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## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Terms of Reference

At the 77th Statutory Meeting in The Hague it was decided (C.Res. 1989/2;4:14) that the Mackerel Working Group (Chairman: E. Kirkegaard) should meet at ICES Headquarters from 24 April - 2 May 1990 to:

1) assess the status of and provide catch options for 1991 within safe biological limits for the mackerel stocks and management units in Sub-areas II-IX;
2) update the quantitative description of the distribution and relative abundance of juvenile mackerel by season and by as fine an area breakdown as possible, and re-evaluate possible management measures to limit the catches of juvenile mackexel;
3) for mackerel in Divisions VIIIc and IXa, consider possible fishery closures by area and season which could be introduced to reduce the exploitation of juveniles;
4) provide quarterly catch-at-age and catch and stock mean weight-at-age data and information on the relative distribution at different ages by quarter for North Sea mackerel for 1989 as input for the multispecies VPA, and provide information on the likely level of Western stock mackerel which are seasonally present in the North Sea;
5) define distribution areas of high priority for recruitment surveys.

### 1.2 Participants

The Working Group met in Copenhagen with the following participants:

| W.A. Dawson | UK (England) |
| :--- | :--- |
| A. Eltink | Netherlands |
| P. Hopkins | UK (Scotland) |
| S.A. Iversen | Norway |
| E. Kirkegaard (Chairman) | Denmark |
| A.I.Krysov | USSR |
| P. Lucio | Spain |
| J. Molloy | Ireland |
| I.G. Priede (part-time | UK (Scotland) |
| D.A. Vasilyev | USSR |
| J. Watson (part-time) | UK (Scotland) |

## 2 BIOMASS ESTIMATES FROM EGG SURVEXS

### 2.1 Review of the Mackerel/Horse Mackexel EqG Production Workshop

The Mackerel/Horse Mackerel Egg Production Workshop was held at the Fisheries Laboratory in Lowestoft, England from 29 January 2 February 1990. The purpose of this meeting was to complete the analysis of the western mackerel/horse mackexel egg survey data for 1989, to estimate the rate of atresia, to prepare estimates of spawning stock size, and to compare the methodology used to
estimate the spawning stock size from the total fecundity and the batch fecundity methods (Anon., 1990a).

The results from this Mackerel/Horse Mackerel Egg Production Workshop concerning mackerel are reviewed below.

## General Aspects

Samples taken from the middle of the spawning area show mackerel spawning may occur throughout the 24 -hour period. It is not confined to any time of the night or day.

New egg stage duration experiments have confirmed that the temperature regression for stage 1 eggs remains valid. Following fixation, the proportion of eggs identified as stage 1 remained the same, but the proportions at later stages wexe altered.

The results of a comparison of egg staging between countries showed that there is a wide variation in stage identification of stages 1a, $1 \mathrm{~b}, 3,4$, and 5 , with less variation for stage 2 . The most important result was that stage 1 eggs (i.e., stages 1a and 1b combined), which are currently used by the Working group for the determination of total egg production, were fairly accurately identified by all countries, with a variation of $-7 \%$ to $+10 \%$ from the overall mean.

## North Sea Egg Survey

In 1989 the spawning area of mackerel in the North Sea was covered once at peak spawning time by a Norwegian research vessel. If this represents the peak of spawning, and it is assumed that the shape of the production curve was the same as in previous yearg, the estimated egg production is in the range of 34-56 $\times 10^{\text {f }}$ eggs. Assuming the curve to have the same shape as in 1989, the egg production corresponds to a spawning stock biomass of 53,000 t. In 1990, the Netherlands, Denmark, and Norway will carry out a North Sea egg survey for mackerel.

## Western Eqq Survey in 1289

The results for the first period (April) indicate an unusually high egg production. The workshop regarded this as suspect, because it is about 8 times the production observed in previous years' surveys. Because no reason was found to reject the data provided, they were presented in the report in two ways by including and excluding the first survey. However, important information concerning the validity of the egg production estimate of the first coverage became available after this Workshop meeting. On 6 March 1990, when most participants of this Workshop met in Aberdeen for the meeting on "EC Batch Fecundity Method for Mackerel", it was agreed that the egg production of the first coverage should be rejected based on the information presented. The working document containing the arguments for rejecting the first survey was attached to the workshop report as an appendix.

The total stage 1 egg production estimate for each survey period was plotted against the mid-cruise data to give a production curve based on only four points as shown in Figure 2.1 (revised from Anon., 1990a). In addition, production curves were calculated for the area north, east, and south of the standard area. The values for each area axe presented in Table 2.1 (revised from

Anon., 1990a).
Using the data from periods 2 to 5 , a total seasonal production of $1.41 \times 10^{\circ}$ stage eggs is obtained for the standard area. In cluding the areas north, east, and south, of the standard area increase the estimated by $6 \%$ to $1.50 \times 10^{15}$ (Table 2.2). Spawning is also thought to occur to the west of the standard axea but it is unlikely that much egg production was missed.

Two previous estimates of total potential fecundity have been made for the western mackerel stock in 1977 and in 1986. In 1989, a similar study was undertaken jointly by MAFF and DAFS in conjunction with Aberdeen University. The provisional results were used for calculating preliminary stock size estimates.

Atresia
As recommended by a previous workshop, further work was carried out to estimate atresia. Approximately one third of all ovaries examined contained atretic eggs. The model used by the wurkshop implies that atresia could be between $8 \%$ and $16 \%$ of the potential fecundity.

### 2.2 Application of the Batch Fecundity Method to the Western Mackerel Stock

"Under the terms of study contract No. DG XIV/B/1-1989/2 between the Commission of the Euxopean Communities and the University of Aberdeen, research is being carried out on the evaluation of the batch fecundity method for assessment of stocks of pelagic spawning fishes. The preliminary results of this research are presented in this section but do not necessarily reflect the opinion of the Commission of the European Communities and do not prejudice its future attitude in this field. The text of these Sections (2.2 and 2.3) may be reproduced, in whole or in part, quoting the source."

## Qrganization

The Batch Fecundity programme was conducted in parallel with the ICES 1989 Assessment of the Spawning Stock Biomass of the Western Mackerel Stock using the total fecundity method (Watson and Priede, WD 1990, and Anon., 1990a). Under the batch fecundity method, biomass (B) is calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}}{\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{bw}} \mathrm{sr}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{d}=$ estimated number of eggs.
$\begin{array}{ll}\quad{ }_{S}^{d} & =\text { estimated batch fecundity per gramme fish weight. } \\ \text { and } & =\text { spawning fraction. } \\ r & \text { proportion of fish that are female, i.e. sex ratio. }\end{array}$
$E_{d}$ was derived as a subset of the data collected for the total fecundity method. In order to obtain estimates of $F_{b w}$ and $S$, a trawl survey was undertaken of the spawning stock from 23 May to 12 June which is in the midale of the spawning season.

Fish at maturity stages 2-6 (Walsh, Appendix 1 in Watson and Priede, WD 1990) were selected at random from each of 51 trawl hauls, giving a total of 1,330 ovaries for histological analysis to determine the daily spawning fraction of the adult population (S). Ovaries with hydrated oocytes were selected for determination of batch fecundity $F_{b w}$. A total of 298 ovaries proved suitable for this analysis.

Figure 2.2 shows the axea covered by the egg suxvey from 23 May to 12 June. For the purposes of biomass calculation, the area is divided into 3 sections.

## Results

Total daily egg production corresponding to each statistical rectangle is shown in Figures 2.3 and 2.4. At this time, egg production was greatest off the west coast of Ireland extending westward towards the Porcupine Bank. These data were integrated to give daily egg production estimates for each section (Table 2.3).

Fecundity per gram (Fb) was estimated from a regression through the oxigin of batch size on fish weight for each sector. The estimates are given in Table 2.3.

There is a systematic change in batch fecundity with a decrease from south to north. It is assumed that this corresponds to a decrease in spawning output of individual fish as they move north during the spawning season (watson and Priede, wD 1990).

The spawning fraction ( $S$ ) was assumed to be equal to the proportion of fish with ovaries containing migratory nucleus stage oocytes. This assumes that the duration of the migratory nucleus stage is 24 hours. This is a provisional assumption, prior to completion of captive spawning experiments in Aberdeen. Such experiments will give more precise information on the stage duration, but evidence available to date indicates that the error in this assumption is no greatex than $20 \%$. The estimated spawning fractions are given in Figure 2.5. These were averaged over each section.

The spawning fraction also declined systematically from south to north suggesting that fish spawn every 1.6 days in the south, every 3 days in the centre, and evexy 5.5 days in the north. This may correspond to a noxthern migration of spawning fish.

For the purposes of biomass estimation, the sex ratio was assumed to be 1:1. Estimated biomass is given in Table 2.3

The total biomass of $2.352 \times 10^{6} t$ corresponds to a hypothetical population of fish, all with hydxated oocytes. A correction factor of 0.930 gives the biomass assuming all stage 3 (pre-spawning) fish as $2.220 \times 10^{6}$ t. This is directly comparable with the total fecundity method biomass estimate which is also based on pre-spawning fish (Table 2.6).

## conclusions

$75 \%$ of the variance in the batch fecundity biomass estimate is derived from the egg production estimate. The precision of the new method is, therefore, limited by the egg survey rather than
any intrinsic problems with our understanding of fish fecundity.
The batch method gives a higher estimate of biomass than the total fecundity method; 2.22 and 1.87 million $t$, respectively. This may be attributable to loss of eggs by the fish during the spawning season, so that the realised total fecundity is less than the pre-determined potential fecundity.

The mean batch fecundity of the overall population is $53.05 \mathrm{egg} / \mathrm{g}$ and the mean overall spawning interval is 2.92 days (Watson and Priede, WD 1990). To generate the observed egg production over the whole spawning season, each fish must spawn 23 batches requiring 67 days on the spawning ground. Using mean batch fecundity and spawning interval fox the overall population, the net loss of eggs through atresia would need to be about $16 \%$ to account for this difference.

The EC-funded study contract has shown that the batch fecundity method can be applied to stocks of mackerel. The estimation of stock size using the batch fecundity method requires extensive sampling of the adult stock and this provides additional informa~ tion on stock structure and distribution. This information is presented in Section 2.3.

### 2.3 Additional Information

The trawl survey provided fishery-independent information on the age structure of the stock in the survey area. An overall age composition was obtained from a weighted combination of the age compositions of individual hauls. Details of the method are documented in Watson \& Priede (WD 1990). By clustering the hauls according to the similarities of age composition and grouping hauls in the same cluster which were geographically adjacent, sub-divisions of the survey area were defined. The age composition within each sub-division was then calculated as the mean of the hauls within the sub-division, weighted by catch rate. The age composition over the whole survey area was calculated as the mean of the sub-divisions, weighted by sub-division area and mean catch rate. The resulting estimate of the overall age composition is shown in Table 2.4. A comparison with the VPA estimate of age structuxe is given in Section 5.4.

Also shown in Table 2.4 are the proportions mature at age. All fish aged 3 years and older were found to be mature (Maturity stages 2-6). The proportions mature at ages 1 and 2 were calculated, using the same survey area sub-divisions as those used to calculate age compositions. The proportions of 1- and 2-year-olds which were immature males, immature females, mature males and mature females were calculated for each haul. The proportions over the whole survey area were found by combining the hauls in the same way as for the overall age composition, this time using the respective catch rates of 1 - and 2 -year-olds. This analysis indicated that $4 \%$ of males and less than $1 \%$ of females were mature at age 1. At age $2,86 \%$ of males and $93 \%$ of females were mature. Maturity at age is discussed more fully in section 5.4.2.

### 2.4 Biomass Estimates

## North Sea area

The total egg productions and spawning stock biomass estimates,
as derived from the North Sea egg surveys, are listed by year in Table 2.5. The spawning stock estimate of $53,000 t$ in 1989 is based on only one coverage at peak spawning time and seems an increase of over $40 \%$ of the estimate of $37,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1988 , but the stock is still at a very low level.

## Western areas

The spawning stock biomass of mackerel as derived from the western egg surveys and as estimated by the traditional total fecundity method is 2.01 million $t$ in 1989 , which is an increase of $16 \%$ compared to the spawning stock biomass of 1.73 million $t$ in 1986 estimated by the same method (Table 2.6).

The spawning stock biomass estimates by the traditional total fecundity method do not include a correction for atresia, which might possibly increase the spawning stock biomass values by 8 $16 \%$ (see Section 2.1). Neither is it corrected for de novo vitellogenesis which might reduce the spawning stock biomass, although it does not seem to be significant.

The spawning stock biomass estimated by the batch fecundity method, which is not affected by atresia and de novo vitellogenesis, was estimated at 2.40 million $t$ in 1989 (Table 2,6). The estimate of the total fecundity method is $16 \%$ lower than the spawning stock biomass as estimated by the batch fecundity method. The estimates of both methods appear to be in good agreement. The difference might be attributed to atresia.

The Working Group decided to use only the spawning stock biomass estimates from the total fecundity method for tuning the VPA, because only these data are available from previous egg surveys. The estimates were not corrected for atresia. The Working Group recommends that the compaxison between the total fecundity method and the batch fecundity method should be repeated in 1992.

## 3 STOCK DISTRIBUTION AND MIXING

### 3.1 Revised Distribution of 1987 and 1988 Eisheries

The distribution of the fisheries for the first to fourth quarters has been revised for 1987 and 1988 to include the USSR catches. These are presented in Figures 3.1 and 3.2, respectively.

### 3.2 Distribution of Mackexel Fisheries in 1989

As for 1987 and 1988 , the officially reported distribution of catches could not be taken as a reliable guide to where mackerel were actually caught in all areas and seasons (Anon., 1988a, 1989a). However, some flexibility to fish parts of the TAC for the western area east of the 4 W line enabled a catch allocation to be given within Division IVa to some EEC countries. This resulted in a mixture of accurate and inaccurate landing statistics. Those from the North sea are presumed to be accurate while substantial inaccuracies exist in Division VIa data for the fourth quarter.

Catches taken in the first and fouxth quaxters from Division VIIe were reported as being caught to the south of the mackerel box, wherease it is thought that they came mainly from inside the box

The quarterly distributions of the fisheries in 1989, as estimated by the Working Group, are shown in Figures 3.3A-D. These were was very similar to the distribution of the fisheries in 1988 (Anon., 1989a).

## First quarter

In the first quarter (Figure 3.3A), catches were taken along the edge of the continental shelf to the west of the British Isles, off Ireland, and in the western Channel. The fishing area was much the same as in 1987 and 1988. Most of the catch was taken by trawlers. During the fixst quarter, the mackerel migrate from north to south through Divisions VIa and VIJb, c. The fishery reflects the migration from the northern area to the main spawning axea.

In Division VIIIC, fishing was mainly on adult mackerel. The highest catches were taken in the eastern paxt of Division VIIIc. In Division IXa, fishing was mainly on 1-group mackerel.

## Second quarter

In the second quartex (Figure 3.3B), the main catches in the Western area were taken south of Ireland in the spawning area. The fishing area was the same as in previous years. The catches north of Ireland were mainly taken as by-catch in the herring fishery. Another mackerel fishery in the second quarter took place off the coast of southwest Norway and in the skagerrak. A small quantity was taken, mainly by drift nets and as by-catch in trawl fisheries.

In Divisions VIIIc, the fishery in the second quarter was simjlar to that in the first quarter. However, more than $50 \%$ of the catches by number in Division IXa consisted of 0-group mackerel.

## Third quarter

In the third quarter (Figuxe 3.3C), the major fishery took place in the southeastern part of Division IIa and in the eastern part of Division IVa. The fishing area was very similar to that in 1988. Most of the catches wexe taken by purse seiners. Small bycatches were recorded in the southern and central North sea.

In the eastern and central parts of Division VIIIc, the catches decreased to almost zero. Only in the western part of Division VIIIC and in Division IXa were there significant catches, which consisted mainly of 0 -group mackerel.

## Fourth guarter

In the fourth quarter of 1989 (Figure 3.3D), the main fishery shifted southwards from Division IIa to Division IVa. Although there are uncertainties about the exact fishing locations, it seems that most of the catches in this quarter were taken around the Shetlands, the majority being taken to the east. In addition to the shetland area fishery, smaller quantities were taken off northwest Ireland, off Cornwall and Divisions IIIa and IVb, c. The catches taken off Cornwall still contain a high proportion of juveniles.

In Divisions VIIIc and IXa, the fishery in the fourth quarter was
rather similar to that in the third quartex.

### 3.3 Review of Information on the Adult stocks

The migration and area distribution of Western mackerel was reviewed at the second meeting of the joint EEC-Norwegian Scientific Group which took place in Brussels in 1989 (Anon., 1990b). The Group was asked to update the information on the stock and catch distributions described in their first report (Anon., 1989b).

The second report describes the spawning areas, the distribution of various age groups and the migration pattern from both fishery data and fishery-independent data. The distribution of the juveniles is described in section 3.4, During the period 1981-1988 an increasing proportion of mackerel was taken outside the western areas. Figures 3.3A-D show that the catches taken in 1989 were consistent with this txend, which is probably caused by a northward shift in distribution of the western mackerel outside the spawning season. The results from research vessel surveys indicate that the total distribution of mackerel may be more widespread than indicated by the fisheries and that the distribution of commercial catches may not always reflect the precise distribution of the stock.

The report reviewed several sources of data on mackerel migrations. These included ICES Mackerel Working Group reports, fishery data and tagging results. Attention was paid to changes in migration pattern in recent years in relation to the shifts in distribution. Adult western stock mackerel migrate between areas of overwintering, spawning and feeding. While minor changes in distribution of the western spawning area have been observed since the egg surveys began in 1977, the overall area has remained unchanged. However, the overwintering area has gradually moved northwards and the feeding area further eastwards during the later half of the 1980 s . In the report, the changes in the migration pattern from the late 1970 s through to the late 1980 s are illustrated.

The current distxibution and migration pattern of western mackerel suggests that in late summer they are probably distributed over a wide area in Division IVa. The fisheries indicate that a major part of the mackerel stock follows a migration route across the northern part of Division IVa, probably north of $59^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$. Mackerel now occux further east in the southeastern part of Division IIa and the eastern part of Division IVa than in the early 1980s, during the third quarter. The return migration to the spawning area now appears to start somewhat later than in earlier years. It should be noted, however, that the distribution and migration of mackerel in the feeding area seems to vary substantially, although the migration starts from a consistent spawning area. Additional information became available this year on the distribution of the adult stock at the peak of spawning (see section 2.3). The fishing survey together with the batch fecundity method demonstrated that adult mackerel were present throughout the western area in May/June. Age groups 2 to 5 occurred throughout the area while the older fish, mainly the 1980 and 1981 year classes, were concentrated towards the southern Celtic sea around the shelf edge. The current migration pattern adult mackerel are now thought to follow is illustrated in Figure 3.4.

The very low size of the Noxth Sea stock and the mixing with mackerel from the Western stock in the third and fourth quarters makes it difficult to determine the distribution and migration of the North Sea mackerel. At present, this is not known with any precision outside the spawning season. The distribution of the adults at the time of spawning is shown by the stage $I$ egg distribution (Anon., 1990a; Iverson et al., 1989).

The migration pattern of the mackerel from Divisions VIIIC and IXa is still unknown.

### 3.4 Juvenile pistribution

The migration and area distribution of the juvenile mackerel was also reviewed at the second meeting of the joint EEC-Norwegian Scientific Group (Anon., 1990b). The apparent changes in the distribution of juvenile western mackerel since about 1981 have also been discussed in earlier Working Group reports (Anon., 1985, 1986, 1987a, 1988a, 1989a). After 1981, there was a tendency for the catches of both juveniles and adults to increase proportionally in Division VIa, This proportion could not be calculated on the same area basis in 1987, 1988 and 1989 , because of misreporting of catches. However, if the proportion is calculated from officially-reported catches in the northern area, the concentration of juveniles in the north remains high. In addition to the changes in distribution of the juveniles in the catches, the proportions of both first winter and second winter fish from the recent survey have increased in recent years and have increased dramatically in the surveys carried out in 1989 and in the first quartex of 1990 (see Section 4.2).

The distribution of the juvenile year classes is given in more detail in Figures 3.5-3.8, which show the catch rates for research vessel surveys.

The occurrence of the 1987, 1988, and 1989 year classes expressed as a percentage (number) of the catches taken in the commercial fishery in each ICES division in 1989 is shown in Figure 3.9. The Working Group has once again been asked to give the distribution and relative abundance of juvenile mackerel by season in as fine an area breakdown as possible. Therefore, the occurrence of the 1987, 1988 and 1989 year classes is also expressed in the same way by rectangle in Figures 3.10-3.13.

The juvenile migration and distribution is summarised in Figure 3.14 .
since 1985 acoustic surveys have been carried out in the skagerrak and Kattegat, and the central and northern North sea in JulyAugust. These surveys have demonstrated that large amounts of 1and 2 -group mackerel have been present each year in the investigated area. In 1989, Denmark carried out a survey in the Skagerrak and the central North Sea (Kirkegaard, WD90), while Norway covered the northern part of the North Sea (Aglen WD 90). Both surveys demonstrated that the 1987 year class was highly abundant in the investigated area (about 1,600 millions) particularly in the northern North sea. The 1988 year class, however, was poorly represented in the area (about 200 millions). The 0-group (1989 year class) was observed for the first time in these surveys in the western central North Sea.

Data from the International Young Fish Survey in the first quarter 1990 indicate that the 1989 year class is the strongest observed in the North Sea since the early 1970s (Table 3.1 and Walsh, WD90). The high index was due to high abundances in some statistical rectangles in the western central North Sea.

### 3.4.1 The 1989 year class

## Fourth quarter 1989

Research vessel surveys during this quarter were undertaken by Scotland, France and the Netherlands and covered most of the Western area and the southern North Sea. The highest concentrations were found to the northwest of Ireland, along the shelf edge in ICES Division VIIj, and in north and central Biscay. A large concentration was also found in the eastern part of Division IVb (Anon., 1990b, Figure 3.5).

The 1989 year class was more wide-spread in the commercial catches than usual. They were present in Divisions VIId,e,g, IVc, and also to the north of Scotland in Division VIa (Figures 3.10 to 3.13).

The year class was present in the second quarter and very abundant in the third and fourth quarters of 1989 in Division IXa and the western part of Division VIIIc.

## Eirst quarter 1990

Research vessel surveys were undertaken by England, Scotland, and the Federal Republic of Germany in the Western area during this quarter. The highest concentrations were observed around the Cornish peninsula in Division VIIe, to the southwest of Ireland, and especially to the west of Scotland. The IYFS also provided additional information on the distribution of the 1989 year class in the North Sea. An unusually high concentration was observed in the western North Sea, off the northeast coast of England. High abundances of 1 -group fish in the North Sea during the first quarter have not been observed since 1971. The survey carried out by the Federal Republic of Germany was dixected mainly towards the shelf edge and, therefore, these data were not included in the distribution and abundance charts (Figures 3.5 and 3.9) or in the recruit index. However, on this survey, high concentrations of the 1989 year class were observed in Division VIIe also and to the west of Ireland.

The combined fourth quarter 1989 and first quarter 1990 distribution is presented in Figure 3.5

### 3.4.2 The 1988 vear class

## Fourth quarter 1988 and first quarter 1989

The revised distribution of the 1988 year class during this period is presented in Figure 3.6 and includes additional information that was not available to the Working Group in 1989. Large concentrations were found in the Western Channel, off the Britany peninsulax, to the south and noxthwest of Ireland and in
the Celtic Sea towards the shelf edge. No high concentrations were observed in the North Sea.

The 1988 year class was only present in the commercial catches in the first quarter of 1989 in Division IXa and the western parts of Division VIIIc (Figures 3.9 and Figure 3.10).
second quartex 1989
The 1988 year class was present in the Western area during a research vessel survey carried out in May/June 1989. The survey covered most of the Western area except Divisions VIJe,f and Subarea VrII. The 1988 year class comprised $15 \%$ by number of the catches. This age composition is compared with the VPA in section 5.4.3.

This year class was only present in the catches to the west and northwest of Ireland, and in Division IXa and Divisions VIIIa-c. None were taken in the North Sea (Figuxe 3.9).

Third quarter 1989
The only research vessel data available for the third quarter in the Western area was from the Dutch egg survey cxuise in the Celtic Sea, however, the 1988 year class was not present. During the Danish acoustic survey in July/August in the North sea and skagerrak, 16\% of the estimated stock in number was the 1988 year class.

It was also well represented (40\%) in the commercial catches in Divisions VIIb, VIIj and VIIIc and IXa. They were also present in the catches from Divisions VIa and rIIa (Figure 3.9).

Fourth quarter 1989 and fixst quarter 1990
The revised distribution of the 1988 year class during this period is illustrated in Figure 3.7. The largest concentrations were found in Division VIIe, and to the northwest of Ireland and west of Scotland.

The 1988 year class was represented in the fishery in all areas except Division IVb (Figure 3.9).

### 3.4.3 The 1987 yeax class

## Fourth quarter 1988 and first quarter 1989

Additional information on the distribution of the 1987 year class was made available to the working Group for the period october 1988-March 1989 and is illustrated in Figure 3.8. Very high concentrations were found in Division VIIe. It was also abundant to the south of Ireland and west of Scotland. No concentrations were observed in the North Sea.

Large numbers of the 1987 year class were present in the catches in all areas (Figure 3.9).

Second quarter 1989
The 1987 year class was well represented in the May/June research
vessel survey which covered the Western area. Almost $30 \%$ of the catch in number consisted of the 1987 year class.

The 1987 year class was also present in the Western area commercial catches (Figure 3.9).

Third quarter 1989
No research vessel data were available for the third quarter in the Western area. Large quantities of the 1987 year class were found in the North Sea during the acoustic survey in July-August in the eastern part of the North Sea, Skagerrak, and Kattegat. This year class was well represented in the catches in Divisions IVa,b and IIa and VIa (Figure 3.9).

## Fourth quarter

The 1987 year class was well represented in the commercial catches, with $25 \%$ being taken from the main fishery, Divisions IVa, VIa (Figure 3.9).

## 4 RECRUITMENT SURVEXS

### 4.1 Recxuit Indices

The method used for predicting year-class strength from combined research vessel surveys during the first and fourth quarters was the one described by Dawson et al. (1988) which was also the same method used in the 1988 assessment (Anon., 1989a). A potential disadvantage of this method is that the annual indices are based upon individual surveys in different months using different types of bottom trawl. However, because most of the western area is covered by the surveys, the indices are less likely to be affected by fluctuations within the distribution than other methods which utilize the data as independent sets, e.g., RCRTINX2 method. Another potential problem with this method is that the recruit indices may be driven by a few very high values because of the shoaling nature of the fish. In an attempt to remove this source of bias, the recruit indices were also examined by calculating trimmed means (e.g., excluding single highest and lowest value). However, treating the data in this way did not improve correlation and, therefore, this method was not used.

The recruit indices were calculated using the 1989 and first quarter 1990 research vessel data. The $1988 / 1989$ recruit index used by the 1989 Working Group was revised to include the first quarter 1989 data and exclude an anomalously high value. All the survey data for the $1989 / 1990$ season were available for this yeax's assessment. The recruit index was plotted against the number of 1 - and 2 -groups calculated from the VPA and is presented in section 5.4.3.

### 4.2 High Priority Areas for Recruitment Survevs

In the terms of reference, the Mackerel Working Group was asked to define distribution areas of high priority for recruitment surveys. In accordance with this, all the survey data for the Western area were examined (1981-1990) (Walsh, WD1990). In each year, the rectangles contributing 95\% of the index value were found and pooled over all years. The high abundance areas were indicated as either 1) a catch of $>500$ fish/hour in any year or
2) catches of $>100$ fish /hour in two different years. The abundance indices of the first- and second-winter fish were combined to give this overall distribution. These priority rectangles are shown in Figure 4.1. The Working Group recommends replicate samples to be taken in these high priority rectangles. However, the distribution of first- and second-winter mackerel has been shown to be very variable (Anon.,1986, 1987a, 1988a, 1989a, 1990b), and the overall survey area should not be reduced. There has either been an increase in abundance or a northward shift in distribution towards the more northern range of their distribution around northwest Ireland and to the west of scotland in recent years. Table 4.1 shows the recruit indices calculated for the first- and second-winter fish both south and north of $52^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. These figuxes demonstrate an increase in abundance in the northern axea from 1984 onwards. The ratio of fish in the northern area is particularly high for 1989.

### 4.3 Euture Recruit Surveys - Western Areas

The Working Group has stressed in this and other recent reports the importance of obtaining accurate information about the strength of the recruiting year classes as early as possible.

The Mackerel Egg and Recruitment Workshop, which met in Aberdeen in 1988, discussed this problem in detail and made a number of recomendations about future surveys (Anon., 1988b). In general. it was recommended that future surveys should be standardized and carried out along similar lines to the North Sea International Young Fish Survey.

At present, a number of countries, the Netherlands, Ireland, UK (Northern Ireland), UK (Scotland), UK (England and Wales), and more recently France and the Federal Republic of Germany, carry out young fish surveys for various species throughout the Western areas.

While some of these surveys are coordinated as far as mackerel is concerned, it is clear that a far greater amount of information could be obtained if all surveys could be standardized and coordinated on an international basis. Apart from obtaining recrujt indices for mackerel, it is felt that coordinated surveys could obtain valuable information on the abundance and distribution of other important commercial species such as hexring, horse mackerel, hake, megrim, and monkfish. Such information would render the surveys much more cost-effective at a time when some countries are reducing their pelagic research programmes.

The working Group would, therefore, strongly recommend that a planning group should be established by ICES which would study all existing fish surveys carried out in the Western areas, with a view to establishing a proper standardized international survey which would obtain recruitment indices for as many species as possible.

## 5 NORTH SEA, NORWEGIAN SEA, AND WESTERN AREAS (SUB-AREA TV), DIVISIONS IIIA. IIa, AND Vb, SUB-AREAS VI AND VII, AND DIVISIONS VIIIa,b,d,e

### 5.1 The Fishery 1989

The nominal catches in the North Sea, Skagerrak, and Kattegat and the Norwegian Sea and off the Faroes (Divisions ria and Vb) are given in Tables 5.1 and 5.2, The catches in these areas increased by $21,455 \mathrm{t}$ ( $8.6 \%$ ) compared to 1988 , thus continuing the trend of recent years. Misreporting is known to have occurred, and the catches by area as given in Table 5.1 and 5.2 are, therefore, inaccurate.

The catches that could not be allocated to any country decreased considerably compared to 1988 and 1987. The catches reported from the Western area (Sub-areas VI, VII, and Divisions VIIJa,b, d, e) are shown in Table 5.3. The landing figures for 1989 are preliminary and are mainly based on data submitted by Working Group members. The total catch from these areas was reported to be $293,200 \mathrm{t}$, which is a considerable reduction since 1988 ( 377,000 t). However, it must be pointed out that this figure, as in 1986, 1987 and 1988, includes considerable quantities of mackerel which were reportedly taken in the northern part of Division $V I_{a}$, but were in fact taken east of 4 w in Division IVa. It was estimated that the amount misreported in this way totalled $92,200 t$, which is a reduction of about $50 \%$ compared to 1988 (180,000 t). In 1986 and 1987, the misreported catches wexe estimated at $148,000 t$ and $117,000 t$, respectively. The reduction in misreported catches in 1989 from this area was caused by changes in management regimes, in that a larger part of the TAC than in previous years, was allowed to be fished east of 4 W .

The estimated catch by quarter for the various Sub-areas and Divisions are given in Table 5.4. This table is based on information provided by Working Group members. As in previous years, the major part of the catches were taken in Division VIa during the first quarter, in the northern part of Division IVa during the third and fourth quarters, and in the southeastern part of Division IIa during the third quarter.

The overall catches were reduced by about 65,000 t compared to 1988, due to a decrease of about $30,000 \mathrm{t}$ in each of the two Divisions IIa and IVa.

### 5.1.1 Discards

The Working Group has had estimates of discards of mackerel for only one fleet for the years 1988 and 1989. The quantities of mackerel estimated to have been discarded in those years were $5,800 t$ and $4,900 \mathrm{t}$, respectively, and obviously this must be considered as a minimum quantity and probably a substantial under-estimate (Table 5.5). Estimates of quantities of mackerel discarded during the 1978 to 1982 period ranged from 21,000 to $60,000 t$, but this was at a time when fishing was permitted in the area around Cornwall. Recent working groups have again warned about the possible increase in discards of young mackerel.

The problem of discards has generally been confined to juvenile mackerel. However, a further problem could develop with the increasing importance of the fishexy for horse mackerel, particu-
larly in Sub-areas VI and VII. Quantities of mackerel are now taken as a by-catch in this fishery but, because they have been taken together with the horse mackerel, they are invariably in poor condition and are unfit for human consumption and may consequently be discarded. A similar problem appears to exist in the fisheries in Divisions VIIIa-e and IXa, and this was discussed in detail by the 1989 Working Group.

Therefore, the Working Group would again like to draw attention to the importance of collecting as much information as possible about the quantitites of discards in all the fisheries throughout the Western area. This information can only be reliably obtained by placing observers on board the commercial vessels, not only during the main mackerel fishing season, but also throughout other mackerel fisheries.

### 5.1.2 catch in numbers in 1989

The catch in numbers and mean weight at age by quarter for Divisions Ira, IVa and Vb, IIIa, IV,b,c, VIa,b, VIIa,d-h, VIIb, $c, j$, $k$, VIIIa,b,d,e are shown in Table 5.6.

Table 5.7 shows the quarters for which sampling data are provided by division and country, together with the total catch and the percentage sampled. Catches for which there were no sampling data were corrected to numbers at age using appropriate quartexly data. Only countries providing sampling data are included in the table.

The total catch in number for the Western stock estimated as the sum of catches in all areas given in Table 5.6 are given in Table 5.12.

## Sampling Intensity of Catches

The Working Group examined the level of sampling carried out in 1989 for the different areas. The data, which are summarised in Table 5.8, are based on the details submitted by each country to the administrative report of the Pelagic Fish Committee. It was considered advisable to examine the sampling levels because of the recent changes in the distributions of the fisheries and because of the reduced level of pelagic research reported by some countries. The working Group felt that, for these two reasons, some catches which had previously been well sampled, might not be adequately covered.

The data indicate the numbers of commercial samples that have been taken from each area, together with the numbers of fish measured and aged. However, although the numbers of samples shown are those that have been obtained from commercial catches, the numbers of fish measured and aged are based on a combination of research vessel and commercial samples. Therefore, the data do not give a proper indication of the sampling level of the commercial catches. In addition, the level of samples obtained from research vessels was particularly high in 1989 because of the samples collected during the egg surveys.

An examination of the sampling details obtained from the 1985 administrative report of the Pelagic Fish Committee enabled a rough comparison to be made between the sampling levels for both years in relation to the landings. It would appear that:

Division IJa Landings have increased by $10 \%$ since 1985. The number of samples has also increased although the actual number of fish measured appears to have decreased.

Rivision IIIa Landings have doubled since 1985, but the number of samples appeaxs to be at a very low level.

Sub-area IV Landings have increased by a factor of six, but the number of commercial samples appears to have remained at about the same level as that in 1985.

Sub-area VI Landings are only about $1 / 3$ of the 1985 level and commercial samples appear to be about half.

Sub-area VII Landings are about the same level, but the number of commercial samples has dropped very significantly.

Sub-areas VIII and IX Iandings in these areas appear to be at about the same level as in 1985, but the actual number of samples appears to be very high in comparison with other areas.

In genexal, it appears that all the important fisheries are covered by sampling programmes. This is also shown in Table 5.7, which demonstrates the percentage of the total catch which is covered by age distxibutions. However, although the actual number of samples obtained from the commerical fleets are known for each area, it has not been possible to obtain any information about the size or quality of the actual samples. The Working Group discussed the sampling techniques in use by various countries as a result of which it was decided that a more detailed analysis of the various national sampling programmes should be undertaken at the next meeting of the Working Group. It was also decided that the various catches in numbers at age table should in future indicate the numbers of fish aged and measured.

### 5.1.3 Revision of catch data from previous years

At this working Group meeting, USSR data were available for catch in numbers and average weight for the different age groups for Divisions IIa and Vb for the period 1984-1989. In previous years, Norwegian data fxom these areas were used to split the USSR catches into number per age groups. A comparison of the 1988 age structure of the USSR and Norwegjan catches showed that they were rather similar. However, the USSR average weights in catches were 9.2\% lower than the Norwegian ones. This will increase the catches in numbex for Division IIa by $2 \%$ and the overall catches of Western fish by $0.2 \%$. The Working Gxoup, therefore, decided not to alter the catch in numbers for 1988. Since the USSR catches for the previous years were only 15-65\% of the 1988 figure, catches in number were not altered for the period 19841987.

For other countries there were no revisions of catch data as given in Anon. (1989a).

### 5.1.4 Lenqth composition

The 1988 length distributions were revised as a result of revisions of the Spanish length distributions, and the length distribution of the pelagic trawlers of the USSR was included.

The 1989 annual length compositions by fleet were provided by Denmark, Ireland, Netherlands, Norway, UK (England and Scotland), Spain, and the USSR.

These length distributions were available for all the majox fishing fleets, and a coverage of about $80 \%$ of the total landings was obtained both in 1988 and in 1989.

The length distributions by country per year for each fleet [numbers ('000) of fish per cm length group) are shown in Tables 5.9 and 5.10 for 1988 and 1989 , respectively.

### 5.2 Allocation of Catches to Stock

As for the catches in 1987 and 1988 (Anon., 1989a), the Working Group was not able to split the 1989 catches by stocks.

The Working Group decided to allocate all mackerel caught in 1989 to the Western stock. This was also done last year for the 1988 catches (Anon., 1989a). Including a small catch of North Sea fish in the Western stock will have very little influence on the assessment of the western stock, since the North sea stock is less than $3 \%$ of the size of the Western spawning stock.

Based on spawning stock size estimates from egg surveys in the Noxth Sea in $\{986$ and 1988 , the average total mortality rate was estimated to be 0.21 (Anon., 1989a) This low mortality rate corresponds to a catch in the order of 2,000-5,000 $t$ per year. In 1988, the Working Group assumed a catch of the North Sea stock of 3,000 t (Anon., 1989a). Since the fishery in 1989 was carried out similarly both in area and time as in 1988, the Working Group assumed a similar catch of North Sea mackerel in 1989.

### 5.3 Assessment of the North Sea Stock

### 5.3.1 The state of the North Sea stock

During the period 16-27 June 1989, the spawning area of mackerel in the North Sea was surveyed once by Norway (See Section 2.1). This period usually represents the peak of the spawning. If this period represents the peak in 1989 and the spawning curve had the same shape as in 1981-1984 or 1986, the estimated egg production was in the range $34-56 \times 10^{12}$ eggs. If the spawning curve in 1989 had the same, shape as in 1988, the egg production was estimated at $36 \times 10^{i 2}$ corresponding to a spawning stock size of $53,000 \mathrm{t}$ (Anon. 1990a). This indicates an increase in the spawning stock from $37,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1988 (Iversen et al., 1989) to $53,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1989. However, the spawning stock in the North Sea is still considered to be at an extremely low level.

During the egg survey only a few mackerel wexe caught, so there are, therefore, very few data on the age composition of the North Sea spawning stock. The age structure is considered to be the same as in 1988. The increase in spawning stock might be due to the 1987 year class.

### 5.4 Assessment of the Western Stock

### 5.4.1 Mean weight at age

## Mean weight at age in the catch

Mean weights at age in the catches by quarter in 1989 were provided by Scotland (Divisions VIa and IVa), England (Divisions VIId,e,f), Ireland (Divisions VIa and VIIb,j), Norway (Divisions IIa, IIIa and IVa), Denmark (Divisions IVa and IIIa), USSR (Divisions IIa, Vb), the Netherlands (Divisions IVa,b,c, VIa, VXIb, d, e,f, $j)$, and spain (Division VIIIb).

Weighted (by number) mean catch weight-at-age estimates were made by divisions by quarter and by division by year for catches from the Western and North Sea area. These are shown in Table 5.6 by division, but Divisions VIIb, c,j,k, and Divisions VIIa,d-h and also Divisions VIJIa,b,d,e were combined. The mean weights at age in the catch are given in Table 5.14,

## Mean weicht at age in the stock

Mean weights at age of the spawning stock at spawning time were estimated for 1989 by using samples from Dutch commercial freezer trawlers in Division VIIj in March, April and May. These weights (in kg ) are shown in Table 5.13 (1-year-olds are rarely taken in samples; therefore, a constant weight of 0.070 kg was assumed for all years for this age group).

### 5.4.2 Matuxity at age

Estimates of maturity at age can have a large influence on estimated spawning stock biomass. The 1987 year class is thought to be relatively large, so this is particularly true for the estimate of stock size in 1989.

The previous large year class was that of 1984, and during the 1986 egg survey it was found that the proportion mature was less than the $60 \%$ assumed in the maturity ogive. This information, together with a much lower number of 2 -year-olds on the spawning grounds than expected and a lower-than-average growth rate, resulted in a revision of the estimate of the proportion mature to $20 \%$.

Sampling carried out during the trawl survey in 1989 indicated that $93 \%$ of the 1987 year class were mature on the spawning grounds (Section 2.3). The age composition estimated from the same survey agrees closely with that from the VRA (Section 5.4.3), and does not suggest that there were fewex 2-year-olds on the spawning ground than expected. A proportion of these fish is likely to show abortive matuxation, but in the absence of new information there are no grounds for revising the figure of $60 \%$ mature for this year class.

### 5.4.3 Eishing mertality and tuning of the VPA

In 1988, the Working Group decided that future assessments should use catch-at-age data extended to include a 15+ group. In 1989, the Working Group suggested that this should be done by increasing the data by one age group each year, because ageing to $15+$ was thought to be unreliable for the years prior to the Age

Determination Workshop held in Lowestoft in June 1987. The catch-at-age data currently extend to a $12+$ age group, and the present Working Group questioned both the reliability of age readings beyond this, and the advantages of further extending the number of ages. It was, therefore, decided to abandon attempts to include older age groups.
Separable VPAs were run to examine the exploitation pattern, all years prior to 1984 downweighted. Choosing a reference age of 5 and a terminal $S$ of 1 , the results of the SVPA suggested an almost flat exploitation pattern over the age range $5-11$ (Table 5.11).

Using a reference age of 5 and terminal 5 of 1 , SVPAs were run using a range of fishing mortalities. Each run of the SVPA was used to calculate a VPA with input $F$ values based on the terminal populations. The terminal fishing mortality chosen was the one which minimized the squared residuals between the VPA estimates of SSB, and those of the series of egg surveys in 1977, 1980, 1983, 1986, and 1989. The first two egg survey results are suspect. The coverage in 1977 was sparse, and an anomalously low estimate of egg production during one period of the 1980 survey resulted in a revision of the final estimate (Anon., 1981). The residuals were, therefore, also calculated excluding the 1980 survey and excluding both the 1977 and 1980 surveys.

The results are shown in Figure 5.1. Both curves show a minimum at approximately $F=.275$. The curves excluding the 1980 egg survey and excluding both the 1977 and 1980 egg surveys are almost indistinguishable, but including the 1980 result raises the curve. This illustrates that the VPA has converged by 1980, and the tuning is almost wholly dependent on the latest 3 egg surveys. It also suggests that the 1980 egg survey is an overestimate, and perhaps should not have been revised to exclude the pexiod with low egg production.

The input data and the results of the VPA, using a terminal fishing mortality of 0.275 in the separable $V P A$, are shown in Tables 5.12-5.16 and in Figures 5.7A and B. Spawning stock biomasses from the VPA and from the egg surveys are plotted in Figure 5.2. The spawning stock biomass in 1989 is estimated to have been $1.93 \mathrm{million} t$, that in 19882.01 miliion $t$, or about $17 \%$ higher than that estimated in last year's assessment. However, the VPA xesults suggest the 1987 and 1988 year classes may be weaker than previously assumed. The net result is that spawning stock biomass in 1989 is close to that predicted, but not because of any increase in biomass since 1988.

The age composition in 1989 indicated by the VPA is compared with that estimated during the trawl survey of the stock from 23 May 12 June 1989 in Figure 5.3 (see Section 2.3).

### 5.4.4 Forecast for the Western stock

The 1989 Mackerel Working Group defined three levels of recruitment for prediction puxposes. Predicted recruitment of strong and weak year classes was taken to be the geometric means of recent strong and weak year classes, respectively, with an intermediate value between these two. This was thought to be realistic, given the tendency for recruitment to be either very strong or very weak in recent years. The pxesent Working Group adopted the same method. The geometric mean of the 1982, 1983,

1985, and 1986 year classes was used as a value of weak recruitment. For strong recruitment, the 1979, 1980, 1981, and 1984 yeax classes were used. The resulting recruitment values are shown in the text table below:

| Level | O-group | 1-group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Strong | 6300 | 5400 |
| Intermediate | 3900 | 3300 |
| Weak | 1400 | 1200 |

Recruitment of 0-group fish in 1990, 1991, and 1992 was assumed to be intermediate.

For the 1989 and 1988 year classes, the recruit survey indices (see Section 4.1) were used to select the appropriate level of recruitment. The recruitment indices, together with the numbers of 1-group and $2-g r o u p$ estimated from the VPA, are presented in Table 5.17. The indices and VPA values for years up to and including 1987 were used to calculate regressions through the origin in order to predict the strengths of the 1988 and 1989 year classeg (Figures 5.4-5.5). The regressions are summarized below:

| Age group | Regression <br> equation | Correlation <br> coefficient |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| First-winter juveniles | $\mathbf{y}=38.8 x$ | $r=0.92$ |
| Second-winter juveniles | $y=22.9 x$ | $r=0.60$ |

The regression for the second-winter fish is considered to be unreliable and was, thexefore, not used for the prediction.

Using the abundance indices of first-winter juveniles, the regressions indicate an abundance of the 1989 year class as 1 -group to be 6,751 million fish, corresponding to a strong level of recruitment. For the 1989 year class, a recruitment value of 5,400 million as 1 -group in 1990 was, thexefore, selected from the above text table and used in the prediction.

For the 1988 year class, the regression indicates an abundance of 4,112 miliion fish as 1-group, an intermediate level of recruitment. The abundance as 1 -group in 1989 was assumed to be intermediate ( 3,300 million), and the mortality estimate from the VPA used to calculate the corresponding numbers of 2 -group in 1990 for the prediction.

The stock and catch predictions were based on the following additional assumptions:
a) The fishing pattern in 1990 was assumed to be that estimated by the separable VPA.
b) The catch in 1990 was assumed to be 550,000 t. This was based on the agreed TAC and the likely level of USSR catches. A discard level of about $5,000 t$ was also assumed (see Section 5.5).
c) Mean weights at age in the stock were assumed to be the same as those in 1989.

The input variables used in the prediction are summarized in Table 5.18.

The predictions for stock and catch in 1991 and 1992 were calculated for $F$ med (Figure 5.6), $F 8.1^{\prime} F_{91}=F_{90}$, and $F_{91}=F_{89}$ - The results are givemedn Tables 5.19 and 5.20 . ${ }^{\text {shoret-term }}$ yield and spawning stock biomass in relation to $F$ axe also given in Figure 5.7D.

The results indicate that the stock size in 1990 will be about the same as that in 1989, if the 1988 year class is of intermediate strength. Thereafter, with current levels of fishing mortality, the stock will remain at around 2.0 million $t$ in 1991 and 1992.

### 5.5 Management Considerations - Western and North Sea Stocks

The TAC set for the western stock for 1989 amounted to $495,000 \mathrm{t}$, compared with a figure of 573,000 $t$ in 1988. This considerable decrease obviously resulted in consequential decreases in many of the national quotas for the various fleets. The total catch of the Western stock taken during 1989 has been estimated to have been around $567,000 t$, which itself was a considerable decrease on the figure for 1988. It was, however, still far in excess of both the agreed level and the recommended level ( $355,000 \mathrm{t}$ ). It is difficult to judge how much of this decrease has been due to the management of the fishery by the various authorities. However, the overall decrease in the total catch, together with the reduction in the amounts of the unallocated catches and in the misreported catches, would suggest an overall improvement in the management regime.

Although the total catch taken from the Western stock decreased in 1989, the level of $F$ in recent years as evident from the VPA has shown a slow but continuous increase. The average level for the last three years (1986-1989) has been around $F=0.26$. This level, it should be pointed out, is in excess of $F$ med which is estimated to be 0.15. As $F$ ised the fishing mortality ${ }^{\text {m }}$ at which the historical data on spedk/recruitment suggest that the stock should be sustainable, the present level of $F$ must be considered to be too high.

The management of the fishery in recent years has been considered in detail by both the 1988 and 1989 Working Groups, and by ACFM in May 1989. It has, however, been generally difficult to give clear and precise advice for this stock for a number of reasons. The main difficulties arise because of:

1) The recent major changes in the distribution of the stock and in the fisheries.
2) The mixing of the Western stock with the North Sea stock, particulariy in Divisions IIa and IVa in the third and fourth quarters.
3) The misreporting of catches from Divisions IVa and VIa.
4) The various intexnational agreements which have in recent years permitted the TAC to be far in excess of the levels recommended by ACFM.
5) The necessity to provide adequate protection for the juveniles component which itself has changed its distribution in recent years.

Recent Working Groups have, therefore, expressed the view that, despite the above difficulties, any management policy should be aimed at affording maximum protection to the North Sea stock while, at the same time, allowing fishing on the Western stock to be continued at the optimum exploitation rate.

It has been pointed out by previous Working Groups that the management of this fishery should ensure as far as possible that maximum catches are taken during the period July-November. This will improve the exploitation pattern as well as increase the mean weight at age in the catch. During this period mackexel are in their peak condition, having both their highest fat content and the highest mean weight. Catches during this period contain the lowest numbers of fish and, therefore, generate a low level. of $F$ in comparison with similar catches during winter and spring.

The present Working Group again considered the management of the fishery against the above background.
stock distribution and mixing
The situation in regard to the distribution of the Western stock and the mixing of the Western and North Sea stocks is believed to have been very similax in 1989 to recent years. This, therefore, presents the same problem for management authorities. In an effort to allow some flexibility of fishing in 1989, management authorities permitted catches of $47,750 \mathrm{t}$ of the TAC for the Western area to be taken east of $4^{0} \mathrm{~W}$ in a defined "box" (Figure 5.8) during the period October 1989-December 1989. A similar regulation is in operation for 1990.

## Misxeporting of Catches

The amount of catches taken in Division IVa and reported as having been taken in Division VIa decreased considerably during 1989. The estimated figure for 1989 was $92,200 t$ compared with $180,000 t$ in $1988,117,000 t$ in 1987 , and $143,000 t$ in 1986. Although the reduction in these misreported catches is significant and obviously the result of the legal catches permitted in the box, the actual amount of $92,200 t$ is stilll extremely high.

Reports that large catches of mackerel in 1989 had been reported as being horse mackerel and other species were also discussed by the Group. Although this practice may have occurred during 1989, the extent of it is not believed to have been significant. However, the working Group would like to draw the attention of management authorities to the problem with a view to eliminating it, if possible.

In Novembex 1989, ACFM re-affirmed all of its advice for the Western and North Sea mackerel stocks. The recommendation about catch levels stated that the TAC for Western mackerel in 1990 should not exceed $480,000 \mathrm{t}$, and that this TAC should apply to all areas in which Western mackerel are caught. A summary of the various agreements for 1990 is shown in the text table below.

Agreed TACs for 1990

| Vb, VI, VII, VIII (except VIIIC) XII, XIV | EEC | 331,630 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Norway | 23,800 |  |
|  | Faroes | 19,200 | 374,630 |
| IVa north of $59^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | EEC | 15,100 |  |
|  | Norway | 29,200 |  |
|  | Sweden | *900 | 45,200 |
| IIa | Norway | 96,240 |  |
|  | EEC | 15,430 | 111,670 |
| Sum |  |  | 531,500 |

Obviously the permitted catch is higher than the recommended level, mainly because of fixed agreements between different management authorities which apparently cannot be altered from year to year.

## protection of Juyeniles

Recent Working Groups have discussed in detail various management measures designed to ensure adequate protection for juvenile mackerel. These discussions have centered mainly around closed areas, minimum landing size, and minimum mesh size. In 1989, juvenile mackerel were again distributed over a wide area, but the amounts taken in the catches are quite small except for Division IXa (see discussion in Section 3.3). In May 1989, ACFM drew attention to the possibility of increased discarding of young mackerel in the event of the recruitment of strong year classes. However, the Working Group has no evidence of any increased discards during 1989, although this problem will be kept. under review. In general, the Working Group has no reason to change any of the conclusions that were made in 1989. It is still considered necessary to retain the "box" around Cornwall, and UK investigations showed that substantial amounts of juvenile mackerel were again present in this area early in 1990. Again, as expressed in 1989, the introduction of a 30 cm minimum size for the Western area does not seem necessary on biological grounds and would lead to a highex discard rate when a strong year class enters the fishery.

## Mackerel By-Catch in Division IVc

It was agreed by ACFM that the Working Group should consider the possibility of allowing a small TAC for mackerel in the southern North sea for the benefit of non-directed (white fish) fisheries in which mackerel are at present discarded. The working Group discussed this question and concluded that, although there is evidence to suggest that mackerel in the southern North sea may orginate from the Western stock, they are in fact contributing to the North Sea spawning stock. This spawning stock is still con-
sidered to be at a dangerously low level and has shown little signs of recovery. The Working Group cannot recommend any level of fishing because it might fuxther endanger this stock. The matter could, however, be reviewed by ACFM if the 1990 North sea egg surveys indicate any significant recovery of the stock.

## Conclusions

The Working Group would like to reiterate the suggestions made in 1989, which were summarized by ACFM in November 1989. The management regime should attempt to ensure that:

1) The overall TAC should apply to all areas in which mackerel are caught, i.e., including Division IIa, Division $V b$, and Divisions VIIIa,b; Sub-axeas VI and VII (all for the whole year), and Division IVa from 1 August - 31 December.
2) There should be no fishing for mackerel in Divisions IVb, c at any time of the year.
3) That the entire North Sea area (Sub-area IV and Division IIIa) should be closed during the period 1 January - 31 July .
4) Catches in Divisions IIIa and IVa should be reduced to the lowest practical level to ensure maximum protection for the North Sea stock. In this regard it is noted that the catch permitted for Division IVa during 1989 was from 1 October to 31 December. It is not possible to estimate what quantity of the actual catch taken belonged to the North Sea stock.
5) The 30 cm minimum landing size at present in force in the North Sea (Sub-area IV and Division rIIa) should be maintained, and the present by-catch regulations should be continued.
6) The present closed area in Divisions VIIe,f, should be retained with its present boundaries.

## 6 MACKEREL IN DIVISIONS VILIC and IXA

## 6. 1 Review of "The Mackerel in pivisions VIIIc and IXa Workshop" and of Data on Stock Identity.

According to a recommendation by the Working Group (Anon., 1989a), later supported by ACFM and the Pelagic Fish Committee, Portuguese and Spanish scientists met at INIP, Lisbon on 12 to 14 March 1990, with the following agenda:

- examination of the available information for defining stock units;
- future needs in that field;
- agreement of a handy format for the data used for assessment for its presentation to the Mackerel Working Group.


### 6.1.1 pata on stock identity <br> Spawning seasons and grounds

In central Division IXa, it is evident from the macroscopical
examination of maturity stages in commercial catches, that the spawning season extends from January until May, with a very marked peak in February (Gordo and Martins, 1986), and that in southern Division IXa, the peak of spawning occurs in January. At spawning time, the sea surface temperature (SST) is in the range $13-16^{6} \mathrm{c}$.

In the central and eastern parts of Division VIIIc, the spawning season extends from February to June with peaks in March and April (Cort et al., 1986; Lucio, pers.comm.).

Results of ichthyoplankton monitoring programs in the eastern part of Division VIIIc (S. Sebastian), central Division VIIIc (Santander), and northern Division IXa (Vigo) showed peaks of egg abundance in March and Apri.1. The SST at the peak of spawning was about $12-14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The disappearance of mackerel eggs coincides with a warming of the sea up to $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Valencia et al. 1989 ; Sola et al., 1990).

Other Spanish ichtyoplankton surveys conducted to estimate the stock biomass of anchovy and sardine provide little information about the abundance and distribution of the mackerel eggs, because these surveys were carried out in May and June (Anon., 1990a).

## Age and length for maturity

Data from commercial catches indicate that all females are mature by age two in central and southern Division rXa (Jorge et al., 1982), whexeas in eastern Division VIrrc 100\% maturity is at age three (Lucio, pers.com). The length at $50 \%$ of maturation is 24 cm in central and southern Division IXa and nearly 30 cm in eastern Division viIIc.
$L_{1}$ studies
Differences in spawning period (mackerel spawn at least one month earlier in Division IXa south than in Division VIIIc), and in the sea temperature can be expected to produce marked differences in growth, particularly in the first year. It was, therefore, expected that studies of the values of $L_{\text {, could give some indications }}$ of stock identity (Dawson 1983, 1986).

Two kinds of data were presented. First, a set of measurements from samples taken since 1986 over three year classes in southern Division IXa were examined. Although no formal statistical test was performed, thexe was no indication of differences between year classes.

The observed $L_{1}$ differs markediy from those in the western stock (Dawson 1986), suggesting very little mixing between the two areas. In addition, $L_{1}$ measurements were made on samples of the 1984 year class taken in the central and southern parts of Division IXa and in Division VIIIc. The results show differences in $I_{1}$ between the two areas, with the values from Division VIIIC similar to those observed in the western stock (Dawson, 1986).

It seems likely that the 1 -year-old and older mackerel caught in Division VIIIc were spawned later and in a different place from those caught in central and southern Division IXa.

### 6.1.2 Future work

No conclusive results could be derived from the data on stock identity. The information available indicates that adult mackerel taken from Division rXa and VIIIc spawn in diffexent areas at different times, however, the spawning grounds are not yet well defined. Therefore, the Woxkshop recommended that future work should include analysis of plankton surveys, biological tags, analysis of available biological information, biochemical analysis and further analysis of the spatio-temporal distribution of catch data. It was also agreed that a more detailed analysis of the available $L_{1}$ material should be undertaken.

### 6.1.3 Data base for assessment

It was agreed to try to collect and present to the Mackerel Working Group, if possible, the basic information available from 1982 onwards. This is necessary in order to attempt any assessment of the mackerel in Divisions VIIIc and IXa.

### 6.2 Additional Information

Sampling data obtained from the commercial catches in Divisions VIIIa, b during 1987, 1988, and 1989 do not indicate any difference from the data collected from the eastern part of Division VIIIC (Lucio, WD90; Lucio and Martin, wD89, and Martin and Lucio, WD89).

### 6.3 The Fishery in 1989

Catch estimates by division and country are shown in Table 6.1. For Division IXa, the figures exclude catches which were known to have been taken from the Gulf of Cadiz (southern Division IXa), because no accurate catch data were available. Sampling intensity data in 1989 (Table 6.2) indicate that good sampling coverage was achieved. The catches by different fleets and countries are shown in Table 6.3.

This year an attempt was made to split the catches of Division VIIIc into eastern, central, and western components (Figure 6.1). Table 6.4 summarizes the composition by length and age groups of the catches taken in each of these axeas and in Division IXa. Also shown are similar data for Divisions VIIIa,b.

## Division IXa

There was an estimated $38 \%$ decrease of the catch in Division IXa in 1989 compared to 1988. The catches in numbers at age from the Portuguese fishery for the years 1981-1989 are shown in Table 6.5, and those from the Spanish fishery for the years 1988 and 1989 in Table 6.6. These show that landings are mainly of juveniles, the 0 - and 1 -groups contributing $61 \%$ and $29 \%$, respectively of the 1989 catch in number. The catches were dominated by 1group fish during the first quarter and by o-group fish during the remainder of the year (Table 6.7 and Figure 3.3). Catches of 0 -group were higher in the northern part of Division IXa and were mainly taken as a by-catch of other fisheries.

## Division VIIIc

In Division VIIIc there was a $20 \%$ decrease in landings compared with 1988 which can be explained by a decrease in fishing effort. The catches in numbers at age are shown for 1988 and 1989 in Table 6.6.

The age distribution of the catches by quarter are shown in Table 6.7. In the eastern and central parts, mackerel catches are almost confined to the fixst and second quarters, March and April accounting for almost $95 \%$ of total annual catches in the eastern part. The concentration of mackerel in the area at this time is predominantly of adult spawning fish. Catches in the third quarter are insignificant and only small catches of mainly adult mackerel occur in the fourth quarter.

As in the eastern and central parts, the largest catches from the western part of Division VIIIc (north Galicia) are obtained in the first and second quarters. These are also predominantly adult fish. However, unlike the eastern and central parts, there are significant catches in the third and fourth quarters, and about 85\% of them belong to the 0 - and 1- age groups.

A Spanish mackerel (Scomber iaponicus) fishery also occurs in the eastern and central parts of Division VIIIc, but thexe is not thought to be any misidentification of species in the fishery (Lucio et al., WD90).

### 6.4 Mortaijty and Exploitation Pattern

As mentioned in Section 6.1.2, it is at present not possible to define the stock units in Divisions IXa and VIIIc. However, the available information indicates that the mackerel caught in Division IXa have biological charactexistics different from the Western stock, and that they may belong to another stock.

Catch in numbers at age are available for Portuguese catches in Division IXa from 1981-1989. To get an idea about the level of mortality and the exploitation pattern, a VPA was run on the Portuguese data. The terminal Fs at age were chosen as the average Fs at age for the entire period. Natural mortality was set to 0.15 for all age groups.

The results show a very high exploitation of juvenile mackerel (ages 0-2) and a decreasing mortality for older age groups. The apparent exploitation pattern may be due to a mixture of migration out of the area and a true decrease in exploitation of the older mackerel.

As the exercise was only carried out using Portuguese data from Division IXa, and the migration and stock identity are unclear, the results can only be taken as a very rough indication of the fishing mortality. Because of these uncertainties it was decided not to use the results in a yield-per-recruit analysis as suggested by ACFM (Minutes of ACFM Meeting 23-31 May 1989).

### 6.5 Management Considexations

Although improved information was presented by Spanish and Portuguese scientists, the Working Group considered that there was still insufficient information available to define stock
units in this area or to determine their relationship with that of the Western stock. It does appear, however, that mackerel from the eastern and central parts of Division VIIIc do not differ from those in the rest of the Bay of Biscay (Divisions VIIIa-b). However, at the moment it is difficult to decide on a boundary which would separate Division VIIIc into 2 sub-units, one in which the catches could be included with those from the western stock, and one which could be included with a "Southern" stock. It is considered important that a future attempt to do this should be made by compiling the necessary catch and biological information. If this was done, it may then be possible at the next meeting of the Working Group to make a realistic alllocation of the catches to the appropriate area and to make a preliminary assessment of the Southern stock. At the moment, catches for Division VIIIc, which might belong to the Western stock, amount to only about $3 \%$ of the total catch taken from the Western stock. Their exclusion, therefore, at the moment would have a negligible effect on the assessment.

Therefore, the fishery in this area (Division VIIIc and IXa) was again dealt with separately from that on Western mackerel stock.

Although a reliable assessment was not carried out, some management considerations were discussed. The fishing pattern in Division IXa, and in the second part of the year in the western part of Division VIIIc, is very unsatisfactory, because it is based on juvenile mackerel (Figure 3.9 and Tables 6.4 and 6.7). However, mackerel is only a by-catch in other directed fisheries. The Working Group, therefore, suggested, as it also did in 1989, that management measures should be dixected towards trawling in the first half of the year and towards seining in the second half. As a big proportion of the catch of mackerel in the northern part of Division IXa is taken by seiners, mesh sizes are not very relevant, therefore, minimum landing sizes or effort limitations should be considered. However, the losses and gains derived from these measures cannot be assessed at present. Similar measures might be considered for the western part of Division VIIIC in the second half of the year.

In the eastern and central paxts of Division VIIIc, the abundance of juveniles is much lower, and the resulting fishing pattern looks satisfactory.

### 6.5.1 Management considerations: ACFM request

ACFM requested that the Working Group should consider the possibility of protecting the juveniles in Divisions VIIIc and IXa with a view to specifying areas and seasons in which fishing might be prohibited. As indicated in Figuxe 3.9, large numbers of juvenile mackerel are landed in the western part of Divisions VIIIc and IXa by various fleets. The actual landings, however, in some quarters are quite small. Although the stock identity of these juvenile mackerel is not yet clear, i.t is certain from the catches in numbers-at-age data (Tables 3.5 and 3.6) that catches of this magnitude must have a considerable adverse effect on the exploitation pattern of whatevex stock they recruit to.

Most of the landings of juvenile mackerel from these areas are taken as a by-catch in other dixected fisheries [mixed demexsal fisheries, horse mackerel (in some quarters), and sardine]. It is clear, therefore, that if landings of juvenile mackerel are to
be eliminated completely, then the directed fisheries in which they are taken must be prohibited. This obviously would cause considerable problems for the management authorities.

The 1989 Working Group discussed possible methods on how the exploitation pattern could be improved, and these have again been outlined in the previous Section 6.5. In order to give more precise advice, however, on possible specific area and seasonal closures, a more detailed breakdown of the area catch composition per quarter is required. If such data together with data on an appropriate minimum size were available, it may be possible to identify small axeas or time periods during which fishing should be prohibited. It should also be noted that apart from the landings of small mackerel from these areas, it is also believed that considerable quantities may be caught but discarded. Information on the extent of this problem is also urgently required.

## 7 DATA REQUESTED BY THE MULTISPECIES WORKING GROUP

### 7.1 Catch at Age by Quarter for the North Sea Mackerel Stock

As for 1987 and 1988, the catches of mackerel in Sub-area IV and Division IIIa in 1989 were included in the assessment of the Western stock.

Adequate samples from the North Sea stock were not available to the Working Group. As mentioned in Section 5.2, the Working Group assumes a catch of 3,000 t North Sea mackerel in 1989 [this is the same as estimated for 1988 (Anon., 1989a]. To construct a catch table for 1989, the numbers caught in 1988 for the year classes of 1986 and older were reduced by $z=0.21$ (Anon., 1989a). Using the same weight at age in catch in 1989 as in 1987 and 1988 (Table 7.2), the estimated catch of North Sea mackerel 3-yearolds and older was about $1,930 \mathrm{t}$. The catch of the 1-yearmolds was set the same as in 1988 , i.e., a total of about 70 t. Since the increase observed in spawning stock size between the egg surveys in 1988 and 1989 was probably due to the 1987 yeax class, the remainder ( $1,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) was assigned to this year class. Over $80 \%$ of the catches in number along the southern and southeastern coast of Norway in May were of the 1987 year class. The numbers in catch by age group (Table 7.1) were divided into quarters according to the catch by quarters for Sub-area IV and Division IIIa as given in Table 5.4.

### 7.2 Weight at Age by Quarter for the North Sea Mackerel Stock

The Working Group had no available data for weight in the stock by quarter for 1989. The Working Group, therefore, recommends the use of the same weights as were used in 1988. Smoothed weights for the different quarters are listed in Table 7.2.

### 7.3 Stock Distribution by Ouarter

Due to the small size of the North Sea stock and the fact that $50 \%$ of the total mackerel catches in 1989 were fished in the North Sea and Skagerrak, laxge proportions of the Western stock must have been distributed in these areas, particularly in quarters three and four. Two-year-old fish were observed in large quantities during both a Norwegian acoustic survey (Aglen, WD90) in the northwestern part of the North Sea and during a Danish acoustic survey in the central North Sea and in the Skagerrak
(Kirkegaard, WD90).
Therefore, the Working Group concluded that the distribution in 1989 was similar to that in 1988 (Table 7.3). Related distributions in percentage by quarter fox the Western stock in the North Sea since 1973 is given in Iversen and Skagen (1989).

Available information about distribution of juvenile mackerel is reviewed in Anon. (1990b). Very little is known about the distribution of 0 -groups except for the winter period. The main nursery areas for North Sea mackerel are in the east central North Sea and close to the Norwegian trench in the northern North Sea. The nuxsery area for o-group mackerel of the Western stock is considered to be in a wide area from Noxth Rona down into the Bay of Biscay with the greatest abundance near to the shelf-edge south of Ireland and in the western Channel and its approaches. The Working Group, therefore, assumes that the amount of Western stock O-groups in the North Sea is very small.

During the period 1980-1986, about 0-3\% of the total catch of 1group mackerel was caught in Sub-area IV and Division IIIa. During the same period, about 0.5-3.5\% of the total catch of 2group fish was caught in these areas. According to surveys, the 1- and 2 -groups were very abundant in the third and fourth quarters in the North Sea and the Skagerxak.

The general picture given in Anon. (1990b) is that up to 1982, 1and 2 -group mackerel were mainly found in Sub-areas VII and VIII where they contributed as much as $50 \%$ of the catches in numbers in certain years. From 1982 to 1986 , juvenile mackexel formed a higher proportion of the total catch in Division VIa, indicating that the distribution had extended to the north of these areas. Since 1985, large quantities of juvenile mackerel have been present in the thixd and fourth quarters in the eastern part of the North Sea and Skagerrak.

The Woxking Group gives its guesstimate for the percentages of Western 1-and 2-group mackerel in the North Sea during 1973-1989 in Table 7.4. The percentages since 1986 are the same as given in the previous Working Group reports.

## 8 DEFICIENCIES_IN DATA

Most Working Group members are satisfied about the accuracy of the national catches which have been estimated. There are, however, still considerable differences between some Working Group catch estimates and the national official figures. The total amount of "unallocated" catches and misreported catches decreased during 1989, although a number of members are still concerned about the accuracy of the reported origin of their catches. It should be pointed out that the log book scheme operated by the EEC permits a $20 \%$ tolerance between actual boat landings and the reported catch. It is possible, therefore, that countries relying on the log books for catch data may be consistently underestimating the total catch by a considerable amount. The Working Group would also again draw attention to the lack of information on the quantity of mackerel which are caught but discarded. The problem has been discussed in section 5.1.1.

## 9 RECOMMENDATIONS

### 9.1 Research Recommendations

## Mackerel/Horse Mackerel Egg Production Workshop

The Mackerel Working Group recommends that the next Mackerel/Hoxse Mackerel Egg Production Workshop be held at IJmuiden for three days in early 1991, before research vessel time is firmly scheduled by most countries.

## Data processing

It is unlikely that MAFF will be able to take full responsibility for data processing for future egg surveys. Therefore, an alternative arrangement for pxocessing the data has to be found at the next Mackerel/Horse Makcerel Egg Production Workshop meeting.

## The batch fecundity method

The Mackerel Working Group recommends that the comparison between the total fecundity method and the batch fecundity method should be repeated in 1992.

## Spawning fraction

The observation that mackerel spawn throughout the 24 hour diel cycle means that in oxder to estimate spawning fraction, good estimates of oocyte maturation and post-ovulatory follicle durations are necessary. Further experiments on captive mackerel axe recommended to improve the accuracy of measurement of spawning fraction. Further observations on diel periodicity of spawning are recommended.

## Rate of Atresia

The prevalence and intensity of atresia as measured from histological sections can only be used to estimate egg loss through atresia if the duration of atretic stages is known. At present, this information is not available. Research on captive mackerel is recommended to determine the duration of atretic stages.

## Acoustic surveys

The acoustic surveys carried out in the summer in the North sea area should supply data on the distribution of mackerel in the North Sea.

Acoustic surveys should also be carried out on the overwintering population of the Western stock. Such surveys would provide further fishery-independent estimates of stock size.

## Recruitment surveys

The Working Group recommends that a planning group should be established by ICES, which would study all existing fish surveys carried out in the Western areas with a view to establishing a proper standardized international survey which would obtain recruitment indices for as many species as possible.

## Egq surveys in Divisions VIIIc and IXa

Egg surveys should be carxied out in Divisions VIIIc and IXa to supply information on population biomass. This may also provide information on stock identity.

## Egg survey design and data analysis

Because most of the variation in the egg survey spawning stock biomass estimate dexives from the estimation of egg production, further work on survey design and data analysis is recommended.

## The mackerel in Divisions VIJIc and IXa workshop

The Working Group recommends that a workshop should be held by Spanish and Portuguese scientists in Lisbon at the end of 1990 to consider the problem of stock identity and to try to collect a data base fox assessment before the next Mackerel Working Group meeting.

### 9.2 Management Recommendations

1. The overall TAC should apply to all areas in which mackerel are caught, i.e., including Division Ila, Division Vb , and Divisions VIIIa,b; Sub-areas VI and VII (all for the whole year), and Division IVa from 1 August - 31 December.
2. There should be no fishing for mackerel in Divisions IVb, $c$ at any time of the year.
3. The entire North Sea area (Sub-area IV and Division IIIa) should be closed during the period 1 January - 31 July.
4. Catches in Divisions IIIa and IVa should be reduced to the lowest practical level to ensure maximum protection for the North Sea stock. In this regard it is noted that the catch permitted for Division IVa during 1989 was from 1 October to 31 December. It is not possible to estimate what quantity of the actual catch taken belonged to the North Sea stock.
5. The 30 cm minimum landing size at present in force in the North Sea (Sub-area IV and Division IIIa) should be maintained and the present by-catch regulations should be continued.
6. The present closed area in Divisions Vrre, f, should be retained with its present boundaries.
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Table 2.1 Summary of western mackerel stock Stage 1 egg production in 1989. (Revised from Anon., 1990a).

|  | Daily egg production $\times 10^{13}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Period 1 | Period 2 | Period 3 | Period 4 | Period 5 |
| Survey pexiod | 1-20 April | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \text { April- } \\ & 20 \mathrm{May} \end{aligned}$ | 21 May6 June | 7-24 June | 4-19 July |
| Survey mid point | 10 April | 3 May | 29 May | 15 June | 11 July |
| Standard area | Not valid | 1.5727 | 2.1344 | 1.6532 | 0.3124 |
| North of $56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | - | - | 0.0677 | - | - |
| South of $44^{\circ} 30{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | - | 0.0287 | - | 0.0032 | - |

Table 2.2 Sumary of the western mackerel stock total egg production in 1989. (Revised from Anon., 1990a).

| Area | Total egg production | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard area $56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}-44^{\delta^{\prime}} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 1.410 | 93.8 |
| North of standard area Noxth $56^{0} \mathrm{~N}$ | 0.041 | 2.7 |
| Western Channel East of $7^{\circ} 30^{\prime} W$ | $0.039^{1}$ | 2.6 |
| South of standard area South $44^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 0.014 | 0.9 |

Table 2.3 Estimated biomass of mackerel in the eastern north Atlantic. Also shown are the components from which biomass is estimated. (Standard errors in parenthesis).

| sector | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. eggs } \\ E_{d} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Fec- <br> undity <br> $F_{b W}$ | Spawning Fraction S | Sex ratio r | Biomass <br> B (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northern | $\begin{array}{r} 1.088 \\ (0.417 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{12} \\ & \left.10^{12}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.33 \\ & (5.52) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1820 \\ (0.0523) \end{gathered}$ | 0.5 | $\begin{gathered} 2.893 \times 10^{11} \\ \left(1.438 \times 10^{11}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| Central | $\begin{array}{r} 1.137 \\ (0.349 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{13} \\ & 10^{13} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45.72 \\ & (3.41) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3348 \\ (0.0787) \end{gathered}$ | 0.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.485 \times 10^{12} \\ & \left(0.585 \times 10^{12}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| Southern | $\begin{gathered} 9.945 \\ (2.2099 \end{gathered}$ | x <br> $\mathbf{x}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{12} \\ & \left.10^{12}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.49 \\ & (2.04) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6205 \\ (0.0545) \end{gathered}$ | 0.5 | $\begin{gathered} 5.776 \times 10^{11} \\ \left(1.338 \times 10^{11}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 2.240 \\ 10.408 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{13} \\ & 10^{13} \mathrm{f} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2.352 \times 10^{12} \\ \left(0.617 \times 10^{12}\right) \end{array}$ |

Table 2.4 The percentage age composition by number and proportions of mature western mackerel during the trawl survey 23 May - 12 June 1989.

|  |  | $\%$ |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | composition | males | females |
| 1 | 14.9 | 4 | $<1$ |
| 2 | 29.1 | 86 | 93 |
| 3 | 14.0 | 100 | 100 |
| 4 | 10.6 | 100 | 100 |
| 5 | 13.6 | 100 | 100 |
| 6 | 1.3 | 100 | 100 |
| 7 | 2.6 | 100 | 100 |
| 8 | 5.5 | 100 | 100 |
| 9 | 4.4 | 100 | 100 |
| 10 | 1.6 | 100 | 100 |
| $>10$ | 2.3 |  | 100 |

Table 2. 5 Estimates of total egg production and pre-spawning biomass of mackerel derived from the North Sea egg surveys by the total fecundity method.

|  | Total egg <br> production <br> $\left(10^{12}\right)$ | Mackerel <br> pre-spawning stock <br> biomass $\left(10^{3}\right.$ tonnes) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 126 | $190^{2}$ |
| 1983 | 160 | $240^{3}$ |
| 1984 | 78 | $118^{4}$ |
| 1986 | 30 | $45^{5}$ |
| 1988 | 251 | $37^{6}$ |
| 1989 | $36^{1}$ | $53^{7}$ |

${ }^{T}$ Only based on a single coverage at the peak of spawning.
2 (Iversen \& Westgaard, 1984)
4 (Iversen \& Westgaard, 1984)
(Iversen et al., 1985)
5 (Iversen et al., 1987)
6 (Iversen et al.. 1989)
7 (Anon., 1990a)

Table 2.6 Estimates of mackerel egg production, of pre-spawning and spawning stock biomass of mackerel derived from the western egg surveys by the total and batch fecundity method.

| TOTAL FECUNDITY METHOD |  |  |  | BATCH FECONDITY METHOD |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Total egg production ( $10{ }^{15}$ ) | Mackerel prespawning stock biomass ( $10^{6}$ t) | Mackerel spawning stock biomass ( $10^{6}$ t) | Daily egg production ( $10^{13}$ ) | Mackerel prespawning stock biomass ( $10^{6} t$ ) | Mackerel spawning stock big$\operatorname{mass}\left(10^{6} t\right)$ |
| 1977 | $1.98{ }^{1}$ | $2.72{ }^{5}$ | 2.94 | - | - | - |
| 1980 | $1.84{ }^{2}$ | $2.53{ }^{5}$ | 2.73 | - | - | - |
| 1983 | $1.50{ }^{2}$ | $2.06{ }^{5}$ | 2.22 | - | - | - |
| 1986 | $1.17{ }^{3}$ | $1.60{ }^{5}$ | 1.73 | - ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ |  | - |
| 1989 | $1.50{ }^{4}$ | $1.87{ }^{5}$ | 2.01 | $2.24{ }^{\text {8 }}$ | $2.22{ }^{\text {8 }}$ | 2.40 |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ Lockwood et al. 1981.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Anon., 1984.
${ }^{3}$ Standard area and areas to the north (Anon., 1987b).
${ }_{5}^{4}$ Standard area and areas to the north, east and south (Anon., 1990a).
${ }^{5}$ Biomass estimated from the fecundity/weight relationship of 1,457 eggs per g of pre${ }_{5}$ spawning female mackerel. (Anon., 1987b page 3).
${ }^{5}$ Biomass estimated from the fecundity/weight relationship of 1,608 eggs per $g$ of prez spawning female mackerel (Watson and Priede W.D. 1990).
${ }^{7}$ Spawning stock biomass adjusted using the relative weight of pre-spawning and spawning fish on the spawning grounds (increase of $8 \%$ ).
${ }^{8}$ Watson and Priede W.D. 1990 (see also section 2.2).

Fable 3.1 (From Walsh, working document 1990).

Mackerel: Abundance index data from research vessel surveys
Survey: IYFS, North Sea, first quarter (south of $59^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ only)
Country: All
Fishing gear. GOV

| Year | Month | ICES <br> Division | Mean nos/10 hr |  |  |  |  | Nos valid hauls |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2+ | Total |  |
| 1970 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 6536 | 13 | I | 6550 |  |
| 1971 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 3250 | 576 | 6 | 3832 |  |
| 1972 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 13 | 226 | 1 | 240 |  |
| 1973 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 28 | 2 | 1 | 31 |  |
| 1974 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 14 | 12 | 1 | 27 |  |
| 1975 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 26 | 1 | 2 | 29 |  |
| 1976 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 3 | * | 1 | 4 |  |
| 1977 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 14 | * | * | 14 |  |
| 1978 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 8 | * | * | 8 |  |
| 1979 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 3 | * | 0 | 3 |  |
| 1980 |  | IVa, b, c |  | * | * | * | 1 |  |
| 1981 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 1 | * | * | 1 |  |
| 1982 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |
| 1983 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 24 | 64 | 46 | 134 |  |
| 1984 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |  |
| 1985 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 8 | 0 | 1 | 9 |  |
| 1986 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 6 | 1 | * | 7 |  |
| 1987 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 2 | * | ? | ? |  |
| 1988 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 1 | 1 | ? | ? |  |
| 1989 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 13 | 21 | 2 | 36 |  |
| 19901 |  | IVa, b, c |  | 409 | ** | ** | ** |  |

Notes:
${ }^{\text {I }}$ provisional

* $=<0.5$
** $=$ not yet available

Table 4.1 Recruit indices North and South of $52^{0} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$.

| Years <br> class | First-winter |  |  | Second-winter |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Arithmetic mean North South |  | nos/hours <br> Ratio N:S | Arithmetic mean North South |  | nos/hours <br> Ratio N:S |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | $\cdots$ | - | - | 1 | 104 |  | 0.01 |
| 1981 | 3 | 258 | 0.01 | 8 | 228 |  | 0.04 |
| 1982 | 3 | 14 | 0.21 | - | 55 |  | - |
| 1983 | - | 5 | - | + | 14 |  | 0.02 |
| 1984 | 137 | 161 | 0.85 | 26 | 453 |  | 0.06 |
| 1985 | + | 85 | $<0.01$ | 21 | 57 |  | 0.37 |
| 1986 | 14 | 178 | 0.08 | 5 | 43 |  | 0.12 |
| 1987 | 30 | 187 | 0.16 | 108 | 323 |  | 0.33 |
| 1988 | 43 | 150 | 0.29 | 150 | 131 |  | 1.15 |
| 1989 | 250 | 105 | 2.48 | - | - |  | - |

Table 5.1 Nominal catch ( $t$ ) of MACKEREL in the North Sea, Skagerrak, and Kattegat (Sub-area IV and Division IIJa), 1980-1989. (Data submitted by Working Group members.)

| Country | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Belgium |  | 5 | 55 | 102 | 93 |
| Denmark | 13,234 | 9,982 | 2,034 | 11,285 | 10,088 |
| Faroe Islands | 14,770 | - | 720 | - | - |
| France | 2,238 | 3,755 | 3,041 | 2,248 | - |
| Germany, Fed. Rep. | 56 | 59 | 28 | 10 | 112 |
| Ireland | 738 | 733 | - | - | - |
| Netherlands | 853 | 1,706 | 390 | 866 | 340 |
| Norway | 44,781 | 28,341 | 27,966 | 24,464 | 27,311 |
| Sweden | 1,666 | 2,446 | 692 | 1,903 | 1,440 |
| UK (Engl.\& Wales) | 76 | 6,520 | 16 | 16 | 2 |
| UK (Scotland) | 9,514 | 10,575 | 44 | 4 | 13 |
| UK (N. Ireland) | - | - | - | - | - |
| USSR | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unallocated + discards | - | 3,216 | 450 | 96 | 202 |
| Total | 87,931 | 67,388 | 35,483 | 40,985 | 39,576 |


| Country | 1985 | 1986 | $1987{ }^{1}$ | 1988 | $1989^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | - | 49 | 14 | 20 | 37 |
| Denmark | 12,424 | 23,368 | 28,217 | 32,588 | 26,831 |
| Faroe Islands | 1,356 | - | - | - | - |
| France | 322 | 1,200 | 2,146 | 1,806 | 2,200 |
| Germany, Fed. Rep, | 217 | 1,853 | 474 | 177 | 6,312 |
| Ireland | - | - | - | - | 8,880 |
| Netherlands | 726 | 1,949 | 2,761 | 2,564 | 7,343 |
| Norway | 30,835 | 50,600 | 108,250 | 59,750 | 81.400 |
| Sweden | 760 | 1,300 | 3,162 | 1,003 | 6,601 |
| UK (Engl.\& Wales) | 143 | 18 | 94 | 160 | 5,618 |
| UK (Scotland) | 7 | 541 | 19,763 | 616 | 33,042 |
| UK (N. Ireland) | - | - | - | 100 | - |
| USSR | - ${ }^{-}$ | - | - - | - - | $7777^{3}$ |
| Unallocated + discards | 3,656 | 7,431 | 10,789 | 29,766 | 4,777 |
| Total | 50,446 | 88,309 | 174,306 | 128,550 | 183,041 |

${ }_{2}^{3}$ May include catches taken in Division IIa.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Preliminary.
${ }^{3}$ Preliminary: $2,587 \mathrm{t}$, discards $2,190 \mathrm{t}$.

Table 5.2 Nominal catches ( $t$ ) of MACKEREL in the Norwegian Sea (Division ITa) and off the Faroes (Division Vb), 1980-1989.

| Country | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | $1987{ }^{3}$ | $1988{ }^{3}$ | $1989{ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark ${ }^{1}$ | - | 801 | 1,008 | 10,427 | 11,787 | 7,610 | 1,653 | 3,133 | 4,265 | 3,460 |
| Faroe fslands ${ }^{1}$ | 270 | - | 480 | , | 138 | , | - | - | 22 | 100 |
| France ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | 6 | 8 |  | - | 16 | - |  | - | 11 |
| Germany, Fed. Rep. ${ }^{2}$ | - | 51 | - | 5 | - | - | 99 | - | 380 | - |
| German Dem. Rep. | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 292 | - | 2,409 |
| Norway ${ }^{1}$ | 6,618 | 12,941 | 34,540 | 38,453 | 82,005 | 61,065 | 85,400 | 25,000 | 86,400 | 68,300 |
| Poland ${ }^{2}$ | 6.6 | 12, | 231 | , | , | 61,065 | 85, | , | , | , |
| UK (Engl. \& Wales) ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | - | 255 | - | - | - | - | - ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - |
| UK (Scotland) ${ }^{2}$ | 296 | 968 | 1.64 | - | - 2 | - ${ }^{-}$ | 2,131 | 157 | 1,413 | 12, ${ }^{-}$ |
| USSR ${ }^{2}$ | 1,450 | 3,640 | 1,641 | 65 | 4,292 | 9,405 | 11,813 | 18,604 | 27,924 | 12,088 |
| Total | 8,340 | 18,662 | 37,608 | 48,950 | 98,222 | 78,096 | 101, 112 | 47,186 | 120,404 | 87,358 |
| ${ }_{2}^{1}$ Data provided by Working Group nembers.${ }_{3}$ Data reported to ICES. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{3}$ Includes catches $p$ <br> ${ }^{\text {Preliminary. }}$ | obably | taken | in the $n$ | northern | part | of Divis | ion IVa. |  |  |  |

Table 5.3 Nominal catch (tonnes) of MACKEREL in the Western area (Sub-areas VI and VII and Divisions VIIIa,b,d,e). (Data estimated by Working Group.)

| Country | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 3 | - | - | $+$ | $+$ |
| Denmark | 14,932 | 13,464 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 200 |
| Faroe Islands | 15,234 | 9,070 | 11,100 | 14,900 | 9,200 |
| France | 23,907 | 14,829 | 12,300 | 11,000 | 12,500 |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. | 21,088 | 29,221 | 11,200 | 23,000 | 11,200 |
| Ireland | 40,791 | 92,271 | 109,700 | 110,000 | 84,100 |
| Netherlands | 91,081 | 88,117 | 67,200 | 73,600 | 99,000 |
| Norway | 25,500 | 21,610 | 19,000 | 19,900 | 34,700 |
| Poland | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| Spain | 3,684 | 1,365 | - | - | 100 |
| UK (England + Wales) | 150,598 | 75,722 | 82,900 | 62,000 | 30,000 |
| OK (N. Ireland) |  | 4,153 | 9,600 | 800 | 10,600 |
| UK (Scotland) | 108,372 | 109,153 | 147,400 | 120,100 | 157,700 |
| USSR |  |  |  | + | 200 |
| Unallocated | 98,258 | 140,322 | 97,300 | 105,500 | 18,000 |
| Discard | 21,600 | 42,300 | 24,900 | 11,300 | 12,100 |
| Grand total | 615,048 | 641,598 | 607,700 | 567,100 | 479,600 |
| Country | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | $1988{ }^{1}$ | $1989{ }^{2}$ |
| Belgium | - | + | - | - |  |
| Denmark | 400 | 300 | 100 | - | 1,000 |
| Faroe Islands | 9,900 | 1,400 | 7,100 | 2,600 | $3,600^{3}$ |
| France | 7,400 | 11,200 | 11,100 | 8,900 | 12,700 |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. | 11,800 | 7,700 | 13,300 | 15,900 | 16,200 |
| Ireland | 91,400 | 74,500 | 89,500 | 85,800 | 61,100 |
| Netherlands | 37,000 | 58,900 | 31,700 | 26,100 | 24,700 |
| Norway | 24,300 | 21,000 | 21,600 | 17,300 | 700 |
| Poland |  |  | - | - | - |
| Spain | + | - | - | 1,500 | 1,400 |
| UK (Engl. + Wales) | 9,600 | 9,100 | 25,200 | 24,100 | 16,500 |
| UK (N. Ireland) | 12,200 | 9,700 | 10,700 | 8,900 | 11,000 |
| UK (Scotland) | 184,100 | 137,500 | 164,800 | 175,400 | 123,400 |
| USSR | + | - | - | + | - |
| unallocated | 75,100 | 51,000 | 25,800 | 4,700 | 16,000 |
| Discard | 4,500 | - | - | 5,800 | 4,900 |
| Grand total | 467,700 | 380,500 | 401,700 | 377,000 | 293,200 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Includes catches taken in Division IVa, but misreported to Division VIa. <br> ${ }_{3}^{2}$ Preliminary. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Data reported to ICES. |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. 4 Quarterly catches of mackerel by division and sub-area in 1989. (Data submitted by Working Group members.)

| Division/ <br> Sub-area | Quarter |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| $I I a+V b$ | (15 ${ }^{+}{ }_{1}$ | 800 | 86,100 | 156 $70{ }^{+}$ | 86,900 |
| IVa | 15,100 ${ }^{1}$ | 200 | 89,200 ${ }^{2}$ | 156,700 ${ }^{3}$ | 261,200 |
| IVb | 200 | 800 | 6,800 | 900 | 8,700 |
| IVE | 100 | 400 | 400 | 2,900 | 3,800 |
| IIIa | + | 300 | 6,200 | 1,400 | 7,900 |
| VI | 99,600 | 2,900 | 1,500 | 19,900 | 123,900 |
| VII | 29,900 | 29,600 | 3,900 | 8,500 | 71,900 |
| VIIIa,b,d,e | 1,300 | 1,500 | 200 | 300 | 3,300 |
| Sub-total | 146,200 | 36,500 | 194,300 | 190,600 | 567,600 |
| VIIIc <br> IXa | $\begin{aligned} & 6,500 \\ & 400^{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,800 \\ & 400^{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500 \\ & 800^{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 600 \\ & 100^{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 13 & , 400 \\ 5 & , 700^{5} \end{array}$ |
| Grand total |  |  |  |  | 586,700 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes estimated catches of $9,200 t$ caught in 2division rVa, but misreported to Division VIa
${ }^{2}$ Includes estimated catches of $3,000 t$ caught
in Division IVa, but misreported to Divisions VIa.
${ }^{3}$ Includes estimated catches of $80,000 \mathrm{t}$ caught in
4 Division IVa, but misreported to Division VIa.
${ }^{4}$ Includes only spanish catches.
sncludes both Spanish and Portuguese catches.

Table 5.5 Actual catches of mackerel by sub-areas. Discards not estimated prior to 1978. (Data submitted by Working Group members.)

| Year | Sub-area VI |  |  | Sub-area VII and Divisions VIIIa, $b, d, e$ |  |  | Sub-area IV and Division IIIa |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Landings | Discards | Catch | Landings | Discards | Catch | Landings | Discards ${ }^{1}$ | Catch |
| 1969 | 4,800 | - | 4,800 | 66,300 | - | 66,300 | 739,182 |  | 739,182 |
| 1970 | 3,900 | - | 3,900 | 100,300 |  | 100,300 | 322,451 |  | 322,451 |
| 1971 | 10,200 | - | 10,200 | 122,600 |  | 122,600 | 243,673 |  | 243,673 |
| 1972 | 10,000 | - | 10,000 | 157,800 |  | 157,800 | 188,599 |  | 188,599 |
| 1973 | 52,200 | - | 52,200 | 167,300 |  | 167,300 | 326,519 |  | 326,519 |
| 1974 | 64, 100 |  | 64,100 | 234, 100 |  | 234,100 | 298,391 |  | 298,391 |
| 1975 | 64,800 |  | 64,800 | 416,500 |  | 416,500 | 263,062 |  | 263,062 |
| 1976 | 67,800 | - | 67,800 | 439,400 |  | 439,400 | 303,842 |  | 303,842 |
| 1977 | 74,800 | - | 74,800 | 259,100 |  | 259,100 | 258,131 |  | 258,131 |
| 1978 | 1,517,000 | 15,200 1 | 166,900 | 355,500 | 35,500 | 391,000 | 148,817 |  | 148,817 |
| 1979 | 203,300 | 20,300 | 223,600 | 398,000 | 39,800 | 437,800 | 152,323 | - 5001 | 152,823 |
| 1980 | 218,700 | 6,0003 | 324,700 | 386,100 | 15,600 | 401,700 | 87, 391 | - | 87,391 |
| 1981 | 335,100 | 2,500 2 | 237,600 | 274,300 | 39,800 | 314, 100 | 64,172 | 3,216 | 67,388 |
| 1982 | 340,400 | 4,100 | 344,500 | 257,800 | 20,800 | 278,600 | 35,033 | 450 | 35,483 |
| 1983 | 315,100 | 22,300 3 | 317,400 | 245,400 | 9,000 | 254,400 | 40,889 | -96 | 40,985 |
| 1984 | 306, 100 | 1,600 3 | 307,700 | 176,100 | 10,500 | 186,600 | 39,374 | 202 | 39,576 |
| 1985 | 388,140 | 2,735 3 | 390,875 | 75,043 | 1,800 | 76,843 | 46,168 | 3,656 | 50,124 |
| 1986 | 104, 100 |  | 104,100 | 128,499 |  | 128,495 | 236,309 | 7,431 2 | 243,740 |
| 1987 | 183,700 |  | 183,700 | 100,300 | + | 100,300 | 290,829 | 10,789 3 | 301,612 |
| 1988 | 115,600 | 3,100 | 119,700 | 75,600 | 2,700 | 78,300 | 308,550 | 29,766 3 | 338,316 |
| 1989 | 121,300 | 2,609 1 | 123,900 | 72,900 | 2,300 | 75,200 | 279,410 | 2,190 2 | 281,600 |

NB. Catches in Sub-area IV and Division IIIa are taken from 1978 working Gfoup report and Norwegian catches taken in Division IIa from 1973-1987. Includes unallocated as well as discards.
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Table 5. 7 Countries provided sampling data from the fisheries in 1989 and the percentages of the total catch sampled. $0=$ no catch; $+=$ sampling data available; $-=$ catch but no sampling data. The Table only includes countries who have provided sampling data from at least one quarter.

| Division | Quarter | Denmark | Ireland | Netherlands | Norway | Spain | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0K(Eng1. } \\ & \text { \& Wales) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { OK } \\ \text { Scotland } \end{gathered}$ | USSR | $\%$ Sampled | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Catch } t \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IIa | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 83 | 804 |
|  | 3 | - | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | $+$ | 83 | 86,083 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| IIIa | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
|  | 2 | - | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 288 |
|  | 3 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 6,191 |
|  | 4 | + | 0 | 0 | $+$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 1,447 |
| IVa | 1 | - | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | + | 0 | 98 | 15,102 |
|  | 2 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 184 |
|  | 3 | - | 0 | + | + | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 76 | 89,167 |
|  | 4 | + | $+$ | + | + | 0 | - | + | 0 | 90 | 15,667 |
| IVb | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 258 |
|  | 2 | - | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 3 | 753 |
|  | 3 | - | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 3 | 6,755 |
|  | 4 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 870 |
| IVc | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 |
|  | 2 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 10 | 394 |
|  | 3 | - | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 7 | 450 |
|  | 4 | - | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2,865 |
| VI | 1 | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | - | + | 0 | 89 | 99,574 |
|  | 2 | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | - | + | 0 | 61 | 2,862 |
|  | 3 | 0 | + | + | - | 0 | - | + | 0 | 31 | 1,508 |
|  | 4 | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 64 | 19,880 |
| vIIa,d-h | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | - | 0 | 49 | 7,160 |
|  | 2 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2,987 |
|  | 3 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,617 |
|  | 4 | - | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | - | 0 | 62 | 6,294 |
| VIIb, c, j,k | 1 | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 73 | 22,713 |
|  | 2 | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 26,637 |
|  | 3 | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 1,250 |
|  | 4 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 97 | 2,203 |
| VIIIa,b | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | - - | 0 | 0 | 50 | 1,285 |
|  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 1,532 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 210 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 323 |

Table 5.8 Summary of samples from different areas (1989).

| Area |  | Catch | No.of samples from <br> commercial fishery | No. <br> measured ${ }^{1}$ | No. ${ }^{1}$ <br> aged |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| IIa | 1989 | 86,800 | 14 | 20,756 | 1,513 |
| IIIa | 1989 | 7,934 | 2 | 446 | 466 |
| IV | 1989 | 273,500 | 60 | 11,278 | 5,820 |
| Vb | 1989 | $7,500 ?$ | - | 412 | 100 |
| VI | 1989 | 123,800 | 78 | 16,711 | 5,106 |
| VII | 1989 | 71,900 | 86 | 36,578 | 9,303 |
| VIIIa,b, d,e | 1989 | 3,300 | 56 | 8,805 | 781 |
| VIIIc-IXa | 1989 | 19,000 | 380 | 25,967 | 1,956 |

${ }^{1}$ Number of fish measured and aged includes research vessel data.

Table 5, 2 Annual length distribution ('000) of mackerel catches per fleet per country in 1988.

| Length (cm) | $\frac{\text { Denmark }}{\text { P.seine }}$ | $\frac{\text { Ireland }}{\text { Pr.tr. }}$ | Netherlands |  | Norway |  | $\frac{\text { UK(Eng.) }}{\text { All gear }}$ | $\frac{\text { UK(Scot.) }}{\text { P.seine }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pel.tr. | Beam+bt.tr . | Coastal | P.seine |  |  |
| 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7 | - | - | 248 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8 | - | - | 746 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9 | - | - | 395 | - | - | - | - | $\ldots$ |
| 20 | - | 33 | 1,102 | - | - | - | 36 | - |
| 1 | - | - | 1,047 | - | - | $\cdots$ | 85 | 22 |
| 2 | - | - | 1,138 | - | - | - | 78 | 22 |
| 3 | - | - | 231 | - | - | - | 54 | - |
| 4 | - | - | 306 | - | - | - | 472 | 235 |
| 5 | - | - | 68 | - | <26=10 | 6 | 3,055 | 892 |
| 6 | - | 33 | 357 | 44 | 235 | 2 | 6,792 | 2,061 |
| 7 | - | 133 | 470 | 311 | 196 | - | 9,440 | 3,347 |
| 8 | - | 333 | 2,339 | 311 | 52 | 106 | 8,517 | 3,652 |
| 9 | - | 733 | 2,732 | 300 | 20 | 2,424 | 9,812 | 5,779 |
| 30 | 533 | 1,936 | 3,620 | 328 | 268 | 4,504 | 5,200 | 10,980 |
| 1 | 389 | 7,834 | 6,039 | 537 | 710 | 7,663 | 5,410 | 15,810 |
| 2 | 1,683 | 14,836 | 8,512 | 514 | 795 | 10,210 | 5,868 | 26,400 |
| 3 | 3,613 | 23,704 | 10,209 | 460 | 1,178 | 17,587 | 4,073 | 31,727 |
| 4 | 6,965 | 30, 139 | 13, 122 | 416 | 1,479 | 29,164 | 2,930 | 40,536 |
| 5 | 7,395 | 30,805 | 14,412 | 378 | 2,126 | 36,710 | 2,068 | 44,547 |
| 6 | 6,319 | 23,737 | 12,407 | 248 | 1,721 | 36,715 | 1,069 | 37,510 |
| 7 | 4,525 | 17,768 | 3,732 | 174 | 1,918 | 32,362 | 690 | 28,802 |
| 8 | 3,065 | 17,503 | 8.056 | 190 | 1,553 | 28,722 | 255 | 20,672 |
| 9 | 2,741 | 16,769 | 8,429 | 117 | 1,254 | 28,216 | 161 | 16,131 |
| 40 | 2,760 | 14,869 | 8,777 | 132 | 1,459 | 26,017 | 7 | 14,231 |
| 1 | 1,764 | 9,134 | 5,308 | 47 | 1,309 | 13,953 | 5 | 11,544 |
| 2 | 917 | 6,567 | 2,955 | 62 | 457 | 6,130 | 2 | 6,722 |
| 3 | 469 | 5,134 | 2,920 | - | 674 | 5,754 | 1 | 3,809 |
| 4 | 230 | 3,167 | 1,655 | 11 | 1,197 | 3,242 | - | 2,494 |
| 5 | 150 | 1,333 | 771 | 16 | - | - | - | 695 |
| 6 | - | 600 | 86 | - | - | - | - | 463 |
| 7 | - | 233 | - | - | - | - | - | 384 |
| 8 | - | 33 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 43,524 | 227,366 | 128,789 | 4,596 | 18,611 | 289,423 | 66,080 | 329,467 |

(cont'd)

Table 5.9 (cont'd)

| Length (cm) | Spain |  |  |  | Portugal |  |  | OSSR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Liners | Gillnet | P.Seine | Trawl | Artisan | P.seine | Trawl | Pel.tr. |
| 6 | - | - | 605 | 4 | - | - | + | - |
| 7 | - | - | 1,968 | $+$ | - | - | + | - |
| 8 | - | - | 5,599 | 11 | - | 66 | 10 | - |
| 9 | - | - | 11,580 | 127 | - | 394 | 261 | - |
| 20 | - | - | 15,820 | 2,396 | - | 1,634 | 896 |  |
| 1 | 7 | - | 14,606 | 7,507 | - | 2,407 | 2,035 | - |
| 2 | 9 | - | 4,520 | 4,098 | + | 940 | 2,286 |  |
| 3 | 12 | - | 2,351 | 1,150 | 24 | 794 | 2,109 | - |
| 4 | 15 | - | 1,466 | 132 | 37 | 214 | 1,495 | 62 |
| 5 | 15 | - | 818 | 131 | 84 | 214 | 924 | 123 |
| 6 | - | - | 611 | 97 | 145 | 553 | 644 | 494 |
| 7 | 19 | - | 111 | 373 | 152 | 825 | 417 | 1,049 |
| 8 | 22 | - | 198 | 227 | 220 | 714 | 522 | 1,296 |
| 9 | 105 | - | 419 | 254 | 144 | 447 | 551 | 1,605 |
| 30 | 187 | - | 583 | 408 | 174 | 78 | 426 | 2,963 |
| 1 | 534 | - | 326 | 878 | 151 | 84 | 505 | 5,309 |
| 2 | 803 | - | 1,169 | 1,071 | 220 | 176 | 382 | 6,790 |
| 3 | 994 | - | 2,244 | 1,123 | 252 | 159 | 299 | 9,383 |
| 4 | 1.284 | - | 1,914 | 1,077 | 210 | 360 | 185 | 9,259 |
| 5 | 997 | - | 2,138 | 1,124 | 191 | 378 | 82 | 5,679 |
| 6 | 1,043 | - | 2,767 | 694 | 112 | 197 | 62 | 6,049 |
| 7 | 1,659 | - | 1,769 | 748 | 109 | 230 | 23 | 5,926 |
| 8 | 2,362 | - | 2,553 | 696 | 59 | 220 | 16 | 2,346 |
| 9 | 2,626 | 60 | 3,394 | 888 | 34 | 87 | 11 | 1,358 |
| 40 | 2,618 | 60 | 2,081 | 347 | 83 | 6 | 8 | 988 |
| 1 | 1,642 | 30 | 611 | 430 | 52 | 9 | 4 | 617 |
| 2 | 790 | 60 | 440 | 367 | 55 | 4 | $+$ | 185 |
| 3 | 425 | 60 | 586 | 248 | 17 | 9 | $\pm$ | 185 |
| 4 | 465 | 149 | - | 80 | 7 | 4 | - | - |
| 5 | 242 | 119 | - | 194 | 1 | - | - | 62 |
| 6 | 91 | 30 | - | 192 | - | - | - | - |
| 7 | 30 | - | - | 57 | + | - | - | - |
| 8 | 4 | 30 | - | + | - | - | $\sim$ | - |
| 9 | 15 | - | - | $+$ | - | - | - | - |
| 50 | - | - | - | $+$ | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 19,017 | 596 | 83,247 | 27,129 | 2,533 | 11,203 | 14,153 | 61,727 |

[^0]Table 5.10 Annual length distribution ('000) of mackerel catches per fleet per country in 1989.

| Length (cm) | Denmark | Ireland | Netherlands |  | Norway | UK (Eng.) | UK (Scot.) |  | Spain |  |  |  | USSR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P.seine | Pr.tr. | Bt. + beam tr | Pel.tr. | P.seine | Pel.tr | P.seine | 2-b.-pel. | Trawl | P.seine | Gillnet | Liners | Pel.tr. |
| 15 | - | 72 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3,629 | - | - | - |
| 16 | - | 184 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10,999 | - | - | - |
| 17 | - | 540 | $\cdots$ | - ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 7,734 | - | - |  |
| 18 | - | 651 | - | 1,089 | - |  | - | $\cdots$ | 9 | 1,848 | - | $\cdots$ | - |
| 19 | - | 621 | . | 890 | - | 45 | - | $\sim$ | 66 | 432 | - | * | - |
| 20 | - | 971 | - | 1,423 | - | 195 | - | - | 498 | 443 | - | - | - |
| 21 | - | 497 | - | 1,115 | - | 661 | - | 17 | 3,208 | 1,668 | - | - | - |
| 22 | $\cdots$ | 239 | - | 1,089 | - | 602 | - | - | 2,562 | 3,896 | - | _ | - |
| 23 | _ | 273 | 26 | - | - | 253 | - | - | 1,410 | 2,638 | - | - | - |
| 24 | - | 320 | 48 | 27 | - | 1,143 | - | - | 1,003 | 1,546 | - | 3 | - |
| 25 | - | 2,017 | 180 | 236 | 415 | 2,922 |  | 17 | 418 | 895 | - | - | - |
| 26 | 3 | 6,916 | 432 | 498 | 435 | 5,851 | 114 | 51 | 476 | 617 | _ | 5 | 23 |
| 27 | 6 | 3,845 | 432 | 2,289 | 602 | 5,600 | 1.255 | 154 | 563 | 437 | - | 3 | 23 |
| 28 | 15 | 3,168 | 289 | 5,137 | 1,067 | 5,231 | 2,553 | 139 | 910 | 427 | - | 16 | 71 |
| 29 | 110 | 4,624 | 251 | 3,869 | 8,140 | 4,893 | 3,978 | 824 | 755 | 365 | 1 | 66 | 354 |
| 30 | 528 | 8,803 | 191 | 5,055 | 15,779 | 3,508 | 10,535 | 325 | 557 | 276 | - | 61 | 826 |
| 31 | 276 | 17,883 | 122 | 4,687 | 20,347 | 2,753 | 15,811 | 1.400 | 650 | 148 | - | 129 | 1.534 |
| 32 | 1,005 | 18,940 | 138 | 7,189 | 30,366 | 2,138 | 20,406 | 1,336 | 682 | 299 | 1 | 264 | 3,070 |
| 33 | 1,326 | 12,939 | 144 | 8,459 | 23.843 | 1,632 | 29,077 | 927 | 777 | 391 | 1 | 332 | 4,297 |
| 34 | 3,148 | 15,750 | 72 | 11,482 | 27,021 | 715 | 28,882 | 1921 | 832 | 570 | 2 | 583 | 4,793 |
| 35 | 2,938 | 14,940 | 38 | 17,303 | 26,070 | 536 | 32,450 | 1,385 | 764 | 754 | 22 | 738 | 3,282 |
| 36 | 4,050 | 17,654 | 35 | 18,937 | 29,193 | 91 | 25,632 | 1,822 | 823 | . 699 | 2 | 805 | 1,605 |
| 37 | 3,745 | 14,265 | 44 | 17,579 | 29,568 | 76 | 24,575 | 948 | 805 | 1,036 | 23 | 737 | 1,299 |
| 38 | 3,855 | 10,898 | 25 | 13,882 | 25,329 | 202 | 16,229 | 4 | 701 | + 945 | 29 | 1.040 | 1,133 |
| 39 | 2,387 | 10,614 | - | 6,296 | 18,454 | - | 11,675 | 19 | 604 | 1,226 | 3 | 1,268 | 590 |
| 40 | 2,614 | 10,352 | 2 | 6.410 | 15,029 | - | 8,708 | 1 | 627 | 1,493 | 52 | 1,810 | 401 |
| 41 | 1,678 | 9,675 | 2 | 4,897 | 13,634 | - | 7,300 | 1 | 592 | 1,306 | 73 | 1,632 | 212 |
| 42 | 1,470 | 6,468 | 2 | 2,763 | 10,110 | - | 5,131 | - | 407 | 705 | 111 | 978 | 71 |
| 43 | 500 | 3,275 | 2 | 3,201 | 5,428 | - | 3,879 | - | 245 | 267 | 75 | 325 | 24 |
| 44 | 678 339 | 5,391 | - | 915 977 | 4,855 | - | 1,603 | - | 58 | 169 | 59 | 160 | - |
| 45 46 | 339 | 964 338 | 11 | 977 |  | - | 636 662 | $\cdots$ | 24 | 61 | 24 | 133 34 | $\cdots$ |
| 46 47 | - | 338 154 | - | - | - | - | 662 | - | 20 | 2 | 24 | 34 32 | - |
| 48 | - | 154 | - | - | - | - | 64 | - | 3 | 2 | - | 32 8 | - |
| 49 | - | - | - | - | - | $\sim$ | 54 | * | 3 | - | - | 8 | $\cdots$ |
| 50 | - | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | 3 | 2 | - |

[^1]
## Table 5.11

Title : MACKEREL, WESTERN STOCK
from 72 to 89 on ages 0 to 11
with Terminal $F$ of .275 on age 5 and Terminal $S$ of 1.000
Initial sum of squared residuals was 457.700 and
final sum of squared residuals is 98.091 after 122 iterations
Hatrix of Residuals

| Years | 72/73 | 73/74 | 74/75 | 75/76 | 76/77 | 77/78 | 78/79 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0 / 1$ | 1.610 | $-3.405$ | 1.023 | -1.026 | 2.351 | 1.948 | 1.048 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/2 | -. 021 | 1.625 | 1.227 | . 019 | . 496 | . 072 | . 558 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/3 | -1.044 | -. 367 | -.710 | -. 626 | -. 059 | . 219 | . 380 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3 / 4$ | -. 964 | -. 202 | -. 492 | -. 331 | . 176 | -. 097 | . 339 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4/5 | . 177 | -. 276 | -. 258 | . 206 | . 607 | -. 190 | . 181 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/6 | . 169 | . 110 | . 451 | . 473 | -. 038 | -. 247 | . 005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $6 / 7$ | . 113 | . 028 | -. 680 | -. 731 | -. 209 | -. 041 | -. 341 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7 / 8$ | . 126 | . 041 | . 121 | . 993 | . 336 | . 183 | $-.152$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/9 | . 087 | . 003 | . 085 | -. 085 | . 178 | . 093 | -. 387 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/10 | . 212 | . 127 | . 208 | . 038 | -. 713 | -. 142 | . 062 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/11 | . 124 | . 039 | . 119 | -. 053 | -. 807 | . 053 | -. 031 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| WTS | . 001 | . 001 | . 001 | . 001 | . 001 | . 001 | . 001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | 79/80 | 80/81 | 81/82 | 82/83 | 83/84 | 84/85 | 85/86 | 86/87 | 87/88 | 88/89 |  | WTS |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0/1 | 2.458 | 1.485 | 2.597 | 1.160 | -2.140 | $-1.893$ | -2.458 | 4.300 | . 729 | -. 687 | . 000 | . 088 |
| 1/2 | . 632 | . 717 | . 437 | -. 346 | . 198 | . 866 | . 478 | -. 548 | -. 639 | -. 163 | . 000 | . 308 |
| $2 / 3$ | -. 079 | . 701 | . 192 | . 108 | . 096 | . 627 | -. 387 | -. 066 | . 121 | -. 293 | . 000 | . 401 |
| 3/4 | . 311 | . 570 | . 093 | . 086 | -. 055 | . 357 | -. 263 | $-.369$ | . 289 | -. 014 | . 000 | . 483 |
| 4/5 | -. 017 | . 360 | . 021 | . 102 | . 156 | . 226 | . 122 | -. 152 | -. 337 | . 139 | . 000 | . 754 |
| 516 | -. 043 | -. 012 | -. 145 | -. 205 | $-.153$ | . 042 | -. 047 | . 246 | -. 236 | -. 006 | . 000 | . 871 |
| 617 | -. 117 | -. 268 | -. 071 | -. 060 | -. 297 | . 023 | -. 045 | . 120 | -. 029 | -. 066 | . 000 | . 760 |
| 7/8 | . 018 | -. 322 | -. 269 | -. 021 | . 030 | -. 449 | . 118 | . 224 | -. 006 | . 112 | . 000 | . 593 |
| 8/9 | -. 419 | -. 267 | -. 173 | . 103 | . 012 | -. 240 | . 030 | -. 015 | . 159 | . 066 | . 000 | 1.000 |
| 9/10 | . 087 | $-.530$ | . 027 | . 220 | . 176 | -. 144 | . 161 | -. 523 | . 334 | . 172 | . 000 | . 615 |
| 10/11 | $-.047$ | -. 238 | -. 006 | -. 205 | . 281 | -. 202 | .176 | . 015 | . 082 | -. 069 | . 000 | . 777 |
|  | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | .000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | .000 | .000 | 11.033 |  |
| WTS | . 001 | . 001 | . 001 | . 001 | . 001 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |

Fishing Mortalities (F)
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccccccccc} & 72 & 73 & 74 & 75 & 76 & 77 & 78 & 79 & & \\ \text { F-values } & .0584 & .0836 & .1130 & .1728 & .2368 & .1519 & .2279 & .3043 & & \\ & & 80 & 81 & 82 & 83 & 84 & 85 & 86 & 87 & 88\end{array}\right) 89$

Selection-at-age (s)
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} & 0 & 1 \\ \text { S-values } & .0015 & .1294 & & & & & & & & \\ & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 5 & & & & \\ & \text { S-values } & .4180 & .6568 & .8428 & 1.0000 & 1.0321 & 1.0078 & .9954 & .9436 & 1.0141\end{array}\right) 1.0000$

| $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{i}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\hat{\vec{N}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \sim \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{N}{\sim}$ | 容氙品 |
| $\underset{\sim}{\underset{g}{g}}$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{O}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{7}}$ | mon |
| $\stackrel{0}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | 응욱 |
| $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i}} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\stackrel{N}{i}$ | 芯芯芯 |
| $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \underset{N}{\lambda} \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | W్ల్ల్లీ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{6} \\ & \stackrel{y}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  | $\stackrel{i}{i}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} n \\ \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\vec{\Xi}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\lambda} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | － ～욱 | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{O}}}{\mathbf{-}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{-\infty} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{N}$ |
| $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}}{\stackrel{\mathrm{M}}{2}}$ |  च | $\underset{\infty}{\sim}$ | $9 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ | N～NTN000000000 | O | N－N |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{を} \\ & \stackrel{6}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ö } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{-} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { on } \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | 品品品 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $$ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $\stackrel{N}{0}_{0}^{0}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { @ } \\ & \text { gen } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{0}{6}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{y}{-1} \end{aligned}$ | Miow | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | M్MO |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{1}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | 足怘 |
| 茴 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { or } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | 呺莡感 |
|  | －HNm＊incomorn | $\stackrel{\text { C }}{\substack{0}}$ |  |

Iable 5.13 VIRTUAL POPULATION ANAL.YSIS

## MACKEREI., WESTERN STOCK

gean weight at age of the stock unit: kilogram












## 








|  | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | . 066 | . 066 | . 066 | . 066 | . 066 | . 066 | . 000 | . 000 | . 066 | . 066 | . 066 | . 056 |
| 1 | . 137 | . 137 | . 137 | . 137 | . 137 | . 137 | . 137 | . 137 | . 131 | . 131 | . 131 | . 178 |
| 2 | . 158 | . 158 | . 158 | . 158 | . 158 | . 158 | . 158 | . 158 | . 248 | . 248 | . 248 | . 216 |
| 3 | . 241 | . 241 | . 241 | . 241 | . 241 | . 241 | . 241 | . 241 | . 283 | . 283 | . 283 | . 270 |
| 4 | . 416 | . 314 | . 314 | . 314 | . 314 | . 314 | . 314 | . 314 | . 343 | . 343 | . 343 | . 306 |
| 5 | . 000 | . 437 | . 334 | . 334 | . 334 | . 334 | . 334 | . 334 | . 373 | . 373 | . 373 | . 383 |
| 6 | . 000 | . 000 | . 472 | . 398 | . 398 | . 398 | . 398 | . 398 | . 455 | . 455 | . 455 | . 425 |
| 7 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 480 | . 410 | . 410 | . 410 | . 410 | . 497 | . 497 | . 497 | . 430 |
| 8 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 508 | . 503 | . 503 | . 503 | . 508 | . 508 | . 508 | . 491 |
| 9 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 511 | . 511 | . 511 | . 539 | . 539 | . 539 | . 542 |
| 10 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 511 | . 511 | . 511 | . 573 | . 573 | . 573 | . 608 |
| 11 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 511 | . 573 | . 573 | . 573 | . 608 |
| 12 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 573 | . 573 | . 573 | . 608 |
| 13 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 573 | . 573 | . 608 |
| 14 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 573 | . 608 |
| 15+ | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 608 |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\rightarrow} \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{-1} \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ | gino <br>  |
|  |  |

M Q © ..... N~N
 ..... 윽
MORTALITY COEFFICIENT $=.15$  ..... $\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}$
1980 
1979 
1978  ..... 
Lable 5. 15 VIRTUAL POPULATION ANAL YSIS MACKEREL., WESIFRN SIOCK FISHING MORTALIIY COEFFICIENT
$\underset{\sim}{\pi}$욱
$\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ $(4-8)(6$
$(4-8) W$

|  | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | .000 | .000 | .008 | .001 | .000 | .000 |
| 1 | .026 | .043 | .017 | .013 | .029 | .044 |
| 2 | .095 | .033 | .091 | .123 | .085 | .112 |
| 3 | .216 | .074 | .075 | .200 | .199 | .179 |
| 4 | .204 | .196 | .123 | .171 | .272 | .272 |
| 5 | .229 | .187 | .221 | .210 | .324 | .228 |
| 6 | .236 | .221 | .212 | .230 | .309 | .366 |
| 7 | .106 | .221 | .245 | .236 | .258 | .345 |
| 8 | .171 | .145 | .207 | .256 | .264 | .225 |
| 9 | .173 | .194 | .132 | .261 | .234 | .235 |
| 10 | .169 | .204 | .186 | .309 | .226 | .207 |
| 11 | .192 | .191 | .175 | .229 | .340 | .233 |
| 124 | .192 | .191 | .175 | .229 | .340 | .233 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $(4-8) \mathrm{u}$ | .189 | .194 | .201 | .221 | .275 | .287 |
| $(4-8) \mathrm{W}$ | .208 | .198 | .208 | .225 | .245 | .249 |

Table 5.16 VIRTUAL POPULATION ANALYSIS
MACKEREL. WESTERN STOCK
STOCK SIZE IN NUMBERS UNIT: millions
BIOMASS TOTALS UNIT: thousand tonnes
ALL VALUES, EXCEPT THOSE REFERRING TO THE SPAWNING STOCK ARE GIVEN FOR 1 JANUARY; THE SPAHRING stock data reflect the stock situailon at spaining time, hhereby the following values are USED: PROPORTION OF ANNUAL F BEFORE SPAWNING: . . 400

PROPORTION OF ANNUAL M BEFORE SPAWNING: . 400

|  | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | $198 ?$ | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1966 | 4515 | 3527 | 4872 | 5015 | 906 | 3319 | 5656 | 5703 | 7068 | 1333 | 741 |
| 1 | 4708 | 1691 | 3886 | 3035 | 4193 | 4284 | 778 | 2847 | 4794 | 4891 | 5048 | 1145 |
| 2 | 1898 | 4041 | 1424 | 3264 | 2563 | 3350 | 3545 | 641 | 2126 | 3678 | 3963 | 5018 |
| 3 | 2538 | 1623 | 3432 | 1203 | 2713 | 2035 | 2615 | 2530 | 494 | 1397 | 2697 | 3007 |
| 4 | 8643 | 2157 | 1337 | 2839 | 948 | 2037 | 1609 | 1858 | 1621 | 356 | 994 | 1875 |
| 5 | 0 | 6969 | 1750 | 1051 | 2160 | 658 | 1599 | 1160 | 1262 | 1043 | 277 | 685 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 5459 | 1328 | 727 | 1592 | 519 | 1138 | 797 | 825 | 736 | 216 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4174 | 1010 | 536 | 1241 | 380 | 764 | 552 | 564 | 508 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2443 | 611 | 384 | 928 | 247 | 511 | 383 | 385 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1697 | 444 | 278 | 656 | 164 | 332 | 252 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1314 | 305 | 175 | 435 | 109 | 205 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 936 | 194 | 110 | 247 | 71 |
| 12+ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 466 | 659 | 404 | 470 |
| total no | 19753 | 20995 | 20815 | 21767 | 21772 | 17687 | 17369 | 18657 | 19299 | 21690 | 18087 | 14578 |
| SPS NO | 11256 | 118044 | 11504 | 10926 | 10101 | 10135 | 10118 | 8340 | 6957 | 7327 | 8056 | 9033 |
| TOT. 8 IOM | 4575 | 4445 | 4515 | 4384 | 3974 | 3835 | 3756 | 3431 | 3082 | 3101 | 2906 | 3185 |
| Sos 8iom | 3533 | 3580 | 3546 | 3270 | 2892 | 2843 | 2968 | 2609 | 2115 | 2128 | 1940 | 2339 |


|  | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 6937 | 1886 | 2319 | 4331 | 1252 | 63790 | 0 |
| 1 | 637 | 5970 | 1624 | 1979 | 3725 | 1077 | 54882 |
| 2 | 945 | 535 | 4922 | 1374 | 1682 | 3115 | 887 |
| 3 | 3660 | 740 | 445 | 3868 | 1045 | 1330 | 2397 |
| 4 | 2177 | 2538 | 591 | 356 | 2725 | 737 | 957 |
| 5 | 1252 | 1528 | 1796 | 450 | 258 | 1878 | 484 |
| 6 | 469 | 857 | 1091 | 1239 | 314 | 161 | 1287 |
| 7 | 167 | 319 | 591 | 759 | 847 | 198 | 96 |
| 8 | 353 | 129 | 220 | 399 | 518 | 553 | 121 |
| 9 | 266 | 256 | 96 | 154 | 266 | 341 | 387 |
| 10 | 173 | 192 | 181 | 73 | 102 | 181 | 232 |
| 11 | 132 | 126 | 135 | 130 | 46 | 70 | 127 |
| $12+$ | 273 | 495 | 402 | 319 | 195 | 180 | 170 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL NO | 17441 | 15572 | 14414 | 15430 | 12973 | 73622 |  |
| SPS | 7891 | 6841 | 5744 | 7214 | 6429 | 6423 |  |
| TOT. BIOM | 2945 | 3073 | 2966 | 2748 | 2741 | 2580 |  |
| SPS BIOM | 2357 | 2271 | 1903 | 2111 | 2014 | 1930 |  |

Table 5, 17 Research vessel survey abundance indices and VPA estimates.

| Year class | First winter mackerel |  | Second winter mackerel |  | Number of rectangles sampled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Arithmetic mean | Estimated No. 1-gr. <br> (millions) from VPA | Arithmetic mean | Estimated No. 2-gr. (millions) from VPA |  |
| 1980 | $\square$ | - ${ }^{-}$ | 50 | 3,963 | - |
| 1981 | 125 | 1,048 | 78 | 5,018 | 65 |
| 1982 | 6 | 1,145 | 46 | 945 | 63 |
| 1983 | 4 | 637 | 8 | 535 | 36 |
| 1984 | 149 | 5,970 | 210 | 4,922 | 78 |
| 1985 | 37 | 1,624 | 37 | 1,374 | 88 |
| 1986 | 89 | 1,979 | 25 | 1,682 | 96 |
| 1987 | 110 | 3,725 | 225 | 3,115 | 115 |
| 1988 | 106 | - | 149 | - | 122 |
| 1989 | 174 | - |  | - | 117 |

Table 5.18
The reference $F$ is the mean $F$ for the age group range from 4 to 8
The number of recruits per year is as follows:
Proportion of $F$ (fishing mortality) effective before spawning: . 4000 Proportion of $M$ (natural mortality) effective before spawning:

## Data are printed in the following units:

## Number of fish: millions <br> Weight by age group in the catch: kilogram <br> Weight by age group in the stock: kilogram $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Stock biomass: } & \text { thousand tonmes } \\ \text { Catch weight: } & \text { thousand tonnes }\end{array}$



Table 5:19
Effects of different levels of fishing mortality on catch, stock biomass and spawning stock biomass.

WESTERN MACKEREL

| Year 1990 |  |  |  | Year 1991 |  |  |  |  | Year 1992 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { fac-1 } \\ & \text { tor } \end{aligned}$ | ref. $F$ | stock biomass | sp.stock biomass: | Mgmt catch | Mgmt . Option | ref | stock biomass | sp.stock: biomass: | catch | stock! biomass | sp.stock biomass: |
|  | . 241 | ${ }^{2880}$ | 1970 | 5501 | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {med }}$ $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ $\mathrm{~F}_{(190)}$ $\mathrm{F}_{(89)}$ | .15 <br> .19 <br> .24 <br> .29 | 3144 | 2278 22231 211 2177 | 382 497 600 709 | 3427 3320 324 3152 | 2596 2474 2367 2255 |

The data unit of the biomass and the catch is 1000 tonnes.
The spawning stock biomass is given for the time of spawning.
The spawning stock biomass for 1992 has been calculated with the same fishing mortality as for 1991. the reference $F$ is the mean $F$ for the age group range from 4 to

| age | absolute Fi | catch in: numbers | catch in: weight; | stock! size | stock! <br> biomass: | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sp.stock! } \\ \text { size } \end{array}$ | sp.stock biomass! | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sp.stock! } \\ \text { size! } \end{array}$ | sp.stock: biomass: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | . 00041 | 1.331 | .0811 | 3900.01 | . 001 | . 00 | . 001 | . 001 | .001 |
| 11 | . 0316 | 156.111 | 24.041 | 5400.01 | 378.001 | 432.00; | 30.24 | 401.731 | 28.12 ! |
| $2!$ | . 1021 | 245.35 | 58.392! | 2718.01 | 478.371 | 1630.80 | 287.02: | 1474.361 | 259.49 |
| 31 | . 1605 | 330.661 | 106.141 | 2397.01 | 570.49 | 2157.301 | 513.44 | 1905.36 | 453.48 |
| 4 | . 2059 | 165.80 | 62.508 | 957.01 | 286.14 | 928.29; | 277.56 | 805.11 | 240.731 |
| 51 | . 2443 | 97.721 | 42.4101 | 484.01 | 165.53 | 469.48! | 160.56 | 400.981 | 137.13; |
| 6 | . 25221 | 267.21 | 121.579: | 1287.01 | 467.181 | 1274.13! | 462.51: | 1084.801 | 393.78 |
| 71 | . 24621 | 19.521 | 10.556 | 96.01 | 40.22 | 96.001 | 40.22 ! | 81.931 | 34.33! |
| 8 | . 2432 | 24.33 ! | 14.501 ! | 121.0 | 56.63 | 121.00 | 56.531 | 103.391 | 48.39! |
| 91 | .2305 | 74.21: | 42.965: | 387.01 | 170.67! | 387.001 | 170.67 | 332.36 | 146.57 ! |
| 10 | . 2478 | 47.43 | 27.601 | 232.0 | 104.63! | 232.00 | 104.631 | 197.87 | 89.24 |
| 111 | .2443! | 25.64 | 16.641; | 127.01 | 62.991 | 127.00; | 62.99 | 108.47 | 53.80 |
| 12+1 | .2443! | 34.32 ! | 22.482 ! | 170.0: | 99.45 | 170.00 ! | 99.45 | 145.191 | 84.94! |
| Total | 1 | 1489.62 ? | 550.0001 | 18276.0 | 2880.301 | 8025.00 | 2265.92: | 7041.55 | 1969.991 |

Table 5.20 cont'd.


|  |  |  |  |  |  | at 1 January |  | at spawn | ng time! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| age | absolute F | catch in! numbers: | catch in weight | $\begin{gathered} \text { stock } \\ \text { size } \end{gathered}$ | stock: biomass: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sp. stock } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | sp.stock biomass: | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sp. stock } \\ \text { size } \end{array}$ | sp.stock biomass: |
| 01 | . 0004 | 1.33! | .081! | 3900.0: | . 001 | . 001 | . 00 | . 001 | . 00 |
| 1. | . 0316 | 96.881 | 14.920 | 3355.5 | 234.89 | 268.44 | 18.79 | 249.64 | 17.471 |
| 21 | . 1020 | 405.99 : | 96.625 | 4503.2 | 792.56 | 2701.91 | 475.54 | 2442.84 | 429.94 |
| 31 | . 1603 | 291.031 | 93.4221 | 2112.3 | 502.72 | 1901.06 | 452.45 ; | 1679.18 | 399.65 |
| 4 | . 2056 | 304.09: | 114.643 | 1757.3 | 525.421 | 1704.531 | 509.66 | 1478.51 | 442.07 |
| 5 | . 2440 | 135.20 | 58.677 | 670.4 i | 229.28 | 650.301 | 222.40 | 555.48 | 189.971 |
| 6 | . 2518 | 67.67 | 30.788 | 326.31 | 118.44 | 323.02 | 117.26 | 275.06 | 99.85 |
| 71 | . 2459 | 174.80 | 95.4431 | 860.81 | 360.69 ! | 860.84 | 360.69! | 734.761 | 307.87 |
| 81 | . 2429 | 12.97 | 7.732 | 64.6 | 30.23 | 64.59 | 30.231 | 55.20 | 25.831 |
| $9!$ | . 2302 | 15.64 ! | 9.056 | 81.7 | 36.01 , | 81.66 | 36.01 ! | 70.14 | 30.93 |
| 10 | . 2474 | 54.01 | 31.4331 | 264.5 | 119.30 | 264.51 | 119.30 | 225.631 | 101.76 |
| 11 | . 2440 | 31.431 | 20.4001 | 155.91 | 77.311 | 155.86 | 77.31 | 133.14 | 66.04 |
| 12+ | . 2440 | 40.38 | 26.448 | 200.2 | 117.13 | 200.22 | 117.13 | 171.03 | 100.05 |
| Total |  | 1631.43 | 599.6691 | 18252.6! | 3143.98! | 9176.96: | 2536.76 | 8070.61 | 2211.431 |

Table 5.20 cont'd.


|  |  |  |  |  |  | at 1 January! |  | at spa | $n \mathrm{~g}$ time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| age | absolute: F! | catch in! numbers: | catch in! weight | stock! <br> size! | stock! <br> biomass! | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sp. stock } \\ \text { size } \end{array}$ | sp.stock! biomass! | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sp. stock! } \\ \text { size } \end{array}$ | sp.stock! biomass: |
| 01 | .0004 | 1.331 | .081! | 3900.01 | . 001 | . 001 | . 001 | .001 | .00' |
| 11 | .0316i | 96.88 ! | 14.920; | 3355.5 | 234.891 | 268.44 | 18.791 | 249.641 | 17.47 |
| 2 | . 1020 | 252.29 | 60.045 ; | 2798.41 | 492.51! | 1679.02 | 295.51 | 1518.03: | 267.17 |
| 31 | . 1603: | 482.25 | 154.802 | 3500.11 | 833.021 | 3150.091 | 749.72! | 2782.44 | 662.22! |
| 41 | . 2056 | 268.031 | 101.047 | 1548.9; | 463.11! | 1502.38 | 449.21 | 1303.171 | 389.65 |
| 5 | . 2440 | 248.32 ! | 107.773 | 1231.31 | 421.12 : | 1194.41 | 408.49 | 1020.25 | 348.93 |
| $6!$ | . 2518 | 93.761 | 42.6591 | 452.11 | 164.11! | 447.571 | 162.47: | 381.12 ! | 138.35 ! |
| 71 | . 2459 | 44.331 | 24.205 | 218.31 | 91.47! | 218.31 | 91.47 | 186.34 ! | 78.08 |
| 8 | . 2429! | 116.37! | 69.358! | 579.41 | 271.16! | 579.41! | 271.161 | 495.15; | 231.731 |
| 91 | . 2302 | 8.351 | 4.836 | 43.61 | 19.231 | 43.61 ! | 19.231 | 37.461 | 16.521 |
| 101 | . 2474 ! | 11.40 | 6.635 | 55.8 | 25.18 | 55.83 | 25.18 | 47.63 : | 21.48 |
| $11!$ | . 24401 | 35.85 | 23.2661 | 177.81 | 88.171 | 177.76 | 88.171 | 151.84! | 75.311 |
| 12+ | . 2440 | 48.43: | 31.7191 | 240.11 | 140.47 ! | 240.13: | 140.471 | 205.11; | 119.99; |



Table 6.1 Landings (tonnes) of Mackerel in Divisions VIIIc, and IXa, 1977-1989.

Division VIITC

| Country | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Spain | 19,852 | 18,543 | 15,013 | 11,316 | 12,834 | 15,621 | 10,390 |
| Total | 19,852 | 18,543 | 15,013 | 11,316 | 12,834 | 15,621 | 10,390 |


| Country | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Spain | 13,852 | 11,810 | 16,533 | 15,982 | $16,844^{2}$ | $13,446^{2}$ |
| Total | 13,852 | 11,810 | 16,533 | 15,982 | 16,844 | 13,446 |

Division IXa

| Country | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Portugal | $1,743^{2}$ | $1,555^{2}$ | $1,071^{2}$ | $1,929^{2}$ | $3,108^{2}$ | $3,018^{2}$ | $2,239^{2}$ |
| Spain | 2,935 | 6,221 | 6,280 | 2,719 | 2,111 | 2,437 | 2,224 |
| Poland | 8 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| USSR | 2,879 | 189 | 111 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 7,565 | 7,965 | 7,462 | 4,648 | 5,219 | 5,455 | 4,463 |


| Country | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Portugal | 2,250 | $4,178^{2}$ | $5,565^{3}$ | $5,525^{3}$ | $3,882^{2}$ | $2,825^{2}$ |
| Spain | 4,206 | $2,000^{2}$ | $1,837^{2}$ | $491^{1}$ | $3,540^{2}$ | $1,763^{2}$ |
| Poland | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| USSR | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 6,456 | 6,178 | 7,402 | $6,016^{1}$ | $7,422^{2}$ | $4,588^{2}$ |

${ }^{1}$ preliminary.
${ }^{2}$ Working Group estimate.
${ }^{3}$ official numbers.

TABLE 6. 2 Sampling data fron the mackerel fishery in 1989 in Divisions VIIIc and YXa and the percentages of the total catch sampled. $0=$ no catch; $+=$ sampling data available; ? = catch but not sufficient sampling data; * $=$ at less this \% has been sampled.

| Division | Quarter | Portugal | Spain | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Sampled } \end{gathered}$ | Total <br> Catch t |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VIIIE | 1 | 0 | $+$ | 100 | 6462 |
|  | 2 | 0 | $+$ | 100 | 5846 |
|  | 3 | 0 | + | 100 | 558 |
|  | 4 | 0 | $+$ | 100 | 581 |
| IXa | 1 | $?$ | + | 50* | $414+$ ? |
|  | 2 | $?$ | + | 50* | $386+$ ? |
|  | 3 | ? | + | 50* | $825+$ ? |
|  | 4 | $?$ | + | 50* | $138+$ ? |

Table 6.3 Spanish and Portuguese landings of Mackerel by gear (tonnes) in Divisions VIIIc, and IXa 1985-1989.

Division VIIIc

| Gear | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Purse seine | 4,208 | 2,105 | 4,277 | 7,413 | 5,659 |
| Trawl | 1,135 | 2,850 | 1,900 | 2,321 | 2,273 |
| Hook | 6,371 | 11,323 | 9,739 | 6,799 | 5,208 |
| Gillnet | 96 | 255 | 66 | 312 | 306 |
| Total | 11,810 | 16,533 | 15,982 | 16,845 | $13,446^{1}$ |

## Division IXa

| Gear | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Spain | 2,000 | 1,837 | $491^{2}$ | 3,540 | $1,763^{1}$ |
| Purse seine | 1,150 | 1,436 | $254^{2}$ | 2,644 | 1,151 |
| Trawl | 850 | 401 | $237^{2}$ | 896 | 612 |
| Artisanal | - | - | - | - | - |
| Portugal | 4,179 | 5,565 | 5,525 | 3,882 | $2,825^{1}$ |
| Purse seine | 14 | 829 | 1,564 | 1,528 | 1,277 |
| Trawl | 3,658 | 3,565 | 2,824 | 1,764 | 1,302 |
| Artisanal | 507 | 1,171 | 1,137 | 590 | 246 |

[^2]Table 6,4 percentages of some lengths and age ranges in the annual catches (in nos.) of mackerel, all gears combined, from the different areas of Divisions VIIIc and IXa, in 1989. Values from Divisions VIIIa,b estimate from trawler and hook and lines by-catches are presented for comparison.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Divisions } \\ & \text { VIIIa,b } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | Division VIIIC |  |  |  | Division IXa |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | East. | Centr | West. | Total | North. | cent+S | Total |
| $<25$ | 1.4 | 0.4 | 7.3 | 53.5 | 22.4 | 96.0 |  |  |
| <30 | 21.2 | 5.6 | 12.7 | 67.8 | 31.2 | 99.8 |  |  |

Age

| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 52.3 | 19.4 | 77.8 | 29.3 | 61.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 7.2 | 1.6 | 8.0 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 10.1 | 47.0 | 29.2 |
| 2 | 15.8 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 13.6 | 7.3 | 1.2 | 15.0 | 5.9 |
| $3+$ | 77.0 | 94.2 | 86.7 | 29.7 | 68.9 | 0.9 | 8.7 | 3.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C(n) | 5,502 | 13,345 | 10,876 | 13,509 | 37,726 | 32,655 | 20,358 |  |
| Tonnes | 1,408 | 6,005 | 4,723 | 2,717 | 13,446 | 1,763 | 2,825 | 4,588 |

Table 6.5 MACKEREL IN Division IXa (Portugal). Catch in numbers ('000) by age group in 1981-19898.

| Age | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 7,675 | 12,436 | 4,500 | 19,516 | 25,692 | 12,024 | 1,927 | 14,787 | 5,962 |
| 1 | 6,571 | 6,433 | 3,353 | 2,679 | 26,367 | 15,112 | 12,644 | 9,023 | 9,566 |
| 2 | 1,920 | 6,618 | 2,892 | 2,422 | 2,779 | 6,858 | 4,479 | 1,545 | 3,063 |
| 3 | 587 | 1,264 | 892 | 1,085 | 272 | 1,227 | 214 | 1,562 | 982 |
| 4 | 101 | 298 | 159 | 241 | 206 | 175 | 742 | 622 | 598 |
| 5 | 41 | 71 | 44 | 70 | 42 | 156 | 548 | 227 | 137 |
| 6 | 33 | 46 | 12 | 19 | 36 | 55 | 61 | 70 | 23 |
| 7 | 15 | 68 | 11 | 10 | 3 | 35 | 61 | 8 | 6 |
| 8 | 8 | 41 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 20 | 45 | 27 | 11 |
| 9 | 5 | 24 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 11 | 47 | 8 | 6 |
| $10+$ | 31 | 102 | 15 | 10 | 3 | 8 | 45 | 2 | 4 |
| Tonnes | 3,108 | 3,018 | 2,239 | 2,250 | 4,178 | 5,565 | 5,525 | 3,882 | 2,825 |


| Table 6.6 M |  | Mackerel in Divisions VIIIc and IXa (Spain). Catch in numbers ('000) by age group and division in 1988 and 1989. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Division VIIIc |  |
|  | 1988 | 881989 |
| 0 |  | 9 7,320 |
| 1 | 6,391 | 1 1,667 |
| 2 | 1,908 | 2,742 |
| 3 | 4,648 | 2,367 |
| 4 | 9,003 | 3 3,025 |
| 5 | 2,923 | 5 5,922 |
| 6 | 5,433 | 2, 2,501 |
| 7 | 12,785 | 3 3,998 |
| 8 | 5,508 | 8 4,885 |
| 9 | 1,785 | 5 1,833 |
| 10 | 530 | - 578 |
| 11 | 284 | 4150 |
| 12 | 752 | 2112 |
| 13 | 713 | 3240 |
| 14 | 124 | 4 - 58 |
| 15+ | 931 | 1330 |
| Tonnes | 16,864 | 4 13,446 |
| Age | Division IXa |  |
|  | 1988 | 8 1989 |
| 0 | 59,736 | 6 30,946 |
| 1 | 11,123 | 3 7,993 |
| 2 | 97 | $7 \quad 486$ |
| 3 | 101 | $1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 4 | 172 | 234 |
| 5 | 89 | 9 - 46 |
| 6 | 88 | 8 24 |
| 7 | 12 | 239 |
| 8 | 11 | 1 78 |
| 9 |  | - 22 |
| 10 |  | - . 11 |
| 11 |  | - 4 |
| 12 |  | - - |
| 13 |  | - 6 |
| 14 |  | - 1 |
| $15+$ |  | - 4 |
| Tonnes | 3,540 | 1,763 |

Tiable 6．7 Catch in numbers（＇X000）and mean weight（g）by guarter， Division（VIIIc and IXa）and age，in ：ife9．

| AgE | Eastern p． （Basque C． |  | Ceritrai p． （Cant＋Astur |  | Western part （N．Ealicia） |  | $\stackrel{\text { ALI }}{\text { VIII }}=$ |  | ：－m IX a－－－：North．part：（S．Gaifia） |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{n}$ | W（g） | 00 | W（g） | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{n})$ | $W(g)$ | $C(n)$ | $W(g)$ | ： | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{n})$ | W（g） |
| 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O | ： | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 19 | 75 | 572 | 74 | 261 | 90 | 852 | 81 |  | 6215 | 68 |
| 2 | 314 | 152 | 272 | 154 | 1187 | 155 | 1773 | 153 | ： | 66 | 156 |
| S | 526 | 261 | 118 | 256 | 353 | 233 | 998 | 245 | ： | 11 | 210 |
| 4 | 736 | 327 | 183 | 382 | 264 | 329 | 1182 | 325 | ： | 5 | 25： |
| 5 | 1816 | 421 | 365 | 403 | 413 | 357 | 2595 | 402 | ： | 5 | 263 |
| 6 | 776 | 464 | 317 | 488 | 161 | 440 | $\pm 25$ | 459 | ： | 1 | ？ 13 |
| 7 | 1486 | 496 | 541 | 514 | 237 | 465 | 2266 | 489 | ： | 1 | 341 |
| 8 | 895 | 495 | 1095 | 523 | 400 | 485 | 2390 | 494 | ： | 1 | 323 |
| 7 | 655 | 534 | 333 | 552 | 107 | 522 | 1094 | 531 | ： |  |  |
| 10 | डQ | 612 | 137 | 539 | 57 | 502 | 234 | 523 | ： |  |  |
| 11 |  |  | 50 | 570 | 12 | 576 | 62 | 551 | ： |  |  |
| 12 | 56 | 670 |  |  |  |  | 56 | 670 | ： |  |  |
| 13 | 1 | 806 | 82 | 580 | 24 | 574 | 107 | 558 | ： |  |  |
| 14 |  |  | 25 | SS1 | 4 | 532 | 29 | 519 | ： |  |  |
| $15+$ |  |  | $1: 2$ | 730 | 30 | 708 | 142 | 696 | ： |  |  |
| Tat | 7319 |  | 4202 |  | 3512 |  | 15033 | 357 | ： | 6305 | 70 |
| TONN | 3525 |  | 1852 |  | 1085 |  | 6462 |  | ： | 414 |  |

SECDND RUARTER

|  | Eastern $p$ ． （Basque C． |  | Central p． （Cant＋Astur |  | Western part （N．Galicia） |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { VIII } \end{gathered}$ |  | ： | North．part （S．Galicia） |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | C （ n | $W(\mathrm{~g})$ | ごn | W（g） | $C(n)$ | W（g） | $C(n)$ | W（g） | ： | $C(n)$ | W（G） |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | ： | 3006 | $1 \epsilon$ |
| 1 | 189 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 110 | 256 | 127 | ： | 1523 | 99 |
| 2 | 237 | 166 | 52 | 192 | 511 | 147 | 800 | 155 | ： | 335 | 132 |
| 3 | 589 | 255 | 417 | 270 | 125 | 257 | 1130 | 258 | ： | 39 | 214 |
| 4 | 842 | 324 | 632 | 341 | 174 | 351 | 1648 | 329 | ： | 26 | 290 |
| 5 | 1766 | 385 | 1013 | 370 | 319 | 374 | 3098 | 374 | ： | 38 | 321 |
| 6 | 532 | 444 | 524 | 455 | 131 | 449 | 1187 | 443 | ： | 24 | 406 |
| 7 | 679 | 475 | 765 | 477 | 213 | 479 | 1657 | 467 | ： | 39 | 424 |
| 8 | 607 | 486 | 1403 | 494 | 373 | 502 | 2383 | 481 | ： | 77 | 4ご |
| 9 | 220 | 537 | 387 | 523 | 97 | 528 | 704 | 517 | ： | 22 | 441 |
| 10 | 86 | 529 | 192 | 508 | 50 | 521 | 329 | 503 | ： | 10 | 442 |
| 11 | 3 | 598 | 67 | 595 | 13 | 567 | 85 | 576 | ： | 4 | 491 |
| 12 | 56 | 604. |  |  |  |  | 56 | 604 | ： |  |  |
| 13 | 8 | 714 | 92 | 582 | 27 | 577 | 126 | 568 | ： | 6 | 482 |
| 14 | 2 | 657 | 19 | 531 | 7 | 532 | 28 | 519 | ： | 1 | 445 |
| $15+$ |  |  | 177 | 739 | 8 | 614 | 18S | 729 | ： | 4 | 546 |
| TOT | 5816 |  | 5742 |  | 2115 |  | 13673 | 357 | ： | 5152 | 68 |
| TONN | 2406 |  | 2653 |  | 787 |  | 5946 |  | ： | 386 |  |

cont＇d．

Table 6.7 cont'd.
THIRD QUARTER

|  | Easte (Basq | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rn } p . \\ & \text { ue } \mathrm{c} . \end{aligned}$ | Centr (Cant | al Astur | Wester <br> (N. Gal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.part } \\ & \text { iᄃi a) } \end{aligned}$ | ALL | $c$ |  | North (S. Ga | $\begin{aligned} & \text { part } \\ & \text { icial } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE |  | W) $\mathrm{g}^{\text {? }}$ | Crn | $W(g)$ | C(n) | W(g) | $C(n)$ | W (g) | : | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{m})$ | W(g) |
| 0 | 0 |  | 199 | 39 | 3572 | 64 | 3762 | 69 | : | 26530 | 34 |
| 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 157 | 63 | 158 | 63 | 154 | : | 137 | 131 |
| 2 | 10 | 183 | $\pm$ | 219 | 144 | 174 | 155 | 170 | : | 36 | 16: |
| 3 | 2 | 262 | 17 | 254 | 124 | 244 | 143 | 230 | : | 9 | 203 |
| 4 | 1 | 312 | 15 | 298 | 94 | 305 | 109 | 278 | : | 1 | 223 |
| 5 | 1 | 301 | 17 | 308 | 117 | 320 | 135 | 289 | : | 2 | 230 |
| 6 | 0 |  | 2 | 359 | 21 | 387 | 23 | 340 | : | - |  |
| 7 | 0 |  | 2 | 415 | 19 | 424 | 21 | 369 | : |  |  |
| 8 | 0 |  | 3 | 430 | 29 | 43日 | 31 | 380 | : |  |  |
| 9 | 0 |  | 1 | 473 | 6 | 464 | 7 | 403 | : |  |  |
| 10 | 0 |  |  | 517 | 3 | 467 | 3 | 407 | : |  |  |
| 11 | 0 |  |  | 536 |  | 504 | 1 | 435 | : |  |  |
| 12 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | : |  |  |
| 13 | 0 |  |  | 598 | 1 | 509 | 1 | 453 | : |  |  |
| 14 | 0 |  |  | 531 |  | 531 |  |  | : |  |  |
| $15+$ | 0 |  |  | 343 | 1 | 433 | 1 | 330 | : |  |  |
| TOT | 14 |  | 247 | 99 | 4194 | 94 | 4455 |  |  | 26715 | 74 |
| TONN | 3 |  | 27 |  | 528 |  | 558 |  | : | - 825 |  |

FUURTH RUARTER

| AGE | Eastern. $p$. (Basque C. |  | Central p(Cant+Astur |  | Western part (N.Galicia) |  | AILI |  | - North. part <br> : (S.Galicia) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{n}$ | W(g) | con | W(g) | $C(n)$ | W(g) | $c(n)$ | W(g) | : | $C(n)$ | W (g) |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 87 | 3501 | 75 | 3559 | 81 | : | 1421 | 72 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 301 | 115 | 195 | 103 | 496 | 111 | : | 117 | 139 |
| 2 | 7 | 241 | 7 | 172 |  |  | 14 | 207 | = | 51 | 162 |
| 3 | 52 | 315 | 44 | 259 |  |  | 96 | 289 | : | 16 | 190 |
| 4 | 42 | 368 | 44 | 328 |  |  | 86 | 348 | - | 2 | 196 |
| 5 | : 23 | 400 | 71 | 354 |  |  | 94 | 365 | : | 1 | 176 |
| 6 | 13 | 466 | 25 | 450 |  |  | 38 | 452 | : |  | . |
| 7 | 13 | 496 | 41 | 493 | . |  | 54 | 494 | : |  |  |
| 8 | $\cdots 5$ | 544 | 78 | 513 |  |  | 81 | 513 | : |  |  |
| 9 | 5 | 452 | 23 | 543 |  |  | 28 | 526 | : |  |  |
| 10 | 1 | 569 | 11 | 541 |  |  | 12 | 544 | : |  |  |
| 11 |  |  | 2 | 525 |  |  | 2 | 525 | : |  |  |
| 12 | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | : |  |  |
| 13 |  |  | 6 | 586 |  |  | 6 | 586 | : |  |  |
| 14 |  |  | 1 | 531 |  |  | 1 | 531 | : |  |  |
| 15+ |  |  | 1 | 461 |  |  | 1 | 461 | : |  |  |
| TOT | 161 |  | 710 | 94 | 3696 | 76 | 4567 |  | : | 1608 | 82 |
| TONN | 171 |  | 172 |  | 318 |  | 581 |  | = | 138 |  |

Table 7.1 Assumed catch in numbers ('000) of North Sea mackerel stock by quartex in 1989.

|  | Quarter |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Total |
|  | 23 | 2 | 149 | 236 | 410 |
| 1 | 250 | 27 | 1,654 | 2,614 | 4,545 |
| 2 | 18 | 2 | 121 | 191 | 332 |
| 3 | 18 | 2 | 121 | 191 | 332 |
| 4 | 18 | 2 | 121 | 191 | 332 |
| 5 | 9 | 1 | 59 | 93 | 162 |
| 6 | 14 | 2 | 91 | 144 | 251 |
| 7 | 23 | 2 | 150 | 238 | 413 |
| 8 | 14 | 2 | 91 | 144 | 251 |
| 9 | 25 | 3 | 165 | 261 | 454 |
| 10 | 32 | 3 | 209 | 331 | 575 |
| 11 | 5 | 1 | 32 | 51 | 89 |
| 12 | 5 | 1 | 59 | 93 | 162 |
| 13 | 1 | 32 | 51 | 89 |  |
| 14 | 41 | 4 | 268 | 424 | 737 |
| $15+$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.2 Mean weight at age (g) by quarter in the North sea mackerel stock.

| Age | Quarter |  |  |  | Weighted mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1^{1}$ | $2^{2}$ | $3^{3}$ | $4^{3}$ |  |
| 1 | 180 | 140 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| 2 | 210 | 255 | 240 | 210 | 220 |
| 3 | 240 | 330 | 280 | 240 | 255 |
| 4 | 260 | 395 | 330 | 260 | 285 |
| 5 | 300 | 450 | 375 | 300 | 330 |
| 6 | 325 | 500 | 420 | 325 | 360 |
| 7 | 355 | 540 | 465 | 355 | 400 |
| 8 | 380 | 570 | 510 | 380 | 430 |
| 9 | 410 | 605 | 550 | 410 | 465 |
| 10 | 435 | 635 | 585 | 435 | 495 |
| 11 | 465 | 670 | 620 | 465 | 525 |
| 12 | 500 | 700 | 650 | 500 | 560 |
| 13 | 530 | 730 | 680 | 530 | 590 |
| 14 | 560 | 765 | 705 | 560 | 615 |
| 15 | 590 | 790 | 720 | 590 | 640 |

Table 7.3 Estimated percentages of each mackexel stock present in the North Sea during each quarter.

| Age | North Sea stock |  |  |  | Western stock |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | - | 20 | 30 | 30 |
| 2 | 80 | 100 | 100 | 80 | 10 | 10 | 50 | 70 |
| $\geq 3$ | 80 | 100 | 50 | 70 | 10 | + | 50 | 70 |

Table 7.4 Estimated percentages of Western juvenile ${ }^{1}$ mackerel present in the North Sea during each quarter 1973-1989.

| Years | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-group |  |  |  | 2-group |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1973-1981 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1982 | - | 5 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 |
| 1983 | - | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 20 |
| 1984 | - | 15 | 25 | 25 | 5 | 5 | 30 | 30 |
| 1985 | - | 20 | 30 | 30 | 5 | 5 | 30 | 30 |
| 1986 | - | 20 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 50 | 70 |
| 1987 | - | 20 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 50 | 70 |
| 1988 | - | 20 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 50 | 70 |
| 1989 | - | 20 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 50 | 70 |

[^3]
## WESTERN MACKEREL EGG PRODUCTION

## - 0 - Total egg production standard area excluding first period $1989=1.4100 \times 10^{15}$



Figure 2.1 Production curves for stage 1 mackerel eggs for a) the standard survey area in 1989 excluding the anomalous first survey point: b) the area north of the standard area ( N of $56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) in 1989: c) the area south of the standard area ( $S$ of $44^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ ) in 1989: d) the area east of the 1989 sampled area in 1988. (Revised from Anon., 1990a.)

Figure 2.2 Area covered by plankton survey during the "Kings Cross" fish survey, 23 May-12June 1989.


Squares with ligit shading were only sampled over half the area and therefore data for egg production ( $\mathrm{m}-2$ ) was given a half weighting. The darkly shaded rectangle shows the location of samples taken to elucidate the 24 th egg production cycle (See Section 2.1).


Figure 2.3 Total daily egg production by rectangle $\left(0.5^{\circ}\right.$ latitude $x$ $1^{\circ}$ longitude) for the survey area covered as part of the batch fecundity programme ( 23 May-12June, 1989).
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Figure 2.4 Total daily egg production ( $\times 10^{-9}$ ) by rectangle for the period 23 may12 June, 1989.


Figure 2.5 Fraction of female mackerel (percentage) spawning by rectangle at the peak of spawning season (23 May-12; June 1989).
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Figure 3.1 Revised distribution of mackerel fisheries, first-fourth quarter 1987.


Figure 3.2 Revised distribution of mackerel fisheries, first-fourth quarter 1988.


Figure 3.3a Distribution of mackerel fisheries, first quarter 1989.


Figure 3.36 Distribution of mackerel fisheries, second quarter 1989.


Figure 3.3c Distribution of mackerel fisheries, third quarter 1989.


Figure 3.3d Distribution of mackerel fisheries, fourth quarter 1989.


Figure 3.4 Schematic outline of the migration pattern of the Western mackerel stock (adults) in the later half of the 1980 s.

Figure 3.5 Distribution and abundance of the 1989 year class between October 1989 and March 1990 from Dutch, English, Scottish, and French research vessel data, and also IYFS data.
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Figure 3.6 Revised distribution and abundance of the 1988 year class between October 1988 and March 1989 from Dutch, English, Scottish, Irish and French research vessel data.


Figure 3.7 Distribution and abundance of the 1988 year class between October 1989 and March 1990 from Dutch, English, Scottish, Norwegian and French research vessel data.
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Figure 3.8 Revised distribution and abundance of the 1987 year class between October 1988 and March 1989 from Dutch, English, Scottish, Irish and French research vessel data.



Figure 3.9 The occurrence of juvenile mackerel expressed as a percentage by numbers in the commercial catches that could be allocated to ICES divisions or subdivisions in 1989. Values in each area are expressed from top to bottom as: 0-group; 1-group; 2-group; tonnage that could be allocated ( $+=$ less than 500 t ).

Figure 3.10 The percentage of $0-1$-and 2 -group mackerel in the Dutch and English commerical catches by rectangle in the first quarter


Figure 3.11 The percentage of $0-$, 1 -and 2 -group mackerel in the Dutch and English commercial catches by rectangle in the second quarter.


Figure 3.12 The percentage of 0 -, 1- and 2-group mackerel in the Dutch and English catches by rectangle in the third quarter.


Figure 3.13 The percentage of $0-1$, and 2 -group mackerel in the Dutch and English commercial catches by rectangle in the fourth quarter.



Figure 3.14 Juvenile migration and distribution from both research and catch data covering the period 4th Quarter 1986-3rd Quarter 1989.

Figure 4.1 Areas of high abundance in the Western area recruitment surveys, 1981-1990.


Figure 5.1 Sum of squared residuals against $r$ at age 4-12+ .


Nigure 5.5

Figure 5.6


Figure 5.7
Trends in yield and fishing mortality (F)
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Figure 5.7 cont'd.
STOCK: Mackerel, Western Stock
$09-05-1990$
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Figure 5.8 The area where parts of the TAC for the western are were allowed to be taken in the North sea in 1989.



Figure 6.1 Eastern, central and westerm components of Division VIIIc, as they have used in Section 6.


[^0]:    Beam + Bt.tr. = beam and bottom trawlers.
    Pel.tr. = pelagic trawlers.
    Pr.tr. = pair trawlers.
    Artisan $\quad=$ artisinals.

[^1]:    | Total | 30,670 | 204,258 | 2,486 | 147,686 | 305,685 | 39,047 | 251,011 | 10,292 | 21,052 | 47,923 | 515 | 11,170 | 23,588 |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^2]:    ${ }_{3}^{3}$ Working Group estimate.
    ${ }^{2}$ Estimated catch does not include Riveira landing port.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Working Group assumes there were no western 0 -group mackerel in the North Sea during the period.

