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## ERRATA TO C.M. 1989/ASSESS: 11 - MACKEREL WORKING GROUP REPORT

Page 13 In the text table under 1984: replace sss 133 by 118.Page 29 2nd para., replace the sentence in the 2nd line by thefollowing:
However, the fishery should be concentrated in the northern areas (Divisions VIa north, IVa and IIa) and kept at a low level in those areas where juvenile fish are consistently found.
Paqe 40 Table 3.5: Under Sub-area VI, year 1978, column: Landings: replace 1,517,000 by
151.000
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Terms of Reference

At the 76 th Statutory Meeting in Bergen, it was decided (C.Res. 1988/2:4:3) that the Mackerel Working Group (Chairman: S.A. Iversen) should meet at ICES Headquarters from 27 February - 7 March 1989 to:
a) assess the status of and provide catch options for 1990 within safe biological limits for the mackerel stocks and management units in Sub-areas II-IX (including the mackerel in Divisions VIIIc and IXa);
b) provide an analysis of all available quantitative information [numbers caught (commercial and research) and percentage contribution] pertaining to the distribution and relative abundance of juvenile mackerel by season and by as fine an area breakdown as possible to provide a basis for evaluating the need to modify the boundaries of the mackerel box:
c) consider, in detail, the practicality of a $30-\mathrm{cm}$ minimum landing size for mackerel;
d) provide quarterly catch-at-age and catch and stock mean weight-at-age data and information on the relative distribution at different ages by quarter for North sea mackerel for 1988 as input for the multispecies VPA, and provide information on the likely level of Western stock mackerel which are seasonally present in the North Sea.

In a telex (16 February 1989) from the Chairman of ACFM, the Working Group was asked to consider new information on the distribution of mackerel in the North Sea. This should be looked at with special reference to the recommendations given for recent years that "any catches taken in Division IVa should in so far as possible be taken in the northern and western part of this Division".

### 1.2 Participation

The Working Group met in Copenhagen with the following participants:
A. Astudillo
W.A. Dawson
A. Eltink
P. Hopkins
S.A. Iversen (Chairman)
E. Kirkegaard
P. Lucio
M.M. Martins
J. Molloy
D.W. Skagen

Spain UK (England and Wales) Netherlands UK (Scotland) Norway Denmark Spain (Basque Country) Portugal Ireland Norway

The ICES Statistician, Dr E.D. Anderson, attended parts of the meeting.

## 2 STOCK DISTRIBUTION_AND MIXING

### 2.1 Distribution of Mackerel Fisheries in 1988

As for 1987 (Anon., 1988a), the officially reported distribution of catches could not be taken as a reliable guide to where mackerel were actually caught in all areas and seasons. An attempt was made by the Working Group to map the catches using information from unofficial sources, but it was not possible to express the catch distribution in precise quantitative terms. For some smaller fisheries, no information was available on catch location and season. The quarterly distribution of the fisheries in 1988, as estimated by the Group, is shown in Figures 2.1A-D.

## Eirst quarter

In the first quarter (Figure 2.1A), catches were taken along the edge of the continental shelf to the west of the British Isles, off Ireland, and in the western Channel. The fishing area was much the same as in 1987. Most of the catch was taken by trawlers. During the first quarter, the mackerel migrate from north to south through Divisions VIa and VIIb,c. The fishery reflects the migration from the northern area to the main spawning area.

In Division VIIIc, fishing was mainly on adult mackerel. The highest catches were taken in the eastern part of Division VIIIc. In Division IXa, fishing was mainly on 1-group mackerel.

Second quarter
In the second quarter (Figure 2.1B), catches in the western area Were taken south of Ireland in the spawning area. The fishing area was the same as in previous years. The catches north of Ireland were mainly taken as by-catch in the herring fishery. Another mackerel fishery in the second quarter took place off the coast of southwest Norway. A small quantity was taken, mainly by drift nets and as by-catch in trawl fisheries.

In Divisions VIIIc and IXa, the fishery in the second quarter was similar to that in the first quarter.

Third quarter
In the third quarter (Figure 2.1C), the major fishery took place in the southeastern part of Division IIa and in the eastern part of Division IVa. The fishing area was more northerly distributed than in 1987. Most of the catches were taken by purse seiners. In Division IIIa, the catch was smaller than in 1987. Small bycatches were recorded in the southern and central North Sea.

There is consistantly a marked decrease in catches in Division VIIIc from the second to the third quarter. In Division IXa, the catches consisted mainly of 0-group mackerel instead of 1 -group as in the first and second quarters.

## Fourth quarter

In the fourth quarter of 1988 (Figure 2.1D), the main fishery shifted southwestwards from Division Ila to Division IVa. Although there are uncertainties about the exact fishing locations,
it seems that most of the catches in this quarter were taken east of the shetlands. In addition to the Shetland area fishery, smaller quantities were taken off northwest Ireland, Cornwall, and Divisions IIIa and IVb,c.

In Divisions VIIIc and IXa, the fishery in the fourth quarter was similar to that in the third quarter.

### 2.2 Review of Information on the Adult stocks

A meeting of a Norwegian-EEC Joint Scientific Group on Migration and Area Distribution of Mackerel (Western Stock) took place in Bergen in November 1987 (Anon., 1988c). The Group was asked to collect and update the most relevant information on stock and catch distribution, particularly for the most recent years, specified on seasons and year classes.

This report was reviewed at last year's working Group meeting together with the available information at that time (Anon. 1988a). Additional information on the distribution of Western mackerel was obtained from the distribution of the fisheries as shown in Figures 2.1A-D, as dealt with in Section 2.1. The migrations to and from the feeding grounds and the actual distribution of the shoals during the main feeding and overwintering season can vary substantially from year to year. The distribution was more northerly during feeding in 1988 than in 1987. The period during which the Western mackerel remained in the North Sea area in 1988 seemed to be even more extended than in 1987, because the distribution of the catches during the fourth quarter in 1988 (Figure 2.1D) seemed to be predominantly east of Shetland in contrast to the fourth quarter in 1987 when half of the catch distribution seemed to be west of Shetland (Anon., 1988a).

The very low size of the North Sea stock and the mixing with mackerel from the Western stock in the third and fourth quarters makes it difficult to determine the distribution and migration of the North sea mackerel. At present, this is not known with any precision outside the spawning season.

The migration pattern of the mackerel from Divisions VIIIc and IXa is still unknown.

### 2.3 Juvenile Distribution

The apparent changes in the distribution of juvenile western mackerel since about 1981 have been discussed in earlier Working Group reports (Anon., 1985a, 1986a, 1987a, 1988a). These changes were illustrated by comparing the annual ratios of the catches of Western stock juveniles (1- and 2-year-olds) from Division via to the total catches of Western stock juveniles in all areas with the ratios of total catches of all ages of the Western stock in all areas. After 1981, there was a tendency for the catches of both juveniles and adults to increase proportionally in Division VIa. This ratio could not be calculated on the same area basis in 1987 and 1988 because of misreporting of catches. However, if the ratio is calculated from officially reported catches in the northern area, the proportion of juveniles in the north remains high.

The distribution of the juvenile year classes is given in more detail in Figures 2.2-2.5 which show the catch rates for research vessel surveys. Abundance indices were derived from research vessel trawl surveys by England (first and fourth quarters, 19841988), Ireland (fourth quarter, 1985-1988), and the Netherlands (fourth quarter, 1985-1988) (see Section 2.8).

The occurrence of the 1986, 1987, and 1988 year classes expressed as a percentage (number) of the catches taken in the commercial fishery in each ICES division in 1988 is shown in figure 2.6. The abundance of the 2 -year-olds in the 1988 catches ( 1986 year class) is slightly higher compared with the 2-year-olds present in 1987 ( 1985 year class). The Working Group has once again been asked to give the distribution and relative abundance of juvenile mackerel by season in as fine an area breakdown as possible. Therefore, the occurrence of the 1986. 1987, and 1988 year classes is also expressed in the same way by rectangle in figures 2.7-2.10.

Since 1985, an acoustic survey has been carried out in JulyAugust in the eastern part of the Skagerrak and Kattegat. The abundance estimates of 1-. 2-, and 3-year-old mackerel from these surveys are summarized in Table 2.1. Since 1985, the concentration of juvenile mackerel in these areas has been very high. There is no indication of major difference in year-class strength. This may partly be due to the northeastern changes in the distribution of juvenile mackerel during the period.

### 2.3.1 The 1988 year class

## Fourth quarter 1988

Research vessel surveys during this quarter were undertaken by Ireland, Scotland, the Netherlands, and England and covered most of the Western area. The highest concentrations were once again found mainly in Divisions VIIb,j,h and the southern part of Division VIa (Figure 2.2).

The 1988 year class was present in the catches in the fourth quarter in Division VIIe only (Figures 2.6 and 2.10).

## Eirst quarter 1989

The IYFS in February 1989 provided additional information on the distribution of the 1988 year class in the North Sea. No high concentrations were observed.

### 2.3.2 The 1987 year class

## Fourth quarter 1987 and first quarter 1988

The revised distribution of the 1987 year class during this period is presented in Figure 2.3 and includes additional information that was not available to the Working Group in 1988.

Large concentrations were found mainly in the celtic sea, close to the Shelf edge. No high concentrations were observed in the North Sea.

The 1987 year class was only present in the catches northwest of Ireland in the first quarter of 1988 (Figures 2.6 and 2.7).

## Second quarter 1988

The 1987 year class was not present in the samples taken during the egg survey in the North Sea. The 1987 year class was present in the Western area during a research vessel survey carried out in June (Hopkins, working document).

Again, this year class was only present in the catches northwest of Ireland. None were taken in the North Sea (Figures 2.6 and 2.8).

## Third quarter 1988

No research vessel data were available for the third quarter in the Western area. Large quantities were found in the North Sea during the acoustic survey in July-August in the eastern part of the North Sea, Skagerrak, and Kattegat (Table 2.1).

Large numbers were also present in the fishery in Division IIIa (85\%), Sub-area IV, and Divisions IIa, VIa, and VIIb,e (Figures 2.6 and 2.9).

## Fourth quarter 1988

The 1987 year class was again found to be abundant in the fourth quarter of 1988 in the Western area from research vessel surveys. The largest concentrations were found off the Cornish peninsula and in the celtic sea south of Ireland. In addition, a large concentration was found in the southern North Sea during a Dutch bottom trawl survey (Figure 2.4).

The 1987 year class was well represented in the main fishery in Division IVa. In addition, it was also taken in smaller quantities from the fishery in all other areas, except Division IIa (Figures 2.6 and 2.10).

### 2.3.3 The 1986 year class

## Fourth quarter 1987 and first quarter 1988

Additional information on the distribution of the 1986 year class was made available to the Working Group for the period October 1987 - March 1988 and is illustrated in Figure 2.5. No large concentrations were found, however, but it was abundant once again in Divisions VIIj, e. No high concentrations were observed in the North Sea.

The distribution of the 1986 year class was also reflected in the same way in the catches taken during the first quarter of 1988 when large numbers were taken in the western area close to the shelf edge and off southwest England (Figures 2.6 and 2.7).

Second quarter 1988
The 1986 year class was not present in the catches taken during the egg survey in the North Sea. It was also present in large numbers in the Western area during a research vessel survey carried out in June (Hopkins, working document).

This year class was only present in the catches taken in Division VIa and the celtic Sea (Figure 2.6).

Third quarter 1988
No research vessel data were available for the third quarter in the Western area. Large quantities were found in the North Sea during the acoustic survey in July-August in the eastern part of the North Sea, Skagerrak, and Kattegat (Table 2.1). This year class was well represented in the catches in Divisions IVa and IIa (Figure 2.6).

Fourth quarter 1988
The 1986 year class was also well represented in all areas in the fourth quarter fishery, with 10\% being taken from the main fishery in Division IVa (Figures 2.6 and 2.10).

### 2.3.4 The 1985 year class

No additional data were available on the distribution of the 1985 year class from research vessel surveys. However, the proportion that appeared in the catch during 1988 confirms that this year class is below average abundance.

### 2.4 Recruit Indices from Research Vessel Surveys

A method of predicting year-class strength from combined research vessel surveys during the first and fourth quarters was described by Dawson et al. (1988). A previous attempt to use the data collected from these surveys with estimates of recruitment from VPA made by Dawson (1988), using the RCRTINX2 method of Shepherd, was unsuccessful. Shepherd's method combines each survey series by weighting them according to the reciprocal of the variance of their agreement with VPA recruitment estimates. However, high annual variation within the overall distribution meant that individual surveys did not reflect overall abundance.

The method of Dawson et al. (1988) avoids the use of weighting factors and is less affected by fluctuations within the distribution. A potential disadvantage is that the annual indices are based upon individual surveys in different months using different types of bottom trawl. The method was applied to the VPA presented here (Section 3.4.3) and provisional recruit indices calculated from the fourth quarter of 1988 research vessel surveys. The results are plotted for first- and second-winter mackerel in Figures 2.11 and 2.12 , respectively. The regression lines were forced through the origin and the equations and correlation coefficients are given below:

| Age group | Regression <br> equation | Correlation <br> coefficient |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| First-winter juveniles | $y=37.5 x$ | $r=0.90$ |
| Second-winter juveniles | $Y=29.1 x$ | $r=0.70$ |

The data presented in Figures 2.11 and 2.12 indicate better agreement between the VPA and survey abundance indices for firstwinter mackerel than for second-winter mackerel; however, the correlation coefficients show general agreement.

Recruitment predicted by the method of Dawson et al. (1988) using the data from the recruit surveys has been shown to give a better estimate of recruitment than the index presently used for the catch prognosis input. However, the time series of data used is relatively short and so, for the time being, the recruit indices calculated in this way should be used with caution. The recruitment indices from the research vessel surveys together with the estimated numbers of 1 -group and 2 -group are presented in Table 2.2. Only the indices up to and including 1986 were used in the regression because the 1987 and 1988 data are too dependent on input $F$ values. The 1987 year class was predicted to be 4,665 million fish from the first-winter abundance estimate. However, because only the fourth quarter data were available for this winter's estimates, the most recent estimates for the 1987 year class as second-winter fish, and the 1988 year class as firstwinter fish were considered unreliable. They have not been converted to abundance estimates because they are outside the range of the regression.

The Western mackerel stock has become more and more dependent upon recruitment in recent years as the spawning stock biomass is declining. It is, therefore, becoming more important to have a reliable indication of recruitment as early as possible. The most recent information on recruitment does not become available until after the end of March when the research vessel surveys have been completed. For these reasons, the working Group strongly recommends that the recruit surveys should be continued, and that future Mackerel working Group meetings should be convened after mid-April so that all available information may be utilized in the assessment.

## 2.5 catches in Tonnes of the Western and North Sea Mackerel

The catches of mackerel have in the past been divided into North Sea and Western mackerel based on total catch in numbers. The sum of products (SOP) of the numbers and average weights for the different year classes will be an estimate of the catch in tonnes of the two stocks.

A comparison of the SOPs for the two stocks for the different years with the catch in tonnes, as given in Table 3.1 in last year's Working Group report (Anon., 1988a), indicates some discrepancies, particularly for the period 1976-1980 (Table 2.3). The tonnages given last year were taken from the CATON files at ICES. However, the basis for the values given for 1976-1980 is not described anywhere. This was investigated further in a working document (Iversen).

The total catch of mackerel in the North Sea, Norwegian Sea, and the Western areas for the period 1972-1987 are given in Table 2.3. The catches are within $\pm 10$ \% of the total sop for all years except for 1975 (32\%), 1980 (23\%), and 1983 (11\%). These differences might be due to the applied catch in numbers for the two stocks, the average weight by age, or a combination of both. For the Western stock, the same weights at age in the catch were used for the period 1972-1979, and similarly for the North sea stock, the same weights at age were used for the period 1969-1983. The North sea weights in this period were on average 28\% higher than those used for the Western stock in 1972-1979. Therefore, the working Group thinks that the main cause for the difference between the SOP and actual catch is the applied weight in catch. The catch in numbers for the North Sea stock has been calculated for 1986 and 1987; therefore, the catch in tonnes has also been altered (see Section 5.1).

Since the actual catch and Sops usually agree well (Table 2.3), the actual total catch in tonnages of the two stocks might be divided into catches of Western and North sea mackerel in accordance with the SOP for each stock (Table 2.3).

## 3 NORTH SEA, NORWEGIAN SEA, AND WESTERN AREAS (SUB-AREA IV, DIVISIONS IIIa, IIa, AND Vb, SUB-AREAS VI AND VII, AND DIVISIONS VIIIa,b)

### 3.1 The Fishery in 1988

The changes that have taken place in recent years in the distribution of the stocks and the location of the fisheries has meant that the system used by previous working Groups, in which the fisheries for the North Sea and Western stocks were described in different sections, is no longer appropriate. The situation is further complicated by the amount of misreporting that takes place between the different areas. This section, therefore, deals with the fisheries in the above-mentioned areas, and it must be emphasized that the 1988 catch figures are in all cases preliminary.

Nominal catches in the North Sea, Skagerrak, and Kattegat (Subarea IV and Division IIra) are given in Table 3.1, and catches in the Norwegian Sea and off the Faroes (Divisions IIa and Vb) in Table 3.2. An estimated by-catch of mackerel in the horse mackerel fishery of 500 t in Division IIa and $13,000 \mathrm{t}$ in Division IVa was included in these numbers. The nominal catch in Divisions IIa and Vb was the largest ever recorded in this area, exceeding the 1986 catch by 198. The total nominal catch in the two reporting areas increased by $27,917 t$ (12.6\%) compared to 1987, thus continuing the trend of recent years. Misreporting is known to have occurred, and the catches by area as given in Tables 3.1 and 3.2 are inaccurate.

The quantity of catches which could not be allocated to any country increased dramatically and, together with the discards, totalled 29,000 or $23 \%$ of the total. This figure is the highest ever recorded for the fishery in this area and is a major cause for concern.

Table 3.3 gives the estimated catch by quarter for the various
sub-areas and divisions, based on information provided by working Group members. As in previous years, the major part of the fishery took place in the northern part of Division IVa and in the southeastern part of Division IIa in July-November.

The landings made by each country from the Western area (Subareas VI and VII and Divisions VIIIa,b) for the 10 -year period 1979-1988 are shown in Table 3.4. This table also shows the estimated discards (see also Section 3.1.1 on discarded catches). The landing figures for 1988 are preliminary and are based on data supplied by Working Group members. Some slight revisions were made to the 1987 catch data, but the overall total catch was not altered significantly. Some changes were also made in the UK (N. Ireland) catches from 1984-1987. During this period, most of these catches had been included with UK (Scotland), but are now shown separately.

The total catch reportedly taken from Sub-areas VI and VII and Divisions VIIIa,b was about $377,000 \mathrm{t}$ compared to $401,700 \mathrm{t}$ in 1987. However, it must immediately be pointed out that this figure, as in 1986 and 1987, includes considerable quantities of mackerel which were reportedly taken in the northern part of Division VIa, but were in fact taken east of $4^{6} \mathrm{~W}$ in Division IVa. It was estimated that the amount misreported in this way totalled about $180,000 t$ compared to $117,000 t$ in 1987 and $148,000 t$ in 1986. (The 1987 figure of misreported catches has been revised slightly.) The total landings also include some catches which were misreported as horse mackerel.

The total amount of "unallocated" catches amounted to 4,700 t compared to 25,800 t in 1987.

As in 1987, the main catches taken from Sub-areas VI and VII and Divisions VIIIa,b were those taken by UK (Scotland), Ireland, and the Netherlands. It must again be emphasized that these catches must be treated with caution because of the amounts of misreported and unallocated catches. However, it does appear that there has been little change in recent years in the reported catches of the main countries. Spain took about $1,500 \mathrm{t}$ in Divisions VIIIa,b.

The actual catch taken from Sub-areas VI and VII in 1988 amounted to about 197,000 $t$ (Table 3.3). This is a decrease from the figure of $290,000 t$ for 1987 and is a further result of the factors pointed out by the 1988 Working Group. These were: 1) the shift in the distribution of the stock which was again evident in 1988, 2) the more effective management of the fishery in these areas, e.g., the restrictions imposed by the "box" off Cornwall and the closure of the Irish fishery from April-october, and 3) the continuing decrease in effort by the Dutch fleet which now concentrates mainly on horse mackerel.

The catches taken by sub-area are shown in Table 3.5. This table has been altered from that shown in previous reports. It is now based on the actual catches taken from each area and has been extended to include catches taken in Sub-area IV and Division IIIa. The catches show very clearly the change in the distribution of the stock and the location of the fisheries. The catches in Sub-area VII and Divisions VIIIa, b are mainly from the northern divisions (Divisions VIIb-k) and amounted to $78,300 \mathrm{t}$ in 1988 compared to nearly 440,000 t in 1979. Catches taken from

Sub-area VI have, on the other hand, decreased from $340,000 t$ in 1981 and 1982 to 119,700 in 1988, while there has been a dramatic increase in the catches from Sub-area IV and Division IIIa from 50,000 t in 1985 to $338,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1988.

### 3.1.1 Discarded catches

The total amount of mackerel shown in Table 3.4 as having been discarded is $5,800 \mathrm{t}$. The Working Group considers this to be a minimum estimate as it includes the discards of only one country. The amount of mackerel discarded by other countries may be considerable, but has not been estimated. As pointed out by the 1988 Working Group, discarding of small fish may again become a serious problem when a big year class enters the fishery.

Some data were presented to the working Group about spanish discards. Igelino et al. (1988) studied the discarding rates for different fleets operating in Sub-area VII and Divisions VIIIa,b in 1987 and found that an average of about $50 \%$ of the total catch was discarded by trawlers. The proportion of mackerel in the discarded catch was less than $10 \%$ except in April-May, when values of nearly 30 , were found. The discards of the longliners were negligible except in April, when discarding of mackerel was about 108 of the total catch. However, it has not been possible to calculate the discards and they are, therefore, not included.

### 3.1.2 Catch in numbers in 1988

The catch in number at age for Divisions IIa, IVa, and Vb; IIIa; IVb, c; VIa,b; VIIa,d-h; VIIb, c,j,k; and VIIIa,b is shown in Table 3.6.

Divisions IIa and Vb
All the catches in this area were allocated to quarters and age groups by quarters using Norwegian data.

## Division IVa

For the two first quarters, the catches were allocated in numbers by age group according to Norwegian data. In the third and fourth quarters, a combination of Norwegian, Danish, and Dutch data were applied to the catches taken by Northern Ireland, Scotland, England, and wales.

Estimated catches of about $180,000 \mathrm{t}$ and $4,300 \mathrm{t}$ misreported to Division VIa and Vb, respectively, were included in Division IVa. Unallocated catches were converted to numbers at age using appropriate quarterly sampling data.

## Rivisions IVb_c

In Division $I V b$, the catches were allocated to age groups according to Norwegian, Danish, and Dutch data. In Division IVc, Dutch data were used for calculating catch in numbers per age group.

## Division IIIa

Sampling data were supplied by Norway and Denmark. These data were applied to the Swedish catch for allocating in numbers per age group.
pivisions VIa, $b$
Sampling data were provided by Scotland, the Netherlands, Ireland, and Norway. Landings by the Federal Republic of Germany and the UK (England and Wales) were converted to numbers at age using the combined sampling data by quarter. Unallocated catches were converted to numbers at age using appropriate quarterly sampling data.

As in 1987, a large part of the reported catch for Division VIa was taken in Division IVa.

## Divisions VIIb, c,

For 1988, the divisions of Sub-area VII were combined in a way that better reflects the distribution of juveniles and adults than the area combination previously used. In earlier years, the numbers at age of Sub-area VII were provided for both Divisions VIIa-c and Divisions VIId-k. This was changed so that the numbers at age of Sub-area VII were given for Divisions VIIb, c,j,k where mainly the adult mackerel occur and for Divisions VIIa,d-h where mainly the juvenile mackerel occur.

```
Sampling data for Divisions VIIb,c,j,k were provided by the Netherlands and Ireland. Landings by the Federal Republic of Germany and the UK (England, Scotland, and Wales) were converted to numbers at age using the combined sampling data by quarter. French catches were allocated to area and quarter using appropriate annual sampling data.
Divisions VIIa, d-h
```

Sampling data were provided by Ireland, the Netherlands, and England. Landings by Scotland, the Federal Republic of Germany, and part of the landings by UK (England and wales) were converted to numbers at age using the combined sampling data by quarter.

The French catches were allocated to area, quarter, and age using appropriate sampling data.

## Divisions VIIIa,b,de

Numbers at age were not supplied for Divisions VIIIa,b. The annual age distribution for Sub-area VII was applied to convert catches from France, Spain, and UK (England and Wales) to numbers at age.

### 3.1.3 Revision of the 1987 catch in numbers_at age

Revisions to the catch data for 1987 were a reduction of approximately $5,600 t$ due to an accounting error and an increase of approximately 2,000 t due to previously unreported by-catches of
mackerel in the horse mackerel fishery in Division IVa. The net reduction of 3.600 t is approximately 0.58 of the total catch, and was considered negligible.

However, the decision made by the 1988 Working Group that the $13,000 t$ caught in the central North sea should be allocated to the North Sea stock was questioned (see Section 3.2). These catches contained a high proportion of juveniles which did not subsequently recruit to the North Sea stock, and a working document (Kirkegaard) suggests that catch rates for the North Sea stock must be much lower than this. It was, therefore, decided to revise the 1987 catch-in-numbers data for the Western stock to include the 13,000 t previously allocated to the North sea stock.

### 3.2 Allocation of Catches to Stocks

In 1988, the working Group allocated the 1987 catches to stocks assuming that all mackerel caught in Divisions IVb, IVc, and IIIa were North Sea fish, and that the proportion of North Sea mackerel in all other areas was insignificant. The catches were estimated to be $13,000 \mathrm{t}$ for the North Sea Stock and 615,000 $t$ for the western stock. The catch in numbers at age for the North Sea stock indicated that more than 50\% of the mackerel caught in 1987 were juvenile (1- and 2-year-old fish).

Based on egg surveys, the size of the North Sea spawning stock was estimated to be $37,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1988 (Iversen et al., working document) and 45,000 $t$ in 1986 (Iversen et al., 1987). Using the two stock estimates, the average total mortality rate ( $Z$ ) for the spawning stock was estimated to be 0.21 (Kirkegaard, working document). This low mortality rate corresponds to a catch in the order of $2,000-5,000 \mathrm{t}$ per year.

The Working Group reviewed the procedure used to split the 1987 catches and found that the resulting catch-at-age figure for the North sea stock was inconsistent with the results from the egg surveys. Regarding both the total biomass and the age composition, the Working Group found the method unsuitable for allocating catches to stocks.

The working Group did not find any reliable method to split the 1987 and 1988 data and decided to allocate all mackerel caught in Sub-areas II-VII in 1988 to the Western stock. Including a small catch of North sea fish in the Western stock will have very little influence on the assessment of the Western stock.

In 1987, the working Group allocated the 1986 catches to stocks using three sources of information: 1) the estimate of the relative proportion of the two stocks present in the North sea by quarter and age group, 2) an estimate of the number of 1-year-old fish in 1984 and 1985 in each of the two stocks, and 3) the age distribution of the North sea spawning stock in 1986. The method gave a catch of $32,000 \mathrm{t}$ of North Sea fish.

The information from the egg surveys in 1986 and 1988 in the North Sea indicated that the catches from the North Sea stock in 1986 were overestimated and that the real catch was somewhat smaller. However, lacking a reliable method to split catches, the Working Group was not able to change the catch figures for 1986.

Based on the assumed catch in numbers of the North sea stock, as given in Section 5.1, the catch from this stock was about 3,000 t in 1988. This implies a catch of about 623,000 $t$ from the Western stock in 1988.

### 3.3 Assessment of the North sea stock

### 3.3.1 Spawning stock biomass estimate from the eqg survey in 1988

During the period 5 April - 28 July, the spawning areas of North Sea mackerel and horse mackerel were investigated by the Netherlands, Denmark, and Norway. The total egg production of North sea mackerel was estimated to be $25 \times 10^{12}$ eggs and the spawning stock at $37,000 t$ (Iversen et al., working document). This is about 20\% less than the 1986 estimate and is an all-time low.

### 3.3.2 The state of the North Sea stock

As in the three previous years, the working Group decided that it could not carry out an analytical assessment of the North Sea stock.

The only new information about the state of the North sea stock which was available to the Working Group was the results from the 1988 egg survey in the North Sea (Iversen et al.. working document). Data were not available to quantify the recruitment of the last three year classes to the North Sea stock. As mentioned in Section 3.2, the Working Group was not in a position to allocate catches to stocks.

The egg survey in 1988 (see section 3.3.1) gave an estimate of the spawning stock biomass of $37,000 \mathrm{t}$. The development in spawning stock biomass for the period 1975-1988 is given in the text table below (in '000 t).

| Year | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| S5B | 826 | 700 | 583 | 436 | 336 | 258 | 189 | 162 | 168 |
| Year | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |  |  |  |  |
| SSB | 133 | - | 45 | - | 37 |  |  |  |  |

The figures for the period 1975-1983 are taken from the VPA given in the Working Group report (Anon., 1985a). For the years 1984, 1986, and 1988, the estimates from the egg surveys were used (Iversen et all., 1985; Iversen et al., 1987; and Iversen et sl.. working document).

There has been a steady decline in the spawning biomass, and the 1988 figure is the lowest on recora.

Based on the estimates of spawning stock size and the age composition from the egg surveys in 1986 and 1988, Kirkegaard (working document) estimated the total mortality rate of the
spawning stock to be 0.21 per year. The Working Group uses a value of natural mortality of 0.15 . Even though the figures may be very uncertain, they indicate a very low fishing mortality and correspond to a catch in the order of 2,000-5,000 $t$.

The age composition of the spawning population observed during the spawning period (Iversen et al., working document) shows that the recruitment of the 1984 and 1985 year classes to the stock has been very low. The 1984 year class only accounts for about 9 : in number of the spawning fish in the North sea, while it accounts for about 50\% in the western area. The relatively large quantities of juvenile mackerel (1- and 2-year-olds) observed since 1985 in the third and fourth quarters in Divisions IIIa and IVb, both in the catches and in the surveys (see Section 2.3), are likely to be Western fish. This means that mackerel found in all areas in the third and fourth quarters are predominanty Western stock, and that the North Sea stock makes up only a small proportion, even in Divisions IVb, C and IIIa.

### 3.3.3 Egg survey in 1989

During the last two weeks of June, which usually is the peak of the spawning in the North Sea, Norway will survey the spawning area at least once. The results will be available for the ACFM meeting in November 1989.

### 3.4 Assessment of the Western Stock

### 3.4.1 Mean weight at age

Mean weights at age in the catches by quarter in 1988 were provided by Scotland (Divisions VIa and IVa,b), England (Divisions VIIe,f), Ireland (Divisions VIa and VIIb,j), the Federal Republic of Germany (Divisions IVa,b and VIa), Norway (Divisions IIa and IVa), Denmark (Divisions IVa,b and IIIa), and the Netherlands (Divisions IVa,b, c, VIa, and VIIb,d,e,f,j).

Weighted (by number) mean catch weight-at-age estimates were made by division by quarter and by division by year for catches from the Western and North Sea area (Table 3.6).

Mean weights at age (g) in the spawning stock at spawning time were estimated for 1988 by using samples from Dutch commercial freezer trawlers in Sub-area VII in March and April, but not in May as previously. These weights (in g) are shown in the text table below (1-year-olds are rarely taken in samples; therefore, a constant weight of 70 g was taken):

| Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | $12+$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | 70 | 146 | 233 | 302 | 327 | 434 | 455 | 436 | 460 | 528 | 606 | 634 |
| 1987 | 70 | 139 | 233 | 268 | 363 | 371 | 392 | 402 | 459 | 483 | 442 | 538 |

These mean weights in 1988 are higher than those in 1987 probably due to the absence of mean weights at age from the spawning area in May, which previously were combined with the mean weights at
age in March and April, since the mean weights at age decrease as the spawning season progresses (Eltink, 1987).

### 3.4.2 Maturity at aqe

In 1988, the Working Group recognized the need for a more accurate estimation of the maturity at age because strong incoming year classes have a greater influence on the size of the spawning stock biomass now that the stock is declining (Anon., 1988b). With the exception of the 1986 data (1984 year class), maturity/age ogives have been estimated from the number of immature and mature fish from both the juvenile and spawning areas (Lockwood et al., 1981; Anon., 1985b). There are two disadvantages of estimating maturity in this way:

1) The samples were not weighted by the relative abundance of the immature fish of a particular age group in the juvenile area and the mature fish of that group in the spawning area. Using unweighted samples could cause severe bias.
2) Massive degeneration of vitellogenic oocytes has been observed in first-time spawning females, mainly 2 -year-olds (Coello et al: working document; Greer-Walker et al., 1987). Maturity ogives calculated previously have been based on macroscopic examination of the gonad. Therefore, these abortive females may have been classified as mature, when in fact they would not have contributed to spawning during that season. This suggests that previous maturity ogives may have overestimated the proportion mature of first-time spawners.

A method of estimating the percentage of spawning fish by age group based on $L_{\text {a }}$ measurements (Eltink, 1988), independent of weighting has also been considered (Anon., 1988a; Anon., 1988b). preliminary results suggest this method to be promising. Although the working Group in 1988 recommended further work on this technique to check the validity of the method, additional information is not yet available. Provisional results indicated that about 35\% of the 1981 year class was actually spawning at age 2.

Biological information from samples taken during the 1986 Western mackerel egg survey also indicated the number of spawning fish at age 2 ( 1984 year class) to be less than 60\%, and 20\% mature fish of the 1984 year class at age 2 was accepted. This was based on a much lower number of 2-year-olds in the spawning areas than expected and on a slower growth compared to the preceding 1985 year class at age 2 (Anon., 1987b; Anon., 1988b).

An attempt to quantify the percentage of females showing abortive maturation during the 1987 spawning season (1985 year class) using histological procedures was presented to the Working Group (Coello et al., 1989 working document). The true proportion mature was estimated by weighting the proportion of 2 - and 3-year-olds on the spawning ground to the proportion of mature fish on the spawning ground using the mature fish curve calculated from the 1986 western mackerel egg surveys (Anon. 1987b). Abortive maturation was not found to occur in fish older than age 3. The true proportion of mature females for the 2- and 3-yearolds was found to be $51 \%$ and $90 \%$, respectively. This indicated that $42 \%$ of the 2 -year-old females show abortive maturation, but it is only evident in $10 \%$ of the 3 -year-olds. While the true
proportion of age 2 females is slightly lower than that used in the maturity ogive for the assessment (60\%), it should be pointed out that the maturity ogive used in the assessment includes males and females. Also, the proportions calculated by coello et al. (working document) assume that all 2- and 3-year-old fish migrate to the spawning area. For these reasons, the Working Group decided to continue using 60\% for age 2 fish in this year's assessment. The true proportion mature of 3 -year-olds was found to be exactly the same as the proportion presently used by the Working Group (90\%).

Because the 1987 year class appears to be strong, the Working Group recommends that all available biological information on the proportion mature first-time spawners should be collected, as available data suggest that this proportion is likely to be more critical for good year classes.

### 3.4.3 Eishing mortality and tuning of the VPA

The VPA was tuned to the estimates of spawning stock biomass from the egg surveys in 1977, 1980, 1983, and 1986 using the same method as described by the 1988 Working Group (Anon., 1988a). The method converts the egg survey estimates of spawning stock biomass at spawning time to estimates at 1 January for comparison with the VPA estimates. The fishing mortalities in the VPA are then adjusted to minimize the sum of squared residuals between the VPA and egg survey estimates of spawning stock biomass.

The 1988 Working Group decided that future assessments should use catch-at-age data extended to include a $15+$ group. This was done for the 1989 assessment, and the exploitation pattern was examined using separable VPA (SVPA). With an arbitrarily chosen terminal fishing mortality of 0.3 and a reference age of 4 , the selection patterns for different values of terminal $s$ are shown in Figure 3.1.

In previous assessments, a flat exploitation pattern was assumed for fully-recruited age groups, and it is difficult to account for the apparent trend in the selection pattern using the newly disaggregated age groups 11-14. Moreover, it was found that the fishing mortality derived by tuning the VPA to the egg surveys was critically dependent on the terminal $s$ chosen, since the choice of $F$ on the oldest age groups strongly influenced the VPA values in the years corresponding to those of the egg surveys.

The oldest age groups are not well represented in the catches, and it is possible that the corresponding catch-in-number data are unreliable, because they are sensitive to the numbers of otoliths read (Armstrong and Ilardia, 1986). Also, at the Age Determination Workshop held in Lowestoft in June 1987, it was found that the older ages were underrepresented in the age determinations by some participants. Agreement in the age determination of these older ages improved to acceptable levels only subsequent to this workshop, so that extending the catch-innumber data for years prior to this might not be justified. The Working Group considered that it was preferable to extend the numbers-at-age data by just one age group each year, and for this year's assessment, the oldest true age group was, therefore, taken to be 11, with the catches of older fish combined into a $12+$ group. The SVPA was rerun and, using a reference age of 4
with a terminal $s$ of 1.2 , a reasonably flat exploitation pattern was obtained on ages 5-11 (Table 3.7).

## The output of the VPA

The fishing mortalities derived from the SVPA based on the terminal populations were used to generate VPA estimates of spawning stock biomass at a range of terminal $F$ values. The residuals of the VPA and egg survey estimates are shown in Figure 3.2. Due to equipment problems during the 1980 egg survey, the reliability of this estimate of spawning stock biomass is questionable (Anon.. 1984). If this survey is excluded from the tuning procedure, a much more well defined minimum is obtained (Figure 3.2). Excluding the 1977 egg survey and tuning to the latest two egg surveys does not significantly affect the behaviour of the residuals.

Figure 3.2 indicates a minimum at a terminal fof 0.275. A separable VPA with this value of terminal $F$ was used to derive input fishing mortalities for the final VPA. The results are shown in Tables 3.8 - 3.10. Basing the fishing mortalities on the terminal populations has increased the unweighted mean from 0.250 in 1987 to 0.337 in 1988. Mean $F$ over the same range of ages weighted by population size also shows an increase but this is much less marked due to the relatively low fishing mortalities on the strong 1981 and 1984 year classes.

The spawning stock biomass estimate of 1,713,000 $t$ in 1988 is based on the weights at age in the stock data given in Section 3.4.1, where their reliability is discussed.

### 3.4.4 Eorecast for the Western stock

In recent years, recruitment has been extremely variable, with a tendency for values to be either very high or very low. Recruitment of intermediate strength was last observed in 1978. Since the spawning size in 1978 was around 3 million $t$ and the present stock size is nearer to 1.5 million $t$, only data from 1979 onwards were used for the prediction of recruitment. Three levels of year-class strength were defined. Predicted recruitment of strong and weak year classes was taken to be the geometric mean of recent strong year classes (1979, 1980, 1981, and 1984) and recent weak year classes (1982, 1983, 1985, and 1986), respectively. An intermediate value was also defined, even though no recent recruitment of intermediate strength has been observed. Recruitment values corresponding to these definitions are shown below (in millions).

| Level | O-group | 1-group |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Strong | 6,100 | 5,245 |
| Intermediate | 3,600 | 3,100 |
| Weak | 1,200 | 1,000 |

The recruit survey indices were used to indicate the strength of the recruiting year class and hence the appropriate level of recruitment for the forecast (Section 2.4).

The stock and catch predictions were based on the following assumptions and parameters summarized in Table 3.11:
a) The stock size in number at age on 1 January 1989 was taken from the VPA (Table 3.10), with the exception of the 1987 and 1988 year classes. On the basis of recruit survey data, the size of the 1987 year class was assumed to be 5,245 million as 1-group in 1988. After applying the fishing mortality from the VPA, this corresponds to 4,350 million fish in 1989.
b) The available recruit index data indicate that the 1988 year class is strong. The number of 1 -group in 1989 was, therefore, assumed to be 5,245 million. However, the recruit survey index must be considered unreliable until the supplementary data are available from the first quarter of 1989. The prediction was, therefore, also made assuming the 1988 year class to be of intermediate strength, that is, 3,100 million fish as 1 -group in 1989 .
c) The strengths of the 1989, 1990, and 1991 year classes were assumed to be intermediate, or 3,600 million fish at age 0 .
d) The fishing pattern in 1989 was assumed to be that estimated by the separable VPA (rable 3.7), but scaled to the 1988 mean level.
e) The maturity ogive was assumed to be the same used for all years except 1986, when 20\% maturity was assumed for the 1984 year class.
f) Based on the agreed TACs for 1989 of about $495,000 t$ and a USSR fishery in the same order as in 1988, the catch in 1989 was assumed to be 600,000 $t$.

Agreed TACs for 1989

| Vb, VI, VII, VIII (except VIIIc), XII, XIV | EEC <br> Norway <br> Faroes | $\begin{array}{r} 324,750 \\ 19,200 \\ 19,200 \end{array}$ | 363,150 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IVa north of $59^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | EEC <br> Norway <br> sweden | $\begin{array}{r} 10,500 \\ 28,500 \\ 700 \end{array}$ | 39,700 |
| IIa | Norway EEC | $\begin{aligned} & 78,600 \\ & 13,100 \end{aligned}$ | 91,700 |
| Sum |  |  | 494.550 |

g) Mean weights at age in the stock were taken to be the average for the years 1986-1988.

The predictions for stock and catch in 1990 and 1991 were calculated for $F_{\text {med }}$ (Figure 3.3), $F_{0,1}, F_{90}=F_{8 B}$, and $F_{\text {pigh }}$. The
 spawning stock biomass in relation to $F$ are also given in figure 3.4 .

The results indicate that, given strong 1987 and 1988 year classes, the spawning stock will increase in 1990 and 1991 even at $E_{\text {high }}$. If an intermediate 1988 year-class strength is assumed, spawhing stock biomass stabilizes in 1990 and 1991 at an average of around 1.750 million $t$ if present levels of fishing mortality are maintained (Table 3.13). It is important to note, however, that the fishery is becoming increasingly dependent on the younger age groups and that the prediction is, therefore, highly dependent on the accurate estimation of the strength of recruiting year classes. Attention is also drawn to the highly variable recruitment in recent years and the absence of year classes of intermediate strength.

### 3.4.5 Egq surveys in 1989

A Western mackerel egg survey will be carried out in 1989. The participating countries in this survey will be the federal Republic of Germany, Scotland, Ireland, England, France, and the Netherlands. The total egg production over the spawning period will be estimated by five coverages of the spawning area in succession in order to convert this total egg production into spawning stock biomass by using the "total" fecundity (traditional method). At the peak of spawning, stock biomass will also be estimated by the "batch" fecundity method. This method will use the daily egg production from the third survey period. preliminary results of the "total" fecundity method will be available at the ACFM meeting in November 1989. ACFM will be informed about the progress of the "batch" fecundity method.

In addition, an egg survey in the eastern Bay of Biscay will be carried out in 1989 as in 1987 (Santiago et al.. 1988) and 1988 by the Basque Country (Spain) with at least two coverages, one in May and one in June. Although the anchovy will be the target species, egg distributions of other commercially important species (mackerel, horse mackerel, and sardine) will be obtained.

### 3.5 Management considerations

At its November 1988 meeting, ACFM, to protect the North sea stock, recommended that catches from the North Sea stock should be kept at the lowest practicable level and that the fishery in Division IVa should take place as far north and west as possible. A TAC of $430,000 t$ was recommended for the western stock. With the exception of closing the fishery in the Cornwall box, no additional management advice was given for the Western stock.

The TAC of $430,000 t$ set by ACFM should apply to all areas in which Western mackerel are caught, i.e., including Divisions IIa, Vb, and VIIIa,b, Sub-areas VI and VII all year, and Division IVa from 1 August - 31 December. On this basis, the recommended total catch from both stocks taken in all areas was about $430,000 \mathrm{t}$, while the actual catch in 1988 amounted to $626,000 t$.

The TACs for 1988 agreed by the EEC, the EEC and Norway, the EEC and Faroes, and Norway and the Faroes totalled about 573,000 $t$, which is 33\% above the recommended level.

As in previous years, it is expected that the actual catches in 1989 will exceed the recommended TAC. The agreed TAC for 1989 for all areas is about $500,000 \mathrm{t}$, and the Working Group estimated the total catch in 1989 to be in the order of $600,000 t$.

As there are no signs of improved recruitment to the North Sea stock, the Working Group assumed that the spawning stock is likely to remain low in 1989 and 1990. The Working Group agreed that a management system is needed which at the same time gives maximum protection to the North sea stock and ensures an optimum exploitation of the Western stock.

The North Sea stock mixes with the Western stock at all times except during the spawning season. The ratios of mixing by time and area cannot be determined with certainty; however, it is still believed that the North sea fish are found mainly in Divisions IIa, IVa,b, $c$, and IIIa, Closing the fishery in these areas at all times of the year will give maximum protection to the North sea stock, but will, at the same time, have a very large impact on the fishery on the Western stock, as more than 50\% of the catches are taken in the northern divisions.

The Western stock is mainly distributed in the Western area during the first half of the year and closing the fishery in the North Sea areas will have little effect on the exploitation of the western stock. In the third and fourth quarters, the two stocks mix in the North Sea. The adult fish are dominating in the northern areas, with a tendency to increase in mean size when going from west to east. The juvenile mackerel are found in high concentrations in the skagerrak and the central and southern North Sea. As mentioned in Section 3.3.2, the mortality of the North Sea stock is likely to be very low, indicating that only a small proportion of the catches taken in the North sea are fish of North Sea origin.

In addition to the high concentrations of juvenile mackerel in Divisions IVb, $C$ and IIIa in the second half of the year, juveniles are in general found in large quantities in the southern areas (Division VIa south and Sub-area VII), and a shift in the fishery from these areas to the northeastern areas will give a more optimal exploitation of the Western stock.

The working Group recommends that fishing for mackerel should be prohibited in Divisions IIIa, IVb, and IVC at any time of the year and in Division IVa from 1 January - 31 July.

The Working Group does not find it possible to set separate area TACs within the total TAC. It is, however, recommended that the fishery should be concentrated in the northern areas (Divisions VIa north, IVa, and IIa) and kept at a low level in the southern areas (Division VIa south and Sub-area VII). The advice to keep the fishery in the Western area, as given previously, might be in conflict with the protection of juveniles, as observed in 1988. The TAC recommended for the western mackerel stock should cover all parts of the total distribution, and catches taken by all nations should be counted against the TAC.

### 3.5.1 Minimum size requlation

The Working Group has again been asked by ACFM to study in detail the practicality of a $30-\mathrm{cm}$ minimum landing size for mackerel. A $30-\mathrm{cm}$ minimum size limit is at present in operation in the North Sea and Division IIa, and ACFM, at its May 1988 meeting, reiterated its recommendation that this minimum size limit should be extended to all areas.

In order to study the landings of fish less than 30 cm , the length distributions per quarter per fleet for as many areas as possible were examined. These length distributions, together with details of the catches, are available in the Working Group file. The percentage numbers of fish less than 30 cm were calculated for as many areas and fleets as possible, and the weighted averages of these percentages are shown in Figures 3.5-3.8. The Working Group also had available the length distributions of the Scottish fleets in relation to a proposed $30-\mathrm{cm}$ minimum landing size (Hopkins, working document).

The practicality of adopting a $30-\mathrm{cm}$ minimum landing size throughout all areas was discussed in detail. It was felt that:

1) An examination of the $F$ values of 0 - and 1 -year-old fish from the most recent VPA (Table 3.9) indicates that, in the most recent years, the exploitation of juvenile fish has been extremely low, even when strong year classes entered the fishery, and would not suggest any need for a minimum landing size.
2) Apart from the "box" off Cornwall, young fish were also found during 1988 in other areas throughout Divisions VIa south, VIIb, VIIj, and IVb. While this was the pattern in 1988, the Working Group felt it would be unwise to draw conclusions about the distribution of young mackerel below 30 cm based on the length distributions of only one year. This is particularly true because of the continual changes in the distributions of these fish.
3) In general, the percentages of small mackerel are highest in the southern areas. However, even within these areas, there are extreme variations between the amounts of small mackerel landed by different fleets. Very high levels of small mackerel are taken by some fleets, but the landings, when viewed in the context of the total landings of the Western stock, are insignificant.
4) In the northern areas (Sub-areas II and VI), the percentage of small mackerel landed in 1988 was very small. However, the Working Group was aware that, when the strong 1984 year class recruited in 1985, it did not appear in quantity in the landings in the first two quarters in 1985. In the last two quarters, however, considerable quantities were taken particularly by the fleets fishing in the southern part of these areas.

The Working Group drew attention to the inconsistency of enforcing a $30-\mathrm{cm}$ minimum landing size in the North Sea and not in the Western area when the bulk of the catches was taken in Division IVa. The Working Group, therefore, discussed the implications of either enforcing or removing the $30-\mathrm{cm}$ minimum landing size regulation in both areas.

As discussed above, a $30-\mathrm{cm}$ minimum landing size in the Western area does not seem necessary on biological grounds. Part of this argument is based on the low fishing mortality on juveniles. However, it was the view of the Working Group that the fleets were able to avoid areas of juvenile abundance in the North Sea area, and removal of the minimum landing size regulation could lead to higher juvenile fishing mortalities. In the Norwegian fishery, discarding is illegal, so that catches of small fish are slipped and the fleet moves elsewhere.

The introduction of a $30-\mathrm{cm}$ minimum landing size in the Western area could lead to higher discard rates when a large year class enters the fishery. For example, catches of juveniles of the 1984 year class in the winter of $1985-1986$ were high. Moreover, evidence was presented which showed that the percentage of juveniles in the catches during this fishery varied from ot to over 90\% even within a very small area (Hopkins, working document). This suggests that juvenile areas cannot be avoided and that the effect of a minimum landing size regulation would be to increase the incidence of slippage or discards. Available information from this area indicates a rather high mortality in slipped fish (Lockwood et al., 1983). The 1987 year class also appears to be strong, and the percentage of juveniles in the catches may increase in the first quarter of 1989.

## Conclusions

The 30 -cm minimum size limit was originally introduced in the North Sea by Norway in 1971 and was intended to protect the very strong 1969 year class from exploitation in the industrial fishery. It does not seem necessary to extend this measure to the Western area. The introduction of a $30-\mathrm{cm}$ minimum size in the area in order to make it consistent with similar measures in the North Sea may result in an increase in the quantities of juvenile mackerel which will be slipped or discarded. This would lead to an increase in the exploitation rate on juveniles and a deterioration in the age composition of the stock which is already becoming heavily dependent on incoming year classes. However, it is extremely important that the situation be kept constantly under review because of the changing state of the fisheries and of the delicate nature of the age composition of the stock.

### 3.5.2 The mackerel box

ACFM has requested that data should be given in as fine a breakdown as possible to provide a basis for evaluating the need to modify the boundaries of the mackerel box. The percentage of juveniles by age group (Figures 2.6-2.11), the percentage less than 30 cm (Figures 3.5-3.9), the main fishing areas (Figures 2.1A-D), and the distribution of first-winter and second-winter mackerel from research vessel data are presented (see section 2).

A small fishery took place around the box in the first and fourth quarters of 1988 in which there was a high proportion of juveniles in the catches. The Working Group, therefore, recommends that it should continue to be enforced within its present boundaries which were extended to $2^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ after 1 January 1989.

## 4 MACKEREL_IN DIVISIONS VIIIC AND IKA

### 4.1 Reviek of Data on stock Identity

There was little information on stock identity in this area. However, information was compiled for discussion in order to arrive at a general conclusion. One set of data showed monthly landings of trawlers from different harbours along the coast from south Portugal to east of the Cantabrian Sea (Table 4.1 and Figure 4.1). Using the length composition by quarter in different areas, the proportion of juveniles (less than 26 cm long) was calculated and is referred to in Figure 4.1 as the shaded portion of the bars. It clearly shows a very different pattern of distribution of the two components of the stock, with juveniles being predominant in Division IXa and adults in Division VIIIc. Further examination shows that the proportion of adults in Division IXa seems to shift to the north from Portimao to Ribeira. In Division VIIIc, the fishery seems to move eastward from Gijon to ondarroa in the spring, as one might expect if these fish belonged to the Western stock and are migrating along the continental shelf to spawn later in June southwest of Ireland.

Data on spawning grounds were examined, but the information was scarce and the egg surveys did not cover the entire spawning season or the whole area. Franco and Sola (1988) found two discrete areas of egg abundance, one at the eastern Cantabrian sea and the other at the western part, north of La coruna. The working Group felt that a more precise study should be made, covering all the area and the production curve. On the other hand, Santiago et al. (1988) showed a shift to the north of the spawning grounds located in late May - early June in 1987 at the inner Bay of Biscay. This could confirm the migration suggested in the paragraph above, but data are still insufficient.

Some other biological issues were also examined. The mackerel become mature earlier in southern waters. In portugal, the peak of spawning takes place in February-March, whereas in the Cantabrian Sea, peak spawning occurs in April-May (Cort et al., 1986; Lucio and Martin, working document), and southwest of Ireland in May-June. The length at 50\% maturity was estimated to be 24 cm in both Portuguese and Cantabrian waters (Gordo and Martins, 1984; Cort et al., 1986). In Division IXa, 100\% of the $24-\mathrm{cm}$ fish are age 1; in Division VIIIC, 76\% and 24\% of the 24-cm fish are age 1 and 2, respectively. This suggests a different growth pattern in the two divisions. However, the differences in estimated growth parameters between Divisions IXa and VIIIc and the Western stock are very small.

Historical series of year-class strength could give an indication of a separate stock. Alvarez et al. (1986) indicate that the number of landing days for the seiners might give an effort index. Because the landings in Vigo (Division IXa) by this fleet were composed of 908 juveniles in the second half of the year, the catch per unit effort of this fleet was adopted as a recruit
index. Similarly, the catch per unit effort of trawlers from Portugal, which account for 45\% of the total catch, could also be used as an index of year-class strength (Figure 4.2). There is some correspondence between these series of data (r $=0.55$ for n = 9), which could support the links which are suggested between the mackerel caught in the Spanish part of Division IXa and those in Portuguese waters.

The only information available on year-class strength in Division VIIIc was a catch curve in log numbers for 1988 which was compared to the catch curve from portugal (Figure 4.3). There seems to be no correspondence at all between these series, but some similarity was found when comparing the catch curve for Division VIIIC and the CPUE in vigo shown in Figure 4.3 ( $r=0.59$ for $n=$ 9).

In addition, there is a coincidence between peaks in the catch curve of Division VIIIc and those of the series of recruitment estimates for the Western mackerel stock (good year classes in 1975, 1976, 1981, 1984, and 1987, and poor ones in 1974, 1977. 1983, and 1986).

The Working Group felt that there was still insuffficient information for defining unit stocks in this area, although it is likely that the mackerel in Division VIIIc, 85\% of which are caught in the eastern part, belong to the Western mackerel stock. If this is the case, the catch data from this division will not greatly affect the assessment of this stock, because it accounts for less than 4i of the total catch (Table 3.3). In Division IXa, it was speculated whether or not the adults are actually present: they might be in other areas, or be very scarce.

Until sufficient information is available, the fishery in this area will be dealt with separately, and the Working Group recommends that the main research to be carried out in the near future should be $L$, studies, egg surveys covering the entire area and the spawning season, tagging (including biological tags), and development of a method to calculate year-class strength.

### 4.2 The Fishery in 1988

Landings by division and country are shown in Tables 4.2 and 4.3. In Division IXa, there was a 234 increase from 1987. Catches were estimated by the Working Group, but do not include those from the Gulf of Cadiz (southern IXa) which were not available. A fishery for mackerel occurs in this area, but the catches are taken from other adjacent areas (Mediterranean Sea, African waters) and are not allocated. They are, therefore, not included in the whole series, which are referred to in Table 4.3.

Landings in Division IXa were based on juveniles in 1988, as in previous years. Age 1 was predominant in the catches in the first two quarters, whereas age 0 was more abundant in the second and third quarters. These age groups contributed 948 in weight and 99\% in numbers to the total catch. The landing figures from this area have remained stable (5,000-7,000 t) since 1975 and do not reflect the likely fluctuations which might occur in a fishery which depends completely on incoming year classes. The catches by different fleets are shown in Table 4.4.

In Division VIIIc, there was a 5\% increase in landings compared to 1987. A working paper was presented (Martin and Lucio) which accurately described the activity of the Basque fleet in the mackerel fishery. This represented $75 \%$ of the total catch in Division VIIIc. Landings by this fleet were composed almost entirely of adults.

Purse seiners and handiners account for the majority of the catch (Table 4.5), and the main effort in relation to mackerel is restricted to March-June. Occasional catches are, however, made throughout the year. In autumn and winter, a Spanish mackerel fishery (scomber japonicus) also occurs, in which the landings are about 1,000 t (927 t in 1988) (Martin and Lucio, working document).

Catches of juveniles (ages 0 and 1) constitute $12 \%$ in number and 2.8s in weight. This fishery took place mainly in the first quarter in the western part of Division VIIIc.

### 4.3 Length and Age Composition

Length distributions, age-length keys, and catch-at-age data are available from Portugal since 1981. Data from Spain are available since 1983, but they need some important revision since they have not been split by division before 1988. Age-length keys are available for Division VIIIc for 1982-1985, that from 1987 is preliminary (Martin and Lucio, working document), and there is none for 1986. The portuguese keys were considered more reliable for Spanish landings in Division IXa, when reviewing the set of data.

Catch-at-age data from Portugal are shown in Table 4.6 for the period 1981-1988 (Anon., 1987c, updated). Table 4.7 shows the catch at age by Spain in 1988. Length distributions are referred to in Table 6.1.

### 4.4 Manaqement Considerations

An assessment of the mackerel in this area could not be carried out because of deficiencies in the data. An effort should be made to construct a well disaggregated set of data on catch by length and age for each division separately. There are, however, no agelength keys for Division VIIIc in 1986, so some other approaches should be made, e.g.. the inverse age-length key (Hoenig and Heisey, 1987; Rimura and Chikumi, 1987). A series of catches at age could then be obtained back to 1981 for Division IXa and since 1982 for Division VIIIc. The Working Group encourages the Spanish and Portuguese scientists to perform this task before its next meeting in 1990.

In spite of the lack of a reliable assessment, some management considerations were discussed. The fishing pattern in Division IXa is very unsatisfactory, and if management measurements are going to be taken, they should be directed towards trawling in the first half of the year and towards seining in the second half. As a big proportion of the catch of mackerel in the northern part is taken by seiners, mesh sizes are not very relevant, so mininum landing sizes and effort limitations may be a
better approach. However, the losses and gains derived from these measures could not be assessed at present.

In Division VIIIc, the abundance of juveniles is much lower, and the resulting fishing pattern looks more satisfactory.

Before an assessment can be carried out in this area, the question about stock identity must be solved. The working Group, therefore, recommends that the Spanish and Portuguese scientists meet to consider this problem before the next meeting of this Working Group.

## 5 DATA REOUESTED BY THE MULTISPECIES WORKING GROUP

### 5.1 Catch at Age by ouarter for the North Sea Mackerel Stock

The catches of mackerel in Sub-area IV and Division IIIa in 1987 and 1988 were included in the assessment of the Western stock.

The catch from the North Sea stock was estimated based on the results from spawning stock estimates from egg surveys in 1986 and 1988. The average yearly total mortality for the 1983 year class and older was calculated to be 0.21 ( $M=0.15$ and $F=0.06$ ) in a working document (Kirkegaard, 1989). Based on this, the catch in numbers was estimated.

Since the egg survey in 1988 indicated hardly any recruitment to the North sea spawning stock in recent years, the catch in numbers from the 1984-1987 year classes was set at 0.5 and 0.4 million, respectively for 1987 and 1988. The catch from the 1984 year class of North Sea mackerel in 1986 was estimated at 28.5 million (Anon., 1987a), which is is about 7\% of the estimated total catch in all areas from this year class. Since the 1984 year class did not recruit to the North Sea stock, the catch in numbers of this year class was reduced to 1 million individuals in 1986. This change is minor compared to the catch of the 1984 year class of Western mackerel this year. Therefore, the catch of Western fish was not adjusted.

The calculated catch in numbers was distributed by quarters according to the catches in Divisions IVb, IVc, and IIIa (Table 5.1).

It must be emphasized that these estimates are subjective and are, therefore, not a basis for an analytical assessment.

### 5.2 Mean Weight at Age by Ouarter for the North Sea Mackerel Stock

The Working Group had no available data for weight in the stock by quarter except for those obtained during the egg surveys (Iversen et \&il. working document). A smoothed version of these data is given in Table 5.2. Since there are no data for the first, third, and fourth quarters, the working Group's advice is to use the same weights at age as given for 1987 in last year's report (Anon., 1988a).

### 5.3 Stock Distribution by Ouarter

Due to the small stock size of the North Sea stock and the fact that about 50\% of the mackerel catches in 1988 were fished in the North Sea and Skagerrak, large proportions of the Western stock were distributed in this area, particularly in the third and fourth quarters. Age 1-2 fish were also observed in relatively large quantities in the Norwegian tagging experiment in August and in an acoustic survey in July (Kirkegaard, working document). Therefore, the Working Group concluded that the indication of the percentage of each stock that was in the North sea during each quarter in 1986 and 1987 (Anon., 1987a) seemed also to reflect the distribution in 1988 (Table 5.3).

The Multispecies Assessment Working Group (Anon., 1988d) points out that a similar table for all years back to 1974 would be extremely useful. Based on data from the Norwegian tagging experiments, a working document on this matter will be prepared for the forthcoming Multispecies Assessment Working Group meeting.

## 6 DATA REQUESTED BY ACEM

Acting on request from ACFM, the length distributions per year for each fleet (numbers of fish per cm length group) are shown in Table 6.1. These data were available for all the major fishing fleets and coverage of about 80 of the total landings was obtained. However, no data were available for the fleets of France and the federal Republic of Germany, who together took nearly $20,000 \mathrm{t}$. The length distributions were also extracted per quarter per division, and these data are available in the Working Group file. The data for Spain are shown for different fleets working different areas.

## 7 DEFICIENCIES IN DATA

As has been the case in recent years, the Working Group discussed the information which was inadequate or lacking and which was necessary to improve the assessments. This is summarized below.

### 7.1 Catch Data

The 1988 Working Group considered that the quality of the catch data had improved in recent years. However, there is still some concern about the accuracy of the total catch recorded. It was noted that the amounts of mackerel misreported in 1988 from Division IVa to Division VIa had increased dramatically, considerable quantities of mackerel have again been reported as horse mackerel, and national catches may have been underreported so that quotas would not appear to have been exceeded. It must again be pointed out that the accuracy of the spawning stock estimate very much depends on the basic catch data. It is particularly important, therefore, because of the increasing dependence of the stock on good year classes, to ensure that the basic catch data are as accurate as possible.

### 7.2 Discards

As has been pointed out throughout the report, discarding of young mackerel may again become a problem because of the strong 1987 year class and the increasing proportion of young fish in the stock. It is important, therefore, that estimates of quantities discarded should be available.

### 7.3 Data on Maturity and Fecundity

Methods of determining accurate maturity ogives and better estimates on atresia are required. These are especially important for the estimation of spawning stock biomass from egg surveys.

### 7.4 Acoustic surveys

There are still no estimates of stock size apart from the egg surveys which are only carried out every two and three years. As mentioned in the 1988 Working Group report, acoustic surveys should be encouraged in the overwintering area as an additional fishery-independent stock size estimate.

### 7.5 Stock Separation

No additional information was available about stock separation, and information is needed in this respect. At the moment, it is not clear whether there is in fact any distinction between the North Sea and Western stocks or whether the stocks have merged.

Additional information is required to investigate the relationship between the Western stock, the North Sea stock, and mackerel in Divisions VIIIc and IXa.

### 7.6 Divisions VIIIc and IXa

As discussed in Section 4, no assessment was possible for the stock in these divisions. The data required to enable an assessment to be carried out are discussed in Sections 4.1 and 4.3.

## 8 RECOMMENDATIONS

* The fishery is becoming increasingly dependent on younger age groups and the prediction is, therefore, highly dependent on the accurate estimation of the strength of recruiting year classes. It is strongly recommended that the western area recruit surveys in the fourth quarter be continued. Participants shoulduse a GOV trawl if possible, otherwise gear parameters should be measured so that catch rates can be standardized.
* Reliable estimates of recruitment are required for the assessment of the Western stock. These data do not become available until after mid-April. It is, therefore, recommended that future Working Groups be convened after mid-April.
* Investigations on maturity at age should be continued in order to obtain a reliable maturity ogive for good, average, and poor year classes. These should include further analysis of $L_{1}$ data and the proportions of abortive maturation in first-time spawners.
* The Working Group was not able to advise axea TACs within the total TAC. However, the fishery should be concentrated in the northern areas (Divisions VIa north, IVc, and IIc) and kept at a low level in those areas where juvenile fish are consistantly found.
* Fishing for mackerel should be prohibited in Divisions IIIa, IVb, and IVc throughout the year and in Division IVa from 1 January - 31 July.
* The TAC recommended for the Western mackerel stock should apply to the whole of the area of distribution and not just the Western area. Catches taken by all countries should be counted against the TAC.
* The mackerel box should be continued with its present boundaries.
* Research should be done on stock identity from fish in Divisions VIIIc and IXa. This should include $L$ studies, egg surveys covering the entire area throughout the spawning season, tagging (including biological tags), and indices of year-class strength.

Spanish and Portuguese scientists should meet to consider this problem before the next Mackerel Working Group meeting.

* Acoustic surveys should be carried out on the overwintering population of the Western stock. Such surveys will provide a method in addition to the egg production method of assessment which is independent of catch data.
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## ODE TO THE MACKEREL WORKING GROUP

```
Now the Working Group is over,
For yet another year.
Assessment matches the prediction -
It must be all that beer.
It really is amazing
How we manage to get done
So many things to discuss
And lots of problems to overcome.
Cries Paulino "in area VIIIc
The catches aren't quite precise"
"Never mind" replies Pope John,
"We'll just have to count them twice".
From where have all the catches come?
Have the Faroes been included?
Have they been counted as Scad?
The true figures still elude us.
Working Group members too go missing
At ICES they don't remain -
I wonder who was responsible
For losing Wendy on the train.
But we always know, where is John?
Cause every time we hear a bark
We know another dog is trying
Upon John to leave a mark.
Catch in numbers table complete
Peter runs the VPA,
"Now what did we do last year -
Cause it was the wrong way!"
How to use exploitation pattern
Isn't really understood,
But now it matches the F value
"so far so good".
"What about these extra numbers
from 87?" remarks Eskild
"Shall we include them in this assessment?
cause another form we'll have to fill?"
Complicated figures are given
To Dankert to calculate
He writes a computer program
So not so long we had to wait.
And just how many times
To the egg survey do we tune?
Laughs Guus "It'll be more fun next year
```

```
Temperature gets too low
And Manet develops a nasty cough,
We don't know what to do
Except recommend Carlsberg Hof!
Recruitment too can be a problem
Cause it doesn't stay the same
What index shall we put in?
for ACFM to change again.
On ACFM Armando sits
So in the Working Group uses his head
He's trying to remember
What this crazy group have said.
Finally, we should thank the Chairman for a job so well he's done
And bid him a fond farewell
Will next year be as much fun?
```

Anon. 1989

Table 2.1 The estimated abundance (' 000 t ) of juvenile mackerel observed during accoustic surveys carried out in JulyAugust in the eastern part of Division IVb and the skagerrak.

| Age | Eastern IVb |  |  |  | Skagerrak |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1985^{1}$ | $1986^{2}$ | $1987^{3}$ | $1988{ }^{4}$ | $1986^{2}$ | $1987^{3}$ | $1988^{5}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| 1 | 273 | 550 | 450 | 589 | 75.2 | 13.0 | 96.8 | 625 | 463 | 685 |
| 2 | 27 | 350 | 392 | 593 | 23.2 | 20.4 | 14.8 | 373 | 412 | 608 |
| 3 | - | 14 | 52 | 92 | 2.9 | 6.8 | 0.1 | 17 | 58 | 92 |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ Kirkegaard (1986).
${ }^{2}$ Kirkegaard et al.(1987).
${ }^{3}$ Degnbol et al. (1988).
${ }_{5}$ Kirkegaard (Working document).
$5_{\text {Hagström (pers.com.). }}$

Table 2.2 Research vessel survey abundance indices and VPA estimates.

| Years <br> class | Eirst-winter mackerel |  | Second-winter mackerel |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Arithmetic mean | Estimated no. 1-gr. (millions) from VPA | Arithmetic mean | Estimated no. 2-gr. (millions) from VPA |
| 1980 | - | - | 50.1 | 3,817 |
| 1981 | 124.6 | 5,967 | 78.1 | 4,948 |
| 1982 | 6.1 | 1,013 | 45.5 | 832 |
| 1983 | 4.3 | 517 | 7.6 | 431 |
| 1984 | 149.2 | 5,594 | 210.0 | 4,598 |
| 1985 | 37.0 | 1,244 | 37.3 | 1,047 |
| 1986 | 89.1 | 1,521 | 28.4 | 1,288 |
| 1987 | 124.2, | - | $547 .{ }^{1}$ | , |
| 1988 | $184.8{ }^{1}$ | - | - | - |

[^1]Table_2.3 Catches of mackerel ('000 t) by area and stock.

| Year | SOP |  |  | Catch by stock given in Table 3.1, Anon. (1988a) |  |  | Total catch in all areas | Estimated catch by stock |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Western | North Sea | Total | Western | North Sea | Total |  | Western | North Sea |
| 1972 | 222 | 118 | 340 | - | - | - | 360 | 235 | 125 |
| 1973 | 319 | 211 | 530 | - | - | - | 360 | 342 | 226 |
| 1974 | 411 | 189 | 600 | - | - | - | 603 | 413 | 190 |
| 1975 | 862 | 182 | 1,044 | - | - | - | 789 | 651 | 138 |
| 1976 | 682 | 175 | 857 | 507 | 298 | 805 | 806 | 641 | 165 |
| 1977 | 381 | 189 | 570 | 326 | 241 | 567 | 567 | 379 | 188 |
| 1978 | 628 | 110 | 738 | 503 | 185 | 688 | 689 | 586 | 103 |
| 1979 | 767 | 68 | 835 | 606 | 210 | 816 | 807 | 741 | 66 |
| 1980 | 803 | 75 | 878 | 605 | 107 | 712 | 711 | 650 | 61 |
| 1981 | 700 | 63 | 763 | 662 | 66 | 728 | 728 | 668 | 60 |
| 1982 | 700 | 44 | 744 | 624 | 57 | 681 | 681 | 641 | 40 |
| 1983 | 678 | 50 | 728 | 614 | 43 | 657 | 657 | 614 | 43 |
| 1984 | 565 | 60 | 625 | 551 | 67 | 618 | 618 | 551 | 67 |
| 1985 | 556 | 36 | 592 | 561 | 35 | 596 | 561 | 561 | 35 |
| 1986 | 530 | 25 | 555 | 537 | 32 | 569 | 569 | 544 | 25 |
| 1987 | 615 | 3 | 618 | 615 | 13 | 628 | 628 | 625 | 3 |

Table 3.1 Nominal catch ( $t$ ) of MACKEREL in the North Sea, Skagerrak, and Kattegat (Sub-area IV and Division IIIa), 1979-1988. (Data submitted by Working Group members.)

| Country | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Belgium | 10 | 5 | 55 | 102 | 93 |
| Denmark | 19,171 | 13,234 | 9,982 | 2,034 | 11,285 |
| Faroe Islands | 28,118 | 14,770 | - | 720 | - |
| France | 3,620 | 2,238 | 3,755 | 3,041 | 2,248 |
| Germany, Fed. Rep. | 211 | 56 | 59 | 28 | 10 |
| Ireland | - | 738 | 733 | - | - |
| Netherlands | 1,009 | 853 | 1,706 | 390 | 866 |
| Norway | 90,720 | 44,781 | 28,341 | 27,966 | 24,464 |
| Sweden | 3,935 | 1,666 | 2,446 | 692 | 1,903 |
| UK (Engl.\& Wales) | 95 | 76 | 6,520 | 16 | 16 |
| UK (Scotland) | 5,272 | 9,514 | 10,575 | 44 | 4 |
| UK (N. Ireland) | - | - | - | - | - |
| USSR | 162 | - | - | - | - |
| Unallocated + discards | 500 | - | 3,216 | 450 | 96 |
| Total | 152,823 | 87,931 | 67,388 | 35,483 | 40,985 |


| Country | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | $1987^{1,2}$ | $1988^{1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Belgium | 68 | - | 49 | 14 | 20 |
| Denmark | 10,088 | 12,424 | 23,368 | 28,217 | 32,588 |
| Faroe Islands | - | 1,356 | - | - | - |
| France | - | 322 | 1,200 | 2,146 | 1,806 |
| Germany, Fed. Rep. | 192 | 297 | 1,853 | 474 | 177 |
| Ireland | - | - | - | - | - |
| Netherlands | 340 | 726 | 1,949 | 2,761 | 2,564 |
| Norway | 27,311 | 30,835 | 50,600 | 108,250 | 59,750 |
| Sweden | 1,440 | 760 | 1,300 | 3,162 | 1,003 |
| UK (Engl.\& Wales) | 2 | 143 | 18 | 94 | 160 |
| UR (Scotland) | 13 | 7 | 541 | 19,286 | 616 |
| UR (N. Ireland) | - | - | - | - | 100 |
| USSR | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unallocated + discards | 202 | 3,656 | 7,431 | 10,789 | 29,766 |
| Total | 39,576 | 50,124 | 88,309 | 173,829 | 128,550 |

[^2]Table 3.2 Nominal catches ( $t$ ) of MACREREL in the Norwegian Sea (Division IIa) and off the Faroes (Division Vb), 1979-1988.

| Country | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | $1987{ }^{34}$ | $1988{ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark' | - | - | 801 | 1,008 | 10,427 | 11,787 | 7,610 | 1,653 | 3,133 | 4,265 |
| Faroe fislands ${ }^{1}$ | 6 | 270 | - | 180 | 10,427 | 138 | 7.610 | 1.65 | 3, 13 | 42 |
| France ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | 6 | 8 | - | - | 16 | - | - |  |
| Germany, Fed. Rep. ${ }^{2}$ | - | - | 51 | - | 5 | - | - | 99 | - | 380 |
| German Dew, Rep. | 174 | 2 | - | - | - - | - | - | 16 | 292 | - |
| Norway, | 6,887 | 6,618 | 12,941 | 34,540 | 38,453 | 82,005 | 61,065 | 85,400 | 25,000 | 86,400 |
| Poland ${ }^{2}$ | - | - | - | 231 | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| UK (Engl. \& Wales) ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | - | - | 255 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| UR (Scotland) ${ }^{2}$ | - | 296 | 968 | - | - | - | - | 2,131 | 157 | 1,413 |
| USSR ${ }^{2}$ | 5 | 1,450 | 3,640 | 1,641 | 65 | 4,292 | 9,405 | 11.813 | 18,604 | 27,924 |
| Total | 7,072 | 8,340 | , 662 | , 608 | 48,95 | 9,222 | 78,096 | 1,112 | 7,186 | 120,40 |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ Data provided by Working Group members.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Data reported to ICES.
${ }^{3}$ Preliminary.
Includes catches probably taken in the northern part of Division IVa.

Table 3,3 Quarterly catches of mackerel by division or sub-area in 1988.

| Division/ <br> Sub-area | Quarter |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| $\underset{\text { IIa }}{\text { IVa }}$ + Vb | $8.700{ }^{1}$ | 400 200 | 112,700 $66,800^{2}$ | 2,500 219,400 | $\begin{aligned} & 116,200 \\ & 295,100 \end{aligned}$ |
| IVb | - | 100 | 5,400 | 900 | 6,400 |
| IVc | - | 600 | 1,400 | 1,400 | 3.400 |
| IIIa | - | 300 | 5,900 | 600 | 6,800 |
| VI | 98,800 | 6,100 | 2,500 | 12,500 | 119,900 |
| VII | 48.100 | 15,000 | 3,500 | 10,100 | 76,700 |
| VIIIa, b | 1.200 | 300 | + | + | 1.500 |
| Sub-total | 157,400 | 23,000 | 198,200 | 247,400 | 626,000 |
| VIIIC | 7,700 | 8,700 | 200 | 200 | 16,800 |
| IXa | 1,600 | 1,400 | 3,100 | 1.200 | 7,300 |
| Sub-total | 9,300 | 10,100 | 3.300 | 1.400 | 24,100 |
| Grand total | 166,700 | 33,100 | 201,500 | 248,800 | 650,100 |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ Estimated catch misreported to Division VIa.
${ }^{2}$ Includes estimated catches of $3,200 \mathrm{t}$ and $1,000 \mathrm{t}$ caught in Division IVa, but misreported to Divisions VIa and Vb, respectively.
${ }^{3}$ Includes estimated catches of $167,200 t$ and $3,300 t$ caught in Division IVa, but reported to Divisions VIa and Vb, respectively.

Table 3.4 Nominal catch (tonnes) of MACKEREL in the Western area (Sub-areas VI and VII and Divisions VIIIa,b). (Data estimated by Working Group.)

| Country | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 3 | 3 | - | - | $+$ |
| Denmark | 8,535 | 14.932 | 13.464 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| Faroe Islands | 10,609 | 15,234 | 9.070 | 11,100 | 14,900 |
| France | 31,510 | 23,907 | 14,829 | 12,300 | 11,000 |
| Germany, Fea.Rep. | 21.493 | 21,088 | 29,221 | 11,200 | 23,000 |
| Ireland | 24,217 | 40,791 | 92,271 | 109,700 | 110,000 |
| Netherlands | 62,396 | 91,081 | 88,117 | 67,200 | 73,600 |
| Norway | 25,414 | 25,500 | 21.610 | 19,000 | 19,900 |
| Poland | 92 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Spain | 543 | 3,684 | 1.365 | - ${ }^{-}$ | - - |
| UK (England + Wales) | 244,293 | 150,598 | 75,722 | 82,900 | 62,000 |
| UK (N. Ireland) | 25 | - | 4,153 | 9,600 | 800 |
| UK (Scotland) | 103,160 | 108,372 | 109.153 | 147.400 | 120,100 |
| USSR | - | - | - | - | + |
| Unallocated | 54,000 | 98,258 | 140,322 | 97,300 | 105,500 |
| Discard | 60,600 | 21,600 | 42,300 | 24,900 | 11,300 |
| Grand total | 646,890 | 615,048 | 641.598 | 607.700 | 567,100 |
| Country | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | $1989^{12}$ |
| Belgium | + | - | + | - | - |
| Denmark | 200 | 400 | 300 | 100 | -- |
| Faroe Islands | 9,200 | 9.900 | 1,400 | 7.100 | 2,600 |
| France | 12,500 | 7.400 | 11,200 | 11,100 | 8,900 |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. | 11,200 | 11.800 | 7,700 | 13,300 | 15,900 |
| Ireland | 84,100 | 91,400 | 74,500 | 89.500 | 85,800 |
| Netherlands | 99,000 | 37,000 | 58,900 | 31,700 | 26,100 |
| Norway | 34,700 | 24,300 | 21.000 | 21,600 | 17,300 |
| Poland | - | - | - | - | - |
| Spain | 100 | $+$ | - ${ }^{-}$ | - | 1.500 |
| UK (Engl. + Wales) | 30.000 | 9,600 | 9,100 | 25,200 | 24,100 |
| UK (N. Ireland) | 10,600 | 12.200 | 9,700 | 10,700 | 8,900 |
| UK (Scotland) | 157.700 | 184,100 | 137,500 | 164,800 | 175,400 |
| USSR | 200 | + | . | - | + |
| Unallocated | 18,000 | 75,100 | 51,000 | 25,800 | 4,700 |
| Discard | 12,100 | 4,500 | - | - | 5,800 |
| Grand total | 479,600 | 467,700 | 380,500 | 401,700 | 377,000 |

[^3]Table 3.5 Actual catches of mackerel by sub-areas. Discards not estimated prior to 1978.

| Year | Sub-area VI |  |  | Sub-area VII and Divisions VIIIa,b |  |  | Sub-area IV and Division IIIa |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Landings | Discards | Catch | Landings | Discards | Catch | Land- ings | Discards ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Catch |
| 1969 | 4,800 | - | 4,800 | 66,300 | - | 66,300 | 739,182 |  | 739,182 |
| 1970 | 3,900 | - | 3,900 | 100,300 |  | 100,300 | 322,451 |  | 322,451 |
| 1971 | 10,200 | - | 10,200 | 122,600 | - | 122,600 | 243,673 |  | 243,673 |
| 1972 | 10,000 | - | 10,000 | 157,800 |  | 157,800 | 188,599 |  | 188,599 |
| 1973 | 52,200 | - | 52,200 | 167,300 |  | 167,300 | 326,519 |  | 326,519 |
| 1974 | 64,100 |  | 64,100 | 234, 100 |  | 234,100 | 298,391 |  | 298,391 |
| 1975 | 64,800 | - | 64,800 | 416,500 |  | 416,500 | 263,062 |  | 263,062 |
| 1976 | 67,800 | - | 67,800 | 439,400 |  | 439,400 | 303,842 |  | 303,842 |
| 1977 | 74,800 | - | 74,800 | 259,100 |  | 259. 100 | 258,131 |  | 258,131 |
| 1978 | 1,517,000 | 15,200 | 166,900 | 355,500 | 35,500 | 391,000 | 148,817 |  | 148,817 |
| 1979 | 203.300 | 20,300 2 | 223,600 | 398,000 | 39,800 | 437,800 | 152.323 | 500 | 152,823 |
| 1980 | 218,700 | 6.0003 | 324.700 | 386,100 | 15,600 | 401,700 | 87.391 | 1 - | 87,391 |
| 1981 | 335,100 | 2,500 | 237,600 | 274,300 | 39,800 | 314, 100 | 64, 172 | 3,216 | 67,388 |
| 1982 | 340,400 | 4,100 3 | 344,500 | 257,800 | 20,800 | 278,600 | 35,033 | - 450 | 35,483 |
| 1983 | 315,100 | 22,300 3 | 317,400 | 245,400 | 9,000 | 254,400 | 40,889 | 96 | 40,985 |
| 1984 | 306,100 | 1.6003 | 307,700 | 176,100 | 10,500 | 186,600 | 39,374 | 4202 | 39.576 |
| 1985 | 388, 140 | 2,735 3 | 390,875 | 75,043 | 1.800 | 76,843 | 46,168 | 3,656 | 50,124 |
| 1986 | 104,100 |  | 104,100 | 128,499 |  | 128,495 | 236,309 | 7,431 | 243,740 |
| 1987 | 183,700 | + 1 | 183,700 | 100,300 | + 1 | 100,300 | 290,829 | 10.789 | 301,612 |
| 1988 | 115,600 | 3,100 | 119,700 | 75,600 | 2,700 | 78,300 | 308,550 | 29,766 | 338,316 |

NB. Catches in Sub-area IV and Division IIIa are taken from 1978 Working Group report and Norwegian catches taken in Division IIa from 1973-1987. Includes unallocated as well as discards.

Table 3.6 Catch in numbers ('000) and mean weight ( 9 ) by quarter, division, and age in 1988.

First quarter

| Age | IIa, IVa, Vb |  | IIIa |  | IVb, c |  | VIa,b |  | VIIa, d-h |  | VIIb, c, j,k |  | VIII |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $c$ | W | c | W | C | $\overline{\mathbf{W}}$ | c | $\vec{W}$ | C | $\bar{W}$ | c | $\bar{W}$ | C | $\bar{W}$ | c | W |
| 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 1 | 6 | 95 | - | - | - | - | 5,080 | 46 | 31 | 71 | 880 | 46 | 18 | 47 | 6,015 | 46 |
| 2 | 541 | 231 | - | - | - | - | 3,745 | 204 | 17.845 | 134 | 1.233 | 170 | 386 | 136 | 23.761 | 149 |
| 3 | 3,706 | 285 | - | - | - | - | 28.814 | 269 | 16,722 | 198 | 8,048 | 245 | 501 | 213 | 57,791 | 246 |
| 4 | 9,677 | 353 | - | - | - | - | 96,939 | 327 | 16,156 | 257 | 48.127 | 304 | 1,301 | 292 | 184,200 | 315 |
| 5 | 1.116 | 426 | - | - | - | - | 9,473 | 398 | 786 | 357 | 4,023 | 363 | 97 | 362 | 15,493 | 389 |
| 6 | 1,628 | 479 | - | - | - | - | 13,509 | 461 | 1,516 |  | 4,367 | 416 | 119 | 391 | 21.139 | 443 |
| 7 | 2,412 | 503 | - | - | - | - | 43.416 | 504 | 1,300 | 359 | 15,423 | 457 | 338 | 449 | 62,889 | 489 |
| 8 | 1,835 | 516 | - | - | - | - | 19.269 | 510 | 1,692 | 300 | 9,141 | 456 | 219 | 432 | 32,516 | 484 |
| 9 | 832 | 520 | - | - | - | - | 9,061 | 486 | 712 | 245 | 4,303 | 465 | 101 | 434 | 15,009 | 470 |
| 10 | 212 | 647 | - | - | - | - | 3,054 | 575 | 245 | 291 | 1,874 | 539 | 43 | 510 | 5,428 | 552 |
| 11 | 256 | 607 | - | - | - | - | 3,095 | 668 |  |  | 1,560 | 667 | 37 | 523 | 5,177 | 644 |
| 12 | 175 | 648 | - | - | - | - | 3,095 | 668 |  | 283 | 1,560 | 667 | 38 | 603 | 5,178 | 644 |
| 13 | 237 | 696 | - | - | - | - | 2.315 | 702 | 132 |  | 1.155 | 599 | 26 | 568 | 3,865 | 657 |
| 14 | 191 | 676 | - | - | - | - | 1,942 | 681 | - | - | 971 | 616 | 20 | 616 | 3,124 | 660 |
| 15+ | 256 | 725 | - | - | - | - | 4,730 | 744 | - | - | 2,795 | 710 | 57 | 710 | 8,291 | 731 |
| Total | 23,081 | 405 | - | - | - |  | 247,790 | 399 | 57,823 | 200 | 105,356 | 365 | 3,302 | 307 | 437,352 | 364 |
| Tonnes | 9,337 |  | - |  | - |  | 98,799 |  | 11.575 |  | 38,473 |  | 1,013 |  | 159,197 |  |

Second quarter

| Age | IIa, IVa, Vb |  | IIIa |  | IVb, c |  | VIa,b |  | VIIa,d-h |  | VIIb,c,j,k |  | VIII |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | c | $\bar{W}$ | c | $\bar{W}$ | c | $\bar{W}$ | c | W | c | W | C | W | c | W | c | W |
| 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.873 | 77 | 26 | 124 | 41 | 126 | 1 | 125 | 1,941 | 79 |
| 2 | - | - | - | - | 13 | 202 | 1,087 | 198 | 58 | 183 |  | 169 | 12 | 170 | 1,711 | 187 |
| 3 | 3 | 365 | 4 | 365 | 259 | 229 | 1,272 | 246 | 8 | 220 | 1,743 | 231 | 35 | 231 | 3,324 | 234 |
| 4 | 16 | 428 | 14 | 426 | 568 | 292 | 5,975 | 305 | 5 | 249 | 17.941 | 292 | 363 | 292 | 24,882 | 291 |
| 5 | 3 | 530 | 21 | 524 | 466 | 314 | 793 | 317 | 2 | 344 | 2,019 | 307 | 41 | 307 | 3,372 | 310 |
| 6 | 56 | 556 | 38 | 527 | 54 | 446 | 821 | 424 | - | 316 | 1.390 | 367 | 28 | 367 | 2,387 | 391 |
| 7 | 96 | 535 | 70 | 526 | 370 | 426 | 1.715 | 466 | - | 306 | 3,983 | 426 | 81 | 426 | 6.315 | 434 |
| 8 | 149 | 543 | 117 | 549 | 254 | 472 | 2,375 | 476 | 2 | 301 | 4,397 | 404 | 89 | 404 | 7,383 | 430 |
| 9 | 49 | 576 | 32 | 538 | - | - | 693 | 442 | 2 | 262 | 2,708 | 442 | 55 | 442 | 3,539 | 438 |
| 10 | 49 | 584 | 27 | 573 | 131 | 526 | 257 | 467 | - | 258 |  | 502 | 19 | 502 | 1,406 | 495 |
| 11 | 37 | 677 | 27 | 683 | 15 | 593 | 19 | 436 | - | - | 197 | 552 | 4 | 552 | 299 | 567 |
| 12 | 92 | 645 | 46 | 656 | - | - | 440 | 616 | - | - | 537 | 572 | 11 | 572 | 1,126 | 593 |
| 13 | 40 | 730 | 13 | 708 | - | - | 60 | 542 | - | - | 309 | 527 | 6 | 529 | 428 | 546 |
| 14 | 19 | 702 | 8 | 699 | - | - | 356 | 682 | 2 | 413 | 564 | 577 | 11 | 576 | 960 | 612 |
| 15+ | 251 | 733 | 105 | 730 | 28 | 669 | 235 | 639 | - | - | 1,432 | 615 | 29 | 615 | 2,080 | 630 |
| Total | 886 | 628 | 522 | 602 | 2,159 | 355 | 17.971 | 337 | 105 | 181 | 38,726 | 352 | 786 | 351 | 61,153 | 354 |
| Tonnes | 556 |  | 314 |  | 767 |  | 6,057 |  | 19 |  | 13,641 |  | 276 |  | 21,630 |  |

Table 3.6 (cont'd)
Third quarter


Fourth quarter

| Age | $\mathrm{IIa}, \mathrm{IVa}, \mathrm{Vb}$ |  | IIIa |  | IVb, c |  | VIa,b |  | VIIa,d-h |  | VIIb, c, j,k |  | VIII |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ | C | W | C | W | c | W | c | W | c | $\overline{\mathbf{W}}$ | c | W | C | W |
| 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 287 | 71 | - | $\checkmark$ | 6 | 71 | 293 | 71 |
| 1 | 21,274 | 200 | 2,000 | 153 | 3,284 | 166 | 1,569 | 198 | 15,753 | 140 | 5,982 | 171 | 440 | 149 | 50,302 | 173 |
| 2 | 18,222 | 301 | 66 | 239 | 4,209 | 231 | 3.084 | 230 | 7,266 | 225 | 5,178 | 234 | 252 | 229 | 68.277 | 280 |
| 3 | 62,987 | 361 | 28 | 341 | 1,440 | 244 | 3,734 | 358 | 3,907 | 283 | 3,673 | 266 | 153 | 275 | 75,922 | 350 |
| 4 | 172,882 | 412 | 59 | 428 | 1,133 | 314 | 9,902 | 407 | 3,940 | 314 | 2,360 | 313 | 127 | 314 | 190,403 | 408 |
| 5 | 28,982 | 455 | 32 | 534 | 108 | 403 | 1,681 | 453 | 220 | 428 | 227 | 324 | 9 | 375 | 31,259 | 454 |
| 6 | 30,259 | 549 |  | 547 | 106 | 324 | 1,476 | 522 | 404 | 445 | 169 | 294 | 12 | 401 | 32,467 | 544 |
| 7 | 51,732 | 581 |  | 580 | 11 | 367 | 2.943 | 571 | 481 | 375 | 197 | 357 | 14 | 370 | 55,473 | 578 |
| 8 | 37,458 | 559 | 43 | 586 | 7 | 423 | 2,056 | 548 | 313 | 428 | 161 | 363 | 10 | 406 | 40,048 | 557 |
| 9 | 16,940 | 593 |  | 568 | 6 | 440 | 932 | 580 | 222 | 545 | 3 | 500 | 5 | 515 | 18,125 | 591 |
| 10 | 6,638 | 656 |  |  | 2 | 396 | 356 | 651 | 49 | 479 | - | - | 1 | 479 | 7,057 | 654 |
| 11 | 3,763 | 681 |  | 651 |  | - | 217 | 676 | 20 | 569 | - | - | - | - | 4,011 | 680 |
| 12 | 5,535 | 72 | 24 |  | 4 | 672 | 243 | 717 | 17 | 570 | - | - | - |  | 5,823 | 720 |
| 13 | 3,222 | 755 | 17 | 674 | 2 | 698 | 195 | 740 | 2 | 657 | - | - | - | - | 3,438 | 754 |
| 14 | 1,528 | 767 |  | 705 | - | - | 103 | 767 | 18 | 398 | - | - | - |  | 1,660 | 763 |
| 15+ | 5,801 | 791 | 46 | 747 | - | - | 327 | 783 | 14 | 568 | - | - | - | - | 6,188 | 790 |
| Total | 497,223 | 446 | 2,501 | 229 | 10.311 | 224 | 28,818 | 434 | 32,913 | 211 | 17,951 | 212 | 1,029 | 212 | 590,746 | 422 |
| Tonnes | 221,881 |  | 572 |  | 2,313 |  | 12,500 |  | 6,575 |  | 4,220 |  | 218 |  | 248,279 |  |

ritle : MAChLREL, WESTERN STOCK
at 15.51.24 04 march 1989
from 72 to 88 on ages 0 to 11
with Ierminal r of .275 on age 4 and lerminal $\$$ of 1.200
Initial sual of squared residuals was 424.347 and
final sum of squated residuals is 103.415 after 127 iterations
matrix of Residuals

| Vears | 72173 | 73/74 | 74/75 | 75/76 | 76/77 | 77178 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0 / 1$ | 1.875 | -3.142 | 1.286 | -. 761 | 2.617 | 2.214 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ; $/ 2$ | -. 105 | 1.536 | 1.137 | -. 070 | .407 | -. 017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 213 | -1.132 | -. 456 | -. 799 | -. 713 | -. 148 | . 132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3 / 4$ | -. 965 | -. 203 | -. 492 | -. 327 | . 178 | -. 094 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/5 | . 167 | -. 286 | -. 206 | . 203 | . 602 | -. 195 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/6 | . 194 | . 135 | . 477 | . 505 | -. 006 | -. 217 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 117 7 | . 150 | . 073 | -. 634 | -. 682 | -. 159 | . 007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 318 | . 147 | . 160 | . 140 | 1.014 | . 357 | . 203 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 819 | . 105 | . 018 | . 099 | -. 070 | . 192 | . 107 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/10 | . 220 | . 133 | . 212 | . 042 | $\cdots .769$ | -. 138 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10 / 11$ | . 060 | -. 027 | . 053 | -. 118 | -. 675 | -. 012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 000 | . 000 | .000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WIS | . 001 | . 001 | . 001 | . 001 | . 001 | . 001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yeats | 38/79 | 79/30 | 80/81 | 81/82 | 82/83 | 83/84 | 84/85 | 85/86 | 86/37 | 87/88 |  | wis |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1 1 | 1.314 | 2.727 | 1.757 | 2.869 | 1.436 | -1.861 | -1.612 | -2.174 | 4.593 | 1.039 | . 000 | . 088 |
| $1 / 2$ | . 489 | . 543 | . 631 | . 353 | -. 427 | . 119 | . 788 | . 902 | -. 016 | -. 697 | . 000 | . 315 |
| 2) 3 | . 293 | -. 166 | . 615 | . 107 | . 025 | . 014 | . 545 | -. 457 | -. 141 | . 052 | . 000 | - 100 |
| 3/4 | . 344 | . 317 | . 576 | . 098 | . 092 | -. 048 | . 302 | -. 256 | -. 359 | . 301 | . 000 | . 483 |
| $4 / 5$ | . 179 | -. 018 | . 358 | . 015 | . 099 | . 153 | . 221 | . 1.17 | - 154 | -. 338 | . 000 | . 749 |
| $5 / 5$ | . 039 | -. 009 | . 022 | $-.114$ | . .173 | -. 122 | . 071 | -. 019 | . 275 | -. 205 | . u 00 | . 368 |
| $6 / 7$ | -. 293 | -. 068 | -. 218 | -. 023 | -. 012 | -. 249 | . 070 | . 000 | . 165 | . 016 | . 000 | . 760 |
| 318 | -. 134 | . 036 | -. 304 | -. 252 | -. 003 | . 048 | -. 432 | . 135 | . 240 | . 008 | . 000 | . 587 |
| $8 / 9$ | -. 376 | -. 409 | -. 256 | -. 163 | . 115 | . 025 | -. 228 | . 042 | -. 004 | .166 | . 000 | 1.000 |
| 8/20 | . 062 | . 085 | -. 531 | . 027 | . 220 | . 177 | -. 143 | . 18 ? | $-.524$ | . 328 | . 000 | . 615 |
| 10;11 | -. 098 | -. 116 | -. 308 | -. 075 | -. 274 | .212 | -. 271 | . 107 | -. 054 | . 009 | . 000 | . 771 |
|  | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | 14.238 |  |
| Wし5 | . 001 | . 001 | . 001 | . 001 | . 001 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |

Fishing Mortalities (F)

| F-values | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ .0407 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73 \\ .0872 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74 \\ .0910 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ .1394 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \\ .1920 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ .1237 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ .1867 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 98 |
| F-values | . 2511 | . 2479 | . 2070 | . 2042 | . 1975 | . 1700 | . 2633 | .1739 | . 2303 | . 2750 |
| Seiection-at-age ( 5 ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S-vatuess-values | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 0017 | . 1852 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
|  | 5474 | 7846 | 1.0000 | 1.1663 | 1.2248 | 1.2457 | 1.2524 | 1.2049 | 1.3035 | 1.2000 |

TabTe_ 3.8 VIRTUAL POPULATION ANALYSIS
MACKEREL, WESTERN STOCK
CATCH IN NUMBERS UNIT: miliions

|  | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 34 | 2 | 10 | 80 | 20 | 38 | 2 | 0 |
| 1 | 12 | 34 | 87 | 53 | 279 | 154 | 31 | 351 | 485 | 266 | 203 | 44 |
| 2 | 12 | 49 | 24 | 104 | 185 | 290 | 564 | 62 | 469 | 506 | 436 | 713 |
| 3 | 29 | 64 | 124 | 95 | 322 | 154 | 425 | 603 | 75 | 225 | 484 | 445 |
| 4 | 508 | 116 | 109 | 306 | 171 | 166 | 244 | 366 | 381 | 32 | 184 | 392 |
| 5 | 0 | 582 | 192 | 192 | 289 | 51 | 258 | 217 | 282 | 175 | 25 | 130 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 567 | 144 | 119 | 140 | 72 | 233 | 145 | 159 | 137 | 20 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1245 | 280 | 64 | 152 | 87 | 158 | 100 | 109 | 91 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 439 | 89 | 57 | 154 | 52 | 117 | 85 | 71 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 159 | 83 | 71 | 140 | 35 | 87 | 47 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 211 | 75 | 44 | 139 | 24 | 49 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 | 48 | 29 | 90 | 19 |
| 12* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 176 | 148 | 126 |
| TOTAL | 563 | 845 | 1103 | 2141 | 2117 | 1268 | 2107 | 2486 | 2414 | 1997 | 2012 | 2147 |

MACKEREL, WESTERN STOCK
FISHING MDRTALITY COEFFICIENT
UNIT: Year-1
NATURAL. MORTALITY COEFFICIENT $=$ .15

|  | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1976 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | . 001 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 093 | . 003 | . 003 | . 015 | . 004 | . 006 | . 002 | . 000 |
| 1 | . 003 | . 020 | . 024 | . 019 | . 076 | . 040 | . 047 | . 145 | . 117 | . 063 | . 037 | . 047 |
| 2 | . 007 | . 013 | . 017 | . 035 | . 083 | . 100 | . 192 | . 117 | . 277 | . 162 | . 131 | . 168 |
| 3 | . 013 | . 044 | . 040 | . 082 | . 137 | . 087 | . 197 | . 305 | . 194 | .196 | . 218 | . 181 |
| 4 | . 065 | . 060 | . 092 | . 124 | . 198 | . 092 | . 183 | . 245 | . 304 | . 111 | . 231 | . 260 |
| 5 | . 000 | . 094 | . 125 | . 220 | . 157 | . 079 | . 191 | . 233 | . 286 | . 210 | . 112 | . 240 |
| 6 | . 000 | . 000 | . 119 | . 124 | . 194 | . 100 | . 145 | . 249 | . 227 | . 244 | . 239 | . 120 |
| 7 | . 600 | . 000 | . 000 | . 386 | . 354 | . 145 | . 143 | . 246 | . 252 | . 227 | . 248 | . 235 |
| 3 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 215 | . 172 | . 175 | . 200 | . 218 | . 281 | . 290 | . 241 |
| 9 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 106 | . 226 | . 321 | . 264 | . 212 | . 330 | . 246 |
| 10 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 190 | . 307 | . 318 | . 428 | . 210 | . 295 |
| 11 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 245 | . 312 | . 347 | . 517 | . 239 |
| $12+$ | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 245 | . 312 | . 347 | . 517 | . 239 |
| (4-8) 4 | . 013 | . 031 | . 067 | . 171 | . 223 | . 118 | . 167 | . 234 | . 258 | . 215 | . 22.4 | . 219 |
| ( 4-8) N | . 065 | . 086 | . 116 | . 252 | . 212 | . 107 | . 171 | . 236 | . 273 | . 223 | . 233 | . 243 |


|  | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | .000 | .000 | .011 | .001 | .000 |
| 1 | .032 | .046 | .022 | .016 | .037 |
| 2 | .108 | .041 | .098 | .165 | .112 |
| 3 | .220 | .086 | .095 | .218 | .283 |
| 4 | .216 | .200 | .145 | .223 | .246 |
| 5 | .235 | .200 | .228 | .255 | .463 |
| 6 | .252 | .229 | .231 | .239 | .403 |
| 7 | .121 | .239 | .256 | .263 | .270 |
| 8 | .191 | .169 | .229 | .272 | .304 |
| 9 | .193 | .222 | .159 | .297 | .252 |
| 10 | .190 | .232 | .219 | .390 | .267 |
| 11 | .122 | .219 | .206 | .281 | .476 |
| 124 | .192 | .219 | .236 | .281 | .476 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $4-3) 4$ | .203 | .203 | .217 | .250 | .337 |
| 4.37 | .273 | .207 | .727 | .769 | .276 |

Tabie 3.10 VIRTUAL pOPGLATIGN anal.ysis
MACKERFL, WESTERN STOCK
STOCK SIZE IN NUMBERS UNIT: millions
BIOMASS JOTALS UNII: thousand tonnes
-.....-...-..........
all values, except those referring to the spawning stock are given for 1 jaiduary; the spawning STOCK DATA REFLECT THE STOCK SITLATION AT SPANNING IIME, WHREBY TRE FBLLOWING VALUES ARE USED: PROPORTION OF ANNUAL F BEFORE SPAWNING: . 400

PROPORTION OF ANNUAL M BEFORE SPAWNING: $\quad .400$


## Table 3.11

List of input variables for the ICES prediction program.

WESTERN MACKEREL
The reference $F$ is the mean $F$ for the age group range from 4 to 8
The number of recruits per year is as follows:

| Year | Recruitment |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1989 | 3600.0 |
| 1990 | 3600.0 |
| 1991 | 3600.0 |

# Proportion of F (fishing mortality) effective before spawning: . 4000 Proportion of $M$ (natural mortality) effective before spawning: 

Data are printed in the following units:

| Number of fish: | millions |
| :--- | :--- |
| Weight by age group in the catch: kilogram |  |
| Weight by age group in the stock: kilogram |  |
| Stock biomass: | thousand tonnes |
| Catch weight: | thousand tonnes |


| age! | ck size | fishing: pattern! | natural! mortality: | maturity ogive! | weight in: the catch! | weight in: the stock: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | 3600.01 | . 001 | .15; | .001 | .071 | .0001 |
| 1 | 3100.0 | . 05 | . 15 | . 081 | . $157!$ | . 0701 |
| 21 | 4350.0 | . 16 | .15 | . 601 | . 2601 | . 1501 |
| 31 | 991.01 | . 22 ! | .15! | .901 | . 326 | . 2421 |
| 4 | 495.01 | . 291 | .15i | .971 | . 390 | . 2871 |
| 5 | 1672.01 | . 331 | .15! | .97! | . 462 i | . 345 |
| 6 | 104.01 | . 351 | .15 | .991 | .537! | . 3811 |
| 71 | 145.01 | . 36 | . 15 | 1.001 | . 5671 | . 414 |
| 81 | 535.01 | . 361 | . 15 | 1.00 | . 563 | .435 |
| 91 | 290.01 | . 35 | .15; | 1.001 | . 568 | . 4531 |
| 101 | 166.01 | . 37 | .15i | 1.001 | .617! | . $487!$ |
| 11 | 58.01 | . 341 | .15! | 1.001 | .627! | . 5071 |
| $12+$ | 98.01 | .341 | .15 | 1.001 | . 7051 | .564 |

Table 3.12
Effects of different levels of fishing mortality on catch, stock biomass and spawning stock biomass.

## WESTERN MACKEREL

(Assuming 1988 year class is strong)

| Year 1989 |  |  |  | ! | Year 1990 |  |  | Year 1991 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fac-1 tor | $\begin{aligned} \text { ref.i } \\ \text { Fi } \end{aligned}$ | stock! biomass: | sp.stock! <br> biomass: | catchioption | $\operatorname{ref}_{F i}$ | stock: <br> biomass: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sp.stock! } \\ & \text { biomass! } \end{aligned}$ | catch! | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stock! } \\ & \text { iomass } \end{aligned}$ | sp.stock! biomass: |
| . 81 | . 271 | 2608! | 1687! | $600 \mathrm{~F}_{\text {med }}$ | .12' | 2833! | 2067! | 30 | 3194: | 2465 |
|  |  |  |  | P $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ | . 191 | 2831 | 2020! | 480 | 3057 | 2291 |
| , | , | , | ! | ; $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{B}_{8}\right)$ ) | . 34 |  | 1930 | 795! | 28071 | 1986 |
|  | 1 | 1 |  | 'F ${ }_{\text {ligh }}$ | .43' | 1 | 1876 | 974; | 2666 | 1821 ! |

The data unit of the biomass and the catch is 1000 tonnes.
The spawning stock biomass is given for the time of spawning.
The spawning stock biomass for 1991 has been calculated with the same fishing mortality as for 1990.
The reference $F$ is the mean $F$ for the age group range from 4 to 8

Table 3.13
Effects of different levels of fishing mortality on catch, stock biomass and spawning stock biomass.

WESTERN MACKEREL
(Assuming 1988 year class is intermediate)

|  | Year 1989 |  |  | I | Year 1990 |  |  | Year 1991 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fac: | ref: | btock! | ```sp.stock: biomass``` | catch: ${ }_{\text {maption }}$ | ref: | stock' biomass: | sp.stock: biomass: | catch' | $\begin{array}{r} \text { stock! } \\ \text { biomass } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5P. stock } \\ & \text { biomass } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . 81 | . 28 | 2457! | 1672! | 600: med | . 121 | 2558 | 1912 ! | $283!$ | 2836: | 2170! |
| 1 | , | ! | , | ' $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ ' | . 191 | : | 1867! | 441! | 2712 | 2012! |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | , | [F(88): | . 341 | 1 | 1781' | 730 : | 2484 | 1736! |
| ; |  | 1 | i | ; $\mathrm{F}_{\text {nigh }}$ ' | . $43!$ | i | 17301 | 8921 | 2357! | 1587 |

The data unit of the biomass and the catch is 1000 tonnes.
The spawning stock biomass is given for the time of spawning.
The spawning stock biomass for 1991 has been calculated with the same fishing mortality as for 1990. The reference $F$ is the mean $F$ for the age group range from 4 to 8

Table 3.14
Resuits
11.53.22 10 MARCH 1989

WESTERN MACKEREL
(Assuming 1988 year class is strong)

| Vear 1989, F-factor | . 803 and reference F |
| :---: | :---: |


|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | tt | Jamuary: | at spam | Ing time; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ! age! | absolute: $F:$ | catch in: numbers: | catch in: weight: | stock: size! | tock: <br> bionass: | sp.stock! tize: | sp.stock\| biomass: | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sp.stock: } \\ \text { size? } \end{array}$ | sp.stock; biowass: |
| 01 | .0004: | 1.34; | .095: | 3600.01 | .001 | . 001 | .001 | .001 | .00! |
| 11 | . 0426 | 203.15 | 31.895 | 5245.01 | 367.15 | 419.60 | 29.37 | 388.491 | 27.19: |
| 2 | . 1261 | $479.46!$ | 124.660 | 4350.01 | 652.50 | 2610.001 | 391.50 ; | 2337.061 | 350.56: |
| 31 | .18001 | 151.91; | 49.523: | 991.0 | 239.821 | 891.901 | 215.84 : | 781.621 | 189.15 |
| 41 | . 2298 | 94.64: | 36.908 | 495.01 | 142.07 : | 480.15 | 137.80 ! | 412.48: | 118.38; |
| 51 | .26831. | - 366.65 | 169.3921 | 1672.0 | 576.84 ! | 1621.64 ! | 559.53 : | 1371.94: | 473.32 ! |
| 61 | . 2812 | 23.761 | 12.757 | 104.0: | 39.62 ; | 102.96 | 39.231 | 86.65 | $33.01!$ |
| 71 | . 28601 | 33.61 ! | 19.059 | 145.0 | 60.031 | 145.00 ' | 60.03: | 121.79! | 50.42! |
| $8:$ | . 2876 | 124.631 | 70.165 | 535.01 | 232.731 | 535.00 | 232.731 | 449.09 | 195.35 ! |
| 91 | .2772 | 65.42 | 37.158 | 290.01 | 131.371 | 290.001 | $131.37!$ | 244.45 | 110.74 ! |
| $10!$ | .2997! | 40.07 | 24.720: | 166.01 | 60.84 | 166.00: | $80.84 ;$ | 138.67 | 67.531 |
| 11! | . 2756 | 13.021 | 8.162 : | 58.01 | 29.41 | 58.001 | 29.41 : | 48.92 | 24.80 : |
| (124) | .2756: | 22.001 | 15.5071 | 98.04 | 55.271 | 98.00: | 55.271 | 82.66! | 46.621 |
| Total | ! | 1619.64: | 600.000: | 17749.0; | 2607.65 | 7418.45! | 1962.92! | 6463.82; | 1687.091 |

* Year 1990. F-factor 1.000 and referance $F$. 3368


| age | absolute! Fi | catch in! numbers: | catch in! weight: | stock! size! | $\begin{array}{r} \text { stock! } \\ \text { biomass! } \end{array}$ | spistock! size! | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sp.stock! } \\ & \text { biomass: } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sp.stock! } \\ \text { sizo! } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sp.stock: } \\ & \text { biomass: } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | .0005; | 1.67! | .119; | 3600.01 | . 001 | .00; | .001 | . 001 | 001 |
| 11 | . 0530 | 148.57! | 23.325 | 3097.31 | 216.81 ; | 247.78 | 17.34: | 228.46: | 15.99: |
| 2 | .1570: | 584.85: | 152.062 | 4326.21 | 648.93 ; | 2595.731 | 389.36 | 2295.77 | 344.37: |
| 31 | . 22401 | 616.78: | 201.069! | 3300.41 | 798.691 | 2970.34! | 716.82 | 2557.621 | 618.941 |
| 41 | .2860: | 165.16 \% | 64.411 ! | 712.5 | 204.48: | 691.10 ' | 198.35; | 580.501 | 166.601 |
| 51 | . 3340 | 89.651 | 41.418 | 338.6 | 116.81 | 328.431 | 113.31 ! | 270.62 ! | 93.36: |
| 61 | . 35001 | 303.08 : | 162.755 | 1100.4! | 419.25 | 1089.40 ! | 415.06! | 891.92; | 339.82; |
| 7 | . 35601 | 18.88 | 10.704 ! | 67.6 ! | 27.971 | 67.571 | 27.971 | 55.19 | 22.85 |
| $8:$ | . 35801 | 25.321 | 14.817! | 93.8 | 40.78 ! | 93.76! | 40.78 | 76.52! | 33.28: |
| 91 | . 3450 ! | 93.98 ; | 53.3831 | 345.41 | 156.46 | 345.38 : | 156.46! | 283.34: | 128.35; |
| $10:$ | . 3730 ' | 54.951 | 33.903: | 189.2 | 92.13: | 189.18 ! | 92.131 | 153.47 ! | 74.74 |
| 111 | . 3430 ; | 28.67! | 17.977; | 105.91 | 53.68 | 105.88: | 53.68 ; | 86.93! | 44.071 |
| 12+i | . 34301 | 27.601 | 19.459: | 102.91 | 57.491 | 101.93! | 57.49: | 83.691 | 47.201 |
| Total | ! | 2160.16; | 795.401; | 17379.1; | 2833.50; | 8826.48 | 2280.76: | 7564.02; | 1929.59: |

- Vear 1991. F-factor 1.000 and reference F . 3368
! at I January et soauning time


| $\frac{\text { Table } 3.15}{\text { Results }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.59.43 10 MARCH 1989 WESTERN MACKEREL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Assuming 1988 year class is intermediate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Run depending on a TAC valu* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ! | at | January: | at spaw | ng tiati |
| \| age! | absolute' Fi | catch in: numbers: | catch in: weight: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stock: } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | stock: biomass: | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sp.stock! } \\ \text { tize } \end{array}$ | sp,stock! bionass! | so.stock size: | sp.stock! <br> bionass: |
|  | . 00041 | 1.38! | .098; | 3600.01 | . 001 | . 0.001 | . 0001 | 220.001 | . 0001 |
| 11 | . 0436 | 123.001 | 19.311: | 3100.01 | 217.001 | 248.001 | 17.36: | 229.521 | 16.071 |
| - 21 | . 1233 | \$90.671 | 127.574 ; | 4350.01 | 652.501 | 2610.00 | 391.50 | 2334.131 | 350.121 |
| 1 3: | . 1845 | 155.37 | 50.6491 | 991.01 | $239.82:$ | 891.90 | $215.84!$ | 780.221 | 188.81 ! |
| 141 | .23551 | 96.731 | 37.7261 | 495.01 | $142.07!$ | 480.151 | 137.80 | 411.54 | 118.11! |
| \% 5 | . 27501 | 374.621 | 173.0731 | 1672.0 \% | 576.84 ; | 1621.84! | 559.53; | 1368.271 | 472.05 |
| - 61 | . 28821 | $24.27!$ | 13.032 | 104.01 | 39.621 | 102.96 | $39.23!$ | 86.41! | $32.92!$ $50.28!$ |
| 1 71 | . 29311 | 34.341 127.31 | $19.470 \%$ $71.675 \%$ | 145.01 | 60.031 232.731 | 145.001 535.001 | 60.031 $232.73!$ | $121.45:$ $447.80:$ | 50.281 194.791 |
| 81 91 | . 28481 | $127.31 ;$ 66.83 | 71.675 37.962 | 290.0! | 232.73 131.37 | 535.00 290.00 | 131.37 | 243.771 | 110.431 |
| -10 | . 3071 | 40.92 ! | 25.249 | $166.0 \frac{1}{1}$ | 80.84 | 166.001 | 80.84 | 138.26; | 67.331 |
| 111 | . 2824 | 13.301 | 8.3391 | 58.01 | 29.41 | 58.001 | 29,41 | 48.791 | 24.74: |
| 12*1 | .2824; | 22.47 | 15.842: | 98.0 | 55.271 | 98.001 | 55.27! | 82.434 | 46.491 |
| ( Total | 1 | 1571.20; | 600.000: | 15604.01 | 2457.501 | 7246.85; | 1950.91 | 6292.58; | 1672.151 |

*Year 1990. F-factor 1.000 and reference $F \quad 3369$.

*Yoar 1991. F-factor 1.000 and reforence F . 3368


|  |  |  |  |  | , | at | January! | at spawn | ing time! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iagei | absolute! F\| | catch in: numbers! | catch in! weight: | stock; <br> sizel | stock biowass: | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sp.stock } \\ \text { size: } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sp.stock } \\ \text { bionsss } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sp. stock; } \\ \text { size } \end{array}$ | sp.stock! biomess! |
| 01 | . 0005 | 1.67 | .119! | 3600.01 | .00: | .001 | .00: | .001 | 00: |
| 11 | . 0530 | 148.55 | 23,323; | 3097.01 | 216.79; | 247.76 | 17.34 | 228.44: | 15.991 |
| 21 | . 1570 | 341.79: | 88.8651 | 2528.21 | 379.241 | 2516.94: | 227.54 | 1341.65 | 201.251 |
| $3:$ | . 2240 | 351.15 | 114.4761 | 1879.0: | 654.73; | 1691.131 | $409.25!$ | 1456.15 | 352.391 |
| 41 | . 28601 | 524.68; | 204,626 | 2263.5 | 649.61 : | 2195.56: | 630.12 ! | 1844.181 | 529.281 |
| 5 | . 3340 | 121.44! | 56.1041 | 458.6 | 158.23; | 444.88: | 153.48 | 366.58 | 126.47! |
| $6!$ | . 35001 | 57.15 | 30.688 ! | 207.5 | 79.051 | 205.411 | 78.261 | 168.171 | 64.071 |
| 71 | . 3560 | 185.22; | 105.022 | 663.0 | 274.471 | 662.98 | 274.43: | 541.50 | $224.18!$ |
| 81 | . 3580 | 11.36 | 6.3931 | 40.5 | 17.60; | 40.45; | 17.60! | 33.02; | 14.36 |
| 91 | . 34501 | 15.24: | 8.658; | 56.01 | 25.371 | 56.011 | 25.37! | 45.95 : | 20.821 |
| 10 | . 37301 | 60.71 | $37.460 \%$ | 209.01 | $101.80!$ | 209.031 | 101.80 | 169.57 : | 82.581 |
| 11: | .34301 | 30.16 | 18.908 | 111.4 | 56.46: | 111.36: | 56.46 | 91.43! | 46.36! |
| 124 | .3430 | 34.131 | 24.058; | 126.01 | $71.08:$ | 126.02: | 71.08 | 103.47i | 58.36! |
| Yotal | 1 | 1883.25; | 718.700 | $15239.7!$ | 2484.43: | 7507.54: | 2062.79; | 6390.11 | 1736.101 |

Table 4.1 Monthly catches of mackerel by selected trawl fleets along the Portuguese and Spanish coasts.

|  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Portimão (Div. IXa) | 66 | 20 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 21 | 11 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 21 | 181 |
|  | 36.5 | 11.0 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 5.5 | 11.6 | 6.1 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 11.6 |  |
| Matosinhos (Div. IXa) | 65 | 143 | 140 | 156 | 100 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 12 | 21 | 24 | 700 |
|  | 9.3 | 20.4 | 20.0 | 22.3 | 14.3 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 3.4 |  |
| Ribeira (Div. IXa) | 158 | 222 | 150 | 107 | 34 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 8 | 709 |
|  | 22.3 | 31.3 | 21.2 | 15.1 | 4.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1.1 |  |
| La Coruña (Div. virIc) \% | 49 | 121 | 398 | 607 | 92 | 16 | 19 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 1,330 |
|  | 3.7 | 9.1 | 29.9 | 45.6 | 6.9 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.0 |  |
| Gijòn (Div. VIIIC) i | 1 | 1.6 | 96 | 26 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 142 |
|  | 0.7 | 12.7 | 67.6 | 18.3 | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Ondarroa (Div. VIIIC) | - | 42 | 219 | 60 | 78 | 8 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 411 |
|  | - | 10.2 | 53.3 | 14.6 | 19.0 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - |  |

Table 4.2 Landings (tonnes) of Mackerel in Division VIIIC, 1977-1988.

| Country | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Spain | 19,852 | 18,543 | 15,013 | 11,316 | 12,834 | 15,621 |
| Total | 19,852 | 18,543 | 15,013 | 11,316 | 12,834 | 15,621 |


| Country | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | $1988^{1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Spain | 10,390 | 13.852 | 11,810 | 16,533 | 15,982 | $16,844^{2}$ |
| Total | 10,390 | 13,852 | 11,810 | 16,533 | 15,982 | 16,844 |

[^4]Table 4,3 Landings (tonnes) of Mackerel in Sub-area IX, 1977-1988.

| Country | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Portugal | $1,743^{2}$ | $1,555^{2}$ | $1,071^{2}$ | $1,929^{2}$ | $3,108^{2}$ | $3,018^{2}$ |
| Spain | 2,935 | 6,221 | 6,280 | 2,719 | 2,111 | 2,437 |
| Poland | 8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| USSR | 2,879 | 189 | 111 | - | - | - |
| Total | 7,565 | 7,965 | 7,462 | 4,648 | 5,219 | 5,455 |


| Country | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Portugal | $2,239^{2}$ | 2,250 | $4,178^{2}$ | $5,565^{3}$ | $5,525^{3}$ | $3,882^{2}$ |
| Spain | 2,224 | 4,206 | $2,000^{2}$ | $1,837^{2}$ | $491^{1}$ | $3,540^{2}$ |
| Poland | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| USSR | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 4,463 | 6,456 | 6,178 | 7,402 | $6,016^{1}$ | $7,422^{2}$ |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ Preliminary.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Working Group estimate.
${ }^{3}$ Official numbers.

Table 4.4 Spanish and Portuguese landings of Mackerel by gear (tonnes) and by year in Division IXa.

| Gear | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Spain | 2,000 | 1,837 | $491^{1}$ | 3,540 |
| Purse seine | 1,150 | 1,436 | $254^{1}$ | 2,644 |
| Trawl | 850 | 401 | $237^{1}$ | 896 |
| Artisanal | - | - | - | - |
| Portugal | 4,179 | 5,565 | 5,525 | 3,882 |
| Purse seine |  | 14 | 829 | 1,564 |
| Trawl | 3,658 | 3,565 | 2,824 | 1,764 |
| Artisanal | 507 | 1,171 | 1,137 | 590 |

[^5]Table 4.5 Spanish landings of Mackerel by gear (tonnes) in Division VIIIc, 1985-1988.

| Gear | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Purse seine | 4,208 | 2,105 | 4,277 | 7,413 |
| Trawl | 1,135 | 2,850 | 1,900 | 2,321 |
| Hook | 6,371 | 11,323 | 9,739 | 6,799 |
| Gillnet | 96 | 255 | 66 | 312 |
| Total | 11,810 | 16,533 | 15,892 | 16,845 |

Table 4, 6 MACKEREL IN Division IXa (Portugal). Catch in numbers ('OOO) by age group in 1981-1988.

| Age | 1981 | 1092 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 7,675 | 12,436 | 4,500 | 19,516 | 25,692 | 12,024 | 1,927 | 11,729 |
| 1 | 6,571 | 6,433 | 3,353 | 2,679 | 26,367 | 15,112 | 12,644 | 13,637 |
| 2 | 1,920 | 6,618 | 2,892 | 2,422 | 2,779 | 6,858 | 4,479 | 1,411 |
| 3 | 587 | 1,264 | 892 | 1,085 | 272 | 1,227 | 214 | 1,055 |
| 4 | 101 | 298 | 159 | 241 | 206 | 175 | 742 | 404 |
| 5 | 41 | 71 | 44 | 70 | 42 | 156 | 548 | 146 |
| 6 | 33 | 46 | 12 | 19 | 36 | 55 | 61 | 68 |
| 7 | 15 | 68 | 11 | 10 | 3 | 35 | 61 | 5 |
| 8 | 8 | 41 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 20 | 45 | - |
| 9 | 5 | 24 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 11 | 47 | - |
| $10+$ | 31 | 102 | 15 | 10 | 3 | 8 | 45 | - |
| Tonnes | 3,108 | 3,018 | 2,239 | 2,250 | 4,178 | 5,565 | 5,525 | 4,106 |


| Table 4.7 | Mackerel in IXa (Spain) ('000) by a division in | ions VIIIC and ch in numbers up and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1988 |  |
|  | Division 1 Xa | Division VIIIC |
| 0 | 59,736 | 19 |
| 1 | 11,123 | 6,391 |
| 2 | 97 | 1,908 |
| 3 | 101 | 4,648 |
| 4 | 172 | 9,003 |
| 5 | 89 | 2,923 |
| 6 | 88 | 5,433 |
| 7 | 12 | 12,785 |
| 8 | 11 | 5,508 |
| 9 | - | 1,785 |
| 10 | - | 530 |
| 11 | - | 284 |
| 12 | - | 752 |
| 13 | - | 713 |
| 14 | - | 124 |
| 15+ | - | 931 |
| Tonnes | 3.540 | 16,884 |

Table_5.1 Estimated catch in numbers (' 000 ) of the North Sea mackerel stock by quarter in 1987 and 1988.

| Age | 1987 |  |  |  | 1988 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quarter |  |  |  | Quarter |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | - | 80 | 300 | 130 | - | 30 | 300 | 80 |
| 2 | - | 80 | 300 | 130 | - | 30 | 300 | 80 |
| 3 | - | 80 | 300 | 130 | - | 30 | 300 | 80 |
| 4 | - | 30 | 120 | 50 | - | 30 | 300 | 80 |
| 5 | - | 60 | 240 | 100 | - | 10 | 150 | 40 |
| 6 | - | 30 | 360 | 150 | - | 20 | 230 | 60 |
| 7 | - | 60 | 240 | 100 | - | 30 | 380 | 100 |
| 8 | - | 50 | 180 | 80 | - | 20 | 230 | 60 |
| 9 | - | 120 | 420 | 200 | - | 10 | 150 | 400 |
| 10 | - | 10 | 60 | 30 | - | 40 | 530 | 140 |
| 11 | - | 30 | 120 | 50 | - | 10 | 80 | 20 |
| 12 | - | 10 | 60 | 30 | - | 10 | 150 | 40 |
| 13 | - | 30 | 120 | 50 | - | 10 | 80 | 20 |
| 14 | - | 10 | 60 | 30 | - | 10 | 150 | 40 |
| 15+ | - | 120 | 480 | 200 | - | 40 | 530 | 140 |

Table 5.2 Quarterly mean weights at age ( $g$ ) in the North Sea mackerel stock, second quarter, 1988.

| Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weight | 140 | 255 | 330 | 395 | 450 | 500 | 540 | 570 | 605 | 635 | 670 | 700 | 730 | 765 | 790 |

Table 5,3 Indicative percentages of each mackerel stock present in the North Sea during each quarter.

| Age | North Sea stock |  |  |  | Western stock |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | - | 20 | 30 | 30 |
| 2 | 80 | 100 | 100 | 80 | 10 | 10 | 50 | 70 |
| 23 | 80 | 100 | 50 | 70 | 10 | + | 50 | 70 |

Table 6.1 Annual length distribution of catches per fleet per country.

| Length (cm) | Denmark Ireland |  | Netherlands |  | Norway |  | UK (Eng.) | UK(Scot.) |  | Spain |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P.seine | Pr.tr. | Pel.tr. | Beam+bt.tr. | Coastal | P.seine | All gear | P.seine | Dist.tr. | Dist.tr. ${ }^{2}$ | Gillnet | VIIIC t | 1 Liners |
| 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | - | - | - |
| 7 | - | - | 248 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8 | - | - | 746 | - | - |  | - |  |  | - |  | $\cdots$ | - |
| 9 | - |  | 395 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20 | - | 33 | 4,102 | - | - | - | 36 | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | - | 457 | 7 |
| 1 | - |  | 1,047 | - | - | - | 85 | 22 | - | $\rightarrow$ | - | 2,648 | 7 |
| 2 | - | - | 1,138 | - | - | - | 78 | 22 | - | - | - | 1,489 | 9 |
| 3 | - | - | 231 | - | - | - | 54 | - | - | - | - | 366 | 12 |
| 4 | - | - | 306 | - | - | - | 472 | 235 | - | - | - | - | 15 |
| 5 | - | - | 68 | - | $<26=10$ | 6 | 3,055 | 892 | - | $\overline{4}$ | - | 96 | 15 |
| 6 | - | 33 | 357 | 44 | 235 | 2 | 6,792 | 2,061 | - | 14 | - | 40 | - |
| 7 | - | 133 | 470 | 311 | 196 | - | 9,440 | 3,347 | - | 38 | - | 282 | 19 |
| 8 | - | 333 | 2,339 | 311 | 52 | 106 | 8,517 | 3,652 | 1 | 63 | - | 110 | 22 |
| 9 | - | 733 | 2,732 | 300 | 20 | 2,424 | 9,812 | 5,779 | 2 | 71 | - | 130 | 105 |
| 30 | 533 | 1,936 | 3,620 | 328 | 268 | 4,504 | 5,200 | 10,980 | 5 | 240 | - | 151 | 187 |
| 1 | 389 | 7,834 | 6,039 | 537 | 710 | 7,663 | 5,410 | 15,810 | 17 | 443 | - | 352 | 534 |
| 2 | 1,683 | 14,836 | 8,512 | 514 | 795 | 10,210 | 5,868 | 26,400 | 46 | 583 | - | 412 | 803 |
| 3 | 3,613 | 23,704 | 10,209 | 460 | 1,178 | 17,587 | 4,073 | 31,727 | 48 | 859 | - | 196 | 994 |
| 4 | 6.965 | 30,139 | 13,122 | 416 | 1.479 | 29,164 | 2,930 | 40,536 | 49 | 814 | - | 194 | 1,284 |
| 5 | 7.395 | 30,805 | 14,412 | 378 | 2,126 | 36,710 | 2,068 | 44,547 | 46 | 601 | - | 460 | 1997 |
| 6 | 6,319 | 23,737 | 12,407 | 248 | 1,721 | 36,715 | 1,069 | 37.510 | 30 | 370 | - | 279 | 1,043 |
| 7 | 4,525 | 17,768 | 3,732 | 174 | 1.918 | 32,362 | 690 | 28.802 | 15 | 284 | - | 434 | 1,659 |
| 8 | 3,065 | 17,503 | 8,056 | 190 | 1,553 | 28,722 | 255 | 20,672 | 9 | 225 | - | 439 | 2,362 |
| 9 | 2,741 | 16,769 | 8.429 | 117 | 1,254 | 28,216 | 161 | 16, 131 | 5 | 95 | 60 | 728 | 2,626 |
| 40 | 2,760 | 14,869 | 8,777 | 132 | 1.459 | 26,017 | 7 | 14,231 | 10 | 51 | 60 | 194 | 2,618 |
| 1 | 1,764 | 9,134 | 5,308 | 47 | 1,309 | 13,953 | 5 | 11,544 | 8 | 24 | 30 | 286 | 1,642 |
| 2 | 917 | 6,567 | 2,955 | 62 | 457 | 6,130 | 2 | 6,722 | 5 | 38 | 60 | 283 | 790 |
| 3 | 469 | 5,134 | 2,920 | -19 | . 674 | 5,754 | 1 | 3,809 | 2 | 21 | 60 | 197 | 425 |
| 4 | 230 | 3,167 | 1,655 | 11 | 1,197 | 3.242 | - | 2,494 | 1 | 4 | 149 | 69 | 465 |
| 5 | 150 | 1,333 | 771 | 16 | - | - | - | 695 | - | 2 | 119 | 192 | 242 |
| 6 | - | 600 | 86 | - | - | - | - | 463 | - | - | 30 | 192 | 91 |
| 7 | - | 233 | - | - | - | - | - | 384 | - | - | - | 57 | 30 |
| 8 | - - | 33 | - | $\square$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 30 | - | 4 |
| 9 | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | F | - | - | - | - | 15 |
| 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 43,524 | 227,366 | 128,789 | 4,596 | 18,611 | 289,423 | 66,080 | 329,467 | 291 | 4,841 | 596 | 10,436 | 19,017 |

Table 6.1 (cont'd)

| Length(cm) | Spain ctd. |  |  | Portugal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | viric Purse seine | IXa Trawl | P.seine | Artisan | p.seine | Traul |
| 5 | - | + | + | - | - | - |
| 6 | - | 4 | 605 | - | - | + |
| 7 | - | + | 1,968 | - | - | + |
| 8 | - | 11 | 5,599 | - | 66 | 10 |
| 9 | 3 | 127 | 11,580 | - | 394 | 261 |
| 20 | $\overline{-}$ | 1,939 | 15,820 | - | 1,634 | 896 |
| 1 | 47 | 4,859 | 14,559 | - | 2,407 | 2,035 |
| 2 | 480 | 2,909 | 4,040 | + | 940 | 2,286 |
| 3 | 595 | 784 | 1,756 | 24 | 794 | 2,109 |
| 4 | 141 | 132 | 1,325 | 37 | 214 | 1,495 |
| 5 | 17 | 35 | 801 | 84 | 214 | 924 |
| 6 | 201 | 43 | 410 | 145 | 553 | 644 |
| 7 | 46 | 53 | 65 | 152 | 825 | 417 |
| 8 | 69 | 53 | 129 | 220 | 714 | 522 |
| 9 | 143 | 51 | 276 | 144 | 447 | 551 |
| 30 | 107 | 12 | 476 | 174 | 78 | 426 |
| 1 | 238 | 66 | 88 | 151 | 84 | 505 |
| 2 | 1,156 | 30 | 13 | 220 | 176 | 382 |
| 3 | 2,236 | 20 | 8 | 252 | 159 | 299 |
| 4 | 1,905 | 20 | 9 | 210 | 360 | 185 |
| 5 | 2,130 | 17 | 8 | 191 | 378 | 82 |
| 6 | 2,753 | 15 | 12 | 112 | 197 | 62 |
| 7 | 1,758 | 15 | 11 | 109 | 230 | 23 |
| 8 | 2,550 | 23 | 3 | 59 | 220 | 16 |
| 9 | 3,392 | 60 | 2 | 34 | 87 | 11 |
| 40 | 2,081 | 92 | + | 83 | 6 | 8 |
| 1 | 611 | 1,117 | + | 52 | 9 | 4 |
| 2 | 440 | 41 | + | 55 | 4 | + |
| 3 | 586 | 28 | - | 17 | 9 | + |
| 4 | - | 6 | - | 7 | 4 | - |
| 5 | - | + | - | 1 | - | - |
| 6 | - | + | - | - | - | - |
| 7 | - | + | - | + | - | - |
| 8 | - | + | - | - | - | - |
| 9 | - | + | - | - | - | - |
| 50 | - | + | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 23,687 1 | 11,560 5 | 59,874 | 2,533 | 11,203 | 14,153 |

[^6]

Figure 2.1A Distribution of mackerel fisheries, first quarter 1988.


Figure 2.1B Distribution of mackerel fisheries, second quarter 1988.


Figure 2.1D Distribution of mackerel fisheries, fourth quarter 1988.

Figure 2.2 Distribution and abundance of the 1988 year class between October 1988 and December 1988 from Dutch, English, Irish, and Scottish research vessel E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E9 F0 F1 F2 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9


Figure 2.3 Distribution of mackerel "0/1-groups" from October 1987 to March 1988 from Dutch, English, Irish, and Scottish research vessel data.


Figure 2.4 Distribution and abundance of the 1987 year class between October 1988 and December 1988 from Dutch, English, Irish, and Scottish research vessel data.


Figure 2.5 Distribution of mackerel "1/2-groups" from October 1987 to March 1988 from Dutch, English, Irish, and Scottish research vessel data.

.- Figure 2.6 The occurrence of juvenile mackerel expressed as a percentage by numbers in the commercial catches that could be allocated to ICES divisions or sub-divisions in 1988. Values in each area are expressed from top to bottom as: 0-group; l-group; 2 -group; tonnage that would be allocated $f+=$ less than 500 t ). Catches in Division IVa were mainly located north of 59 N as indicated by the shaded area.


Figure 2.7 The percentage of 0-, 1-and 2-group mackerel in the Dutch and English commerical catches by rectangle in the first quarter in 1988.


Figure 2.8 The percentage of 0-, 1-and 2-group mackerel in the Dutch commercial catches by rectangle in the second quarter.


Figure 2.9 The percentage of 0-,1-and 2-group mackerel in the Dutch and English comercial catches by rectangle in the third quarter.


Figure 2.10 The percentage of 0-,1-and 2-group mackerel in the Dutch and English comercial catches by rectangle in the fourth quarter.


Figure 2.11 Mackerel 0/1-group survey indices vs. 1-group in numbers from VPA.


Figure 2.12 Mackerel 1/2-group survey indices vs 2-group in numbers from VPA.


Figure 3.1 Selection patterns for different values of terminal s.


Figure 3.2 Sum of squared residuals against $F$ at age 4-12+.




Figure 3.3 Western mackerel spawning stock-recruitment relationship.
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Figure 3.5 The occurrence of mackerel 30 cm expressed as a percentage by number for catches that could be allocated. NB. Data were not available for all catches, which are approximate. Catches for all gears and fleets are combined.


Figure 3.6 The percentage of mackerel smaller than 30 cm in the Dutch, Scottish and English cormercial catches by rectangle in the first quarter in 1988.


Figure 3.7 The percentage of mackerel smaller than 30 cm in the Dutch commercial catches by rectangle in the second quarter in 1988.


Figure 3.8 The percentage of mackerel smaller than 30 cm in the Dutch and Scottish cormercial catches by rectangle in the third quarter in 1988.


Figure 3.9 The percentage of mackerel smaller than 30 cm in the Dutch (D) and English (E) commercial catches by rectangle in the fourth quarter in 1988.
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Figure 4.1 Monthly catches of selected trawl fleets, as in Table 4.1. Shaded areas correspond to catches of individuals $\leq 25 \mathrm{~cm}$.


Figure 4.2 Indices of CPUE at Vigo (Division IXa, Spain) expressed in kg/landing days and at Portugal (Division IXa), in kg/h trawling.


Figure 4.3 Catch curves for 1988 in Division VIIIc (full line) and in Portugal (Division IXa, broken line).


[^0]:    *General Secretary
    ICES
    Palægade 2-4
    DK-1261 Copenhagen $K$
    DENMARK

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Provisional.

[^2]:    ${ }_{2}$ Preliminary.
    ${ }^{2}$ May include catches taken in Division IIa.

[^3]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ Preliminary.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes catches taken in Division IVa, but misreported to Division VIa.

[^4]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ Preliminary.
    ${ }^{2}$ Working Group estimate.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Estimated catch does not include Riveira landing port.

[^6]:    ${ }_{2}$ Catches from ports in Basque Country.
    Aproximately $50 \%$ of total catch in Divisions VIIIa,b.

