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### 4.1 Introduction

The herring fisheries to the south of Ireland in the Celtic Sea and in Division VIIj have been considered to exploit the same stock. For the purpose of stock assessment and management these areas have been combined since 1982. The areas for which the assessments are now made, together with the area for which the TAC is set by the EU is shown in Figure 4.1.1. It should be noted that, although the management unit covers all of Divisions VIIg, $h, j$ and $k$ and the southern part of Division VIIa, the total Irish catch which constitutes over $95 \%$ of the catch from this entire management unit is taken from the inshore waters along the Irish coast.

### 4.2 The Fishery in 1995-1996

### 4.2.1 Advice and management applicable to 1995 and 1996

In 1994 ACFM considered this stock to be within safe biological limits and suggested that a continuation of the current fishing mortality would lead to little change in spawning stock biomass in the immediate future. The EU subsequently set a TAC of $21,000 \mathrm{t}$ for the fishery in 1995 which was at the same level since 1991 . The total catch in 1995 was about $19,000 \mathrm{t}$.

In 1995 ACFM reacted to the apparent decrease in stock in the area and recommended that F should be reduced in 1996 by $60 \%$ of the 1994 value, corresponding to a catch of only $9,800 \mathrm{t}$. The EU subsequently introduced a TAC for 1996 of $16,500 \mathrm{t}$.

The spawning box closure system was again continued during 1995- the box closed being that in Division Vlig. The Irish fishery was again closed from mid-February through to early October.

The total Irish quota was subdivided into boat quotas on a week by week basis. All vessels were again regulated by licences which restrict landings to specific ports and to specific times.

### 4.2.2 The fishery in 1995/1996

As has been the case for a number of years the major portion of the catches in this area was taken by the Irish fishery during the spawning season which normally lasts from October to February. This fishery is directed to the Japanese roe market.

The pattern of fishing during $1995 / 1996$ was very similar to that of the previous seasons. Shoals appeared to be very scarce during October and November throughout all areas particularly on the inshore spawning grounds. However, as in 1994/1995, unusually large shoals appeared in January and early February on the important spawning grounds in Division VIIa (South). Shoals were also abundant in Dingle Bay (Division VIIj) in January and early February. It was pointed out by the 1995 Working Group that there appears to have been a continued increase in the abundance of fish from this area at this time in recent years. The distribution of the total international herring catches ( $t$ ) in Sub-areas VI and VII per quarter are shown in Figure 4.2.1a-d.

The maximum number of Irish vessels participating in the $1995 / 1996$ fishery was 62 which was similar to the numbers participating in 1993 and 1994.

### 4.2.3 The catch data

The estimated national catches from 1986-1995 for the combined areas by year and by season (1 April-31 March) are given in Tables 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 respectively. The total catches for the fishery over the longer period from 1958 to 1995 are shown in Figure 4.2.2. The reported catch including the estimates of discards and unallocated landings, taken during 1995/1996 was over $23,000 \mathrm{t}$ compared with $19,300 \mathrm{t}$ during the previous season. The increase was mainly due to unusually high catches taken during the first quarter of 1996. Landings from this fishery have been stable for a number of years and have averaged about $19,000 \mathrm{t}$ since 1985.

## Discards

The level of discards in this fishery is believed to have decreased in recent years as fishermen have become more expert in identifying suitable shoals for the Japanese roe market and in controlling the amounts of fish in their nets.

Nevertheless, discards were reported at a high level for a very short period in late December 1995 when reports from fishermen suggested that over $3,000 \mathrm{t}$ were discarded. Discarding during the early part of the season was negligible because of lack of shoals, and apart from the $3,000 t$ already mentioned, there appears to have been little discarding for the remainder of the season. The total amount of discards therefore taken during the season and included in the catch used in the assessment is $3,000 \mathrm{t}$, which is about $13 \%$ of the total catch.

The results of an EU funded project (EU Project BIOECO/93/17) indicate that the overall discard rate of $10 \%-20 \%$ used by the Working Group prior to 1995 was realistic.

### 4.2.4 Quality of catch and biological data

Management authorities are confident that the accuracy of the landing statistics has increased considerably in recent years. There have, however, been persistent but unconfirmed reports that the overall catch figure may be considerably underestimated (see Section 1.6 sampling). Misreporting of catches from Division VIIj to Division VIIb has decreased in recent years to very small amounts because of the poor fishing along the boundary between the two divisions. Biological sampling of the catches throughout the area continues to be satisfactory. Details of the sampling data per quarter are shown in Table 4.2.3, while the length distributions of the catches taken by the Irish fleet per quarter are shown in Table 4.2.4.

### 4.2.5 Catches in numbers at age

The total catches in numbers at age, including discards, per season from 1958 to 1995 are shown in Table 4.2.5. The catch during 1995/1996 was dominated by $2 . w . r i n g$ fish (the 1992/1993 year class). The 1990/91 year class, which was a very strong one, constituted over $23 \%$ of the catches during 1995/1996.

### 4.3 Mean weights at age

As the major portion of the catch from this fishery continues to be taken during the spawning season the mean weights at age in the catches have traditionally been taken as the mean weights in the stock at spawning time (l October). The mean weights for the last three seasons appear to be reasonably stable and are shown in Table 4.3.1 from 1958 to 1975.

### 4.4 Stock assessments

### 4.4.1 Acoustic surveys

Acoustic surveys have been carried out on this stock each season since 1989/1990. Two surveys were again carried out during 1995/1996 by the R.V. Lough Foyle and the results were presented by Molloy and Fernandes (W.D. 1996). The surveys were designed to estimate the size of the autumn and winter spawning components separately - the combined estimate being considered to be the size of the total spawning stock.

The survey transects during 1995/1996 were extended to 30 miles offshore because it had been suggested that the 1994/1995 surveys may have underestimated the total stock as they did not cover the offshore distributions. During the November 1995 survey stocks were virtually absent from Division VIIj and reports from commercial fishermen suggested a very low abundance in this area at that time. A number of shoals were located in Division VIIg and the overall abundance in this area was considerably higher than in 1994. A feature of these shoals was that they were composed of stage V fish and were distributed towards the offshore end of the transects. At the same time there appeared to be no spawning shoals on the inshore spawning grounds where commercial fishing was very poor. Water temperatures in November were approximately $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ higher in 1995 than in 1994 and there were considerable quantities of warm water species (pilchards and anchovy) in the area.

The second survey, which took place in January 1996, was restricted by bad weather and did not cover any part of Division VIIj where considerable catches were taken later in the month. Nevertheless, the important spawning areas in Division VIIg and Division VIIa (South) were covered intensively. Very large shoals of fish were encountered during this survey particularly on the spawning grounds off Dunmore East. The shoals were the largest observed since these surveys were initiated. Commercial fishermen operating in this area also reported that the shoals were the largest observed for many years. Water temperatures in January 1996 were again approximately $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ higher than in January 1995. The spawning stock biomass estimated from this survey was approximately $93,000 \mathrm{t}$.

It was decided that if the biomasses obtained from the two surveys were combined, as in previous years, to give an overall stock estimate, then the result might be an overestimate. This was considered likely because it appears that the
offshore distributions located during November did not migrate inshore to spawn as usual because of the high water temperatures. The major spawning of both components appears to have taken place in January and this accounts for the unusually high concentrations observed. The biomass for the $1995 / 1996$ season is therefore estimated by combining the biomass from the January survey, together with a small amount of fish observed from the November survey from Division VIIj and the catches taken prior to the survey.

The age disaggregated data for this stock, together with similar data for the stock estimated from previous surveys are shown in Table 4.4.1. The data show that the stock is dominated by the 1992/1993 year class while this year class also dominated the commercial catches. However, this year class which was abundant in the 1993/1994 surveys was very poorly represented in the 1994/1995 surveys. It appears that the 1994/1995 surveys seriously underestimated the size of the year class - either because a large portion of it was still in the Irish Sea, as suggested by the 1991 tagging experiments (Anon. 1993a) or because the 1994/1995 surveys did not cover the total distribution of the adult spawning stock.

The total spawning stock estimated by the 1995/1996 surveys is over twice that estimated during the previous season. Considerable confidence can, however, be attached to the results of the $1995 / 1996$ surveys because of the extended coverage, the success rate of fishing - viz. all the shoals located and classified as definitely herring were verified with substantial catches, and because the results were consistent with observations from the commercial fishing. The possibility of double counting does not arise because only the results of the January survey and a small portion of the November survey have been used in estimating the final stock size. In addition no estimate has been included for that portion of the overall stock which spawned in Division VIIj (Dingle Bay) during January and which appeared to have been substantial. There still exists a possibility that even though the spawning stock estimate is the highest recorded in these surveys it may still be an underestimate, because the survey in January did not include Division VIIj.

### 4.4.2 Results of Assessments

The integrated catch analysis program has been used since 1994 to estimate the fishing mortality and the size of the stock. In these analyses the age-disaggregated data from the acoustic surveys from 1990 to $1995 / 1996$ were used as the only tuning index available. The 0 and 1 -ring fish are excluded from the analyses as they are not believed to be fully recruited to the Celtic Sea from Division VIIa (North). The analyses carried out at the 1994 Working Group meeting indicated that using the acoustic surveys as a proportional index of stock abundance provided the best fit to the ICA model. This approach has again been adopted and the results of this run are shown in Table 4.4.2 and the results from the ICA model are shown in Figures 4.4.1, 4.4.2 and 4.4.3.

As shown by Molloy and Fernandes (W.D. 1996) the spawning stock biomasses estimated by the ICA model are consistently lower than those estimated by the acoustic surveys. There is approximately a twofold difference which is similar to that estimated for the North Sea and Division VIa North acoustic surveys. Similarly the spawning stock estimated from the latest ICA model is $85,000 \mathrm{t}$ compared with $114,000 \mathrm{t}$ based on the acoustic survey. Despite the dramatic decrease in SSB indicated by the 1994/1995 acoustic survey, the diagnostics from the ICA model suggest that there is a good fit between the acoustic age-disaggregated data and the catch at age data. The results from the latest run, however, are considerably different from those estimated in 1995 and are more comparable with the estimates obtained in 1994. The spawning stock biomass, which averaged about 56,000 $t$ in the period, increased in 1994 and 1995 due to the recruitment of strong 1990 and 1992 year classes. At the same time the level of fishing mortality has decreased from a very high level in $1992(0.90)$ to about 0.50 in 1995. It must again be stressed that $F$ values in this stock are consistently higher than in other herring stocks. The difference in the results from this years assessment and that carried out in 1995 is caused by the increased SSB estimated by the 1995/1996 acoustic surveys.

### 4.5 Recruitment estimates

There are no recruitment indices available for this stock which can be used for predictive purposes. The numbers of 1ring fish derived from the ICA model are shown in Figure 4.4.2. There is no apparent trend in recent years and so the geometric mean value over the period 1983-1994 was taken for predictive purposes. The value for the 1992 year class was not included as it is based on limited data. The resultant value was 535 million, compared with values of 517 million and 317 million used in the prediction carried out in 1994 and 1995.

### 4.6 Short-term Projections

Short-term projections were carried out for the following two scenarios:

1. A catch in 1996 of $18,150 \mathrm{t}$. i.e. the agreed TAC plus $10 \%$ discards. A catch in 1997 of $22,000 \mathrm{t}$ including $10 \%$ discards.

## 2. A level of $F$ in 1997 and $1998=F 95$ i.e. 0.548 .

The input data are shown in Table 4.6.1. The SSB in 1995 is estimated to be $85,000 \mathrm{t}$. The results from the various predictions are shown in Tables 4.6.2-4.6.3. A catch in 1996 of $18,150 \mathrm{t}$ will result in a spawning stock in 1997 of $93,000 \mathrm{t}$. Catches of about $22,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1997 and 1998 will result in a stable stock of about $91,000 \mathrm{t}$ to $93,000 \mathrm{t}$. Levels of fishing mortality will decrease to about 0.3.

If fishing mortality in 1997 and 1998 continues at about the 1995 level (i.e. $F=0.548$ ) then the resulting catches in 1997 and 1998 will be $36,400 \mathrm{t}$ and $28,600 \mathrm{t}$ but the SSB will fall sharply to $77,000 \mathrm{t}$.

The most likely level of catches in 1996 may be about $22,000 \mathrm{t}$ and a management option is therefore presented in Table 4.6 .4 for various levels of $F$ in 1997. A catch in 1997 of $15,900 t$ will enable the spawning stock to increase slightly to $95,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1998 . A catch of $22,600 \mathrm{t}$ will result in a slight decrease in spawning stock to $87,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1998. It would appear that catches of around $20,000 \mathrm{t}$ will result in a stabilisation of the SSB at around $90,000 \mathrm{t}$.

The detailed results of the management options are shown in Table 4.6.5 and the yield/recruit in Figure 4.6.1.

### 4.7 Consideration of MBAL and stock/recruit relationships

The MBAL for the Celtic Sea was considered by the 1976 Herring Assessment Working Group (ICES 1976) to be one third of the biomass in a period of relatively light exploitation. The level was calculated at about $40,000 \mathrm{t}$ and was estimated at a time when the stock was not combined with that in Division VIIj.

For the present combined stock the MBAL was first considered by examining the stock recruitment estimates (Figure 4.7.1). Recruitment appears to be independent of stock size over the range of stock sizes observed. It was then decided to calculate the unexploited spawning biomass using the same procedure as outlined in Section 7.7. The long-term arithmetic mean recruitment of $446 \times 10^{9}$ one-ringers was used in the calculation which is shown in Table 4.7.1. Unexploited SSB was calculated over the age groups 1-9 (age range used in the current assessment) and also for age ranges 1-15 and 1-20. Estimates of MBAL equivalent to $20 \%$ of the unexploited SSB were in the range 31-49,000 $t$ and at $33 \%$ of unexploited SSB were in the range $52-82,000 \mathrm{t}$.

Estimates of MBAL at $20 \%$ of unexploited SSB over ages 1-20 and at $33 \%$ of unexploited SSB over ages 1-9 were similar at around $50,000 \mathrm{t}$ and correspond with criteria adopted for Division $\mathrm{VIa}(\mathrm{N})$ and $\mathrm{VIIa}(\mathrm{N})$ herring. With reference to the stock/recruit data (Figure 4.7.1) approximately $25 \%$ of the historical SSB estimates have fallen below $50,000 \mathrm{t}$. The stock fell below this level in the 1970s following a period of increasing fishing mortality and during a period of poor recruitment.

Medium-term projections were carried out using an MBAL of $50,000 t$ as a reference point. Stochastic projections were carried out over a 10 year time horizon using the ICA linked program ICPRO ver 2.0 (Patterson W.D. 1996). Projections were carried out for two scenarios:

1. Status quo F at the 1995 level $(\mathrm{F}=0.54)$
2. Constant catch (landings plus discards) of $20,000 \mathrm{t}$ per year.

Graphical outputs are shown in Figs 4.7 .2 and 4.7.3. At F status quo landings and stock size decline slightly over the 10 year time horizon and the risk of SSB falling below MBAL increases from 0.1 in 1996 to approximately 0.3 in 2005. For a constant catch of $20,000 \mathrm{t}$ stock size remains relatively stable (on average) with a smaller increase in risk (0.2) of falling below MBAL by 2005. However, declines in SSB below MBAL under constant catch conditions are likely to be more severe than under a constant fishing mortality as indicated by the increasing values of $F$ in the upper 95th percentile (Figure 4.7.3).

The probability of the SSB falling below MBAL by the end of the 10 year projection, for different levels of $\mathrm{F}(2-7)$ is shown in Figure 4.7.4a. The probability attains $50 \%$ at an F level of about 0.65 . The relationship between average fishing mortality and median SSB level after 10 years is shown in Figure 4.7.4b.

The present assessment indicates that the spawning stock is considerably higher than that estimated by the 1995 Working Group. The increase is largely as a result of the high estimate obtained by the 1995 acoustic surveys and the recruitment of a strong 1992/1993 year class. The history of the fishery in this area shows that the stock fluctuates very much and it is very sensitive to increased catches. Overall fishing mortality rates have always been high compared to those in other herring stocks. At present the stock appears to be in a healthy state and capable of maintaining catches of around $20,000 \mathrm{t}$. This catch rate is consistent with the estimates of m.s.y and $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{b}$ ratio for the fishery (Anon. 1996).

The fleet which exploits this stock is capable of generating a very high fishing mortality particularly as the major fishery takes place for spawning shoals on the spawning beds. It is important that the fishery should continue to be effectively monitored and that the effort should be reduced if possible. It is extremely important that the fishery should continue to be effectively monitored and that the effort should be reduced. It is also important that discarding of herring should be eliminated. Accurate estimates of the quantities discarded should be obtained.

## Protection of Herring Spawning Grounds

The herring spawning grounds in the Celtic Sea and Division VIIj are situated in shallow, inshore waters along the Irish coast. Although the spawning grounds themselves are well known the precise location of the spawning beds within the spawning grounds are not known. In recent years a number of threats to the spawning grounds have arisen in the form of possible removal of sand and gravel aggregate, the dumping of mud and silt from harbour dredging operations and the siteing of fish farms. Any activity which would result in a decreased spawning potential must have adverse effects on the size of the spawning population.

The effects of gravel extraction and siltation on sensitive communities has been discussed by the ICES Working Group on the Effects of Extraction of Marine Sediments on Fisheries (Anon. 1992b). In a special section dealing with herring the Working Group states that "Harmful consequences for the herring and its fisheries from the impact of the dredging of its spawning ground were pointed out at the first and second meetings of the Working Group (ICES 1977b, 1975)". Changes in the structure of the spawning grounds, caused by dredging, will negatively influence the return of herring to the spawning sites and therefore their reproduction to a high degree. In addition, excessive siltation could smother eggs during the period of incubation (Hildebrand, 1963).

Because of the potential damage to sensitive communities, including herring, the Working Group drew up a suggested code of practice intended to promote sound management to ensure that the dredging industry operates in harmony with fisheries and other ocean space users.

The importance of the inshore herring spawning grounds and their vulnerability along the Irish coast, not only in the Celtic Sea and Division VIIj, but also in the adjoining Divisions VIIb, VIa (South) and VIIa cannot be overemphasised. The Working Group therefore recommends that sufficient measure be taken to ensure their maximum protection and that the code of practice drawn up by ICES in relation to gravel extraction should be implemented.

Table 4.2.1 Celtic Sea and Division VIIj HERRING landings by calendar year (t), 1986-1995. (Data provided by Working Group members.)

These figures may not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

| Year | France | Germany | Ireland | Netherlands | U.K. | Unallocated | Discards | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1986 | - | - | 13,300 | + | - | 6,100 | 3,900 | 23,300 |
| 1987 | 800 | - | 15,500 | 1,500 | - | 5,300 | 4,200 | 27,300 |
| 1988 | - | - | 16,800 | - | - | - | 2,400 | 19,200 |
| 1989 | + | - | 16,000 | 1,900 | - | 1,300 | 3,500 | 22,700 |
| 1990 | + | - | 15,800 | 1,000 | 200 | 700 | 2,500 | 20,200 |
| 1991 | + | 100 | 19,400 | 1,600 | - | 600 | 1,900 | 23,600 |
| 1992 | 500 | - | 18,000 | 100 | + | 2,300 | 2,100 | 23,000 |
| 1993 | - | - | 19,000 | 1,300 | + | $-1,100$ | 1,900 | 21,100 |
| $1994{ }_{1}$ | + | 200 | 17,400 | 1,300 | + | $-1,500$ | 1,700 | 19,100 |
| 1995 | 200 | 200 | 18,000 | 100 | + | -200 | 700 | 19,000 |

${ }^{1}$ Preliminary

Table 4.2.2 Celtic Sea and Division VIIj herring landings (t) by season (1 April-31 March). (Data provided by Working Group members).
These figures may not in all cases correspond to the offical statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

| Year | France | Germany | Ireland | Netherlands | U.K. | Unallocated | Discards | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1986 / 1987$ | - | - | 14,700 | + | - | 6,100 | 4,200 | 25,000 |
| $1987 / 1988$ | 800 | - | 15,500 | 1,500 | - | 4,400 | 4,000 | 26,200 |
| $1988 / 1989$ | - | - | 17,000 | - | - | - | 3,400 | 20,400 |
| $1989 / 1990$ | + | - | 15,000 | 1,900 | - | 2,600 | 3,600 | 23,100 |
| $1990 / 1991$ | + | - | 15,000 | 1,000 | 200 | 700 | 1,700 | 18,600 |
| $1991 / 1992$ | 500 | 100 | 21,400 | 1,600 | - | -100 | 2,100 | 25,600 |
| $1992 / 1993$ | - | - | 18,000 | 1,300 | - | -100 | 2,000 | 21,200 |
| $1993 / 1994$ | - | - | 16,600 | 1,300 | + | $-1,100$ | 1,800 | 18,600 |
| $1994 / 1995$ | + | 200 | 17,400 | 1,300 | + | $-1,500$ | 1,900 | 19,300 |
| $1995 / 1996$ | 200 | 200 | 20,000 | 100 | + | -200 | 3,000 | 23,300 |

Table 4.2.3 Celtic Sea, Division VIIj (1995-1996). Sampling intensity of commercial catches.

| Country | Catch (t) | No. of <br> samples | No. of <br> age <br> readings | No. of <br> fish <br> measured | Aged per <br> 1000 t | Estimates <br> of <br> discards |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ireland | Q 4 | 11,600 | 42 | 1,365 | 5,142 | 118 | Yes |
|  | Q 1 | 11,300 | 35 | 878 | 6,267 | 78 | Yes |
| Netherlands | Q 3 | 100 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Germany | Q 4 | 200 | - | - | - | - | - |
| France | Q3 | 200 | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 4.2.4 Celtic Sea and Division VIIj. Length distribution (including discards) of Irish catches/quarter (thousands).

| Length | Division VIIa South |  | Division VIIg | Division VIIj |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q4 95 | Q1 96 | Q4 95 | Q3 95 | Q4 95 | Q1 96 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  | 17 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - - | 50 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 11 | 52 | 176 |  | 71 | 13 |
|  | 16 | \%-87 | 328 |  | 24 | 26 |
| 21 | 39 | - 87 | 403 |  | 119 | 190 |
|  | 74 | 157 | 479 |  | 381 | 428 |
| 22 | 125 | 385 | 631 |  | 452 | 651 |
|  | 203 | 472 | 706 |  | 238 | 342 |
| 23 | 363 | 2,150 | 1,186 |  | 452 | 322 |
|  | 527 | .3,566 | 1,211 |  | 428 | 382 |
| 24 | 766 | 6,031 | 2,700 |  | 1,094 | 717 |
|  | 738 | 7,657 | 3,230 |  | 1,832 | 1,218 |
| 25 | 899 | 10,471 | 3,962 |  | 2,879 | 2,145 |
|  | 895 | 6,450 | 3,230 | 2 | 3,069 | 1,836 |
| 26 | 590 | 7,202 | 2,851 | 6 | 2,379 | 1,106 |
|  | 625 | 4,947 | 2,801 | 16 | 1,761 | 777 |
| 27 | 797 | 5,384 | 3,533 | 42 | 1,832 | 1,060 |
|  | 738 | 4,405 | 4,038 | 85 | 1,761 | 1,336 |
| 28 | 582 | 4,038 | 5,072 | 91 | 2,070 | 1,612 |
|  | 274 | 1,311 | 2,725 | 59 | 1,475 | 1,145 |
| 29 | 148 | - 821 | 1,867 | 71 | 999 | 592 |
|  | 90 | 245 | 656 | 48 | 571 | 296 |
| 30 | 55 | 157 | 202 | 32 | 404 | 118 |
|  | 12 |  | 202 | 10 | 95 | 33 |
| 31 | - |  | 100 | 12 | 48 | 7 |
|  | 8 |  | - | - |  | - |
| 32 |  |  | 25 | - |  | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Total | 8,575 | 66,092 | 42,364 | 478 | 24,434 | 16,359 |
| Tonnes | 1,200 | 9,000 | 6,400 | 100 | 3,927 | 2,350 |

Table 4.2.5 Catch in numbers. Celtic Sea and Division VIIj herring.

16:12 Thursday, April 11, 1796
HER-IRLS: Herring South and South West of Ireland (Celtic Sea + VIlj)
CANUM: Catch in Numbers (Thousands)

| Year | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | Age 8 | Age 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1958 | 1642 | 3742 | 33094 | 25746 | 12551 | 23949 | 16093 | 7384 | 5584 |
| 1959 | 1203 | 25717 | 2274 | 19262 | 11015 | 5830 | 17821 | 3745 | 7352 |
| 1960 | 2840 | 72246 | 24658 | 3779 | 13698 | 4431 | 6096 | 4379 | 4151 |
| 1961 | 2129 | 16058 | 32044 | 5631 | 2034 | 5067 | 2825 | 1524 | 4947 |
| 1962 | 772 | 18567 | 19909 | 48061 | 8075 | 3584 | 8593 | 3805 | 5322 |
| 1963 | 297 | 51935 | 13033 | 4179 | 20694 | 2686 | 1392 | 2488 | 2787 |
| 1964 | 7529 | 15058 | 17250 | 6658 | 1719 | 8796 | 1304 | 577 | 2193 |
| 1965 | 57 | 70248 | 9365 | 15757 | 3399 | 4539 | 12127 | 1377 | 7493 |
| 1966 | 7093 | 19559 | 59893 | 9924 | 13211 | 5602 | 3586 | 8746 | 3842 |
| 1967 | 7599 | 39991 | 20062 | 49113 | 9218 | 9444 | 3939 | 6510 | 6757 |
| 1968 | 12197 | 54790 | 39604 | 11544 | 22599 | 4929 | 4170 | 1310 | 4936 |
| 1969 | 9472 | 93279 | 55039 | 33145 | 12217 | 17837 | 4762 | 2174 | 3469 |
| 1970 | 1319 | 37260 | 50087 | 26481 | 18763 | 7853 | 6351 | 2175 | 3367 |
| 1971 | 12658 | 23313 | 37563 | 41904 | 18759 | 10443 | 4276 | 4962 | 2239 |
| 1972 | 8422 | 137690 | 17855 | 15842 | 14531 | 4645 | 3012 | 2374 | 1020 |
| 1973 | 23547 | 38133 | 55805 | 7012 | 9651 | 5323 | 3352 | 2332 | 1209 |
| 1974 | 5507 | 42808 | 17184 | 22530 | 4225 | 3737 | 2978 | 903 | 827 |
| 1975 | 12768 | 15429 | 17783 | 7333 | 9006 | 3520 | 1644 | 1136 | 1194 |
| 1976 | 13317 | 11113 | 7296 | 7011 | 2872 | 4785 | 1880 | 1243 | 1769 |
| 1977 | 8159 | 12516 | 8610 | 5280 | 1585 | 1898 | 1043 | 383 | 470 |
| 1978 | 2800 | 13385 | 11748 | 5583 | 1580 | 1476 | 540 | 858 | 482 |
| 1979 | 11335 | 13913 | 12399 | 8636 | 2889 | 1316 | 1283 | 551 | 635 |
| 1980 | 7162 | 30093 | 11786 | 6585 | 2812 | 2204 | 1184 | 1262 | 565 |
| 1981 | 39361 | 21285 | 21861 | 5505 | 4438 | 3436 | 795 | 313 | 866 |
| 1982 | 15339 | 42725 | 8728 | 4817 | 1497 | 1891 | 1670 | 335 | 596 |
| 1983 | 13540 | 102871 | 25993 | 3225 | 1862 | 327 | 372 | 932 | 308 |
| 1984 | 19517 | 92892 | 41121 | 16043 | 2450 | 1085 | 376 | 231 | 180 |
| 1985 | 17916 | 57054 | 36258 | 16032 | 2306 | 228 | 85 | 173 | 132 |
| 1986 | 4159 | 56747 | 42881 | 32930 | 8790 | 1127 | 98 | 29 | 12 |
| 1987 | 5976 | 67000 | 43075 | 23014 | 14323 | 2716 | 1175 | 296 | 464 |
| 1988 | 2307 | 82027 | 30962 | 9398 | 5963 | 3047 | 869 | 297 | 86 |
| 1989 | 8260 | 42413 | 68399 | 19601 | 8205 | 3837 | 2589 | 767 | 682 |
| 1990 | 2702 | 41756 | 24634 | 35258 | 8116 | 3808 | 1671 | 595 | 462 |
| 1991 | 1912 | 63854 | 38342 | 16916 | 28405 | 4869 | 2588 | 954 | 593 |
| 1992 | 10410 | 26752 | 35019 | 27591 | 10139 | 18061 | 3021 | 6285 | 689 |
| 1993 | 1608 | 94061 | 9372 | 10221 | 4491 | 2790 | 5932 | 855 | 508 |
| 1994 | 12130 | 35768 | 61737 | 3289 | 3025 | 4773 | 1713 | 1705 | 474 |
| 1995 | 9450 | 79159 | 22591 | 36541 | 3686 | 3420 | 2651 | 1359 | 842 |

Table 4.3.1 Mean weights at age in catches and spawning stock. Celtic Sea and Division VIIj herring.

16:12 Thursday, April 11, 1996
HER-IRLS: Herring South and South West of Ireland (Celtic Sea + VIIj)

| Year | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | Age 8 | Age 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1958 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1959 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1960 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1961 | 0.115. | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1962 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1963 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1964 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.268 |
| 1965 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1966 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1967 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1968 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1969 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1970 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1971 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1972 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1973 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1974 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1975 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1976 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1977 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1978 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1979 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1980 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1981 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1982 | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1983. | 0.115 | 0.174 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.244 | 0.257 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.266 |
| 1984 | 0.093 | 0.142 | 0.185 | 0.213 | 0.213 | 0.245 | 0.246 | 0.263 | 0.262 |
| 1985 | 0.104 | 0.140 | 0.170 | 0.201 | 0.234 | 0.248 | 0.256 | 0.260 | 0.263 |
| 1986 | 0.112 | 0.155 | 0.172 | 0.187 | 0.215 | 0.248 | 0.276 | 0.284 | 0.332 |
| 1987 | 0.096 | 0.138 | 0.186 | 0.192 | 0.204 | 0.231 | 0.255 | 0.267 | 0.284 |
| 1988 | 0.097 | 0.132 | 0.168 | 0.203 | 0.209 | 0.215 | 0.237 | 0.257 | 0.283 |
| 1989 | 0.106 | 0.129 | 0.151 | 0.169 | 0.194 | 0.199 | 0.210 | 0.221 | 0.240 |
| 1990 | 0.099 | 0.137 | 0.153 | 0.167 | 0.188 | 0.208 | 0.209 | 0.229 | 0.251 |
| 1991 | 0.092 | 0.128 | 0.168 | 0.182 | 0.190 | 0.206 | 0.229 | 0.236 | 0.251 |
| 1992 | 0.096 | 0.123 | 0.150 | 0.177 | 0.191 | 0.194 | 0.212 | 0.228 | 0.248 |
| 1993 | 0.092 | 0.129 | 0.155 | 0.180 | 0.201 | 0.204 | 0.210 | 0.225 | 0.240 |
| 1994 | 0.097 | 0.135 | 0.168 | 0.179 | 0.190 | 0.210 | 0.218 | 0.217 | 0.227 |
| 1995 | 0.088 | 0.126 | 0.151 | 0.178 | 0.188 | 0.198 | 0.207 | 0.227 | 0.227 |

Table 4.4.1 Total stock at age estimated from acoustic surveys $\left(10^{6}\right)$.

| W.Rs | $1990 / 1991$ | $1991 / 1992$ | $1992 / 1993$ | $1993 / 1994$ | $1994 / 1995$ | $1995 / 1996$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 204.8 | 213.8 | 141.8 | 258.8 | 41.3 | 5.1 |
| 1 | 131.6 | 62.6 | 426.9 | 217.1 | 38.0 | 279.5 |
| 2 | 249.0 | 195.2 | 117.0 | 437.9 | 127.2 | 550.7 |
| 3 | 108.6 | 94.7 | 87.8 | 58.7 | 160.3 | 138.4 |
| 4 | 152.5 | 54.0 | 49.6 | 63.4 | 10.5 | 93.5 |
| 5 | 32.4 | 84.8 | 22.2 | 26.0 | 10.6 | 7.9 |
| 6 | 14.9 | 22.1 | 24.2 | 16.3 | 6.5 | 9.2 |
| 7 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 9.6 | 24.6 | 1.6 | 8.4 |
| 8 | 2.5 | 6.1 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 9.2 |
| $9+$ | 1.5 | - | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 4.7 |
| Total | 903.9 | 738.6 | 882.0 | $1,106.8$ | 399.1 | 1106.5 |
| TSB $\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{t}\right)$ | 103.0 | 84.4 | 88.5 | 104.0 | 51.8 | 134.6 |
| SSB $\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{t}\right)$ | 91.0 | 77.0 | 71.0 | 90.0 | 50.6 | 114.0 |

Table 4.4.2 celtic Sea and Division vilj.

| CATCH | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NUMBERS } \\ 1978 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AGE } \\ & 1979 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Millions) } \\ 1980 \end{gathered}$ | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3. |  | 11. | 7. | 39. | 15. | 14. | 20. | 18. | 4. | 6. | 2. | 8. | 3. | 2. | 10. | 2. | 12. | 9. |
| 2 | 13. |  | 14. | 30. | 21. | 43. | 103. | 93. | 57. | 57. | 67. | 82. | 42. | 42. | 64. | 27. | 94. | 36. | 79. |
| 3 | 12. |  | 12. | 12. | 22. | 9. | 27. | 41. | 36. | 43. | 43. | 31. | 68. | 25. | 38. | 35. | 9. | 62. | 23. |
| 4 | 6. |  | 9. | 7. | 6. | 5. | 3. | 16. | 16. | 33. | 23. | 9. | 20. | 35. | 17. | 28. | 10. | 3. | 37. |
| 5 | 2. |  | 3. | 3. | 4. | 1. | 2. | 2. | 2. | 9. | 14. | 6. | 8. | 8. | 28. | 10. | 4. | 3. | 4. |
| 6 | 1. |  | 1. | 2. | 3. | 2. | 0. | 1. | 0. | 1. | 3. | 3. | 4. | 4. | 5. | 18. | 3. | 5. | 3. |
| 7 | 1. |  | 1. | 1. | 1. | 2. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 1. | 1. | 3. | 2. | 3. | 3. | 6. | 2. | 3. |
| 8 | 1. |  | 1. | 1. | 0. | 0. | 1. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 6. | 1. | 2. | 2. |
| 9 | 0. |  | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 1. | 0. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 0. | 1. |

INDICES OF SPAWNING STOCK BIOMASS
0

| ace - siructured |  | indices |  | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I NOEX | 1 from | 1990 to | 1995 |  |  |  |
|  | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 |  |  |  |
| 2 | . $249 \mathrm{E}+03$ | . $195 \mathrm{E}+03$ | . $117 \mathrm{E}+03$ | . $438 \mathrm{E}+03$ | . $127 E+03$ | . $551 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| 3 | . $109 \mathrm{E}+03$ | .947E+02 | . $878 \mathrm{E}+02$ | . $587 \mathrm{E}+02$ | . $160 E+03$ | . $138 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| 4 | . $153 \mathrm{E}+03$ | . $540 \mathrm{E}+02$ | . $496 \mathrm{E}+02$ | . $634 \mathrm{E}+02$ | . $105 \mathrm{E}+02$ | . $935 E+02$ |
| 5 | . $324 \mathrm{E}+02$ | . $848 \mathrm{E}+02$ | . $222 \mathrm{E}+02$ | . $260 \mathrm{E}+02$ | . $106 \mathrm{E}+02$ | . $790 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 6 | . 149E+02 | . $221 \mathrm{E}+02$ | . $242 \mathrm{E}+02$ | . $163 \mathrm{E}+02$ | . $650 \mathrm{E}+01$ | .920E+01 |
| 7 | .610E+01 | . $530 \mathrm{E}+01$ | .960E+01 | . $246 \mathrm{E}+02$ | . 160E+01 | . $840 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 8 | . 250E+01 | .610E+01 | . 180E+01 | . $230 \mathrm{E}+01$ | . $260 \mathrm{E}+01$ | .920E+01 |
| 9 | .150E+01 - | $-.100 \mathrm{E}+01$ | . $110 \mathrm{E}+01$ | .170E+01 | . $500 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.470 E+01$ |

## Table 4.4.2 (Cont'd)

| 4 | 14. | 21. | 15. | 9. | 9. | 7. | 24. | 34. | 61. | 42. | 35. | 43. | 99. | 42. | 45. | 23. | 12. | 75. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 5. | 7. | 11. | 8. | 3. | 3. | 4. | 6. | 15. | 24. | 17. | 22. | 20. | 59. | 22. | 16. | 13. | 7. |
| 6 | 6. | 3. | 4. | 8. | 3. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 3. | 6. | 8. | 9. | 12. | 13. | 33. | 9. | 9. | 7. |
| 7 | 2. | 4. | 2. | 1. | 4. | 1. | 1. | 0. | 1. | 2. | 3. | 5. | 5. | 7. | 7. | 11. | 5. | 5. |
| 8 | 2. | 1. | 2. | 0. | 1. | 2. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 1. | 2. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 2. | 6. | 2. |
| 9 | 1. | 2. | 1. | 1. | 0. | 0. | 1. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 1. | 1. | 2. | 1. | 2. | 4. |
|  |  | 2. | 3. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Recruits } \\ & \times 10^{\circ} 6 \end{aligned}$ | Total B tonnes | Spawn B tonnes | Landings tonnes | YId/SSB | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref. F } \\ \text { Fbar 2-7 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 | 135. | 41304. | 26207. | 7559. | . 2884 | . 3843 |
| 1979 | 237. | 51724. | 28221. | 10321. | . 3657 | . 5022 |
| 1980 | 145. | 44344. | 26954. | 13130. | . 4871 | . 7239 |
| 1981 | 408. | 69367. | 30701. | 17103. | . 5571 | . 8859 |
| 1982 | 658. | 106399. | 46848. | 13000. | . 2775 | . 7902 |
| 1983 | 729. | 140217. | 68228. | 24981. | .3661 | . 6601 |
| 1984 | 570. | 112429. | 61829. | 26779. | . 4331 | 1.0798 |
| 1985 | 532. | 111173. | 62030. | 20426. | . 3293 | . 5318 |
| 1986 | 531. | 121035. | 66965. | 25024. | . 3737 | . 5682 |
| 1987 | 983. | 152598. | 74209. | 26200. | . 3531 | . 7806 |
| 1988 | 430. | 116557. | 74269. | 20447. | . 2753 | . 4227 |
| 1989 | 510. | 118622. | 69222. | 23254. | . 3359 | . 5728 |
| 1990 | 442. | 105499. | 65003. | 18404. | . 2831 | . 4114 |
| 1991 | 197. | 79262. | 55738. | 25562. | . 4586 | . 5197 |
| 1992 | 870. | 125566. | 57389. | 21127. | . 3681 | . 9151 |
| 1993 | 331. | 87329. | 55238. | 18618. | . 3371 | . 5054 |
| 1994 | 876. | 136593. | 67841. | 19300. | . 2845 | . 4814 |
| 1995 | 1136. | 167733. | 85203. | 23305. | . 2735 | . 5248 |

Parameter estimates +/- SD
CFMUAAWG96UHER IRLSUCAOUT.DKC $15 / 04 / 96 \quad 09: 0$

| Separable Model: Populations in year 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 1 |  | 1135792. | 304397. | 4237967. |
| 14 | 2 |  | 318432. | 229612. | 441611. |
| 15 | 3 |  | 61102. | 47702. | 78266. |
| 16 | 4 |  | 74620. | 59787. | 93131. |
| 17 | 5 |  | 6766. | 5500. | 8324. |
| 18 | 6 |  | 7470. | 6116. | 9124. |
| 19 | 7 |  | 5028. | 4091. | 6181. |
| 20 | 8 |  | 2437. | 1936. | 3067. |
| Separable |  | Model: | Populations | at age 8 |  |
| 21 | 1990 |  | 1719.8309 | 1237.3146 | 2390.5143 |
| 22 | 1991 |  | 2729.1327 | 2111.8767 | 3526.7992 |
| 23 | 1992 |  | 3670.1264 | 2914.6069 | 4621.4905 |
| 24 | 1993 |  | 2125.5685 | 1672.7978 | 2700.8841 |
| 25 | 1994 |  | 5799.7474 | 4648.0745 | 7236.7751 |

Age-structured index catchabilities
Age-Structured Index $\quad 1$

| Llinear model fitted. Slopes at age: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | 20 | $.28921 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $.22696 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $.36853 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 27 | 30 | $.31975 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $.25165 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $.40627 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 28 | 40 | $.26372 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $.20768 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $.33489 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 29 | 50 | $.22668 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $.17828 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $.28822 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 30 | 60 | $.23396 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $.18262 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $.29974 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 31 | 70 | $.22631 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $.17212 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $.29756 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 32 | 80 | $.27870 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $.20404 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $.38068 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 33 | 90 | $.20432 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $.14077 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $.29656 \mathrm{E}-02$ |

## resiouals about the mooel fit

Separable Model Residuals
(log(Observed Catch)-log(Expected Catch)
and weights $(W)$ used in the analysis.


## Aged Index Residuals: log(Observed Index) - log(Expected Index)

Aged Index 1

| Age | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | $-.12913 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.15941 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.44693 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.27753 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-.32878 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.19815 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 3 | $-.20062 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.41832 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.15895 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.41891 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.39774 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.43881 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 4 | $-.25474 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-.89479 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $.13833 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.62900 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.53044 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.12193 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 5 | $.12444 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-11376 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.10898 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.20552 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.46849 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.84208 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 6 | $-.12874 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.37042 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.85349 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $.41326 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.59350 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.23901 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 7 | $-.12788 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-.44952 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.69053 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.62803 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.12377 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $.38148 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 8 | $-.24632 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $.57248 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.41644 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.17206 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.10850 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $.11035 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 9 | $.51533 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $.92437 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $.20515 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.11708 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $.36639 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

parameters of the distribution of In caiches at age

| Separable model fitted | from | 1990 to 1995 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Variance | $:$ | .1500 |
| Skewness test statistic | $:$ | 2.7185 |
| Kurtosis test statistic | $:$ | 2.2082 |
| Partial chi-square | $:$ | .4186 |
| Probability of chi-square | $:$ | 1.0000 |
| Degrees of freedom | $:$ | 23 |

PARAMETERS OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE AGE-STRUCIURED INDICES

DISIRIBUTION SIAIISTICS for In aged index 1

Linear catchability relationship assumed.
Age
Varlance
Skewness test stat. :
Kurtosis test stat.
Partial chi-square :
Prob. of chi-square :
Number of data $:$
Degrees of freedom :
Weight in analysis :

| 2 | 3 |
| ---: | ---: |
| .0780 | .1533 |
| .5561 | .0650 |
| . .4337 | -.8582 |
| .0814 | .1691 |
| .9999 | .9994 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 5 | 5 |
| .5625 | .5625 |


| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| .1439 | .0618 | .1369 | .5502 | .5852 | .4553 |
| .4001 | -1.2834 | -.3908 | -.7272 | .0865 | -1.1706 |
| . .1310 | .0873 | -.3967 | -.3933 | -.4461 | -.0142 |
| .2204 | .1063 | .2734 | 1.5326 | 2.1358 | 8.5036 |
| .9989 | .9998 | .9981 | .9093 | .8301 | .0748 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| .5625 | .5625 | .5625 | .5625 | .5625 | .5625 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.6.1

Prediction with management option table: Input data

| Year: 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Stock <br> size | Natural mortality | Maturity ogive | Prop. of $F$ bef.spaw. | Prop. of M bef.spaw. | Weight in stock | Exploit. pattern | Weight <br> in catch |
| 1 | 512.000 | 1.0000 | 0.5000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.092 | 0.0147 | 0.092 |
| 2 | 412.000 | 0.3000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.130 | 0.4306 | 0.130 |
| 3 | 156.000 | 0.2000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.158 | 0.6094 | 0.158 |
| 4 | 28.000 | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.179 | 0.5454 | 0.179 |
| 5 | 40.000 | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.193 | 0.5006 | 0.193 |
| 6 | 4.000 | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.204 | 0.5907 | 0.204 |
| 7 | 4.000 | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.212 | 0.6112 | 0.212 |
| 8 | 2.000 | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.223 | 0.7313 | 0.223 |
| 9+ | 2.000 | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.231 | 0.7313 | 0.231 |
| Unit | Millions | - | $\bullet$ | - | - | Kilograms | - | Kilograms |


| Year: 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Recruitment | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Natural } \\ \text { mortality } \end{array}$ | Maturity ogive | Prop. of F bef.spaw. | Prop. of M bef.spaw. | Weight in stock | Exploit. pattern | Weight in catch |
| 1 | 535.000 | 1.0000 | 0.5000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.092 | 0.0147 | 0.092 |
| 2 | . | 0.3000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.130 | 0.4306 | 0.130 |
| 3 | - | 0.2000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.158 | 0.6094 | 0.158 |
| 4 | . | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.179 | 0.5454 | 0.179 |
| 5 | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.193 | 0.5006 | 0.193 |
| 6 | . | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.204 | 0.5907 | 0.204 |
| 7 | . | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.212 | 0.6112 | 0.212 |
| 8 | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.223 | 0.7313 | 0.223 |
| 9+ | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.231 | 0.7313 | 0.231 |
| Unit | Millions | - | - | $\bullet$ | - | Kilograms | - | Kilograms |


| Year: 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Recruitment | Natural mortality | Maturity ogive | Prop. of F bef.spaw. | Prop.of M bef.spaw. | Weight in stock | Explait. pattern | Weight in catch |
| 1 | 535.000 | 1.0000 | 0.5000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.092 | 0.0147 | 0.092 |
| 2 | . | 0.3000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.130 | 0.4306 | 0.130 |
| 3 | . | 0.2000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.158 | 0.6094 | 0.158 |
| 4 | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.179 | 0.5454 | 0.179 |
| 5 | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.193 | 0.5006 | 0.193 |
| 6 | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.204 | 0.5907 | 0.204 |
| 7 |  | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.212 | 0.6112 | 0.212 |
| 8 | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.223 | 0.7313 | 0.223 |
| 9+ | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 0.231 | 0.7313 | 0.231 |
| Unit | Millions | - | - | $\bullet$ | - | Kilograms | - | Kilograms |

Notes: Run name : MANJMO1
Date and time: 17APR96:12:49

Table 4.6.2

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 Jan | dary | Spawnin | g time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { F } \\ \text { Factor } \end{gathered}$ | Reference F | Catch in numbers | Catch in weight | Stock <br> size | Stock biomass | Sp.stock size | Sp.stock biomass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sp.stock } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | Sp.stock biomass |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1996 \\ & 1997 \\ & 1998 \end{aligned}$ | $0.4872$ <br> 0.5513 0.5897 | 0.2670 <br> 0.3021 <br> 0.3232 |  | 18150 22000 <br> 22000 | $\begin{aligned} & 1160000 \\ & 1120094 \\ & 1084635 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141098 \\ & 141350 \\ & 138207 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 904000 \\ & 852594 \\ & 817135 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 93426 \\ & 93310 \\ & 91219 \end{aligned}$ |
| Unit | - | - | Thousands | Tonnes | Thous ands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes |
| Notes | un name ate and omputatio rediction | ime <br> on ref. basis | : SPRJMO3 : 17 PAPR96 F: Simple : TAC con | $: 13: 00$ <br> mean, age straints | $2-7$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.6.3

| Year | $\stackrel{F}{\text { Factor }}$ | Reference | Catch in numbers | Catch in weight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stock } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | Stock biomass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sp.stock } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | Sp.stock biomass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sp.stock } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | Sp.stock biomass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | 0.4379 | 0.2400 | 112787 | 16501 | 1160000 | 141098 | 904000 | 117290 | 702976 | 93817 |
| 1997 | 1.0000 | 0.5480 | 230832 | 36418 | 1129932 | 143026 | 862432 | 118148 | 43977 | 25 |
| 1998 | 1.0000 | 0.5480 | 179258 | 28610 | 1012121 | 125304 | 744621 | 100426 | 551807 | 147 |
| Unit | - | - | Thousands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes | Thous ands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes |

Notes: Run name
: SPRJMO3
Date and time: 16APR96:11:00
Computation of ref. F: Simple mean, age 2-7
Prediction basis : F factors

Table 4.6.4

Herring South and South West of Ireland (Celtic Sea + VIlj)
Prediction with management option table
(cont.)

| Year: 1996 |  |  |  |  | Year: 1997 |  |  |  |  | Year: 1998 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\text { Factor }}{\text { F }}$ | Reference F | Stock biomass | Sp.stock biomass | Catch in weight | F Factor | Reference F | Stock biomass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sp.stock } \\ & \text { biomass } \end{aligned}$ | Catch in weigne | Stock biomass | Sp.stock <br> biomass |
| 0.6000 $\square$ $\square$ | 0.3288 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\square$ | $140852$ | $92481$ | $21781$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2000 \\ & 0.4000 \\ & 0.6000 \\ & 0.8000 \\ & 1.0000 \\ & 1.2000 \end{aligned}$ | 0.1096 <br> 0.2192 <br> 0.3288 <br> 0.4384 <br> 0.5480 <br> 0.6576 | $137435$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93021 \\ & 91327 \\ & 89670 \\ & 88049 \\ & 86464 \\ & 84913 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8365 \\ 15886 \\ 22652 \\ 28742 \\ 34227 \\ 39170 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 148387 \\ & 140750 \\ & 133907 \\ & 127772 \\ & 122271 \\ & 117337 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103938 \\ 95194 \\ 87494 \\ 80706 \\ 74718 \\ 69430 \end{array}$ |
| - | - | Tonnes | Tonnes | Tonnes | - | - | Tonnes | Tonnes | ronnes | Tonnes | Tonnes |

(cont.)

Table 4.6.5
Herring South and South West of Ireland (Celtic Sea + VIIj)
Single option prediction: Detailed tables

| Year: | 1996 | -factor: 0 | 4379 | Reference | : 0.2400 | 1 Jan | dary | Spawnin | time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Absolute F | Catch in numbers | Catch in weight | stock <br> size | Stock biomass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sp. stock } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | Sp.stock biomass | Sp.stock size | Sp.stock biomass |
| 1 | 0.0064 | 2078 | 193 | 512000 | 47616 | 256000 | 23808 | 155072 | 14422 |
| 2 | 0.1886 | 61456 | 7989 | 412000 | 53560 | 412000 | 53560 | 341488 | 44393 |
| 3 | 0.2669 | 33263 | 5256 | 156000 | 24648 | 156000 | 24648 | 133819 | 21143 |
| 4 | 0.2388 | 5672 | 1010 | 28000 | 4984 | 28000 | 4984 | 25392 | 4520 |
| 5 | 0.2192 | 7507 | 1449 | 40000 | 7720 | 40000 | 7720 | 36417 | 7028 |
| 6 | 0.2587 | 869 | 177 | 4000 | 816 | 4000 | 816 | 3613 | 737 |
| 7 | 0.2676 | 896 | 190 | 4000 | 848 | 4000 | 848 | 3607 | 765 |
| 8 | 0.3202 | 523 | 117 | 2000 | 446 | 2000 | 446 | 1784 | 398 |
| $9+$ | 0.3202 | 523 | 120 | 2000 | 460 | 2000 | 460 | 1784 | 410 |
| Total |  | 112787 | 16501 | 1160000 | 141098 | 904000 | 117290 | 702976 | 93817 |
| Unit |  | Thousands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes | Thous ands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes |


| Year: | 1997 | F-factor: 1 | . 0000 | ference | 0.5480 | 1 Jan | uary | Spawnin | time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Absolute F | Catch in numbers | Catch in weight | stock <br> size | Stock biomass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sp. stock } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | Sp.stock biomass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sp.stock } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | Sp.stock <br> biomass |
| 1 | 0.0147 | 4941 | 460 | 535000 | 49755 | 267500 | 24878 | 161771 | 15045 |
| 2 | 0.4306 | 57177 | 7433 | 187146 | 24329 | 187146 | 24329 | 147786 | 19212 |
| 3 | 0.6094 | 105597 | 16684 | 252766 | 39937 | 252766 | 39937 | 202468 | 31990 |
| 4 | 0.5454 | 39305 | 6996 | 97807 | 17410 | 97807 | 17410 | 83423 | 14849 |
| 5 | 0.5006 | 7509 | 1449 | 19953 | 3851 | 19953 | 3851 | 17172 | 3314 |
| 6 | 0.5907 | 12400 | 2530 | 29069 | 5930 | 29069 | 5930 | 24570 | 5012 |
| 7 | 0.6112 | 1222 | 259 | 2794 | 592 | 2794 | 592 | 2352 | 499 |
| 8 | 0.7313 | 1375 | 307 | 2769 | 618 | 2769 | 618 | 2276 | 508 |
| 9+ | 0.7313 | 1305 | 300 | 2628 | 604 | 2628 | 604 | 2159 | 497 |
| Total |  | 230832 | 36418 | 1129932 | 143026 | 862432 | 118148 | 643977 | 90925 |
| Unit | - | Thousands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes |


| Year: | 1998 F | F-factor: 1.0000 |  | Reference F: 0.5480 |  | 1 January |  | Spawning time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Absolute F | Catch in numbers | Catch in weight | Stock <br> size | Stock biomass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sp.stock } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | Sp.stock biomass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sp.stock } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | Sp.stock biomass |
| 1 | 0.0147 | 4941 | 460 | 535000 | 49755 | 267500 | 24878 | 161771 | 15045 |
| 2 | 0.4306 | 59254 | 7703 | 193943 | 25213 | 193943 | 25213 | 153154 | 19910 |
| 3 | 0.6094 | 37655 | 5949 | 90133 | 14241 | 90133 | 14241 | 72198 | 11407 |
| 4 | 0.5454 | 45215 | 8048 | 112512 | 20027 | 112512 | 20027 | 95965 | 17082 |
| 5 | 0.5006 | 19304 | 3726 | 51295 | 9900 | 51295 | 9900 | 44145 | 8520 |
| 6 | 0.5907 | 4668 | 952 | 10944 | 2233 | 10944 | 2233 | 9250 | 1887 |
| 7 | 0.6112 | 6373 | 1351 | 14570 | 3089 | 14570 | 3089 | 12265 | 2600 |
| 8 | 0.7313 | 681 | 152 | 1372 | 306 | 1372 | 306 | 1128 | 251 |
| $9+$ | 0.7313 | 1167 | 268 | 2350 | 541 | 2350 | 549 | 1931 | 444 |
| Total |  | 179258 | 28610 | 1012121 | 125304 | 744621 | 100426 | 551807 | 77147 |
| Unit | - | Thousands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes |

```
Notes: Run name : SPRJMO3
    Date and time : 16APR96:11:00
    Computation of ref. F: Simple mean, age 2 - 7
    Prediction basis : F factors
```

| Estimation of unexploited biomass: Celtic Sea herring |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Number | Biomass |  |  |  |
| Age | Number | mature | (t) | Maturity | M | weight |
| 1 | 445536 | 222768 | 20717 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.093 |
| 2 | 163904 | 163904 | 21307 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.130 |
| 3 | 121423 | 121423 | 19185 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.158 |
| 4 | 99413 | 99413 | 17695 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.178 |
| 5 | 89952 | 89952 | 17361 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.193 |
| 6 | 81392 | 81392 | 16604 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.204 |
| 7 | 73647 \| | 73647 | 156131 | 1 | 0.11 | 0.212 |
| 8 | 66638 | 66638 | 14860 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.223 |
| 9 | 60297 | 60297 | 13868 | 11 | 0.1 | 0.230 |
| 10 | 54559 | 54559 | 12549 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.230 |
| 11 | 49367 | 49367 | 11354 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.230 |
| 12 | 44669 | 44669 | \| 10274| | 1 1 | 0.1 | 0.230 |
| 13 | 40418 | 40418 | 9296 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.230 |
| 14 | 36572 | 36572 | 8412 | 1 | 0.11 | 0.230 |
| 15 | 33092 | 33092 | 7611 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.230 |
| 16 | 29942 | 29942 | 6887 | $1)$ | 0.1 | 0.230 |
| 17 | 27093 | 27093 | 6231 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.230 |
| 18 | 24515 | 24515 | 56381 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.230 |
| 19 | 22182 | 22182 | 5102 ! | 1 | 0.1 | 0.230 |
| 20 | 20071 | 20071 | 46161 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.230 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (1-9) | 1202201 | 979432.6 | 157212 |  |  |  |
| Total (1-15) | 1460877 | 1238109 | 216707 |  |  |  |
| Total (1-20) | 1584680 | 1361912 | 245182! |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of Virgin stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | age(1-9) | age(1-15) | age(1-20) |  |  |  |
| 20\% | 31442 | 43341 | 49036 |  |  |  |
| 33\% | 52404 | 72236 | 81727 |  | - |  |

Table 4.7.1 Estimation of unexploited biomass. Celtic Sea and Division VIIj herring.


Figure 4.1.1 The assessment covers the area Divisions VIlj and VIIg and that part of Division Vila below 52930 . TAC is set by EC for Divisions VIIg-k and that section of Division VIIa below 52\%.

Figure 4.2.1a : Distribution of herring catches. I quarter 1995. Total catch $=12964 \mathrm{~T}$ (Based on Irish, Norwegian, Dutch, German and U.K data).


Figure 4.2.1b : Distribution of herring catches. 2 quarter 1995. Total catch $=131+3 \mathrm{~T}$ (Based on Irish, Norwegian, Dutch, German and U.K data)


Figure 4.2.1c : Distribution of herring catches. 3 quarter 1995. Total catch $=50640 \mathrm{~T}$. (Based on Irish, Norwegian, Dutch, German and U.K data)


Figure 4.2.1d : Distribution of herring catches. 4 quarter 1995. Total catch $=39226 \mathrm{~T}$ (Based on Irish, Norwegian, Dutch, German and U.K data).
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Figure 4.2.3.1 Herring: Landings from the Celtic Sea and Division VIlj for the period 1958-1995
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Figure 4.4.3 (Cont'd)

|  | Catchability |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \triangle \text { Index Prediction }+/-\mathrm{sd} \quad \text { UPA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index Ualue } \\ \triangle \text { Index observation - Fitted Line } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll}  & 0.45 \\ \frac{7}{7} & \\ \frac{0}{0} & 0.19 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{ll}  & 0.45 \\ \frac{\pi}{2} & \\ \frac{y}{0} & 0.19 \\ \frac{0}{0} & \end{array}\right]$ |
|  |  |
| $\triangle$ Index nbseruation |  |

Figure 4.4.3 (Cont'd)


Figure 4.4.3 (Cont'd)


Figure 4.4.3 (Cont'd)


Figure 4.4.3 (Cont'd)


Figure 4.4.3 (Cont'd)

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
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Figure 4.4.3 (Cont'd)

| stock Numbers | Catchability |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\triangle$ Index Prediction + /- sd - UPA |  |
|  <br> $\triangle$ Index Observation |  $\qquad$ Index Obseruation |

Herring South and South West of Ireland (Celtic Sea + VIIj) 16-4-1996
Yield and Spawning Stock Biomass
Long term forecast


- Yield per recruit --- Biomass at spaw. time

Figure 4.6.1 Yield'recruit. Celtic Sea and Division VIIj herring.

Celtic Sea herring stock-recruit data: 1958-1991


Figure 4.7.1 Stock and recruitment relationship. Celtic Sea and Division VIIj herring.


Figure 4.7.2 Herring in the Celtic Sea. Summary results of medium-term projections for fishing mortality from 1996 to 2005 constrained equal to the fishing mortality estimate for 1995. Upper panel: landings, fishing mortality (mean over ages 2 to 7), recruitment, and stock size. Lower panel: Stock size and the probability that the stock may fall below the MBAL level of 50000 t . Solid line, 50 th percentile. dashed lines, 25 th and 75 th percentiles. Dotted line, 5 th and 95 th percentiles.


Figure 4.7.3. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Summary results of medium-term projections assuming a catch constraint of 20,000 t in each year from 1996 to 2005. Upper panel: landings, fishing mortality (mean over ages 2 to 7 ), recruitment, and stock size. Lower panel. Stock size and the probability that the stock may fall below the MBAL level of 50000 t . Solid line, 50 th percentile. dashed lines. 25 th and 75 th percentiles. Dotted line. 5 th and 95 th percentiles.


Fig. 4.7.4 Results of stochastic projection of Celtic Sea herring population at constant $F$, starting from ICA estimates of populations, $F$ and variance/covariances.
(a) Probability of SSB falling below MBAL of 50,000 by 10 th year:
(b) 50th percentile of SSB in 10th year of projection;

### 5.1 Division VIa (North)

### 5.1.1 ACFM Advice applicable to 1995 and 1996

ACFM advice in 1995 was that the stock was lightly exploited and was considered to be within safe biological limits, but the absolute level of stock size could not be calculated. This was largely on account of problems with catch reporting. The agreed precautionary TACs were 77000 t in 1995 and 83570 t in 1996.

### 5.1.2 The fishery

Estimated catches by participating nations for 1995 are given in Table 5.1.1. Reported catches were $66,262 \mathrm{t}$ after taking account of discards and reported unallocated catches, compared with the agreed TAC of 77000 t . This is the seventh year in succession in which the TAC was not reached, but the TACs have been increased for the last two years. Negative unallocated landings arise because of misreporting of catches taken in adjacent areas.

Continued difficulties with catch reporting exist, with many examples of vessels operating and landing herring catches distant from Division VIa(N) but reporting catches from that area. The problem is particularly acute during the peak months of the herring fishery around Shetland (August to October).

The fishery has reported a marked decrease in the abundance of 1 -ringed herring in coastal areas and in the Scottish sea lochs in recent years, which suggests that the local population may be more reliant than in recent years on recruitment of juveniles from the North Sea. Furthermore, there have been reports of populations of fish in spawning condition around December and January in the Barra - Stanton Banks area.

### 5.1.3 Catch in numbers at age

Age composition data for 1995 were available from Scotland (quarters 2, 3 and 4), the Netherlands (second and third quarters), Norway (in the second and third quarters) and Germany (in the third and fourth quarters). A summary of the agedistribution by fleet is shown in Figure 5.1.1, which shows that Scottish catches contain a larger proportion of 2-ringed fish (concomitant with the more coastal nature of that fishery). Norwegian and Dutch age-distributions were similar, but the German age-distribution was very different, with a predominance of ages older than 7 rings. Pending a verification of German age-readings, these were not used in the present analysis and German catches were treated as unsampled. Unsampled Scottish catches in quarter 2 were allocated to age by interpolation between quarter 1 and quarter 3. Catches by England and Wales were not sampled, but were assumed to have the same age-distribution as the Scottish fishery. Other unsampled catches were assumed to have the same age-distribution as the Dutch fishery.

The sampling effort used to derive the catch in numbers is summarised in Table 5.1.2., and the estimated catches in numbers at age are given in Table 5.1.3, including historical data to 1970.

### 5.1.4 Larvae surveys

Larvae surveys for this stock have been discontinued and no new information is available since 1994. As the larval survey indices of abundance will again be used in the assessment the available information has been reproduced in Table 5.1.4. for convenience. Details of the survey are given in the 1994 report of the Working Group (Anon. 1994).

### 5.1.5 Acoustic survey

Historical acoustic survey information documented in the 1994 Working Group report have been used. The time series has been updated to include information from the most recent survey (Table 5.1.5).

An acoustic survey of Division VIa (N) was completed from 8 to 28 July 1995 using a chartered fishing vessel. Prior to 1994, a single unstratified transect design was used for the surveys. In 1994, this was changed to a two-level stratified design, in order to reflect perceptions of fish aggregation observed in previous years. In 1995 three levels of stratification were introduced (Transect spacing $=4,7.5$ or $15 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{m}$.), and chosen to reflect perceptions of historical stock abundance in the area from 1992 to 1994. Prior analyses have shown that the stock size estimate is highly sensitive to a small number of observations of very dense shoals. Survey precision should therefore be improved substantially if more survey effort can be allocated to areas of high fish abundance.

Forty-three trawl hauls were shot on the echo traces, of which 16 captured more than 100 herring. As expected from previous surveys, 4 and 8 -ring herring were abundant in the samples, but 3 -ring herring were also numerous. The agestructure of the stock is consistent with that observed in the 1992-1994 surveys. Fewer problems in allocating echo-traces to species were encountered than in the 1994 survey, when Norway pout/herring species mixtures were frequently found. Echo-traces were allocated among the following categories, where the percentage in brackets indicates the contribution by number of each category to the biomass estimate.

1. Herring ( $79 \%$ of estimate)
2. Likely to be herring ( $21 \%$ of estimate)
3. Unlikely to be herring (would add $6 \%$ to stock estimate if included)
4. Known not be herring (Not calculated)

The spawning biomass of the stock was estimated to be $452,000 \mathrm{t}$ compared with $600,430 \mathrm{t}$ in 1994 and $893,600 \mathrm{t}$ in 1993. However, it is thought that the 1993 survey returned an exceptionally high stock estimate, possibly on account of a strongly contagious distribution. The spatial distribution of the herring stock found in the survey is shown in Figures 2.4.1. and 2.4.2.

In fitting the age-structured models to the survey data it was again assumed that $40 \%$ of annual mortality had been incurred before the surveys. This figure was calculated by assuming that natural mortality is constant throughout the year, and that fishing mortality can be apportioned in the ratio of seasonal catches in 1993.

### 5.1.6 Recruitment

New estimates of the arithmetic mean catch rate of 2-ring herring in West Scotland Groundfish surveys in statistical rectangles 47E4-E6, 46E4-E6, 45E3-E4 and 44E3-E4 for 1995 and 1996 are given in Table 5.1.6 together with historical data.

Use of the index in the assessment model was investigated by the Working Group in 1995. It was found that due to a very strongly non-linear relationship between recruitment and survey index, the index was a very poor estimator of population size, and its use in the assessment model was rejected. The index values will continue to be reported by the Working Group, however, as they may provide some qualitative indication of exceptionally weak or strong incoming year-classes. The 1995 and 1996 values do not indicate that the corresponding year classes are either exceptionally large or exceptionally small.

### 5.1.7 Mean weight at age

Weight at age data from the 1995 fishery were available from Scotland, Germany, Norway and the Netherlands. For reasons noted in Section 5.1.3 the German information was not used. Mean estimates weighted by the reported catches in number are given in Table 5.1.7 together with comparable historic information. Mean weights at age in the last three years of the catches and of the acoustic surveys are also given in the last columns of Table 5.1.7.

### 5.1.8 Data exploration and preliminary modelling

As in previous years, a range of different models have been fitted separately in order to examine the dependence of the fitted population parameters on prior assumptions about survey catchability models. Here we extend this analysis to include a short investigation of the sensitivity of the assessment to different assumptions about the use of catch information in the models.

The survey data and models tested were:

1. Acoustic surveys used as absolute estimates of stock size
2. Acoustic surveys used as proportionate indices of stock size
3. Larval abundance indices used as proportionate indices of stock size
4. Larval abundance indices used as power indices of stock size
5. The 'baseline' assessment used by the 1995 Working Group, based on model components (2) and (4) above included with equal weight.

In the 1995 Working Group report (Anon. 1995d) it was indicated that there were substantial reasons to believe that widespread and large scale misreporting of North Sea catches as West of Scotland catches occurs. Such catches were typically reported from the area immediately west of the administrative boundary between Division VIa and Division IVa
which runs along the $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ meridian. An assessment model was fitted for comparative purposes by excluding catches reported from the area between $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. Catches reported from the area between $4^{\circ}$ and $5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ were removed from the analysis, and catches in number were decremented by the appropriate proportions (Table 5.1.8.). Recent discussions with industry representatives and with pelagic fishery enforcement officers lend credence to the belief that by excluding these catch reports, a much better representation of the true catches is likely to be achieved. However, by the very nature of the problem it is not possible to define a reliable criterion for reallocating misreported catches. In consequence, it was decided to fit the assessment models to (1) the reported catches and (2) the catches adjusted by excluding catches reported between $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, for comparative purposes.

Results are shown in summary form in Figure 5.1.2. This shows that:

- All model configurations indicate a low fishing mortality in 1995, with all estimates below 0.2 and the highest upper 95 percentile being lower than 0.3 . The Working Group can report with some confidence that the stock is lightly exploited.
- Adjusting the catches for misreporting leads to even lower estimates of fishing mortality, being below 0.1. At such levels, fishing mortality is hardly detectable.
- The 'baseline' model formulation used by the working group in 1995, based on the LAI index used as a power index of stock size together with the acoustic survey used as a proportionate index of stock size, appears still to be appropriate. The model estimates of fishing mortality are consistent.

A new assessment model (referred to as a Missing Catch Model, MCM) was available to the Working Group that allowed an assessment calculation to be made for the case where no catch information is available (Section 1.5.1). This model was fitted with the assumption that the acoustic estimate of biomass is no more precise than the larval survey biomass estimate, and that the estimates of the proportions at age in the acoustic survey are no more precise than the proportions at age in the sampling of the catches (Eqns 1.5.2.4 and 1.5.2.5). This restriction is necessary as there are too few acoustic survey observations to permit reliable estimation of the corresponding variances. Fitting this model allows an independent evaluation to be made of likely misreported catches. In order to examine the consequences of assumptions made about the catches, summary results of three model fits are given in Figure 5.1.3. The models fitted are:

- The 'baseline' model formulation, using reported catches
- The 'baseline' model formulation, using adjusted catches
- The 'Missing Catch' model, using the same assumptions about the surveys as the 'baseline' model, but including no information about landings. Information on the proportions at age in the catches derived from biological sampling of the catch are included in this model.

Figure 5.1.3. shows rather clearly that similar population parameters are estimated either by using the 'MCM' fit or by assuming that catches reported between $4^{\circ}$ and $5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ are misreported. The MCM fit indicates that catches may have been even somewhat lower than the catch estimates after area reallocation, suggesting that some misreporting from areas other than the $4^{\circ}$ to $5^{\circ}$ area. Overall, however, the MCM fit suggests that the practice of treating the catches reported from this area as misreported catches is consistent with the survey information and the biological catch sampling, and is therefore appropriate.

### 5.1.9 Stock Assessment

The 'baseline' assessment model fitted to the catch-at-age data adjusted for misreporting has been used as the reference model for the calculation of short- and medium-term projections. Discussions with industrial and administrative sectors have reinforced the belief that the catch adjustment procedure is appropriate, and the fit with the missing-catch model provides robust support that the catch adjustment procedure is in good agreement with other sources of information.

Defining the following variables,

| a,y - | age and year subscripts |
| :--- | :--- |
| C | Catch in number at age and year |
| C' | Catch in number at age and year predicted by the structural model |
| SSB | Spawning stock size in the structural model |
| LAI | Larval abundance index |
| ACOUST | Acoustic survey estimates of abundance at age |


| N | Population abundance in the structural model |
| :--- | :--- |
| SSB | Spawning stock biomass in the structural model |
| Q LAI $^{\text {LAI }}$ | Coefficient of proportionality for larvae survey estimates of stock abundance |
| Q $_{\text {ACU }}$ | Coefficient of proportionality for acoustic survey estimates of stock abundance |
| K | Power coefficient for the LAI estimate of stock abundance |
| $\lambda$ | Weighting factor $=0.1$ for age 1 and 1 for all other ages. |
| $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ | Parameters of the Beverton-Holt stock recruit relationship |

The assessment model was fitted by a least-squares minimisation of:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{a, y}\left(\log \left(C_{a, y}\right)-\log \left(C_{a, y}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}+ \\
\sum_{y}\left(\log \left(Q_{L A I} S S B_{y}^{K}\right)-\log \left(L A I_{y}\right)\right)^{2}+ \\
\lambda_{a} \sum_{a, y}\left(\log \left(Q_{A C U, a} N_{a, y}^{*}\right)-\log \left(A C O U S T_{a, y}\right)\right)^{2}+ \\
0.01 \sum_{y}\left(\log \left(N_{l, y+2}\right)-\log \left(\frac{a_{S S B_{y}}}{b+S S B_{y}}\right)\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

This is the same assessment model as that used by the Working Group in 1994 and in 1995. Detailed results of this assessment are given in Table 5.1.9. and in Figures 5.1.3-5.1.15.

Salient points of the assessment are:

1. Fishing mortality in 1995 was very low, and in the range 0.08 to 0.14 (Parameter $95 \%$ C.I.s)
2. Catches of 2 ringers were unusually large in 1995.
3. 1-ringers are still highly variable in the acoustic index.
4. and 5-ringers are unusually abundant.
5. Assumptions of lognormality in the index observations are not demonstrably violated.
6. Fishing mortality is estimated to have followed a declining trend since 1986.

The assessment merits further comment. There has been a large catch of 2-ringers included in the catch at age matrix, and this has translated into a perception of increased selection at this age. This is not necessarily the case. Catches from the North Sea are presently dominated by 2 -ringers, and it is possible that due to the misreporting problem there has been some confusion about the origin of samples. It is likely that in some cases a North Sea age-structure has been used to allocate catches to age for the Division VIa(N) stock. Therefore, the apparent change in selection may be due entirely to sampling problems.

In the present assessment, the estimated fishing mortality is below the assumed natural mortality at all ages. This means the assessment is very strongly dependent on the assumed value of $M$, and provides only limited information on the state of the stock. It is suggested that the assessment be treated as an indication that the stock is very lightly exploited. Quantitative estimates of fishing mortality provided here are likely to be of limited value.

### 5.1.10 Short-term projections

Conventional short term catch projections were calculated on the following basis:

- Fishing mortality in $1996=$ Fishing mortality in 1995
- Starting populations on 1 January $1996=$ Population model estimates, except for age 2 for which a geometric mean of population abundance from 1985 to 1994; also used for 1997 recruitment.
- Historic mean weights at age from 1993 to 1995 were used for both the stock weights and the catch weights.
- The exploitation pattern used for the projections was that estimated by the population model, and fishing mortality in 1995 was used as a reference value for the projections.

Input data for the projections are given in Table 5.1.10, and the consequences of fishing at different levels of fishing mortality (in terms of catch and spawning biomass) are given in Table 5.1.11.

At recent levels of fishing mortality, status quo catches are predicted to be of the order of $30,000 \mathrm{t}$.

### 5.1.11 MBAL and Stock-Recruit considerations

Considerations of an appropriate level for the minimum biologically acceptable level of spawning stock size are strongly dependent on perceptions of the dependence of recruitment on spawning stock. Although a Beverton-Holt relationship was included in the assessment model fit for precautionary purposes, alternative stock-recruit relationships have been considered further here. Treating fitted population estimates from 1970 to 1995 as observations, Ricker and Beverton-Holt stock-recruit relations were fitted by non-linear regression (assuming lognormal observation error). Inclusion of an autocorrelated error term was explored, but was not found to improve the fits ( F test, $\mathrm{P}>0.25$ ) noticeably. A summary of results is given in Table 5.1.12, and diagnostic plots for the two models are given in Figure 5.1.16. Neither stock-recruit model fitted better to the observations than a simple geometric mean recruitment ( F test, $\mathrm{P}=0.25$ ); hence the dependence of recruitment on the spawning stock in Division VIa(N) cannot be quantified.

There could be some immigration of fish into Division VIa( N ) from the North Sea. However, a scatterplot of Division $\mathrm{VIa}(\mathrm{N})$ recruitment on recruitment to the North Sea stock fails to provide convincing evidence for such dependence (Figure 5.1.17.). As a dependence of recruitment on either the spawning stock in Division VIa(N) or on North Sea recruitment could not be quantified, stock-recruit considerations cannot be used in order to define an MBAL. Instead, as a precautionary measure it is proposed to adopt the criterion used for the North Sea and for the Celtic Sea stock, which is to define the MBAL as one-third of the unexploited stock size.

Unexploited stock size cannot be calculated directly but was approximated by calculating the equilibrium stock size for zero fishing mortality under the following conditions:

- Expected recruitment is calculated as $\mathrm{R} \cdot \exp \left(\sigma^{2} / 2\right)$ where R represents the geometric mean recruitment from 1976 to 1994 and $\sigma^{2}$ represents the variance of $\ln$ (recruitment).
- Arithmetic mean weights at age in the stock, 1990 to 1995
- Assumed values of maturity and natural mortality as used by the Working Group.

This calculation is somewhat sensitive to the choice made about the treatment of fish older than 9 years. Excluding older fish leads to an estimate of unexploited stock size of some $485,000 \mathrm{t}$ (Table 5.1.13). In contrast, including fish without making any assumption about senescence leads to an estimate of unexploited stock size of $952,000 \mathrm{t}$. Clearly, this calculation, and hence the MBAL estimate, is strongly dependent on arbitrary assumptions about senescence. Pending further analysis of this problem, an MBAL corresponding only to the age-range currently included in stock assessments has been used, as population parameters are only reasonably known for this range of ages.

This calculation leads to an estimate of unexploited equilibrium stock size of some $485,000 \mathrm{t}$. Taking one-third of this level (by analogy with the North Sea herring MBAL calculation, Section 2.9) and rounding appropriately leads to an indicative MBAL of 160000 t . For comparison, estimates of historical stock size range from $67,000 \mathrm{t}$ to $310,000 \mathrm{t}$. The SSB in 1995 is estimated to be $367,000 \mathrm{t}$. Despite being below the MBAL for about five years in the 1970s, there was no apparent adverse effect on recruitment. Further work to address the problem of setting an appropriate MBAL for this stock is required.

### 5.1.12 Medium-term projections

Medium-term projections indicate a low risk for the stock if fishing continues at recent levels, but that if annual catches equal to the 1996 TAC were to be taken, the stock would decline steadily and would have a $50 \%$ chance of falling below the $160,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 2003.

The method used to calculate medium-term projections is as described by Anon. (1996). A Monte-Carlo method was used, with a conventional stock projection being used for each iteration. Projections were either TAC-constrained or Fconstrained. The generation of pseudo-data sets for the projections was performed separately for the population parameters derived from the stock assessment and for the generation of future recruitments.

Population parameters (vector of abundance at age in 1995, fishing mortality at reference age in 1995, selection at age) were drawn from a multivariate normal distribution with mean equal to the values estimated in the stock assessment model (Section 5.1.9), and with covariance as estimated in the same model fit. Pseudo-recruitments for subsequent years were generated by calculating a simple geometric mean recruitment because of the failure to identify a usable stock-recruit relationship and resampling randomly from the residuals according to a conventional non-parameteric bootstrap method.

The 'ICPROJ' version 2 program was used to implement the calculations.

Weights at age in the catch and in the stock, maturity ogives and natural mortality were as given in Section 5.1.10. Two scenarios were examined: (1) Exploitation at recent levels of fishing mortality, and (2) exploitation at the level of the 1996 TAC, put to $83,570 \mathrm{t}$. In both cases fishing mortality in 1996 was constrained at its estimated value for 1995 . These two projections indicate a very slow increase in stock size for fishing at the 1995 fishing mortality, but a rather rapid stock decline if catches equal to the 1996 TAC were removed from the stock each year (Figures 5.1.18 and 5.1.19).

### 5.1.13 Risk Analysis

A calculation of the probability that the stock will fall below the $160,000 \mathrm{t}$ level after ten years' exploitation at different levels of fishing mortality is given in Figure 5.1.20. This suggests that if the stock is to remain above the 160000 t level (with greater than $50 \%$ probability) by 2005 , then fishing mortality should not exceed 0.3 . This value is a similar value to that proposed as a target fishing mortality for the North Sea stock.

### 5.1.14 Long-term Yield

A calculation of yield per recruit and spawning biomass per recruit is given in Figure 5.1.21 for reference purposes.

### 5.1.15 Consistency of Assessments

It is not possible to calculate an informative retrospective analysis for this stock, as the assessments are heavily dependent on a short time-series of acoustic survey data. Thus, deleting recent data leaves a data set which is too small for a comparable analysis to be calculated. A summary of estimates of fishing mortality made in recent assessments shows that there has been a marked downwards revision in the fishing mortality estimate in this year's assessment (Figure 5.1.22). This is clearly due to the new perception that catches from this stock in recent years have probably been about half of the reported levels.

### 5.1.16 Uncertainty in the assessment

Parametric uncertainty in the stock assessment (i.e. uncertainty calculated assuming the assessment model is correctly specified) indicates a range in stock size for 1996 between $220,000 \mathrm{t}$ and $520,000 \mathrm{t}$ with $90 \%$ confidence. Estimates of the $90 \%$ interval for the fishing mortality at reference age in 1996 are from 0.05 to 0.14 . The assessment is subject to additional uncertainty due to possible errors in the reallocation of catches that are assumed to be misreported, but the fit of the 'Missing Catch Model' suggests that such errors are unlikely to change the perception of the stock parameters very much. Errors in the allocation of samples to stock may introduce more uncertainty, but the extent of such errors cannot be quantified at present.

### 5.1.17 Management Considerations

The assessment calculation presented here indicates that this is a very lightly exploited stock. The modelling approaches used here indicate that continued fishing at recent levels is likely to result in catches around $30,000 \mathrm{t}$, and to present little risk of a stock decline. If, however, catches equal to the 1996 TAC were to be fished from this stock rather than misreported from adjacent areas, the stock is estimated to have a $5 \%$ chance of falling below the 160000 t level by 1998, $25 \%$ by 2000 and $50 \%$ by 2002 . If the stock is to have a better than $50 \%$ probability of remaining above the $160,000 \mathrm{t}$ level by 2005 , fishing mortality should not exceed 0.3 .

### 5.2 Clyde Merring

### 5.2.1 Advice and management applicable to 1995 and 1996.

Management of herring in the Clyde is complicated by the presence of two virtually indistinguishable stocks; a resident spring-spawning population and the immigrant autumn-spawning component. In recent years management strategies have been directed towards rebuilding the highly depleted spring-spawning component to historical levels.

The measures which remain in force in order to protect the indigenous spring-spawning stock are:

- A complete ban on herring fishing from 1 January to 30 April.
- A complete ban on all forms of active fishing from 1 February to 1 April on the Ballantrae Bank spawning grounds. to protect the demersal spawn and prevent disturbance of the spawning shoals.
- The TAC in 1995 was maintained at the same level as in recent years $(1,000 \mathrm{t})$.


### 5.2.2 The fishery in 1995

Annual landings to 1995 are presented in Table 5.2.1. Landings in 1995 were 392 t which follows the general decline in recent years. Most of the landings were taken by pair trawlers in the directed fishery during October and November. A total of 40 t was taken as a by-catch in the demersal trawl fishery in the area throughout the year. The proportions of spring and autumn spawners in these landings could not be estimated.

Sampling levels in the fishery have remained high and are well above recommended levels (Table 5.2.2).
An index of effort (E) has been calculated for comparison with previous years as follows:

$$
E=E_{p} \cdot L / L_{p}
$$

where $E_{p}=$ days absent by pair trawlers.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}=\text { total landings in } \mathrm{t} . \\
& \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}=\text { landings by pair trawlers in } \mathrm{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Effort in 1995 continued the downward trend of recent years and was much less than half that recorded in 1993 (Table 5.2.3).

### 5.2.3 Weight at age and stock composition

The catch in numbers at age in 1995 is given in Table 5.2.4. The anomalous age distribution, with high numbers of 5 ringers, mentioned in previous reports (Anon., 1994, 1995d) was not a feature in 1995. The catch of 2 ringers was the highest since 1989 confirming the indication of an improved recruitment of the 1993 year class. However it is not possible to determine whether this reflects either improved spring spawner or autumn spawner recruitment. The abundance in the catch of the 3 ringers and older has declined since 1994.

Weights at age are given in Table 5.2.5. Mean weights in the stock have not been available from research vessel surveys since 1991; therefore the weights in the stock used are the weights at age in the catches. Weights at age in previous years are as used by the Working Group in 1994.

Once again no attempt has been made to apportion catches between spring and autumn-spawning stocks for 1995. The landings data show that the fishery has been directed at aggregations of autumn-spawning fish, with $89 \%$ of the catch taken in the last quarter and virtually all of the remainder taken throughout the year as by-catch in the demersal trawl fishery.

### 5.2.4 Surveys

No demersal egg surveys on the Ballantrae Bank and Brown Head spawning sites, no acoustic surveys in the Clyde and no spring trawl surveys were carried out in 1995. Historical estimates from these surveys are tabulated in Anon. (1995d).

### 5.2.5 Stock Assessment

The structure of the stock in the Clyde remains uncertain. No survey data are available from recent years; therefore no analytical assessment could be attempted.

### 5.2.6 Stock and catch projections

In the absence of an analytical assessment no stock projections can be provided.

### 5.2.7 Management considerations

The management of this fishery is made difficult by the presence of a mixture of a severely depleted spring-spawning component and autumn spawners from Division VIa south. The management objectives for these two components are necessarily distinct. The absence of fishery independent data from surveys further compounds the problem.

Historically the spring spawning stock supported a fishery with catches up to $15,000 \mathrm{t}$ per year in the 1960's. Landings generally began to decline through the 1970's and 1980's with a rapid decline in effort during the late 1980's up to the present time. A TAC was first set in 1984 ( $3,000 \mathrm{t}$.) increasing to a maximum of $3,500 \mathrm{t}$ in 1987 subsequently decreasing to $1,000 \mathrm{t}$ by 1993. Estimated catches, including discards, exceeded the TAC for the first four years. This was followed by a decline in catches to 1990. In 1991 there was a dramatic drop in both landings and effort and since then landings have fluctuated at well below $1,000 \mathrm{t}$.

The spring spawning stock shows no signs of a recovery to its former high level prior to 1970. In the absence of surveys and no stock separation of the catches, nothing is currently known about the state of the spring spawning stock. All the management measures currently in force need to remain. Catches should be reduced to as low a level as possible and an attempt should be made to apportion those catches to spring and autumn spawning components.

### 5.2.8 Future research requirements

Provision of some fishery independent survey data for this area is necessary before an analytic assessment of the stock can be provided.

Further research is required to improve the understanding of the stock structure in the Clyde and in particular to attempt to apportion landings to spring and autumn spawners. If current management advice is required for the spring spawning stock, the otolith structure technique, described by Mosegaard and Madsen (W.D. 1996) for separating spring-spawners from autumn spawners in the Baltic, should be investigated.

Table 5.1.1. HERRING in Division VIa (North). Catch in tonnes by country, 1981-1995. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Country | - | - | 96 | - | - | - |
| Denmark | 74 | 834 | 954 | 104 | 400 | - |
| Faroes | 2069 | 1313 | - | 20 | 18 | 136 |
| France | 8453 | 6283 | 5564 | 5937 | 2188 | 1711 |
| Germany | - | - | - | - | 6000 | 6800 |
| Ireland | 11317 | 20200 | 7729 | 5500 | $5160^{2}$ | $5212^{2}$ |
| Netherlands | 10018 | 7336 | 6669 | 4690 | 4799 | 4300 |
| Norway | 90 | - | - | - | - | - |
| UK England | 38381 | 31616 | 37554 | 28065 | 25294 | 26810 |
| UK Scotland | 18958 | -4059 | 16588 | 502 | $37840^{2}$ | $18038^{2}$ |
| Unallocated | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Discards |  |  | 11270 | 4819 | 8987 | 18902 |
| Misreported(*) | 92360 | 63523 | 63864 | 38994 | 71078 | 44105 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Country | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Faroes | - | - | 326 | 482 | - | - |
| France | 44 | 1342 | 1287 | 1168 | 119 | 818 |
| Germany | 1860 | 4290 | 7096 | 6450 | 5640 | 4693 |
| Ireland | 6740 | 8000 | 10000 | 8000 | 7985 | 8236 |
| Netherlands | 6131 | 5680 | 7693 | 7979 | 8000 | 6132 |
| Norway | 456 | - | 1607 | 3318 | 2389 | 7447 |
| UK Eng. \& Wales | 1892 | 1977 | 2376 | 2998 | 3327 | 2965 |
| UK Scotland | 25002 | 27897 | 35877 | 29630 | 29403 | 29637 |
| Unallocated | $5229{ }^{2}$ | $2123{ }^{2}$ | 2397 | -10597 | -5 485 | -3753 |
| Discards | - | 1550 | 1300 | 1180 | 200 | 820 |
| Misreported(*) | 11839 | 19094 | 25185 | 18218 | 22697 | 24155 |
| Total | 35516 | 33945 | 44774 | 32388 | 28888 | 32020 |
| Country | 1994 | 1995 |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Faroes | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| France | 274 | 3672 |  |  |  |  |
| Germany | 5087 | 3733 |  |  |  |  |
| Ireland | 7938 | 3548 |  |  |  |  |
| Netherlands | 6093 | 7808 |  |  |  |  |
| Norway | 8183 | 4840 |  |  |  |  |
| UK Eng. \& Wales | 3511 | 5375 |  |  |  |  |
| UK Scotland | 27165 | 37286 |  |  |  |  |
| Unallocated | -3 587 | -4541 |  |  |  |  |
| Discards | 700 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Misreported(*) | 30089 | 32468 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 24619 | 33794 |  |  |  |  |

Discards are included in national catches.
$\left(^{*}\right)$ Catches assumed misreported are catches reported from the area between $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. They are not included in the catch totals, but are included in the catches by country.

Table 5.1.2 HERRING in Division VIa (North), 1993. Sampling intensity of commercial catches.

| Country | Catch in <br> tonnes | No. of <br> samples | No. of age <br> readings | No. of fish <br> measured | Estimate of <br> discards |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| France | 3672 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NONE |
| Germany* | 3733 | 6 | 537 | 22297 | NONE |
| Ireland | 3548 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NONE |
| Netherlands | 7808 | 8 | 200 | 890 | YES |
| Norway | 4840 | 2 | 447 | 447 | NONE |
| UK (England and Wales | 5375 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NONE |
| UK (Scotland) | 37286 | 9 | 808 | 1810 | NONE |

* Samples not used due to anomalous age-readings

Table 5.1.3. Estimated catches at age of herring in Area Vla(N).

| Rings | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 238738 | 169947 | 801663 | 51170 | 309016 | 172879 | 69053 | 34836 | 22525 | 392 |
| 2 | 205454 | 372615 | 804097 | 235627 | 124944 | 202087 | 319604 | 47739 | 46284 | 225 |
| 3 | 359711 | 560348 | 219502 | 808267 | 151025 | 89066 | 101548 | 95834 | 20587 | 122 |
| 4 | 139718 | 357745 | 63069 | 131484 | 519178 | 63701 | 35502 | 22117 | 40692 | 31 |
| 5 | 53320 | 113391 | 85920 | 63071 | 82466 | 188202 | 25195 | 10083 | 6879 | 21 |
| 6 | 203462 | 54571 | 37341 | 54642 | 49683 | 30601 | 76289 | 12211 | 3833 | 12 |
| 7 | 29141 | 181592 | 13377 | 18242 | 34629 | 12297 | 10918 | 20992 | 2100 | 7 |
| 8 | 32860 | 18042 | 100938 | 6506 | 22470 | 13121 | 3914 | 2758 | 6278 | 2 |
| $9+$ | 30651 | 36395 | 20465 | 32223 | 21042 | 13698 | 12014 | 1486 | 1544 | 0 |


| Rings | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 12867 | 36740 | 13304 | 81923 | 2961 | 45663 | 38943 | 27645 | 2273 | 9690 |
| 3 | 1335 | 77961 | 250010 | 77810 | 253291 | 77063 | 178714 | 93679 | 158832 | 57305 |
| 4 | 452 | 105600 | 72179 | 92743 | 66857 | 166112 | 99264 | 64575 | 55529 | 170687 |
| 5 | 246 | 61341 | 93544 | 29262 | 46963 | 19269 | 137077 | 45488 | 37815 | 29497 |
| 6 | 62 | 21473 | 58452 | 42535 | 20057 | 17027 | 21723 | 71188 | 26292 | 28228 |
| 7 | 43 | 12623 | 23580 | 27318 | 15250 | 7422 | 20759 | 11973 | 37993 | 11830 |
| 8 | 40 | 11583 | 11516 | 14709 | 12478 | 7731 | 2973 | 10378 | 4327 | 23400 |
| $9+$ | 3 | 1309 | 13814 | 8437 | 5940 | 3720 | 16177 | 4982 | 2956 | 2529 |
|  | 1 | 1326 | 4027 | 8484 | 2629 | 2450 | 2273 | 8498 | 3140 | 5463 |


| Rings | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 22374 | 46826 | 9346 | 41169 | 3863 | 542 |
| 3 | 75241 | 40824 | 43538 | 147513 | 81712 | 167365 |
| 4 | 63832 | 44755 | 44344 | 30400 | 89846 | 61910 |
| 5 | 116270 | 50048 | 42228 | 18642 | 13428 | 43324 |
| 6 | 41512 | 66554 | 38818 | 24045 | 16616 | 10948 |
| 7 | 20826 | 24007 | 60262 | 27464 | 18109 | 8564 |
| 8 | 15463 | 13449 | 11301 | 36129 | 23505 | 17933 |
| $9+$ | 33585 | 12226 | 7681 | 8839 | 27178 | 16234 |
|  | 8644 | 7904 | 9805 | 13825 | 22814 | 19933 |

Table 5.1.4. HERRING in Division VIa (North). Larvae abundance indices (Numbers in billions), larvae mortality rates ( $\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{K}$ ), fecundity estimate ( $10^{5} \mathrm{eggs} / \mathrm{g}$ ). LPE Biomass estimate in thousands of tonnes.

| Year | LAI | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 10\% Trim } \\ \text { LAI } \end{array}$ | Z/K | LPE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Larvae | Fecundity | SSB |
| 1973 | 2442 | 46.49 | 0.74 | 318 | (1.39) | 229 |
| 1974 | 1186 | 17.44 | 0.42 | 238 | (1.39) | 171 |
| 1975 | 878 | 22 | 0.46 | 157 | 1.46 | 108 |
| 1976 | 189 | 11.04 | - | 60 | 1.23 | 49 |
| 1977 | 787 | 25 | - | 223 | 1.49 | 150 |
| 1978 | 332 | 32.8 | - | 132 | 1.37 | 109 |
| 1979 | 1071 | 26.94 |  | 118 | 1.49 | 79 |
| 1980 | 1436 | 26.33 | 0.39 | 287 | 2.04 | 141 |
| 1981 | 2154 | 35.61 | 0.34 | 448 | 2.12 | 211 |
| 1982 | 1890 | 32.58 | 0.39 | 267 | 1.95 | 137 |
| 1983 | 668 | 24.55 | - | 112 | 1.88 | 60 |
| 1984 | 2133 | 45.99 | 0.57 | 253 | 1.75 | 145 |
| 1985 | 2710 | 50.03 | 0.37 | 418 | (1.86) | 225 |
| 1986 | 3037 | 45.36 | 0.24 | 907 | (1.86) | 488 |
| 1987 | 4119 | 45.47 | 0.53 | 423 | (1.86) | 227 |
| 1988 | 5947 | 75.13 | 0.47 | 781 | (1.86) | 420 |
| 1989 | 4320 | 82.68 | 0.40 | 752 | (1.86) | 404 |
| 1990 | 6525 | 86.2 | 0.64 | 426 | (1.86) | 229 |
| 1991 | 4430 | 63.06 | 0.60 | 632 | (1.86) | 340 |
| 1992 | 12252 | 41.79 | 0.66 | 463 | (1.86) | 248 |
| 1993 | 2941 | 65.01 | 0.56 | 538 | (1.86) | 289 |

Table 5.1.5. HERRING in Division VIa (North). Estimates of abundance from Scottish acoustic surveys. Thousands of fish at age, and spawning biomass (SSB, tonnes).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | 1987 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 249100 | 338312 | 74310 | 2760 | 494150 | 441240 |
| 2 | 578400 | 294484 | 503430 | 750270 | 542080 | 1103400 |
| 3 | 551100 | 327902 | 210980 | 681170 | 607720 | 473220 |
| 4 | 353100 | 367830 | 258090 | 653050 | 285610 | 450270 |
| 5 | 752600 | 488288 | 414750 | 544000 | 306760 | 152970 |
| 6 | 111600 | 176348 | 240110 | 865150 | 268130 | 187100 |
| 7 | 48100 | 98741 | 105670 | 284110 | 406840 | 169080 |
| 8 | 15900 | 89830 | 56710 | 151730 | 173740 | 236540 |
| 9 | 6500 | 58043 | 63440 | 156180 | 131880 | 201500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SSB: | $273000^{*}$ | 452000 | 351460 | 866190 | 533740 | 452120 |

[^0]Table 5.1.6. HERRING in Division VIa(North). Scottish bottom trawl survey indices of 2-ringed herring catch rates. Mean catches per hour's trawling.

| Trawl survey |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Number of <br> Trawls | 2-ringer <br> index |
| 1981 | 9 | 1237 |
| 1982 | 10 | 2361 |
| 1983 | 12 | 11 |
| 1984 | 12 | 12456 |
| 1985 | 17 | 98 |
| 1986 | 12 | 359 |
| 1987 | 15 | 40 |
| 1988 | 19 | 15770 |
| 1989 | 15 | 1435 |
| 1990 | 16 | 46 |
| 1991 | 18 | 1242 |
| 1992 | 14 | 38 |
| 1993 | 13 | 836 |
| 1994 | 18 | 343 |
| 1995 | 16 | 3127 |
| 1996 | 15 | 3204 |

Table 5.1.7. HERRING in Division VIa (North). Mean weights at age (g).


Table 5.1.8. HERRING in VIa(N). Catches that are assumed misreported in the part of Division VIa(N) between $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, as a proportion of the total reported catches from Division VIa(N). Where available, the proportion of the stock found to be located in this area by the acoustic surveys is also given.

| Year | Proportion of VIa(N) Catch <br> reported East of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ <br> $(\%)$ | Proportion of VIa(N) Stock <br> reported East of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 15 |  |
| 1985 | 11 |  |
| 1986 | 13 |  |
| 1987 | 30 |  |
| 1988 | 25 |  |
| 1989 | 36 | 11.8 |
| 1990 | 36 | 1.1 |
| 1991 | 36 | 2.9 |
| 1992 | 44 | 18.7 |
| 1993 | 43 |  |
| 1994 | 55 |  |
| 1995 | 49 |  |

Table 5.1.9. HERRING in Division Vla(N). Results of baseline
ssment.


Table 5.1.9. (Contd.)

| Stock summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Recruits } \\ & \times 10^{\circ} 6 \end{aligned}$ | Total 8 tonnes | Spawn B tonnes | Landings tonnes | YId/SSB | Ref. $F$ <br> Fbar 3-6 |
| 1976 | 650. | 271747. | 101310. | 93642. | . 9243 | 1.0525 |
| 1977 | 661. | 175168. | 68536. | 41341. | . 6032 | . 9487 |
| 1978 | 971. | 185322. | 67296. | 22176. | . 3295 | . 6412 |
| 1979 | 1544. | 259875. | 104808. | 60. | . 0006 | . 0009 |
| 1980 | 939. | 290475. | 178230. | 306. | . 0017 | . 0016 |
| 1981 | 1699. | 400166. | 177561. | 51420. | . 2896 | . 3089 |
| 1982 | 813. | 340349. | 161738. | 92361. | . 5711 | . 5459 |
| 1983 | 3457. | 501347. | 124070. | 63523. | . 5120 | . 5014 |
| 1984 | 1287. | 428487. | 225448. | 56012. | . 2484 | . 3466 |
| 1985 | 1397. | 431973. | 230543. | 39142. | . 1698 | . 2589 |
| 1986 | 1149. | 411089. | 214648. | 71345. | . 3324 | . 4185 |
| 1987 | 2867. | 524164. | 205626. | 44360. | . 2157 | . 2477 |
| 1988 | 1466. | 502337. | 289633. | 35591. | . 1229 | . 1911 |
| 1989 | 1119. | 482981. | 308391. | 34026. | . 1103 | . 1554 |
| 1990 | 736. | 442963. | 300700. | 44693. | . 1486 | . 1781 |
| 1991 | 783. | 409076. | 278371. | 28527. | . 1025 | . 1523 |
| 1992 | 1474. | 449549. | 267794. | 28992. | . 1083 | . 1116 |
| 1993 | 1522. | 449071. | 277063. | 31778. | . 1147 | . 1179 |
| 1994 | 956. | 409372. | 307322. | 24474. | . 0796 | . 0698 |
| 1995 | 44. | 362174. | 307174. | 29575. | . 0963 | . 0910 |

PARAMETER ESTIMATES +/- SD
Separable Model: Reference $F$ by year


| Sepable Model: Populations in year 1995 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 1 | 43833. | 26160. | 73446. |
| 14 | 2 | 348919. | 237964. | 511611. |
| 15 | 3 | 375018. | 269265. | 522307. |
| 16 | 4 | 259019. | 197136. | 340327. |
| 17 | 5 | 110875. | 86043. | 142874. |
| 18 | 6 | 80441. | 63821. | 101388. |
| 19 | 7 | 92847. | 74590. | 115573. |
| 20 | 8 | 107063. | 86405. | 132660. |
| Separable Model: | Populations at age 8 |  |  |  |
| 21 | 1990 | 158493.3843 | 111241.1440 | 225817.1029 |
| 22 | 1991 | 53429.4353 | 39782.4970 | 71757.8024 |
| 23 | 1992 | 47464.1821 | 36560.8300 | 61619.1861 |
| 24 | 1993 | 61350.1542 | 48463.6608 | 77663.1676 |
| 25 | 1994 | 176569.1753 | 143017.3476 | 217992.2518 |


| SSB Index catchabilities: Larval abundance Index |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | 1 | Power Model : 0 | $.59330 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $.49104 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 27 | 1 | Power Model : K | $-.13973 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-.16241 \mathrm{E}+02$ |

Age-structured index catchabilities
Age-Structured Index 1 : Acoutic Surveys in Division VIa(N)
Linear model fitted. Slopes at age:

| 28 | 10 | . $24671 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $65250 \mathrm{E}-01$ | .93277E+00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 20 | . 18172E+01 | . $11554 \mathrm{E}+01$ | .28580E+01 |
| 30 | 30 | .20790E+01 | . $13315 \mathrm{E}+01$ | . $32460 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 31 | 40 | .23887E+01 | .15393E+01 | . $37069 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 32 | 50 | .24541E+01 | . $15876 \mathrm{E}+01$ | . $37935 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 33 | 60 | .26280E+01 | . $17012 \mathrm{E}+01$ | .40599E+01 |
| 34 | 70 | .19913E+01 | . $12861 \mathrm{E}+01$ | . $30830 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 35 | 80 | . $16059 \mathrm{E}+01$ | . $10323 E+01$ | . $24983 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 36 | 90 | $46426 E+00$ | .29502E+00 | . $73058 \mathrm{E}+0$ |

Table 5.1.9. (contd.)
Parameters of the B. - H. stock-recruit relationship

| 37 | $.1129641 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $.2992676 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $.4264041 \mathrm{E}+07$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | a | $.1964745 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $.2728112 \mathrm{E}-41$ | $.1414979 \mathrm{E}+49$ |

RESIDUALS ABOUT THE MODEL FIT

## Separable Model Residuals: log(Observed Catch)-log(Expected Catch)) and weights (W) used in the analysis.

| Age | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | . $42651 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $11331 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -. $80540 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $32393 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $10164 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -.69388E-01 | . $10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2 | -.39119E+00 | $-.55503 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.27115 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $39939 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -.93830E-01 | .92334E+00 | . $10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 3 | $-.39519 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.31378 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.36218 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -.92001E-01 | $.54139 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -.83466E-01 | $.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 4 | . $12973 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $89076 \mathrm{E}-01$ | . $42068 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $49257 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -. 25845E+00 | .66483E-01 | . $10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 5 | . $32307 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. 18363E+00 | . $14680 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. 50226E-01 | . $23092 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $50173 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 6 | .76055E-01 | .45946E-01 | .23200E+00 | -. 10649E+00 | $.15029 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $44803 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 7 | -. $79512 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -.56202E-01 | -. $15816 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. 16763E+00 | .27987E+00 | .15796E+00 | . $10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 8 | -. $66894 \mathrm{E}-01$ | .29819E-01 | -. 26687E-01 | $-.24792 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.14585 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $31615 \mathrm{E}-01$ | . $10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| Wts | . 10000E+01 | $.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | . $10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |  |


| Idx | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -. 13077E+01 | . $81466 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. 15902E-01 | $.36647 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.28563 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $12654 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.16197 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.40599 E+00$ | $-.30841 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.10878 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.13234 E+00$ | . $51354 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $27088 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 1989 \\ .16048 E+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1990 \\ .29687 E+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1991 \\ .47009 E-01 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1992 \\ -.10000 \mathrm{E}+01 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1993 \\ -.35426 E+00 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.1.9. (Contd.)
Aged Index Residuals: $\log$ (Observed Index) - $\log (E x p e c t e d$ Index): Acoustic Survey

| Age | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -.63930E+00 |  |  |  | .96771E+00 | -. $11831 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -.45079E+01 | . $11428 \mathrm{E}+01$ | . $41559 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2 | -. 32276E-01 |  |  |  | -. $29606 E+00$ | . $15479 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. 80918E-01 | -. $46144 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $70299 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 3 | . $31183 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |  |  | -. $27867 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $33922 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $71959 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $46063 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -. $37954 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 4 | .47563E-01 |  | MISSING |  | -. $37344 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. 35309E+00 | $.93056 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. 23267E-01 | -. $24421 E+00$ |
| 5 | .72936E-01 |  |  |  | -. 45420E+00 | -. 52756E-01 | $.57301 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $34034 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. 50060E+00 |
| 6 | .48398E+00 |  |  |  | -. $26968 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.10002 E+01$ | . $82522 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. 70809E-02 | -. 46410E-01 |
| 7 | .17134E+00 |  |  |  | -. $10556 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $27049 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.34223 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.54532 E+00$ | -. 13233E-01 |
| 8 | $-.42831 E+00$ |  |  |  | .14220E+00 | -. $21445 E+00$ | . $51541 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $42403 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $39283 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 9 | $-.12903 E+01$ |  |  |  | -. $17343 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $14110 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $71999 \mathrm{E}+00$ | .44601E+00 | . $39570 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

[^1]Separable model fitted from 1990 to 1995
Variance
Skewness test statistic
Kurtosis test statistic
Partial chi-square
bability of chi-square
.2957
.6737
2.1624
.7195
1.0000
-.-jrees of freedom
PARAMETERS OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE SSB INDICES

DISTRIBUTION STATISTICS FOR In SSB INDEX 1
Power catchability relationship assumed. Last age is a plus-group.

| Variance | $:$ | .2332 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Skewness test statistic | $:$ | -1.7229 |
| Kurtosis test statistic | $:$ | 1.5933 |
| Partial chi-square | $\mathbf{. 5 2 2 0}$ |  |
| Probability of chi-square | 1.0000 |  |
| Number of observations | $:$ | 17 |
| Degrees of freedom | $:$ | 15 |
| Weight in the analysis | $:$ | 1.0000 |

DISTRIBUTION STATISTICS FOR In(ACOUSTIC SURVEY)


Total weighted SSQ is : 12.044

| Unweighted Resi | s About th Start SSO | $\begin{gathered} \text { odel fit } \\ \text { End Ssa } \end{gathered}$ | df | Varian |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Separable model: | 7.7467 | 6.8019 | 23 | . 2957 | 3.38139 |
| Biomass idx 1 | 4.6909772 | 3.5000769 | 15 | . 2333 | 1.26741 |
| Aged index 1 | 78.1062631 | 51.4821742 | 45 | 1.1440 | . 25850 |

Partition of the weighted residuals
Catch at Age Matrix: . $6802 \mathrm{E}+01$ for 48 observations.
SSB Index $1 \quad 3.50008 \quad 17$

Aged Index 1

| Age: |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wted SSQ: | $.4627 E+00$ | $.9181 E-01$ | $.1060 E+00$ | $.1325 E+00$ | $.1010 E+00$ | $.2212 E+00$ | $.5870 E-01$ | $.9438 E-01$ | $.2877 E+00$ |  |
| No data: | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |

Table 5.1.10. Herring in $\operatorname{VIa}(\mathrm{N})$. Input data for short-term deterministic predictions.

| Year: 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Stock <br> size | Natural <br> mortality | Maturity <br> ogive | Prop.of F <br> bef.spaw. | Prop.ofbef.spaw. <br> Weight <br> in stock | Exploit. <br> pattern | Weight <br> in catch |  |
| 2 | 465167.00 | 0.3000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 153.000 | 0.1143 | 137.000 |
| 3 | 230578.00 | 0.2000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 191.000 | 0.1021 | 168.000 |
| 4 | 277241.00 | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 209.000 | 0.0841 | 193.000 |
| 5 | 215459.00 | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 226.000 | 0.0878 | 200.000 |
| 6 | 91889.000 | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 237.000 | 0.0898 | 214.000 |
| 7 | 66533.000 | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 253.000 | 0.0889 | 225.000 |
| 8 | 76869.000 | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 272.000 | 0.0841 | 241.000 |
| $9+$ | 350700.00 | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 292.000 | 0.0841 | 269.000 |
| Unit | Thousands | - | - | - | - | Grams | - | Grams |


| Year: 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Recruit ment | Natural mortality | Maturity ogive | Prop. of $F$ bef.spaw. | Prop. of M bef.spaw. | Weight in stock | Exploit. pattern | Weight in catch |
| 2 | 465167.00 | 0.3000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 153.000 | 0.1143 | 137.000 |
| 3 | . | 0.2000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 191.000 | 0.1021 | 168.000 |
| 4 | . | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 209.000 | 0.0841 | 193.000 |
| 5 | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 226.000 | 0.0878 | 200.000 |
| 6 | . | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 237.000 | 0.0898 | 214.000 |
| 7 | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 253.000 | 0.0889 | 225.000 |
| 8 |  | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 272.000 | 0.0841 | 241.000 |
| $9+$ | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 292.000 | 0.0841 | 269.000 |
| Unit | Thousands | - | - | - | - | Grams | - | Grams |


| Year: 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Recruitment | Natural mortality | Maturity ogive | Prop.of $F$ bef.spaw. | Prop. of M bef.spaw. | Weight in stock | Exploit. pattern | Weight in catch |
| 2 | 465167.00 | 0.3000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 153.000 | 0.1143 | 137.000 |
| 3 | . | 0.2000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 191.000 | 0.1021 | 168.000 |
| 4 | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 209.000 | 0.0841 | 193.000 |
| 5 | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 226.000 | 0.0878 | 200.000 |
| 6 | . | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 237.000 | 0.0898 | 214.000 |
| 7 | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 253.000 | 0.0889 | 225.000 |
| 8 | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 272.000 | 0.0841 | 241.000 |
| $9+$ | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 292.000 | 0.0841 | 269.000 |
| Unit | Thousands | - | - | - | - | Grams | - | Grams |

[^2]Table 5.1.11. Herring in $\mathrm{Vla}(\mathrm{N})$. Management option table. Estimated effect on stock biomass of different levels of catches and fishing mortality on the stock..

| Year: 1996 |  |  |  |  | Year: 1997 |  |  |  |  | Year: 1998 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { F } \\ \text { Factor } \end{gathered}$ | Reference F | Stock biomass | Sp.stock biomass | Catch in weight | F Factor | Reference F | Stock biomass | Sp.stock biomass | Catch in weight | Stock biomass | Sp.stock biomass |
| $1.0000$ | $0.0910$ |  | 327058 | $28427$ | 0.6000 0.7000 0.8000 0.9000 1.0000 1.1000 1.2000 1.3000 1.4000 1.5000 1.6000 1.7000 1.8000 1.9000 2.0000 2.1000 2.2000 2.3000 2.4000 2.5000 2.6000 2.7000 2.8000 2.9000 3.0000 3.1000 3.2000 3.3000 3.4000 3.5000 3.6000 | 0.0546 <br> 0.0637 <br> 0.0728 <br> 0.0819 <br> 0.0909 <br> 0.1000 <br> 0.1091 <br> 0.1182 <br> 0.1273 <br> 0.1364 <br> 0.1455 <br> 0.1546 <br> 0.1637 <br> 0.1728 <br> 0.1819 <br> 0.1910 <br> 0.2001 <br> 0.2092 <br> 0.2183 <br> 0.2274 <br> 0.2365 <br> 0.2456 <br> 0.2547 0.2638 <br> 0.2729 <br> 0.2819 <br> 0.2910 <br> 0.3001 <br> 0.3092 <br> 0.3183 0.3274 | $398016$ | 347111 344958 342819 340693 338581 336482 334396 332323 330263 328216 326182 324161 322152 320156 318173 316202 314243 312297 310363 308441 306531 304634 302748 300874 299011 297161 295322 293494 291678 289873 288080 | $\begin{aligned} & 18092 \\ & 21012 \\ & 23905 \\ & 26772 \\ & 29613 \\ & 32428 \\ & 35217 \\ & 37981 \\ & 40720 \\ & 43434 \\ & 46123 \\ & 48788 \\ & 51429 \\ & 54045 \\ & 56638 \\ & 59207 \\ & 61753 \\ & 64276 \\ & 667766 \\ & 69253 \\ & 77708 \\ & 74140 \\ & 76551 \\ & 78940 \\ & 81307 \\ & 83652 \\ & 85976 \\ & 88280 \\ & 90562 \\ & 92824 \\ & 95065 \end{aligned}$ | 421815 418559 415334 412139 408974 405839 402733 399657 396609 393590 390600 387638 384703 381797 378917 376065 373239 370440 367668 364921 362200 359505 356835 354190 351570 348975 346404 343857 341334 338835 336359 | 368433 363275 358192 353184 348249 343387 338595 333874 329221 324636 320118 315666 311279 306956 302696 298498 294360 290283 2862 2823601 278404 274559 270769 267035 263354 259727 256152 252629 249157 245735 242363 |
| - | - | Tonnes | Tonnes | Tonnes | - | - | Tonnes | Tonnes | Tonnes | Tonnes | Tonnes |

[^3]Table 5.1.12 Summary of parameter estimates obtained on fitting Ricker and Beverton-Holt stock-recruit relationships. Models fitted to recruitment in thousands of fish and to spawning stock biomass in tonnes.

| Model Parameter | Estimate | Variance | d.f. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geometric Mean Recruitment |  | 0.2122 | 23 |
| Mean | 1297231 |  |  |
| Ricker: Recruits $=$ a.SSB. $\exp (-\mathrm{b} . \mathrm{SSB})$ |  | 0.2705 | 22 |
| a | 25.1126 |  |  |
| b | 2.903 . $10^{\wedge}-6$ |  |  |
| Beverton-Holt: Recruits=a.SSB/(1+SSB/b) |  | 0.2148 | 22 |
| b$29594.1$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 5.1.13. Herring in $\mathrm{VIa}(\mathrm{N})$. Calculation of equilibrium stock biomass for geometric mean recruitment and using Working Group assumptions about natural mortality and maturity ogives. Weights at age calculated from acoustic surveys.

| Age | Population | Natural Mortality | Weights at age | Maturity Ogive | Biomass | Spawning Biomass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter Rings | (Millions) |  | (Kg) |  | (Tonnes) | (Tonnes) |
| 1 | 1444 | 1 | 0.066 | 0 | 95292 | 0 |
| 2 | 531 | 0.3 | 0.154 | 1 | 82010 | 67077 |
| 3 | 393 | 0.2 | 0.195 | 1 | 76572 | 66969 |
| 4 | 322 | 0.1 | 0.213 | 1 | 68749 | 64294 |
| 5 | 292 | 0.1 | 0.230 | 1 | 67045 | 62701 |
| 6 | 264 | 0.1 | 0.239 | 1 | 63145 | 59052 |
| 7 | 239 | 0.1 | 0.254 | 1 | 60668 | 56736 |
| 8 | 216 | 0.1 | 0.271 | 1 | 58522 | 54730 |
| 9+ | 195 | 0.1 | 0.292 | 1 | 57135 | 53432 |
| Sum |  |  |  |  | 629138 | 484991 |

Proportion of Mortality before spawning: 0.67

Table 5.2.1 Catches of HERRING from the Firth of Clyde. Spring and autumn-spawners combined. Catch in tonnes by country, 1955-1995.

| Country | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scotland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other UK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unallocated ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agreed TAC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4,050 | 4,848 | 5,915 | 4,926 | 10,530 | 15,680 | 10,848 | 3,989 | 7,073 | 14,509 | 15,096 |


| Country | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scotland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other UK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unallocated ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agreed TAC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 9,807 | 7,929 | 9,433 | 10,594 | 7,763 | 4,088 | 4,226 | 4,715 | 4,061 | 3,664 | 4,139 |


| Country | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Scotland |  |  |  |  |  | 2,506 | 2,530 | 2,991 | 3,001 | 3,395 |
| Other UK |  |  |  |  |  | - | 273 | 247 | 22 | -895 |
| Unallocated ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 262 | 293 | 224 | 433 | 576 |
| Discards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,253 | 1,265 | $2,308^{3}$ |
| Agreed TAC |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1,344^{3}$ | $679^{3}$ | $439^{4}$ |  |
| Total | 4,847 | 3,862 | 1,951 | 2,081 | 2,135 | 4,021 | 4,361 | 5,770 | 4,800 | 4,650 |


| Country | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Scotland | 1,568 | 2,135 | 2,184 | 713 | 929 | 852 | 608 | 392 |  |
| Other UK | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Unallocated $^{1}$ | 110 | 208 | 75 | 18 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Discards | $245^{4}$ | -2 | -2 | -2 | -2 | -2 | -2 | -2 |  |
| Agreed TAC | 3,200 | 3,200 | 2,600 | 2,900 | 2,300 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 6 |
| Total | 1,923 | 2,343 | 2,259 | 731 | 929 | 852 | 608 | 392 |  |

[^4][^5]Table 5.2.2 Sampling levels of Clyde HERRING 1988-1995.

| Year | Reported catch <br> (tonnes) | No. of <br> samples | No. of fish <br> measured | No. of fish <br> aged | Discards |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 1988 | 1,568 | 41 | 5,955 | 2,574 | Based on local |
| 1989 | 2,135 | 45 | 8,368 | 4,152 | reports |
| 1990 | 2,184 | 37 | 5,926 | 3,803 | " |
| 1991 | 713 | 29 | 4,312 | 2,992 | " " |
| 1992 | 929 | 23 | 4,604 | 1,579 | No information |
| 1993 | 852 | 16 | 3,408 | 798 | No information |
| 1994 | 608 | 16 | 3,903 | 1,388 | No information |
| 1995 | 392 | 16 | 2,727 | 1,073 | No information |

Table 5.2.3 Effort on Clyde HERRING. Number of days' absence from port by pair trawlers in the Firth of Clyde, 1974 to 1995, and estimated total effort in pair trawl units.

| Year | Days absent Raised to total <br> (pair trawl) <br> landings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 1974 | 3376 | 3376 |
| 1975 | 3209 | 3209 |
| 1976 | 3016 | 3016 |
| 1977 | 4186 | 4186 |
| 1978 | 4379 | 4379 |
| 1979 | 2933 | 2933 |
| 1980 | 1982 | 1982 |
| 1981 | 1529 | 1529 |
| 1982 | 1755 | 1755 |
| 1983 | 1644 | 1644 |
| 1984 | 1401 | 1401 |
| 1985 | 1688 | 1688 |
| 1986 | 1375 | 1375 |
| 1987 | 850 | 998 |
| 1988 | 540 | 626 |
| 1989 | 582 | 639 |
| 1990 | 388 | 429 |
| 1991 | 169 | 254 |
| 1992 | 137 | 165 |
| 1993 | 194 | 224 |
| 1994 | 104 | 111 |
| 1995 | 79 | 89 |

Table 5.2.4 Clyde HERRING catch in numbers at age. Spring- and autumn-spawners combined. Thousands of fish.

|  | e(Ring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| 1 | 5008 | 2207 | 1351 | 9139 | 5308 | 12694 | 6194 | 1041 | 14123 | 507 |
| 2 | 7551 | 6503 | 8983 | 5258 | 8841 | 1876 | 10480 | 7524 | 1796 | 4859 |
| 31 | 10338 | 1976 | 3181 | 4548 | 2817 | 2483 | 913 | 6976 | 2259 | 807 |
| 4 | 8745 | 4355 | 1684 | 1811 | 2559 | 1024 | 1049 | 1062 | 2724 | 930 |
| 5 | 2306 | 3432 | 3007 | 918 | 1140 | 1072 | 526 | 1112 | 634 | 888 |
| 6 | 741 | 1090 | 1114 | 1525 | 494 | 451 | 638 | 574 | 606 | 341 |
| 7 | 760 | 501 | 656 | 659 | 700 | 175 | 261 | 409 | 330 | 289 |
| 8 | 753 | 352 | 282 | 307 | 253 | 356 | 138 | 251 | 298 | 156 |
| 9 | 227 | 225 | 177 | 132 | 87 | 130 | 178 | 146 | 174 | 119 |
| $9+$ | 117 | 181 | 132 | 114 | 59 | 67 | 100 | 192 | 236 | 154 |
| Age(Rings) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| 1 | 333 | 312 | 220 | 314 | 4156 | 1639 | 678 | 508 | 0 | 845 |
| 2 | 5633 | 2372 | 11311 | 10109 | 11829 | 2951 | 4574 | 1376 | 1062 | 1523 |
| 3 | 1592 | 2785 | 4079 | 5232 | 5774 | 4420 | 4431 | 3669 | 1724 | 9239 |
| 4 | 567 | 1622 | 2440 | 1747 | 3406 | 4592 | 4622 | 4379 | 2506 | 876 |
| 5 | 341 | 1158 | 1028 | 963 | 1509 | 2806 | 2679 | 3400 | 2014 | 452 |
| 6 | 204 | 433 | 663 | 555 | 587 | 2654 | 1847 | 1983 | 1319 | 252 |
| 7 | 125 | 486 | 145 | 415 | 489 | 917 | 644 | 1427 | 510 | 146 |
| 8 | 48 | 407 | 222 | 189 | 375 | 681 | 287 | 680 | 234 | 29 |
| 9 | 56 | 74 | 63 | 85 | 74 | 457 | 251 | 308 | 66 | 16 |
| $9+$ | 68 | 18 | 53 | 38 | 80 | 240 | 79 | 175 | 16 | 5 |

Age(Rings)

|  | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 716 | 42 | 145 | 3 | 399 | 118 |
| 2 | 1004 | 615 | 411 | 418 | 964 | 1425 |
| 3 | 839 | 472 | 493 | 261 | 964 | 186 |
| 4 | 7533 | 703 | 385 | 268 | 358 | 189 |
| 5 | 576 | 1908 | 1947 | 1305 | 534 | 149 |
| 6 | 359 | 169 | 333 | 327 | 319 | 130 |
| 7 | 329 | 92 | 91 | 78 | 76 | 66 |
| 8 | 119 | 113 | 69 | 111 | 57 | 35 |
| 9 | 49 | 22 | 32 | 38 | 16 | 15 |
| $9+$ | 16 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 17 | 1 |

Table 5.2.5 HERRING in the Firth of Clyde. Mean weights at age in the catch and stock (g).

| Age | Weight in |  | Weight | in the ca | catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (s)the stock (Spr spawn) |  | 1970-81 | 1982-85 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 102 |
| 2 | - | - | 225 | 149 | 166 | 149 | 156 | 149 | 170 | 143 | 141 | 141 | 92 | 151 |
| 3 | 171 | 173 | 270 | 187 | 199 | 194 | 194 | 174 | 186 | 163 | 187 | 174 | 157 | 174 |
| 4 | 195 | 218 | 290 | 228 | 224 | 203 | 207 | 203 | 202 | 188 | 188 | 198 | 184 | 201 |
| 5 | 210 | 215 | 310 | 253 | 253 | 217 | 211 | 221 | 216 | 192 | 216 | 213 | 212 | 226 |
| 6 | 210 | 245 | 328 | 272 | 265 | 225 | 222 | 227 | 237 | 198 | 227 | 216 | 249 | 241 |
| 7 | 234 | - | 340 | 307 | 297 | 236 | 230 | 235 | 234 | 210 | 206 | 229 | 248 | 249 |
| 8 | - | - | 345 | 291 | 298 | 247 | 225 | 237 | 234 | 222 | 218 | 261 | 240 | 252 |
| 9 | - | - | 350 | 300 | 298 | 255 | 244 | 219 | 257 | 200 | 201 | 233 | 249 | 242 |
| $10+$ | - | - | 350 | 300 | 321 | 258 | 230 | 254 | 272 | 203 | 221 | 254 | 294 | 270 |



Figure 5.1.1. Herring in VIa(N). Age-composition of commercial catches by fleet, together with the age-composition recorded in the commercial surveys. Proportion of fish at each age.


Figure 5.1.2. Herring in Vla(N). Estimates of fishing mortality ( $+/-95 \%$ C.L.) in population models fitted to the larval abundance index (LAI-L, proportionate model); LAI-P, power model), the acoustic survey used as an absolute measure of biomass (ACU-A) or as a proportionate measure of abundance (ACU-L). Lastly, baseline model fits in which the LAI-P and the ACU-L are given equal weights. Upper panel, fitted using official catch reportes for the $\mathrm{Vla}(\mathrm{N})$ area. Lower panel, fitted excluding catches reported between 4 and 5 degrees West and assumed to be misreported North Sea catches.
Landings

Figure 5.1.3. Comparison of assessment based on reported catches ('Reptd', line and square markers), assessment based on catches adjusted for supposed misreporting ('Adjstd', line and triangle markers) and estimates obtained by fitting a model that treats catches as missing data but constrains fishing mortality to a linear trend with time from 1981 onwards ('MCM',line with no markers).


Figure 5.1.4. Herring in VIa(N). Results of baseline assessment. Summary of estimates of landings, fishing mortality at age 3 , recruitment at age 1 , stock size on 1 January and spawning stock size at spawning time.


Figure 5.1.5. Herring in VIa(N). Results of baseline assessment. Selection pattern diagnostics. Top left, contour plot of selection pattern residuals. Top right, estimated selection (relative to age 3 ) $+/$ - standard deviation. Bottom, marginal totals of residuals by year and age.


Figure 5.1.6. Herring in Va(N). Results of the baseline assessment. Diagnostics of the fit of the larval abundance index against the estimated spawning biomass. Top left, spawning biomass from the fitted populations (line), and predictions of spawning biomass in each year made from the index observations and the estimated catchability (triangles $+/$ - standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatterplot and fitted relationship of spawning biomass from the fitted populations and larval survey index observations. Bottom, residuals, as ( $\ln$ (observed index) $-\ln$ (expected index) plotted against expected values and against time.


Figure 5.1.7. Herring in $\mathrm{VIa}(\mathrm{N})$. Results of the baseline assessment. Diagnostics of the fit of the acoustic index at age 1 against the estimated populations at age 1 . Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the acoustic index observations and the estimated catchability (triangles $+/-$ standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatterplot and fitted relationship of the fitted populations and acoustic survey index observations. Bottom, residuals, as (In(observed index) - In(expected index) plotted against expected values and against time.


Figure 5.1.8. Herring in VIa(N). Results of the baseline assessment. Diagnostics of the fit of the acoustic index at age 2 against the estimated populations at age 2 . Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the acoustic index observations and the estimated catchability (triangles $+/-$ standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatterplot and fitted relationship of the fitted populations and acoustic survey index observations. Bottom, residuals, as (ln(observed index) - In(expected index) plotted against expected values and against time.


Figure 5.1.9. Herring in VIa(N). Results of the baseline assessment. Diagnostics of the fit of the acoustic index at age 3 against the estimated populations at age 3. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the acoustic index observations and the estimated catchability (triangles $+/-$ standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatterplot and fitted relationship of the fitted populations and acoustic survey index observations. Bottom, residuals, as (ln(observed index) - $\ln$ (expected index) plotted against expected values and against time.


Figure 5.1.10. Herring in $\mathrm{VIa}(\mathrm{N})$. Results of the baseline assessment. Diagnostics of the fit of the acoustic index at age 4 against the estimated populations at age 4. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the acoustic index observations and the estimated catchability (triangles $+/$ - standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatterplot and fitted relationship of the fitted populations and acoustic survey index observations. Bottom, residuals, as ( $\ln$ (observed index) $-\ln$ (expected index) plotted against expected values and against time.


Figure 5.1.11. Herring in $\mathrm{VIa}(\mathrm{N})$. Results of the baseline assessment. Diagnostics of the fit of the acoustic index at age 5 against the estimated populations at age 5 . Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the acoustic index observations and the estimated catchability (triangles $+/-$ standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatterplot and fitted relationship of the fitted populations and acoustic survey index observations. Bottom, residuals, as ( $\ln$ (observed index) $-\ln$ (expected index) ploted against expected values and against time.


Figure 5.1.12. Herring in VIa(N). Results of the baseline assessment. Diagnostics of the fit of the acoustic index at age 6 against the estimated populations at age 6 . Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the acoustic index observations and the estimated catchability (triangles $+/$ - standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatterplot and fitted relationship of the fitted populations and acoustic survey index observations. Bottom, residuals, as ( $\ln$ (observed index) - $\ln$ (expected index) plotted against expected values and against time.


Figure 5.1.13. Herring in $\mathrm{VIa}(\mathrm{N})$. Results of the baseline assessment. Diagnostics of the fit of the acoustic index at age 7 against the estimated populations at age 7. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the acoustic index observations and the estimated catchability (triangles $+/$ standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatterplot and fitted relationship of the fitted populations and acoustic survey index observations. Bottom, residuals, as (ln(observed index) - $\ln$ (expected index) plotted against expected values and against time.


Figure 5.1.14. Herring in $\mathrm{VIa}(\mathrm{N})$. Results of the baseline assessment. Diagnostics of the fit of the acoustic index at age 8 against the estimated populations at age 8. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the acoustic index observations and the estimated catchability (triangles $+/$ - standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatterplot and fitted relationship of the fitted populations and acoustic survey index observations. Bottom, residuals, as (ln(observed index) - $\ln$ (expected index) plotted against expected values and against time.


Figure 5.1.15. Herring in $\mathrm{VIa}(\mathrm{N})$. Results of the baseline assessment. Diagnostics of the fit of the acoustic index at age 9 against the estimated populations at age 9. Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the acoustic index observations and the estimated catchability (triangles $+/-$ standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatterplot and fitted relationship of the fitted populations and acoustic survey index observations. Bottom, residuals, as (In(observed index) - In(expected index) plotted against expected values and against time.


Figure 5.1.16. Herring in VIa(N). Upper panel: Summary of the fit of the Beverton-Holt Stock-recruit relationship. Top left, the time series of recruitments estimated in the population model (squares) and the recruitments fitted by the stock-recruitment model. Top right, spawning stock size and corresponding recruitments and the fitted function. Bottom left, residuals as $\ln$ (observed recruitment) $-\ln$ (expected recruitment) ploted against year, and lastly residuals plotted against $\ln$ (expected recruitment) Lower panel: Summary of the fit of the Ricker Stock-recruit relationship. Top left, the time series of recruitments estimated in the population model (squares) and the recruitments fitted by the stock-recruitment model. Top right, spawning stock size and corresponding recruitments and the fitted function. Bottom left, residuals as $\ln$ (observed recruitment) $-\ln ($ expected recruitment ) plotted against year, and lastly residuals plotted against $\ln$ (expected recruitment)


Figure 5.1.17. Herring in $\mathrm{Vla}(\mathrm{N})$. Scatterplot of recruitment in the $\mathrm{Vla}(\mathrm{N})$ stock and of recruitment in the North Sea populations.



Figure 5.1.18 Herring in VIa(N). Summary results of medium-term projections for fishing mortality from 1996 to 2005 constrained equal to the fishing mortality estimate for 1995. Upper panel: landings, fishing mortality (mean over ages 3 to 6), recruitment, and stock size. Lower panel: Stock size and the probability that the stock may fall below the MBAL level of 135000 t. Solid line, 50 th percentile. dashed lines, 25 th and 75 th percentiles. Dotted line, 5 th and 95 th percentiles.



Figure 5.1.19. Herring in $\mathrm{VIa}(\mathrm{N})$. Summary results of medium-term projections for fishing mortality in 1996 constrained equal to the fishing mortality estimate for 1995 , but assuming a catch of 83570 t in each year up to 2005 thereafter. Upper panel: landings, fishing mortality (mean over ages 3 to 6), recruitment, and stock size. Lower panel, Stock size and the probability that the stock may fall below the MBAL level of 150000 t . Solid line, 50th percentile. dashed lines, 25 th and 75 th percentiles. Dotted line, 5 th and 95 th percentiles.

## Herring in Vla(N): Risk Ogive



Figure 5.1.20. Herring in $\mathrm{Vla}(\mathrm{N})$. Risk, as estimated probability that the stock size will fall below the assumed MBAL of 160000 in 2005, after ten years' exploitation at varying levels of fishing mortality from 1997 to 2005 . Fishing mortality in 1996 was assumed equal to the value estimated for 1995.

# Fish Stock Summary Herring in the Northern part of VIa 14-4-1996 

## Long term yield and spawning stock biomass



Figure 5.1.21. Herring in Vla(N). Yield per recruit analysis. Yield and spawning stock biomass calculated for a range of values of fishing mortality (mean for ages 3-6). Recruitment taken as geometric mean from 1976 to 1994.

## HERRING in Vla(N)



Figure 5.1.22. Herring in $\mathrm{VIa}(\mathrm{N})$. Estimates of fishing mortality (Arithmetic unweighted mean over ages 3 to 6) as made by the Herring Assessment Working Group in mettings from 1990 to date.

### 6.1 The fishery

### 6.1.1 Advice and management applicable to 1995 and 1996

The TAC set for this area for 1995 was $28,000 \mathrm{t}$. This was a precautionary TAC and was the same as that set each year since 1992. The total catch estimated by the Working Group to have been taken from the stock during 1995 was approximately $27,800 \mathrm{t}$. This was the lowest catch level recorded since 1985 and the first time that the catch has been below the agreed TAC.

The 1995 Herring Working Group did not carry out an analytical assessment for this stock but suggested that the stock had declined although the level (at that time) was not known. The Working Group stressed that it was extremely important to ensure that the 1995 catches are restricted to the advised level. ACFM, in 1995, in the absence of an assessment did not carry out a forecast for the stock but advised that a precautionary TAC, if required, should be set at a level so that the resulting catches did not exceed the average of recent years. The subsequent TAC agreed by the EU for 1996 was again set at $28,000 \mathrm{t}$.

### 6.1.2 Catch data

The main landings in 1995 from this fishery were again taken by Ireland who took over $95 \%$ of the total recorded.
The total amount of unallocated catches in 1995 was $1,100 \mathrm{t}$ which was the lowest reported figure since before 1985. This total was composed of catches which were reported as having been taken in Division Vla (North) but which were in fact taken in Division VIa (South). The total international catches from Sub-areas VI and VII are shown in Figures 4.2.1 a-d.

The catches and landings taken by each country fishing in this area from 1986-1995 are shown in Table 6.1.1 and the total catch from 1970 is shown in Figure 6.1.1. There were no estimates of discards reported from 1995 and there are no indications that discarding is a major problem in this fishery.

The catches for 1995 are preliminary. It has not been found necessary to make any alterations to the 1994 data.
Reports from the Irish fishery throughout most of 1995 suggested that herring shoals were very scarce, particularly in Division VIIb. There were, however, good landings from Division VIa (South) off the north Donegal coast during Quarter 1 . As has been the pattern in recent years these landings contained substantial amounts of full and spawning fish. These fish amounted to over $70 \%$ of the total catch in that quarter and had a typical winter/spring vertebral count of 56.86 , compared with a vertebral count of 56.42 for full and spawning fish during Quarter 4. Recent Herring Working Groups have commented on the increasing numbers of winter/spring spawning fish which have appeared in the catches from this area in recent years. Herring shoals again appeared to be very scarce on the traditional autumn spawning grounds in Division VIIb. The main landings by the Irish fleet were taken during Quarter 4.

The composition of the Irish (R.S.W) "tank" fleet was similar to that of recent years. However, the re-introduction of one large factory ship into the fishery during the year, which exploited herring at various times, increased the overall catching capacity of the fleet considerably. Landings by the Irish fleet were again regulated by weekly quotas and a closed season was introduced during June and July. This closed season is designed to prevent landings of herrings at a time when marketing difficulties are usually experienced.

### 6.1.3 Catch in numbers at age

The catches at age for this fishery since 1970 are shown in Table 6.1.2. In recent years the catches in numbers at age are derived mainly from Irish sampling data. The catches during Quarter 1, 1995 were mainly composed of 3,4 and 5 w . ring fish. Catches taken during Quarter 4 from Division VIa (South) were mainly composed of 2 w . ring fish while over $29 \%$ of the catch taken in this Quarter from Division VIIb were composed of fish over 8+w. rings. The 1991 year class which constituted $28 \%$ of the catches in 1994, constituted $23 \%$ of the catches in 1995 . Fish older than 8 w.rings and mainly consisting of the strong 1985 year class still constituted over $9 \%$ of the catches in 1995.

### 6.1.4 Quality of the catch and biological data

Although management authorities are confident about the accuracy of catch statistics from this area there may still be some under-reporting. However, the extent of this cannot be quantified. As in 1994 the scarcity of herring throughout the year did not put pressure on skippers to under-report to any great extent. Misreporting of catches to the adjoining Division VIa (North) did continue but on a lower scale than in previous years and it was possible to re-allocate these catches based on information from fishermen.

The numbers of samples and the biological data, together with the length distribution of the catches taken per quarter by the Irish fleet, are shown in Tables 6.1.3 and 6.1.4 respectively. Sampling of catches throughout the year was considerably lower than in 1994. In Quarter 2, nearly $6,000 \mathrm{t}$ of herring were landed but were not covered by any samples whatsoever. Sampling levels were also unsatisfactory in Quarter 4.

### 6.2 Mean Weights at Age

The mean weights (g) at age in the catches in 1995 are based mainly on Irish samples, together win one Dutch sample. The mean weights from 1970-1995 are shown in Table 6.2.1

The 1995 mean weights at age for the stock at spawning time (1 October) are based on Irish samples of full fish taken during the fourth Quarter. The mean weights from 1970-1995 are shown in Table 6.2.2.

Apart from some decrease in the mean weights of 2 and 3 w . ring fish the overall mean weights appear to be similar to those of recent years.

### 6.3 Ground fish Surveys

Ground fish surveys have been carried out during November along the west coast of Ireland from 1993 to 1995. Over 60 stations were sampled each year with a bottom trawl fitted with fine mesh liner. Although these surveys are designed to obtain an abundance index for demersal fish it is hoped that they will also provide recruitment indices for herring. The series, however, has not yet been long enough established to provide useful information.

### 6.4 Acoustic surveys

Acoustic surveys were initiated in this area in 1994, and are designed to provide an estimate of the total stock size. The second survey, again using the R.V. Lough Foyle, was carried out in July 1995 and covered the same areas as that in 1994. The results were presented in a working paper (Molloy and Fernandes, 1996, W.D.) and were also reported in the report of the 1996 Coordinated Acoustic Survey (Simmonds et al., 1996 W.D.). The total stock size estimated from the 1995 survey was $137,670 \mathrm{t}$, compared with $350,000 \mathrm{t}$ from the 1994 survey.

Thirty five hauls were made during the 1995 survey, nine of which contained herring although herring were the dominant species in only three hauls. Even though more herring were taken during the 1995 survey compared with that of 1994 - when no adult herring were taken - there are still difficulties in relocating herring shoals and actually catching herring. This is mainly because the shoals in the area at this time are small and very patchily distributed. Sufficient samples were obtained to enable the stock to be broken down in numbers and biomass of fish at each age group and these data are shown in Table 6.4.1. The age distributions are composed mainly of immature herring which comprise over $60 \%$ of the total stock numbers. The 1992 year class appears to be dominant among the adult fish and this year class is also very well represented in the commercial catches from the area.

Although the dramatic decrease in the stock in the area as indicated from the results of the 1994 and 1995 surveys may not be realistic because of the lack of confidence attached to the surveys at present it is important that these surveys should be continued and that a time series should be established. The low stock size indicated by the 1995 surveys is consistent with the reports and observations of the commercial fishermen from the area.

### 6.5 State of the Stock

Analytical assessments have not been carried out on this stock for a number of years because of the absence of survey data. Recent working groups have therefore only carried out VPA analyses to study the development of the stock and no stock projections have been made. The results of those analyses indicated that the stock had decreased in recent years from a high level in 1988. This high level was as a result of the recruitment of the exceptionally strong 1985 year class (which in 1995 still constituted nearly $10 \%$ of the catches).

The only additional data available to the present Working Group are the results of the 1995 acoustic surveys. This suggested that the spawning stock in the summer of 1995 was about 125,000 tonnes. It was therefore decided to run a series of VPAs with different input $F$ values in order to recreate an equivalent SSB value. A separable VPA was carried out using the updated catch data and a terminal $S$ value of 1.0 and down weighted prior to 1990 to 0.001 . Age 4 was taken as reference age and the exploitation pattern was reasonably flat topped. The results of the separable VPA are shown in Table 6.5.1. The terminal populations from the separable VPA were used to carry out traditional VPAs for input $F$ values $=0.3,0.4$ and 0.5. The spawning stock biomass for 1995 as a result of using input $F$ in $1995=0.3$ was calculated at about $125,000 \mathrm{t}$. This value coincides with the value used in the assessments carried out by recent working groups which was selected as 0.3 each year in order to reflect the apparent stability of effort in the area. The summary results of this VPA are shown in Table 6.5.2 and the spawning stock estimates are shown in Figure 6.5.1.

The results from the VPA (Table 6.5.2) indicate that over the period 1970-1995 the spawning stock was at its maximum in 1988 and has since declined steadily each year. The recruitment of the exceptionally strong 1985 year class had a dramatic effect on the spawning stock in 1988. Since 1985 there have been no other outstanding year classes although there are indications that the 1992 year class may be above average size. The overall age distribution of the stock shows considerable numbers of fish in the upper age groups - in fact $20 \%$ are over 6 years of age. This would suggest that the stock has not been heavily exploited in recent years.

### 6.6 Stock Forecasts and Catch Predictions

As there is no method of obtaining a recruitment index for this stock and because the assessment relies on only one independent stock estimate (i.e. the SSB derived from the 1995 acoustic survey), it was decided that it would not be advisable to carry out any predictions. These will not be possible until a time series of acoustic estimates is established.

### 6.7 Management Considerations

The results of the assessment indicate that the spawning stock has declined considerably in recent years and is now at a comparatively low level. This is consistent with observations from fishermen in the area who in recent years have expressed alarm at the scarcity of herring in this area. However, the age distribution of the stock does not suggest that it is in any danger of collapse. The scarcity of herring in the area may be due to a combination of the decline in stock and a more northerly distribution of the stock in recent years. It is also interesting to note the increasing importance of winter/spring spawning fish in this area. The old traditional fisheries in this area which were extremely important in the early part of the century were all based on winter/spring spawning herring

The fishery in this area appears to depend on the recruitment to the stock of occasional very strong year classes. The last such strong year class was that of 1985 which recruited in 1988. This boosted the spawning stock in that year to over $300,000 \mathrm{t}$ but it has subsequently continuously declined each year as the strong year class progressed through the fishery. During the period $1988-1992$ the average $F$ was 0.26 and the average catches were about $34,000 \mathrm{t}$. The present stock size is uncertain and the most recent value of $F$ is not known. There are some signs that the 1992 year class may be a strong one but it has only recruited in 1995 and its strength cannot yet be confirmed. Because of the uncertainty of the stock size and the high catching potential of the fleet in the area and its ability to quickly change its target species, a cautious management for the fishery is advocated. Catches should not be allowed to rise above the present level until more information about the stock is available. It is, therefore, extremely important that the present acoustic and ground fish surveys should be continued and that the 1995 sampling level should be improved.

### 6.8 Medium Projections and Consideration of MBAL

In the absence of information about recruitment and because of the uncertainty about the current stock size no projections were carried out. An examination of the spawning stock/recruitment relationship (Figure 6.8.1) suggests that there is little evidence of any relationship between spawning stock and recruitment over the range of SSB and recruitment encountered.

An examination of the historical data series (1970-1995) did not suggest any period when the stock was subjected to a low fishing effort. During the period 1984 to 1986 the lowest $F$ values were recorded and the mean $F$ for that period was 0.21 and the average SSB was $180,000 \mathrm{t}$. At the same time the average catches were about $26,500 \mathrm{t}$. The present assessment suggests that the spawning stock has declined in recent years and even though the exact size is not known it would appear to be well below $180,000 \mathrm{t}$. This in turn would suggest that the present catches are too high. It has not been possible with the present data to calculate the MBAL for this stock.

Table 6.1.1 Estimated Herring catches in tonnes in Divisions VIa (South) and VIIb,c, 19851994. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

| Country | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| France | - | - | - | - | - |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ireland | 13,900 | 15,540 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 18,200 |
| Netherlands | 1,270 | 1,550 | 1,550 | 300 | 2,900 |
| UK (N.Ireland) | - | - | 5 | - | - |
| UK (England + Wales) | - | - | 51 | - | - |
| UK Scotland | - | - | - | - | + |
| Unallocated | 8,204 | 11,785 | 31,994 | 13,800 | 7,100 |
| Total landings | 23,374 | 28,785 | 48,600 | 29,100 | 28,200 |
| Discards | - | - | - | - | 1,000 |
| Total catch | 23,374 | 28,785 | 48,600 | 29,100 | 29,200 |


| Country | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | $1993^{1}$ | $1994^{1}$ | 1995 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| France | + | - | - | - | - | - |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. | - | - | 250 | - | - | 11 |
| Ireland | 25,000 | 22,500 | 26,000 | 27,600 | 24,400 | 25,450 |
| Netherlands | 2,533 | 600 | 900 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 1,207 |
| UK (N.Ireland) | 80 | - | - | - | - | - |
| UK (England + Wales) | - | - | - | - | 50 | 24 |
| UK (Scotland) | - | + | - | 200 | - | - |
| Unallocated | 13,826 | 11,200 | 4,600 | 6,250 | 6,250 | 1,100 |
| Total landings | 41,439 | 34,300 | 31,750 | 36,550 | 33,200 | 27,792 |
| Discards | 2,530 | 3,400 | 100 | 250 | 700 | - |
| Total catch | 43,969 | 37,700 | 31,850 | 36,800 | 33,900 | 27,792 |

${ }^{1}$ Provisional

Table 6.1.2 HER-IRLW: Herring West of Ireland \& Porcupine Bank (Fishing Area VIa South)

CANUM: Catch in Numbers (Thousands)

| Year | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | Age 8 | Age 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 0 | 135 | 35114 | 26007 | 13243 | 3895 | 40181 | 2982 | 1667 | 1911 |
| 1971 | 0 | 883 | 6177 | 7038 | 10856 | 8826 | 3938 | 40553 | 2286 | 2160 |
| 1972 | 0 | 1001 | 28786 | 20534 | 6191 | 11145 | 10057 | 4243 | 47182 | 4305 |
| 1973 | 46 | 6423 | 40390 | 47389 | 16863 | 7432 | 12383 | 9191 | 1969 | 50980 |
| 1974 | 0 | 3374 | 29406 | 41116 | 44579 | 17857 | 8882 | 10901 | 10272 | 30549 |
| 1975 | 194 | 7360 | 41308 | 25117 | 29192 | 23718 | 10703 | 5909 | 9378 | 32029 |
| 1976 | 823 | 16613 | 29011 | 37512 | 26544 | 25317 | 15000 | 5208 | 3596 | 15703 |
| 1977 | 0 | 4485 | 44512 | 13396 | 17176 | 12209 | 9924 | 5534 | 1360 | 4150 |
| 1978 | 82 | 10170 | 40320 | 27079 | 13308 | 10685 | 5356 | 4270 | 3638 | 3324 |
| 1979 | 4 | 5919 | 50071 | 19161 | 19969 | 9349 | 8422 | 5443 | 4423 | 4090 |
| 1980 | 0 | 2856 | 40058 | 64946 | 25140 | 22126 | 7748 | 6946 | 4344 | 5334 |
| 1981 | 0 | 1620 | 22265 | 41794 | 31460 | 12812 | 12746 | 3461 | 2735 | 5220 |
| 1982 | 0 | 748 | 18136 | 17004 | 28220 | 18280 | 8121 | 4089 | 3249 | 2875 |
| 1983 | 0 | 1517 | 43688 | 49534 | 25316 | 31782 | 18320 | 6695 | 3329 | 4251 |
| 1984 | 0 | 2794 | 81481 | 28660 | 17854 | 7190 | 12836 | 5974 | 2008 | 4020 |
| 1985 | 0 | 9606 | 15143 | 67355 | 12756 | 11241 | 7638 | 9185 | 7587 | 2168 |
| 1986 | 0 | 918 | 27110 | 24818 | 66383 | 14644 | 7988 | 5696 | 5422 | 2127 |
| 1987 | 0 | 12149 | 44160 | 80213 | 41504 | 99222 | 15226 | 12639 | 6082 | 10187 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 29135 | 46300 | 41008 | 23381 | 45692 | 6946 | 2482 | 1964 |
| 1989 | 0 | 2241 | 6919 | 78842 | 26149 | 21481 | 15008 | 24917 | 4213 | 3036 |
| 1990 | 0 | 878 | 24977 | 19500 | 151978 | 24362 | 20164 | 16314 | 8184 | 1130 |
| 1991 | 0 | 675 | 34437 | 27810 | 12420 | 100444 | 17921 | 14865 | 11311 | 7660 |
| 1992 | 0 | 2592 | 15519 | 42532 | 26839 | 12565 | 73307 | 8535 | 8203 | 6286 |
| 1993 | 0 | 191 | 20562 | 22666 | 41967 | 23379 | 13547 | 67265 | 7671 | 6013 |
| 1994 | 0 | 11709 | 56156 | 31225 | 16877 | 21772 | 13644 | 8597 | 31729 | 10093 |
| 1995 | 0 | 284 | 34471 | 35414 | 18617 | 19133 | 16081 | 5749 | 8585 | 14215 |

Table 6.1.3 Divisions VIa (South) and VIIb. Sampling intensity of catches in 1995.

| Country | Q | Catch $^{1}$ | No. of <br> samples | No. of age <br> readings | No. of fish <br> measured | Aged per <br> 1000 t. | Estimate of <br> discards |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ireland | 1 | 4,800 | 11 | 548 | 2,817 | 110 | No |
|  | 2 | 6,000 | - | - | - | - | No |
|  | 3 | 1,100 | 6 | 198 | 761 | 176 | No |
|  | 4 | 14,600 | 6 | 299 | 1,324 | 21 | No |
| Netherlands | 3 | 1,200 | 2 | 50 | 206 | 42 | Yes |
| UK (England | 4 | + | - | - | - | - | - |
| \& Wales) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Germany | 2 | + | - | - | - | - | - |

[^6]Table 6.1.4 Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb. Length distributions of Irish catches (pelagic trawlers) per quarter ( $10^{3}$ ) in 1995.

| Length | $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter | $2^{\text {nd }}$ quarter* | $3^{\text {ra }}$ quarter | $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 18.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 19.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 19.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 20.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 20.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 21.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 21.5 |  |  | 7 |  |
| 22.0 | 10 | 12 | - | 402 |
| 22.5 | 20 | 25 | 37 | 57 |
| 23.0 | 71 | 86 | 14 | 345 |
| 23.5 | 227 | 271 | 7 | 345 |
| 24.0 | 273 | 333 | 133 | 2,240 |
| 24.5 | 395 | 482 | 236 | 3,619 |
| 25.0 | 911 | 1,112 | 228 | 5170 |
| 25.5 | 1,103 | 1,347 | 324 | 5,055 |
| 26.0 | 1,771 | 2,162 | 523 | 6,204 |
| 26.5 | 2,327 | 2,841 | 346 | 3,734 |
| 27.0 | 2,317 | 2,829 | 383 | 5,227 |
| 27.5 | 2,003 | 2,446 | 346 | 5,343 |
| 28.0 | 3,410 | 4,163 | 361 | 6,377 |
| 28.5 | 4,108 | 5,016 | 678 | 5,285 |
| 29.0 | 4,361 | 5,324 | 803 | 10,111 |
| 29.5 | 2,529 | 3,089 | 545 | 7,583 |
| 30.0 | 1,305 | 1,594 | 346 | 5,455 |
| 30.5 | 556 | 680 | 125 | 2,183 |
| 31.0 | 273 | 309 | 96 | 747 |
| 31.5 | 182 | 222 | 37 | 287 |
| 32.0 | 131 | 161 | 15 | 287 |
| 32.5 | 121 | 148 | 15 |  |
| 33.0 | 40 | 49 |  |  |
| 33.5 | 51 | 62 |  |  |
| 34.0 | - | - |  |  |
| 34.5 | 20 | 25 |  |  |
| 35.0 | 10 | 12 |  |  |
| Total | 28,525 | 34,800 | 5,605 | 76,056 |
| Tonnes | 4,800 | 5,922 | 1,124 | 14,600 |

[^7]HER-IRLW: Herring West of Ireland \& Porcupine Bank (Fishing Area VIa South)
WECA: Mean Weight in Catch (Kilograms)

| Year | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | Age 8 | Age 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 0.010 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1971 | 0.010 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1972 | 0.010 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1973 | 0.010 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1974 | 0.010 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1975 | 0.010 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1976 | 0.010 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1977 | 0.010 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1978 | 0.010 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1979 | 0.010 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1980 | 0.010 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1981 | 0.010 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1982 | 0.010 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1983 | -1.000 | 0.090 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1984 | -1.000 | 0.106 | 0.141 | 0.181 | 0.210 | 0.226 | 0.237 | 0.243 | 0.247 | 0.248 |
| 1985 | -1.000 | 0.077 | 0.122 | 0.161 | 0.184 | 0.196 | 0.206 | 0.212 | 0.225 | 0.230 |
| 1986 | -1.000 | 0.095 | 0.138 | 0.164 | 0.194 | 0.212 | 0.225 | 0.239 | 0.208 | 0.288 |
| 1987 | -1.000 | 0.085 | 0.102 | 0.150 | 0.169 | 0.177 | 0.193 | 0.205 | 0.215 | 0.220 |
| 1988 | -1.000 | -1.000 | 0.098 | 0.133 | 0.153 | 0.166 | 0.171 | 0.183 | 0.191 | 0.201 |
| 1989 | -1.000 | 0.080 | 0.130 | 0.141 | 0.164 | 0.174 | 0.183 | 0.192 | 0.193 | 0.203 |
| 1990 | -1.000 | 0.094 | 0.138 | 0.148 | 0.160 | 0.176 | 0.189 | 0.194. | 0.208 | 0.216 |
| 1991 | -1.000 | 0.089 | 0.134 | 0.145 | 0.157 | 0.167 | 0.185 | 0.199 | 0.207 | 0.230 |
| 1992 | -1.000 | 0.095 | 0.141 | 0.147 | 0.157 | 0.165 | 0.171 | 0.180 | 0.194 | 0.219 |
| 1993 | -1.000 | 0.112 | 0.138 | 0.153 | 0.170 | 0.181 | 0.184 | 0.196 | 0.229 | 0.236 |
| 1994 | -1.000 | 0.081 | 0.141 | 0.164 | 0.177 | 0.189 | 0.187 | 0.191 | 0.204 | 0.220 |
| 1995 | -1.000 | 0.080 | 0.140 | 0.161 | 0.173 | 0.182 | 0.198 | 0.194 | 0.206 | 0.217 |
| 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.2.2.
16:12 Thursday, April 11, 1996 HER-IRLW: Herring West of Ireland \& Porcupine Bank (Fishing Area VIa South)

WEST: Mean Weight in Stock (Kilograms)

| Year | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | Age 8 | Age 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 0.010 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1971 | 0.010 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1972 | 0.010 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1973 | 0.010 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1974 | 0.010 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1975 | 0.010 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1976 | 0.010 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1977 | 0.010 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1978 | 0.010 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1979 | 0.010 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1980 | 0.010 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1981 | 0.010 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1982 | 0.010 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1983 | 0.010 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1984 | 0.010 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1985 | -1.000 | 0.100 | 0.150 | 0.196 | 0.227 | 0.238 | 0.251 | 0.252 | 0.269 | 0.284 |
| 1986 | -1.000 | 0.098 | 0.169 | 0.209 | 0.238 | 0.256 | 0.276 | 0.280 | 0.287 | 0.312 |
| 1987 | -1.000 | 0.097 | 0.164 | 0.206 | 0.233 | 0.252 | 0.271 | 0.280 | 0.296 | 0.317 |
| 1988 | -1.000 | 0.097 | 0.164 | 0.206 | 0.233 | 0.252 | 0.271 | 0.280 | 0.296 | 0.317 |
| 1989 | -1.000 | 0.138 | 0.157 | 0.168 | 0.182 | 0.200 | 0.217 | 0.227 | 0.238 | 0.245 |
| 1990 | -1.000 | 0.113 | 0.152 | 0.170 | 0.180 | 0.200 | 0.217 | 0.225 | 0.233 | 0.255 |
| 1991 | -1.000 | 0.102 | 0.149 | 0.174 | 0.190 | 0.195 | 0.206 | 0.226 | 0.236 | 0.248 |
| 1992 | -1.000 | 0.102 | 0.144 | 0.167 | 0.182 | 0.194 | 0.197 | 0.214 | 0.218 | 0.242 |
| 1993 | -1.000 | 0.118 | 0.166 | 0.196 | 0.205 | 0.214 | 0.220 | 0.223 | 0.242 | 0.258 |
| 1994 | -1.000 | 0.098 | 0.156 | 0.192 | 0.209 | 0.216 | 0.223 | 0.226 | 0.230 | 0.247 |
| 1995 | -1.000 | 0.090 | 0.144 | 0.181 | 0.203 | 0.217 | 0.226 | 0.227 | 0.239 | 0.246 |

Table 6.4.1 Numbers (millions of fish) and biomass (thousands of tonnes) at age for the July/August ' 95 herring acoustic cruise.

| Age | Mean Length $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Weight (g) } \end{gathered}$ | Number $\times 10^{6}$ | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Biomass } \\ \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~T} \end{gathered}$ | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0+1 | 9.65 | 7.08 | 987.12 | 59.74 | 6.99 | 5.08 |
| 2I | 25.91 | 170.95 | 19.31 | 1.17 | 3.30 | 2.40 |
| 2 M | 25.72 | 167.23 | 294.28 | 17.81 | 49.21 | 35.74 |
| 3I | 27.50 | 207.85 | 7.61 | 0.46 | 1.58 | 1.15 |
| 3M | 27.43 | 206.77 | 175.69 | 10.63 | 36.33 | 26.39 |
| 4A | 27.74 | 214.48 | 71.54 | 4.33 | 15.34 | 11.14 |
| 5A | 28.17 | 225.44 | 7.76 | 0.47 | 1.75 | 1.27 |
| 6A | 29.99 | 277.43 | 19.79 | 1.20 | 5.49 | 3.99 |
| 7A | 29.55 | 263.95 | 10.69 | 0.65 | 2.82 | 2.05 |
| 8A | 29.78 | 270.70 | 6.50 | 0.39 | 1.76 | 1.28 |
| $9+$ | 29.15 | 252.26 | 51.94 | 3.14 | 13.10 | 9.52 |
| Total | 16.99 | 83.33 | 1652.23 | 100.00 | 137.67 | 100.00 |
| Immature |  |  | 1014.04 | 61.37 | 11.87 | 8.62 |
| Mature |  |  | 638.19 | 38.63 | 125.80 | 91.38 |

Table 6.5.1

Title : Herring Via South (run: SEPJM09/S09)
At 16-Apr-96 15:54:51
Separable analysis
from 1970 to 1995 on ages 1 to 8
with Terminal $F$ of .200 on age 4 and Terminal $S$ of 1.200
Initial sum of squared residuals was 415.239 and final sum of squared residuals is $\quad 69.208$ after 113 iterations

Matrix of Residuals

| Years, Ages | 1970/71,1971/72,1972/73,1973/74,1974/75, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/2, | -.802, | .029, | -. 286, | 1.966, | .648, |
| 2/ 3, | 1.659, | -. 663. | -.093, | .420, | .218, |
| 3/4, | .546, | . 287, | .206, | .080, | -.046, |
| 4/ 5, | .133, | .184, | -.131, | -.008, | .262, |
| 5/6, | -.339, | .023, | -.121, | -.211, | .048, |
| $6 / 7$. | -.549, | -. 128, | -. 134, | -.112, | -.273, |
| 7/8, | -.151, | -.084, | .669, | -.223, | -.393, |
| TOT | .001, | .001, | .001, | .001, | . 001 , |
| WTS | .001, | .001, | .001. | .001. | .001. |

Years, $\quad 1975 / 76,1976 / 77,1977 / 78,1978 / 79,1979 / 80,1980 / 81,1981 / 82,1982 / 83,1983 / 84,1984 / 85$,

| $1 / 2$. | 1.863, | 1.668, | . 705, | 1.579, | 1.502, | .797. | .476, | -. 499, | -1.497, | 1.384, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 213, | . 218. | . 324. | .362, | .899. | . 115 , | -.242, | .146, | -.456, | -.116, | .290, |
| 314. | -.394, | -. 134, | -.548, | .053. | -.311, | .095. | -. 138, | -. 251. | .065, | .527, |
| 4/ 5, | -.183, | -. 117, | -.043, | .142, | -.109, | .078, | .056, | .066. | . 346 , | .234, |
| $5 / 6$. | .030, | -.056, | .230, | -.044, | .103, | -. 126, | -. 100, | .111. | -.079, | -.346, |
| $6 / 7$. | .077. | -. 228, | .031. | -.511. | -. 100, | -.093, | . 364. | .101. | -.089, | -. 163, |
| $7 / 8$. | -.008, | . 264. | -. 260. | -.402, | . 060 , | . 169. | -.580, | . 238. | . 129. | -.613, |
| TOT | .001. | . 001. | .001, | . 001 , | . 001. | .001, | .000, | . 000 , | .000, | .000, |
| WTS | .001. | .001, | .001, | .001, | .001, | .001. | .001, | .001, | .001, | .001, |

Years, $\quad 1985 / 86,1986 / 87,1987 / 88,1988 / 89,1989 / 90,1990 / 91,1991 / 92,1992 / 93,1993 / 94,1994 / 95$,

TOT,
WTS,
.000
.000
.000
.000
.000
.000
.000
10.113

Fishing Mortalities (F)

| F-values, | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1970, } \\ .1754, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1971, \\ & .1523, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1972, } \\ & .2199, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1973, } \\ & .2917, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1974, } \\ & .4316, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1975, } \\ & .4602 ، \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F-values, | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1976, } \\ .5431, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1977, \\ .3424, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1978, \\ & .2714, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1979, } \\ .2833, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1980, } \\ & .3853, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1981 \text { 。 } \\ .2921 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 1982, } \\ .2269, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1983, } \\ & .3575, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1984, \\ .1834, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1985, \\ .1687, \end{gathered}$ |
| F-values, | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1986, } \\ .1683, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1987, \\ .3183 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1988, } \\ .1932, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1989, \\ .1724, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1990, } \\ .2215, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1991, \\ .2104, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1992, } \\ & .2032, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1993, \\ & .2535, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1994, \\ .2308, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1995, \\ & .2000, \end{aligned}$ |

Selection-at-age ( $S$ )


Table 6.5.1 (cont'd)


| Fishing YEAR, | $\begin{array}{r} \text { mortality } \\ 1976 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { residuals } \\ \text { 1977, } \end{gathered}$ | 1978, | 1979. | 1980, | 1981. | 1982, | 1983, | 1984, | 1985, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1, | .0339, | . 0090 | .0130, | . 0069. | .0048, | .0008, | -. 0006 , | -. 0025 | . 0025 , | .0107, |
| 2. | -. 0105. | .0673, | .1166. | . 0256 , | -.0533. | -. 0015 , | -.0254, | .0407, | .0417, | -.0374, |
| 3. | -.0694, | -. 1035, | .0003, | -. 0554 , | . 0264. | -.0287, | -. 0319 , | .0825, | .0740, | .0175, |
| 4, | -.0580, | -. 0235, | .0249. | -.0163, | .0156, | -.0020, | .0012, | .0274, | .0486, | -.0194, |
| 5. | -.0436. | -.0131. | -. 0147. | -. 0155, | .0237, | -.0117, | -. 0184 , | -. 0294 , | -. 0520 | .0058, |
| 6. | -. 1250, | -. 0216. | -.0949, | -. 0052 , | -. 0909, | . 1011. | .0208, | -.0982, | -. 0029 , | .0091, |
| 7. | .0311. | -. 0186 | -.0326 | . 0634 , | .0509. | -.0581, | -.0208, | -. 0111. | -.0432, | .0357, |
| 8 , | . 1180. | -.0875, | .0903. | .1374, | .0979, | -.0140, | .1472, | -. 1401, | -. 0360, | .1044, |

Table 6.5.2

Run title : Herring VIa South (run: SEPJM10/S10)
At 16-Apr-96 16:01:28
Table 17 Summary (with SOP correction)
Traditional vpa Terminal populations from weighted Separable populations

| , | RECRUITS, Age 1 | TOTALBIO, | TOTSPBIO, | LANDINGS, | YIELD/SSB, | SOPCOFAC, | FBAR | 3-7. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970, | 403449, | 194005, | 119977, | 20306, | .1692, | .8968, |  | . 1865, |
| 1971, | 811550, | 218465, | 109276, | 15044, | .1377, | .8707, |  | . 1720, |
| 1972, | 728391, | 232739, | 118014, | 23474, | .1989, | .8975, |  | .2373, |
| 1973, | 528108, | 277593, | 160914, | 36719, | .2282, | 1.0162, |  | .2977. |
| 1974. | 581534, | 213956, | 99084, | 36589. | .3693, | .9762, |  | .4534, |
| 1975, | 400670, | 205951, | 102586, | 38764, | .3779, | 1.1237, |  | . 4718. |
| 1976, | 675522, | 196635, | 72779, | 32767. | . 4502, | 1.0472, |  | . 5420 , |
| 1977. | 567740, | 184794, | 80770. | 20567. | .2546, | 1.0778, |  | . 3391. |
| 1978, | 1020052, | 232660, | 79448, | 19715. | .2482, | 1.0161. |  | . 2744. |
| 1979. | 950801, | 268676, | 109398, | 22608, | . 2067, | 1.0664 , |  | . 3051. |
| 1980, | 511633, | 214113, | 109684, | 30124, | .2746, | .9636, |  | . 4286 , |
| 1981. | 657890, | 229947, | 111086, | 24922, | . 2243, | 1.0312, |  | . 3218 , |
| 1982, | 676741. | 230507, | 114685, | 19209. | . 1675, | 1.0301, |  | . 2408, |
| 1983, | 2005203. | 397302, | 110231, | 32988 , | . 2993, | 1.0042, |  | . 3911 , |
| 1984. | 974970, | 335330, | 170518, | 27450, | .1610, | .9688, |  | . 2099. |
| 1985, | 1186987. | 328759, | 168005, | 23343, | .1389, | .9846, |  | . 1990, |
| 1986. | 919679, | 346829, | 204538, | 28785, | .1407, | 1.0002, |  | .2051, |
| 1987, | 3315151. | 550584, | 173106, | 48600, | . 2808, | .9488, |  | . 4091 , |
| 1988, | 490350, | 413647, | 285398. | 29100. | . 1020, | .9992, |  | . 3088 , |
| 1989. | 675281. | 360005, | 214225, | 29210. | .1364, | 1.0010, |  | . 2526, |
| 1990, | 891014, | 338123, | 182398, | 43969. | . 2411, | 1.0006, |  | . 2708, |
| 1991. | 498469, | 273900, | 171824, | 37700, | . 2194, | .9971, |  | . 2644, |
| 1992, | 557192, | 233104; | 135335, | 31856, | . 2354, | .9951, |  | .2639, |
| 1993. | 703033, | 253897, | 124164, | 36763, | . 2961. | 1.0060, |  | . 3612, |
| 1994, | 1395327. | 290376, | 112003, | 33908, | .3027. | .9980, |  | . 3233, |
| 1995, | 133329, | 179708, | 124089, | 27792, | .2240, | 1.0525, |  | . 3334, |
| Arith. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 856156, | 276985, | 137059, | 29703, | . 2340 |  |  | . 3101 |
| Units, | (Thousands), | (Tonnes), | (Tonnes), | (Tonnes), |  |  |  |  |

Run title : Herring VIa South (run: SEPJM10/S10)
At 16-Apr-96 16:01:28
Traditional vpa Terminal populations from weighted Separable populations

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Table } 8 \\ & \text { YEAR, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fishing } \\ & 1970, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mortality } \\ & \text { 1971, } \end{aligned}$ | (F) at 1972, | 1973, | 1974, | 1975, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | . 0005 | .0017, | .0022. | .0194, | .0092, | . 0294 , |
| 2, | . 3867 , | .0493, | .1182, | .1912. | . 1957 , | . 2538, |
| 3. | . 2454, | . 1309. | . 2430 , | . 3081. | . 3227. | . 2714, |
| 4, | . 1788, | . 1455, | . 1546, | . 3054. | .5029, | . 3787 , |
| 5, | . 1654, | .1557, | . 1956, | . 2505, | . 5398, | .4851, |
| 6. | . 1530, | . 2242, | . 2383. | . 3079 , | . 4704 , | .6419, |
| 7. | . 1898, | .2038, | . 3552. | . 3169. | .4314, | .5820, |
| 8, | . 2072, | .1949, | . 3430 , | .2471, | .6153, | .7167. |
| +gp, | . 2072, | . 1949, | . 3430, | .2471, | .6153, | .7167, |
| FBAR 3-7, | . 1865 , | .1720, | .2373. | .2977, | .4534, | .4718, |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Table } 8 \\ & \text { YEAR, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fishing } \\ & \text { 1976, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mortality } \\ & \text { 1977, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (F) at } \\ & 1978, \end{aligned}$ | $1979 .$ | 1980, | 1981, | 1982, | 1983. | 1984, | 1985. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1, | . 0396 | .0126, | .0159, | .0099, | .0089, | .0039, | . 0017. | .0012, | . 0045 |  |
| 2, | . 2654, | . 2413. | . 2547 , | . $1699^{\prime}$ | . 1432, | . 1481, | .0909, | . 2261. | . $1365{ }^{\prime}$ | .0129, |
| 3, | . $4116{ }^{\circ}$ | . 1999. | .2409. | .1959, | . 3689. | . 2314 , | .1707, | . $4048{ }^{\circ}$ | . 2414, | . 1692 , |
| 4, | . 4837 | . 3179, | .2956, | . 2666, | . 4009. | . 2908. | . 2293, | .3891, | . 2360 , | . 1528, |
| 5, | . 5807. | . 3805 , | .2976, | . 3106. | . 4677. | . 3257 , | . 2444. | . 3862 , | . 1620, | .2049. |
| 6. | . 5727. | . 4178, | . $2545{ }^{\circ}$ | . 3592. | . 4054. | . 4774 , | . 3143 , | . 3657 , | . 2366 , | .2312, |
| 8, | . 6615. | . 3794. | . 28335, | . 3933 , | . 5000. | . 28388. | . 2451. | . 4098, | .1736, | . 2371. |
| +gp, | .7557, | . 3166, | . 4082, | .4695, | . $55244^{\prime}$ | . 3322, | .4156, | .2874, | .1841, | . 3090, |
| FBAR 3-7. | . 5420 , | . 3391. | .2744, | . 3051 , | .4286, | . 3218, | . 2408 , | . 3911 , | . 2099 , | . 1990. |

Table 6.5.2 (cont'd)

Run title : Herring VIa South (run: SEPJM10/S10)
At 16-Apr-96 16:01:28
Traditional vpa Terminal populations from weighted Separable populations

| Table 8 YEAR, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fishing } \\ & \text { 1986, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mortality } \\ & \text { 1987, } \end{aligned}$ | (F) at 1988, | 1989, | 1990, | 1991, | 1992, | 1993, | 1994, | 1995, | FBAR 93-95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | .0016, | .0058, | .0000, | . 0053 | . 0016, | .0021, | .0074, | . 0004 , | .0134, | . 0034 , | .0057, |
| 2. | .0754, | . 1633 , | . 0282. | . 0454. | . 1240 , | . 1294. | . 1030 , | . 1240 , | .2872, | .0819, | .1644, |
| 3, | .1150, | . 3525 , | .2735, | .1047, | .1839, | .2096. | .2477, | .2278, | .2977, | . 3154 , | .2803, |
| 4, | .2376, | . 2706 , | .2907, | .2322, | . $28433^{\circ}$ | .1623, | . 3038. | . 3899. | .2510, | . 2759, | . 3056 , |
| 5, | .2349, | .5830, | . 2151. | .2174, | . 3132 , | . 27451 , | . 2193. | . $4177{ }^{\circ}$, | .3196, | .4415, | . 3930 , |
| 6. | .1967, | . 3624. | .5158, | .1867. | . 2901 , | . 3551. | .2943, | .3451. | .4072, | .3671, | . 3731 , |
| 7. | . $24122^{\prime}$ | . $47699^{\prime}$ | .2490, | .5222, | .2828, | . 3203, | .2543, | . 4256 , | . 3412 , | . 2670 , | . $3446{ }^{\circ}$, |
| $\begin{array}{r}8, \\ +\mathrm{gp} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | . 191919 | . $38799^{\prime}$ | .1427, | .2102, | . $2869{ }^{\circ}$ | . 2883 , | .2619, | .3389, | . 3240 , | .5940, | .4190, |
| FBAR ${ }^{\text {+gp, }} 3$, | .1919, | . 380979 , | .1427, | .2102, | .2869, | .2883, | .2619, | .3389, | . 3240.0 | . 5943, |  |

Run title : Herring VIa South (run: SEPJM10/S10)
At 16-Apr-96 16:01:28
Traditional vpa Terminal populations from weighted Separable populations


| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 403449, | 811550 | 728390, | 528108, | 581534, | 400670, |
| 2, | 125520, | 148342, | 298040. | 267379. | 190547. | 211972, |
| 3. | 131348, | 63165. | 104604, | 196182, | 163609. | 116068, |
| 4, | 84885, | 84142, | 45372, | 67169, | 118031. | 97009, |
| 5, | 26813, | 64234. | 65825, | 35175, | 44783, | 64588, |
| 6. | 297155. | 20563, | 49740, | 48981. | 24776. | 23618, |
| 7. | 18097, | 230720, | 14869, | 35463 , | 32576. | 14006, |
| 8 , | 9346, | 13544. | 170270, | 9431. | 23372, | 19148, |
| +gp, | 10714, | 12798. | 15536, | 244193, | 69509. | 65396 , |
| TOTAL, | 1107327, | 1449057. | 1492646, | 1432080, | 1248737, | 1012474, |


| Table 10 | Stock | ber at | e (sta |  |  |  | mbers*1 | -3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR, | 1976, | 1977. | 1978, | 1979. | 1980, | 1981, | 1982, | 1983, | 1984, | 1985, |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 675522. | 567740, | 1020052, | 950800, | 511633, | 657890, | 676740, | 2005204, | 974970, | 1186987. |
| 2, | 143125 , | 238872, | 206252, | 369345, | 346338, | 186558, | 241082, | 248525. | 736792, | 357046, |
| 3. | 121835. | 81317, | 139021. | 118440, | 230863, | 222346. | 119184. | 163082. | 146860. | 476197. |
| 4, | 72442, | 66094. | 54515, | 89457. | 79718, | 130705. | 144433. | 82263. | 89073, | 94453, |
| 5. | 60108, | 40410, | 43516, | 36705, | 61999, | 48307, | 88426, | 103907. | 50441, | 63654, |
| 6, | 35980 , | 30431, | 24993, | 29240, | 24346, | 35142, | 31561, | 62665. | 63896, | 38814, |
| 7. | 11248, | 18361, | 18132, | 17532, | 18474, | 14687. | 19727. | 20856, | 39335. | 45635, |
| 8, | 7081. | 5252. | 11368 , | 12356, | 10705, | 10139. | 10006, | 13969. | 12527. | 29920, |
| +gp, | 30922, | 16028, | 10387, | 11426, | 13145, | 19351. | 8854, | 17838, | 25079, | 8550, |
| TOTAL, | 1158262, | 1064506, | 1528237. | 1635302, | 1297221. | 1325125. | 1340013, | 2718308. | 2138973, | 2301257, |

Table 6.5.2 (cont'd)

Run title : Herring VIa South (run: SEPJM10/Si0)
At 16-Apr-96 16:01:28
Traditional vpa Terminal populations from weighted Separable populations


Fig. 6.1.1 Herring: Landings from Division VIa(S) and VIIb for the period 1970 to 1995


Fig. 6.5.1 Division Vla(S) and VIIb SSB levels arising from different input levels of F for 1995.


Fig. 6.8.1 Herring in VIa(S) + VIIb. Relationship between stock and recruitment for the years 1970 to 1989


### 7.1 The Fishery

### 7.1.1 Advice and management applicable to 1995 and 1996

In 1994 no analytical assessment of this stock was undertaken due to continued uncertainty about the fishing mortality and level of SSB. ACFM concluded that the present state of the stock was not known. Consequently the ACFM advice was that if a precautionary TAC is required for 1995 it should not exceed the recent catch levels of $5,100 \mathrm{t}$ (average over the period 1990-1993). A TAC of 7,000 $t$ was subsequently adopted for 1995 and partitioned as $1,820 \mathrm{t}$ to the Republic of Ireland and $5,180 \mathrm{t}$ to the UK. ACFM also considered a request by the UK (Northern Ireland) for amendment of the spawning closure to the east of the Isle of Man. Due to not being able to assess the state of the Division VIIa(N) stock ACFM stated that it was not possible to evaluate, on scientific grounds, the effect of the current closure or of the proposed amendments.

In 1995 the UK fishery opened in the third week in June. The Republic of Ireland fishery opened in the second week of August but no boats participated. Closed areas for herring fishing in the Irish Sea along the east coast of Ireland and within 12 nautical miles of the west coast of Britain were maintained throughout the year. The Mourne gillnet fishery, which has a derogation to fish within the Irish closed box, opened in September and closed in November. The area to the east of the Isle of Man (encompassing the Douglas Bank spawning ground) was closed from 21 September to 31 December. Two sets of pair trawlers (four vessels in total) undertook a series of three surveys and fishing operations inside this closed area on 26-28 September, 9-10 October and 18-26 October 1995 (see Section 7.3.3).

In 1995, ACFM concluded that the state of the stock is still unknown. Further, they suggested that if a precautionary TAC is required it should be such that the catch does not exceed the catch of recent years (about $5,000 \mathrm{t}$ ). A TAC of $7,000 \mathrm{t}$ was adopted for 1996 and partitioned as $1,820 \mathrm{t}$ to the Republic of Ireland and 5,180 to the UK.

### 7.1.2 The fishery in 1995

The catches reported from each country, for the period 1982 to 1995 are given in Table 7.1.1. Again there has been no estimate of discarding or slipping. The total catch of $5,076 \mathrm{t}$ was again below the recommended TAC of $7,000 \mathrm{t}$. As in 1993 and 1994, this was mainly due to the Republic of Ireland not taking any herring from Division VIIa(N). The catches reported here include 600 t from the survey/fishing experiment on the spawning closed area to the east of the Isle of Man, which was not put against quota. The Northern Ireland fleet took $58 \%$ of their catch in the 2 nd and 3 rd quarters and the remainder in the 4th quarter. Landings from the Mourne gillnet fishery were low in 1995 (109 t).

### 7.1.3 Quality of catch and biological data

There are still no estimates of discarding or slippage of herring in the Irish Sea fisheries.
Biological sampling in this fishery remains fairly high with approximately one sample per 60 t landed (Table 7.1.2). No samples were taken in the 1st and 2nd quarters; however, landings in these quarters were only 62 t . Coverage in the 4th quarter was higher than normal due to the survey/fishing experiment on Douglas Bank.

### 7.1.4 Catch in number at age

Catches in numbers at age are given in Table 7.1.3 for the years 1972 to 1994. The predominant year class in 1995 was the 2 -ringers ( 1992 year class). The 1990 year class, which was numerically the most abundant year class in the 19931994 catches was still abundant in the 1995 catches. The catch in numbers at length is given in Table 7.1.4 for 1988 to 1995. The distribution of lengths in 1995 was similar to that in the preceding year with a low abundance of fish over 30 cm compared with 1988 and 1989, during which the strong 1979 and 1980 year classes were abundant in the catches (see Table 7.1.3).

### 7.2 Mean length, weight, maturity and natural mortality at age

Mean lengths at age were calculated for the 3rd quarter using the Northern Ireland data and are given for the years 1985 to 1995 in Table 7.2.1. In general, mean lengths at age have remained fairly stable since 1988.

Mean weights at age in the stock are given in Table 7.2.2. Mean weights at age in 1995 were, in general, comparable to the mean weights in 1994. Mean weights at age in the third-quarter catches have been used as estimates of stock weights at spawning time.

The maturity ogive used in 1994 (Anon. 1995d) was used again since there was no evidence to suggest a change: 0.08 for 1 -ringers, 0.85 for 2 -ringers and 1.00 for $3+$-ringers.

As in previous years, natural mortality was assumed to be $1.0 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ on 1 -ringers, 0.3 on 2 -ringers, 0.2 on 3 -ringers and 0.1 on all older age classes.

### 7.3 Research surveys

### 7.3.1 Acoustic surveys

An acoustic survey was undertaken on Douglas Bank on 22 to 26 September 1994 using the shoal-mapping techniques described by Nash \& Hughes (1996 WD). This survey located the main spawning shoal, the biomass of which was estimated to be $28,200 \mathrm{t}$ The time series of information from these surveys is given in Table 7.3.1.

An acoustic survey was undertaken over the whole northern Irish Sea (Division VIIa(N)) between 11 and 22 September 1995 by Northern Ireland as part of a time series that commenced in 1994. The survey is described in detail by Armstrong et al. ( 1996 WD). The 1994 and 1995 surveys were both carried out using a Simrad EK500 echosounder with a towed 38 kHz split-beam transducer. The surveys were stratified to allow variable sampling intensity according to the expected distribution of herring. Targets were identified where possible by midwater trawling. However, due to difficulties in sampling some midwater herring schools which contributed significantly to the overall biomass estimate in both years, the surveys are treated as providing age-aggregated estimates of biomass of 1 -ring and older herring. The spatial distribution of pelagic fish targets in 1995 was similar to that observed in 1994. Herring targets were located mainly around the Isle of Man (Figure 7.3.1). The herring off the west coast of the Isle of Man comprised a mixture of immature and mature fish. Herring on or near the spawning grounds off the east coast of the Isle of Man were predominantly adult fish ( $23-30 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). Along the Mull of Galloway (North Channel) there was a scattering layer of mixed sprats and adult herring close inshore. Comparatively few $1+$ herring were detected off the Irish coast. There were very high concentrations of mixed sprat and juvenile ( 0 -ring) herring off the coasts of Ireland, NE Isle of Man and England particularly off the Solway Firth and Cumbria. The occurrence of herring inshore in Liverpool Bay could not be assessed as this area was not surveyed.

The estimated biomass of $1+$ herring in the Irish Sea (Division VIIa(N)) in 1995 was $53,000 \mathrm{t}$ with an overall approximate CV of 0.32 (Table 7.3.1). The estimate for 1994 was lower at $31,400 \mathrm{t}$ with an approximate CV of 0.36 . Earlier acoustic surveys of Division VIIa(N) in 1991 and 1992 (Table 7.3.1) did not cover the entire northern Irish Sea and took place in summer when part of the stock of mature fish may occur outside the Irish Sea. Hence the results of these surveys were not included in the assessment of the stock carried out this year.

### 7.3.2 Larvae surveys

Larvae surveys were undertaken by Northern Ireland (whole of Division VIIa(N)) and the Isle of Man (Douglas Bank). The Isle of Man was not able to undertake a survey of the northeastern Irish Sea due to poor weather conditions in November, December and January. The Douglas Bank survey (the 7th in the series) was undertaken between 18 and 20 October 1995 over the usual 5 nautical mile grid centred on Douglas Bank (see Nash \& Hughes 1996 WD). The numbers of larvae at 6 mm length and the estimated larval production were the second lowest on record (Table 7.3.2). The distribution of spawning dates, back-calculated from the length at capture, suggested that the majority of the larvae were spawned around 3 October 1995 . However, water temperatures in the area were up to $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ higher than normal and the duration of the egg and larval time may have been shorter than estimated. Hence, the estimate of spawning date may be too early. The results are, however, consistent with the time periods when spawning herring were detected during a series of acoustic surveys of the Douglas Bank (see Section 7.3.3).

The larvae survey of Division VIIa(N) was undertaken by Northern Ireland from 26 to 29 November 1995 (Armstrong et al. 1996 W.D.). Herring larvae were caught at only four out of 50 stations, to the north and north-east of the Isle of Man. Although sea surface temperatures were at least $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ warmer than in previous years, no corrections could be made for variations in growth or mortality rate of larvae. The distribution of spawning dates estimated from the size composition of larvae indicated a spawning period from late September to the end of October. This, again, was consistent with the results of acoustic surveys discussed in Section 7.3.3. The estimate of total larval production in 1995
( $1.54 \times 10^{12}$ ) was lower than in previous years (Table 7.3.2). Both the Douglas Bank larval survey and the Division VIla(N) survey show similar interannual variations in estimated larval production (Table 7.3.2).

### 7.3.3 Study of spawning patterns on Douglas Bank

### 7.3.3.1 Methods employed

An experiment to examine the spawning patterns on Douglas Bank was undertaken in September and October 1995 by the Department for Agriculture for Northern Ireland, the Port Erin Marine Laboratory, the Isle of Man Department of Fisheries and Agriculture and the Northern Ireland fishing industry. The experiment took place in four phases. Phase 1 included the acoustic survey of Division VIIa(N) from 11-22 September (see Section 7.3.1). Phases 2 to 4 consisted of observer surveys by commercial fishing vessels and concurrent acoustic surveys by research vessels inside the spawning closed area to the east of the Isle of Man (Armstrong et al. 1996 WD), covering the period 26 September to 26 October.

Phases 2 and 3 (26-28 September, 9-10 October) were undertaken by a pair of herring trawlers and the RV Roagan. The trawlers covered the area to the east of the Isle of Man, with scientific observers noting the positions and types of herring targets. Work was carried out mainly during the hours of darkness. The pair of trawlers was permitted to capture up to 200 t in the area during each phase. Samples were taken from these catches to estimate length frequency, age composition and stage of maturity of the fish. The research vessel started an acoustic survey on the second night, targeting only the areas where significant aggregations were reported. The research vessel also obtained samples from the spawning aggregations surveyed.

Phase 4 (23-26 October) involved four commercial trawlers and the RV Lough Foyle. A larger area surrounding the spawning grounds was surveyed by the commercial vessels. Methods were as employed in Phases 2 and 3.

### 7.3.3.2 Survey results

During Phase 1 , herring were scattered widely in the vicinity of the Douglas Bank spawning grounds to the east of the Isle of Man (Figure 7.3.1). Highest densities were in the vicinity of the main spawning aggregations located in Phases 2 and 4. The estimate of biomass for the survey stratum covering the spawning grounds (indicated by the box in Figure. 7.3.1) was $4,700 \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{CV}=0.22)$.

In Phase 2, herring spawning aggregations were detected in three groups approximately 3-5 nautical miles off the east side of the Isle of Man. A line of midwater schools was observed extending northwards from the aggregations along the coast. The RV Roagan surveyed one large aggregation of approximately $1.6 \times 0.6$ nautical miles in extent, and one smaller aggregation, using a Simrad EY500 (single beam 38 kHz ) echosounder. The majority of the fish were within 5 m of the bottom. Although the area covered by the shoal was much larger than previously recorded in similar surveys, the overall density of fish appeared much lower. Unfortunately, the acoustic data have become irretrievable so an acoustic estimate of this phase could not be delivered prior to the Working Group.

In Phase 3, the survey using commercial vessels detected a single cluster of herring spawning aggregations approximately $3-5$ nautical miles east of the Isle of Man. As in Phase 2 there was a line of midwater schools extending northward from the aggregations along the coast. The aggregations (three main shoals) were mapped by the RV Roagan. Each aggregation was mapped twice, the second after the commercial vessels had taken a total catch of 200 t . The mean of the two mappings (allowing for the catch) was $9,800 \mathrm{t}$.

The Phase 4 surveys took place at the end of the expected spawning season. The observer survey indicated herring spawning aggregations in a single cluster approximately 3-5 nautical miles off the east coast of the Isle of Man with very little evidence of herring over the rest of the Manx closed box. The RV Lough Foyle undertook an intensive acoustic survey of the area reported to contain herring aggregations. Small patches of dispersed herring targets were recorded in a band 3 nautical miles offshore, with several large aggregations in the vicinity of the spawning herring noted previously. The estimate of biomass of spawning fish at this time was $1,750 \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{CV}=0.51)$.

Over the period 20 September to 26 October the herring found on Douglas Bank progressed from a mixture of stage 3-4 and stage 5 s to predominantly stage 6 and spent fish. The acoustic estimates indicate that the spawning occurred mainly between mid September and the end of October. These dates are similar to estimates produced from the Division VIla(N) surveys on herring larvae. Adult herring were initially widely scattered on and around the spawning grounds but coalesced rapidly into spawning aggregations which were concentrated within only a small part of the Manx closed box. By the end of October, only comparatively small aggregations were present on the spawning grounds with little
evidence of post-spawning fish in other areas of the closed box. Unfortunately the date of peak spawning could not be accurately determined due to missing echo-integration data from the Phase 2 survey. The general pattern of spawning appeared similar to that observed in 1994, although the densities of fish in the spawning aggregations appeared lower. Spawning behaviour in 1995 may not have been typical because of unusually high sea temperatures in this year, as observed also in the Celtic Sea (see Section 4.4.1).

### 7.4 Data exploration and preliminary modelling

It has previously not been possible to carry out an analytical assessment of this stock due to the paucity of catchindependent estimates or indices of stock size. This year, the availability of new survey indices allowed an analytical assessment to be carried out using an integrated catch-at-age analysis including a separable constraint (Deriso et al. 1985). The ICA version 1.2 implementation was used (Patterson and Melvin W.D. 1996). The following short survey series were available for inclusion in an assessment using the ICA package:

1. Larval production estimates from Douglas Bank surveys: 1989-1995 (DBL)
2. Age-aggregated acoustic estimates of Manx herring spawning aggregations in 1989, 1990 and 1994 (AC_DB)
3. Age-aggregated acoustic estimates of $1+$ ring herring in Division VIIa(N) in September 1994 and 1995 (AC_VHa(N))
4. Western Irish Sea groundfish survey indices of 0-ring herring in September 1991-1995 (GFSOS)
5. Western Irish Sea groundfish survey indices of 1-ring herring in March 1992-1996 (GFS1M)
6. Western Irish Sea groundfish survey indices of 1-ring herring in June 1991-1994 (GFS1J)
7. Western Irish Sea groundfish survey indices of 1-ring herring in September 1991-1995 (GFSIS)

As it was not possible to include both age-aggregated indices of SSB and of total biomass in the present version of ICA, it was necessary to adjust the Division VIIa(N) acoustic survey estimates to reflect SSB rather than total biomass. This was achieved by carrying out a range of tuned and untuned VPAs and calculating the ratio of SSB to total biomass at spawning time. The ratio was comparatively robust to choice of input parameters, and averaged approximately $60 \%$. The Division VIIa(N) acoustic estimates of total biomass were reduced accordingly and included in a tuning file as ageaggregated indices of SSB. The different indices are given in Table 7.4.1 and are also plotted in Figure 7.4.1. Although individual CVs of the GFS series were comparatively high, similar overall trends were apparent in the four series, with the 1992 year class being strongest in each series. Larval production on the Douglas Bank was also high in 1992. Although the larval production indices may also provide information on recruitment, it was decided to treat the series as SSB indices in accordance with practices for other herring stocks.

The ICA model was fitted using each series in turn (the acoustic survey data were analysed together because of the shortness of the AC_VIIa(N) series). The following input values were used:

- Separable constraint over last 6 years (weighting $=1.0$ for each year)
- Reference age $=4$
- Selectivity on oldest age $=$ selectivity on reference age
- Weighting on 1 -ringers $=0.1$; all other age classes $=1.0$
- No S/R relationship fitted
- All indices treated as proportionate

It was decided not to treat the Division VIIa(N) acoustic survey estimates as absolute because of large discrepancies between acoustic estimates and tuned SSB estimates in other stocks assessed during the meeting. No solution could be found using series GFSOS and GFS1M. Estimates of $F(95)$ for the reference age class 4 from the other tuning series are shown in Figure 7.4.2 together with $\pm 1$ SD intervals. Estimates ranged from $<0.1$ to 0.4 . Precision was poor except for the acoustic surveys. However, the estimated precision of the model fit for the latter series will not reflect the true level of precision because of over-parameterization associated with the small number of data. In view of the limited data available for analysis, it was decided to carry out the final assessment using all tuning series for which a solution could be found, and to weight each index equally.

### 7.5 Stock assessment

The following objective function was chosen for the baseline assessment, based on the results given in Section 7.4:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{a, y}\left(\log \left(C_{a, y}\right)-\log \left(C_{a, y}\right)\right)^{2}+ \\
\sum_{y}\left(\log \left(Q_{D B L} S S B_{y}\right)-\log \left(D B L_{y}\right)\right)^{2}+ \\
\sum_{y}\left(\log \left(Q_{A C_{-} I I I a V} S S B_{y}\right)-\log \left(A C_{-} V I I N_{y}\right)\right)^{2}+ \\
\sum_{y}\left(\log \left(Q_{A C_{-} D B} S S B_{y}\right)-\log \left(A C_{-} D B_{y}\right)\right)^{2}+ \\
\sum_{1, y}\left(\log \left(Q_{G F S I J} N_{1, y}\right)-\log \left(G F S 1 J_{1, y}\right)\right)^{2}+ \\
\sum_{1, y}\left(\log \left(Q_{G F S I S} N_{1, y}\right)-\log \left(G F S S_{1, y}\right)\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

where,

| a,y - | age and year subscripts |
| :--- | :--- |
| C | Catch in number at age and year |
| C' | Catch in number at age and year predicted by the structural model |
| SSB | Spawning stock biomass in the structural model |
| DBL | Larval abundance index, Douglas Bank |
| AC_DB | Acoustic survey estimates of SSB on Douglas Bank |
| AC_VIIaN | Acoustic survey estimates of 1+ ringer biomass, adjusted to SSB |
| GFSIJ | GFS index of 1-ringers in June |
| GFSIS | GFS index of 1-ringers in September |
| N | Population numbers at survey time in the structural model |
| Q... | Coefficient of proportionality for survey indices |

Results of the baseline model fit are shown in Figuress 7.5.1-7.5.8 and Table 7.5.1. The SSQ surfaces for each index show shallow minima at low levels of fishing mortality. The estimate of $\mathrm{F}(2-6)$ for 1995 was 0.11 (stock summary, Table 7.5.1), with a corresponding SSB estimate of 37.8 thousand $t$. The $\log$ SE of the estimate of reference F was 0.55 , whilst the $\log$ SEs for the population estimates ranged from 0.49 at age 2 to 0.68 at age 1 . Hence the overall precision is poor, not unexpected given the limited tuning data available. The effect of the poor precision on stock projections is explored more fully in Section 7.8.

Although the catch-at-age matrix (Table 7.1.3) indicates a relatively strong 1990 year class, this is not apparent in the GFS indices. Because the SSB indices are not age-disaggregated, the model interprets the apparent (although weakly defined) increase in SSB as being caused primarily by a strong 1992 year class which is strongly represented in the 1995 catch at age. The comparatively large catches of 1990 year class herring in successive years are interpreted as positive catchability residuals (Figure. 7.5.3). The possibility of a strong 1992 year class is supported by comparison with other herring stocks to the west of the British Isles, as there is a tendency for all the stocks to show similar occurrences of strong and weak year classes.

In view of the low precision of the assessment, the apparent reduction in $F(2-6)$ to 0.11 in 1995 from values of 0.150.21 over the period 1991 to 1994 should be treated with caution. The reduction in F(2-6) in the early 1990s compared with previous years is a reasonable assertion in view of the withdrawal of the Irish fleet from the fishery during this period. A very small fleet of vessels has subsequently fished the stock, supporting the likelihood of a low level of F . However, it was decided that it would be appropriate to shrink the model estimate of 1995 F to the mean of the 1991 1995 estimates in order to improve the robustness of the assessment. Three runs were carried out following an initial fit of the ICA model. In the first, the shrunk value of $F$ in 1995 was obtained according to the CV of the 1991-95 F estimates and the CV of the estimated $F$ in 1995. In the second and third runs, the CV of the 1991-95 F estimates was constrained to minimum values of 0.2 and 0.5 respectively. The effect on estimates of $F(2-6)$ was as follows:

| Minimum shrinkage CV | $\mathrm{E}(2-6)$ in 1995 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.152 |
| 0.2 | 0.148 |
| 0.5 | 0.131 |
| no shrinkage | 0.107 |

A minimum CV of 0.5 was chosen to fix equal weighting to the estimated $F$ in 1995 and the mean of the 1991-95 estimates of $F$. The shrunk estimates of $F$ and population sizes were used for making short-term predictions. Mediumterm projections were carried out using the unshrunk population estimates as starting values, although the shrunk estimate of F was included as one option for status quo F . The population estimates, fishing mortalities and stock summary table for the shrunk assessment are given in Table 7.5.2.

### 7.6 Stock and Catch Projection

A short-term prediction was carried out using the shrunk ICA estimates of population numbers and fishing mortalities. Numbers of 1 -ringers in 1995 and subsequent years were assumed equal to the long-term geometric mean as there are no indications of trends in recruitment over time. The ICA estimates of selectivity at age were rescaled to the shrunk estimate of $\mathrm{F}(2-6)$ in 1995 to provide the exploitation pattern. Predictions of stocks and yields in 1996 were made assuming a TAC constraint of 7000 t in 1996. Predictions for 1997 and 1998 were made for a range of F -multipliers. Weights at age in the stock and catch were averages for the years 1993-95. A TAC constraint of 7000 t for 1996 was chosen as there were some indications that the Irish fleet may fish again in Division VIIa(N) in 1996.

Input data for the predictions are given in Table 7.6.1. Management options are given in Table 7.6.2, with detailed output for status quo F given in Table 7.6.3. These predictions are based on an ICA model fit with CVs of around 0.5 on 1995 population estimates, and must be regarded as very approximate only. The TAC constraint of $7,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1996 implies an F of 0.16. SSB in 1996 is expected to increase slightly and to remain at about $40,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1997 and 1998 at status quo F . The SSB in 1997 and 1998 is expected to remain above $20,000 \mathrm{t}$ even if F is increased by a factor of 3. The status quo catch in 1997 is $5,755 \mathrm{t}$.

### 7.7 MBAL and stock-recruit considerations

The possible level of MBAL for this stock was investigated through consideration of the average SSB in the absence of fishing and through examination of historical estimates of stock and recruitment.

The unexploited stock size was calculated using the following:

- arithmetic mean recruitment (calculated as GM recruitment * $\exp \left(\sigma^{2} / 2\right)$ ) for the years 1972-1994
- arithmetic mean weights-at-age in the stock (1993-1995)
- values of maturity and natural mortality used by this Working Group for Division VIIa(N) herring
- different assumptions regarding range of ages in the unexploited stock.

Four different age ranges were examined:

- 1 to virtual extinction of the cohort (no assumption about senescent mortality)
- 1 to 20 rings (a reasonable maximum age for herring in Division VIIa when unexploited)
-1 to 15 rings (maximum age currently found in the stock)
-1 to 8 rings (plus-group in the current age matrix)
The first three make the assumption that fish of 9-rings and older have the same weight at age as in the plus group (8+).
The unexploited stock (referred to as 'virgin stock' by the Methods Working Group (Anon. 1993b)) was estimated as being between 61,000 and $134,000 t$ depending on whether one uses age 8 as the maximum age (as used in the catch-atage matrix) or a range of ages where the cohort becomes virtually extinct (see Table 7.7.1).

In considering MBAL levels for Division VIa(N), where a stock-recruit curve did not appear appropriate (see Section 5.1.11), one third of the unexploited equilibrium stock was considered an indicative MBAL. In that case the age range used was that currently used in the catch-at-age matrix. In Division VIIa(N) a comparable calculation indicates an MBAL of $20,000 \mathrm{t}$. However, making alternative choices about the age range for calculating unexploited stock size can lead to a range of values from 20,000 to $45,000 \mathrm{t}$. Furthermore, the $33 \%$ figure is arbitrary. Arguably, a $20 \%$ figure could be used, leading to a possible range of MBAL of 12,000 to $27,000 \mathrm{t}$.

A Beverton-Holt stock-recruit curve was fitted to the historical (1972-93) stock and 1 -ring recruit data for Division VIIa(N) herring (Figure 7.7.1). This is not a good fit to the data but serves in further considerations of MBAL. As suggested by Anon. (1993b) the intersection between the $\mathrm{F}=0$ replacement line and the stock-recruit curve was determined. A value of $20 \%$ of the stock size at this intersection point was calculated as 12-27,000 t (Table 7.7.1). Anon. (1993b) suggest that this could be considered as MBAL.

Another suggestion by Anon. (1993b) was $50 \%$ of the long-term average of recruitment and the appropriate SSB from the stock-recruit curve. This suggests an MBAL of $2,300 \mathrm{t}$ (Table 7.7.1). This is clearly rather low and approximately $38 \%$ of the smallest SSB suggested for this stock (Table 7.7.1) and between 2 and $4 \%$ of the unexploited stock. The calculation of MBAL from this part of the stock-recruit relationship is suspect since there is no information about the dependence of recruitment on SSB at low biomasses.

Given the uncertainties in trying to assess MBAL for this stock the Working Group feel that MBAL cannot be adequately described for this stock at present. However, the stock-recruit scatter plot suggests that at SSBs below $20,000 t$ there are very few good recruitment events. With an SSB above $20,000 \mathrm{t}$ large recruitments do occur. Also, the most appropriate method of calculating unexploited stock is to utilise either ages 1 to 20 -rings or 1 to 15 -rings. These calculations point toward an MBAL of around 20,000 t. Considering the development of the stock between 1972 and the present (Figure 7.7.2) a figure of $20,000 \mathrm{t}$ appears well above the precipitous decline seen through the late 1970s. Therefore, maintaining the SSB above $20,000 t$ for the present, until further analysis can be undertaken on determining MBAL, appears sensible.

### 7.8 Medium-term predictions of stock size

Stochastic projections over a 10-year time horizon were carried out using the ICA-linked program ICPRO ver 2.0 (Patterson W.D. 1996). The program reads ICA estimates of populations and Fs and the variance-covariance matrix for parameter estimates. Although there is only weak evidence for a stock-recruit relationship in this stock, a Beverton-Holt fit to the full historic series of stock and recruitment (see Figure 7.7.1) was used in the projection to allow the possibility of recruitment overfishing at low stock sizes. Projections were carried out for a range of F multipliers including the multiplier giving an $F$ equivalent to the shrunk estimate of $F$ from the baseline ICA assessment. Recruitment residuals in each of 1500 Monte Carlo simulations at each $F$ multiplier were selected randomly and independently from the stock-recruit model residuals. Probability distributions of SSB were evaluated relative to a preliminary estimate of MBAL of $20,000 \mathrm{t}$.

Graphical output of the projection at the baseline assessment estimate of $1995 \mathrm{~F}(2-6)=0.11$ is shown in Figure 7.8.1. Median values of total landings increase slowly to just over 6000 t , whilst median SSB increases slowly to just over $50,000 \mathrm{t}$. The risk of SSB falling below MBAL remains small over the 10 years despite the large variance of population estimates resulting from the poor precision of the underlying stock assessment. A projection carried out for a TAC constraint of $7,000 \mathrm{t}$ in each year (the present precautionary TAC) also resulted in probability of $\mathrm{SSB}<\mathrm{MBAL}$ of less than $10 \%$ (Figure 7.8.2).

Figure 7.8.3(a) shows the probability of SSB falling below MBAL at the end of the 10 year projection, for different levels of $F(2-6)$. The probability attains $50 \%$ at $F s$ of around 0.35 and approaches $100 \%$ at $F=1.0$. Figure 7.8.3(b) shows the median SSB at the end of the 10 year projection for different levels of F . The SSB approaches MBAL at approx $\mathrm{F}=0.35$ and collapses to below $10,000 \mathrm{t}$ at $\mathrm{F}>0.5$. The total yield over the 10 -year period increases progressively with increasing $F$, but this must be balanced against the depression of SSB and the increasing risk of stock collapse (Figure 7.8.3c).

It is noteworthy that the simulations at F values similar to the actual values of $0.8-1.0$ recorded during the 1970 s when a large fleet of vessels fished Irish Sea herring, show a similar decline in SSB to that recorded in the historical VPA estimates. This emphasises the high risk to the stock caused by this level of F .

The long-term yield and spawning biomass per recruit are shown in Figure 7.8.4. Note that these are computed by assuming an age range of 1 to virtual extinction at each level of mortality (see discussion in Section 7.7).

Outputs of all simulation runs not shown in the report are held in the ICES stock files.

### 7.9 Management considerations

### 7.9.1 Precision of the assessment

Although the precision of the assessment presented here is low because of the short time-series of survey data, there is a strong indication that the overall herring stock in Division VIIa(N) is presently only lightly exploited. The stock appears to be dominated by the Manx herring component, and there appears to be only a small risk of the stock falling below the preliminary estimate of MBAL of $20,000 \mathrm{tSSB}$ unless fishing mortalities are increased by a factor of three or more. The precision of the assessment should improve with the acquisition of further indices of abundance from the acoustic, larvae and groundfish surveys which are now established in the area. The present assessment indicates that the
precautionary TAC of $7,000 \mathrm{t}$ imposed in recent years could be taken safely as a constant catch, at least in the short term.

### 7.9.2 Spawning and Juvenile Fishing Area Closures

The present arrangement of closed boxes in Division VIIa(N) along the east coast of Ireland and the west coast of England, Scotland and Wales was established to protect juvenile herring at a time when an industrial fishery had been operating in the area, and when a large fleet of herring vessels operated in the Irish Sea. The Irish closed box was reduced in size following advice by the 1985 ICES Herring Assessment WORKING GROUP based on the results of a trawling survey. As there is no longer an industrial fishery, and the present herring fleet is small, the necessity for the juvenile closures is questionable. The series of acoustic and trawling surveys of Division VIIa(N) discussed in the present report have shown that juvenile herring are widespread throughout the year in areas beyond the closed boxes. Highest concentrations of 1 -ring herring are often found off the west coast of the Isle of Man, where there is no closed box. Commercial fishermen claim that there are concentrations of adult herring within the eastern Irish Sea juvenile closure area during winter and spring, and that the present regulations restrict fishing opportunities. In view of the apparently low level of fishing mortality and the absence of an industrial fishery, the Working Group considers that the present juvenile closures could be relaxed. A system allowing temporary closures if catches of juvenile herring become excessive may be more appropriate than the present system.

The results of surveys have indicated a comparatively low spawning stock size of Mourne herring in the western Irish Sea. Continued protection of this spawning stock through a seasonal spawning closure would seem appropriate. It is not possible to evaluate the effect of the seasonal gillnet catch of spawning fish in this area. Catches are constrained by a quota that is usually set at around 600 t , although this is often not attained.

The SSB of Manx herring appears to have recovered substantially from the low levels recorded in the 1970s, and levels of F appear to be low at present. The 1994 and 1995 studies of the Douglas Bank spawning grounds indicated that spawning fish are concentrated within a much smaller area than covered by the closure. Spawning is mostly completed by the end of October, whereas the closure remains in force until 31 December. The closure is clearly an important management tool because of the concentration of the stock in such a small area, and the starting date of 21 September is appropriate in view of the pattern of spawning in most years. However, the Working Group considers that, provided the size of this stock is monitored through continuation of the present series of acoustic surveys, and provided an effective procedure for control of fishing activities is in place, some modification of the present Manx spawning closure could be acceptable to relax the restrictions on fishing opportunities.

### 7.10 Research and Data Requirements

The Working Group recommends that the present series of acoustic and larvae surveys be maintained to provide indices of spawning biomass of herring in the Irish Sea. Efforts should be made to provide age-disaggregated indices. In view of the known and surmised migrations of herring between the Irish Sea and surrounding regions, the Working Group also recommends the implementation of studies to quantify the extent of these migrations and their potential effect on the accuracy of stock assessments and the appropriateness of present management units.

Table 7.1.1. Irish Sea HERRING (Division VIIa(N)). Catch in tonnes by country, 1982-1995. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for mangement purposes.

| Country | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| France | - | 48 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ireland | 300 | 860 | 1,084 | 1,000 | 1,640 | 1,200 | 2,579 |
| UK | 3,375 | 3,025 | 2,982 | 4,077 | 4,376 | 3,290 | 7,593 |
| Unallocated | 1,180 | - | - | 4,110 | 1,424 | 1,333 | - |
| Total | 4,855 | 3,933 | 4,066 | 9,187 | 7,440 | 5,823 | 10,172 |
|  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Country | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| France | 1,430 | 1,699 | 80 | 406 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Ireland | 3,532 | 4,613 | 4,318 | 4,864 | 4,408 | 4,828 | 5,076 |
| UK | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unallocated | $\cdot$ | 4,962 | 6,312 | 4,398 | 5,270 | 4,408 | 4,828 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.1.2 Irish Sea HERRING. Sampling intensity of commercial landings for Division VIIa (N) in 1995.

| Quarter | Country | Landings (t) | No. <br> samples | No. fish <br> measured | No. fish <br> aged | Estimation <br> of discards |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Ireland | 0 | - | - | - | - |
|  | UK (N.Ireland) | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No |
|  | UK (Isle of Man) | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | Ireland | 0 | - | - | - | - |
|  | UK (N.Ireland) | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No |
|  | UK (Isle of Man) | 0 | - | - | -- | -- |
| 3 | Ireland | 0 | $2 *$ | 569 | 100 | No |
|  | UK (N.Ireland) | 2,734 | 64 | 6,520 | 1,996 | No |
|  | UK (Isle of Man) | 615 | 8 | 2,182 | 400 | No |
| 4 | Ireland | 0 | - | - | - | - |
|  | UK (N.Ireland) | 1,064 | 11 | 1,762 | 549 | No |
|  | UK (Isle of Man) | 0 | - | - | - | - |

[^8]Table 7.1.3 Herring in the North Irish Sea (Manx plus Mourne VIIa(N)). Catch in numbers (thousands) by year.

| Year | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | Age 8+ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1972 | 40640 | 46660 | 26950 | 13180 | 13750 | 6760 | 2660 | 1670 |
| 1973 | 42150 | 32740 | 38240 | 11490 | 6920 | 5070 | 2590 | 2600 |
| 1974 | 43250 | 109550 | 39750 | 24510 | 10650 | 4990 | 5150 | 1630 |
| 1975 | 33330 | 48240 | 39410 | 10840 | 7870 | 4210 | 2090 | 1640 |
| 1976 | 34740 | 56160 | 20780 | 15220 | 4580 | 2810 | 2420 | 1270 |
| 1977 | 30280 | 39040 | 22690 | 6750 | 4520 | 1460 | 910 | 1120 |
| 1978 | 15540 | 36950 | 13410 | 6780 | 1740 | 1340 | 670 | 350 |
| 1979 | 11770 | 38270 | 23490 | 4250 | 2200 | 1050 | 400 | 290 |
| 1980 | 5840 | 25760 | 19510 | 8520 | 1980 | 910 | 360 | 230 |
| 1981 | 5050 | 15790 | 3200 | 2790 | 2300 | 330 | 290 | 240 |
| 1982 | 5100 | 16030 | 5670 | 2150 | 330 | 1110 | 140 | 380 |
| 1983 | 1305 | 12162 | 5598 | 2820 | 445 | 484 | 255 | 59 |
| 1984 | 1168 | 8424 | 7237 | 3841 | 2221 | 380 | 229 | 479 |
| 1985 | 2429 | 10050 | 17336 | 13287 | 7206 | 2651 | 667 | 724 |
| 1986 | 4491 | 15266 | 7462 | 8550 | 4528 | 3198 | 1464 | 877 |
| 1987 | 2225 | 12981 | 6146 | 2998 | 4180 | 2777 | 2328 | 1671 |
| 1988 | 2607 | 21250 | 13343 | 7159 | 4610 | 5084 | 3232 | 4213 |
| 1989 | 1156 | 6385 | 12039 | 4708 | 1876 | 1255 | 1559 | 1956 |
| 1990 | 2313 | 12835 | 5726 | 9697 | 3598 | 1661 | 1042 | 1615 |
| 1991 | 1999 | 9754 | 6743 | 2833 | 5068 | 1493 | 719 | 815 |
| 1992 | 12145 | 6885 | 6744 | 6690 | 3256 | 5122 | 1036 | 392 |
| 1993 | 646 | 14636 | 3008 | 3017 | 2903 | 1606 | 2181 | 848 |
| 1994 | 1970 | 7002 | 12165 | 1826 | 2566 | 2104 | 1278 | 1991 |
| 1995 | 3204 | 21330 | 3391 | 5269 | 1199 | 1154 | 926 | 1452 |

Table 7.1.4 HERRING in Division VIIa (North). Catch at length for 1988-1995. Numbers of fish in thousands.

| Length | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 1 |  |  |  | 95 |  |  |  |
|  | 10 |  |  |  | 169 |  |  |  |
| 16 | 13 |  | 6 |  | 343 |  |  | 21 |
|  | 16 |  | 6 | 2 | 275 |  |  | 55 |
| 17 | 29 |  | 50 | 1 | 779 |  | 84 | 139 |
|  | 44 | 24 | 7 | 4 | 1,106 |  | 59 | 148 |
| 18 | 46 | 44 | 224 | 31 | 1,263 |  | 69 | 300 |
|  | 85 | 43 | 165 | 56 | 1,662 |  | 89 | 280 |
| 19 | 247 | 116 | 656 | 168 | 1,767 | 39 | 226 | 310 |
|  | 306 | 214 | 318 | 174 | 1,189 | 75 | 241 | 305 |
| 20 | 385 | 226 | 791 | 454 | 1,268 | 75 | 253 | 326 |
|  | 265 | 244 | 472 | 341 | 705 | 57 | 270 | 404 |
| 21 | 482 | 320 | 735 | 469 | 705 | 130 | 400 | 468 |
|  | 530 | 401 | 447 | 296 | 597 | 263 | 308 | 78 |
| 22 | 763 | 453 | 935 | 438 | 664 | 610 | 700 | 1,509 |
|  | 1,205 | 497 | 581 | 782 | 927 | 1,224 | 785 | 2,541 |
| 23 | 2,101 | 612 | 2,400 | 1,790 | 1,653 | 2,016 | 1,035 | 4,198 |
|  | 3,573 | 814 | 1,908 | 1,974 | 1,156 | 2,368 | 1,473 | 4,547 |
| 24 | 5,046 | 1,183 | 3,474 | 2,842 | 1,575 | 2,895 | 2,126 | 4,416 |
|  | 5,447 | 1,656 | 2,818 | 2,311 | 2,412 | 2,616 | 2,564 | 3,391 |
| 25 | 5,276 | 2,206 | 4,803 | 2,734 | 2,792 | 2,207 | 3,315 | 3,100 |
|  | 4,634 | 2,720 | 3,688 | 2,596 | 3,268 | 2,198 | 3,382 | 2,358 |
| 26 | 4,082 | 3,555 | 4,845 | 3,278 | 3,865 | 2,216 | 3,480 | 2,334 |
|  | 4,570 | 3,293 | 3,015 | 2,862 | 3,908 | 2,176 | 2,617 | 1,807 |
| 27 | 4,689 | 2,847 | 3,014 | 2,412 | 3,389 | 2,299 | 2,391 | 1,622 |
|  | 4,124 | 2,018 | 1,134 | 1,449 | 2,203 | 2,047 | 1,777 | 990 |
| 28 | 3,406 | 1,947 | 993 | 922 | 1,440 | 1,538 | 1,294 | 834 |
|  | 2,916 | 1,586 | 582 | 423 | 569 | 944 | 900 | 123 |
| 29 | 2,659 | 1,268 | 302 | 293 | 278 | 473 | 417 | 248 |
|  | 1,740 | 997 | 144 | 129 | 96 | 160 | 165 | 56 |
| 30 | 1,335 | 801 | 146 | 82 | 70 | 83 | 9 | 40 |
|  | 685 | 557 | 57 | 36 | 36 | 15 | 27 | 5 |
| 31 | 563 | 238 | 54 | 12 | 2 | 4 |  |  |
|  | 144 | 128 | 31 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | 80 | 57 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.2.1 HERRING in Division VIIa (North). Mean length at age.

| Year | Lengths at age (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Age (rings) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 22.1 | 24.3 | 26.1 | 27.6 | 28.3 | 28.6 | 29.5 | 30.1 |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 19.7 | 24.3 | 25.8 | 26.9 | 28.0 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 29.8 |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 20.0 | 24.1 | 26.3 | 27.3 | 28.0 | 29.2 | 29.4 | 30.1 |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 20.2 | 23.5 | 25.7 | 26.3 | 27.2 | 27.7 | 28.7 | 29.6 |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 20.9 | 23.8 | 25.8 | 26.8 | 27.8 | 28.2 | 28.0 | 29.5 |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 20.1 | 24.2 | 25.6 | 26.2 | 27.7 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 29.0 |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 20.5 | 23.8 | 25.4 | 26.1 | 26.8 | 27.3 | 27.7 | 28.7 |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 19.0 | 23.7 | 25.3 | 26.2 | 26.7 | 27.2 | 27.9 | 29.4 |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 21.6 | 24.1 | 25.9 | 26.7 | 27.2 | 27.6 | 28.0 | 28.7 |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 20.1 | 23.9 | 25.5 | 26.5 | 27.0 | 27.4 | 27.9 | 28.4 |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 20.4 | 23.6 | 25.2 | 26.3 | 26.8 | 27.0 | 27.6 | 28.3 |  |  |  |

Table 7.2.2 HERRING in Division VIIa (North). Mean weights at age.

| Year | Weights at age (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age (rings) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| 1985 | 87 | 125 | 157 | 186 | 202 | 209 | 222 | 258 |  |
| 1986 | 68 | 143 | 167 | 188 | 215 | 229 | 239 | 254 |  |
| 1987 | 58 | 130 | 160 | 175 | 194 | 210 | 218 | 229 |  |
| 1988 | 70 | 124 | 160 | 170 | 180 | 198 | 212 | 232 |  |
| 1989 | 81 | 128 | 155 | 174 | 184 | 195 | 205 | 218 |  |
| 1990 | 77 | 135 | 163 | 175 | 188 | 196 | 207 | 217 |  |
| 1991 | 70 | 121 | 153 | 167 | 180 | 189 | 195 | 214 |  |
| 1992 | 61 | 111 | 136 | 151 | 159 | 171 | 179 | 191 |  |
| 1993 | 88 | 126 | 157 | 171 | 183 | 191 | 198 | 214 |  |
| 1994 | 73 | 126 | 154 | 174 | 181 | 190 | 203 | 214 |  |
| 1995 | 72 | 120 | 147 | 168 | 180 | 185 | 197 | 212 |  |

Table 7.3.1 Herring: Summary of acoustic survey information for Division VIIa(N) for the period 1989-1995. Small clupeoids include sprat and 0 -ring herring unless otherwise stated. CVs are approximate. Biomass in $t$.

| Year | Area | Dates | herring <br> biomass | CV | composition | small clupeoids <br> biomass | CV |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1989 | Douglas Bank | 25-26 Sept | 18000 | - | SSB | - | - |
| 1990 | Douglas Bank | 26-27 Sept | 26600 | - | SSB | - | - |
| 1991 | Western Irish Sea | 26 July - 8 Aug | 12760 | 0.23 | $1+$ ringers | $66000^{1}$ | 0.20 |
| 1992 | Western Irish Sea | $20-31$ July | 17490 | 0.19 | $1+$ ringers | 43200 | 0.25 |
|  | + IOM east coast |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Douglas Bank | $22-25$ Sept | $*$ | - | SSB | - | - |
| 1994 | Area VIIa(N) | 28 Aug - 8 Sep | 31400 | 0.36 | $1+$ ringers | 68600 | 0.10 |
|  | Douglas Bank | $22-26$ Sept | 28200 | - | SSB | - | - |
| 1995 | Area VIIa(N) | $11-22$ Sept | 53200 | 0.32 | $1+$ ringers | 344700 | 0.13 |
|  | Douglas Bank | $26-28$ Sept | $*$ | - | SSB | - | - |
|  | Douglas Bank | $10-11$ Oct | 9840 | - | SSB | - | - |
|  | Douglas Bank | $23-24$ Oct | 1750 | 0.51 | SSB | - | - |

* data not supplied to WG
${ }^{1}$ sprat only

Table 7.3.2 Irish Sea HERRING larval production ( $10^{11}$ ) indices for the Manx component of Division $\mathrm{VIIa}(\mathrm{N})$

| Year | Douglas Bank | North east of the Isle of Man |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Northern Ireland | Isle of Man |
| 1989 | 3.39 |  |  |
| 1990 | 1.92 |  |  |
| 1991 | 1.56 |  | 128.86 |
| 1992 | 15.64 | 34.7 | 1.10 |
| 1993 | 4.81 | 52.5 | 12.50 |
| 1994 | 7.30 | 15.4 | -1 |

${ }^{1}$ No assessment

Table 7.4.1 Tuning indices used for the Irish Sea (VIIa(N)) herring assessment. Values and approximate CVs are given. na $=$ not available. GFSOS $=$ Groundfish survey, 0 -ring herring, September; GFS1J = Groundfish survey, 1-ring herring, June; GFS1M = Groundfish survey, 1-ring herring, March; GFS1S = Groundfish survey, 1ring herring, September; SSBA $=$ Spawning stock biomass by acoustic techniques (AC_DB $=$ Douglas Bank acoustic surveys covering only the spawning stock, AC_VIIa(N) = Irish Sea acoustic surveys covering $1+$ ringers); $\mathrm{DBL}=$ larvae production on Douglas Bank.

| Year | GFS0S ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | GFSIJ ${ }^{1}$ | GFS1M ${ }^{\text { }}$ | GFSIS ${ }^{1}$ | SSBA |  | $\mathrm{DBL}^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{AC}^{\text {d }}{ }^{2}$ | AC_VIIa $(\mathrm{N})^{3}$ |  |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  | 18000 (na) | - | 3.39 (0.49) |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  | 26000 (na) | - | 1.92 (0.24) |
| 1991 |  | 409 (0.50) |  | 102 (0.33) | - | - | 1.56 (0.22) |
| 1992 | 54 (0.41) | 358 (0.39) | 392 (0.51) | 36 (0.50) | - | - | 15.64 (0.55) |
| 1993 | 210 (0.47) | 434 (0.42) | 36 (0.50) | 122 (0.54) | - | - | 4.81 (0.18) |
| 1994 | 633 (0.52) | 953 (0.47) | 2472 (0.75) | 490 (0.28) | 28200 (na) | 18840 (0.36) | 7.30 (0.58) |
| 1995 | 548 (0.29) |  | 1299 (0.52) | 153 (0.40) | - | 31920 (0.32) | 1.58 (0.42) |
| 1996 | 67 (0.34) |  | 1055 (0.60) |  |  |  |  |

1. Numbers per 3 nautical miles, western Irish Sea only
2. Biomass of spawning aggregation, $t$
3. Biomass of $1+$ herring, $t$.
4. Numbers of larvae at $6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 10^{-11}$

Table 7.5.1 Herring in VIIa(N): results of baseline assessment.


AGE - STRUCTURED INDICES

| INDEX : | 1 | from | 1991 to | 1994 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |  |
| 1 | $.409 E+03$ | $.358 E+03$ | $.434 E+03$ | $.953 E+03$ |  |


| INDEX : 2 | from | 1991 to | 1995 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |  |

$1.102 E+03 \quad .360 E+02 \quad .122 E+03 \quad .490 E+03 \quad .153 E+03$

| FISHING MORTALITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |  |
|  | , | . 2291 | . 1570 | . 1029 | . 1406 | . 0586 | . 0346 | . 0337 | . 0084 | . 0130 | . 0238 | . 0371 | . 0104 | . 0282 | . 0090 | . 0245 | . 0173 | . 0220 | . 0151 | . 0158 | . 0110 |  |
|  |  | . 7897 | . 8536 | . 5318 | . 7453 | 1.0443 | . 3899 | . 2500 | . 1768 | . 1139 | . 2527 | . 3549 | . 2439 | . 2209 | . 1498 | . 2592 | . 1829 | . 2318 | . 1590 | . 1666 | . 1158 |  |
|  | - | . 9716 | . 9869 | . 9148 | . 8528 | 1.2888 | . 3568 | . 2505 | . 1371 | . 1606 | . 3833 | . 3214 | . 2506 | . 4538 | . 1992 | . 2507 | . 1770 | . 2243 | . 1538 | . 1611 | . 1120 |  |
|  | 1 | 1.0935 | . 9820 | . 8920 | . 8124 | . 8487 | . 5875 | . 4095 | . 1805 | . 1249 | . 4646 | . 3134 | . 1958 | . 4878 | . 2705 | . 2159 | . 1524 | . 1931 | . 1324 | . 1388 | . 0965 |  |
|  | 5 | . 8866 | 1.0543 | . 6482 | . 7279 | 1.0333 | . 5106 | . 1108 | . 1233 | . 1889 | . 3222 | . 2525 | . 2219 | . 4570 | . 2015 | . 2390 | . 1687 | . 2138 | . 1466 | . 1536 | . 1068 |  |
|  | 6 | . 9464 | . 7000 | . 9498 | . 9336 | . 6727 | . 4082 | . 4394 | . 2105 | . 1322 | . 3202 | . 2066 | . 2166 | . 4056 | . 1920 | . 2279 | . 1608 | . 2039 | . 1398 | . 1465 | . 1018 |  |
|  |  | . 8571 | . 8317 | . 7216 | . 7419 | . 8799 | . 4135 | . 2700 | . 1513 | . 1308 | . 3199 | . 2619 | . 2041 | . 3719 | . 1861 | . 2159 | . 1524 | . 1931 | . 1324 | . 1388 | . 0965 |  |
|  |  | . 8571 | . 8317 | . 7216 | . 7419 | . 8799 | . 4135 | . 2700 | . 1513 | . 1308 | . 3199 | . 2619 | . 2041 | . 3719 | . 1861 | . 2159 | . 1524 | . 1931 | . 1324 | . 1388 | . 0965 |  |
| numbers at ace (Millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|  |  | 263. | 325. | 249. | 140. | 162. | 235. | 243. | 248. | 143. | 163. | 195. | 339. | 148. | 205. | 171. | 135. | 232. | 175. | 539. | 299. | 185. |
|  |  | 117. | 77. | 102. | 83. | 45. | 56. | 83. | 86. | 90. | 52. | 59. | 69. | 123. | 53. | 75. | 61. | 49. | 84. | 63. | 195. | 109. |
|  |  | 36. | 39. | 24. | 45. | 29. | 12. | 28. | 48. | 54. | 60. | 30. | 30. | 40. | 73. | 34. | 43. | 38. | 29. | 53. | 40. | 129. |
|  |  | 24. | 11. | 12. | 8. | 16. | 7. | 7. | 18. | 34. | 37. | 33. | 18. | 19. | 21. | 49. | 22. | 29. | 25. | 20. | 37. | 29. |
|  | 5 | 8. | 7. | 4. | 4. | 3. | 6. | 3. | 4. | 14. | 27. | 21. | 22. | 13. | 11. | 14. | 36. | 17. | 22. | 20. | 16. | 30. |
|  |  | 5. | 3. | 2. | 2. | 2. | 1. | 3. | 3. | 3. | 10. | 18. | 15. | 16. | 8. | 8. | 10. | 27. | 12. | 17. | 15. | 13. |
|  |  | 4. | 2. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 2. | 2. | 3. | 7. | 13. | 11. | 10. | 6. | 6. | 8. | 20. | 10. | 13. | 12. |
|  |  | 2. | 2. | 2. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 7. | 15. | 16. | 19. | 18. | 19. | 20. | 32. | 33. | 38. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | K:UFAP | IM ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | GUHER | RS 4 Lll | D3\TBL | $51 . \mathrm{DOC}$ | 17/04 | 18:09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 7.5.1 contd.

| Year | Recruits <br> x10 6 | Total B <br> tonnes | Spawn B <br> tonnes <br> 263. | Landings <br> tonnes | Yld/SSB | Ref. F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | 212529. | 12956. | 21250. | 1.6402 | .9375 |  |
| Fbar $2-6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

PARAMETER ESTIMATES +/- SD

| Se | 1990 | Model: | Reference .2159 | F by year .1376 | . 3387 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1991 |  | . 1524 | . 0959 | . 2422 |
| 3 | 1992 |  | . 1931 | . 1200 | . 3109 |
| 4 | 1993 |  | . 1324 | . 0802 | . 2186 |
| 5 | 1994 |  | . 1388 | . 0824 | . 2338 |
| 6 | 1995 |  | . 0965 | . 0557 | . 1671 |
| Separable Model: Selection (S) by age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1 |  | . 1137 | . 0535 | . 2415 |
| 8 | 2 |  | 1.2004 | . 8431 | 1.7092 |
| 9 | 3 |  | 1.1612 | . 8395 | 1.6062 |
|  | 4 |  | 1.0000 | Fixed | : Reference age |
| 10 | 5 |  | 1.1070 | . 8404 | 1.4581 |
| 11 | 6 |  | 1.0555 | . 8025 | 1.3882 |
|  | 7 |  | 1.0000 | Fixed | : last true age |
| Separable Model: Populations in year 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 1 |  | 298718. | 152070. | 586787. |
| 13 | 2 |  | 195340. | 119464. | 319407. |
| 14 | 3 |  | 39681. | 23553. | 66851. |
| 15 | 4 |  | 36798. | 21877. | 61894. |
| 16 | 5 |  | 15857. | 9267. | 27133. |
| 17 | 6 |  | 15265. | 8865. | 26286. |
| 18 | 7 |  | 13350. | 7653. | 23286. |

岕 Table 7.5.1 contd.

| Separable Model: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 19 | 1990 | 5628.2272 | 2906.0061 | 10900.5077 |
| 20 | 1991 | 5745.7223 | 3216.2301 | 10264.6028 |
| 21 | 1992 | 7893.4084 | 4597.9371 | 13550.8373 |
| 22 | 1993 | 20223.9557 | 11652.1519 | 35101.5323 |
| 23 | 1994 | 9603.4359 | 5541.8013 | 16641.8781 |



Separable Model Residuals
(log(Observed Catch)-log(Expected Catch))
and weights (W) used in the analysis.

| Age | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -. $12884 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $30860 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $13357 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -. $93858 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $99811 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $44061 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.10000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 2 | -.14479E+00 | . 89212E-01 | -. $24284 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $31782 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.18469 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $14177 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 3 | -. $17344 \mathrm{E}+00$ | .68159E-01 | -.27752E-01 | -. 21087E+00 | . $53265 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.11892 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 4 | .63249E-01 | -. 22010E-01 | . $31019 \mathrm{E}+00$ | .28419E-01 | -. $30826 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.49138 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 5 | .21044E+00 | -. $45550 \mathrm{E}-01$ | .60197E-01 | .22060E-01 | -.39392E-01 | -. $24384 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 10000E+01 |
| 6 | .69383E-01 | . 28665E-01 | .61197E-01 | .57082E-01 | -. 52484E-01 | -. $19880 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 7 | -. 37796E-04 | -. $73687 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -. $24345 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -.91340E-01 | .75096E-01 | -. $23347 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 10000E+01 |
| Wts | $.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | . $10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | . 10000E+01 | . 10000E+01 | . 10000E+01 | $.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |  |

Biomass Index Residuals: log(Observed Index) - log(Expected Index)

| Idx | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $.21871 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-.59518 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.78251 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.16609 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $.24162 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.57863 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.12630 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2 | $-.23031 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.11168 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $.83525 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $-.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 3 | $-.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-.11136 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.10469 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
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## Table 7.5.1 contd.

Aged Index Residuals: log(Observed Index) - log(Expected Index)

```
Aged Index 1
\begin{tabular}{crrrr} 
Age & 1991 & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 \\
1 & \(.35301 E+00\) & \(-.31994 E+00\) & \(15400 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(-18707 \mathrm{E}+00\)
\end{tabular}
```

Aged Index 2

| Age | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $.37408 E+00$ | $-.12060 E+01$ | $.29422 E+00$ | $.55715 E+00$ | $-.19473 E-01$ |

PARAMETERS OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF In CATCHES AT AGE

Separable model fitted from 1990 to 1995
: 2803

Skewness test statistic : 1.2570
Kurtosis test statistic : 6.1945
Partial chi-square
Probability of chi square
Probability of chi-square : 1.0000

## parameters of the distribution of the ssb indices

## DISTRIBUTION STATISTICS FOR $\ln$ SSB INDEX 1

Linear catchability relationship assumed.

| Variance | $:$ | .9519 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Skewness test statistic | $:$ | .4362 |
| Kurtosis test statistic | $:$ | -.3731 |
| Partial chi-square | $:$ | .6960 |
| Probability of chi-square $:$ | .9946 |  |
| Number of observations | $:$ | 7 |
| Degrees of freedom | $:$ | 6 |
| Weight in the analysis | $:$ | 1.0000 |

## Table 7.5.1 contd. <br> distribution statistics for in ssb index 2

Linear catchability relationship assumed.

| Variance | $:$ | .0308 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Skewness test statistic | $:$ | -.7892 |
| Kurtosis test statistic | $:$ | -.3285 |
| Partial chi-square | $:$ | .0065 |
| Probability of chi-square $:$ | 1.0000 |  |
| Number of observations | $:$ | 3 |
| Degrees of freedom | $:$ | 2 |
| Weight in the analysis | $:$ | 1.0000 |

distribution statistics for $\ln$ SSb index 3

Linear catchability relationship assumed.

| Variance | $:$ | .0233 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Skewness test statistic | $:$ | -.0535 |
| Kurtosis test statistic | $:$ | -.5763 |
| Partial chi-square | $:$ | .0023 |
| Probability of chi-square | $:$ | 1.0000 |
| Number of observations | $:$ | 2 |
| Degrees of freedom | $:$ | 1 |
| Weight in the analysis | $:$ | 1.0000 |

## PARAMETERS OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE AGE-STRUCTURED INDICES

DISTRIBUTION STATISTICS FOR In AGED INDEX 1

Linear catchability relationship assumed.

| Age | $:$ | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Variance | $:$ | .0952 |
| Skewness test stat. $:$ | .0893 |  |
| Kurtosis test stat. $:$ | -.6686 |  |
| Partial chi-square $:$ | .0475 |  |
| Prob. of chi-square : | .9973 |  |
| Number of data | 4 |  |
| Degrees of freedom | $:$ | 3 |
| Weight in analysis : | 1.0000 |  |

## Table 7.5.1 contd.

DISTRIBUTION STATISTICS FOR In AGED INDEX 2

Linear catchability relationship assumed.

| Age | $:$ | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Variance | .4979 |  |
| Skewness test stat. | -1.0916 |  |
| Kurtosis test stat. | -.0814 |  |
| Partial chi-square $:$ | .4109 |  |
| Prob. of chi-square : | .9816 |  |
| Number of data | 5 |  |
| Degrees of freedom | 5 |  |
| Weight in analysis | 1.0000 |  |

Table 7.5.2 Herring in VIIa(N). Population estimates after shrinkage of 1995 F to 1991-95 mean.
Conventional VPA with Fishing Mortality Shrinkage

Fs shrunk over 5 years
Minimum CV of the mean taken as . 500
Shrinkage Diagnostics

F from Model fit Historic Mean F Shrunk Estimate

| Estimate | Variance | Estimate | Variance | Wt for F from Model |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .011 | . .766 | .016 | .250 | .246 | .014 |
| .116 | .328 | .167 | .250 | .432 | .143 |
| .112 | .333 | .162 | .250 | .428 | .138 |
| .096 | .302 | .139 | .250 | .453 | .118 |
| .107 | .327 | .154 | .250 | .433 | .131 |
| .102 | .336 | .147 | .250 | .427 | .126 |
| .096 | .302 | .139 | .250 | .453 | .120 |
| $* * * * *$ | .420 | .139 | .250 | .373 | .120 |

Fishing Mortality Estimates

|  | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | . 2290 | . 1563 | . 1026 | . 1393 | . 0587 | . 0332 | . 0330 | . 0082 | . 0130 | . 0237 | . 0363 | . 0103 | . 0288 | . 0102 | . 0214 | . 0258 | . 0709 | . 0061 | . 0058 | . 0145 |
| 2 | . 7883 | . 8530 | . 5284 | . 7425 | 1.0271 | . 3909 | . 2387 | . 1733 | . 1111 | . 2527 | . 3532 | . 2385 | . 2185 | . 1534 | . 2556 | . 1995 | . 1965 | . 1941 | . 1404 | . 1426 |
| 3 | . 9688 | . 9829 | . 9131 | . 8418 | 1.2756 | . 3455 | . 2513 | . 1296 | . 1568 | . 3714 | . 3214 | . 2490 | . 4395 | . 1965 | . 2125 | . 2199 | . 2190 | . 1305 | . 2603 | . 1381 |
| 4 | 1.0988 | . 9744 | . 8829 | . 8090 | . 8234 | . 5730 | . 3907 | . 1812 | . 1172 | . 4496 | . 2997 | . 1958 | . 4832 | . 2584 | . 2278 | . 1468 | . 3343 | . 1367 | . 1038 | . 1179 |
| 5 | . 8952 | 1.0693 | . 6372 | . 7116 | 1.0224 | . 4820 | . 1070 | . 1161 | . 1898 | . 2977 | . 2410 | . 2094 | . 4569 | . 1989 | . 2864 | . 1600 | . 2241 | . 2114 | . 1481 | . 1314 |
| 6 | . 9877 | . 7150 | . 9870 | . 8991 | . 6433 | . 3997 | . 4012 | . 2021 | . 1234 | . 3222 | . 1866 | . 2043 | . 3750 | . 1920 | . 2423 | . 1651 | . 2154 | . 1474 | . 2090 | . 1256 |
| 7 | 1.0580 | . 9249 | . 7537 | . 8121 | . 8044 | . 3837 | . 2622 | . 1342 | . 1246 | . 2937 | . 2641 | . 1804 | . 3442 | . 1678 | . 2167 | . 1717 | . 2861 | . 1325 | . 1380 | . 1201 |
| 8 | 1.0580 | . 9249 | . 7537 | . 8121 | . 8044 | . 3837 | . 2622 | . 1342 | . 1246 | . 2937 | . 2641 | . 1804 | . 3442 | . 1678 | . 2167 | . 1717 | . 2861 | . 1325 | . 1380 | . 1201 |

## Table 7.5.2 continued

Numbers at Age (Thousands)

|  | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 264. | 327. | 250. | 142. | 161. | 244. | 248. | 253. | 143. | 164. | 198. | 342. | 145. | 180. | 173. | 124. | 279. | 169. | 534. | 299. | 185. |
| 2 | 117. | 77. | 103. | 83. | 45. | 56. | 87. | 88. | 92. | 52. | 59. | 70. | 125. | 52. | 65. | 62. | 44. | 96. | 62. | 195. | 108. |
| 3 | 36. | 39. | 24. | 45. | 29. | 12. | 28. | 51. | 55. | 61. | 30. | 31. | 41. | 74. | 33. | 38. | 38. | 27. | 58. | 40. | 126. |
| 4 | 24. | 11. | 12. | 8. | 16. | 7. | 7. | 18. | 36. | 38. | 35. | 18. | 20. | 22. | 50. | 22. | 25. | 25. | 19. | 37. | 28. |
| 5 | 8. | 7. | 4. | 5. | 3. | 6. | 3. | 4. | 13. | 29. | 22. | 23. | 13. | 11. | 15. | 36. | 17. | 16. | 20. | 16. | 30. |
| 6 | 5. | 3. | 2. | 2. | 2. | 1. | 4. | 3. | 3. | 10. | 20. | 16. | 17. | 8. | 8. | 10. | 28. | 12. | 12. | 15. | 13. |
| 7 | 4. | 2. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 2. | 2. | 3. | 7. | 15. | 12. | 11. | 6. | 6. | 8. | 20. | 10. | 9. | 12. |
| 8 | 2. | 2. | 1. | 1. | 0. | 1. | 2. | 0. | 4. | 3. | 4. | 11. | 15. | 13. | 9. | 5. | 2. | 7. | 16. | 13. | 18. |

Table 7.6.1
Herring in the North Irish Sea (Manx plus Mourne VIla North)
Prediction with management option table: Input data

| Year: 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | Stock <br> size | Natural <br> mortality | Maturity <br> ogive | Prop.of <br> bef.spaw. | Prop.of $M$ <br> bef.spaw. | Weight <br> in stock | Exploit. <br> pattern | Weight <br> in catch |
| 1 | 222.000 | 1.0000 | 0.0800 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.078 | 0.0135 | 0.078 |
| 2 | 108.000 | 0.3000 | 0.8500 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.124 | 0.1423 | 0.124 |
| 3 | 126.000 | 0.2000 | 1.0000 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.153 | 0.1377 | 0.152 |
| 4 | 28.000 | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.171 | 0.1186 | 0.168 |
| 5 | 30.000 | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.181 | 0.1313 | 0.179 |
| 6 | 13.000 | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.189 | 0.1252 | 0.187 |
| 7 | 12.000 | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.199 | 0.1186 | 0.198 |
| $8+$ | 18.000 | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.213 | 0.1186 | 0.210 |
| Unit | Millions | - | - | - | - | Kilograms | - | Kilograms |


| Year: 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Recruitment | Natural mortality | Maturity ogive | Prop. of $F$ bef.spaw. | Prop. of M bef.spaw. | Weight in stock | Exploit. pattern | Weight in catch |
| 1 | 222.000 | 1.0000 | 0.0800 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.078 | 0.0135 | 0.078 |
| 2 | . | 0.3000 | 0.8500 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.124 | 0.1423 | 0.124 |
| 3 | . | 0.2000 | 1.0000 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.153 | 0.1377 | 0.152 |
| 4 | . | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.171 | 0.1186 | 0.168 |
| 5 | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.181 | 0.1313 | 0.179 |
| 6 | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.189 | 0.1252 | 0.187 |
| 7 | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.199 | 0.1186 | 0.198 |
| $8+$ | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.213 | 0.1186 | 0.210 |
| Unit | Millions | - | - | - | - | Kilograms | - | Kilograms |


| Year: 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Recruit ment | Natural mortality | Maturity ogive | Prop. of $F$ bef.spaw. | Prop. of M bef.spaw. | Weight in stock | Exploit. pattern | Weight in catch |
| 1 | - | 1.0000 | 0.0800 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.078 | 0.0135 | 0.078 |
| 2 | . | 0.3000 | 0.8500 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.124 | 0.1423 | 0.124 |
| 3 | . | 0.2000 | 1.0000 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.153 | 0.1377 | 0.152 |
| 4 | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.171 | 0.1186 | 0.168 |
| 5 | . | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.181 | 0.1313 | 0.179 |
| 6 | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.189 | 0.1252 | 0.187 |
| 7 | . | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.199 | 0.1186 | 0.198 |
| 8+ | - | 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 0.9000 | 0.7500 | 0.213 | 0.1186 | 0.210 |
| Unit | Millions | - | - | - | - | Kilograms | - | Kilograms |

Notes: Run name : MANRDNO2
Date and time: 17APR96:14:42

Herring in the North Irish Sea (Manx plus Mourne VIla North)
Prediction with management option table

| Year: 1996 |  |  |  |  | Year: 1997 |  |  |  |  | Year: 1998 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F Factor | Reference F | Stock biomass | Sp.stock biomass | Catch in weight | F <br> Factor | Reference F | Stock biomass | Sp.stock biomass | Catch in weight | Stock biomass | Sp.stock <br> biomass |
|  | $0.1551$ | $68783$ | 38142 | $7000$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0000 \\ & 0.2000 \\ & 0.4000 \\ & 0.6000 \\ & 0.8000 \\ & 1.0000 \\ & 1.2000 \\ & 1.4000 \\ & 1.6000 \\ & 1.8000 \\ & 2.0000 \\ & 2.2000 \\ & 2.4000 \\ & 2.6000 \\ & 2.8000 \\ & 3.0000 \end{aligned}$ | 0.0000 <br> 0.0262 <br> 0.0524 <br> 0.0786 <br> 0.1048 <br> 0.1310 <br> 0.1572 <br> 0.1834 <br> 0.2096 <br> 0.2358 <br> 0.2620 <br> 0.2882 <br> 0.3144 <br> 0.3407 <br> 0.3669 <br> 0.3931 | $67949$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44521 \\ & 43522 \\ & 42545 \\ & 41591 \\ & 40659 \\ & 39748 \\ & 38858 \\ & 37988 \\ & 37138 \\ & 36307 \\ & 35495 \\ & 34702 \\ & 33927 \\ & 33169 \\ & 32429 \\ & 31706 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 1208 \\ 2387 \\ 3537 \\ 4660 \\ 5755 \\ 6824 \\ 7867 \\ 8885 \\ 9878 \\ 10848 \\ 11794 \\ 12718 \\ 13619 \\ 14499 \\ 15358 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57525 \\ & 56295 \\ & 55095 \\ & 53926 \\ & 52786 \\ & 51674 \\ & 50590 \\ & 49533 \\ & 48502 \\ & 47497 \\ & 46517 \\ & 45561 \\ & 44630 \\ & 43721 \\ & 42835 \\ & 41970 \end{aligned}$ | 50238 47995 45856 43814 41865 40006 38231 36537 34920 33376 31903 30496 29154 27872 26648 25479 |
| - | - | Tonnes | Tonnes | Tonnes | - | - | Tonnes | Tonnes | Tonnes | Tonnes | Tonnes |
| Notes:Run name  <br>  Date and time <br>  Computation of ref. <br>  F $:$ : Simple mean, age 2-6 <br>  Basis for 1996 $:$ TAC constraints |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.6.3
Herring in the North Irish Sea (Manx plus Mourne VIla North)
Single option prediction: Detailed tables

| Year: | 1996 | -factor: 1 | 1834 | eference F | 0.1550 | 1 Jan | ary | Spawnin | time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Absolute F | Catch in numbers | Catch in weight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stock } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | Stock biomass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sp.stock } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | Sp.stock biomass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sp.stock } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | Sp. stock biomass |
| 1 | 0.0160 | 2227 | 174 | 222000 | 17242 | 17760 | 1379 | 8269 | 642 |
| 2 | 0.1684 | 14522 | 1796 | 108000 | 13392 | 91800 | 11383 | 62995 | 7811 |
| 3 | 0.1630 | 17219 | 2617 | 126000 | 19236 | 126000 | 19236 | 93655 | 14298 |
| 4 | 0.1404 | 3493 | 588 | 28000 | 4788 | 28000 | 4788 | 22894 | 3915 |
| 5 | 0.1554 | 4114 | 738 | 30000 | 5440 | 30000 | 5440 | 24200 | 4388 |
| 6 | 0.1482 | 1706 | 319 | 13000 | 2453 | 13000 | 2453 | 10555 | 1991 |
| 7 | 0.1404 | 1497 | 296 | 12000 | 2392 | 12000 | 2392 | 9812 | 1956 |
| $8+$ | 0.1404 | 2246 | 472 | 18000 | 3840 | 18000 | 3840 | 14718 | 3140 |
| Total |  | 47023 | 7000 | 557000 | 68783 | 336560 | 50911 | 247099 | 38142 |
| Unit | - | Thousands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes |


| Year: | 1997 | F-factor: 1 | . 0000 R | Reference F | : 0.1310 | 1 Ja | uary | Spawni | time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Absolute F | Catch in numbers | Catch in weight | Stock <br> size | Stock biomass | sp.stock size | Sp.stock biomass | Sp.stock size | Sp.stock biomass |
| 1 | 0.0135 | 1884 | 147 | 222000 | 17242 | 17760 | 1379 | 8288 | 644 |
| 2 | 0.1423 | 9243 | 1143 | 80375 | 9966 | 68319 | 8472 | 47996 | 5951 |
| 3 | 0.1377 | 7901 | 1201 | 67608 | 10322 | 67608 | 10322 | 51408 | 7848 |
| 4 | 0.1186 | 9337 | 1572 | 87648 | 14988 | 87648 | 14988 | 73082 | 12497 |
| 5 | 0.1313 | 2581 | 463 | 22018 | 3993 | 22018 | 3993 | 18150 | 3291 |
| 6 | 0.1252 | 2605 | 487 | 23239 | 4384 | 23239 | 4384 | 19262 | 3634 |
| 7 | 0.1186 | 1081 | 214 | 10143 | 2022 | 10143 | 2022 | 8457 | 1686 |
| $8+$ | 0.1186 | 2513 | 529 | 23591 | 5033 | 23591 | 5033 | 19670 | 4196 |
| Total |  | 37145 | 5755 | 536621 | 67949 | 320325 | 50592 | 246315 | 39748 |
| Unit | - | Thousands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes |


| Year: | 1998 | -factor: 1 | 0000 | eference F | 0.1310 | 1 Jan | uary | Spawnin | g time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Absolute F | Catch in numbers | Catch in weight | Stock size | Stock biomass | Sp.stock size | Sp.stock biomass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sp.stock } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | Sp.stock biomass |
| 1 | 0.0135 0.1423 | $9266^{\circ}$ | 1146 | 80574 | 9991 | 68488 | 8493 | 48115 | 5966 |
| 3 | 0.1377 | 6035 | 917 | 51645 | 7885 | 51645 | 7885 | 39270 | 5966 |
| 4 | 0.1186 | 5138 | 865 | 48233 | 8248 | 48233 | 8248 | 40217 | 6877 |
| 5 | 0.1313 | 8257 | 1481 | 70438 | 12773 | 70438 | 12773 | 58065 | 10529 |
| 6 | 0.1252 | 1959 | 366 | 17471 | 3296 | 17471 | 3296 | 14482 | 2732 |
| 7 | 0.1186 | 1976 | 391 | 18553 | 3698 | 18553 | 3698 | 15470 | 3084 |
| 8+ | 0.1186 | 2888 | 607 | 27110 | 5783 | 27110 | 5783 | 22605 | 4822 |
| Total |  | 35519 | 5773 | 314023 | 51674 | 301937 | 50175 | 238223 | 40006 |
| Unit |  | Thousands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes | Thousands | Tonnes |

Notes: Run name : SPRRDN02
Date and time : 17APR96:14:55
Computation of ref. F: Simple mean, age 2 - 6
Prediction basis : F factors

Table 7.7.1. Estimation of MBAL and minimum observed stock size for the Irish Sea (VIIa(N)). Recruitment taken as 256 million.
Method

| Minimum size of SSB |  |  | SSB (t) (MBAL?) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Equilibrium unexploited stock calculations |  |  |  |  |
| Age range | Equilibrium unexploited <br> stock (SSB) | $33 \%$ of Equilibrium unexploited <br> stock (SSB) | 20\% of Equilibrium unexploited <br> stock (SSB) |  |
| 1-virtual extiction | 134,000 | 44,700 | 26,800 |  |
| $1-20$ rings | 111,900 | 37,300 | 22,400 |  |
| $1-15$ rings | 97,000 | 32,500 | 19,500 |  |
| $1-8$ rings | 60,500 | 20,167 | 12,100 |  |

$50 \%$ of recruitment on stock-recruit curve (Beverton-
Holt)

2,300 Holt)

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Figure 7.3.1 } & \text { Density distribution of herring considered to be } 1+\text { ringers during the hydroacoustic survey of the Irish Sea from 11-22 September 1995. Areas of ellipses are } \\ \text { proportional to density of herring. Triangles are trawl positions. }\end{array}$

Fig. 7.4.1 Survey indices used for tuning the ICA runs for herring in the Irish Sea (VIla(N)). The acoustic estimates for Vlla( N ) are for $1+$ ringers and have been reduced to $60 \%$ to reflect SSB estimates.



Fig. 7.4.2 Estimates of reference $F$ in 1995 with 1 SD error bars from separate fits of ICA model to four tuning data sets for Irish Sea herring


Figure 7.5.1 Herring in VIIa(N). SSQ surface for the baseline assessment. SSBx $1=$ Douglas Bank larvae survey; SSBx 2 = Douglas Bank acoustic survey index; SSBx $3=$ VIIa(N) acoustic survey adjusted to give SSB; Agex $1=$ GFS index of 1 -ringers in June; Agex $2=$ GFS index of 1-ringers in September.


Figure 7.5.2. Herring in VIla(N). Results of baseline assessment. Summary of estimates of landings, fishing mortality at age 4 , recruitment at age 1 , stock size on 1 January and spawning stock size at spawning time.


Figure 7.5.3. Herring in VIIa(N). Results of baseline assessment. Selection pattern diagnostics. Top left, contour plot of selection pattern residuals. Top right, estimated selection (relative to age 4) $+/$ standard deviation. Bottom, marginal totals of residuals by year and age.

| Spawning Biomass | Catchability |
| :---: | :---: |
|  <br> Index Observation |  <br> Index Observation |

Figure 7.5.4. Herring in VIIa(N). Results of the baseline assessment. Diagnostics of the fit of the larval abundance index DBL against the estimated spawning biomass. Top left, spawning biomass from the fitted populations (line), and predictions of spawning biomass in each year made from the index observations and the estimated catchability (triangles $+/-$ standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatterplot and fitted relationship of spawning biomass from the fitted populations and laval survey index observations. Bottom, residuals, as (ln(observed index) $\ln$ (expected index) plotted against expected values and against time.


Figure 7.5.5. Herring in VIIa(N). Results of the baseline assessment. Diagnostics of the fit of the Douglas Bank acoustic index of SSB (AC_DB) against the model estimated SSB. Top left, fitted SSB (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the acoustic index observations and the estimated catchability (triangles $+/-$ standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatterplot and fitted relationship of the fitted populations and acoustic survey index observations. Bottom, residuals, as (ln(observed index) - $\ln$ (expected index) plotted against expected values and against time.

|  | Catchability |
| :---: | :---: |
| Index Obseruation |  <br> Index Observation |

Figure 7.5.6. Herring in VIIa(N). Results of the baseline assessment. Diagnostics of the fit of the VIIa(N) acoustic index of SSB (AC_VIIa(N)) against the model estimated SSB. Top left, fitted SSB (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the acoustic index observations and the estimated catchability (triangles $+/-$ standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right. scatterplot and fitted relationship of the fitted populations and acoustic survey index observations. Bottom, residuals. as (In(observed index) - $\ln$ (expected index) plotted against expected values and against time.


Figure 7.5.7. Herring in VIIa(N). Results of the baseline assessment. Diagnostics of the fit of the groundfish survey index at age 1 in June (GFS1J) against the estimated populations at age 1 . Top left, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the survey index observations and the estimated catchability (triangles $+/$-standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right, scatterplot and fitted relationship of the fitted populations and acoustic survey index observations. Bottom, residuals, as (ln(observed index) $-\ln$ (expected index) plotted against expected values and against time.


Figure 7.5.8. Herring in VIIa(N). Results of the baseline assessment. Diagnostics of the fit of the groundfish survey index at age 1 in September (GFSIS) against the estimated populations at age 1 . Top lefl, fitted populations (line), and predictions of abundance in each year made from the survey index observations and the estimated catchability (triangles $+/$-standard deviation), plotted by year. Top right. scatterplot and fitted relationship of the fitted populations and acoustic survey index observations. Bottom. residuals. as (ln(observed index) $-\ln$ (expected inder) plotted against expected values and against time.

Fig. 7.7.1 Irish Sea (VIla(N)) herring Beverton-Holt S/R fit


Fig. 7.7.2. Development of the Irish Sea (VIla(N)) stock from 1972 to 1995. Data from 1972 to 1975 were obtained from traditional VPA outputs. Remaining data from ICA output.



Figure 7.8.1 Herring in VIla(N). Summary results of medium-term projections for fishing mortality from 1996 to 2005 constrained equal to the fishing mortality estimate for 1995. Upper panel: landings, fishing mortality (mean over ages 2 to 6), recruitment, and stock size. Lower panel: Stock size and the probability that the stock may fall below the MBAL level of 20000 t . Solid line. 50th percentile. dashed lines, 25 th and 75 th percentiles. Dotted line, 5 th and 95th percentiles.


Figure 7.8.2. Herring in VIIa(N). Summary results of medium-term projections assuming a catch constraint of 7000t in each year up to 2005. Upper panel: landings, fishing mortality (mean over ages 2 to 6), recruitment, and stock size. Lower panel, Stock size and the probability that the stock may fall below the MBAL level of 20000 t . Solid line, 50th percentile. dashed lines. 25 th and 75 th percentiles. Dotted line, 5 th and 95 th percentiles.


Fig. 7.8.3 Results of stochastic projection of VIIa(N) herring population at constant $F$, starting from ICA estimates of populations, $F$ and variance/covariances.
(a) Probability of SSB falling below MBAL of 20,000 by 10 th year;
(b) 50th percentile of SSB in 10th year of projection;
(c) probability of SSB < MBAL by 10th year, plotted against total of the average annual yields over the 10-year projection period, for different levels of $\mathrm{F}(2-6)$.

Long term yield and spawning stock biomass

(run: YLDRDNO2)

Short term yield and spawning stock biomass

(run: MANRDNO2) D
SSB in 1998 (1000 tonnes) at spaw. time

### 8.1 The Fishery

### 8.1.1 ACFM advice applicable for 1995 and 1996

No ACFM advice on a sprat TAC has been given in recent years. The TAC set by the management bodies was 114,000 $t$ for 1994 [Subarea IV(EU zone) + Division IIa (EU zone)] and $175,000 \mathrm{t}$ for 1995. The agreed TAC for 1996 is $200,000 \mathrm{t}$.

### 8.1.2 Catches in 1995

Landing statistics for sprat for the North Sea by area and country are presented in Table 8.1.1 for 1983-1995. The monthly and annual distribution of catches by rectangle for Sub-area IV are shown in Figures 8.1.1-8.1.13. There is probably some misreporting within Division IVb, as evident from catches of sprat outside the area of normal distribution. As in previous years, sprat from the fjords of western Norway were not included in the landings for the North Sea. Landings from the fjords are considered separately because uncertainty remains concerning the sprat stock identity. Norwegian catches in the western fjords for 1983-1995 are presented in Table 8.1.2.

Preliminary sprat landing figures for Denmark, Sweden, Norway and UK indicate that $357,000 \mathrm{t}$ were harvested from the North Sea in 1995. This represents about a $10 \%$ increase in landings from 1994. Catches by Denmark, which represent nearly $90 \%$ of the North Sea sprat landings, continued their upward trend started in 1990 and were the largest reported since the mid 1970's. The Norwegian landings were at the same level as in 1994. UK catches continued to be at a very low level. Catches by Norway in the western fjords decreased compared to 1994, mainly due to lower quotas set by the canning industry.

Landings by area and quarter are shown in Table 8.1.3. Again, the largest component of the catch was reported from Division IVb, predominantly Division IVbe, in the third quarter. Significant catches from this division were also made during the fourth quarter.

### 8.1.3 Fleets

Fleet descriptions were provided by the Industrial Fisheries Working Group (IFWG) in 1992 (Anon., 1992c).

### 8.2 Catch Composition

### 8.2.1 Catches in number

Uncertainties in the reliability and/or absence of quarterly aged samples have prevented the IFWG, and later the HAWG, from running a VPA since 1984. A historical perspective of the problems associated with estimates of catch in numbers at age by previous groups up to 1992 was described in the report of the Herring Working Group for 1993 (Anon. 1993a).

The estimated quarterly catch-at-age in numbers is presented in Table 8.2.1. Age composition data for commercial landings for 1995 were provided by Denmark and Norway. The difference in age composition of 1 -and 2 -groups in the Danish and the Norwegian landings may be a result of the different gears used in the two fisheries. The Danish fishery harvests sprat with bottom trawl gear and the Norwegian fishery uses purse seiners. The differences may also be explained by problems in ageing sprat (Torstensen, 1994, W.D. 1996).

The sampling intensity is given in Table 8.2.2. Although the number of samples has improved in recent years, the level of sampling is still far below the recommended 1 sample per 1000 t . The Working Group still considers the data to be poor and unsuitable for catch-at-age estimation.

### 8.2.2 Mean weight at age

The mean weight (g) at age in catches taken in 1994 and in 1995 are presented by quarter in Table 8.2.3. Weights were estimated from Danish and Norwegian commercial data as provided by Working Group members.

### 8.2.3 Quality of catch and biological data

In 1995 the sampling of Danish landings for industrial purposes continued with the intensity and coverage largely unchanged compared to the previous years. A total of 804 landings was examined for species composition of which 63 were used to estimate age composition and weight at age of sprat. Only 9 samples were available from the Norwegian purse seine landings. No sprat were reported in the Norwegian small meshed fishery targeted at sandeel and Norway pout. Details of the biological sampling data are presented in Table 8.2.2.

### 8.3 Recruitment

### 8.3.1 Abundance

Division IVb is considered as the IBTS standard area for North Sea sprat (Anon. 1993a). The IBTS (February) sprat indices, used as an index of abundance, were revised by the Working Group as described in the 1995 Herring Assessment Working Group report (Anon. 1995d) for Division IIIa. The revised IBTS-indices, no./hr, are presented in Table 8.3.1 for age groups 1-4 and 5+, along with the number of rectangles sampled and the number of hauls considered.

The 1996 indices indicate a decrease for age groups 1 and 2, following 3 years of being higher than average. The 3group index representing the 1993 year class, the strongest year class observed in recent years, was the highest since 1990. The total 1996-abundance index decreased to below the average for 1981-1994, and was at the same level as in 1990-1991.

The IBTS data are provided by rectangle in Figure 8.3.1 for age groups 1,2 and $3+$. Age 1 -group were found to be concentrated in the central-eastern areas of Division IVb and IVc. The mean lengths in mm of age-group 1 by rectangle are presented in Figure. 8.3.2.

### 8.4 Acoustic Survey

No acoustic estimates were available to the Working Group for 1996.

### 8.5 State of the Stock

### 8.5.1 Catch-Survey Data Analysis

The IBTS surveys have had difficulties following strong and weak cohorts for sprat. This is illustrated by the text table below which is extracted from Table 8.3.1. The 1 -group:2-group ratio varies between 0.32 ( 1981 year class) and 7.57 (1988 year class).

| Year <br> class | 1-group | 2-group | 1-gr/2-gr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 957.28 | 501.87 | 1.91 |
| 1981 | $245 . .91$ | 764.08 | 0.32 |
| 1982 | 201.21 | 393.57 | 0.51 |
| 1983 | 383.63 | 305.00 | 1.26 |
| 1984 | 675.49 | 104.77 | 6.45 |
| 1985 | 68.22 | 74.68 | 0.91 |
| 1986 | 758.28 | 1410.52 | 0.54 |
| 1987 | 152.29 | 445.72 | 0.34 |
| 1988 | 4293.66 | 567.46 | 7.57 |
| 1989 | 115.16 | 104.89 | 1.10 |
| 1990 | 834.45 | 344.08 | 2.43 |
| 1991 | 1562.20 | 602.01 | 2.60 |
| 1992 | 1732.54 | 1397.77 | 1.24 |
| 1993 | 4084.89 | 2643.93 | 1.55 |

This, combined with the catch data and ageing problems, implies that the available indices do not adequately reflect the dynamics of the stock.

### 8.6 Projections of Catch and Stock

Prior to 1996 the data have not permitted projections of either catches or stock sizes. As discussed in the 1995 Herring Working Group report (Anon 1995d), the 1989 IBTS index continues to be an outlier in a regression of total landings and IBTS-indices. The regression was also highly affected by the 1994 observation.

Regression of the total catches and the IBTS index for 1981-1995 (Figure 8.6.1) shows the 1989 index to be an outlier and the effects of the high 1994 and 1995 values. Applying the 1996 (February) index to a regression excluding the 1989 -index $\left(r^{2}=0.84\right)$ estimates a total landing of $84,000 \mathrm{t}$ for 1996 . The assumption behind the above regression is that the exploitation level is fairly constant over the years, i.e. that the variability in abundance is greater than that of the exploitation.

It was attempted to improve the analysis by including a model for stock development. The Biomass dynamic model:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{(t+1)}=B_{(t)}+r \cdot\left[1-B_{(t)} / K\right]-C_{(t)} \\
& I_{(t)}=q \cdot B_{(t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B_{(t)}$ is the biomass at time $t, C_{(t)}$ is the catch and $I_{(t)}$ the total abundance IBTS index. $r, K$ and $q$ are parameters of the model. This model was fitted using the CEDA program (see Anon, 1993a). The data were total catch and IBTS (February) abundance data for 1978 to 1995. The initial state of the stock in 1978 was assumed to be that the biomass was 0.8 of the carrying capacity K . The 1989 observation was again considered as an outlier. A new run was made using an adjusted 1989-index estimated by the linear regression of total catches and IBTS-indices excluding the 1989index, given an adjusted 1989 -index of 667 . The model suggests that the biomass is at a very low level, (Figure. 8.6.2).

SHOT estimates (Shepherd, 1991) were provided by the WG, but as demonstrated in their report of 1992 (Anon., 1992c), little confidence was put in the estimates. At that time the analysis was driven by the very strong 1989-index. With more data available, the Herring Assessment Working Group decided to undertake a new SHOT-estimate for the North Sea sprat. Three runs were made using the total IBTS-indices, the l-group indices and the total with the adjusted 1989 -index as inputs data together with the total catches. The estimated landings for 1996 appeared to be consistent between runs and in the range of $120-140,000 \mathrm{t}$.

### 8.7 Management Considerations

The stock shows signs of heavy exploitation as both catch and biomass appear to be decreasing with no signs of a good year class to follow. Therefore, catches should be reduced to the lowest possible level until there are signs of increased recruitment.

### 8.8 Research Recommendations

The Working Group considered the research required to improve the quality of the sprat assessment and recommends the following to be addressed before the next meeting of the Working Group:

- The acoustic surveys detect sprat and should be examined for the possibility of estimating sprat abundance. If feasible, the survey data should be revisited to obtain these estimates for as many years as possible.
- Sampling intensity still needs to be improved, as it is far below the recommended level.

Table 8.1.1 Sprat catches in the North Sea ('000 t) 1983-1995. Catch in tonnes by country. Catches in fjords of western Norway excluded. (Data provided by Working Group members except where indicated). These figures do not in all cases correspond to the offical statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

| Country | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | $1994{ }^{1}$ | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division IVa West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | - | - | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | + | - |  | 0.26 | 0.6 | - | - |
| Germany | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| Netherlands | - | - | 6.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Norway | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | - |
| UK (Scotland) | - | $+$ | 6.1 | $+$ | $+$ | - | - | $+$ | - | - | - | 0.1 | $+$ |
| Total | - | + | 13.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | + | + | 0.1 | 0.26 | 0.6 | 0.1 | + |
| Division IVa East (North Sea) stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | - | - | + | 0.2 | + | + | $+$ | - | - | - | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ |
| Norway | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.54 | 2.5 | + | + |
| Sweden | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $+$ | 2.5 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | - | + | 0.2 | + | + | + | + | 2.5 | 0.64 | 2.5 | + | $+$ |
| Division IVb West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 32.6 | 5.6 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 10.0 | 9.4 | 19.9 | 13.0 | 19.0 | 26 |
| Faroe Islands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Norway | 0.9 | 0.5 | - | - | - | 3.5 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 4.4 | 18.4 | 16.8 | 12.6 | 21.0 |
| UK (England) | - | $+$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.48 | 0.5 | - | $+$ |
| UK (Scotland) | + | + | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | - |
| Total | 33.5 | 6.1 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 11.2 | 13.8 | 38.26 | 30.5 | 31.6 | 47.0 |
| Division IVb East |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 39.2 | 62.1 | 36.6 | 10.3 | 28.0 | 80.7 | 59.2 | 59.2 | 67.0 | 66.56 | 136.2 | 251.7 | 283.2 |
| Germany | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | $0.6{ }^{3}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Norway | 10.8 | 3.1 | - | - | - | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | 25.1 | 9.5 | 24.1 | 19.1 | 14.7 |
| Sweden | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $+^{2}$ | $+^{2}$ | - | - | - | 0.2 |
| Total | 50.0 | 65.8 | 37.2 | 10.9 | 28.0 | 81.3 | 59.2 | 59.8 | 92.1 | 76.49 | 160.3 | 270.8 | 298.1 |
| Division IVc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belgium | - | - | + | + | + | - | $+^{2}$ | $+^{2}$ | $+^{2}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Denmark | 1.0 | 0.5 | $+$ | 0.1 | $+$ | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.49 | 3.5 | - | 11.4 |
| France | - | - | - | + | - | - | $+^{2}$ | - | $+^{2}$ | - | + | + | $+$ |
| Netherlands | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.4 | $0.4{ }^{2,3}$ | - | $+^{2,3}$ | - | - | - |  |
| Norway | 0.5 | 3.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 4.6 | 0 |
| UK (England) | 3.6 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 1.8 | $6.12{ }^{1}$ | 2.0 | 2.9 | 0.2 |
| Total | 5.1 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 8.61 | 5.9 | 21.2 | 12.0 |
| Total North Sea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belgium | - | - | + | $+$ | + | - | + | $+^{2}$ | $+^{2}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Denmark | 72.6 | 68.1 | 39.5 | 11.7 | 31.7 | 82.3 | 61.9 | 69.2 | 78.1 | 89.1 | 153.3 | 284.4 | 320.6 |
| Faroe Islands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| France | - | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | $+^{2,3}$ | - | + | - | + |
| Germany | - | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Netherlands | - | 0.1 | 0.6 | - | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | - | $+^{2,3}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Norway | 12.0 | 7.0 | 6.1 | - | - | 4.1 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 29.6 | 28.5 | 43.8 | 36.3 | 36.2 |
| Sweden | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $+^{2}$ | $+^{2}$ | - | 0.1 | - | 0.2 |
| UK (England) | 3.6 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 6.6 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 0.2 |
| UK (Scotland) | $+$ | + | - | $+$ | 0.2 | - | - | $+$ | - | - | 0.5 | 0.1 | + |
| Total | 88.4 | 76.7 | 49.6 | 16.4 | 33.1 | 87.4 | 63.3 | 71.2 | 109.5 | 124.2 | 200.3 | 323.7 | 357.2 |

[^9]Table 8.1.2 Sprat catches ('000 t) in the fjords of western Norway, 1983-1995.

| 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3.2 | 4.4 | 7.1 | 2.2 | 8.3 | 5.3 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 3.7 |

${ }^{1}$ Preliminary.

Table 8.1.3. Sprat catches (tonnes) in the North Sea by quarter in 1994 (Denmark and Norway) and 1995 (Denmark, Sweden, Norway and the UK). Catches in fjords of Western Norway excluded.


Table 8.2.1 North Sea Sprat. Catch in numbers (millions) taken by quarter in 1994 and 1995 by Denmark and Norway.

| Country | Fishing area | Quarter | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $5+$ |
| 1994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | IVa | 4 | 0.54 | 2.13 | 0.61 | 0.06 |  | 0 |
| Denmark | IVb | 1 |  | 485.02 | 670.18 | 268.1 |  |  |
|  |  | 2 |  | 2983.51 | 15 | 0 |  |  |
|  |  | 3 |  | 24541.41 | 272.95 | 0 |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | 887.11 | 4528.93 | 1289.6 | 144.85 | 2.97 | 5.38 |
| Norway | IVb | 1 |  |  | 794.57 | 172.58 | 12.82 |  |
| Denmark | IVc | 1 |  | 22.74 | 673.41 | 150.43 | 27.99 |  |
|  |  | 2 |  | 0.27 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | 1.26 | 85.25 | 23.6 | 4.12 | 0.23 |  |
| 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | IVa | 4 |  | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.02 |  |  |
| Denmark | IVb | 1 |  | 5.78 | 1133.81 | 360.51 |  |  |
|  |  | 2 |  | 2.17 | 552.92 | 169.57 |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 513.23 | 11686.05 | 7402.48 | 138.18 |  |  |
|  |  | 4 |  | 4327.87 | 3179.02 | 361.97 |  |  |
| Norway | IVb | 1 |  |  | 1278.16 | 518.37 | 43.56 |  |
|  |  | 3 |  |  | 315.84 | 115.49 | 3.22 |  |
| Denmark | IVc | 1 |  |  | 537.11 | 98.77 | 9.68 |  |
|  |  | 2 |  |  | 0.08 | 0.01 |  |  |
|  |  | 3 |  | 0.26 | 0.16 | 0.02 |  |  |
|  |  | 4 |  | 206.66 | 125.95 | 15.31 |  |  |

Table 8.2.2. North Sea Sprat. Sampling of commercial landings in 1993-1995.

| Country Quarter |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total catch } \\ & \left({ }^{\prime} 000 \mathrm{t}\right) \end{aligned}$ | No. samples | No. aged | No. meas. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1993 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark |  | 153.3 | 81 | 1209 | 6832 |
| Norway |  | 43.8 | 3 | 100 | 315 |
| Sweden |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| UK(England) |  | 2.6 |  |  |  |
| UK(Scotland) |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 200.3 | 84 | 1309 | 7147 |
| 1994 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 1 | 18.7 | 13 | 839 | 1565 |
|  | 2 | 11.1 | 4 | 191 | 194 |
|  | 3 | 174.8 | 23 | 1479 | 2639 |
|  | 4 | 76.3 | 33 | 1776 | 3619 |
| Total |  | 280.9 | 73 | 4285 | 8017 |
| Norway | 1 | 14.5 | 17 | 707 | 1870 |
|  | 3 | 20.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  | 36.4 | 17 | $0 \quad 707$ | $0 \quad 1870$ |
| UK(England) |  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK(Scotland) |  | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand |  | 323.4 | 90 | 4992 | 9887 |
| 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark |  |  |  |  |  |
| iva | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $4+$ |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IVDE | 1 | 9.4 | 5 | 617 | 308 |
|  | 2 | 5.7 | 3 | 6 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 176.3 | 12 | - 1288 | 456 |
|  | 4 | 91.9 | 25 | 2635 | 433 |
| Total |  | 283.3 | 45 | 4546 | 1197 |
| IVbW | 1 | 3.8 | 3 | 365 | 156 |
|  | 2 | 0.7 | 10 | 80 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 18.6 | 2 | 7 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 2.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  | 25.9 | 15 | 452 | 156 |
| IVbc | 1 | 6.7 | 2 | 242 | 137 |
|  | $2+$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $3+$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 4.7 | 1 | 106 | 0 |
| Total |  | 11.4 | 3 | 348 | 137 |
| Denmark Total |  | 320.6 | 63 | 5346 | 1490 |
| Norway |  |  |  |  |  |
| IVaE | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $3+$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IVbW | 1 | 13.9 | 4 | 165 | 378 |
|  | 2 | 0.4 | 0 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 6.7 | 2 | 200 | 182 |
|  | 4 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 21.0 | 6 | 365 | 560 |
| IVbE | 1 | 7.5 | 3 | 250 | 300 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 7.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  | 14.8 | 3 | 250 | 300 |
| IVbc | 1 0.4 <br> 2  <br> 3  <br> 4  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Norway Total |  | 36.2 | 9 | 615 | 860 |
| UK(England) <br> UK(Scotland) |  | 0.2 $+\quad 0.2$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK Total | 0.2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GRAND Total |  | 357 | 72 | 5961 | 2350 |

Table 8.2.3. North Sea Sprat. Quarterly mean weight (g) at age in the landings in 1994-1995.
Weight were estimated from data provided by Working Group members.

| Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 0 | 1 | 2 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 1.8 | 9.6 | 12.8 | 17.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | 3.7 | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | 7.0 | 10.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 8.4 | 10.4 | 13.7 | 18.5 | 24.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 8.4 | 7.1 | 11.0 | 13.9 | 18.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 3.0 | 9.4 | 12.9 | 19.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | 3.0 | 8.4 | 10.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2.4 | 7.6 | 13.9 | 16.4 | 20.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | 10.5 | 13.9 | 16.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 2.4 | 8.4 | 12.8 | 14.0 | 19.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8.3.1. North Sea Sprat. Abundance indices by age group from IBTS(February) for the standard area for sprat (Div. IVb)

| Year | No rect. | No hauls | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 | $5+$ | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 70 | 146 | 957.28 | 1414.02 | 341.79 | 4.11 | 0.31 | 2717.51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 67 | 155 | 245.91 | 510.86 | 125.42 | 5.64 | 0.19 | 888.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 79 | 211 | 201.21 | 764.08 | 192.43 | 8.26 | 0.85 | 1166.83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 80 | 251 | 383.63 | 393.57 | 47.43 | 6.66 | 0.41 | 831.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 79 | 289 | 675.49 | 305.00 | 38.22 | 4.32 | 0.90 | 1023.93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 78 | 285 | 68.22 | 104.77 | 29.38 | 1.31 | 0.26 | 203.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 78 | 299 | 758.28 | 74.68 | 24.80 | 3.61 | 0.21 | 861.58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 78 | 208 | 152.29 | 1410.52 | 109.66 | 8.78 | 0.00 | 1681.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 79 | 236 | 4293.66 | 445.72 | 318.65 | 4.10 | 13.44 | 5075.57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 78 | 192 | 115.16 | 567.46 | 149.83 | 30.79 | 0.59 | 863.83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 78 | 179 | 834.45 | 104.89 | 27.84 | 2.63 | 1.17 | 970.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 79 | 185 | 1562.20 | 344.08 | 38.25 | 5.51 | 0.45 | 1950.49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 79 | 181 | 1732.54 | 602.01 | 84.12 | 4.35 | 0.06 | 2423.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 78 | 173 | 4084.89 | 1397.77 | 129.96 | 2.79 | 0.67 | 5616.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 79 | 166 | 1059.30 | 2643.93 | 134.01 | 3.23 | 1.12 | 3841.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 78 | 146 | 346.37 | 483.45 | 141.96 | 23.64 | 0.56 | 995.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 8.1.1 : North Sea and Divisions VIId,e sprat catches in tonnes for January 1996.


Figure 8.1.2 : North Sea and Divisions VIId,e sprat catches in tonnes for February 1996.


Figure 8.1.3 : North Sea and Divisions VIId,e sprat catches in tonnes for March 1996.


Figure 8.1.4 : North Sea and Divisions VIId, e sprat catches in tonnes for April 1996.


Figure 8.1.5 : North Sea and Divisions VIId, e sprat catches in tonnes for May 1996.


Figure 8.1.6 : North Sea and Divisions VIId,e sprat catches in tonnes for June 1996.


Figure 8.1.7 : North Sea and Divisions VIId, e sprat catches in tonnes for July 1996.


Figure 8.1.8 : North Sea and Divisions VIId, e sprat catches in tonnes for August 1996.


Figure 8.1.9 : North Sea and Divisions VIId, e sprat catches in tonnes for September 1996.
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Figure 8.1.10 : North Sea and Divisions VIId, e sprat catches in tonnes for October 1996.


Figure 8.1.11 North Sea and Divisions VIId,e sprat catches in tonnes for November 1996.


Figure 8.1.12 : North Sea and Divisions VIId,e sprat catches in tonnes for December 1996.


Figure 8.1.13 : North Sea and Divisions VIId,e sprat catches in tonnes for 1996.
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Figure 8.3.1. SPRAT. Distribution by age groups in the IBTS(February) 1996, in the North Sea and Division IIIa.
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Figure 8.3.2. SPRAT. Mean length (mm) of age group 1 in the IBTS(February) 1996, in the North Sea and Division IIIa.

Figure 8.6.1. North Sea Sprat. IBTS indices vs total catches in 1981-1995.

$$
\left(R^{\wedge} 2=0,45\right)
$$



Figure 8.6.2. Biomass vs. year for the North Sea sprat, 1978-1994, using the adjusted total 1989IBTS index of 667.

| DATASET: North Sea 1996 <br> MODEL: PROD. MODEL (SCHAEFER) Fit: Log Transform CPUE Timing: Start <br> In. Proportion: 0.800 Time Lag: $0 . R^{8}=0.672$ $K=7.851 E+0002 \quad Q=1.211 E+0001 \quad r=1.231 E+0000 U(1 \cap[C t])=2.0 E-0001$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  | Biomass |

### 9.1 The fishery

The nominal landings for 1983-1995 are shown in Table 9.1.1. In Table 9.1.2 monthly catches for the Lyme Bay sprat fishery are shown. Monthly and annual distributions of catches by rectangle are shown in Figures 8.1.1-8.1.13.

### 9.2 Catch Composition

Data on catch composition and mean weights were available for the Working Group for September (one sample). Table 9.2.1 and Table 9.2.2 show catch compositions and the mean weights for 1991-1995.

Table 9.1.1 Nominal catch of sprat (t) in Divisions VIId,e, 1983-1995.

| Country | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Belgium | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Denmark | 638 | 1,417 | - | 15 | 250 | 2,529 | 2,092 | 608 | - | - | - | - | - |
| France | 60 | 47 | 14 | - | 23 | 2 | 10 | - | - | 35 | 2 | 1 | + |
| Germany | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Netherlands | 1,454 | 589 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Norway | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| UK (Engl.\& | 4,756 | 2,402 | 3,771 | 1,163 | 2,441 | 2,944 | 1,319 | 1,508 | 2,567 | 1,790 | 1,798 | 3,132 | 1,535 |
| Wales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 6,911 | 4,455 | 3,785 | 1,178 | 2,714 | 5,475 | 3,421 | 2,116 | 2,567 | 1,825 | 1,800 | 3,133 | 1,535 |

[^10]Table 9.1.2 Lyme Bay sprat fishery. Monthly catches (t). (UK vessels only).

| Season | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1991 / 92$ | 0 | 0 | 205 | 450 | 952 | 60 | 358 | 258 | 109 | 51 | 2443 |
| $1992 / 93$ | 0 | 0 | 302 | 472 | 189 | 294 | 248 | 284 | 158 | 78 | 2025 |
| $1993 / 94$ | 8 | 0 | 156 | 82 | 302 | 529 | 208 | 417 | 134 | 53 | 1889 |
| $1994 / 95$ | 0 | 0 | 299 | 834 | 545 | 608 | 232 | 112 | 68 | 0 | 2698 |
| $1995 / 96$ | 0 | 0 | 154 | 409 | 301 | 307 | 151 |  |  |  | 1322 |

Table 9.2.1. Lyme Bay sprat fishery. Number caught by age group (millions).

| Season | 0/1 | 1/2 | 2/3 | 3/4 | 4/5 | 5/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991/92 | 1.7 | 56.03 | 44.69 | 16.24 | 0.57 | 0.03 |
| 1992/93 ${ }^{1}$ | 0.22 | 28.23 | 48.61 | 12.94 | 1.56 | 0 |
| 1993/94 ${ }^{2}$ | 0 | 0.83 | 44.81 | 15.7 | 1.95 | 0.58 |
| 1994/95 | No data |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| $1995{ }^{3}$ | 0.33 | 5.2 | 2.31 | 0.23 | 0.03 |  |
| 1 | August to December only (samples in August and December only, so these are best estimates |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | August to December only (samples in August, September and November only, so these are best estimates |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Only September (one sample) |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.2.2 Lyme Bay area SPRAT. 1991-1995 mean weight (g) at age.

| Season | Quarter | $0 / 1$ | $1 / 2$ | $2 / 3$ | $3 / 4$ | $4 / 5$ | $5 / 6$ | Overall <br> mean |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1991 / 91$ | 3 | 4.7 | 16.6 | 22.6 | 25.4 | 29.2 | 34.6 | 20.7 |
|  | 4 | 6.6 | 17.1 | 23 | 26.3 | 30.9 |  | 21.0 |
|  | 1 | 5.7 | 13.3 | 17.5 | 20.2 | 24.1 |  | 14.4 |
| $1992 / 93$ | 3 | 4.2 | 12.1 | 22.8 | 24.6 | 32.4 |  | 21.8 |
|  | 4 |  | 15.8 | 20.0 | 23.8 | 24.8 |  | 21.0 |
|  | 1 |  | 13.2 | 17.1 | 21.2 |  |  | 14.2 |
| $1993 / 94$ | 3 |  |  |  | 14.2 | 18.1 | 22.2 | 20.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |

${ }^{1}$ Based on November samples only.
${ }^{2}$ Based on September sample only.

### 10.1 Fishery

### 10.1.1 ACFM advice applicable for 1995 and 1996

ACFM advice on a sprat TAC has not been provided in recent years. Sprat is landed under the TAC for the mixed clupeoid fishery, including all catches of species taken in this fishery. The proportion of sprat in the mixed clupeoid fishery increased substantially between 1993 and 1994, but in 1995 it was again dominated by herring. In 1994 there was, for the first time in several years, a directed sprat fishery for industrial purposes in the Skagerrak and the northern part of the Kattegat. The TACs for this fishery as adopted by the management bodies, were $45,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1993 and 43,000 $t$ in 1994 and 1995. The TAC set for 1996 was $43,000 \mathrm{t}$.

### 10.1.2 Catches in 1995

The total annual landings for Division IIIa by area and country in 1974-1995 are given in Table 10.1.1. The Norwegian and Swedish catches include the coastal and the fjord fisheries. The total landings in 1995, as estimated by the Working Group were $55,600 \mathrm{t}$, which is a reduction of about $42 \%$ from 1994. It was still higher than reported for 1982-1993. Decreases were reported in both the Danish and Swedish landings for 1995 . Of the total landings only 3\% were taken for consumption, 480 t by Norway and $1,160 \mathrm{t}$ by Sweden, all in the Skagerrak.

Landings by quarter for all three countries in 1995 are shown in Table 10.1.2. About $40 \%$ of the total landings were taken in the third quarter, with the same level of landings distributed among quarter 1,2 and 4.

### 10.1.3 Fleet

The sprat fishery in Division IIIa is conducted by fleets from Denmark, Norway and Sweden. The Danish landings are taken by two fleet categories: 1) a directed sprat (mixed clupeoid) trawl fishery using a minimum mesh size of 32 mm and 2) by-catches from the small mesh ( 16 mm ) fisheries for Norway pout, blue whiting and sandeel (Dahlskov W.D. 1996). The landings are for reduction purposes.

The Swedish sprat fishery can be divided into three categories: 1) directed herring trawl fishery with minimum mesh size of 32 mm and by purse seiners, mainly for human consumption, 2) directed sprat fishery for human consumption carried out by purse seiners and 3) a directed sprat (mixed clupeoid) trawl fishery with mainly 16,18 or 22 mm mesh size, for human consumption and for reduction purposes. Due to low market prices for herring for consumption, the Swedish landings of sprat in 1995 were mainly for reduction purposes.

The Norwegian sprat fishery in Division .IIIa is an inshore purse seine fishery for human consumption.

### 10.2 Catch composition

### 10.2.1 Catches in number and weight at age

No weight-at-age data in the catches were available for 1983-1991. For 1992-1993 data were supplied by Denmark, and in 1994 and 1995 also by Sweden.

The numbers and the mean weights at age in the Danish and Swedish industrial landings in 1992-1995 are presented in Tables 10.2.1 and Table 10.2.2, respectively, representing $96 \%$ of the total sprat landings in Division IIIa.

### 10.2.2 Quality of catch and biological data

In 1994 the sampling was extended to cover the Swedish landings for industrial purposes. In 1995 about 26 landings were sampled for species composition of which 8 were used for estimation of sprat age and mean weight at age. The Danish sampling intensity and coverage of the landings in the "mixed clupeoid" fishery were largely unchanged compared with last year. A total of 305 landings were analysed for species composition of which 69 samples of sprat were analysed for age and weight at age. As in previous years, no samples of sprat were taken from the fisheries for human consumption. The sampling intensity by Sweden and Denmark was increased in 1995 for total sprat landings from Division IIIa to meet the recommended level. Further details on the sampling for biological data are shown in Table 10.2.3.

### 10.3 Recruitment

### 10.3.1 Abundance of 1-group and older sprat from IBTS

The IBTS(February) indices for 1993-1995, were revised by the 1995 Herring Working Group (Anon. 1995d). The 1984-1992 indices were revised by the 1996 Herring Working Group, as described in the 1995 report. The indices, calculated as mean cpue ( $\mathrm{no} . / \mathrm{hr}$ ) weighted by the area of the rectangle with water depths between 10 and 150 m , are presented in Table 10.3.1. The IBTS data are provided by rectangle in Figure 8.3.1 for age groups 1,2 and $3+$, and the mean length ( mm ) of $1-\mathrm{gr}$ sprat in Figure 8.3.2.

The 1996 IBTS index indicates a dramatic reduction in the 1 -group index. The very high index of 2-group, however, suggests that there may be problems in the age separation. The total 1996 sprat index for Division IIIa was one of the highest recorded in the period 1984-1996.

The age structure of sprat in the survey is rather variable, with difficulties in following strong and weak cohorts from year to year, as demonstrated below.

| Year <br> class |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| l-group | 2-group | 1-gr/2-gr |  |
| 1983 | 5780 | 2395 | 2.41 |
| 1984 | 2397 | 1919 | 1.25 |
| 1985 | 665 | 2501 | 0.27 |
| 1986 | 2244 | 5461 | 0.41 |
| 1987 | 940 | 994 | 0.95 |
| 1988 | 438 | 238 | 1.84 |
| 1989 | 503 | 457 | 1.10 |
| 1990 | 636 | 606 | 1.05 |
| 1991 | 6016 | 4624 | 1.30 |
| 1992 | 1790 | 614 | 2.91 |
| 1993 | 1547 | 1829 | 0.85 |
| 1994 | 2283 | 5800 | 0.39 |

### 10.4 State of the Stock

No assessments of the sprat stock in Division IIIa have been presented since 1985 and this year is no exception. The Working Group concluded that the data available do not allow any assessment which could be helpful for management.

### 10.5 Projection of Catch and Stock

Figure 10.5 .1 shows the IBTS (February) index plotted against the catch in the same year ( $r^{2}=0.05$ ). The 1994 and 1995 observations are anomalously high.

SHOT estimates (Shepherd, 1991) were provided by the IFWG in 1992, with little confidences in the estimates. With more data available, new SHOT estimates using total IBTS index, 1-group index and a combined 1-and 2-age index as input data, were made. The estimated landings for 1996 were in the range of 15,000 to $43,000 \mathrm{t}$. The SHOT forecast using the total index as an input is presented in Table 10.5.1. The Working Group concludes that no projection of either catch or stock size can be provided with any confidence due to problems in the total catch and the IBTS-index by age data.

### 10.6 Management Considerations

The recruitment variation between years does not appear to be driven directly by fishing. The sprat stock has in recent years been mainly fished together with herring, except from 1994 when a directed sprat fishery was implemented. The human consumption fishery is only a minor part of the total catch. There are no indications of overexploitation but the data available are quite variable.

### 10.7 Research Recommendations

The Working Group considered the research required to improve the quality of the sprat assessment and recommends the following to be addressed before the next meeting of the Working Group:

- The acoustic surveys detect sprat and should be re-examined for the possibility of estimating sprat abundance. If feasible, the survey data should be revisited to obtain these estimates for as many years as possible.

The improvement of the biological sampling intensity of 1994 and 1995 should be continued.

Table 10.1.1 Landings of SPRAT in Division IIIa Catch (in tonnes $10^{3}$ ). (Data provided by Working Group members). These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

| Country | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  | Skagerrak |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 17.9 | 15.0 | 12.8 | 7.1 | 26.6 | 33.5 | 31.7 | 26.4 |
| Sweden | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 8.1 | 4.0 | 6.3 |
| Norway | 1.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 4.6 |
| Total | 21.1 | 19.0 | 17.4 | 10.5 | 31.5 | 43.4 | 39.1 | 37.3 |


| Country | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  | Kattegat |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 31.6 | 60.7 | 27.9 | 47.1 | 37.0 | 45.8 | 35.8 | 23.0 |
| Sweden | 18.6 | 20.9 | 13.5 | 9.8 | 9.4 | 6.4 | 9.0 | 16.0 |
| Total | 50.2 | 81.6 | 41.4 | 56.9 | 46.4 | 52.2 | 44.8 | 39.0 |
| Div. IIIa Total | $\mathbf{7 1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 3}$ |


| Country | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | $1994^{1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 10.5 | 3.4 | 13.2 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 47.7 |
| Skagerrak | 29.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sweden | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4.7 | 32.2 |
| Norway | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 |


| Country | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | $1994{ }^{1}$ | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kattegat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 21.4 | 9.1 | 10.9 | 4.6 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 11.7 | 11.7 |
| Sweden | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.7 | 2.6 | 4.6 |


| Country | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | $1994{ }^{1}$ | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division IIIa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sweden | 5.9 | 13.0 | 10.2 | 11.3 | 8.4 | 11.2 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 6.6 | - | - | - |
| Div. IIIa Total | 39.7 | 26.4 | 36.1 | 19.7 | 10.8 | 14.4 | 8.7 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 14.0 | 10.5 | 9.1 | 96.0 | 55.6 |

${ }^{1}$ Preliminary.

Table 10.1.2. Sprat Div. Illa. Landings of sprat (' 000 tonnes) by quarter by the three countries. (Data provided by the Working Group members).

| Quarter |  | Denmark | Norway | Sweden | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
|  | 2 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 6.3 |
|  | 3 | 37.0 | 0.1 | 23.0 | 60.1 |
|  | 4 | 16.1 | 1.7 | 11.0 | 28.8 |
| Total |  | 59.4 | 1.8 | 34.8 | 96.0 |
| 1995 | 1 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 4.8 | 9.7 |
|  | 2 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 11.3 |
|  | 3 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 21.6 |
|  | 4 | 6.3 | 0.4 | 6.3 | 13.0 |
| Total |  | 40.8 | 0.5 | 14.3 | 55.6 |

Table 10.2.1 Division IIIA Sprat. Landed numbers (millions) of sprat by age groups in 1994-1995.

| Country | Fishing area | Quarter | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5+ |
| Denmark | Skagerrak | 1 |  | 16.28 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 |  | 1191.33 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 |  | 4221.72 | 21.21 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | 16.47 | 874.75 | 23.79 |  |  |  |
| Denmark | Kattegat | 1 |  | 5.02 | 7.39 | 3.48 | 0.31 |  |
|  |  | 2 |  | 0.92 | 36.53 | 6.30 |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 3.69 | 632.38 | 5024.00 | 42.11 |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | 5.73 | 287.74 | 42.28 | 21.50 |  |  |
| Sweden | Skagerrak | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 18.49 | 2135.32 | 37.64 | 8.21 | 2.08 | 6.53 |
|  |  | 4 | 1.51 | 911.44 | 7.30 | 7.10 | 0.32 |  |
| Total Div.III |  | 1 | 0.00 | 21.30 | 7.39 | 3.48 | 0.31 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 2 | 0.00 | 1192.25 | 36.53 | 6.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 3 | 22.18 | 6989.42 | 5082.85 | 50.32 | 2.08 | 6.53 |
|  |  | 4 | 23.71 | 2073.93 | 73.37 | 28.60 | 0.32 | 0.00 |
| 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | Skagerrak | 1 |  | 66.07 | 199.32 | 8.77 |  |  |
|  |  | 2 |  | 1026.38 | 758.87 | 34.58 |  |  |
|  |  | 3 |  | 1304.54 | 108.83 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 |  | 255.41 | 2.32 |  |  |  |
| Denmark | Kattegat | 1 |  | 205.54 | 194.92 | 32.79 | 21.25 | 7.38 |
|  |  | 2 |  | 124.37 | 117.94 | 19.84 | 12.86 | 4.48 |
|  |  | 3 |  | 315.11 | 16.64 | 13.31 |  |  |
|  |  | 4 |  | 277.62 | 19.66 |  | 0.60 |  |
| Sweden | Div.Illa | 1 |  | 21.54 | 342.64 | 8.70 | 4.39 | 1.08 |
|  |  | 2 |  | 22.37 | 56.35 | 2.94 | 1.46 |  |
|  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 |  | 315.08 | 109.50 | 28.14 | 9.34 |  |
| TOTAL | Div. Illa | 1 |  | 293.15 | 736.88 | 50.26 | 25.64 | 8.46 |
|  |  | 2 |  | 1173.12 | 933.16 | 57.36 | 14.32 | 4.48 |
|  |  | 3 |  | 1619.65 | 125.47 | 13.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 4 |  | 848.11 | 131.48 | 28.14 | 9.94 | 0.00 |

Table 10.2.2. Div. Illa Sprat. Quarterly mean weight (g) at age in the landings in 1994-1995. (Danish and Swedish data)


Table 10.2.3 Division Illa Sprat. Sampling of industrial landings in 1993-1995

| Country | Total catch <br> ('000 t) | No. samples | No. aged | No. meas. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1993 |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 0.6 |  |  |  |
| Norway | 1.3 | 30 | 98 | 654 |
| Sweden | 4.7 |  |  |  |
| Total | 6.6 | 30 | 98 | 654 |
| 1994 |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 59.4 | 80 | 3420 | 6564 |
| Norway | 1.8 | 1 |  | 96 |
| Sweden | 34.8 | 45 | 4107 | 10379 |
| Total | 96.0 | 126 |  |  |
| 1995 |  |  | 2234 | 6834 |
| Denmark | 40.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Norway | 0.3 | 23 | 1385 | 15643 |
| Sweden | 14.3 | 95.6 |  | 3619 |

Table 10.3.1. Div. Illa Sprat. Revised indices of sprat per age group from IBTS(February) 19841996. (Mean number per hour per rectangle weighted by area. Only hauls taken in depths of $10-150 \mathrm{~m}$ are included).

| Year | No Rect | No hauls | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5 +}$ | Total |  |
| 1984 | 15 | 38 | 5779.73 | 854.30 | 207.60 | 80.09 | 61.47 | 6983.19 |  |
| 1985 | 14 | 38 | 2397.24 | 2395.15 | 368.76 | 128.50 | 49.11 | 5338.76 |  |
| 1986 | 15 | 38 | 664.99 | 1918.53 | 1786.59 | 116.20 | 31.91 | 4518.22 |  |
| 1987 | 16 | 38 | 2244.33 | 2501.38 | 2224.94 | 1655.66 | 78.69 | 8705.00 |  |
| 1988 | 13 | 38 | 939.91 | 5461.23 | 1519.15 | 2130.02 | 459.41 | 10509.72 |  |
| 1989 | 14 | 38 | 437.60 | 994.37 | 1077.13 | 603.41 | 147.86 | 3260.37 |  |
| 1990 | 15 | 38 | 502.83 | 237.76 | 69.90 | 65.65 | 49.04 | 925.18 |  |
| 1991 | 14 | 38 | 636.17 | 456.74 | 493.57 | 86.03 | 215.58 | 1888.09 |  |
| 1992 | 16 | 38 | 6016.26 | 605.99 | 272.13 | 215.45 | 79.26 | 7189.09 |  |
| 1993 | 16 | 38 | 1789.73 | 4623.70 | 996.75 | 218.97 | 260.08 | 7889.23 |  |
| 1994 | 16 | 38 | 1546.88 | 614.35 | 961.44 | 299.48 | 67.58 | 3489.73 |  |
| 1995 | 17 | 38 | 2282.92 | 1828.84 | 37.24 | 47.86 | 4.53 | 4201.39 |  |
| 1996 | 15 | 38 | 176.15 | 5800.45 | 794.23 | 135.95 | 228.51 | 7135.29 |  |

Table 10.5.1. SPRAT Div. IIIa. SHOT forecast of landings in 1996 using total landings and the total IBTS(February) indices as input data.


Figure 10.5.1. Div.IIIa Sprat. IB'TS total indices vs total catches in 1984-1996.
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[^0]:    - Biomass of $2+$ ringers in November.

[^1]:    PARAMETERS OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF In CATCHES AT age

[^2]:    Notes: Run name : MANKRPOI Date and time: 16APR96:17:43

[^3]:    Notes: Run name : MANXRP01
    Date and time : 12APR96:14:22
    Computation of ref. F: Simple mean, age 3 - 6
    Basis for 1996 : F factors

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Calculated from estimates of weight per box and in some years estimated by-catch in the sprat fishery ${ }^{2}$ Reported to be at a low level, assumed to be zero.

[^5]:    ${ }^{3}$ Based on sampling.
    ${ }^{4}$ Estimated assuming the same discarding rate as in 1986.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ including Division VIa (North).

[^7]:    * Using samples from Q1

[^8]:    * Samples from NI landings

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Preliminary.
    ${ }^{2}$ Official statistics.
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes Division IV a-c.

[^10]:    Preliminary

