings in Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 by vessel type and homeport (fleet) in 2004. Legend: OTB : trawler, PSB: purse seiner, OTH: other; NJu: Northern Jutland, WJu; Western Jutland, SKa: Skagen, WBa: Western Baltic. For further details refer to Ulrich-Rescan and Andersen 2006, WD 1 to the present report.
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Figure 3.1.2 Western Baltic Herring. Distribution of Danish herring landings by fleet over selected years. For further details refer to Ulrich-Rescan and Andersen 2006, WD 1 to the present report.


Figure 3.1.3 Western Baltic Herring. Number of vessels, average landings of Division IIIa herring by vessel and effort distribution by metier, by fleet between 1992 and 2004. For further details refer to UlrichRescan and Andersen 2006, WD 1 to the present report.


Figure 3.5.1
WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Recruitment indices (natural log) adjusted to year-class, versus time.


Figure 3.6.1 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.
Proportions of age groups (numbers) in the total catch.


Figure 3.6.2 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.
Mean weight in the catch (kg).

WESTERN BALTIC HERRING


| Fleet No. | Survey | Area | Quarter | WR | 2005 15\% CLI5\% CL |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { SSB (t) } \\ 2005 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 a | Danish Acoustic (excl.99) WR 0-8+ | Div. IIIa incl. Katt. | 3 | 0-8+ | 0.522 | 0.308 | 0.884 | 135,897 |
| 1b | Danish Acoustic (excl.99) WR 2-8+ | Div. IIIa incl. Katt. | 3 | 2-8+ | 0.656 | 0.412 | 1.044 | 110,173 |
| 2a | German Acoustic WR 0-8+ | SD 22, 23, 24 | 4 | 0-8+ | 0.340 | 0.232 | 0.498 | 181,276 |
| 2b | German Acoustic WR 0-5 | SD 22, 23, 24 | 4 | 0-5 | 0.351 | 0.236 | 0.523 | 175,945 |
| 3 | IBTS Quarter 1 WR 1-5 | Kattegat | 1 | 1-5 | 0.661 | 0.294 | 1.484 | 107,341 |
| 4 | IBTS Quarter 3 WR 1-5 | Kattegat | 3 | 1-5 | 0.209 | 0.123 | 0.356 | 300,542 |
| 5 a | Larv.Surv.(excl.98) WR 0 linear | SD 24 | 1-2 | 0 | 0.010 | 0.002 | 0.048 | 5,940,583 |
| 5b | Larv.Surv.(excl.98) WR 0 power | SD 24 | 1-2 | 0 | 0.078 | 0.027 | 0.224 | 791,086 |
| $1 \mathrm{~b}+2 \mathrm{~b}+4$ | Is Final 04: Dan.Ac.(WR 2-8+)\&Ger.Ac.(WR0-5)\&IBTS Q3(WR1-5) | SD 24 | 1-2 | 0-8+ | 0.429 | 0.315 | 0.584 | 164,639 |

Figure 3.6.3 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Estimates of mean F and SSB by ICA runs
by individual fleets and catch at age data for 1991-2005.


Figure 3.6.4
WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.
Estimates of mean F and SSB in terminal year by ICA runs by individual fleets and catch at age data for 1991-2005.


Figure 3.6.5 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Output from ICA Final run 2006.

Index sum of squares of deviations between model and observations
(survey index) as a function of the reference $F$ in 2005.

Agex 1: Fleet 1b/Danish Acoustic in Division IIIa+IVaE, ages 0-8+
Agex 2: Fleet 2b/German Acoustic in SD 22-24, ages 0-5
Agex 3: Fleet 4/IBTS Quarter 3, ages 1-5


Figure 3.6.6
WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Output from ICA Final Run 2006. Stock summary


Figure 3.6.7
WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Output from ICA Final Run 2006.
Separable Model Diagnostics: Log Residual \& Selection pattern. Age 0 is still included in the log residual and year residuals although age 0 was down-weighted (0.1) in the catch.


Acoustic Survey in Subdivision 22-24


IBTS in Kattegat in Quarter 3


Figure 3.6.8
WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. ICA Final Run 2006.
Log catchability residuals plots.


Figure 3.6.9a
WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Log Catch vs Age for successive cohorts and their resulting slope estimates.
CATCH IN NUMBER, Ages=1-8


Figure 3.6.9b
WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Log Catch vs Age for successive cohorts and their resulting slope estimates.
ACOUSTIC SURVEY IN DIV IIIA+IVAE, AGES=2-8


Figure 3.6.9c
WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Log Catch vs Age for successive cohorts and their resulting slope estimates.
ACOUSTIC SURVEY IN SD 22-24, Ages=0-5


Figure 3.6.9d
WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Log Catch vs Age for successive cohorts and their resulting slope estimates.
IBTS IN KATTEGAT QUARTER 3, Ages=1-5



MFYPR version 2a
Run: WBSS_2006_Final
Time and date: 10:19 20/03/2006

| Reference point | F multiplier Absolute F |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fbar(3-6) | 1.0000 | 0.4079 |
| FMax | 1.1389 | 0.4645 |
| F0.1 | 0.5299 | 0.2161 |
| F35\%SPR | 0.4904 | 0.2000 |

MFDP version 1a
Run: WBSS_2006_Final
Western Baltic Herring (combined sex; plus group)
Time and date: 10:18 20/03/2006
Fbar age range: 3-6
Input units are thousands and kg - output in tonnes

Weights in kilograms

Figure 3.7.1
WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Long and short term yield and SSB, derived by MFYPR v2a


Figure 3.9.1
WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.
Historic uncertainty in the Final model fit (ICA assessment).
Percentiles 10, 25, 50, 75 and 90 \%.


Figure 3.9.2
WESTERN BALTIC HERRING: Restrospective Analysis (ICA)


Figure 3.9.3
WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.
Restrospective selection pattern.


Figure 3.9.4 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. SSB estimates from ICA model with separate indices and with indices combined (Final Run 2006).

## 4 Celtic Sea and Division VIIj Herring

### 4.1 The Fishery

The herring fisheries to the south of Ireland in the Celtic Sea and in Division VIIj exploit autumn and winter spawning components. For the purpose of stock assessment and management, these areas have been combined since 1982. The management unit covers all of Divisions VIIg,h,j and k and the southern part of Division VIIa (Figure 1.5.1).

### 4.1.1 Advice and management applicable to 2005-2006

The TAC in 2005 was 13000 t , and in 2006 is 11050 . In 2005, ACFM considered the current level of SSB to be uncertain, but maybe below $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and possibly even below $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$. Though there was no short term forecast, ACFM advised that, given the risk to the stock indicated by the poor recruitment of the 2001 year class, exploitation should be significantly reduced in 2006. ACFM suggested that such a reduction should result in catches of 6700 t corresponding to $60 \%$ of the average catch in 2002-2004. In addition, ACFM recommended supplementary measures such as the re-closure of the eastern section of the Celtic Sea (Spawning Box C), see Figure 4.1.1.1a.. ICES considered that this would be an effective measure to reduce exploitation as most of the herring catches have been taken in this area since the voluntary closure was removed in December 2003.

## Ad hoc requests

In October 2005, ICES was asked by Ireland to answer the following requests on this stock:

1) Comment on the benefit to the stock of an indefinite closure of Spawning Box C in Division VIIa as an alternative to reduction of the advised catches by $40 \%$ in 2006.

2 ) Since this stock is characterised by variable recruitment, to comment on whether the advised reduction of $40 \%$ in catches for 2006 was too severe, given that it is driven by recent low recruitments and bearing in mind that similarly low recruitments have been recorded in the past, most recently in 1991.

ICES' response to point one was that the box closure was intended as a supplementary measure intended to support the main advice to reduce catch in 2006. The closure of Box C is not an alternative to the reduction in TAC. ICES' response to point 2 was that in 1991 the SSB was larger ( $>\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ ) than in 2005. Although current SSB is uncertain, it seems low, below $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and maybe even below $\mathrm{B}_{\text {lim }}$. Hence, the more conservative advice was based on the current perception of SSB being low.

The TAC is set by calendar year, whilst the assessment of the stock is conducted on a seasonal basis ( $1^{\text {st }}$ April to $31^{\text {st }}$ March).

In the past three seasons, a fishery was permitted during the summer months. In 2003 it opened in July, and in subsequent years in August. This was to allow vessels to target fish outside the spawning seasons when the fish are of better quality, marketability and constituting less fish per tonne landed.

## Spawning Box Closures

The spawning box closures implemented under EU legislation continued. In the 2005/2006 season, Spawning Box C was closed in the second two weeks of January (Fig 4.1.1.1a). In 2006/2007, Box A will be closed. However, Spawning Box C was not closed entirely in 2006.

In addition to these, Box A was voluntarily closed in the recent seasons, being finally reopened in December 2003. This initiative was put in place by the Irish Celtic Sea Herring Management Advisory Committee to afford extra protection to first time spawners. Areas mentioned in the text are shown in Figure 4.1.1.1b.

## Management Plan

The Irish "Celtic Sea Herring Management Advisory Committee" was established to manage the Irish fishery for this herring stock, having been constituted in law in 2005. This committee, therefore, has responsibility for management of the entire fishery for this stock at present. The committee has the following objectives:

- To build the stock to a level whereby it can sustain annual catches of around 20,000 t.
- In the event of the stock falling below the level at which these catches can be sustained the Committee will take appropriate rebuilding measures.
- To introduce measures to prevent landings of small and juvenile herring including closed areas, and or appropriate time closures.
- To ensure that all landings of herring should contain at least $50 \%$ of individual fish above 23 cm .
- To maintain and if necessary expand, the spawning box closures in time and area.
- To ensure that adequate scientific resources are available to assess the state of the stock.
- To participate in the collection of data and to play an active part in the stock assessment procedure.


## Local management of the fishery

In 2005 the Irish quota was 11236 t and in 2006, is 9549 t . The Irish quota is managed by allocating individual quotas to vessels on a weekly basis. Participation in the fishery is restricted to licensed vessels. The licensing requirements have been changed. Previously, vessels had to participate in the fishery each year to maintain their licence. Now this requirement has been lifted. This has been one of the contributing factors to the reduction in number of vessels participating in the fishery in recent seasons. The efficiency of these vessels has improved, however. Fishing is restricted to the period Monday to Friday each week, and vessels must apply a week in advance before they are allowed to fish in the following week.

### 4.1.2 The fishery in 2004/2005

The landings in this fishery since 1958 are shown in Figure 4.1.2.1. Prices for Celtic Sea herring were better in the current season.

In 2005/2006, 34 vessels participated in the fishery, as follows:

- $\quad 12$ RSW trawlers of 23-40m, using pair trawls
- 6 dry hold trawlers of $20-24 \mathrm{~m}$, using pair trawls
- 16 dry hold trawlers $<20 \mathrm{~m}$.

Most vessels under 20 m reported landings of less than 100 t for the assessment period while a number of RSW vessels reported landings greater than 1000 t . In addition small incidental landings, typically less than a tonne were reported by a number of other vessels. Gillnets are a minor component of the fishery in recent years.

The fishing season is divided into three periods. In quarter 3, 2005 about 3000 t were landed. These fish were targeted in offshore feeding aggregations to the north of the Labadie Bank
and around the Kinsale Gas Field. This fishery opened on the $7^{\text {th }}$ August and closed on $1^{\text {st }}$ September. These catches consisted of about 7000 fish per tonne. These catches were taken by pair trawl vessels using RSW or modified RSW fish storage. Vessels without RSW storage cannot participate in the summer fishery.

In quarter 4, 2005 about 3000 t were landed. The fishery opened on the $13^{\text {th }}$ November and closed on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ December 2006. Most of the catch came from the traditional fishing grounds in Division VIIaS. About 200 t were taken from within Waterford Estuary, using single trawls by small inshore vessels. Small catches were taken from VIIj in quarter 4 only.

In quarter 1, 2006, about 3500 t were landed. The fishery was opened in the beginning of January 2006 and was closed on the $20^{\text {th }}$ January. From the $15^{\text {th }}$ January, Spawning Box C was closed, and effort was diverted to the inshore grounds of VIIg, around Ballycotton and off the Daunt Rock (Figure 4.1.1.1b). Again, about 200 t were taken from within Waterford Estuary, using single trawls by small inshore vessels. These small vessels also fished outside Waterford Estuary.

### 4.1.3 The catches in 2005/2006

The estimated national catches from 1988-2004 for the combined areas by year and by season (1 April-31 March) are given in Table 4.1.3.1 and Table 4.1.3.2 respectively. The total catches for the fishery over the longer period from 1958 to 2004 are shown in Figure 4.1.2.1 The catch, taken during the 2005/2006 season was under 10000 t having declined from almost 13000 t during the previous season.

There are no estimates of discards for this fishery. Anecdotal reports from fishermen suggest that discarding is not a feature of this fishery at present.

### 4.2 Biological composition of the catch

### 4.2.1 Catches in numbers-at-age

Catch numbers at age are available for the period 1958/1959 to 2004/2005. These data include discards, when estimates were produced (until 1997). In 2005/2006, the 1-ringers were a higher proportion of the catch than at any season since 1982/1983 (Figure 4.2.1.1 and Table 4.2.1.1). The absolute numbers of 1 -ringers were among the highest in recent years, along with those in 1999/2000 and 2001/2002. The proportion of 2 -ringers ( $38 \%$ ) was about average for recent seasons. The 3-ringers were very low (7\%) confirming the weakness of the 2-ringers last season. It is important to note that the weakness of 3-ringers tends to inflate the proportions of the other important age groups in the catches.

The overall proportions at age were largely similar in the $4^{\text {th }}$ and $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter in VIIg and VIIaS. However, the catches in VIIaS (Waterford Harbour) in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter were very different, being over $70 \% 1$-ringer. This large proportion of 1-ringers contributed to their overall high contribution in the catches. In VIIj, the proportion at age did not differ from elsewhere, in contrast to last season.

### 4.2.2 Movements of fish

## Juveniles

It is known that fish spawned in the Celtic Sea are present as juveniles in the Irish Sea along with native fish, especially the western part (Brophy and Danilowicz, 2002). Their results show that fish spawned in the eastern Celtic Sea are present as juveniles in the Irish Sea, where fish of local origin are also found. The fish of Celtic Sea origin then return as 1- and 2ringers (Molloy et al. 1993).

Small fish (1-ringer) predominated in quarter 1, 2006 though not in quarter 4, 2005, in Waterford Estuary. As these fish were smaller, it seems likely that these fish migrated to the Celtic Sea from the Irish Sea.

Further work, redrawing historic larval survey data from the 1980s shows that autumn and winter spawning was taking place in the eastern Celtic Sea (Figure 4.2.2.1). Thus juveniles of Celtic Sea origin present in the Irish Sea cannot be distinguished on the basis of spawner type alone. Therefore further work is required to allow for splitting Irish and Celtic Sea origin fish.

## Adults

The quarter 3 fishery targets offshore feeding aggregations of herring in VIIg. It is not known where these fish spawn. However a combination of positional data from the commercial fishery (August) and acoustic surveys (September to December) in this area displays a seasonally progressive movement towards the traditional spawning grounds inshore (Figure 4.2.2.2).

Spatial data from all groundfish surveys using the Portuguese high headline net and the GOV trawl were presented by WGFISHECO (ICES, 2006) show that herring display a continuous distribution throughout the Celtic Sea and Division VIIf. However abundance is very low in the middle area between VIIf and VIIg. However there appears to be a hiatus between VIIe and VIId, though there is little survey effort in that area. It seems possible that the summer feeding aggregations are a mixture of VIIg, VIIaS, and VIIe fish, though information is scarce.

### 4.2.3 Quality of catch and biological data

Since 1997 there has been a major increase in the monitoring of landings from this fishery and the management measures were again tightly enforced throughout the season. There is no information on misreporting in this fishery in recent years, but it is thought to have decreased.

Biological sampling of the catches throughout the region was comprehensive, except in VIIj. The spasmodic nature of the fishery in that area makes sample acquisition difficult, (Table 4.2.3.1). Under the Data Collection Programme the sampling of this stock is well above that required by the Minimum Programme (Section 1.5).

### 4.3 Fishery Independent Information

### 4.3.1 Acoustic Surveys

Acoustic surveys of this stock have been carried out since 1990, with the exception of 1997. Up until 1996, two acoustic surveys were carried out annually. In 1997 there was no research vessel available to do the survey. Since 1998, usually only one winter survey was conducted (Table 4.3.1.1). The survey conducted in 2005/2006, was conducted on the Celtic Explorer, for the second time.

## Revision of acoustic time series

The problems with the acoustic survey have been documented in previous reports. In order to improve the series a review was conducted and the series has been revised (Clarke et al. in prep.). This review had two main aims with these being to check the internal consistency of the previous surveys and produce a new refined series for tuning the assessment.

The surveys were divided into two series, early and late, based on how far from the south coast they went. The early group, 1990-91 to 1994-95, extended to about 15 nautical miles offshore with two surveys, one in autumn (before Christmas) and another in winter (after Christmas). This design aimed to survey spawning fish close inshore with two surveys, the results of which could be added, the two legs covering the two main spawning seasons. The
off shore limits were extended in 1995 and some of these surveys had more fish off shore than close inshore. This changed the catchability, suggesting the later series should be separated from the earlier one. Consequently the years before 1995 were removed. This is not considered to be a problem because the earlier series would contribute little to the ICA anyway. Winter surveys were not always conducted in the later series, not being done in 1998 and not at all after 2000 so these were dropped too.

The autumn surveys did not cover the southwest Irish coast of VIIj in all years (3 years missing). In order to correct for this, the missing values were substituted with the mean of the available western bays SSB estimates, 7800 t ( 11 values, range from 0 to 16000 t ). Numbers-at-age in these surveys were adjusted upwards by the ratio of the adjusted SSB in the SW to the south coast SSB. The abundance estimates produced are presented in Table 4.3.1.2.

Analysis errors were found in the surveys from 1998 onwards. The 2003 biomass (SSB, 85500 t ) was re-analysed after the discovery of errors in the spreadsheets used to estimate biomass. The errors affected the calculation of the weighted mean of the integrated backscatter when positive samples had lengths shorter than the base one (here, 15 minutes) and the partitioning of the backscatter for a mixture of species. Also, no account was taken of different sampling frequencies within a $10 \times 20$ minute cell (the analysis unit). The 2003 SSB came mainly from two cells that included an intensive survey in Waterford Harbour and these cells had a SSB of about 68000 t , which was reduced to 7300 t when all errors were corrected. There were some minor corrections in three other cells. The revised total biomass was 24000 t and the revised spawning biomass was 22700 t .

In addition, the cell means took no account of the implicit sampling area of transects so that the biomass coming from a large sample value depended on the number of transects passing through the cell. The data were re-analysed using mean herring density by transect as the sample unit and dividing the area into strata based on transect spacing. Areas with no positive samples were excluded from the analysis (since they have zero estimates). Zigzags in bays were analysed as before. For each stratum, a mean density was obtained from the transect data (weighted by transect length) and this was multiplied by the stratum area to obtain a biomass and numbers-at-age. The overall total was the sum of the strata estimates. The same haul assignments as in the original analysis were used. At the same time, a CV was obtained based on the transect mean densities, i.e. a survey sample error. For surveys before 1998 and the western part survey in 2002, a cv was estimated using;

$$
\sqrt{\log \left(1.3^{2}\right) / n}
$$

where n is the number of positive sample values ( 15 minute of survey track) from Definite and Probably Herring categories. This was based on the data from the autumn surveys in 1998, 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2005. Results are shown in Table 4.3.1.2.

## 2005 Survey

The acoustic survey of the 2005/2006 season was carried in October 2005 (Doonan, presentation to HAWG). The survey track was begun at the northern boundary of VIIj, covering the SW bays in zig-zags and parallel transects. The main traditional grounds on the south Irish coast (VIIg and VIIaS) were covered using transects of 2nmi spacing, extending 67 nmi offshore (Figure 4.3.1.1a). In total the combined survey transect length was in the order of 2789 nmi

To check that the research vessel was adequate in shallower waters, another localised survey was done along parts of the south coast during October by a smaller commercial fishing
vessel. This main survey was aimed at pre-spawners as they moved inshore to spawn. The main survey area was based on an analysis of results from previous autumn surveys to make sure that it covered the likely extent of the stock at this time. The survey started at the northern boundary of VIIj, moving through it in a southerly direction, before moving eastwards through the Celtic Sea (Figure 4.3.1.1a). No substantial echo traces of adult herring were encountered in the bays and inlets of VIIj, but there were some from juveniles (Figure 4.3.1.1b). In VIIg, most herring shoals were encountered offshore, but one or two were seen inshore. Nearly all large schools were successfully fished on. The localised inshore survey also found very few herring schools indicating that the research vessel was adequate in shallower waters. It was concluded from this information that the survey estimated the stock size in these areas in 2005/2006. The age structured index of biomass and catch numbers from acoustic surveys in this area, is shown in Table 4.3.1.2, and the revised series in Table 4.3.1.3. In 2005/2006 the SSB estimate was 30000 t .

The percentage age composition in the survey and the commercial fishery are compared in Figure 4.31.2. The survey displayed the same age distribution as the commercial fishery, both showing a strong predominance of 2 -ringers and the very low abundance of 3 -ringers. However the survey picked up somewhat lower numbers of 4-ringers and older.

### 4.3.2 Other surveys

The working group has sought alternative tuning series for this stock.
In 2005, an investigation of the utility of the Irish segment of the western IBTS survey was made (Johnston and Clarke, WD 2005). This survey displayed strong year effects and only those surveys from 2003 onwards are directly comparable because they use the same research vessel. Herring distribution in quarter 4 is very contagious, with fish being caught in large aggregations, or not at all. Consequently the signal from the survey is noisy, but when a longer time series is developed, it will at least provide qualitative information. This survey did highlight the absence of the 2001 year-class in 2004.

In 2006, the working group had access to data from the French EVHOE quarter 4 western IBTS survey (GOV trawl) and the CEFAS UK (E\&W) quarter 1 survey (Portuguese high headline trawl) (Johnston et al. WD 2006). The French survey series is from 1997 to 2005 and displayed very variable observed numbers at age between years. Consequently, further exploration of the series was not perfomed.

The UK quarter 1 survey was explored further (Figure 4.3.2.1). There are strong year and age effects in the survey, particularly at 2 - and 5 -ringer. Total numbers per year vary widely. Because of strong year and age effects and because it was discontinued in 2002 this survey is considered unsuitable as a recruit index. However the survey does display a truncation of older age groups throughout the series, but particularly in the 1990’s (Figure 4.3.2.1). This trend is displayed in the catch numbers at age, the acoustic series and the UK quarter 1 survey. In all three cases, this trend seems progressive with time.

Other surveys that may have utility for tuning the assessment are the DARDNI Groundfish Survey of the Irish Sea, Northern Ireland and the UK quarter 4 western GFS. It is known that juveniles from the Celtic Sea are present in the Irish Sea. If it is possible to distinguish these fish from native Irish Sea herring, in the DARDNI survey then this survey could offer potential for a recruit index for Celtic Sea herring.

### 4.4 Mean weights-at-age and maturity-at-age

The mean weights in the catch over time are presented in Figure 4.4.1, with stock weights displayed in Figure 4.4.2. There has been an overall downward trend in mean weights at age since the mid-1980's. The values for 2005/2006 for the important age groups are among the
lowest in the series. This trend in mean weights at age is similar to those seen in VI aN, the Irish Sea and to a lesser extent, the North Sea.

For the past three seasons substantial catches were taken outside the spawning season. For 2005/2006, the mean weights in the spawning stock were calculated from samples taken in VIIg, VIIj and VIIaS from October 2005 to February 2006. Samples from quarter 3 were not used in these calculations. No data for 8- or 9-ringers were available this year to calculate stock weights. Therefore values were calculated by linear extrapolation of the 1- to 7-ringer mean weights for these year classes.

While the maturity-at-age for this stock has been assumed to be constant throughout the whole time period ( $50 \%$ of 1 ring fish are assumed to be mature at age 1 and $100 \%$ mature at 2 ring), it may not be stable. A new project to develop maturity ogives for this stock from catch and survey data is being started in 2006. This project will also examine long term changes in biological parameters.

### 4.5 Recruitment

At present there are no recruitment estimates for this stock that can be used for predictive purposes. The 2003 recruitment was estimated as weak in the 2004 assessments, and appears to be the weakest in the series. There is little information in the assessment on the strength of recruitment in 2005, because these 1-ringers are poorly represented in the catches, though they were high.

In this stock a proportion of juvenile fish are present in the Irish Sea and do not recruit to the Celtic Sea and Division VIIj until they are mature. Therefore neither the numbers of 1-ringers in the stock as estimated from the acoustic surveys nor the numbers in the catches give a reliable indication of year class strength. The relationship between the numbers of 1-ringers taken per hour in the ground fish surveys in the Irish Sea and the numbers of 1- ringers estimated by ICA for the Celtic Sea and Division VIIj was examined in a working document presented to the 1999 WG (Armstrong et al., WD 1999) and the results suggest that these surveys may become a useful indicator of recruitment to the Celtic Sea and Division VIIj when a longer time-series is established.

### 4.6 Assessment

This stock is scheduled for benchmark assessment in 2007. The last time the assessment of this stock was accepted by ACFM as a basis for management advice was 2001. In 2005, the working group did not present a final assessment, but rather presented two exploratory assessments. These assessments were based on the inclusion or exclusion of the acoustic survey conducted in 2004. This survey produced the lowest abundance estimate in the series, and was not considered by the group to have measured the stock. The most important information considered by the 2005 working group was weakness of the 2001 year class. In 2006, the working group continued to conduct exploratory assessments and no final assessment was put forward by the group in 2006.

### 4.6.1 Data exploration

Considerable data exploration was conducted in 2005. In 2006, only exploration of the revised acoustic series was conducted. The revised acoustic series displays the same age structure as the old one over comparable years. Therefore the series was considered only to be used for tuning over 2- to 5-ringer. The series displays the same truncation of older age groups as seen in the catch at age data (Figure 4.6.1.1 and Figure 4.6.1.2). The revised acoustic series and the catch at age data display similar proportions at age (Figure 4.6.1.3).

Both acoustic series and the catch at age data displayed similar mortality signals (Figure 4.6.1.4).

### 4.6.2 Exploratory Assessments

In 2006, exploratory assessments were conducted to investigate:

- Behaviour of the revised acoustic series
- Inclusion of 2000 and 2001 surveys which had very high CVs.
- Change of the separable period to accommodate the new quarter 3 fishery.
- Shape of the exploitation pattern

In each case, the same procedure as previous years was used to deal with the assumption that $50 \%$ of 1-ringers are mature. Therefore recruitment at 1-ring was replaced with geometric mean (1958-2004), 390 million fish, and the SSB was recalculated based on the stock weights and population numbers in the final year.

In order to compare the old and revised series, two runs of ICA were conducted using the same procedure as last year's assessment (SPALY), which did not use the 2004 survey estimates. The separable period was 6 years and no shrinkage was used. The results of this analysis are presented in Figure 4.6.2.1. Patterns of SSB and recruitment were the same, but slight differences in F were observed, with the old series scaling F up slightly in the final two years. Residual patterns in the tuning series are presented for these runs in Figure 4.6.2.2. The revised series does not represent an appreciable better model fit. Strong age year effects are still present. However, because CVs are available for this series, it is possible to identify years were the survey is particularly noisy. Further diagnostics for comparing these runs are presented in Figures 4.6.2.3 and Figure 4.6.2.4 for the old and revised series respectively. Figure 4.6.2.5 shows q-q plots for the runs with the old and revised surveys. These plots show ranked percentiles of the residuals, and provide a quantitative means to compare residual patterns. This analysis shows that catch numbers-at-age distributions are similar, but with about $20 \%$ larger standard deviation (slope of the line fit) when using the new series. The distributions of the survey residuals are not quite the same and the new series' standard deviation is much higher.

Surveys with particularly high CVs were those in 2000 and 2001. Exploration of their exclusion was performed in ICA using SPALY. Summary plots for these runs are shown in Figure 4.6.2.6 and residual patterns in the survey series in Figure 4.6.2.7, and q-q plots in Figure 4.6.2.8. Their exclusion did not change the perception of stock dynamics. However, the q q plot shows that both distributions are similar, but there is a reduction in the standard deviation when dropping the two surveys.

A priori assumptions that the new quarter 3 fishery in the last three years changed the exploitation pattern were tested by running exploratory assessments with 6 year (both using the new survey series with the 2000 and 2001 surveys excluded) and a 4 year separable period. Summary plots are presented in Figure 4.6.2.9, residuals in Table 4.6.2.1 and q-q plots in Figure 4.6.2.10. Stock perception did not change substantially, but the residual pattern did improve. When a 4 year separable period is used (both using the new survey series with the 2000 and 2001 surveys excluded), the qq plots show the same distribution, with no change in standard deviation for the survey.

In order to investigate the shape of the selection pattern, selection on at oldest age relative to reference age (3-ring) was set at 1.5 in comparison to the base case run using the revised acoustic series (Figure 4.6.2.1.). Comparative runs are shown in Figure 4.6.2.11 and residual patterns in Figure 4.6.2.12. Clearly the model fit is somewhat better when selection at the oldest age is set at 1.5 and the model seems to behave better with an increase in selection at
the oldest age, compared to setting oldest age selection $=1.0$. It is also clear that using a higher $S$ at oldest age applies a scaling factor to the SSB throughout the time series. Figure 4.6.2.13 shows the $\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{q}$ plot of comparison of these exploitation patterns. The choice of 1.5 appears to improve the residual pattern.

### 4.7 Short term projections

There was no final assessment and consequently no short term projections.

### 4.8 Medium term projections

No medium term projections were conducted in 2006.

### 4.9 Precautionary and yield based reference points

Biological reference points were discussed in detail in the 2000 WG report (ICES 2000/ACFM:10) and in the report of the previous years (ICES 1999/ACFM:12, ICES 1998/ACFM:14). A summary of this discussion was presented in the 2003 HAWG report. $\mathbf{B}_{\text {pa }}$ is currently at $44,000 \mathrm{t}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}$ at $26,000 \mathrm{t}$ for this stock $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}$ are not defined. The SGPRP (ICES 2003 ACFM 15) has reviewed the methodology for the calculation of biological reference points, and applying a segmented regression to the stock and recruit data from the 2002 HAWG assessment gave a breakpoint at $61,306 \mathrm{t}$. This change point appears to be very high with respect to the historical exploitation of the stock. Given that there is a cluster of observations just above this value the sensitivity of the method to these data needs to be further investigated. The HAWG decided that the first priority for this stock should be to achieve a stable assessment and that once this was done the reference points would be reinvestigated. There is still considerable instability in the assessment, so there is no basis for a revision of reference points at this point.

### 4.10 Quality of the Assessment

No assessment was conducted.

### 4.11 Management Considerations

There is no new assessment in 2006 upon which to base management advice. However preparations are being made for benchmark assessment next year.

There are certain pieces of information that can be obtained from the available data. The revision of the acoustic survey, though not substantially improving the model fit does show the noise in the individual survey estimates. The revision of the series shows evidence of declining stock abundance. A period of high abundance is evident with reasonable CVs, a period of very fluctuating abundance with high CVs and then a period of low stock abundance with lower CVs.

In 2005, ICES based advice on the poor recruitment of the 2001 year class. In 2006, this year class weakness was further confirmed. The 2002 year class appears stronger in the catches. Although the 2003 year class (1-ringers) are considered to contribute to SSB there is insufficient information in the data available to state if this cohort is stronger than average.

In recent years the fishery has been tightly managed and it is now restricted in time and area. In 2005/2006 fishing was concentrated in the feeding area and also in a few of the traditional inshore areas. Little fishing took place in VIIj.

Though the data available are not informative as to stock status at present., and the exploratory assessments are uncertain The weak 2001-year class means that the stock is more dependent
on subsequent cohorts and also 4-ringers. The truncation of age groups in the catches in recent years along with the spatially small area of the fishery are causes for concern. The stock is probably at as low a level as when it previously collapsed. It would seem prudent to proceed with caution.

Table 4.1.3.1. Celtic Sea and Division VIIh, $\mathbf{j}$ and $k$ herring landings by quota year ( $\mathbf{t}$ ), 19882005. (Data provided by Working Group members.) These figures may not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

| Year | France | Germany | Ireland | Netherlands | U.K. | Unallocated | Discards | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | + | - | 16,000 | 1,900 | - | 1,300 | 3,500 | 22,700 |
| 1990 | + | - | 15,800 | 1,000 | 200 | 700 | 2,500 | 20,200 |
| 1991 | + | 100 | 19,400 | 1,600 | - | 600 | 1,900 | 23,600 |
| 1992 | 500 | - | 18,000 | 100 | + | 2,300 | 2,100 | 23,000 |
| 1993 | - | - | 19,000 | 1,300 | + | $-1,100$ | 1,900 | 21,100 |
| 1994 | + | 200 | 17,400 | 1,300 | + | $-1,500$ | 1,700 | 19,100 |
| 1995 | 200 | 200 | 18,000 | 100 | + | -200 | 700 | 19,000 |
| 1996 | 1,000 | 0 | 18,600 | 1,000 | - | $-1,800$ | 3,000 | 21,800 |
| 1997 | 1,300 | 0 | 18,000 | 1,400 | - | $-2,600$ | 700 | 18,800 |
| 1998 | + | - | 19,300 | 1,200 | - | -200 | - | 20,300 |
| 1999 |  | 200 | 17,900 | 1300 | + | -1300 | - | 18,100 |
| 2000 | 573 | 228 | 18,038 | 44 | 1 | -617 | - | 18,267 |
| 2001 | 1,359 | 219 | 17,729 | - | - | -1578 | - | 17,729 |
| 2002 | 734 | - | 10,550 | 257 | - | -991 | - | 10,550 |
| 2003 | 800 | - | 10,875 | 692 | 14 | $-1,506$ | - | 10,875 |
| 2004 | 801 | 41 | 11,024 | - | - | -801 | - | 11,065 |
| 2005 | 821 | 150 | 8452 | 799 | - | -1770 | - | 8,452 |

Table 4.1.3.2. Celtic Sea \& Division VIIj herring landings (t) by assessment year (1st April-31 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ March) 1988/1989-2004/2005. (Data provided by Working Group members.) These figures may not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

| Year | France | Germany | Ireland | Netherlands | U.K. | Unallocated | Discards | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1989 / 1990$ | + | - | 15,000 | 1,900 | - | 2,600 | 3,600 | 23,100 |
| $1990 / 1991$ | + | - | 15,000 | 1,000 | 200 | 700 | 1,700 | 18,600 |
| $1991 / 1992$ | 500 | 100 | 21,400 | 1,600 | - | -100 | 2,100 | 25,600 |
| $1992 / 1993$ | - | - | 18,000 | 1,300 | - | -100 | 2,000 | 21,200 |
| $1993 / 1994$ | - | - | 16,600 | 1,300 | + | $-1,100$ | 1,800 | 18,600 |
| $1994 / 1995$ | + | 200 | 17,400 | 1,300 | + | $-1,500$ | 1,900 | 19,300 |
| $1995 / 1996$ | 200 | 200 | 20,000 | 100 | + | -200 | 3,000 | 23,300 |
| $1996 / 1997$ | 1,000 | - | 17,900 | 1,000 | - | $-1,800$ | 750 | 18,800 |
| $1997 / 1998$ | 1,300 | - | 19,900 | 1,400 | - | -2100 | - | 20,500 |
| $1998 / 1999$ | + | - | 17,700 | 1,200 | - | -700 | - | 18,200 |
| $1999 / 2000$ |  | 200 | 18,300 | 1300 | + | -1300 | - | 18,500 |
| $2000 / 2001$ | 573 | 228 | 16,962 | 44 | 1 | -617 | - | 17,191 |
| $2001 / 2002$ | - | - | 15,236 | - | - | - | - | 15,236 |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 734 | - | 7,465 | 257 | - | -991 | - | 7,465 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 800 | - | 11,536 | 610 | 14 | $-1,424$ | - | 11,536 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 801 | 41 | 12,702 | - | - | -801 | - | 12,743 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 821 | 150 | 9,494 | 799 | - | -1770 | - | 9,494 |

Table 4.2.1.1. Celtic Sea \& Division VIIj herring. Comparison of age distributions (percentages) in the catches of Celtic Sea and VIIj herring over recent seasons.

| Rings | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1969 | 4 | 40 | 24 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 1 | 24 | 33 | 17 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| 1971 | 8 | 15 | 24 | 27 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| 1972 | 4 | 67 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1973 | 16 | 26 | 38 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 1974 | 5 | 43 | 17 | 22 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 1975 | 18 | 22 | 25 | 11 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 1976 | 26 | 22 | 14 | 14 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| 1977 | 20 | 31 | 22 | 13 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 1978 | 7 | 35 | 31 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 1979 | 21 | 26 | 23 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 1980 | 11 | 47 | 18 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 1981 | 40 | 22 | 22 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1982 | 20 | 55 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| 1983 | 9 | 68 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1984 | 11 | 53 | 24 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1985 | 14 | 44 | 28 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1986 | 3 | 39 | 29 | 22 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1987 | 4 | 42 | 27 | 15 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 2 | 61 | 23 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 5 | 27 | 44 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 2 | 35 | 21 | 30 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1991 | 1 | 40 | 24 | 11 | 18 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1992 | 8 | 19 | 25 | 20 | 7 | 13 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| 1993 | 1 | 72 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| 1994 | 10 | 29 | 50 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1995 | 6 | 49 | 14 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 1996 | 3 | 46 | 29 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1997 | 3 | 26 | 37 | 22 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1998 | 5 | 34 | 22 | 23 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 | 11 | 27 | 28 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 2000 | 7 | 58 | 14 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | 12 | 49 | 28 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 6 | 46 | 32 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2003 | 3 | 41 | 27 | 16 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| 2004 | 5 | 10 | 50 | 24 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2005 | 19 | 38 | 7 | 23 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Mean

| $(58-05)$ | 8 | 37 | 24 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 4.2.1.2. Celtic Sea \& Division VIIj herring. Length frequency distributions of the Irish catches (raised numbers in '000s) in the 2004/2005 season in the Celtic Sea and VIIj fishery.

| Length cm | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Quarter 3 } \\ 2005 \\ \text { VIIg } \end{array}$ | Quarter 4 $2005$ <br> VIIaS River | Quarter 4 VIIaS c.s. | Quarter 4 <br> 2005 <br> VIIg | Quarter 4 <br> 2005 <br> VIIj | $\begin{gathered} \text { Quarter } 1 \\ 2006 \\ \text { VIIg } \end{gathered}$ | Quarter 1 $2006$ <br> VIIaS River | Quarter 1 <br> 2006 <br> VIIaS C.S. | Total Celtic Sea and VIIj |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 17.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  | 9 |
| 18.5 |  |  | 19 |  | 5 | 22 | 28 |  | 74 |
| 19 |  | 11 | 117 | 22 | 20 | 0 | 93 |  | 262 |
| 19.5 | 13 | 65 | 545 | 91 | 25 | 44 | 139 |  | 922 |
| 20 | 60 | 65 | 720 | 125 | 64 | 22 | 390 | 43 | 1489 |
| 20.5 | 128 | 57 | 1109 | 216 | 39 | 155 | 325 | 43 | 2072 |
| 21 | 322 | 65 | 1323 | 221 | 39 | 618 | 288 | 143 | 3020 |
| 21.5 | 477 | 72 | 2082 | 329 | 113 | 596 | 186 | 122 | 3976 |
| 22 | 779 | 133 | 1907 | 298 | 132 | 906 | 242 | 294 | 4691 |
| 22.5 | 1228 | 137 | 2374 | 471 | 162 | 1612 | 195 | 373 | 6553 |
| 23 | 1329 | 186 | 2335 | 497 | 157 | 2408 | 204 | 337 | 7454 |
| 23.5 | 1551 | 95 | 1751 | 437 | 103 | 1944 | 93 | 380 | 6354 |
| 24 | 1430 | 91 | 1051 | 333 | 123 | 1723 | 46 | 366 | 5163 |
| 24.5 | 1356 | 126 | 973 | 272 | 64 | 1237 | 56 | 208 | 4291 |
| 25 | 1584 | 133 | 1031 | 251 | 103 | 1767 | 139 | 237 | 5245 |
| 25.5 | 2155 | 179 | 934 | 277 | 118 | 2098 | 121 | 380 | 6261 |
| 26 | 2725 | 167 | 1226 | 389 | 137 | 3004 | 130 | 395 | 8174 |
| 26.5 | 2611 | 179 | 856 | 234 | 216 | 2606 | 37 | 330 | 7069 |
| 27 | 1477 | 46 | 272 | 134 | 270 | 1789 | 0 | 251 | 4239 |
| 27.5 | 779 | 11 | 136 | 65 | 260 | 508 | 19 | 79 | 1856 |
| 28 | 275 | 4 | 19 | 22 | 113 | 398 |  | 43 | 873 |
| 28.5 | 94 |  | 19 |  | 74 | 88 |  | 14 | 290 |
| 29 | 27 |  |  | 9 | 10 | 44 |  |  | 89 |
| 29.5 | 13 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | 23 |
| 30 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 30.5 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  | 29 |
| 31 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31.5 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33.5 |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Nos./t | 7030 | 8718 | 9826 | 9459 | 7138 | 8563 | 12458 | 8808 | 8515 |

Table 4.2.3.1 Celtic Sea \& Division VIIj (2004/2005). Sampling intensity of commercial catches.

| ICES area | Year | Quarter | Landings (t) | No. Samples | No. aged | No. Measured | Aged/1000 t |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VIIa south | 2005 | 4 | 2326 | 16 | 712 | 1548 | 306 |
|  | 2006 | 1 | 678 | 7 | 370 | 858 | 546 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1082 |  |  |
| VIIg | 2005 | 3 | 2907 | 12 | 749 | 3044 | 258 |
|  | 2005 | 4 | 496 | 6 | 457 | 1085 | 921 |
|  | 2006 | 1 | 2758 | 8 | 575 | 1069 | 209 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1781 |  |  |
| VIIj | 2005 | 4 | 330 | 2 | 149 | 481 | 451 |
| Overall |  |  | 9494 | 51 | 5875 | 8085 |  |

Table 4.3.1.1. Celtic Sea \& Division VIIj herring. Acoustic surveys of Celtic Sea and VIIj herring, by season. Number of surveys per season and type indicated along with biomass and SSB estimates. Shaded sections show surveys not used in tuning.

|  |  |  |  | Revised |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Season | No. | Type |  | SSB |
|  |  |  | SSB | Abundance $10^{6}$ |

Table 4.3.1.2. Celtic Sea \& Division VIIj herring. Total stock numbers-at-age ( $10^{6}$ ) estimated using combined acoustic surveys (age refers in winter rings, biomass and SSB in 000's tonnes). Bold text denotes the years used as inputs to assessment input files.

|  | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1998 | 1999 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1999 | 2000 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| 0 | 205 | 214 | 142 | 259 | 41 | 5 | 3 | - | 13 | - | 23 | 19 | 0 | 25 | 26 | 13 |
| 1 | 132 | 63 | 427 | 217 | 38 | 280 | 134 | 21 | 398 | 23 | 18 | 30 | 41 | 73 | 13 | 54 |
| 2 | 249 | 195 | 117 | 438 | 127 | 551 | 757 | 157 | 208 | 97 | 143 | 160 | 176 | 323 | 29 | 125 |
| 3 | 109 | 95 | 88 | 59 | 160 | 138 | 250 | 150 | 48 | 85 | 36 | 176 | 142 | 253 | 32 | 26 |
| 4 | 153 | 54 | 50 | 63 | 11 | 94 | 51 | 201 | 8 | 16 | 19 | 40 | 27 | 61 | 16 | 50 |
| 5 | 32 | 85 | 22 | 26 | 11 | 8 | 42 | 109 | 1 | 21 | 7 | 44 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 20 |
| 6 | 15 | 22 | 24 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 32 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 23 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| 7 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 2 | 8 | 14 | 30 |  | 2 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 |
| 8 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 4 |  | 1 |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 9+ | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 23 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 904 | 739 | 882 | 1107 | 399 | 1107 | 1253 | 705 | 677 | 252 | 250 | 542 | 404 | 758 | 119 | 292 |
| Biomass (000’t) | 103 | 84 | 89 | 104 | 52 | 135 | 151 | 111 | 58 | 30 | 33 | 80 | 49 | 89 | 13 | 33 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SSB } \\ (000 ' t) \end{gathered}$ | 91 | 77 | 71 | 90 | 51 | 114 | 146 | 111 | 23 | 26 | 32 | 74 | 39 | 86 | 10 | 30 |

Table 4.3.1.3. Celtic Sea \& Division VIIj herring. Revised acoustic index of abundance. Total stock numbers-at-age $\left(10^{6}\right)$ estimated using combined acoustic surveys (age refers in winter rings, biomass and SSB in 000's tonnes).

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2006 |
| 0 | 202 | 3 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 0 | 24 | 2 |
| 1 | 25 | 164 | 30 | 102 | 28 | 42 | 13 | 65 |
| 2 | 157 | 795 | 186 | 112 | 187 | 185 | 62 | 137 |
| 3 | 38 | 262 | 133 | 13 | 213 | 151 | 60 | 28 |
| 4 | 34 | 53 | 165 | 2 | 42 | 30 | 17 | 54 |
| 5 | 5 | 43 | 87 | 1 | 47 | 7 | 5 | 22 |
| 6 | 3 | 1 | 25 |  | 33 | 7 | 1 | 5 |
| 7 | 1 | 15 | 24 |  | 24 | 3 |  | 1 |
| 8 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 15 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 52 |  |  |  |
| Abundance | 469 | 1338 | 656 | 256 | 681 | 423 | 183 | 312 |
| SSB (000 t) | 36 | 151 | 100 | 20 | 95 | 41 | 20 | 33 |
| CV (\%) | 53 | 26 | 36 | 100 | 88 | 49 | 34 | 48 |

Table 4.6.2.1. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring. Separable model residuals from exploratory ICA runs for 4 year and 6 year separable periods. High residuals indicated.

| 4 year | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | -0.01 | 0.37 | -0.36 | 0.00 |
| 2 |  |  | 0.31 | -0.32 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| 3 |  |  | 0.34 | -0.51 | 0.34 | -0.05 |
| 4 |  |  | 0.14 | -0.38 | 0.14 | 0.16 |
| 5 |  |  | -0.31 | -0.02 | 0.19 | 0.09 |
| 6 |  |  | -0.21 | 0.46 | -0.11 | -0.09 |
| 7 |  |  | -0.19 | 0.34 | -0.12 | -0.02 |
| 8 |  |  | 0.00 | 0.19 | -0.13 | 0.02 |
| 6 year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.03 | 0.55 | -0.34 | 0.22 | -0.47 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 0.54 | 0.24 | -0.03 | -0.62 | -0.12 | -0.01 |
| 3 | -0.07 | 0.42 | 0.11 | -0.65 | 0.28 | 0.05 |
| 4 | -0.24 | -0.11 | 0.02 | -0.30 | 0.38 | 0.48 |
| 5 | -0.23 | -0.25 | -0.14 | -0.17 | 0.22 | 0.29 |
| 6 | 0.17 | -0.16 | 0.01 | 0.53 | -0.41 | -0.20 |
| 7 | -0.10 | -0.16 | 0.09 | 0.58 | -0.05 | -0.31 |
| 8 | 0.00 | 0.10 | -0.01 | 0.30 | -0.10 | -0.13 |



Figure 4.1.1.1a. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring, areas mentioned in the text and spawning boxes A, B and C, south of Ireland. One of these boxes is closed each season, under EU legislation. 1 Courtmacsherry, 2 Cork Harbour, 3 Daunt Rock, 4 Kinsale Gas Field (Rigs), 5 Labadie Bank, 6 Kinsale, 8 Waterford Harbour, 9, Baginbun Bay, 10, Tramore Bay/ Dunmore East, 11, Ballycotton Bay, 12, Valentia Island, 13 Kerry Head to Loop Head, 14, The Smalls.


Figure 4.1.1.1b. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring, Location of non-spawning (open symbol) and spawning (closed symbol) herring in the Celtic Sea and SW of Ireland. Based on expert fishermens' personal information.


Figure 4.1.2.1 Celtic Sea and Division VIIj - working group estimates of herring landings per season.


Figure 4.2.1.1 Celtic Sea and Division VIIj - percentage age composition by metier (ICES Division and quarter).

| Quarter 12005 | Quarter 32005 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |



Figure 4.1.3.2 Herring catches by statistical rectangle in the first, third and fourth quarters of 2005 and the first quarter of 2005. Catches in ICES division V11a south, VIIg and VIIj are those in the 33 series of statistical rectangles and lower. Quarter 1 catches for VIaS only are indicated.


Figure 4.2.2.1 Cérltic Sea and Division Villj. Summary of larval distributions by month in 1980's from Irish surveys.

## Offshore herring locations



Figure 4.2.2.2 Celtic Sea and Division VIIj. Progressive movement of main aggregations of herring inshore in quarter 3 and 4. Data are from acoustic surveys 1998 to 2005 and the summer fishery in 2005.
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Figure 4.3.1.1a acoustic survey, October 2005.


Figure 4.3.1.1b Celtic Sea and Division VIIj acoustic survey, total Sa values for herring obtained in October 2005.


Figure 4.3.1.2 Celtic Sea \& Division VIIj herring, comparison of percentage catches-at-age from the commercial fishery and from the acoustic survey.


Figure 4.3.2.1 Celtic Sea \& Division VIIj herring, mean standardised abundance estimate from UK quarter 1 groundfish survey 1987 to 2002.


Figure 4.4.1. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring, trends over time in mean weights in the catch.


Figure 4.4.2. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring, trends over time in mean weights in the stock at spawning time.


Figure 4.6.1.1. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring. Catch numbers at age in the time series, standardised by year mean.


Figure 4.6.1.2. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring. Acoustic estimates of abundance at age in the revised time series, standardised by year mean.


Figure 4.6.1.3. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring. Comparison of proportions at age in the commercial catch and in the revised acoustic survey abundance estimates.


Figure 4.6.1.4. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring. Catch curves based on acoustic abundance estimates from revised and old acoustic survey series.


Figure 4.6.2.1. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring. Results of exploratory ICA assessments using SPALY and comparing the revised and the old acoustic sureys.


Figure 4.6.2.2. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring. Survey series residuals of exploratory ICA assessments using SPALY and comparing the revised and the old acoustic surveys.


Figure 4.6.2.3 Herring in the Celtic Sea and Division VIIj. Exploratory assessment using updated catch data, excluding the 2004 survey data. Diagnostics of the fit of the old acoustic survey index at age 5 against the estimated spawning biomass. Top left, spawning biomass from the fitted populations (line), and predictions of spawning biomass in each year made from the index observations and estimated catchability (triangles $+/-$ standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatter plot and fitted relationship of spawning biomass from the fitted populations and
 plotted against expected values and time.


Figure 4.6.2.4. Herring in the Celtic Sea and Division VIIj. Exploratory assessment using updated catch data, excluding the 2004 survey data. Diagnostics of the fit of the revised acoustic survey index at age 5 against the estimated spawning biomass. Top left, spawning biomass from the fitted populations (line), and predictions of spawning biomass in each year made from the index observations and estimated catchability (triangles +/- standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatter plot and fitted relationship of spawning biomass from the fitted populations and larvae survey index observations. Bottom, residuals, as $\ln (o b s e r v e d ~ i n d e x) ~-~ \boldsymbol{l n}(e x p e c t e d ~ i n d e x) ~$ plotted against expected values and time.


Figure 4.6.2.5. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring. Q-q plots of exploratory ICA assessments using SPALY and comparing the revised and the old acoustic surveys.


Figure 4.6.2.6. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring. Comparison of performance of revised acoustic series including and excluding surveys with high CVs (2000 and 2001).


Figure 4.6.2.7. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring. Survey series residuals of exploratory ICA assessments including or excluding the 2000 and 2001 points.


Figure 4.6.2.8. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring. Q-q plots of exploratory ICA assessments including or excluding the 2000 and 2001 points.


Figure 4.6.2.9. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring. Exploratory runs with 4 and 8 year separable period.
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Figure 4.6.2.10. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring. Q-q plots of exploratory ICA assessment comparing 6 year and 4 year separable periods.




Figure 4.6.2.11. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring. Exploratory runs with terminal S = 1.0 and = 1.5 .


Figure 4.6.2.12. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring. Survey series residuals of exploratory ICA assessments including or excluding the 2000 and 2001 points.


Figure 4.6.2.13. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring. Q-q plots of exploratory ICA assessment comparing choice of $S$ at oldest age $=1$ and 1.5.

## 5 West of Scotland Herring

### 5.1 The Fishery

### 5.1.1 ACFM Advice Applicable to 2005 and 2006

ACFM reported in 2005 that based on the most recent estimates of SSB, ICES classified the stock as having full reproductive capacity. The assessment showed a relatively stable SSB over the last three years, substantially higher than the previous ten years. Fishing mortality has stabilised at a low level. The 1998, 1999, and 2000 year classes are all present in reasonable numbers. Current fishing mortality is at a level where the stock remains within PA bounds. Consequently, ACFM recommended that fishing mortality be maintained at status quo (=0.19), corresponding to catches in 2006 of 26400 t .

There are no explicit management objectives for this stock. A $\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}$ of 50000 t has been agreed by ACFM for this stock. A candidate HCR (see below) was presented by ACFM in 2005 with the statement that it "seems to maintain the stock inside precautionary limits" and ACFM agreed that it might be adopted subject to an evaluation of a year-on-year TAC constraint.

| $\mathrm{F}=0.25$ | if $\mathrm{SSB}>75000 \mathrm{t}$ | Optional year on year TAC constraint. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{F}=0.2$ | if $\mathrm{SSB}<75000 \mathrm{t}$ | No constraint on TAC. |
| $\mathrm{F}=0$ | if SSB falls below $\mathrm{B}_{\text {lim }}$. |  |

The agreed TAC for 2005 is 34000 t , which is in accordance with the HCR above. The TAC in 2004 was 30100 t.

### 5.1.2 The Vla (North) Fishery

Historically, catches have been taken from this area by three fisheries.
i) A Scottish domestic pair trawl fleet and the Northern Irish fleet operated in shallower, coastal areas, principally fishing in the Minches and around the Island of Barra in the south; younger herring are found in these areas. This fleet has reduced in recent years.
ii ) The Scottish single boat trawl and purse seine fleets, with refrigerated seawater tanks, targeting herring mostly in the northern North Sea, but also operated in the northern part of VIa (N). This fleet now operates mostly with trawls but many vessels can deploy either gear.
iii ) An international freezer-trawler fishery has historically operated in deeper water near the shelf edge where older fish are distributed. These vessels are mostly registered in the Netherlands, Germany, France and England but most are Dutch owned.

In recent years the catch of these last two fleets has become more similar and has been dominated by younger adults resulting from increased recruitment into the stock of the stronger 2000 and 2001 year classes.

In 2005, the Scottish trawl fleet fished in areas similar to the freezer trawler fishery, and not in the coastal areas in the southern part of VIa (N). The Northern Irish fleet fished in both the north and the south of VIa (N).

As a result of perceived problems of area misreporting of catch from IVa into VIa (N), Scotland introduced a fishery regulation in 1997 with the aim to improve reporting accuracy. Under this regulation, Scottish vessels fishing for herring were required to hold a license either
to fish in the North Sea or in the west of Scotland area (VIa (N)). Only one licensed option could be held at any one time. However in 2004, the requirement to carry only a single licence was rescinded. Area misreporting of catch taken in area IVa into area VIa (N) then increased in 2004 and continued in 2005. It is possible, therefore, that the relaxation of this single area licence has contributed to a resurgence in area misreporting. Reinstating this single area licence requirement should be considered as it appears to be helpful to management for this area. It is also important that all nations with reported official catch in VIa (North) investigate the accuracy of the catch area reported.

### 5.1.3 Catches in 2005 and Allocation of Catches to Area for VIa (North)

In the past, fishery-independent information confirmed that large catches were being reported from areas with low abundances of fish, and informal information from the fishery and from other sources confirmed that most catches of fish recorded between $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ were most probably misreported North Sea catches. The WG considered that the serious problems with misreporting of catches from this stock, with many examples of vessels operating and landing herring catches distant from VIa ( N ) but reporting catches from that area, had been reducing in recent years. Levels reduced from some 30000 t in 1998 and 1999 to around 5000 t in 2002 with none reported in 2003. However, there was an increase in 2004 (with over 6000 t being area misreported) that has continued in 2005 (with over 14000 t being area misreported). The problem was detailed in the Herring Assessment WG report in 2002 (ICES 2002/ACFM:12). In 2004, $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter misreporting of catch taken in area IVa into area VIa ( N ) was offset by quantities of herring caught during the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter mackerel fishery in VIa ( N ) that were area misreported as IIa herring, presumably because the VIa ( N ) quota had been exhausted. In 2005, however, the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter mackerel fishery was curtailed for some fleets and no area misreporting occurred. The basis on which catch tonnages are arrived at have been consistent over the last few years. The process involves observers, location information and contacts with individual skippers and appears to be reasonably dependable.

For 2005, the preliminary report of official catches corresponding to the VIa (N) herring stock unit total 31392 t , compared with the TAC of 30100 t . The Working Group's estimates of area misreported catches are 14383 t . An additional 722 t of herring has been reported as discarded. At such a low level currently, discarding is not perceived to be a problem.

The Working Group's best estimate of removals from the stock in 2005 is 17009 t. Details of estimated national catches from 1983 to 2005 are given in Table 5.1.1.

### 5.2 Biological composition of the catch

Age composition data, by country and by quarter, are detailed in Table 5.2.1. The number of samples used to allocate an age-distribution for the VIa (N) catches steadily decreased from 52 in 2002, 37 in 2003 down to 10 in 2004. This is due to two problems;
i) the difficulty of targeting sampling on vessels that fish in this area because these vessels fish in other herring areas and there may be no prior knowledge of the fishing intentions of the vessel before departure from port.
ii ) the area misreporting recorded of catch taken in other in other areas and reported as VIa ( N ) can result in successfully collected samples being subsequently reallocated correctly to their true area, thus losing numbers of samples from the sampling program, see sections 5.1.2 and 5.1.3.

The number of samples increased to 17 in 2005. Samples were obtained from the Irish, Scottish and Northern Irish fleets, these were used to allocate a mean age-structure (weighted by the sampled catch) to unsampled catches, in the same quarter, or in adjacent quarters if no samples were available in the corresponding quarter. If no sampling data were available for a quarter, a mean age-structure of all samples from adjacent quarters was used. The allocation of
age structures to unsampled catches, and the calculation of total international catch-at-age and mean weight-at-age in the catches were made using the 'sallocl' programme (Patterson, 1998). While only a limited number of samples were obtained, these did come from the major fisheries by fleet area and season and are thought to be representative of the catches.

Catch in number-at-age information is given in Table 5.2.2. Two reasonable year classes can be seen clearly at 2 -ring and older in the catch-at-age table; the 2000 year class at 4 -ring in 2005 and the 2001 year class at 3 -ring in 2005. The previously abundant 1998 year class is not apparent in the catch numbers-at-age in 2005. 1-ring herring in the catch are variable and are rarely representative of year class strength and are down-weighted in the assessment, see section 5.6 .

In the past concern has been raised over the quality of sampling of commercial catch. It was suggested in the 2001 ACFM technical minutes that an analysis of catch by quarter and country might shed some light on the variability in the catch information. In practice the fishery is often dominated by a single quarter catch, and a single country dominates sampling. Thus such an analysis is impossible. In 2002 the Working Group conducted an extensive analysis of the sensitivity of the assessment to missing catch information (Section 5.1.12 in ICES 2002/ACFM:12). Although sampling is relatively poor the analysis indicated that sampling for age information was not the major source of variability in the assessment at that stage.

### 5.3 Fishery Independent Information

### 5.3.1 Acoustic Survey

The 2005 acoustic survey was carried out from 28 June-15 July using a chartered commercial fishing vessel (MFV Enterprise). The total biomass estimate obtained, 187500 t , was again lower than in the previous year ( 396000 t in 2004 and 739200 t in 2003), and is comparable only to the 1997 value (which is not used in the assessment due to the earlier than usual prosecution of the survey in that year). The survey ran for approximately $20 \%$ less time in 2005 than in previous years due to a shorter number of planned days than usual (due to the effect of increased fuel costs on a limited charter budget) and time lost to bad weather. As a result, wider transect spacing had to be chosen for those areas that had less historical high density. Biomass estimated from the acoustic survey tends to be noisy and fluctuations at a slightly lower level have been observed in previous years. The observed spatial distribution was generally more diffuse than in previous years and while herring were found in areas similar to those in 2004, namely south of the Hebrides off Barra Head, west of the Hebrides and along the shelf edge, the greater abundance was found off Barra Head. Further details are available in the Report of the Planning Group for Herring Surveys (ICES 2006/LRC:04). Estimates of abundance-at-age and aggregate spawning stock biomass for 2005 and for previous years are given in Table 5.3.1. The same year classes seen in the catch can be seen clearly at 2 -ring and older in the acoustic survey table, however the 2000 year class at 4-ring in 2005 is more dominant in the survey than in the catch.

### 5.4 Mean weights-at-age and maturity-at-age

### 5.4.1 Mean Weight-at-age

Weights-at-age in the catches and weights-at-age in the stock from acoustic surveys are given in Table 5.4.1 and are used in the assessment. The weights-at-age in the stock, for 3 to 8 ringers, appear to be at the long term low. There appears to be a decreasing trend across the time-series. This is not unusual for HAWG stocks. The only increases are seen in the 1 ringers and the plus group.

### 5.4.2 Maturity Ogive

The maturity ogive is obtained from the acoustic survey and collated in Table 5.4.2 for the period 1992 to 2005.

In 2005, maturity for 2-ring herring is similar to the 2004 value and 3-ring and above are all mature. Weights-at-age in the stock are generally lower than in 2004. Weights-at-age in the catch show no consistent trend.

### 5.5 Recruitment

There are no specific recruitment indices for this stock. Although both catch and acoustic survey have catches at 1 -ring both the fishery and survey encounter this age group only incidentally. The first reliable appearance of a cohort appears at 2-ring in both the catch and the stock. Thus in predictions, estimates of both 1- and 2-ring herring numbers from the assessment need to be replaced for prediction years.

### 5.6 Assessment of VIa (North) herring

### 5.6.1 Data Exploration and Preliminary Modelling

In the 2006 HAWG, the VIa (North) assessment is a scheduled update assessment and there is no evidence that there are any specific modelling issues. This model has been explored in much detail in recent years and is perceived to be reasonably well behaved with the settings used. Therefore the model and the model settings used below are the same as last year's assessment and these will not be explored in detail this year.

In 2005 there is reduced catch (the lowest Working Group catch on record) - see Table 5.1.1 and the 2005 acoustic survey SSB is also the lowest on record - see Table 5.3.1. Both these factors have required a detailed analysis of both the catch and survey data to examine patterns and to determine if the signals in the data are real, artefacts, or noise.

Examination of the age classes in both the catch and the survey shows that the age range present in the survey is also present in the catch (Figure 5.6.1). The same pattern of strong and weak cohorts can be seen in both catch and survey data (see, for example, the strong 1998 year class and the weak 1997 year class) and there has been no truncation of catch in older ages in the most recent years suggesting that there has been no major change in mortality in the survey or catch. The survey data often suffer from strong year effects as a primary feature of error - see the changes between 1992 and 1993 as an example.

Patterns of mortality can also be inferred from the catch curves, plotted by year class, of both catch and survey data (Figure 5.6.2). The raw catch data (not standardised for catch) in particular show a sharp increase in "mortality" from 2004 to 2005 in the older ages, but this is primarily a reflection of the reduced catch in 2005 . To determine if this apparent increase in mortality in the catch was real, or an artefact of reduced catch in 2005 the catch data were "standardised" by dividing by the catch in each year; these standardised catch curves are given in Figure 5.6.3. In this plot the apparent increase in total mortality in the last years is not seen and mortality rates are similar between the earlier and later periods. This suggests that the total mortalities are unchanged in 2005 and that the impression of increased mortality is not due to a reduced population (i.e., the disappearance of fish from the population) but to reduced catch which is due to other reasons entirely (see section 5.1.3).

To extract the most informative signals on mortality, data are averaged over several ages; Figure 5.6.4 shows the log ratios in the catch and the survey averaged across the 3 - to 6 -ring age groups ( 3 to 6 being analogous to the use of the same age grouping as Fbar in the assessment (i.e., the most heavily exploited age groups in the population)). Again there is no
apparent increase in the mortality signal in either the catch or the survey in recent years and total mortality fluctuates around the same level (Z~0.3) from 1999 to 2005. What this figure does highlight, however, is the conflicting signal shown by the catch and survey data in the three most recent years. The assessment is particularly sensitive to data in the last years. The high mortality derived from the low survey value is not in agreement with the catch data. This disparity may lead to problems in the assessment.

Given the uncertainties surrounding the reduced catch and survey estimates it was decided to explore assessment outcomes with the 2005 survey both included and excluded in the assessment runs. The acoustic survey index is the only tuning index available for this stock. These exploratory assessments of the stock were carried out by fitting an integrated catch-atage model (ICA version 1.4 w described in the methods section in the 2003 Working Group report (ICES 2003/ACFM:17, Section 1.6.1)). An age-structured index was available from the acoustic survey from 1987, 1991-1996 and 1998-2005 (Section 5.3.1).

In 2006 a selection pattern of 8 years 1998-2005 was used, this length of period was found to be sufficiently long to smooth out noise in the data. ICA was then run for the available timeseries, 1957-2005, to compare the exploratory model fits for this year with the 2005 working Group assessment.

The separable model residual patterns for the three runs (with the 2005 survey, without the 2005 survey, agreed assessment from HAWG 2005) are very similar (Figure 5.6.5). The magnitude and location of residuals shown in the bubble plots are consistent and the year residuals follow the same pattern shifted by one year. The age residuals are more different, with a relatively larger value for 4-ringers this year and a larger value for the assessment run with the survey included. However, the age residuals values are all small and there are no trends with age.

The survey residuals patterns for all three runs are mostly similar (Figure 5.6.6). The magnitude and location of residuals shown in the bubble plots are mostly consistent but there is a strong switch from a strongly positive pattern in 2003 to some large negative values in 2005 in the assessment run including the 2005 acoustic survey. This pattern is reflected in the year residuals and most likely caused by the conflicting signals seen in the catch and survey data in the log catch-ratio plots in Figure 5.6.4.

A plot to compare the reference F (from the parameter estimates) in the terminal year (Figure 5.6.7) shows small differences when the survey is either included or excluded in the assessment. The run including the survey has a marginally wider confidence interval, and although the value of F is lower excluding the survey the two values are essentially the same. The conclusion is therefore unequivocal, exploitation is still low. The scatter plot of uncertainty estimates of F and SSB in the terminal year (Figure 5.6.8) gives another way of presenting the estimate of the model precision of the two assessment runs. F varies very slightly less with the exclusion of the 2005 survey whereas SSB varies substantially less when the survey is included. Q-q plots (plots of paired ranked estimates) of F and SSB for the terminal year from the parameter estimate variance-covariance matrix estimates are given in Figure 5.6.9. The almost straight-line plots show that the distribution of estimates have similar properties. However, although F estimates differ only by a factor of around 1.3 the estimates of SSB differ by a factor of almost 2. These differences are reflected in the comparative plots of the F and SSB time series produced in the assessment runs (Figure 5.6.10) showing a substantial difference in the perception of SSB in the final year depending on the assessment run used. A much lower SSB estimate is derived when the 2005 survey is included ( 64000 t compared to 110000 t without the survey). In addition to the reduction caused by the low estimate of numbers-at-age, the 2005 survey also estimated low mean weights-at-age in the stock in 2005; this also contributes to the low SSB value in both assessments.

### 5.6.2 Stock Assessment

This is an update assessment using the same settings as in 2005, with the 8 year separable period moved forward one year from 1997-2004 to 1998-2005.

Assessment of the stock was carried out by fitting an integrated catch-at-age model (ICA version 1.4 w ). The model settings are the same as in 2004 and 2005. Two assessment runs were carried out in 2006: including the 2005 acoustic survey (run A) and excluding the 2005 acoustic survey (run B). The run log for the two assessment runs is shown in Table 5.6.1 - it is the same for both except for the exclusion of the 2005 acoustic survey from the "fleet.dat" file in run B. The catch and survey data were down-weighted for 1-ring herring (see the 2001 Working Group assessment report (ICES 2001/ACFM:12)). The input data are given in Tables 5.6.2 to 5.6.8. The input data are the same for both assessment runs, except that the 2005 survey (values in Table 5.6.7) is excluded in run B. The output data are given in Tables 5.6.9 to 5.6.28 and Figures 5.6.11 and 5.6.12. The output data for the assessment run A are given in the set of tables Table 5.6.9 to 5.6.18; the output data for the assessment run $B$ are given in the set of tables Table 5.6.19 to 5.6.28.

The selection pattern (Figure 5.6.12) is essentially the same in both runs. The assessment including the survey (run A) results in an SSB for 2005 of 64110 t and a mean fishing mortality ( 3 to 6 -ringers) of 0.20 . The assessment excluding the survey (run B) results in an SSB for 2005 of 109276 t and a mean fishing mortality ( 3 to 6 -ringers) of 0.12 . The model diagnostics (Tables 5.6.13 to 5.6.18 and Tables 5.6.23 to 5.6.28) show that the total residuals by age and year between the catch and separable model are reasonably trend-free. The year residuals especially are very similar to the 2005 agreed assessment in both pattern and magnitude. The acoustic survey residuals are of a higher magnitude than the catch residuals and show more evidence of year effects. There is also a switch from a strongly positive pattern in 2003 to some large negative values in 2005 in the assessment run including the 2005 acoustic survey, discussed above in Section 5.6.1. The large 1998 year class is no longer abundant in the catch and survey data in 2005. The 2000 and 2001 year classes are most prevalent in the catch data (4- and 3-ringers respectively). The 2000 year class is most abundant in the acoustic survey. The scale of this year's estimate of SSB in 2004 is entirely dependent on whether the 2005 acoustic survey is included or not. The estimate for 2004 including the 2005 acoustic survey (run A) is 75839 t ; and without the survey (run B ) is 116 526 t , compared with 124145 t in last year's estimate. Including the 2005 acoustic survey therefore gives a very different perception of the stock trajectory, with the third lowest SSB estimate seen across the whole time series. Both assessment runs show a decreased recruitment in the last four years.

Undetected under- or overestimation of the catches due to area misreporting implies bias on the estimation of stock status, since the stock size is estimated using these catches. Considerable efforts have been made to detect misreporting. Detected misreporting decreased from 30000 t in the mid 1990s to 5000 t in 2002, while in 2003 it was estimated to be effectively zero. However, during 2004 area misreporting increased to 6000 t and in 2005 it increased again to over 14000 t , possibly due to relaxation in the area licence requirements, increasing uncertainty.

Retrospective analyses of the assessment from 2005 to 2001 were carried out, and are compared with the two runs including (run A) and excluding (run B) the 2005 acoustic survey. Figure 5.6 .13 shows the SSB , mean $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ and recruitment from ICA assessments, with an 8 year separable period. In the year of assessment recruitment is very poorly estimated. There is broad agreement in the patterns of recruitment. The retrospective patterns of SSB converge around 2000. There is a downward revision for both 2005 assessment runs with a much greater downward revision for run $B$. The commensurate increase in $F$ and decrease in recruitment complements the decrease in SSB described above.

Historically there was concern about the retrospective error in the assessment, in particular sensitivity to poor sampling of catch. Although sampling has increased in 2005, it has only increased to 17 samples from 10 in 2004 (see Section 5.1.3) and this is still a rather small number of samples. However, they came from the major fisheries by fleet area and season and are thought to be representative of the catches. This is supported by the similarity in selection pattern and residual pattern seen in this year's two assessment runs and compared with last years (see section 5.6.1).

## Conclusion to the assessment

The outcome of the assessment this year confirms earlier perceptions of a lightly exploited stock ( $\mathrm{F}<=0.2$ ). However, the assessment of the current biomass is very uncertain. The two different assessment runs presented, along with the evaluation of retrospective patterns in the assessment, show that both the reduced catch and the very low survey index are the key influential factors in the assessment. The reduced catch is thought to be due to changes in fishing practices and not due to changes in the availability of fish. The extent of the retrospective revision is considerable and much larger than any seen for a number of years. While the survey has been previously recognised as noisy, the changes seen here are unusually dramatic for a stock that is still perceived as lightly exploited. While the survey was conducted under less than optimal conditions (reduced resources and unusually bad weather) there is no particular reason to reject the use of it out of hand. Therefore the Working Group was unable to choose between the two assessment options with confidence.

These assessments may be used to conclude that F is low but further investigation is required regarding the current SSB.

### 5.7 Short term projections

### 5.7.1 Deterministic short-term projections

In 2005 the Working Group tested a management agreement applicable to VIa (North). To date this proposed agreement has not been implemented. However, the Working Group still recommends that the management agreement be applied to the VIa (North) stock. Two scenarios for deterministic short-term projections are presented, based on the proposed management agreement.

> The projection carried forward from assessment run A (described in Section 5.6 .2 above - including the 2005 acoustic survey) corresponds to a status quo F projection which also conforms to the proposed management rule of $\mathrm{F}=0.2$ (see Section 5.1.1) for a stock below 75000 t
> The projection carried forward from assessment run B (described in Section 5.6 .2 above - excluding the 2005 acoustic survey) uses status quo F in the intermediate year and the proposed management rule $\mathrm{F}=0.25$, for a stock below 75000 t (see Section 5.1.1), for 2007 and 2008 .

Short-term projections were carried out using MFDP. Input data are stock numbers on $1^{\text {st }}$ January in 2006 from the 2005 ICA assessments (Section 5.6.2, Tables 5.6.10 and 5.6.20 for the two separate assessment runs), with geometric mean replacing recruitment both 1 - and 2ring in 2006. The retrospective assessment of recruitment in the 2003 Working Group (ICES 2003/ACFM:17) showed the substantial revision of 1 - and 2-ring herring abundance in subsequent assessments, justifying the use of geometric means for these ages. The selection pattern used is as estimated by ICA (Tables 5.6.13 and 5.6.23). For the projections, data for maturity, natural mortality, mean weights-at-age in the catch and in the stock are means of the three previous years (i.e., 2003-2005) (Table 5.7.1.1). Two examples are presented, both using status quo F in the intermediate year as the basis for projection, based on input data from the two assessment runs A and B. The results of short-term projections are shown in the
text table below, illustrating that catches can be expected to be very different depending on the perception of the stock, and hence the options used.

| SCENARIO | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| status quo $\mathrm{F} /$ <br> Proposed management rule <br> - from assessment run A | $\mathrm{F}_{2006}=\mathrm{F}_{2005}=0.20$ <br> Status quo F <br> Catch $=16101 \mathrm{t}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2007}=\mathrm{F}_{2005}=0.20$ <br> Status quo F <br> Catch $=17933 \mathrm{t}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2008}=\mathrm{F}_{2005}=0.20$ <br> Status quo F <br> Catch $=19859 \mathrm{t}$ <br> $\mathrm{SSB}=96829 \mathrm{t}$ |
| Proposed management rule <br> - from assessment run B | $\mathrm{F}_{2006}=\mathrm{F}_{2005}=0.12$ <br> Status quo F <br> Catch $=15600 \mathrm{t}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2007}=0.25$ <br> Proposed management <br> rule <br> Catch $=32950 \mathrm{t}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2008}=0.25$ <br> Proposed management rule <br> Catch $=32959 \mathrm{t}$ <br> $\mathrm{SSB}=126263 \mathrm{t}$ |

The results of the two short-term projections can be seen in Tables 5.7.1.2-5.7.1.5. Tables 5.7.1.2 and 5.7.1.4 show single option predictions for 2007 and 2008 for assessment runs A and B respectively. Tables 5.7.1.3 and 5.7.1.5 show the multiple options for 2007 for assessment runs A and B respectively. For the projection including the 2005 acoustic survey (run A) SSB rises from approximately 79000 t in 2006 to 97000 t in 2008. For the run excluding the 2005 acoustic survey (run B) SSB drops slightly from approximately 128000 t in 2006 to 126000 t in 2008.

As with the stock assessment outcomes (see Section 5.6.2), the perceptions of the catch opportunities and stock are very different depending on the input data used in the projection. Including the 2005 acoustic survey gives a starting position of SSB being below 75000 t ( $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trig }}$ in the proposed management rule). The projection shows the stock rebuilding to an SSB around 97000 t in 2008 with a corresponding catch of 20000 t . However, if the 2005 acoustic survey is excluded the starting position is a higher SSB with a much lower F. The SSB rebuilds to 129000 t in 2007 and then drops slightly in 2008, to 126000 t corresponding to a catch of 33000 t .

### 5.7.2 Yield-per-recruit

Two yield-per-recruit analyses were carried out using MFYPR to provide yield-per-recruit plots for the data produced in the two assessment runs described above (Figures 5.7.2.1 and 5.7.2.2) The values for $\mathbf{F}_{0.1}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}$ are 0.16 and 0.26 regardless of the input data used (i.e., the inclusion or exclusion of the 2005 acoustic survey has no effect on the perception of these reference points).

### 5.8 Medium term projections and HCR performance

Medium term projections were used extensively last year to evaluate HCRs for this area. There is no evidence that the stock diagnostics have changed, so the proposed rule should be adequate.

### 5.9 Precautionary and yield based reference points

There are no agreed precautionary reference points for this stock, The proposed management rule has a $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trig }}$ at 75000 t .

### 5.10 Quality of the Assessment

The estimate of F is relatively stable showing that the stock is lightly exploited. The assessment of SSB is unstable (partly because F is so low) and particularly sensitive to the value of the acoustic survey in the terminal year. While the assessment gives the perception
that exploitation of the stock is at a low level it provides a poor basis for selecting catch options.

The Working Group considers that there will be a new survey value available in August this year and the use of this survey in the intermediate year may help to provide a more stable assessment. The additional data will effectively provide two points to estimate the current state of the stock rather than the single noisy value we currently have available.

### 5.11 Management Considerations

The stock is lightly exploited. The SSB is very uncertain. The assessment is sensitive to the final year in the survey leading to substantial uncertainty in the catch options.

It is recommended to repeat the assessment when the next survey data become available.

Table 5.1.1 Herring in VIa (N). Catch in tonnes by country, 1983-2005. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

| Country | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark |  | 96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Faroes | 834 | 954 | 104 | 400 |  |  |  | 326 |
| France | 1313 |  | 20 | 18 | 136 | 44 | 1342 | 1287 |
| Germany | 6283 | 5564 | 5937 | 2188 | 1711 | 1860 | 4290 | 7096 |
| Ireland |  |  |  | 6000 | 6800 | 6740 | 8000 | 10000 |
| Netherlands | 20200 | 7729 | 5500 | 5160 | 5212 | 6131 | 5860 | 7693 |
| Norway | 7336 | 6669 | 4690 | 4799 | 4300 | 456 |  | 1607 |
| UK | 31616 | 37554 | 28065 | 25294 | 26810 | 26894 | 29874 | 38253 |
| Unallocated | -4059 | 16588 | -502 | 37840 | 18038 | 5229 | 2123 | 2397 |
| Discards |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1550 | 1300 |
| Total | 63523 | 75154 | 43814 | 81699 | 63007 | 47354 | 53039 | 69959 |
| Area- <br> Misreported |  | -19142 | -4672 | -10935 | -18647 | -11763 | -19013 | -25266 |
| WG Estimate | 63523 | 56012 | 39142 | 70764 | 44360 | 35591 | 34026 | 44693 |
| Source (WG) | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 |
| Country | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| Denmark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Faroes | 482 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| France | 1168 | 119 | 818 | 274 | 3672 | 2297 | 3093 | 1903 |
| Germany | 6450 | 5640 | 4693 | 5087 | 3733 | 7836 | 8873 | 8253 |
| Ireland | 8000 | 7985 | 8236 | 7938 | 3548 | 9721 | 1875 | 11199 |
| Netherlands | 7979 | 8000 | 6132 | 6093 | 7808 | 9396 | 9873 | 8483 |
| Norway | 3318 | 2389 | 7447 | 8183 | 4840 | 6223 | 4962 | 5317 |
| UK | 32628 | 32730 | 32602 | 30676 | 42661 | 46639 | 44273 | 42302 |
| Unallocated | -10597 | -5485 | -3753 | -4287 | -4541 | -17753 | -8015 | -11748 |
| Discards | 1180 | 200 |  | 700 |  |  | 62 | 90 |
| Total | 50608 | 51578 | 56175 | 54664 | 61271 | 64359 | 64995 | 65799 |
| Area- <br> Misreported | -22079 | -22593 | -24397 | -30234 | -32146 | -38254 | -29766 | -32446 |
| WG Estimate | 28529 | 28985 | 31778 | 24430 | 29575 | 26105 | 35233* | 33353 |
| Source (WG) | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| Country | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |
| Denmark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Faroes |  |  |  | 800 | 400 | 228 | 1810 |  |
| France | 463 | 870 | 760 | 1340 | 1370 | 625 | 613 |  |
| Germany | 6752 | 4615 | 3944 | 3810 | 2935 | 1046 | 2691 |  |
| Ireland | 7915 | 4841 | 4311 | 4239 | 3581 | 1894 | 2880 |  |
| Netherlands | 7244 | 4647 | 4534 | 4612 | 3609 | 8232 | 5132 |  |
| Norway | 2695 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UK | 36446 | 22816 | 21862 | 20604 | 16947 | 17706 | 17494 |  |
| Unallocated | -8155 |  |  | 878 | -7 |  |  |  |
| Discards |  |  |  |  |  | 123 | 772 |  |
| Total | 61514 | 37789 | 35411 | 36283 | 28835 | 29854 | 31392 |  |
| Area- <br> Misreported | -23623 | -14626 | -10437 | -4496 |  | -6762 | -14383 |  |
| WG Estimate | 29736 | 23163 | 24974 | 31787 | 28835 | 22969** | 17009 |  |
| Source (WG) | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |  |

*WG estimate for 1997 has been revised according to the Bayesian assessment (see text Section 5.1.3 of 2000 report). **Revised at HAWG 2006.

Table 5.2.1 Herring in VIa (N). Catch and sampling effort by nations participating in the fishery in 2005.

| PERIOD : 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Sampled | Official | No. of | No. | No. | SOP |
|  | Catch | Catch | samples | measured | aged | \% |
| Ireland | 0.00 | 1019.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| $N$. Ireland | 0.00 | 539.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Netherlands | 0.00 | 20.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Scotland | 0.00 | 323.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Scotland discard | 772.00 | 772.00 | 2 | 90 | 34 | 99.64 |
| Period Total | 772.00 | 2673.00 | 2 | 90 | 34 | 99.64 |
| Sum of Offical Catches : |  |  | 2673.00 |  |  |  |
| Unallocated Catch : |  |  | -20.00 |  |  |  |
| Working Group Catch : |  |  | 2653.00 |  |  |  |
| PERIOD : 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Country | Sampled | Official | No. of | No. | No. | SOP |
|  | Catch | Catch | samples | measured | aged | \% |
| England \& Wales | 0.00 | 1535.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| France | 0.00 | 149.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Germany | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Period Total | 0.00 | 1685.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |


| Sum of Offical Catches : | 1685.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Unallocated Catch : | 0.00 |
| Working Group Catch : | 1685.00 |




Table 5.2.2 Herring in VIa (N). Estimated catch numbers-at-age (thousands), 1976-2005. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| Age | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 69053 | 34836 | 22525 | 247 | 2692 | 36740 | 13304 | 81923 | 2207 | 40794 | 33768 |
| 2 | 319604 | 47739 | 46284 | 142 | 279 | 77961 | 250010 | 77810 | 188778 | 68845 | 154963 |
| 3 | 101548 | 95834 | 20587 | 77 | 95 | 105600 | 72179 | 92743 | 49828 | 148399 | 86072 |
| 4 | 35502 | 22117 | 40692 | 19 | 51 | 61341 | 93544 | 29262 | 35001 | 17214 | 118860 |
| 5 | 25195 | 10083 | 6879 | 13 | 13 | 21473 | 58452 | 42535 | 14948 | 15211 | 18836 |
| 6 | 76289 | 12211 | 3833 | 8 | 9 | 12623 | 23580 | 27318 | 11366 | 6631 | 18000 |
| 7 | 10918 | 20992 | 2100 | 4 | 8 | 11583 | 11516 | 14709 | 9300 | 6907 | 2578 |
| 8 | 3914 | 2758 | 6278 | 1 | 1 | 1309 | 13814 | 8437 | 4427 | 3323 | 1427 |
| 9 | 12014 | 1486 | 1544 | 0 | 0 | 1326 | 4027 | 8484 | 1959 | 2189 | 1971 |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| 1 | 19463 | 1708 | 6216 | 14294 | 26396 | 5253 | 17719 | 1728 | 266 | 1952 | 1193 |
| 2 | 65954 | 119376 | 36763 | 40867 | 23013 | 24469 | 95288 | 36554 | 82176 | 37854 | 55810 |
| 3 | 45463 | 41735 | 109501 | 40779 | 25229 | 24922 | 18710 | 40193 | 30398 | 30899 | 34966 |
| 4 | 32025 | 28421 | 18923 | 74279 | 28212 | 23733 | 10978 | 6007 | 21272 | 9219 | 31657 |
| 5 | 50119 | 19761 | 18109 | 26520 | 37517 | 21817 | 13269 | 7433 | 5376 | 7508 | 23118 |
| 6 | 8429 | 28555 | 7589 | 13305 | 13533 | 33869 | 14801 | 8101 | 4205 | 2501 | 17500 |
| 7 | 7307 | 3252 | 15012 | 9878 | 7581 | 6351 | 19186 | 10515 | 8805 | 4700 | 10331 |
| 8 | 3508 | 2222 | 1622 | 21456 | 6892 | 4317 | 4711 | 12158 | 7971 | 8458 | 5213 |
| 9 | 5983 | 2360 | 3505 | 5522 | 4456 | 5511 | 3740 | 10206 | 9787 | 31108 | 9883 |
|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 9092 | 7635 | 4511 | 147 | 1145 | 53 | 0 | 220 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 74167 | 35252 | 22960 | 82214 | 35410 | 32709 | 6259 | 11596 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 34571 | 93910 | 21825 | 15295 | 90204 | 48449 | 20185 | 27973 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 31905 | 25078 | 51420 | 9490 | 9506 | 56629 | 25822 | 24801 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 22872 | 13364 | 15505 | 24896 | 19916 | 7987 | 41945 | 12325 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 14372 | 7529 | 9002 | 9493 | 29288 | 4667 | 3824 | 11777 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 8641 | 3251 | 3898 | 6785 | 9628 | 13527 | 7448 | 1222 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 2825 | 1257 | 1836 | 4271 | 1290 | 10376 | 12419 | 1439 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 3327 | 1089 | 576 | 1015 | 1203 | 1330 | 689 | 1722 |  |  |  |

Table 5.3.1 Herring in VIa (N). Estimates of abundance from Scottish acoustic surveys. Thousands of fish at age and spawning biomass (SSB, tonnes). N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| Age | 1987 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 31994 |  | 995 |  | 1996 | 1997 ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 249100 | 338312 | 74310 | 2760 | 0494150 | 04412 |  |  | 41220 | 792320 | 1221700 |
| 2 | 578400 | 294484 | 503430 | 750270 | $0 \quad 542080$ | 1103 |  |  | 576460 | 641860 | 794630 |
| 3 | 551100 | 327902 | 210980 | 681170 | 0607720 | 0 473 |  |  | 802530 | 286170 | 666780 |
| 4 | 353100 | 367830 | 258090 | 653050 | 0285610 | 0450 |  |  | 329110 | 167040 | 471070 |
| 5 | 752600 | 488288 | 414750 | 544000 | 0306760 | 1529 |  |  | 95360 | 66100 | 179050 |
| 6 | 111600 | 176348 | 240110 | 865150 | 0268130 | 187 |  |  | 60600 | 49520 | 79270 |
| 7 | 48100 | 98741 | 105670 | 284110 | 0406840 | 0169 |  |  | 77380 | 16280 | 28050 |
| 8 | 15900 | 89830 | 56710 | 151730 | $0 \quad 173740$ | 02365 |  |  | 78190 | 28990 | 13850 |
| 9+ | 6500 | 58043 | 63440 | 156180 | $0 \quad 131880$ | 02015 |  |  | 114810 | 24440 | 36770 |
| SSB: | $273000{ }^{*}$ | 452000 | 351460 | 866190 | $0 \quad 533740$ | 04521 |  |  | 370300 | 140910 | 375890 |
| Age | 1999 | 2000 |  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 5 |  |
| 1 | 534200 | 447600 |  | 3100 | 424700 | 438800 |  | 64000 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 322400 | 316200 | 1062 | 2000 | 4360001 | 1039400 |  | 74500 | 0243 |  |  |
| 3 | 1388800 | 337100 |  | $7700 \quad 1$ | 1436900 | 932500 |  | 60200 | 0230 |  |  |
| 4 | 432000 | 899500 |  | 2800 | 1998001 | 1471800 |  | 42300 | 0423 |  |  |
| 5 | 308000 | 393400 |  | 7500 | 161700 | 181300 |  | 77200 | 0245 |  |  |
| 6 | 138700 | 247600 |  | 32600 | 424300 | 129200 |  | 55700 | 0152 |  |  |
| 7 | 86500 | 199500 |  | 2800 | 152300 | 346700 |  | 61800 | 012 |  |  |
| 8 | 27600 | 95000 |  | 2400 | 67500 | 114300 |  | 82.200 | 039 |  |  |
| 9+ | 35400 | 65000 |  | 3700 | 59500 | 75200 |  | 76.300 | 026 |  |  |
| SSB: | 460200 | 500500 |  | 9200 | 548800 | 739200 |  | 95900 | 0187 |  |  |

*Biomass of 2+ ringers in November.
\# The 1997 survey is not on the same basis as the other years, it was conducted in June (all other surveys were carried out in July) and it is not used for assessment purposes.

Table 5.4.1 Herring in VIa (N). Mean weights-at-age (g). N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| Weights in the catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| 1 | 80 | 82 | 79 | 84 | 91 | 89 | 83 | 105 | 81 | 89 | 97 | 76 | 83 | 49 | 107 | 72 |  | 108 |
| 2 | 112 | 142 | 129 | 118 | 122 | 128 | 142 | 142 | 134 | 136 | 138 | 130 | 137 | 140 | 146 | 143 | 155 | 133 |
| 3 | 157 | 145 | 173 | 160 | 172 | 158 | 167 | 180 | 178 | 177 | 159 | 158 | 164 | 163 | 159 | 158 | 172 | 163 |
| 4 | 177 | 191 | 182 | 203 | 194 | 197 | 190 | 191 | 210 | 205 | 182 | 175 | 183 | 183 | 171 | 167 | 194 | 185 |
| 5 | 203 | 190 | 209 | 211 | 216 | 206 | 195 | 198 | 230 | 222 | 199 | 191 | 201 | 192 | 156 | 183 | 213 | 211 |
| 6 | 194 | 213 | 224 | 229 | 224 | 228 | 201 | 213 | 233 | 223 | 218 | 210 | 215 | 196 | 173 | 196 | 217 | 226 |
| 7 | 240 | 216 | 228 | 236 | 236 | 223 | 244 | 207 | 262 | 219 | 227 | 225 | 239 | 205 | 182 | 193 | 193 | 234 |
| 8 | 213 | 204 | 237 | 261 | 251 | 262 | 234 | 227 | 247 | 238 | 212 | 223 | 281 | 224 | 245 | 185 | 185 | 256 |
| $9+$ | 228 | 243 | 247 | 271 | 258 | 263 | 266 | 277 | 291 | 263 | 199 | 226 | 253 | 271 | 277 | 290 | 313 | 250 |


| WEIGHT IN THE STOCK FROM ACOUSTIC SURVEYS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Historical | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997* | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| 1 | 90 | 75 | 52 | 45 | 45 | 57 | 65 | 54 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 64 | 54 | 75 |
| 2 | 164 | 162 | 150 | 144 | 140 | 150 | 138 | 137 | 141 | 132 | 153 | 138 | 136 | 130 |
| 3 | 208 | 196 | 192 | 191 | 180 | 189 | 177 | 166 | 173 | 170 | 177 | 176 | 157 | 154 |
| 4 | 233 | 206 | 220 | 202 | 209 | 209 | 193 | 188 | 183 | 190 | 198 | 190 | 180 | 167 |
| 5 | 246 | 226 | 221 | 225 | 219 | 225 | 214 | 203 | 194 | 198 | 212 | 204 | 189 | 180 |
| 6 | 252 | 234 | 233 | 226 | 222 | 233 | 226 | 219 | 204 | 212 | 215 | 213 | 202 | 191 |
| 7 | 258 | 254 | 241 | 247 | 229 | 248 | 234 | 225 | 211 | 220 | 225 | 217 | 213 | 213 |
| 8 | 269 | 260 | 270 | 260 | 242 | 266 | 225 | 235 | 222 | 236 | 243 | 223 | 214 | 203 |
| $9+$ | 292 | 276 | 296 | 293 | 263 | 287 | 249 | 245 | 230 | 254 | 259 | 228 | 206 | 228 |

\# The 1997 survey is not on the same basis as the other years, it was conducted in June (all other surveys were carried out in July) and it is not used for assessment purposes.

Table 5.4.2 Herring in VIa (N). Maturity ogive used in estimates of spawning stock biomass taken from acoustic surveys. Values measured in 1997 were measured in June whilst other values are measured in July. The mean value 92-96 is used in the assessment for the years 1976-1991 and 1997.

| YEAR \AGE <br> (Winter RING) | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{> 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Mean 92-96 | 0.57 | 0.96 | 1.00 |
| 1992 | 0.47 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1993 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 1.00 |
| 1994 | 0.48 | 0.92 | 1.00 |
| 1995 | 0.19 | 0.98 | 1.00 |
| 1996 | 0.76 | 0.94 | 1.00 |
| $1997^{\#}$ | 0.41 | 0.88 | 1.00 |
| 1998 | 0.85 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| 1999 | 0.57 | 0.98 | 1.00 |
| 2000 | 0.45 | 0.92 | 1.00 |
| 2001 | 0.93 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| 2002 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2003 | 0.76 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2004 | 0.83 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| 2005 | 0.84 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

\# The 1997 survey is not on the same basis as the other years, it was conducted in June (all other surveys were carried out in July) and it is not used for assessment purposes

Table 5.6.1. Herring in VIa (N). ICA run log for the maximum-likelihood ICA calculation for the 8 year separable period. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

```
Integrated Catch at Age Analysis
```

Version 1.4 w
K.R.Patterson

Fisheries Research Services
Marine Laboratory Aberdeen

```
Enter the name of the index file -->index.dat
canum.dat
weca.dat
    Stock weights in 2006 used for the year 2005
west.dat
    Natural mortality in 2006 used for the year 2005
natmor.dat
    Maturity ogive in 2006 used for the year 2005
matprop.dat
    Name of age-structured index file (Enter if none) : -->fleet2005.dat
    Name of the SSB index file (Enter if none) -->
No indices of spawning biomass to be used.
    No of years for separable constraint ?--> 8
    Reference age for separable constraint ?--> 4
    Constant selection pattern model (Y/N) ?-->y
    S to be fixed on last age ?--> 1.000000000000000
    First age for calculation of reference F ?--> 3
    Last age for calculation of reference F ?--> 6
    Use default weighting (Y/N) ?-->n
Enter relative weights at age
    Weight for age 1--> 0.100000000000000
    Weight for age 2--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for age 3--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for age 4--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for age 5--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for age 6--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for age 7--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for age 8--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for age 9--> 1.000000000000000
Enter relative weights by year
    Weight for year 1998--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for year 1999--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for year 2000--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for year 2001--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for year 2002--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for year 2003--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for year 2004--> 1.000000000000000
    Weight for year 2005--> 1.000000000000000
Enter new weights for specified years and ages if needed
    Enter year, age, new weight or -1,-1,-1 to end. -1 -1 -1.000000000000000
    Is the last age of "FLT01:","West","Scotland","Summer","Aco a plus-group (Y--
>y
You must choose a catchability model for each index.
Models: A Absolute: Index = Abundance . e
    L Linear: Index = Q. Abundance . e
    P Power: Index = Q. Abundance^ K .e
```

    where Q and K are parameters to be estimated, and
    e is a lognormally-distributed error.
    Model for "FLT01:","West","Scotland","Summer","Aco is to be A/L/P ?-->L
There are 1 missing observations for fitting the separable model.
Fit a stock-recruit relationship (Y/N) ?-->n
Enter lowest feasible F--> $2.000000000000000 \mathrm{E}-02$
Enter highest feasible F--> 0.500000000000000

Table 5.6.1. continued.
Mapping the F-dimension of the SSQ surface
F SSQ
$\begin{array}{cc}+-------+------------------6 \\ 0.05 & 17.0646939766\end{array}$
$0.06 \quad 15.9654567142$
$0.07 \quad 15.0968911084$
0.0714 .4028680744
$0.08 \quad 13.8431581998$
$0.09 \quad 13.3883199094$
$0.10 \quad 13.0164511643$
$0.11 \quad 12.7109733682$
$0.11 \quad 12.4590887297$
0.1212 .2510005244
$0.13 \quad 12.0789357445$
0.1411 .9367695228
$0.14 \quad 11.8194942552$
$0.15 \quad 11.7231833232$
$0.16 \quad 11.6445907115$
$0.17 \quad 11.5810401450$
0.1811 .5303824386
$0.18 \quad 11.4907661653$
0.1911 .4606937912
$0.20 \quad 11.4389197023$
Lowest SSQ is for $F=0.205$
No of years for separable analysis : 8
Age range in the analysis : 1 . . . 9
Year range in the analysis : 1957 . . . 2005
Number of indices of SSB : 0
Number of age-structured indices : 1
Parameters to estimate : 38
Number of observations : 198
Conventional single selection vector model to be fitted.

```
Survey weighting to be Manual (recommended) or Iterative (M/I) ?-->M
Enter weight for "FLT01:", "West","Scotland","Summer","Aco at age 1--> 0.100000000000000
Enter weight for "FLT01:","West","Scotland","Summer","Aco at age 2--> 1.0000000000000000
Enter weight for "FLT01:", "West","Scotland","Summer","Aco at age 3--> 1.000000000000000
Enter weight for "FLT01:","West", "Scotland","Summer","Aco at age 4--> 1.000000000000000
Enter weight for "FLT01:"," "West","Scotland","Summer","Aco at age 5--> 1.0000000000000000
Enter weight for "FLT01:", "West","Scotland","Summer","Aco at age 6--> 1.000000000000000
Enter weight for "FLT01:","West","Scotland","Summer","Aco at age 7--> 1.000000000000000
Enter weight for "FLT01:","West","Scotland","Summer","Aco at age 8--> 1.000000000000000
Enter weight for "FLT01:", "West", "Scotland","Summer","Aco at age 9--> 1.000000000000000
Enter estimates of the extent to which errors
in the age-structured indices are correlated
across ages. This can be in the range 0 (independence)
to 1 (correlated errors).
    Enter value for "FLT01:","West","Scotland","Summer","Aco--> 1.000000000000000
    Do you want to shrink the final fishing mortality ( \(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}\) ) ? --->N
Seeking solution. Please wait.
Aged index weights
"FLT01:", "West", "Scotland", "Summer", "Aco
    \(\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { Age } & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9\end{array}\)
    Wts : 0.011 0.111 0.111 0.111 0.111 0.111 0.111 0.111 0.111
\(F\) in 2005 at age 4 is 0.182862 in iteration 1
    Detailed, Normal or Summary output (D/N/S)-->D
    Output page width in characters (e.g. 80..132) ?--> 132
    Estimate historical assessment uncertainty ?-->y
    Sample from Covariances or Bayes MCMC (C/B) ?-->C
    Use default percentiles (Y/N) ?-->y
    How many samples to take ?--> 1000
    Enter SSB reference level (e.g. MBAL, Bpa..) [t]--> 5.0000000000000000E+04
Succesful exit from ICA
```

Table 5.6.2. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Catch number at age (millions). N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).
Output Generated by ICA Version 1.4

| Herring VIa (north) (run: ICAPGF08/I08) Catch in Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| 1 | 6.50 | 15.62 | 53.09 | 3.56 | 13.08 | 55.05 | 11.80 | 26.55 | 299.48 | 211.68 | 207.95 | 220.25 | 37.71 | 238.23 | 207.71 |
| 2 | 74.62 | 30.98 | 67.97 | 102.12 | 45.20 | 92.81 | 78.25 | 82.61 | 19.77 | 500.85 | 27.42 | 94.44 | 92.56 | 99.01 | 335.08 |
| 3 | 58.09 | 145.39 | 35.26 | 60.29 | 61.62 | 22.28 | 53.45 | 70.08 | 62.64 | 33.46 | 218.69 | 21.00 | 71.91 | 253.72 | 412.82 |
| 4 | 25.76 | 39.07 | 116.39 | 22.78 | 33.13 | 67.45 | 11.86 | 26.68 | 59.38 | 60.50 | 37.07 | 159.12 | 23.31 | 111.90 | 302.21 |
| 5 | 33.98 | 24.91 | 24.95 | 48.88 | 22.50 | 44.36 | 40.52 | 7.28 | 22.27 | 40.91 | 39.25 | 13.99 | 211.24 | 27.74 | 101.96 |
| 6 | 19.89 | 27.63 | 17.33 | 11.63 | 12.41 | 19.76 | 26.17 | 24.23 | 5.12 | 19.34 | 29.79 | 23.58 | 21.01 | 142.40 | 25.56 |
| 7 | 8.88 | 17.41 | 17.00 | 10.35 | 5.34 | 24.14 | 8.69 | 18.64 | 22.89 | 5.56 | 11.77 | 15.68 | 42.76 | 21.61 | 154.42 |
| 8 | 1.43 | 9.86 | 7.37 | 6.35 | 4.81 | 6.15 | 13.66 | 8.80 | 18.93 | 17.81 | 5.53 | 6.38 | 26.03 | 27.07 | 16.82 |
| 9 | 4.42 | 7.16 | 8.60 | 4.62 | 2.58 | 7.08 | 6.09 | 15.10 | 19.53 | 27.08 | 25.80 | 10.81 | 26.21 | 24.08 | 32.00 |
| Catch in Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGE | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| 1 | 534.96 | 51.17 | 309.02 | 172.88 | 69.05 | 34.84 | 22.52 | 0.25 | 2.69 | 36.74 | 13.30 | 81.92 | 2.21 | 40.79 | 33.77 |
| 2 | 621.50 | 235.63 | 124.94 | 202.09 | 319.60 | 47.74 | 46.28 | 0.14 | 0.28 | 77.96 | 250.01 | 77.81 | 188.78 | 68.84 | 154.96 |
| 3 | 175.14 | 808.27 | 151.03 | 89.07 | 101.55 | 95.83 | 20.59 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 105.60 | 72.18 | 92.74 | 49.83 | 148.40 | 86.07 |
| 4 | 54.20 | 131.48 | 519.18 | 63.70 | 35.50 | 22.12 | 40.69 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 61.34 | 93.54 | 29.26 | 35.00 | 17.21 | 118.86 |
| 5 | 66.71 | 63.07 | 82.47 | 188.20 | 25.20 | 10.08 | 6.88 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 21.47 | 58.45 | 42.53 | 14.95 | 15.21 | 18.84 |
| 6 | 25.72 | 54.64 | 49.68 | 30.60 | 76.29 | 12.21 | 3.83 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 12.62 | 23.58 | 27.32 | 11.37 | 6.63 | 18.00 |
| 7 | 10.34 | 18.24 | 34.63 | 12.30 | 10.92 | 20.99 | 2.10 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 11.58 | 11.52 | 14.71 | 9.30 | 6.91 | 2.58 |
| 8 | 55.76 | 6.51 | 22.47 | 13.12 | 3.91 | 2.76 | 6.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.31 | 13.81 | 8.44 | 4.43 | 3.32 | 1.43 |
| 9 | 16.63 | 32.22 | 21.04 | 13.70 | 12.01 | 1.49 | 1.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.33 | 4.03 | 8.48 | 1.96 | 2.19 | 1.97 |
| Catch in Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGE | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| 1 | 19.46 | 1.71 | 6.22 | 14.29 | 26.40 | 5.25 | 17.72 | 1.73 | 0.27 | 1.95 | 1.19 | 9.09 | 7.63 | 4.51 | 0.15 |
| 2 | 65.95 | 119.38 | 36.76 | 40.87 | 23.01 | 24.47 | 95.29 | 36.55 | 82.18 | 37.85 | 55.81 | 74.17 | 35.25 | 22.96 | 82.21 |
| 3 | 45.46 | 41.73 | 109.50 | 40.78 | 25.23 | 24.92 | 18.71 | 40.19 | 30.40 | 30.90 | 34.97 | 34.57 | 93.91 | 21.83 | 15.30 |
| 4 | 32.02 | 28.42 | 18.92 | 74.28 | 28.21 | 23.73 | 10.98 | 6.01 | 21.27 | 9.22 | 31.66 | 31.91 | 25.08 | 51.42 | 9.49 |
| 5 | 50.12 | 19.76 | 18.11 | 26.52 | 37.52 | 21.82 | 13.27 | 7.43 | 5.38 | 7.51 | 23.12 | 22.87 | 13.36 | 15.50 | 24.90 |
| 6 | 8.43 | 28.55 | 7.59 | 13.30 | 13.53 | 33.87 | 14.80 | 8.10 | 4.21 | 2.50 | 17.50 | 14.37 | 7.53 | 9.00 | 9.49 |
| 7 | 7.31 | 3.25 | 15.01 | 9.88 | 7.58 | 6.35 | 19.19 | 10.52 | 8.80 | 4.70 | 10.33 | 8.64 | 3.25 | 3.90 | 6.78 |
| 8 | 3.51 | 2.22 | 1.62 | 21.46 | 6.89 | 4.32 | 4.71 | 12.16 | 7.97 | 8.46 | 5.21 | 2.83 | 1.26 | 1.84 | 4.72 |
| 9 | 5.98 | 2.36 | 3.50 | 5.52 | 4.46 | 5.51 | 3.74 | 10.21 | 9.79 | 31.11 | 9.88 | 3.33 | 1.09 | 0.58 | 1.02 |

Table 5.6.2. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Catch number at age (millions). Continued
Catch in Number

| AGE | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.14 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.22 |
| 2 | 35.41 | 32.71 | 6.26 | 11.60 |
| 3 | 90.20 | 48.45 | 20.13 | 27.97 |
| 4 | 9.51 | 56.63 | 25.66 | 24.80 |
| 5 | 19.92 | 7.99 | 41.72 | 12.32 |
| 6 | 29.29 | 4.67 | 3.77 | 11.78 |
| 7 | 9.63 | 13.53 | 7.33 | 1.22 |
| 8 | 1.29 | 10.38 | 12.10 | 1.44 |
|  | 1.20 | 1.33 | 0.69 | 1.72 |

Table 5.6.3. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Weight in the catch (kg). N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

```
Weights at age in the catches ( Kg )
```

| AGE | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 197 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.07900 | 0.07900 | 0.07900 | 0.07900 | 0.07900 | 0.07900 | 0.07900 | 0.07900 | 0.07900 | 0.07900 | 0.07900 | 0.07900 | 0.07900 | 0.07900 | 0.0790 |
| 2 | 0.10400 | 0.10400 | 0.10400 | 0.10400 | 0.10400 | 0.10400 | 0.10400 | 0.10400 | 0.10400 | 0.10400 | 0.10400 | 0.10400 | 0.10400 | 0.10400 | 0.10400 |
| 3 | 0.13000 | 0.13000 | 0.13000 | 0.13000 | 0.13000 | 0.13000 | 0.13000 | 0.13000 | 0.13000 | 0.13000 | 0.13000 | 0.13000 | 0.13000 | 0.13000 | 0.13000 |
| 4 | 0.15800 | 0.15800 | 0.15800 | 0.15800 | 0.15800 | 0.15800 | 0.15800 | 0.15800 | 0.15800 | 0.15800 | 0.15800 | 0.15800 | 0.15800 | 0.15800 | 0.15800 |
| 5 | 0.16400 | 0.16400 | 0.16400 | 0.16400 | 0.16400 | 0.16400 | 0.16400 | 0.16400 | 0.16400 | 0.16400 | 0.16400 | 0.16400 | 0.16400 | 0.16400 | 0.16400 |
| 6 | 0.17000 | 0.17000 | 0.17000 | 0.17000 | 0.17000 | 0.17000 | 0.17000 | 0.17000 | 0.17000 | 0.17000 | 0.17000 | 0.17000 | 0.17000 | 0.17000 | 0.17000 |
| 7 | 0.18000 | 0.18000 | 0.18000 | 0.18000 | 0.18000 | 0.18000 | 0.18000 | 0.18000 | 0.18000 | 0.18000 | 0.18000 | 0.18000 | 0.18000 | 0.18000 | 0.18000 |
| 8 | 0.18300 | 0.18300 | 0.18300 | 0.18300 | 0.18300 | 0.18300 | 0.18300 | 0.18300 | 0.18300 | 0.18300 | 0.18300 | 0.18300 | 0.18300 | 0.18300 | 0.18300 |
| 9 | 0.18500 | 0.18500 | 0.18500 | 0.18500 | 0.18500 | 0.18500 | 0.18500 | 0.18500 | 0.18500 | 0.18500 | 0.18500 | 0.18500 | 0.18500 | 0.18500 | 0.18500 |
|  | Weights | at age | the ca | atches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| AGE | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

0.079000 .090000 .090000 .090000 .090000 .090000 .090000 .0900000 .090000 .090000 .080000 .080000 .080000 .069000 .11300 0.104000 .121000 .121000 .121000 .121000 .121000 .121000 .121000 .121000 .121000 .140000 .140000 .140000 .103000 .14500 0.130000 .158000 .158000 .158000 .158000 .158000 .158000 .158000 .158000 .158000 .175000 .175000 .175000 .134000 .17300 0.158000 .175000 .175000 .175000 .175000 .175000 .175000 .175000 .175000 .175000 .205000 .205000 .205000 .161000 .19600 0.164000 .186000 .186000 .186000 .186000 .186000 .186000 .186000 .186000 .186000 .231000 .231000 .231000 .182000 .21500 0.170000 .206000 .206000 .206000 .206000 .206000 .206000 .206000 .206000 .206000 .253000 .253000 .253000 .199000 .23000 0.180000 .218000 .218000 .218000 .218000 .218000 .218000 .218000 .218000 .218000 .270000 .270000 .270000 .213000 .24200 0.183000 .224000 .224000 .224000 .224000 .224000 .224000 .224000 .224000 .224000 .284000 .284000 .284000 .223000 .25100 0.185000 .224000 .224000 .224000 .224000 .224000 .224000 .224000 .224000 .224000 .295000 .295000 .295000 .231000 .25800

Table 5.6.3. Herring in VIa ( $\mathbf{N}$ ). Run A. Weight in the catch (kg). Continued

| Weights at age in the catches ( Kg ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| 1 | 0.07300 | 0.08000 | 0.08200 | 0.07900 | 0.08400 | 0.09100 | 0.08900 | 0.08300 | 0.10600 | 0.08100 | 0.08900 | 0.09700 | 0.07600 | 0.08340 | 0.04900 |
| 2 | 0.14300 | 0.11200 | 0.14200 | 0.12900 | 0.11800 | 0.11900 | 0.12800 | 0.14200 | 0.14200 | 0.13400 | 0.13600 | 0.13800 | 0.13000 | 0.13730 | 0.13960 |
| 3 | 0.18300 | 0.15700 | 0.14500 | 0.17300 | 0.16000 | 0.18300 | 0.15800 | 0.16700 | 0.18100 | 0.17800 | 0.17700 | 0.15900 | 0.15800 | 0.16370 | 0.16270 |
| 4 | 0.21100 | 0.17700 | 0.19100 | 0.18200 | 0.20300 | 0.19600 | 0.19700 | 0.19000 | 0.19100 | 0.21000 | 0.20500 | 0.18200 | 0.17500 | 0.18290 | 0.18260 |
| 5 | 0.22000 | 0.20300 | 0.19000 | 0.20900 | 0.21100 | 0.22700 | 0.20600 | 0.19500 | 0.19800 | 0.23000 | 0.22200 | 0.19900 | 0.19100 | 0.20140 | 0.19200 |
| 6 | 0.23800 | 0.19400 | 0.21300 | 0.22400 | 0.22900 | 0.21900 | 0.22800 | 0.20100 | 0.21400 | 0.23300 | 0.22300 | 0.21800 | 0.21000 | 0.21470 | 0.19570 |
| 7 | 0.24100 | 0.24000 | 0.21600 | 0.22800 | 0.23600 | 0.24400 | 0.22300 | 0.24400 | 0.20800 | 0.26200 | 0.21900 | 0.22700 | 0.22500 | 0.23940 | 0.20450 |
| 8 | 0.25300 | 0.21300 | 0.20400 | 0.23700 | 0.26100 | 0.25600 | 0.26200 | 0.23400 | 0.22700 | 0.24700 | 0.23800 | 0.21200 | 0.22300 | 0.28120 | 0.22440 |
| 9 | 0.25600 | 0.22800 | 0.24300 | 0.24700 | 0.27100 | 0.25600 | 0.26300 | 0.26600 | 0.27700 | 0.29100 | 0.26300 | 0.19900 | 0.22600 | 0.25260 | 0.27130 |
| Weights at age in the catches ( Kg ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGE | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.10660 | 0.07200 | 0.00000 | 0.10840 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.14620 | 0.14290 | 0.15510 | 0.13270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.15940 | 0.15780 | 0.17250 | 0.16320 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0.17090 | 0.16650 | 0.19440 | 0.18450 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0.15640 | 0.18300 | 0.21350 | 0.21080 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0.17250 | 0.19580 | 0.21790 | 0.22580 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 0.18200 | 0.19270 | 0.19360 | 0.23410 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0.24510 | 0.18450 | 0.18610 | 0.25560 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 0.27710 | 0.29010 | 0.31320 | 0.24960 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.6.4. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Weight in the stock (kg). N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

```
Weights at age in the stock (Kg)
```

| AGE | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

 $2 \quad \mid \quad 0.164000 .164000 .164000 .164000 .164000 .164000 .164000 .164000 .164000 .164000 .16400 \quad 0.164000 .164000 .164000 .16400$ $3 \quad 0.208000 .208000 .208000 .208000 .208000 .208000 .208000 .208000 .208000 .208000 .208000 .208000 .208000 .208000 .20800$ $4 \quad 0.233000 .233000 .233000 .233000 .23300 \quad 0.233000 .23300 \quad 0.23300 \quad 0.23300 \quad 0.233000 .23300 \quad 0.23300 \quad 0.23300 \quad 0.23300 \quad 0.23300$ $5 \quad \mid \quad 0.246000 .246000 .246000 .246000 .246000 .246000 .246000 .246000 .246000 .246000 .24600 \quad 0.24600 \quad 0.24600 \quad 0.24600 \quad 0.24600$ $6 \quad \mid \quad 0.252000 .252000 .252000 .252000 .252000 .252000 .252000 .252000 .252000 .252000 .252000 .252000 .252000 .252000 .25200$
$7 \quad 0.258000 .258000 .258000 .258000 .258000 .258000 .258000 .25800 \quad 0.258000 .258000 .258000 .258000 .258000 .258000 .25800$


Table 5.6.4. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Continued.

```
Weights at age in the stock (Kg)
```








 $9 \quad 0.269000 .269000 .269000 .269000 .269000 .269000 .269000 .269000 .269000 .269000 .26900$ 0. 0.269000 .269000 .269000 .26900

| Weights at age in the stock ( Kg ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| 1 | 0.09000 | 0.09000 | 0.09000 | 0.09000 | 0.09000 | 0.09000 | 0.07500 | 0.05200 | 0.04200 | 0.04500 | 0.05700 | 0.06600 | 0.05400 | 0.06200 | 0.06200 |
| 2 | 0.16400 | 0.16400 | 0.16400 | 0.16400 | 0.16400 | 0.16400 | 0.16200 | 0.15000 | 0.14400 | 0.14000 | 0.15000 | 0.13800 | 0.13700 | 0.14100 | 0.13200 |
| 3 | 0.20800 | 0.20800 | 0.20800 | 0.20800 | 0.20800 | 0.20800 | 0.19600 | 0.19200 | 0.19100 | 0.18000 | 0.18900 | 0.17600 | 0.16600 | 0.17300 | 0.17000 |
| 4 | 0.23300 | 0.23300 | 0.23300 | 0.23300 | 0.23300 | 0.23300 | 0.20600 | 0.22000 | 0.20200 | 0.20900 | 0.20900 | 0.19400 | 0.18800 | 0.18300 | 0.19000 |
| 5 | 0.24600 | 0.24600 | 0.24600 | 0.24600 | 0.24600 | 0.24600 | 0.22600 | 0.22100 | 0.22500 | 0.21900 | 0.22500 | 0.21400 | 0.20300 | 0.19400 | 0.19800 |
| 6 | 0.25200 | 0.25200 | 0.25200 | 0.25200 | 0.25200 | 0.25200 | 0.23400 | 0.23300 | 0.22700 | 0.22200 | 0.23300 | 0.22600 | 0.21900 | 0.20400 | 0.21200 |
| 7 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25400 | 0.24100 | 0.24700 | 0.22900 | 0.24800 | 0.23400 | 0.22500 | 0.21100 | 0.22000 |
| 8 | 0.26900 | 0.26900 | 0.26900 | 0.26900 | 0.26900 | 0.26900 | 0.26000 | 0.27000 | 0.26000 | 0.24200 | 0.26600 | 0.22500 | 0.23500 | 0.22200 | 0.23600 |
| - | 0.29200 | 0.29200 | 0.29200 | 0.29200 | 0.29200 | 0.29200 | 0.27600 | 0.29600 | 0.29300 | 0.26300 | 0.28700 | 0.24900 | 0.24500 | 0.23000 | 0.25400 |

Weights at age in the stock $(\mathrm{Kg})$

| AGE | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -+ | 0.06200 | 0.06400 | 0.05900 | 0.07510 |
| 1 | 0.15300 | 0.13800 | 0.13800 | 0.12960 |
| 3 | 0.17700 | 0.17600 | 0.15900 | 0.15380 |
| 4 | 0.19800 | 0.19000 | 0.18000 | 0.16650 |
| 5 | 0.21200 | 0.20400 | 0.18900 | 0.18020 |
| 6 | 0.21500 | 0.21300 | 0.20200 | 0.19110 |
| 7 | 0.22500 | 0.21700 | 0.21300 | 0.21250 |
| 8 | 0.24300 | 0.22300 | 0.21400 | 0.20300 |
| 9 | 0.25900 | 0.22800 | 0.20600 | 0.22840 |

Table 5.6.5. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Natural mortality. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).
Natural Mortality (per year)

| AGE | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 |  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 2 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 |  | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 |
| 3 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 |  | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 |
| 4 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |  | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 5 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |  | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 6 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |  | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 7 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |  | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 8 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |  | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 9 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |  | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |

Table 5.6.6. Herring in VIa ( N ). Run A. Proportion mature. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).


Table 5.6.6. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Proportion mature. Continued
Proportion of fish spawning

| AGE | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.5700 | 0.5700 | 0.5700 | 0.5700 | 0.5700 | 0.4700 | 0.9300 | 0.4800 | 0.1900 | 0.7600 | 0.5700 | 0.8500 | 0.5700 | 0.4500 | 0.9300 |
| 3 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 | 1.0000 | 0.9600 | 0.9200 | 0.9800 | 0.9400 | 0.9600 | 0.9700 | 0.9800 | 0.9200 | 0.9900 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 8 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 9 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |


| AGE | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.9200 | 0.7600 | 0.8300 | 0.8400 |
| 3 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9700 | 1.0000 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 8 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 9 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |

Table 5.6.7. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Tuning indices. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| AGE | JRED | ICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| 1 | 249.1 |  |  | * | 338.3 | 74.3 | 2.8 | 494.2 | 460.6 | 41.2 |  | 1221.7 | 534.2 | 447.6 | 313.1 |
| 2 | 578.4 | ** | * | **** | 294.5 | 503.4 | 750.3 | 542.1 | 1085.1 | 576.5 | ** | 794.6 | 322.4 | 316.2 | 1062.0 |
| 3 | 551.1 | * | * | *** | 327.9 | 211.0 | 681.2 | 607.7 | 472.7 | 802.5 | ** | 666.8 | 1388.0 | 337.1 | 217.7 |
| 4 | 353.1 | ** | **** | * | 367.8 | 258.1 | 653.0 | 285.6 | 450.2 | 329.1 | ** | 471.1 | 432.0 | 899.5 | 172.8 |
| 5 | 752.6 |  |  | * | 488.3 | 414.8 | 544.0 | 306.8 | 153.0 | 95.4 | ** | 179.1 | 308.0 | 393.4 | 437.5 |
| 6 | 111.6 | ** | * | *** | 176.3 | 240.1 | 865.2 | 268.1 | 187.1 | 60.6 | ** | 79.3 | 138.7 | 247.6 | 132.6 |
| 7 | 48.1 |  | ** | ******* | 98.7 | 105.7 | 284.1 | 406.8 | 169.2 | 77.4 | *** | 28.1 | 86.5 | 199.5 | 102.8 |
| 8 | 15.9 | *** | ** | ******* | 89.8 | 56.7 | 151.7 | 173.7 | 236.6 | 78.2 | *** | 13.8 | 27.6 | 95.0 | 52.4 |
| 9 | 6.5 | ** |  |  | 58.0 | 63.4 | 156.2 | 131.9 | 201.5 | 114.8 | *** | 36.8 | 35.4 | 65.0 | 34.7 |

Table 5.6.7. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Tuning indices. Continued

| AGE | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 424.7 | 438.8 | 564.0 | 50.2 |
| 2 | 436.0 | 1039.4 | 274.5 | 243.4 |
| 3 | 1436.9 | 932.5 | 760.2 | 230.3 |
| 4 | 199.8 | 1471.8 | 442.3 | 423.1 |
| 5 | 161.7 | 181.3 | 577.2 | 245.1 |
| 6 | 424.3 | 129.2 | 55.7 | 152.8 |
| 7 | 152.3 | 346.7 | 61.8 | 12.6 |
| 8 | 67.5 | 114.3 | 82.2 | 39.0 |
| 9 | 59.5 | 75.2 | 76.3 | 26.8 |

Table 5.6.8. Herring in VIa ( N ). Run A. Weighting factors for the catch in numbers. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith). Weighting factors for the catches in number

| AGE | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 2 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 3 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 8 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |

Table 5.6.9. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Fishing mortality (per year). N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).


Table 5.6.9. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Fishing mortality (per year). Continued.

|  | Fishing Mortality (per year) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| 1 | 0.0032 | 0.0034 | 0.0028 | 0.0021 |
| 2 | 0.1643 | 0.1743 | 0.1465 | 0.1109 |
| 3 | 0.2992 | 0.3173 | 0.2668 | 0.2019 |
| 4 | 0.2710 | 0.2874 | 0.2416 | 0.1829 |
| 5 | 0.3410 | 0.3616 | 0.3040 | 0.2301 |
| 6 | 0.2902 | 0.3077 | 0.2587 | 0.1958 |
| 7 | 0.3137 | 0.3327 | 0.2797 | 0.2117 |
| 8 | 0.2710 | 0.2874 | 0.2416 | 0.1829 |
| 9 | 0.2710 | 0.2874 | 0.2416 | 0.1829 |

Table 5.6.10. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Population abundance (1 January, millions). N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| Population Abundance (1 January) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| 1 | 1102.2 | 2151.1 | 2150.6 | 634.2 | 1293.8 | 2330.5 | 2133.8 | 983.4 | 7876.3 | 1068.0 | 2502.9 | 4102.4 | 2999.4 | 3440.5 | 9573.1 |
| 2 | 922.6 | 401.7 | 782.3 | 760.4 | 231.2 | 468.4 | 825.4 | 778.1 | 346.4 | 2724.1 | 273.3 | 801.1 | 1381.9 | 1081.5 | 1128.3 |
| 3 | 235.0 | 619.6 | 271.1 | 521.4 | 476.1 | 132.8 | 267.9 | 544.6 | 505.8 | 239.7 | 1591.1 | 179.0 | 512.8 | 944.5 | 716.5 |
| 4 | 141.9 | 140.2 | 376.6 | 190.2 | 372.5 | 334.3 | 88.7 | 171.2 | 382.7 | 357.7 | 166.1 | 1105.7 | 127.6 | 355.1 | 545.5 |
| 5 | 139.6 | 103.9 | 89.8 | 230.5 | 150.4 | 305.6 | 238.4 | 69.0 | 129.6 | 289.9 | 266.2 | 115.1 | 849.4 | 93.4 | 215.2 |
| 6 | 82.0 | 94.1 | 70.4 | 57.6 | 162.2 | 114.8 | 234.4 | 177.3 | 55.5 | 96.1 | 223.5 | 203.6 | 90.9 | 568.2 | 58.2 |
| 7 | 55.9 | 55.4 | 58.9 | 47.3 | 41.1 | 134.9 | 85.1 | 187.3 | 137.4 | 45.3 | 68.6 | 173.9 | 161.8 | 62.3 | 379.1 |
| 8 | 7.6 | 42.2 | 33.6 | 37.2 | 33.0 | 32.1 | 99.2 | 68.7 | 151.7 | 102.6 | 35.7 | 50.9 | 142.5 | 105.9 | 35.9 |
| 9 | 23.4 | 30.6 | 39.2 | 27.1 | 17.7 | 37.0 | 44.2 | 118.0 | 156.6 | 156.0 | 166.6 | 86.4 | 143.4 | 94.2 | 68.3 |
| AGE | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| 1 | 2675.9 | 1074.5 | 1673.1 | 2106.4 | 610.0 | 623.1 | 913.8 | 1217.3 | 887.2 | 1662.5 | 772.3 | 2993.8 | 1137.8 | 1203.6 | 892.7 |
| 2 | 3401.2 | 682.2 | 365.7 | 440.5 | 675.4 | 184.9 | 209.1 | 323.1 | 447.7 | 324.8 | 590.3 | 276.4 | 1053.8 | 417.3 | 419.1 |
| 3 | 551.5 | 1989.9 | 305.8 | 165.1 | 156.1 | 231.4 | 96.4 | 115.5 | 239.2 | 331.4 | 174.3 | 226.4 | 138.7 | 619.8 | 250.4 |
| 4 | 219.7 | 294.5 | 906.1 | 115.7 | 55.9 | 37.9 | 103.8 | 60.4 | 94.5 | 195.8 | 176.6 | 78.2 | 102.4 | 68.9 | 374.0 |
| 5 | 208.2 | 147.4 | 142.1 | 329.9 | 44.5 | 17.1 | 13.4 | 55.4 | 54.6 | 85.4 | 119.0 | 71.5 | 43.0 | 59.5 | 46.0 |
| 6 | 98.4 | 125.2 | 73.7 | 50.7 | 120.9 | 16.5 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 50.1 | 49.4 | 57.0 | 52.4 | 24.5 | 24.8 | 39.4 |
| 7 | 28.5 | 64.6 | 61.6 | 19.9 | 17.0 | 37.5 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 45.3 | 32.7 | 29.2 | 21.6 | 11.5 | 16.1 |
| 8 | 196.8 | 16.0 | 41.2 | 23.0 | 6.4 | 5.1 | 14.1 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 4.6 | 30.0 | 18.7 | 12.5 | 10.8 | 3.8 |
| 9 | 58.7 | 79.1 | 38.5 | 24.1 | 19.6 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 4.7 | 8.8 | 18.8 | 5.5 | 7.1 | 5.3 |

$\times 10 \wedge 6$

Table 5.6.10. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Population abundance (1 January, millions). Continued.

| Population Abundance (1 January) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| 1 | 2110.1 | 905.2 | 849.8 | 434.7 | 382.3 | 793.8 | 582.9 | 842.5 | 626.2 | 922.2 | 1556.6 | 466.7 | 289.8 | 1552.1 | 731.0 |
| 2 | 308.8 | 764.9 | 332.0 | 309.0 | 151.6 | 125.4 | 289.0 | 204.2 | 308.9 | 230.2 | 338.1 | 571.9 | 170.8 | 106.3 | 569.3 |
| 3 | 179.4 | 172.6 | 464.8 | 214.5 | 194.0 | 92.7 | 72.1 | 133.3 | 120.1 | 159.0 | 138.3 | 202.9 | 327.0 | 106.9 | 67.4 |
| 4 | 127.9 | 106.0 | 103.8 | 282.1 | 139.0 | 136.1 | 53.5 | 42.2 | 73.1 | 71.0 | 102.4 | 81.8 | 103.6 | 196.9 | 66.0 |
| 5 | 225.8 | 85.3 | 69.0 | 76.0 | 184.9 | 99.0 | 100.6 | 38.0 | 32.5 | 46.0 | 55.5 | 62.7 | 48.3 | 71.0 | 138.0 |
| 6 | 23.8 | 156.8 | 58.5 | 45.3 | 43.6 | 131.7 | 68.8 | 78.5 | 27.3 | 24.3 | 34.5 | 28.3 | 33.1 | 30.8 | 46.6 |
| 7 | 18.6 | 13.6 | 114.8 | 45.7 | 28.3 | 26.7 | 87.0 | 48.2 | 63.3 | 20.7 | 19.6 | 14.6 | 16.2 | 22.2 | 21.2 |
| 8 | 12.1 | 9.9 | 9.2 | 89.6 | 32.0 | 18.5 | 18.1 | 60.5 | 33.7 | 48.9 | 14.3 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 10.6 | 15.0 |
| 9 | 20.7 | 10.5 | 19.9 | 23.1 | 20.7 | 23.6 | 14.4 | 50.8 | 41.4 | 179.9 | 27.1 | 10.0 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 4.9 |
| Population Abundance (1 January) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGE | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 676.6 | 285.5 | 343.1 | 159.5 | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 268.1 | 248.1 | 104.7 | 125.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 362.9 | 168.5 | 154.4 | 67.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 42.0 | 220.3 | 100.5 | 96.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 46.6 | 29.0 | 149.5 | 71.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 91.4 | 30.0 | 18.3 | 99.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 32.3 | 61.9 | 20.0 | 12.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 14.4 | 21.4 | 40.2 | 13.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 3.4 | 10.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.6.11. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Predicted catch in number. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| AGE | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.48 | 0.60 | 2.94 | 1.34 | 1.36 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.22 |
| 2 | 113.54 | 22.99 | 13.24 | 68.86 | 35.25 | 34.43 | 12.37 | 11.44 |
| 3 | 69.71 | 78.73 | 23.94 | 14.69 | 85.48 | 41.75 | 32.91 | 11.14 |
| 4 | 27.16 | 23.99 | 42.36 | 13.81 | 9.51 | 52.48 | 20.56 | 15.42 |
| 5 | 24.91 | 13.60 | 18.63 | 35.26 | 12.86 | 8.39 | 37.40 | 13.99 |
| 6 | 9.94 | 8.13 | 7.03 | 10.35 | 21.97 | 7.58 | 3.97 | 16.92 |
| 7 | 5.46 | 4.26 | 5.44 | 5.04 | 8.30 | 16.71 | 4.64 | 2.32 |
| 8 | 2.65 | 1.87 | 2.29 | 3.13 | 3.26 | 5.09 | 8.22 | 2.17 |

x 10 ^ 6

## Table 5.6.12. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Predicted index values. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

Predicted Age-Structured Index Values

| AGE | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 769.1 | **** | **** | ******* | 132.0 | 290.0 | 208.5 | 309.0 | 230.0 | 338.2 | ***** | 171.0 | 106.3 | 569.4 | 268.2 |
| 2 | 711.8 |  |  | ******* | 366.9 | 293.4 | 599.8 | 483.8 | 680.7 | 551.7 | * | 1334.3 | 418.7 | 262.4 | 1409.3 |
| 3 | 676.7 |  |  | ** | 803.5 | 345.1 | 270.4 | 482.6 | 453.1 | 628.5 |  | 706.6 | 1246.0 | 413.0 | 261.7 |
| 4 | 557.4 | * | ******* | ** | 627.6 | 627.5 | 241.2 | 198.8 | 308.5 | 337.4 | ** | 333.4 | 458.2 | 881.6 | 296.8 |
| 5 | 932.1 |  | ******* | ******* | 773.8 | 408.9 | 442.6 | 159.9 | 139.6 | 197.9 |  | 222.9 | 190.2 | 284.2 | 555.3 |
| 6 | 83.2 |  |  |  | 158.4 | 498.8 | 269.3 | 331.4 | 111.6 | 102.6 | ** | 99.3 | 126.6 | 119.2 | 181.2 |
| 7 | 61.3 |  |  | * | 104.1 | 100.2 | 331.4 | 184.1 | 255.3 | 78.6 | ** | 49.2 | 59.8 | 83.2 | 79.6 |
| 8 | 39.1 |  |  |  | 109.0 | 62.1 | 59.7 | 208.6 | 113.0 | 172.0 |  | 24.8 | 27.2 | 36.3 | 51.3 |
| 9 | 90.8 |  |  |  | 95.9 | 107.8 | 64.5 | 238.3 | 188.9 | 860.8 | ** | 42.3 | 21.6 | 12.4 | 22.6 |


| AGE | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 248.2 | 104.7 | 125.9 | 58.5 |
| 2 | 658.7 | 606.2 | 259.7 | 318.3 |
| 3 | 1388.8 | 638.6 | 601.5 | 270.3 |
| 4 | 186.4 | 969.3 | 453.2 | 451.0 |
| 5 | 184.6 | 113.5 | 604.3 | 300.4 |
| 6 | 351.0 | 114.1 | 71.3 | 403.5 |
| 7 | 119.7 | 226.9 | 75.3 | 50.0 |
| 8 | 48.7 | 71.6 | 138.0 | 48.4 |
| 9 | 24.5 | 25.5 | 15.7 | 52.2 |

Table 5.6.13. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Fitted selection pattern. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).
Fitted Selection Pattern

| AGE | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0443 | 0.0334 | 0.1015 | 0.0664 | 0.1643 | 0.1596 | 0.0580 | 0.2436 | 0.3473 | 1.8584 | 0.5222 | 0.5381 | 0.0943 | 0.2868 | 0.0404 |
| 2 | 0.4641 | 0.2701 | 0.2703 | 1.2517 | 2.5982 | 1.0876 | 0.7661 | 0.7320 | 0.3839 | 1.2168 | 0.4615 | 0.8925 | 0.3786 | 0.2788 | 0.4819 |
| 3 | 1.4984 | 0.8624 | 0.3950 | 1.0132 | 1.5678 | 0.8576 | 1.6362 | 0.8555 | 0.8247 | 0.8536 | 0.6151 | 0.8444 | 0.7879 | 0.8714 | 1.1383 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 1.3942 | 0.8375 | 0.8797 | 1.8720 | 1.7420 | 0.6949 | 1.2980 | 0.6583 | 1.1183 | 0.8204 | 0.6304 | 0.8334 | 1.4201 | 0.9308 | 0.7916 |
| 6 | 1.3878 | 1.0644 | 0.7628 | 1.7712 | 0.8549 | 0.8378 | 0.8240 | 0.8672 | 0.5736 | 1.2131 | 0.5654 | 0.7917 | 1.3052 | 0.7608 | 0.7123 |
| 7 | 0.8633 | 1.1560 | 0.9197 | 1.9395 | 1.4984 | 0.8740 | 0.7496 | 0.6183 | 1.0811 | 0.7058 | 0.7439 | 0.6073 | 1.5243 | 1.1264 | 0.6435 |
| 8 | 1.0454 | 0.8141 | 0.6682 | 1.4665 | 1.6969 | 0.9433 | 1.0323 | 0.8082 | 0.7897 | 1.0290 | 0.6649 | 0.8605 | 1.0003 | 0.7787 | 0.7793 |
| 9 | 1.0454 | 0.8141 | 0.6682 | 1.4665 | 1.6969 | 0.9433 | 1.0323 | 0.8082 | 0.7897 | 1.0290 | 0.6649 | 0.8605 | 1.0003 | 0.7787 | 0.7793 |

Table 5.6.13. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Fitted selection pattern. Continued.

| AGE | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.2253 | 0.1237 | 0.3675 | 0.1608 | 0.1788 | 0.0979 | 0.0751 | 0.9707 | 8.4775 | 0.0892 | 0.0342 | 0.0887 | 0.0069 | 0.1807 | 0.1517 |
| 2 | 0.7887 | 0.7987 | 0.5441 | 0.8623 | 0.7107 | 0.3746 | 0.5558 | 1.5386 | 1.2719 | 0.8106 | 0.8181 | 0.7837 | 0.5210 | 0.6939 | 1.3554 |
| 3 | 1.4285 | 0.9329 | 0.8482 | 1.0338 | 1.1210 | 0.6418 | 0.5065 | 2.2249 | 0.7724 | 1.0795 | 0.7480 | 1.1938 | 1.1272 | 1.0045 | 1.1665 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 1.3658 | 0.9437 | 1.0214 | 1.0577 | 0.8226 | 1.0187 | 1.4513 | 0.7460 | 0.4409 | 0.7686 | 0.8940 | 1.9489 | 1.0205 | 1.0278 | 1.3808 |
| 6 | 1.0700 | 0.9691 | 1.3295 | 1.1595 | 0.9878 | 1.5588 | 2.1082 | 4.5085 | 0.3328 | 0.7837 | 0.7050 | 1.5789 | 1.4937 | 1.0846 | 1.6048 |
| 7 | 1.5981 | 0.5578 | 0.9702 | 1.2117 | 1.0149 | 0.9368 | 1.8958 | 7.2025 | 2.9092 | 0.7840 | 0.5707 | 1.5006 | 1.3464 | 3.2621 | 0.4538 |
| 8 | 1.1766 | 0.8831 | 0.9261 | 1.0547 | 0.9400 | 0.8789 | 1.1892 | 2.7628 | 1.1618 | 0.8890 | 0.8147 | 1.2822 | 1.0415 | 1.2836 | 1.2133 |
| 9 | 1.1766 | 0.8831 | 0.9261 | 1.0547 | 0.9400 | 0.8789 | 1.1892 | 2.7628 | 1.1618 | 0.8890 | 0.8147 | 1.2822 | 1.0415 | 1.2836 | 1.2133 |
| AGE | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| 1 | 0.0482 | 0.0091 | 0.0548 | 0.1648 | 0.4783 | 0.0521 | 0.2025 | 0.0201 | 0.0018 | 0.0229 | 0.0031 | 0.0117 | 0.0117 | 0.0117 | 0.0117 |
| 2 | 0.9249 | 0.6009 | 0.6442 | 0.5123 | 0.8027 | 1.2580 | 1.9543 | 1.4260 | 1.0008 | 1.4329 | 0.5388 | 0.6064 | 0.6064 | 0.6064 | 0.6064 |
| 3 | 1.0701 | 0.9353 | 1.4105 | 0.7259 | 0.6449 | 1.7303 | 1.3835 | 2.4791 | 0.8946 | 1.6397 | 0.8308 | 1.1042 | 1.1042 | 1.1042 | 1.1042 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 0.8700 | 0.8435 | 1.5161 | 1.4083 | 0.9995 | 1.3015 | 0.6146 | 1.4195 | 0.5244 | 1.2834 | 1.4621 | 1.2583 | 1.2583 | 1.2583 | 1.2583 |
| 6 | 1.5199 | 0.6431 | 0.6903 | 1.1402 | 1.6402 | 1.5554 | 1.0543 | 0.7090 | 0.4842 | 0.7812 | 1.9312 | 1.0708 | 1.0708 | 1.0708 | 1.0708 |
| 7 | 1.7364 | 0.8778 | 0.6962 | 0.7963 | 1.3738 | 1.4222 | 1.0850 | 1.6036 | 0.4338 | 1.8532 | 2.0427 | 1.1577 | 1.1577 | 1.1577 | 1.1577 |
| 8 | 1.1842 | 0.8112 | 0.9651 | 0.8957 | 1.0701 | 1.3928 | 1.3143 | 1.4620 | 0.7840 | 1.3663 | 1.2285 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 9 | 1.1842 | 0.8112 | 0.9651 | 0.8957 | 1.0701 | 1.3928 | 1.3143 | 1.4620 | 0.7840 | 1.3663 | 1.2285 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| AGE | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.0117 | 0.0117 | 0.0117 | 0.0117 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.6064 | 0.6064 | 0.6064 | 40.606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1.1042 | 1.1042 | 1.1042 | 1.1042 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1.2583 | 1.2583 | 1.2583 | 1.2583 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1.0708 | 1.0708 | 1.0708 | 1.0708 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1.1577 | 1.1577 | 1.1577 | 1.1577 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.6.14. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Stock summary. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

STOCK SUMMARY


```
No of years for separable analysis : 8
Age range in the analysis : 1 . . . 9
Year range in the analysis : 1957 . . . 2005
Number of indices of SSB : 0
Number of age-structured indices : 1
Parameters to estimate : 38
Number of observations : 198
```

Conventional single selection vector model to be fitted.

Table 5.6.15. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Parameter estimates. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

## PARAMETER ESTIMATES



Age-structured index catchabilities
Linear model fitted. Slopes at age :

| 30 | 1 | $Q$ | .6337 | 75 | .3067 | 5.935 | .6337 | 2.873 | 1.795 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31 | 2 | $Q$ | 3.164 | 24 | 2.494 | 6.589 | 3.164 | 5.194 | 4.180 |
| 32 | 3 | $Q$ | 5.023 | 24 | 3.966 | 10.41 | 5.023 | 8.220 | 6.624 |
| 33 | 4 | $Q$ | 5.434 | 24 | 4.291 | 11.25 | 5.434 | 8.887 | 7.163 |
| 34 | 5 | $Q$ | 5.036 | 24 | 3.974 | 10.45 | 5.036 | 8.249 | 6.645 |
| 35 | 6 | $Q$ | 4.748 | 24 | 3.740 | 9.908 | 4.748 | 7.805 | 6.278 |
| 36 | 7 | $Q$ | 4.641 | 25 | 3.645 | 9.778 | 4.641 | 7.679 | 6.162 |
| 37 | 8 | $Q$ | 4.140 | 25 | 3.238 | 8.832 | 4.140 | 6.908 | 5.526 |
| 38 | 9 | $Q$ | 5.634 | 25 | 4.429 | 11.83 | 5.634 | 9.301 | 7.470 |

Table 5.6.16. Herring in VIa ( N ). Run A. Residuals about the model fit. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).
RESIDUALS ABOUT THE MODEL FIT
Separable Model Residuals

| Age | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.819 | 2.550 | 0.429 | -2.210 | -0.170 | -2.438 |  | 0.017 |
| 2 | -0.426 | 0.427 | 0.551 | 0.177 | 0.005 | -0.051 | -0.681 | 0.013 |
| 3 | -0.701 | 0.176 | -0.093 | 0.040 | 0.054 | 0.149 | -0.492 | 0.921 |
| 4 | 0.161 | 0.044 | 0.194 | -0.375 | 0.000 | 0.076 | 0.222 | 0.475 |
| 5 | -0.085 | -0.017 | -0.184 | -0.348 | 0.438 | -0.050 | 0.109 | -0.127 |
| 6 | 0.369 | -0.077 | 0.247 | -0.086 | 0.288 | -0.485 | -0.052 | -0.363 |
| 7 | 0.459 | -0.271 | -0.333 | 0.298 | 0.148 | -0.212 | 0.456 | -0.642 |
| 8 | 0.065 | -0.398 | -0.221 | 0.410 | -0.926 | 0.712 | 0.387 | -0.413 |

AGE-STRUCTURED INDEX RESIDUALS

| Age | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -1.127 |  |  |  | 0.941 | -1.362 | -4.325 | 0.469 | 0.694 | -2.105 |  | 1.966 | 1.614 | -0.241 | 0.155 |
| 2 | -0.207 |  |  |  | -0.220 | 0.540 | 0.224 | 0.114 | 0.466 | 0.044 |  | -0.518 | -0.261 | 0.186 | -0.283 |
| 3 | -0.205 | ***** | ***** | ******* | -0.896 | -0.492 | 0.924 | 0.230 | 0.042 | 0.244 | ***** | -0.058 | 0.108 | -0.203 | -0.184 |
| 4 | -0.457 |  |  | ******* | -0.534 | -0.888 | 0.996 | 0.362 | 0.378 | -0.025 |  | 0.346 | -0.059 | 0.020 | -0.541 |
| 5 | -0.214 |  |  |  | -0.460 | 0.014 | 0.206 | 0.652 | 0.092 | -0.730 |  | -0.219 | 0.482 | 0.325 | -0.238 |
| 6 | 0.294 |  |  |  | 0.107 | -0.731 | 1.167 | -0.212 | 0.517 | -0.526 |  | -0.225 | 0.091 | 0.731 | -0.312 |
| 7 | -0.243 |  |  |  | -0.053 | 0.053 | -0.154 | 0.793 | -0.412 | -0.015 |  | -0.561 | 0.368 | 0.874 | 0.255 |
| 8 | -0.900 |  |  |  | -0.194 | -0.090 | 0.933 | -0.183 | 0.739 | -0.788 | ** | -0.581 | 0.014 | 0.962 | 0.021 |
| 9 | -2.636 |  |  |  | -0.502 | -0.531 | 0.885 | -0.592 | 0.065 | -2.015 |  | -0.141 | 0.495 | 1.654 | 0.428 |


| Age | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.537 | 1.433 | 1.500 | -0.154 |
| 2 | -0.413 | 0.539 | 0.055 | -0.268 |
| 3 | 0.034 | 0.379 | 0.234 | -0.160 |
| 4 | 0.069 | 0.418 | -0.024 | -0.064 |
| 5 | -0.133 | 0.469 | -0.046 | -0.203 |
| 6 | 0.190 | 0.125 | -0.247 | -0.971 |
| 7 | 0.241 | 0.424 | -0.198 | -1.378 |
| 8 | 0.327 | 0.467 | -0.518 | -0.217 |
| 9 | 0.889 | 1.083 | 1.579 | -0.667 |

Table 5.6.17. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Parameters of distributions. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| PARAMETERS OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF $\ln ($ CATCHES AT AGE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Separable model fitted from 1998 to 2005 Variance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skewness test stat. -0. |  |  | -0.3629 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kurtosis test statistic - |  |  | -0.3429 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Partial chi-square |  |  | 1.1477 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Significance in fit |  |  | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degrees of freedom |  |  | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PARAMETERS OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE AGE-STRUCTURED INDICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRIBUTION STATISTICS FOR FLT01: West Scotland Summer Acoustic Su |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Linear catchability | relations | p assum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Variance | 0.0310 | 0.0131 | 0.0188 | 0.0250 | 0.0158 | 0.0344 | 0.0345 | 0.0383 | 0.1648 |
| Skewness test stat. | -1.8754 | 0.4207 | 0.0285 | 0.1051 | 0.0097 | 0.4318 | -1.0584 | 0.3843 | -1.0332 |
| Kurtosis test statisti | 0.7964 | -0.8865 | 0.7248 | -0.1010 | -0.4728 | -0.1636 | 0.6317 | -0.7434 | -0.1260 |
| Partial chi-square | 0.0355 | 0.0138 | 0.0202 | 0.0272 | 0.0180 | 0.0389 | 0.0429 | 0.0488 | 0.2088 |
| Significance in fit | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Number of observations | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Degrees of freedom | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Weight in the analysis | 0.0111 | 0.1111 | 0.1111 | 0.1111 | 0.1111 | 0.1111 | 0.1111 | 0.1111 | 0.1111 |

Table 5.6.18. Herring in VIa (N). Run A. Analysis of variance. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variance |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SSQ | Data | Parameters | d.f. | Variance |
| Total for model | 110.8796 | 198 | 38 | 160 | 0.6930 |
| Catches at age | 28.4322 | 63 | 29 | 34 | 0.8362 |
| Aged Indices |  |  |  |  |  |
| "FLT01:", "West", "Scotland", "Summer", "A | 82.4474 | 135 | 9 | 126 | 0.6543 |
| Weighted Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variance |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SSQ | Data | Parameters | d.f. | Variance |
| Total for model | 10.2073 | 198 | 38 | 160 | 0.0638 |
| Catches at age | 9.6663 | 63 | 29 | 34 | 0.2843 |
| Aged Indices |  |  |  |  |  |
| "FLT01:", "West", "Scotland", "Summer", "A | 0.5410 | 135 | 9 | 126 | 0.0043 |

Table 5.6.19. Herring in VIa (N). Run B. Fishing mortality (per year). N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).


Table 5.6.19. Herring in VIa (N). Run B. Fishing mortality (per year). Continued.

|  | Fishing Mortality (per year) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| 1 | 0.0023 | 0.0023 | 0.0017 | 0.0012 |
| 2 | 0.1263 | 0.1223 | 0.0929 | 0.0631 |
| 3 | 0.2353 | 0.2279 | 0.1731 | 0.1175 |
| 4 | 0.2192 | 0.2123 | 0.1612 | 0.1094 |
| 5 | 0.2670 | 0.2585 | 0.1964 | 0.1333 |
| 6 | 0.2305 | 0.2233 | 0.1696 | 0.1151 |
| 7 | 0.2546 | 0.2466 | 0.1873 | 0.1271 |
| 8 | 0.2192 | 0.2123 | 0.1612 | 0.1094 |
| 9 | 0.2192 | 0.2123 | 0.1612 | 0.1094 |

Table 5.6.20. Herring in VIa (N). Run B. Population abundance (1 January, millions). N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| AGE | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1085.3 | 2117.7 | 2127.0 | 627.4 | 1283.5 | 2308.3 | 2119.7 | 979.0 | 7847.2 | 1065.8 | 2498.8 | 4099.9 | 2998.7 | 3439.9 | 9570.8 |
| 2 | 913.0 | 395.5 | 770.0 | 751.7 | 228.7 | 464.6 | 817.3 | 772.9 | 344.8 | 2713.4 | 272.5 | 799.6 | 1381.0 | 1081.3 | 1128.1 |
| 3 | 232.7 | 612.5 | 266.5 | 512.3 | 469.6 | 131.0 | 265.1 | 538.5 | 502.0 | 238.5 | 1583.2 | 178.4 | 511.7 | 943.9 | 716.3 |
| 4 | 139.7 | 138.3 | 370.8 | 186.4 | 365.1 | 329.0 | 87.2 | 169.0 | 377.8 | 354.6 | 165.1 | 1099.2 | 127.2 | 354.2 | 544.9 |
| 5 | 137.4 | 101.9 | 88.1 | 225.2 | 147.0 | 298.9 | 233.7 | 67.6 | 127.5 | 285.5 | 263.4 | 114.2 | 843.5 | 92.9 | 214.4 |
| 6 | 80.6 | 92.1 | 68.6 | 56.1 | 157.4 | 111.7 | 228.3 | 173.0 | 54.3 | 94.3 | 219.4 | 201.1 | 90.1 | 562.9 | 57.8 |
| 7 | 54.4 | 54.1 | 57.2 | 45.6 | 39.7 | 130.6 | 82.3 | 181.7 | 133.5 | 44.2 | 66.9 | 170.3 | 159.5 | 61.6 | 374.3 |
| 8 | 7.3 | 40.8 | 32.4 | 35.6 | 31.5 | 30.8 | 95.3 | 66.2 | 146.7 | 99.1 | 34.7 | 49.4 | 139.2 | 103.8 | 35.2 |
| 9 | 22.6 | 29.6 | 37.8 | 25.9 | 16.9 | 35.5 | 42.5 | 113.7 | 151.4 | 150.7 | 162.0 | 83.8 | 140.1 | 92.3 | 67.1 |
| AGE | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| 1 | 2675.6 | 1074.2 | 1672.3 | 2102.1 | 607.4 | 621.2 | 912.1 | 1216.6 | 884.9 | 1660.5 | 770.4 | 2977.4 | 1132.1 | 1200.3 | 889.6 |
| 2 | 3400.4 | 682.1 | 365.6 | 440.2 | 673.8 | 183.9 | 208.4 | 322.5 | 447.4 | 324.0 | 589.6 | 275.7 | 1047.8 | 415.2 | 417.9 |
| 3 | 551.3 | 1989.3 | 305.7 | 165.0 | 155.9 | 230.3 | 95.7 | 115.0 | 238.8 | 331.2 | 173.7 | 225.8 | 138.2 | 615.3 | 248.9 |
| 4 | 219.5 | 294.3 | 905.6 | 115.6 | 55.8 | 37.7 | 102.8 | 59.8 | 94.1 | 195.4 | 176.5 | 77.7 | 101.9 | 68.5 | 370.4 |
| 5 | 207.7 | 147.2 | 141.9 | 329.4 | 44.5 | 17.0 | 13.3 | 54.5 | 54.1 | 85.1 | 118.7 | 71.3 | 42.6 | 59.1 | 45.6 |
| 6 | 97.6 | 124.8 | 73.5 | 50.6 | 120.4 | 16.5 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 49.3 | 48.9 | 56.6 | 52.1 | 24.4 | 24.3 | 39.0 |
| 7 | 28.1 | 63.9 | 61.2 | 19.8 | 16.9 | 37.1 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 5.0 | 44.6 | 32.3 | 28.9 | 21.4 | 11.3 | 15.7 |
| 8 | 192.5 | 15.6 | 40.6 | 22.7 | 6.3 | 5.0 | 13.7 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 29.4 | 18.3 | 12.3 | 10.5 | 3.7 |
| 9 | 57.4 | 77.5 | 38.0 | 23.7 | 19.3 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 4.6 | 8.6 | 18.4 | 5.4 | 6.9 | 5.2 |

Table 5.6.20. Herring in VIa (N). Run B. Population abundance (1 January, millions). Continued.


Table 5.6.21. Herring in VIa (N). Run B. Predicted catch in number. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| AGE | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.43 | 0.59 | 2.94 | 1.33 | 1.36 | 0.62 | 0.65 | 0.22 |
| 2 | 112.33 | 22.97 | 13.45 | 69.69 | 35.15 | 33.89 | 12.31 | 11.74 |
| 3 | 69.97 | 79.10 | 24.11 | 14.98 | 86.88 | 41.38 | 32.17 | 10.89 |
| 4 | 27.86 | 24.56 | 43.15 | 14.06 | 9.83 | 53.72 | 20.64 | 15.14 |
| 5 | 24.60 | 13.38 | 18.24 | 34.26 | 12.54 | 8.27 | 36.65 | 13.32 |
| 6 | 9.90 | 8.13 | 6.95 | 10.16 | 21.50 | 7.42 | 3.95 | 16.61 |
| 7 | 5.50 | 4.29 | 5.47 | 5.00 | 8.22 | 16.40 | 4.58 | 2.31 |
| 8 | 2.65 | 1.85 | 2.25 | 3.07 | 3.17 | 4.90 | 7.89 | 2.08 |

x 10 ^ 6

Table 5.6.22. Herring in VIa (N). Run B. Predicted index values. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).
Predicted Age-Structured Index Values

| AGE | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 666.9 |  |  | **** | 115.3 | 253.2 | 183.2 | 272.5 | 206.1 | 306.3 | **** | 164.5 | 108.0 | 605.1 | 297.5 |
| 2 | 640.4 |  | **** | ******* | 332.1 | 266.4 | 544.2 | 441.7 | 624.9 | 514.9 |  | 1293.7 | 422.2 | 280.2 | 1578.4 |
| 3 | 609.5 |  |  | ******* | 725.9 | 313.3 | 246.6 | 440.0 | 416.2 | 581.5 |  | 681.7 | 1239.3 | 428.5 | 289.8 |
| 4 | 499.3 |  | ** | ******* | 565.5 | 566.9 | 219.4 | 181.7 | 282.2 | 311.2 | * | 319.3 | 451.5 | 899.3 | 318.8 |
| 5 | 843.4 | ** | * | ** | 705.1 | 373.5 | 405.7 | 147.7 | 129.7 | 184.3 | ** | 216.0 | 189.1 | 292.5 | 598.1 |
| 6 | 79.9 | *** | **** | ****** | 152.5 | 481.5 | 260.9 | 322.8 | 109.7 | 101.5 | ** | 101.5 | 133.9 | 129.8 | 206.4 |
| 7 | 61.0 |  | ** | ******* | 103.6 | 99.8 | 331.4 | 185.0 | 258.6 | 80.5 | **** | 51.8 | 64.9 | 93.9 | 93.4 |
| 8 | 35.8 |  |  |  | 100.3 | 57.1 | 54.9 | 193.4 | 105.4 | 162.1 |  | 24.3 | 27.1 | 37.4 | 55.6 |
| 9 | 84.9 |  |  |  | 90.1 | 101.4 | 60.6 | 225.8 | 180.0 | 829.1 |  | 42.3 | 22.2 | 13.3 | 25.5 |


| AGE | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 295.7 | 139.5 | 193.1 |
| 2 | 774.7 | 771.5 | 370.0 |
| 3 | 1634.9 | 804.5 | 827.0 |
| 4 | 216.9 | 1224.6 | 621.7 |
| 5 | 213.0 | 145.2 | 850.6 |
| 6 | 425.0 | 151.4 | 106.7 |
| 7 | 149.3 | 307.9 | 113.7 |
| 8 | 55.7 | 89.1 | 189.6 |
| 9 | 29.4 | 33.6 | 23.0 |

Table 5.6.23. Herring in VIa (N). Run B. Fitted selection pattern. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).
Fitted Selection Pattern

| AGE | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0442 | 0.0334 | 0.1007 | 0.0656 | 0.1621 | 0.1583 | 0.0573 | 0.2411 | 0.3438 | 1.8448 | 0.5196 | 0.5350 | 0.0939 | 0.2859 | 0.0403 |
| 2 | 0.4610 | 0.2701 | 0.2696 | 1.2405 | 2.5748 | 1.0782 | 0.7600 | 0.7265 | 0.3804 | 1.2103 | 0.4598 | 0.8887 | 0.3773 | 0.2780 | 0.4812 |
| 3 | 1.4891 | 0.8601 | 0.3948 | 1.0106 | 1.5576 | 0.8554 | 1.6256 | 0.8532 | 0.8198 | 0.8499 | 0.6143 | 0.8420 | 0.7864 | 0.8694 | 1.1370 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 1.3948 | 0.8432 | 0.8831 | 1.8809 | 1.7486 | 0.6993 | 1.3030 | 0.6625 | 1.1224 | 0.8262 | 0.6335 | 0.8349 | 1.4256 | 0.9332 | 0.7947 |
| 6 | 1.3922 | 1.0742 | 0.7718 | 1.7874 | 0.8634 | 0.8482 | 0.8321 | 0.8776 | 0.5790 | 1.2283 | 0.5727 | 0.7974 | 1.3129 | 0.7667 | 0.7178 |
| 7 | 0.8739 | 1.1718 | 0.9360 | 1.9774 | 1.5224 | 0.8900 | 0.7624 | 0.6288 | 1.1003 | 0.7178 | 0.7596 | 0.6170 | 1.5440 | 1.1395 | 0.6535 |
| 8 | 1.0680 | 0.8320 | 0.6834 | 1.5066 | 1.7476 | 0.9691 | 1.0583 | 0.8291 | 0.8071 | 1.0593 | 0.6814 | 0.8835 | 1.0225 | 0.7947 | 0.7986 |
| 9 | 1.0680 | 0.8320 | 0.6834 | 1.5066 | 1.7476 | 0.9691 | 1.0583 | 0.8291 | 0.8071 | 1.0593 | 0.6814 | 0.8835 | 1.0225 | 0.7947 | 0.7986 |

Table 5.6.23. Herring in VIa (N). Run B. Fitted selection pattern. Continued.


Table 5.6.24. Herring in VIa (N). Run B. Stock summary. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

STOCK SUMMARY


```
No of years for separable analysis : 8
Age range in the analysis : 1 . . . }
Year range in the analysis : 1957 . . . 2005
Number of indices of SSB : 0
Number of age-structured indices : 1
Parameters to estimate : 38
Number of observations : 189
```

Conventional single selection vector model to be fitted.

Table 5.6.25. Herring in VIa (N). Run B. Parameter estimates. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

## PARAMETER ESTIMATES

| ${ }^{3}$ Parm. ${ }^{3}$ |  | 3 | Maximum |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | Mean of ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{3}$ No. ${ }^{3}$ |  | 3 | Likelh. | CV | Lower | Upper ${ }^{3}$ | -s.e. | +s.e. | Param. |
| 3 |  | 3 | Estimate | ${ }^{3}(\%)^{3}$ | 95\% CL | 95\% CL ${ }^{3}$ |  | ${ }^{3}$ | Distrib. ${ }^{3}$ |
| Separable model : F by year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1998 |  | 0.4154 | 16 | 0.2999 | 0.5752 | 0.3518 | 0.4904 | 0.4211 |
| 2 | 1999 |  | 0.2622 | 17 | 0.1859 | 0.3700 | 0.2200 | 0.3126 | 0.2663 |
| 3 | 2000 |  | 0.2318 | 18 | 0.1622 | 0.3312 | 0.1932 | 0.2781 | 0.2357 |
| 4 | 2001 |  | 0.2133 | 19 | 0.1462 | 0.3113 | 0.1759 | 0.2587 | 0.2173 |
| 5 | 2002 |  | 0.2192 | 21 | 0.1446 | 0.3322 | 0.1773 | 0.2710 | 0.2242 |
| 6 | 2003 |  | 0.2123 | 24 | 0.1317 | 0.3420 | 0.1664 | 0.2708 | 0.2186 |
| 7 | 2004 |  | 0.1612 | 28 | 0.0923 | 0.2814 | 0.1213 | 0.2142 | 0.1679 |
| 8 | 2005 |  | 0.1094 | 33 | 0.0572 | 0.2093 | 0.0786 | 0.1523 | 0.1156 |
| Separable Model: Selection (S) by age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 |  | 0.0106 | 42 | 0.0046 | 0.0244 | 0.0069 | 0.0162 | 0.0116 |
| 10 | 2 |  | 0.5763 | 17 | 0.4113 | 0.8075 | 0.4852 | 0.6845 | 0.5849 |
| 11 | 3 |  | 1.0736 | 15 | 0.7940 | 1.4515 | 0.9204 | 1.2522 | 1.0863 |
| 41.0000 Fixed : Reference Age 1.001 .08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 5 |  | 1.2181 | 13 | 0.9291 | 1.5969 | 1.0609 | 1.3986 | 1.2297 |
| 13 | 6 |  | 1.0518 | 13 | 0.8107 | 1.3646 | 0.9210 | 1.2012 | 1.0611 |
| 14 | 7 |  | 1.1617 | 13 | 0.8940 | 1.5096 | 1.0164 | 1.3278 | 1.1721 |
|  | 8 |  | 1.0000 | Fix | xed : Last | true age |  |  |  |
| Separable model: Populations in year 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 1 |  | 299673 | 112 | 33181 | 2706497 | 97502 | 921044 | 562870 |
| 16 | 2 |  | 221901 | 48 | 86564 | 568825 | 137271 | 358708 | 249028 |
| 17 | 3 |  | 108214 | 37 | 52191 | 224374 | 74595 | 156986 | 115968 |
| 18 | 4 |  | 153339 | 33 | 79601 | 295382 | 109744 | 214251 | 162162 |
| 19 | 5 |  | 112050 | 31 | 60624 | 207099 | 81904 | 153291 | 117690 |
| 20 | 6 |  | 160335 | 30 | 87710 | 293093 | 117860 | 218117 | 168111 |
| 21 | 7 |  | 20312 | 30 | 11268 | 36616 | 15038 | 27436 | 21251 |
| 22 | 8 |  | 21112 | 30 | 11717 | 38038 | 15634 | 28509 | 22086 |
| Separable model: Populations at age 11236 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 1998 |  | 8176 | 31 | 4386 | 15244 | 5950 | 11236 | 8600 |
| 24 | 1999 |  | 8399 | 26 | 5038 | 14005 | 6471 | 10903 | 8690 |
| 25 | 2000 |  | 11415 | 23 | 7188 | 18126 | 9016 | 14452 | 11737 |
| 26 | 2001 |  | 16788 | 22 | 10803 | 26089 | 13407 | 21022 | 17218 |
| 27 | 2002 |  | 16877 | 22 | 10761 | 26469 | 13414 | 21233 | 17327 |
| 28 | 2003 |  | 26887 | 24 | 16794 | 43045 | 21147 | 34183 | 27673 |
| 29 | 2004 |  | 55645 | 26 | 33097 | 93555 | 42688 | 72536 | 57635 |

Age-structured index catchabilities

| Linear model fitted. Slopes at age : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 1 | $Q$ | .5516 | 78 | .2595 | 5.641 | .5516 | 2.654 |
| 31 | 2 | $Q$ | 2.859 | 25 | 2.229 | 6.158 | 2.859 | 4.801 |
| 32 | 3 | $Q$ | 4.551 | 25 | 3.554 | 9.752 | 4.551 | 7.617 |
| 33 | 4 | $Q$ | 4.927 | 25 | 3.850 | 10.54 | 4.927 | 8.236 |
| 34 | 5 | $Q$ | 4.636 | 25 | 3.621 | 9.932 | 4.636 | 7.757 |
| 35 | 6 | $Q$ | 4.646 | 25 | 3.624 | 9.992 | 4.646 | 7.794 |
| 36 | 7 | $Q$ | 4.726 | 26 | 3.678 | 10.24 | 4.726 | 7.970 |
| 37 | 8 | $Q$ | 3.928 | 26 | 3.046 | 8.608 | 3.928 | 6.684 |
| 38 | 9 | $Q$ | 5.462 | 26 | 4.253 | 11.82 | 5.462 | 9.199 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.350 |

Table 5.6.26. Herring in VIa (N). Run B. Residuals about the model fit. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).
RESIDUALS ABOUT THE MODEL FIT
Separable Model Residuals

| Age | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.848 | 2.554 | 0.428 | -2.202 | -0.171 | -2.460 |  | 0.000 |
| 2 | -0.415 | 0.428 | 0.535 | 0.165 | 0.007 | -0.036 | -0.677 | -0.012 |
| 3 | -0.705 | 0.172 | -0.100 | 0.021 | 0.038 | 0.158 | -0.469 | 0.943 |
| 4 | 0.135 | 0.021 | 0.175 | -0.393 | -0.033 | 0.053 | 0.218 | 0.494 |
| 5 | -0.073 | -0.001 | -0.162 | -0.319 | 0.463 | -0.035 | 0.130 | -0.078 |
| 6 | 0.373 | -0.077 | 0.258 | -0.068 | 0.309 | -0.463 | -0.048 | -0.344 |
| 7 | 0.451 | -0.277 | -0.339 | 0.305 | 0.158 | -0.193 | 0.470 | -0.637 |
| 8 | 0.062 | -0.385 | -0.205 | 0.429 | -0.898 | 0.750 | 0.427 | -0.371 |

AGE-STRUCTURED INDEX RESIDUALS

| Age | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.985 |  |  |  | 1.076 | -1.226 | -4.195 | 0.595 | 0.804 | -2.006 |  | 2.005 | 1.598 | -0.301 | 0.051 |
| 2 | -0.102 | ****** | **** | ******* | -0.120 | 0.637 | 0.321 | 0.205 | 0.552 | 0.113 | ******* | -0.487 | -0.270 | 0.121 | -0.396 |
| 3 | -0.101 |  |  |  | -0.795 | -0.396 | 1.016 | 0.323 | 0.127 | 0.322 |  | -0.022 | 0.113 | -0.240 | -0.286 |
| 4 | -0.347 |  |  | * | -0.430 | -0.787 | 1.091 | 0.452 | 0.467 | 0.056 |  | 0.389 | -0.044 | 0.000 | -0.612 |
| 5 | -0.114 | * |  | * | -0.367 | 0.105 | 0.293 | 0.731 | 0.165 | -0.659 | * | -0.188 | 0.488 | 0.296 | -0.313 |
| 6 | 0.335 | ***** |  | ****** | 0.145 | -0.696 | 1.199 | -0.185 | 0.534 | -0.516 | ** | -0.247 | 0.035 | 0.646 | -0.443 |
| 7 | -0.237 |  |  |  | -0.049 | 0.058 | -0.154 | 0.788 | -0.424 | -0.039 |  | -0.613 | 0.288 | 0.754 | 0.095 |
| 8 | -0.812 |  |  |  | -0.110 | -0.007 | 1.017 | -0.107 | 0.808 | -0.729 |  | -0.561 | 0.019 | 0.931 | -0.059 |
| 9 | -2.570 |  |  |  | -0.440 | -0.469 | 0.947 | -0.538 | 0.113 | -1.977 |  | -0.140 | 0.467 | 1.585 | 0.307 |


| Age | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.362 | 1.146 | 1.072 |
| 2 | -0.575 | 0.298 | -0.298 |
| 3 | -0.129 | 0.148 | -0.084 |
| 4 | -0.082 | 0.184 | -0.340 |
| 5 | -0.275 | 0.222 | -0.388 |
| 6 | -0.002 | -0.159 | -0.650 |
| 7 | 0.020 | 0.119 | -0.610 |
| 8 | 0.192 | 0.249 | -0.836 |
| 9 | 0.704 | 0.805 | 1.200 |

Table 5.6.27. Herring in VIa (N). Run B. Parameters of distributions. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).


Table 5.6.28. Herring in VIa (N). Run B. Analysis of variance. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

```
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE
Unweighted Statistics
Variance
```

Total for model
Catches at age
$\qquad$ 189

Parameters d.f. Variance
28.6138

63
$\begin{array}{rr}38 & 151 \\ 29 & 34\end{array}$ 0.6753
0.8416

Aged Indices
FLT01: West Scotland Summer Acoustic S 73.3621
126
$9117 \quad 0.6270$ Weighted Statistics
Variance

|  | SSQ | Data | Parameters | d.f. | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total for model | 10.1383 | 189 | 38 | 151 | 0.0671 |
| Catches at age | 9.6667 | 63 | 29 | 34 | 0.2843 |
| Aged Indices |  |  |  |  |  |
| FLT01: West Scotland Summer Acoustic | 0.4716 | 126 | 9 | 117 | 0.0040 |

Table 5.7.1.1. Herring in VIa (N). Input data for short-term predictions, numbers at age from the assessment with ages 1 and 2 replaced by geometric mean values - natural mortality (M), proportion mature (Mat), proportion of fishing mortality prior to spawning (PF), proportion of natural mortality prior to spawning (PM), mean weights at age in the stock (SWt), selection pattern (Sel), mean weights at age in the catch (CWt). All biological data are taken as mean of the last 3 years. VIa (N) herring appears to have considerable annual variability in mean weights and in fraction mature. Last years values are not applicable. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | SWt | Sel | CWt |
| 1 | 748700 | 1 | 0 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.0660 | 0.0021 | 0.0601 |
| 2 | 288925 | 0.3 | 0.81 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.1352 | 0.1108903 | 0.1435667 |
| 3 | 83448 | 0.2 | 0.99 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.1629333 | 0.2019121 | 0.1645 |
| 4 | 44819 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.1788333 | 0.1828605 | 0.1818 |
| 5 | 72967 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.1910667 | 0.2300991 | 0.2024333 |
| 6 | 51323 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.2020333 | 0.1958082 | 0.2131667 |
| 7 | 74276 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.2141667 | 0.2116974 | 0.2068 |
| 8 | 9342.6 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.2133333 | 0.1828605 | 0.2087333 |
| 9 | 18437 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.2208 | 0.1828605 | 0.2843 |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | SWt | Sel | CWt |
| 1 | 748700 | 1 | 0 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.0660 | 0.0021 | 0.0601 |
| 2 | - | 0.3 | 0.81 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.1352 | 0.1108903 | 0.1435667 |
| 3 | . | 0.2 | 0.99 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.1629333 | 0.2019121 | 0.1645 |
| 4 | . | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.1788333 | 0.1828605 | 0.1818 |
| 5 | - | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.1910667 | 0.2300991 | 0.2024333 |
| 6 | . | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.2020333 | 0.1958082 | 0.2131667 |
| 7 | . | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.2141667 | 0.2116974 | 0.2068 |
| 8 | - | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.2133333 | 0.1828605 | 0.2087333 |
| 9 | . | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.2208 | 0.1828605 | 0.2843 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | SWt | Sel | CWt |
| 1 | 748700 | 1 | 0 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.0660 | 0.0021 | 0.0601 |
| 2 | . | 0.3 | 0.81 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.1352 | 0.1108903 | 0.1435667 |
| 3 | - | 0.2 | 0.99 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.1629333 | 0.2019121 | 0.1645 |
| 4 | . | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.1788333 | 0.1828605 | 0.1818 |
| 5 | . | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.1910667 | 0.2300991 | 0.2024333 |
| 6 | . | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.2020333 | 0.1958082 | 0.2131667 |
| 7 | - | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.2141667 | 0.2116974 | 0.2068 |
| 8 | . | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.2133333 | 0.1828605 | 0.2087333 |
| 9 | . | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.2208 | 0.1828605 | 0.2843 |

Table 5.7.1.2. Herring in VIa (N). Short-term prediction single option table, status quo $F$ with the 2005 acoustic survey included in the assessment. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| Year: | 2006 | F multiplier: | 1 | Fbar: | 0.2027 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | F | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) | SSB(Jan) | SSNos(ST) | SSB(ST) |
| 1 | 0.0021 | 1014 | 61 | 748700 | 49439 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.1109 | 26273 | 3772 | 288925 | 39063 | 234029 | 31641 | 177709 | 24026 |
| 3 | 0.2019 | 13875 | 2282 | 83448 | 13596 | 82614 | 13460 | 63111 | 10283 |
| 4 | 0.1829 | 7138 | 1298 | 44819 | 8015 | 44819 | 8015 | 37081 | 6631 |
| 5 | 0.2301 | 14300 | 2895 | 72967 | 13942 | 72967 | 13942 | 58489 | 11175 |
| 6 | 0.1958 | 8699 | 1854 | 51323 | 10369 | 51323 | 10369 | 42096 | 8505 |
| 7 | 0.2117 | 13509 | 2794 | 74276 | 15907 | 74276 | 15907 | 60277 | 12909 |
| 8 | 0.1829 | 1488 | 311 | 9343 | 1993 | 9343 | 1993 | 7730 | 1649 |
| 9 | 0.1829 | 2937 | 835 | 18437 | 4071 | 18437 | 4071 | 15254 | 3368 |
| Total |  | 89233 | 16101 | 1392238 | 156395 | 587807 | 99398 | 461747 | 78547 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year: | 2007 | F multiplier: | 1 | Fbar: | 0.2027 |  |  |  |  |
| Age | F | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) | SSB(Jan) | SSNos(ST) | SSB(ST) |
| 1 | 0.0021 | 1014 | 61 | 748700 | 49439 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.1109 | 24992 | 3588 | 274841 | 37159 | 222622 | 30098 | 169047 | 22855 |
| 3 | 0.2019 | 31853 | 5240 | 191575 | 31214 | 189659 | 30902 | 144886 | 23607 |
| 4 | 0.1829 | 8892 | 1617 | 55830 | 9984 | 55830 | 9984 | 46191 | 8261 |
| 5 | 0.2301 | 6619 | 1340 | 33777 | 6454 | 33777 | 6454 | 27075 | 5173 |
| 6 | 0.1958 | 8891 | 1895 | 52453 | 10597 | 52453 | 10597 | 43022 | 8692 |
| 7 | 0.2117 | 6944 | 1436 | 38181 | 8177 | 38181 | 8177 | 30985 | 6636 |
| 8 | 0.1829 | 8662 | 1808 | 54385 | 11602 | 54385 | 11602 | 44996 | 9599 |
| 9 | 0.1829 | 3334 | 948 | 20935 | 4623 | 20935 | 4623 | 17321 | 3824 |
| Total |  | 101202 | 17933 | 1470676 | 169248 | 667841 | 112437 | 523523 | 88647 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year: | 2008 | F multiplier: | 1 | Fbar: | 0.2027 |  |  |  |  |
| Age | F | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) | SSB(Jan) | SSNos(ST) | SSB(ST) |
| 1 | 0.0021 | 1014 | 61 | 748700 | 49439 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.1109 | 24992 | 3588 | 274841 | 37159 | 222622 | 30098 | 169047 | 22855 |
| 3 | 0.2019 | 30300 | 4984 | 182236 | 29692 | 180414 | 29395 | 137823 | 22456 |
| 4 | 0.1829 | 20414 | 3711 | 128171 | 22921 | 128171 | 22921 | 106043 | 18964 |
| 5 | 0.2301 | 8246 | 1669 | 42075 | 8039 | 42075 | 8039 | 33727 | 6444 |
| 6 | 0.1958 | 4116 | 877 | 24280 | 4905 | 24280 | 4905 | 19915 | 4024 |
| 7 | 0.2117 | 7097 | 1468 | 39021 | 8357 | 39021 | 8357 | 31667 | 6782 |
| 8 | 0.1829 | 4453 | 929 | 27956 | 5964 | 27956 | 5964 | 23130 | 4934 |
| 9 | 0.1829 | 9041 | 2570 | 56763 | 12533 | 56763 | 12533 | 46964 | 10370 |
| Total |  | 109672 | 19859 | 1524044 | 179010 | 721302 | 122214 | 568315 | 96829 |

Table 5.7.1.3. Herring in VIa (N). Short-term prediction multiple option table,. status quo F with the $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ acoustic survey included in the assessment.

| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Biomass | SSB | FMult | FBar | Landings |  |  |
| 156395 | 78547 | 1 | 0.2027 | 16101 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  | 2008 |  |
| Biomass | SSB | FMult | FBar | Landings | Biomass | SSB |
| 169248 | 99748 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 196311 | 124899 |
| . | 98575 | 0.1 | 0.0203 | 1939 | 194437 | 121706 |
| . | 97416 | 0.2 | 0.0405 | 3843 | 192597 | 118606 |
| . | 96272 | 0.3 | 0.0608 | 5715 | 190789 | 115595 |
| . | 95142 | 0.4 | 0.0811 | 7553 | 189014 | 112673 |
| . | 94025 | 0.5 | 0.1013 | 9360 | 187271 | 109835 |
| . | 92923 | 0.6 | 0.1216 | 11135 | 185559 | 107079 |
| . | 91834 | 0.7 | 0.1419 | 12879 | 183877 | 104403 |
| . | 90758 | 0.8 | 0.1621 | 14593 | 182226 | 101804 |
| . | 89696 | 0.9 | 0.1824 | 16278 | 180603 | 99280 |
| . | 88647 | 1 | 0.2027 | 17933 | 179010 | 96829 |
| . | 87611 | 1.1 | 0.2229 | 19559 | 177445 | 94448 |
| . | 86587 | 1.2 | 0.2432 | 21157 | 175908 | 92135 |
| . | 85576 | 1.3 | 0.2635 | 22728 | 174398 | 89888 |
| . | 84578 | 1.4 | 0.2837 | 24272 | 172915 | 87706 |
| . | 83591 | 1.5 | 0.304 | 25789 | 171459 | 85586 |
| . | 82617 | 1.6 | 0.3243 | 27279 | 170028 | 83526 |
| . | 81655 | 1.7 | 0.3445 | 28745 | 168622 | 81525 |
| . | 80704 | 1.8 | 0.3648 | 30185 | 167242 | 79580 |
| . | 79765 | 1.9 | 0.3851 | 31600 | 165885 | 77691 |
| . | 78838 | 2 | 0.4053 | 32991 | 164553 | 75855 |

Table 5.7.1.4. Herring in VIa (N). Short-term prediction single option table, status quo F with the 2005 acoustic survey excluded from the assessment. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| Year: | 2006 | F multiplier: | 1 | Fbar: | 0.1188 |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | F | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) | SSB(Jan) | SSNos(ST) | SSB(ST) |
| 1 | 0.0012 | 613 | 37 | 836018 | 55205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.0631 | 16639 | 2389 | 314623 | 42537 | 254845 | 34455 | 199817 | 27015 |
| 3 | 0.1175 | 15536 | 2556 | 154340 | 25147 | 152797 | 24896 | 123519 | 20125 |
| 4 | 0.1094 | 7778 | 1414 | 78779 | 14088 | 78779 | 14088 | 68465 | 12244 |
| 5 | 0.1333 | 14786 | 2993 | 124370 | 23763 | 124370 | 23763 | 106373 | 20324 |
| 6 | 0.1151 | 9190 | 1959 | 88735 | 17927 | 88735 | 17927 | 76825 | 15521 |
| 7 | 0.1271 | 14705 | 3041 | 129300 | 27692 | 129300 | 27692 | 111048 | 23783 |
| 8 | 0.1094 | 1598 | 334 | 16186 | 3453 | 16186 | 3453 | 14067 | 3001 |
| 9 | 0.1094 | 3088 | 878 | 31273 | 6905 | 31273 | 6905 | 27179 | 6001 |
| Total |  | 83932 | 15600 | 1773624 | 216718 | 876284 | 153179 | 727293 | 128015 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Year: | 2007 | F multiplier: | 2.1 | Fbar: | 0.2495 |  |  |  |  |
| Age | F | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) | SSB(Jan) | SSNos(ST) | SSB(ST) |
| 1 | 0.0024 | 1286 | 77 | 836018 | 55205 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Year: | 2008 | F multiplier: | 2.1 | Fbar: | 0.2495 |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | F | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) | SSB(Jan) | SSNos(ST) | SSB(ST) |
| 1 | 0.0024 | 1286 | 77 | 836018 | 55205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.1324 | 32987 | 4736 | 306805 | 41480 | 248512 | 33599 | 186003 | 25148 |
| 3 | 0.2467 | 39665 | 6525 | 199349 | 32481 | 197355 | 32156 | 146307 | 23838 |
| 4 | 0.2298 | 27404 | 4982 | 139994 | 25036 | 139994 | 25036 | 112239 | 20072 |
| 5 | 0.2799 | 18815 | 3809 | 80791 | 15437 | 80791 | 15437 | 62635 | 11967 |
| 6 | 0.2417 | 8947 | 1907 | 43698 | 8828 | 43698 | 8828 | 34756 | 7022 |
| 7 | 0.267 | 15639 | 3234 | 69983 | 14988 | 69983 | 14988 | 54728 | 11721 |
| 8 | 0.2298 | 9705 | 2026 | 49580 | 10577 | 49580 | 10577 | 39750 | 8480 |
| 9 | 0.2298 | 19920 | 5663 | 101762 | 22469 | 101762 | 22469 | 81587 | 18014 |
| Total |  | 174369 | 32959 | 1827980 | 226500 | 931675 | 163089 | 718005 | 126263 |

Table 5.7.1.5. Herring in VIa (N). Short-term prediction multiple option table,. status quo F with the 2005 acoustic survey excluded from the assessment.

| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Biomass | SSB | FMult | FBar | Landings |  |  |
| 216718 | 128015 | 1 | 0.1188 | 15600 |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  | 2008 |  |
| Biomass | SSB | FMult | FBar | Landings | Biomass | SSB |
| 230397 | 150041 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 258186 | 175916 |
| . | 147804 | 0.21 | 0.025 | 3636 | 254681 | 170070 |
| . | 145601 | 0.42 | 0.0499 | 7192 | 251256 | 164440 |
| . | 143433 | 0.63 | 0.0749 | 10668 | 247908 | 159018 |
| . | 141299 | 0.84 | 0.0998 | 14068 | 244637 | 153797 |
| . | 139198 | 1.05 | 0.1248 | 17393 | 241439 | 148768 |
| . | 137129 | 1.26 | 0.1497 | 20645 | 238313 | 143924 |
| . | 135092 | 1.47 | 0.1747 | 23824 | 235258 | 139258 |
| . | 133087 | 1.68 | 0.1996 | 26934 | 232272 | 134764 |
| . | 131113 | 1.89 | 0.2246 | 29976 | 229353 | 130434 |
| . | 129170 | 2.1 | 0.2495 | 32950 | 226500 | 126263 |
| . | 127256 | 2.31 | 0.2745 | 35859 | 223711 | 122243 |
| . | 125372 | 2.52 | 0.2994 | 38705 | 220985 | 118371 |
| . | 123517 | 2.73 | 0.3244 | 41488 | 218320 | 114639 |
| . | 121691 | 2.94 | 0.3494 | 44211 | 215715 | 111043 |
| . | 119893 | 3.15 | 0.3743 | 46874 | 213168 | 107577 |
| . | 118123 | 3.36 | 0.3993 | 49479 | 210678 | 104237 |
| - | 116380 | 3.57 | 0.4242 | 52027 | 208244 | 101017 |
| - | 114664 | 3.78 | 0.4492 | 54520 | 205864 | 97913 |
| - | 112974 | 3.99 | 0.4741 | 56959 | 203537 | 94921 |
|  | 111311 | 4.2 | 0.4991 | 59345 | 201262 | 92036 |




Figure 5.6.1. Herring in VIa (North). Bubble-plots of number-at-age in the survey (upper panel) and catch (lower panel) to show patterns present in the population and in the catch. N.B. catch numbers are standardised to the catch in each year. The actual values for the circles are given in Table 5.2.2 (survey) and Table 5.3.1 (catch).



Figure 5.6.2. Herring in VIa (North). Log (catch) curves from the survey (upper panel) and in the catch (lower panel) for cohorts to give an impression of total mortality.


Figure 5.6.3. Herring in VIa (North). Standardised log (catch) curves in the catch for cohorts to show the different impression of total mortality given when compared to the similar plot using raw data (Figure 5.6.2 lower panel).


Figure 5.6.4. Herring in VIa (North). Standardised average log (catch) ratios, by year, in the catch and survey for 3- to 6-ringers to show patterns of total mortality in the catch and survey data.


Figure 5.6.5. Herring in VIa (North). Separable model residual plots for two exploratory assessments and the HAWG 2005 final run with data from 1957-2005 (to 2004 for the previous year's final run). Left panels have the 2005 acoustic survey included; middle panels exclude the 2005 survey; right panels are from the HAWG 2005 final run.


Figure 5.6.6. Herring in VIa (North). Survey residual plots for two exploratory assessments and the HAWG 2005 final run with data from 1957-2005 (to 2004 for the previous year's final run). Left panels have the 2005 acoustic survey included; middle panels exclude the 2005 survey; right panels are from the HAWG 2005 final run.


Figure 5.6.7. Herring in VIa (North). Plot to show the value of reference $\mathbf{F}$ (and $95 \%$ confidence intervals) obtained from the two ICA assessment runs with the 2005 acoustic survey both included and excluded.


Figure 5.6.8. Herring in VIa (North). Scatter plot of estimates of F and SSB for the terminal year using parameter estimate variance-covariance matrix estimates in a bootstrap evaluation of the precision of the assessment both including and excluding the 2005 acoustic survey.



Figure 5.6.9. Herring in VIa (North). Q-q plots of estimates of F and SSB for the terminal year using parameter estimate variance-covariance matrix estimates in a bootstrap evaluation of the precision of the assessment both including and excluding the 2005 acoustic survey. The dashed line denotes a 1:1 ratio.



Figure 5.6.10. Herring in VIa (North). F and SSB from the assessment both including and excluding the 2005 acoustic survey and a comparison with the 2005 HAWG final run.


Figure 5.6.11. Herring in VIa (North). Illustration of stock trends from deterministic calculation (8 year separable period). Summary of estimates of landings, fishing mortality at $F_{3-6}$, recruitment at 1-ring, spawning stock biomass at spawning time in the two assessment runs both including and excluding the 2005 acoustic survey.


Figure 5.6.12. Herring in VIa (North). Plot to show the selection pattern in the two assessment runs both including and excluding the 2005 acoustic survey.


Figure 5.6.13. Herring in VIa (North). Analytical retrospective patterns of SSB, mean $F_{3-6}$ and recruitment from the assessments both including (dashed thicker line) and excluding (solid thicker line) the $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ acoustic survey in the most recent year.



MFYPR version 2 a
Run: Run1stquFwith05
Time and date: 14:56 21/03/2006

| Reference point | F multiplier | Absolute $\mathbf{F}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fbar(3-6) | 1.0000 | 0.2027 |
| FMax |  |  |
| F0.1 | 0.8118 | 0.1645 |
| F35\%SPR | 0.8342 | 0.1691 |
| Flow | 0.3429 | 0.0695 |
| Fmed | 1.2897 | 0.2614 |
| Fhigh | 4.1371 | 0.8385 |

MFDP version 1 a
Run: Run1stquFwith05
Herring VIa (north) (run: ICAPGF08/I08)
Time and date: 11:16 21/03/2006
Fbar age range: 3-6
Input units are thousands and kg - output in tonnes

Weights in kilograms
Figure 5.8.1.1. Herring in VIa (North). Yield-per-recruit and short-term forecast from the assessment including the 2005 acoustic survey.


| MFYPR version 2 a |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run: Run2AstquFNO05 |  |  |
| Time and date: 15:01 21/03/2006 |  |  |
| Reference point | F multiplier | Absolute F |
| Fbar(3-6) | 1.0000 | 0.1188 |
| FMax |  |  |
| F0.1 | 1.3634 | 0.1620 |
| F35\%SPR | 1.4190 | 0.1686 |
| Flow | 0.5806 | 0.0690 |
| Fmed | 2.2012 | 0.2616 |
| Fhigh | 7.1489 | 0.8495 |
| Weights in kilograms |  |  |

MFDP version 1a
Run: Run2AstquFNO05
Herring Vla (north) (run: ICAPGF08/I08)
Time and date: 10:50 22/03/2006
Fbar age range: 3-6
Input units are thousands and kg - output in tonnes

Figure 5.8.1.2. Herring in VIa (North). Yield-per-recruit and short-term forecast from the assessment excluding the 2005 acoustic survey

## 6 Herring in Divisions VIa (South) and VIIb,c

### 6.1 The Fishery

### 6.1.1 Advice and management applicable to 2005-2006

The TAC for this area for 2005 was 14000 t with an increase to 15400 t in 2006. For 2005, ICES advised that catches should not exceed 14000 t . In 2005 ACFM considered the state of the stock to be unknown with respect to safe biological limits. Results from assessments suggest that the sharp decline in SSB may have stopped. The current SSB was unknown but thought likely to be below $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}(110000 \mathrm{t})$. For SSB to be above $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}(81000 \mathrm{t})$ there would have to have been very strong recruitment in recent years but no evidence has been found for such year classes. The recent TACs ( 14000 t ) are approximately $50 \%$ of the average catches taken in the 1970s when the productivity of the stock was comparable to the present. ACFM considered that if SSB is not reliably found to be increasing, further increases in catch will not be permitted, and that in the meantime, the fishery should be exploited with caution.

In 2000, the Irish North West Pelagic Management Committee was established to deal with the management of this stock. The committee has the following objectives:

- To rebuild this stock to above the $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ level of 110000 t .
- In the event of the stock remaining below this level, additional conservation measures will need to be implemented.
- In the longer term it is the policy of the committee to further rebuild the stock to the level at which it can sustain annual catches of around 25000 t .
- Implement a closed season from March to October.
- Regulate effort further through boat quotas allocated on a weekly basis in the open season.

This committee manages the whole fishery for this stock at present, given that Ireland currently accounts for the entire catch.

### 6.1.2 Catches in 2005

The working group estimates of landings recorded by each country from this fishery from 1988 - 2005 are given in Table 6.1.1 Ireland is the dominant country in this fishery. Irish catch estimates for this WG have been based on the preliminary official reported data from the EU Logbook Scheme. The total catch recorded from logbooks for 2005 was almost 13500 t , compared with about 11000 t in 2004. The Irish catches in these areas from $1970-2005$ are shown in Figure 6.1.1. There were no estimates of discards reported for 2005 and anecdotal reports from the industry are that discarding is not a major problem in this fishery.

In September 2004 a new procedure was adopted for weighing landings and the allowance for water content was reduced from $14 \%$ to $2 \%$ therefore the catch data for 2004 are lower that previous years. It is thought that the data from 2003 and previously are directly comparable because the same water content was being adopted.

### 6.1.3 The fishery in 2005

The assessment period runs concurrently with the annual quota. Quotas are allocated on a fortnightly basis and there is some capacity to carry unused allocation into the following fortnight with overruns being deducted.

In 2005 the majority of catches were reported from quarters 1 and 4 in VIa S and quarter 4 in VIIbc. Catches from the third quarter were minimal and reported only from VIa south. Within VIIbc fishing took place on the coastal spawning grounds around the Mayo coast. Fishing in VIa south took place along the west and north Donegal coast and further north near the boundary with VIa north (figure 6.1.2). The majority of landings are into Killybegs. Figure 4.1.3.2 shows the distribution of Irish catches by statistical rectangle and quarter.

Approximately forty vessels participated in the fishery in 2005.

- 20 pelagic RSW tank trawlers of between 40 and 70 m in length
- 10 Polyvalent trawlers of between 22 and 40 m in length
- 10 Polyvalent trawlers of less than 25 m in length

The term polyvalent refers to vessels that are licensed to catch pelagic and demersal fish.

All fishing is by pair trawl. The dry hold vessels concentrate effort inshore with the larger RSW vessels also fishing further offshore. In the case of larger boats there may also be a tendency to prioritise effort for mackerel and horse mackerel and take their herring allocations opportunistically.

In quarter 1, 2005, about 5800 t were landed. Fish caught in this quarter had a wider length distribution $(20.5 \mathrm{~cm}-35.5 \mathrm{~cm})$ than those caught in quarter $4(21.5 \mathrm{~cm}-31.5 \mathrm{~cm})$. A particular aspect of the first quarter fishery in VIa $S$ has been the appearance of large spring spawning fish off the north coast in February. These fish are usually over 31 cm in total length (Table 6.1.1.2). Peak landings in 2005 of 7500 t were reported in quarter 4 from VIa S and VIIb. These are the autumn spawning component of the stock.

### 6.2 Biological composition of the catch

### 6.2.1 Catch in numbers-at-age

Catch-at-age data for this fishery are available since 1970 and are shown in Table 6.2.1.1 with percentages since 1994 shown in Table 6.2.1.2. In 2005 the fishery has been dominated by 2,3 and 4 ringers, accounting for $27 \%, 29 \%$ and $26 \%$ respectively. One ringers are never well represented in the catch but 2005 was the lowest in recent years. Generally it is found that 1 ringers do not show up in the catch until quarter 3 . The proportions of 2,3 , and 4 ringers in 2004 accounted for $18 \%$ and $38 \%$ and $23 \%$ respectively. Overall 2005 shows a more even distribution amongst the dominant age groups.

Two winter ring fish dominated in quarter 4 while in quarter 1 , dominance is shared between 3 and 4 ringers fish. Sampling data indicates that herring are fully recruited to the fishery at 3 ringers and there is little evidence for 1 ringer fish being an important component of landings in fisheries in this area.

### 6.2.2 Quality of the catch and biological data

The management of the Irish fishery in recent years has tightened considerably and the accuracy of reported catches is also believed to have improved. The numbers of samples and the associated biological data are shown in Table 6.2.2.1. The length distributions of the catches taken per quarter by the Irish fleet are shown in Table 6.1.1.2. Along with the need to obtain more samples from the larger RSW vessels there is also a requirement to sample the opportunistic catches that occur in VIIb. In 2004 a total of 5 samples were collected in VIIb and in 2005, 6 samples. Sampling in this fishery relies heavily on the vessels that concentrate their effort on the inshore grounds. There have been difficulties in getting samples from the larger RSW boats targeting herring opportunistically and whose landings are erratic and this might lead to the proportion of older ages being underestimated in the sample data.

### 6.3 Fishery Independent Information

### 6.3.1 Ground Fish Surveys

There are currently no recruitment indices available for this stock. However an Irish ground fish survey conducted in the area since the early 1990s regularly catches herring. This survey is of little utility as a herring recruit index, because gear, timing and survey vessel changed throughout. Prior to 2003 this survey was carried out on a number of commercial vessels. Surveys undertaken after this time were on the RV Celtic Explorer and may be useful, when a time series becomes available. We will also investigate the possibility of utilising data from the Scottish groundfish survey, which has some coverage of VIaS.

### 6.3.2 Acoustic Surveys

Results from the 2005 survey were not available for the 2005 working group and are presented here as a special case. The current series of winter spawning ground surveys began in 1999. A problem associated with the winter acoustic survey series has been synchronising the survey with the peak spawning event to ensure containment of the stock. The January 2005 survey track and SA values attributed to herring are shown in Figures 6.3.2.1 and 6.3.2.2. In the mid 1990s, surveys were carried out in summer. Details of the acoustic surveys, since 1994, in this area are presented in Table 6.3.2.1.

The 2005 survey covered an area extending from Malin Head to west of Donegal Bay, from close inshore to up to 50 nmi (nautical miles) offshore. The survey started in the south and moved in a northerly direction. There were severe storms for the first week of this survey and in addition, a breakdown kept the vessel in port for part of the survey. However this allowed for a high degree of consultation with the fishermen and the survey track was then adapted to obtain the best coverage of fish distribution.

The majority of fish recorded during the survey were mature, accounting for $73 \%$ of the biomass and $67 \%$ of the abundance. Spent fish accounted for $19 \%$ of the total biomass and of the total abundance. The fish encountered off the north Mayo coast were mostly spent with the fish further north ripe or running. This indicates that timing of the survey was good, having covered the western fish before they dispersed and the northern fish before they spawned. The proportions of older fish encountered were not as high as during the previous years survey. Older fish are considered to be the first to spawn and the lower numbers recorded could be an indication that the older fish had already spawned prior to this survey. Overall the fishing success was high with the majority (96\%) of the estimate attributed to "definitely herring" traces. The greatest concentrations of herring were found on the main spawning grounds of Tory and Glen Bay (Figure 6.3.2.1 and Figure 6.3.2.2.).

In 2006, the winter acoustic survey was conducted on the same vessel at the same time of the year as 2005. The January 2006 survey track and SA values attributed to herring are shown in Figure 6.3.2.3 and Figure 6.3.2.4. The survey was started in the south and worked in a northerly direction to encompass the migrations of the spawning components contained within the survey confines. As in 2005 the majority of the herring traces (75\%) were assigned as "definitely herring". Fishing success was reasonably high with several hauls containing mostly herring. On the Mayo coast the fish were still spawning and further north the fish were spawning or pre spawning with small proportions of spent fish. The timing of the survey was the same as in 2005 but the maturity stages encountered are slightly different. A greater proportion of mature fish were found in 2005 (67\%) than in 2006 (58\%). This indicates that the main spawning event in 2006 was later than in 2005. This is consistent with anecdotal evidence from the demersal and shellfish sectors, which reported herring marks in the northwest area up until the end of February.

The age distribution of the abundance estimate from the acoustic survey and from the commercial fishery in 2005 is presented in Figure 6.3.2.5. The dominant ages in the acoustic survey were the 3,4 and 5 ringers compared to 2,3 and 4 ringers for the fishery over the whole season. When the survey age distribution is compared with that portion of the fishery that took place in the same quarter the proportions of 3 and 4 ringers is more pronounced with a lesser amount of 5 ringers. The total biomass estimate for the area surveyed in 2006 was 27750 t with an SSB of 27200 t . This is lower than the 2005 biomass estimate of 71253 t and an SSB estimate of 66138 t .

### 6.4 Mean weights-at-age and maturity-at-age

The mean weights ( kg ) at age in the catches in 2005 are based on Irish catches and are very similar to 2004 for ringers 1-6 (Table 6.4.1). These mean weights display quite a stable pattern over the time series. Data for this time series are available from 1982 onwards. Though there appears to be a slight increase in mean weights of the older ages in the past three years. The time series since 1982 is shown in Figure 6.4.4.1.

The mean weights in the stock at spawning time have been calculated from Irish samples taken during the main spawning period that extends from October to February (Table 6.4.2). As in the mean weights at age there appears to be a slight increase in older ages over the last three years. The time series since 1985 is shown in Figure 6.4.4.2.

### 6.5 Recruitment

There is little information on recruitment in the catch at age data and there are as yet no recruitment indices from the surveys. One ringers are considered to be immature and they do not contribute to the SSB.

### 6.6 Stock Assessment

### 6.6.1 Trends and patterns in basic data

The numbers at age from the catch and the survey have been mean standardised by year and are presented in Figure 6.6.1.1. From 1999 - 2002, in the survey time series, the dominant ages were 1-3 ringers. These surveys were undertaken in quarter four while later in the series 2003 - 2006 the surveys were carried out in quarter one. With the exception of the 2003 survey, the quarter one surveys show a different age profile with higher proportions of older fish. The 2003 survey was conducted later in the quarter after the main spawning had taken place. The surveys undertaken in the early part of quarter one target the larger spring spawners as they move inshore to spawn.

Since the mid nineties there has been a pronounced shift in the age composition of the catches from old fish to younger fish and this has been maintained in 2005, with 2,3 and 4 ringers making up the bulk of the catch. The catch numbers at age do not suggest strong recruitment in recent years and there is no information in the survey abundance to refute this. Catch curves show the log of the catch numbers and display signals of untransformed mortality in Figure 6.6.1.2. There is a marked trend for greater mortality on cohorts from mid eighties onwards. It seems that there is greater mortality on older ages.

The $\log$ catch ratios ( $\ln \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}} / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}+1, \mathrm{y}+1}$ ) are presented in Figure 6.6.1.2 and are smoothed with a 4 -year running average to show the main trends. Data for 1-ringers are noisy because this group is not fully selected by the fishery. The data for older fish are also noisy, particularly in later years, reflecting their relative paucity in the catches and the high variability in the exploitation rates of these age groups. These show an upward trend for all fully recruited year
classes since the mid nineties. Overall, the catch data show a diminishing range of ages in the catches and older fish are at their lowest levels in the time series.

Figure 6.6.1.3 shows the catch curves from fishery and the survey age data averaged over a number of years. Total mortality has displayed an upward trend since the early 1980s. Increasing mortality in recent years is clearly evident. In the late seventies mortality was at its lowest (0.3). Since 1993 mortality has shown an increase to 0.7 . The survey displays a very similar mortality signal to the catch.

Following the procedure of recent years, a separable VPA was used to screen over three terminal fishing mortalities, $0.2,0.4$ and 0.6 . This was achieved using the Lowestoft VPA software (Darby and Flatman, 1994). Reference age for calculation of fishing mortality was 3-6 and terminal selection was fixed at 1, relative to age 4 (winter rings).

ICA was also used with the new tuning series. Details of exploratory runs are presented in Table 6.6.1.1. This assessment is still exploratory, and no assessment has been accepted by ACFM in recent years.

Three assessments using the separable VPA are presented, based on the three choices of terminal F. The general development of the stock is presented in Figure 6.6.1.4. Last year’s results are included in this figure, for comparative purposes. This figure is more informative for earlier years, but in most recent years has little information on the current stock dynamics. Outputs from separable VPAs with terminal Fs of $0.2,0.4$ and 0.6 are presented in Tables 6.6.1.2, 6.6.1.3 and 6.6.1.4 respectively. Residual plots for the three trial assessments are presented in Figure 6.6.1.5. A strong negative residual pattern can be seen in 6 ringers.

Under the two more optimistic scenarios of terminal F, the current assessment suggests declining fishing mortality since 2001. However, using a terminal F of 0.6 suggests an increase in fishing mortality in 2005. This is consistent with the results from this assessment last year. The landings have been declining slightly since 2000, and this may provide evidence that terminal Fs in the range 0.2 to 0.4 are more realistic.

Recruitment appears to have remained stable at a low level, under the more pessimistic scenarios, or increased very slightly. Recruitment in the final year is calculated using the geometric mean of the recruitment index over the entire time series and these explorations are only useful as indicators of historic trends. These results are consistent with the preliminary data screening that shows no stronger year classes in the fishery in recent years. However these 1-ringer fish are poorly selected in the fishery and thus there is little information in the catch at age matrix on their strength in the final year.

SSB is either stable at a low level or declining slightly, assuming terminal F of 0.6 and possibly increasing at F values of 0.2 or 0.4 . If SSB is stable, it is stable at the lowest level in the series. It is considerably lower than the current levels of Bpa and Blim.

In 2006 the survey series was used to tune the data in ICA for the first time. The data were screened using a range of terminal selection values ( $0.9,1.0$, and 1.1 ) and also including and excluding age classes and years. Splitting of the survey time series was also investigated. This involved using the 1999-2003 surveys in one series and the remaining 2004-2006 surveys in another. The number of ages used to tune the assessment was also reduced to 3 and 4 ringers only.

Results of recruitment, SSB and mean F from the initial ICA runs are presented in Figures 6.6.1.6. The pattern of recruitment is very similar using each terminal selection value. However a more pronounced peak in recruitment in 2004 is evident using selection values of 0.9 and 1.1. This peak is not evident in the results of the separable VPA. The plots of SSB and mean F do not show any distinct differences.

The $95 \%$ confidence intervals around F are presented for these ICA runs in Figure 6.6.1.7. Using terminal selection values of $1.0,0.9$ and 1.1, there are no difference in $F$ and selection. When the number of ages used to tune the data is reduced to 3 and 4 ringers there is a shift upwards in F but no change in selectivity. Splitting the surveys produces poor parameter fit to $F$ in later series because of the lack of points with only three surveys included.

The selection patterns using different terminal selection values and using the survey series as one or splitting it are shown in Figure 6.6.1.8. Under each scenario the selection pattern remained the same. Screening over a range of terminal selection values suggests that there may be a dome shaped pattern. All of the values are with the $95 \%$ confidence intervals but the possibility that there could be a plateau cannot be discounted.

The residual patterns from the separable period using a range of terminal selection values shows some slight variation in the magnitude of the residuals but the pattern of positives and negatives remains constant (Figure 6.6.1.9). Changing the terminal selection in each case does not have a significant impact.

Comparisons were made between using the survey as one series, splitting it in two and the sVPA using the median value of terminal $\mathrm{F}=0.4$ (Figure 6.6.1.10). The recruitment shows a sharp rise in 2004 when the survey time series is kept as one. When the time series is split in two, the recruitment values are more similar to those indicated by the sVPA. It is considered to be more meaningful to split the series because the selection in the survey changed in 2003. The geometric mean of recruitment replaces estimated recruitment in the terminal year.

Varying levels of SSB can be seen using the three scenarios. SSB appears to be remaining stable at a low level using the series split and the separable VPA. An upward trend is evident when the series remains as one (Figure 6.6.1.10). In each case declining trends in $F$ are visible but the trend is most pronounced when the survey series are treated as one. The use of the separable VPA suggests a slight levelling off of $F$ in the terminal year.

Age structured index residuals from the split survey series are shown in Table 6.6.1.5. Strong year effects are evident. This is as expected given that there are only two age classes present.

Scatter plots were produced, plotting F and SSB in the terminal year to present the precision of the different sets of assessment runs. Figure 6.6.1.11 shows the assessment run with different values for terminal selection. The plot illustrates very poor precision between the data and the model. Figure 6.6.1.12 displays the assessments using the survey as one series or split into two. This plot shows that splitting the survey series gives a greater range of F values and a slightly lower SSB range which is more noisy that with one survey series.

### 6.7 Short term projections

In the absence of an agreed assessment, it was not considered informative to carry out any predictions.

### 6.8 Medium term projections

Yield-per-recruit analysis were carried out using MFYPR to provide yield-per-recruit plots for the data produced in the assessment run where the survey series was split and only 3 and 4 ringers were included. This run was considered to be closest to the sVPA runs. Results of this analysis are presented in Figure 6.8.1.The values for $\mathbf{F}_{0.1}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}$ are 0.17 and 0.31 respectively. $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ is undefined and this is consistent with many other pelagic species.

### 6.9 Precautionary and yield based reference points

As this assessment is still uncertain there was no revision of the precautionary reference points. The precautionary reference points for this stock were discussed in the 1999 Working Group Report (ICES 1999 ACFM:12). The present analysis, although uncertain, presents a similar picture of the stock as that shown in recent years. The SGPRP (ICES 2003/ACFM: 15) has reviewed the methodology for the calculation of biological reference points, and applying a segmented regression to the stock and recruit data from the 2002 HAWG assessment showed that the fit to the stock and recruit data for this stock was not significant. The stock is still likely below Bpa (110 000 t ) but the fishing mortality has been reduced, since 1998

### 6.10 Quality of the Assessment

No assessment was conducted.

### 6.11 Management Considerations

The results of the non-tuned assessment suggest that the sharp decline in SSB may have stopped but the current level of SSB is uncertain. Tuning the assessment using the survey series split in two, 1999 - 2003 and 2004 - 2006 agrees with this. There is no evidence that large year classes have recruited to the stock in recent years and F appears to have been reduced due to the decrease in catch. The management of the Irish fishery (which takes most of the catch) has improved in recent years and catches have been considerably reduced since 1999. The reduced catches over this period have resulted in a reduction in fishing mortality, although it is not possible to be precise about the current levels.

SSB may be stable at an historic low level or declining slightly. Though the peak in SSB in the 1980s may have been an isolated event the HAWG suggests that this stock should be exploited with great caution. F appears to have been substantially reduced since 1998. Though little information on recruitment is available, it is unlikely that it is above average. Certainly every effort should be taken to maintain catches at or below the current level. In particular the HAWG commends the tight enforcement of catch quotas, and this should be continued and if necessary intensified.

The opportunistic nature of the fishery means that there is a lack of information in the data and this impedes the provision of more accurate perceptions of stock status. There are essentially two fleets exploiting this stock, the smaller dry hold vessels tend to target the stock more than the larger boats. The HAWG notes that increased accuracy in the catch data over the past 3 years gives a greater confidence in the perception of stock development. It will be necessary to collect biological data from each fleet separately, in order to refine the information from catch at age data.

Table 6.1.1 VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Estimated Herring catches in tonnes, 1988-2005. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

| Country |  | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| France |  | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. |  | - | - | - | - | 250 | - | - | 11 | - | - |
| Ireland |  | 15,000 | 18,200 | 25,000 | 22,500 | 26,000 | 27,600 | 24,400 | 25,450 | 23,800 | 24,400 |
| Netherlands |  | 300 | 2,900 | 2,533 | 600 | 900 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 1,207 | 1,800 | 3,400 |
| UK (N.Ireland) |  | - | - | 80 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| UK (England + Wales) |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50 | 24 | - | - |
| UK Scotland |  | - | + | - | + | - | 200 | - | - | - | - |
| Unallocated/ misreported | area | 13,800 | 7,100 | 13,826 | 11,200 | 4,600 | 6,250 | 6,250 | 1,100 | 6,900 | -700 |
| Total landings |  | 29,100 | 28,200 | 41,439 | 34,300 | 31,750 | 36,550 | 33,200 | 27,792 | 32,500 | 27,100 |
| Discards |  | - | 1,000 | 2,530 | 3,400 | 100 | 250 | 700 | - | - | 50 |
| Total catch |  | 29,100 | 29,200 | 43,969 | 37,700 | 31,850 | 36,800 | 33,900 | 27,792 | 32,500 | 27,150 |


| Country |  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| France |  | - | - | - | - | 515 | - | - |  |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ireland |  | 25,200 | 16,325 | 10,164 | 11,278 | 13,072 | 12,921 | 10,950 | 13,351 |
| Netherlands |  | 2,500 | 1,868 | 1,234 | 2,088 | 366 | - | 64 | - |
| UK (N.Ireland) |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| UK (England + Wales) |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| UK Scotland |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unallocated/ misreported | area | 11,200 | 7,916 | 3,607 | 695 | 366 | - | +1375 | - |
| Total landings |  | 38,900 | 26,109 | 15,005 | 14,060 | 13,587 | 12,921 | 12,289 | 13,351 |
| Discards |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total catch |  | 38,900 | 26,109 | 15,005 | 14,060 | 13,587 | 12,921 | 12,289 | 13,351 |

Table 6.1.1.2. VIa(S) and VIIb,c herring. Length distribution of Irish catches/quarter (thousands) 2005.

| Length cm | Quarter 1 | Quarter 1 | Quarter 4 | Quarter 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vla South | VIIbc | Vla South | VIIbc |
| 19.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 20.5 | 19 | 13 |  |  |
| 21 | 56 | 26 |  |  |
| 21.5 | 150 | 13 |  | 23 |
| 22 | 356 |  | 37 | 0 |
| 22.5 | 318 | 13 | 99 | 69 |
| 23 | 374 | 13 | 198 | 104 |
| 23.5 | 206 | 0 | 754 | 289 |
| 24 | 599 | 53 | 2002 | 681 |
| 24.5 | 1011 | 171 | 3212 | 1108 |
| 25 | 1872 | 237 | 4485 | 1316 |
| 25.5 | 3070 | 264 | 4386 | 1166 |
| 26 | 6084 | 356 | 4819 | 1258 |
| 26.5 | 5466 | 198 | 4473 | 1247 |
| 27 | 5092 | 119 | 4967 | 1224 |
| 27.5 | 3800 | 26 | 3484 | 1212 |
| 28 | 2939 |  | 2125 | 727 |
| 28.5 | 2153 | 13 | 655 | 427 |
| 29 | 1273 | 13 | 334 | 185 |
| 29.5 | 468 |  | 235 | 58 |
| 30 | 337 |  | 99 | 12 |
| 30.5 | 225 |  | 49 | 12 |
| 31 | 56 |  |  | 12 |
| 31.5 | 112 |  |  |  |
| 32 | 56 |  | 12 |  |
| 32.5 | 94 |  |  |  |
| 33 | 37 |  |  |  |
| 33.5 | 94 |  |  |  |
| 34 | 75 |  |  |  |
| 34.5 | 56 |  |  |  |
| 35 | 37 |  |  |  |
| 35.5 | 19 |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |
| Nos.lt | 6623 | 6451 | 6275 | 6547 |

Table 6.2.1.1 VIa(S) \& VIIb,c herring. Catch in numbers-at-age (winter rings) from 1970 to 2005.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  | 8 9+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 135 | 35114 | 26007 | 13243 | 3895 | 40181 | 2982 | 1667 | 1911 |
| 1971 | 883 | 6177 | 7038 | 10856 | 8826 | 3938 | 40553 | 2286 | 2160 |
| 1972 | 1001 | 28786 | 20534 | 6191 | 11145 | 10057 | 4243 | 47182 | 4305 |
| 1973 | 6423 | 40390 | 47389 | 16863 | 7432 | 12383 | 9191 | 1969 | 50980 |
| 1974 | 3374 | 29406 | 41116 | 44579 | 17857 | 8882 | 10901 | 10272 | 30549 |
| 1975 | 7360 | 41308 | 25117 | 29192 | 23718 | 10703 | 5909 | 9378 | 32029 |
| 1976 | 16613 | 29011 | 37512 | 26544 | 25317 | 15000 | 5208 | 3596 | 15703 |
| 1977 | 4485 | 44512 | 13396 | 17176 | 12209 | 9924 | 5534 | 1360 | 4150 |
| 1978 | 10170 | 40320 | 27079 | 13308 | 10685 | 5356 | 4270 | 3638 | 3324 |
| 1979 | 5919 | 50071 | 19161 | 19969 | 9349 | 8422 | 5443 | 4423 | 4090 |
| 1980 | 2856 | 40058 | 64946 | 25140 | 22126 | 7748 | 6946 | 4344 | 5334 |
| 1981 | 1620 | 22265 | 41794 | 31460 | 12812 | 12746 | 3461 | 2735 | 5220 |
| 1982 | 748 | 18136 | 17004 | 28220 | 18280 | 8121 | 4089 | 3249 | 2875 |
| 1983 | 1517 | 43688 | 49534 | 25316 | 31782 | 18320 | 6695 | 3329 | 4251 |
| 1984 | 2794 | 81481 | 28660 | 17854 | 7190 | 12836 | 5974 | 2008 | 4020 |
| 1985 | 9606 | 15143 | 67355 | 12756 | 11241 | 7638 | 9185 | 7587 | 2168 |
| 1986 | 918 | 27110 | 24818 | 66383 | 14644 | 7988 | 5696 | 5422 | 2127 |
| 1987 | 12149 | 44160 | 80213 | 41504 | 99222 | 15226 | 12639 | 6082 | 10187 |
| 1988 | 0 | 29135 | 46300 | 41008 | 23381 | 45692 | 6946 | 2482 | 1964 |
| 1989 | 2241 | 6919 | 78842 | 26149 | 21481 | 15008 | 24917 | 4213 | 3036 |
| 1990 | 878 | 24977 | 19500 | 151978 | 24362 | 20164 | 16314 | 8184 | 1130 |
| 1991 | 675 | 34437 | 27810 | 12420 | 100444 | 17921 | 14865 | 11311 | 7660 |
| 1992 | 2592 | 15519 | 42532 | 26839 | 12565 | 73307 | 8535 | 8203 | 6286 |
| 1993 | 191 | 20562 | 22666 | 41967 | 23379 | 13547 | 67265 | 7671 | 6013 |
| 1994 | 11709 | 56156 | 31225 | 16877 | 21772 | 13644 | 8597 | 31729 | 10093 |
| 1995 | 284 | 34471 | 35414 | 18617 | 19133 | 16081 | 5749 | 8585 | 14215 |
| 1996 | 4776 | 24424 | 69307 | 31128 | 9842 | 15314 | 8158 | 12463 | 6472 |
| 1997 | 7458 | 56329 | 25946 | 38742 | 14583 | 5977 | 8351 | 3418 | 4264 |
| 1998 | 7437 | 72777 | 80612 | 38326 | 30165 | 9138 | 5282 | 3434 | 2942 |
| 1999 | 2392 | 51254 | 61329 | 34901 | 10092 | 5887 | 1880 | 1086 | 949 |
| 2000 | 3101 | 26133 | 29430 | 23216 | 10090 | 2068 | 1107 | 522 | 1211 |
| 2001 | 2207 | 20694 | 20754 | 16707 | 17581 | 9484 | 1659 | 979 | 484 |
| 2002 | 3093 | 24878 | 28772 | 14392 | 8859 | 7786 | 2094 | 1223 | 491 |
| 2003 | 1364 | 25916 | 22624 | 19006 | 7410 | 4069 | 1983 | 726 | 238 |
| 2004 | 1254 | 13538 | 29536 | 17654 | 8063 | 4408 | 1385 | 873 | 289 |
| 2005 | 172 | 23133 | 25414 | 22116 | 8862 | 4485 | 1109 | 706 | 200 |

Table 6.2.1.2 VIa(S) \& VIIb,c herring. Percentage age composition (winter rings).

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | 6 | 28 | 15 | 8 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 16 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 0 | 23 | 23 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | 3 | 13 | 38 | 17 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 4 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 5 | 34 | 16 | 23 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| 1998 | 3 | 29 | 32 | 15 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 1999 | 1 | 30 | 36 | 21 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 3 | 27 | 30 | 24 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2 | 23 | 23 | 18 | 19 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 3 | 27 | 31 | 16 | 10 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 2 | 31 | 27 | 23 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 2 | 18 | 38 | 23 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 0 | 27 | 29 | 26 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

Table 6.2.2.1 VIa(S) and VIIb,c herring sampling intensity of catches in 2005.

| ICES area | Year | Quarter | Landings (t) | No. Samples | No. aged | No. Measured | Aged/1000 t |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VIIbc | 2005 | 1 | 237 | 1 | 45 | 116 | 190 |
| VIIbc | 2005 | 4 | 1700 | 5 | 290 | 964 |  |
| VIa south | 2005 | 1 | 5512 | 11 | 698 | 1950 | 12 |
|  | 2005 | 3 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2005 | 4 | 5805 | 15 | 816 | 2948 | 141 |
| Total overall |  |  | 13350 | 32 | 1849 | 5978 |  |

Table 6.3.2.1. VIa(S) \& VIIb,c herring. Details of acoustic surveys of herring in VIaS and VIIbc, 1994-2006.

| Year | Type | Biomass | SSB |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | - |  |
| 1994 | Feeding phase | 137,670 | 353,772 |
| 1995 | Feeding phase | 34,290 | 125,800 |
| 1996 | Feeding phase | - | 12,550 |
| 1997 | - | - | - |
| 1998 |  | 23,762 | - |
| 1999 | Autumn spawners | 21,000 | 22,788 |
| 2000 | Autumn spawners | 11,100 | 20,500 |
| 2001 | Autumn spawners | 8,900 | 9,800 |
| 2002 | Winter spawners | 10,300 | 7,200 |
| 2003 | Winter spawners | 41,700 | 9,500 |
| 2004 | Winter spawners | 71,253 | 41,399 |
| 2005 | Winter spawners | 27,770 | 66,138 |
| 2006 | Winter spawners |  | 27,200 |

Table 6.3.2.2. VIa(S) \& VIIb,c herring. Time series of acoustic surveys since 1999.

| Winter rings | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | - | - | 5 | 0 | - | 0.09 | 1.28 | 0 |
| 2 | 18.99 | 10.71 | 22.69 | 35.7 | 10.28 |  | 7.83 | 1.6 |
| 3 | 104.77 | 60.88 | 52.33 | 14.05 | 26.26 | 3.9 | 56.91 | 6.9 |
| 4 | 32.53 | 48.96 | 6.41 | 24.23 | 30.02 | 62.35 | 93.51 | 86.7 |
| 5 | 11.34 | 25.57 | 6.47 | 14 | 11.08 | 54.93 | 109.87 | 57.5 |
| 6 | 1.65 | 9.43 | 2.63 | 5.79 | 2.94 | 80.07 | 100.8 | 27.9 |
| 7 | 0.94 | 2.35 | 1.94 | 5.7 | 0.64 | 47.14 | 56.54 | 16 |
| 8 | 0.3 | 1.28 | 0.12 | 5.06 | 0.94 | 13.81 | 21.16 | 4.8 |
| $9+$ | 0.17 | 0.43 | 0.24 | 2.73 | 0.3 | 11.77 | 24.64 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.11 | 0.75 | 0.07 | 4.07 | 0.14 | - | 12.74 | 1.3 |
| 4bundance (millions) | 170.8 | 160.36 | 97.9 | 111.33 | 82.6 | 274.06 | 485.29 | 202.9 |
| Total Biomass (t) | 23,762 | 21,048 | 11,062 | 8,867 | 10,300 | 41,700 | 71,253 | 27,770 |
| SSB (t) | 22,788 | 20,500 | 9,800 | 6,978 | 9,500 | 41,300 | 66,138 | 27,200 |

Table 6.4.1 VIa(S) \& VIIb,c herring. Mean weight-at-age (winter rings) in the catch, 1970 to 2005.

| 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  | 9+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1971 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1972 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1973 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1974 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1975 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1976 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1977 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1978 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1979 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1980 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1981 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1982 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1983 | 0.090 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1984 | 0.106 | 0.141 | 0.181 | 0.210 | 0.226 | 0.237 | 0.243 | 0.247 | 0.248 |
| 1985 | 0.077 | 0.122 | 0.161 | 0.184 | 0.196 | 0.206 | 0.212 | 0.225 | 0.230 |
| 1986 | 0.095 | 0.138 | 0.164 | 0.194 | 0.212 | 0.225 | 0.239 | 0.208 | 0.288 |
| 1987 | 0.085 | 0.102 | 0.150 | 0.169 | 0.177 | 0.193 | 0.205 | 0.215 | 0.220 |
| 1988 | - | 0.098 | 0.133 | 0.153 | 0.166 | 0.171 | 0.183 | 0.191 | 0.201 |
| 1989 | 0.080 | 0.130 | 0.141 | 0.164 | 0.174 | 0.183 | 0.192 | 0.193 | 0.203 |
| 1990 | 0.094 | 0.138 | 0.148 | 0.160 | 0.176 | 0.189 | 0.194 | 0.208 | 0.216 |
| 1991 | 0.089 | 0.134 | 0.145 | 0.157 | 0.167 | 0.185 | 0.199 | 0.207 | 0.230 |
| 1992 | 0.095 | 0.141 | 0.147 | 0.157 | 0.165 | 0.171 | 0.180 | 0.194 | 0.219 |
| 1993 | 0.112 | 0.138 | 0.153 | 0.170 | 0.181 | 0.184 | 0.196 | 0.229 | 0.236 |
| 1994 | 0.081 | 0.141 | 0.164 | 0.177 | 0.189 | 0.187 | 0.191 | 0.204 | 0.220 |
| 1995 | 0.080 | 0.140 | 0.161 | 0.173 | 0.182 | 0.198 | 0.194 | 0.206 | 0.217 |
| 1996 | 0.085 | 0.135 | 0.172 | 0.182 | 0.199 | 0.209 | 0.220 | 0.233 | 0.237 |
| 1997 | 0.093 | 0.135 | 0.155 | 0.181 | 0.201 | 0.217 | 0.217 | 0.231 | 0.239 |
| 1998 | 0.095 | 0.136 | 0.145 | 0.173 | 0.191 | 0.196 | 0.202 | 0.222 | 0.217 |
| 1999 | 0.106 | 0.144 | 0.145 | 0.163 | 0.186 | 0.195 | 0.200 | 0.216 | 0.222 |
| 2000 | 0.102 | 0.129 | 0.154 | 0.172 | 0.180 | 0.184 | 0.204 | 0.203 | 0.204 |
| 2001 | 0.086 | 0.122 | 0.139 | 0.167 | 0.183 | 0.188 | 0.222 | 0.222 | 0.213 |
| 2002 | 0.097 | 0.127 | 0.140 | 0.155 | 0.175 | 0.196 | 0.204 | 0.218 | 0.226 |
| 2003 | 0.102 | 0.134 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.183 | 0.196 | 0.216 | 0.210 | 0.228 |
| 2004 | 0.085 | 0.140 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.182 | 0.193 | 0.222 | 0.221 | 0.285 |
| 2005 | 0.105 | 0.135 | 0.150 | 0.162 | 0.174 | 0.188 | 0.200 | 0.237 | 0.296 |

Table 6.4.2 VIa(S) \& VIIb,c herring. Mean weight at age (winter rings) in the stock 1970 to 2005.

|  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 9+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1971 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1972 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1973 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1974 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1975 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1976 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1977 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1978 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1979 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1980 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1981 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1982 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1983 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1984 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1985 | 0.100 | 0.150 | 0.196 | 0.227 | 0.238 | 0.251 | 0.252 | 0.269 | 0.284 |
| 1986 | 0.098 | 0.169 | 0.209 | 0.238 | 0.256 | 0.276 | 0.280 | 0.287 | 0.312 |
| 1987 | 0.097 | 0.164 | 0.206 | 0.233 | 0.252 | 0.271 | 0.280 | 0.296 | 0.317 |
| 1988 | 0.097 | 0.164 | 0.206 | 0.233 | 0.252 | 0.271 | 0.280 | 0.296 | 0.317 |
| 1989 | 0.138 | 0.157 | 0.168 | 0.182 | 0.200 | 0.217 | 0.227 | 0.238 | 0.245 |
| 1990 | 0.113 | 0.152 | 0.170 | 0.180 | 0.200 | 0.217 | 0.225 | 0.233 | 0.255 |
| 1991 | 0.102 | 0.149 | 0.174 | 0.190 | 0.195 | 0.206 | 0.226 | 0.236 | 0.248 |
| 1992 | 0.102 | 0.144 | 0.167 | 0.182 | 0.194 | 0.197 | 0.214 | 0.218 | 0.242 |
| 1993 | 0.118 | 0.166 | 0.196 | 0.205 | 0.214 | 0.220 | 0.223 | 0.242 | 0.258 |
| 1994 | 0.098 | 0.156 | 0.192 | 0.209 | 0.216 | 0.223 | 0.226 | 0.230 | 0.247 |
| 1995 | 0.090 | 0.144 | 0.181 | 0.203 | 0.217 | 0.226 | 0.227 | 0.239 | 0.246 |
| 1996 | 0.086 | 0.137 | 0.186 | 0.206 | 0.219 | 0.234 | 0.233 | 0.249 | 0.253 |
| 1997 | 0.094 | 0.135 | 0.169 | 0.194 | 0.210 | 0.224 | 0.231 | 0.230 | 0.239 |
| 1998 | 0.095 | 0.136 | 0.145 | 0.173 | 0.191 | 0.196 | 0.202 | 0.222 | 0.217 |
| 1999 | 0.104 | 0.145 | 0.154 | 0.174 | 0.200 | 0.222 | 0.230 | 0.240 | 0.246 |
| 2000 | 0.100 | 0.134 | 0.157 | 0.177 | 0.197 | 0.207 | 0.217 | 0.230 | 0.245 |
| 2001 | 0.091 | 0.125 | 0.150 | 0.172 | 0.191 | 0.200 | 0.203 | 0.203 | 0.216 |
| 2002 | 0.092 | 0.127 | 0.146 | 0.170 | 0.190 | 0.201 | 0.210 | 0.227 | 0.229 |
| 2003 | 0.094 | 0.131 | 0.155 | 0.175 | 0.192 | 0.203 | 0.232 | 0.222 | 0.243 |
| 2004 | 0.081 | 0.133 | 0.151 | 0.175 | 0.194 | 0.207 | 0.238 | 0.233 | 0.276 |
| 2005 | 0.095 | 0.127 | 0.15 | 0.172 | 0.185 | 0.196 | 0.223 | 0.234 | 0.274 |

Table 6.6.1.1. VIa(S) and VIIb,c herring assessment runs preformed

| Run | Model | Terminal F | Terminal S | 2005 survey | Ages Included |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Separable VPA | 0.2 | 1 | - | - |
| 2 | Separable VPA | 0.4 | 1 | - | - |
| 3 | Separable VPA | 0.6 | 1 | - | - |
| 4 | Separable VPA | 0.2 | 1 | - | - |
| 7 | Separable VPA | 0.2 | 0.9 | - | - |
| 8 | Separable VPA | 0.4 | 0.9 | - | - |
| 9 | Separable VPA | 0.6 | 0.9 | - | - |
| 10 | ICA | - | 1 | Yes | All ages |
| 11 | ICA | - | 0.9 | Yes | All ages |
| 12 | ICA | - | 1.1 | Yes | All ages |
| 13 | ICA | - | 1 | Yes | Ages 2 -8 |
| 14 | ICA | - | 1 | No | Ages 2 -8 |
| 15 | ICA | - | 0.9 | yes | All ages |
| 16 | ICA | - | 0.9 | Yes | Ages 3 and 4 |
| 17 | ICA | - | 0.9 | Yes | Ages 3 and 4 |

## Table 6.6.1.2. VIa(S) and VIIb,c herring VPA run with a terminal $F$ value of 0.2

Traditional vpa Terminal populations from weighted Separable populations

|  | RECRUITS | TOTALBIO | TOTSPBIO | LANDINGS | YIELD/SSB | SOPCOFAC FBAR | 3-6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 417284 | 220147 | 142899 | 20306 | 0.1421 | 0.8968 | 0.1643 |
| 1971 | 833995 | 243361 | 130297 | 15044 | 0.1155 | 0.8707 | 0.1456 |
| 1972 | 751358 | 256099 | 137501 | 23474 | 0.1707 | 0.8975 | 0.1897 |
| 1973 | 550599 | 311106 | 189592 | 36719 | 0.1937 | 1.0162 | 0.2714 |
| 1974 | 609927 | 230504 | 111472 | 36589 | 0.3282 | 0.9762 | 0.4252 |
| 1975 | 424785 | 224093 | 116542 | 38764 | 0.3326 | 1.1237 | 0.4041 |
| 1976 | 719600 | 213220 | 83087 | 32767 | 0.3944 | 1.0472 | 0.4625 |
| 1977 | 607093 | 202179 | 91924 | 20567 | 0.2237 | 1.0778 | 0.2905 |
| 1978 | 1090619 | 253218 | 90257 | 19715 | 0.2184 | 1.0161 | 0.2394 |
| 1979 | 1028416 | 294166 | 123355 | 22608 | 0.1833 | 1.0664 | 0.2452 |
| 1980 | 556290 | 236561 | 125257 | 30124 | 0.2405 | 0.9636 | 0.3542 |
| 1981 | 714361 | 257449 | 129795 | 24922 | 0.192 | 1.0312 | 0.2769 |
| 1982 | 729107 | 257400 | 133289 | 19209 | 0.1441 | 1.0301 | 0.2028 |
| 1983 | 2447463 | 473310 | 131099 | 32988 | 0.2516 | 1.0042 | 0.3306 |
| 1984 | 1003027 | 385199 | 210899 | 27450 | 0.1302 | 0.9688 | 0.1866 |
| 1985 | 1293462 | 378095 | 202855 | 23343 | 0.1151 | 0.9846 | 0.1564 |
| 1986 | 981854 | 396953 | 244982 | 28785 | 0.1175 | 1.0002 | 0.1625 |
| 1987 | 3377298 | 598391 | 212333 | 48600 | 0.2289 | 0.9488 | 0.3151 |
| 1988 | 484074 | 456037 | 325329 | 29100 | 0.0894 | 0.9992 | 0.2453 |
| 1989 | 723295 | 396830 | 242545 | 29210 | 0.1204 | 1.001 | 0.1666 |
| 1990 | 817407 | 360116 | 210570 | 43969 | 0.2088 | 1.0006 | 0.2411 |
| 1991 | 504138 | 282862 | 180081 | 37700 | 0.2094 | 0.9971 | 0.2289 |
| 1992 | 416287 | 228233 | 144372 | 31856 | 0.2207 | 0.9951 | 0.2639 |
| 1993 | 615766 | 240073 | 121946 | 36763 | 0.3015 | 1.006 | 0.3487 |
| 1994 | 800559 | 221488 | 102907 | 33908 | 0.3295 | 0.998 | 0.3559 |
| 1995 | 454458 | 164075 | 84959 | 27792 | 0.3271 | 1.0525 | 0.4603 |
| 1996 | 814011 | 168518 | 63111 | 32534 | 0.5155 | 0.9955 | 0.581 |
| 1997 | 774602 | 167797 | 63733 | 27225 | 0.4272 | 1.0016 | 0.5369 |
| 1998 | 473591 | 133789 | 49553 | 38895 | 0.7849 | 0.9988 | 1.0533 |
| 1999 | 342787 | 103150 | 39593 | 26109 | 0.6594 | 1.0018 | 0.7558 |
| 2000 | 416919 | 92635 | 34291 | 15005 | 0.4376 | 1.0011 | 0.4373 |
| 2001 | 446619 | 89273 | 32529 | 14061 | 0.4323 | 0.9988 | 0.6326 |
| 2002 | 634799 | 109032 | 34561 | 13587 | 0.3931 | 0.9991 | 0.5367 |
| 2003 | 509618 | 112154 | 46570 | 12921 | 0.2775 | 1.002 | 0.4265 |
| 2004 | 648901 | 122004 | 52189 | 12289 | 0.2355 | 1.0006 | 0.3537 |
| 2005 | 695364* | 86049 | 60362 | 13351 | 0.2212 | 0.9986 | 0.2737 |
| Arith. * geometric mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 780158 | 249044 | 124907 | 27174 | . 2754 | . 3534 |  |
| 0 Units | (Thousands) | (Tonnes) | (Tonnes) | (Tonnes) |  |  |  |

Table 6.6.1.3. VIa(S) and VIIbc herring VPA run using a terminal F or 0.4

Traditional vpa Terminal populations from weighted Separable populations
RECRUITS TOTALBIO TOTSPBIO LANDINGS YIELD/SSB SOPCOFAC FBAR 3-6
Age 1

| 1970 | 418057 | 221093 | 143697 | 20306 | 0.1413 | 0.8968 | 0.1633 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1971 | 835788 | 244306 | 130999 | 15044 | 0.1148 | 0.8707 | 0.1446 |
| 1972 | 753314 | 257140 | 138267 | 23474 | 0.1698 | 0.8975 | 0.1888 |
| 1973 | 552276 | 313145 | 191294 | 36719 | 0.192 | 1.0162 | 0.2702 |
| 1974 | 611809 | 231617 | 112302 | 36589 | 0.3258 | 0.9762 | 0.4232 |
| 1975 | 426498 | 225318 | 117468 | 38764 | 0.33 | 1.1237 | 0.4017 |
| 1976 | 722957 | 214400 | 83790 | 32767 | 0.3911 | 1.0472 | 0.4591 |
| 1977 | 610121 | 203444 | 92713 | 20567 | 0.2218 | 1.0778 | 0.2879 |
| 1978 | 1097005 | 254903 | 91075 | 19715 | 0.2165 | 1.0161 | 0.2374 |
| 1979 | 1035977 | 296382 | 124469 | 22608 | 0.1816 | 1.0664 | 0.2429 |
| 1980 | 560380 | 238485 | 126552 | 30124 | 0.238 | 0.9636 | 0.3505 |
| 1981 | 718951 | 259736 | 131353 | 24922 | 0.1897 | 1.0312 | 0.2733 |
| 1982 | 733789 | 259728 | 134883 | 19209 | 0.1424 | 1.0301 | 0.2002 |
| 1983 | 2462987 | 477146 | 132902 | 32988 | 0.2482 | 1.0042 | 0.3263 |
| 1984 | 1008621 | 388523 | 213280 | 27450 | 0.1287 | 0.9688 | 0.1842 |
| 1985 | 1298992 | 381031 | 205011 | 23343 | 0.1139 | 0.9846 | 0.1545 |
| 1986 | 984923 | 399864 | 247369 | 28785 | 0.1164 | 1.0002 | 0.1607 |
| 1987 | 3384194 | 601420 | 214555 | 48600 | 0.2265 | 0.9488 | 0.3119 |
| 1988 | 484601 | 458603 | 327631 | 29100 | 0.0888 | 0.9992 | 0.243 |
| 1989 | 723760 | 398681 | 244199 | 29210 | 0.1196 | 1.001 | 0.1654 |
| 1990 | 817455 | 361621 | 211973 | 43969 | 0.2074 | 1.0006 | 0.2398 |
| 1991 | 503875 | 283809 | 180996 | 37700 | 0.2083 | 0.9971 | 0.228 |
| 1992 | 415928 | 228909 | 145044 | 31856 | 0.2196 | 0.9951 | 0.2634 |
| 1993 | 615151 | 240467 | 122392 | 36763 | 0.3004 | 1.006 | 0.3484 |
| 1994 | 799092 | 221636 | 103187 | 33908 | 0.3286 | 0.998 | 0.356 |
| 1995 | 452152 | 163787 | 84907 | 27792 | 0.3273 | 1.0525 | 0.4609 |
| 1996 | 807872 | 167776 | 62924 | 32534 | 0.517 | 0.9955 | 0.5826 |
| 1997 | 763009 | 166184 | 63280 | 27225 | 0.4302 | 1.0016 | 0.54 |
| 1998 | 460883 | 131568 | 48649 | 38895 | 0.7995 | 0.9988 | 1.0686 |
| 1999 | 322190 | 99406 | 38160 | 26109 | 0.6842 | 1.0018 | 0.7822 |
| 2000 | 372123 | 85689 | 32107 | 15005 | 0.4673 | 1.0011 | 0.4625 |
| 2001 | 363976 | 77579 | 28837 | 14061 | 0.4876 | 0.9988 | 0.7005 |
| 2002 | 453089 | 84702 | 27879 | 13587 | 0.4874 | 0.9991 | 0.6425 |
| 2003 | 313786 | 77731 | 32727 | 12921 | 0.3948 | 1.002 | 0.5728 |
| 2004 | 341909 | 73280 | 31277 | 12289 | 0.3929 | 1.0006 | 0.565 |
| 2005 | 660137* | 46982 | 29279 | 13351 | 0.456 | 0.9986 | 0.5417 |

Arith. *Geometric mean

| Mean | 757182 | 245447 | 123540 | 27174 | .2946 | .3762 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 Units | (Thousands) | (Tonnes) | (Tonnes) | (Tonnes) |  |  |

Table 6.6.1.4 VIa(S) and VIIb,c herring VPA run using a terminal F or 0.6

Traditional vpa Terminal populations from weighted Separable populations


Table 6.6.1.5: VIa(S) and VIIb,c herring - age structured index residuals from the split survey series, with $q$, cv and standard error.

Series one

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{q}$ | cv | - $\mathbf{s e}$ | + se |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | -0.023 | 0.761 | -1.057 | 0.125 | 0.194 | 0.00048 | 21 | 0.0005 | 0.0007 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | -0.209 | 0.352 | -0.523 | 0.451 | -0.071 | 0.00048 | 21 | 0.0005 | 0.0007 |

## Series two

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{q}$ | cv | - se | + se |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | -0.2992 | 0.3527 | -0.0535 | 0.00077 | 50 | 0.0008 | 0.0021 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | -0.0619 | 0.2479 | -0.1859 | 0.00131 | 50 | 0.0013 | 0.0036 |



Figure 6.1.1 VIa(S) \& VIIb,c herring catches from 1970-2005


Figure 6.1.2. Northwest coast herring spawning grounds with arrows showing industry perceptions of herring movements.


Figure 6.3.2.1 VIa(S) \& Division VIIb,c herring. Cruise track and trawl positions during the 2005 northwest herring survey.

Herring distribution, January 2005


Figure 6.3.2.2 VIa(S) \& Division VIIb,c herring. Post plot showing the distribution of total herring SA values obtained during the 2005 northwest herring acoustic survey.

Northwest Herring Acoustic Cruise Track, January 2006


Figure 6.3.2.3 VIa(S) \& Division VIIb,c herring. Cruise track and trawl positions during the 2006 northwest herring survey.

Herring distribution, January 2006


Figure 6.3.2.4 VIa(S) \& Division VIIb,c herring. Post plot showing the distribution of total herring SA values obtained during the 2006 Irish northwest herring acoustic survey.


Age distributions in 2004,2005,2006 Surveys


Figure 6.3.2.5. VIa(S) \& Division VIIb,c herring. Age (winter rings) distributions of the abundance estimate from the 2005 acoustic survey and of the fishery in 2005 (Above). Age distribution of the abundance estimates from 3 acoustic surveys; 2004, 2005, and 2006 (Below).


Figure 6.4.4.1. VIa(S) \& Division VIIb,c herring. Mean weight in the catch 1970 - 2005.


Figure 6.4.4.2. VIa(S) \& Division VIIb,c herring. Mean weight in the catch 1970 - 2005.



Figure 6.6.1.1 VIa(S) \& Division VIIb,c herring mean standardised catch numbers at age standardised by year for the fishery (above) and the survey (below)




Figure 6.6.1.2: VIa (S) and VIIb,c herring. Catch curves (upper), Log catch ratios (middle) and log catch ratios (lower).


Figure 6.6.1.3: VIa(S) and VIIb,c herring. Mean log catch numbers at age for ages $3-7$ from the fishery and mean abundance estimates at age from the acoustic survey.


Figure 6.6.1.4 VIa(S) and VIIb,c herring comparison of three separable VPA runs of the current working group and the 2005 working group, using values of $0.2,0.4$ and 0.6 for terminal $F$.


Figure 6.6.1.5. $\operatorname{VIa}(S)$ and VIIb,c herring - Residuals from three separable VPA runs using terminal $F$ values of 0.2 (upper), 0.4 (middle) and 0.6 (lower). Black indicates positive residuals and white indicates negative.


Figure 6.6.1.6. VIa(S) and VIIb,c herring - Results from three separable ICA runs using terminal selection values of $\mathbf{1 . 0}, \mathbf{0 . 9}$ and 1.1.


Figure 6.6.1.7. VIa(S) and VIIb,c herring - plot of the $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence intervals around $\mathbf{F}$ for five ICA runs. Circles: Terminal selection=1.0, Triangles: Terminal Selection=0.9, Crosses: Terminal Selection=1.1, Red Triangle: Surveys as one series, using 3 and 4 ringers, Blue diamonds: Survey split into two series, with 3 and 4 ringers only.


Figure 6.6.1.8. VIa(S) and VIIb,c herring - plot of the selection pattern for five ICA runs. Circles: Terminal Selection $=1.0$, Triangles: Terminal Selection $=0.9$, Crosses: Terminal Selection $=1.1$, Red Triangle: Surveys as one series using 3 and 4 ringers only, Blue diamonds: Survey split into two series with 3 and 4 ringers only.


Figure 6.6.1.9. VIa(S) and VIIb,c herring residual patterns with Terminal Selection=1.0 (upper), Terminal Selection=0.9(middle) and Terminal Selection=1.1 (lower)




Figure 6.6.1.10. VIa(S) and VIIb,c herring - Recruitment (upper), SSB (middle) and mean F (lower) with the survey as one series, split and the sVPA with $F=0.4$


Figure 6.6.1.11. VIa(S) and VIIb,c herring scatter plot of estimates of F and SSB for the terminal year using three different terminal selection values.


Figure 6.6.1.12. VIa(S) and VIIb,c herring scatter plot of estimates of F and SSB for the terminal year using the survey as one series or as two.


MFYPR version 2 a
Run: Run 18
Time and date: 18:19 22/03/2006

| Reference point | F multiplier | Absolute F |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fbar(3-6) | 1.0000 | 0.3925 |
| FMax |  |  |
| F0.1 | 0.4248 | 0.1667 |
| F35\%SPR | 0.4403 | 0.1728 |
| Flow | 0.2293 | 0.0900 |
| Fmed | 0.8059 | 0.3163 |
| Fhigh | 3.0912 | 1.2133 |

Weights in kilograms

Figure 6.8.1: VIa (S) and VIIb,c herring yield per recruit plots


MFDP version 1a
MFDP:
Run: Herring Run17
Herring Via ( 17
Time and date: 17:52 22/03/2006
Fbar age range: 3-6
Input units are thousands and kg - output in tonnes

## 7 Irish Sea Herring [Division VIIA(North)]

### 7.1 The Fishery

### 7.1.1 Advice and Management Applicable to 2004 and 2005

ACFM did not accept the HAWG 2004 assessment because the results were still too imprecise as suggested by the presence of retrospective patterns. ACFM commented that current exploitation levels appeared to give a relatively stable stock and therefore continuation of the 4800 t TAC was considered sustainable.

In 2005 the WG decided to present short-term forecasts for Irish Sea herring. All management options including a catch equivalent to the 4800 t TAC resulted in 2007 SSB above Bpa (9 500 t ). A TAC of 4800 t was adopted for 2005, partitioned as 3550 t to the UK and 1250 t to the Republic of Ireland.

Closed areas for herring fishing in the Irish Sea along the east coast of Ireland and within 12 nautical miles of the west coast of Britain were maintained throughout the year. The traditional gillnet fishery on the Mourne herring, which has a derogation to fish within the Irish closed box, operated in 2006. The area to the east of the Isle of Man, encompassing the Douglas Bank spawning ground (described in ICES 2001, ACFM:10), was closed from $21^{\text {st }}$ September to $15^{\text {th }}$ November. Boats from the Republic of Ireland are not permitted to fish east of the Isle of Man.

### 7.1.2 The Fishery in 2005

The catches reported from each country for the period 1986 to 2005 are given in Table 7.1.1, and total catches from 1961 to 2005 in Figure 7.1.1. Reported international landings in 2005 for the Irish Sea amounted to 4387 t .

As in recent years, in 2005 the majority of the catch of herring in the Irish Sea was taken during the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ quarters. The main fishery commenced fishing in August and made the final landing of herring at the end of November. A total of $93 \%$ of the international catch was taken during the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter, the majority of which was taken by two pair trawlers. In the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter 4 Irish pair trawlers accounted for 1153 t of total international herring landings. These catches were taken in the mid-channel between the Irish coast and the Isle of Man.

During the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter the catch accounted for $7 \%$ of total international herring landings. During this quarter an additional smaller fishery of 11 vessels registered herring landings. The effort from these vessels was centred off the Northern Irish coastline, in the area of the traditional Mourne fishery.

### 7.2 Biological composition of the Catch

### 7.2.1 Catch in numbers

Catches in numbers-at-age are given in Table 7.2 .1 for the years 1972 to 2005 and a graphical representation is given in Figure 7.2.1. The predominant year class in 2005 was the 2-ringers (2002-year class), with the highest catch in 3-ringers seen since 1994. The catch in numbers at length is given in Table 7.2.2 for 1990 to 2005.

### 7.2.2 Quality of catch and biological data

There are no estimates of discarding or slippage in the Irish Sea fisheries that target herring. Discarding however is not thought to be a feature of this fishery. Biological sampling was carried out on 19 out of the 27 landings made by the Northern Ireland pair-trawlers. From a total of 1153 t landed in the Republic of Ireland, 5 samples were taken. No biological samples were available from landings made during the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter (Table 7.2.3).

### 7.2.3 Acoustic surveys

The information on the time-series of acoustic surveys in the Irish Sea is given in Table 7.2.4. As in last year's assessment, the SSB estimates from the survey are calculated using the same (annually varying) maturity ogives that are input to ICA (see Table 7.3.3 estimated from the commercial catch data).

The acoustic survey in 2005 was carried out over 14 days in the period $29^{\text {th }}$ August to $14^{\text {th }}$ September. A survey design of stratified, systematic transects was employed, as in previous years (Figure 7.2.2.A). Very few trawl catches of adult herring have been made off the Irish and English coasts over the time-series of the surveys. Therefore a more intensive survey of these regions, at the expense of time spent around the Isle of Man, remains unwarranted at present. In general, there are few samples on the age composition of the herring in the acoustic survey data. The survey followed the methods described in Armstrong et al., 2005 WD 23; (see Annex 2).

The bulk of the acoustic scatter attributed to pelagic fish was identified as sprat, which were abundant around the periphery of the Irish Sea and to the west of the Isle of Man (Figure 7.2.2.B). As observed in 2004 mixed herring targets were detected in 2005 at a number of locations off the west and south coasts of the Isle of Man (Figure 7.2.3.A).

As in previous years, no herring schools were detected in the area immediately north of the Isle of Man, despite an abundance of early-stage larvae in this area in November (Figure 7.2.4). It is possible that spawning in this area only commences after the date of the acoustic survey.

The estimate of herring SSB of 31445 t for 2005 was well above the average for the series up to 2004 (19 kt) (Table 7.2.4). The approximate coefficient of variation of 0.42 was close to the average for the series of surveys and similar to the value last year. The biomass estimate of 36 866 t for $1+$ ringers was also above the average for the series up to 2004 ( 33 kt ), whilst the approximate CV of 0.37 for the stratified mean estimate was similar to the average for the series. Given the approximate CVs of the estimates, it is not possible to discern any trend in 1+ biomass or SSB since 1999.

The estimate of the herring population, excluding 0 -ring fish, is given in Table 7.2.5. The age composition from the acoustic survey was similar to the catch-at-age data with high catches of 2 and 3-ringers.

### 7.2.4 Larvae surveys

A herring larvae survey was undertaken by Northern Ireland over the period $6^{\text {th }}$ to $15^{\text {th }}$ November. The survey followed the methods and designs of previous surveys in the timeseries (see Annex 2). The production estimate for 2005 in the NE Irish Sea was 33100 t and was slightly above the average up to 2004 (Table 7.2.6). As in recent years, herring larvae were found to be most abundant to the east and north of the Isle of Man and less abundant in the western Irish Sea (Figure 7.2.4).

### 7.2.5 Groundfish surveys of Area VIIa(N)

Groundfish surveys (Annex 2), carried out by Northern Ireland since 1991 in the Irish Sea, were used by the 1996 to 1999 Herring Assessment Working Groups to obtain indices for 0and 1-ring herring in the Irish Sea. These indices have performed poorly in the assessment and have not been used since 1999. Indices for 1991 to 2005 are shown in Table 7.2.7.

### 7.3 Mean length, weight, maturity and natural mortality-at-age

Mean lengths-at-age were calculated using the catch data and are given for the years 1985 to 2005 in Table 7.3.1. In general, mean lengths have been relatively stable over the last few years and this trend has continued in 2005.

Mean weights-at-age in the catch are given in Table 7.3.2. Mean weights-at-age of all ages remained low. There has been a change in mean weight over the time period 1961 to the present (ICES 2003 ACFM:17). Mean weights-at-age increased between the early 1960s and the late 1970s whereupon there was been a steady decline to the early 1990s, were they remained low. In the assessment, mean weights-at-age for the period 1972 to 1984 are taken as unchanging. In extending the data series back from 1971 to 1961, mean weights-at-age in the catch were taken from samples recorded by the Port Erin Marine Laboratory (ICES 2003 ACFM:17).

Mean weights-at-age in the third-quarter catches (for the whole time-series 1961 to present) have been used as estimates of stock weights at spawning time. There was some uncertainty in the mean weights-at-age for 2003 presented to the WG, and consequently the WG replaced these with the average mean stock weights-at-age for the preceding five years (1998 to 2002). There was no further information this year to improve the 2003 values, and these remain as the 5-year mean.

Maturity-at-age (in the catches) for each year (1961 to 2005) are given in Table 7.3.3. Due to inconsistencies in the maturity data collected in 2003, the WG used a mean maturity ogive for the preceding nine years for 2003. The rationale for the 9 years was that there appeared to be a shift in the maturity ogive around 1993. After 2003 all weights and maturity-at-age data were based on corresponding annual biological samples.

As in previous years, natural mortality per year was assumed to be 1.0 on 1-ringers, 0.3 for 2ringers, 0.2 for 3 -ringers and 0.1 for all older age classes. These are based on the natural mortality rates estimated for herring in the North Sea using MSVPA.

### 7.4 Recruitment

An estimate of total abundance of 1-ringers is provided by the Northern Ireland acoustic survey. However, there is evidence that a fraction of those is of Celtic Sea origin. Separation of the trawl catches of juveniles into autumn and winter spawning components, based on otolith microstructure and/or length composition, could result in a survey index of recruitment for the Irish Sea stock that could be used directly in the assessment.

### 7.5 Stock Assessment

### 7.5.1 Data exploration and preliminary modelling

In 2004 examination of the sum of squares surface from integrated catch-at-age analysis (ICA) indicated that the Douglas Bank larvae index (DBL) was having no influence in the current perception of the stock. Therefore, the WG agreed on removing DBL from the analysis. In 2005 two fishery independent survey indices were used as tuning indices to run ICA: Northern

Irish larvae production (NINEL) and the age dis-aggregated abundance index from the acoustic survey (ACAGE). Also, the preliminary modelling used catch-at-age data derived from the landings, extending back to 1961.

This year 2005 data were added to the Northern Irish larvae series (NINEL) and to the Northern Irish acoustic survey (total biomass, SSB and age-structured indices). Due to the continuing problems associated with mixing of Irish Sea and Celtic Sea juveniles the groundfish surveys were considered unsuitable tuning fleets. The survey series available for inclusion in an assessment using the ICA package are documented in Appendix 2.

Initial fits within integrated catch-at-age analysis (ICA), were performed with NINEL and ACAGE. The following model settings were used:

- $\quad$ Separable constraint over the last 6-years (weighting $=1.0$ for each year)
- Reference age $=4$
- Constant selection pattern model
- Selectivity on oldest age $=1.0$
- First age for calculation of mean $\mathrm{F}=2$
- Last age for calculation of mean $\mathrm{F}=6$
- $\quad$ Weighting on 1 -rings $=0.1$; all other age classes $=1.0$
- Weighting for all years $=1.0$
- All indices treated as linear
- No S/R relationship fitted
- Lowest and highest feasible $\mathrm{F}=0.05$ and 2.0
- All survey weights fitted by hand i.e., 1.0 with the 1 -rings in the acoustic survey weighted to 0.1.
- Correlated errors assumed i.e., = 1.0
- No shrinkage applied

The initial fit corresponds to the same procedure as last year (SPALY).
Examination of the SSQ surface (Figure 7.5.1) showed that although there was a clear overall minimum, the minimum for each individual index did not coincide. Comparison of mean reference Fs estimated in the SPALY run and the runs using one index at a time (NINEL, ACAGE) highlighted the variation in mean $F$ and associated deviation (Figure 7.5.2). Estimates of uncertainty from the ICA bootstrapped mean F and SSB from SPALY were plotted for 2005 and 2006 data (Figure 7.5.3). Comparison of 2005 and 2006 suggests a reduction in precision in the current year. This may also be reflected by the large residuals about the model fit to the acoustic index older ages.

An examination of the exploitation rate estimated as the ratio of the catch to the acoustic estimated 1+ biomass was repeated this year (not shown). A relatively lower exploitation rate over the period 1999 to 2005 was suggested, evidence perhaps that the fishing mortality had probably been relatively stable over this time period. Full quota (4,800 t) in 2005 was not taken.

An exploration of the separable period was carried out. The separable period was increased from 6 to 8 years in an attempt to reduce the noise in the SPALY retrospective series (Figure 7.5.4). It was hoped that this would result in a gain in precision in the model estimates of key population parameters and improve the estimated selection pattern. Adopting an 8 -year separable period was considered acceptable as the fishery has evolved little in this time, and changes in fishing practices and their effects on the catch data were assumed to be minimal. Comparison of the residuals from the exploratory ICA runs for the period 2000-2005 and age
groups 1 to 8 showed very little improvement (Figure 7.5.5). The resulting SSB and $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ estimates from the SPALY 6-year and 8-year separable period runs were also very similar (Figure 7.5.6). This suggests that the model is not sensitive to assumptions regarding the separable period.

Finally, the influence of the last year estimate of recruitment in the predicted SSB was investigated through retrospective analysis. Retrospective plots of SSB and F were constructed from an ICA run assuming an 8 -year separable period with the 1 -group for the last year of data excluded from the calculation of SSB by fixing their maturity equal to $0 \%$. This run resulted in a reduction of retrospective patterns in both SSB and recruitment. The comparison highlighted the role of 1-group in contributing to retrospective variance in SSB (Figure 7.5.7).

### 7.5.2 Two-stage biomass model

In 2005 a Two-Stage Biomass model for the assessment of Irish Sea VIIa herring given additional variance in the recruitment index was presented by Roel and De Oliveira (2005 WD10). In 2006 due to reduced resources the model was not attempted in the assessment.

### 7.5.3 Conclusion to explorations

The results from the exploratory runs carried out with ICA using NINEL and ACAGE as tuning indices indicate a decrease in precision compared with 2005. Moreover, the range of F minima in the SSQ surface graph suggests less consistency between the catch data and the indices in the separable period. Increasing the separable period from 6 to 8 years did not improve the residual values. Estimates in SSB and F were not greatly influenced by adopting $0 \% 1$-group maturity. The large recruitment estimate for 2001 was however reduced through this manipulation of 1-group maturity. This could be the result of smaller residuals from fitting other pieces of information that the model did not need to balance out by generating a large residual for the recruits in the last year of data. Overall, the WG considered the improvements from using an 8-year separable period small therefore decided to present results from running SPALY.

### 7.5.4 Stock Assessment

The results presented correspond to ICA runs using the acoustics data as an age-structured index (ACAGE) and the Northern Ireland larval survey (NINEL) as an index of biomass. The model settings are the same as for 2005. The run log for the assessment is shown in Table 7.5.1. The output from ICA assessment, the residuals and fitted values are given in Tables 7.5.2-7.5.19. The SSQ for the index shows a variation in minimum between indices but at a relatively low level of fishing mortality (Figure 7.5.1). The model diagnostics (Figure 7.5.8) show that the total residuals by age between the catch and the separable model are reasonably trend-free. The year residuals show influences from 2002 and 2003. The estimate for $\mathrm{F}_{(2-6)}$ was 0.34 (Table 7.5.15) with a corresponding SSB estimate of 11813 t , above Bpa $=9500 \mathrm{t}$. The significance of the current SSB estimate for this stock must be viewed in light of the retrospective analysis which shows a general downward revision of SSB values the following year.

However the 2006 assessment results suggest that the stock has been relatively stable in recent years with an apparent increase in SSB in the last year (Table 7.5.15). This apparent increase may be influenced by a similar trend in the larvae index which was fitted by the model, (Figure 7.5.10). The increase may also be driven by the large estimate of numbers of 2 and 3 year-olds in the landing data. These strong year groups were also mirrored in the acoustic survey. These year-classes are mature (Table 7.3.3) and will therefore be contributing substantially to the SSB.

### 7.6 Stock and Catch Projection

### 7.6.1 Deterministic short-term predictions

No short-term predictions were included in the 2006 assessment.

### 7.6.2 Yield-per-recruit

For a yield-per-recruit analysis refer to last years report.

### 7.7 Medium-term predictions of stock size

The Working Group decided that there was no basis for undertaking medium-term projections of stock size until there is agreement that advice based on the assessment can be provided.

### 7.8 Reference points

The estimation of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}(9500 \mathrm{t})$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}(6000 \mathrm{t})$ were not revisited this year. There were no new points to add to the discussions and deliberations presented in 2000 (ICES 2000/ACFM:12). There is no precautionary F value for this stock.

### 7.9 Quality of the Assessment

The different survey series for Irish Sea herring are characterized by generally poor precision caused by the very patchy distribution of the fish as well as assumptions inherent in the methods (e.g. target strength, larval growth and mortality; relationship between larval production and SSB). Nonetheless, there is evidence of some coherence between the longerterm signals in the different survey series. The acoustic survey provides estimates of abundance at age but the juveniles in the area are a mixture of at least two adjacent stocks (Celtic Sea and VIIa(N)). Separation of trawl catches of juveniles into autumn and winter spawning components, based on otolith microstructure and/or length composition, could result in acoustic and trawl survey indices of juveniles appropriate for the Irish Sea assessment. However information from historical herring larval surveys in the Celtic Sea suggest that a considerable autumn spawning component existed in certain years. This may undermine attempts to separate the Celtic and Irish Sea juvenile components.

Retrospective analysis of the assessment with data from 2000 to 2005 was carried out (Figure 7.5.4). The retrospectives for SSB and $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ from the ICA assessment (NINEL + ACAGE) with a 6-year separable period (SPALY) show rather stable estimation of SSB at a level just below $B_{\text {ра }}(9500 t)$. The tendency to over-estimate SSB in the last assessment year was shown to be a continuing trend. The retrospective pattern of $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ is relatively stable, however the addition of another year's data results in an upward revision in F .

The very large deviation seen in the recruitment retrospective results from the extremely high estimate of recruitment in 2002 (Figure 7.5.4). As information on recruitment is downweighted in both the catch at age and the survey, the model is free to use the last year recruitment estimate to balance out high residuals from the model fit to previous years data. Therefore high values of recruitment can be estimated in the last year as there is little constraint placed by the model.

For some years, the assessment for this stock has not been accepted by ACFM. Both the catches and survey data are noisy. In recent years the assessment seems to have improved as more years are added to the acoustic survey series, and the conflicting signals in survey data seen in previous assessments are not observed in this assessment. Given the noise in the data it is difficult to detect abrupt changes in the stock dynamics. Nevertheless some inferences can
be made that are quite robust, even though the absolute estimates of SSB and fishing mortality may be less reliable. In particular, it seems likely that the stock is relatively stable at a level close to Bpa, and that the fishing mortality has been low since the late 1990's. Therefore, an advice to maintain catches at the current level is supported by the assessment.

### 7.10 Spawning and Juvenile Fishing Area Closures

The arrangement of closed areas in Division VIIa(N) prior to 1999 are discussed in detail in ICES (1996/ACFM:10) with a change to the closed area to the east of the Isle of Man being altered in 1999 (ICES 2001/ACFM:10). The closed areas consist of: all year juvenile closures along part of the east coast of Ireland, and the west coast of Scotland, England and Wales; spawning closures along the east coast of the Isle of Man from 21st September- 15th November, and along the east coast of Ireland all year round. The Working Group recommends that any alterations to the present closures are considered carefully, in the context of this report, to ensure protection for all components of this stock.

### 7.11 Management considerations

The catches have been low in recent years and there are no indications of problems in the catch-at-age for this stock. A slight reduction in precision was noted in the 2006 assessment however the SSB is estimated to be above $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and continues to show an upward trend. However, analytical retrospectives show that considerable downward revision of SSB took place in subsequent assessments in recent years, placing SSB below the $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$. The current assessment however indicates that SSB remains relatively stable for this stock. Further, a broad range of year classes is present in the stock indicating relatively low mortality. Therefore, the maintenance of recommended catch levels of approximately 5000 t , in the short-term, should not be detrimental to the stock.

Table 7.1.1 Irish Sea Herring Division VIIa(N). Working group catch estimates in tonnes by country, 1987-2005. The total catch does not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

| Country | $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ireland | 1200 | 2579 | 1430 | 1699 | 80 | 406 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK | 3290 | 7593 | 3532 | 4613 | 4318 | 4864 | 4408 | 4828 | 5076 |
| Unallocated | 1333 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 5823 | 10172 | 4962 | 6312 | 4398 | 5270 | 4408 | 4828 | 5076 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Country | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |
| Ireland | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 862 | 286 | 0 | 749 |
| UK | 5180 | 6651 | 4905 | 4127 | 2002 | 4599 | 2107 | 2399 | 1782 |
| Unallocated | 22 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |
| Total | 5302 | 6651 | 4905 | 4127 | 2002 | 5461 | 2393 | 2399 | 2531 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Country | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ireland | 1153 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UK | 3234 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unallocated | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4387 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.2.1 Irish Sea Herring Division VIIa(N). Catch in numbers (thousands) by year.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | AGE (RINGS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| 1973 | 40640 | 46660 | 26950 | 13180 | 13750 | 6760 | 2660 | 1670 |
| 1974 | 42150 | 32740 | 38240 | 11490 | 6920 | 5070 | 2590 | 2600 |
| 1975 | 43250 | 109550 | 39750 | 24510 | 10650 | 4990 | 5150 | 1630 |
| 1976 | 33330 | 48240 | 39410 | 10840 | 7870 | 4210 | 2090 | 1640 |
| 1977 | 34740 | 56160 | 20780 | 15220 | 4580 | 2810 | 2420 | 1270 |
| 1978 | 30280 | 39040 | 22690 | 6750 | 4520 | 1460 | 910 | 1120 |
| 1979 | 15540 | 36950 | 13410 | 6780 | 1740 | 1340 | 670 | 350 |
| 1980 | 11770 | 38270 | 23490 | 4250 | 2200 | 1050 | 400 | 290 |
| 1981 | 5840 | 25760 | 19510 | 8520 | 1980 | 910 | 360 | 230 |
| 1982 | 5050 | 15790 | 3200 | 2790 | 2300 | 330 | 290 | 240 |
| 1983 | 5100 | 16030 | 5670 | 2150 | 330 | 1110 | 140 | 380 |
| 1984 | 1305 | 12162 | 5598 | 2820 | 445 | 484 | 255 | 59 |
| 1985 | 1168 | 8424 | 7237 | 3841 | 2221 | 380 | 229 | 479 |
| 1986 | 2429 | 10050 | 17336 | 13287 | 7206 | 2651 | 667 | 724 |
| 1987 | 4491 | 15266 | 7462 | 8550 | 4528 | 3198 | 1464 | 877 |
| 1988 | 2225 | 12981 | 6146 | 2998 | 4180 | 2777 | 2328 | 1671 |
| 1989 | 2607 | 21250 | 13343 | 7159 | 4610 | 5084 | 3232 | 4213 |
| 1990 | 1156 | 6385 | 12039 | 4708 | 1876 | 1255 | 1559 | 1956 |
| 1991 | 2313 | 12835 | 5726 | 9697 | 3598 | 1661 | 1042 | 1615 |
| 1992 | 1999 | 9754 | 6743 | 2833 | 5068 | 1493 | 719 | 815 |
| 1993 | 12145 | 6885 | 6744 | 6690 | 3256 | 5122 | 1036 | 392 |
| 1994 | 646 | 14636 | 3008 | 3017 | 2903 | 1606 | 2181 | 848 |
| 1995 | 1970 | 7002 | 12165 | 1826 | 2566 | 2104 | 1278 | 1991 |
| 1996 | 3204 | 21330 | 3391 | 5269 | 1199 | 1154 | 926 | 1452 |
| 1997 | 5335 | 17529 | 9761 | 1160 | 3603 | 780 | 961 | 1364 |
| 1998 | 9551 | 21387 | 7562 | 7341 | 1641 | 2281 | 840 | 1432 |
| 1999 | 3069 | 11879 | 3875 | 4450 | 6674 | 1030 | 2049 | 451 |
| 2000 | 1810 | 16929 | 5936 | 1566 | 1477 | 1989 | 444 | 622 |
| 2001 | 1221 | 3743 | 5873 | 2065 | 558 | 347 | 251 | 147 |
| 2002 | 2713 | 11473 | 7151 | 13050 | 3386 | 936 | 650 | 803 |
| 2003 | 179 | 9021 | 1894 | 1866 | 2395 | 953 | 474 | 343 |
| 2004 | 694 | 4694 | 3345 | 2559 | 882 | 2945 | 872 | 605 |
| 2005 | 3225 | 8833 | 5405 | 2161 | 623 | 213 | 673 | 127 |
|  | 8692 | 13980 | 10555 | 3287 | 1422 | 415 | 292 | 367 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.2.2 Irish Sea Herring Division VIIa(N). Catch-at-length for 1990-2005. Numbers of fish in thousands.

| Length | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.5 |  |  | 169 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 6 |  | 343 |  |  | 21 | 21 | 17 |  | 19 | 12 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| 16.5 | 6 | 2 | 275 |  |  | 55 | 51 | 94 |  | 53 | 49 | 27 |  |  | 13 | 1 |
| 17 | 50 | 1 | 779 |  | 84 | 139 | 127 | 281 | 26 | 97 | 67 | 53 |  |  | 25 | 39 |
| 17.5 | 7 | 4 | 1106 |  | 59 | 148 | 200 | 525 | 30 | 82 | 97 | 105 |  |  | 84 | 117 |
| 18 | 224 | 31 | 1263 |  | 69 | 300 | 173 | 1022 | 123 | 145 | 115 | 229 |  |  | 102 | 291 |
| 18.5 | 165 | 56 | 1662 |  | 89 | 280 | 415 | 1066 | 206 | 135 | 134 | 240 | 36 |  | 114 | 521 |
| 19 | 656 | 168 | 1767 | 39 | 226 | 310 | 554 | 1720 | 317 | 234 | 164 | 385 | 18 |  | 203 | 758 |
| 19.5 | 318 | 174 | 1189 | 75 | 241 | 305 | 652 | 1263 | 277 | 82 | 97 | 439 | 0 | 29 | 269 | 933 |
| 20 | 791 | 454 | 1268 | 75 | 253 | 326 | 749 | 1366 | 427 | 218 | 109 | 523 | 0 | 73 | 368 | 943 |
| 20.5 | 472 | 341 | 705 | 57 | 270 | 404 | 867 | 1029 | 297 | 242 | 85 | 608 | 18 | 215 | 444 | 923 |
| 21 | 735 | 469 | 705 | 130 | 400 | 468 | 886 | 1510 | 522 | 449 | 115 | 1086 | 307 | 272 | 862 | 1256 |
| 21.5 | 447 | 296 | 597 | 263 | 308 | 782 | 1258 | 1192 | 549 | 362 | 138 | 1201 | 433 | 290 | 1007 | 1380 |
| 22 | 935 | 438 | 664 | 610 | 700 | 1509 | 1530 | 2607 | 1354 | 1261 | 289 | 1748 | 1750 | 463 | 1495 | 1361 |
| 22.5 | 581 | 782 | 927 | 1224 | 785 | 2541 | 2190 | 2482 | 1099 | 2305 | 418 | 1763 | 1949 | 600 | 2140 | 1448 |
| 23 | 2400 | 1790 | 1653 | 2016 | 1035 | 4198 | 2362 | 3508 | 2493 | 4784 | 607 | 2670 | 2490 | 1158 | 2089 | 1035 |
| 23.5 | 1908 | 1974 | 1156 | 2368 | 1473 | 4547 | 2917 | 3902 | 2041 | 4183 | 951 | 2254 | 1552 | 1380 | 2214 | 1256 |
| 24 | 3474 | 2842 | 1575 | 2895 | 2126 | 4416 | 3649 | 4714 | 3695 | 4165 | 1436 | 3489 | 1029 | 1273 | 2054 | 1276 |
| 24.5 | 2818 | 2311 | 2412 | 2616 | 2564 | 3391 | 4077 | 4138 | 2769 | 3397 | 1783 | 4098 | 758 | 1249 | 2269 | 1083 |
| 25 | 4803 | 2734 | 2792 | 2207 | 3315 | 3100 | 4015 | 5031 | 2625 | 2620 | 2144 | 5566 | 776 | 1163 | 1749 | 1086 |
| 25.5 | 3688 | 2596 | 3268 | 2198 | 3382 | 2358 | 3668 | 3971 | 2797 | 1817 | 1791 | 4785 | 1335 | 1211 | 1206 | 584 |
| 26 | 4845 | 3278 | 3865 | 2216 | 3480 | 2334 | 2480 | 3871 | 3115 | 1694 | 1349 | 3814 | 1570 | 1140 | 823 | 438 |
| 26.5 | 3015 | 2862 | 3908 | 2176 | 2617 | 1807 | 2177 | 2455 | 2641 | 1547 | 840 | 2243 | 1552 | 1573 | 587 | 203 |
| 27 | 3014 | 2412 | 3389 | 2299 | 2391 | 1622 | 1949 | 1711 | 2992 | 1475 | 616 | 1489 | 776 | 1607 | 510 | 165 |
| 27.5 | 1134 | 1449 | 2203 | 2047 | 1777 | 990 | 1267 | 1131 | 1747 | 867 | 479 | 644 | 433 | 1189 | 383 | 60 |
| 28 | 993 | 922 | 1440 | 1538 | 1294 | 834 | 906 | 638 | 1235 | 276 | 212 | 496 | 162 | 726 | 198 | 45 |
| 28.5 | 582 | 423 | 569 | 944 | 900 | 123 | 564 | 440 | 170 | 169 | 58 | 179 | 108 | 569 | 51 | 18 |
| 29 | 302 | 293 | 278 | 473 | 417 | 248 | 210 | 280 | 111 | 61 | 42 | 10 | 36 | 163 |  | 12 |
| 29.5 | 144 | 129 | 96 | 160 | 165 | 56 | 79 | 59 | 92 |  | 12 | 0 | 36 | 129 |  |  |
| 30 | 146 | 82 | 70 | 83 | 9 | 40 | 32 | 8 | 84 |  | 6 | 9 |  | 43 |  |  |
| 30.5 | 57 | 36 | 36 | 15 | 27 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 43 |  |  |
| 31 | 54 | 12 | 2 | 4 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 |  |  |
| 31.5 | 31 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.2.3 Irish Sea Herring Division VIIa(N). Sampling intensity of commercial landings in 2005.

Quarter

| Country | LANDINGS <br> (T) | No. <br> SAMPLES | No. FISH <br> MEASURED | No. FISH <br> AGED | EStimation <br> OF DISCARDS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ireland | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| UK (N. Ireland) | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| UK (Isle of Man) | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| UK (Scotland) | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| UK (England \& Wales) | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Ireland | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| UK (N. Ireland) | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| UK (Isle of Man) | $*$ | - | - | - | - |
| UK (Scotland) | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| UK (England \& Wales) | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Ireland | 1153 | 5 | 1312 | - | No |
| UK (N. Ireland) | 2927 | 21 | 4135 | 1018 | - |
| UK (Isle of Man) | $*$ | - | - | - | - |
| UK (Scotland) | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| UK (England \& Wales) | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Ireland | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| UK (N. Ireland) | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| UK (Isle of Man) | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| UK (Scotland) | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| UK (England \& Wales) | 0 |  | - | - | - |

* no information, but catch is likely to be negligible

Table 7.2.4 Irish Sea Herring Division VIIa(N). Summary of acoustic survey information for the period 1989-2005. Small clupeoids include sprat and 0 -ring herring unless otherwise stated. CVs are approximate. Biomass in $t$. All surveys carried out at 38 kHz except December 1996, which was at 120 kHz .

| Year | Aren | DATES | HERRING <br> BIOMASS <br> (1+years) | CV | HERRING <br> BIOMASS <br> (SSB) | CV | SMALL CLUPEOIDS biomass | CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1989 | Douglas Bank | 25-26 Sept |  |  | 18000 | - | - | - |
|  | Douglas Bank | 26-27 Sept |  |  | 26,600 | - | - | - |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | Western Irish Sea | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \text { July - } 8 \\ \text { Aug } \end{array}$ | 12,760 | 0.23 |  |  | $66,000^{1}$ | 0.20 |
| 1992 | Western Irish Sea | 20-31 July | 17,490 | 0.19 |  |  | 43,200 | 0.25 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} +\mathrm{IOM} \text { east } \\ \text { coast } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | Area VIIa(N) | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \text { Aug }-8 \\ \text { Sep } \end{array}$ | 31,400 | 0.36 | 25,133 | - | 68,600 | 0.10 |
|  | Douglas Bank | 22-26 Sept |  |  | 28,200 | - | - | - |
| 1995 | Area VIIa(N) | 11-22 Sept | 38,400 | 0.29 | 20,167 | - | 348,600 | 0.13 |
|  | Douglas Bank | 10-11 Oct |  | - | 9,840 | - | - | - |
|  | Douglas Bank | 23-24 Oct |  |  | 1,750 | 0.51 | - | - |
| 1996 | Area VIIa(N) | 2-12 Sept | 24,500 | 0.25 | 21426 | 0.25 | - ${ }^{2}$ | - |
| 1997 | Area VIIa(N)reduced | 8-12 Sept | 20,100 | 0.28 | 10,702 | 0.35 | 46,600 | 0.20 |
| 1998 | Area VIIa(N) | 8-14 Sept | 14,500 | 0.20 | 9,157 | 0.18 | 228,000 | 0.11 |
| 1999 | Area VIIa(N) | 6-17 Sept | 31,600 | 0.59 | 21,040 | 0.75 | 272,200 | 0.10 |
| 2000 | Area VIIa(N) | 11-21 Sept | 40,200 | 0.26 | 33,144 | 0.32 | 234,700 | 0.11 |
| 2001 | Area VIIa(N) | 10-18 Sept | 35,400 | 0.40 | 13,647 | 0.42 | 299,700 | 0.08 |
| 2002 | Area VIIa(N) | 9-20 Sept | 41,400 | 0.56 | 25,102 | 0.83 | 413,900 | 0.09 |
| 2003 | Area VIIa(N) | $7-20$ Sept | 49,500 | 0.22 | 24,390 | 0.24 | 265,900 | 0.10 |
| 2004 | Area VIIa(N) | $\begin{array}{r} 6-10,15 / 16 \\ 28 / 29 \text { Sept } \end{array}$ | 34,437 | 0.41 | 21,593 | 0.41 | 281,000 | 0.07 |
| 2005 | Area VIIa(N) | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \text { Aug }-14 \\ \text { Sept } \end{array}$ | 36,866 | 0.37 | 31,445 | 0.42 | 141,900 | 0.10 |

${ }^{1}$ sprat only; ${ }^{2}$ Data can be made available for the IoM waters only

Table 7.2.5 Irish Sea Herring Division VIIa(N). Age-disaggregated acoustic estimates of herring abundance from the Northern Ireland surveys in September (ACAGE).

| Age (RINGS) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 66.8 | 319.1 | 11.3 | 134.1 | 110.4 | 157.8 | 78.5 | 387.6 | 391.0 | 349.2 | 241.0 | 94.3 |
| 2 | 68.3 | 82.3 | 42.4 | 50.0 | 27.3 | 77.7 | 103.4 | 93.4 | 71.9 | 220.0 | 115.5 | 109.9 |
| 3 | 73.5 | 11.9 | 67.5 | 14.8 | 8.1 | 34.0 | 105.3 | 10.1 | 31.7 | 32.0 | 29.6 | 97.1 |
| 4 | 11.9 | 29.2 | 9.0 | 11.0 | 9.3 | 5.1 | 27.5 | 17.5 | 24.8 | 4.7 | 15.4 | 17.0 |
| 5 | 9.3 | 4.6 | 26.5 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 10.3 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 31.3 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 8.0 |
| 6 | 7.6 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 1.8 | 13.5 | 5.4 | 1.4 | 14.8 | 4.1 | 2.3 | 0.8 |
| 7 | 3.9 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| $8+$ | 10.1 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 6.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 5.8 |

Table 7.2.6 Irish Sea Herring Division VIIa(N). Larval production (10 ${ }^{\mathbf{1 1}) \text { indices for the }}$ Manx component.

| Year |  | Douglas Bank Isle of Man |  | Northeast Irish Sea |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Isle of Man |  |  |  | Northern Ireland |  |
|  | Date | Production | SE | Date | Production | SE | Date | Production | CV |
| 1989 | 26 Oct | 3.39 | 1.54 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1990 | 19 Oct | 1.92 | 0.78 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1991 | 15 Oct | 1.56 | 0.73 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 1992 | 16 Oct | 15.64 | 2.32 | 20 Nov | 128.9 | - | - | - | - |
| 1993 | 19 Oct | 4.81 | 0.77 | 22 Nov | 1.1 | - | 17 Nov | 38.3 | 0.48 |
| 1994 | 13 Oct | 7.26 | 2.26 | 24 Nov | 12.5 | - | 16 Nov | 71.2 | 0.12 |
| 1995 | 19 Oct | 1.58 | 1.68 | - | - | - | 28 Nov | 15.1 | 0.62 |
| 1996 | - | - | - | 26 Nov | 0.3 | - | 19 Nov | 4.7 | 0.30 |
| 1997 | 15 Oct | 5.59 | 1.25 | 1 Dec | 35.9 | - | 4 Nov | 29.1 | 0.11 |
| 1998 | 6 Nov | 2.27 | 1.43 | 1 Dec | 3.5 | - | 3 Nov | 5.8 | 1.02 |
| 1999 | 25 Oct | 3.87 | 0.88 | - | - | - | 9 Nov | 16.7 | 0.57 |
| 2000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 Nov | 35.5 | 0.12 |
| 2001 | - | - | - | 11 Dec | 198.6 | - | 7 Nov | 55.3 | 0.55 |
| 2002 | - | - | - | 6 Dec | 19.8 | - | 4 Nov | 31.5 | 0.47 |
| 2003 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 Nov | 15.8 | 0.58 |
| 2004 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 30 Oct | 22.7 | 0.48 |
| 2005 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 Nov | 33.1 |  |

SE = Standard Error

Table 7.2.7 Irish Sea herring Division VIIa(N). Northern Ireland groundfish survey indices for herring (Nos. per 3 miles.)
(a) 0-ring herring: October survey

|  | Western Irish Sea |  |  |  |  |  | Eastern Irish Sea |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Survey | Mean | N.obs | SE | Mean | N.obs. | SE | Mean | N. obs | SE |  |  |
| 1991 | 54 | 34 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 210 | 31 | 99 | 240 | 8 | 149 | 177 | 46 | 68 |  |  |
| 1993 | 633 | 26 | 331 | 498 | 10 | 270 | 412 | 44 | 155 |  |  |
| 1994 | 548 | 26 | 159 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 194 | 41 | 55 |  |  |
| 1995 | 67 | 22 | 23 | 35 | 9 | 18 | 37 | 35 | 11 |  |  |
| 1996 | 90 | 26 | 58 | 131 | 9 | 79 | 117 | 42 | 50 |  |  |
| 1997 | 281 | 26 | 192 | 68 | 9 | 42 | 138 | 43 | 70 |  |  |
| 1998 | 980 | 26 | 417 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 347 | 43 | 144 |  |  |
| 1999 | 389 | 26 | 271 | 90 | 9 | 29 | 186 | 43 | 96 |  |  |
| 2000 | 202 | 24 | 144 | 367 | 9 | 190 | 212 | 38 | 89 |  |  |
| 2001 | 553 | 26 | 244 | 236 | 11 | 104 | 284 | 45 | 93 |  |  |
| 2002 | 132 | 26 | 84 | 18 | 11 | 10 | 63 | 45 | 31 |  |  |
| 2003 | 1203 | 26 | 855 | 75 | 11 | 47 | 446 | 45 | 296 |  |  |
| 2004 | 838 | 26 | 292 | 447 | 11 | 191 | 469 | 45 | 125 |  |  |
| 2005 | 1516 | 26 | 1036 | 256 | 11 | 152 | 627 | 45 | 363 |  |  |

(b) 1-ring herring: March Surveys.

| Western Irish Sea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eastern Irish Sea |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey | Mean | N.obs | SE | Mean | N.obs. | SE | Mean | N.obs | SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 392 | 20 | 198 | 115 | 10 | 73 | 190 | 34 | 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 1755 | 27 | 620 | 175 | 10 | 66 | 681 | 45 | 216 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 2472 | 25 | 1852 | 106 | 9 | 51 | 923 | 39 | 641 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 1299 | 26 | 679 | 73 | 8 | 32 | 480 | 42 | 235 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 1055 | 22 | 638 | 285 | 9 | 164 | 487 | 39 | 230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 1473 | 26 | 382 | 260 | 9 | 96 | 612 | 43 | 137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 3953 | 26 | 1331 | 250 | 9 | 184 | 1472 | 43 | 466 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 5845 | 26 | 1860 | 736 | 9 | 321 | 2308 | 42 | 655 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 2303 | 26 | 853 | 546 | 10 | 217 | 1009 | 44 | 306 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 3518 | 26 | 916 | 1265 | 11 | 531 | 1763 | 45 | 381 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2002^{\text {a }}$ | 2255 | 25 | 845 | 185 | 11 | 84 | 852 | 44 | 294 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2002^{\text {b }}$ | 7870 | 26 | 5667 | 185 | 11 | 84 | 2794 | 45 | 1960 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 2103 | 26 | 876 | 896 | 11 | 604 | 1079 | 45 | 382 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 6611 | 25 | 2726 | 491 | 11 | 163 | 2486 | 44 | 945 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 7274 | 26 | 3097 | 1240 | 8 | 375 | 3001 | 42 | 1121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

a. Unusually large catch removed, b. unusually large catch retained.

Table 7.2.7 Irish Sea herring Division VIIa(N). Northern Ireland groundfish survey indices for herring (Nos. per 3 miles.). Continued.
(c) 1-ring herring: October Surveys

|  | Western Irish Sea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eastern Irish Sea |  |  |  |  | Total Irish Sea |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey | Mean | N.obs | SE | Mean | N.obs. | SE | Mean | N.obs | SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 102 | 34 | 34 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 36 | 31 | 18 | 20 | 8 | 11 | 21 | 46 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 122 | 26 | 66 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 44 | 44 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 490 | 26 | 137 | 17 | 6 | 10 | 176 | 40 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 153 | 22 | 61 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 55 | 35 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 30 | 26 | 13 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 11 | 42 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 612 | 26 | 369 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.2 | 302 | 43 | 156 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 39 | 26 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 10 | 53 | 43 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 81 | 26 | 41 | 104 | 9 | 95 | 74 | 43 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 455 | 24 | 250 | 74 | 9 | 52 | 579 | 38 | 403 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1412 | 26 | 641 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 513 | 45 | 223 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 370 | 26 | 111 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 291 | 45 | 158 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 314 | 26 | 143 | 410 | 11 | 350 | 267 | 45 | 144 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 710 | 26 | 298 | 103 | 11 | 74 | 299 | 45 | 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 3217 | 25 | 1467 | 18 | 11 | 12 | 1121 | 44 | 507 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.3.1 Irish Sea Herring Division VIIa(N). Mean length-at-age in the catch.

| Year | Lengths-at-age (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age (rings) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |
| 1985 | 22.1 | 24.3 | 26.1 | 27.6 | 28.3 | 28.6 | 29.5 | 30.1 |
| 1986 | 19.7 | 24.3 | 25.8 | 26.9 | 28.0 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 29.8 |
| 1987 | 20.0 | 24.1 | 26.3 | 27.3 | 28.0 | 29.2 | 29.4 | 30.1 |
| 1988 | 20.2 | 23.5 | 25.7 | 26.3 | 27.2 | 27.7 | 28.7 | 29.6 |
| 1989 | 20.9 | 23.8 | 25.8 | 26.8 | 27.8 | 28.2 | 28.0 | 29.5 |
| 1990 | 20.1 | 24.2 | 25.6 | 26.2 | 27.7 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 29.0 |
| 1991 | 20.5 | 23.8 | 25.4 | 26.1 | 26.8 | 27.3 | 27.7 | 28.7 |
| 1992 | 19.0 | 23.7 | 25.3 | 26.2 | 26.7 | 27.2 | 27.9 | 29.4 |
| 1993 | 21.6 | 24.1 | 25.9 | 26.7 | 27.2 | 27.6 | 28.0 | 28.7 |
| 1994 | 20.1 | 23.9 | 25.5 | 26.5 | 27.0 | 27.4 | 27.9 | 28.4 |
| 1995 | 20.4 | 23.6 | 25.2 | 26.3 | 26.8 | 27.0 | 27.6 | 28.3 |
| 1996 | 19.8 | 23.5 | 25.3 | 26.0 | 26.6 | 27.6 | 27.6 | 28.2 |
| 1997 | 19.6 | 23.6 | 25.1 | 26.0 | 26.5 | 27.1 | 27.7 | 28.2 |
| 1998 | 20.8 | 23.8 | 25.2 | 26.1 | 27.0 | 26.8 | 27.2 | 28.7 |
| 1999 | 19.8 | 23.6 | 25.0 | 26.1 | 26.5 | 27.1 | 27.2 | 28.0 |
| 2000 | 19.7 | 23.8 | 25.3 | 26.3 | 27.1 | 27.7 | 27.7 | 28.1 |
| 2001 | 20.0 | 22.9 | 24.8 | 25.7 | 26.2 | 26.9 | 27.5 | 27.8 |
| 2002 | 21.1 | 23.1 | 24.8 | 26.0 | 26.6 | 26.7 | 27.0 | 28.1 |
| 2003 | 21.1 | 23.7 | 25.0 | 26.5 | 26.9 | 27.1 | 27.8 | 28.5 |
| 2004 | 20.7 | 23.1 | 24.6 | 25.8 | 26.1 | 27.1 | 27.6 | 28.3 |
| 2005 | 20.0 | 22.6 | 24.5 | 25.5 | 26.0 | 26.6 | 27.1 | 27.8 |

Table 7.3.2 Irish Sea Herring Division VIIa(N). Mean weights-at-age in the catch.

| Year | Weights-at-age (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age (rings) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |
| 1985 | 87 | 125 | 157 | 186 | 202 | 209 | 222 | 258 |
| 1986 | 68 | 143 | 167 | 188 | 215 | 229 | 239 | 254 |
| 1987 | 58 | 130 | 160 | 175 | 194 | 210 | 218 | 229 |
| 1988 | 70 | 124 | 160 | 170 | 180 | 198 | 212 | 232 |
| 1989 | 81 | 128 | 155 | 174 | 184 | 195 | 205 | 218 |
| 1990 | 77 | 135 | 163 | 175 | 188 | 196 | 207 | 217 |
| 1991 | 70 | 121 | 153 | 167 | 180 | 189 | 195 | 214 |
| 1992 | 61 | 111 | 136 | 151 | 159 | 171 | 179 | 191 |
| 1993 | 88 | 126 | 157 | 171 | 183 | 191 | 198 | 214 |
| 1994 | 73 | 126 | 154 | 174 | 181 | 190 | 203 | 214 |
| 1995 | 72 | 120 | 147 | 168 | 180 | 185 | 197 | 212 |
| 1996 | 67 | 116 | 148 | 162 | 177 | 199 | 200 | 214 |
| 1997 | 64 | 118 | 146 | 165 | 176 | 188 | 204 | 216 |
| 1998 | 80 | 123 | 148 | 163 | 181 | 177 | 188 | 222 |
| 1999 | 69 | 120 | 145 | 167 | 176 | 188 | 190 | 210 |
| 2000 | 64 | 120 | 148 | 168 | 188 | 204 | 200 | 213 |
| 2001 | 67 | 106 | 139 | 156 | 168 | 185 | 198 | 205 |
| 2002 | 85 | 113 | 144 | 167 | 180 | 184 | 191 | 217 |
| 2003* | 81 | 116 | 136 | 160 | 167 | 172 | 186 | 199 |
| 2004 | 73 | 107 | 130 | 157 | 165 | 187 | 200 | 205 |
| 2005 | 67 | 103 | 136 | 156 | 166 | 180 | 191 | 209 |

* Average for the preceding five years

Table 7.3.3 Irish Sea Herring Division VIIa(N). Maturity ogive (maturity in the catch).

| Year |  |  |  | Age (RINGS) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |
| 1961 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.63 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1962 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.83 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1963 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1964 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 0.81 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1965 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1966 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1967 | 0.02 | 0.37 | 0.75 | 0.83 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1968 | 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1969 | 0.00 | 0.71 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1970 | 0.02 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1971 | 0.15 | 0.87 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1972 | 0.11 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1973 | 0.12 | 0.77 | 0.89 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1974 | 0.36 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1975 | 0.40 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1976 | 0.07 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1977 | 0.03 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1978 | 0.04 | 0.81 | 0.88 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1979 | 0.00 | 0.84 | 0.81 | 0.78 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1980 | 0.20 | 0.88 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1981 | 0.19 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1982 | 0.10 | 0.80 | 0.89 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1983 | 0.02 | 0.73 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1984 | 0.00 | 0.69 | 0.83 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1985 | 0.14 | 0.62 | 0.71 | 0.88 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1986 | 0.31 | 0.73 | 0.66 | 0.81 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1987 | 0.00 | 0.85 | 0.91 | 0.87 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1988 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1989 | 0.07 | 0.63 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1990 | 0.06 | 0.66 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1991 | 0.04 | 0.30 | 0.74 | 0.82 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1992 | 0.28 | 0.48 | 0.72 | 0.81 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1993 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1994 | 0.19 | 0.68 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1995 | 0.10 | 0.86 | 0.94 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1996 | 0.02 | 0.60 | 0.96 | 0.83 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1997 | 0.04 | 0.82 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1998 | 0.30 | 0.83 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1999 | 0.02 | 0.84 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2000 | 0.14 | 0.79 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2001 | 0.15 | 0.54 | 0.88 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2002 | 0.02 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| *2003 | 0.11 | 0.76 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2004 | 0.11 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2005 | 0.20 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

* Average for the preceding nine years

Table 7.5.1 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). ICA run $\log$ for the maximun-likelihood ICA calculation for the 6 year separable period. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings ( winter rings in the otolith).

> Integrated Catch at Age Analysis

Version 1.4 w
K.R.Patterson

Fisheries Research Services
Marine Laboratory
Aberdeen
8 March 1998
Enter the name of the index file -->index.txt canum.txt
weca.txt
Stock weights in 2006 used for the year 2005
west.txt
Natural mortality in 2006 used for the year 2005
natmor.txt
Maturity ogive in 2006 used for the year 2005
matprop.txt
Name of age-structured index file (Enter if none) : -->fleet.txt
Name of the SSB index file (Enter if none) -->ssb.txt
No of years for separable constraint ?--> 6
Reference age for separable constraint ?--> 4
Constant selection pattern model (Y/N) ?-->y
S to be fixed on last age ?--> 1.000000000000000
First age for calculation of reference $F$ ?--> 2
Last age for calculation of reference $F$ ?--> 6
Use default weighting (Y/N) ?-->n
Enter relative weights at age
Weight for age 1--> 0.100000000000000
Weight for age 2--> 1.000000000000000
Weight for age 3--> 1.000000000000000
Weight for age 4--> 1.000000000000000
Weight for age 5--> 1.000000000000000
Weight for age 6--> 1.000000000000000
Weight for age 7--> 1.000000000000000
Weight for age 8--> 1.000000000000000
Enter relative weights by year
Weight for year 2000--> 1.000000000000000
Weight for year 2001--> 1.000000000000000
Weight for year 2002--> 1.000000000000000
Weight for year 2003--> 1.000000000000000
Weight for year 2004--> 1.000000000000000
Weight for year 2005--> 1.000000000000000
Enter new weights for specified years and ages if needed
Enter year, age, new weight or -1,-1,-1 to end. -1 -1 -1. 000000000000000
Is the last age of FLT01: Northern Ireland acoustic surveys a plus-group (Y-->y
You must choose a catchability model for each index.
Models: A Absolute: Index = Abundance . e
L Linear: Index $=\mathrm{Q}$. Abundance . e
$P$ Power: Index $=Q$. Abundance^ K .e
where Q and K are parameters to be estimated, and e is a lognormally-distributed error.

## Table 7.5.1 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). ICA run log. Continued.

Model for NINEL is to be A/L/P ?-->L
Model for FLT01: Northern Ireland acoustic surveys is to be A/L/P ?-->L
Fit a stock-recruit relationship (Y/N) ?-->n
Enter lowest feasible F--> $5.0000000000000003 \mathrm{E}-02$
Enter highest feasible F--> 2.000000000000000
Mapping the F-dimension of the SSQ surface

| F | SSQ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.05 | 35.3895130929 |
| 0.15 | 21.2555150480 |
| 0.26 | 18.4734517107 |
| 0.36 | 17.5258116407 |
| 0.46 | 17.2019672374 |
| 0.56 | 17.1788897908 |
| 0.67 | 17.3365330547 |
| 0.77 | 17.6269343828 |
| 0.87 | 18.0427114103 |
| 0.97 | 18.6260747316 |
| 1.08 | 19.3455773597 |
| 1.18 | 19.9492333753 |
| 1.28 | 20.2107748791 |
| 1.38 | 20.4680243281 |
| 1.49 | 20.7195787812 |
| 1.59 | 20.9645945940 |
| 1.69 | 21.2025938681 |
| 1.79 | 21.4333405545 |
| 1.90 | 21.6567600715 |
| 2.00 | 21.8729083158 |

Lowest SSQ is for $F=0.519$

No of years for separable analysis : 6
Age range in the analysis : 1 . . . 8
Year range in the analysis : 1961 . . . 2005
Number of indices of SSB : 1
Number of age-structured indices : 1
Parameters to estimate : 32
Number of observations : 151
Conventional single selection vector model to be fitted.


Table 7.5.2 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Catch number-at-age (millions). N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

Output Generated by ICA Version 1.4

| Herring Irish Sea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch in Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGE | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 |
| 1 | 4.54 | 0.38 | 4.84 | 1.51 | 0.85 | 0.94 | 4.44 | 1.02 |
| 2 | 11.47 | 12.30 | 9.44 | 18.10 | 27.08 | 15.05 | 40.92 | 30.18 |
| 3 | 2.63 | 7.34 | 2.34 | 4.35 | 8.18 | 15.64 | 5.60 | 13.46 |
| 4 | 12.43 | 1.81 | 2.89 | 0.71 | 0.99 | 2.00 | 4.63 | 4.08 |
| 5 | 0.24 | 5.43 | 2.26 | 0.53 | 0.71 | 0.12 | 1.35 | 0.82 |
| 6 | 0.48 | 0.19 | 2.26 | 0.71 | 0.99 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.61 |
| 7 | 1.20 | 0.19 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 8 | 2.15 | 0.67 | 0.62 | 0.18 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $x 10 \wedge 6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| 1 | 1.32 | 5.61 | 12.17 | 40.64 | 42.15 | 43.25 | 33.33 | 34.74 |
| 2 | 42.80 | 31.18 | 66.92 | 46.66 | 32.74 | 109.55 | 48.24 | 56.16 |
| 3 | 16.91 | 33.63 | 31.94 | 26.95 | 38.24 | 39.75 | 39.41 | 20.78 |
| 4 | 12.68 | 16.47 | 29.41 | 13.18 | 11.49 | 24.51 | 10.84 | 15.22 |
| 5 | 1.32 | 12.61 | 5.07 | 13.75 | 6.92 | 10.65 | 7.87 | 4.58 |
| 6 | 2.64 | 1.75 | 3.55 | 6.76 | 5.07 | 4.99 | 4.21 | 2.81 |
| 7 | 0.53 | 2.10 | 1.01 | 2.66 | 2.59 | 5.15 | 2.09 | 2.42 |
| 8 | 0.00 | 1.05 | 1.01 | 1.67 | 2.60 | 1.63 | 1.64 | 1.27 |
| $x 10 \wedge 6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGE | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |
| 1 | 30.28 | 15.54 | 11.77 | 5.84 | 5.05 | 5.10 | 1.31 | 1.17 |
| 2 | 39.04 | 36.95 | 38.27 | 25.76 | 15.79 | 16.03 | 12.16 | 8.42 |
| 3 | 22.69 | 13.41 | 23.49 | 19.51 | 3.20 | 5.67 | 5.60 | 7.24 |
| 4 | 6.75 | 6.78 | 4.25 | 8.52 | 2.79 | 2.15 | 2.82 | 3.84 |
| 5 | 4.52 | 1.74 | 2.20 | 1.98 | 2.30 | 0.33 | 0.45 | 2.22 |
| 6 | 1.46 | 1.34 | 1.05 | 0.91 | 0.33 | 1.11 | 0.48 | 0.38 |
| 7 | 0.91 | 0.67 | 0.40 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.14 | 0.26 | 0.23 |
| 8 | 1.12 | 0.35 | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 0.48 |


| AGE | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.43 | 4.49 | 2.23 | 2.61 | 1.16 | 2.31 | 2.00 | 12.15 |
| 2 | 10.05 | 15.27 | 12.98 | 21.25 | 6.39 | 12.84 | 9.75 | 6.89 |
| 3 | 17.34 | 7.46 | 6.15 | 13.34 | 12.04 | 5.73 | 6.74 | 6.74 |
| 4 | 13.29 | 8.55 | 3.00 | 7.16 | 4.71 | 9.70 | 2.83 | 6.69 |
| 5 | 7.21 | 4.53 | 4.18 | 4.61 | 1.88 | 3.60 | 5.07 | 3.26 |
| 6 | 2.65 | 3.20 | 2.78 | 5.08 | 1.25 | 1.66 | 1.49 | 5.12 |
| 7 | 0.67 | 1.46 | 2.33 | 3.23 | 1.56 | 1.04 | 0.72 | 1.04 |
| 8 | 0.72 | 0.88 | 1.67 | 4.21 | 1.96 | 1.62 | 0.81 | 0.39 |

Table 7.5.2 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Catch number-at-age (millions). Continued.

| Catch in Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 1 | 0.65 | 1.97 | 3.20 | 5.34 | 9.55 | 3.07 | 1.81 | 1.22 |
| 2 | 14.64 | 7.00 | 21.33 | 17.53 | 21.39 | 11.88 | 16.93 | 3.74 |
| 3 | 3.01 | 12.17 | 3.39 | 9.76 | 7.56 | 3.88 | 5.94 | 5.87 |
| 4 | 3.02 | 1.83 | 5.27 | 1.16 | 7.34 | 4.45 | 1.57 | 2.07 |
| 5 | 2.90 | 2.57 | 1.20 | 3.60 | 1.64 | 6.67 | 1.48 | 0.56 |
| 6 | 1.61 | 2.10 | 1.15 | 0.78 | 2.28 | 1.03 | 1.99 | 0.35 |
| 7 | 2.18 | 1.28 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.84 | 2.05 | 0.44 | 0.25 |
| 8 | 0.85 | 1.99 | 1.45 | 1.36 | 1.43 | 0.45 | 0.62 | 0.15 |


| AGE | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.71 | 0.18 | 0.69 | 3.23 | 8.69 |
| 2 | 11.47 | 9.02 | 4.69 | 8.83 | 13.98 |
| 3 | 7.15 | 1.89 | 3.35 | 5.41 | 10.56 |
| 4 | 13.05 | 1.87 | 2.56 | 2.16 | 3.29 |
| 5 | 3.39 | 2.40 | 0.88 | 0.62 | 1.42 |
| 6 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 2.95 | 0.21 | 0.41 |
| 7 | 0.65 | 0.47 | 0.87 | 0.67 | 0.29 |
| 8 | 0.80 | 0.34 | 0.61 | 0.06 | 0.32 |

Table 7.5.3 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Weight in the catch (kg). N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| AGE | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.08200 | 0.06700 | 0.06700 | 0.07800 | 0.06500 | 0.09200 | 0.09300 | 0.09100 |
| 2 | 0.12300 | 0.12500 | 0.13100 | 0.12900 | 0.13200 | 0.14000 | 0.14900 | 0.15300 |
| 3 | 0.17800 | 0.15200 | 0.18400 | 0.15600 | 0.17600 | 0.18500 | 0.18000 | 0.19600 |
| 4 | 0.19800 | 0.17700 | 0.20800 | 0.17100 | 0.19200 | 0.21800 | 0.19900 | 0.23100 |
| 5 | 0.23200 | 0.19900 | 0.22800 | 0.22600 | 0.21000 | 0.25800 | 0.22300 | 0.24600 |
| 6 | 0.22600 | 0.21400 | 0.23400 | 0.24000 | 0.23000 | 0.25300 | 0.24300 | 0.26900 |
| 7 | 0.25300 | 0.27500 | 0.26600 | 0.00000 | 0.27200 | 0.22500 | 0.22700 | 0.23400 |
| 8 | 0.24800 | 0.25100 | 0.25800 | 0.29600 | 0.26500 | 0.26400 | 0.27500 | 0.26400 |

Table 7.5.3
Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Weight in the catch (kg). Continued.

| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.07400 | 0.10100 | 0.10800 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 |
| 2 | 0.15200 | 0.16200 | 0.15800 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 |
| 3 | 0.20400 | 0.20600 | 0.18900 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 |
| 4 | 0.23100 | 0.22500 | 0.21400 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 |
| 5 | 0.25400 | 0.24500 | 0.22500 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 |
| 6 | 0.26600 | 0.25100 | 0.26600 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 |
| 7 | 0.23900 | 0.26900 | 0.24100 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 |
| 8 | 0.27000 | 0.25800 | 0.24100 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 |
| AGE | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |
| 1 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 | 0.07600 |
| 2 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.14200 |
| 3 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 | 0.18700 |
| 4 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 | 0.21300 |
| 5 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 | 0.22100 |
| 6 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.24300 |
| 7 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.24000 |
| 8 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 | 0.27300 |
| AGE | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 |
| 1 | 0.08700 | 0.06800 | 0.05800 | 0.07000 | 0.08100 | 0.09600 | 0.07300 | 0.06200 |
| 2 | 0.12500 | 0.14300 | 0.13000 | 0.12400 | 0.12800 | 0.14000 | 0.12300 | 0.11400 |
| 3 | 0.15700 | 0.16700 | 0.16000 | 0.16000 | 0.15500 | 0.16600 | 0.15500 | 0.14000 |
| 4 | 0.18600 | 0.18800 | 0.17500 | 0.17000 | 0.17400 | 0.17500 | 0.17100 | 0.15500 |
| 5 | 0.20200 | 0.21500 | 0.19400 | 0.18000 | 0.18400 | 0.18700 | 0.18100 | 0.16500 |
| 6 | 0.20900 | 0.22800 | 0.21000 | 0.19800 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 | 0.19000 | 0.17400 |
| 7 | 0.22200 | 0.23900 | 0.21800 | 0.21200 | 0.20500 | 0.20700 | 0.19800 | 0.18100 |
| 8 | 0.25800 | 0.25400 | 0.22900 | 0.23200 | 0.21800 | 0.21800 | 0.21700 | 0.19700 |
| AGE | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 1 | 0.08900 | 0.07000 | 0.07500 | 0.06700 | 0.06400 | 0.08000 | 0.06900 | 0.06400 |
| 2 | 0.12700 | 0.12300 | 0.12100 | 0.11600 | 0.11800 | 0.12300 | 0.12000 | 0.12000 |
| 3 | 0.15700 | 0.15300 | 0.14600 | 0.14800 | 0.14600 | 0.14800 | 0.14500 | 0.14800 |
| 4 | 0.17100 | 0.17000 | 0.16400 | 0.16200 | 0.16500 | 0.16300 | 0.16700 | 0.16800 |
| 5 | 0.18200 | 0.18000 | 0.17600 | 0.17700 | 0.17600 | 0.18100 | 0.17600 | 0.18800 |
| 6 | 0.19100 | 0.18900 | 0.18100 | 0.19900 | 0.18800 | 0.17700 | 0.18800 | 0.20400 |
| 7 | 0.19800 | 0.20200 | 0.19300 | 0.20000 | 0.20400 | 0.18800 | 0.19000 | 0.20000 |
| 8 | 0.21200 | 0.21200 | 0.20700 | 0.21400 | 0.21600 | 0.22200 | 0.21000 | 0.21300 |
| AGE | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.06700 | 0.08500 | 0.08100 | 0.07300 | 0.06700 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.10600 | 0.11300 | 0.11600 | 0.10700 | 0.10300 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.13900 | 0.14400 | 0.13600 | 0.13000 | 0.13600 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0.15600 | 0.16700 | 0.16000 | 0.15700 | 0.15600 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0.16800 | 0.18000 | 0.16700 | 0.16500 | 0.16600 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0.18500 | 0.18400 | 0.17200 | 0.18700 | 0.18000 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 0.19800 | 0.19100 | 0.18600 | 0.20000 | 0.19100 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0.20500 | 0.21700 | 0.19900 | 0.20500 | 0.21900 |  |  |  |

Table 7.5.4 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Weight in the stock (kg). N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| AGE | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.08200 | 0.06700 | 0.06700 | 0.07800 | 0.06500 | 0.09200 | 0.09300 | 0.09100 |
| 2 | 0.12300 | 0.12500 | 0.13100 | 0.12900 | 0.13200 | 0.14000 | 0.14900 | 0.15300 |
| 3 | 0.17800 | 0.15200 | 0.18400 | 0.15600 | 0.17600 | 0.18500 | 0.18000 | 0.19600 |
| 4 | 0.19800 | 0.17700 | 0.20800 | 0.17100 | 0.19200 | 0.21800 | 0.19900 | 0.23100 |
| 5 | 0.23200 | 0.19900 | 0.22800 | 0.22600 | 0.21000 | 0.25800 | 0.22300 | 0.24600 |
| 6 | 0.22600 | 0.21400 | 0.23400 | 0.24000 | 0.23000 | 0.25300 | 0.24300 | 0.26900 |
| 7 | 0.25300 | 0.27500 | 0.26600 | 0.00000 | 0.27200 | 0.22500 | 0.22700 | 0.23400 |
| 8 | 0.24800 | 0.25100 | 0.25800 | 0.29600 | 0.26500 | 0.26400 | 0.27500 | 0.26400 |
| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| 1 | 0.07400 | 0.10100 | 0.10800 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 |
| 2 | 0.15200 | 0.16200 | 0.15800 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 |
| 3 | 0.20400 | 0.20600 | 0.18900 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 |
| 4 | 0.23100 | 0.22500 | 0.21400 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 |
| 5 | 0.25400 | 0.24500 | 0.22500 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 |
| 6 | 0.26600 | 0.25100 | 0.26600 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 |
| 7 | 0.23900 | 0.26900 | 0.24100 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 |
| 8 | 0.27000 | 0.25800 | 0.24100 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 |
| AGE | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |
| 1 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 | 0.07400 | 0.07600 |
| 2 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.15500 | 0.14200 |
| 3 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 | 0.19500 | 0.18700 |
| 4 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 | 0.21900 | 0.21300 |
| 5 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 | 0.23200 | 0.22100 |
| 6 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.25100 | 0.24300 |
| 7 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.25800 | 0.24000 |
| 8 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 | 0.27800 | 0.27300 |
| AGE | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 |
| 1 | 0.08700 | 0.06800 | 0.05800 | 0.07000 | 0.08100 | 0.07700 | 0.07000 | 0.06100 |
| 2 | 0.12500 | 0.14300 | 0.13000 | 0.12400 | 0.12800 | 0.13500 | 0.12100 | 0.11100 |
| 3 | 0.15700 | 0.16700 | 0.16000 | 0.16000 | 0.15500 | 0.16300 | 0.15300 | 0.13600 |
| 4 | 0.18600 | 0.18800 | 0.17500 | 0.17000 | 0.17400 | 0.17500 | 0.16700 | 0.15100 |
| 5 | 0.20200 | 0.21500 | 0.19400 | 0.18000 | 0.18400 | 0.18800 | 0.18000 | 0.15900 |
| 6 | 0.20900 | 0.22900 | 0.21000 | 0.19800 | 0.19500 | 0.19600 | 0.18900 | 0.17100 |
| 7 | 0.22200 | 0.23900 | 0.21800 | 0.21200 | 0.20500 | 0.20700 | 0.19500 | 0.17900 |
| 8 | 0.25800 | 0.25400 | 0.22900 | 0.23200 | 0.21800 | 0.21700 | 0.21400 | 0.19100 |
| AGE | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 1 | 0.08800 | 0.07300 | 0.07200 | 0.06700 | 0.06300 | 0.07300 | 0.06800 | 0.06300 |
| 2 | 0.12600 | 0.12600 | 0.12000 | 0.11500 | 0.11900 | 0.12100 | 0.12100 | 0.12000 |
| 3 | 0.15700 | 0.15400 | 0.14700 | 0.14800 | 0.14800 | 0.15000 | 0.14500 | 0.14900 |
| 4 | 0.17100 | 0.17400 | 0.16800 | 0.16200 | 0.16700 | 0.16600 | 0.16800 | 0.17100 |
| 5 | 0.18300 | 0.18100 | 0.18000 | 0.17700 | 0.17800 | 0.17900 | 0.17800 | 0.18800 |
| 6 | 0.19100 | 0.19000 | 0.18500 | 0.19500 | 0.18900 | 0.19000 | 0.18900 | 0.20400 |
| 7 | 0.19800 | 0.20300 | 0.19700 | 0.19900 | 0.20600 | 0.20000 | 0.19900 | 0.20500 |
| 8 | 0.21400 | 0.21400 | 0.21200 | 0.21200 | 0.21400 | 0.23000 | 0.21400 | 0.21500 |

Table 7.5.4 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Weight in the stock (kg). Continued.

| AGE | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.06600 | 0.08500 | 0.08100 | 0.06700 | 0.06700 |
| 2 | 0.10500 | 0.11300 | 0.11600 | 0.11400 | 0.10300 |
| 3 | 0.13900 | 0.14400 | 0.13600 | 0.14400 | 0.13600 |
| 4 | 0.15600 | 0.16700 | 0.16000 | 0.16100 | 0.15600 |
| 5 | 0.16700 | 0.18000 | 0.16700 | 0.17000 | 0.16600 |
| 6 | 0.18300 | 0.18400 | 0.17200 | 0.19200 | 0.18000 |
| 7 | 0.19900 | 0.19100 | 0.18600 | 0.20200 | 0.19100 |
| 8 | 0.20500 | 0.21700 | 0.19900 | 0.20500 | 0.20700 |

Table 7.5.5
Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Natural mortality. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| Natural Mortality (per year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 |
| 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 2 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 |
| 3 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 |
| 4 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 5 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 6 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 7 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 8 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 2 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 |
| 3 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 |
| 4 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 5 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 6 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 7 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 8 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| AGE | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |
| 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 2 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 |
| 3 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 |
| 4 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 5 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 6 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 7 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 8 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |

Table 7.5.5 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Natural mortality. Continued.

| AGE | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 2 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 |
| 3 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 |
| 4 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 5 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 6 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 7 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 8 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| AGE | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 2 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 |
| 3 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 |
| 4 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 5 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 6 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 7 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 8 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| AGE | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 | 0.3000 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.2000 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.0000 |  |  |  |

Table 7.5.6 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Proportion mature. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| AGE | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0200 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.2200 | 0.2400 | 0.3400 | 0.5300 | 0.6100 | 0.4700 | 0.3700 | 0.8800 |
| 3 | 0.6300 | 0.8300 | 0.8800 | 0.8100 | 0.9000 | 0.9100 | 0.7500 | 0.9400 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 0.9200 | 0.8900 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.8300 | 0.9400 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 8 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |

Table 7.5.6 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Proportion mature. Continued.

| Proportion of fish spawning |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0200 | 0.1500 | 0.1100 | 0.1200 | 0.3600 | 0.4000 | 0.0700 |
| 2 | 0.7100 | 0.9200 | 0.8700 | 0.8800 | 0.7700 | 0.9900 | 0.9900 | 0.9600 |
| 3 | 0.9200 | 0.9400 | 0.9700 | 0.9000 | 0.8900 | 0.9600 | 1.0000 | 0.9800 |
| 4 | 0.9400 | 0.9600 | 0.9800 | 1.0000 | 0.9700 | 1.0000 | 0.9400 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 8 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| AGE | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |
| 1 | 0.0300 | 0.0400 | 0.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.1900 | 0.1000 | 0.0200 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.9200 | 0.8100 | 0.8400 | 0.8800 | 0.8900 | 0.8000 | 0.7300 | 0.6900 |
| 3 | 0.9600 | 0.8800 | 0.8100 | 0.9500 | 0.9000 | 0.8900 | 0.8800 | 0.8300 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 0.9100 | 0.7800 | 0.9500 | 0.9400 | 0.9100 | 0.9000 | 0.9300 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 8 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| AGE | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 |
| 1 | 0.1400 | 0.3100 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0700 | 0.0600 | 0.0400 | 0.2800 |
| 2 | 0.6200 | 0.7300 | 0.8500 | 0.9000 | 0.6300 | 0.6600 | 0.3000 | 0.4800 |
| 3 | 0.7100 | 0.6600 | 0.9100 | 0.9600 | 0.9300 | 0.9000 | 0.7400 | 0.7200 |
| 4 | 0.8800 | 0.8100 | 0.8700 | 0.9900 | 0.9500 | 0.9500 | 0.8200 | 0.8100 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 8 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| AGE | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.1900 | 0.1000 | 0.0200 | 0.0400 | 0.3000 | 0.0200 | 0.1400 |
| 2 | 0.4600 | 0.6800 | 0.8600 | 0.6000 | 0.8200 | 0.8300 | 0.8400 | 0.7900 |
| 3 | 0.9900 | 0.9900 | 0.9400 | 0.9600 | 0.9500 | 0.9700 | 0.9500 | 0.9900 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 0.9700 | 0.9900 | 0.8300 | 1.0000 | 0.9900 | 0.9700 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 8 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| AGE | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.1500 | 0.0200 | 0.1100 | 0.1140 | 0.2000 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.5400 | 0.9200 | 0.7600 | 1.0000 | 0.9700 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.8800 | 0.9500 | 0.9500 | 0.9700 | 0.9900 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0.9700 | 0.9800 | 0.9700 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |  |

Table 7.5.7 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Indices of spawning biomass.


Table 7.5.8 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Tuning indices. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| AGE-STRUCTURED INDICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLT01: Northern Ireland acoustic surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGE | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| 1 | 66.83 | 319.12 | 11.34 | 134.15 | 110.44 | 157.76 | 78.52 | 387.56 |
| 2 | 68.29 | 82.26 | 42.37 | 49.98 | 27.31 | 77.72 | 103.44 | 93.40 |
| 3 | 73.53 | 11.94 | 67.47 | 14.81 | 8.08 | 34.02 | 105.29 | 10.19 |
| 4 | 11.86 | 29.25 | 8.95 | 10.98 | 9.27 | 5.11 | 27.54 | 17.49 |
| 5 | 9.30 | 4.57 | 26.47 | 1.75 | 6.48 | 10.26 | 8.07 | 7.70 |
| 6 | 7.55 | 3.50 | 4.17 | 4.55 | 1.78 | 13.52 | 5.43 | 1.37 |
| 7 | 3.87 | 4.89 | 5.91 | 0.57 | 2.25 | 1.59 | 4.90 | 0.63 |
| 8 | 10.12 | 6.89 | 5.82 | 1.91 | 0.78 | 6.29 | 2.36 | 2.26 |
| $x 10 \wedge 3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FLT01: Northern Ireland acoustic surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGE | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 390.98 | 349.22 | 241.01 | 94.33 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 71.94 | 220.01 | 115.53 | 109.94 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 31.70 | 31.98 | 29.59 | 97.11 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 24.80 | 4.74 | 15.40 | 17.02 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 31.28 | 3.92 | 2.07 | 8.03 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 14.83 | 4.09 | 2.30 | 0.81 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 2.76 | 0.98 | 0.24 | 0.61 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 4.46 | 0.91 | 0.24 | 0.58 |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.5.9 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Fishing mortality (per year). N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| Fishing Mortality (per year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 |
| 1 | 0.1156 | 0.0115 | 0.0620 | 0.0109 | 0.0111 | 0.0041 | 0.0203 | 0.0029 |
| 2 | 0.5167 | 1.0475 | 0.8176 | 0.6372 | 0.4904 | 0.4976 | 0.4345 | 0.3217 |
| 3 | 0.3196 | 0.8134 | 0.6168 | 1.3774 | 0.7346 | 0.6369 | 0.3718 | 0.2637 |
| 4 | 0.7896 | 0.3603 | 0.8631 | 0.3611 | 1.5646 | 0.3727 | 0.3701 | 0.4823 |
| 5 | 0.1748 | 0.8690 | 0.9071 | 0.3289 | 0.6467 | 0.6990 | 0.4113 | 0.0914 |
| 6 | 0.8028 | 0.1847 | 1.0122 | 0.7195 | 1.5646 | 0.6990 | 0.2775 | 0.2944 |
| 7 | 0.6209 | 0.7854 | 1.0122 | 0.3611 | 1.1746 | 0.6990 | 0.3701 | 0.4823 |
| 8 | 0.6209 | 0.7854 | 1.0122 | 0.3611 | 1.1746 | 0.6990 | 0.3701 | 0.4823 |
| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| 1 | 0.0056 | 0.0186 | 0.0393 | 0.1666 | 0.1044 | 0.2143 | 0.1527 | 0.2304 |
| 2 | 0.2748 | 0.3031 | 0.5810 | 0.3626 | 0.3452 | 0.8260 | 0.7539 | 0.7961 |
| 3 | 0.3206 | 0.3855 | 0.6261 | 0.5285 | 0.6169 | 1.0189 | 0.9102 | 0.9816 |
| 4 | 0.4020 | 0.5593 | 0.6518 | 0.5451 | 0.4265 | 1.0144 | 0.8370 | 1.1117 |
| 5 | 0.2513 | 0.7811 | 0.2951 | 0.6442 | 0.5456 | 0.7836 | 0.9780 | 0.9440 |
| 6 | 0.4180 | 0.5410 | 0.4607 | 0.7016 | 0.4606 | 0.8601 | 0.7336 | 1.0622 |
| 7 | 0.3946 | 0.6081 | 0.6139 | 0.6616 | 0.5641 | 1.0603 | 0.9954 | 1.1564 |
| 8 | 0.3946 | 0.6081 | 0.6139 | 0.6616 | 0.5641 | 1.0603 | 0.9954 | 1.1564 |
| AGE | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |
| 1 | 0.1594 | 0.1049 | 0.1464 | 0.0646 | 0.0400 | 0.0376 | 0.0094 | 0.0149 |
| 2 | 0.8624 | 0.5435 | 0.7682 | 1.1264 | 0.4414 | 0.2966 | 0.2004 | 0.1294 |
| 3 | 1.0066 | 0.9379 | 0.8915 | 1.4084 | 0.4156 | 0.2983 | 0.1695 | 0.1866 |
| 4 | 1.0091 | 0.9386 | 0.8624 | 0.9449 | 0.7392 | 0.5172 | 0.2253 | 0.1597 |
| 5 | 1.1070 | 0.6889 | 0.8180 | 1.2136 | 0.6355 | 0.1552 | 0.1689 | 0.2483 |
| 6 | 0.8071 | 1.0897 | 1.0764 | 0.8638 | 0.5762 | 0.6417 | 0.3170 | 0.1907 |
| 7 | 1.1302 | 0.9906 | 1.0532 | 1.3149 | 0.6618 | 0.4552 | 0.2602 | 0.2172 |
| 8 | 1.1302 | 0.9906 | 1.0532 | 1.3149 | 0.6618 | 0.4552 | 0.2602 | 0.2172 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGE | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 |
| 1 | 0.0273 | 0.0437 | 0.0137 | 0.0396 | 0.0128 | 0.0333 | 0.0487 | 0.1033 |
| 2 | 0.2951 | 0.4195 | 0.2958 | 0.3005 | 0.2188 | 0.3318 | 0.3318 | 0.4147 |
| 3 | 0.4528 | 0.3978 | 0.3166 | 0.6051 | 0.2959 | 0.3316 | 0.3103 | 0.4315 |
| 4 | 0.5750 | 0.4004 | 0.2605 | 0.7020 | 0.4208 | 0.3904 | 0.2576 | 0.5451 |
| 5 | 0.4435 | 0.3471 | 0.3096 | 0.7009 | 0.3504 | 0.5821 | 0.3230 | 0.4661 |
| 6 | 0.4638 | 0.3202 | 0.3303 | 0.6663 | 0.3659 | 0.5277 | 0.4503 | 0.5534 |
| 7 | 0.5215 | 0.4466 | 0.3618 | 0.6970 | 0.3881 | 0.5190 | 0.4047 | 0.5723 |
| 8 | 0.5215 | 0.4466 | 0.3618 | 0.6970 | 0.3881 | 0.5190 | 0.4047 | 0.5723 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGE | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 1 | 0.0164 | 0.0158 | 0.0399 | 0.0759 | 0.1246 | 0.0243 | 0.0483 | 0.0096 |
| 2 | 0.3024 | 0.4359 | 0.4162 | 0.5765 | 0.9626 | 0.3982 | 0.3127 | 0.1705 |
| 3 | 0.3428 | 0.4741 | 0.4183 | 0.3642 | 0.5708 | 0.4833 | 0.3792 | 0.2137 |
| 4 | 0.3313 | 0.3418 | 0.3668 | 0.2328 | 0.4863 | 0.7521 | 0.3476 | 0.2284 |
| 5 | 0.4276 | 0.4602 | 0.3506 | 0.4077 | 0.5257 | 0.9855 | 0.5312 | 0.1895 |
| 6 | 0.3914 | 0.5567 | 0.3435 | 0.3593 | 0.4340 | 0.6526 | 0.8071 | 0.1713 |
| 7 | 0.4280 | 0.5460 | 0.4504 | 0.4730 | 0.7197 | 0.7721 | 0.5777 | 0.2284 |
| 8 | 0.4280 | 0.5460 | 0.4504 | 0.4730 | 0.7197 | 0.7721 | 0.5777 | 0.2284 |

Table 7.5.9 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Fishing mortality (per year). Continued.

| AGE | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0347 | 0.0179 | 0.0222 | 0.0128 | 0.0168 |
| 2 | 0.6146 | 0.3182 | 0.3943 | 0.2268 | 0.2982 |
| 3 | 0.7703 | 0.3988 | 0.4941 | 0.2842 | 0.3737 |
| 4 | 0.8233 | 0.4262 | 0.5281 | 0.3038 | 0.3994 |
| 5 | 0.6829 | 0.3536 | 0.4381 | 0.2520 | 0.3313 |
| 6 | 0.6173 | 0.3196 | 0.3960 | 0.2278 | 0.2995 |
| 7 | 0.8233 | 0.4262 | 0.5281 | 0.3038 | 0.3994 |
| 8 | 0.8233 | 0.4262 | 0.5281 | 0.3038 | 0.3994 |

Table 7.5.10 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Population abundance ( 1 January, millions). N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| Population Abundance (1 January) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 |
| 1 | 65.21 | 52.73 | 126.54 | 219.51 | 120.64 | 362.94 | 349.49 | 558.27 |
| 2 | 32.49 | 21.37 | 19.18 | 43.75 | 79.88 | 43.89 | 132.97 | 125.99 |
| 3 | 10.55 | 14.36 | 5.55 | 6.27 | 17.14 | 36.24 | 19.77 | 63.79 |
| 4 | 23.76 | 6.27 | 5.21 | 2.45 | 1.30 | 6.73 | 15.69 | 11.16 |
| 5 | 1.56 | 9.76 | 3.96 | 1.99 | 1.55 | 0.25 | 4.20 | 9.81 |
| 6 | 0.90 | 1.19 | 3.70 | 1.45 | 1.30 | 0.73 | 0.11 | 2.52 |
| 7 | 2.70 | 0.37 | 0.89 | 1.22 | 0.64 | 0.25 | 0.33 | 0.08 |
| 8 | 4.86 | 1.28 | 1.02 | 0.61 | 1.06 | 0.47 | 0.32 | 0.41 |
| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| 1 | 374.48 | 479.87 | 497.53 | 413.25 | 666.94 | 348.64 | 367.76 | 262.06 |
| 2 | 204.78 | 136.99 | 173.28 | 175.97 | 128.69 | 221.03 | 103.52 | 116.14 |
| 3 | 67.66 | 115.26 | 74.95 | 71.80 | 90.71 | 67.50 | 71.69 | 36.08 |
| 4 | 40.12 | 40.20 | 64.18 | 32.81 | 34.65 | 40.07 | 19.95 | 23.62 |
| 5 | 6.23 | 24.29 | 20.79 | 30.26 | 17.21 | 20.47 | 13.15 | 7.82 |
| 6 | 8.10 | 4.39 | 10.06 | 14.01 | 14.38 | 9.03 | 8.46 | 4.47 |
| 7 | 1.70 | 4.82 | 2.31 | 5.74 | 6.28 | 8.21 | 3.46 | 3.68 |
| 8 | 0.27 | 2.41 | 2.31 | 3.61 | 6.31 | 2.60 | 2.71 | 1.93 |
| AGE | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |
| 1 | 320.84 | 244.75 | 135.10 | 146.90 | 203.02 | 217.74 | 219.77 | 124.68 |
| 2 | 76.56 | 100.64 | 81.07 | 42.93 | 50.66 | 71.76 | 77.14 | 80.09 |
| 3 | 38.81 | 23.94 | 43.29 | 27.86 | 10.31 | 24.14 | 39.51 | 46.77 |
| 4 | 11.07 | 11.61 | 7.67 | 14.53 | 5.58 | 5.57 | 14.67 | 27.31 |
| 5 | 7.03 | 3.65 | 4.11 | 2.93 | 5.11 | 2.41 | 3.01 | 10.59 |
| 6 | 2.75 | 2.10 | 1.66 | 1.64 | 0.79 | 2.45 | 1.87 | 2.30 |
| 7 | 1.40 | 1.11 | 0.64 | 0.51 | 0.63 | 0.40 | 1.17 | 1.23 |
| 8 | 1.72 | 0.58 | 0.46 | 0.33 | 0.52 | 1.09 | 0.27 | 2.57 |

Table 7.5.10 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Population abundance ( 1 January, millions). Continued.


Table 7.5.11 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Weighting factors in number. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| AGE | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| 2 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 3 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |

Table 7.5.12 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Predicted SSB Index values. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

Predicted SSB Index Values

Table 7.5.13 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Predicted age-structured Index values. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith)

| AGE | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 211.14 | 135.10 | 116.81 | 125.29 | 213.44 | 62.94 | 72.23 | 92.67 |
| 2 | 44.34 | 142.35 | 80.28 | 51.49 | 83.32 | 155.18 | 50.60 | 42.03 |
| 3 | 55.33 | 17.78 | 59.75 | 27.72 | 17.24 | 34.74 | 74.82 | 16.65 |
| 4 | 9.91 | 26.44 | 9.53 | 26.83 | 9.69 | 8.34 | 18.86 | 27.10 |
| 5 | 9.28 | 5.88 | 14.93 | 5.09 | 9.54 | 4.53 | 5.57 | 8.97 |
| 6 | 5.49 | 5.20 | 3.35 | 7.93 | 2.23 | 3.31 | 2.84 | 2.72 |
| 7 | 2.41 | 2.18 | 2.14 | 1.14 | 2.54 | 0.78 | 1.45 | 0.94 |
| 8 | 7.06 | 6.42 | 5.71 | 3.65 | 1.05 | 2.06 | 1.37 | 1.73 |
| AGE | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | ---- |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 89.08 | 157.08 | 186.71 | 545.27 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 66.93 | 61.02 | 121.87 | 137.63 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 16.36 | 26.11 | 27.34 | 53.25 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 7.07 | 7.06 | 13.02 | 13.37 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 14.22 | 3.84 | 4.30 | 7.91 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 4.84 | 7.87 | 2.36 | 2.63 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1.08 | 1.92 | 3.62 | 1.06 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1.59 | 2.23 | 0.40 | 1.66 |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.5.14 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Fitted selection pattern. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| AGE | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1464 | 0.0319 | 0.0719 | 0.0302 | 0.0071 | 0.0110 | 0.0548 | 0.0060 |
| 2 | 0.6544 | 2.9076 | 0.9473 | 1.7645 | 0.3135 | 1.3351 | 1.1741 | 0.6670 |
| 3 | 0.4048 | 2.2578 | 0.7147 | 3.8141 | 0.4695 | 1.7090 | 1.0048 | 0.5467 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 0.2213 | 2.4119 | 1.0511 | 0.9108 | 0.4133 | 1.8755 | 1.1115 | 0.1896 |
| 6 | 1.0168 | 0.5127 | 1.1728 | 1.9922 | 1.0000 | 1.8755 | 0.7498 | 0.6104 |
| 7 | 0.7864 | 2.1798 | 1.1728 | 1.0000 | 0.7507 | 1.8755 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 8 | 0.7864 | 2.1798 | 1.1728 | 1.0000 | 0.7507 | 1.8755 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| AGE | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| 1 | 0.0139 | 0.0333 | 0.0603 | 0.3057 | 0.2448 | 0.2112 | 0.1824 | 0.2073 |
| 2 | 0.6836 | 0.5419 | 0.8914 | 0.6653 | 0.8095 | 0.8142 | 0.9007 | 0.7160 |
| 3 | 0.7974 | 0.6893 | 0.9606 | 0.9696 | 1.4466 | 1.0044 | 1.0875 | 0.8829 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 0.6252 | 1.3967 | 0.4528 | 1.1818 | 1.2794 | 0.7725 | 1.1685 | 0.8491 |
| 6 | 1.0399 | 0.9673 | 0.7069 | 1.2872 | 1.0801 | 0.8479 | 0.8764 | 0.9554 |
| 7 | 0.9817 | 1.0873 | 0.9419 | 1.2138 | 1.3227 | 1.0452 | 1.1892 | 1.0401 |
| 8 | 0.9817 | 1.0873 | 0.9419 | 1.2138 | 1.3227 | 1.0452 | 1.1892 | 1.0401 |
| AGE | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |
| 1 | 0.1580 | 0.1118 | 0.1698 | 0.0684 | 0.0541 | 0.0728 | 0.0419 | 0.0934 |
| 2 | 0.8547 | 0.5791 | 0.8908 | 1.1921 | 0.5971 | 0.5735 | 0.8896 | 0.8101 |
| 3 | 0.9975 | 0.9992 | 1.0338 | 1.4906 | 0.5623 | 0.5767 | 0.7523 | 1.1681 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 1.0971 | 0.7339 | 0.9484 | 1.2844 | 0.8597 | 0.3000 | 0.7497 | 1.5546 |
| 6 | 0.7998 | 1.1610 | 1.2481 | 0.9142 | 0.7795 | 1.2406 | 1.4075 | 1.1939 |
| 7 | 1.1201 | 1.0554 | 1.2212 | 1.3915 | 0.8954 | 0.8802 | 1.1553 | 1.3600 |
| 8 | 1.1201 | 1.0554 | 1.2212 | 1.3915 | 0.8954 | 0.8802 | 1.1553 | 1.3600 |
| AGE | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 |
| 1 | 0.0474 | 0.1092 | 0.0524 | 0.0565 | 0.0304 | 0.0853 | 0.1889 | 0.1894 |
| 2 | 0.5132 | 1.0476 | 1.1353 | 0.4280 | 0.5200 | 0.8498 | 1.2882 | 0.7607 |
| 3 | 0.7874 | 0.9934 | 1.2153 | 0.8619 | 0.7033 | 0.8494 | 1.2047 | 0.7916 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 0.7712 | 0.8668 | 1.1886 | 0.9985 | 0.8328 | 1.4910 | 1.2539 | 0.8551 |
| 6 | 0.8065 | 0.7997 | 1.2680 | 0.9491 | 0.8695 | 1.3517 | 1.7483 | 1.0153 |
| 7 | 0.9069 | 1.1153 | 1.3887 | 0.9928 | 0.9224 | 1.3293 | 1.5713 | 1.0500 |
| 8 | 0.9069 | 1.1153 | 1.3887 | 0.9928 | 0.9224 | 1.3293 | 1.5713 | 1.0500 |
| AGE | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 1 | 0.0495 | 0.0463 | 0.1088 | 0.3261 | 0.2563 | 0.0323 | 0.1388 | 0.0421 |
| 2 | 0.9128 | 1.2753 | 1.1345 | 2.4761 | 1.9795 | 0.5294 | 0.8996 | 0.7466 |
| 3 | 1.0345 | 1.3869 | 1.1402 | 1.5641 | 1.1738 | 0.6426 | 1.0910 | 0.9356 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 1.2905 | 1.3463 | 0.9557 | 1.7510 | 1.0811 | 1.3104 | 1.5282 | 0.8295 |
| 6 | 1.1812 | 1.6286 | 0.9365 | 1.5434 | 0.8924 | 0.8677 | 2.3219 | 0.7498 |
| 7 | 1.2919 | 1.5971 | 1.2279 | 2.0315 | 1.4799 | 1.0266 | 1.6620 | 1.0000 |
| 8 | 1.2919 | 1.5971 | 1.2279 | 2.0315 | 1.4799 | 1.0266 | 1.6620 | 1.0000 |

Table 7.5.14 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Fitted selection pattern. Continued.

| AGE | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0421 | 0.0421 | 0.0421 | 0.0421 | 0.0421 |
| 2 | 0.7466 | 0.7466 | 0.7466 | 0.7466 | 0.7466 |
| 3 | 0.9356 | 0.9356 | 0.9356 | 0.9356 | 0.9356 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 0.8295 | 0.8295 | 0.8295 | 0.8295 | 0.8295 |
| 6 | 0.7498 | 0.7498 | 0.7498 | 0.7498 | 0.7498 |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 8 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |

Table 7.5.15 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Stock summary. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

STOCK SUMMARY

| 3 Year | 3 | Recruits | 3 | Total | 3 | Spawning ${ }^{3}$ | Landings | 3 | Yield | 3 | Mean F | 3 | SoP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 3 | Age 1 | 3 | Biomass | 3 | Biomass ${ }^{3}$ |  | 3 | /SSB | 3 | Ages | 3 |  |
| 3 | 3 | thousands | 3 | tonnes | 3 | tonnes 3 | tonnes | 3 | ratio | 3 | 2-6 | 3 | (\%) |
| 1961 |  | 65200 |  | 18381 |  | 4731 | 5710 |  | 1.2069 |  | 0.5207 |  | 99 |
| 1962 |  | 52730 |  | 12115 |  | 2851 | 4343 |  | 1.5230 |  | 0.6550 |  | 100 |
| 1963 |  | 126540 |  | 15367 |  | 2063 | 3947 |  | 1.9131 |  | 0.8434 |  | 100 |
| 1964 |  | 219500 |  | 25141 |  | 2424 | 3593 |  | 1.4818 |  | 0.6848 |  | 99 |
| 1965 |  | 120630 |  | 22727 |  | 4948 | 5923 |  | 1.1970 |  | 1.0002 |  | 99 |
| 1966 |  | 362930 |  | 48134 |  | 5618 | 5666 |  | 1.0084 |  | 0.5810 |  | 99 |
| 1967 |  | 349480 |  | 60121 |  | 8355 | 8721 |  | 1.0438 |  | 0.3730 |  | 99 |
| 1968 |  | 558270 |  | 88374 |  | 22194 | 8660 |  | 0.3902 |  | 0.2907 |  | 100 |
| 1969 |  | 374470 |  | 86125 |  | 30455 | 14141 |  | 0.4643 |  | 0.3333 |  | 99 |
| 1970 |  | 479860 |  | 112420 |  | 35702 | 20622 |  | 0.5776 |  | 0.5140 |  | 100 |
| 1971 |  | 497520 |  | 117481 |  | 34191 | 26807 |  | 0.7840 |  | 0.5229 |  | 100 |
| 1972 |  | 413250 |  | 92064 |  | 32672 | 27350 |  | 0.8371 |  | 0.5564 |  | 112 |
| 1973 |  | 666930 |  | 105555 |  | 30328 | 22600 |  | 0.7452 |  | 0.4790 |  | 100 |
| 1974 |  | 348630 |  | 91852 |  | 28271 | 38640 |  | 1.3667 |  | 0.9006 |  | 99 |
| 1975 |  | 367760 |  | 68428 |  | 20829 | 24500 |  | 1.1762 |  | 0.8426 |  | 102 |
| 1976 |  | 262050 |  | 54023 |  | 13086 | 21250 |  | 1.6239 |  | 0.9791 |  | 99 |
| 1977 |  | 320840 |  | 48764 |  | 8888 | 15410 |  | 1.7338 |  | 0.9584 |  | 95 |
| 1978 |  | 244750 |  | 42745 |  | 9717 | 11080 |  | 1.1402 |  | 0.8397 |  | 92 |
| 1979 |  | 135090 |  | 34350 |  | 8095 | 12338 |  | 1.5240 |  | 0.8833 |  | 92 |
| 1980 |  | 146890 |  | 27454 |  | 5565 | 10613 |  | 1.9070 |  | 1.1114 |  | 97 |
| 1981 |  | 203010 |  | 27796 |  | 7557 | 4377 |  | 0.5792 |  | 0.5616 |  | 90 |
| 1982 |  | 217730 |  | 34741 |  | 10600 | 4855 |  | 0.4580 |  | 0.3818 |  | 98 |
| 1983 |  | 219760 |  | 40678 |  | 14330 | 3933 |  | 0.2744 |  | 0.2162 |  | 98 |
| 1984 |  | 124670 |  | 39308 |  | 18141 | 4066 |  | 0.2241 |  | 0.1829 |  | 96 |
| 1985 |  | 142570 |  | 38827 |  | 13251 | 9187 |  | 0.6933 |  | 0.4460 |  | 102 |
| 1986 |  | 165430 |  | 35755 |  | 14169 | 7440 |  | 0.5251 |  | 0.3770 |  | 97 |
| 1987 |  | 259210 |  | 37420 |  | 13609 | 5823 |  | 0.4279 |  | 0.3026 |  | 103 |
| 1988 |  | 105770 |  | 34070 |  | 13755 | 10172 |  | 0.7395 |  | 0.5950 |  | 105 |
| 1989 |  | 143670 |  | 31409 |  | 11746 | 4949 |  | 0.4213 |  | 0.3304 |  | 100 |
| 1990 |  | 111430 |  | 28649 |  | 10697 | 6312 |  | 0.5900 |  | 0.4327 |  | 101 |
| 1991 |  | 66310 |  | 21137 |  | 7844 | 4398 |  | 0.5607 |  | 0.3346 |  | 100 |
| 1992 |  | 194180 |  | 24041 |  | 6919 | 5270 |  | 0.7617 |  | 0.4822 |  | 101 |
| 1993 |  | 62690 |  | 21772 |  | 7529 | 4409 |  | 0.5856 |  | 0.3591 |  | 101 |
| 1994 |  | 198220 |  | 27913 |  | 8436 | 4828 |  | 0.5722 |  | 0.4538 |  | 102 |
| 1995 |  | 129150 |  | 25479 |  | 9288 | 5076 |  | 0.5465 |  | 0.3791 |  | 99 |
| 1996 |  | 114720 |  | 22933 |  | 7693 | 5301 |  | 0.6890 |  | 0.3881 |  | 100 |
| 1997 |  | 127630 |  | 21845 |  | 6499 | 6651 |  | 1.0233 |  | 0.5959 |  | 100 |
| 1998 |  | 201660 |  | 26266 |  | 7383 | 4905 |  | 0.6643 |  | 0.6543 |  | 100 |
| 1999 |  | 60540 |  | 18710 |  | 7821 | 4127 |  | 0.5277 |  | 0.4756 |  | 99 |
| 2000 |  | 67490 |  | 16172 |  | 8465 | 2002 |  | 0.2365 |  | 0.1947 |  | 100 |
| 2001 |  | 88240 |  | 16783 |  | 4701 | 5461 |  | 1.1617 |  | 0.7017 |  | 99 |
| 2002 |  | 83760 |  | 15946 |  | 5386 | 2393 |  | 0.4442 |  | 0.3633 |  | 100 |
| 2003 |  | 148180 |  | 21072 |  | 5281 | 2399 |  | 0.4542 |  | 0.4501 |  | 99 |
| 2004 |  | 174890 |  | 23009 |  | 8164 | 2531 |  | 0.3100 |  | 0.2589 |  | 100 |
| 2005 |  | 512300 |  | 48342 |  | 11813 | 4387 |  | 0.3713 |  | 0.3404 |  | 99 |

No of years for separable analysis : 6
Age range in the analysis : 1 . . 8
Year range in the analysis : 1961 . . . 2005
Number of indices of SSB : 1
Number of age-structured indices : 1
Parameters to estimate : 32
Number of observations : 151
Conventional single selection vector model to be fitted.

Table 7.5.16 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Parameter estimates. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

PARAMETER ESTIMATES


Age-structured index catchabilities
FLT01: Northern Ireland acoustic survey

| Linear model fitted. Slopes at age : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 1 | Q | 2.282 | 97 | .8925 | 41.24 | 2.282 | 16.13 |

Table 7.5.17 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Residuals about the model fit. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

RESIDUALS ABOUT THE MODEL FIT

| Separable Model Residuals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| 1 | 1.095 | 0.353 | -1.662 | -1.090 | 0.830 | 0.475 |
| 2 | 0.260 | 0.147 | 0.192 | -0.606 | -0.062 | -0.019 |
| 3 | -0.156 | 0.090 | -0.445 | -0.588 | 0.462 | 0.165 |
| 4 | -0.086 | -0.066 | 0.114 | 0.187 | 0.024 | 0.115 |
| 5 | -0.052 | -0.152 | -0.201 | -0.131 | 0.015 | -0.065 |
| 6 | 0.148 | -0.230 | -0.041 | 0.365 | -0.458 | -0.190 |
| 7 | -0.160 | -0.229 | 0.091 | -0.117 | -0.393 | -0.296 |

SPAWNING BIOMASS INDEX RESIDUALS

NINEL

|  | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | 0.505 | 1.011 | -0.636 | -1.615 |

NINEL

|  | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.377 | -1.363 | -0.363 | 0.312 | 1.343 | 0.644 | -0.026 | -0.099 |

NINEL

|  | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.094 |

AGE-STRUCTURED INDEX RESIDUALS

| Age | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -1.150 | 0.860 | -2.332 | 0.068 | -0.659 | 0.919 | 0.084 | 1.431 |
| 2 | 0.432 | -0.548 | -0.639 | -0.030 | -1.115 | -0.691 | 0.715 | 0.799 |
| 3 | 0.284 | -0.399 | 0.122 | -0.627 | -0.758 | -0.021 | 0.342 | -0.491 |
| 4 | 0.180 | 0.101 | -0.062 | -0.893 | -0.045 | -0.490 | 0.379 | -0.438 |
| 5 | 0.002 | -0.250 | 0.572 | -1.068 | -0.386 | 0.818 | 0.370 | -0.152 |
| 6 | 0.318 | -0.396 | 0.221 | -0.554 | -0.225 | 1.407 | 0.649 | -0.685 |
| 7 | 0.474 | 0.809 | 1.018 | -0.689 | -0.121 | 0.706 | 1.214 | -0.402 |
| 8 | 0.360 | 0.071 | 0.019 | -0.648 | -0.301 | 1.114 | 0.546 | 0.268 |


| Age | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.479 | 0.799 | 0.255 | -1.754 |
| 2 | 0.072 | 1.282 | -0.053 | -0.225 |
| 3 | 0.662 | 0.203 | 0.079 | 0.601 |
| 4 | 1.255 | -0.399 | 0.168 | 0.241 |
| 5 | 0.788 | 0.020 | -0.733 | 0.015 |
| 6 | 1.119 | -0.655 | -0.028 | -1.176 |
| 7 | 0.935 | -0.675 | -2.723 | -0.554 |
| 8 | 1.034 | -0.901 | -0.520 | -1.050 |

Table 7.5.18 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Residuals about the model fit. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

PARAMETERS OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF $\ln$ (CATCHES AT AGE)

| Separable model fitted from 2000 | to 2005 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Variance | 0.1522 |
| Skewness test stat. | -2.3721 |
| Kurtosis test statistic | -0.2312 |
| Partial chi-square | 0.3873 |
| Significance in fit | 0.0000 |
| Degrees of freedom | 19 |

PARAMETERS OF DISTRIBUTIONS OF THE SSB INDICES

DISTRIBUTION STATISTICS FOR NINEL

| Linear catchability relationship assumed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Last age is a plus-group |  |
|  |  |
| Variance | 0.7297 |
| Skewness test stat. | -0.6370 |
| Kurtosis test statistic | -0.3368 |
| Partial chi-square | 2.8843 |
| Significance in fit | 0.0037 |
| Number of observations | 13 |
| Degrees of freedom | 12 |
| Weight in the analysis | 1.0000 |

PARAMETERS OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE AGE-STRUCTURED INDICES

DISTRIBUTION STATISTICS FOR FLT01: Northern Ireland acoustic survey

Linear catchability relationship assumed

| Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variance 0.0191 |  | 0.0622 | 0.0276 | 0.0369 | 0.0417 | 0.0750 | 0.1544 | 0.0626 |
| Skewness test stat. | -0.8383 | 0.3482 | -0.3662 | 0.9055 | -0.2881 | 0.5867 | -1.6043 | 0.1473 |
| Kurtosis test statisti | -0.5701 | -0.5780 | -0.8072 | 0.5126 | -0.4976 | -0.5405 | 0.6468 | -0.7446 |
| Partial chi-square | 0.0177 | 0.0613 | 0.0301 | 0.0435 | 0.0523 | 0.1006 | 0.2192 | 0.0921 |
| Significance in fit | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Number of observations | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Degrees of freedom | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Weight in the analysis | 0.0125 | 0.1250 | 0.1250 | 0.1250 | 0.1250 | 0.1250 | 0.1250 | 0.1250 |

Table 7.5.19 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Analyses of variance. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Unweighted Statistics

| Variance |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SSQ | Data | Parameters | d.f. | Variance |
| Total for model | 74.5300 | 151 | 32 | 119 | 0.6263 |
| Catches at age | 8.4585 | 42 | 23 | 19 | 0.4452 |
| SSB Indices |  |  |  |  |  |
| NINEL | 8.7568 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 0.7297 |
| Aged Indices |  |  |  |  |  |
| FLT01: Northern Ireland acoustic surve | 57.3147 | 96 | 8 | 88 | 0.6513 |
| Weighted Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variance |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SSQ | Data | Parameters | d.f. | Variance |
| Total for model | 12.2837 | 151 | 32 | 119 | 0.1032 |
| Catches at age | 2.8914 | 42 | 23 | 19 | 0.1522 |
| SSB Indices |  |  |  |  |  |
| NINEL | 8.7568 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 0.7297 |
| Aged Indices |  |  |  |  |  |
| FLT01: Northern Ireland acoustic surve | 0.6355 | 96 | 8 | 88 | 0.0072 |



Figure 7.1.1
Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Landings of herring from VIIa(N) from 1961 to 2005.


Figure 7.2.1 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Landings (catch-at-age) of herring from VIIa(N) from 1961 to 2005.


Figure 7.2.2 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). (A) Transects, stratum boundaries and trawl positions for the September 2004 acoustic survey; (B) Density distribution of sprats (size of elipses is proportional to square root of the fish density ( $\mathbf{t}$ n.mile ${ }^{-2}$ ) per 15-minute interval). Maximum density was 660 t n.mile ${ }^{-2}$.



Figure 7.2.3 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). (A) Density distribution of 1-ring and older herring (size of elipses is proportional to square root of the fish density ( t n.mile ${ }^{-2}$ ) per 15-minute interval). Maximum density was 1180 t n.mile ${ }^{-2}$. (B) Density distribution of 0 -ring herring. Maximum density was 137 t n.mile ${ }^{-2}$. Note: same scaling of elipse sizes on Fig. 1 B and Figs 2 A and B.


Figure 7.2.4 Irish Sea herring in VIIa(N). Estimates of larval herring abundance in the Northern Irish Sea, $6^{\text {th }}$ November to $15^{\text {th }}$ November 2005. Areas of the circles are proportional to herring abundance (maximum abundance $=355$ per $\mathbf{m}^{2}$ ).


Figure 7.5.1 Irish Sea herring in VIIa(N). SSQ surface for the deterministic calculation of the 6 -year separable period. NINEL is the Northern Ireland larvae SSB index and ACAGE is the age-disaggregated acoustic index.


Figure 7.5.2 Irish Sea herring in VIIa(N). Comparison of mean reference $\mathbf{F}_{2-6}$ for SPALY run, NINEL tuning index and ACAGE tuning index.


Figure 7.5.3 Irish Sea herring in VIIa(N). Estimates of uncertainty from the ICA bootstrapped mean $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ and SSB for the 2006 SPALY run and 2005 SPALY run.




Figure 7.5.4
Irish Sea herring in VIIa(N). Retrospective trends in SSB, $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ and recruitment from SPALY ICA run.


Figure 7.5.5 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Separable model residualsfrom ICA fits: SPALY 6year separable period (above) and 8-year separable period (below). Residuals shown correspond to years 2000 to 2005.


Figure 7.5.6 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Comparsion of SSB and $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ estimates arising from SPALY ICA run (6-year separable period) and ICA run using 8-year separable period.


Figure 7.5.7 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Analytical retrospective plots of $\mathrm{SSB}, \mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ and recruitment assuming $0 \%$ maturity of 1 -group using 8 -year separable period.


Figure 7.5.8 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Selection pattern diagnostics from deterministic calculations ( 6 -year separable period). Top left, a contour plot of selection pattern residuals. Top right, estimated selection (relative to $4-\mathrm{wr}$ ) $+/-$ standard deviation. Bottom, marginal totals of residuals by year and ring (ages 2-7 only).


Figure 7.5.9 Irish Sea herring VIIa(N). Illustration of stock trends from deterministic calculation (6-year separable period). Summary of estimates of landings, $F_{2-6}$, recruitment at 1ring, stock size on $1^{\text {st }}$ January and spawning stock at spawning time.


Figure 7.5.10
Irish Sea Herring VIIa(N). ICA predicted SSB and re-scaled larvae index (NINEL).

## 8 Sprat in the North Sea

### 8.1 The Fishery

### 8.1.1 ACFM advice applicable for 2005 and 2006

ACFM advised that a catch of $257,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 2004 would allow the SSB to remain near or above the long-term average. This was based on the historic relationship between survey and catch. From 2002 to 2005 the TAC set by management for Subarea IV (EU zone) and Division IIa (EU zone) has been 257000 t .

ACFM in 2005 considered the absolute stock size as unknown. However, with a biomass that seemed to have increased in recent years and with signals of a good 2004 year class recruiting to the 2005 fishery, the stock was considered to be in good condition.

There have been no explicit management objectives for this stock.
For 2006 TAC is set at 282700 t . The WG has considered a request from EU to provide a mid-year revision of the TAC taking into account the estimates of incoming recruitment.

### 8.1.2 Total landings in 2005

Landing statistics for sprat for the North Sea by area and country are presented in Table 8.1.1 for 1988-2005. As in previous years, sprats from the fjords of western Norway are not included in the landings for the North Sea. Landings from the fjords are presented separately (Table 8.1.2) due to their uncertain stock identity. Table 8.1.3 shows the landings for 19952005 by year, quarter, and area in the North Sea. In general, most of the landings by tonnes are taken in the second half of the year. The Norwegian vessels are not allowed to fish in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarters in the EU and the Norwegian zone and not allowed to fish in the Norwegian zone until the quota has been taken in the EU-zone.

The landings in 2005 were 208000 t , mainly taken by the Danish fleets. This was an increase compared to the landings in the previous three years ( $144000 \mathrm{t}-194000 \mathrm{t}$ ) and the highest since mid 1990s. This increase was mainly due to an increase in landings in Div.IVbE. There was no Norwegian sprat fishery in the North Sea in 2005. Neither Denmark nor UK (England and Wales) took their quota in 2004. More than $90 \%$ of the catches were taken in the third and fourth quarter.

No sprat by-catches were reported in the landings from the Norwegian industrial trawl fishery in 2005.

The quarterly and annual distributions of catches by rectangle for Subarea IV are shown in Figures 8.1.1-8.1.2.

### 8.2 Biological composition of the catch

### 8.2.1 By-catches in the North Sea sprat fishery

Data on the species composition of the by-catch is given in Table 8.2.1. Only data on by-catch from the Danish fishery were available to the Working Group. The Danish sprat fishery has in general been conducted with minor by-catches of herring except for about 14 landings where by-catches exceeded the allowed by-catch percentages. The Danish authorities immediately took necessary steps to stop the fishing behaviour of these vessels. The total amount of herring
caught as by-catch in the sprat fishery in 2005 is less than $10 \%$ of the total catch. This herring by-catch increased in 2005 compared to 2003 and 2004.

### 8.2.2 Catches in number

The estimated quarterly catch-at-age in numbers for the years 1996 to 2005 is presented in Table 8.2.2. Denmark provided age composition data of commercial landings in 2005 for all quarters and these data were used to raise all catches from the North Sea, as the landings from Sweden and UK (England) were minor and unsampled. In 1996-2005 1-ringer sprat dominates the catches (54-96\%). Some years, however, 0-ringers are taken in the fourth quarter but makes normally only a relatively low number ( $<5 \%$ ) except for 2004 where they made $60 \%$ of the total annual catch by number. In 2005 the 2004 year class (1-ringer) was again the dominating year-class representing $96 \%$ of the total number landed. The majority of the total sprat catches are normally taken in the second part of the year.

### 8.2.3 Quality of catch and biological data

The sampling intensity for biological samples, i.e., age and weight-at-age, is given in Table 8.2.4. The sampling level in 2005 is at the same level as in 2004. In Denmark the provisions in the EU regulation 1639/2001 have been implemented. This provision requires 1 sample per 2000 tonnes landed. This sampling level is lower than the guidelines ( 1 sample per 1000 tonnes) previously used by the HAWG, but as the fishery was carried out in a limited area and a limited season, the recommended sampling level can be regarded as adequate.

In 2005 a total of 680 samples were collected from all industrial fisheries taken in the North Sea by Danish vessels. The sampling figure for 2004 was 834 samples. The decrease in sampling is caused by the decrease in total landing. The total landings from the Danish small mesh fishery in 2005 were 408000 t (all species) compared to 532000 t in 2004. This decrease is mainly due to changes in the sandeel fishery. The recommended sampling levels for species composition were achieved.

### 8.3 Fishery Independent Information

The IBTS (February) sprat indices (no. per hour) in Div. IVb were previously used as an index of abundance of sprat in the North sea. The historical data were revised in 1995 (ICES 1995/Assess:13) and 1999 (ICES 1999/ACFM:12). The IBTS Working Group redefined the sprat index to be calculated as an area weighted mean by rectangles for the entire North Sea sprat stock. New calculations were carried out in 2001 (ICES 2000/D:07). A revision of the data was made in 2006. The fishing gear used in the IBTS-survey was standardised in 1983 and the data series from 1984 onwards, are considered as comparable. The IBTS-indices for 1984-2006 are shown in Table 8.3.1 for age groups 1-5+ and total.

The IBTS data by rectangle are given in Figure 8.3.1a-c for age groups 1, 2 and 3+. Age 1group was found to be concentrated in the south, in the more central area of Division IVb and Division IVc. The mean lengths (mm) of age group 1 by rectangle are presented in Figure 8.3.2.

The acoustic surveys for the North Sea Herring in June-July have estimated sprat abundance since 1996 (ICES 2006/LRC:04). The south-eastern area of the North Sea is expected to have the highest abundance of sprat in the North Sea. Due to inappropriate coverage of this area during the first period of the survey, the acoustic estimates are not thought to be representative for the years prior to 2003. In 20040 -group sprat ( $<5-6 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) were for the first time recorded by this survey contributing to $34 \%$ of the total abundance It is, however, not clear whether the component of 0 -ringer was recruiting from autumn spawning sprat or from an early spring spawning component (ICES 2004/AFM:18). The length distribution indicates that only the
largest of this age group have been sampled and the abundance of 0 -group sprat is thus considered an underestimate. In this period no sprat has been reported in the northern areas.

In 2005 no 0 -group sprat was detected during the survey. The abundance of sprat was calculated to be 76814 million individuals and the biomass 564 thousand tonnes, which is a significant increase compared to last year. The estimates for 2003-2005 are considered comparable with regards to area covered and are given in the text table below. There was an increase in numbers and biomass from 2003 to 2005 with the 2004-year class as the strongest in this period.

| year | NUMBERS (mill) |  |  |  |  | IOMASS (thousand tonnes) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3+ | Sum | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3+ | Sum |
| 2003 | 0 | 25292 | 3984 | 339 | 29616 | 0 | 198.8 | 61.3 | 6 | 266.1 |
| 2004 | 17400 | 28940 | 5180 | 99 | 51620 | 19.4 | 266.6 | 71.5 | 2.1 | 359.6 |
| 2005 | 0 | 70175 | 5533 | 1106 | 76814 | 0 | 479.6 | 67.4 | 16.8 | 563.8 |

### 8.4 Mean weights-at-age and maturity-at-age

Mean weights (g) at age in the catches in 2005 are presented by quarter in Table 8.2.3. The table includes mean weights-at-age for 1996-2004 for comparison.

During the Working Group in 2002, data on maturity and age were compiled from the Danish commercial catches during quarters 1, 3 and 4 in 2001. Data on maturity were provided from the German Acoustic surveys in June-July during 1996-2001. No other countries contributed with data on maturity. This year data on maturity by age, mean weight and length by age during the 2005 summer acoustic survey are presented by the PGHERS for the North Sea (ICES 2006/LRC:04) and given in the following text table:

### 8.5 Recruitment

The 2005 - index of 1-group (2004 year class) was the highest for the whole time-series (see Table 8.3.1), both absolute and relative. The high level of the 1 -group in 2005 was seen in most samples and not only confined to few single hauls. In 2006 the IBTS-index of the 2004yearclass (2-group) is still abundant and represents nearly $70 \%$ of the total-abundance index. The 1-group index from February 2006 was one of the lowest for the period and the lowest since 1996. The total index was about $50 \%$ of the total in 2005 and among the lowest for the last years 10 years.

### 8.6 Data Exploration and Assessment

Sprat in the North Sea is a short-living species. The catches are dominated by 1 and 2 yearolds. There are difficulties in age reading resulting in unreliable estimates of numbers-at-age both from the surveys and the commercial catches. Given those limitations a data exploration using Catch-Survey Analysis (CSA) has been carried out by the Working Group since 2003 and the model and its inputs have been described (see ICES 2005/ACFM:16). A short description of the model is presented in Section 2.6.4 in the present report. The model assumes that the population consists of two stages: the recruits (preferably a single year class which here corresponds to the 1 year-old) and the fully recruited ages (the $2+$ group).

Model input data this year is given in Table 8.6.1. The data used are the time-series 1984-2005 of catch numbers for each stage, the 1st quarter IBTS index of abundance for the 1 year-old sprat and the $2+$, mean weights for each stage in the stock at the start of the year. Given low sampling levels in years previous to 1995 and low inter-annual fluctuations in weight-at-age, a constant weight-at-age based on commercial data from the 1st quarter of the period 1984-2004 (CM 2004/ACFM:18) was considered representative of the stock in the first quarter. Reliable stock data were searched for in the IBTS-data base. As stock data were available only for

2005 and 2006 the working group decided to use the same mean weights values as in previous years, fixed for all years. CSA requires a value for the instantaneous rate of natural mortality (M) and a parameter $s$ corresponding to the ratio of the survey catchability of the recruits to the fully recruited ages which are fixed externally.

The value of natural mortality used is based on predation mortality estimates from a multispecies VPA (ICES 2002 /D:04). Estimates of predation mortality at-age and 90\% confidence intervals representing the variation over time were presented in ICES 2004/ACFM:18. No new estimate of $M$ was available to the working group and $M=0.7$ was again used in the model fits. In previous years the s-values were set to 1 but this year s was estimated by determining the minimum for a range of fixed $s$ values. The SSQ profile is shown in Figure 8.6.1 and suggests that $s=1.0$ is the "best" estimate. With no other information available, a fixed s-value of 1.0 was used for all years. This results in an assumption of constant catchability in the surveys. The model is sensitive to the choice of the M and $s$ parameters. Given the constraints of the model which in its present form does not allow variations of M over time the model was run for $\mathrm{M}=0.7$. Model output is presented in Table 8.6.2 for $\mathrm{M}=0.7$.

The model fits to the IBTS indices are shown in Figure 8.6.2. The model does not fit well the high IBTS 1-year indices in 1989 and 1999 and the high IBTS 2+ index in 1998 given low recruitment indices the following year. The model also seems to have problem fitting both groups in the last three years. This could be an example of a late recruitment scenario where IBTS underestimated total recruitment. Estimated numbers of recruits and fully recruited and total biomass are shown in Figure 8.6.3.

The total stock biomass estimated depends very much on the size of the incoming year class. Examination of the residuals suggests patterns in the fit to the recruits index from the last three years (Fig. 8.6.4). The data used to group the catches and survey indices into two stages are based on separation by ages that have little confidence, especially in the earlier years of the time series. A first and rough examination of length composition data by age in IBTS (Figure 8.6.5), suggests that the overlapping of lengths for ages 1 and 2 might result in conflicting signals when s is fixed across years. The length data from the surveys should be analysed to see if there are distinct thresholds in the length frequency data that could be used to split the recruits from the fully recruited.

Confidence intervals for the parameters were estimated by means of non-parametric bootstrapping. Biomass point estimates, 5 and 95 percentiles are given in Figure 8.6.6. The estimates are sensitive to the value of $M$ and run using $M=0.8$ was made to test sensitivity to the value of this parameter. The estimated biomass is included for comparison. The results show that the setting of $\mathrm{M}=0.8$ scales the biomass upwards.

Retrospective analysis of estimated biomass was carried out to check for consistency of past estimates as new data is included. The retrospective pattern, shown in Figure 8.6.7 indicates that there might be violations of some model assumptions. Vital parameters here are $s$ and M , which are both fixed for all the years. Mesnil (2005) suggests that s may have to be reestimated if retrospective patterns have to be investigated. Hence two new time series were run, 1984-1997 and 1984-2000. A specific s-ratio for each series were estimated and used in the respective runs, 0.8 for 1984-1997 and 0.85 for 1984-2000. A comparison of the retrospective analyses of estimated biomass is presented in Figure 8.6.8, indicating violation in the assumption of fixed $s$. The model version available to the working group $\left(\mathrm{CSA}_{0}\right.$, modified 13/02/2003) does not allow for annual variation in $s$.

The confidence intervals for biomass in 2006 are wide, indicating that the total biomass is between 700000 and 2.3 million tonnes. As the stock is dominated by one year class, the
working group concluded that the absolute biomass is not known but assumes that the results give indications of general stock trends. However, as constant weights at age are assumed changes in biomass over time due to changes in growth are not reflected in the estimates. Similar trends in biomass have also been seen in the acoustic data on sprat.

### 8.7 Predicted biomass at the start of 2007 given a range of 2006 catches

A spread sheet was set up for prediction of biomass based on number at age in the catch from the outcome of the CSA, the catches and the quota. Calculations for estimating numbers at age in the catch for the set of catches used in the prediction follow:

$$
C_{a, y}=\bar{s}_{y-3, y-1, a} h_{y} N_{y, a}(T A C)_{y} /(T A C)_{y}^{*}
$$

where $C_{a, y}$ corresponds to the catch numbers at age in year $y$,
$\bar{s}_{y-3, y-1, a}$ is the average selectivity at age for the 3 years prior to $y$,
$h_{y}$ is the harvest rate in year $y$ computed as the catch/stock biomass ratio,
$N_{y, a}$ are the numbers at age in the stock in year $y$,
$T A C_{y}$ is the TAC for sprat in year $y$ and
$T A C_{y}^{*}$ is the calculated catch in year $y$ given $h_{y, a}, N_{y, a}$ and weight at age $\left(w_{a}\right)$. [Note that $w_{a}$ is constant across years and is the same for both the stock and catch. The TACs ratio was introduced in the calculation as a correction factor.]

The biomass at the start of 2006 was estimated of the order of 1.2 million tonnes while the TAC for 2006 was 283 thousand tons. Biomass predictions at the start of 2007 are plotted in Figure 8.7.1 for status quo catch, catches exceeding the TAC by 10 and 25 percent and catches for TAC undershot by 10 and 25 percent; the stock biomass being in the range of

554-659 thousand tonnes. The same exploitation rate as in the last year is assumed.The reduction in biomass in 2007 results mainly from low recruitment in 2006 which was based on the IBTS index. Recruitment in 2007 is equal to the geometric mean recruitment for the period 1984-2006.

A catch prediction for assessment year was provided in the past on the basis of a linear regression of catch versus IBTS estimated biomass. The results for 2006 are given in Figure 8.7.2 and indicate a catch for 2006 of 130000 t (agreed TAC for 2006 is 282700 t ).

### 8.8 Quality of the Assessment

Trends in the mean weights-at -age during the first quarter used to compute the biomass index from the IBTS was revised in 2004. No trend was observed in the mean weights-at-age over time, therefore an average over all the years was used to compute stock biomass using the Catch Survey Analysis (CSA). The model fits time-series of abundance for 2 stages in the stock: the recruits and the fully recruited to the fishery. The IBTS indices for the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter were used as indicators. The Working Group is aware of problems associated with sprat in the IBTS, some sprat hatch in autumn and may not be fully recruited by February next year. Examination of the residuals from the model fit suggests that the problem results in additional noise in the datal.The results are sensitive to the value assumed for the catchability ratio $s$, the estimated biomass being scaled accordingly. The results from the retrospective analyses indicate that there might be violations of some model assumptions and the assumption of a constant $s$ over years was tested. A value of $s=1$ for the IBTS-data is compatible with
perceptions that catchability of recruits is no different from the one of the fully recruited. The comparison of different retrospective analysis from different time series and s, gives strong indications of year effects in the survey which could not be considered in the model. The stock trend from the estimate as seen in the last three years is also indicated in the acoustic estimates from the summer acoustic survey.

Given the dynamics of this short-living species recent estimates of biomass are likely to correspond to the trajectories derived from $\mathrm{M}=0.7$. Likewise, The Working Group agreed that an approach like CSA seemed a promising tool to assess sprat in the North Sea. Further, the method, although not specifically designed for short-lived species, does show potential for assessment in that context and therefore it is recommended that the Working Group of Methods again considers assessment methods for short-lived species in the light of recent developments.

### 8.9 Management Considerations

The size of the North Sea sprat stock is mostly driven by the recruiting year class. Thus fishery in a given year will be dependent on that year's incoming year class and only in-year catch forecasts are available. The sprat stock at the beginning of 2005 seemed to be substantial higher compared to the previous years and the biomass was dominated by the very strong 2004 year class. In the beginning of 2006 there is indication of a small incoming 2005 year class (IBTS (February) index), one of the smallest for the time series. The low recruitment in 2006 is expected to have a negative effect on both the stock size and catch level this year. The relatively high biomass estimated for 2006 is dominated by the strong 2004 year class still seen as strong 2-gr index in the 2006 IBTS data.

There are indications that larvae from autumn spawning will over-winter as larvae and metamorphose the year after. A better understanding of the stock structure of sprat in the North Sea, the spawning seasons and recruitment from a possible autumn spawning is required.

The proportion of herring by-catch in the sprat fishery has been in the range of $5-10 \%$ the last years. Change in the regulation of by-catches in 2005 (up to $40 \%$ of herring allowed compared to $20 \%$ previously) increased the level of by-catches compared to 2004 but was within the range seen for the last years but though only half of the total by-catch ceiling.

Table 8.1.1. Sprat in the North Sea. Catches (' 000 t t 1988-2005. Catch in fjords of western Norway excluded. (Data provided by Working Group members except where indicated). These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.


Table 8.1.2. Sprat catches ( 'OOO t) in the fjords of western Norway, 1985-2005.

| 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7.1 | 2.2 | 8.3 | 5.3 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 1.1 |

[^4]Table 8.1.3. Sprat in the North Sea. Catches (tonnes) by quarter*. Catches in fjords of Western Norway excluded.


Table 8.2.1. North Sea sprat. Species composition in the Danish sprat fishery in tonnes and percentage of the total catch.
Data is reported for 1998-2005.

|  | Year | Sprat | Herring | Horse-mackerel | Whiting | Haddock Mackerel | Cod | Sandeel | Other species | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tonnes | 1998 | 129,315 | 11,817 | 573 | 673 | 6 | 220 | 11 | 2,174 | 1,188 |

Table 8.2.2 North Sea Sprat. Catch in numbers (millions) by quarter and by age 1996-2005.

| Year | Quarter | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5+ | Total |
| 1996 | 1 |  | 524.7 | 4,615.4 | 2,621.9 | 316.4 | 11.3 | 8,089.7 |
|  | 2 |  | 1.9 | 241.5 | 32.7 | 15.5 | 0.3 | 291.9 |
|  | 3 |  | 400.5 | 100.7 | 22.9 | 0.3 |  | 524.5 |
|  | 4 |  | 1,190.7 | 1,069.0 | 339.6 | 5.6 |  | 2,604.8 |
| Total |  |  | 2,117.9 | 6,026.6 | 3,017.0 | 337.8 | 11.5 | 11,510.8 |
| 1997 | 1 |  | 74.4 | 314.0 | 229.2 | 55.3 | 2.5 | 675.4 |
|  | 2 |  | 11.3 | 47.8 | 34.9 | 8.4 | 0.4 | 102.9 |
|  | 3 |  | 1,991.9 |  | $117.8$ |  | 1,991.9 |  |
|  | 4 | 127.6 | 3,597.2 | 996.2 |  |  | 0.0 | 4,896.9 |
| Total |  | 127.6 | 5,674.8 | 1,358.1 | 381.9 | 121.8 | 2.8 | 7,667.1 |
| 1998 | 1 |  | 683.2 | 537.2 | 18.3 | 0.1 | 1,238.8 |  |
|  | 2 |  | 70.9 | 55.3 | 1.8 |  | 127.9 |  |
|  | 3 | 74.2 | 3,356.6 | 693.3 |  | 39.5 | 4,124.2 |  |
|  | 4 | 772.4 | 4,822.4 | 2,295.1 | 483.5 |  | 8,412.8 |  |
| Total |  | 846.6 | 8,933.1 | 3,580.9 | 503.6 | 39.6 | 13,903.7 |  |
| 1999 | 1 | 105.0 | 728.1 | 2,226.0 | 554.2 | 86.6 | 9.2 | 3,604.2 |
|  | 2 |  | 38.6 | 58.4 | 18.1 | 2.6 |  | 117.7 |
|  | 3 |  | 12,919.0 | 38.9 |  |  | 12,957.8 |  |
|  | 4 |  | 2,143.2 | 211.5 |  |  | 2,459.7 |  |
|  | Total | 105.0 | 15,828.9 | 2,534.8 | 572.3 | 89.2 | 9.2 | 19,139.5 |
| 2000 | 1 |  | 559.2 | 3,177.3 | 797.5 | 247.5 | 72.0 | 4,853.7 |
|  |  |  | 6.8 | 107.4 | 60.1 |  | 0.5 | 187.6 |
|  | 3 |  | 9,928.9 | 1,111.9 | 77.8 | 12.8 11,118.6 |  |  |
|  | 4 |  | 1,153.7 | 129.2 | 9.0 | 1,291.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 11,648.7 |  | 4,525.8 | 944.4 | 260.3 |  | 72.6 | 17,451.8 |
| 2001 | 1 |  | 746.3 | 3,197.7 | 1,321.9 | 22.2 | 5,023.1 |  |
|  | 2 |  | 15.9 | 66.2 | 26.1 |  | 108.2 |  |
|  |  | 0.4 | 3,338.8 | 299.9 |  |  | 3,559.1 |  |
|  | 3 | 1,205.0 | 4,178.7 | 1,224.6 | 261.9 |  | 6,651.4 |  |
|  | Total | 1,205.4 | 8,279.8 | 4,788.4 | 1,609.9 | 22.2 |  | 15,341.7 |
| 2002 | 1 | 0.0 | 104.7 | 400.3 | 30.2 | 11.2 | 546.4 |  |
|  | 23 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 27.9 | 2.4 | 0.6 |  | 44.6 |
|  |  | 40.9 | 5,745.6 | 582.1 | 42.3 | 4.1 |  | 6,415.0$5,742.1$ |
|  | 3 4 | 415.0 | 4,578.0 | 626.2 | 119.8 | 3.1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 455.9 | 10,441.9 | 1,636.5 | 194.8 | 19.0 | 12,748.1 |  |
| 2003 | 1 | 0.0 | 1,953.9 | 1,218.9 | 85.3 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 3,269.3 |
|  |  | 0.0 | 41.8 | 46.3 | 4.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 93.3 |
|  | 2 3 | 1.1 | 3,481.3 | 772.0 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4,297.2 |
|  | 4 | 539.3 | 7,051.8 | 1,115.1 | 93.8 | 36.5 | 21.9 | $\begin{array}{r} 8,858.4 \\ \hline 16,518.2 \end{array}$ |
| Total |  | 540.4 | 12,528.7 | 3,152.3 | 226.6 | 48.4 | 21.9 |  |
| 2004 | 1 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 214.0 | 26.3 | 1.6 | 0.6 | - 259.0 |
|  | 3 | 0.0 | 22.1 | 14.9 | 3.031.459.2 | 0.10.0 | 0.0 | 40.1 |
|  |  | 210.0$15,674.4$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,661.9 \\ 5,582.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 558.2 \\ 632.1 \end{array}$ |  |  | 0.0 | 4,461.5 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 21,948.5 \\ \hline 26,709.1 \end{array}$ |
|  | Total | 15,884.4 | 9,283.2 | 1,419.2 | 119.8 | 1.8 | 0.6 |  |
| 2005 | 1234 | 0.0 | 2,476.5 | $\begin{array}{r} 268.5 \\ 23.4 \end{array}$ | 13.8 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 2,761.1 |
|  |  | 0.0 | 499.6 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3 \\ & 7.6 \end{aligned}$ | 4.9 | 0.0 | 532.1 |
|  |  | 0.0 | 11,920.2 | 192.3 |  | 0.00.0 | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 12,120.0 \\ 7,961.6 \end{array}$ |
|  |  | $\frac{302.5}{302.5}$ | 7,467.9 | 191.1 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total |  |  | 22,364.3 | 675.3 | 25.7 | 7.0 | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 7,961.6 } \\ \hline 2374.8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |

Table 8.2.3. North Sea Sprat. Sampling for biological samples in 2005.

| Country | Quarter | Landings <br> ('000 tonnes) | No. <br> samples | No. <br> measured | No. <br> aged |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denmark | 1 | 12.40 | 15 | 1266 | 760 |
|  | 2 | 2.60 | 12 | 138 | 4 |
|  | 3 | 107.53 | 22 | 2369 | 735 |
|  | 4 | 83.50 | 33 | 4158 | 1155 |
|  | Total | 206.03 | 82 | 7931 | 2654 |
| UK(England) | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sweden | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | 2 | 0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |

Table 8.3.1 North Sea sprat. Abundance indices by age from IBTS (February) from 1984-2006. Revised 2006.

| Year | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $5+$ | Total |
| 1983 | 142,997 | 453,265 | 127,595 | 7,061 | 0,832 | 731,750 |
| 1984 | 233,758 | 329,003 | 39,608 | 6,200 | 0,292 | 608,861 |
| 1985 | 376,098 | 195,479 | 26,726 | 3,834 | 0,354 | 602,491 |
| 1986 | 44,188 | 73,538 | 22,010 | 1,233 | 0,243 | 141,212 |
| 1987 | 542,236 | 66,279 | 19,144 | 1,924 | 0,240 | 629,823 |
| 1988 | 98,606 | 884,062 | 61,803 | 6,991 | 0,000 | 1051,462 |
| 1989 | 2314,218 | 476,292 | 271,849 | 5,570 | 1,647 | 3069,576 |
| 1990 | 234,942 | 451,979 | 102,164 | 28,063 | 2,219 | 819,367 |
| 1991 | 676,784 | 93,381 | 23,313 | 2,647 | 0,118 | 796,243 |
| 1992 | 1060,780 | 297,691 | 43,284 | 7,207 | 0,522 | 1409,484 |
| 1993 | 1066,829 | 568,530 | 118,416 | 6,074 | 0,338 | 1760,187 |
| 1994 | 2428,357 | 938,047 | 92,204 | 3,662 | 0,504 | 3462,774 |
| 1995 | 1224,777 | 1036,518 | 87,329 | 2,516 | 0,764 | 2351,904 |
| 1996 | 186,131 | 383,534 | 146,839 | 18,284 | 0,744 | 735,532 |
| 1997 | 591,862 | 411,953 | 179,551 | 15,522 | 2,239 | 1201,127 |
| 1998 | 1171,050 | 1456,513 | 305,903 | 15,753 | 3,381 | 2952,600 |
| 1999 | 2534,528 | 562,098 | 80,347 | 4,828 | 0,445 | 3182,246 |
| 2000 | 1069,528 | 840,237 | 274,577 | 44,040 | 0,885 | 2229,267 |
| 2001 | 883,058 | 1057,001 | 185,466 | 17,548 | 0,345 | 2143,418 |
| 2002 | 1152,328 | 812,450 | 91,631 | 11,931 | 0,375 | 2068,715 |
| 2003 | 1842,261 | 309,918 | 44,472 | 2,194 | 0,076 | 2198,921 |
| 2004 | 1638,869 | 397,339 | 33,718 | 4,837 | 0,000 | 2074,763 |
| 2005 | 3017,097 | 310,469 | 37,870 | 0,773 | 0,000 | 3366,209 |
| 2006 | 414,243 | 1186,017 | 115,331 | 10,559 | 0,071 | 1726,221 |

Table 8.4.1 North Sea Sprat. Mean weight (g) by quarter and by age for 1996-2005.

| Year | Quarter | Age |  |  |  |  |  | SOP <br> Tonnes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5+ |  |
| 1996 | 1 |  | 3.9 | 9.3 | 14.9 | 15.3 | 16.1 | 88,807.0 |
|  | 2 |  | 6.9 | 8.4 | 11.6 | 20.0 | 15.2 | 2,735.0 |
|  | 3 |  | 11.6 | 14.2 | 18.2 | 21.5 |  | 6,501.0 |
|  | 4 |  | 12.1 | 15.9 | 17.2 | 20.5 |  | 37,359.0 |
| Weighted mean |  |  | 9.97 | 10.49 | 15.12 | 15.58 | 16.03 | 135,401.0 |
| 1997 | 1 |  | 8.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 17.0 | 19.0 | 8,161.0 |
|  | 2 |  | 8.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 17.0 | 19.0 | 1,243.0 |
|  | 3 |  | 14.2 |  |  |  |  | 28,285.0 |
|  | 4 | 3.7 | 11.9 | 16.4 | 19.1 | 19.6 |  | 63,083.0 |
| Weighted mean |  | 3.73 | 12.67 | 14.66 | 16.26 | 18.24 | 19.00 | 100,772.0 |
| 1998 | 1 |  | 5.6 | 6.0 | 8.7 | 15.0 |  | 7,232.0 |
|  | 2 |  | 5.6 | 6.0 | 8.3 |  |  | 743.0 |
|  | 3 | 3.7 | 14.7 | 15.3 |  |  |  | 60,149.0 |
|  | 4 | 4.1 | 10.6 | 13.8 | 16.3 | 14.6 |  | 94,173.0 |
| Weighted mean |  | 4.03 | 11.69 | 12.80 | 15.98 | 14.65 |  | 162,297.0 |
| 1999 | 1 |  | 3.3 | 8.7 | 12.5 | 14.4 | 16.3 | 30,168.0 |
|  | 2 |  | 3.1 | 10.1 | 13.6 | 15.4 |  | 993.0 |
|  | 3 |  | 10.0 | 18.3 |  |  |  | 129,383.0 |
|  | 4 | 4.4 | 11.0 | 14.4 |  |  |  | 27,126.0 |
| Weighted mean |  | 4.42 | 9.78 | 9.39 | 12.49 | 14.43 | 16.34 | 187,670.0 |
| 2000 | 1 |  | 4.2 | 10.1 | 10.7 | 10.2 | 10.5 | 46,192.0 |
|  | 2 |  | 3.3 | 9.0 | 10.2 | 12.8 | 10.5 | 1,767.0 |
|  | 3 |  | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.0 |  |  | 132,563.0 |
|  | 4 |  | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.0 |  |  | 15,403.0 |
| Weighted mean |  |  | 11.55 | 10.56 | 10.68 | 10.33 | 10.52 | 195,925.0 |
| 2001 | 1 |  | 3.3 | 9.7 | 12.9 | 16.5 |  | 50,794.0 |
|  | 2 |  | 3.3 | 10.3 | 12.9 |  |  | 1,071.0 |
|  | 3 | 4.0 | 12.0 | 15.3 |  |  |  | 44,656.0 |
|  | 4 | 3.8 | 11.6 | 12.6 | 19.1 |  |  | 73,444.0 |
| Weighted mean |  | 3.75 | 10.99 | 10.80 | 13.91 | 16.53 |  | 169,967.0 |
| 2002 | 1 |  | 7.0 | 12.0 | 14.0 | 13.0 |  | 61,057 |
|  | 2 |  | 5.3 | 11.2 | 12.5 | 12.4 |  | 4,231 |
|  | 3 | 2.0 | 10.9 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 24.0 |  | 721,732 |
|  | 4 | 3.9 | 12.0 | 15.0 | 15.7 | 24.0 |  | 679,018 |
| Weighted mean |  | 3.73 | 11.24 | 13.43 | 14.93 | 14.80 |  | 1,466,038 |
| 2003 | 1 |  | 3.6 | 9.4 | 11.0 | 15.0 |  | 19,598.6 |
|  | 2 |  | 3.1 | 9.9 | 11.0 | 15.0 |  | 648.0 |
|  | 3 | 3.0 | 13.0 | 16.0 | 13.0 |  |  | 58,168.6 |
|  | 4 | 4.6 | 10.8 | 14.8 | 16.9 | 15.0 | 18.0 | 97,670.1 |
| Weighted mean |  | 4.60 | 10.26 | 12.93 | 13.82 | 15.00 | 18.00 | 176,085.3 |
| 2004 | 1 |  | 3.6 | 10.3 | 13.8 | 16.6 | 16.1 | 2,663 |
|  | 2 |  | 6.0 | 8.5 | 7.3 | 10.2 |  | 282 |
|  | 3 | 4.5 | 11.9 | 17.0 | 20.0 |  |  | 54,639 |
|  | 4 | 4.0 | 11.4 | 14.6 | 18.3 |  |  | 136,653 |
| Weighted mean |  | 4.00 | 11.00 | 10.90 | 14.50 | 16.80 | 16.12 | 194,238.4 |
| 2005 | 1 |  | 4.6 | 8.9 | 12.1 | 16.0 |  | 13,995 |
|  | 2 |  | 4.8 | 6.5 | 9.8 | 10.0 |  | 2,641 |
|  | 3 |  | 8.9 | 9.9 | 18.6 |  |  | 107,531 |
|  | 4 | 4.1 | 10.7 | 12.0 |  |  |  | 83,515 |
| Weighted mean |  | 4.10 | 8.91 | 9.98 | 13.65 | 11.85 |  | 207,681.61 |

Table 8.4.2. North Sea sprat. Abundance, biomass, mean weight and length by age, maturity (i: immature, m : mature) for the area east and west of $3^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and for the total North Sea.
(Here, the "," is a decimal point)

|  | 1 i | 1m | 2 i | 2 m | $3 i$ | 3 m | 4m | 5m | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abundance (mill.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| w of $3^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | 6658,80 | 4345,10 | 536,20 | 4054,80 | 0,00 | 1065,50 | 17,90 |  | 16678,30 |
| e of $3^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | 23406,00 | 35765,30 | 282,30 | 659,30 | 5,30 | 16,60 | 0,90 | 0,20 | 60135,90 |
| total North Sea | 30064,80 | 40110,40 | 818,50 | 4714,10 | 5,30 | 1082,10 | 18,80 | 0,20 | 76814,20 |
| immature total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30888,60 |
| mature total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45925,60 |
| Biomass ('000 tonnes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| w of $3^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | 30,8 | 42.0 | 4,6 | 52.4 | 0.0 | 16,2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 146.3 |
| e of $3^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | 103.9 | 302.9 | 2,6 | 7,8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 417.6 |
| total North Sea | 134.7 | 344.9 | 7,2 | 60.2 | 0.1 | 16,3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 563.8 |
| immature total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 141.9 |
| mature total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 421.9 |
| mean weight (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| w of $3^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | 7,9 | 11,5 | 8,4 | 12,9 |  | 15,4 | 16,9 |  |  |
| e of $3^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | 5,1 | 8,7 | 10,6 | 14,7 | 14,7 | 16,0 | 22,7 | 23,7 |  |
| total North Sea | 7,1 | 10,8 | 8,7 | 13,2 | 14,7 | 15,7 | 17,2 | 23,7 |  |
| mean length (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| w of $3^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | 10,3 | 11,3 | 10,5 | 11,8 |  | 12,4 | 13,0 |  |  |
| e of $3^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | 8,9 | 10,5 | 10,9 | 12,2 | 12,3 | 12,9 | 14,2 | 14,5 |  |
| total North Sea | 9,9 | 11,2 | 10,5 | 11,9 | 12,3 | 12,6 | 13,1 | 14,5 |  |

Table 8.6.1. North Sea sprat. CSA Input data. Catch in numbers (CatRec and CatFull), abundance indices (Urec and Ufull), recruits and fully recruited mean weights in the stock, and catchability ratio (Srat). M=0.7.

| Year | CatRec | CatFull | Urec | Ufull | Wrec | Wfull | Srat |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1984 | 6455.20 | 1432.40 | 233.758 | 375.103 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 1985 | 23616.00 | 1680.36 | 376.098 | 226.393 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 1986 | 917.33 | 385.20 | 44.188 | 97.024 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 1987 | 2102.31 | 464.56 | 542.236 | 87.587 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 1988 | 529.28 | 5460.05 | 98.606 | 952.856 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 1989 | 2658.36 | 3431.79 | 2314.218 | 755.358 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 1990 | 1415.95 | 14213.00 | 234.942 | 584.425 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 1991 | 2653.30 | 1890.71 | 676.784 | 119.459 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 1992 | 88013.00 | 25913.00 | 1060.78 | 348.704 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 1993 | 4992.73 | 4069.87 | 1066.829 | 693.358 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 1994 | 36190.20 | 5173.00 | 2428.357 | 1034.417 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 1995 | 16646.70 | 16756.90 | 1224.777 | 1127.127 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 1996 | 2117.90 | 9392.90 | 186.131 | 549.401 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 1997 | 5674.80 | 1864.70 | 591.862 | 609.265 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 1998 | 8933.10 | 4124.10 | 1171.05 | 1781.55 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 1999 | 15828.90 | 3205.60 | 2534.528 | 647.718 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 2000 | 11648.70 | 5803.10 | 1069.528 | 1159.739 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 2001 | 8279.80 | 6420.40 | 883.058 | 1260.36 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 2002 | 10442.00 | 1850.30 | 1152.328 | 916.387 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 2003 | 12528.70 | 3449.10 | 1842.261 | 356.66 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 2004 | 9283.20 | 1541.50 | 1638.869 | 435.894 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 2005 | 22364.30 | 708.00 | 3017.097 | 349.112 | 4.5 | 9.67 | 1 |

Table 8.6.2. North Sea sprat. CSA output.Estimated 1-year old (RecN) and 2+(FullN) numbers in stock, total stock biomass, fishing mortality and harvest rates for the 1 -year old and the $2+$.

| Year | RecN | Fulln | TSBiom F* |  | HRrec | HRfull | CatRec | CatFull | Sratio | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 14250.8 | 22211.6 | 278914.3 | 0.244 | 0.453 | 0.064 | 6455.2 | 1432.4 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 1985 | 24852.8 | 14189.8 | 249053.1 | 1.044 | 0.950 | 0.118 | 23616.0 | 1680.4 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 1986 | 2960.4 | 6826.2 | 79331.3 | 0.143 | 0.310 | 0.056 | 917.3 | 385.2 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 1987 | 78536.0 | 4213.1 | 394152.3 | 0.032 | 0.027 | 0.110 | 2102.3 | 464.6 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 1988 | 6580.7 | 39817.3 | 414646.1 | 0.138 | 0.080 | 0.137 | 529.3 | 5460.1 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 1989 | 61086.5 | 20066.3 | 468930.7 | 0.078 | 0.044 | 0.171 | 2658.4 | 3431.8 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 1990 | 10867.1 | 37275.0 | 409351.4 | 0.393 | 0.130 | 0.381 | 1416.0 | 14213.0 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 1991 | 39547.5 | 16145.6 | 334091.4 | 0.085 | 0.067 | 0.117 | 2653.3 | 1890.7 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 1992 | 151100.1 | 25399.9 | 925567.1 | 1.037 | 0.582 | 1.020 | 88013.0 | 25913.0 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 1993 | 76407.9 | 31073.3 | 644314.3 | 0.088 | 0.065 | 0.131 | 4992.7 | 4069.9 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 1994 | 136945.1 | 48873.2 | 1088857.0 | 0.252 | 0.264 | 0.106 | 36190.2 | 5173.0 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 1995 | 73460.7 | 71734.3 | 1024243.5 | 0.261 | 0.227 | 0.234 | 16646.7 | 16756.9 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 1996 | 12772.8 | 55513.9 | 594297.5 | 0.185 | 0.166 | 0.169 | 2117.9 | 9392.9 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 1997 | 66390.1 | 28194.1 | 571392.3 | 0.083 | 0.085 | 0.066 | 5674.8 | 1864.7 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 1998 | 61760.0 | 43225.1 | 695906.9 | 0.133 | 0.145 | 0.095 | 8933.1 | 4124.1 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 1999 | 133095.7 | 45650.1 | 1040366.6 | 0.113 | 0.119 | 0.070 | 15828.9 | 3205.6 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 2000 | 63138.5 | 79310.3 | 1051053.3 | 0.131 | 0.184 | 0.073 | 11648.7 | 5803.1 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 2001 | 44796.7 | 62071.6 | 801818.0 | 0.148 | 0.185 | 0.103 | 8279.8 | 6420.4 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 2002 | 41778.9 | 45769.4 | 630594.5 | 0.151 | 0.250 | 0.040 | 10442.0 | 1850.3 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 2003 | 61631.2 | 37371.0 | 638718.0 | 0.176 | 0.203 | 0.092 | 12528.7 | 3449.1 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 2004 | 60108.6 | 41228.7 | 669170.3 | 0.113 | 0.154 | 0.037 | 9283.2 | 1541.5 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 2005 | 183816.1 | 44947.2 | 1261812.1 | 0 | 0.122 | 0.016 | 22364.3 | 708.0 | 1 | 0.7 |

Sprat catches 2005, 1st Quarter


Figure 8.1.1a. Sprat catches (in tonnes) in the North Sea and Div. IIIa in 2005 by statistical rectangle. Working group estimates. First quarter.

## Sprat catches 2005, 2nd Quarter



Figure 8.1.1b. Sprat catches (in tonnes) in the North Sea and Div. IIIa in 2005 by statistical rectangle. Working group estimates. Second quarter.

## Sprat catches 2005, 3rd Quarter



Figure 8.1.1c. Sprat catches (in tonnes) in the North Sea and Div. IIIa in 2005 by statistical rectangle. Working group estimates. Third quarter.

## Sprat catches 2005, 4th Quarter



Figure 8.1.1d. Sprat catches (in tonnes) in the North Sea and Div. IIIa in 2005 by statistical rectangle. Working group estimates. Fourth quarter.

## Sprat catches 2005, All Quarters



Figure 8.1.2.. Sprat catches (in tonnes) in the North Sea and Div. IIIa in 2005 by statistical rectangle. Working group estimates. All quarters.

## Sprat 1-ringers IBTS 1st Quarter 2006



Figure 8.3.1a. Sprat. Distribution of age group 1 in the IBTS (February) 2006 in the North Sea and Division IIIa (Mean number per hour per rectangle).

## Sprat 2-ringers IBTS 1st Quarter 2006



Figure 8.3.1b. Sprat. Distribution of age group 2 in the IBTS (February) 2006 in the North Sea and Division IIIa (Mean number per hour per rectangle).

## Sprat 3+ ringers IBTS 1st Quarter 2006



Figure 8.3.1c. Distribution of age group 3+ in the IBTS (February) 2006 in the North Sea and Division IIIa (Mean number per hour per rectangle).

## Sprat 1-ringer mean length IBTS 1st Q 2006



Figure 8.3.2. Sprat. Mean length (mm) of age group 1 in the IBTS (February) 2006 in the North Sea and Division IIIa.


Figure 8.6.1. North Sea sprat. Estimation of the s ratio by SSQ profiling


Model fits to IBTS 1 yr

Figure 8.6.2. North Sea sprat. CSA model fits to the IBTS indices of recruits (1yr) and fully recruited (2+yr). $\mathrm{M}=0.7$.


Figure 8.6.3. North Sea sprat. Biomass ( $\mathbf{t}$ ) and numbers (mill) at age estimated by CSA. M=0.7


Log residuals from the $2+$ fit


Figure 8.6.4. North Sea sprat. Log residuals from the CSA model fit to the two stages 1 yr and 2+year.M=0.7.


Figure 8.6.5. North Sea sprat. Length composition (\%) in the age groups 1 (upper) and 2 (lower) by year in the IBTS surveys, 1st quarter 1990-2006.

## 



Figure 8.6.6. North Sea sprat. CSA estimated stock biomass, median, 5 and $95 \%$ C.I. for $\mathbf{M}=0.7$. Stock biomass estimates for $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{0 . 8}$ is shown for comparison.


Figure 8.6.7. North Sea sprat. CSA estimated biomass, retrospective plot ( $\mathrm{M}=0.7$ ).


Figure 8.6.8. North Sea sprat. CSA retrospective plots of estimated biomass from different time series using different s . $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{0 . 7}$.


Fig. 8.7.1. Sprat biomass prediction in 2007 for a range of catches in 2006 equal to the agreed TAC and $+/-10$ and 25\%.


Figure 8.7.2. North Sea sprat. IBTS indices vs total catch (1987-2005). A fitted regression line results in a R-sq of 0.24 . Arrow indicates the total IBTS index in $20061^{\text {st }}$ quarter.

## 9 Sprat in Division IIIa

### 9.1 The Fishery

### 9.1.1 ACFM advice applicable for 2005 and 2006

The ACFM advice on sprat management is that exploitation of sprat will be limited by the restrictions imposed on fisheries for juvenile herring. This is a result of sprat being fished mainly together with juvenile herring. The sprat fishery is controlled by by-catch ceilings of herring as well as by-catch percentage limits. No ACFM advice on sprat TAC has been given in recent years. The sprat TAC for 2005 was $50,000 \mathrm{t}$, with a restriction on by-catches of herring not exceeding $21,000 \mathrm{t}$. For 2006 the TAC was set to $52,000 \mathrm{t}$, with a restriction of a by-catch ceiling of herring of 20,528 t for the EU fleet.

### 9.1.2 Landings

The total landings for Division IIIa by area and country are given in Table 9.1.1 for 1974 2005. The total landings almost doubled from 2004 to 2005. This increase in landings was mostly in the Kattegat where the total landings were doubled from $10,200 \mathrm{t}$ to $21,800 \mathrm{t}$. In the Skagerrak, the landings were slightly higher than the level in 2004. The Norwegian and Swedish landings include the coastal and fjord fisheries.

Landings by countries and by quarter are shown in Table 9.1.2. There were landings taken in all quarters. Approximately $50 \%$ of the total catch was taken in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter. Only minor landings were taken in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ quarter. Denmark has a total ban on the sprat fishery in Division IIIa from May to September.

The Danish monitoring scheme for management purposes for species composition in the Danish small-meshed fisheries has worked well in 2005. A total of 315 samples were collected from landings taken in Division IIIa by Danish vessels. The sampling figure for 2004 was 293 samples. The total landings from the Danish small mesh fishery in 2005 were $56,800 \mathrm{t}$ (all species) compared to 52,100 t in 2004.

### 9.1.3 Fleets

Fleets from Denmark, Norway and Sweden carry out the sprat fishery in Division IIIa.
The Danish sprat fishery consists of trawlers using a 16 mm -mesh size codend and all landings are used for fishmeal and oil production. Some of the sprat landings from Denmark and Sweden are by-catches in the herring fishery using 32 mm mesh-size cod ends.

There is a Swedish fishery directed at sprat with by-catches of herring. There is also a fishery carried out with small purse seiners at the West Coast of Sweden for human consumption.

The Norwegian sprat fishery in Division IIIa is a coastal purse seine fishery for human consumption.

### 9.2 Biological Composition of the Catch

### 9.2.1 Catches in number and weight-at-age

The numbers and the mean weight-at-age in the landings from 1995 to 2005 are presented in Table 9.2.1 and Table 9.2.2, respectively. Landings, for which samples were collected, were raised using a combination of Swedish and Danish samples, without any differentiation in
types of fleets. Quarterly and annual distributions of catches by rectangle are shown in Figures 8.1.1-8.1.2.

### 9.2.2 Quality of catch and biological data

In 2005 Denmark has provided biological samples from all the quarters where there were landings except quarter 1 in Skagerrak. Sweden provided biological samples from the majority of quarters with landings. No Norwegian samples were collected. The required level of one sample per 1,000 t landed was more than met in 2005 with 128 samples from a total landing of 40,296 tonnes.

The samples were used to estimate the numbers of sprat-at-age and the mean weight-at-age, in all sprat landings (Tables 9.2.1 and Table 9.2.2 respectively). The sample size ( 128 samples) has increased compared to the level in 2004 ( 71 samples). Therefore, data from the industrial landings were used for the estimation of numbers of sprat-at-age and the mean weight-at-age. Details on the sampling for biological data per country, area and quarter are shown in Table 9.2.3.

### 9.3 Fishery-independent information

Acoustic estimates of sprat have been available from the ICES co-ordinated Herring Acoustic surveys in Div. IIIa since 1996. In 1996 the total estimate was $7.9 \times 10^{8}$ fish or 14,267 tonnes. About 95 \% of the biomass was recorded in Kattegat. There were very low estimates of sprat from 1997 to 2002, but the estimates increased to 15,000 tonnes in 2004. In Division IIIa, in the south-eastern the Kattegat, the abundance and total biomass was estimated to have increased to about 4570 million individuals, equivalent to 54,000 tonnes, and in the south western part of the Skagerrak about 490 million individuals, equivalent to 5,800 tonnes (ICES CM 2006/LRC:04).

The IBTS (February) sprat indices for 1984-2006 are presented in Table 9.3.1. The IBTS data are provided by rectangle in Figure 8.3.1 for age groups 1, 2 and 3+, and the mean length (mm) of 1-ringer sprat in Figure 8.3.2. The indices are calculated as mean no./hr (CPUE) weighted by area where water depths are between 10 and 150 m (ICES 1995/Assess:13). The indices were revised in 2002 (ICES 2002/ACFM:12) based on an agreement in the IBTS WG in 1999, where it was decided to calculate the sprat index as an area weighted mean over means by rectangles for the IIIa (ICES 1999/D:2). The old time-series of IBTS indices (from 1984-2001) is shown in ICES 2001/ACFM:10.

The total IBTS index for 2006 is much higher than the total index in 2005, and the highest in the whole time-series. This extraordinarily high value is due to a very high index for 2-group sprat, which is based on one single haul, which gives rise to doubts over the validity of this index-value.

### 9.4 Mean weight-at-age

Mean weights-at-age (g) in the catches during 2005 are presented, by quarter, in Table 9.2.2. The table includes mean weights-at-age for 1995-2004 for comparison. These have been very variable over time, but whether this is due to actual variation in mean weight or difficulties in ageing of sprat is uncertain.

### 9.5 Recruitment

For this stock the IBTS index for 1-group sprat in the first quarter is considered the most suitable recruitment index. The 1-group index for 2006 is at the level of the average for the time-series (1984-2006). The procedure for the 2005 survey did not differ from previous
years. However, the index does not fully reflect strong and weak cohorts in sprat seen in the catch. This was also expressed in previous working group report (ICES 1998 ACFM :14). This can still be linked to difficulties in age determination

### 9.6 State of the Stock

No assessments of the sprat stock in Division IIIa have been presented since 1985 and this year is no exception. A Schaefer model was fit to the data in 1999 (ICES 1999/ACFM:12) but that attempt was not successful and was subsequently abandoned. In 2003 and 2004 the Working Group agreed to explore the data for sprat in Division IIIa by means of Catch-Survey Analysis (CSA) as performed for sprat in the North Sea (ICES 2003/ACFM:17). This was redone this year with the time series 1994-2005. The mean weights used for recruitment and fully recruited, were the same as used for the North Sea, except for the three most recent years, where mean weight at age was available from the IBTS database. The attempt was not successful, suggesting scaling problems and input-data problems, which have not yet been solved by the working group.

Although the signal in the IBTS (February)-index for 2006 suggests an increase in the sprat stock from last year and appears to be the highest for the time-series 1984-2006, however as this is due to just one haul the full state of the stock is unknown.

### 9.7 Projection of Catch and Stock

There is no relationship between the IBTS (February) index (no./h) and the total catch in the same year and the index is not considered useful for management of sprat in Division IIIa.

If the IBTS was to be used, the estimated yield for 2006 would be at the level of 66000 tonnes (Table 9.6.1) in a SHOT-estimate (Shepherd, 1991). This would be the highest estimated yield for the period; however, this method is not considered to provide any reliable or robust projection under the present management regime and as mentioned above the IBTS index is poor for this particular stock (Figure 9.7.1).

### 9.8 Reference Points

There are no reference points defined for this stock.

### 9.9 Management Considerations

Sprat in Division IIIa is short-lived with large inter-annual fluctuations in stock biomass. The natural inter-annual variability in stock abundance, mainly driven by recruitment variability, is high and does not appear to be strongly influenced by the observed levels of fishing effort.

The sprat has mainly been fished together with herring, except for 1994 and 1995 when a directed sprat fishery was carried out with low by-catches of herring. The human consumption fishery takes only a minor proportion of the total catch. With the current management regime, where there is a by-catch ceiling limitation of herring as well as by-catch percentage limits, the sprat fishery is controlled by these factors. However, in 2005 the fishery of sprat was limited by quota restriction on sprat and not by by-catch restrictions on herring. The same situation may occur in 2006.

Attempts to assess this stock have demonstrated the need for:

- Development of a suitable biomass index
- Improvement of the ageing techniques

Effort should be allocated into the development of a more suitable method for projection of catch and stock. There is also a need for better knowledge of spawning seasons and possible recruitment from the North Sea stock.

Table 9.1.1 Division Illa sprat. Landings in ('000 t) 1974-2005.
(Data provided by Working Group members). These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.
In the period from 1982 to 1992 Sweden only reported total catches from division IIla.

| Year | Skagerrak |  |  |  | Kattegat |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Div. IIIa } \\ \text { Sweden } \end{gathered}$ | Div. IIIa <br> total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Denmark | Sweden | Norway | Total | Denmark | Sweden | Total |  |  |
| 1974 | 17.9 | 2 | 1.2 | 21.1 | 31.6 | 18.6 | 50.2 |  | 71.3 |
| 1975 | 15 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 19 | 60.7 | 20.9 | 81.6 |  | 100.6 |
| 1976 | 12.8 | 2.6 | 2 | 17.4 | 27.9 | 13.5 | 41.4 |  | 58.8 |
| 1977 | 7.1 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 10.5 | 47.1 | 9.8 | 56.9 |  | 67.4 |
| 1978 | 26.6 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 31.5 | 37 | 9.4 | 46.4 |  | 77.9 |
| 1979 | 33.5 | 8.1 | 1.8 | 43.4 | 45.8 | 6.4 | 52.2 |  | 95.6 |
| 1980 | 31.7 | 4 | 3.4 | 39.1 | 35.8 | 9 | 44.8 |  | 83.9 |
| 1981 | 26.4 | 6.3 | 4.6 | 37.3 | 23 | 16 | 39 |  | 76.3 |
| 1982 | 10.5 |  | 1.9 | 12.4 | 21.4 |  | 21.4 | 5.9 | 39.7 |
| 1983 | 3.4 |  | 1.9 | 5.3 | 9.1 |  | 9.1 | 13.0 | 27.4 |
| 1984 | 13.2 |  | 1.8 | 15 | 10.9 |  | 10.9 | 10.2 | 36.1 |
| 1985 | 1.3 |  | 2.5 | 3.8 | 4.6 |  | 4.6 | 11.3 | 19.7 |
| 1986 | 0.4 |  | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.9 |  | 0.9 | 8.4 | 10.8 |
| 1987 | 1.4 |  | 0.4 | 1.8 | 1.4 |  | 1.4 | 11.2 | 14.4 |
| 1988 | 1.7 |  | 0.3 | 2 | 1.3 |  | 1.3 | 5.4 | 8.7 |
| 1989 | 0.9 |  | 1.1 | 2 | 3.0 |  | 3 | 4.8 | 9.8 |
| 1990 | 1.3 |  | 1.3 | 2.6 | 1.1 |  | 1.1 | 6.0 | 9.7 |
| 1991 | 4.2 |  | 1.0 | 5.2 | 2.2 |  | 2.2 | 6.6 | 14.0 |
| 1992 | 1.1 |  | 0.6 | 1.7 | 2.2 |  | 2.2 | 6.6 | 10.5 |
| 1993 | 0.6 | 4.7 | 1.3 | 6.6 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 2.5 |  | 9.1 |
| 1994 | 47.7 | 32.2 | 1.8 | 81.7 | 11.7 | 2.6 | 14.3 |  | 96.0 |
| 1995 | 29.1 | 9.7 | 0.5 | 39.3 | 11.7 | 4.6 | 16.3 |  | 55.6 |
| 1996 | 7.0 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 11.5 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 6.5 |  | 18.0 |
| 1997 | 7.0 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 10.5 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 5.3 |  | 15.8 |
| 1998 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 1.0 | 10.1 | 7.3 | 1.0 | 8.3 |  | 18.4 |
| 1999 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 0.2 | 13.4 | 10.4 | 2.9 | 13.3 |  | 26.7 |
| 2000 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 10.3 | 7.7 | 2.1 | 9.8 |  | 20.1 |
| 2001 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 1.4 | 11.2 | 14.9 | 3.0 | 18.0 |  | 29.1 |
| 2002 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 9.9 | 1.4 | 11.4 |  | 17.7 |
| 2003 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 5.6 | 7.9 | 3.1 | 10.9 |  | 16.5 |
| 2004 | 6.2 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 11.8 | 8.2 | 2.0 | 10.2 |  | 22.0 |
| 2005 | 12.1 | 5.7 | 0.7 | 18.5 | 19.8 | 2.1 | 21.8 |  | 40.3 |

Table 9.1.2. Division Illa sprat. Landings of sprat ('000 t) by quarter by countries, 1995-2005.
(Data provided by the Working Group members)

|  | Quarter | Denmark | Norway | Sweden | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 1 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 4.8 | 9.7 |
|  | 2 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 11.3 |
|  | 3 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 21.6 |
|  | 4 | 6.3 | 0.4 | 6.3 | 13.0 |
|  | Total | 40.8 | 0.5 | 14.3 | 55.6 |
| 1996 | 1 | 5.6 | + | 4.2 | 9.8 |
|  | 2 | 3.4 |  | 0.2 | 3.6 |
|  | 3 | + | 0.4 | + | 0.4 |
|  | 4 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 4.2 |
|  | Total | 10.4 | 1.0 | 6.6 | 18.0 |
| 1997 | 1 | 0.7 | - | 0.3 | 1.0 |
|  | 2 | 0.4 | - | 1.2 | 1.6 |
|  | 3 | 2.3 | - | 0.1 | 2.4 |
|  | 4 | 8.2 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 10.8 |
|  | Total | 11.6 | 0.4 | 3.8 | 15.8 |
| 1998 | 1 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.2 |
|  | 2 | 0.9 |  | + | 0.9 |
|  | 3 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.8 |
|  | 4 | 5.4 | 0.7 | 5.7 | 11.7 |
|  | Total | 11.4 | 1.1 | 6.1 | 18.6 |
| 1999 | 1 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 7.5 |
|  | 2 | 0.1 |  | 0.2 | 0.3 |
|  | 3 | 7.4 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 9.4 |
|  | 4 | 6.2 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 9.6 |
|  | Total | 17.2 | 0.2 | 9.3 | 26.7 |
| 2000 | 1 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 6.5 |
|  | 2 | 0.0 |  | 1.9 | 1.9 |
|  | 3 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 4.9 |
|  | 4 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 6.8 |
|  | Total | 12.7 | 0.9 | 6.4 | 20.0 |
| 2001 | 1 | 2.5 |  | 2.6 | 5.2 |
|  | 2 | 6.6 |  | 0.1 | 6.7 |
|  | 3 | 10.2 |  | 0.1 | 10.2 |
|  | 4 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 4.8 | 7.1 |
|  | Total | 20.2 | 1.4 | 7.6 | 29.1 |
| 2002 | 1 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 5.2 |
|  | 2 | 2.1 |  | 0.4 | 2.4 |
|  | 3 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 6.0 |
|  | 4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 4.1 |
|  | Total | 13.4 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 17.7 |
| 2003 | 1 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 5.3 |
|  | 2 | 0.6 |  | 0.8 | 1.4 |
|  | 3 | 1.0 |  | 0.7 | 1.7 |
|  | 4 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 8.1 |
|  | Total | 10.2 | 0.8 | 5.5 | 16.5 |
| 2004 | 1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 4.5 |
|  | 2 | 0.6 |  | 0.9 | 1.5 |
|  | 3 | 3.7 |  | 0.4 | 4.1 |
|  | 4 | 6.9 | 1.1 | 3.8 | 11.9 |
|  | Total | 14.4 | 1.1 | 6.5 | 22.0 |
| 2005 | 1 | 6.5 |  | 1.7 | 8.1 |
|  | 2 | 4.6 |  | 0.1 | 4.7 |
|  | 3 | 18.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 20.1 |
|  | 4 | 2.1 |  | 5.2 | 7.3 |
|  | Total | 31.9 | 0.7 | 7.7 | 40.3 |

[^5]Table 9.2.1
Division Illa sprat. Landed numbers (millions) of sprat by age groups in 1996-2005.

|  | Quarter |  |  | Age |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5+ |  |
| 1996 | 1 |  | 288.42 | 546.53 | 62.11 | 15.65 | 5.07 | 917.78 |
|  | 2 |  | 0.89 | 414.10 | 42.76 | 0.71 | 0.06 | 458.51 |
|  | 3 |  | 0.34 | 1.81 | 0.30 | 0.02 |  | 2.47 |
|  | 4 |  | 31.19 | 165.65 | 27.34 | 2.03 |  | 226.21 |
|  | Total |  | 320.84 | 1128.08 | 132.51 | 18.41 | 5.13 | 1,604.97 |
| 1997 | 1 |  |  | 3.43 | 18.31 | 20.60 | 4.59 | 46.94 |
|  | 2 |  | 1.00 | 2.76 | 19.56 | 1.51 | 0.25 | 25.07 |
|  | 3 | 4.35 | 209.25 | 9.51 | 1.92 | 6.24 |  | 231.26 |
|  | 4 | 32.39 | 644.28 | 58.31 | 7.16 | 28.02 |  | 770.16 |
|  | Total | 36.74 | 854.53 | 74.01 | 46.95 | 56.37 | 4.84 | 1,073.43 |
| 1998 | 1 |  | 14.91 | 103.38 | 94.00 | 76.99 | 6.34 | 295.61 |
|  | 2 |  | 3.24 | 21.49 | 20.59 | 16.63 | 1.33 | 63.28 |
|  | 3 | 53.62 | 26.03 | 41.84 | 5.65 | 0.74 |  | 127.88 |
|  | 4 | 192.13 | 253.98 | 226.55 | 53.14 | 29.80 |  | 755.61 |
|  | Total | 245.75 | 298.16 | 393.25 | 173.38 | 124.17 | 7.67 | 1,242.38 |
| 1999 | 1 | 0.0 | 560.5 | 158.0 | 151.2 | 77.4 | 6.8 | 953.9 |
|  | 2 |  | 32.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 37.6 |
|  | 3 | 9.6 | 741.7 | 46.7 | 6.3 | 5.9 |  | 810.0 |
|  | 4 | 8.5 | 645.4 | 20.5 | 6.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 682.1 |
|  | Total | 18.0 | 1,980.4 | 226.8 | 166.0 | 85.0 | 7.4 | 2,483.6 |
| 2000 | 1 |  | 116.6 | 384.3 | 40.3 | 7.3 | 1.6 | 550.0 |
|  | 2 |  | 17.3 | 127.4 | 11.2 |  |  | 155.9 |
|  | 3 | 2.1 | 223.3 | 51.4 | 12.2 |  |  | 289.1 |
|  | 4 | 18.0 | 277.6 | 81.4 | 13.1 | 0.8 |  | 390.9 |
|  | Total | 20.2 | 634.8 | 644.6 | 76.8 | 8.1 | 1.6 | 1,386.0 |
| 2001 | 1 | 0.0 | 342.6 | 173.0 | 73.3 | 10.0 | 1.6 | 600.4 |
|  | 2 | 0.0 | 1746.4 | 13.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,760.2 |
|  | 3 | 5.7 | 924.1 | 31.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 961.5 |
|  | 4 | 22.9 | 488.1 | 39.1 | 18.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 570.6 |
|  | Total | 28.6 | 3,501.2 | 257.2 | 92.2 | 11.5 | 2.1 | 3,892.8 |
| 2002 | 1 | 0.0 | 63.8 | 323.2 | 38.5 | 24.7 | 2.4 | 452.6 |
|  | 2 | 0.0 | 185.5 | 63.2 | 4.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 254.5 |
|  | 3 | 1.3 | 326.2 | 102.0 | 23.9 | 6.6 | 0.6 | 460.5 |
|  | 4 | 21.3 | 205.4 | 45.9 | 10.6 | 5.9 | 0.4 | 289.6 |
|  | Total | 22.5 | 780.9 | 534.3 | 77.9 | 38.2 | 3.4 | 1,457.2 |
| 2003 | 1 | 0.0 | 17.5 | 221.4 | 100.7 | 17.6 | 4.3 | 361.5 |
|  | 2 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 49.8 | 24.0 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 84.1 |
|  | 3 | 192.7 | 10.9 | 31.6 | 5.4 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 243.3 |
|  | 4 | 321.6 | 131.7 | 100.6 | 42.5 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 602.2 |
|  | Total | 514.3 | 162.7 | 403.4 | 172.6 | 29.2 | 8.8 | 1,291.1 |
| 2004 | 1 |  | 539.6 | 39.3 | 47.2 | 20.7 | 8.0 | 654.8 |
|  | 2 |  | 36.7 | 22.3 | 44.9 | 11.8 | 1.1 | 116.8 |
|  | 3 | 10.0 | 254.4 | 19.4 | 4.1 | 2.4 |  | 290.3 |
|  | 4 | 874.0 | 366.8 | 33.0 | 24.9 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 1,302.3 |
|  | Total | 883.9 | 1,197.5 | 113.9 | 121.1 | 38.3 | 9.3 | 2,364.2 |
| 2005 | 1 | 0.0 | 1609.1 | 185.6 | 25.5 | 17.4 | 5.1 | 1,842.7 |
|  | 2 | 0.0 | 827.1 | 19.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 846.9 |
|  | 3 | 1.8 | 1557.0 | 91.3 | 9.9 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 1,672.9 |
|  | 4 | 11.5 | 447.4 | 60.5 | 7.3 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 531.3 |
|  | Total | 13.4 | 4,440.6 | 356.6 | 43.3 | 34.2 | 5.8 | 4,893.9 |

Table 9.2.2. Division Illa Sprat. Quarterly mean weight-at-age (g) in the landings.
(1998-2005 Danish and Swedish data, 1996-1997 Danish data)

| Year | Age |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5+ | SOPCorrected landings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 |  | 9.2 | 10.6 | 14.2 | 17.4 | 17.7 | 9,724 |
|  |  | 8.6 | 12.5 | 15.1 | 17.4 | 17.0 | 5,847 |
|  |  | 4.2 | 10.9 | 15.5 | 21.0 |  | 26 |
| 4 |  | 4.2 | 10.9 | 15.5 | 21.0 |  | 2,403 |
| Weighted mean |  | 8.7 | 7.6 | 14.8 | 19.6 | 17.7 | 18,000.3 |
| 1997 |  |  | 17.3 | 18.6 | 21.8 | 26.0 | 968 |
|  |  | 8.3 | 17.6 | 20.0 | 22.1 | 31.0 | 489 |
|  | 4.1 | 13.6 | 17.2 | 21.1 |  |  | 3,062 |
|  | 4.7 | 14.7 | 17.5 |  | 19.5 |  | 11,176 |
| Weighted mean | 4.6 | 14.4 | 17.5 | 19.6 | 20.4 | 26.3 | 15,696.2 |
| 1998 |  | 6.6 | 14.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 21.3 | 4,828 |
|  |  | 6.6 | 13.9 | 17.8 | 18.7 | 21.0 | 1,027 |
|  | 4.6 | 17.7 | 20.7 | 22.1 | 24.7 |  | 1,718 |
| 4 | 4.8 | 17.5 | 20.4 | 22.5 | 27.5 |  | 11,998 |
| Weighted mean | 4.8 | 16.9 | 18.5 | 19.6 | 21.2 | 21.2 | 19,570.0 |
| 1999 |  | 4.6 | 6.4 | 17.3 | 13.4 | 13.1 | 7,319 |
|  |  | 5.3 | 17.1 | 18.6 | 22.2 | 17.8 | 264 |
|  | 3.0 | 11.4 | 12.6 | 16.8 | 18.3 |  | 9,257 |
|  | 4.8 | 13.9 | 17.6 | 20.8 | 21.2 | 23.5 | 9,521 |
| Weighted mean | 3.8 | 10.2 | 8.8 | 17.4 | 13.9 | 13.7 | 26,361.0 |
| 2000 |  | 5.3 | 13.1 | 15.3 | 20.7 | 22.7 | 6,438 |
|  |  | 5.2 | 12.8 | 14.1 |  |  | 1,873 |
|  | 4.3 | 16.6 | 18.0 | 21.9 |  |  | 4,897 |
| 4 | 7.0 | 16.9 | 19.9 | 22.1 | 24.6 |  | 6,742 |
| Weighted mean | 6.7 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 17.3 | 21.1 | 22.7 | 19,949.3 |
| 2001 |  | 3.8 | 14.3 | 16.2 | 17.8 | 17.3 | 5,168 |
|  |  | 3.7 | 6.5 | 21.0 |  |  | 6,598 |
|  | 5.3 | 10.5 | 12.1 |  | 13.0 |  | 10,114 |
|  | 5.1 | 12.0 | 19.7 | 22.6 | 19.3 | 25.6 | 7,200 |
| Weighted mean | 5.1 | 6.7 | 14.5 | 17.5 | 18.0 | 19.2 | 29,078.5 |
| 2002 |  | 5.7 | 12.7 | 17.3 | 19.3 | 20.6 | 5,411 |
|  |  | 7.9 | 13.7 | 16.0 | 17.0 |  | 2,175 |
|  | 8.0 | 12.4 | 15.1 | 18.1 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 5,900 |
| 4 | 5.7 | 15.6 | 18.2 | 21.6 | 21.5 | 22.0 | 4,278 |
| Weighted mean | 5.8 | 11.6 | 13.7 | 18.1 | 19.2 | 20.1 | 17,763.2 |
| 2003 |  | 6.0 | 14.1 | 16.2 | 18.9 | 23.8 | 5,293 |
|  |  | 5.0 | 16.0 | 17.6 | 21.6 | 22.8 | 1,401 |
|  | 4.0 | 12.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 21.0 |  | 1,661 |
| 4 | 8.9 | 16.4 | 21.1 | 21.7 | 25.2 | 24.3 | 8,211 |
| Weighted mean | 7.1 | 14.8 | 16.5 | 17.8 | 20.3 | 23.7 | 16,565.3 |
| 2004 |  | 4.6 | 14.6 | 17.8 | 17.3 | 17.3 | 4,392 |
|  |  | 7.0 | 13.6 | 16.7 | 17.0 | 19.5 | 1,532 |
|  | 3.0 | 14.1 | 16.7 | 20.0 | 21.4 |  | 4,075 |
| 4 | 3.5 | 16.8 | 19.9 | 22.2 | 20.9 | 28.0 | 10,508 |
| Weighted mean | 3.5 | 10.4 | 16.3 | 18.4 | 17.8 | 17.9 | 20,507.7 |
| 2005 |  | 3.0 | 14.6 | 16.3 | 20.3 | 21.1 | 8,149 |
|  |  | 5.4 | 11.7 | 26.8 | 0.0 |  | 4,723 |
|  | 2.9 | 11.9 | 14.6 | 15.4 | 11.0 |  | 20,130 |
|  | 3.3 | 13.1 | 19.1 | 20.1 | 21.1 | 23.1 | 7,300 |
| Weighted mean | 5.0 | 7.6 | 15.4 | 17.1 | 17.2 | 21.5 | 40,301.4 |

Table 9.2.3 Division Illa sprat. Sampling commercial landings for biological samples in 2005.

| Country Area | Quarter | Landings (tonnes) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No. } \\ \text { samples } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No. } \\ \text { meas. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No. } \\ \text { aged } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark | 1 | 1072 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Skagerrak | 2 | 1746 | 7 | 223 | 107 |
|  | 3 | 8041 | 20 | 2,451 | 752 |
|  | 4 | 1214 | 7 | 1,216 | 302 |
|  | Total | 12074 | 34 | 3,890 | 1,161 |
| Denmark | 1 | 5398 | 13 | 2,502 | 798 |
| Kattegat | 2 | 2903 | 8 | 1,049 | 356 |
|  | 3 | 10566 | 34 | 3,737 | 886 |
|  | 4 | 915 | 11 | 1,130 | 349 |
|  | Total | 19782 | 66 | 8,418 | 2,389 |
| Norway | 1 | - |  |  |  |
| Skagerrak | 2 | - |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | - |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 712 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 712 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sweden | 1 | 1428 | 10 | 456 | 453 |
| Skagerrak | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 501 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 3735 | 10 | 500 | 499 |
|  | Total | 5674 | 20 | 956 | 952 |
| Sweden | 1 | 250 | 1 | 104 | 104 |
| Kattegat | 2 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 309 | 3 | 151 | 150 |
|  | 4 | 1433 | 4 | 364 | 363 |
|  | Total | 2054 | 8 | 619 | 617 |
| Denmark |  | 31856 | 100 | 12,308 | 3,550 |
| Norway |  | 712 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sweden |  | 7728 | 28 | 1,575 | 1,569 |
|  | Total | 40296 | 128 | 13,883 | 5,119 |

Table 9.5.1. Division IIIa sprat. IBTS(February) indices of sprat per age group 1984-2006.
(Mean number per hour per rectangle weighted by area. Only hauls taken in deptr of $10-150 \mathrm{~m}$ are included).

| Year | No Rect | No hauls | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5 +}$ | Total |  |  |
| 1984 | 15 | 38 | 5,676 | 869 | 205 | 79 | 64 | 6,892 |  |  |
| 1985 | 14 | 38 | 2,158 | 2,347 | 393 | 140 | 51 | 5,089 |  |  |
| 1986 | 15 | 38 | 629 | 1,979 | 2,035 | 144 | 38 | 4,825 |  |  |
| 1987 | 16 | 38 | 2,736 | 2,846 | 3,003 | 2,582 | 157 | 11,324 |  |  |
| 1988 | 13 | 38 | 915 | 5,263 | 1,485 | 2,088 | 453 | 10,203 |  |  |
| 1989 | 14 | 38 | 414 | 911 | 989 | 555 | 136 | 3,004 |  |  |
| 1990 | 15 | 38 | 418 | 224 | 65 | 61 | 46 | 814 |  |  |
| 1991 | 14 | 38 | 496 | 732 | 700 | 128 | 376 | 2,433 |  |  |
| 1992 | 16 | 38 | 5,994 | 599 | 264 | 204 | 75 | 7,135 |  |  |
| 1993 | 16 | 38 | 1,590 | 4,169 | 907 | 199 | 240 | 7,105 |  |  |
| 1994 | 16 | 38 | 1,789 | 716 | 1,021 | 313 | 70 | 3,908 |  |  |
| 1995 | 17 | 38 | 2,204 | 1,770 | 35 | 45 | 4 | 4,058 |  |  |
| 1996 | 15 | 38 | 186 | 5,627 | 751 | 128 | 218 | 6,909 |  |  |
| 1997 | 16 | 41 | 233 | 391 | 1,239 | 139 | 135 | 2,137 |  |  |
| 1998 | 15 | 39 | 72 | 1,585 | 620 | 1,618 | 522 | 4,416 |  |  |
| 1999 | 16 | 42 | 4,535 | 355 | 250 | 44 | 314 | 5,498 |  |  |
| 2000 | 16 | 41 | 292 | 738 | 60 | 51 | 24 | 1,165 |  |  |
| 2001 | 16 | 42 | 6,540 | 1,144 | 677 | 92 | 46 | 8,499 |  |  |
| 2002 | 16 | 42 | 1,119 | 966 | 87 | 58 | 13 | 2,242 |  |  |
| 2003 | 17 | 46 | 463 | 1,247 | 1,172 | 381 | 125 | 3,388 |  |  |
| 2004 | 16 | 41 | 403 | 49 | 157 | 87 | 24 | 719 |  |  |
| 2005 | 17 | 50 | 3,314 | 1,563 | 471 | 837 | 538 | 6,723 |  |  |
| 2006 | 17 | 48 | 1,324 | 11,856 | 1,754 | 299 | 159 | 15,392 |  |  |

Table 9.6.1. Division Illa Sprat. SHOT forecast of landings in 2006 using total landings and the total IBTS-indices as input data.
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Figure 9.7.1. Division IIIa sprat IBTS indices vs the total catches in 1984-2005.

## 10 Stocks with insufficient data

Two stocks with very low research intensity were poorly described in previous reports in devoted sections or chapters. These were Clyde herring (section 5.11 in ICES 2005a) and sprat in VIId,e (section 9, in ICES 2005a). The advice on these stocks cannot be improved at present. In this section only the times series are maintained. For most recent advice refer to the appropriate sections in last year's HAWG report (ICES 2005a).

There was zero sampling of the catch in 2005 for both Clyde herring and sprat in VIId,e. The catch of Clyde herring in 2005 was low (Table 10.1) as was the catch of sprat in VIId,e (Table 10.2).

Table 10.1
Herring from the Firth of Clyde. Catch in tonnes by country, 1955-2005. Spring and autumn-spawners combined.

| Year | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Catches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4050 | 4848 | 5915 | 4926 | 10530 | 15680 | 10848 | 3989 | 7073 | 14509 | 15096 | 9807 | 7929 | 9433 |
| Year | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |
| All Catches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 10594 | 7763 | 4088 | 4226 | 4715 | 4061 | 3664 | 4139 | 4847 | 3862 | 1951 | 2081 | 2135 |  |
| Year | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| Scotland | 2506 | 2530 | 2991 | 3001 | 3395 | 2895 | 1568 | 2135 | 2184 | 713 | 929 | 852 | 608 | 392 |
| Other UK | - | 273 | 247 | 22 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 194 |
| Unallocated ${ }^{1}$ | 262 | 293 | 224 | 433 | 576 | 278 | 110 | 208 | 75 | 18 | - | - | - | - |
| Discards | 1253 | 1265 | $2308{ }^{3}$ | $1344{ }^{3}$ | $679{ }^{3}$ | 4394 | $245{ }^{4}$ | - ${ }^{2}$ | - ${ }^{2}$ | $-^{2}$ | - ${ }^{2}$ | $-^{2}$ | $-{ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{2}$ |
| Agreed TAC |  |  | 3000 | 3000 | 3100 | 3500 | 3200 | 3200 | 2600 | 2900 | 2300 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| Total | 4021 | 4361 | 5770 | 4800 | 4650 | 3612 | 1923 | 2343 | 2259 | 731 | 929 | 853 | 608 | 586 |


| Year | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scotland | 598 | 371 | 779 | 16 | 1 | 78 | 46 | 88 | - | - |  |
| Other UK | 127 | 475 | 310 | 240 | 0 | 392 | 335 | 240 | - | 318 |  |
| Unallocated ${ }^{1}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Discards | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Agreed TAC | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |  |
| Total | 725 | 846 | 1089 | 256 | 1 | 480 | 381 | 328 | 0 | 318 |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Calculated from estimates of weight per box and in some years estimated by-catch in the sprat fishery ${ }^{3}$ Based on sampling. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Reported to be at a low level, assumed to be zero, for 1989-1995. ${ }^{4}$ Estimated assuming the same discarding rate as in 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 10.2. Sprat VIId,e. Nominal catches of sprat in VIId,e from 1985-2005

| Country | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark |  | 15 | 250 | 2,529 | 2,092 | 608 |  |  |
| France | 14 |  | 23 | 2 | 10 |  |  | 35 |
| Netherlands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UK (Engl.\&Wales) | 3771 | 1163 | 2441 | 2944 | 1319 | 1508 | 2567 | 1790 |
| Total | 3785 | 1178 | 2714 | 5475 | 3421 | 2116 | 2567 | 1825 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Country | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998* | 1999* | 2000* |
| Denmark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| France | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| Netherlands |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| UK (Engl.\&Wales) | 1798 | 3177 | 1515 | 1789 | 1621 | 2024 | 3559 | 1692 |
| Total | 1800 | 3178 | 1515 | 1789 | 1621 | 2024 | 3560 | 1711 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Country | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| Denmark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| France |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Netherlands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UK (Engl.\&Wales) | 1349 | 1196 | 1377 | 836 | 1635 |  |  |  |
| Total | 1349 | 1196 | 1377 | 836 | 1635 |  |  |  |
| * Preliminary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Annex 2: Recommendations
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Stock specific documentation of standard assessment procedures used by ICES.

Stock: North Sea Autumn Spawning Herring (NSAS)<br>Working Group: Herring Assessment WG for the Area south of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$<br>Date:<br>17 March 2005<br>Authors: C. Zimmermann (ed.), J. Dalskov, M. Dickey-Collas, H. Mosegaard, P. Munk, J. Nichols, M. Pastoors, N. Rohlf, E.J. Simmonds, D. Skagen

## A. General

A.1. Stock definition: Autumn spawning herring distributed in ICES area IV, Division IIIa and VIId. Mixing with other stocks occurs especially in Division IIIa (with Western Baltic Spring Spawning herring).

## A.2. Fishery

North Sea Autumn Spawners are exploited by a variety of fleets, ranging from small purse seiners to large freezer trawlers, of different nations (Norway, Denmark, Sweden, Germany, The Netherlands, Belgium, France, UK, Faroe Islands). The majority of the fishery takes place in the Shetland-Orkney area in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter, and in the English Channel (Division VIId) in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter. Juveniles are caught in Division IIIa and as by-catch in the industrial fishery in the central North Sea. For management purposes, 4 fleets are currently defined: Fleet A is harvesting herring for human consumption in IV and VIId, but includes herring bycatches in the Norwegian industrial fishery; fleet B is the industrial (small mesh, $<32 \mathrm{~mm}$ mesh size) fleet of EU nations operating in IV and VIId. North Sea Autumn spawners are also caught in IIIa in fleets C (human consumption) and D (small mesh).

## A.3. Ecosystem aspects:

Herring is the key pelagic species in the North Sea and is thus considered to have major impact as prey and predator to most other fish stocks in that area.

The North Sea is semi-enclosed and situated on the continental shelf of North-western Europe and is bounded by England, Scotland, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Germany, the Netherlands, Belgium and France. It covers an area of $745,950 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ of which the greater part is shallower than 200 m . It is one of the most diverse coastal regions in the world, with a variety of coastal habitats (fjords, estuaries, deltas, banks, beaches, sandbanks and mudflats, marshes, rocks and islands), and four ecological seasons. It is a highly productive ( $>300 \mathrm{gC} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ) ecosystem but with primary productivity varying considerably across the sea. The highest values of primary productivity occur in the coastal regions, influenced by terrestrial inputs of nutrients,
and in areas such as the Dogger Bank and tidal fronts. Changes observed in trophic structure are indicative of a trend towards a decreasing resilience of this ecosystem. This trend is partially a response to inter-annual changes in the physical oceanography of the North Atlantic.

Herring are an integral and important part of the pelagic ecosystem in the North Sea. As plankton feeders they form an important part of the food chain up to the higher trophic levels. Both as juveniles and as adults they are an important source of food for some demersal fish and for sea mammals. Over the past century the top predator, man, has exerted the greatest influence on the abundance and distribution of herring in the North Sea. Spawning stock biomass has fluctuated from estimated highs of around 4.5 million tonnes in the late 1940s to a lows of less than 100,000 tonnes in the late 1970s. The species has demonstrated a robustness in relation to recovery from such low levels once fishing mortality is curtailed in spite of recruitment levels being adversely affected.

Their spawning and nursery areas, being near the coasts, are particularly sensitive and vulnerable to anthropogenic influences. The most serious of these is the ever increasing pressure for marine sand and gravel extraction. This has the potential to seriously damage and destroy the spawning habitat and disturb spawning shoals and destroy spawn if carried out during the spawning season. Similarly, trawling at or close to the bottom in known spawning areas can have the same detrimental effects. It is possible that the disappearance of spawning on the western edge of the Dogger bank could well be attributable to such anthropogenic influences.

In more recent years the oil and gas exploration in the North Sea has represented a potential threat to herring spawning although great care has been taken by the industry to restrict their activities in areas and at times of known herring spawning activity.

## By-catch and Discard

By-catch consists of the retained 'incidental' catch of non-target species and discard is a deliberately (or accidentally) abandoned part of the catch returned to the sea as a result of economic, legal, or personal considerations. This section therefore deals with these two elements of the fishery, looking specifically at fishery-related issues. Cetacean, seabird and other threatened, rare and iconic species which may form part of a by-catch are considered separately in the next section.

Incidental Catch: The incidental catch of non-target species in the North Sea pelagic herring fishery in general is considered to be low. A recent study (Pierce et al, 2002) investigated incidental catch from commercial pelagic trawlers over the period January to August 2001. The target species, herring, accounted for $98 \%$ by weight of the overall catch with an overall incidental catch of $2.3 \%$. Mackerel, which are known to occur in mixed schools with herring in division IVa was the main by-catch species, accounting for $69 \%$ of by-catch by weight. Haddock ( $25.7 \%$ of by-catch by weight), horse mackerel Trachurus trachurus (4.8\%) and whiting Merlangius merlangus ( $0.4 \%$ ) were all present in samples. However, onboard sampling over 2002 by Scottish and German observers found substantial discards of herring, taken as by-catch in the mackerel fishery over the 3 rd and 4th quarters, after herring quotas had been exhausted.

Discards and slipping: The indications are that large-scale discarding is not widespread in the directed North Sea herring fishery. A number of direct-observer surveys have recently been conducted on Scottish and Norwegian pelagic trawlers, based on observation of 222 hauls catching 9,889 tonnes fish (Napier et al, 2002) over 2000-2002. The overall discard rate was $4.2 \%$, although that from pelagic trawlers of $6.6 \%$ was substantially higher than that from pursers ( $0.6 \%$ ). These discard rates were higher than the overall figure of $2.8 \%$ recorded in an earlier study (Napier et al, 1999) which were evenly distributed between pursers and
trawlers. This indicates that the different discard rates between the different fishing types in the later study were more a function of fishing location and stock size compositions rather than any gear-specific size selectivity. Some discarding, in the form of wastage (i.e. fish left meshed in the net or in the cod-end of trawls), was associated with almost all pelagic catches but the actual quantities of fish involved were low ( $2 \%$ of total discarded fish). In both studies by Napier et al., most of the observed discarding occurred through slipping, i.e. opening the net and releasing the fish before they were pumped on-board. This occurred when catch volumes were too small, or the size of fish was too small or the fish were poor in quality. For both pursers and trawlers 'poor' fish quality was a significant cause of discarding. The size of the catch was also a significant cause of discarding from trawlers, either because the catch was too small or too large, with boats either discarding a small proportion or all of the catch. The recent influence of strong herring year classes was apparent in the composition of discards with smaller, younger fish accounting for a high proportion of the fish discarded in 2001. However surveys on the reasons why vessels discarded fish showed that larger discarding events (i.e. those $>500 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) were equally likely to the fish being of poor quality (trawlers) or the catch exceeded the vessel's capacity or market requirements (pursers). No data on survival of discarded fish has been collected but it is considered likely that mortality rates will be significant.

Ecosystem Considerations. The incidental non-target fish catch by directed North Sea herring fisheries appears to be low (ca. 2\%), mainly consisting of mackerel when fishing mixed shoals. This infers that the ecosystem level implications of incidental fish catches are negligible. The discard of unwanted herring, mostly in the form of high-grading to improve catch quality and grade sizes of fish between 2-4 years of age (see Section above) is also low, being around 3,250 tonnes (2000) and 750 tonnes (2001) for the Scottish and Norwegian and Scottish pursers and refrigerated seawater tank (RSW) pelagic trawlers operating in ICES division IVa. For both years, this was equivalent to about $10.4 \%$ by weight of the total landings. Of more concern are discards of herring from other pelagic fisheries, especially that for mackerel, where more substantial discarding of herring occurs when quotas for herring are exhausted. National reports to ICES over 1996 to 2002 suggest that total herring discards have varied between 1,500 tonnes to an unprecedented 17,000 tonnes in 2002 (reflecting onboard sampling by Scotland and Germany that observed substantial discards of herring in the mackerel fishery in the 3rd and 4th quarter in Division IVa (W)). Assuming a distribution and yield of the international mackerel fishery in IVa in 2002 to be similar to that in 2001, herring discards of all fleets could be as high as $50,000 \mathrm{t}$. This would increase the total catch in the North Sea by almost $15 \%$ and would certainly have an influence on the North Sea autumn spawning stock assessment and the perception of stock size. Discarding behaviour appears to have changed again in 2003, when herring TAC has been increased by $50 \%$, and at the same time the mackerel TAC has been reduced by more than $5 \%$.

Interactions with Rare, Protected or Icon Species: Interactions between the directed North Sea herring fishery with rare, protected or icon species are, in general, considered to be exceptional. Species which may interact with the fishery are considered below.

Cetacean by-catch: Since 2000, the Sea Mammal Research Unit (SMRU) of St. Andrew's University in Scotland, under contract to DEFRA, has carried out a number of surveys to estimate the level of by-catch in UK pelagic fisheries. SMRU, in collaboration with the Scottish Pelagic Fishermen's Association, placed observers on board thirteen UK vessels for a total of 190 days at sea, covering 206 trawling operations around the UK. To date, no cetacean by-catch has been observed in the herring pelagic fishery in the North Sea. There is currently an ongoing observer programme in the UK monitoring cetacean by-catch rates in pelagic trawl fisheries with results due at the end of September 2003 and it is understood that this confirms that cetacean by-catch by the pelagic trawl fishery is negligible (Northridge, pers. comm.). Pierce (2002) also reports that no by-catches of marine mammals were observed over 69
studies hauls and considers that the underlying rate for marine mammals in the pelagic fisheries studies (pelagic trawls in IVa and VIa) is no more than 0.05 (i.e. five events per 100 hauls) and may well be considerably lower than this.

Other than the above, there are no reliable estimates of by-catch for pelagic trawl fisheries, though observations have been made and by-catch rates have been established for several fisheries. Kuklik and Skóra (2003) refer to a single record of a harbour porpoise (Phocoena phocoena) bycaught in a herring trawl in the Baltic. Observations in several other pelagic trawl fisheries were reported by Morizur et al. (1999) and Couperus (1997). All appear to agree that incidental catches of cetaceans in the Dutch pelagic trawl fishery are largely restricted to late-winter/early-spring in an area along the continental slope southwest of Ireland.

On 24 July 2003 the European Commission issued a proposal for a Council Regulation to address the problem of cetacean by-catch in various fisheries. For the North Sea (ICES IV) $5 \%$ of pelagic trawl fisheries would have to be monitored by observers. In the eastern channel $5 \%$ of pelagic trips would have to be monitored from April to November but $10 \%$ from December to March. The Commission has asked the Council to adopt this proposal by 1 July 2004.

Seal by-catch: The by-catch of seals in directed pelagic herring fishery in the North Sea is reported to be "very rare" (Aad Jonker, pers. comm.). Independent verification also confirms this to be so, with perhaps one animal being caught by the whole North Sea fleet a year (Bram Couperus (RIVO), pers. comm.). Northridge (2003) observed 49 seals taken in 312 pelagic trawl tows throughout UK waters and reports that the fishery in North-western Scotland has the highest observed seal by-catch levels of UK pelagic trawl fisheries, possible amounting to dozens per year. Although not confirmed, it was assumed that the majority were grey seal Halichoerus grypus. This species is mainly distributed around the Orkneys and Outer Hebrides - out of a UK population of 129,000, only around 7,000 and 5,900 are distributed off the Scottish and English North Sea coasts respectively (SCOS, 2002), and so by-catch rates in the North Sea are likely to be substantially less than off the NW Scottish coast. The eastern Atlantic population of the Grey seal is not considered to be threatened.

Other by-catch: Sharks are occasionally caught by pelagic trawlers in the North Sea, although this is rare with a maximum of two fish per trip (Aad Jonker, pers. comm.). Survival rates are apparently high, with sharks being released during or after the cod-end is being emptied. The species are unknown, although blue shark Prionace glauca, which preys primarily upon schooling fishes such as anchovies, sardines, herring, are known to have been caught by pelagic trawls off the SW English coast (Bram Couperus (RIVO), pers. comm.). Gannets (Morus bassanus), which frequently dive at and around nets, were observed by Napier et al. (2002) entangled in the nets but were not present in samples. Actual mortality rates of caught gannets have not been assessed in detail, and some have been observed alive after release from the gear. An extrapolation from observed mortalities corresponds to around 560 gannet deaths per year, although this is based on a relatively low sample frame. Seabird by-catch in the North Sea is considered to be comparatively rare compared to the NW Scotland where 1-3 birds may be caught, esp. in grounds off St. Kilda (Aad Jonker (former freezer trawler skipper), pers. comm.). RIVO observers in the North Sea only recorded one incident of seabird by-catch over 10 trips (Bram Couperus (RIVO), pers. comm.).

## B. Data

## B.1. Commercial catch:

Commercial catch is obtained from national laboratories of nations exploiting herring in the North Sea. Since 1999 (catch data 1998), these labs have used a spreadsheet to provide all necessary landing and sampling data, which was developed originally for the Mackerel

Working Group (WGMHSA) and further adapted to the special needs of the Herring Assessment Working Group. The current version used for reporting the 2003 catch data was v1.6.4. The majority of commercial catch data of multinational fleets was provided on these spreadsheets and further processed with the SALLOCL-application (Patterson, 1998). This program gives the needed standard outputs on sampling status and biological parameters. It also clearly documents any decisions made by the species co-ordinators for filling in missing data and raising the catch information of one nation/quarter/area with information from another data set.

Transparency of data handling by the Working Group. The current practice of data handling by the Working Group is that the data received by the co-ordinators is available in a folder called "archive". These high-resolution data are not reproduced in the report. The archived data contains the disaggregated dataset (disfad), the allocations of samples to unsampled catches (alloc), the aggregated dataset (sam.out) and (in some cases) a document describing any problems with the data in that year.

Current methods of compiling fisheries assessment data. The species co-ordinator is responsible for compiling the national data to produce the input data for the assessments. In addition to checking the major task involved is to allocate samples of catch numbers, mean length and mean weight-at-age to unsampled catches. There are at present no defined criteria on how this should be done, but the following general process is implemented by the species co-ordinators. Searches are made for appropriate samples by gear (fleet) area quarter, if an exact match is not available the search will move to a neighbouring area if the fishery extends to this area in the same quarter. More than one sample may be allocated to an unsampled catch, in this case a straight mean or weighted mean of the observations may be used. If there are no samples available the search will move to the closest non-adjacent area by gear (fleet) and quarter, but not in all cases.

The Working Group acknowledges the effort some members have made to provide "corrected" data, which in some cases differ significantly from the officially reported catches. Most of this valuable information is gathered on the basis of personal knowledge of the fishery and good relations between the scientist responsible and the fishermen. The WG is aware of the problem that this knowledge might be lost if the scientist leaves, and asks the national laboratories to ensure continuity in data provision. In addition the Working Group recognises and would like to highlight the inherent conflict of interest in obtaining details of unallocated catches by country and increasing the transparency of data handling by the Working Group. This issue will have to be carefully considered in light of any future development by ICES of a standard platform to store all fisheries disaggregated data, particularly with regard to confidentiality.

The WG considered the need of a long-term data storage for commercial catches and sampling, and the documentation of any primary data processing of these data. From 2000 on (catch data for 1999), the latest (consistency checked) versions of the input files together with standard outputs and a documentation of filling-in decisions made by the co-ordinators, ideally in the SALLOC-formats, are stored in a separate "archive" folder. This is updated annually, and the complete collection (which is supposed to be kept confidential as it will contain data on misreporting and unallocated catches) will be available for WG members on request. As there was very little historical information available, WG members were asked to provide as much as possible national catch and historical data sets in any available format which is then stored in a " $\sim$ historic" folder within "Archive". They will be consistency checked and transferred into a database system as soon as this is available.

## B.2. Biological

Catch-at-age data (catch numbers-at-age, mean weights-at-age in the catch, mean length-atage) is derived from the raised national figures received from the national laboratories. The data is obtained either by market sampling or by onboard observers, and processed as described above. For information on recent sampling levels and nations providing samples, see Sec. 2.2. of the most recent HAWG report.

Mean weights-at-age in the stock and proportions mature (maturity ogive) are derived from the June/July international acoustic survey (see next paragraph).

## B.3. Surveys

## B.3.1 Acoustic: ICES Co-ordinated Acoustic Surveys for herring in North Sea, Skagerrak and Kattegat

The ICES Coordinated acoustic surveys started in 1979 around Orkney and Shetland with first major coverage in 1984. An index derived from that survey has been used in assessments since 1994 with the time-series data extending back to 1989. The survey was extended to IIIa to include the overlapping Western Baltic spring spawning stock in 1989, and the index has been used with a number of other tuning indices since 1991. The early survey had occasionally covered VIa (North) during the 1980s and was extended westwards in 1991 to cover the whole of VIa (North) annually since 1991, and provides the only tuning index for VIa (North) herring, By carrying out the co-ordinated survey at the same time from the Kattegat to South of the Hebrides all herring in these areas are covered simultaneously, reducing uncertainly due to area boundaries as well as providing input indices to three distinct stocks. The surveys are co-ordinated under ICES Planning Group for Herring Surveys ICES PGHERS.

At present, six surveys are carried out during late June and July covering most of the continental shelf north of $52^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ in the North Sea and to the west of Scotland to a northern limit of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. The eastern edge of the survey area is bounded by the Norwegian and Danish, Swedish and German coasts, and to the west by the shelf edge between 200 and 400 m depth. The surveys are reported individually in the report of the planning group for herring surveys, and a combined report is prepared from the data from all surveys. The combined survey results provide spatial distributions of herring abundance by number and biomass at age by statistical rectangle; and distributions of mean weight and fraction mature at age.

The acoustic recordings are carried out using Simrad EK60, EK500 and EY500 38 kHz sounder echo-integrator with transducers mounted on the hull, drop keel or towed bodies. Further data analysis is carried out using either BI500, Echoview or Echoann software. The survey track is selected to cover the area giving a basic sampling intensity over the whole area based on the limits of herring densities found in previous years. A transect spacing of 15 nautical miles is used in most parts of the area with the exception of some relatively high density sections, east and west of Shetland, in the Skagerrak where short additional transects were carried out at 7.5 nmi spacing, and in the southern area where a 30 nmi transect spacing is used.

The following target strength to fish length relationships have been used to analyse the data:
herring $\quad$ TS $=20 \log \mathrm{~L}-71.2 \mathrm{~dB}$
sprat $\quad$ TS $=20 \log \mathrm{~L}-71.2 \mathrm{~dB}$
gadoids $\quad \mathrm{TS}=20 \log \mathrm{~L}-67.5 \mathrm{~dB}$
mackerel $\quad \mathrm{TS}=21.7 \log \mathrm{~L}-84.9 \mathrm{~dB}$

Data is reported through standardised data exchange format and combined at FRS Marine Lab Aberdeen. The exchange format currently holds information on the ICES statistical rectangle level, with at least one entry for each rectangle covered, but more flexible strata are accommodated by allowing multiple entries for abundance belonging to different strata. Data submitted consists of the ICES rectangle definition, biological stratum, herring abundance by proportion of Autumn spawners (North Sea and VIa North) and Spring spawners (Western Baltic, age and maturity, and survey weight (survey track length). Data are be presented according to the following age/maturity classes: 1 immature (maturity stage 1 or 2 ), 1 mature (maturity stage $3+$ ), 2 immature, 2 mature, 3 immature, 3 mature, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9+. In addition to proportions at age data on mean weights and mean length are reported at age/maturity by biological strata. Data is combined using an effort weighted mean based on survey effort reported as number of nautical miles of cruise track per statistical rectangle. A combined survey report is produced annually. Apart from the Biomass index for 1-9+-ringers,mean weights at age in the catch and proportions mature are derived from the survey to be used in the NSAS assessment.

## B.3.2 International Bottom Trawl Survey:

The International Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS) started out as a Young Herring Survey (IYHS) in 1966 with the objective of obtaining annual recruitment indices for the combined North Sea herring stocks. It has been carried out every year since, and it was realized that the survey could provide recruitment indices not only for herring, but for roundfish species as well. Examination of the catch data from the 1st quarter IBTS showed that these surveys also gave indications of the abundances of the adult stages of herring, and subsequently the catches have been used for estimating 2-5+ ringer abundances. The surveys are carried out in $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter (February) and in $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter (August-September) using standardized procedures among all participants. The standard gear is a GOV trawl, and at least two hauls are made in each statistical rectangle.

In 1977 sampling for late stage herring larvae was introduced at the IBTS $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter, using Isaccs-Kidd Midwater trawls. These catches appeared as a good indicator of herring recruitment, however examination of IKMT performance showed deficiencies in its catchability for herring larvae, and a more applicable gear, a ring net (MIK) was suggested as an alternative gear. Hence, gear type was changed in the mid 90 'ies, and the MIK has been the standard gear of the program since. This ring net is of 2 meter in diameter, has a long twolegged bridle, and is equipped with a black netting of 1.5 mm mesh size. Oblique hauls are made during night in at least two statistical rectangles.

Indices of 2-5+ ringer herring abundances in the North Sea ( $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ quarter). Fishing gear and survey practices were standardised from 1983, and herring abundance estimates of 2-5+ ringers from 1983 onwards has shown the most consistent results in assessments of these age groups. This series is used in North Sea herring assessment. The catches in DivisionIIIa is not included in this index. Table 2.3.3.1 in the HAWG report shows the time series of abundance estimates of 2-5+ ringers from the 1st quarter IBTS for the whole period.

Index of 1-ringer recruitment in the North Sea ( ${ }^{\text {st }}$ quarter). The 1-ringer index of recruitment is based on trawl catches in the entire survey area, hence, all 1-ringer herring caught in Div IIIa is included in this index. Indices are calculated as an area weighted mean over means by ICES statistical rectangle, and are available for year classes 1977 to recent (Table 2.3.3.3 of HAWG report). The Downs herring hatch later than the other autumn spawned herring and generally appears as a smaller sized group during the 1st quarter IBTS. A recruitment index of smaller sized 1-ringers is calculated using the standard procedure, but solely based on abundance estimates of herring $<13 \mathrm{~cm}$ (see discussion of procedures in earlier reports (ICES CM 2000/ ACFM:10, and ICES CM 2001/ ACFM:12).

MIK index of 0-ringer recruitment in the North Sea ( $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter). The MIK catches of late stage herring larvae is used to calculate and 0 -ringer index of autumn spawned herring in the North Sea. A flowmeter at the gear opening is used for estimation of volume filtered by the gear, and using this information together with information on bottom depth, the density of herring larvae per square meter is estimated. A mean herring density in statistical rectangles is raised to mean within subareas, and based on areas of these subareas an index of total abundance is estimated (see also ICES 1996/Asses:10). The series of estimates for subareas as well as the total index are shown in the actual report's Table 2.3.3.4.

## B.3.3. Larvae:

Surveys of larval herring have a long tradition in the North Sea. Sporadic surveys started around 1880, and available scientific data goes back to the middle of the 20th century. The coordination of the International Herring Larvae Surveys in the North Sea and adjacent waters (IHLS) by ICES started in 1967, and from 1972 onwards all relevant data are achieved in a data base. The surveys are carried out annually to map larval distribution and abundance. Larval abundance estimates are of value as relative indicators of the herring spawning biomass in the assessment.

Nearly all countries surrounding the North Sea have participated in the history of the IHLS. Most effort was undertaken by the Netherlands, Germany, Scotland, England, Denmark and Norway. A number of other nations have contributed occasionally. A sharp reduction in ship time and number of participating nations occurred in the end of the 1980s. Since 1994 only the Netherlands and Germany contribute to the larvae surveys, with one exception in 2000 when also Norway participated.

Larvae Abundance Index (LAI): The total area covered by the surveys is divided into 4 sub areas corresponding to the main spawning grounds. These sub areas have to be sampled in different given time intervals. The sampling grid is standardized and stations are approximately 10 nautical miles apart. The standard gear is a GULF III sampler or one of its national modifications. Newly hatched larvae less than 10 mm total length ( 11 mm for the Southern North Sea) are used in the index calculation. To estimate larval abundance, the mean number of larvae per square meter obtained from the Ichthyoplankton hauls is raised to rectangles of $30 \times 30$ nautical miles and the corresponding surface area. These values are summed up within the given unit and provide the larval abundance per unit and time interval.

Multiplicative Larval Abundance Index (MLAI): The traditional LAI and LPE (Larval Production Estimates) rely on a complete coverage of the survey area. Due to the substantial decline in ship time and sampling effort since the end of the 80s, these indices could not be calculated in their traditional form since 1994. Instead, a multiplicative model was introduced for calculating a Multiplicative Larvae Abundance Index (MLAI, Patterson \& Beveridge, 1995). In this approach the larvae abundances are calculated for a series of sampling units. The total time series of data is used to estimate the year and sampling unit effects on the abundance values. The unit effects are used to fill unsampled units so that an abundance index can be estimated for each year.

Calculation of the linearised multiplicative model was done using the equation:

$$
\ln (\text { Indexyear,LAI unit })=\text { MLAIyear }+ \text { MLAI }_{\text {LAI }} \text { unit + uyear, LAI unit }
$$

where MLAIyear is the relative spawning stock size in each year, $M L A I_{L A I}$ unit are the relative abundances of larvae in each sampling unit and year, LAI unit are the corresponding residuals. The unit effects are converted such that the first sampling unit is used as a reference (Orkney/Shetland 01-15.09.72) and the parameters for the other sampling units are redefined as differences from this reference unit. The model is fitted to abundances of larvae less than

10 mm in length ( 11 mm for SNS). The MLAI is updated annually and represent all larval data since 1972. The time series is used as a biomass index in the herring assessment.

## B.4. Commercial CPUE

Not used for pelagic stocks.

## B.5. Other relevant data

## B.5.1 Separation of North Sea Autumn Spawners and IIIa-type Spring Spawners

North Sea Autumn Spawners and IIIa-type Spring Spawners occur in mixtures in fisheries operating in Divisions IIIa and IVaE (ICES, 1991/Assess:15): mainly 2+ ringers of the Western Baltic spring-spawners and 0-2-ringers from the North Sea autumn-spawners, including winter-spawning Downs herring. In addition, several local spawning stocks have been identified with a minor importance for the herring fisheries (ICES, 2001/ACFM 12).

The method of separating herring in Norwegian samples, using vertebral counts as described in former reports of this Working Group (ICES 1990/ Assess:14) assumes that for autumn spawners, the mean vertebral count is 56.5 and for Spring spawners 55.80 . The fractions of spring spawners (fsp) are estimated from the formula (56.50-v)/(56.5-55.8), where v is the mean vertebral count of the (mixed) sample with the restriction that the proportion should be one if $\mathrm{fsp}>=1$ and zero if $\mathrm{fsp}<=0$. The method is quite sensitive to within-stock variation (e.g. between year classes) in mean vertebral counts.

Experience within the Herring Assessment Working Group has shown that separation procedures based on size distributions often will fail. The introduction of otolith microstructure analysis in 1996-97 (Mosegaard and Popp-Madsen, 1996) enables an accurate and precise split between three groups, autumn, winter and spring-spawners; however, different populations with similar spawning periods are not resolved with the present level of analysis. Different stock components that are not easily distinguished by their otolith microstructure (OM), are considered to have different mean vertebral counts (vs) as, e.g., winter-spawning Downs herring: 56.6 (Hulme, 1995), and the small local stocks, the Skagerrak winter/spring-spawners: 57 (Rosenberg and Palmén, 1982). Further, the estimated stock specific mean vs count varies somewhat among different studies; North Sea: 56.5, Western Baltic Sea: 55.6 (Gröger and Gröhsler, 2001) and North Sea: 56.5, Western Baltic Sea: 55.8 (ICES 1992/H:5). Comparison between separation methods using frequency distributions of vertebral counts and otolith microstructure showed reasonable correspondence. Using this information the years from 1991 to 1996 was reworked in 2001, applying common splitting keys for all years by using a combination of the vertebral count and otolith microstructure methods (ICES, 2001/ACFM:12). From 2001 and onwards, the otolith-based method only has been used for the Division IIIa.

Different methods of identifying herring stocks in the Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 were recently evaluated in a EU CFP study project (EC study 98/026). The study involved several inter-calibration sessions between microstructure readers in the different laboratories involved with the WBSS herring. After the study was finished a close collaboration concerning reader interpretations has been kept between the Danish and Swedish laboratories. Sub-samples of the 2002 and 2003 Danish, Swedish, and German microstructure analyses were double-checked by the same Danish expert reader for consistency in interpretation. The overall impression is an increasingly good agreement among readers.

New molecular genetic approaches for stock separation are being developed within the EUFP5 project HERGEN (EU project QLRT 200-01370). Sampling of spawning aggregations during spring, autumn and winter has been carried out in 2002 and in 2003 in Division IIIa and in the Western Baltic at more than 10 different locations. Preliminary results point at a substantial genetic variation between North Sea and Western Baltic herring.

After the introduction of otolith microstructure analysis in 1996 it was discovered that in the western Baltic a small percentage of the herring landings might consist of autumn-spawners individuals. Before molecular genetic methods became available for Atlantic herring the existence of varying proportions of autumn spawners in Subdivisions 22-24 in different years was considered a potential problem for the assessment.

## C. Historical Stock Development

Model used:
Details on input parameters and model setup for the final ICA assessment are presented in Table 2.6.2.1. of the most recent HAWG report. The assessment has the same set-up and basic assumption as the assessment that was carried out last year. Input data are given in Tables 2.6.2.2. The ICA program operates by minimising the following general objective function:
which is the sum of the squared differences for the catches (separable model), the indices


The final objecka=5+

$$
\sum_{a=1, y=1989}^{a=9+y=2002} \lambda_{a, a c o u s t}\left(\ln \left(q_{a, a c o u s t} \cdot \hat{N}_{a, y}\right)-\ln \left(\text { ACOUST }_{a, y}\right)\right)^{2}+
$$


with the following variables:

|  | owing variables: $\alpha S \hat{S} B_{v}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\sum_{y=2002}^{y=1960} \lambda_{s}$ | $\left(\ln _{\text {aco }}\left(\hat{N}_{\text {Pbx thi }}\right)-\ln \frac{\alpha S S B_{y}}{R}\right)^{2}$ |
| C | Catch at age (rings) |
| $\hat{C}$ | Estimated catch at age (rings) in the separable model |
|  |  |
| $\hat{N}$ | Estimated population numbers |
| $\hat{S} \hat{S} B$ | Estimated spawning stock size |
| MLAI | MLAI index (biomass index) |
| ACOUST | Acoustic index (age disaggregated) |
| IBTS | IBTS index (1-5+ ringers) |
| MIK | MIK index (0-ringers) |
| q | Catchability |
| k | power of catchability model |
| $\alpha, \beta$ | parameters to the Beverton stock-recruit model |
| $\lambda$ | Weighting factor |

Software used: ICA (Patterson, 1998; Needle, 2000)
Model Options chosen:
Input data types and characteristics:

| Type | NAME | Year RANGE | AGE <br> RANGE | VARIABLE FROM YEAR <br> To YEAR <br> Yes/No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Caton | Catch in tonnes |  |  |  |
| Canum | Catch at age in numbers | $1960-2002$ | $1-9+$ | Yes |
| Weca | Weight at age in the commercial catch | $1960-2002$ | $1-9+$ | Yes (smoothed) |
| West | Weight at age of the spawning stock at <br> spawning time. | $1960-2002$ | $1-9+$ | Yes (smoothed) |
| Mprop | Proportion of natural mortality before <br> spawning | $1960-2002$ | $1-9+$ | No |
| Fprop | Proportion of fishing mortality before <br> spawning | $1960-2002$ | $1-9+$ | No |
| Matprop | Proportion mature at age | $1960-2002$ | $1-9+$ | Yes (smoothed) |
| Natmor | Natural mortality | $1960-2002$ | $1-9+$ | No |

Tuning data:

| Type | Name | Year range | Age range (Wr) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tuning fleet 1 | IBTS Q1 | $1979-2003$ | 1 |
| Tuning fleet 1 | IBTS Q1 | $1983-2003$ | $2-5$ |
| Tuning fleet 2 | MIK | $1977-2002$ | 0 |
| Tuning fleet 3 | Acoustic | $1984-2002$ | 1 |
| Tuning fleet 3 | Acoustic | $1095-2002$ | $2-9+$ |
| Tuning fleet 4 | MLAI | $1972-2002$ | SSB |
|  |  |  |  |

## D. Short-Term Projection

The short-term prediction method was substantially modified in 2002. Following the review by SGEHAP (ICES 2001/ACFM:22), which recommended that a simple multi-fleet method would be preferable, the complex split-factor method used for a number of years prior to 2002 has not been used since. The multi-fleet, multi-option, deterministic short-term prediction programme (MFSP) was accepted by ACFM and was developed further last year. It is intended to continue to use this programme in the future. The good agreement between predicted biomass for the actual year and SSB taken from the assessment for the most recent year one year after demonstrates that the current prediction procedure for stock numbers is working well. In 2004, the Working Group has included prediction of low maturation into projections for 2005 and expects to monitor growth and maturation of North Sea herring carefully in the future and when deemed necessary will include these changes in predictions in the future.

Model used: Age-structured model, by fleet and area fished
Software used: MFSP
Initial stock size: output from ICA
Maturity: average of the two most recent years used
F and M before spawning: 0.67 for both (assumes spawning starts around September)

Weight at age in the stock: from last year in assessment (already smoothed, see assessment data description)

Weight at age in the catch: average of last two years BY FLEET
Exploitation pattern:
Intermediate year assumptions: Status quo F
Stock recruitment model used: Recent average recruitment (arithmetic, recent 10 years) is used, (unless there is some strong reason for using something else, e.g. if SSB is very low, we may use a prediction from the stock-recruit relationship)

Procedures used for splitting projected catches:
There are 4 values input for this parameter:
a ) IBTS 1-ringer proportion in last assessment year (y) is used for 1-ringers in y
b) IBTS 1-ringer proportion in $\mathrm{y}+1$ is used for 1-ringers in $\mathrm{y}+1$, AND for 0-ringers in y .
c) GLM (between MIK index and IBTS 1-ringer proportion) is applied to MIK index in $y+1$ to predict proportion for 1 -ringers in $y+2$, AND for 0 -ringers in $y+1$

GLM, as in (c), is applied to the Average MIK index for 1981 to year y to predict proportion for 1-ringers in $\mathrm{y}+3$ (not relevant), AND for 0-ringers in $\mathrm{y}+2$ (relevant)
E. Medium-Term Projections - still to be filled in -

Model used:
Software used:
Initial stock size:
Natural mortality:
Maturity:
F and M before spawning:
Weight at age in the stock:
Weight at age in the catch:
Exploitation pattern:
Intermediate year assumptions:
Stock recruitment model used:
Uncertainty models used:
Initial stock size:
Natural mortality:
Maturity:
F and M before spawning:
Weight at age in the stock:
Weight at age in the catch:
Exploitation pattern:
Intermediate year assumptions:
Stock recruitment model used:

## F. Long-Term Projections - still to be filled in -

Model used:
Software used:

Maturity:
F and M before spawning:
Weight at age in the stock:
Weight at age in the catch:
Exploitation pattern:
Procedures used for splitting projected catches:

## G. Biological Reference Points

There is a well functioning harvest control rule in place for this stock, and apart from $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$, the current reference points are derived from this HCR. The target F in the HCR was adopted by ACFM as the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$, while the trigger point at which F should be reduced below the target is adopted as $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$. The HCR was briefly revisited in 2004, and the results support the initial definitions of limits.

Reference points currently in use are: $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ is 800000 t (below this value poor recruitment has been experienced); $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ be set at 1.3 mill. T (as part of a harvest control rule based on simulations); $\mathrm{F}_{\text {lim }}$ is not defined, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ be set at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ages } 0-1}=0.12, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ages } 2-6}=0.25$ (as part of a harvest control rule).

## H. Other Issues

H. 1 Biology of the species in the distribution area

The herring (Clupea harengus) is a pelagic species which is widespread in its distribution throughout the North Sea. The herring's unique habit is that it produces benthic eggs which are attached to a gravely substrate on the seabed. This points strongly to an evolutionary history in which herring spawned in rivers and at some later date re-adapted to the marine environment. The spawning grounds in the southern North Sea are in fact located in the beds of rivers which existed in geological times and some groups of spring spawning herring still spawn in very shallow inshore waters and estuaries. Spawning typically occurs on coarse gravel $(0.5-5 \mathrm{~cm})$ to stone $(8-15 \mathrm{~cm})$ substrates and often on the crest of a ridge rather than hollows. For example, in a spawning area in the English Channel, eggs were found attached to flints $2.5-25 \mathrm{~cm}$ in length, where these occurred in gravel, over a 3.5 km by 400 m wide strip.

As a consequence of the requirement for a very specific substrate, spawning occurs in small discrete areas in the near coastal waters of the western North Sea. They extend from the Shetland Isles in the north through into the English Channel in the south. Within these specific areas actual patches of spawn can be extremely difficult to find.

The fecundity of herring is length related and varies between approximately 10,000 and 60,000 eggs per female. This is a relatively low fecundity for teleosts, probably because, in evolutionary terms, the benthic egg is a potentially less hazardous phase of development compared with the planktonic egg of most other teleosts. The age of first maturity is 3 years old (2 ringers) but the proportion mature at age may vary from year to year dependent on feeding conditions. Over the past 15 years the proportion mature at age 3 years (2 ringers) has ranged from $47 \%$ to $86 \%$ and for 4 year old fish ( 3 winter ringers) from $63 \%$ to $100 \%$. Above that age, all are considered to be mature.

The benthic eggs take about three weeks to hatch dependant on the temperature. The larvae on hatching are 6 mm to 9 mm long and are immediately planktonic. Their yolk sac lasts for a few days during which time they will begin to feed on phytoplankton and small planktonic animals. Their planktonic development lasts around three to four months during which time they are passively subjected to the residual drift which takes them to various coastal nursery areas on both sides of the North Sea and into the Skagerrak and Kattegat.

Herring continue to be mainly planktonic feeders throughout their life history although there are numerous records of them taking small fish, such as sprat and sandeels, on an opportunistic basis. Calanoid copepods, such as Calanus, Pseudocalanus and Temora and the Euphausids, Meganyctiphanes and Thysanoessa still form the major part of their diet during the spring and summer and are responsible for the very high fat content of the fish at this time.

In the past, herring age has been determined by using the annual rings on the scales. In more recent years the growth rings on the otolith have proved more reliable for age determination. Herring age is expressed as number of winter rings on the otolith rather than age in years as for most other teleost species where a nominal 1 January birthdate is applied. Autumn spawning herring do not lay down a winter ring during their first winter and therefore remain as ' 0 ' winter ringers until the following winter. When looking at year classes, or year of hatching, it must be remembered that they were spawned in the year prior to their classification as ' 0 ' winter ringers.

North Sea herring comprise both spring and autumn spawning groups but the major fisheries are carried out on the offshore autumn spawning fish. The spring spawners are found mainly as small discrete coastal groups in areas such as The Wash and the Thames estuary. Juveniles of the spring spawning stocks found in the Baltic, Skagerrak and Kattegat may also be found in the North Sea as well as Norwegian coastal spring spawners.

The main autumn spawning begins in the northern North Sea in August and progresses steadily southwards through September and October in the central North Sea to November and as late as January in the southern North Sea and eastern English Channel. The widespread but discrete location of the herring spawning grounds throughout the western North Sea has been well known and described since the early part of the 20 th Century. This led to considerable scientific debate and eventually to investigation and research on stock identity. The controversy centred on whether or not the separate spawning grounds represented discrete stocks or 'races' within the North Sea autumn spawning herring complex. Resolution of this issue became more urgent as the need for the introduction of management measures increased during the 1950's. The International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) encouraged tagging and other racial studies and a review of all the historic evidence to resolve this problem. The conclusions were the basis for establishing the working hypothesis that the North Sea autumn spawning herring comprise a complex of three separate stocks each with separate spawning grounds, migration routes and nursery areas, illustrated in the figure below.

The three stock units are:

-     - The Buchan or Scottish group which spawn from July to early September in the Orkney Shetland area and off the Scottish east coast. Nursery areas for fish up to two years old are found along the east coast of Scotland and also across the North Sea and into the Skagerrak and Kattegat.
-     - The Banks or central North Sea group, which derive their name from their former spawning grounds around the western edge of the Dogger Bank. These spawning grounds have now all but disappeared and spawning is confined to small areas along the English east coast, from the Farne Islands to the Dowsing area, from August to October. The juveniles are found along the east coast of England, down to the Wash, and also off the west coast of Denmark.
-     - The Downs group which spawns in very late Autumn through to February in the southern Bight of the North Sea and in the eastern English Channel. The drift of their larvae takes them north-eastwards to nursery areas along the Dutch coast and into the German Bight (Burd 1985).

At certain times of the year, individuals from the three stock units may mix and are caught together as juveniles and adults but they cannot be readily separated in the commercial catches. As a consequence, North Sea autumn spawning herring have to be managed as a single unit.

A further complication is that juveniles of the North Sea stocks are found, outside the North Sea, in the Skagerrak and Kattegat areas and are caught in various fisheries there. The proportions of juveniles of North Sea origin, found in these areas varies with the strength of the year class, with higher proportions in the Skagerrak and Kattegat when the year class is good.

## H. 2 Historic stock development and history of the fishery

Over many centuries the North Sea herring fishery has been a cause of international conflict sometimes resulting in war, but in more recent times in bitter political argument. There have also been fundamental changes in the nature of the fisheries. These have been driven both by changes in catching power and in response to changes in market requirements, particularly the demand for fish meal and oil. Most of these changes have resulted in greater exploitation pressures that increasingly led to the urgent need to ensure a more rational exploitation of North Sea herring. Such pressures really began to exert themselves for the first time during the 1950’s when the spawning stock biomass of North Sea autumn spawning herring fell from 5 million tonnes in 1947 to 1.4 million tonnes by 1957. That period also witnessed the decline and eventual disappearance of a traditional autumn drift net fishery in the southern North Sea.

The annual landings from 1947 through to the early 1960's were high, but stable, averaging around 650,000t. Over the period 1952-62 the high fishing mortality (F 0.4 ages 2-6) resulted in a rapid decline in the spawning stock biomass from around 5 million tonnes to 1.5 million tonnes. Recruitment over this period was reasonable, but there were fewer and fewer year classes present in the adult stock, a clear indication that the stocks were being over-fished and that they were also being impacted by the developing industrial fishery in the eastern North Sea.

This period witnessed the complete collapse of the historic East Anglian autumn drift net fishery, which was based entirely on the Downs stock moving south to the Southern Bight and eastern English Channel to spawn. The reasons for that failure have been attributed both to high mortality of the juveniles in the North Sea industrial fisheries, and to heavy fishing by bottom trawlers on the spawning concentrations, in the English Channel, during the 1950's. Such intensive trawling, on vulnerable spawning fish, not only generated a high mortality but also disturbed spawning aggregations, destroyed the spawn and damaged the substrate on which successful spawning depends.

Fishing mortality on the herring in the central and northern North Sea began to increase rapidly in the late 1960's and had increased to F1.3 ages 2-6, or over 70\% per year of those age classes, by 1968. Landings peaked at over 1 million tonnes in 1965, around $80 \%$ of which were juvenile fish. This was followed by a very rapid decline in the SSB and the total landings. By 1975 the SSB had fallen to 83,500 t although the total landings were still over $300,000 \mathrm{t}$. At the same time, spawning in the central North Sea had contracted to the grounds off the east coast of England whilst spawning grounds around the edge of the Dogger Bank were no longer used. This heralded the serious decline and near collapse of the North Sea autumn spawning herring stock which led to the moratorium on directed herring fishing in the North Sea from 1977 to 1981.

International larvae surveys and acoustic surveys were used to monitor the state of the stocks during the moratorium. By 1980 these surveys were indicating a modest recovery in the SSB from its 1977 low point of 52,000 t. By 1981 the SSB had increased to over 200,000t. Prior to the moratorium there had been no control, other than market forces, on catches in the North Sea directed herring fishery. Once the fishery re-opened in 1981 the North Sea autumn spawning herring stock was managed by a Total Allowable Catch (TAC) constraint. It should be noted that the TAC was only applied to the directed herring fishery in the North Sea which exploited mainly adult fish for human consumption. Targeted fishing for herring for industrial purposes was banned in the North Sea in 1976 but there was a $10 \%$ by-catch allowance in the fisheries for other species, including the small meshed fisheries for industrial purposes, mainly for sprat. Following the re-opening of the now controlled fishery the SSB steadily increased, peaking at 1.3 million tonnes in 1989. Annual recruitment, measured as ' 0 'group fish, was well above the longterm average over this period. The 1985 year class was the biggest recorded since 1960 and the third highest in the records dating back to 1946. Landings also steadily increased over this period reaching a peak of 876,000 tonnes in 1988. This resulted from a steady increase in fishing mortality to $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ages 2-6 }}=0.6$ (ca. 45\%) in 1985 and a high bycatch of juveniles in the industrial fisheries for sprat. Following a period of four years of below average recruitment (year classes 1987-91) SSB fell rapidly to below 500,000 tonnes in 1993. Fishing mortality increased rapidly averaging $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ages } 2-6}=0.75$ (ca. $52 \%$ ) over the period 1992-95 and recorded landings regularly exceeded the TAC. The North Sea industrial fishery for sprat developed rapidly over this period with the annual catch increasing from 33,000 tonnes in 1987 to 357,000 tonnes by 1995 . With the $10 \%$ by-catch limit as the only control on the catch of immature herring, there was a consequent high mortality on juvenile herring which averaged $76 \%$ of the total catch in numbers of North Sea autumn spawners over this period.

During the summer of 1991 the presence of the parasitic fungus Ichthyophonus spp was noted in the North Sea herring stock. All the evidence suggested that the parasite was lethal to herring and that its occurrence could have a significant effect on natural mortality in the stock and ultimately on spawning stock biomass. High levels of infection were recorded in the northern North Sea north of latitude $60^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ whilst infection rates in the southern North Sea and English Channel were very low. Efforts were made to estimate the prevalence of the disease in the stock through a programme of research vessel and commercial catch sampling. This led to estimates of annual mortality up to $16 \%$ (Anon., 1993) which was of the same order as the estimate of fishing mortality at the time. It was recognised that the behavioural changes and catchability of infected fish affected the reliability of the estimate of prevalence of the disease in the population. The uncertainty about the effect on stock size varied between estimates of $5 \%$ to $10 \%$ and $20 \%$. Continued monitoring of the progress of the disease showed that by 1994 the prevalence in the northern North Sea had fallen from 5\% in 1992 to below $1 \%$ and confirmed that the infection did not appear to be spreading to younger fish. Ultimately it was concluded that the disease had caused high mortality in the northern North Sea during 1991 and subsequently declined to the point where by 1995 the disease induced increase in natural mortality was insignificant.

The increased fishing pressure during the first half of the 1990's and the disease induced increase in natural mortality led to serious concerns about the possibilities of a stock collapse similar to that in the late 1970's. Reported landings continued at around 650,000 tonnes per year whilst the spawning stock began to decline again from over 1 million tonnes in 1990. The assessments at that time were providing an over optimistic perception of the size of the spawning stock and, for example, it was not until 1995 that it was realised that the SSB in 1993 had already fallen below 500,000 tonnes. This was well below the minimum biologically accepted level of 800,000 tonnes (MBAL) which had been set for this stock at that time.

## H. 3 Management and ACFM advice

In 1996, the total allowable catches (TACs) for Herring caught in the North Sea (ICES areas IV and Division VIId) were changed mid-year with the intention of reducing the fishing mortality by $50 \%$ for the adult part of the stock and by $75 \%$ for the juveniles. For 1997, the regulations were altered again to reduce the fishing mortality on the adult stock to 0.25 and for juveniles to less than 0.1 with the aim of rebuilding the SSB up to 1.1 million t in 1998.

According to the EU and Norway agreement adopted in December 1997, efforts should be made to maintain the SSB above the MBAL (Minimum Biologically Acceptable Level) of 800,000 tonnes. An SSB reference point of 1.3 million has been set above which the TACs will be based on an $\mathrm{F}=0.25$ for adult herring and $\mathrm{F}=0.12$ for juveniles. If the SSB falls below 1.3 million tonnes, other measures will be agreed and implemented taking account of scientific advice. Relevant parts of the agreement (last amended Dec. 2001) read:

1) Every effort shall be made to maintain a level of Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB) greater than the Minimum Biological Acceptable Level (MBAL) of 800,000 tonnes.
2 ) A medium-term management strategy, by which annual quotas shall be set for the directed fishery and for by-catches in other fisheries as defined by ICES, reflecting a fishing mortality rate of 0.25 for 2-ringers and older and 0.12 for 0-1ringers, shall be implemented.
3 ) Should the SSB fall below a reference point of 1.3 million tonnes, the fishing mortality rates referred under paragraph 2 , will be adapted in the light of scientific estimates of the precise conditions then prevailing, to ensure rapid recovery of SSB to levels in excess of 1.3 million tonnes.
4 ) The recovery plan referred to above may, inter alia, include additional limitations on effort in the form of special licensing of vessels, restrictions on fishing days, closing of areas and/or seasons, special reporting requirements or other appropriate control measures.
5 ) By-catches of herring may only be landed in ports where adequate sampling schemes to effectively monitor the landings have been set up. All catches landed shall be deducted from the respective quotas set, and the fisheries shall be stopped immediately in the event that the quotas are exhausted.
6 ) The allocation of the TAC for the directed fishery for herring shall be $29 \%$ to Norway and $71 \%$ to the Community. The by-catch quota for herring shall be allocated to the Community.
7 ) The parties shall, if appropriate, consult and adjust management measures and strategies on the basis of any new advice provided by ICES including that from the assessment of the abundance of the most recent year class.
8 ) A review of this arrangement shall take place no later than 31 December 2004.
9 ) This arrangement entered into force on 1 January 2002.
Until 2002, the SSB has been below the precautionary level of 1.3 million tonnes ( $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ ), and since 1998 other measures taken have consisted of an adoption of a $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ of 0.2 and a $\mathrm{F}_{0-1}<0.1$ to allow the rebuilding of the spawning biomass to above $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$.

Since 2002, the SSB is considered to have been above $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$. From then on, ACFM gave fleetwise catch option tables for fishing mortalities within the constraints the EU-Norway management scheme.

## H. 4 Sampling of commercial catch

Sampling of commercial catch is conducted by the national institutes. HAWG has recommended for years that sampling of commercial catches should be improved for most of the stocks. In January 2002, a new directive for the collection of fisheries data was implemented for all EU member states (Commission Regulation 1639/2001). The provisions
in the "data directive" define specific sampling levels. As most of the nations participating in the fisheries on herring assessed here have to obey this data directive, the definitions applicable for herring and the area covered by HAWG are given below:

| Area | SAMPLING LEVEL PER 1000 t Catch |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Baltic area (IIIa (S) and IIIb-c) | 1 sample of <br> which | 100 fish measured and | 50 aged |
| Skagerrak (IIIa (N)) | 1 sample | 100 fish measured | 100 <br> aged |
| North Sea (IV and VId): | 1 sample | 50 fish measured | 25 aged |
| NE Atlantic and Western Channel ICES areas II, V, <br> VI, VII (excluding d) VIII, IX, X, XII, XIV | 1 sample | 50 fish measured | 25 aged |

Exemptions to the above mentioned sampling rules are:
Concerning lengths:
(1) the national programme of a Member State can exclude the estimation of the length distribution of the landings for stocks for which TACs and quotas have been defined under the following conditions:
(i) the relevant quotas must correspond to less than $5 \%$ of the Community share of the TAC or
to less than 100 tonnes on average during the previous three years;
(ii) the sum of all quotas of Member States whose allocation is less than $5 \%$, must account for
less than $15 \%$ of the Community share of the TAC.
If the condition set out in point (i) is fulfilled, but not the condition set out in point (ii), the relevant Member States may set up a coordinated programme to achieve for their overall landings the implementation of the sampling scheme described above, or another sampling scheme, leading to the same precision.

## Concerning ages:

(1) the national programme of a Member State can exclude the estimation of the age distribution of the landings for stocks for which TACs and quotas have been defined under the following conditions:
(i) the relevant quotas correspond to less than $10 \%$ of the Community share of the TAC or to
less than 200 tonnes on average during the previous three years;
(ii) the sum of all quotas of Member States whose allocation is less than $10 \%$, accounts for less than $25 \%$ of the Community share of the TAC.

If the condition set out in point (i) is fulfilled, but not the condition set out in point (ii), the relevant Member States may set up a coordinated programme as mentioned for length sampling.

If appropriate, the national programme may be adjusted until 31 January of every year to take into account the exchange of quotas between Member States;

The HAWG reviewed the implementation of the new sampling regime for the EU countries in 2003. It was expected that the overall sampling level might be improved, and this was demonstrated e.g. for North Sea herring in 2002 and 2003. However, there is concern that the
new regime may lead to a deterioration of sampling quality, because it does not assure an appropriate sampling of different métiers (each combination of fleet/nation/area and quarter). Given the diversity of the fleets harvesting most stocks assessed by HAWG, an appropriate spread of sampling effort over the different métiers is more important to the quality of catch at age data than a sufficient overall sampling level. The EU data directive appears to not assure this. The WG therefore recommends that all metiers with substantial catch should be sampled (including by-catches in the industrial fisheries), that catches landed abroad should be sampled and information on these samples should be made available to the national laboratories.

## H. 5 Terminology

The WG uses "rings" rather than "age" or "winter rings" throughout the report to denominate the age of herring, with the intention to avoid confusion It should be observed that, for autumn spawning stocks, there is a difference of one year between "age" and "rings". HAWG in 1992 (ICES 1992/Assess:11) stated that
"The convention of defining herring age rings instead of years was introduced in various ICES working groups around 1970. The main argument to do so was the uncertainty about the racial identity of the herring in some areas. A herring with one winter ring is classified as 2-years-old if it is an autumn spawner, and one-year-old if it is a spring spawner. Recording the age of the herring in rings instead of in years allowed scientists to postpone the decision on year of birth until a later date when they might have obtained more information on the racial identity of the herring.

The use of winter rings in ICES working groups has introduced a certain amount of confusion and errors. In specifying the age of the herring, people always have to state explicitly whether they are talking about rings or years, and whether the herring are autumn- or spring spawners. These details tend to get lost in working group reports, which can make these reports confusing for outsiders, and even for herring experts themselves. As the age of all other fish species (and of herring in other parts of the world) is expressed in years, one could question the justification of treating West-European herring in a special way. Especially with the present trend towards multispecies assessment and integration of ICES working groups, there might be a case for a uniform system of age definition throughout all ICES working groups.

However, the change from rings to years would create a number of practical problems. Data files in national laboratories and at ICES would have to be adapted, which would involve extra costs and manpower. People that had not been aware of the change might be confused when comparing new data with data from old working group reports. Finally, in some areas (notably Division IIIa), the distinction between spring- and autumn spawners is still hard to make, and scientists preferred to continue using rings instead of years.

The Working Group discussed at length the various consequences of a change from rings to years. The majority of the Group felt that the advantages of such a change did not outweigh the disadvantages, and it was decided to stick to the present system for the time being."

The text table below gives an example for the correlation between age, rings and year class for the different spawning types in late 2002:

| Year class (AUTUMN SPAWNERS) | 2001/2002 | 2000/2001 | 1999/2000 | 1998/1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Age (autumn spawners) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Year class (spring spawners) | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| Rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Age (spring spawners) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
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## A. General

## A.1. Stock definition

Herring caught in Division IIIa are a mixture of North Sea autumn spawners and Baltic spring spawners. Spring-spawning herring in the eastern part of the North Sea, Skagerrak, Kattegat and SDs 22, 23 and 24 are considered to be one stock.

Catches of herring in the Kattegat, the Skagerrak and the Eastern part of the North Sea are taken from a mixture of two main spawning stocks (ICES, 1991/Assess:15): mainly 2+ ringers of the Western Baltic spring-spawners and 0-2-ringers from the North Sea autumn-spawners, including winter-spawning Downs herring. In addition, several local spawning stocks have been identified with a minor importance for the herring fisheries (ICES, 2001/ACFM 12).

The method of separating herring in Norwegian samples, using vertebral counts as described in former reports of this Working Group (ICES 1991/ Assess:15) assumes that for autumn spawners, the mean vertebral count is 56.5 and for Spring spawners 55.80. The fractions of spring spawners (fsp) are estimated from the formula (56.50-v)/(56.5-55.8), where $v$ is the mean vertebral count of the (mixed) sample with the restriction that the proportion should be one if fsp>=1 and zero if fsp<=0. The method is quite sensitive to within-stock variation (e.g. between year classes) in mean vertebral counts.

Experience within the Herring Assessment Working Group has shown that separation procedures based on size distributions often will fail. The introduction of otolith microstructure analysis in 1996-97 (Mosegaard and Popp-Madsen, 1996) enables an accurate and precise split between three groups, autumn, winter and spring-spawners; however, different populations with similar spawning periods are not resolved with the present level of analysis. Different stock components that are not easily distinguished by their otolith microstructure (OM), are considered to have different mean vertebral counts (vs) as, e.g., winter-spawning Downs herring: 56.6 (Hulme, 1995), and the small local stocks, the Skagerrak winter/spring-spawners: 57 (Rosenberg and Palmén, 1982). Further, the estimated stock specific mean vs count varies somewhat among different studies; North Sea: 56.5, Western Baltic Sea: 55.6 (Gröger and Gröhsler, 2001) and North Sea: 56.5, Western Baltic Sea: 55.8 (ICES 1992/H:5). Comparison between separation methods using frequency distributions of vertebral counts and otolith microstructure showed reasonable correspondence. Using this information the years from 1991 to 1996 was reworked in 2001, applying common splitting keys for all years by using a combination of the vertebral count
and otolith microstructure methods (ICES, 2001/ACFM:12). From 2001 and onwards, the otolith-based method only has been used for the Div. IIIa.

Different methods of identifying herring stocks in the Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 were recently evaluated in a EU CFP study project (EC study 98/026). The study involved several inter-calibration sessions between microstructure readers in the different laboratories involved with the WBSS herring. After the study was finished a close collaboration concerning reader interpretations has been kept between the Danish and Swedish laboratories. Sub-samples of the 2002 and 2003 Danish, Swedish, and German microstructure analyses were double-checked by the same Danish expert reader for consistency in interpretation. The overall impression is an increasingly good agreement among readers.

New molecular genetic approaches for stock separation are being developed within the EUFP5 project HERGEN (EU project QLRT 200-01370). Sampling of spawning aggregations during spring, autumn and winter has been carried out in 2002 and in 2003 in Div. IIIa and in the Western Baltic at more than 10 different locations. Preliminary results point at a substantial genetic variation between North Sea and Western Baltic herring, but significant variation has also been found among spawning populations in DivIIIa and subdiv. 22-24.

For Subdivisions 22, 23 and 24 it is assumed that all individuals caught belong to the Western Baltic spring spawning stock.

After the introduction of otolith microstructure analysis in 1996 it was discovered that in the western Baltic a small percentage of the herring landings might consist of autumn-spawners individuals. Before molecular genetic methods became available for Atlantic herring the existence of varying proportions of autumn spawners in Subdivisions 22-24 in different years was considered a potential problem for the assessment.

Although local aggregations of winter and autumn spawning herring are found in the Western Baltic area these aggregations are genetically more closely related to the Western Baltic spring spawners than to the North Sea autumn spawners (HERGEN, EU project QLRT 200-01370). Therefore, with the present genetic perception in mind, when herring with otolith microstructure indicating autumn hatch are found in subdivisions 22-24 these are treated as belonging to the WBSS stock.

## A.2. Fishery

The fleet definitions used since 1998 for the fishery in Div. IIIa are:

- Fleet C: directed fishery for herring in which trawlers (with 32 mm minimum mesh size) and purse seiners participate.
- Fleet D: All fisheries in which trawlers (with mesh sizes less than 32 mm ) and small purse seiners, fishing for sprat along the Swedish coast and in the Swedish fjords, participate. For most of the landings taken by this fleet, herring is landed as by-catch.

Danish and Swedish by-catches of herring from the sprat fishery and the Norway pout and blue-whiting fisheries are listed under fleet D.

In SDs 22-24 most of the catches are taken in a directed fishery for herring and some as bycatch in a directed sprat fishery. All landings from SDs22-24 are treated as one fleet.

## A.3. Ecosystem aspects

Applying new molecular genetic methods and results emerging from ongoing research projects on herring (HERGEN and WESTHER) the possibility of considering genetic diversity is within reach. Preliminary results indicate an increase in genetic distance between herring populations in the Baltic and successive populations in subdivisions 24, 22, 21, and 20 and finally the North Sea where genetic distance reach a maximum constant difference to the

Baltic. Further, genetic differences are larger among populations within the Divisions IIIa and Western Baltic than among populations in the North Sea.

## B. Data

## B.1. Commercial catch

The level of sampling of the landings for the human consumption fishery and the smallmeshed fishery landings was generally acceptable in the Skagerrak, the Kattegat and SDs 2224 during the last years. Where sampling was missing in areas and quarters on national landings, sampling from either other nations or adjacent areas and quarters were used to estimate catch in numbers and mean weight-at-age.

Based on the proportions of spring- and autumn spawners in the landings, number and mean weights by age and spawning stock are calculated.

The text table below the shows different input data provided by country:

|  | Data |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Country | Caton <br> (catch in weight) | Canum <br> (catch-at-age in numbers) | Weca <br> (weight-at-age in the catch) |
| Denmark | x | x | x |
| Germany | x | x | x |
| Norway | x |  |  |
| Poland | x | x | x |
| Sweden | x | x | x |

## B.2. Biological

Mean weights-at-age in the catch in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter were used as stock weights.
The proportions of F and M before spawning was assumed constant between years. F-prop was set to be 0.1 and M-prop 0.25 for all age groups.

Natural mortality was assumed constant at 0.2 for all years and $2+$ ringers. A predation mortality of 0.1 and 0.2 was added to the 0 and 1 ringers, which resulted in an increase in their natural mortality to 0.3 and 0.5 , respectively (Table 3.6.4). The estimates of predation mortality were derived as a mean for the years 1977-1995 from the Baltic MSVPA (ICES 1997/J:2).

The maturity ogive was assumed constant between years:

| W-RINGS | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8 +}^{+}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maturity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.75 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## B.3. Surveys

The summer Danish acoustic survey in Division IIIa is part of an annual survey covering the North Sea and Division IIIa in July-August. R/V DANA conducted the survey in Division IIIa. For each sub area the mean back scattering cross section was estimated for herring, sprat, gadoids and mackerel by the TS relationships given in the Manual for Herring Acoustic Surveys in ICES Division III, IV, and IVa (ICES 2002/G:02). Used in the final assessment.

The first joint acoustic survey was carried out with R/V 'Solea' in Subdivisions 22-24 in October 1987. Since 1989 the survey was repeated every year as a part of an international hydracoustic survey in the Baltic. Used in the final assessment.

The IBTS $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter survey in Div. IIIa, which is a part of the North Sea and Div. IIIa bottom trawl survey that is carried out in the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter. The IBTS has been conducted
annually in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter since 1977 and $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarters from 1991. From 1983 and onwards the survey was standardised according to the IBTS manual (ICES 2002/D:03). During the HAWG 2002 the IBTS survey data (both quarter) were revised from 1991 to 2002. Historical catch rates are heavily skewed and therefore the survey indices by winter rings 1-5 were calculated as geometric means from observed abundances $\left(\mathrm{n} \cdot \mathrm{h}^{-1}\right)$ at age at trawl stations. Used in the final assessment.

The German herring larvae monitoring started in 1977 and takes place every year from March/April to June in the main spawning grounds of the spring spawning herring in the Western Baltic. These are the Greifswalder Bodden and adjacent waters. For the calculation of the number of larvae per station and area unit, the methods of Smith and Richardson (1977) and Klenz (1993) were used and projected to length-classes. Further details concerning the surveys and the treatment of the samples are given in Brielmann (1989), Müller and Klenz (1994) and Klenz (2002). Used in the final assessment.

## B.4. Commercial CPUE

## B.5. Other relevant data

## C. Historical Stock Development

Model used: ICA
Software used: ICA Vs 1.4

Model Options chosen:
No of years for separable constraint: 5
Reference age for separable constraint: 4
Constant selection pattern model : yes
S to be fixed on last age: 1.0
First age for calculation of reference F: 3
Last age for calculation of reference F: 6
Relative weights-at-age: 0.1 for 0 -group, all others 1
Relative weights by year: all 1
Catchability model used: for all indices linear
Survey weighting: Manual all 1
Estimates of the extent to which errors in the age-structured indices are correlated across ages: all 1
No shrinkage applied

Input data types and characteristics:

| Type | Name | Year range | AGE RANGE | VARIABLE FROM <br> YEAR To YEAR <br> YES/No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Caton | Catch in tonnes | 1991- last data <br> year | $0-8+$ | Yes |
| Canum | Catch-at-age in <br> numbers | $1991-$ last data <br> year | $0-8+$ | Yes |
| Weca | Weight-at-age in the <br> commercial catch | $1991-$ last data <br> year | $0-8+$ | Yes |
| West | Weight-at-age of the <br> spawning stock at <br> spawning time. | $1991-$ last data <br> year | $0-8+$ | Yes, assumed as the <br> Mw in the catch <br> first quarter |
| Mprop | Proportion of natural <br> mortality before <br> spawning | $1991-$ last data <br> year | $0-8+$ | No, set to 0.25 for <br> all ages in all years |
| Fprop | Proportion of fishing <br> mortality before <br> spawning | $1991-$ last data <br> year | $0-8+$ | No, set to 0.1 for all <br> ages in all years |
| Matprop | Proportion mature at <br> age | 1991- last data <br> year | $0-8+$ | No, constant for all <br> years |
| Natmor | Natural mortality | $1991-$ last data <br> year | $0-8+$ | No, constant for all <br> years |

Presently used Tuning data:

| TyPE | Name | Year Range | AGE RANGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tuning fleet 1 | Danish Acoustic Survey <br> Div. IIIa | 1989 - last year data | $2-8+$ |
| Tuning fleet 2 | German Acoustic Survey <br> SDs 22-24 | 1989 - last year data | $0-5$ |
| Tuning fleet 3 | IBTS Quarter 3 | 1991 - last years data | $1-5$ |
| $\ldots$. |  |  |  |

## D. Short-Term Projection

Model used: Age structured
Software used: MFDP Vs 1a
Initial stock size: ICA estimates of population numbers were used except for

- the numbers of 0-ringers in the last two years and the start year of the projection, where a geometric mean of the recruitment over the period of ten years was taken
- the numbers of 1-ringers in the start of the projection, where the geometric mean over the period of ten years excluding the last year was used

Maturity: The same values as in the assessment is used for all years
F and M before spawning: The same ogive as in the assessment is used for all years
Weight-at-age in the stock: Average weight of the three last years
Weight-at-age in the catch: Average weight of the three last years
Exploitation pattern: Average weight of the three last years
Intermediate year assumptions: Status quo fishing mortality
Stock recruitment model used: None
Procedures used for splitting projected catches: Not relevant

## E. Medium-Term Projections

Model used: none
Software used
Initial stock size:
Natural mortality:
Maturity:
F and M before spawning:
Weight-at-age in the stock:
Weight-at-age in the catch:
Exploitation pattern:
Intermediate year assumptions:
Stock recruitment model used:
Uncertainty models used: none

Initial stock size:
Natural mortality:
Maturity:
F and M before spawning:
Weight-at-age in the stock:
Weight-at-age in the catch:
Exploitation pattern:
Intermediate year assumptions:
Stock recruitment model used:

## F. Long-Term Projections

Model used: none

Software used:
Maturity:
F and M before spawning:
Weight-at-age in the stock:
Weight-at-age in the catch:
Exploitation pattern:
Procedures used for splitting projected catches:

## G. Biological Reference Points

Reference points have neither been defined nor proposed for this stock.

## H. Other Issues
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## Quality Handbook ANNEX: Herring in Celtic Sea and VIIj

Stock specific documentation of standard assessment procedures used by ICES.

## Stock

Working Group:

Date:

Herring in the Celtic Sea and VIIj
Herring Assessment Working Group for the area south of $62^{0} \mathrm{~N}$.
$19^{\text {th }}$ April 2004

## A. General

## A.1. Stock definition

The herring to the south of Ireland in the Celtic Sea and in Division VIIj comprise both autumn and winter spawning components. For the purpose of stock assessment and management, these areas have been combined since 1982. Spawning in VIIj has traditionally taken place in the autumn and in VIIg and VIIaS, later in the autumn and in the winter.

## A.2. Fishery

In recent years, this fishery has been prosecuted entirely by Ireland. The fishing season is the same as the assessment period, $1^{\text {st }}$ April to the $31^{\text {st }}$ March the following year. The TAC is set on an annual basis, however.

In the past season season, the fishery was allowed to remain open throughout. This was to allow vessels to target fish outside the spawning seasons when the fish are of better quality and marketability. The spawning grounds are protected by rotating box closures implemented under EU legislation. In addition to these, one box was voluntarily closed in the recent seasons. This initiative was initiated by the Irish Southwest Pelagic Management Committee to afford extra protection to first time spawners. The Irish Southwest Pelagic Management Committee was established to manage the Irish fishery for this herring stock. This committee, therefore, has responsibility for management of the entire fishery for this stock at present.

Landings have decreased markedly in recent years from around 20,000 t in the 1997/1998 season to around $11,000 \mathrm{t}$ in the 2003/2004 season. The fishery is currently prosecuted by Irish RSW pelagic trawlers and by Irish polyvalent trawlers using pelagic gear.

## A.3. Ecosystem aspects

## B. Data

## B.1. Commercial catch

The commercial catches are provided by national laboratories belonging toe the nations that have quota for this stock. In recent years, only Ireland has caught herring in this area, so catch-at-age, mean weights and stock weights are derived entirely from Irish sampling. Sampling is performed as part of commitments under the EU Council Regulation 1639/2001.

Commercial catch at age data are submitted in Exchange sheet v 1.6.4. These data are usually processed using SALLOCL. This program (Patterson, 1998). This program gives outputs on sampling status and available biological parameters and documents actions taken to raise unsampled metiers using other data sets. The species co-ordinator allocates samples of catch numbers, mean length and mean weight-at-age to unsampled catches using appropriate
samples by gear (fleet) area quarter and if an exact match is not available then a neighbouring area if the fishery extends to this area in the same quarter.

## B.2. Biological

Mean weights at age in the catch in the $4^{\text {th }}$ and $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter are used as stock weights. This is a new procedure first used in 2004, because much of the catch was taken in the summer, before the spawning period.

The natural mortality is based on the results of the MSVPA for North Sea herring.

## B.3. Surveys

A series of acoustic surveys have been carried out on this stock from 1990-1996. The series was interrupted in 1997 due to the lack of the survey vessel, it was resumed in 1998. For the 2002/2003 season one acoustic survey was carried out to determine stock abundance. It was decided that a single survey carried out on fish approaching the grounds would be sufficient to contain the stock. A review of this survey series is in preparation (O'Donnell et al. in prep.).

## B.4. Commercial CPUE

Not used for this stock

## B.5. Other relevant data

## C. Historical Stock Development

Model used:
Recent WG's have used the results of the acoustic surveys in the ICA programme but stated that the results should be taken as minimum estimates.

Software used: The ICA package is used.
Model Options chosen:
The period of separable constraint is 6 years, with areference age of 3-ring. Terminal selection is fixed at 1.0. Reference F is calculated for 2-ring to 7-ring fish. Fish of 1-ring are down weighted by 0.1 , all other ages are not down weighted.

The acoustic abundance estimates are included for ages 2-5 only (winter rings). The acoustic estimates are treated as a relative index, using a linear model.

Input data types and characteristics:

| Type | Name | Year range | Age range | Variable from <br> Year to YEAR <br> Yes/No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Caton | Catch in tonnes | $1958-2003$ | $1-9$ | Yes |
| Canum | Catch at age in numbers | $1958-2003$ | $1-9$ | Yes |
| Weca | Weight at age in the <br> commercial catch | $1958-2003$ | $1-9$ | Yes |
| West | Weight at age of the <br> spawning stock at <br> spawning time. | $1958-2003$ | $1-9$ | Yes |
| Mprop | Proportion of natural <br> mortality before <br> spawning | $1958-2003$ | $1-9$ | No |
| Fprop | Proportion of fishing <br> mortality before <br> spawning | $1958-2003$ | $1-9$ | No |
| Matprop | Proportion mature at age | $1958-2003$ | $1-9$ | No |
| Natmor | Natural mortality | $1958-2003$ | $1-9$ | No |

Tuning data:

| Type | Name | Year range | Age range |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tuning fleet 1 | CSHAS | $1990-2003$ | $2-5$ |
| Tuning fleet 2 |  |  |  |
| Tuning fleet 3 |  |  |  |
| $\ldots$. |  |  |  |

## D. Short-Term Projection

Model used: Multi fleet Deterministic Projection (Smith, 2000).
Software used: MFDP Software
A short-term projection is carried out under the following assumptions. The number of 1 ringers was based on the geometric mean from 1958 to 2001. . This was followed to allow for the inclusion of the period of recruitment failure. This value was 406 million fish. Mean weights in the catch and in the stock were calculated as means over the period 1998-2003. Population numbers of 2-ringers in the 2004/2005 season was calculated by the degradation of geometric mean recruitment (1958-2001) using the equation, following the same procedure as last year.
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t}+1}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t}} * \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{M}}$
Following the same procedure as last year, two scenarios are presented, one based on $\mathbf{F}_{\text {sq }}$ ( $=\mathrm{F}_{2003}$ ), the other on a catch constraint of 13,000 (the TAC for 2004).

## E. Medium-Term Projections

Not performed

## F. Long-Term Projections

Not performed

## G. Biological Reference Points

$\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ is set at $44,000 \mathrm{t}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ at $26,000 \mathrm{t}$. F reference points are not defined for this stock.

## H. Other Issues

## I. References
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## Stock:

Working Group:

Date:
Authors:

Herring in VIa (North)
Herring Assessment WG for the Area south of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$

18 March 2005
E.M.C. Hatfield and E.J. Simmonds

## A. General

## A.1. Stock definition

The stock is distributed over ICES Division VIa (N). Some of the larger adults typically found close to the shelf break may be caught in division Vb .

## A.2. Fishery

The dominant fleet fishing in VIa (N) since 1957 has been the Scottish fleet. In the early years the Scottish fishery was prosecuted using a mixture of vessel size and gear, including gill nets, ring-nets and trawls. The boats were small, and targeted the coastal stock, primarily fishing in the winter. Until 1970 the only other nations fishing in this area on a regular basis were the former German Federal Republic, and to a much lesser extend the Netherlands. These fleets operated in deeper water near the shelf edge.

In 1970 a large increase in exploitation occurred with the entry of fleets from Norway and the Faroes, and an increased Netherlands catch. In addition, considerably smaller catches were taken by France and Iceland.

Throughout this period juvenile herring catches from the Moray Firth, in the north-east of Scotland, were included in the VIa catch figures, as tagging programs showed there to be some links between herring spawning to the west of Scotland and the Moray Firth juveniles.

Prior to 1982 herring stocks in ICES Area VIa were assessed as one stock, along with the herring by-catch from the sprat fishery in the Moray Firth. In the 1982 herring assessment working group report, and in subsequent years, Area VIa was split into a northern and a southern area at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (ICES, 1982).

In 1979 and 1981 the fishery was closed. After re-opening the nature of the fishery changed to an extent, with fewer Scottish boats targeting the coastal stock than before the closure. The Scottish domestic pair trawl fleet and the Northern Irish fleet operated in shallower, coastal areas, principally fishing in the Minches and around the Island of Barra in the south; younger herring are found in these areas. Since 1986 Irish trawlers have operated in the south of the area, from the VIa (S) line up to the south-western Hebrides. The Scottish and Norwegian purse seine fleets targeted herring mostly in the northern North Sea, but also operated in the northern part of VIa ( N ). An international freezer-trawler fishery operated in deeper water near the shelf edge where older fish are distributed. These vessels are mostly registered in the Netherlands, Germany, France and England. In recent years the catch of these fleets has become more similar and has been dominated by younger adults resulting from increased recruitment into the stock.

In recent years the Scottish fleet has changed to a predominantly purse-seine fleet to a trawl fleet. Norwegian vessels fish less in the area than in the past. Scottish catches still comprise around half of the total, the rest is dominated by the offshore, international fishery.

A recent EU-funded programme WESTHER aims to elucidate stock structures of herring throughout the western seaboard of the British Isles using a combination of morphometric measurements, otolith structure, genetics and parasite loads. The results of this should provide the best-available information on mixing of stocks within and beyond VIa (N).

## A.3. Ecosystem aspects

Herring in this area is an important food source for sea birds, sea mammals and many piscivorous fish.

Adult herring in VIa ( N ) can consume eggs of other fish species in the area. However, it has not been possible to demonstrate a relationship between herring abundance and recruitment to other stocks, and stomach investigations of herring do not indicate that the predation effect on eggs has significant impact on egg survival for other stocks.

## B. Data

## B.1. Commercial catch

Commercial catch is obtained from national laboratories of nations exploiting herring in VIa (N). Since 1999 (catch data 1998), these labs have used a spreadsheet to provide all necessary landing and sampling data, which was developed originally for the Mackerel Working Group (WGMHSA) and further adapted to the special needs of the Herring Assessment Working Group. The current version used for reporting the 2002 catch data was v1.6.4. The majority of commercial catch data of multinational fleets was provided on these spreadsheets and further processed with the SALLOCL-application (Patterson, 1998a). This program gives the needed standard outputs on sampling status and biological parameters. It also clearly documents any decisions made by the species co-ordinators for filling in missing data and raising the catch information of one nation/quarter/area with information from another data set.

Transparency of data handling by the Working Group. The current practice of data handling by the Working Group is that the data received by the co-ordinators is available in a folder called "archive". These high-resolution data are not reproduced in the report. The archived data contains the disaggregated dataset (disfad), the allocations of samples to unsampled catches (alloc), the aggregated dataset (sam.out) and (in some cases) a document describing any problems with the data in that year.

Current methods of compiling fisheries assessment data. The species co-ordinator is responsible for compiling the national data to produce the input data for the assessments. In addition to checking the major task involved is to allocate samples of catch numbers, mean length and mean weight-at-age to unsampled catches. There are at present no defined criteria on how this should be done, but the following general process is implemented by the species co-ordinators. Searches are made for appropriate samples by gear (fleet) area quarter, if an exact match is not available the search will move to a neighbouring area if the fishery extends to this area in the same quarter. More than one sample may be allocated to an unsampled catch, in this case a straight mean or weighted mean of the observations may be used. If there are no samples available the search will move to the closest non-adjacent area by gear (fleet) and quarter, but not in all cases.

Until 2003 the VIa(N) catch data extended back to the early 1970s; since 1986 the series has run from 1976 to present. In 2004 the data set was extended back to 1957. Details are given below.

## Historic Catches from 1957 to 1975

The working group has obtained preliminary estimates of catch and catch-at-age for the period 1957 to 1975. These have been estimated from records of catch presented in HAWG reports from 1973, 1974, 1981 and 1982. Intervening reports were also consulted to check for changes or updates during the period. Catch-at-age data were available from 1970 to 1975 from the 1982 Working Group report, and catches-at-age for the period 1957 to 1972 were estimated from paper records of catch-at-age by national fleets for 1957 to 1972, held at FRS Marine Laboratory Aberdeen. The fishing practices of national fleets were established for the period 1970 to 1980 from catches in VIa and VIa (N) recorded in the 1981 and 1982 Working Group reports respectively. This procedure suggested that, on average, more than $90 \%$ of catch by national fleet could be fully assigned to either VIa (N) or VIa (S). The remaining catch was assigned assuming historic proportions. During this period catches were split into autumn and spring spawning components; anecdotal information on trials to verify this separation suggests it was not a robust procedure. Currently about $5 \%$ of herring in VIa (N) is found to be spent at the time of the acoustic surveys in July, and thought to be spring spawning herring. However, at present the Working Group assesses VIa (N) herring as one stock, regardless of spawning stock affiliation. In the earlier period higher proportions were allocated as spring spawners. The Working Group considered that it was preferable to combine all catch in the earlier period as VIa ( N ) catch, as the spawning components are currently mixed and the historic separation was uncertain. Similarly, a small Moray Firth juvenile fishery was also included in VIa ( N ) catch in earlier years because it was thought that these juveniles were part of the VIa (N) stock. Separating this component in the historic data was difficult, and as the fishery ceased in the very early 70s this has no implications for current allocation of these fish. The Moray Firth is, geographically, part of IVa (ICES stat. rectangles $44 \mathrm{E} 6,44 \mathrm{E} 7,45 \mathrm{E} 6$ ) and is now managed as part of that area. Currently there are no juvenile herring catches from the Moray Firth. Full details of the analysis carried out is provided as an appendix (Appendix 11) to the 2004 Working Group report.

## Allocation of catch and misreporting

This fishery had a strong tradition of misreporting. It is believed that the shortfall between the TAC and the catch was used to misreport catches from other areas (from IVa to the east and from VIa ( S ) to the south). In the past, fishery-independent information confirmed that large catches were being reported from areas with low abundances of fish, and informal information from the fishery and from other sources confirmed that most catches of fish recorded between $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ were most probably misreported North Sea catches. The problem was detailed in the Working Group report in 2002 (ICES 2002/ACFM:12). Improved information from the fishery in 1998-2002 allowed for re-allocation of many catches due to area misreporting (principally from VIa (N) to IVa (W)). This information was obtained from only some of the fleets

As a result of perceived problems of area misreporting of catch from IVa into VIa (N), Scotland introduced a new fishery regulation in 1997 aiming to improve reporting accuracy. Under this regulation, Scottish vessels fishing for herring are required to hold a license either to fish in the North Sea or in the west of Scotland area (VIa (N)). Only one of these options can be held at any one time.

The Working Group considers that the serious problems with misreporting of catches from this stock, with many examples of vessels operating and landing herring catches distant from VIa ( N ) but reporting catches from that area, have been reduced in recent years from some $30,000 \mathrm{t}$ in the mid 1990s to around $5,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 2002. In 2003, for the first time since 1983, observer data indicated there was no misreported catch..

Catches are included in the assessment. Biases and sampling designs are not documented. Discards are not included. Slippage and high grading are not recorded.

## B.2. Biological

Catch-at-age data (catch numbers-at-age, mean weights-at-age in the catch, mean length-atage) are derived from the raised national figures received from the national laboratories. The data are obtained either by market sampling or by onboard observers, and processed as described in Section B. 1 above. For information on recent sampling levels and nations providing samples, see Section 2.2. in the most recent HAWG report.

Proportions mature (maturity ogive) and mean weights-at-age in the stock derived from the acoustic survey (see next section) have been used since 1992 and 1993, respectively. Prior to these years, time-invariant values derived from ??? were used.

Biological sampling of the catches was extremely poor in recent history (particularly in 1999). This was particularly the case for the freezer trawler fishery that takes the larger component of the stock based around the shelf break. The lack of samples was due in part to the fact that national vessels tend to land in foreign ports, avoiding national sampling programs. The same fleet is thought to high grade. The long length of fishing trips makes observer programs difficult. Even when samples are taken, age determination is limited for most nations.

Sampling has improved over the last few years. The number of age readings per $1,000 \mathrm{t}$ of catch increased from the low in 1999 of 52 to a high in 2001 of 93 . Numbers have decreased again since then to 57 per $1,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 2003 . From 1999 to 2003 the sampling has been dominated by Scotland (ranging between 70 and $98 \%$ of the age readings), except in 2001, when only $43 \%$ of the age determination was on Scottish landings in VIa (N).

Natural mortality (M) varies with age (expressed in number of winter rings) according to the following:

| Rings | M |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 0.3 |
| 3 | 0.2 |
| $4+$ | 0.1 |

Those values have been held constant from 1957 to date. Those values correspond to estimates for North Sea herring based on recommendations by the Multi-species WG (Anon. 1987a) that were applied to adjacent areas (Anon. 1987b).

## B.3. Surveys

## B.3.1 Acoustic survey

An acoustic survey has been carried out for VIa ( N ) herring in the years 1987, 1991-2003. The 1997 survey was invalidated due to its unusual timing (June as oppose to July).

Biomass estimated from the acoustic survey tends to be variable. Herring are found in similar area each year, namely south of the Hebrides off Barra Head, west of the Hebrides and along the shelf edge.

The stock is highly contagious in its spatial distribution, which explains some of the high variability in the time series. Effort stratification has improved with knowledge of the distribution and this may be less of a problem in more recent years. The survey uses the same target strength as for the North Sea surveys and there is no reason to suppose why this should be any different. Species identification is generally not a great problem.

## B.3.2 Larvae survey

Larvae surveys for this stock were carried out from 1973 to 1993. Larval production estimates (LPE) and a larval abundance index (LAI) were produced for the time series. These values were used in the assessment, the LPE until 2001. However, in 2002 it was decided that the LAI had no influence on the assessment and has not been used since. Documentation of this survey time-series is given in ICES CM 1990/H:40.

## B.4. Commercial CPUE Not used for pelagic stocks

## B.5. Other relevant data

## C. Historical Stock Development

An experimental survey-data-at-age model was formulated at the 2000 HAWG. In 1999 and 1998 a Bayesian modification to ICA was used to account for the uncertainty in misreporting.

Model used: ICA
Software used: ICA (Patterson 1998b)
Model Options chosen:

- Separable constraint over last 8 years (weighting $=1.0$ for each year)
- Reference age = 4
- Constant selection pattern model
- Selectivity on oldest age $=1.0$
- First age for calculation of mean $F=3$
- Last age for calculation of mean $F=6$
- Weighting on 1 -rings $=0.1$; all other age classes $=1.0$
- Weighting for all years $=1.0$
- All indices treated as linear
- No S/R relationship fitted
- Lowest and highest feasible F $=0.02$ and 0.5
- All survey weights fitted by hand i.e., 1.0 with the 1 ringers in the acoustic survey weighted to 0.1 .
- Correlated errors assumed i.e., = 1.0

No shrinkage applied

Input data types and characteristics:

| Type | NAME | Year range | Age range | VARIAbLE FROM YEAR TO YEAR Yes/No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caton | Catch in tonnes | 1957-2003 | NA | Yes |
| Canum | Catch at age in numbers | 1957-2003 | 1-9+ | Yes |
| Weca | Weight at age in the commercial catch | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 1957-1972 } \\ & \text { 1973-1981 } \\ & \text { 1982-1984 } \\ & \text { 1985-last data year } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1-9+ \\ & 1-9+ \\ & 1-9+ \\ & 1-9+ \end{aligned}$ | No <br> No <br> No <br> Yes |
| West | Weight at age of the spawning stock at spawning time. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1957 \text { - } 1992 \\ & \text { 1993-last data year } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-9+ \\ & 1-9+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| Mprop | Proportion of natural mortality before spawning | 1957-last data year | NA | No |
| Fprop | Proportion of fishing mortality before spawning | 1957-last data year | NA | No |
| Matprop | Proportion mature at age | $\begin{aligned} & 1957 \text { - } 1991 \\ & \text { 1992-last data year } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-9+ \\ & 1-9+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| Natmor | Natural mortality | 1957-last data year | 1-9+ | No |

Tuning data:

| Type | Name | Year range | Age range |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tuning fleet 1 | VIa (N) Acoustic Survey | 1987, | $1-9+$ |
|  |  | $1991-1996$ | $1-9+$ |
|  |  | $1998-2003$ | $1-9+$ |

## D. Short-Term Projection

Model used: Age structured
Software used: MFDP ver 1a
Initial stock size: Taken from the last year of the assessment. 1- and 2-ring recruits taken from a geometric mean for the years 1976 to one year prior to the last year.

Maturity: Mean of the last three years of the maturity ogive used in the assessment.
F and M before spawning: Set to 0.67 for all years.
Weight at age in the stock: Mean of the last three years in the assessment.
Weight at age in the catch: Mean of the last three years in the assessment.
Exploitation pattern: Mean of the previous three years, scaled by the Fbar (3-6) to the level of the last year.

Intermediate year assumptions: status quo F constraint.
Stock recruitment model used:
None used

Procedures used for splitting projected catches: Not relevant

## E. Medium-Term Projections

Model used: ICP as described in ICES 1996/ACFM:10
Software used: ICP (Patterson 1999)?
Initial stock size: Population parameters (vector of abundance at age in 2003, fishing mortality at reference age in 2003, selection at age) are drawn from a multivariate normal distribution with mean equal to the values estimated in the stock assessment model, and with covariance as estimated in the same model fit. Geometric mean recruitment for 1- and 2-ringers is used to replace the values in the assessment for the first projected year, however, the covariance values produced by ICA are retained.

Natural mortality: Mean of the last three years in the assessment.
Maturity: Mean of the last three years of the maturity ogive used in the assessment.
F and M before spawning: Set to 0.67 for all years.
Weight at age in the stock: Mean of the last three years in the assessment.
Weight at age in the catch: Mean of the last three years in the assessment.
Exploitation pattern: ???
Intermediate year assumptions: F or TAC constraint
Stock recruitment model used: Ockham option using the converged VPA 1972 to three years prior to last year in the assessment.

Uncertainty models used:
Initial stock size:
Natural mortality:
Maturity:
F and M before spawning:
Weight at age in the stock:
Weight at age in the catch:
Exploitation pattern:
Intermediate year assumptions:
Stock recruitment model used:
F. Long-Term Projections

Model used:
Software used:
Maturity:
F and M before spawning:
Weight at age in the stock:
Weight at age in the catch:
Exploitation pattern:
Procedures used for splitting projected catches:

## G. Biological Reference Points

The report of SGPRP (ICES 2003/ACFM:15) proposed a $\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}$ of $50,000 \mathrm{t}$ for VIa (N) herring. This is calculated from the values in the converged part of the VPA (1976-1999) and the Working Group endorsed this value in 2003 (ICES 2003/ACFM:17).

In 2003 the Working Group estimated retrospective error in terminal SSB from 4 years and gave a mean of the absolute values of $20 \%$ and a maximum of $38 \%$. Since there are so few data points and they are close in time to the current year the maximum value might be an underestimate of the range of values. The Working Group felt that the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile on a normal distribution that had a mean error of $20 \%$ might be a more appropriate measure; this would give a factor close to $50 \%$.
$\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}=\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lim}} * 1.50$ and gives $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}=75,000 \mathrm{t}$
The Working Group had considerable trouble developing F reference points but proposed a value based on rather limited data on errors of estimation. $\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}$ was derived directly from the equilibrium exploitation rate for an SSB for $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{l i m}} . \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{p a}}$ was obtained in a similar manner to $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{p a}}$ with a factor of $50 \%$. Full details of the method are given in last year's Working Group report.

The Working Group did not repeat the extensive analysis carried out in 2003 (ICES 2003/ACFM:17) but suggests that, at the very least, a $\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}$ of 50,000 and a $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{p a}}$ of 75,000 are suitable as Biomass limit and reference points for VIa (N). Reference points are urgently needed for the management of this stock and these values are as well founded as many others currently in use.

Suggested Precautionary Approach reference points:

| B $_{\text {LIM }}$ IS 50,000 T |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}$ is 0.75 | $\mathbf{F}_{\text {pa }}=0.35$ |

Technical basis:

| $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{LIM}}: \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{Loss}}$ESTIMATED SSB FOR SUSTAINED <br> RECRUITMENT | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{PA}}:=\mathbf{1 . 5} \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{LIM}}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ corresponding to $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ from the yield-per-recruit <br> $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{lim}}=0.75$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}=0.5 * \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ |

## H. Other Issues

## H. 1 Terminology

The WG uses "rings" rather than "age" or "winter rings" throughout the report to denominate the age of herring, with the intention to avoid confusion. It should be observed that, for autumn spawning stocks, there is a difference of one year between "age" and "rings". HAWG in 1992 (ICES 1992/Assess:11) stated that
"The convention of defining herring age rings instead of years was introduced in various ICES working groups around 1970. The main argument to do so was the uncertainty about the racial identity of the herring in some areas. A herring with one winter ring is classified as 2-years-old if it is an autumn spawner, and one-year-old if it is a spring spawner. Recording the age of the herring in rings instead of in years allowed scientists to postpone the decision on year of birth until a later date when they might have obtained more information on the racial identity of the herring.

The use of winter rings in ICES working groups has introduced a certain amount of confusion and errors. In specifying the age of the herring, people always have to state explicitly whether they are talking about rings or years, and whether the herring are autumn- or spring spawners. These details tend to get lost in working group reports, which can make these
reports confusing for outsiders, and even for herring experts themselves. As the age of all other fish species (and of herring in other parts of the world) is expressed in years, one could question the justification of treating West-European herring in a special way. Especially with the present trend towards multispecies assessment and integration of ICES working groups, there might be a case for a uniform system of age definition throughout all ICES working groups.

However, the change from rings to years would create a number of practical problems. Data files in national laboratories and at ICES would have to be adapted, which would involve extra costs and manpower. People that had not been aware of the change might be confused when comparing new data with data from old working group reports. Finally, in some areas (notably Division IIII), the distinction between spring- and autumn spawners is still hard to make, and scientists preferred to continue using rings instead of years.

The Working Group discussed at length the various consequences of a change from rings to years. The majority of the Group felt that the advantages of such a change did not outweigh the disadvantages, and it was decided to stick to the present system for the time being."
The text table below gives an example for the correlation between age, rings and year class for the different spawning types in late 2002:

| Year CLASS (AUTUMN SPAWNERS) | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 / 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 / 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 / 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 / 1 9 9 9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rings | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Age (autumn spawners) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Year class (spring spawners) | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |
| Rings | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Age (spring spawners) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
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## Quality Handbook ANNEX: Herring in VIaS and VIIb

Stock specific documentation of standard assessment procedures used by ICES.

Stock:
Working Group:

Date:

Herring in VIaS and VIIb
Herring Assessment Working Group for the area south of $62^{0} \mathrm{~N}$
$19^{\text {th }}$ April 2004

## A. General

## A.1. Stock definition

The herring to the northwest of Ireland comprise both autumn and winter spawning components. For the purpose of stock assessment and management, these areas have been separated from VIaN since 1982. Spawning in VIIb has traditionally taken place in the autumn and in VIaS, later in the autumn and in the winter.

## A.2. Fishery

The TAC is taken mainly by Ireland, which has over $90 \%$ of the quota. In recent years, only Ireland has exploited herring in this area. In 2000 the Irish North West Pelagic Management Committee was established to deal with the management of this stock.

Landings have decreased markedly from about 44,000 tin 1990 to around 13,000 tin 2003.

## A.3. Ecosystem aspects

## B. Data

## B.1. Commercial catch

The commercial catches are provided by national laboratories belonging toe the nations that have quota for this stock. In recent years, only Ireland has caught herring in this area, so catch-at-age, mean weights and stock weights are derived entirely from Irish sampling. Sampling is performed as part of commitments under the EU Council Regulation 1639/2001.

Commercial catch at age data are submitted in Exchange sheet v 1.6.4. These data are usually processed using SALLOCL. This program (Patterson, 1998) gives outputs on sampling status and available biological parameters and documents actions taken to raise unsampled metiers using other data sets. The species co-ordinator allocates samples of catch numbers, mean length and mean weight-at-age to unsampled catches using appropriate samples by gear (fleet) area quarter and if an exact match is not available then a neighbouring area if the fishery extends to this area in the same quarter.

## B.2. Biological

Mean weights at age in the catch in the $4^{\text {th }}$ and $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter are used as stock weights.

## B.3. Surveys

Not used in assessment
B.4. Commercial CPUE

Not used in assessment
B.5. Other relevant data

## C. Historical Stock Development

Model used:
A separable VPA is used to track the historic development of this stock.
Software used:
Lowestoft VPA Package (Darby and Flatman , 1994). No final assessment has been accepted by the working group. However several scenarios are run, screening over a range of terminal F's and each is presented in the report.

Input data types and characteristics:

| Type | Name | Year range | Age range | Variable from yEAR TO YEAR Yes/No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caton | Catch in tonnes | 1970-2003 | 1-9 | Yes |
| Canum | Catch at age in numbers | 1970-2003 | 1-9 | Yes |
| Weca | Weight at age in the commercial catch | 1970-2003 | 1-9 | Yes |
| West | Weight at age of the spawning stock at spawning time. | 1970-2003 | 1-9 | Yes |
| Mprop | Proportion of natural mortality before spawning | 1970-2003 | 1-9 | No |
| Fprop | Proportion of fishing mortality before spawning | 1970-2003 | 1-9 | No |
| Matprop | Proportion mature at age | 1970-2003 | 1-9 | No |
| Natmor | Natural mortality | 1970-2003 | 1-9 | No |

Tuning data:

| TyPE | Name | Year range | Age range |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tuning fleet 1 |  |  |  |
| Tuning fleet 2 |  |  |  |
| Tuning fleet 3 |  |  |  |
| $\ldots$. |  |  |  |

## D. Short-Term Projection

Not conducted

## E. Medium-Term Projections

Not conducted

## F. Long-Term Projections

Not conducted

## G. Biological Reference Points

$\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}=110,000 \mathrm{t}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}=81,000 \mathrm{t} . \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}=0.22$ and $\mathrm{F} \lim =0.33$.

## H. Other Issues

## I. References

Darby, C.D. and Flatman, S. 1994. Virtual Population Analysis version 3.1 (Windows/DOS) user guide. Lowestoft: MAFF Information Technology Series No. 1.

## Quality Handbook ANNEX:_hawg-nirs

Stock specific documentation of standard assessment procedures used by ICES.

Stock:
Working Group

Date:

Irish Sea herring
Herring Assessment Working Group (HAWG)

17 March 2004

## A. General

## A.1. Stock definition

Herring spawning grounds in the Irish Sea are found in coastal waters to the west and north of the Isle of Man and on the Irish Coast at around $54^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (ICES 1994, Dickey-Collas et al. 2001). Spawning takes place from September to November in both areas, occurring slightly later on average on the Irish Coast than off the Isle of Man. ICES Herring Assessment Working Groups from 19XX to 1983 used v ertebral counts to separate catches into Manx and Mourne stocks associated with these spawning grounds. However, taking account of inaccuracies in this method and the results of biochemical analyses, the 1984 WG combined the data from the two components to provide a "more meaningful and accurate estimate of the total stock biomass in the N.Irish Sea." All subsequent assessments have treated the VIIa(N) data as coming from a single stock. During the 1970s, catches from the Manx component were about three times larger than those from the Mourne component. By the early 1980s, following the collapse of the stock, the catches were of similar magnitude. The fishery off the Mourne coast declined substantially in the 1990s then ceased, whilst acoustic and larva surveys in this period indicate that the spawning population in this area has been very small compared to the biomass off the Isle of Man.

The occurrence in the Irish Sea of juvenile herring from a winter-spring spawning stock has been recognized since the 1960s based on vertebral counts (ICES 1994). More recently, Brophy and Danilowicz (2002) used otolith microstructure to show that nursery grounds in the western Irish Sea were generally dominated by winter-spawned fish. Samples from the eastern Irish Sea were mainly autumn-spawned fish. Recaptures from 10,000 herring tagged off the SW of the Isle of Man in July 1991 occurred both on the Manx spawning grounds and along the Irish Coast with increasing proportions from the Celtic Sea in subsequent years (Molloy et al., 1993). The pattern of recaptures indicated a movement towards spawning grounds in the Celtic Sea as the fish matured.

A proportion of the Irish Sea herring stocks may occur to the north of the Irish Sea outside of the spawning period. This was indicated by the recapture on the Manx spawning grounds of 36 ring herring tagged during summer in the Firth of Clyde (Morrison and Bruce 1981). Aggregations of post-spawning adult herring were detected along the west coast of England during an acoustic survey in December 1996 (Department of Agriculture and Rural Development for Northern Ireland, unpublished data), showing that a component of the stock may remain within the Irish Sea.

A recent EU-funded programme WESTHER aims to elucidate stock structures of herring throughout the western seaboard of the British Isles using a combination of morphometric
measurements, otolith structure, genetics and parasite loads. The results of this should provide the best-available information on mixing of stocks within and beyond the Irish Sea.

## A.2. Fishery

There have been three types of fishery on herring in the Irish Sea in the last 40 years:
i ) Isle of Man- aimed at adult fish that spawn around the Isle of Man.
ii ) Mourne- aimed at adult fish that spawn off the Northern Irish eastern coast.
iii ) Mornington- a mixed industrial fishery that caught juveniles in the western Irish Sea.

The Mornington fishery started in 1969 and at its peak it caught 10,000 tonnes per year. It took place throughout the year. The fishery was closed due to management concerns in 1978 (ICES, 1994). In the 1970s the catch of fish from the Mourne fishery made up over a third of the total Irish Sea catch. The fishery was carried out by UK and Republic of Ireland vessels using trawls, seines and drift nets in the autumn. However the fishery declined and ceased in the early 1990s (ICES, 1994). The biomass of Mourne herring, determined from larval production estimates is now 2-4\% of the total Irish Sea stock (Dickey-Collas et al., 2001).

The main herring fishery in the Irish Sea has been on the fish that spawn in the vicinity of the Isle of Man. The fish are caught as they enter the North Channel, down the Scottish coast, and around the Isle of Man. Traditionally this fishery supplied the Manx Kipper Industry, which requires fish in June and July. However the fish appeared to spawn slightly later in the year in the 1990s and this lead to problems of supply for the Manx Kipper Industry. In 1998 the Kipper companies decided to buy in fish from other areas. Generally the fishery has occurred from June to November, but is highly dependent on the migratory behaviour of the herring.

The fishery has been prosecuted mainly by UK and Irish vessels. TACs were first introduced in 1972, and vessels from France, Netherlands and the USSR also reported catches from the Irish Sea during the 1970s before the closure of the fisheries from 1978 to 1981. By the 1990s only the fishery on the Manx fish remained, and by the late 1990s this was dominated by Northern Irish boats. The number of Northern Irish vessels landing herring declined from 24 in 1995-96 to 6-10 in 1997-99 and to 4 in 2000. Only two vessels operated in 2002 and 2003. However, total landings have remained relatively stable since the 1980s whilst the mean amount of fish landed per fishing trip has increased, reflecting the increase in average vessel size

## A.3. Ecosystem aspects

The main fish predators on herring in the Irish Sea include whiting (Merlangius merlangus), hake (Merluccius merluccius) and spurdogfish (Squalus acanthias). The size composition of herring in the stomach contents indicates that predation by whiting is mainly on 0 -ring and 1 ring herring whilst adult hake and spurdogfish also eat older herring (Armstrong, 1979; Newton, 2000; Patterson, 1983). Sampling since the 1980s has shown cod (Gadus morhua), taken by both pelagic and demersal trawls in the Irish Sea, to be minor predators on herring. Small clupeids are an important source of food for piscivorous seabirds including gannets, guillemots and razorbills (ref...) which nest at several locations in and around the Irish Sea. Marine mammal predators include grey and harbour seals (ref.) and possibly pilot whales, which occur seasonally in areas where herring aggregate.

Whilst small juvenile herring occur throughout the coastal waters of the western and eastern Irish Sea, their distribution overlaps extensively with sprats (Sprattus sprattus). The biomass of small herring has typically been less than $5 \%$ of the combined biomass of small clupeids estimated by acoustics (ICES HAWG reports).

## B. Data

## B.1. Commercial catch

## National landings estimates

The current ICES assessment of Irish Sea herring extends back to 1961, and is based on landings only. ICES WG reports (ICES 1981, 1986 and 1991) highlight the occurrence of discarding and slippage of catches, which can occur in areas where adult and juvenile herring co-occur. Discarding has been practised on an increasing scale since 1980 (ICES 1986). This increase is primarily related to the onset of slippage of catches that coincided with the cessation of the industrial fishery in early 1979 (ICES 1980). As a result of sorting practices, slippage has led to marked changes in the age composition of the catch since 1979 and considerable change in the mean weights at age in the catch of the three youngest age groups (ICES 1981). Estimates of discarding were sporadically performed in the 1980s (ICES 1981, 1982, 1985 and 1986), but there are no estimates of discarding or slippage of herring in the Irish Sea fisheries since 1986. Highly variable annual discard rates are evident from the 1980s surveys. For example, discards estimates of juvenile herring (0-group) for the Mourne stock taken in the 1981 Nephrops fishery was estimated at $1.9 \times 10^{6}$ of vessels landing in Northern Ireland, which amounts to approximately $20 \%$ of the Mourne fishery (ICES 1982). In 1982, at least $50 \%$ of 1-group herring caught were discarded at sea by vessels participating in the Isle of Man fishery (ICES 1983). A more comprehensive survey programme to determine the rate of discarding in 1985 revealed discard estimates of $82 \%$ by numbers of 1 -ring fish, $30 \%$ of 2 ring and $6 \%$ of 3 -ring fish, with the dominant age group in the landed catch being 3 ring (ICES 1986). A similar survey in 1986, however, found the discarding of young fish fell to a very low level (ICES 1987). The 1991 WG discussed the discard problem in herring fisheries in general and suggested possible measures to reduce discarding. No quantitative estimates were given, but reports of fishermen suggesting discards of up to $50 \%$ of catch as a result of sorting practices by using sorting machines (ICES 1991). The variation in discard rates since 1980, as a result of changes in discard practices, can probably be attributed to several changes in the management of the fishery. These include the availability of different fishing areas, the change to fortnightly catch quotas per boat (ICES 1987) and level of TAC, where lower discard rates are observed with a higher TAC (ICES 1989). The level of slippage is also related to the fishing season, since slippage is often at a high level in the early months (ICES 1987). Due to the variable nature of discard estimates and the lack of a continuous data series, it has not been included in the annual catch at age estimates (with the exception of the 1983 assessment when the catch in numbers of 1-ringers was doubled based on a $50 \%$ discard estimate of this age group).

Landings data for herring in Division VIIa(N) are generally collated from all participating countries providing official statistics to ICES, namely UK (England \& Wales, Northern Ireland, Scotland and the Isle of Man), Ireland, France, the Netherlands and what was formally the USSR. The data for the period 1971 to present are reported in the various Herring Assessment Working Group Reports and are reproduced in Table 1. The official Statistics for Irish landings from VIIa have been processed to remove data from the Dunmore East fishery in area VIIa(S), and represent landings from VIIa(N) only.

Over the past three decades, the WG highlighted the under- or misreporting of catches as the major problem with regards to the accuracy of the landing data. Related to this are the problems of illegal landings during closed periods and paper landings. Area misreporting was also recognised (ICES 1999), although a less prominent problem that is mostly corrected for.

The 1980 WG first identified the problem of misreporting of landings based on the results of a 3 -year sampling programme, which was initiated after 1975 when herring were being landed in metric units at ports bordering the Irish Sea (1 unit $=100 \mathrm{~kg}$ nominal weight). The study
showed the weight of a unit to be very variable, but was usually well in excess of 100 kg . An initial attempt to allow for misreporting using adjusted catches made very little difference to any of the values of fishing mortality (ICES 1980). Subsequently, despite serious concerns about considerable under-reporting being raised (ICES 1990, 1994, 2000 and 2001), the WG made no attempts to examination the extent of the problem. This uncertainty signifies no estimates of under-reporting and consequently no allowance for under-reporting of landings has been made. Considerable doubt was raised as to the accuracy of landing data over the period 1981-87 (ICES 1994). However, after apparent re-examination all WG landing statistics are assumed to be accurate up to 1997 (ICES 2000), but with no reliable estimates of landings from 1998-2000 (ICES 2001). The WG acknowledged that poor quality landing data bring the catch in numbers at age data into question and hence the accuracy of any assessment using data from such periods (ICES 1994).

In 2002 the ICES assessment was extended back to include data for 1961-1970 with the intention of showing the stock development prior to the large expansion in fishing effort and stock size in the early 1970s. This has now been extended further back to 1955. Landings data for this period were extracted from the UK fisheries data bases (England \& Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland: Table 1, columns8-10) and publications by Bowers and Brand (1973) for Isle of Man landings (column 11). Landings data for Ireland and France were not available.

To estimate the VIIa(N) herring landings for Ireland and France during 1955-1970, the NE Atlantic herring catches for each country were obtained from the FAO database (column 16). Using the ICES landings data for each country (column 17) the mean proportion of the VIIa(N) catch to the NE Atlantic catch during 1971 to 1981 was estimated (column 18). This was applied to the NE Atlantic catches from each country, for the period 1955 to 1970, to give an estimated landing for both France and Ireland (column 19). These landings were added to the known catches from the CEFAS database to give the total landings. The landings data (tonnes) used in the assessment are given in Table 1, column 14. It is anticipated that landings data for VIIa(N) for years prior to 1971 can be extracted from the Irish databases. However, the French landings will remain as estimates.
[Need discussion on magnitude of errors in the old data]
[Need discussion on errors due to misreporting]

## Catch at age data

Age classes in the ICES Canum file refer to numbers of winter rings in otoliths. As the Irish Sea stock comprises autumn spawners, $i$-ring fish taken in year $y$ will comprise fish in their $i_{\text {th }}$ year of life if caught prior to the spawning season and $(i+1)_{\mathrm{th}}$ year if caught after the spawning period. An $i$-ring fish will belong to year-class $y$-2. As spawning stock is estimated at spawning time (autumn), spawning stock and recruitment relationships require estimates of recruitment of $i$-ring fish in year $y$ and estimates of SSB in year $i-2$. The current assessment estimates recruitment as numbers of 1-ring fish.

The most recent description of sampling and raising methods for estimating catch at age of herring stocks is in ICES (1996). This includes sampling by UK(E\&W) and Ireland, but not UK(NI) and Isle of Man
$\mathrm{UK}(\mathrm{NI}):$ A random sample of $10-20 \mathrm{~kg}$ of herring is taken from each landing into the main landing port (Ardglass) by the NI Department of Agriculture and Rural Development. Samples are also collected from any catches landed into Londonderry. Prior to the 1990s, the samples were mostly processed fresh. During the 1990s, there was an increasing tendency for samples to be frozen for a period of weeks before processing. No corrections have been applied to weight measurements
to allow for changes due to freezing and defrosting. The length frequency (total length) of each sample is recorded to the nearest 0.5 cm below. A sample of herring is then taken for biological analysis as follows: one fish per 0.5 cm length class, followed by a random sample to make the sample up to 50 fish.

Otoliths are removed from each fish, mounted in resin on a black slide and read by reflected light. Ages are assigned according to number of winter rings.

Length frequencies (LFDs) for VIIa(N) catches are aggregated by quarter. The weight of the aggregate LFD is calculated using a length-weight relationship derived from the biological samples. The LFD is then raised to the total quarterly landings of herring by the NI fleets. A quarterly age-length key, derived from commercial catch samples only, is applied to the raised LFD to give numbers at age and mean weight at age.

IOM: IOM sampling covers the period 1923-1997. Samples are collected from any landings into Peel, by staff of the Port Erin Marine Laboratory (Liverpool University). The sampling and raising procedures are the same as described for UK(NI) with the following exceptions: i) the weight of the aggregate quarterly LFD is obtained from the original sample weights rather than using a length-weight relationship, and ii) the biological samples are random rather than stratified by length. The 1993 ICES herring assessment WGs noted a potential under-estimation by one ring, of herring sampled in the IOM. This was caused by a change in materials used for mounting otoliths and appears to have been a problem for ageing older herring in 1990-92. This was since rectified. However, the bias for the 199092 period has not yet been quantified and will be examined in the near future.

Ireland: Irish sampling of VIIa(N) herring covers the period 19xx - 2001. Some samples are from landings into NI but transported to factories in southern Ireland. Irish sampling schemes for herring in Div. VIa(S), VIIb, Celtic Sea and VIIj are described in ICES (1996). Methods for sampling catches in VIIa(N) are similar. The procedure is the same as described above for UK(NI) except that the biological samples are random rather than length stratified. ICES (1996) notes that a lengthstratified scheme should be adopted to ensure proper coverage at the extremes of the LFDs.

Quality control of herring ageing has fallen under the remit of EU funded programmes EFAN and TACADAR, to which the laboratories sampling VIIa(N) herring contribute. An otolith exchange exercise was initiated in 2002 and is currently being completed.

## B.2. Biological

Natural mortality (M) varies with age (expressed in number of winter rings) according to the following:

| Rings | M |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 0.3 |
| 3 | 0.2 |
| $4+$ | 0.1 |

Those values have been held constant from 1972 to date. Those values correspond to estimates for North Sea herring based on recommendations by the Multi-species WG (Anon. 1987a). which were applied to adjacent areas (Anon. 1987b).

Maturity at age. Combined, year-specific maturity ogives were used in the 2003 Assessment (ICES 2003). The way those values were derived is documented on Dickey-Collas et al. (2003). Prior to 2003 annually invariant estimates of the proportion of fish mature by age were used. Those were based on estimates from the 1970s (ICES, 1994). The use of the variable maturity ogive in 2003 did not change greatly the perception of the stock state (Dickey-Collas et al., op cit).

SSB in September is estimated in the assessment. The survey larvae estimate is used as a relative index of SSB. The proportions of M and F before spawning are held constant over time in the assessment.

Stock weights at age have been derived from the age samples of the 3rd quarter landings since 1984 (R. Nash pers comm.). The stock mean weights for 1975-83 are time invariant and were re-examined in 1985 (Anon. 1985). They result from combining Manx and Mourne data sets. The weight at age of those stocks were considered relatively stable over time.

## B.3. Surveys

The following surveys provide data for the VIIa(N) assessment:

| SURVEY <br> Acronym | Type | Abundance data | Area and Month | Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AC(VIIaN) | Acoustic survey | Numbers at age (1-ring and older); SSB | VIIa(N) from $53^{0} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ $55^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$; September | 1994 - present |
| NINEL | Larva survey | Production of larvae at 6mm TL | VIIa(N) from $53^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ $54^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$; November | 1993 - present |
| DBL | Larva survey | Production of larvae at 6mm TL | East coast of Isle of Man; October | $\begin{aligned} & 1989 \text { - } 1999 \text { (1996 } \\ & \text { missing) } \end{aligned}$ |
| GFS-oct | Groundfish survey | Mean nos. caught per 3 n.miles (1\&2 ringers), by region | ```VIIa(N) from 53'0}20'N - 54 }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ}50'N(\mathrm{ stratified); October``` | 1993 - present |
| GFS-mar | Groundfish survey | Mean nos. caught per 3 n.miles (1\&2 ringers), by region | VIIa(N) from $53^{0} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ $54^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (stratified); March | 1993 - present |

Data from a number of earlier surveys have been documented in the ICES WG reports. These include:

NW Irish Sea young herring surveys (Irish otter trawl survey using commercial trawler; 1980 - 1988)

Douglas Bank (East Isle of Man) larva surveys (ring net surveys; 1974 - 1988) (Port Erin Marine Lab)

Douglas Bank spawning aggregation acoustic surveys (1989, 1990, 1994, 1995) (Port Erin Marine Lab)

Western Irish Sea acoustic survey ( July 1991, 1992) (UK(NI))
Eastern Irish Sea acoustic survey (December 1996)
Surveys used in recent assessments are described below.

## AC(VIIaN) acoustic survey

This survey uses a stratified design with systematic transects, during the first two weeks of September. Vessel used is the R.V. Lough Foyle (UK(NI)). Starting positions are randomized each year (see recent HAWG reports for transect design and survey results). The survey is most intense around the Isle of Man (2 to 4 n.mile transect spacing) where highest densities of
adult herring are expected based on previous surveys and fishery data. Transect spacing of 6 to 10 n.miles are used elsewhere. A sphere-calibrated EK- 50038 kHz sounder is employed, and data are archived and analysed using Echoview (SonarData, Tasmania). Targets are identified by midwater trawling. Acoustic records are manually partitioned to species by scrutinising the echograms and using trawl compositions where appropriate. ICES-recommended target strengths are used for herring, sprat, mackerel, horse mackerel and gadoids. The survey design and implementation follows, where possible, the guidelines for ICES herring acoustic surveys in the North Sea and West of Scotland. The survey data are analysed in 15-minute elementary distance sampling units (approx. 2.5 n.miles). An estimate of density by age class, and spawning stock biomass, is obtained for each EDSU and a distance-weighted average calculated for each stratum. These are raised by stratum area to give population numbers and SSB by stratum.

## NINEL larva survey

The DARD herring larva survey has been carried out in November each year since 1993 . Sampling is carried out on a systematic grid of stations covering the spawning grounds and surrounding regions in the NE and NW Irish Sea (Figure 1). Larvae are sampled using a GulfVII high-speed plankton sampler with $280 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ net. Double-oblique tows are made to within 2 m of the seabed at each station. Internal and external flow rates, and temperature and salinity profiles, were recorded during each tow. Lengths of all herring larva captured are recorded.

Mean catch-rates (nos. $\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ ) are calculated over stations to give separate indices of abundance for the NE and NW Irish Sea. Larval production rates (standardised to a larva of 6 mm ), and birth-date distributions, are computed based on the mean density of larvae by length class. A growth rate of 0.35 mm day $^{-1}$ and instantaneous mortality of 0.14 day $^{-1}$ are assumed based on estimates made in 1993-1997. More recent studies have indicated a mortality rate of 0.09, and this value is also applied to examine the effect on trends in estimates of larval production

## DBL larva survey

Herring larvae were sampled on the east side of the Isle of Man in September or October each year. Double oblique tows with a 60 cm Gulf VII/PRO-NET high-speed plankton sampler with a 40 cm aperture nose cone were undertaken on a 5 Nm square grid. The tow profile was followed with a FURUNO net sonde attached to the top of the equipment. The volume of water filtered was calculated from the nose cone mouth flow meter. The samples were preserved in $4 \%$ seawater buffered formalin and stored in 70\% alcohol.

All herring larvae were sorted from the samples. The numbers of larvae per $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ were calculated from the volume of water filtered and the number of larvae per tow. Up to 100 larvae from each tow were measured with an ocular graticule in a stereo microscope. Each sample was assigned to a sampling square and the total number of larvae per 0.5 mm size class calculated from the average depth of the square and the surface area.

The total production and time of larvae hatch was calculated using an instantaneous mortality coefficient (k) of 0.14 and a growth rate of $0.35 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$ in the formula:

$$
N_{t}=N_{o} e^{-(k t)}
$$

Production was calculated as the sum of all size classes/hatching dates. Spawning dates were taken as 10 days prior to the hatching date (Bowers 1952).

GFS-oct and -mar groundfish surveys

The DARD groundfish survey of ICES Division VIIaN are carried out in March and October 2003 at standard stations between $53^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $54^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (Figure 2). Data from additional stations fished in the St George's Channel since October 2001 have not been used in calculating herring indices of abundance. As in previous surveys, the area was divided into strata according to depth contour and sediment type, with fixed station positions (note that the strata in Fig. 2 differ from those in the September acoustic survey shown in Fig. 1). The sampling gear was a Rockhopper otter trawl fitted with non-rotating rubber discs of approximately 15 cm diameter on the footrope. The trawl fishes with an average headline height of 3.0 m and door spread of $30-40 \mathrm{~m}$ depending on depth and tide. A 20 mm stretchedmesh codend liner was fitted. During March, trawling was carried out at an average speed of 3 knots across the ground, over a standard distance of 3 nautical miles at standard stations and 1 nautical mile in the St. George's Channel. Since 2002, all survey stations in the October survey have been of 1-mile distance. Comparative trawling exercises during the October surveys and during an independent exercise in February 2003 indicate roughly similar catchrates per mile between 1 -mile and 3 -mile tows. It is planned to continue with some comparative trawling experiments during future surveys to improve the statistical power of significance tests between the 1-mile and 3-mile tows.

As the surveys are targeted at gadoids, ages were not recorded for herring. The length frequencies in each survey were sliced into length ranges corresponding to 0 -ring and 1 -ring herring according to the appearance of modes in the overall weighted mean length frequency for each survey. Some imprecision will have resulted because of the overlap in length-at-age distributions of 1 -ring and 2 -ring herring. The error is considered to be comparatively small for most of the surveys where clear modes are apparent. There was no clear division between 1-ring and 2-ring herring in the March 2003 groundfish survey, and the estimate for 1-ringers may include a significant component of small 2-ringers. The arithmetic mean catch-rate and approximate variance of the mean was computed for each age-class in each survey stratum, and averaged over strata using the areas of the strata as weighting factors.

## B.4. Commercial CPUE

Commercial CPUE's are not used for this stock.

## B.5. Other relevant data

## C. Historical Stock Development

Model used: ICA
Software used: ICA (Patterson 1998)
Model Options chosen:

- Separable constraint over last 6 years (weighting $=1.0$ for each year)
- Reference age = 4
- Constant selection pattern model
- Selectivity on oldest age $=1.0$
- First age for calculation of mean $F=2$
- Last age for calculation of mean $F=6$
- Weighting on 1-rings $=0.1$; all other age classes $=1.0$
- Weighting for all years $=1.0$
- All indices treated as linear
- No S/R relationship fitted
- Lowest and highest feasible $\mathrm{F}=0.05$ and 2.0
- All survey weights fitted by hand i.e., 1.0 with the 1 ringers in the acoustic survey weighted to 0.1 .
- Correlated errors assumed i.e., = 1.0
- No shrinkage applied

Input data types and characteristics:

| Type | NAME | Year range | Age range | Variable from yEAR TO YEAR Yes/No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caton | Catch in tonnes | 1961-last data year | NA | Yes |
| Canum | Catch at age in numbers | 1961-last data year | 1-8+ | Yes |
| Weca | Weight at age in the commercial catch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1961-1971 } \\ & \text { 1972-1983 } \\ & \text { 1984-last data year } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-8+ \\ & 1-8+ \\ & 1-8+ \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> No <br> Yes |
| West | Weight at age of the spawning stock at spawning time. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1961-1971 } \\ & \text { 1972-1983 } \\ & \text { 1984-last data year } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-8+ \\ & 1-8+ \\ & 1-8+ \end{aligned}$ | Yes <br> No <br> Yes |
| Mprop | Proportion of natural mortality before spawning | 1961-last data year | NA | No |
| Fprop | Proportion of fishing mortality before spawning | 11961-last data year | NA | No |
| Matprop | Proportion mature at age | 1961-last data year | 1-8+ | Yes |
| Natmor | Natural mortality | 1961-last data year | 1-8+ | No |

Tuning data:

| TyPE | NAME | Year range | Age range |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tuning fleet 1 | NINEL | $1993-2003$ | SSB |
| Tuning fleet 2 | DBL | $1989-1999$ | SSB |
| Tuning fleet 3 | GFS-octtot | $1993-2005$ | $1 \& 2$ |
| Tuning fleet 4 | GFS-martot | $1992-2003$ | 1 |
| Tuning fleet 5 | ACAGE | $1994-2003$ | $1-8+$ |
| Tuning fleet 6 | AC_VIIa(N) | $1994-2003$ | SSB |
| Tuning fleet 7 | AC_1+ | $1994-2003$ | SSB/Total biomass |

## D. Short-Term Projection

## NOT USED IN 2004

Model used: Age structured
Software used: MFDP ver 1a
Initial stock size: Taken from the last year of the assessment. 1-ring recruits taken from a geometric mean for the years 1983 to two years prior to the current year. Where 1-ringers are absurdly estimated in the assessment 2-ringers are estimated as a geometric mean of the previous 10 year period.

Maturity: Mean of the previous three years of the maturity ogive used in the assessment.
F and M before spawning: Set to 0.9 and 0.75 respectively for all years.
Weight at age in the stock: Mean of the previous three years in the assessment.
Weight at age in the catch: Mean of the previous three years in the assessment.
Exploitation pattern: Mean of the previous three years, scaled by the Fbar (2-6) to the level of the last year.

Intermediate year assumptions: TAC constraint.

Stock recruitment model used: None used
Procedures used for splitting projected catches: Not relevant

## E. Medium-Term Projections

## F. Long-Term Projections

Not done

## G. Biological Reference Points

Until there is confidence in the assessment the Working Group decided not to revisit the estimation of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}(9,500 \mathrm{t})$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}(6,000 \mathrm{t})$. There were no new points to add to the discussions and deliberations presented in 2000 (ICES 2000/ACFM:10).

## H. Other Issues
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Table 1. Biological sampling of Irish Sea (VIIa(N)) landings. Country denotes sampling nation.

|  | Covera | $\% \text { of }$ | No of |  | LANDING | IRELAND |  |  |  | NORTHERN IRELAND |  |  |  | ISLE OF MAN |  |  |  | OTHERR UK/UK OFFSHORE |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  |  |  |  |  | Landings | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Sampl } \\ \text { es } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lengt } \\ & \text { hs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ag} \\ & \text { es } \end{aligned}$ | Landings | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampl } \\ & \text { es } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lengt } \\ & \text { hs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ag } \\ & \text { es } \end{aligned}$ | Landings | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampl } \\ & \text { es } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lengt } \\ & \text { hs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ag } \\ & \text { es } \end{aligned}$ | Landings | Samples | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lengt } \\ & \text { hs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Ag} \\ & \text { es } \end{aligned}$ | Landings | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampl } \\ & \text { es } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Lengt } \\ \text { hs } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ag} \\ & \text { es } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1988 | (4) |  |  |  |  | **2579 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | (3) temp spread |  | 88 | 4962 | NO | 1430 | 21 | 1843 | 555 |  | 45 | 11464 | $\begin{aligned} & 224 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  | 21 | 5173 | $\begin{aligned} & 105 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1 | 96 | 0 | 4962 | 88 | 18576 | $\begin{aligned} & 386 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1990 | $\mathrm{p}(1,2)$ | 68\% | 100 | 6312 | YES | 1699 | 44 | 5176 | $\begin{aligned} & 102 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 2322 | 38 | 9310 | $\begin{aligned} & 190 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 542 | 18 | 5276 | 897 | 179/1570 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6312 | 100 | 19762 | $\begin{aligned} & 381 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1991 | g | 90\% | 138 | 4398 | YES | 80 | 5 | 1255 | 247 | 3298 | 105 | 16724 | $\begin{aligned} & 248 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 629 | 28 | 8280 | $\begin{aligned} & 139 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 0/391 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4398 | 138 | 26259 | $\begin{aligned} & 412 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1992 | g | 98\% | 32 | 5270 | YES | 406 | 3 | 593 | 99 | 4120 | 16 | 1588 | 770 | 741 | 13 | 3488 | 680 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5270 | 32 | 5669 | $\begin{aligned} & 154 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1993 | p (1) | 65\% | 48 | 4408 | YES | 0 | 5 | 1378 | 245 | 3632 | 34 | 3744 | 832 | 776 | 9 | 1560 | 448 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4408 | 48 | 6682 | $\begin{aligned} & 152 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1994 | v.g | 95\% | 59 | 4828 | YES | 0 | $2^{1}$ | 569 | 100 | 3956 | 43 | 3691 | $\begin{aligned} & 117 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 716 | 14 | 3724 | 614 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4828 | 59 | 7984 | $\begin{aligned} & 188 \\ & 9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 1995 | g (1) | 87\% | 85 | 5076 | YES | 0 | $2^{1}$ | 569 | 100 | 3860 | 75 | 8282 | $\begin{aligned} & 254 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 615 | 8 | 2182 | 400 | 601 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5076 | 85 | 11033 | $\begin{aligned} & 304 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 1996 | $\mathrm{g}(1,5)$ | 70\% | 51 | 5301 | YES | 100 | 1 | 537 | 55 | 4335 | 45 | 4813 | $\begin{aligned} & 105 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 537 | 5 | 997 | 228 | 329 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5301 | 51 | 6347 | $\begin{aligned} & 133 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1997 | $\mathrm{g}(1,2)$ | 91\% | 34 | 6649 | YES | 0 | 2 | 473 | 50 | 5679 | 25 | 2900 | $\begin{aligned} & 119 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 765 | 7 | 2246 | 340 | 205 | 0 | 234 | 76 | 6649 | 34 | 5853 | $\begin{aligned} & 166 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1998 | g (2) | 84\% | 31 | 4904 | YES | 0 | 2 | 150 | 50 | 4131 | 29 | 2979 | $\begin{aligned} & 145 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $773^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4904 | 31 | 3129 | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1999 | g (2) | 72\% | 32 | 4127 | YES | 0 | 4 | 0 | 200 | 2967 | 28 | 2518 | $\begin{aligned} & 140 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1160^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4127 | 32 | 2518 | $\begin{aligned} & 160 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2000 | v.g | 97\% | 28 | 2002 | YES | 0 | 5 | 932 | 0 | 2002 | 23 | 1915 | $\begin{aligned} & 115 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2002 | 28 | 2847 | $\begin{aligned} & 115 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2001 | p (2) | 70\% | 31 | 5461 | YES | 862 | 8 | 1031 | 222 | 3786 | 23 | 2915 | $\begin{aligned} & 114 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $727^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5461 | 31 | 3946 | $\begin{aligned} & 137 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2002 | p (1) | 62\% | 9 | 2392 | YES | 286 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2051 | 9 | 949 | 450 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2392 | 9 | 949 | 450 |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


VERY GOOD (v.g) : all landings which individually are $>10 \%$ of the total were sampled, all Q for which there were landings were sampled
GOOD (g)
landings that constitute the majority of the catch (adding to approx $70 \%$ or more of total) were sampled
POOR (p)
some of the large landings not sampled
(1): unsampled quarters
(2): large landings with few samples or unsampled. High level of sampling corresponds to 1 sample per 100t landed (WG rep 1997)
(3): Comment from WG rep. From 1990 going back, Report landings and sampling levels are shown aggregated for the whole year. UK landings lumped in one figure
(4): no information in the WGrep of level of sampling prior to 1988 . Sampling levels believed to be good. Actual figures to be provided by R. Nash, M Armstrong and CEFAS after going back to their labs.
(5): NO samples for NI landings in 4th Q, there is a suspicion that the figures correspond to 'paper landings'.
${ }^{1}$ Samples applied to NI landings: ${ }^{2}$ Large unsampled landings.

Table ??: Data and method used to estimate landings from Division VIIa(N) herring.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ESTIMATES OF MAXIMUM LIKELY CATCH FOR VIIA(N) INCL. OFFRENCH AND ROI CATCHES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Colu <br> mn <br> No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 1 | 16 |  | 17 |  | 18 |  | 19 |  |
|  | ICES |  |  |  |  |  |  | British catches |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { IN } \\ & \text { ASSE } \\ & - \\ & \text { MEN } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NE A } \\ & \text { catch } \end{aligned}$ | antic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ICES } \\ & \text { catch } \end{aligned}$ |  | \% of atlant |  | $\begin{aligned} & \max \mathrm{l} \\ & \text { catch } \end{aligned}$ | kely |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Irelan } \\ & \text { d } \end{aligned}$ | UK | Franc e | Netherlan ds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { USSR } \\ & \text { / } \\ & \text { Russi } \\ & \text { a } \end{aligned}$ | Unalloca ted | Total | Engla nd | North ern Irelan d | Wales | Manx | Irish | Tota l |  |  | Fran ce | Irela <br> nd | Fran ce | Irela <br> nd | Fran ce | Irela <br> nd | Fran се | Irela <br> nd |
| 1955 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 72 | 3815 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 388 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 805 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 605 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 490 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 363 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 539 |
| 1956 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 20 | 4762 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 478 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 874 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 520 \\ & 00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 760 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 312 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 836 |
| 1957 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 0 | 1638 | 2832 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 449 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 796 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 361 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 216 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 0 | 12 | 2482 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 252 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 626 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 388 \\ & 00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 128 \\ & 00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 232 \\ & 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 140 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 1959 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 0 | 96 | 3577 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 369 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $783$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 404 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 156 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 242 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $171$ |
| 1960 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 9 | 2093 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 210 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 660 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 362 \\ & 00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 212 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 217 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 233 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 1961 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 | 0 | 144 | 1941 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 211 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 571 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 366 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 127 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 219 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $139$ |
| 1962 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 21 | 1528 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 155 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 434 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 291 \\ & 00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 950 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 174 \\ & 6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 104 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 1963 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 34 | 974 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 101 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 394 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 335 \\ & 00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 840 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 201 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 924 |
| 1964 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 556 |  | 558 | $\begin{aligned} & 359 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 350 \\ & 00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 850 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 210 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 935 |
| 1965 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1629 | 0 | 398 | 1135 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 316 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 592 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 264 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 107 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 158 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1966 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2041 | 0 | 46 | 596 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 268 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 566 \\ & 6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 224 \\ & 00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 149 \\ & 00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 134 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 163 \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 1967 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2911 | 0 | 8 | 1959 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 487 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 872 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 206 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 237 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 123 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 260 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |


| 1968 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1504 | 0 | 5 | 3253 | $\begin{aligned} & 476 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 866 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 228 00 | $\begin{aligned} & 230 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 136 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 253 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1969 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3591 | 0 | 63 | 5044 | $\begin{aligned} & 869 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141 \\ & 41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 271 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 347 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $162$ | $\begin{aligned} & 381 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4662 | 0 | 16 | 9782 | $\begin{aligned} & 144 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 206 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 244 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 427 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 146 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 469 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1971 | 3131 | 21861 | 1815 |  |  |  | 26807 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 268 \\ & 07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 235 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 312 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 181 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $313$ | 0.08 | 0.10 |  |  |
| 1972 | 2529 | 23337 | 1224 | 260 |  |  | 27350 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 273 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 299 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 478 \\ & 00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 252 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 0.04 | 0.05 |  |  |
| 1973 | 3614 | 18587 | 254 | 143 |  |  | 22598 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 225 \\ & 98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 308 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 389 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | 254 | $\begin{aligned} & 361 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 0.01 | 0.09 |  |  |
| 1974 | 5894 | 27489 | 3194 | 1116 | 945 |  | 38638 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 386 \\ & \hline 38 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 211 \\ & 99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 396 \\ & 08 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 319 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 589 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.15 | 0.15 |  |  |
| 1975 | 4790 | 18244 | 813 | 630 | 26 |  | 24503 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 245 \\ & 03 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 256 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 297 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | 813 | $\begin{aligned} & 479 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0.03 | 0.16 |  |  |
| 1976 | 3205 | 16401 | 651 | 989 |  |  | 21246 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 212 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 204 \\ & 66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 222 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | 651 | $\begin{aligned} & 320 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.03 | 0.14 |  |  |
| 1977 | 3331 | 11498 | 85 | 500 |  |  | 15414 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 154 \\ & 14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 416 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 234 \\ & 36 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 85 | $\begin{aligned} & 333 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 0.02 | 0.14 |  |  |
| 1978 | 2371 | 8432 | 174 | 98 |  |  | 11075 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 110 \\ & 75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 420 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 277 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | 174 | $\begin{aligned} & 237 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 0.04 | 0.09 |  |  |
| 1979 | 1805 | 10078 | 455 |  |  |  | 12338 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 123 \\ & 38 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 359 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 274 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 455 | $\begin{aligned} & 180 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.13 | 0.07 |  |  |
| 1980 | 1340 | 9272 | 1 |  |  |  | 10613 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 106 \\ & 13 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 612 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 369 \\ & 17 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 134 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.00 | 0.04 |  |  |
| 1981 | 283 | 4094 |  |  |  |  | 4377 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 437 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 695 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 299 \\ & 26 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
| 1982 | 300 | 3375 |  |  |  | 1180 | 4855 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 485 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 860 | 3025 | 48 |  |  |  | 3933 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 393 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 0.06 | 0.11 |  |  |
| 1984 | 1084 | 2982 |  |  |  |  | 4066 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 406 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 1000 | 4077 |  |  |  | 4110 | 9187 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 918 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 1640 | 4376 |  |  |  | 1424 | 7440 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 744 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 1200 | 3290 |  |  |  | 1333 | 5823 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 582 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 2579 | 7593 |  |  |  |  | 10172 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 101 \\ & 72 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 1989 | 1430 | 3532 |  |  |  |  | 4962 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 496 <br> 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 1699 | 4613 |  |  |  |  | 6312 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 631 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 80 | 4318 |  |  |  |  | 4398 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 439 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 406 | 4864 |  |  |  |  | 5270 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 527 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 0 | 4408 |  |  |  |  | 4408 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 440 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 0 | 4828 |  |  |  |  | 4828 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 482 \\ & 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 0 | 5076 |  |  |  |  | 5076 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline 507 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 100 | 5180 |  |  |  | 22 | 5302 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 530 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 0 | 6651 |  |  |  |  | 6651 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 665 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 0 | 4905 |  |  |  |  | 4905 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 490 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 0 | 4127 |  |  |  |  | 4127 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 412 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 0 | 2002 |  |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 200 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 862 | 4599 |  |  |  |  | 5461 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 546 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 286 | 2107 |  |  |  |  | 2393 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 239 <br> 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 1. Sampling stations for larvae in the North Irish Sea (NINEL). Sampling is undertaken in November each year.


Figure 2. Standard station positions for DARD groundfish survey of the Irish Sea in March and October. Boundaries of survey strata are shown. Indices for the "Western Irish Sea" use data from strata 2 - 4. Indices for the "Eastern Irish Sea" use data from stratum 6 only (few juvenile herring are found in stratum 7). (Note different stratification to Fig. 1.). New stations fished in the St Georges Channel (strata 9 and 10) since October 2001 are not included in the survey indices. Stratum 5 (1 station only in recent years) is also excluded from the index. There are no stations in stratum 8 due to difficult trawling conditions for the gear used in the survey. Station 121 in stratum 7 has been fished only once and is excluded from the index.

## ANNEX: Sprat in the North Sea

Stock specific documentation of standard assessment procedures used by ICES.

Stock:
Working Group (HAWG)

Date:

Sprat in the North Sea
Herring Assessment Working Group
$4^{\text {TH }}$ March 2004

## A. General

## A.1. Stock definition

Sprat in ICES area IV.

## A.2. Fishery

The Danish small meshed fishery is responsible for the majority of the landings. A study undertaken in 2000 showed that the species composition in the Danish sprat fishery has changed towards a fishery with low by-catches of other species (ICES CM 2001/ACFM:12). The Norwegian sprat fishery is carried out by purse- seiners. A closure of the Norwegian fishery was introduced for the second and third quarter in 1999 and this management regime is still in force. On top of this management regime, a maximum quota ( 900 t ) per vessel is set for the Norwegian vessels; and they are not allowed to fish in Norwegian waters until the Norwegian quota in EU waters has been taken. The majority of the catches in both fisheries is taken in the 4th quarter, though some fishery takes place during January and February.

There was a considerable increase in landings from about $10,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1986 to a peak of $320,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1995. From 2000 the landings have been relatively stable around 150,000 to $170,000 \mathrm{t}$.

## A.3. Ecosystem aspects

## B. Data

## B.1. Commercial catch

The commercial catch is provided by the national laboratories belonging to nations exploiting the sprat in the North Sea. The sampling intensity for biological samples, i.e., age and weight-at-age is mainly performed following the EU regulation 1639/2001 as the country landing most of the catches follows this regulation. This provision requires 1 sample per 2000 tonnes landed. This sampling level is lower than the guidelines (1 sample per 1000 tonnes) previously used by the HAWG, but as the fishery is carried out in a limited area, the recommended sampling level can be regarded as adequate.

The majority of commercial catch and sampling data are submitted in the Exchange sheet v . 1.6.4 and further processed with the SALLOCL-application (Patterson 1998). This program gives outputs on sampling status and available biological parameters and documents actions taken to raise unsampled metiers using other data sets. The species co-ordinator allocates samples of catch numbers, mean length and mean weight-at-age to unsampled catches using appropriate samples by gear (fleet) area quarter and if an exact match is not available then a neighbouring area if the fishery extends to this area in the same quarter.

## B.2. Biological

Mean weights at age in the catch in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter are used as stock weights.
Natural mortality. Results from the multi-species VPA (.Report from the ICES Workshop on Multi-species VPA in the North Sea, Charlottenlund, Denmark 8th-12th April 2002: ICES CM 2002/D:04 ) are used as a basis to fix the value of M in the CSA model. The estimated values presented in table XX correspond to predation mortality. To estimate total natural mortality a value of 0.2 to account for other sources of natural mortality should be added to the predation mortality.

## B.3. Surveys

The acoustic surveys for the North Sea Herring in June-July have estimated sprat abundance since 1996. In the initial years low sprat biomass was estimated but those were not thought to be representative mainly due to inappropriate coverage of the south-eastern area (ICES CM 2000/D:07), the area expected to have the highest abundance of sprat in the North Sea. In 2000 the survey was extended by $30 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$ to the south and covered for the first time the southeastern area considered to have the highest abundance of sprat in the North Sea. By doing so, the estimate of sprat increased significantly. The distribution pattern in 2002 demonstrates, however, that the southern distribution border was still not reached by the survey. Further, the inshore areas were sprat is expected to be abundant are not covered so, the survey can only be seen as indicative of trends in biomass.

The IBTS (February) sprat indices (no per hour) in IVb (sprat standard area) are used as an index of abundance. The historical data were revised in 1995 (ICES 1995/Assess:13) and 1999 (ICES 1999/ACFM:12). The IBTS Working Group redefined the sprat index to be calculated as an area weighted mean over means by rectangles for the entire North Sea sprat stock. Based on this, the IBTS WG asked ICES Secretariat to carry out new calculations in 2001 (ICES 2000/D:07), which are the ones used at present. The fishing method (gear) in the IBTS-survey was standardised in 1983 and the data series from 1984, are comparable. The old IBTS-indices are available in ICES 2001/ACFM:12.

## B.4. Commercial CPUE

Not used for this stock.

## B.5. Other relevant data

## C. Historical Stock Development

Model used:
Sprat is a relatively short-lived species, the stock and the catches, consisting mostly of 1 and 2 year-olds. In addition, there are difficulties in age reading resulting in unreliable estimates of numbers at age both from the surveys and the commercial catch. Given those limitations a data exploration using Catch-Survey Analysis (CSA), an assessment method designed for cases where full age-structured data are missing, was undertaken by the WG in 2003. The method is based on the "modified DeLury" two-stage model (Conser 1995) and on an implementation tested on simulated data presented to the Methods Working Group in 2003 (Mesnil 2003). The model assumes that the population consists of two stages: the recruits (preferably a single year-class) and the fully recruited ages.

Software used:
CSA executable version made available by B. Mesnil (IFREMER).
Model Options chosen:
Input data types and characteristics:
Model input data consisting of the time-series of catch numbers for each stage, mean weight for each stage in the stock at the start of the year and the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter IBTS index of abundance for the 1 year-old sprat (age = number of winter rings) and older than 2 years-old. Given low sampling levels in years previous to 1995, constant weight at age based on commercial data from the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter was assumed for the whole period. Reservations regarding the ability of the IBTS 1-year-old index to fully reflect strong and weak cohorts for sprat were expressed in previous WG reports (see ICES 1998 ACFM:14). Those were linked to difficulties in age reading and/or a possible prolonged spawning and recruitment season. Another problem identified in some surveys was related to large catches in small areas which could have been very influential on the results. Examination of the biomass and the 1 year-old index trajectories by the WG in 2003, suggested that the observed fluctuations in overall biomass are related to a large extent to observed fluctuations in the 1 year-old index. This is to be expected in a population where the recruits account for a large proportion of the stock. A unique value for the instantaneous rate of natural mortality ( $M=0.4$ ) and a parameter corresponding to the ratio of the survey catchability of the recruits to the fully recruited ages $(s=1)$ were fixed externally.

## D. Short-Term Projection

Model used:
The SHOT- approach (Shepherd, 1991) was used in the past by the WG to estimate the landings in the assessment year. The 2003 WG considered that approach inappropriate for a short-lived stock like sprat therefore the projection was based on the results from CSA.

A catch prediction for the assessment year is based on a linear regression of annual catch versus IBTS estimated biomass for the period starting in 1987.

Software used:
Initial stock size:
Maturity:
F and M before spawning:
Weight at age in the stock:
Weight at age in the catch:
Exploitation pattern:
Intermediate year assumptions:
Stock recruitment model used:
Procedures used for splitting projected catches:
E. Medium-Term Projections

Not performed

## F. Long-Term Projections

Not performed

## G. Biological Reference Points

Not set

## H. Other Issues

Only in-year catch forecasts are available. The stock consists of only a few year classes, with a predominance of 1-year-old fish in the catch.

## I. References

Conser, R.J. 1995. A modified DeLury modelling framework for data-limited assessments : bridging the gap between surplus production models and age-structured models. Working document to the ICES Working Group on Methods of Fish Stock Assessment, Copenhagen, February 1995, 85 pp.

Mesnil, B. 2003. Catch-Survey Analysis (CSA): A very promising method for stock assessment, particularly when age data are missing or uncertain. WD at WGMFSA, ICES CM 2003/D:03

## Quality Handbook

ANNEX:_Sprat VIIde
Stock specific documentation of standard assessment procedures used by ICES.

| Stock: | Sprat in Division VIId,e |
| :--- | :--- |
| Working Group: | Herring Assessment Working Group |
| (HAWG) |  |

Date:
$16^{\mathrm{TH}}$ March 2004

## A. General

## A.1. Stock definition

Sprat in ICES area VIId, VIIe,f.

## A.2. Fishery

Vessels from UK (England and Wales) are responsible for the vast majority of the catches. The majority of the catches are taken in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and 4th quarter.

The landings in this area are very small and have never been above $6,000 \mathrm{t}$ since 1985 . Since 2000 the landings have been stable around $1,500 \mathrm{t}$.

## A.3. Ecosystem aspects

B. Data

## B.1. Commercial catch

The commercial catch is provided by the national laboratories belonging to nations exploiting the sprat in the Division VIId and VIIe,f. The sampling intensity for biological samples, i.e., age and weight-at-age has not been performed since 1999, but as the fishery is so small, this is not considered to be a problem.

## B.2. Biological

## B.3. Surveys

There are no surveys targeting sprat in this area.

## B.4. Commercial CPUE

Not used for this stock.

## B.5. Other relevant data

## C. Historical Stock Development

Not performed for this stock.
D. Short-Term Projection

Not performed for this stock.
E. Medium-Term Projections

Not performed

## F. Long-Term Projections

Not performed

## G. Biological Reference Points

Not set.
H. Other Issues
I. References

## Quality Handbook

ANNEX: Sprat IIIa
Stock specific documentation of standard assessment procedures used by ICES.

Stock:
Working Group:

Date:

Sprat in Division IIIa
Herring Assessment Working Group (HAWG)

16th March 2004

## A. General

## A.1. Stock definition

Sprat in ICES area IIIa

## A.2. Fishery

Fleets from Denmark, Norway and Sweden carry out the sprat fishery in Division IIIa. The Danish sprat fishery consists of trawlers using a 16 mm -mesh size codend and all landings are used for fishmeal and oil production. Some of the sprat landings from Denmark and Sweden are by-catches in the herring fishery using 32 mm mesh-size cod ends. The Swedish fishery is directed at sprat with by-catches of herring but also includes a fishery carried out with small purse seiners at the West Coast of Sweden for human consumption. The Norwegian sprat fishery in Division IIIa is an inshore purse seine fishery for human consumption.

The majority of the landings are made by the Danish fleet. In 1997 a mixed-clupeoid fishery management regime was changed to a new agreement between the EU and Norway that resulted in a TAC for sprat as well as a by-catch ceiling for herring. Catches are taken in all quarters, though with the bulk of catches in the first and fourth quarter. Denmark has a total ban on the sprat fishery in Division IIIa from May to September.

There was a considerable increase in landings from about $10,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1993 to a peak of 96,000 t in 1994. From 1996 the landings has been stabilising around 20,000 t .

## A.3. Ecosystem aspects

## B. Data

## B.1. Commercial catch

The commercial catch is provided by the national laboratories belonging to nations exploiting the sprat in Division IIIa. The sampling intensity for biological samples, i.e., age and weight-at-age is mainly performed following the EU regulation 1639/2001 as Denmark landing most of the catches follows this regulation. This provision requires 1 sample per 2000 tonnes landed.

The majority of commercial catch and sampling data are submitted in the Exchange sheet v . 1.6.4 and further processed with the SALLOCL-application (Patterson 1998). This program gives outputs on sampling status and available biological parameters and documents actions taken to raise unsampled metiers using other data sets. The species co-ordinator allocates samples of catch numbers, mean length and mean weight-at-age to unsampled catches using appropriate samples by gear (fleet) area quarter and if an exact match is not available then a neighbouring area if the fishery extends to this area in the same quarter.

## B.2. Biological

Mean weights-at-age (g) in the catches have been very variable over time, but whether this is due to actual variation in mean weight or difficulties in ageing of sprat is uncertain.

No estimation of natural mortality is made for this stock.

## B.3. Surveys

Acoustic estimates of sprat have been available from the ICES co-ordinated Herring Acoustic surveys since 1996. The estimated biomass of sprat has been very variable with low values in the period from 1997 to 2002, but recently the biomass has increased. The majority of the biomass during the acoustic survey is recorded in the Kattegat area

The IBTS (February) sprat indices (no per hour) in Division IIIa are used as an index of abundance, however, the index has not been considered useful for management of sprat in Division IIIa. The indices are calculated as mean no./hr (CPUE) weighted by area where water depths are between 10 and 150 m (ICES 1995/Assess:13). The indices were revised in 2002 (ICES 2002/ACFM:12) based on an agreement in the IBTS WG in 1999, where it was decided to calculate the sprat index as an area weighted mean over means by rectangles for the IIIa (ICES 1999/D:2). The old time-series of IBTS indices (from 1984-2001) is shown in ICES 2001/ACFM:10

## B.4. Commercial CPUE

Not used for this stock

## B.5. Other relevant data

## C. Historical Stock Development

Not performed

## D. Short-Term Projection

Not perfomed

## E. Medium-Term Projections

Not performed

## F. Long-Term Projections

Not performed

## G. Biological Reference Points

Not set.

## H. Other Issues

## I. References

Patterson, K.R. 1998: A programme for calculating total international catch-at-age and weight-at-age. Working Document to Herring Assessment Working Group South of 62oN. ICES CM 1998/ACFM:14.

## Annex 4: Technical Minutes

# Review of ICES HAWG Report 2006 

# (ICES CM 2006/ACFM:20) 

May 4, 2006

Reviewers: Gary Shepherd, United States (chair)
Heikki Auvinen, Finland
Olavi Kaljuste, Estonia
Chair HAWG: Mark Dickey-Collas, Netherlands

## General

The review of the ICES Herring Assessment Working Group South of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (HAWG) was conducted via correspondence. The Working Group provided a report of the HAWG for 2006 which contained: 1) a benchmark assessment of North Sea herring, update assessments of 2) herring in Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24, 3) West of Scotland herring, 4) North Sea sprat, and exploratory assessments of 5) Celtic Sea and Division VIIj herring, 6) herring in Division VIIa (S) and VIIb,c, 7) Irish Sea herring, 8) Sprat in Division IIIa. No new data were available for Clyde herring and English Channel sprat stocks. These two stocks with very low research intensity were poorly described already in previous reports. As result no advice for these stocks were presented. A review of the North Sea Sprat was conducted earlier as a special request to ICES and therefore will not be addressed in these technical minutes.

The reviewers commend the HAWG for providing a very thorough and quality assessment report. Given the volume of information provided in the report, it would be suggested that future reports include supplemental list of tables and figures with the associated page. It may also be helpful to include tables and figures within the text, adjacent to the text reference, for easier use within electronic versions of the document.

## Assessment of North Sea autumn spawning herring (benchmark)

In the official catch statistics, all the discards, misreported and unallocated catches of North Sea herring are not reflected. The Working Group instead used the "corrected" data, which in some cases differ significantly from the officially reported catches. The Working Group catch, which includes estimates of discards and misreported or unallocated catches, is assumed to represent removals from the stock.

The national catch data are correctly summed in the catch tables to get international catch. In addition to catch data - IBTS, acoustic and larval survey data are used in North Sea herring assessment. For evaluation of the quality of the survey data an analysis of trends in survey time series has been done. No fishery dependent tuning data is used in North Sea herring assessment. The sampling level of commercial catches is estimated as $95 \%$.

The sensitivity of stock assessment to different model formulations has been explored. It was considered, that the assessment was not sensitive to model setting changes. It gives a very solid basis to present a final assessment. Additionally the residuals in the fitted model were inspected and showed that acoustic survey results were fitting the best with the stock development. Also several retrospective analyses were presented and the ICA model gave good retrospective performance. No consistent biases in spawning stock biomass or fishing mortality were noticed. The sensitivity of parameter estimates to data was evaluated using the analysis of bootstrap datasets.

Although the WG made adjustments to the catch data to account for error in the catch, it does not capture all the uncertainty related to discard levels, area misreporting, under-reporting of catch and stock partitioning within a catch. Future work may look to address the sensitivity of the assessment model results to incorrect catch data. The total catch estimates are likely underrather than over-estimates, as noted by the WG and this uncertainty is not completely addressed by the bootstrapping approach. A probablisitic assessment approach should be developed which better reflect the uncertainty associated with the input data.

The correlation is poor among cohorts within the IBTS survey but high within the acoustic survey. Yet correlations of cohort strength between the two surveys are reasonably high, which does not seem logical. This should be further explored. Also, the high correlation with the survey and $n$ maybe artificial if $n$ is the result of a model tuned using the same survey indices.

The Surba model produces Z estimates but are compared with Fs in the figure 2.6.3.2, which could be misleading. Subtracting an average $M$ (from ICA) might improve the comparison. The mortality correspondence prior to 1997 is quite poor although as noted by the WG, the recruitment and biomass estimates are comparable.

The CSA model assumes equal M for pre- and post-recruits. Consideration should be given to use of age varying M similar to ICA. The model also uses constant q ratio. Is there any evidence that survey changes over that period have affected q ? The ratio between the q for pre-recruits compared to acoustic survey biomass was established as $30 \%$ using the minimal model sum of square. Does this make biological sense that the larval survey catchability of pre-recruits was $30 \%$ of the acoustic survey of $1+$ fish? There may be q estimates from other models that could be examined to confirm the $30 \%$ ratio. Several mentions were made of increased $M$ in the larval stage for last several years leading to poor recruitment. It might be prudent to examine a possible increase in M at age 1 during those years and examine the sensitivity to this change in final recruitment estimates.

In short term forecast the recruitment has been set as arithmetic mean of recent five (20012005) year classes. This is about $50 \%$ less than the mean recruitment used in previous years. All the year classes from 2001 onwards have been poor. At the same time in annex 3 (in the quality handbook of North Sea autumn spawning herring) in the short-term projection part, the stock recruitment model is defined as arithmetic average recent 10 years recruitment. The initial stock numbers, fishing mortality and weights at age are taken from ICA final run output and the forecast options have been discussed.

The medium term forecast is fitting with ICA and short-term projection. No alternative plausible stock recruitment models have been explored. The basis for the forecast options has been discussed in the report.

Historical performance of stock assessment has been presented. Comparison with the 2005 assessment and projection shows that the 2006 assessment is in good agreement with last year assessment. Also the historical performance of short-term forecast has been evaluated. The historical performance of recruitment estimates has not been evaluated.

The WG reports on the increasing knowledge of herring in the North Sea ecosystem as well as the influence of environment on herring population dynamics. The use of this information within the stock assessment should be strengthened in future work.

The reviewers agreed that the overall assessment gives a valid basis for advice.
Assessment of western Baltic spring spawning herring in Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 (update)

The national catch data are correctly summed in the catch tables to get international catch. All the data have been used as specified in the stock annex. ICA assessment model has been applied as specified in the stock annex. Partitioning of catch based on samples from different quarters could increase error but there is no major reason to deviate from the standard
procedure for this stock. The final assessment output was used to provide a yield-per-recruit plot. Short-term predictions were carried out using MFDP v.1a software as specified in the stock annex. Historical performance of stock assessment has been presented. Compared to last year's assessment, the change in the estimate is $+3 \%$ and $+8 \%$ for the fishing mortalities in 2003 and 2004 respectively; and $-3 \%$ and $-6 \%$ for the SSB in 2003 and 2004 respectively. The reviewers agreed that the overall assessment gives a valid basis for advice.

## Assessment of West of Scotland herring (update)

The national catch data are correctly summed in the catch tables to get international catch. All the data have been used as specified in the stock annex. Two assessment runs were carried out in 2006 using ICA assessment model: including the 2005 acoustic survey (run A) and excluding the 2005 acoustic survey (run B) as specified in the stock annex. The Working Group was unable to choose between the two assessment options with confidence. Both assessment outputs were used to provide short-term predictions using MFDP v.1a software as specified in the stock annex.

The reviewers agreed with the WG conclusion that although exploitation appears to be low, the SSB estimate is very uncertain and consequently the basis for catch advice is limited to overall trends in the data.

## Assessment of Celtic Sea and Division VIIj herring (exploratory)

The national catch data are correctly summed in the catch tables to get international catch. All the data have been used as specified in the stock annex. ICA assessment model has been applied as specified in the stock annex. The working group continued to conduct exploratory assessments and put no final assessment forward in 2006. No short-term predictions were carried out, although Multi fleet Deterministic Projection (MFDP) model usage is specified in the stock annex.

The reviewers agreed with the WG conclusion that as there is no agreed final assessment, the basis for catch advice is limited to overall trends qualatative assessment results rather than year specific estimates of mortality or biomass.

## Assessment of herring in Divisions VIa (South) and VIIb,c (exploratory)

The national catch data are correctly summed in the catch tables to get international catch. All the data have been used as specified in the stock annex. A separable VPA assessment model was used to screen over three terminal fishing mortalities, $0.2,0.4$ and 0.6 as specified in the stock annex. ICA assessment model was also used with the new tuning series, but it was not specified in the stock annex. The acoustic survey produced erratic annual results and may not be particularly useful for absolute abundance but may provide some insight into relative longer term trends

In the absence of an agreed assessment, it was not considered informative to carry out any predictions. Generally as there is no final assessment agreed by the working group, then there is no year specific estimates of mortality or biomass. Therefore the basis for catch advice is limited to trends and qualitative assessment results.

## Assessment of Irish Sea herring (exploratory)

The national catch data are correctly summed in the catch tables to get international catch. All the data have been used as specified in the stock annex. ICA assessment model has been applied as specified in the stock annex. The working group continued to conduct exploratory assessments and put no final assessment forward in 2006. In the exploratory assessment the WG may want to consider a geometric mean maturity for previous 9 years rather than an arithmetic mean, in order to prevent influence from the high proportion mature of the 1998 age 1s.

No short-term predictions were included in the 2006 assessment.

The reviewers agreed with the WG conclusion that as there is no agreed final assessment, the basis for catch advice is limited to overall trends qualatative assessment results rather than year specific estimates of mortality or biomass.

## Assessment of sprat in Division IIIa (exploratory)

The national catch data are correctly summed in the catch tables to get international catch. All the data have been used as specified in the stock annex. No assessments of the sprat stock in Division IIIa have been presented since 1985 and this year was no exception.

Generally as there was no assessment presented by the working group, then there is also no quantitative basis for advice. Catch advice is limited to evaluation of overall trends in the available data.

# Appendix 1: North Sea Sprat review 

Review comments regarding North Sea Sprat- April 2006

Gary Shepherd, Colm Lordan, Reidar Toresen, Mark Dickey-Collas, Martin Pastoors

11/4/2006

## General

The overall impression of the updated assessment is that the working group has done as well as could be expected with the available data. As was noted in the text, there are some major sources of error that should be explored prior to future assessments. The major sources of data are the catch and the IBTS survey.

## Fishery data

There was limited background information about the fishery practices, so I will assume that sprat catch equals landings. There was information provided regarding by-catch species in the sprat fisheries, but the level of sprat by-catch in other fisheries appears to be unknown. There appears to be considerable inter-annual variation in $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{Q}$ catch weights at age.

Catch at age information should be provided for the whole time-series instead of from 1996 onwards. Perhaps in separate tables for annual and quarterly data (do we make use of the quarterly data at all?).

Catches of sprat fleet should be given for the whole time series. We make the assertion that the bycatch is much smaller in recent years but we don't show the years we make the comparison to.

## IBTS data

The relative abundance at age from the IBTS survey identifies significant recruitment events, but does not track cohorts well over time. For instance, there are large age-1 indices in 1999 that do not appear as age 2 and there was a modest 2000 age- 1 index that resulted in a significant age 2 index. There could be annual catchability issues, variable M , unaccounted F , age error, etc. The point is that since there is only one index that drives the subsequent models, further work should be considered to explore the sources of variability. The IBTS index could yield better fishery independent information, by:
a) splitting on length base into recruits and post recruits rather than relying on uncertain age estimates and
b ) a statistical examination of the index to attempt to improve internal consistency and tackle outliers that may cause the year effects.

Could the Q3 IBTS survey be used also as an index for this stock?

## CSA

The CSA model fits reasonably well despite the time invariant parameters. The persistent retrospective problem of over-estimating biomass in recent years may be a result of variable s or M . The other issue to consider is possibly the under-estimation of catch. Further simulations with time varying parameters should be explored to analyze the magnitude and direction of the retrospective pattern before the model is used for management decisions.

## Advice

The justification for an increase TAC in 2006 is the large 2004 cohort contributing to the catch as age 2. The regression in figure 8.7.2 for predicting catch has a very low $r^{2}$ value and I question if it constitutes a significant regression. There are several outliers that should be evaluated to determine the influence of those values in the regression. If the regression is not statistically significant, can it be used to predict catch?


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Preliminary
    ${ }^{4}$ Catches of Norwegian spring spawners removed (taken under a separate TAC)
    ${ }^{5}$ Landings from the Thames estuary area are included in the North Sea catch figure for the UK (England)
    ${ }^{7}$ Including any by-catches in the industrial fishery
    ${ }^{9}$ Figures verified and altered if needed in 2003 by SG Rednose (ICES 2003/ACFM:10)
    ${ }^{10}$ Figure altered in 2001
    ${ }^{11}$ Caught in the whole North Sea, partly included in the catch figure for The Netherlands
    ${ }^{12}$ may include misreported catch from IVaN and discards
    ${ }^{13}$ These catches (including some local fjord-type spring spawners) are taken by Norway under a separate quota south of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and are not included in the Norwegian North Sea catch figure for this area
    ${ }^{14}$ Figure altered in 2004

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Preliminary
    ${ }^{2}$ Discards partly included in unallocated landings
    ${ }^{3}$ Negative unallocated catches due to misreporting from other areas
    ${ }^{4}$ Including any by-catches in the industrial fishery
    ${ }^{5}$ May include discards. Negative unallocated due to misreporting into other areas
    ${ }^{6}$ Figures verified and altered if needed in 2003 by SG Rednose (ICES 2003/ACFM:10)
    ${ }^{14}$ Figure altered in 2004

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Preliminary data.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Brielmann 1989
    ${ }^{2}$ Klenz 1999 Inf. Fischwirtsch. Fischereiforsch. 46(2), 1999: 15-17
    ${ }^{3}$ Müller \& Klenz 1994
    ${ }^{4}$ Klenz 2005 Inf. Fischwirtsch. Fischereiforsch. 52, 2005: 21-22
    ${ }^{5}$ unpublished

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}=$ preliminary

[^5]:    + Catch record, but amount not precisely known.
    ${ }^{1}$ Preliminary figures

