## 3 Ling (Molva Molva)

### 3.1 Stock description and management units

WGDEEP 2006 indicated: 'There is currently no evidence of genetically distinct populations within the ICES area. However, ling at widely separated fishing grounds may still be sufficiently isolated to be considered management units, i.e. stocks, between which exchange of individuals is limited and has little effect on the structure and dynamics of each unit. It was suggested that Iceland (Division 5.a), the Norwegian Coast (Subarea 2), and the Faroes and Faroe Bank (Division 5.b) have separate stocks, but that the existence of distinguishable stocks along the continental shelf west and north of the British Isles and the northern North Sea (Subareas 4, 6, 7 and 8) is less probable. Ling is one of the species included in a recently initiated Norwegian population structure study using molecular genetics, and new data may thus be expected in the future'.

WGDEEP 2007 examined available evidence on stock discrimination and concluded that available information is not sufficient to suggest changes to current ICES interpretation of stock structure.


Figure 3.1. Map of fishery distribution (catches) in 2013 (data from Iceland, Faroes and Norway).

A study on population genetic structure of ling in the Northeast Atlantic rejected the hypothesis of a single ling stock in the Northeast Atlantic, and rather suggest the existence of two or more groups, with the main grouping represented by a western (Rockall and Iceland) and an eastern group (Faroe Bank, Norway) (Gonzales et al., 2015). Significant genetic differences coincide with an expanse of deep water that probably limits connectivity facilitated by migration. Retention in gyres and directional oceanic circulation may also prevent drift and admixture during
planktonic life stages. On the other hand, the apparent absence of genetic differentiation within the eastern part of the distribution range indicates gene flow, perhaps by larval drift and migration, over considerable distances.

A small-scale exchange of 50 ling otolith images was done in 2013 (WKAMDEEP, 2013). The results of this exchange showed that the mean CV of all the 9 age readers of ling was $10.3 \%$ and the conclusion was that the precision is probably high enough to support age-structured analytical assessments (WGDEEP, 2013). The results from the annotations of this exchange highlighted that the problem (in most cases) was to do with edge growth. It is necessary to train an age reader and inform them when to count the first translucent zone (first year) (WKAMDEEP, 2013). Also earlier ling otolith exchanges concluded that there was some inconsistencies between age readers but the differences were not very substantial and could easily be adjusted (Bergstad et al., 1998; Øverbø Hansen, 2012). An analysis of edge growth of ling otoliths is recommended to help on this problem with edge growth.

### 3.1.1 References

Blanco Gonzalez, E., Knutsen, H., Jorde, P. E., Glover, K. A., and Bergstad, O. A. Genetic analyses of ling (Molva molva) in the Northeast Atlantic reveal patterns relevant to stock assessments and management advice. - ICES Journal of Marine Science, 72: 635-641.

### 3.2 Ling (Molva Molva) in Division 5.b

### 3.2.1 The fishery

General description of the fishery in Faroese waters is presented in the stock annex. Ling is mainly caught by longliners. Trawlers catch it as bycatch in the saithe fishery. In 2021 the fleet which is comprised of longliners and trawlers were mainly fishing on the slope on the Faroe Plateau and somewhat to the South East on the Faroe Bank and Wyville-Thomson Ridge (Figure 3.2.1). In recent years, foreign catches are mainly caught by the Norwegian longliners.


Figure 3.2.1. Ling in 5.b. Spatial distribution in 2021 of the Faroese longliner fishery (left) and pair trawler fishery (bycatch in saithe fishery, right).

### 3.2.2 Landings trends

Landing statistics for ling by nation for the period 1988-2021 are given in Tables 3.2.1-3.2.3 and total landings data since 1904 are available and shown in Figure 3.2.2. The history of the fishery is described in the stock annex.

Total landings in Division 5.b have in general been very stable since the 1970s varying between around 4000 and 7000 tonnes. From 1990-2005 around $20 \%$ of the catch was fished in area 5.b2, and in the period 2006-2020 it has decreased to around $10 \%$. In $2021,17 \%$ of the catch was fished in 5.b2. Preliminary landings of ling decreased in 2021 to 7869 tons (the second highest catch in the whole time series), of which the Faroes caught $81 \%$. Foreign catches were low between 2011 and 2013 due to no bilateral agreement on fishing rights between the Faroes, Norway and EU.

Around $50-75 \%$ of the ling in $5 . \mathrm{b}$ was caught by longliners and the rest mainly by trawlers (2540\%) (Table 3.2.4).


Figure 3.2.2. Ling in 5.b. Total international catches since 1904. Mean catches since 1955 were around 5100 tons. Catches in the assessment period since 1996 were approximately 5700 tons.

### 3.2.3 ICES Advice

ICES advises that when the MSY approach is applied, catches in 2022 should be no more than 5636 tonnes. ICES is not in a position to advice on the corresponding level of fishing effort (https://www.ices.dk/sites/pub/Publication\ Reports/Advice/2021/2021/lin.27.5b.pdf).

### 3.2.4 Management

For the Faroese fleets, there is no species-specific management of ling in $5 . b$ although there is a licensing scheme and effort limitations. The main fleets targeting ling are each year allocated a total allowable number of fishing days to be used in the demersal fishery in the area. Other nations fishing ling in Division 5.b are regulated by TACs. The recommended minimum landing size for ling is 60 cm (total length) which is not enforced due to the discard ban. Regulation is set for juvenile catch and a maximum of $25 \%$ of the ling catch (per settings/hauls) can be juveniles e.g. smaller than 75 cm .

Since 1977 a bilateral agreed quota exists between Norway and Faroe Islands except for 20112013. For 2022, catches by Norway are as follows; 3000 tons ling/blue ling, 1500 tons tusk and 800 tons of other species as by-catch in the bottom fishery in Faroese waters (fiskiveiðiavtala-millum-føroyar-og-noreg-fyri-2022.pdf).
In 2022, the Faroese Government will allow 5 Russian vessels to undertake experimental fishing in the Faroese Fishing Zone at depths deeper than 700 meters provided that a Russian scientific observer is onboard. No more than 3 vessels can simultaneously be operating. Two of these vessels can undertake experimental fishery in deep waters around Outer Bailey and Bill Baileys Banks at depth between 500 and 700 meters, provided that catches in this area do not exceed 500 tonnes of deep-sea species (fiskiveiðiavtala-millum-føroyar-og-russland-fyri-2022.pdf).

In 2022, a bilateral agreement between the Faroes and UK allows a catch of 225 tonnes of blue ling/ling in the Faroese fishing zone (semja-millum-føroyar-og-bretland-um-sínamillum-fiskirættindi-fyri-2022.pdf).

The EU regulation of fishing opportunities for 2022 has a table for ling and blue ling in Faroese waters of 5.b. The EU quota is set to zero catches.

### 3.2.5 Data available

Data on length, gutted weight and age are available for ling from the Faroese landings and Table 3.2.5 give an overview of the level of sampling since 1996.

There are also catch and effort data from logbooks for the Faroese longliners and trawlers. In addition, there are also data available on catch, effort and some mean lengths from Norwegian longliners fishing in Faroese waters.

From the two annual Faroese groundfish surveys on the Faroe Plateau targeting cod, haddock and saithe, biological data (mainly length and round weight, Table 3.2.6) as well as catch and effort data are available. Data of ling larvae from the annual 0-group survey on the Faroe Plateau has also been investigated.

### 3.2.5.1 Landings and discards

Landing data is available for all relevant fleets. No estimates of discards of ling are available. But since the Faroese fleets are not regulated by TACs and there is a ban on discarding in Faroese EEZ, incentives for illegal discarding are believed to be low. The landings statistics are therefore regarded as being adequate for assessment purposes.

### 3.2.5.2 Length compositions

Length composition data is available from Faroese commercial longliners and trawlers and from two groundfish surveys (Figures 3.2.3-3.2.5).


Figure 3.2.3. Ling in 5.b. Length frequencies from the landings of ling from Faroese longliners (>110 GRT, turquoise line) and Faroese trawlers (>1000 HP, dark blue line) since 1994. ML- mean length.


Figure 3.2.4. Ling in 5.b. Length frequencies from the groundfish spring survey. ML- mean length, N -number of calculated length measurements, grey line- mean of all years. Small individuals are often sampled from a subsample of the total catch and scaled up to total catch.


Figure 3.2.5. Ling in 5.b. Length frequencies from the groundfish summer survey. ML- mean length, N -number of calculated length measurements, grey line- mean of all years. Small individuals are often sampled from a subsample of the total catch and scaled up to total catch

### 3.2.5.3 Catch-at-age

Catch-at-age data are available from the Faroese fishery in 5.b since 1996. In 2020, a new ALKprogram was used to calculate catch number at age (see ICES, 2021, Stock annex). The most frequent age classes in the landings are 5-9 years old (Figure 3.2.6 and Table 3.2.7). Consistency plots of the catch at age data is shown in Figure 3.2.7.


Figure 3.2.6. Ling 5.b. Catch-at-age from the commercial fleets in the assessment. MA- mean age.
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Figure 3.2.7. Ling 5.b. Consistency plots of catch-at-age used in the assessment.

### 3.2.5.4 Weight-at-age

Mean weight-at-age data from the landings in $5 . \mathrm{b}$ is available (Stock annex, ICES, 2021). There are no long term trends in the mean weights over the period (Figure 3.2.8 and Table 3.2.8).


Figure 3.2.8. Ling in 5.b. Mean weight-at-age in the catches since 1996.

### 3.2.5.5 Maturity and natural mortality

Fixed proportion mature at age used in the assessment is presented in the table below. More information of this and maturity ogives of ling are presented in the stock annex.

| Age | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 +}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Prop <br> mature | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.19 | 0.50 | 0.79 | 0.93 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

No information is available on natural mortality of ling in 5.b. Natural mortality of 0.15 was assumed for all ages in the assessment. That is the same as used for ling in Division 5.a.

### 3.2.5.6 Catch, effort and research vessel data

## Commercial cpue series

Catch per unit of effort (CPUE) data is available from three commercial series; the Faroese longliners, the Faroese pair trawlers (bycatch in saithe fishery) and Norwegian longliners fishing in Division 5.b. Although no obvious problems were detected in the commercial tuning series, in terms of series trends or problems arising from aggregating fish or fishery targeting, the WKBARFAR benchmark decided not to use the commercial series in the tuning of the assessment model (ICES, 2021). The CPUE series of the Faroese fishery are described in stock annex for ling in 5 b whilst the standardized CPUE data from Norwegian longliners operating in Division 5.b are described in the stock annex for ling in 2.a (Section ling in 1 and 2).

## Fisheries-independent cpue series

Survey biomass indices ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{h}$ ) for ling are available from the annual groundfish trawl surveys on the Faroe Plateau targeting cod, haddock and saithe. The spring survey takes place in February/March (ICES acronym: G1264) while the summer survey is conducted in August (ICES acronym: G3284). Both surveys cover the main fishing grounds and a large part of the stock spatial distribution. More detailed information on the surveys and standardization of the data are described in the stock annex. WKBARFAR benchmark adopted the groundfish surveys as a tuning series of the assessment model (ICES, 2021).

### 3.2.6 Data analyses

Mean length in the length composition from commercial catches from Faroese longliners and trawlers showed an increase in mean length from $74-79 \mathrm{~cm}$ in 2007 to around $83-86 \mathrm{~cm}$ after 2010 (Figure 3.2.3). Length composition data are similar in both Faroese trawlers and longliners. Mean length from 2003 to 2009 from the Norwegian longline fleet in Faroese waters is estimated at 87 cm .

Length composition from the two groundfish surveys on the Faroe Plateau shows high interannual variation in mean length. The length varies from 65 to 85 cm which may partly be explained by occasional high abundance of individuals smaller than 60 cm (Figures 3.2.4-3.2.5).

### 3.2.6.1 Fluctuations in abundance

Faroese longline CPUE series and trawl bycatch CPUE series show an increasing trend since around 2001 (Figure 3.2.9). Norwegian longline series display an increase since 2004, except in 2018 (Figure 3.2.9). It has to be noted that there are less than 100 fishing days from Norwegian longliners in Faroese waters in 2009-2014.

The two survey abundance series indicate a stable situation from the late 1990s and an increase to a higher level since 2010, but they have decreased from 2020 to 2021 (Figure 3.2.10).

A size based recruitment index is compiled for individuals smaller than 40 cm (Figure 3.2.13). The index indicates high recruitment in the period 2013-2018. There has been a decrease since 2016 and has been on a very low level since 2019 in both surveys. In addition, another recruitment index is calculated based on small juveniles ( $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$ in length) from the annual 0 -group survey on the Faroe Plateau since 1983. The index also showed indications of high recruitment in some years (Figure 3.2.12). No juvenile ling individuals are found in the 0 -group survey since 2020.


Figure 3.2.9. Ling in 5.b. Standardized CPUE from Faroese pair trawlers (bycatch, dark blue line), Faroese longliners (turquoise line) and Norwegian longliners (turquoise stippled line) fishing in Faroese waters. Data from Faroese trawlers are from hauls where ling was caught and saithe $>60 \%$ of the total catch. Data from Faroese longliners ( $>110$ GRT) are from sets where ling $\mathbf{> 3 0 \%}$ of the total catch. The error bars show SE. Vertical bars display $95 \%$ confidence intervals in the Norwegian data.


Figure 3.2.10. Ling in 5.b. Standardized CPUE (kg/hour) from the two annual Faroese groundfish surveys on the Faroe Plateau with standard errors. The data for 1983-1993 were not standardized.


Figure 3.2.11. Ling in 5.b. Index (number/hour) of ling smaller than 40 cm from the spring- and summer survey on the Faroe Plateau.


Figure 3.2.12. Ling in 5.b. Index (number/hour) and occurrence (\%) of ling (2-3 cm in length) caught in the annual 0group survey on the Faroe Plateau.

### 3.2.6.2 Stock assessment

Prior to the WKBARFAR benchmark in 2021 the stock was classified as category 3 (ICES 3.2 rule) where the advice was based on survey trends (ICES, 2019) using a survey biomass index (kg/h) from the Faroese summer groundfish survey. Exploratory age disaggregated assessments based on SAM have been presented to the WGDEEP group since 2017 (ICES, 2020). At the WKBARFAR benchmark the stock was updated to Category 1 using SAM as the basis for advice (ICES, 2021 and stock annex).

## Analytical assessment using SAM

The input for the SAM model was catch at age for ages 3 to $12+$ and for years back to 1996. Maturity at age is compiled from the Faroese survey data and it is fixed for the assessment period. Natural mortality is set to 0.15 for all ages and years. The age-disaggregated tuning series were the Faroese summer survey, ages 3 to 11 (1996-2021) and the Faroese spring survey, ages 4 to 11 (1998-2021). The SAM model configuration settings are described in detail in the stock annex.

Age disaggregated indices from the spring- and summer surveys are presented in Table 3.2.9 and 3.2.10. They show periods of good year classes around 2015. Indications of good year classes are also confirmed in the 0 -group survey (Figure 3.2.12). Stratified catch rates (kg per hour) shows increased levels from 2010 to 2019 in both surveys. The index has decreased from 2020 to 2021(Figure 3.2.10). The internal consistency of the summer survey measured as the correlation between the indices for the same year class in two adjacent years is good, with $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ ranging from 0.5 to 0.7 for the best-defined age groups (Figure 3.2.13). The internal consistency of the spring index is overall inferior to that of the the summer index (Figure 3.2.14). Ling is fully recruited to the survey at around age 5 .

The results and diagnostics of the final assessment Ling5b_wgdeep2022 (stockassessment.org) are presented in Tables 3.2.11-3.2.14 and Figures 3.2.15-3.2.19.

Results from the adopted SAM assessment shows that the spawning stock biomass (SSB) has been the largest from 2017 to 2021 although it has decreased from around 25000 tonnes in 2020 to around 22000 tonnes in 2021 (Figure 3.2.15, Tables 3.2.11, 3.2.13). Fishing mortality ( $\mathrm{F}_{6-10}$ ) has fluctuated around the historical average ( $\mathrm{F}_{6-10}=0.4$ ). It decreased to levels closed to $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ in 2017 and 2018 as a consequence of lower catches. It is estimated to $\mathrm{F}_{6-10}=0.4$ in 2021 (Figure 3.2.15, Tables 3.2.11, 3.2.12).

Spawning stock biomass is well above MSY Btrigger and fishing mortality above Fmsy but below $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and Flim.

The model diagnostics are shown as model fits to the data (Figure 3.2.16), residuals (Figure 3.2.17), leave-one-out analysis (Figure 3.2.18), retrospective analysis (Figure 3.2.19) and parameter estimates (Table 3.2.14). Overall, it seems that the model fits the data reasonably well. Model residuals are randomly distributed and the leave one out analysis shows that the model is robust. The retrospective pattern shows that F is overestimated and SSB subsequently underestimated. All the retrospective runs falls within the confidence intervals of the final assessment. Mohn's rho parameters are estimated at $-5 \%, 18 \%$ and $51 \%$ for the spawning stock biomass, F and recruitment, respectively.


Figure 3.2.13. Ling in 5.b. Consistency plot of catch-at-age in the summer survey tuning series in the assessment.


Figure 3.2.14. Ling in 5.b. Consistency plot of catch-at-age in the spring survey tuning series in the assessment.


Figure 3.2.15. Ling in 5.b. Output from SAM. Results per year for spawning stock biomass (tonnes, upper left), fishing mortality ( $F_{6-10}$, upper right), recruitment (age 3, thousands, lower left) and catch (tonnes, lower right). Stippled line is median, shaded area is $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ and x - is actual catch.


Figure 3.2.16. Ling in 5.b. Output from SAM. Model fit of data; catch (upper left), summer survey (lower left) and spring survey (lower right).


Figure 3.2.17. Ling in 5.b. Output from SAM. Model residuals (left) and process errors (right).


Figure 3.2.18. Ling in 5.b. Output from SAM. Leave-one-out analysis of SSB (upper left), fishing mortality (upper right), recruitment (lower left) and catch (lower right).


Figure 3.2.19. Ling in 5.b. Output from SAM. Retrospective analysis of SSB (upper left), fishing mortality (upper right), recruitment (lower left) and catch (lower right).

### 3.2.6.3 Quality of the assessment

Ling $5 . \mathrm{b}$ was benchmarked in 2021 (ICES, 2021), where the assessment was upgraded from a trend-based assessment (Category 3) to the SAM state-space model. Exploratory assessment for ling in $5 . b$ has been performed for several years (with only summer survey as tuning series), and a comparison between the assessments of WGDEEP 2021 and the exploratory assessment WGDEEP 2020 indicates that the model results are comparable, although recruitment and $F$ are estimated a bit higher at WGDEEP 2020 than in the 2021 assessment and SSB and TSB lower. Though, these values are still well inside the $95 \%$ CI.

### 3.2.7 Short term prediction

Settings for the short term forecast are presented in the stock annex and the output in Ling5b_wgdeep2022 (stockassessment.org).

### 3.2.7.1 Input data

The assumptions made for the interim year and in the forecast are presented in the table below.

| Variable | Value | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ages } 6-10}(2022)$ | 0.403 | $\mathrm{~F}_{\text {sq }}=\mathrm{F}_{2021}$ |
| SSB (2023) | 15125 | Short-term forecast fishing at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {sq }} ;$ Tonnes. |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {age } 3}(2022 / 2023)$ | 2966 | Median recruitment, resampled from the years 1996-2020; Thousands. |
| Total catch (2022) | 7045 | Short-term forecast using $F_{\text {sq; }}$ Tonnes. |

### 3.2.7.2 Results

Results of short term forecast using $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}$ msy including confidence intervals (low and high columns) is presented in the Table below. According to the short term forecast with the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ advised ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}=0.23$ ), catches are projected to 3552 tonnes in 2023, resulting in an SSB in 2023 of 15125 tonnes, when assuming a recruitment of 2966 thousands in 2022 and 2023. Under these conditions, SSB will in 2023 be at 15125 tonnes and in 2024 at 14529 tonnes.
Catch options for scenarios with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{lim}}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{sq}}$ and $\mathrm{F}=0$ is presented in Table 3.2.15.

| Year | $\mathrm{F}_{6-10}$ |  |  | Recruitment (thousands) |  |  | SSB (tonnes) |  |  | Catch (tonnes) |  |  | TSB (tonnes) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Median | Low | High | Median | Low | High | Median | Low | High | Median | Low | High | Median | Low | High |
| 2021 | 0.403 | 0.256 | 0.644 | 1175 | 541 | 2576 | 22126 | 16966 | 29535 | 7929 | 5934 | 10592 | 27479 | 21026 | 36559 |
| 2022 | 0.403 | 0.256 | 0.644 | 2966 | 1068 | 4509 | 19363 | 12866 | 27986 | 7045 | 5577 | 9086 | 25295 | 17715 | 35070 |
| 2023 | 0.23 | 0.146 | 0.368 | 2966 | 1068 | 4509 | 15125 | 8648 | 23986 | 3552 | 2630 | 4745 | 22351 | 14542 | 32456 |
| 2024 | 0.23 | 0.146 | 0.368 | 2966 | 1068 | 4509 | 14529 | 8015 | 23726 | 3326 | 2330 | 4633 | 23283 | 15491 | 33459 |

### 3.2.8 Reference points

Biological reference points for ling in 5.b are shown in the Table below. Description of the reference points calculation is given in the stock annex and in ICES, 2021. In 2021 the definition of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ of 0.62 was changed to be the same as $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p} 0.5}$.

| MSY ${ }_{\text {Btrigger }}$ | 5thPerc_SSB ${ }_{\text {msy }}$ | $\mathbf{B r a b}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{Bl}_{\text {lim }}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | $F_{\text {lim }}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p} 05}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy_unconstr }}$ | $F_{\text {MSY }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11627 | 21707 | 11627 | 9340 | 0.6 | 0.85 | 0.6 | 0.23 | 0.23 |

### 3.2.9 Comments on assessment

All signals from the commercial catches and also surveys indicate that ling stock in Division 5.b at present is in good condition, and this is also confirmed in the assessment. The substantial drop in recruitment since 2016 suggests that the stock will probably decline in coming years.

### 3.2.10 Management consideration

Stability in landings and abundance indices do suggest that ling stock in Division 5.6 has been stable since middle of the 1980s, with an increasing trend in biomass in the last decade. The available data series does not cover the entire period of the fishery (back to the early 1900s; see Figure 3.2.3) and no information is available on stock levels prior to 1986. There is evidence of increased recruitments in last decade compared to earlier, but there has been a drop in recruitment since 2016 so the stock will probably decline in coming years (Figure 3.2.15).

The only species-specific management in effect for Faroese fisheries of ling in Division 5.b is the recommended minimum landing size ( 60 cm ). But this seems not to be enforced because of the general discard ban. Up to $25 \%$ of ling catches (per settings/hauls) can be juveniles e.g. smaller than 75 cm .

The exploitation of ling is influenced by regulations aimed at other groundfish species, e.g. cod, haddock, and saithe; such as closed areas. Fisheries by other nations are regulated by TACs.

The Faroese effort management system introduced in 1996 is in force for the demersal fleets operating on the Faroe Plateau. A preliminary management plan using a harvest control rule was adopted by the Faroese fisheries authorities in 2020, and applied for the first time for the calendar year 2021. The number of fishing days was decided according to the stock status of cod, haddock and saithe. Although the management plan opens up for the development of special bycatch rules, this has not yet been integrated. The management plan has not been evaluated by ICES, but will likely be sent to review in 2022.

### 3.2.11 Ecosystem considerations

Since on average $67 \%$ of the catches are taken by longlines, the remaining by trawls, the effects of the ling fishery on the bottom fauna and benthic ecosystem is moderate (Table 3.2.4).

### 3.2.12 Future research and data requirements

The aim is to collect a sufficient number of individual age and maturity samples to cover both the Faroese spring- and summer surveys, especially from the smallest and largest individuals.
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### 3.2.14 Tables

Table 3.2.1. Ling in 5.b1. Nominal landings (1988-present).

| Year | Denmark ${ }^{(2)}$ | Faroes | France | Germany | Norway | E\&W ${ }^{(1)}$ | Scotland ${ }^{(1)}$ | Russia | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | 42 | 1383 | 53 | 4 | 884 | 1 | 5 |  | 2372 |
| 1989 |  | 1498 | 44 | 2 | 1415 |  | 3 |  | 2962 |
| 1990 |  | 1575 | 36 | 1 | 1441 |  | 9 |  | 3062 |
| 1991 |  | 1828 | 37 | 2 | 1594 |  | 4 |  | 3465 |
| 1992 |  | 1218 | 3 |  | 1153 | 15 | 11 |  | 2400 |
| 1993 |  | 1242 | 5 | 1 | 921 | 62 | 11 |  | 2242 |
| 1994 |  | 1541 | 6 | 13 | 1047 | 30 | 20 |  | 2657 |
| 1995 |  | 2789 | 4 | 13 | 446 | 2 | 32 |  | 3286 |
| 1996 |  | 2672 |  |  | 1284 | 12 | 28 |  | 3996 |
| 1997 |  | 3224 | 7 |  | 1428 | 34 | 40 |  | 4733 |
| 1998 |  | 2422 | 6 |  | 1452 | 4 | 145 |  | 4029 |
| 1999 |  | 2446 | 17 | 3 | 2034 | 0 | 71 |  | 4571 |
| 2000 |  | 2103 | 7 | 1 | 1305 | 2 | 61 |  | 3479 |
| 2001 |  | 2069 | 14 | 3 | 1496 | 5 | 99 |  | 3686 |
| 2002 |  | 1638 | 6 | 2 | 1640 | 3 | 239 |  | 3528 |
| 2003 |  | 2139 | 12 | 2 | 1526 | 3 | 215 |  | 3897 |
| 2004 |  | 2733 | 15 | 1 | 1799 | 3 | 178 | 2 | 4731 |
| 2005 |  | 2886 | 3 |  | 1553 | 3 | 175 |  | 4620 |
| 2006 | 3 | 3563 | 6 |  | 850 |  | 136 |  | 4558 |
| 2007 | 2 | 3004 | 9 |  | 1071 |  | 6 |  | 4092 |
| 2008 |  | 3354 | 4 |  | 740 | 32 | 25 | 11 | 4166 |
| 2009 | 13 | 3471 | 2 |  | 419 |  | 270 |  | 4174 |
| 2010 | 28 | 4906 | 2 |  | 442 |  | 121 |  | 5500 |
| 2011 | 49 | 4270 | 2 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 4321 |
| 2012 | 117 | 5452 | 7 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 5576 |
| 2013 | 3 | 3734 | 7 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 3744 |
| 2014 |  | 5653 | 10 |  | 308 |  | 0 | 13 | 5983 |


| Year | Denmark $^{(2)}$ | Faroes | France | Germany | Norway | E\&W $^{(1)}$ | Scotland $^{(1)}$ | Russia |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2015 | 4375 | 16 | 993 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 5391 |  |
| 2016 | 4214 | 8 | 855 | 0 | 103 | 5180 |  |  |
| 2017 | 4371 | 4 | 864 | 54 | 5294 |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 3836 | 2 | 793 | 42 | 4673 |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 4862 | 25 | 1983 | 83 | 6895 |  |  |  |
| 2020 | 5642 | 16 | 2537 | 0 | 8277 |  |  |  |
| $2021^{*}$ | 5074 | 11 | 1444 |  | 6529 |  |  |  |

*Preliminary.
${ }^{(1)}$ Includes 5.b2.
${ }^{(2)}$ Greenland 2006-2013.

Table 3.2.2. Ling in 5.b2. Nominal landings (1988-present).

| Year | Faroes | France | Norway | Scotland | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | 832 |  | 1284 |  | 2116 |
| 1989 | 362 |  | 1328 |  | 1690 |
| 1990 | 162 |  | 633 |  | 795 |
| 1991 | 492 |  | 555 |  | 1047 |
| 1992 | 577 |  | 637 |  | 1214 |
| 1993 | 282 |  | 332 |  | 614 |
| 1994 | 479 |  | 486 |  | 965 |
| 1995 | 281 |  | 503 |  | 784 |
| 1996 | 102 |  | 798 |  | 900 |
| 1997 | 526 |  | 398 |  | 924 |
| 1998 | 511 |  | 819 |  | 1330 |
| 1999 | 164 | 4 | 498 |  | 666 |
| 2000 | 229 | 1 | 399 |  | 629 |
| 2001 | 420 | 6 | 497 |  | 923 |
| 2002 | 150 | 4 | 457 |  | 611 |
| 2003 | 624 | 4 | 927 |  | 1555 |
| 2004 | 1058 | 3 | 247 |  | 1308 |
| 2005 | 575 | 7 | 647 |  | 1229 |


| Year | Faroes | France | Norway | Scotland | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 472 | 6 | 177 |  | 655 |
| 2007 | 327 | 4 | 309 |  | 640 |
| 2008 | 458 | 3 | 120 |  | 580 |
| 2009 | 270 | 1 | 198 |  | 469 |
| 2010 | 393 | 1 | 236 |  | 630 |
| 2011 | 522 | 0 | 0 |  | 522 |
| 2012 | 434 | 1 | 0 |  | 435 |
| 2013 | 387 | 1 | 0 |  | 388 |
| 2014 | 276 |  | 389 | 7 | 672 |
| 2015 | 244 | 1 | 337 | 3 | 585 |
| 2016 | 569 | 4 | 126 | 11 | 710 |
| 2017 | 359 |  | 542 |  | 901 |
| 2018 | 428 |  | 78 | 6 | 512 |
| 2019 | 338 |  | 580 | 2 | 920 |
| 2020 | 1015 |  | 128 | 6 | 1149 |
| 2021* | 1268 |  | 72 |  | 1340 |

*Preliminary.
Table 3.2.3. Ling in 5.b. Nominal landings (1988-present).

| Year | 5.61 | 5.62 | 5.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | 2372 | 2116 | 4488 |
| 1989 | 2962 | 1690 | 4652 |
| 1990 | 3062 | 795 | 3857 |
| 1991 | 3465 | 1047 | 4512 |
| 1992 | 2400 | 1214 | 3614 |
| 1993 | 2242 | 614 | 2856 |
| 1994 | 2657 | 965 | 3622 |
| 1995 | 3286 | 784 | 4070 |
| 1996 | 3996 | 900 | 4896 |
| 1997 | 4733 | 924 | 5657 |


| Year | $5 . \mathrm{b1}$ | 5.62 | 5.b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 4029 | 1330 | 5359 |
| 1999 | 4571 | 666 | 5238 |
| 2000 | 3479 | 629 | 4109 |
| 2001 | 3686 | 923 | 4609 |
| 2002 | 3528 | 611 | 4139 |
| 2003 | 3897 | 1555 | 5453 |
| 2004 | 4731 | 1308 | 6039 |
| 2005 | 4620 | 1229 | 5849 |
| 2006 | 4558 | 655 | 5213 |
| 2007 | 4092 | 640 | 4731 |
| 2008 | 4166 | 580 | 4747 |
| 2009 | 4174 | 469 | 4643 |
| 2010 | 5500 | 630 | 6129 |
| 2011 | 4321 | 522 | 4843 |
| 2012 | 5576 | 435 | 6011 |
| 2013 | 3744 | 388 | 4132 |
| 2014 | 5983 | 672 | 6655 |
| 2015 | 5391 | 585 | 5976 |
| 2016 | 5180 | 710 | 5890 |
| 2017 | 5294 | 901 | 6195 |
| 2018 | 4673 | 512 | 5185 |
| 2019 | 6895 | 920 | 7816 |
| 2020 | 8277 | 1149 | 9427 |
| 2021* | 6529 | 1340 | 7869 |

*Preliminary.

Table 3.2.4. Ling in 5.b. Catch distribution by fleet and total catch in 1996 to 2021. * preliminary catch.

| Year | Trawl (\%) | Longline (\%) | Other (\%) | Total catch (tonnes) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1996 | 31 | 68 | 1 | 4896 |
| 1997 | 37 | 62 | 1 | 5657 |


| Year | Trawl (\%) | Longline (\%) | Other (\%) | Total catch (tonnes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 39 | 61 | 0 | 5359 |
| 1999 | 37 | 62 | 1 | 5238 |
| 2000 | 42 | 57 | 1 | 4109 |
| 2001 | 37 | 61 | 1 | 4609 |
| 2002 | 41 | 57 | 1 | 4139 |
| 2003 | 33 | 65 | 2 | 5453 |
| 2004 | 25 | 73 | 1 | 6039 |
| 2005 | 27 | 72 | 1 | 5849 |
| 2006 | 24 | 75 | 1 | 5213 |
| 2007 | 33 | 66 | 1 | 4731 |
| 2008 | 24 | 75 | 1 | 4747 |
| 2009 | 27 | 72 | 1 | 4643 |
| 2010 | 23 | 76 | 1 | 6129 |
| 2011 | 29 | 71 | 1 | 4843 |
| 2012 | 30 | 70 | 0 | 6011 |
| 2013 | 29 | 70 | 0 | 4132 |
| 2014 | 28 | 72 | 0 | 6684 |
| 2015 | 42 | 58 | 0 | 6031 |
| 2016 | 37 | 62 | 1 | 5857 |
| 2017 | 31 | 69 | 0 | 6148 |
| 2018 | 34 | 66 | 0 | 5185 |
| 2019 | 39 | 61 | 0 | 7816 |
| 2020 | 31 | 69 | 0 | 9427 |
| 2021 | 23 | 77 | 0 | 7869* |
| Average | 32 | 67 | 1 | 5647 |

Table 3.2.5. Ling in 5.b. Overview of the sampling from commercial landings since 1996.

| Lengths |  |  | Gutted weights |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Year | Longliners | Trawlers | Other | Longliners | Trawlers | Other | Longliners | Trawlers | Other |  |
| 1996 | 5003 | 1426 | 48 | 290 | 120 | 0 | 709 | 375 | 0 |  |


|  | Lengths |  |  | Gutted weights |  |  | Ages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 6493 | 1407 | 0 | 361 | 180 | 0 | 1195 | 331 | 0 |
| 1998 | 4163 | 1651 | 193 | 180 | 358 | 0 | 723 | 358 | 0 |
| 1999 | 3024 | 1067 | 445 | 180 | 120 | 60 | 240 | 180 | 60 |
| 2000 | 1719 | 1793 | 0 | 120 | 240 | 0 | 120 | 240 | 0 |
| 2001 | 2243 | 1562 | 0 | 180 | 240 | 0 | 180 | 240 | 0 |
| 2002 | 1845 | 2454 | 0 | 60 | 120 | 0 | 120 | 180 | 0 |
| 2003 | 4533 | 2052 | 0 | 120 | 240 | 0 | 421 | 240 | 0 |
| 2004 | 4350 | 2477 | 0 | 990 | 179 | 0 | 480 | 179 | 0 |
| 2005 | 4995 | 2172 | 0 | 3097 | 120 | 0 | 420 | 120 | 0 |
| 2006 | 4936 | 1291 | 0 | 3576 | 1082 | 0 | 157 | 119 | 0 |
| 2007 | 2077 | 1662 | 172 | 1034 | 447 | 172 | 60 | 60 | 0 |
| 2008 | 1432 | 1087 | 0 | 1215 | 730 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 2127 | 2246 | 0 | 2102 | 2246 | 0 | 112 | 120 | 0 |
| 2010 | 1421 | 2502 | 422 | 1421 | 2436 | 422 | 60 | 120 | 0 |
| 2011 | 1438 | 1765 | 202 | 1438 | 1188 | 202 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 1413 | 1397 | 0 | 1283 | 1164 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| 2013 | 1040 | 1437 | 0 | 1040 | 1036 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2014 | 827 | 1953 | 205 | 827 | 1242 | 205 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| 2015 | 820 | 1724 | 0 | 820 | 1351 | 0 | 40 | 170 | 0 |
| 2016 | 1432 | 1329 | 0 | 1432 | 928 | 0 | 180 | 180 | 0 |
| 2017 | 1201 | 1776 | 0 | 1201 | 1225 | 0 | 239 | 241 | 0 |
| 2018 | 2717 | 4726 | 0 | 2717 | 4726 | 0 | 659 | 1013 | 0 |
| 2019 | 2890 | 3576 | 0 | 2890 | 3576 | 0 | 300 | 592 | 0 |
| 2020 | 1276 | 2698 | 0 | 705 | 1911 | 0 | 360 | 569 | 60 |
| 2021 | 1220 | 3002 | 0 | 1220 | 3002 | 0 | 414 | 840 | 0 |

Table 3.2.6. Ling in 5.b. Overview of the sampling from spring-, summer and other surveys since 1996. * Have gender but not maturity.

| Lengths |  |  |  | Round weights |  | Ages |  |  |  | Gender and maturity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ye ar | Sprin <br> g | Summer | Oth <br> er | Sprin $\mathrm{g}$ | Summer | Oth <br> er | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sprin } \\ & \mathrm{g} \end{aligned}$ | Sum- <br> mer | Oth <br> er | Sprin <br> g | Summer | Oth er |


| 1996 | Lengths |  |  | Round weights |  |  | Ages |  |  | Gender and maturity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 398 | 1013 | 235 | 129 | 216 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 1997 | 460 | 631 | 274 | 0 | 247 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1998 | 514 | 648 | 280 | 190 | 462 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 230* | 20 | 5 |
| 1999 | 300 | 372 | 84 | 252 | 355 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 248* | 3 | 7 |
| 2000 | 245 | 433 | 498 | 244 | 360 | 313 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 |
| 2001 | 347 | 553 | 600 | 265 | 503 | 472 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 2 |
| 2002 | 285 | 510 | 542 | 222 | 477 | 389 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2003 | 389 | 284 | 660 | 345 | 284 | 582 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | 284 | 857 | 418 | 284 | 802 | 345 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2005 | 321 | 821 | 172 | 264 | 719 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | 271 | 647 | 220 | 264 | 612 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2007 | 268 | 729 | 99 | 247 | 662 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | 309 | 973 | 66 | 208 | 779 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 2009 | 413 | 859 | 152 | 371 | 608 | 152 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 395 | 1637 | 125 | 281 | 1021 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 507 | 1826 | 167 | 411 | 1400 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 518 | 1160 | 145 | 518 | 1109 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2013 | 427 | 1232 | 120 | 427 | 1105 | 120 | 100 | 78 | 96 | 100 | 78 | 114 |
| 2014 | 336 | 1725 | 674 | 330 | 1280 | 658 | 161 | 195 | 200 | 177 | 195 | 206 |
| 2015 | 562 | 1440 | 1077 | 496 | 1043 | 962 | 92 | 92 | 234 | 100 | 91 | 235 |
| 2016 | 409 | 1366 | 550 | 409 | 1265 | 550 | 131 | 191 | 110 | 131 | 193 | 110 |
| 2017 | 372 | 1004 | 306 | 308 | 914 | 247 | 124 | 201 | 112 | 126 | 203 | 115 |
| 2018 | 265 | 712 | 682 | 265 | 687 | 682 | 228 | 221 | 343 | 227 | 222 | 345 |
| 2019 | 490 | 1318 | 465 | 435 | 1089 | 465 | 144 | 147 | 155 | 144 | 147 | 162 |
| 2020 | 649 | 900 | 274 | 578 | 884 | 273 | 181 | 140 | 99 | 182 | 140 | 99 |
| 2021 | 427 | 339 | 415 | 391 | 338 | 413 | 199 | 288 | 227 | 199 | 288 | 227 |
| 2022 | 214 |  | 15 | 210 |  | 15 | 152 |  | 11 | 152 |  | 11 |

Table 3.2.7. Ling in 5.b. Catch numbers at age (*1000) used in the assessment.

| Year/Age | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | 4.61 | 78.35 | 217.21 | 315.07 | 331.78 | 218.24 | 107.42 | 66.60 | 28.09 | 30.47 |
| 1997 | 0.55 | 6.75 | 146.07 | 238.84 | 402.52 | 390.43 | 257.69 | 129.96 | 30.65 | 46.49 |
| 1998 | 25.65 | 2.33 | 24.05 | 108.31 | 240.07 | 309.48 | 320.41 | 162.44 | 53.70 | 61.29 |
| 1999 | 22.75 | 7.35 | 22.63 | 74.23 | 167.75 | 257.56 | 306.70 | 178.02 | 79.40 | 63.87 |
| 2000 | 4.08 | 21.44 | 75.97 | 109.44 | 146.73 | 130.44 | 181.12 | 92.52 | 46.92 | 47.02 |
| 2001 | 1.72 | 13.75 | 22.35 | 215.75 | 540.89 | 193.18 | 116.06 | 68.42 | 33.26 | 44.27 |
| 2002 | 0.61 | 23.90 | 68.27 | 271.06 | 371.53 | 244.48 | 113.10 | 58.66 | 10.70 | 37.57 |
| 2003 | 1.52 | 25.89 | 64.96 | 302.49 | 453.02 | 371.62 | 189.99 | 76.46 | 21.85 | 44.53 |
| 2004 | 8.17 | 105.61 | 123.96 | 177.67 | 354.74 | 394.72 | 183.83 | 85.85 | 52.06 | 43.07 |
| 2005 | 13.02 | 48.96 | 121.94 | 271.20 | 293.16 | 340.27 | 204.43 | 98.64 | 46.65 | 59.31 |
| 2006 | 7.26 | 106.18 | 132.44 | 107.98 | 279.51 | 275.68 | 168.54 | 98.24 | 64.85 | 76.51 |
| 2007 | 18.96 | 134.46 | 122.59 | 276.73 | 372.36 | 299.89 | 113.57 | 72.91 | 22.21 | 33.42 |
| 2008 | 7.34 | 32.64 | 214.41 | 386.01 | 276.34 | 215.38 | 91.76 | 55.91 | 24.63 | 43.71 |
| 2009 | 2.49 | 40.18 | 69.00 | 168.71 | 328.79 | 295.46 | 164.51 | 136.75 | 19.61 | 42.54 |
| 2010 | 1.96 | 10.95 | 25.69 | 285.53 | 325.54 | 378.05 | 326.26 | 94.46 | 29.59 | 45.48 |
| 2011 | 2.76 | 17.90 | 82.28 | 189.47 | 276.87 | 238.35 | 180.57 | 98.56 | 36.85 | 37.23 |
| 2012 | 7.33 | 32.67 | 71.90 | 158.38 | 374.58 | 280.16 | 274.01 | 249.81 | 31.86 | 28.24 |
| 2013 | 0.53 | 4.75 | 37.42 | 137.06 | 261.82 | 246.96 | 171.52 | 83.66 | 31.18 | 21.83 |
| 2014 | 8.82 | 37.92 | 101.19 | 225.79 | 486.84 | 382.35 | 259.59 | 101.01 | 35.07 | 31.81 |
| 2015 | 18.28 | 75.68 | 161.86 | 170.67 | 205.68 | 207.57 | 240.45 | 146.60 | 52.78 | 30.18 |
| 2016 | 2.46 | 53.49 | 395.66 | 320.91 | 199.76 | 238.59 | 193.40 | 110.50 | 39.20 | 15.73 |
| 2017 | 0.21 | 22.12 | 139.53 | 305.36 | 403.18 | 210.10 | 147.90 | 105.84 | 50.66 | 15.70 |
| 2018 | 0.32 | 11.62 | 75.56 | 222.94 | 347.56 | 239.32 | 128.53 | 55.74 | 48.96 | 38.21 |
| 2019 | 0.43 | 1.43 | 50.59 | 193.19 | 458.31 | 405.07 | 337.82 | 155.72 | 79.56 | 100.16 |
| 2020 | 0.68 | 3.78 | 21.72 | 208.12 | 495.24 | 492.7 | 303.7 | 205.84 | 115.21 | 96.53 |
| 2021 | 0.2 | 5.02 | 42.28 | 134.06 | 414.55 | 386.18 | 231.97 | 139.74 | 102.93 | 129.46 |

Table 3.2.8. Ling in 5.b. Weighted mean weights at age used in the assessment.

| Year/Age | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | 0.437 | 1.033 | 1.815 | 2.549 | 3.356 | 3.949 | 5.054 | 7.143 | 8.600 | 12.509 |
| 1997 | 0.689 | 0.772 | 1.271 | 1.932 | 2.602 | 3.487 | 4.427 | 5.643 | 7.740 | 10.415 |
| 1998 | 1.038 | 1.345 | 1.469 | 2.112 | 2.728 | 3.500 | 4.486 | 5.599 | 6.786 | 10.064 |
| 1999 | 0.987 | 1.299 | 1.377 | 2.092 | 2.739 | 3.552 | 4.462 | 5.843 | 7.122 | 10.506 |
| 2000 | 1.037 | 1.402 | 2.005 | 2.517 | 2.855 | 4.374 | 5.775 | 7.157 | 8.622 | 11.587 |
| 2001 | 0.549 | 0.858 | 1.154 | 2.093 | 2.651 | 3.983 | 5.555 | 7.207 | 8.136 | 11.429 |
| 2002 | 0.660 | 1.081 | 1.351 | 2.146 | 2.888 | 3.728 | 4.665 | 6.798 | 7.239 | 11.995 |
| 2003 | 0.701 | 0.818 | 1.181 | 2.225 | 2.890 | 3.732 | 4.463 | 6.123 | 7.585 | 11.290 |
| 2004 | 0.654 | 1.292 | 1.674 | 2.251 | 3.093 | 4.042 | 5.271 | 6.923 | 9.080 | 13.031 |
| 2005 | 0.528 | 0.964 | 1.300 | 2.006 | 2.890 | 3.950 | 5.241 | 7.034 | 8.270 | 12.661 |
| 2006 | 0.495 | 0.876 | 1.378 | 1.867 | 2.719 | 3.710 | 5.145 | 6.323 | 7.987 | 12.332 |
| 2007 | 0.788 | 1.010 | 1.216 | 2.092 | 2.841 | 3.651 | 5.138 | 6.915 | 9.019 | 12.339 |
| 2008 | 0.872 | 0.942 | 1.534 | 2.317 | 3.295 | 4.070 | 5.944 | 6.713 | 9.197 | 12.625 |
| 2009 | 0.796 | 1.006 | 1.462 | 1.965 | 2.830 | 3.556 | 4.514 | 6.124 | 7.682 | 10.750 |
| 2010 | 0.897 | 1.049 | 1.248 | 2.072 | 3.133 | 3.730 | 5.066 | 6.311 | 9.372 | 11.798 |
| 2011 | 0.901 | 1.173 | 1.705 | 2.358 | 3.165 | 4.159 | 5.277 | 6.564 | 8.211 | 12.429 |
| 2012 | 0.770 | 0.929 | 1.342 | 2.043 | 2.845 | 3.804 | 4.716 | 6.169 | 8.646 | 11.149 |
| 2013 | 1.036 | 1.352 | 1.912 | 2.519 | 3.238 | 4.048 | 5.013 | 6.282 | 7.947 | 10.466 |
| 2014 | 0.765 | 0.963 | 1.540 | 2.400 | 3.424 | 4.225 | 5.275 | 6.356 | 8.056 | 11.528 |
| 2015 | 0.775 | 0.864 | 1.438 | 2.565 | 3.940 | 4.812 | 6.233 | 7.580 | 8.947 | 12.918 |
| 2016 | 0.500 | 0.805 | 1.364 | 2.585 | 3.610 | 4.575 | 6.269 | 7.711 | 9.064 | 13.436 |
| 2017 | 0.672 | 1.085 | 1.867 | 2.846 | 3.763 | 4.952 | 6.445 | 7.821 | 9.049 | 12.586 |
| 2018 | 0.735 | 1.231 | 1.878 | 2.516 | 3.578 | 4.632 | 5.886 | 7.411 | 9.537 | 12.299 |
| 2019 | 0.702 | 0.707 | 1.294 | 2.030 | 2.703 | 3.738 | 5.176 | 6.298 | 8.056 | 12.321 |
| 2020 | 0.930 | 0.995 | 1.205 | 2.062 | 3.013 | 4.206 | 5.585 | 7.200 | 8.462 | 12.949 |
| 2021 | 0.757 | 1.096 | 1.114 | 1.943 | 2.926 | 4.039 | 5.394 | 7.108 | 8.649 | 12.734 |

Table 3.2.9. Ling in 5.b. Spring survey input to the tuning series in the assessment.

| Year | Effort/Age | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 99 | 9.89 | 24.55 | 71.72 | 145.22 | 139.42 | 109.23 | 51.43 | 21.05 |
| 1999 | 100 | 9.32 | 17.96 | 39.25 | 81.76 | 79.70 | 61.73 | 32.54 | 11.70 |
| 2000 | 100 | 6.56 | 28.07 | 35.01 | 35.48 | 35.38 | 37.82 | 26.64 | 13.93 |
| 2001 | 100 | 24.58 | 33.24 | 54.15 | 57.28 | 37.88 | 32.66 | 28.81 | 22.10 |
| 2002 | 100 | 15.14 | 30.60 | 45.98 | 70.90 | 54.61 | 36.26 | 21.67 | 12.77 |
| 2003 | 100 | 2.10 | 33.42 | 101.31 | 126.24 | 98.29 | 61.98 | 27.26 | 12.56 |
| 2004 | 100 | 6.69 | 32.83 | 61.94 | 77.23 | 68.05 | 51.93 | 29.60 | 13.89 |
| 2005 | 100 | 21.42 | 66.62 | 75.03 | 82.55 | 55.15 | 39.79 | 21.59 | 9.09 |
| 2006 | 100 | 10.26 | 34.55 | 59.54 | 70.37 | 48.54 | 38.40 | 27.83 | 14.98 |
| 2007 | 100 | 27.50 | 51.54 | 55.93 | 49.14 | 39.00 | 29.58 | 14.88 | 7.01 |
| 2008 | 99 | 32.19 | 32.12 | 50.88 | 72.16 | 49.44 | 35.93 | 22.52 | 12.70 |
| 2009 | 100 | 12.53 | 38.37 | 83.48 | 115.08 | 77.42 | 48.14 | 22.83 | 10.35 |
| 2010 | 100 | 56.82 | 63.62 | 82.75 | 90.90 | 66.86 | 51.17 | 31.64 | 16.06 |
| 2011 | 102 | 23.41 | 67.54 | 108.40 | 131.17 | 91.45 | 62.01 | 32.31 | 13.43 |
| 2012 | 100 | 23.31 | 47.92 | 95.85 | 131.63 | 101.62 | 69.24 | 36.49 | 13.89 |
| 2013 | 100 | 9.97 | 17.30 | 70.18 | 95.52 | 99.77 | 60.88 | 49.70 | 23.41 |
| 2014 | 99 | 24.90 | 9.11 | 28.35 | 81.17 | 106.26 | 86.14 | 54.74 | 16.70 |
| 2015 | 96 | 69.48 | 101.31 | 53.80 | 76.77 | 143.87 | 106.13 | 14.00 | 7.62 |
| 2016 | 100 | 52.22 | 94.11 | 163.49 | 109.75 | 68.63 | 51.51 | 32.53 | 20.20 |
| 2017 | 90 | 11.96 | 25.69 | 65.83 | 157.08 | 124.76 | 45.87 | 45.23 | 23.65 |
| 2018 | 99 | 11.88 | 35.88 | 55.86 | 87.03 | 60.08 | 27.86 | 11.99 | 12.39 |
| 2019 | 100 | 9.12 | 69.58 | 77.89 | 87.17 | 106.18 | 137.35 | 56.81 | 22.55 |
| 2020 | 91 | 21.93 | 39.91 | 147.74 | 198.27 | 116.33 | 115.87 | 60.55 | 25.11 |
| 2021 | 100 | 0.77 | 9.08 | 79.38 | 138.28 | 114.14 | 75.59 | 30.44 | 35.88 |

Table 3.2.10. Ling in 5.b. Summer survey input to tuning series in the assessment.

| Year | Effort/Age | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | 200 | 11.38 | 39.70 | 111.95 | 256.77 | 300.86 | 185.77 | 98.00 | 45.83 | 17.95 |
| 1997 | 200 | 4.94 | 13.89 | 61.94 | 140.89 | 168.21 | 128.83 | 73.46 | 29.36 | 11.85 |
| 1998 | 201 | 20.92 | 38.21 | 45.48 | 114.95 | 168.79 | 133.77 | 83.41 | 39.23 | 14.09 |
| 1999 | 199 | 18.93 | 47.30 | 46.45 | 61.87 | 68.93 | 58.80 | 43.86 | 29.08 | 13.34 |
| 2000 | 200 | 4.89 | 25.12 | 73.80 | 95.02 | 81.32 | 61.06 | 50.79 | 31.30 | 12.60 |
| 2001 | 200 | 8.27 | 45.07 | 92.59 | 131.29 | 135.02 | 78.89 | 46.75 | 32.41 | 17.82 |
| 2002 | 199 | 6.10 | 18.48 | 63.43 | 113.29 | 136.87 | 99.41 | 48.59 | 23.73 | 12.67 |
| 2003 | 200 | 21.61 | 29.24 | 39.10 | 65.24 | 73.98 | 45.50 | 22.43 | 11.78 | 5.36 |
| 2004 | 200 | 48.54 | 97.79 | 139.48 | 184.82 | 167.07 | 133.66 | 106.36 | 79.13 | 51.71 |
| 2005 | 200 | 106.85 | 95.08 | 101.27 | 171.28 | 176.16 | 122.33 | 89.16 | 50.75 | 18.26 |
| 2006 | 200 | 93.25 | 155.98 | 111.89 | 122.50 | 111.92 | 75.77 | 51.65 | 33.39 | 17.12 |
| 2007 | 199 | 25.15 | 88.26 | 168.60 | 189.28 | 135.89 | 84.28 | 56.02 | 30.35 | 13.32 |
| 2008 | 200 | 22.87 | 78.03 | 204.72 | 349.54 | 111.51 | 78.49 | 72.37 | 34.51 | 22.90 |
| 2009 | 200 | 52.94 | 121.59 | 117.20 | 184.95 | 188.36 | 124.15 | 63.02 | 28.61 | 12.40 |
| 2010 | 200 | 81.20 | 179.96 | 302.53 | 436.20 | 378.24 | 216.37 | 123.76 | 59.79 | 20.05 |
| 2011 | 200 | 36.65 | 146.14 | 327.38 | 451.03 | 376.30 | 221.33 | 141.50 | 81.09 | 32.33 |
| 2012 | 202 | 14.74 | 36.49 | 102.95 | 221.93 | 316.95 | 240.56 | 137.37 | 71.99 | 33.48 |
| 2013 | 202 | 52.95 | 28.43 | 42.21 | 224.36 | 330.64 | 312.16 | 157.45 | 105.37 | 26.94 |
| 2014 | 200 | 78.55 | 125.02 | 142.89 | 140.83 | 258.05 | 557.88 | 281.63 | 175.20 | 65.24 |
| 2015 | 200 | 119.36 | 145.39 | 420.17 | 242.21 | 215.94 | 240.78 | 253.17 | 85.59 | 65.09 |
| 2016 | 199 | 60.14 | 116.01 | 222.53 | 358.31 | 275.61 | 178.93 | 147.10 | 111.26 | 24.05 |
| 2017 | 203 | 57.55 | 118.45 | 148.43 | 271.06 | 299.32 | 165.99 | 74.49 | 80.68 | 43.59 |
| 2018 | 202 | 41.65 | 109.80 | 129.74 | 98.40 | 226.02 | 93.65 | 35.76 | 32.80 | 29.95 |
| 2019 | 200 | 4.90 | 43.91 | 75.89 | 310.24 | 360.70 | 194.83 | 249.01 | 133.51 | 88.56 |
| 2020 | 199 | 9.98 | 22.31 | 29.98 | 156.65 | 320.24 | 218.20 | 112.55 | 106.64 | 39.00 |
| 2021 | 200 | 3.50 | 5.34 | 7.96 | 25.03 | 88.40 | 94.08 | 62.70 | 36.97 | 23.06 |

Table 3.2.11. Ling in 5.b. Estimated recruitment, spawning stock biomass (SSB), and average fishing mortality.

| Year | $\mathbf{R}_{\text {(age 3) }}$ | Low | High | SSB | Low | High | $\mathrm{Fbar}_{(6-10)}$ | Low | High | TSB | Low | High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | 1594 | 1221 | 2080 | 18303 | 15095 | 22192 | 0.373 | 0.266 | 0.524 | 29250 | 24684 | 34661 |
| 1997 | 1821 | 1385 | 2394 | 15554 | 12943 | 18691 | 0.39 | 0.293 | 0.518 | 22766 | 19388 | 26734 |
| 1998 | 2847 | 2223 | 3646 | 15171 | 12671 | 18165 | 0.45 | 0.341 | 0.593 | 24193 | 20845 | 28079 |
| 1999 | 2966 | 2310 | 3808 | 13136 | 11002 | 15684 | 0.509 | 0.386 | 0.67 | 22576 | 19541 | 26081 |
| 2000 | 2835 | 2208 | 3639 | 13179 | 11151 | 15576 | 0.432 | 0.328 | 0.568 | 25148 | 21859 | 28932 |
| 2001 | 2395 | 1851 | 3098 | 11689 | 9953 | 13729 | 0.375 | 0.283 | 0.498 | 19567 | 17001 | 22520 |
| 2002 | 2390 | 1855 | 3079 | 12536 | 10701 | 14686 | 0.33 | 0.249 | 0.438 | 21528 | 18700 | 24785 |
| 2003 | 2760 | 2167 | 3515 | 13567 | 11538 | 15953 | 0.388 | 0.296 | 0.508 | 21947 | 19034 | 25305 |
| 2004 | 3069 | 2413 | 3903 | 15118 | 12822 | 17825 | 0.478 | 0.367 | 0.623 | 25408 | 22082 | 29233 |
| 2005 | 4126 | 3198 | 5323 | 13249 | 11239 | 15617 | 0.487 | 0.378 | 0.627 | 22350 | 19462 | 25666 |
| 2006 | 3888 | 3028 | 4991 | 11749 | 10000 | 13804 | 0.489 | 0.378 | 0.633 | 21368 | 18628 | 24510 |
| 2007 | 3592 | 2817 | 4582 | 11935 | 10209 | 13953 | 0.437 | 0.336 | 0.568 | 23595 | 20582 | 27049 |
| 2008 | 3822 | 2992 | 4883 | 14270 | 12231 | 16647 | 0.382 | 0.291 | 0.502 | 27560 | 24019 | 31623 |
| 2009 | 3777 | 2936 | 4860 | 13711 | 11741 | 16010 | 0.374 | 0.284 | 0.491 | 26307 | 22912 | 30204 |
| 2010 | 3180 | 2482 | 4076 | 16101 | 13738 | 18872 | 0.386 | 0.291 | 0.512 | 28715 | 24948 | 33051 |
| 2011 | 2135 | 1665 | 2739 | 18439 | 15689 | 21672 | 0.4 | 0.303 | 0.527 | 31689 | 27452 | 36580 |
| 2012 | 2390 | 1858 | 3075 | 17424 | 14789 | 20529 | 0.446 | 0.337 | 0.59 | 27336 | 23631 | 31623 |
| 2013 | 4441 | 3464 | 5693 | 18748 | 15881 | 22132 | 0.331 | 0.24 | 0.456 | 32388 | 28043 | 37408 |
| 2014 | 4509 | 3508 | 5796 | 19641 | 16519 | 23353 | 0.483 | 0.358 | 0.651 | 31957 | 27633 | 36958 |
| 2015 | 4165 | 3174 | 5466 | 19616 | 16566 | 23227 | 0.434 | 0.329 | 0.573 | 33152 | 28721 | 38266 |
| 2016 | 4185 | 3167 | 5530 | 19145 | 16256 | 22547 | 0.33 | 0.249 | 0.438 | 32243 | 27842 | 37340 |
| 2017 | 3286 | 2375 | 4547 | 22845 | 19410 | 26888 | 0.263 | 0.194 | 0.356 | 38787 | 33252 | 45243 |
| 2018 | 1888 | 1295 | 2753 | 24882 | 20961 | 29537 | 0.24 | 0.175 | 0.327 | 39419 | 33346 | 46598 |
| 2019 | 1140 | 726 | 1790 | 23194 | 19249 | 27948 | 0.306 | 0.222 | 0.42 | 31985 | 26624 | 38426 |
| 2020 | 1068 | 594 | 1920 | 25466 | 20599 | 31483 | 0.395 | 0.271 | 0.576 | 32815 | 26525 | 40596 |
| 2021 | 1155 | 540 | 2467 | 21971 | 16584 | 29108 | 0.403 | 0.251 | 0.648 | 27194 | 20567 | 35956 |

Table 3.2.12. Ling in 5.b. Estimated fishing mortality at age.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { /Age } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | 0.002 | 0.013 | 0.055 | 0.152 | 0.309 | 0.381 | 0.469 | 0.554 | 0.451 | 0.451 |
| 1997 | 0.002 | 0.009 | 0.04 | 0.125 | 0.291 | 0.396 | 0.516 | 0.619 | 0.507 | 0.507 |
| 1998 | 0.002 | 0.008 | 0.035 | 0.119 | 0.308 | 0.454 | 0.62 | 0.749 | 0.618 | 0.618 |
| 1999 | 0.002 | 0.008 | 0.034 | 0.12 | 0.335 | 0.522 | 0.718 | 0.849 | 0.699 | 0.699 |
| 2000 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.03 | 0.107 | 0.287 | 0.447 | 0.617 | 0.7 | 0.579 | 0.579 |
| 2001 | 0.001 | 0.007 | 0.027 | 0.101 | 0.266 | 0.387 | 0.523 | 0.6 | 0.483 | 0.483 |
| 2002 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.03 | 0.109 | 0.269 | 0.358 | 0.433 | 0.481 | 0.384 | 0.384 |
| 2003 | 0.001 | 0.012 | 0.042 | 0.144 | 0.34 | 0.439 | 0.498 | 0.519 | 0.418 | 0.418 |
| 2004 | 0.002 | 0.019 | 0.063 | 0.19 | 0.431 | 0.541 | 0.598 | 0.63 | 0.503 | 0.503 |
| 2005 | 0.003 | 0.021 | 0.067 | 0.192 | 0.422 | 0.536 | 0.609 | 0.674 | 0.566 | 0.566 |
| 2006 | 0.003 | 0.021 | 0.066 | 0.186 | 0.407 | 0.519 | 0.611 | 0.723 | 0.618 | 0.618 |
| 2007 | 0.003 | 0.021 | 0.066 | 0.184 | 0.388 | 0.465 | 0.533 | 0.615 | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| 2008 | 0.002 | 0.015 | 0.051 | 0.151 | 0.327 | 0.395 | 0.469 | 0.57 | 0.481 | 0.481 |
| 2009 | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.037 | 0.121 | 0.291 | 0.376 | 0.481 | 0.599 | 0.514 | 0.514 |
| 2010 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.03 | 0.104 | 0.268 | 0.387 | 0.53 | 0.641 | 0.564 | 0.564 |
| 2011 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.033 | 0.106 | 0.262 | 0.386 | 0.568 | 0.676 | 0.593 | 0.593 |
| 2012 | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.039 | 0.118 | 0.279 | 0.417 | 0.651 | 0.763 | 0.649 | 0.649 |
| 2013 | 0.001 | 0.006 | 0.028 | 0.085 | 0.194 | 0.301 | 0.508 | 0.568 | 0.507 | 0.507 |
| 2014 | 0.001 | 0.011 | 0.052 | 0.146 | 0.305 | 0.438 | 0.767 | 0.757 | 0.648 | 0.648 |
| 2015 | 0.001 | 0.012 | 0.058 | 0.15 | 0.286 | 0.405 | 0.662 | 0.666 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| 2016 | 0.001 | 0.009 | 0.05 | 0.132 | 0.238 | 0.329 | 0.489 | 0.463 | 0.411 | 0.411 |
| 2017 | 0 | 0.005 | 0.034 | 0.101 | 0.2 | 0.276 | 0.389 | 0.348 | 0.318 | 0.318 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0.004 | 0.027 | 0.086 | 0.182 | 0.262 | 0.36 | 0.308 | 0.296 | 0.296 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0.004 | 0.026 | 0.09 | 0.209 | 0.339 | 0.48 | 0.411 | 0.402 | 0.402 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0.004 | 0.032 | 0.109 | 0.257 | 0.423 | 0.641 | 0.547 | 0.543 | 0.543 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0.005 | 0.034 | 0.117 | 0.266 | 0.427 | 0.637 | 0.571 | 0.585 | 0.585 |

Table 3.2.13. Ling in 5.b. Estimated stock numbers at age.

| Year / Age | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | 1594 | 2067 | 2397 | 2379 | 1872 | 1014 | 450 | 186 | 75 | 118 |
| 1997 | 1821 | 1354 | 1744 | 1928 | 1747 | 1186 | 600 | 243 | 92 | 106 |
| 1998 | 2847 | 1587 | 1176 | 1383 | 1434 | 1121 | 688 | 308 | 113 | 103 |
| 1999 | 2966 | 2442 | 1394 | 1010 | 1011 | 882 | 613 | 318 | 125 | 101 |
| 2000 | 2835 | 2503 | 2096 | 1230 | 791 | 596 | 442 | 260 | 116 | 97 |
| 2001 | 2395 | 2482 | 2124 | 1711 | 1002 | 531 | 320 | 200 | 112 | 103 |
| 2002 | 2390 | 2082 | 2135 | 1777 | 1325 | 679 | 321 | 161 | 93 | 115 |
| 2003 | 2760 | 2086 | 1819 | 1763 | 1386 | 869 | 410 | 185 | 85 | 122 |
| 2004 | 3069 | 2382 | 1839 | 1522 | 1279 | 849 | 480 | 214 | 98 | 118 |
| 2005 | 4126 | 2607 | 1997 | 1497 | 1093 | 714 | 426 | 229 | 97 | 113 |
| 2006 | 3888 | 3546 | 2191 | 1562 | 1070 | 618 | 361 | 198 | 101 | 104 |
| 2007 | 3592 | 3336 | 2940 | 1766 | 1106 | 619 | 318 | 171 | 81 | 95 |
| 2008 | 3822 | 3052 | 2756 | 2310 | 1257 | 654 | 339 | 158 | 81 | 91 |
| 2009 | 3777 | 3327 | 2554 | 2189 | 1625 | 807 | 389 | 182 | 77 | 92 |
| 2010 | 3180 | 3307 | 2807 | 2135 | 1627 | 1005 | 485 | 211 | 86 | 87 |
| 2011 | 2135 | 2777 | 2897 | 2320 | 1648 | 1053 | 577 | 247 | 96 | 85 |
| 2012 | 2390 | 1793 | 2405 | 2399 | 1775 | 1083 | 620 | 280 | 108 | 85 |
| 2013 | 4441 | 1977 | 1466 | 2026 | 1867 | 1131 | 606 | 282 | 111 | 86 |
| 2014 | 4509 | 3957 | 1688 | 1247 | 1561 | 1394 | 679 | 322 | 134 | 101 |
| 2015 | 4165 | 3828 | 3512 | 1422 | 947 | 996 | 794 | 255 | 130 | 104 |
| 2016 | 4185 | 3440 | 3300 | 2764 | 1130 | 628 | 571 | 347 | 113 | 112 |
| 2017 | 3286 | 3650 | 2796 | 2644 | 2038 | 810 | 387 | 309 | 186 | 127 |
| 2018 | 1888 | 2933 | 3151 | 2259 | 2035 | 1408 | 525 | 232 | 188 | 196 |
| 2019 | 1140 | 1620 | 2558 | 2673 | 1839 | 1354 | 958 | 324 | 151 | 248 |
| 2020 | 1068 | 974 | 1356 | 2212 | 2131 | 1301 | 808 | 510 | 188 | 231 |
| 2021 | 1155 | 901 | 803 | 1172 | 1726 | 1403 | 744 | 356 | 256 | 211 |

Table 3.2.14. Ling 5.b. Output from SAM. Model parameters.

| Parameter name | par | Sd(par) | Exp(par) | Low | High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| logFpar_0 | -9.92 | 0.178 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| logFpar_1 | -8.947 | 0.132 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| logFpar_2 | -8.271 | 0.117 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| logFpar_3 | -7.52 | 0.117 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 |
| logFpar_4 | -7.03 | 0.117 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| logFpar_5 | -6.824 | 0.119 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| logFpar_6 | -6.6 | 0.123 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 |
| logFpar_7 | -6.382 | 0.13 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.002 |
| logFpar_8 | -9.703 | 0.183 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| logFpar_9 | -8.643 | 0.089 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| logFpar_10 | -7.812 | 0.087 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| logFpar_11 | -7.202 | 0.087 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| logFpar_12 | -6.913 | 0.088 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| logFpar_13 | -6.623 | 0.09 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 |
| logFpar_14 | -6.511 | 0.097 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 |
| logSdLogFsta_0 | -1.147 | 0.209 | 0.318 | 0.209 | 0.482 |
| $\operatorname{logSdLogN}$ _0 | -1.244 | 0.179 | 0.288 | 0.201 | 0.412 |
| $\operatorname{logSdLogN}$ _1 | -2.741 | 0.373 | 0.064 | 0.031 | 0.136 |
| logSdLogObs_0 | -0.666 | 0.067 | 0.514 | 0.449 | 0.588 |
| logSdLogObs_1 | -0.173 | 0.132 | 0.841 | 0.645 | 1.096 |
| logSdLogObs_2 | -0.513 | 0.132 | 0.599 | 0.46 | 0.779 |
| logSdLogObs_3 | -0.64 | 0.111 | 0.528 | 0.422 | 0.659 |
| logSdLogObs_4 | -0.171 | 0.136 | 0.843 | 0.642 | 1.107 |
| logSdLogObs_5 | -1.086 | 0.082 | 0.337 | 0.286 | 0.398 |
| transfIRARdist_0 | -1.541 | 0.246 | 0.214 | 0.131 | 0.35 |
| transfIRARdist_1 | -0.388 | 0.205 | 0.678 | 0.45 | 1.023 |
| itrans_rho_0 | 1.381 | 0.283 | 3.979 | 2.26 | 7.003 |

Table 3.2.15. Ling 5.b. Forecast of recruitment (thousands), SSB (tonnes), catch (tonnes) and TSB (tonnes) when $F=F_{\text {sq }}$ in 2020 and 2021 and different scenarios such as $F=F_{M S Y}, F=0, F=F_{p a}, F=F_{\text {lim }}, F=F_{\text {sq }}$. Median values showed.

|  | Year | $\mathrm{F}_{6-10}$ | Recruitment | SSB | Catch | TSB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}_{\text {sq }}$, then FmSr | 2021 | 0.403 | 1175 | 22126 | 7929 | 27479 |
|  | 2022 | 0.403 | 2966 | 19363 | 7045 | 25295 |
|  | 2023 | 0.23 | 2966 | 15125 | 3552 | 22351 |
|  | 2024 | 0.23 | 2966 | 14529 | 3326 | 23283 |
| $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{sq}}$, then 0 | 2021 | 0.403 | 1175 | 22126 | 7929 | 27479 |
|  | 2022 | 0.403 | 2966 | 19363 | 7045 | 25295 |
|  | 2023 | 0 | 2966 | 15125 | 0 | 22351 |
|  | 2024 | 0 | 2966 | 18510 | 0 | 27447 |
| $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{sq}}$, then $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p} 0.5}$ | 2021 | 0.403 | 1175 | 22126 | 7929 | 27479 |
|  | 2022 | 0.403 | 2966 | 19363 | 7045 | 25295 |
|  | 2023 | 0.6 | 2966 | 15125 | 7483 | 22351 |
|  | 2024 | 0.6 | 2966 | 10110 | 4745 | 18782 |
| $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}_{\text {sq }}$, then $\mathrm{F}_{\text {lim }}$ | 2021 | 0.403 | 1175 | 22126 | 7929 | 27479 |
|  | 2022 | 0.403 | 2966 | 19363 | 7045 | 25295 |
|  | 2023 | 0.85 | 2966 | 15125 | 9343 | 22351 |
|  | 2024 | 0.85 | 2966 | 8141 | 4658 | 16701 |
| $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}_{\text {sq }}$ | 2021 | 0.403 | 1175 | 22126 | 7929 | 27479 |
|  | 2022 | 0.403 | 2966 | 19363 | 7045 | 25295 |
|  | 2023 | 0.403 | 2966 | 15125 | 5607 | 22351 |
|  | 2024 | 0.403 | 2966 | 12195 | 4355 | 20868 |

### 3.3 Ling (Molva Molva) in Subareas 1 and 2

### 3.3.1 The fishery

Ling has been fished in Subareas 1 and 2 for centuries, and the historical development is described in Bergstad and Hareide (1996). In particular, the post-World War II increase in catch caused by a series of technical advances, are well documented. Currently the major fisheries in Subareas 1 and 2 are the Norwegian longline and gillnet fisheries, and bycatches of ling are taken by other gears, such as trawls and handlines. Historically around $50 \%$ of the Norwegian landings were taken by longlines and $45 \%$ by gillnets, partly in directed ling fisheries and as bycatch in other fisheries. This distribution between the gear types seem to be changing and in 2021 the gillnet fishery was landing $53 \%$ and longliners $41 \%$ of the total catches. Other nations catch ling as bycatch in their trawl fisheries. Figure 3.3 . 1 shows the spatial distributions of the total catches for the Norwegian longline fishery in 2020 and in 2021. There was no fishery in the NEAFC regulatory area in 2021.
The Norwegian longline fleet (vessels larger than 21 m ) increased from 36 in 1977 to a peak of 72 in 2000, and afterwards the number stabilized at 26 . The number of vessels declined mainly because of changes in the law concerning the quotas for cod. The average number of days that the longliners operated in ICES Subareas 1 and 2 has declined since its peak in 2011. During the period 2000 to 2014 the main technological change in Subareas 1 and 2 was that the average number of hooks per day increased from 31000 hooks to 35000 hooks. During the period 1974 to 2021 the total number of hooks per year has varied considerably, but with a downward trend since 2002. (for more information see Helle, WD 2022).

The cod stock in the Barents Sea has been very abundant for years, but now there is a downward trend in the cod stock which has resulted in lower quotas. Most likely the of lower quotas for cod has resulted in the observed increase in fishing pressure on ling.


Figure 3.3.1. Distribution of the total catch of ling in Subareas 1 and 2 taken by the Norwegian longline fishery in 2020 and i2021.

### 3.3.2 Landings trends

Landing statistics by nation in the period 1988-2021 are in Tables 3.3.1a-d. During 2000-2005, the landings varied between 5000 and 7000 t , which was slightly lower than the landings in the preceding decade. In 2007, 2008 and 2010 the landings increased to over 10000 t . After this the landings declined to 8000 tons in 2017 followed by two years with high landings, above 11000 tons. The preliminary landings for 2021 are 9700 t . Total international landings in Areas 1 and 2 are given in Figure 3.3.2.


Figure 3.3.2. Total international landings of ling in Subareas 1 and 2.

### 3.3.3 ICES Advice

Advice for 2022 and 2023: ICES advises that when the precautionary approach is applied, catches should be no more than 10454 tonnes in each of the years 2022 and 2023.

### 3.3.4 Management

There is no quota for the Norwegian fishery for ling, but the vessels participating in the directed fishery for ling and tusk in Subareas 1 and 2 are required to have a specific license. There is no minimum landing size for the Norwegian EEZ.

In international and union waters of 1 and 2 UK has a TAC of 7 tons, while EU has a TAC of 24 tons.

### 3.3.5 Data available

### 3.3.5.1 Landings and discards

Amounts landed were available for all relevant fleets. No discards were reported in 2021. But since the Norwegian fleets are not regulated by TACs, and there is a ban on discarding, the incentive for illegal discarding is believed to be low. The landings statistics are therefore regarded as being adequate for assessment purposes.

### 3.3.5.2 Length compositions

Length composition data are available for the longliners and gillnetters from the Norwegian Reference fleet. Figures 3.3.3 and 3.3.4 show the length distribution of ling in Areas 1 and 2 for the period 2001 to 2021. The mean length in Area 1 has varied slightly, while the mean length in Area 2a has been very stable. The weight-length graphs are in Figure 3.3.5.


Figure 3.3.3. Plots of the length distributions of ling in Subareas 1 and 2 combined for the period 2001 to 2021 from the Norwegian Reference fleet.


Figure 3.3.4. Box and whiskers plots for the length of ling in Areas 1, 2a and 2b for the period 2001 to 2021 from the Norwegian Reference fleet.


Figure 3.3.5. Weight-length relationship for the period 2008-2020, and only for 2020 (upper panel) and for females and for males, separately (lower panel). Data were collected by the Norwegian Reference Fleet.

### 3.3.5.3 Age compositions

The Catch-at-age composition for the longline fishery and for the gillnet fishery for 2010-2020 (Figure 3.3.6), and box and whiskers plots for the estimated age distribution of catch for each area are in Figure 3.3.7.


Figure 3.3.6. Ling in Areas 1 and 2, Catch-at-age compositions based on data from the Reference fleet, longliners and gillnetters.


Figure 3.3.7. Age composition of the fish caught by longliners and gillnetters during the period 2002-2020.

### 3.3.5.4 Length and weight -at-age

Figure 3.3 .8 shows the average mean length at age and mean weight at age for the years 20092020.


Figure. 3.3.8. Average mean length and mean weight versus age for the period 2010-2020.

### 3.3.5.5 Maturity and natural mortality

Maturity ogives for ling are in Figure 3.3.9 and in the following table. The results fit well with previous observations that ling reach maturity between ages $5-7(60-75 \mathrm{~cm})$ in most areas, while males reach maturity at a slightly younger age than females (Magnusson et al., 1997).

Maturity parameters:

| Stock | L50 | N | A50 | $\mathbf{N}$ | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lin-arct | 73.0 | 1540 | 7.0 | 769 | Norwegian long liners (Reference fleet) and survey data |



Figure 3.3.9. Maturity ogives for ling in Areas 1 and 2: males and females (upper panel) and for males and females combined (lower panel).

### 3.3.5.6 Catch and effort data

Two standardized cpue series for 2000-2021 for Norwegian longliners are in Figure 3.3.10. One series was based on all the catch data, and the other cpue series used only catches of ling that made up more than $30 \%$ of the total catch by weight, that is it is assumed that these were targeted catches. No research vessel data are available.

### 3.3.6 Data analyses

## Length distribution

In Figures 3.3.3 and 3.3.4 are plots of the length distributions in Area 1 and 2 for 2001 to 2021. It appears that the mean length in Area 1 has varied slightly, while the mean length in Areas 2a and 2 b has been very stable. The average length is slightly higher in the gillnet fishery than in the longline fishery.

## Age distribution

In Figures 3.3.6 and 3.3.7 are plots of the age distributions in Area 1 and 2 for 2001 to 2021. It appears that the mean age in Area 2a has been very stable. The average age is slightly higher in the gillnet fishery than in the longline fishery.

## Cpue

Graphs of two standardized GLM-based cpue series estimated based on all the data and based on data for which ling made up more than $30 \%$ of the catch are shown in Figure 3.3.10. Both cpue series indicate an upward trend for the period until 2017, after 2017 there was a declining trend and then stable trend. The method is described in Helle et al., 2015.


Figure 3.3.10. Estimate of cpue ( $\mathrm{kg} / 1000$ hooks) for ling in Area 2 a based; on all available data, and on catches when ling was considered the target species for 2000-2021. The bars denote the $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence intervals. The data are from skipper's logbooks.

### 3.3.7 Comments on the assessment data analyses

The two cpue series, based on all data and when ling were targeted, show a stable and positive trend until the last three years.

### 3.3.8 Management considerations

The annual catch of ling since 2006 do not appear to have had a detrimental effect on the stock given that cpue continued to increase steadily, and even with the recent decline the current catch levels are considered appropriate.
However, the cod stock in the Barents Sea has been very abundant for several years but now there is a downward trend in the cod stock which results in lower quotas.. Because of lower quotas for cod the fishing pressure on ling appear to have increased.
As always, it should be emphasized that commercial catch data are typically observational data; that is, there were no scientific controls on how or from where the data were collected. Therefore, it is not known with certainty if the ling cpue series tracks the population and/or how accurate the measures of uncertainty associated with the series are (see, for example, Rosenbaum, 2002). Consequently, one must usually hope that a cpue series, which is based only on commercial catch data, truly tracks abundance.

An infamous example of a misleading cpue series based on commercial data was a cpue series for Newfoundland cod that incorrectly indicated that the abundance of the cod stock was increasing greatly. Advice based on this cpue series ultimately caused the collapse of the stock (see, e.g., Pennington and Strømme, 1998).

In general, any assessment method based only on commercial catch data needs to be applied with caution. The reason that assessments using only commercial data are problematic is because the relation between the commercial catch and the actual population is normally unknown and probably varies from year to year.

### 3.3.9 Application of MSY proxy reference points

The Length-based indicator method (LBI) were applied for ling in Areas 1 and 2.
Length-based indicator method (LBI)
The input parameters and the length distributions of the catches for the period 2001-2021 are in Table 3.3.2 and Figure 3.3.11. The length data used in the LBI model are from the Norwegian gill netter and longline fleet.

Table 3.3.2. Ling in arctic waters (1, 2.a, 2.b). Input parameters for LBI.

| Data type | Years/Value | Source | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length-frequency distribu- <br> tion | $2001-2021$ | Norwegian gill netters (Reference fleet) fishing in <br> divisions 1,2a,2b |  |
| Length-weight relation | $0.0055^{*}$ <br> 3.0175 | Norwegian Reference fleet and survey data |  |
| LLAT | 73 cm | Norwegian Reference fleet and survey data | Sexes combined |
| Linf | $172 \mathrm{~cm}\left(L_{\max }\right)$ | Norwegian Reference fleet and survey data |  |



Figure 3.3.11. Ling in arctic waters (1, 2.a, 2.b), upper panel are length data from gillnetters, lower are from longliners. Catch length distributions, 2 cm length classes, for the period 2001-2021 (sex combined).

Outputs from the screening of length indicator ratios for combined sexes under three scenarios: (a) Conservation; (b) Optimal yield; and (c) maximum sustainable yield, for ling from the gillnet and longline fishery are in Figures 3.3.12a and b.


Figure 3.3.12a. Ling from gillnetters in arctic waters (1, 2.a, 2.b). Screening of the length indicator ratios for sex combined under three scenarios: (a) Conservation; (b) Optimal yield; and (c) maximum sustainable yield.


Figure 3.3.12b. Ling from longliners in arctic waters (1, 2.a, 2.b). Screening of the length indicator ratios for sex combined under three scenarios: (a) Conservation; (b) Optimal yield; and (c) maximum sustainable yield.

## Analysis of results

The results using length data from gillnet and longline fishery showed the same trend. The model for the conservation of immature ling shows that $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{Lmat}$ is usually less than one, but $\mathrm{L}_{25 \%} / \mathrm{Lmat}$ is usually greater than 1 (Figure 3.3.12). In 2019-2021, $\mathrm{L}_{25 \%} / \mathrm{Lmat}$ was also greater than 1 (Table 3.3.3), therefore there is no indication that immature ling are being overfished.

For the status for large ling, the model shows that the indicator ratio of $\mathrm{Lmax} 5 \% / \mathrm{Linf}$ is around 0.7 for the whole period (Figure 3.3.12) and between 0.71 and 0.78 in 2019-2021 (Table 3.3.3), which is less than the limit of 0.8 suggesting that there is a lack of mega-spawners in the catch, which indicates that there is a truncation point in the length distribution. The mean length of ling in the catch is lower than the mean length for optimizing yield.
The MSY indicator ( $\mathrm{Lmean} / \mathrm{LF}=\mathrm{M}$ ) is greater than 1 for almost the whole period (Figure 3.3.12), which indicates that ling in arctic waters are fished sustainably. Regarding model sensitivity, the MSY value was always greater than 0.90 .

Table 3.3.5. gives the outcomes of all estimates from the LBI, based on data from the gillnet and the longline fishery provided by the Norwegian reference fleet.

Conclusion: The overall perception of the stock during the period 2019-2021 is that ling in arctic waters seems to be fished sustainably (Table 3.3.3a and b). However, the results are very sensitive to the assumed values of Lmat and Linf.

Table 3.3.3a. Ling (gillnetters)in arctic waters (1, 2.a, 2.b). The results from the LBI method.

|  | Conservation |  |  |  | Optimizing Yield | MSY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lc/Lmat | L25\%/Lmat | Lmax5\%/Linf | Pmega | Lmean/Lopt | Lmean/L ${ }_{\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{M}}$ |
| Ref | $>\mathbf{1}$ | $>\mathbf{1}$ | $>\mathbf{0 . 8}$ | $>\mathbf{3 0} \%$ | $\sim \mathbf{1}(>\mathbf{0 . 9})$ | $\geq \mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 0,56 | 1,10 | 0,74 | $2 \%$ | 0,77 | 1,20 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 0,78 | 1,22 | 0,71 | $1 \%$ | 0,84 | 1,12 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 0,53 | 1,05 | 0,74 | $2 \%$ | 0,78 | 1,23 |

Table 3.3.3b. Ling (longliners) in arctic waters (1, 2.a, 2.b). The results from the LBI method.

|  | Conservation |  |  |  | Optimizing Yield | MSY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lc/Lmat | L25\%/Lmat | Lmax5\%/Linf | Pmega | Lmean/Lopt | Lmean/L ${ }_{\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{M}}$ |
| Ref | $>\mathbf{1}$ | $>\mathbf{1}$ | $>\mathbf{0 . 8}$ | $>\mathbf{3 0} \%$ | $\sim \mathbf{1}(>\mathbf{0 . 9})$ | $\mathbf{\geq 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 0,64 | 1,10 | 0,74 | $2 \%$ | 0,79 | 1,15 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 0,81 | 1,05 | 0,81 | $5 \%$ | 0,82 | 1,07 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 0,95 | 1,07 | 0,74 | $2 \%$ | 0,78 | 0,95 |

Table 3.3.4 Ling in arctic waters (1, 2.a, 2.b). Stock status inferred from LBI for MSY. Green tick marks for MSY are provided because the $L_{\text {mean }} / L_{F=M}>1$ in each year. Stock size is unknown as this method only provides exploitation status.


Table 3.3.5. Outcomes from the LBI, based on data from the gillnet and the longline fishery provided by the Norwegian reference fleet.

|  | Gillnet |  |  | Longline |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| L75 | 99 | 101 | 101 | 99 | 107 | 96 |
| L25 | 80 | 89 | 77 | 80 | 77 | 78 |
| Lmed | 89 | 94 | 90 | 88 | 90 | 87 |
| L90 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 111 | 122 | 108 |
| L95 | 118 | 115 | 118 | 117 | 128 | 116 |
| Lmean | 88.61 | 95.88 | 88.95 | 90.23 | 93.58 | 90.00 |
| Lc | 41 | 57 | 39 | 47 | 59 | 69 |
| LFeM | 73.75 | 85.75 | 72.25 | 78.25 | 87.25 | 94.75 |
| Lmaxy | 95 | 94 | 110 | 102 | 111 | 94 |
| Lmat | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 |
| Lopt | 114.67 | 114.67 | 114.67 | 114.67 | 114.67 | 114.67 |
| Linf | 172 | 172 | 172 | 172 | 172 | 172 |
| Lmax5\% | 127.20 | 122.40 | 126.92 | 127.37 | 139.60 | 126.94 |
| Lmean/LFeM | 1.20 | 1.12 | 1.23 | 1.15 | 1.07 | 0.95 |
| Lc/Lmat | 0.56 | 0.78 | 0.53 | 0.64 | 0.81 | 0.95 |
| L25/Lmat | 1.10 | 1.22 | 1.05 | 1.10 | 1.05 | 1.07 |
| Lmean/Lmat | 1.21 | 1.31 | 1.22 | 1.24 | 1.28 | 1.23 |
| Lmean/Lopt | 0.77 | 0.84 | 0.78 | 0.79 | 0.82 | 0.78 |
| L95/Linf | 0.69 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 0.68 | 0.74 | 0.67 |
| Lmaxy/Lopt | 0.83 | 0.82 | 0.96 | 0.89 | 0.97 | 0.819767 |
| Lmax5\%/Linf | 0.74 | 0.71 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.81 | 0.74 |
| Pmega | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| Pmegaref | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
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### 3.3.11 Tables

Table 3.3.1a. Ling 1.a and b. WG estimates of landings.

| Year | Norway | Iceland | Scotland | Faroes | France | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | 136 |  |  |  |  | 136 |
| 1997 | 31 |  |  |  |  | 31 |
| 1998 | 123 |  |  |  |  | 123 |
| 1999 | 64 |  |  |  |  | 64 |
| 2000 | 68 | 1 |  |  |  | 69 |
| 2001 | 65 | 1 |  |  |  | 66 |
| 2002 | 182 |  | 24 |  |  | 206 |
| 2003 | 89 |  |  |  |  | 89 |
| 2004 | 323 |  |  | 22 |  | 345 |
| 2005 | 107 |  |  |  |  | 107 |
| 2006 | 58 |  |  |  |  | 58 |
| 2007 | 96 |  |  |  |  | 96 |
| 2008 | 55 |  |  |  |  | 55 |
| 2009 | 236 |  |  |  |  | 236 |
| 2010 | 57 |  |  |  |  | 57 |
| 2011 | 129 |  |  |  |  | 129 |
| 2012 | 158 |  |  |  |  | 158 |
| 2013 | 126 |  |  |  |  | 126 |
| 2014 | 122 |  |  |  | 1 | 123 |
| 2015 | 93 |  |  |  |  | 93 |
| 2016 | 65 |  |  |  |  | 65 |
| 2017 | 43 |  |  |  |  | 43 |
| 2018 | 34 |  |  |  |  | 34 |
| 2019 | 37 |  |  |  |  | 37 |
| 2020 | 73 |  |  |  |  | 73 |
| 2021* | 71 |  |  |  |  | 71 |

Preliminary. Table 3.3.1b. Ling 2a. WG estimates of landings.

| Year | Faro es | Franc e | Germa ny | Norw ay | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E \& } \\ & \text { W } \end{aligned}$ | Scotlan $\mathbf{d}$ | Russi a | Irelan <br> d | Icelan d | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spai } \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | Greenla nd | Polan <br> d | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 198 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 29 | 10 | 6070 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6119 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 198 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 19 | 11 | 7326 | 10 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7368 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 199 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 20 | 17 | 7549 | 25 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7628 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 199 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 17 | 12 | 5 | 7755 | 4 | + |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7793 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 199 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 9 | 6 | 6495 | 8 | + |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6521 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 199 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | - | 9 | 13 | 7032 | 39 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7093 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 199 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 101 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 9 | 6169 | 30 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6309 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 199 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 6 | 8 | 5921 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5954 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 199 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 2 | 17 | 6059 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6083 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 199 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 15 | 7 | 5343 | 6 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5373 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 199 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | 13 | 6 | 9049 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9072 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 199 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  | 12 | 7 | 7557 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7581 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 9 | 39 | 5836 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5891 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 9 | 34 | 4805 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4858 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 4 | 21 | 6886 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6917 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 7 | 3 | 43 | 6001 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6062 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 0 | 3 | 6114 |  | 1 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 6138 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6085 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 6106 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 9 | 8 | 6 | 8685 | 6 | 1 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 8726 |


| Year | Faro es | Franc e | Germa ny | Norw ay | E \& W | Scotlan d | Russi a | Irelan d | Icelan d | Spai $\mathrm{n}$ | Greenla nd | Polan <br> d | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18 | 6 | 7 | 9970 | 1 | 0 | 55 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 200 | 22 | 4 | 7 | 11040 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 7 | 8189 | 0 | 19 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  | 8244 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201 | 10 | 0 | 18 | 10318 | 0 | 2 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  | 1039 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| $201$ | 4 | 6 | 6 | 9763 |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  | 9798 |
| $1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201 | 21 | 6 | 9 | 8334 |  | 7 | 45 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 8425 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 8677 |  | 1 | 114 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 8819 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 9245 |  |  | 73 |  |  |  |  |  | 9337 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 8220 |  | 3 | 115 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 8362 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 18 | 6 | 11 | 8523 | 2 | 3 | 112 |  | 8 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 8700 |
| 2017 | 17 | 13 | 8 | 7684 |  | 3 | 150 |  | 15 |  | 4 | 6 | 7900 |
|  | 13 | 9 | 16 | 11155 |  |  | 129 |  | 4 |  | 1 | 5 | 1133 |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | 5 | 24 | 9 | 11216 |  |  | 60 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1131 |
| 2019 ( 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 | 8 | 13 | 5 | 9323 | 1 | 1 | 42 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 9395 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2021 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | 7 | 38 | 2 | 9395 |  | 1 | 36 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9480 |

* *Preliminary. Table 3.3.1c. Ling 2b. WG estimates of landings.

$\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\hline \text { Year } & \text { Norway } & \text { E \& W } & \text { Faroes } & \text { France }\end{array}\right]$ Total | 7 |
| :--- |
| 1988 |
| 1989 |


| Year | Norway | E \& W | Faroes | France | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 |  | 13 |  |  | 13 |
| 1995 |  | - |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 127 | - |  |  | 127 |
| 1997 | 5 | - |  |  | 5 |
| 1998 | 5 | + |  |  | 5 |
| 1999 | 6 |  |  |  | 6 |
| 2000 | 4 | - |  |  | 4 |
| 2001 | 33 | 0 |  |  | 33 |
| 2002 | 9 | 0 |  |  | 9 |
| 2003 | 6 | 0 |  |  | 6 |
| 2004 | 77 |  |  |  | 77 |
| 2005 | 93 |  |  |  | 93 |
| 2006 | 64 |  |  |  | 64 |
| 2007 | 180 |  | 0 |  | 180 |
| 2008 | 162 | 0 | 0 |  | 162 |
| 2009 | 84 |  |  |  | 84 |
| 2010 | 128 |  |  |  | 128 |
| 2011 | 164 |  |  | 7 | 171 |
| 2012 | 266 |  |  |  | 266 |
| 2013 | 76 |  |  |  | 76 |
| 2014 | 85 | 52 |  |  | 137 |
| 2015 | 95 |  |  |  | 95 |
| 2016 | 53 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 2017 | 28 |  |  |  | 28 |
| 2018 | 238 |  |  |  | 238 |
| 2019 | 55 |  |  |  | 55 |
| 2020 | 96 |  |  |  | 96 |
| 2021* | 108 |  |  |  | 108 |

*Preliminary.

Table 3.3.1d. Ling 1 and 2. Total landings by subarea or division.

| Year | 1 | 2.a | 2.b | All areas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 |  | 6119 | 7 | 6126 |
| 1989 |  | 7368 |  | 7368 |
| 1990 |  | 7628 |  | 7628 |
| 1991 |  | 7793 |  | 7793 |
| 1992 |  | 6521 |  | 6521 |
| 1993 |  | 7093 |  | 7093 |
| 1994 |  | 6309 | 13 | 6322 |
| 1995 |  | 5954 |  | 5954 |
| 1996 | 136 | 6083 | 127 | 6346 |
| 1997 | 31 | 5373 | 5 | 5409 |
| 1998 | 123 | 9072 | 5 | 9200 |
| 1999 | 64 | 7581 | 6 | 7651 |
| 2000 | 69 | 5891 | 4 | 5964 |
| 2001 | 66 | 4858 | 33 | 4957 |
| 2002 | 206 | 6917 | 9 | 7132 |
| 2003 | 89 | 6062 | 6 | 6157 |
| 2004 | 345 | 6138 | 77 | 6560 |
| 2005 | 107 | 6106 | 93 | 6306 |
| 2006 | 58 | 8726 | 64 | 8848 |
| 2007 | 96 | 10058 | 180 | 10334 |
| 2008 | 80 | 11104 | 161 | 11346 |
| 2009 | 236 | 8244 | 84 | 8564 |
| 2010 | 57 | 10395 | 128 | 10580 |
| 2011 | 129 | 9798 | 171 | 10098 |
| 2012 | 158 | 8425 | 266 | 8849 |
| 2013 | 126 | 8819 | 76 | 9021 |
| 2014 | 123 | 9337 | 137 | 9606 |
| 2015 | 93 | 8362 | 95 | 8550 |
| 2016 | 65 | 8700 | 54 | 8819 |


| Year | 1 | 2.a | 2.b | All areas |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2017 | 43 | 7900 | 28 | 7971 |
| 2018 | 34 | 11332 | 238 | 11604 |
| 2019 | 77 | 9395 | 55 | 11413 |
| 2020 | 71 | 9480 | 96 | 9564 |
| $2021^{*}$ |  |  |  | 9659 |

*Preliminary.

### 3.4 Ling (Molva molva) in 5.a

### 3.4.1 The fishery

The fishery for ling in Icelandic waters has not changed substantially in recent years. Around 100-300 longliners annually report catches of ling, around 30-200 gillnetters and around 60-140 trawlers. Most of ling is caught on longlines (Figure 3.4.1 and Table 3.4.1) which has increased since 2000 to around $68 \%$ in 2021. At the same time the proportion caught by gillnets has decreased from $20-30 \%$ in 2000-2007 to less than $2 \%$ in 2021. Catches in trawls have varied less and have been at around $20 \%$ of Icelandic catches. (Figure 3.4.1, Table 3.4.1). Most of the ling caught by Icelandic longliners is caught at depths less than 300 m , and by trawlers at less than 400 m (Figure 3.4.2). The main fishing grounds for ling as observed from logbooks are in the south, southwestern and western part of the Icelandic shelf (Figure 3.4.3 and Figure 3.4.4). The main trend in the spatial distribution of catches according to logbook entries is the decreased proportion of catches caught in the southeast and increased catches on the western part of the shelf two decades ago. Around $40 \%$ of ling catches are caught on the southwestern part of the shelf (Figure 3.4.3). In recent years, the main fishing pressure has shifted towards shallower waters (Figure 3.4.2).

Table 3.4.1: Ling in 5.a. Number of Icelandic boats and catches by fleet segment participating in the ling fishery from logbooks.

| Year | Bottom trawl | Gill nets | Longlines | Bottom trawl | Gill nets | Longlines | Other | Total catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 140 | 184 | 287 | 892 | 704 | 1540 | 83 | 3284 |
| 2001 | 130 | 232 | 252 | 640 | 1061 | 1101 | 491 | 3362 |
| 2002 | 122 | 203 | 234 | 853 | 648 | 1283 | 1682 | 4519 |
| 2003 | 119 | 172 | 243 | 850 | 454 | 2215 | 687 | 4270 |
| 2004 | 116 | 165 | 234 | 977 | 545 | 2017 | 893 | 4606 |
| 2005 | 115 | 127 | 260 | 1500 | 501 | 2046 | 899 | 5198 |
| 2006 | 106 | 99 | 258 | 1697 | 629 | 3734 | 1133 | 7405 |
| 2007 | 105 | 86 | 251 | 1642 | 633 | 4042 | 1035 | 7591 |
| 2008 | 96 | 68 | 209 | 1927 | 477 | 5007 | 1583 | 9283 |
| 2009 | 88 | 78 | 208 | 2193 | 723 | 6231 | 1367 | 10945 |
| 2010 | 86 | 69 | 197 | 2529 | 363 | 6532 | 1304 | 11131 |
| 2011 | 82 | 61 | 201 | 2625 | 222 | 5594 | 873 | 9626 |
| 2012 | 81 | 62 | 206 | 2509 | 245 | 7479 | 1162 | 11817 |
| 2013 | 85 | 62 | 206 | 2808 | 345 | 6836 | 1356 | 11581 |
| 2014 | 78 | 57 | 206 | 2717 | 673 | 10624 | 30 | 14246 |
| 2015 | 75 | 55 | 193 | 2802 | 650 | 9249 | 23 | 13035 |


| Year | Bottom trawl | Gill nets | Longlines | Bottom trawl | Gill nets | Longlines | Other | Total catch |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2016 | 71 | 55 | 173 | 2426 | 681 | 6545 | 20 | 9884 |
| 2017 | 70 | 48 | 157 | 2063 | 556 | 5975 | 11 | 8766 |
| 2018 | 68 | 47 | 137 | 2114 | 387 | 5366 | 18 | 8062 |
| 2019 | 61 | 32 | 135 | 2009 | 115 | 5964 | 9 | 8269 |
| 2020 | 67 | 36 | 114 | 1985 | 138 | 4765 | 15 | 7061 |
| 2021 | 66 | 39 | 108 | 2074 | 126 | 4828 | 10 | 7128 |



Figure 3.4.1: Ling in 5.a. Commercial catches by gear as registered in Icelandic logbooks.


Figure 3.4.2: Ling in 5.a. Depth distribution of catches in $5 . a$ according to logbooks. All gears combined.


Figure 3.4.3: Ling in 5.a. Spatial distribution of the Icelandic fishery catches as reported in logbooks. All gears combined.


Figure 3.4.4: Ling in 5.a. Changes in spatial distribution of the Icelandic fishery as reported in logbooks. All gears combined.

### 3.4.2 Landing trends

In 1950 to 1971, landings of ling in Icelandic waters ranged between 7000 to more than 15000 tonnes. Landings decreased between 1972 and 2000 to as little as 3000 tonnes as a result of most foreign vessels being excluded from the Icelandic EEZ. In 2001-2010, catches increased constantly and reached 11000 tonnes in 2010 and remained at that level for the most part until 2014, when the catches increased to 14000 tonnes. Since 2014, ling catches have reduced and were around 7128 tonnes in 2021 (Table 3.4.2 and Figure 3.4.5).


Table 3.4.2: Ling in 5.a. Percentage of landed catch by gear as reported from logbooks in 5.a.

| Year | Bottom trawl | Gill nets | Longlines | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 25 | 23 | 38 | 14 | 3552 |
| 1996 | 26 | 20 | 39 | 14 | 3747 |
| 1997 | 25 | 17 | 46 | 12 | 3607 |
| 1998 | 23 | 19 | 47 | 11 | 3695 |
| 1999 | 20 | 17 | 54 | 9 | 4003 |
| 2000 | 23 | 22 | 48 | 8 | 3214 |
| 2001 | 17 | 37 | 38 | 8 | 2881 |
| 2002 | 23 | 23 | 45 | 9 | 2845 |
| 2003 | 16 | 13 | 62 | 9 | 3590 |
| 2004 | 18 | 15 | 54 | 14 | 3727 |
| 2005 | 23 | 12 | 47 | 18 | 4315 |
| 2006 | 20 | 10 | 59 | 11 | 6285 |
| 2007 | 21 | 10 | 61 | 8 | 6599 |
| 2008 | 19 | 6 | 65 | 10 | 7741 |
| 2009 | 16 | 8 | 65 | 12 | 9616 |
| 2010 | 16 | 4 | 66 | 15 | 9868 |
| 2011 | 19 | 3 | 64 | 15 | 8789 |
| 2012 | 13 | 2 | 70 | 15 | 10695 |
| 2013 | 16 | 3 | 67 | 14 | 10257 |
| 2014 | 12 | 5 | 75 | 9 | 14246 |
| 2015 | 15 | 5 | 71 | 9 | 13035 |
| 2016 | 18 | 7 | 66 | 8 | 9884 |
| 2017 | 17 | 6 | 68 | 8 | 8766 |
| 2018 | 20 | 5 | 67 | 9 | 8062 |
| 2019 | 20 | 1 | 72 | 6 | 8269 |
| 2020 | 24 | 2 | 67 | 8 | 7061 |
| 2021 | 29 | 2 | 68 | 1 | 7128 |

### 3.4.3 Data available

In general sampling is considered good from commercial catches from the main gears (longlines and trawls). Sampling does seem to cover the spatial distribution of catches for longlines and trawls but less so for gillnets. Similarly, sampling does seem to follow the temporal distribution of catches (Figure 3.4.6, ICES (2012)).


Figure 3.4.6: Ling in 5.a. Fishing grounds in 2021 as reported by catch in logbooks (tiles) and positions of samples taken from landings (asterisks) by longliners and trawlers.

### 3.4.4 Landings and discards

Landings by Icelandic vessels are given by the Icelandic Directorate of Fisheries. Landings of Norwegian and Faroese vessels are given by the Icelandic Coast Guard. Discarding is banned by law in the Icelandic demersal fishery. Based on limited data, discard rates in the Icelandic longline fishery for ling are estimated very low ( $<1 \%$ in either numbers or weight) (ICES (2011) :WD02). Measures in the management system such as converting quota share from one species to another are used by the fleet to a large extent and this is thought to discourage discarding in mixed fisheries. A description of the management system is given in the stock annex and Iceland fisheries overview (ICES (2022) and ICES (2019).

### 3.4.5 Length composition

An overview of available length measurements is given in Table 3.4.3. Most of the measurements are from longlines. The number of available length measurements has been increasing in recent years in line with increased landings. Length distributions from the Icelandic longline and trawling fleet are presented in Figure 3.4.7. Sampling from commercial catches of ling is considered good; both in terms of spatial and temporal distribution of samples (Figure 3.4.6). Mean length as observed in length samples from catches decreased from 2005-2008 from around 86 to 80 cm (Figure 3.4.7). This may be the result of increased recruitment in recent years rather than increased fishing effort. Mean length has gradually increased since 2015 and the mean length in 2020 was the highest recorded. It is premature to draw conclusions from the limited age-structured data. It can only be stated that most of the ling caught in the Icelandic spring survey is between age 5 and 10; but from longlines the age is between 6 to 11 .


Figure 3.4.7: Ling in 5.a. Length distribution from the Icelandic fleet (grey area) from 2005-2020. Black line is the average mean of the period.

Table 3.4.3: Ling in 5.a. Number of available length and age measurements from Icelandic commercial catches.

| Year | Length measurements |  |  |  |  | Age measurements |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BMT | DSE | GLN | LLN | Other | LLN | GIL | DSE | BMT | Other | Total |
| 2000 | 377 | 0 | 566 | 1624 | 6 | 650 | 200 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 1000 |
| 2001 | 37 | 0 | 493 | 1661 | 0 | 550 | 193 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 780 |
| 2002 | 221 | 0 | 366 | 1504 | 0 | 519 | 166 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 835 |
| 2003 | 137 | 0 | 300 | 2404 | 143 | 900 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 50 | 1150 |
| 2004 | 141 | 46 | 198 | 2640 | 150 | 750 | 50 | 46 | 100 | 50 | 996 |
| 2005 | 349 | 101 | 1 | 2323 | 180 | 750 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 50 | 981 |
| 2006 | 1157 | 0 | 641 | 3354 | 405 | 1138 | 289 | 0 | 450 | 100 | 1977 |
| 2007 | 400 | 76 | 0 | 3661 | 0 | 1300 | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 | 1450 |
| 2008 | 819 | 15 | 357 | 5847 | 150 | 1950 | 150 | 0 | 315 | 50 | 2465 |
| 2009 | 516 | 0 | 410 | 9014 | 450 | 2550 | 150 | 0 | 250 | 150 | 3100 |
| 2010 | 1146 | 0 | 56 | 7322 | 1200 | 2498 | 50 | 0 | 450 | 400 | 3398 |
| 2011 | 1245 | 150 | 0 | 7248 | 750 | 2546 | 0 | 50 | 450 | 250 | 3296 |
| 2012 | 1411 | 150 | 85 | 11356 | 1337 | 3526 | 50 | 50 | 541 | 400 | 4567 |
| 2013 | 993 | 122 | 267 | 9405 | 1344 | 2590 | 100 | 50 | 350 | 450 | 3540 |


| Year | Length measurements | Age measurements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2014 | 2089 | 120 | 1286 | 6448 | 2964 | 665 | 225 | 20 | 399 | 514 | 1823 |
| 2015 | 2615 | 0 | 1563 | 3315 | 3052 | 595 | 300 | 0 | 484 | 520 | 1899 |
| 2016 | 2460 | 0 | 2039 | 2483 | 1212 | 440 | 345 | 0 | 460 | 220 | 1465 |
| 2017 | 1963 | 0 | 485 | 1637 | 1226 | 310 | 85 | 0 | 370 | 225 | 990 |
| 2018 | 1603 | 0 | 559 | 1424 | 712 | 245 | 100 | 0 | 310 | 120 | 775 |
| 2019 | 1830 | 0 | 0 | 3598 | 819 | 385 | 0 | 0 | 340 | 140 | 865 |
| 2020 | 1718 | 0 | 4 | 1099 | 0 | 225 | 40 | 0 | 355 | 0 | 620 |
| 2021 | 2028 | 0 | 0 | 1056 | 0 | 180 | 0 | 0 | 398 | 0 | 578 |

### 3.4.6 Age composition

A limited number of otoliths collected in 2010 were aged and a considerable difference in growth rates was observed between the older data and the 2010 data (ICES (2011):WD07). Substantial progress has been made since 2010. Now aged otoliths are available from the 2000 onwards (Table 3.4.3). Most of the ling caught in the Icelandic spring survey is between age 5 and 8 but from longlines the age is between 6 and 9 .

### 3.4.7 Catch, effort and research vessel data

### 3.4.7.1 CPUE and effort

The CPUE estimates of ling in Icelandic waters have not been considered representative of stock abundance.

### 3.4.7.2 Survey data

Indices: The Icelandic spring groundfish survey, which has been conducted annually in March since 1985, covers the most important distribution area of the ling fishery. The autumn survey was commenced in 1996 and expanded in 2000 however a full autumn survey was not conducted in 2011 and therefore the results for 2011 are not presented. In addition, a gillnet survey is conducted in areas closer inshore every April during cod spawning periods, designed to sample the cod spawning stock. A detailed description of the Icelandic spring, autumn groundfish surveys and the gillnet surveys are given in the stock annex. Figure 3.4 .8 shows both a recruitment index and the trends in biomass from both surveys. Length distributions from the spring survey are shown in Figure 3.4.9 (abundance) and changes in spatial distribution in the spring survey are presented in Figure 3.4.10.
Ling in both in the spring and autumn surveys are mainly found in the deeper waters south and west off Iceland. Both the total biomass index and the index of the fishable biomass ( $>40 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) in the March survey gradually decreased until 1995 (Figure 3.4.8). In the years 1995 to 2003 these indices were half of the mean from 1985-1989. In 2003 to 2007, the indices gradually increased until 2017. Since then, indices have decreased. The index of the large ling ( 80 cm and larger) shows similar trend as the total biomass index (Figure 3.4.8). The recruitment index of ling, defined here as ling smaller than 40 cm , also showed a similar increase in 2003 to 2007 and but then decreased by around $25 \%$ and remained at that level until 2010. Then the juvenile index fell to a very low level in 2014 and has fluctuated at a low level since. (Figure 3.4.8). However, the juvenile
index is very uncertain as it is simply some variation in the length distribution of the survey but not a distinct peak (Figure 3.4.8).

The shorter autumn survey shows that biomass indices were low from 1996 to 2000 but have increased since then (Figure 3.4.8). There is a consistency between the two survey series; the autumn survey biomass indices are however derived from substantially fewer ling caught. Also, there is an inconsistency in the recruitment indices ( $<40 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), where the autumn survey shows much lower recruitment, in absolute terms compared with the spring survey (Figure 3.4.8). This discrepancy is likely a result of much lower catchability of small ling (due to different gears) in the autumn survey, where ling less than 40 cm has rarely been caught.
April (gillnet) survey indices at length and age were available from 2002. Northern extensions to the survey were added in 2002 so 1998-2001 data were excluded. ALKs from the spring survey were used directly as this survey occurs directly after that spring survey.
Changes in spatial distribution as observed in surveys: According to the spring survey, most of the increase since 2010 in ling abundance is in the western area, but an increase can be seen in most areas. However, most of the index in terms of biomass comes from the southwestern area, or around $40 \%$ compared to around $30 \%$ between 2003 and 2011. A similar pattern is observed in the autumn survey.


Figure 3.4.8: Ling in 5.a. Total biomass indices, biomass indices larger than 40 cm , biomass indices larger than 80 cm and abundance indices $<40 \mathrm{~cm}$. The lines with shaded area show the spring survey index from 1985 and the points with the vertical lines show the autumn survey from 1997. The shaded areas and vertical lines indicate $+/-$ standard error.


Figure 3.4.9: Ling in 5.a. Length distribution (grey area) from the spring survey. Black lines are the average mean of the period.


Figure 3.4.10: Ling in 5.a. Estimated survey biomass in the spring survey by year from different parts of the continental shelf (upper figure) and as proportions of the total (lower figure).

### 3.4.8 Data analyses

### 3.4.8.1 Analytical assessment using SAM

In 2022, Ling in $5 . a$ was re-assessed as the previously benchmarked Gadget model had begun to show great instability in retrospective patterns in recent years. As a part of a Harvest Control Evaluation requested by Iceland, the stock was benchmarked (WKICEMSE 2022) which resulted in changes in the assessment method and updated reference points. Model setup and settings are described in the Stock Annex (ICES 2022).

### 3.4.8.2 Data used and model settings

Data used for tuning are given in the stock annex.

### 3.4.9 Diagnostics

### 3.4.9.1 Model fit

Figure 3.4.13 shows the overall fit to the survey indices described in the stock annex. In general, the model appears to follow the stock trends historically. Furthermore, the terminal estimate is not seen to deviate substantially from the observed value for most length groups, with model overestimating the abundance in the two largest length group. Summed up over survey biomass the model overestimates the biomass in the terminal years.
The model fit to survey indices and catch are shown in figure 3.4.11 and 3.4.12





Figure 3.4.11: Ling in 5.a. Model fit to catches, spring survey, autumn survey and gillnet indices.


Figure 3.4.12: Ling in 5.a. Fit to the landings input data to the SAM model.


Figure 3.4.13: Ling in 5.a. Model results of population dynamics overview: estimated catch, average fishing mortality over ages 8-11 (Fbar), recruitment (age 2), and spawning stock biomass (SSB).

### 3.4.10 Results

Population dynamics of the ling estimated in this model show a clear trend of a high recruitment period from 2004-2010, corresponding with increased spawning stock biomass (SSB) and catches during the 2010-2019 period. Despite this trend, fishing mortality has remained rather steady or slightly declined (Fig. 3.4.13).

The overall scale of model results, including SSB (kt), fishing mortality, and recruitment at age 3, are very similar between the previously used Gadget model and the SAM model (Figure 3.4.14).


Figure 3.4.14: Ling in 5.a. Comparison of SSB, fishing mortality, and recruitment (age 3) estimates from the previously used Gadget assessment (dashed) to those produced by the SAM model (black line).

### 3.4.10.1 Retrospective analysis

The results of an analytical retrospective analysis are presented. The analysis indicates that there was an upward revision of biomass over the first 2 years of the 5 -year peel followed by a
downward revision of biomass (SSB) over the last 3 years, and subsequently a downward then upward revision of $F$. This period of larger retrospective patterns is the result of rapidly changing biomass levels. Estimates of recruitment are decently stable except for the apparent peak in 2017-2018. As explained in reference to the survey indices, this is likely the influence of highly variable survey indices that, for the smallest sizes in the most recent years, have no repeated observations at larger sizes with which this influence can be tempered. Therefore, it is expected that these recruitment peaks may simply be the result of uncertainty in survey indices and are likely to disappear in the coming assessment years.


Figure 3.4.15: Ling in 5.a. Retrospective plots illustrating stability in model estimates over a 5-year 'peel' in data. Results of spawning stock biomass, fishing mortality $F$, and recruitment (age 2 ) are shown.

Mohn's rho was estimated to be 0.0922019 for SSB, -0.0867184 for F , and 0.4402096 for recruitment.

Neither observation nor process residuals show obvious trends (Figs. 3.4.16 and 3.4.17).


Figure 3.4.16: Ling in 5.a. Observation error residuals of the SAM model.


Figure 3.4.17: Ling in 5.a. Process error residuals of the SAM model.

### 3.4.10.2 Reference points

As part of the WKICEMSE 2022 HCR evaluations, the following reference points were defined for the stock.

Table 1 Previous and revised ICES reference points for ling in Division 5.a following the benchmark. Biomass values in tonnes.

| Framework | Reference point | Previous value | Revisedvalue | Revisedtechnical basis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSY Approach |  | 9930 | 11100 | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ps}}$ |
|  | FMSY | 0.28 | 0.30 | F that produces MSY in the long term |
| Precautionary Approach | B ${ }_{\text {m }}$ | 7090 | 9000 | $\mathrm{B}_{\text {los }}$ (SSB in 1993) |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | 9930 | 11100 | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{em}} \times \mathrm{e}^{1.6455^{*}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{B}}$, using the default $\sigma_{\mathrm{B}}=0.2$ |
|  | Fim | 0.70 | 0.95 | Fishing mortality that in stochastic equilibrium will result in median SSB at Bem. |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | 0.41 | 0.62 | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pos}}$, maximum F at which the probability of SSB falling below $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is $<5 \%$ |
| Management plan | MGT Brargrer | 9930 | 11100 | No lower than MSY $\mathrm{Butiger}^{\text {cer }}$ |
|  | Fmg | * | 0.30 | No higher than $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ |

* The previously used HCR was based on a harvest rate (HR) relative to a stock reference biomass, so no Fmgt was used.

Figure 3.4.18: Ling in 5.a Reference points adopted from ICES 2022
The management plan proposed by Iceland is:
The proposed HCR for the Icelandic Ling fishery, which sets a TAC for the fishing year $y / y+1$ (September 1 of year $y$ to August 31 of year $y+1$ ) based on a fishing mortality FмGт of 0.30 applied to ages 8 to 11 modified by the ratio $\mathrm{SSB}_{y} / \mathrm{MGT} \mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$ when $\mathrm{SSB}_{y}<\mathrm{MGT} \mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$, maintains a high yield while being precautionary as it results in lower than $5 \%$ probability of $\operatorname{SSB}<\mathrm{B}_{\text {lim }}$ in the medium and long term. WKICEMSE 2022 concluded that the HCR was precautionary and in conformity with the ICES MSY approach.

### 3.4.11 Management

The Icelandic Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Fisheries is responsible for management of the Icelandic fisheries and implementation of legislation. The Ministry issues regulations for commercial fishing for each fishing year (1 September-31 August), including an allocation of the TAC for each stock subject to such limitations. Ling in $5 . a$ has been managed by TAC since the 2001/2002 fishing year.

Landings have exceeded both the advice given by MFRI and the set TAC from 2002/2003 to 2013/2014 but amounted to less than two thirds in 2015/2016 (Table 3.4.4). Overshoot in landings in relation to advice/TAC has been decreasing steadily since the 2009/2010 fishing year, with an overshoot of $53 \%$ to $35 \%$ in 2010/2011, $24 \%$ in 2011/2012 and $4 \%$ in 2012/2013. The reasons for the implementation errors are transfers of quota share between fishing years, conversion of TAC from one species to another (Figure 3.4.19) and additional catches by Norway and the Faroe Islands, taken in accordance with bilateral agreement. The level of those catches is known in advance but has until recently not been taken into consideration by the Ministry when allocating TAC to Icelandic vessels. There is no minimum landing size for ling.

There are agreements between Iceland, Norway and the Faroe Islands relating to a fishery of vessels in restricted areas within the Icelandic EEZ. Faroese vessels are allowed to fish 5600 t of demersal fish species in Icelandic waters which includes maximum 1200 tonnes of cod and 40 t of Atlantic halibut. The rest of the Faroese demersal fishery in Icelandic waters is mainly directed at tusk, ling and blue ling. Further description of the Icelandic management system can be found in the stock annex (ICES 2022).

Table 3.4.4: Ling in 5.a. TAC recommended for ling in 5.a by the Marine and Fisheries Research Institute, national TAC and total landings.

| Fishing Year | MFRI Advice | National TAC | Landings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999/00 |  |  | 3961 |
| 2000/01 |  |  | 3451 |
| 2001/02 | 3000 | 3000 | 2968 |
| 2002/03 | 3000 | 3000 | 3715 |
| 2003/04 | 3000 | 3000 | 4608 |
| 2004/05 | 4000 | 4000 | 5238 |
| 2005/06 | 4500 | 5000 | 6961 |
| 2006/07 | 5000 | 5000 | 7617 |
| 2007/08 | 6000 | 7000 | 8560 |
| 2008/09 | 6000 | 7000 | 10489 |
| 2009/10 | 6000 | 7000 | 10713 |
| 2010/11 | 7500 | 7500 | 10095 |
| 2011/12 | 8800 | 9000 | 11133 |
| 2012/13 | 12000 | 11500 | 12445 |



Figure 3.4.19: Ling in 5.a. Net transfer of quota in the Icelandic ITQ system by fishing year. Between species (upper): Positive values indicate a transfer of other species to ling, but negative values indicate a transfer of ling quota to other species. Between years (lower): Net transfer of quota for a given fishing year (may include unused quota).

### 3.4.12 Management considerations

All the signs from commercial catch data and surveys indicate that ling is at present in a good state, even though the survey indices show downward trend in most recent years. This is confirmed in the SAM assessment. However, the drop in recruitment since 2010 will result in decrease in sustainable catches in the near future. Currently the longline and trawl fishery represent $95 \%$ of the total fishery, while the remainder is assigned to gillnets. Should those proportions change dramatically, so will the total catches as the selectivity of the gillnet fleet is substantially different from other fleets.

Table 3.4.5: Ling in 5.a. Landings (tonnes) by country in 5.a.

| Year | Faroe Islands | Germany | Iceland | Norway | UK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 1631 | 0 | 2843 | 45 | 0 |
| 2003 | 570 | 2 | 3585 | 108 | 5 |
| 2004 | 739 | 1 | 3727 | 139 | 0 |
| 2005 | 682 | 3 | 4313 | 180 | 20 |
| 2006 | 962 | 1 | 6283 | 158 | 0 |
| 2007 | 807 | 0 | 6599 | 185 | 0 |
| 2008 | 1366 | 0 | 7738 | 179 | 0 |
| 2009 | 1157 | 0 | 9616 | 172 | 0 |
| 2010 | 1095 | 1 | 9868 | 168 | 0 |
| 2011 | 588 | 0 | 8789 | 249 | 0 |
| 2012 | 875 | 0 | 10695 | 248 | 0 |
| 2013 | 1030 | 0 | 10198 | 294 | 0 |
| 2014 | 1738 | 0 | 12350 | 158 | 0 |
| 2015 | 1233 | 0 | 11552 | 250 | 0 |
| 2016 | 1072 | 0 | 8583 | 230 | 0 |
| 2017 | 829 | 0 | 7692 | 244 | 0 |
| 2018 | 1103 | 0 | 6756 | 203 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1093 | 0 | 6992 | 184 | 0 |
| 2020 | 989 | 0 | 5836 | 237 | 0 |
| 2021 | 926 | 0 | 6110 | 91 | 0 |

Table 3.4.6. Ling in 5.a. Estimates of spawning-stock biomass (SSB) in thousands of tonnes, recruitment at age 2 (thousands), fishing mortality over ages 8-11 (Fbar) and catch from SAM.

| Year | Recruitment |  | SSB |  | Total | F |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Age 2 | $97.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |  | $97.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | Catch | Ages $8-11$ | $97.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| thousands |  |  | tonnes |  |  | tonnes |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 2531 | 3505 | 1827 | 17538 | 22057 | 13946 | 5315 | 0.46 | 0.72 | 0.30 |
| 1980 | 2790 | 3618 | 2152 | 16669 | 20838 | 13335 | 4645 | 0.51 | 0.79 | 0.32 |
| 1981 | 3142 | 3951 | 2499 | 15276 | 19031 | 12263 | 4520 | 0.54 | 0.83 | 0.35 |
| 1982 | 3496 | 4350 | 2810 | 13958 | 17265 | 11284 | 4990 | 0.66 | 0.97 | 0.45 |


| Year | Recruitment |  |  | SSB |  |  | Total | F |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 3734 | 4631 | 3010 | 12384 | 15160 | 10117 | 5123 | 0.77 | 1.03 | 0.57 |
| 1984 | 3654 | 4530 | 2947 | 10693 | 12996 | 8799 | 3880 | 0.65 | 0.85 | 0.51 |
| 1985 | 3379 | 4185 | 2728 | 10499 | 12563 | 8775 | 3450 | 0.55 | 0.70 | 0.43 |
| 1986 | 3458 | 4287 | 2789 | 10845 | 12717 | 9248 | 3596 | 0.51 | 0.64 | 0.40 |
| 1987 | 3753 | 4650 | 3029 | 12038 | 13911 | 10418 | 4974 | 0.60 | 0.75 | 0.48 |
| 1988 | 3655 | 4502 | 2967 | 12207 | 13964 | 10672 | 5846 | 0.67 | 0.82 | 0.55 |
| 1989 | 3344 | 4075 | 2744 | 11535 | 13159 | 10111 | 5547 | 0.65 | 0.79 | 0.54 |
| 1990 | 2959 | 3574 | 2449 | 11329 | 12937 | 9920 | 5560 | 0.66 | 0.79 | 0.55 |
| 1991 | 2699 | 3265 | 2231 | 9839 | 11185 | 8655 | 5780 | 0.70 | 0.83 | 0.58 |
| 1992 | 2813 | 3405 | 2324 | 9385 | 10390 | 8478 | 5086 | 0.65 | 0.79 | 0.54 |
| 1993 | 2967 | 3579 | 2459 | 9054 | 9807 | 8358 | 4046 | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.45 |
| 1994 | 2711 | 3285 | 2237 | 11420 | 12245 | 10651 | 4115 | 0.51 | 0.59 | 0.44 |
| 1995 | 2535 | 3077 | 2088 | 11881 | 12728 | 11091 | 4015 | 0.57 | 0.66 | 0.49 |
| 1996 | 2513 | 3048 | 2072 | 11789 | 12622 | 11011 | 4125 | 0.58 | 0.66 | 0.51 |
| 1997 | 2642 | 3196 | 2183 | 10655 | 11435 | 9928 | 3906 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.47 |
| 1998 | 3128 | 3783 | 2586 | 10822 | 11651 | 10051 | 4394 | 0.58 | 0.66 | 0.50 |
| 1999 | 3795 | 4582 | 3144 | 10760 | 11590 | 9989 | 4625 | 0.64 | 0.73 | 0.56 |
| 2000 | 4490 | 5418 | 3721 | 10786 | 11638 | 9996 | 3284 | 0.45 | 0.52 | 0.39 |
| 2001 | 4876 | 5910 | 4023 | 11826 | 12739 | 10978 | 3362 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 0.39 |
| 2002 | 5994 | 7213 | 4981 | 13190 | 14203 | 12249 | 4519 | 0.51 | 0.58 | 0.44 |
| 2003 | 6935 | 8346 | 5762 | 15144 | 16318 | 14055 | 4270 | 0.46 | 0.53 | 0.40 |
| 2004 | 8032 | 9727 | 6633 | 17446 | 18755 | 16228 | 4606 | 0.46 | 0.53 | 0.41 |
| 2005 | 8829 | 10686 | 7294 | 20357 | 21849 | 18967 | 5198 | 0.45 | 0.51 | 0.40 |
| 2006 | 10077 | 12210 | 8316 | 23032 | 24644 | 21526 | 7405 | 0.54 | 0.61 | 0.47 |
| 2007 | 10139 | 12336 | 8334 | 27359 | 29239 | 25599 | 7591 | 0.49 | 0.56 | 0.44 |
| 2008 | 11005 | 13278 | 9121 | 29572 | 31640 | 27640 | 9283 | 0.52 | 0.59 | 0.46 |
| 2009 | 10697 | 12991 | 8808 | 32494 | 34765 | 30372 | 10945 | 0.56 | 0.63 | 0.49 |
| 2010 | 7201 | 8710 | 5953 | 31249 | 33514 | 29137 | 11131 | 0.55 | 0.62 | 0.48 |
| 2011 | 5024 | 6120 | 4125 | 24921 | 26865 | 23118 | 9626 | 0.46 | 0.53 | 0.40 |


| Year | Recruitment |  | SSB | Total | F | 0.51 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2012 | 3751 | 4629 | 3040 | 31376 | 33843 | 29089 | 11817 | 0.59 | 0.68 | 0.38 |
| 2013 | 4316 | 5381 | 3462 | 31302 | 33942 | 28867 | 11581 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.38 |
| 2014 | 3961 | 4955 | 3167 | 37169 | 40433 | 34169 | 14246 | 0.51 | 0.58 | 0.44 |
| 2015 | 3781 | 4785 | 2988 | 35300 | 38695 | 32203 | 13035 | 0.54 | 0.62 | 0.46 |
| 2016 | 4430 | 5735 | 3421 | 38903 | 43189 | 35042 | 9884 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 0.36 |
| 2017 | 3158 | 4190 | 2380 | 34432 | 38714 | 30624 | 8766 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.34 |
| 2018 | 2355 | 3298 | 1681 | 36224 | 41318 | 31759 | 8062 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.29 |
| 2019 | 2668 | 3929 | 1812 | 30387 | 35445 | 26050 | 8269 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.30 |
| 2020 | 2602 | 4187 | 1617 | 30032 | 36361 | 24804 | 7061 | 0.33 | 0.43 | 0.26 |
| 2021 | 2840 | 5073 | 1590 | 26688 | 33760 | 21097 | 7128 | 0.32 | 0.43 | 0.24 |
| 2022 | 2810 | 5507 | 1434 | 27405 | 36698 | 20464 |  |  |  |  |

### 3.4.13 Ecosystem considerations

In 2010 to 2013, the distribution of ling expanded to the north and recruitment peaked (Figure 3.4.3 and Figure 3.4.8). These suggest favourable environmental conditions during this time; however, recruitment has returned to previous levels and therefore biomass levels are naturally expected to follow. In addition, there have been no obvious changes in maturity patterns or growth through time. Demographic patterns of ling should be monitored as other Icelandic demersal species have exhibited recent changes (e.g., haddock). Multispecies interactions are not currently considered to be a concern for the assessment.
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### 3.5 Ling (Molva molva) in subareas 3,4, 6-9, 12, and 14 (Northeast Atlantic and Arctic Ocean)

### 3.5.1 The fishery

Significant fisheries for ling are conducted in Subareas 3 and 4 at least since the 1870s pioneered by Swedish longliners. Since the mid-1900s, the major ling targeted fishery is Area 4.a. There Norwegian longliners fished around Shetland and in the Norwegian Deep. There are little catches in ICES Division 3.a. The Norwegian total landings in 2019 in Subareas 3 and 4 were: $83 \%$ taken by longlines, $9 \%$ by gillnets, and the remainder by trawls. The bulk of the landings from other countries were taken by trawls as bycatches, and the landings from the UK (Scotland) are the most substantial. The comparatively low landings from central and southern North Sea (4.b,c) are bycatches from various other fisheries.

The major directed ling fishery in subarea 6 is the Norwegian longline fishery. Catches of ling by trawl fisheries from the UK (Scotland) and from France are primarily bycatches.

Catches from Norwegian vessels in subareas 4 and 6 dropped from 5854 tonnes in 2020 to 1276 tonnes in 2021 as a consequence of a reduction in their access to British waters.

When subareas 3-4 and 6-14 are summed over 1988-2020, $43 \%$ of the total landings were in Subarea $4,30 \%$ in Subarea 6, and $23 \%$ in Subarea 7. In $2021,54 \%, 37 \%$ and $6 \%$ of the landings were from subareas 4,6 and 7 respectively, as aconsequence of the continuous reduction of landings coming from subarea 7 .

In Subarea 7, divisions b, c, and g-k provide most of the landings of ling. Norwegian landings, and some Irish and Spanish landings are from targeted longline fisheries, whereas other landings are primarily bycatches in trawl fisheries. Data split by gear type were not available for all countries, but the bulk of the total landings (at least 60-70\%) were taken by trawls in these areas.

In Subareas 8 and 9, 12 and 14 all landings are bycatches from various fisheries.

## The Norwegian fishery

The Norwegian longline fleet increased from 36 in 1977 to a peak of 72 in 2000, and afterwards the number of vessels decreased and then stabilized at -26 in 2015 to 2018 but increased to 30 in 2020. The number of vessels declined mainly because of changes in the law concerning the quotas for cod. The average number of days that each Norwegian longliner operated in an ICES division was highly variable for $4 . a$, stable for $6 . b$ and declining for $6 . a$. The average number of hooks has remained relatively stable in Divisions 4.a and 6.a. During the period 1974 to 2020 the total number of hooks per year has varied considerably, but with a downward trend since 2000. This is also reflected in the number of fishing days (Figure 3.5.1).


Figure 3.5.1. Total fishing days by the Norwegian longliners (20002020).

## The French fishery

French fleets operating in $6,7 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{k}$ are mainly otter trawlers, gillnetters and longliners.
The number of otter trawlers operating in the region has decreased from around 70 in the beginning of 2000 to 28 in 2018. Gillnetters have varied from 24 vessels in 2005 to 5 in 2016. In 2018 the number of vessels increased to 14 . The number of longliners has increased from 1 in 2000 to 16 in 2019 (Table 3.5.3).

Since 2000, otter trawlers effort has decreased by a factor of 2. Gillnetters had a peak effort in mid-2000 followed by a steep decrease by a factor of 5 since 2010 as increase in 2017 and 2018. The recorded fishing efforts by longliners were imprecise due to lack of information in the first part of the 2000s. The activity seems to have peaked in 2007 followed by a sharp decrease to 2009. Since 2009, the effort has been steadily increasing (Figure 3.5.13).

Landings of ling by otter trawlers increased from 2004 to 2014, and since declined. For gillnetters and longliners, landings are closely related to changes in efforts.

## The Spanish fishery

The bulk of Spanish landings since 2012 are from Division 6.a. The Spanish catches of ling in ICES Subarea 7, are mostly in Divisions b, c and g-k, and are mainly taken by longliners. However, there are also important bycatches of ling by trawlers operating in the Subarea 7. Porcupine Bank is an important fishing area for the Spanish trawlers, therefore the data from the Porcupine Bank Spanish ground fish survey could be useful as an indicator of abundance and status of ling in the area.

### 3.5.2 Landings trends

Landing statistics for ling by nation in the period 1988-2021 are in Tables 3.5.1 and 3.5.2 and in Figures 3.5.2 and 3.5.3. For the early time-series, from 1988 to 2000, only international landings by area are presented (table 3.5.2), see stock annex for details of landings by country and area before 200. Detailled landings by area and country are presented for the time-series 2001-2021 only (Table 3.5.1).

There was a decline in landings from 1988 to 2003, and since landings have been stable and slightly increasing until 2019, a marked decreased occurred in the two last years, 2020 and 2021. Areas 3-14 are pooled, the total landings averaged around 32000 t in the period 1988-1998 and afterwards the average catch varied between 16000 and 20000 tons per year. The preliminary landings for 2021 is 12482 t .


Figure 3.5.2. International landings of ling in subareas 3,4, 6-9, 12, and 14 from 1988 to 2021.


Figure 3.5.3. International landings of ling in subareas 3,4, 6-9, 12, and 14 from 1988 to 2021.

### 3.5.3 ICES Advice

Advice for 2022 to 2023: "ICES advises that when the precautionary approach is applied, catches should be no more than 15092 tonnes in each of the years 2022 and 2023".

### 3.5.4 Management

Norway has a licensing scheme in EU waters, and in 2020 the Norwegian quota in EU waters is 8000 t . The Faroe Islands has a quota of 200 t in Divisions 6.a and 6.b. The quota for the EU in the Norwegian zone (Subarea 4) is set at 1350 t . For 2021, provisional TACs have been set from 01.01.2021 to 31.07.2021

The Norwegian quota in EU waters decreases in 2021 and 2022 as a consequence of UK waters between separated from EU waters following the Brexit.

EU TACs in EU and international waters in the stock area and EU quota in Norwegian waters 2016-2021

|  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 ( 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Division 3a | 87 t | 87 | 87 | 170 | 179 | 175 | 144 |
| Subarea 4 (UK and EU waters) | 2912 t | 3494 | 3843 | 4035 | 4237 | 3813 | 3127 |
| Subarea 4 (Norwegian waters) | 950 | 1350 | 1350 | 1350 | 1350 | 900 | 700 |
| Subarea 6, 7,8, 9 and 10, international <br> waters of 12 and 14 | 16997 t. | 20396 | 20396 | 20396 | 20396 | 18356 | 15052 |

provisional TACs set from 01.01.2021 to 31.07.2021

### 3.5.5 Data available

### 3.5.5.1 Landings and discards

Landings are available for all relevant fleets. Within the Norwegian EEZ and for Norwegian vessels fishing elsewhere, discarding is prohibited and therefore are no information about discards. Discards by countries are given in Table 3.5.4. for the years 2012 to 2021, In all years discards are $<5 \%$, so are considered negligible for assessment. The bulk of the discard is from UK (Scotland).

Table 3.5.4. Total discards of ling by country for the years 2012 to 2021.

|  | Denmark | Spain | Ireland | France | Sweden | UK (Scotland) | UK (England) | Total discard | Total catches | \%discard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 |  | 46 | 176 |  |  |  |  | 222 | 16435 | 1.35 |
| 2013 |  | 101 | 160 | 29 |  |  |  | 290 | 17063 | 1.70 |
| 2014 |  | 54 | 435 | 15 |  |  |  | 504 | 17518 | 2.88 |
| 2015 |  | 0 | 0 | 131 | 4 | 704 |  | 839 | 17596 | 4.77 |
| 2016 |  | 1 | 220 | 72 |  | 1302 | 22 | 1598 | 20881 | 7.74 |
| 2017 | 1 | 10 | 105 | 71 | 2 | 959 |  | 1147 | 21443 | 5.35 |
| 2018 | 1 |  | 43 | 89 |  | 876 | 3 | 1012 | 21566 | 4.69 |
| 2019 | 3 | 8 | 70 | 13 |  | 993 | 9 | 1096 | 21837 | 4.85 |
| 2020 | 4 | 37 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 346 | 0 | 407 | 15664 | 2.6 |

$\left.\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\hline & \text { Denmark } & \text { Spain } & \text { Ireland } & \text { France } & \text { Sweden } & \text { UK } & \text { UK } & \text { Total } & \text { Total } & \text { \%discard } \\ \text { (Scotland) }\end{array}\right)$

### 3.5.5.2 Length composition

## Data from the Norwegian reference fleet

Average fish length, weight-length relationships and the length distribution for the Norwegian longline and gillnet fishery in Divisions 4a, 6a, 6b for ling are shown in Figure 3.5.4-3.5.6, respectively. Data are from the Norwegian longline reference fleet. The length-weight relationship from sex combined is $\mathrm{W}=0.0055^{*} \mathrm{TL}^{3.0120}$.


Figure 3.5.4. Time-series of mean length of ling caught by the Norwegian longline reference fleet in divisions 4.a, 4.b, $6 . a$ and 6.b (note that some years are missing in some divisions).


Figure 3.5.5. Length distributions of ling in Areas 3a, 4.a, 6.a and 6.b based on data from the Norwegian reference fleet.


Figure 3.5.6. Weight as a function of length for ling based on all available Norwegian data.

## Estimated Length distributions based on the Spanish Porcupine Bank (NE Atlantic) surveys

The length distribution of catches of ling in the Spanish Porcupine survey, reflect first the declining of number caught in this survey (3.5.7). Further individual remaining in the two last year are small for more information see Ruiz-Pico et al. (WD 2020).


Length (cm)

Figure 3.57. Estimated length distributions of ling ( $M$. molva) based on the Porcupine Bank Spanish survey in the period 2011-2020.

### 3.5.5.3 Age compositions

Estimated age distributions for the years 2009-2019 based on data from the Norwegian Reference fleet for all areas combined (Figures 3.5.8) and box and whisker plots for the age composition of the fish taken by longliners and gillnetters in Area 4.a (Figure 3.5.9).


Figure 3.5.8. Age distributions for ling areas combined for all catches taken by longliners and by gillnetters.


Figure 3.5.9. Average age of ling catches by longliners and gillnetters by area.

### 3.5.5.4 Weight-at-age

Weight and length at age for all age readings of ling from divisions 4.a and 6.a from 2009 to 2017 sampled from the longliners in the Norwegian reference fleet show quite linear relationships (Figure 3.5.10).


Figure 3.5.10. Weight versus age and length versus age for ling (combined data from 2009 to 2017) for divisions 4.a and 6.a based on the Norwegian longliner reference fleet.

### 3.5.5.5 Maturity and natural mortality

Similar estimates have been found in other area, e.g. Age at fist maturity around 5-7 years (6075 cm lengths) with males maturing at a slightly younger age than females (Magnusson et al., 1997).

See stock annex, no new data in 2021.

### 3.5.5.6 Growth

In 2021, preliminary new estimates of growth of ling were presented for the Celtic Sea, an area with no previous growth estimates for the species (Vieira and Visconti, 2021). Despite that growth parameters are necessary for length-based indicators (LBIs), they remain limited for ling (Table 3.5.7). Estimates from various studies in and out of the stock area are compiled in the stock annex.

Table 3.5.7. Growth estimated of ling

| L $\boldsymbol{\infty}$ | K | $\mathbf{t}_{0}$ | Sex | Area | Data from the stock area | Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 148 | 0.11 | -2.19 |  | Celtic Sea | Yes | Vieira and Visconti, 2021 |

### 3.5.5.7 Natural mortality

Natural mortality is also poorly known. For the adjacent stocks in the Faroese and Icelandic ecoregions (lin.27.5a and lin.27.5b) a natural mortality of 0.15 is assumed, the same is used here.

### 3.5.5.8 Catch, effort and research vessel data

## Spanish Porcupine Bottom Trawl Survey

Spanish Porcupine Bottom Trawl Survey (SP-PORC) in ICES divisions 7.c and 7.k has been carried out annually since 2001 to study the distribution, relative abundance and biological parameters of commercial fish in these areas (ICES, 2010a; 2010b). The survey provides estimates of biomass and abundance indices. The stratification and location of station is shown in Figure 3.5.11.


Figure 3.5.11. Left: Stratification design used in the Porcupine surveys starting in 2003: Previous years were re-stratified. Depth strata are: E) shallower than $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ m}, ~ F) ~ 301-450 \mathrm{~m}$ and G) $451-800 \mathrm{~m}$. Grey area in the middle of Porcupine bank denotes a large non-trawl able area. Right: distribution of hauls in 2020.

## French Southern Atlantic Bottom trawl survey (EVHOE)

Ling are caught in small numbers in the French Southern Atlantic Bottom trawl survey (EVHOE). Population indices (based on swept area for biomass, mean length, etc.) for the Bay and Biscay and Celtic Sea (ICES divisions $7 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{k}$ and $8 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}$ ) combined were provided for years 1997-2020 (Figure 3.5.15). The survey covers depths from 30 to 600 m and is stratified by depth and latitude. The percentiles are based on a very small number of ling per year and that is the reason for the small error bar in the percentile graph.

## Commercial cpues

## French lpue

A crude lpue based on landings and effort, measured in hours at sea have been presented in until 2019 and has not been further updated.

## Norwegian longline cpue

Norway started in 2003 to collect and enter data from official logbooks into an electronic database and data are now available for the period 2000-2020. Selected vessels were those with a total landed catch of ling, tusk and blue ling of more than 8 t per year. The logbooks contain records of the daily catch, date, position, and number of hooks used per day. The quality of the Norwegian logbook data is poor for 2010 due to changes from paper to electronic logbooks. Since 2011 data quality has improved considerably and data from the entire fleet were available. As the Norwegian fleet had no access to UK waters in 2021, there is no data for Subarea 6 in 2021.
Standardised cpue series are calculated for the Norwegian fleet using data from official logbooks starting from 2000 (Helle et al. 2015). Two standardized time-series of cpue are calculted using all catch data, and a subset where ling make up more than $30 \%$ of the total catch. This subset is considered to represent targeted fishing.

### 3.5.6 Data analyses

## Length data analysis

Mean length of the commercial catches by the Norwegian longlining reference fleet fluctuate around 90 cm in Divisions 4a abd 6.a. In Division 6b there may have been a decline in mean length up to 2015 then larger fish were landed in 2016, more recent data are missing. In division $4 b$, catches are slightly smaller than in 4.a. (Figure 3.5.4). When all data for these areas are combined for longliners and for gill netters the average length is about 10 cm higher for gill netters than for longliners (Figure 3.5.4)

Ling smaller than 50 cm are not caught in significant number in Surveys. The length distributions of ling caught in surveys suggest a disappearance of large fish both on the Porcupine bank (Figure 3.5.7) and in the area covered by the EVHOE survey, divisions 7g-j and 8abd (Figure 3.5.12). For more information, see Ruiz-Pico et al., WD 2020.

Ling are caught in small numbers (average of 14 individuals per year since 1997) in EVHOE therefore, populations indices from this survey are not considered representative of stock trends and not used for advice purposes. They are however presented (Figure 3.5.12) and their overall trend suggest a clear decline of ling in the survey area.


Figure 3.5.12. Population indices (swept area raised abundance and biomass, mean length and 95 percentile of the length distribution) of ling in the Bay and Biscay and Celtic Sea (ICES divisions 7.g,hjk and 8a,b,d) from the French EVHOE survey (W-IBTS-Q4), 1997-2020 (except 2017).

## Spanish Porcupine Bank survey

Estimated biomass and abundance indices based on data from the Porcupine Survey for the years 2001-2020 are in Figure 3.5.13. The abundance indices for ling based on the survey were quite stable from 2001-2012. After the peak in 2013 there has been a large decline to a very low level.


Figure 3.5.13. Estimated biomass and abundance indices based on the Porcupine Survey for the years 2001-2020. Boxes mark the parametric, based standard error of the stratified abundance index. Lines mark bootstrap confidence intervals ( $\alpha=0.80$, bootstrap iterations $=1000$ ).

## Cpue series based on the Norwegian longline fleet

Figure 3.5.14 shows the Norwegian CPUE series from 2000 to 2020. In Division 4a there was a steady increase in CPUE from 2002 until 2016 then a stabilization. This trend can be seen both when all data was used and when ling was targeted. In Divisions 6a and 6b there was also an increasing trend from 2002 to 2016 followed by a stabilization in 6.a and a decrease in 6.b.


Figure 3.5.14. Cpue series for ling for the period 2000-2020 based on all available data and when ling was targeted. The bars denote the $95 \%$ confidence intervals.

The index used for advice on the stock is the combination of all data for the 3 divisions (4.a, 6.a and 6.b). The index used since 2015 is the cpue when ling was targeted (Figure 3.5.15 right). Nevertheless, the time-series is similar when targeted fishing and all fishing for ling are considered (Figure 3.5.15).


Figure 3.5.15. Cpue series for ling, areas $4 a, 4 b, 6 a$ and $6 b$ combined, for the period 2000-2020 for all data available (left) and for target fishing (right). The bars depict the $95 \%$ confidence intervals.

### 3.5.7 Biological reference points: length-based indicators

In 2020, length based indicator (LBIs) were recalculated, using recent data and update parameters to investigate further the application of MSY proxy reference points. SPiCT was not run.

The length data used in the LBI model are data from the Norwegian longline fleet. The length data are not weighted and therefore do not represent the length distribution of the entire catch. For calculating the LBIs, the assumption $\mathrm{M}=0.15$ was used with the length at first maturity (Lmat= 64 cm ) and the length-weight relationship from Norwegian data. Three pairs of $L_{\infty}$ and $k$, from the same model fit were trialled. These are estimates from sampling fish caught by the Norwegian fleet ( $L_{\infty}=183 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{k}=0.118$ ) and the extreme pairs of all available estimates $\left(L_{\infty}=\right.$ $189 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{k}=0.08$ and $L_{\infty}=124 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{k}=0.163$ ). The length- weight relationship $\mathrm{w}=0.0055^{*} \mathrm{Lt} \mathrm{t}^{\wedge} 3.0120$ estimated on samples from the Norwegian longline fleet.

Table 3.5.6 Ling in other areas (3.a, 4.a, 4.b, 6.a, 6.b, 7). Input parameters for LBI.

|  | Parameter |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| set | $M$ | $L_{\text {mat }}$ | $L_{\infty}$ | $k$ | 1.27 |
| Set1 | 0.15 | 0.64 | 183 | 0.118 | 1.88 |
| Set 2 | 0.15 | 0.64 | 124 | 0.163 | 0.92 |
| Set 3 | 0.15 |  |  |  | 1.29 |



Figure 3.5.16 Ling in other areas (3.a, 4.a, 4.b, 6.a, 6.b, 7). Length composition of the catch from the Norwegian longliner fleet, for the period 2002-2020 by $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{~ c m}$ length classes (sex combined).

## Outputs

The stock status for the most recent three years is given in Figure 3.5.17 for the three sets of input parameters. In all case the conservation of immature (Lc/Lmat and L25\%/Lmat) is achieved, which is consistent with the empirical knowledge that small ling are generally not caught in significant numbers by commercial fisheries. In contrast, the conservation of adults is not achieved, suggesting that the proportion of large ling in the stock is small compared to an unexploited stock. The optimal yield is only achieve with the parameter set 3 , which combines the smaller $L_{\infty}$ with the larger $k$ and the MSY criterion is mostly not achieved. Overall it can be considered that biological parameters of the stock are too uncertain (in particular $M$ for which assumed value were borrowed from other stocks) to rely on LBIs, which however suggest that the stock is likely overexploited.

Parameters Set 1

|  | Conservation |  |  |  | Optimizing <br> Yield | $M M S Y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $L_{c} / L_{\text {mat }}$ | $L_{25 \%} / L_{\text {mat }}$ | $L_{\text {max } 5} / L_{\text {inf }}$ | $P_{\text {mega }}$ | $L_{\text {mean }} / L_{\text {opt }}$ | $L_{\text {mean }} / L_{F}=M$ |
| 2018 | 1.08 | 1.14 | 0.65 | 0 | 0.69 | 0.87 |
| 2019 | 1.17 | 1.14 | 0.65 | 0 | 0.70 | 0.85 |
| 2020 | 1.08 | 1.14 | 0.63 | 0 | 0.67 | 0.85 |

Parameters Set 2

|  | Conservation |  |  |  | Optimizing Yield | MSY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{L}_{\text {mat }}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{25 \%} / \mathrm{L}_{\text {mat }}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max } 5} / \mathrm{L}_{\text {inf }}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {mega }}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {mean }} / \mathrm{L}_{\text {opt }}$ | $L_{\text {mean }} / L_{\text {F }}=M$ |
| 2018 | 1.08 | 1.14 | 0.63 | 0.01 | 0.76 | 0.94 |
| 2019 | 1.17 | 1.14 | 0.63 | 0.01 | 0.77 | 0.90 |
| 2020 | 1.08 | 1.14 | 0.61 | 0.01 | 0.74 | 0.92 |

Parameters Set 3

|  | Conservation |  |  |  | Optimizing Yield | MSY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $L_{c} / L_{\text {mat }}$ | $L_{25 \%} / L_{\text {mat }}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max } 5} / \mathrm{L}_{\text {inf }}$ | $P_{\text {mega }}$ | $L_{\text {mean }} / L_{\text {opt }}$ | $L_{\text {mean }} / L_{\text {F }}=M$ |
| 2018 | 1.08 | 1.14 | 0.96 | 0.10 | 0.93 | 1.00 |
| 2019 | 1.17 | 1.14 | 0.96 | 0.08 | 0.94 | 0.97 |
| 2020 | 1.08 | 1.14 | 0.93 | 0.07 | 0.91 | 0.98 |

Figure 3.5.17. Ling in other areas (3.a, 4.a, 4.b, 6.a, 6.b, 7). Screening of length indicators ratios for sex combined under three scenarios: (a) Conservation, (b) Optimal yield, and (c) maximum sustainable yield.

### 3.5.8 Comments on the assessment

Data in divisions 4.a, $6 . a$ and $6 . b$ were combined to make an index for the entire area. These series show the same positive trend until 2016 and after 2016 was a declining trend. The Norwegian data do not include Subarea 7, were Norwegian vessels do not operate. The Spanish survey on the Porcupine bank showed a stable biomass from 2001-2012, a peak in 2013 and a sharp downward trend to a record low in 2019 (Figure 3.5.14.) In area 7, the landings have decreased from around 11000 tons in the end of the 1990s to under 1000 tons in 2019. For other areas, the landings have been stable or increasing.

Overall, the length-based indicator, derived from the Norwegian longline fishery data, indicates that ling has probably been fished over possible MSY reference points, expect with the most optimistic combination of parameters (smaller $L_{\infty}$ and higher $k$ ).

### 3.5.9 Management considerations

LBI estimated in 2020 suggest that the stock is exploited beyond MSY limits. These estimates are however uncertain as a consequence of the insufficience of growth and natural mortality estimates.The CPUE series, based on commercial data, indicates an increasing trend until 2016 then a stable or slightly declining trend. During 2000-2016, there was an increasing trend, and at the end of the series, there are signs that may be declining, which has to be followed closely.

As always, it should be emphasized that commercial catch data are typically observational data; that is, there were no scientific controls on how or from where the data were collected. Therefore, it is not known with certainty if the ling CPUE series tracks the population and/or how accurate the measures of uncertainty associated with the series are (see, for example, Rosenbaum, 2002).

A notorious example of a misleading CPUE series based on commercial data was a CPUE series for Newfoundland cod that incorrectly indicated that the abundance of the cod stock was increasing greatly. Advice based on this CPUE series ultimately caused the collapse of the stock (see, e.g. Pennington and Strømme, 1998).

In general, any assessment method based only on commercial catch data needs to be applied with caution. The reason that assessments using only commercial data are problematic is because the relation between the commercial catch and the actual population is normally unknown and probably varies from year to year.

### 3.5.10 Recommendations

Although based on small numbers caught survey in subareas 6 and 7 suggest different abundance trends than the commercial cpue in subareas 4 and 6. Although the CPUE may not track fully stock trends, as underlined in the previous section, it would be hardly plausible to obtain an increasing CPUE with actual stock trends similar to those reflected by surveys in subareas 6 and 7. Therefore, further investigation in the stock structure within the assessment unit is necessary.

WGDEEP recommends that stock identity of ling is explore in more detail.
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### 3.5.12 Tables

Table 3.5.1. Ling in subareas $3,4,6-9,12$, and 14 . WG estimates of landings.

Ling 3

| Year Belgium | Denmark | Germany | Norway | Sweden | E \& W | France | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 125 | + | 102 | 35 |  |  | 262 |
| 2002 | 157 | 1 | 68 | 37 |  |  | 263 |
| 2003 | 156 |  | 73 | 32 |  |  | 261 |
| 2004 | 130 | 1 | 70 | 31 |  |  | 232 |
| 2005 | 106 | 1 | 72 | 31 |  |  | 210 |
| 2006 | 95 | 2 | 62 | 29 |  |  | 188 |
| 2007 | 82 | 3 | 68 | 21 |  |  | 174 |
| 2008 | 59 | 1 | 88 | 20 |  |  | 168 |
| 2009 | 65 | 1 | 62 | 21 |  |  | 149 |
| 2010 | 58 |  | 64 | 20 |  |  | 142 |
| 2011 | 65 |  | 57 | 18 |  |  | 140 |
| 2012 | 66 | <1 | 61 | 17 |  |  | 144 |
| 2013 | 56 | 1 | 62 | 11 |  |  | 130 |
| 2014 | 51 | 1 | 54 | 14 |  |  | 120 |
| 2015 | 58 | 1 | 50 | 16 |  |  | 125 |
| 2016 | 77 | 1 | 57 | 17 |  |  | 152 |
| 2017 | 58 | 1 | 57 | 22 |  |  | 138 |
| 2018 | 95 | 1 | 57 | 25 |  |  | 177 |
| 2019 | 139 |  | 38 | 27 |  | 0 | 205 |
| 2020* | 127 | 0 | 35 | 17 |  | 4 | 183 |
| 2021 | 144 | 0 | 42 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 200 |

*Preliminary.

Table 3.5.1. (continued).

Ling 4.a
*Preliminary.
${ }^{(1)}$ Includes 4b 1988-1993.

| Year | Belgium | Denmark | Faroes | France | Germany | Neth. | Norway | Sweden ${ }^{1)}$ | E\&W | N.I. | Scot. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 |  | 702 |  | 128 | 54 |  | 3613 | 6 | 61 |  | 3290 | 7854 |
| 2002 | 6 | 578 | 24 | 117 |  |  | 4509 |  | 59 |  | 3779 | 9072 |
| 2003 | 4 | 779 | 6 | 121 | 62 |  | 3122 | 5 | 23 |  | 2311 | 6433 |
| 2004 |  | 575 | 11 | 64 | 34 |  | 3753 | 2 | 15 |  | 1852 | 6306 |
| 2005 |  | 698 | 18 | 47 | 55 |  | 4078 | 4 | 12 |  | 1537 | 6449 |
| 2006 |  | 637 | 2 | 73 | 51 |  | 4443 | 3 | 55 |  | 1455 | 6719 |
| 2007 |  | 412 | - | 100 | 60 |  | 4109 | 3 | 31 |  | 1143 | 5858 |
| 2008 |  | 446 | 1 | 182 | 52 |  | 4726 | 12 | 20 |  | 1820 | 7259 |
| 2009 |  | 427 | 7 | 90 | 27 |  | 4613 | 7 | 19 |  | 2218 | 7408 |
| 2010 |  | 433 |  | 62 | 40 |  | 3914 |  | 28 |  | 1921 | 6398 |
| 2011 |  | 541 |  | 90 | 62 |  | 3790 | 8 | 18 |  | 1999 | 6508 |
| 2012 |  | 419 |  | 105 | 47 |  | 4591 | 6 | 28 |  | 1822 | 7018 |
| 2013 |  | 548 |  | 104 | 83 |  | 4273 | 5 | 15 |  | 2169 | 7197 |
| 2014 |  | 404 |  | 182 | 53 |  | 5038 | 3 | 23 |  | 2046 | 7749 |
| 2015 |  | 424 |  | 127 | 53 |  | 5369 | 6 | 90 |  | 2018 | 8069 |
| 2016 |  | 797 |  | 304 | 71 |  | 6021 | 5 | 65 |  | 2477 | 9740 |
| 2017 |  | 1036 |  | 308 | 111 |  | 6925 | 11 | 78 |  | 2761 | 11230 |
| 2018 |  | 980 |  | 842 | 114 | 2 | 6326 | 14 |  |  | 3270 | 11548 |
| 2019 | 0 | 1022 |  | 926 | 130 | 5 | 6062 | 16 | 74 |  | 3208 | 11443 |
| 2020 | 0 | 673 |  | 653 | 93 | 15 | 4494 | 31 | 34 | 0 | 2855 | 8848 |
| 2021* | 0 | 604 | 0 | 896 | 117 | 8 | 1250 | 35 | 83 | 1 | 3516 | 6510 |

Table 3.5.1. (continued).

Ling 4.bc.

| Year | Belgium | Denmark | France | Sweden | Norway | E \& W | Scotland | Germany | Netherlands | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 46 | 81 | 1 | 3 | 23 | 62 | 60 | 6 | 2 | 284 |
| 2002 | 38 | 91 |  | 4 | 61 | 58 | 43 | 12 | 2 | 309 |
| 2003 | 28 | 0 |  | 3 | 83 | 40 | 65 | 14 | 1 | 234 |
| 2004 | 48 | 71 |  | 1 | 54 | 23 | 24 | 19 | 1 | 241 |
| 2005 | 28 | 56 |  | 5 | 20 | 17 | 10 | 13 |  | 149 |
| 2006 | 26 | 53 |  | 8 | 16 | 20 | 8 | 13 |  | 144 |
| 2007 | 28 | 42 | 1 | 5 | 48 | 20 | 5 | 10 |  | 159 |
| 2008 | 15 | 40 | 2 | 5 | 87 | 25 | 15 | 11 |  | 200 |
| 2009 | 19 | 38 | 2 | 13 | 58 | 29 | 137 | 17 | 1 | 314 |
| 2010 | 23 | 55 | 1 | 13 | 56 | 26 | 10 | 17 |  | 201 |
| 2011 | 15 | 59 | 0 |  | 85 | 24 | 11 | 17 |  | 211 |
| 2012 | 12 | 45 | 1 | 10 | 84 | 25 | 7 | 8 |  | 192 |
| 2013 | 15 | 47 | 1 | 5 | 71 | 0 | 21 | 12 | 4 | 176 |
| 2014 | 16 | 46 | 0 | 6 | 34 | 7 | 14 | 15 | 3 | 141 |
| 2015 | 11 | 36 |  | 6 | 54 | 10 | 16 | 14 |  | 147 |
| 2016 | 14 | 42 |  | 6 | 50 | 7 | 9 | 21 | 1 | 150 |
| 2017 | 9 | 36 |  | 9 | 74 | 4 | 9 |  | 2 | 143 |
| 2018 | 9 | 38 |  | 8 | 62 |  | 8 | 36 | 1 | 162 |
| 2019 | 13 | 41 |  | 12 | 55 | 2 | 6 | 26 | 3 | 158 |
| 2020 | 16 | 37 | 0 | 8 | 31 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 110 |
| 2021* | 0 | 27 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 57 |

*Preliminary.

Table 3.5.1. (continued).
Ling 6.a.

| Year | Belgium | Denmark | Faroes | Franc <br> e | Germany | Ire- <br> land | Norway | Spain ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E\& } \\ & \text { W } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 |  |  |  | 774 | 3 | 70 | 1869 | 142 | 106 |  |  | 2179 | 5143 |
| 2002 |  |  |  | 402 | 1 | 44 | 973 | 190 | 65 |  |  | 2452 | 4127 |
| 2003 |  |  |  | 315 | 1 | 88 | 1477 | 0 | 108 |  |  | 1257 | 3246 |
| 2004 |  |  |  | 252 | 1 | 96 | 791 | 2 | 8 |  |  | 1619 | 2769 |
| 2005 |  |  | 18 | 423 |  | 89 | 1389 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 1108 | 3028 |
| 2006 |  |  | 5 | 499 | 2 | 121 | 998 | 0 | 137 |  |  | 811 | 2573 |
| 2007 |  |  | 88 | 626 | 2 | 45 | 1544 | 0 | 33 |  |  | 782 | 3120 |
| 2008 |  |  | 21 | 1004 | 2 | 49 | 1265 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 608 | 2950 |
| 2009 |  |  | 30 | 418 |  | 85 | 828 | 116 | 1 |  |  | 846 | 2324 |
| 2010 |  |  | 23 | 475 |  | 164 | 989 | 3 | 0 |  |  | 1377 | 3031 |
| 2011 |  |  | 102 | 428 |  | 95 | 683 | 8 |  |  |  | 1683 | 2999 |
| 2012 |  |  | 30 | 585 |  | 47 | 542 | 862 |  |  |  | 1589 | 3655 |
| 2013 |  |  | 50 | 718 |  | 54 | 1429 | 899 | 10 |  |  | 1500 | 4660 |
| 2014 |  |  | 0 | 937 |  | 39 | 1006 | 1005 | 6 |  |  | 1768 | 4761 |
| 2015 |  |  |  | 891 |  | 65 | 1214 | 961 | 4 |  |  | 1629 | 4764 |
| 2016 |  |  | 92 | 1005 |  | 156 | 1313 | 1109 | 9 |  |  | 1975 | 5659 |
| 2017 |  |  | 5 | 870 |  | 156 | 1530 | 1500 | 3 |  |  | 2244 | 6308 |
| 2018 |  |  |  | 831 |  | 156 | 2185 | 1560 |  |  |  | 1922 | 6654 |
| 2019 |  |  |  | 927 |  | 142 | 1616 | 1689 | 1 |  |  | 2168 | 6543 |
| 2020 |  |  | 0 | 823 |  | 200 | 1084 | 913 | 3 |  | 0 | 1518 | 4563 |
| $2021$ | 0 | 0 | 9 | 878 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 1007 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2220 | 4306 |

*Preliminary. .

Table 3.5.1. (continued).

Ling 6.b.

| Year | Faroes | France) | Germany | Ireland | Norway | Spain | E \& W | N.I. | Scotland | Russia | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | + | 16 | 3 | 18 | 328 |  | 116 |  | 307 |  | 788 |
| 2002 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 289 |  | 65 |  | 173 |  | 533 |
| 2003 |  | 2 | 3 | 25 | 485 |  | 34 |  | 111 |  | 660 |
| 2004 | + | 9 | 3 | 6 | 717 |  | 6 |  | 141 | 182 | 1064 |
| 2005 |  | 31 | 4 | 17 | 628 |  | 9 |  | 97 | 356 | 1142 |
| 2006 | 30 | 4 | 3 | 48 | 1171 |  | 19 |  | 130 | 6 | 1411 |
| 2007 | 4 | 10 | 35 | 54 | 971 |  | 7 |  | 183 | 50 | 1314 |
| 2008* | 69 | 6 | 20 | 47 | 1021 |  | 1 |  | 135 | 214 | 1513 |
| 2009 | 249 | 5 | 6 | 39 | 1859 |  | 3 |  | 439 | 35 | 2635 |
| 2010 | 215 | 2 |  | 34 | 2042 |  | 0 |  | 394 |  | 2687 |
| 2011 | 12 | 5 |  | 16 | 957 |  | 1 |  | 268 |  | 1259 |
| 2012 | 60 | 7 |  | 13 | 1089 | 3 |  |  | 218 |  | 1390 |
| 2013 |  | 19 |  | 8 | 532 | 6 |  |  | 229 | 1 | 795 |
| 2014 | 60 | 7 |  | 10 | 435 | 2 |  |  | 258 | 2 | 774 |
| 2015 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 16 | 952 | 11 | 6 |  | 211 | 3 | 1215 |
| 2016 | 56 |  |  | 35 | 821 | 2 | 4 |  | 170 |  | 1088 |
| 2017 | 5 |  | 2 | 59 | 498 | 7 | 2 |  | 219 | 1 | 793 |
| 2018 |  |  | 2 | 59 | 408 | 6 |  |  | 255 |  | 730 |
| 2019 |  | 5 | 1 | 102 | 459 | 9 | 1 |  | 326 | 1 | 904 |
| 2020 |  | 1 |  | 106 | 247 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 330 |  | 687 |
| 2021* | 2 | 6 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 241 | 0 | 335 |

*Preliminary.

Table 3.5.1. (continued).

Ling 7.a.

| Year | Belgium | France | Ireland | E \& W | IOM | N.I. | Scotland | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 6 | 3 | 33 | 20 |  |  | 31 | 87 |
| 2002 | 7 | 6 | 91 | 15 |  |  | 7 | 119 |
| 2003 | 4 | 4 | 75 | 18 |  |  | 11 | 112 |
| 2004 | 3 | 2 | 47 | 11 |  |  | 34 | 97 |
| 2005 | 4 | 2 | 28 | 12 |  |  | 15 | 61 |
| 2006 | 2 | 1 | 50 | 8 |  |  | 27 | 88 |
| 2007 | 2 | 0 | 32 | 1 |  |  | 8 | 43 |
| 2008 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 15 |
| 2009 | 1 | 36 | 9 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 48 |
| 2010 |  | 28 | 15 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 44 |
| 2011 | 1 | 2 | 23 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 28 |
| 2012 | 2 |  | 11 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 14 |
| 2013 | 1 |  | 6 |  |  |  | 23 | 30 |
| 2014 | 2 | 0 | 11 |  |  |  | 16 | 29 |
| 2015 | 1 |  | 8 |  |  |  | 10 | 19 |
| 2016 | 1 |  | 10 |  |  |  | 13 | 24 |
| 2017 |  |  | 9 |  |  |  | 15 | 24 |
| 2018 |  | 1 | 9 |  |  |  | 8 | 18 |
| 2019 | 2 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 7 | 12 |
| 2020 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 |
| 2021* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |

*Preliminary.

Table 3.5.1. (continued).

Ling 7.b, c.

| Year | France | Germany | Ireland | Norway | Spain | E \& W | Scotland | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 80 | 2 | 413 | 515 |  | 94 | 122 | 1226 |
| 2002 | 132 | 0 | 315 | 207 |  | 151 | 159 | 964 |
| 2003 | 128 | 0 | 270 |  |  | 74 | 52 | 524 |
| 2004 | 133 | 12 | 255 | 163 |  | 27 | 50 | 640 |
| 2005 | 145 | 11 | 208 |  |  | 17 | 48 | 429 |
| 2006 | 173 | 1 | 311 | 147 |  | 13 | 23 | 668 |
| 2007 | 173 | 5 | 62 | 27 |  | 71 | 20 | 358 |
| 2008 | 122 | 16 | 44 | 0 |  | 14 | 63 | 259 |
| 2009 | 42 |  | 71 | 0 |  | 17 | 1 | 131 |
| 2010 | 34 |  | 82 | 0 |  | 6 | 131 | 253 |
| 2011 | 29 |  | 58 |  |  | 28 | 93 | 208 |
| 2012 | 126 | 1 | 39 | 230 | 370 | 1 | 246 | 1013 |
| 2013 | 267 | 2 | 46 |  | 379 | 136 | 180 | 1010 |
| 2014 | 118 |  | 57 |  | 279 | 19 | 59 | 532 |
| 2015 | 101 |  | 53 |  | 184 | 144 | 78 | 560 |
| 2016 | 93 |  | 46 | 6 | 172 | 46 | 207 | 570 |
| 2017 | 90 |  | 32 |  | 133 | 34 | 26 | 315 |
| 2018 | 57 |  | 39 |  | 138 | 32 |  | 266 |
| 2019 | 53 |  | 0 |  | 238 | 14 | 8 | 313 |
| 2020 | 47 |  | 25 | 0 | 67 | 11 | 4 | 154 |
| 2021* | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 10 | 1 | 129 |

*Preliminary. .

Table 3.5.1. (continued).

Ling 7.d, e.

| Year | Belgium | Denmark | France | Ireland | E \& W | Scotland | Ch. Islands | Netherlands | Spain | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 5 |  | 454 | 1 | 372 |  | 14 |  |  | 846 |
| 2001 | 6 |  | 402 |  | 399 |  |  |  |  | 807 |
| 2002 | 7 |  | 498 |  | 386 | 0 |  |  |  | 891 |
| 2003 | 5 |  | 531 | 1 | 250 | 0 |  |  |  | 787 |
| 2004 | 13 |  | 573 | 1 | 214 |  |  |  |  | 801 |
| 2005 | 11 |  | 539 |  | 236 |  |  |  |  | 786 |
| 2006 | 9 |  | 470 |  | 208 |  |  |  |  | 687 |
| 2007 | 15 |  | 428 | 0 | 267 |  |  |  |  | 710 |
| 2008* | 5 |  | 348 |  | 214 | 2 |  |  |  | 569 |
| 2009 | 6 |  | 186 |  | 170 |  |  | 1 |  | 363 |
| 2010 | 4 |  | 144 |  | 138 |  |  |  | 8 | 294 |
| 2011 | 5 |  | 238 |  | 176 |  |  |  | 6 | 425 |
| 2012 | 7 |  | 255 | 1 | 164 | 2 |  |  | 7 | 436 |
| 2013 | 5 |  | 259 |  | 218 |  |  |  |  | 482 |
| 2014 | 4 |  | 338 | 1 | 262 |  |  |  |  | 605 |
| 2015 | 5 |  | 204 |  | 137 |  |  | 1 |  | 347 |
| 2016 | 3 |  | 141 |  | 149 |  |  |  |  | 293 |
| 2017 | 4 |  | 104 |  | 94 |  |  |  |  | 202 |
| 2018 | 3 |  | 85 |  | 32 |  |  | 1 |  | 121 |
| 2019 | 2 |  | 54 |  | 59 |  |  | 2 |  | 118 |
| 2020 | 2 |  | 48 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| 2021* | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 96 |

*Preliminary.

Table 3.5.1. (continued).

Ling 7.f.

| Year | Belgium | France | Ireland | E \& W | Scotland | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 14 | 114 | - | 92 |  | 220 |
| 2002 | 16 | 139 | 3 | 295 |  | 453 |
| 2003 | 15 | 79 | 1 | 81 |  | 176 |
| 2004 | 18 | 73 | 5 | 65 |  | 161 |
| 2005 | 36 | 59 | 7 | 82 |  | 184 |
| 2006 | 10 | 42 | 14 | 64 |  | 130 |
| 2007 | 16 | 52 | 2 | 55 |  | 125 |
| 2008 | 32 | 88 | 4 | 63 |  | 187 |
| 2009 | 10 | 69 | 1 | 26 |  | 106 |
| 2010 | 10 | 42 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 69 |
| 2011 | 20 | 39 | 2 | 94 |  | 155 |
| 2012 | 28 | 80 | <1 | 59 | <1 | 167 |
| 2013 | 22 | 68 | 1 | 93 | 40 | 224 |
| 2014 | 61 | 182 | 0 | 91 |  | 334 |
| 2015 | 15 | 54 | 2 | 17 |  | 88 |
| 2016 | 25 | 51 | 1 | 34 | 3 | 114 |
| 2017 | 7 | 20 | 1 | 19 |  | 47 |
| 2018 | 5 | 18 | 1 | 19 |  | 43 |
| 2019 | 4 | 11 |  | 11 |  | 26 |
| 2020 | 6 | 14 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 33 |
| 2021* | 0 | 17 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 31 |

*Preliminary.

Table 3.5.1. (continued).

Ling 7.g-k.

| Year | Belgium | Denmark | France | Germany | Ireland | Norway | Spain ${ }^{(1)}$ | E\&W | UK(N.I.) | Scot. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 16 |  | 1154 | 4 | 727 | 24 | 559 | 591 |  | 285 | 3360 |
| 2002 | 16 |  | 1025 | 2 | 951 |  | 568 | 862 |  | 102 | 3526 |
| 2003 | 12 |  | 1240 | 5 | 808 |  | 455 | 382 |  | 38 | 2940 |
| 2004 | 14 |  | 982 |  | 686 |  | 405 | 335 |  | 5 | 2427 |
| 2005 | 15 |  | 771 | 12 | 539 |  | 399 | 313 |  | 4 | 2053 |
| 2006 | 10 |  | 676 |  | 935 |  | 504 | 264 |  | 18 | 2407 |
| 2007 | 11 |  | 661 | 1 | 430 |  | 423 | 217 |  | 6 | 1749 |
| 2008 | 11 |  | 622 | 8 | 352 |  | 391 | 130 |  | 27 | 1541 |
| 2009 | 7 |  | 183 | 6 | 270 |  | 51 | 142 |  | 14 | 673 |
| 2010 | 10 |  | 108 | 1 | 279 |  | 301 | 135 |  | 14 | 848 |
| 2011 | 15 |  | 260 |  | 465 |  | 16 | 157 |  | 23 | 936 |
| 2012 | 23 |  | 584 | 2 | 516 |  | 201 | 138 |  | 56 | 1520 |
| 2013 | 24 |  | 622 |  | 495 |  | 190 | 74 |  | 203 | 1608 |
| 2014 | 13 |  | 535 |  | 445 |  | 177 | 185 |  | 202 | 1557 |
| 2015 | 11 |  | 391 |  | 366 |  | 153 | 131 |  | 13 | 1065 |
| 2016 | 10 |  | 383 |  | 549 |  | 107 | 114 |  | 9 | 1172 |
| 2017 | 10 |  | 298 |  | 392 |  | 85 | 91 |  | 12 | 888 |
| 2018 | 6 |  | 170 |  | 333 |  | 76 | 62 |  |  | 647 |
| 2019 | 7 |  | 143 |  | 212 |  | 57 | 43 |  | 3 | 465 |
| 2020 | 8 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 205 |  | 44 | 51 |  | 2 | 427 |
| 2021* | 0 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 51 | 51 | 1 | 1 | 505 |

[^0]Table 3.5.1. (continued).

Ling 8.

| Year | Belgium | France | Germany | Spain | E \& W | Scot. | Ireland | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 |  | 245 |  | 341 | 6 | 2 |  | 594 |
| 2002 |  | 316 |  | 141 | 10 | 0 |  | 467 |
| 2003 |  | 333 |  | 67 | 36 |  |  | 436 |
| 2004 |  | 385 |  | 54 | 53 |  |  | 492 |
| 2005 |  | 339 |  | 92 | 19 |  |  | 450 |
| 2006 |  | 324 |  | 29 | 45 |  |  | 398 |
| 2007 |  | 282 |  | 20 | 10 |  |  | 312 |
| 2008 |  | 294 |  | 36 | 15 | 3 |  | 345 |
| 2009 |  | 150 |  | 29 | 7 |  |  | 186 |
| 2010 |  | 92 |  | 31 | 11 |  |  | 134 |
| 2011 |  | 148 |  | 47 | 6 |  |  | 201 |
| 2012 |  | 349 |  | 201 | 2 |  |  | 552 |
| 2013 |  | 281 |  | 139 | 35 | 4 |  | 459 |
| 2014 |  | 280 |  | 110 | 4 | 1 |  | 395 |
| 2015* |  | 269 |  | 63 | 5 |  |  | 337 |
| 2016 |  | 207 |  | 77 | 3 |  |  | 287 |
| 2017 |  | 156 |  | 43 | 2 |  |  | 201 |
| 2018 |  | 145 |  | 34 | 4 |  |  | 183 |
| 2019 |  | 139 |  | 23 |  |  | 1 | 163 |
| 2020 |  | 147 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 |
| 2021* |  | 133 | 18 |  |  |  |  | 151 |

Ling 9.

| Year | Spain | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 |  |  |


| Year | Spain | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2005 |  |  |
| 2006 | 1 | 1 |
| 2007 |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |
| 2009 |  | 1 |
| 2010 | 1 |  |
| 2011 |  |  |
| $2012\left({ }^{*}\right)$ |  |  |

## (*) there was no reported landings after 2012

Table 3.5.1. (continued).

Ling 12.

| Year | Faroes | France | Norway | E \& W | Scotland | Germany | Ireland | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 |  | 0 | 29 | 2 | 24 |  | 4 | 59 |
| 2002 |  | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 |  |  | 8 |
| 2003 |  |  | 17 | 2 | 0 |  |  | 19 |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 2006 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2009 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2011 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 2012 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


| Year | Faroes | France | Norway | E \& W | Scotland | Germany | Ireland | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |  |

Table 3.5.1. (continued).

Ling 14.

| Year | Faroes | Germany | Iceland | Norway | E \& W | Scotland | Russia | GREENLAND | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 1 |  |  | 35 |  |  |  | 1 | 37 |
| 2002 | 3 |  |  | 20 |  |  |  | 0 | 23 |
| 2003 |  |  |  | 83 |  |  |  | 0 | 83 |
| 2004 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | 9 | 19 |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 18 |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 19 |
| 2007 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 2 | 7 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 19 | 20 |
| 2009 | + | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 8 |
| 2010 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 6 |
| 2011 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 5 | 8 |
| 2012 | 1 |  | 105 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 111 |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| 2014 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 8 | 17 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 21 |
| 2016 | 9 | 1 |  | 10 |  |  | 1 | 15 | 35 |
| 2017 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| 2019 |  |  |  | 128 |  |  |  |  | 128 |
| 2020* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Preliminary.

Table 3.5.2 Ling. Total landings by subarea or division.

| Year | 3 | 4.a | 4.bc | 6.a | 6.b | 7 | 7.a | 7.bc | 7.de | 7.f | 7.g-k | 8 | 9 | 12 | 14 | All areas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | 331 | 11223 | 379 | 14556 | 1765 | 5057 | 211 | 865 | 779 | 444 | 4415 | 1028 |  | 0 | 3 | 41056 |
| 1989 | 422 | 11677 | 387 | 8631 | 3743 | 5261 | 311 | 577 | 700 | 310 | 1012 | 1221 |  | 0 | 1 | 34253 |
| 1990 | 543 | 10027 | 455 | 6730 | 1505 | 4575 | 169 | 678 | 799 | 233 | 1077 | 1372 |  | 3 | 9 | 28175 |
| 1991 | 484 | 9969 | 490 | 4795 | 2662 | 3977 | 125 | 749 | 680 | 302 | 1394 | 1139 |  | 10 | 1 | 26777 |
| 1992 | 549 | 10763 | 842 | 4588 | 1891 | 2552 | 105 | 1286 | 519 | 137 | 1593 | 802 |  | 0 | 17 | 25644 |
| 1993 | 642 | 12810 | 797 | 5301 | 1522 | 2294 | 219 | 1434 | 436 | 223 | 2334 | 510 |  | 0 | 9 | 28531 |
| 1994 | 469 | 11496 | 323 | 6730 | 2540 | 2185 | 284 | 1595 | 451 | 400 | 3254 | 85 |  | 5 | 6 | 29823 |
| 1995 | 412 | 13041 | 659 | 8847 | 1638 |  | 305 | 1944 | 1389 | 602 | 6131 | 845 |  | 50 | 17 | 35880 |
| 1996 | 402 | 12705 | 569 | 8577 | 1124 |  | 210 | 2201 | 1477 | 399 | 6850 | 1041 |  | 2 | 0 | 35557 |
| 1997 | 311 | 11315 | 699 | 6746 | 814 |  | 264 | 1780 | 1472 | 547 | 5045 | 1034 | 0 | 9 | 61 | 30097 |
| 1998 | 214 | 13631 | 627 | 7362 | 1394 |  | 198 | 1034 | 1500 | 561 | 7814 | 1797 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 36142 |
| 1999 | 216 | 9810 | 446 | 6899 | 1175 |  | 84 | 1366 | 1060 | 312 | 4189 | 452 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 26013 |
| 2000 | 228 | 9247 | 384 | 6909 | 1879 |  | 73 | 1182 | 846 | 218 | 3578 | 339 | 1 | 7 | 26 | 24916 |
| 2001 | 262 | 7857 | 284 | 5143 | 788 |  | 94 | 1226 | 807 | 220 | 3360 | 594 | 0 | 59 | 37 | 20720 |
| 2002 | 263 | 9152 | 309 | 4127 | 533 |  | 126 | 964 | 891 | 453 | 3526 | 467 | 0 | 8 | 23 | 20756 |
| 2003 | 261 | 6433 | 234 | 3246 | 660 |  | 112 | 524 | 788 | 176 | 2940 | 436 |  | 19 | 83 | 15912 |
| 2004 | 236 | 6306 | 241 | 2769 | 1064 |  | 97 | 640 | 801 | 161 | 2427 | 492 |  | 0 | 19 | 15240 |
| 2005 | 210 | 6449 | 149 | 3028 | 1142 |  | 61 | 429 | 786 | 184 | 2053 | 450 |  | 1 | 18 | 14960 |


| Year | 3 | 4.a | 4.bc | 6.a | 6.b | 7 | 7.a | 7.bc | 7.de | 7.f | 7.g-k | 8 | 9 | 12 | 14 | All areas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 188 | 6719 | 144 | 2573 | 1411 |  | 88 | 668 | 687 | 130 | 2407 | 398 |  | 1 | 19 | 15433 |
| 2007 | 174 | 5858 | 159 | 3120 | 1314 |  | 43 | 358 | 710 | 125 | 1749 | 312 |  | 0 | 7 | 13929 |
| 2008 | 175 | 7259 | 200 | 2950 | 1513 |  | 15 | 259 | 569 | 187 | 1541 | 345 |  | 0 | 20 | 15033 |
| 2009 | 149 | 7408 | 314 | 2324 | 2635 |  | 48 | 131 | 363 | 106 | 673 | 186 |  | 1 | 8 | 14346 |
| 2010 | 142 | 6398 | 201 | 3031 | 2687 |  | 44 | 253 | 294 | 69 | 848 | 134 |  | 0 | 6 | 14107 |
| 2011 | 140 | 6508 | 211 | 2999 | 1259 |  | 28 | 208 | 425 | 155 | 936 | 201 |  | 1 | 8 | 13079 |
| 2012 | 145 | 7018 | 192 | 3655 | 1390 |  | 14 | 1013 | 436 | 167 | 1520 | 552 | 1 | 4 | 111 | 16218 |
| 2013 | 130 | 7197 | 176 | 4660 | 795 |  | 30 | 1010 | 482 | 224 | 1608 | 459 |  | 0 | 2 | 16773 |
| 2014 | 120 | 7749 | 141 | 4761 | 774 |  | 29 | 532 | 605 | 334 | 1557 | 395 |  | 0 | 17 | 17014 |
| 2015 | 125 | 8069 | 147 | 4764 | 1215 |  | 19 | 560 | 347 | 88 | 1065 | 337 |  | 0 | 21 | 16757 |
| 2016 | 152 | 9740 | 150 | 5659 | 1088 |  | 24 | 570 | 293 | 114 | 1172 | 287 |  |  | 35 | 19284 |
| 2017 | 138 | 11230 | 143 | 6308 | 793 |  | 24 | 315 | 202 | 47 | 888 | 201 |  | 0 | 7 | 20296 |
| 2018 | 177 | 11548 | 162 | 6654 | 730 |  | 18 | 266 | 121 | 43 | 647 | 183 |  | 0 | 5 | 20554 |
| 2019 | 205 | 11443 | 158 | 6543 | 904 |  | 12 | 313 | 115 | 26 | 465 | 163 |  | 0 | 130 | 20480 |
| 2020 | 183 | 8848 | 110 | 4563 | 687 |  | 5 | 154 | 85 | 33 | 427 | 162 |  |  |  | 15257 |
| 2021 | 200 | 6510 | 57 | 4306 | 335 |  | 5 | 129 | 96 | 31 | 505 | 151 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 12336 |

*Preliminary.

Table 3.5.3. Number of French fishing vessels (otter trawlers, gillnetters and longliners) during the period 2000-2019.

| NUMBERS OF SHIPS | OTTER TRAWLERS | GILLNETTERS | LONGLINERS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 65 | 12 | 1 |
| 2001 | 77 | 13 | 2 |
| 2002 | 66 | 15 | 3 |
| 2003 | 61 | 19 | 2 |
| 2004 | 52 | 22 | 0 |
| 2005 | 46 | 24 | 1 |
| 2006 | 44 | 20 | 6 |
| 2007 | 42 | 20 | 7 |
| 2008 | 37 | 20 | 7 |
| 2009 | 38 | 20 | 6 |
| 2010 | 29 | 21 | 2 |
| 2011 | 32 | 18 | 3 |
| 2012 | 36 | 15 | 4 |
| 2013 | 33 | 14 | 8 |
| 2014 | 33 | 13 | 9 |
| 2015 | 31 | 9 | 11 |
| 2016 | 28 | 5 | 12 |
| 2017 | 32 | 11 | 17 |
| 2018 | 28 | 14 | 17 |
| 2019 | 32 | 17 | 16 |


[^0]:    *Preliminary. ${ }^{(1)}$ Includes 7.b c until 2011

